IN THE SUPREME C	COURT C	OF THE STAT	E OF NEVADA
			_
MARIO TREJO,)	No. 84724	Electronically Ellect
Appellant,)		Electronically Filed Feb 16 2023 01:59 PM
V)		Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
)		
THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
Respondent.)		
APPELLANT'S APPE) NDIX VO	DLUME XIII P	AGES 2926-3175
DARIN F. IMLAY Clark County Public Defender		STEVE WOI	FSON District Attorney
309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610		200 Lewis Av Las Vegas, N	venue, 3 rd Floor evada 89155
Attorney for Appellant		AARON FO	RD
		Attorney Gen 100 North Ca	eral rson Street
		(702) 687-35.	Nevada 89701-4717 38
		Counsel for R	Respondent
	MARIO TREJO, Appellant, v. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. APPELLANT'S APPEL DARIN F. IMLAY Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	MARIO TREJO, Appellant, v. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VO DARIN F. IMLAY Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Appellant, V. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XIII P DARIN F. IMLAY Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Attorney for Appellant ARRON FOR Attorney Gen 100 North Ca Carson City, (702) 687-355

INDEX MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO Case No. 84724

1

2

3	PAGE NO
4	Defendant's Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail with House Arrest Based on the Changed Circumstances Brought About by the COVID-19 Crisis filed 03/31/20 Date of Hrg: 04//06/20
5	
6	Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 04/06/22 Date of Hrg: 04/11/22738-758
7	Defendant's Response to State's Motion to Preclude Irrelevant Argument filed 04/13/22
8	Date of Hrg: 04/11/22
9	District Court Minutes from 10/04/18 through 06/15/22
	Indictment filed 10/04/18
10	Indictment Warrant filed 10/04/18
11	Indictment Warrant Return filed 10/05/18
12	Instructions to the Jury filed 05/03/22 845-885
13	Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty) filed 06/16/22
14 15	Jury List filed 04/21/22
	Jury List filed 05/04/22
16	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access filed 10/10/18
17 18	Motion for Medical O.R. or to set Reasonable Bail (Pro Se) filed 04/20/21 Date of Hrg: 04/26/21
19	Motion for Pro Per In-Court Disability Adjustment filed 09/23/21
20	Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail filed 11/28/18 Date of Hrg: 12/05/18
21	
22	Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail with Electronic Monitoring filed 01/14/19 Date of Hrg: 01/23/19
23	Motion to Appeal Decision on May 10 th , 2021 filed 06/17/21
24	Motion to Appeal to the Jan. 3 rd 2022 Decision on Dismissal of Case filed 01/21/22 490-536
25	Motion to Dismiss Case/Prosecutorial Misconduct and Perjury filed 12/13/22 Date of Hrg: 01/03/22 432-449
26	
27	Motion to Dismiss Counsel filed 03/02/21 Date of Hrg: 03/24/21
28	

1	Motion to Dismiss Standby Counsel filed 09/23/21 Date of Hrg: 10/18/21	240-242
2 3	Motion to Dismiss State's Motion of Opposition filed 05/11/21 Date of Hrg: 06/02/21	178-184
4	Motion to Suppress Digital Evidence filed 05/06/21 Date of Hrg: 06/02/21	171-177
5	Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/01/22	538-549
6 7	Motion to Suppress Witness Testimony/Impeachment of Witnesses filed 11/19/21 Date of Hrg: 12/13/21	258-269
8	Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed 03/15/21 Date of Hrg: 03/24/21	121-128
10	Notice of Appeal filed 05/12/22	892-894
10	Notice of Appeal filed 06/28/22	904-908
	Notice of Hearing filed 10/01/19	80
12	Notice of Hearing filed 04/01/20	101
13	Notice of Hearing filed 03/15/21	129
14	Notice of Hearing filed 03/31/22	684
15	Notice of Hearing filed 04/07/22	159
16	Notice of Rescheduling Hearing filed 01/12/22	487-489
17	Notice of Vacated Hearing filed 04/07/22	760-761
18 19	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Case/Prosecutorial Misconduct and Perjury filed 01/04/22 Date of Hrg: 01/03/22	481-483
20 21	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Suppress Witness Testimony/Impeachment of Witnesses filed 01/04/22 Date of Hrg: 12/13/21	
22 23	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/29/22 Date of Hrg: 03/23/22	
24	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 06/09/22 Date of Hrg: 04/18/22	
25 26	Order Granting Defendant's Expenditure Request for Expenditure Allowance filed 04/15/22	839-841
27	Order to File Ex Parte Application for Order Under Seal filed 06/17/20	115
28	Order to File Ex Parte Order under Seal filed 06/17/20	116

1	Order to Show Cause: Contempt filed 04/19/22	842-843
2	Pre-Trial Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/18/22	652-662
3	Receipt of Copy filed 07/08/21	225
4	Receipt of Copy filed 08/05/21	226
5	Receipt of Copy filed 10/19/21	253
6	Receipt of Copy filed 02/03/21	537
7 8	State's Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/05/22	733-738
9	State's Notice of Exhibits for Opposition to Defendant's Fifth Motion for Own Recognizance Release filed 04/22/21 Date of Hrg: 04/22/21	170
11	State's Notice of Exhibits for Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/07/22	622-627
12	State's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 03/06/19	30-38
13 14	State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Preclude Defendant from Making Irrelevant Arguments filed 03/30/22	670-683
15	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Audiovisual Testimony at Trial on an Order Shortening Time filed 03/16/22 Date of Hrg: 03/21/22	641-651
16 17 18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Fifth Motion for Own Recognizance Release filed 04/22/21 Date of Hrg: 04/26/21	151-169
19	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail with House Arrest filed 04/02/20 Date of Hrg: 04/06/20	102-114
20 21	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress filed 04/01/22 Date of Hrg: 04/11/22	685-732
22 23	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 03/07/22 Date of Hrg: 03/23/22	556-621
24	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence filed 04/07/22 Date of Hrg: 04/27/22	762-830
25 26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Motion for Setting Reasonable Bail with House Arrest filed 10/07/19 Date of Hrg: 10/09/19	81-89
27 28	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Case/Prosecutorial Misconduct and Perjury filed 12/21/21 Date of Hrg: 01/03/21	450-480

1	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Witness Testimony/Impeachment of Witnesses filed 12/02/21	
2	Date of Hrg: 12/13/21	. 270-431
3	State's Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/07/21	. 248-252
5	State's Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 09/15/21	. 227-239
6	State's Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 03/15/22	. 628-640
7	Supplemental Motion for Setting Reasonable Bail with House Arrest filed 10/01/19 Date of Hrg: 10/09/19	40-79
8	Supreme Court Judgment filed 10/21/21	. 254-257
9	Supreme Court Judgment filed 03/23/22	. 663-666
10 11	Verdict filed 05/04/22	. 887-891
12	Widdis/Expenditure Allowance Motion filed 03/01/21 Date of Hrg: 03/23/21	. 550-555
13	TID ANG COLUMN	
14	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>	
15	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 1	205 1452
16	Date of Hrg: 04/18/22	285-1452
17	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 Date of Hrg: 04/19/22	453-1688
18	Recorder's Transcript	
19	Jury Trial Day 3 Date of Hrg: 04/20/22	689-1925
20	Recorder's Transcript	
21	Jury Trial Day 4 Date of Hrg: 04/21/22	926-2036
22	Recorder's Transcript	
23	Jury Trial Day 5 Date of Hrg: 04/22/22	037-2234
24	Recorder's Transcript	
25 26	Jury Trial Day 6 Date of Hrg: 04/25/22	235-2345
26 27	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 7	
28	Date of Hrg: 04/26/22	346-2502
20		

1 2	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 8 Date of Hrg: 04/27/22
3	Recorder's Transcript
4	Jury Trial Day 9 Date of Hrg: 04/28/22
5	Recorder's Transcript
6	Jury Trial Day 10 Date of Hrg: 04/29/22 2772-2918
7	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 11
8	Date of Hrg: 05/02/22
9	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 12
10	Date of Hrg: 05/03/22
11	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 13
12	Date of Hrg: 05/04/22
13	Recorder's Transcript All Pending Motions
14	Date of Hrg: 03/23/22
15	Recorder's Transcript All Pending Motions
16	Date of Hrg: 04/11/22
17	Recorder's Transcript All Pending Motions
18	Date of Hrg: 04/18/22
19	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
20	Date of Hrg: 01/20/21
21	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
22	Date of Hrg: 05/17/21
23	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
24	Date of Hrg: 01/12/22
25	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
26	Date of Hrg: 01/19/22
27	Recorder's Transcript Central Calendar Call
28	Date of Hrg: 04/13/22

1	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion for Setting of Reasonable Bail with Electronic Monitoring
2	Date of Hrg: 01/23/19
3	Recorder's Transcript Evidentiary Hearing
4	Evidentiary Hearing Date of Hrg: 02/03/22 1203-1233
5	Recorder's Transcript Evidentiary Hearing
6	Date of Hrg: 02/04/22 1234-1247
7	Recorder's Transcript Motion for Medical or to Set Reasonable Bail
8	Date of Hrg: 04/26/21
9	Recorder's Transcript
10	Motion for Medical or to Set Reasonable Bail Date of Hrg: 04/28/21
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	Motion for Medical or to Set Reasonable Bail Date of Hrg: 05/05/21
13	Recorder's Transcript
14	Motion for Medical or to Set Reasonable Bail Date of Hrg: 05/10/21
15	Recorder's Transcript
16	Motion to Dismiss Counsel Date of Hrg: 03/24/21
17	Recorder's Transcript
18	Motion to Dismiss Standby Counsel; Motion for Proper in Court Disability; Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 10/11/21
19	Recorder's Transcript
20	Motion to Dismiss State's Motion of Opposition Date of Hrg: 06/02/211171-1173
21	Recorder's Transcript
22	Motion to Dismiss the Case for Prosecutorial Misconduct and Perjury Date of Hrg: 01/03/221187-1191
23	Recorder's Transcript
24	Motion to Suppress Witness Testimony/Impeachment Date of Hrg: 12/13/211180-1186
25	Recorder's Transcript
26	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel Date of Hrg: 03/31/211109-1139
27	Recorder's Transcript
28	Order to Show Cause Date of Hrg: 05/10/22

1	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing Date of Hrg: 06/15/22
2	
3	Recorder's Transcript State's Motion to Admit Audiovisual Testimony at Trial on OST; Calendar Call
4	State's Motion to Admit Audiovisual Testimony at Trial on OST; Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 03/21/22
5	Reporter's Transcript Preliminary Hearing
6	Preliminary Hearing Date of Hrg: 10/03/18
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo, it sounds like you didn't -
I mean, you knew that -- that there were things that could
happen with you representing yourself. And you didn't provide
the reciprocal discovery to the State. You didn't give a
notice of witness or a notice of expert witness.

Expert witness has to be 21 days prior to -- is it 21 days prior trial or 21 days prior to calendar call? It's going to --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Prior to trial, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I was going to say, I thought it was prior to trial. That's supposed to be three weeks prior to trial, and this is the third week of the trial. So if you were going to use her as an expert, it's long past the time.

If you were just going to use her as a non-expert, it still has to be five days prior to trial, and this is week three of the trial and you haven't done a -- an expert -- or a witness notice.

And so based on that, Mr. Trejo, I'm sorry, but I'm going to have to exclude this witness.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

One other thing outside -- outside the presence --

THE COURT: I was going to ask him about the Carter instruction.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Oh. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So -- before we forgot.

So Mr. Trejo, there is an instruction called a Carter instruction that talks about the fact that jurors cannot take into any part of their deliberation that a defendant did not testify. And sometimes you want to use it, sometimes you don't want to bring attention to the fact that you didn't testify or anything like that.

So do you want the State to include that Carter instruction as part of their packet of instructions to give?

THE COURT: While he's writing I'm just going to run to the restroom. Hopefully I can -- I got dizzy when I stood up again, so.

(Pause in the proceedings)

THE COURT: Okay. Sorry about that.

Go ahead, Mr. Trejo.

MR. TREJO: I was actually considering testifying on Tuesday --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TREJO: -- perhaps Wednesday if there's no time on Tuesday. But just in case, the State can include the Carter instruction.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: There's --

THE COURT: So if you'll include the Carter

instruction in your packet of instructions.

MR. SCHWARTZER: We will, Your Honor.

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 11 5-02-2022
1	Mr. Schwartzer, can you call your next witness?
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: State calls Adriane Serrano-
3	Borjorquez.
4	ADRIANE SERRANO-BORJORQUEZ, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
5	THE CLERK: Can you please state and spell your name
6	for the record?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Adriane Serrano-
8	Borjorquez, A-d-r-i-a-n-e, S-e-r-r-a-n-o, B-o-j-o-r-q-u-e-z.
9	THE CLERK: Thank you. You can have a seat.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Schwartzer, please?
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
15	Q Do you mind if I call you Adriane?
16	A Go ahead.
17	Q Adriane, thank you for coming to Court today. We're
18	going to talk about some things that occurred on September 3rd
19	of 2018. And you know that that's why you're here today;
20	right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the
23	jury where you worked on September 3rd of 2018?
24	A Yes. So on September 3rd, 2018, I was working as an
25	assistant store manager at SuperPawn. And that's located at
	Page 11

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022 1 1150 South Rainbow Boulevard. And I want to show --2 MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, can I publish 3 previously admitted exhibits? 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. SCHWARTZER: 6 7 0 Showing you Exhibit 14, real quick. Is that the 8 SuperPawn you worked at? Α Yes. What does the assistant manager do? 10 11 So my role as an assistant manager was to make sure 12 that the shop would run smoothly and making sure that any customers that needed help would be helped, taking collateral 13 14 loans, tell people if they were short on rent. Also, selling 15 objects that were either sold to us or no longer picked up by 16 the original owner. 17 I am also responsible at the time for taking in 18 jewelry, batching it, and boxing it, which essentially just 19 translates to getting it ready to be shipped out so that they 20 can refurbish it and send it back to our store so we can sell 21 it. 22 And where do you do that jewelry inventory and Q 23 boxing? 24 So because it is, you know, really expensive 25 jewelry, we typically do that in the manager's office away Page 12

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022 1 from the sales floor, away from the view of any customers or people who are doing pawn loans. So on September 3rd of 2018, were you working that 3 day? 4 5 Yes. 6 Do you know what kind of day it was? 7 Α So it was a pretty slow day. It was Monday. And it was a holiday, Labor Day, if I'm not mistaken. And so I was the only manager on duty at the time. Usually if it's a holiday, we can run the store with shortened hours, 9:00 to 10 5:00. 11 12 So I was in the office with a co-worker batching, getting all the jewelry ready for transport. 13 14 Do you recall how many people were working that day? 15 Yes. There were seven employees. 16 Okay. Can you name them? 17 So there's Julie, Gio, Jonathan, Melani, Yeah. 18 Carla, myself, and Ivan. 19 And do you know Carla's name Q 20 Yes. Carla Reck. Α And does -- Carla doesn't live here in Las Vegas 21 0 22 anymore? 23 Α No. She moved. 24 Okay. And so all seven of you are entrusted with 25 that property at that SuperPawn? Page 13

- A Correct.
- Q Okay. So you said it was a relatively slow day.
- A Yes.

- Q Is that typical for holidays?
- A Not normally. We usually have sales where customers can get special discounts, discounted rates for jewelry or a wide variety of things that they sell. So usually they're a little bit busier, but it was super slow that week.
- Q Okay. Now, I want to bring your attention to roughly about 1:07 p.m., going to 1:08 p.m. that day. What were you doing at the time?
- A So I was continuing the process of batching jewelry, getting it ready for transport. And I was having Giovanni verify the jewelry. We want to make sure that we don't have any missing pieces and that, you know, everything is accounted for when it goes out, and when it comes in. So I was in the office with him verifying everything.
- Q What's the view like from the office? Are you able to see out into the store floor?
- A So there is a large window that has a -- like a one-way you can see out, but you can't really see in. So I had a view of the sales floor from there. But I was facing the computer that was in the corner.
 - Q So was this, you and Giovanni were in the office?
- 25 A Correct.

Q Was the other employees out on the floor?

- A Yes. Jonathan, he was what we call a floor general. That's someone who stands by the door, greets our customers, and it is essentially a presence on the sales floor in case customers need help. And then, I believe, Ms. Carla was in the back warehouse. Melani and everyone else, they were on the -- the sales floor or the loan counter.
 - Q Okay. So what happened at 1:00 -- around 1:07 p.m.?
- A So I hear the door open and Julie just started screaming two-ten, two-ten, two-ten.
 - Q How did Julie look when she ran in?
- A She was terrified. She was so scared. And I -- I knew that something was wrong. You know, when we typically use 210, which is verbiage that we use in order to identify suspicious activity or anything that we need to keep an eye on, whether it's someone trying to take something discreetly, or if it is like an event that needs attention.
- So when I saw her face, I knew something was wrong. So she -- she came in and -- sorry.
 - Q It's okay. Take your time.
- Just if you're (indiscernible) you can have some (indiscernible).
- A So that's when I looked up. And I saw Jonathan who had a gun pointed at his head. And I knew we were being robbed.

- Q What did this handgun look like, like color-wise?
- A So it was black, full metal black. I couldn't tell the caliber. But, I mean, a gun is a gun. And he -- he was aiming it at Jonathan ordering everyone to, you know, come out and to lay down on the sales floor.
 - Q What did you do?

- A I didn't comply to the get down. I exited the -the office. But before he could see that I was in there, I
 grabbed all the jewelry I was working on and I threw it under
 the -- the counter. I didn't want him to get anything. Or at
 least minimize what he could do in terms of damage.
- So then Gio goes out first. And I follow holding my sales keys in my right hand to show that I was the manager so he wouldn't hurt my employees.
- Q Did you hear him ask for the manager or did you just volunteer yourself to be the manager?
- A When we go through training as management, they always tell us to give the person who is doing the robbery what they want so they can get out. So by identifying myself as the manager, I was hoping to reduce the damage that could have potentially been done to my staff.
- Q Were you afraid that he could harm you if you didn't volunteer as the manager?
- A Absolutely.
 - Q Were you afraid he might hurt he other employees if

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022 you didn't volunteer yourself as the manager? 1 2 Α Yeah. How old is Julie at the time? 3 Julie hadn't even turned 21. She was the youngest 4 5 of our staff. I hired her myself. I'm sorry. 6 That's okay. 7 Now you also mentioned there was a weapon strapped 8 to the helmet guy's back. Can you describe what you saw to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury? 10 I'm sorry. The weapon on his back? 11 Yes. 12 So it to me is like some type of automatic weapon, a rifle, it looked like an AK. I couldn't tell the specific 13 14 make or model. And but it looked just like an assault weapon, 15 the more aggressive kind that you see on TV and so I wasn't 16 familiar with that one, but I knew the Glock. Did that also add to your fear, having that weapon 17 18 attached to the helmet guy's back? 19 Absolutely. Α 20 And then the helmet, was it like -- what kind of 21 helmet was it? 22 So it's a motorcycle helmet. It was black. The 23 visor was down upon entry. 24 With the visor down --25 And --Α Page 18

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 11 5-02-2022
1	was not working. So I just I left it on as best I could.
2	And you know, went back for further instruction.
3	Q Did he ask you to set the safe before or after the
4	zip ties, if you remember?
5	A Before.
6	Q Okay. And did the terminology "set the safe" or was
7	it something just like "get to the safe" if you recall?
8	A I can't recall with 100 percent certainty.
9	Q Sure. I don't want you to guess at it. So did you
10	go, just quote/unquote "set the safe?"
11	A I did.
12	Q Okay. So after doing that, and zip tying the door,
13	what was the next thing that happened?
14	In my mind, in my memory, I recall going to the
15	tills and having him order me to open them.
16	Q Now, you're saying in your memory.
17	A Right.
18	Q Fair to say that you've seen the video since this
19	has occurred?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Specifically, while you've talked with me in and
22	you've and shown portions of the video; is that correct?
23	A Right.
24	Q Okay. So and I want just what you remember in what
25	order; okay?

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022 1 Α Okay. 2 So before we get to the tills, is there any money 3 from the office that was provided? There's a small portion on the top half of the 4 5 safe that you can access with a key. So he instructed me, 6 told me to get the money from the top. And then he had me 7 open the backpack and put the money in there. So --8 Q Where was this backpack? -- the -- his backpack? Α 10 Q Did he bring that backpack in --11 Α Yes. 12 -- with him? 0 A black backpack, tons of pockets in it. 13 Α 14 And by him we're talking about the motorcycle helmet Q 15 person? 16 Α Correct. 17 Okay. So you provide the money from inside the office and you put it inside the backpack? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. After that, is that when you go to the tills? 21 Α Yes. So we go to the tills and he tells me to open 22 them, to give him all the money from them. And when I go to 23 reach for the first till, which was my till, he stopped me and 24 said, no, I don't want any of that track pack shit -- pardon 25 my language. Page 21

And so I was confused because people don't know that we have track packs in our money unless you're a frequent customer or have formerly worked for the company. So he checked it and saw that there wasn't anything. And then I proceeded to open four more tills and put the money in the backpack.

- Q Do you know roughly how much cash you were able to get to him?
- A So typically I would not have kept more than 2,000 in the top of the till -- or the -- the safe. And in the tills I never kept more than ten -- or \$1,025. So maybe 5,000.
 - Q And that's just you guessing?

- A That's -- yeah, like it's been a minute. I don't recall the exact amount. But if I had to take a guess, it would be approximately \$4,000 to \$5,000.
- Q And you weren't like counting the dollars as you're --
 - A No, I just shoved the money in the backpack.
 - Q And why did you do that?
- A Because he had a gun to my head. And I didn't want to get hurt.
 - Q Okay. After the tills, what happened?
- A So after the tills, he ordered me to open the jewelry cases and to give him jewelry. I didn't have the key

that he wanted for the -- the back case. So I tried to go into what we call our high line case. Our high line case is where we have our high end pieces. But we also have a jewelry fixture that when you lift it up, it has a silent alarm. And when I tried to open that case he said, no, no, no. I don't want anything from the cases. I want everything from the wall.

So that was another indication that he had some type of insight to how the SuperPawn functioned. But I didn't have the key to that wall. We had a very limited number of keys.

So I -- my associates have them, but we had an extra set in the office.

So I had to go back to get that key. And while I was grabbing that, I recall him telling them, don't every -- don't anybody move. I don't want to hurt you guys. Don't move. I don't want to have to shoot you.

So when I get back and I'm trying to get the lock off of it, but I couldn't figure out which key it was. I don't typically show jewelry myself. I usually do more managerial things, more administrative things.

So I got frustrated. And the key broke, the ring that holds it. But I finally was able to get it opened. And I went for all the lower end pieces trying to, again, minimize the amount of money that he would be taking.

We did have larger pieces, but I didn't want him to

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022 get any of that. And why is that? Why would I want to help someone who is stealing Α If I can reduce the damage that's done for the from us? company, that I've worked for for years, why would I willingly It doesn't make sense. So I was trying to give him the thinnest chains that I could. And so did you give him that jewelry? Q I had to. He had a gun to me. Α Q Of course. And where did he place that jewelry? He had me place it inside of the same backpack. And how about -- and I guess I don't think to 0 ask about the money in the tills. Where did that money go? Α In the backpack as well. Now, you were talking about the -- the security functions, the jewelry, the track pack. Is there also other alarms in the SuperPawn as well? So we have, at the time, alarm pendants. had my pendant on me. And it's a -- it looks like garage door opener, but it's designed to alert the security company, subsequently, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, that their service, their help is needed at a specific location. So earlier, before he had me do anything, I smashed the buttons on the pendent to try to get them here either

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

directly after he left or beforehand so they can stop him.

Q So after you able to get that jewelry opened and give the man with the motorcycle helmet the chains, do you recall what happens after that?

A He took me back into the office and was frustrated. He asked me why it was taking so long. I told him it's a time delay. I can't do anything, you know, and while we were standing before he took me into the office we were standing by the swinging door and a couple came in.

Q Let me stop you right there. You said a couple came in. But you -- you zipped it -- you zip tied the door; is that correct?

A I did. So the male, he tried to open the -- the door. They looked at the hours. And so he managed to pull the door hard enough for the zip tie to come off and he became angry. He said, I thought I told you to zip tie the door.

And I told him, I did, I did, but the zip ties suck.

So I mentally yelled at myself because you should never say anything stupid to someone who has a gun on you, but I -- I couldn't help it. So he managed to get the door open. And so he had said, no, come on guys. Come on in. Let's go.

- Q Who's saying come on in?
- A The man with the gun and the helmet.
 - Q Who was he talking to?
- A To the customers that were by the door. And I just kept shaking my head and I told them, no, no, go, run.

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022 1 Can you describe the people at the door? Α Yes. It was a 6-foot-5 black male and a shorter, 2 3 five -- maybe 5-foot-5 black female. And so the person with the motorcycle helmet's 4 5 trying to get them inside the store? 6 Yeah. 7 And then you say something to them as well? I told them, don't. I told them run. 8 Α Yeah. Go. Ι didn't want them in that situation. 10 What did that couple do? 11 They ran thankfully. 12 Okay. Let's go back to after the jewelry, after the tills, when you're back in the office waiting on the safe. 13 14 You said the person with the motorcycle helmet was getting frustrated --15 16 Α Yeah. 17 -- because of the time. Is that correct? 18 That is correct. 19 How did that make you feel? 20 Α Panicked. I didn't know if he would shoot us or, 21 you know, target any of my employees. And when people become 22 desperate they -- they will do anything to get out. 23 And was this going through your mind when this was Q 24 happening? 25 Absolutely. And then --Page 26

- Q So what happens while you guys are there in the office?
- A He had lifted his visor to get a -- assumably a better look towards the front door. He was frustrated. He said, why isn't it opening? And I told him, again, I -- I -- it's a time delay. There's nothing I can do. And he had lifted the visor and I got a look at his eyes, his eyebrows, the bridge of his nose and I had recognized him. It took me a minute to figure out who he was.

But so then he -- he goes to the front door and I'm standing by the swinging door. And he said, you have to let me out through the back, the back door. You have to let me out through the back door. And --

- Q I'll stop you before we get to the back door. You said you recognized the person when they opened up the visor.
- 16 A Yes.

- Q The person in the motorcycle helmet. Were you able to name him at that time?
- 19 A It --
 - Q Or did it take you awhile to kind of figure out who he was?
 - A It took me a minute. After we were separated and at the AutoZone they told us don't talk to anybody until after we get your statements. So after we had completed the statements, I went to my store manager, Jennifer, and I told

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
 1
   her that he looked really familiar. And I told her, I believe
   his name is Mario. And like I can't remember the last name
 2
    right now, but I just kept thinking about it, thinking it
 3
    over, and over, and over, and eventually it did click, that
 4
 5
    his name was Mario Trejo.
 6
              Do you see the person who had that motorcycle helmet
 7
    and that gun, in the courtroom today?
 8
         Α
              Yes.
              Can you identify a piece of clothing he's wearing
    and point to him?
10
11
              (Indicating).
12
              What is he wearing, Adriane?
         0
              I don't want to look at him.
13
14
              I'm only going to ask you to do it briefly. I just
15
    need a description of something he's wearing.
16
         Α
              A coat, a jacket, his shoes.
17
              Okay. Is he at the table or away from the table?
18
              He's at the table sitting next to a woman with brown
19
    hair.
20
         Q
              Okay.
21
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Let the record reflect
    identification of the defendant, Your Honor.
22
23
              THE COURT: Yes.
24
              THE WITNESS:
                            I'm sorry.
25
    BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
                                 Page 28
```

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
 1
         0
              Just let me know when you're ready.
              Okay. Okay.
 2
         Α
 3
              You said you recognized him. Have you seen the
    defendant before September 3rd, of 2018?
 4
 5
              Yes.
 6
              Can --
 7
              We --
         Α
 8
              Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
         0
    where?
10
              We went to high school together.
         Α
              And when did you graduate high school?
11
              In 2009.
12
         Α
13
              What high school?
14
              So he was at Rancho High School. My senior year, I
         Α
    had moved to Clark. So I graduated from there, but he
15
16
    graduated from Rancho, presumably.
17
                      So the last time you saw him in high school
              Okay.
    was in 2008?
18
19
         Α
              Yes.
20
              Did you see him after 2008?
         Q
21
         Α
              I did, at a SuperPawn training class.
22
              Do you recall what year that was?
         Q
23
              Maybe three years before the incident. And so, I --
         Α
24
    2011.
25
                      So you -- you went to high school with the
         Q
                                  Page 29
```

Q Did you see him any other time besides in high school and that 2011 training?

customers are happy and that we're well-trained.

A My apologies. Briefly, I was at the mall with my mom just doing some shopping. And he called me from behind. He called my name. And so, I turned around to see who was calling me and I saw that it was him, and I believe at the time he worked at the T-Mobile. But that was it.

- Q And when was that, if you remember? Like from the incident.
 - A Maybe a few years before that. I --
 - Q I'm sorry. Did you say two years?
- 21 A Maybe two to three --
- 22 Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 23 A -- I'm not entirely certain. Sorry.
- Q That's okay. Besides that, had you had any interaction with the defendant?

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022

- A No.
- Q What's the last four numbers of your phone number?
- A 2436.

- Q Okay. Okay, so now I'm going to refer to motorcycle helmet as the defendant, based on your identification; okay?
 - A Okay.
- Q When you guys are in the office and he's getting frustrated, we talked about the visor went up; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q What happened next with the defendant? What was his next actions?
 - A So I told him I couldn't open the back door. My key had actually broken in the hole maybe two or three weeks beforehand so I didn't have a way to open that door. And I told him that. I didn't have a key. He called me a liar and said that all managers have the keys. And I told him at the time my only key was with the store manager who wasn't there. And that's Jennifer.

So he went to check the front door. And then I thought he was leaving. My aim was to get his license plate number, what he was driving, so that when Metro did eventually show up, I could provide them with that information so they could get him. But he -- he went to the door and saw that -- I guess they were already there.

	C-18-3353	315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 11 5-02-2022
1	Q	I don't want you to speculate. But
2	A	He was so he saw that they were there.
3	Q	How do you know that?
4	А	Because he came and grabbed me.
5	Q	Okay. When you said he came and grabbed you, what
6	did he	did he say anything to you?
7	А	He said, come. Let's go. We're leaving. And I
8	told him,	no, I don't want to go.
9	Q	Why didn't you want to go?
10	A	Because I knew that if I left with him I wouldn't be
11	here now.	
12	Q	But you still complied? You still went toward him,
13	is that right?	
14	А	He came to grab me. Then he grabbed me.
15	Q	Did did you want to go out
16	А	No.
17	Q	the store?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Did you willingly to out the store?
20	А	No.
21	Q	Why did you go out the store?
22	А	Because he made me. He held the gun to my head and
23	he pushed	me in front of him, and while the gun was to my
24	head, he	was using me as a shield.
25	Q	Did you guys exit the the store?
		Page 32

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022

I'm sorry, but how did that make you feel?

A Like I was going to die. And it's a horrible feeling to not know if you'll be there and to not know if my family would see me again. I couldn't get in that car. I couldn't.

Q So what happened?

A He -- he -- so he was leading me to the car. And he was holding the gun to my head. And he went to aim down at the officers and I realized that I could give them an opening, an opportunity, and it was a split second decision. I was either going to get shot while they tried to take him down, or he was going to shoot me himself. So I decided to give them the opening so he couldn't hurt anybody else.

Q What did you do?

A I took his gun. I fought him for it. I brought it down with my right hand and I tried to wedge my left hand in between his gloved hand and the handle of the gun. So that way I could pull it from him. And I started hitting him with my left shoulder. And he kept fighting me saying, no, let go. And I was like, no. No, because if I do, I won't make it.

So I finally managed to get the gun away from him.

- Q Did anything happen while you were fighting for the qun?
- A Yeah. It went off immediately after I brought it down to aim it away from officers so they wouldn't get hurt.

door so that he couldn't come in.

- Q Why were you pulling so hard to lock the door?
- A Because I didn't want anyone to come in. I didn't want anyone to be able to hurt my staff again. And I was trying to -- to get somewhere safe. And so with the keys, I -- I locked it and I just -- I stood there trying to understand everything that just happened. And someone -- one of the other victims just said get away from there, hide. And so that's when I started to move behind the loan counter.
 - Q And what happened once you got to the loan counter?
- A I just kept repeating, I took his gun, I took his gun. I guess, I couldn't believe it, you know? And I lost it. Ivan jumped over to try to console me. And then everyone came rushing over trying to stay down because they heard the shots.

And one of the customers, she looked at me over the loan counter and she held my gaze and she said, breathe.

Breathe. You're safe. But I didn't know that to be true, because to me, I -- I didn't see him go down.

And he saw my face and I was afraid that he would come find me. But then officers -- I had stood up at this point, been able to breathe, to recenter myself. And I saw them release the K-9 Unit. And they only do that if the --

- Q Where did you see that from?
- A From behind the loan counter through the front door.

- Q Did you see where the -- you saw the release the dog? But you didn't actually see where the K-9 went to?
- A Well, he had gotten towards the south side, towards the wall where we had come --
 - Q Okay.

- A $\,\,$ -- from so that would have been to the left of the car.
 - Q Okay.
- A But they released him from what would have been the front parking of -- of the SuperPawn.
 - Q And why did that scare you?
- A You don't release a dog when someone is complying. You don't release the dog if you have him. So I didn't know if they had had him or not, but they only release the dog if they -- the suspect or the defendant isn't complying.
 - Q Eventually, did the police get to the store?
- A Yes. As they came in and I was in the office,

 Melani had come for my keys so she could let them in. And
 they asked if anyone else was in there. And I told them, no.
 - Q What did they -- what happened after that?
- A They had took us to the front of the store and they told us don't touch anything. So, we were lined up on the side of the building that faces north. And we were told to wait there until they could secure somewhere for us to, I quess, like a safe house. They told us not to talk to each

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
 1
    video or do you want --
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. Let's take a break now
    before --
 3
              MR. SCHWARTZER:
 4
                              Okay.
 5
              THE COURT: -- the video.
 6
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
 8
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 9
                       (End of bench conference)
10
11
              THE COURT:
                          Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to
12
    take our lunch recess right now.
13
              During the recess, you are admonished not to talk or
14
    converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
15
    connected to this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any
16
    report of, or commentary on the trial, of any person connected
17
    with this trial, by any medium of information, including
18
    without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet and
19
    radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected
20
    with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
21
              It is 12:15. So we'll come back at 1:30.
22
                  (Outside the presence of the jury.)
23
              THE COURT: Ms. Serrano, you are excused for now.
24
    Do not discuss your testimony with anyone while we're on this
25
    break.
```

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 11 5-02-2022
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	(Witness exits the courtroom)
4	THE COURT: I don't know who all these people are
5	and so I wanted to see if we can if you had anything we
6	needed to discuss. But I don't I want to clear the
7	courtroom.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: This is friends and family of
9	Adriane are here.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I used to be her boss, if
12	that matters.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I need the courtroom
14	cleared. Thank you.
15	So just outside the presence.
16	I got you guys's jury instructions. Does anyone
17	mind if I fix like breaks like where it's like weirdly broken
18	in some places and things like that?
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: The State doesn't, Your Honor.
20	And, in fact, I was looking at the order and this is I
21	was hoping we can move some stuff around.
22	THE COURT: Oh, we'll absolutely be able to
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: move things around. I just like
25	there are things where a like a sentence ends like at the
	Page 42

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 11 5-02-2022
1	before and then the next sentence is on the next line
2	and it's just weird. And there are places where there are
3	breaks where it has those three dashes where it's in the
4	middle of a page and things like that.
5	So I'm going to fix those. I'll print them out and
6	give them to everybody when we come back.
7	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: We we did provide a a written
10	copy to Mr. Trejo.
11	THE COURT: Right. I just I'm going to give them
12	to everybody, a written copy when I make the changes. There
13	won't be anything substantive. It's just, it's bothering me.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. We we appreciate the
15	help, Your Honor.
16	MS. HEAP: Yes. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	Anything else outside the presence?
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Not from the State.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	Mr. Trejo?
22	MR. TREJO: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
24	CORRECTIONS OFFICER: 1:15?
25	THE COURT: I said 1:30. But
	Page 43

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
 1
              CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Oh, okay.
              THE COURT: -- 1:30 for the jury. So yeah, like
 2
 3
    1:15 for you --
              CORRECTIONS OFFICER:
                                   1:30.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: -- guys.
 6
              CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Okav.
 7
              THE COURT: I try and give everybody a little bit
 8
   more than an hour, so.
 9
              THE CLERK: Mr. Schwartzer, do you have the disk?
                               I do. I do. Could I leave Number
10
              MR. SCHWARTZER:
11
    10 in my disk drive or -- you need it? Okay.
12
              THE CLERK: You seen my face.
              MR. SCHWARTZER: I did.
13
              THE COURT: Thanks, you guys.
14
15
            (Court recessed at 12:17 p.m., until 1:31 p.m.)
16
                  (Outside the presence of the jury.)
17
              THE COURT: Back on the record on Case Number C-18-
18
    335315-1. State of Nevada versus Mario Trejo. Mr. Trejo and
19
    Mr. Henry are present in court, along with the interpreter on
20
    Mr. Trejo's behalf. Mr. Schwartzer and Ms. Heap are here for
21
    the State.
22
              Anything outside the presence?
23
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Not from the State, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: I put a -- anything, Mr. Trejo?
25
              MR. TREJO:
                          No, Your Honor.
```

Page 44

THE COURT: I put a copy of the jury instructions on each of your desks. Like I said, nothing substantive. I did take out the one about readback because this is a court recorder, so it's a playback. So there is one missing from the one you guys sent me.

And other than that, I just changed like some of the formatting where it had the three dashes and things like that. So nothing substantive changed.

But I think -- Ro, did you also e-mail a copy to Ms. Heap?

THE CLERK: I did.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE CLERK: And then I don't know if you got the additional instruction for the Carter instruction?

THE COURT: No, because I was reading a search warrant, so no.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I just sent the -- it's -- I just sent the *Carter* instruction along with another instruction that Mr. Trejo testified.

THE COURT: Okay. I did -- still -- okay, here it is. No. Just got it, just now. So I'll take a look at those. But other than that, we can get the witness back and then have you continue.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor.

(Witness enters)

- of the jury where you are?
- Okay. And you just circled on Exhibit 10, at 7 seconds in, the individual on the -- there's two individuals at a desk. You picked the person to the right of the video; is that correct?

23

24

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
 1
         Α
              Correct.
 2
              Okay. And now could you clear that for you -- for
 3
   me?
 4
              What are you doing at this time?
 5
              So I was entering in what's called an IC -- ICN,
 6
    which is an item -- or an inventory control number into the
 7
    system so that I could vouch the -- the jewelry, so I was
    trying to get everything shipped out.
              And who is next to you?
10
         Α
              Giovanni.
              I'm playing at 7 seconds.
11
12
                            (Video playing.)
13
    BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
14
              Pausing now at 25 -- oh, 27 seconds. Who just ran
15
    in?
16
         Α
              Julie.
17
              And is that what you previously testified to
    regarding her running in at 2 -- say, 2:10?
18
19
         Α
              Yes.
20
         0
              And that she was terrified?
21
         Α
              Yes.
22
              Starting up again at 27 seconds.
         Q
23
                             (Video playing)
24
   BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
25
              Stopping at 50 seconds.
```

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
 1
              We see an individual wearing a helmet; is that
 2
    correct?
 3
         Α
              Yes.
              That person seems to be directing people?
 4
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 6
              Who was that person?
 7
              The defendant.
 8
         Q
              Thank you.
 9
              Starting up again at 50 seconds.
10
                             (Video playing)
    BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
11
12
              What did the defendant just hand you there at about
13
    1 minute and --
14
              The zip tie.
         Α
15
              What are you doing now at 1:07?
16
              I'm attempting to zip tie the door as he had
17
    ordered.
18
              Okay. We're at 1:34 in. Where are you at now?
19
         Α
              I'm in the office.
20
              And what are you doing?
         Q
21
         Α
              Setting the safe.
22
              At 1:49 you reach for something at a computer.
         Q
                                                                  What
23
    are you reaching for?
24
         Α
              My phone.
25
              And why is that?
         Q
```

- A It was on the charger. And I wanted to be able to record any information that I needed for the detectives and be able to call 911 as soon as he was gone. I didn't want to be without any kind of communication.
 - O And where is the defendant at this time?
 - A He is on the sales floor by the swinging door.
 - Q Is he able to see you from that perspective?
 - A Yes.
- Q And now at 2 minutes and 35 seconds. Is that where he was standing while you were in the office?
- A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

- 12 Q So when you went back to the office, what did you 13 get?
 - A Till keys. The keys to the -- the case.
- 2 So now about 3:13 in we see you in a different part of the store. Where do we see you now?
 - A These are the jewelry cases. And the case where I'm currently standing in front of in the video is the high line case that I was attempting to get into
- Q And that's when the defendant directs you to a different case?
- 22 A Correct.
- Q Three minutes and 35 seconds in, what are you doing now?
- 25 A Struggling with the keys. I couldn't find the

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
 1
                     (Video playing - Exhibit 143)
 2
              MR. SCHWARTZER: And I'm going to fast forward it.
 3
    Starting at 40 seconds in, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 4
 5
                     (Video playing - Exhibit 143)
 6
              MR. SCHWARTZER: I'm stopping at 1 minute 22
 7
    seconds.
    BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
              Do you recognize someone in this video?
         0
              Yes.
10
         Α
              Who do you recognize?
11
12
              Myself and the defendant.
         Α
              Can you -- using that arrow, draw who you are?
13
         Q
14
              (Witness complies).
         Α
15
              And for the record, you drew in the center of screen
16
    of Exhibit 143, at 1 minute, 22 seconds, what appears to be a
    female, to the south of the SuperPawn.
17
18
              Yes.
19
              THE COURT:
                          And just for a better record, it's just
20
    to the left and below the sign that says SuperPawn, as well.
21
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
    BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
22
23
              The person behind you, who was -- who's that?
         0
24
              The defendant.
         Α
              Please clear it.
25
         Q
                                 Thank you.
```

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022 1 to load up. 2 Α Okay. But we're almost done. 3 4 Thank you. 5 You previously, in your testimony, talked about that 6 there was two individuals that tried entering into the store? 7 Α Correct. 8 In fact, even got into the store? 9 Α Yes. Okay. And you believe you and the defendant were 10 Q 11 aware when that occurred? 12 I'm sorry? Α 13 You -- you and the defendant were aware when that 14 occurred? 15 Α Yes. 16 Where were you two? 17 I was standing by the -- the swinging door in view of the front doors. 18 19 So now we're seeing what's been marked as Exhibit 9 20 that's been previously admitted. I'm going to go to 13:10:20 21 in the video. Now, I blew up camera angle 1 in Exhibit 9 at 22 23 13:10:33. This is the front door of your store; is that 24 correct? 25 Α Correct.

Q Okay. So stopping at 13:16:04. Changing the camera angle to camera angle 5, loan counter 3. Starting play from 13:16:04.

(Video playing)

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- Q So at 13:16:32, what are seeing now?
- A Ivan jumped over the loan counter.
- Q Was he followed by other people as well?
- A Julie, Jonathan, Carla, and there is Gio.
- Q What's going on here?
- A They're trying to console me. Because I couldn't catch my breath. The customer, that's actually right behind the -- the divider is the one that kind of snapped me back and was able to refocus my breathing. And then Carla started panicking because her kids were on the way to the store.
 - Q By Carla panicking, was she crying as well?
- A She was crying. Her kids were just a few minutes down the road when everything happened.
- Q Pause it right here at 13:17:32.
- Adriane, do you still feel that fear from that day?
- 21 A Yes.
 - Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how -- how it's -- how you still have that fear?
 - A When I enter any building, I have to know who's there, and whether they can hurt me. I had to change jobs

after that he hit his pendent. I just know that I hit mine

1

when you came in.

3

Q Do you know if he did so as soon as the suspect entered the store?

45

A No, I don't know.

67

Q Do you recall stating that he had a, quote, "gypsy accent" in your recorded September 3rd, 2018 statement?

8

10

A I do. It was the best that I could do to offer some type of connection, just like Hispanics, I have an accent in Spanish. It was the only thing I could identify it as closest to, at the time.

11

12

13

Q So just to clarify, was his accent a Spanish one, or something else?

14

A It was a mixture of an accent.

15

Q And in your experience, what does a gypsy accent sound like?

1617

18

19

A It's a type of dialect that is usually around a specific type of people. We had a lot of those specific accents coming in through the -- into the pawn shop. Again, it's the closest that I could relate to his accent.

20

21

Q Did you discuss with anyone after the events of that day, or over, that the suspect sounded like a gypsy?

22

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, I'm going to ask for a time reference.

24

25

23

THE COURT: Can you be more specific, Mr. Trejo?

BY MR. TREJO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

- Q After your group was moved from the shop, and prior to the detectives pulling you guys out for recorded statements, did you discuss with anyone details regarding what you thought was the suspect's accent?
- A No. Not until after. And that's to the best of my knowledge.
- Q Do you also recall claiming to have seen the suspect's face after he allegedly lifted his helmet visor?
- A I saw your eyes, your eyebrows, your nose, the mole on top of your eyebrow. So, yeah, I did.
- Q Was there anything covering his face under the helmet?
- 14 A I don't know.
 - Q Do you recall in the statement you gave on September 3rd, 2018, that he looked familiar?
- 17 A I do.
 - Q Before the break, you said that while at AutoZone you recognized a name and a face after -- after thinking about it for awhile; is that correct?
 - A Yes. Your name and your face.
- Q Do you recall not giving my name to detectives during your recorded statement?
- A I gave it to Jennifer after giving my written statement.

- Q Adriane, do you recall the Grand Jury testimony you gave on October 3rd, 2018?
 - A I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

19

20

- Q Do you recall being asked at the Grand Jury hearing if you knew the name of the suspect to be, quote, "Mario Trejo," end quote?
 - A Yes.
- Q And do you recall alleging you did not know his name until after, when the detectives pulled you aside?
- THE INTERPRETER: Oh, I'm going to -- interpreter is going to reread the --
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- 13 BY MR. TREJO:
 - Q And do you recall alleging you did not know his name until when, quote, "the detectives pulled you aside," end quote?
- 17 A I recognized you. I knew your name. They just 18 confirmed it after.
 - Q Adriane, they didn't pull you aside until it was time take your recorded statement; is that correct?
 - A Can you clarify who "they" are?
- 22 Q By "they" I mean the detectives, Adriane.
- A I'm sorry. When we went into the AutoZone, they separated us all into tables. We wrote down our statements.
- 25 We weren't allowed to talk to each other while we were there,

while we were standing in the line. And then they did pull us aside individually to try to get our statements.

Q I'm a little confused. You stated in the Grand Jury testimony that you didn't know his name until the detectives pulled you aside. Earlier, you stated you realized while at AutoZone, awaiting for detectives to take down your statement, that you realized who the suspect was. And just now you stated that you didn't realize until after your recorded statement. Can you please clarify?

A I had to sit and wait for a little, in order for your full name to come back. But your first name stuck in my

mind and has since then. So I don't know what you want from

me.

Q So do you recall claiming in your original recorded statement given on September 3rd, 2018, that the suspect had a nine millimeter Glock?

A You had a Glock, yes. I thought it was a .9 millimeter at the time. Upon review the footage that was released three days after the incident, it was shown that it was a .40 caliber.

Q Did you also recall claiming he carried also an AK assault rifle and that it had holes bored over where the barrel would be?

A I do recall that. And I also didn't know the make and model initially. After review the footage that was

- Q Let me be clear for the record. Did you claim prior to lunch that by holding the pistol from the back while it fired you prevented the gun from cycling, causing a malfunction with the weapon; correct?
 - A It stopped you from continuing to shoot, yes.
- Q Now, do you recall back to the September 3rd of 2018, recorded statement you gave, claiming that you, quote, "took the gun, stripped the magazine, but you were not able to clear the chamber," end quote? Is that correct?
 - A Correct.

- Q When you -- when you gave that statement, did you believe that there was a live round in the chamber of the Glock?
- A I'm not sure. I knew there was a live round initially.
- Q Okay. So then how did you go from believing that you weren't able to clear the live round from the chamber, to knowing that, in fact, there was a malfunction, like the one you described?
- A All I know is that when I aimed the gun down, while I was in the fight between us, it fired. And I didn't want it to be able to fire again, so I ejected the magazine. It's too technical a question to break down right now.
- Q I'm going to avoid the technical aspect, Adriane.

 How did you gain knowledge there was a malfunction with the

THE COURT: What's your response to that?

MR. TREJO: I'm not quoting her testimony, Your

Honor. I'm asking a question about the testimony Adriane gave

today in comparison to statements made three-and-a-half years

ago. She did just testify that through whatever actions she

took, there was a certain effect on the alleged weapon.

THE COURT: But I think you're asking it in a way that makes it appear that she's -- that she's the one who said it. And so you need to change the way you're asking that, Mr. Trejo, and so you -- you have to ask it a different way.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And so that is going to be sustained.

And while I'm letting you gather your thoughts, I'm going to just take a quick break.

Ladies and gentlemen, during the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected to this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, of any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

It is 3:30. Let's come back at three -- 3:40.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

- A Yes. They moved us all together in a line.
- Q Was the couple that approached the door also placed there with your group?
 - A I don't recall.

2

3

4

5

6

8

- Q Did you talk about your -- your -- did you talk about details regarding your experience with the other witnesses while at AutoZone?
- A They took our written statements first and then they started interviewing us. No one really was in a talking mood.
- 10 Q Do you recall how much time passed in between the written statement and the recorded one?
- 12 A Not exactly. No. I just recall that it felt like 13 it took forever.
 - Q Do you recall the time your statement was recorded?
- A Approximately, 1:30.
- Q Would you like to look at a portion of your statement to refresh your memory, Adriane?
- MR. SCHWARTZER: The State will stipulate it was at 4:00 o'clock, Your Honor. 4:05.
- THE COURT: And you said you -- you stipulated it was at 4:05?
- MR. SCHWARTZER: That's -- per the statement, that's what it says.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I just couldn't hear 25 the time.

C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 11 5-02-2022		
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Where do you recognize that from, Adriane?
3	А	He had it on.
4	Q	Okay. Thank you, Adriane.
5		MR. SCHWARTZER: I have no more further questions,
6	Your Hono	r.
7		THE COURT: Anything based on that, Mr. Trejo?
8		MR. TREJO: No further questions.
9		THE COURT: Questions from the audience? Audience.
10	I keep sa	ying that. I've done too much theater.
11		Any questions from the jury?
12		No questions from the jury.
13		It is 4:00 o'clock, Mr. Schwartzer. Do you have
14	your last	witness?
15		MR. SCHWARTZER: I do, Your Honor.
16		THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and start.
17		MR. SCHWARTZER: The State
18		THE COURT: Ma'am, you're excused. Please don't
19	discuss y	our testimony with anyone.
20		THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
21		THE COURT: Thank you.
22		MR. SCHWARTZER: The State calls Detective Jason
23	Leavitt.	
24		THE COURT: Thank you.
25		THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.

- Q Okay. So you're kind of looking at this whole -- when there's an officer-involved shooting, your team goes out there and determines where there's criminal liability?
 - A Yes. We do.
 - Q And then submit it the District Attorney's Office?
 - A Yes.

- Q Okay. Could you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what -- how it works? Like how when you -- when one of these officer-involved shootings occur, what's the next step? How do you guys get out there?
- A So typically, many of these happen after hours, not your normal working day. So the majority of the time we receive a notification via cell phone. Our dispatch, of an officer gives radio broadcast in the field that they've been in an officer-involved shooting or that shots have been fired by either themselves or a suspect. A dispatch supervisor will then make a prerecorded message giving basic details of the event to include date, time, location, the event number, some brief synopsis of what they possibly know at that time as it's still usually very early in the situation.

And then that recorded message is sent out to Detectives on my team, as well as other people who need to be informed of the event.

Once that's done, that notifies me that I need to respond to work. I respond to the -- to the phone call. And

then I will drive or go to the -- to the scene of the incident.

- Q Have you ever heard the term case agent before?
- A I have.

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q What is a case agent?

A A case agent, so on the Force Investigation Team, there's myself, along with five other detectives, a sergeant, and a lieutenant. The case agent is the person that's up in the rotation to basically be assigned as the lead on a case.

So on an incident like this, I was -- I was the person that was up. So I was next in the rotation to be the primary assigned detective for that case.

- Q Okay. And I should have asked this beforehand, but how long have you been a detective with LVPMD?
- A I've been a detective with LVPMD for almost 18 years. I've been a detective assigned to the Force Investigation Team for approximately six.
- Q Now, I want to bring your attention to -- which is something you referenced before, September 3rd, 2018. Were you up in the rotation as the case agent?
 - A I was.
- Q Did a incident occur at 1150 South Rainbow that led for you -- led to you being called out with your team?
- 24 A It did.
 - Q Okay. What were the details of the call before you

got there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A The details of the call prior to me getting there was that there had been a robbery in progress at the SuperPawn. That when officers arrived, a suspect exited the -- the business and officers engaged him and -- with gunfire.

- Q Was there a suspect taken into custody at the scene?
- A There was.
- Q And who was that identified as?
- A Mario Trejo.
- Q Additionally, was there an event number assigned to the incident?
- 12 A There was.
- 13 O And was that event number 180903-1848?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q And can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what an event number is?

A Sure. The event number -- the event number at this time, as our -- our event numbers have slightly changed due to some computer upgrades. But the event number, the first of the numbers would be the last two of the year, so 18, the next number would be the 09, which would be the month, and then the date.

Then the following event, the longer portion of that event number is the call for service of that day. So every call that comes into the police department, or every stop that

A He had been transported.

Q Okay. What do you do when you get to the scene? What's the first thing you do?

A The first thing I do is I will see what kind of resources have already responded to the scene. I get a briefing on what the patrol officers and the supervisors on scene have done up to that point. That briefing will be to help me identify locations of interest, evidentiary items, as well as any victims or witnesses that we may have.

And from there, the -- I will meet with my team and then I have my -- my team, since I'm the case agent, I'll have the other detectives that work with me, give them specific assignments to help coordinate the -- the scene and the investigation.

- Q All right. So let's go through that. As the case agent, what was your responsibility?
- A My responsibility is to oversee the investigation as a whole.
- Q And -- and do you also do anything regarding the scene?
- A I do. I work with the crime scene analysts as they process the scene, as we document the scene, to identify anything that -- that needs to be looked at on the scene.
- Q Okay. So you would work with the crime scene analysts to -- to, I guess, document the scene?

A Yes.

Q Okay. What are some other roles that you gave out in this investigation?

A In this investigation, I sent detectives to the hospital to locate Mr. Trejo. I sent detectives to find all the witnesses that have been identified. I also had detectives helping coordinate locating any video or other possible witnesses.

Q Was there a parallel investigation going on with your investigation?

A There was. So when -- when I arrived, there were detectives from our Robbery Section currently on scene as well. They actually met with us during the briefing. I was able to talk with them. They were there, due to the nature of the crime being a business robbery or a commercial robbery as -- as they would refer to it.

They had initially responded because of that, however, as -- as you stated earlier, now that there was an officer involved shooting, typically, I would also conduct the investigation into the alleged crime or the robbery.

The robbery detectives had another robbery that they had been looking at with similar identification, (indiscernible) that had been used. And so the robbery detectives stayed and assisted us in our investigation to parallel or work with us.

- Q Is that a robbery that occurred, or at least an attempt robbery that occurred on August 4th of 2018?
 - A It was.

- Q Okay. And was the Robbery Detective Jeffrey Clark involved?
 - A He was.
- Q Okay. Okay, so you have detectives locating witnesses, you have detectives looking for video surveillance, detectives interviewing witnesses, and then you're documenting the scene?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. What are some of the videos that you were able to recover during -- you or people who worked underneath you, recover from the scene?
- A So initially one of the things that -- that we will do once we have our briefing, we identify areas that the detectives need to go. I will then go to the officers that -- the officers that were witnesses, or involved, document who they were, take photographs of them. But I also collect their body worn cameras.
- And the body worn cameras is one of the first things that I will review on the scene to see what -- what their body worn camera was able to capture.
- From that point, I also was able to get with the pawn shop to begin the process of recovering video from the

business there. And those were the two first pieces of video evidence that I -- I worked to get.

- $\,$ Q $\,$ So you had body worn footage from officers involved of the shooting -- $\,$
 - A Correct.

- Q -- itself? Additionally, you looked at SuperPawn video, as well?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did the SuperPawn video show anything that happened in that area of the officer-involved shooting?
- A It -- of the actual involved shooting, it does not. It showed what happened prior to the officer-involved shooting.
- Q Are you talking about the incidents that occurred inside the store?
- A The instances that occurred inside the SuperPawn, yes.
- Q Okay. At that time, on September 3rd of 2018, did
 you have access to the Air Unit?
 - A Not initially on scene. The body worn cameras, just like the camera attached to our Air Unit, have to be uploaded, docked into a system and uploaded into the -- into the evidence -- it's a website.
 - The Air Unit does not -- we're not able to do that until they land and then they have to upload their video. So

initially on scene I was not able to view the Air Unit's video. It was later on during the investigation that we were able to view that.

- Q Now, I want to discuss something that I'm going to kind of jump a little bit. Eventually, is there something called like a 72-hours hearing?
 - A There is.
- Q Okay. Is that where Metro, LVMPD, will release some details of an officer-involved shooting to the public?
- 10 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

21

22

23

24

- 11 Q Is that done through like media channels?
- 12 A It is.
- 13 Q Usually like an Undersheriff will -- will give the 14 statement?
- 15 A Correct.
 - Q During that 72-hour hearing, is also body worn camera footage also released to the public?
- 18 | A It is.
- Q Okay. And that would be on like every major news 20 site?
 - A That would -- yes, that would be on every major news site. That's part of what LVPMD has chosen to do in an effort to be more transparent is 72-hours after an incident, I will brief, or the case agent will brief our executive staff, meaning, the Sheriff, the Assistant Sheriffs, Captains, on the

incidents of the officer-involved shooting, that would include showing body worn camera footage or the Air Unit video.

They then take that and they do a press release to the media in an effort to let the public know what it is that occurred that day.

- Q Okay. I want to go back to September 3rd now.
- A Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

21

- Q So on September 3rd, while you're documenting the scene, was there things of evidence value -- evidentiary value while you were walking and documenting the scene?
 - A Yes, there was.
- 12 Q Okay. Was there firearm evidence?
- 13 A There was.
- Q Specifically, were there two different calibers of ammunition?
- 16 A There was.
- 17 O Okav. Was one a 9 millimeter?
 - A One was a 9 millimeter.
- 19 Q And then one was a 40?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
 - Q All three officers involved, were you aware of -- of the caliber of ammunition they were using?
- 23 A All three officers were using 9 millimeters.
- Q Okay. So the .40 caliber ammunition came from what firearm?

A It came from the handgun that was used by the defendant, Mario Trejo.

Q Okay. Where were -- where did -- where were the witnesses placed?

A So initially, the -- the witnesses were taken out of the -- out of the business. Officers -- individual patrol officers would gather them, pull them aside. They would have them begin written voluntary statements.

Q Do you know where these statements were -- were done?

A They were kind of spread out throughout the -- the -- taken outside of the perimeter of the scene. They were kind of spread out. It was hot. There were a lot of witnesses. So one of the businesses in the adjacent parking lot just south of the location offered to let people come in there to get out of the sun, to get out of the heat.

So officers used the business to take all the witnesses to that business and let them stay in there while they conducted their written statements. And then officers were -- uniformed officers stayed with those witnesses to make sure that nobody -- nobody talked about the incident with one another so we could keep the people separated, even though they were in a large room such as this.

- Q Okay. Was that business the AutoZone?
- 25 A It was.

Q Now, the -- the fact that all the -- these witnesses who were kept in like one area, is that unusual during your investigations?

- A No, it's not. It's -- when we have a large group of witnesses, it's all -- it is pretty frequent that we will find a location that we can house those witnesses to keep them out of public view, out of media view, out of the -- the elements and try to keep them as comfortable as we can, because the process typically can take several hours.
- Q And that leads to another point. So in officerinvolved shootings is media often -- get to the scene or start
 staging at the scene?
- A It's not often, it's every time, yes. We'll have media posted up right outside of -- wherever the officers put their tape initially, the media is going to post as close to that tape as they can to try to -- to document the scene or get video for their stories.
- Q Okay. So just to be clear, it's not unusual to put witnesses in -- in one area of a business while you're securing the scene and doing all the other steps of investigation?
- A Correct. Because even those witnesses, once we assign detectives to speak to those witnesses, the witnesses will be taken one at a time to a -- another area or another room to conduct those interviews.

- Q Okay. As part of your -- as part of your investigation do you do what's known as a countdown?
 - A We do.

Q What's a countdown, to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?

A So a countdown is some of the policies that are put in place for officers is if they are involved in an officer-involved shooting, the officer will then, after the shooting, is instructed to holster their weapon and leave it in the same condition it was as soon as the last round was fired.

When we arrive, and I do things like collect the body worn camera, one of the other things that I'll do is, I will go with our crime scene analysts. We'll take those officers. We then have them remove the firearm from their holster where we're in a controlled environment. We will take the magazine out of the weapon. We then take -- if there's a round in the chamber, we'll take the round out of the chamber so we can document the weapon exactly as we found it on the officer.

From that point, then we will count down how many rounds that officers has to compare it to what they should be carrying.

- Q Okay. And you did that to -- for Officer Carrigy?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And do you recall how many shots his firearm fired?

- A I believe it was five rounds.
- Q Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

8

22

23

24

- A If I had the -- I don't have my report in front of me. If I had it, it is documented in my report.
 - Q Okay. Will your report -- report help refresh your memory?
- 7 A It would.
 - MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes. Do you want to show it to -- or
- 10 | show Mr. Trejo?
- MR. SCHWARTZER: Mr. Trejo should have the -- the whole FIT report as part of the discovery we provided.
- 13 BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
- 14 Q I'm going to specifically go to page 23 of your
 15 report, 23 going to page 24, which is your section, Officer
 16 Weapons Countdown?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. I would ask you to review 24, and since I'm going to get into the other officers, well, 23, 24, and through 26. And let me know if that refreshes your memory regarding what each officer fired.
 - A It does. So Officer Carrigy, the report that I have shows that I conducted the countdown with Officer Carrigy on September 3rd, 2018, at approximately 18:37 hours. And during that countdown, it was determined that Officer Carrigy had

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
    you get phone numbers from witnesses?
 1
 2
              We do.
              Did I ask you to -- did -- and did you specifically
 3
    get a phone number for Adriane Serrano?
 4
 5
         Α
              I did.
              And that's the person who was -- was taken outside
 6
   by the defendant --
 7
              Yes.
 8
         Α
              -- or by the suspect?
 9
10
         Α
              Yes.
11
              Okay. And so you had her phone number?
         Q
12
              I did.
         Α
13
              And you looked through the -- the whole phone that
    was taken from that Hyundai Elantra?
14
15
         Α
              Yes.
              Did that phone number ending in 2436, was that phone
16
    number anywhere in that phone?
17
18
              It was not.
19
              Additionally, have -- asked you to -- what racks
20
    was? Is that correct?
              Yes. That is correct.
21
         Α
22
              And what are racks?
              It's a slang term typically used to mean a thousand
23
         Α
24
    dollars.
25
              Okay. At the end of your investigation did you
```

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 11 | 5-02-2022
    decide to charge the police officers with anything?
1
 2
              No.
              At the end of your investigation, did you decide to
 3
    charge Mario Trejo with anything?
 4
 5
         Α
              Yes.
              What did you charge Mario Trejo with?
 6
 7
         Α
              Mario Trejo was charged with the robbery, as well as
    -- I'm sorry, I don't -- I don't remember --
 8
              That's fine.
 9
10
              -- all the charges off the top of my head.
11
              Was there a robbery, kidnapping, and assault on
12
    police officers?
13
         Α
              That does sound correct, yes.
14
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Court's indulgence.
15
              Pass the witness, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?
16
17
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
18
   BY MR. TREJO:
19
             Good afternoon, Detective. I'll be quick.
20
    mentioned you had a couple search warrants secure in this
21
    investigation. Did you also serve a warrant, a -- did you
22
    also serve a warrant at G424 (sic) --
23
              THE INTERPRETER: Oh.
24
    BY MR. TREJO:
25
              -- 6424 Iron Bark Lane?
```

- A I did not serve that warrant. I believe just as being the case agent, being aware of some of what the robbery detectives were doing, that would have been a warrant that would had been served by -- by them, not by my team.
- Q And you wouldn't be aware as a case agent, what was recovered from the 6424 Iron Bark Lane, warrant?
 - A I -- well, I would not be aware of what we recovered.
 - Q That will be all, Detective. Thank you.
 - A Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything based on that, Mr. Schwartzer?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Not from the State, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Question for this witness?

Yeah. We have some. Okay.

(Bench conference)

THE COURT: On September 3rd, during the testimony of Mr. Barry Jones, there was a paperwork showed the transaction of the firearm or the rifle. Had that transaction of the firearm, rifle, been approved by the police department before the transaction took place?

I don't even understand that question.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I don't think he can -- I mean, they'll have -- they'll have everything from that -- from Barry Jones's testimony, so I think they can review it. I don't think he -- I think she's asking about the paperwork, there's a firearm sale paperwork in there. I don't think this

If you could tell me the witnesses, 1 MR. SCHWARTZER: 2 I can try to contact them. Just I need to know who they are. 3 I assume neither one of them are under subpoena? MR. TREJO: It's Giovanni Andino and Dwayne Melvin. 4 5 MR. SCHWARTZER: Dwayne Melvin can't testify at 6 11:00 a.m. He's -- he -- we did that motion to try to get him 7 in recorded-wise. THE COURT: I don't remember that motion. 8 Tell me more about it. 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: Well, I'm going -- I'm objecting to 11 both of them, so. And neither one of them are on subpoena. 12 He didn't notice the witness. He had Giovanni -- was already 13 on the stand. I don't see a reason to reopen it. 14 Dwayne Melvin, we did a -- a motion to bring him in 15 by audio/visual because he said he was suffering great 16 financial loss if he had to be here to testify because he was 17 a one-person shop at a barbeque restaurant. You --18 THE COURT: God, I don't -- I don't remember that one at all. 19 20 MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. It was -- it was like a 21 motion from last trial setting. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I just -- honestly, I 23 don't remember that one. Okay.

responded to us. So if you guys can find him in the next 24

MR. SCHWARTZER: I will admit right now, he hasn't

24

hours, more power to you. I guess I won't -- I won't object to him not being noticed. But I have not been able to get ahold of him during this trial.

And with Giovanni, I just -- I guess I need a -he's not under subpoena by you guys -- by Mr. Trejo. I'm
sorry to put you in there, Mr. Henry. I guess, it's -- if the
Court wants to order it, I can try to find -- I can try to
find out if he can testify again.

THE COURT: I'll let him try and present something, Mr. Schwartzer. So I will -- I'm going to -- I'm going to order that you try and find him and see if he's available to testify tomorrow.

MR. SCHWARTZER: We'll do.

THE COURT: And then the other Melvin person, if you guys are able to find, I just -- I honestly don't even remember the audio/visual. That's doesn't even sound familiar.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I have -- I have multiple times called and left voice mails on him regarding this trial. I have had my investigator talk to him, Marco Rafalovich. And he has not been able to get ahold of him.

He has previously said that he can't testify, except for in the very, very early morning --

24 THE COURT: Um-hum.

2.1

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- which is why we did that motion.

After that motion was granted, I contacted him -- I tried to contact him again and say, look, the motion's granted. We'll get you on at 9:00 a.m.

He has for over a month not responded to any of my calls, has not -- I've left voice mails every time, and he has not responded to me in any way, or any form. So, in fact, I mean, the State would love to have him testify, and intended to do so, but he's been non-responsive to the subpoena.

Based on him being a witness, and based on the other evidence we had in this case, we decided he wasn't material and that's why we never sought a material witness warrant for him.

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. But if you can try and contact Mr. Andino.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I will.

THE COURT: Thank you. And so do you -- did you still want me to do the -- the right to testify or not --

MR. SCHWARTZER: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- right now?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Because I think if --

THE COURT: Okay. We can do that now.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- because I think we should be ready to close tomorrow as well.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Trejo, under the Constitution of the United

States and under the Constitution of the State of Nevada, you cannot be compelled to testify in this case; do you understand that?

MR. TREJO: Yes.

THE COURT: You may at your own request give up this right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you will be subject to cross-examination by the Deputy District Attorney and anything that you say, be it on direct or cross-examination, will be the subject of fair comment when the Deputy District Attorney speaks to the jury in his final closing argument. Do you understand that?

MR. TREJO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you chose not to testify, the Court will not permit the District Attorney to make any comments to the jury because you've not testified. Do you understand that?

MR. TREJO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you elect not to testify, the Court will instruct the jury, but only if your attorney -- only if you specifically request, which you did, as follows: the law does not compel a defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify and no presumption may be raised and no inference of any kind may be drawn from the failure of a defendant to testify.

Do you have any questions about these rights?

MR. TREJO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You are further advised that if you have a felony conviction and more than ten years has not elapsed from the date you've been convicted or discharged from prison, parole or probation, whichever is later, and the defense has not sought to preclude that coming before the jury, and you elect to take the stand and testify, the Deputy District Attorney in the presence of the jury will be permitted to ask the following questions: have you been convicted of a felony, what was the felony, and when did it -- that happen. However, no details may be gone into.

Do you understand that?

MR. TREJO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So we are going to start tomorrow at 11:00 with witnesses. Then are we going to try and do jury instructions at lunch? Like are we going to let them go early? What are we going to do?

MR. SCHWARTZER: I would like to do jury -- I would like to get closed tomorrow if we could. So if -- if we can do jury instructions over lunch, and then we can possibly -- I mean, obviously, it counts on what Mr. Trejo decides to do, but at this point it's probably one witness at most, besides himself, possibly testifying. I think we can get into closing by 2:00 or 3:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll just give the jury a

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 11 5-02-2022
1	MS. HEAP: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	MR. TREJO: Thank you.
4	(Court recessed for the day at 4:43 p.m.)
5	* * * *
	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly
	transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled
	case.
	Julie Hond

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

RTRAN

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO,

DISTRICT COURT

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIKA BALLOU, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2022

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING: JURY TRIAL - DAY 12

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: HILARY L. HEAP, ESQ.

Defendant.

MICHAEL J. SCHWARTZER, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO,

Pro Se

ALEXANDER C. HENRY, ESQ.

Standby Counsel

ALSO PRESENT:

Marie Bacquerie Spanish Interpreter

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	<u>INDEX</u>
2	<u>PAGE</u>
3	STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MS. HEAP
4	
5	<u>INDEX OF WITNESSES</u>
6	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES:
7	GIOVANNI ANDINO
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Trejo 6
9	MARIO TREJO
LO	Direct Examination by Mr. Trejo
L1	Cross-Examination by Mr. Schwartzer 20
L2	Redirect Examination by Mr. Trejo
L3	Juror Questions
L4	
L5	<u>LIST OF EXHIBITS</u>
L6	(No exhibits admitted)
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2022

(Case called at 11:01 a.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Are we on the record?

THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. State of Nevada versus Mario

Trejo, Case Number C-18-335315-1. Mr. Trejo and Mr. Henry are

present on Mr. Trejo's behalf, along with the interpreter.

Ms. Heap and Mr. Schwartzer are present for the State. We are outside the presence of the jury.

Anything for either party before we bring the jury in?

MR. SCHWARTZER: For the record, Your Honor, the State, based on the Court's order, did contact Giovanni Andino. He is outside right now at 11:00 a.m. I saw him when I was walking in. He's -- he's here to testify in defense case-in-chief.

I -- also, although, there wasn't a court order to reach out to Dwayne Melvin, I reached out to him as well. As what's happened every other time I've called him, it went straight to voice mail. I left a voice mail asking him to call me back about possibly testifying tomorrow and I have yet to receive a phone call.

MR. HENRY: And, Judge, we did reach out to Melvin as well. I believe it's Melvin, right?

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022 MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. That's his last name. 1 2 MR. HENRY: We have not heard anything back as well. THE COURT: 3 Okay. Thank you. Anything else? MR. TREJO: No, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 6 MR. SCHWARTZER: Oh, does the defendant have any 7 proposed jury instructions? 8 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. MR. TREJO: Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: 10 Yes. 11 MR. TREJO: No instructions. I just found out that a lot of the State's instructions kind of repeat themselves. 12 Other than that, I have nothing to add. 13 14 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So when we settle the 16 instructions you can make your objections to those as being --17 as being repetitive. But we just needed to know right now if 18 you had any proposed, so. 19 MR. HENRY: And Judge, I did review Mr. Trejo's 20 narrative, if he decides to testify. Although, there may be 21 some things that are objectionable, I don't believe it's my 22 duty to tell him to keep it out or not. So if the State 23 wishes to object they can. But I did review it. 24 MR. SCHWARTZER: I mean, I guess if he's testifying, 25 it's going to come into evidence anyway. Can the State review

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
 1
    it and make our objections before the jury hears it?
 2
              THE COURT: I don't -- I -- I'm not -- I mean, I
 3
    understand, but I don't know that I'm very comfortable with
 4
    giving it to you beforehand. I mean, I think you should make
 5
    contemporaneous trial objections and we'll see how much of it
 6
    comes in.
 7
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Sounds good.
              THE COURT: So --
 8
                       (Pause in the proceedings)
 9
10
              THE COURT: Okay. So we can bring in the jury.
11
                     (In the presence of the jury)
12
              THE COURT: will the parties stipulate to the
13
    presence of the jury?
14
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor.
15
              MR. TREJO: I do, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Thank you. Please be seated.
              Defense, call your next witness.
17
                         Defense calls Giovanni Andino.
18
              MR. TREJO:
19
              THE COURT: Thank you.
20
                          Please raise your right hand.
              THE CLERK:
21
             GIOVANNI ANDINO, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
22
              THE CLERK: Can you please state and spell your name
23
    for the record?
24
              THE WITNESS: Giovanni Andino, G-i-o-v-a-n-n-i,
25
    Andino, A-n-d-i-n-o.
                                 Page 5
```

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	THE CLERK: Thank you. You may have a seat.
2	THE COURT: Please proceed, Mr. Trejo.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. TREJO:
5	Q Good morning, Giovanni. Thank you for allowing us a
6	little more of your time. I just have a few questions about
7	your previous testimony last week.
8	Do you think you have a clear recollection of that
9	testimony?
10	A Yes.
11	MR. TREJO: Your Honor, permission to publish one of
12	my stipulated exhibits.
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: No objection.
14	THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.
15	MR. TREJO: I need Exhibits A through J, please.
16	Permission to approach?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	MR. TREJO: Showing Exhibit A.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	BY MR. TREJO:
21	Q Mr. Andino, do you recall where you marked last
22	Friday, your position where you alleged laying down in this
23	area on September 3rd, 2028 2018?
24	THE INTERPRETER: Correction.
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	Page 6

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
 1
              THE COURT: Yeah. Please be more specific, Mr.
 2
    Trejo.
    BY MR. TREJO:
 3
              Prior to the gentleman attempting to open the door,
 4
 5
    did you see anything else of importance while you were on the
    floor?
 6
 7
              Like the whole time, or just the door? I don't
 8
    really understand the question.
 9
              At one point, did you claim you saw the suspect take
    your manager?
10
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
              Did you claim to have seen the suspect put a bag on
    the jewelry counter?
13
14
              Yes.
         Α
15
              And did you see him give her zip ties?
16
         Α
              Actually see it?
17
              THE INTERPRETER: (To the District Attorneys) He
    wants the exhibit for the videos.
18
19
               (To the defendant) Which video?
              MR. TREJO: The surveillance videos.
20
21
              MS. HEAP:
                          I believe it's Exhibit 9.
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Exhibit 9's the main one.
22
23
              MS. HEAP: Yeah.
24
    BY MR. TREJO:
25
              And did you also claim to have seen the suspect take
                                  Page 8
```

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	Adriane by the shirt and point a gun at her?
2	A Yes.
3	MR. TREJO: Permission to play Exhibit 9, camera
4	angle 3, please.
5	THE COURT: (To District Attorneys) Can you do
6	you guys have a computer you can play that on for him? Thank
7	you.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: Is there a specific time you want,
9	Mr. Trejo? What video are you looking for? I can probably
10	guess the time.
11	MR. TREJO: In front of the counter.
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: In front of the counter, what time?
13	THE INTERPRETER: (To the defendant) What time?
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: If you want
15	MR. TREJO: A minute 20.
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: There's
17	MR. TREJO: Oh, I mean, it ends in (indiscernible).
18	MS. HEAP: Which camera angle?
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: There's a minute in the video
20	you the helmet person isn't even inside the store. He
21	doesn't come in the store until about 1:08, 13:08.
22	MR. TREJO: (Indiscernible).
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: What'd he say?
24	MS. HEAP: 1:09 or 1:10.
25	MR. SCHWARTZER: 13:10?
	Page 9

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	MR. TREJO: 1:09, 1:10.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: 1:09, 10.
3	And you said camera angle 3, is that correct?
4	MR. TREJO: Yes, please.
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Playing at 13:10 13:09:10.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Schwartzer.
7	MR. TREJO: Can you go back 30 seconds, please?
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: 30 back. Okay.
9	So, 13:09:00? Okay.
10	Play?
11	THE COURT: And you're playing at 13:09:00, is that
12	where you're starting?
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: Go back to what time?
14	MR. TREJO: 15 seconds.
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: 15 seconds? 13:08:45? Right
16	there?
17	At Mr. Trejo's request, I'm playing camera angle 3,
18	Exhibit 9, 13:08:45. Playing now.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	(Video playing)
21	MR. TREJO: Stop.
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: Stop?
23	MR. TREJO: Yes.
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: Stopping at 13:09:09 at Mr. Trejo's
25	request.
	Page 10

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	BY MR. TREJO:
3	Q Mr. Andino, I apologize. I made an error with a few
4	details regarding this video.
5	I have no further questions.
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: Nothing by the State.
7	THE COURT: Anything by any of the jurors?
8	Nothing by any of the jurors.
9	Mr. Andino, thank you for your time. And you are
10	excused. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Defense, call your next witness.
13	MR. TREJO: I am calling myself, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	Please take the stand and stand to be sworn.
16	MR. HENRY: Judge, Mr. Trejo is asking for a short
17	recess of five minutes before he takes the stand.
18	THE COURT: Do we want to do this after lunch?
19	Because the short recesses haven't been sort and we need to
20	get the jury instructions together and
21	MR. TREJO: I just need to clear my
22	THE COURT: He just needs to clear
23	MR. HENRY: Correct.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So five minutes.
25	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
	Page 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHWARTZER: He didn't submit any and it shouldn't take that long.

(Pause in the proceedings)

(Jury enters at 11:45 a.m.)

(In the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: Will the parties stipulate to the presence of the jurors?

MR. SCHWARTZER: The State does, Your Honor.

MR. TREJO: I do, Your Honor.

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
2	Mr. Trejo, please come to the witness stand.
3	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
4	MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO, DEFENDANT, SWORN
5	THE CLERK: Can you please state and spell your name
6	for the record?
7	THE WITNESS: Mario Bladimir Trejo, M-a-r-i-o,
8	B-l-a-d-i-m-i-r, T-r-e-j-o.
9	THE CLERK: Thank you. You can have a seat.
10	THE COURT: Please proceed, Mr. Trejo.
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. TREJO:
13	THE WITNESS: Good morning, everyone, my name is
14	Mario Bladimir Trejo. I will apologize in advance. I have
15	zero experience with trials, so I'm not as fluid as the Chief
16	Deputy District Attorneys. Add that to my loss of speech and
17	lack of law degrees, and there are bound to be some bumps on
18	the road. But I swear to do my best.
19	I'll begin by answering the question the State might
20	ask when they cross-examine to save us some time.
21	First, I've never been convicted of a crime, not
22	even a misdemeanor. Among my long
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection, relevance, Your Honor.
24	And move to strike.
25	THE COURT: That's not I mean, you can't
	Page 13

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022 1 anticipatorily say anything like that. So that is going to be stricken, Mr. Trejo. 2 3 MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. TREJO: 4 5 THE WITNESS: Among my long and fruitful employment 6 history, you will find I did, in fact, work for Cash America 7 SuperPawn over ten years ago. I left that employment amicably 8 due to a better opportunity working for a DOD contractor in Leetsdale, Pennsylvania. 10 I suppose one of the questions in this matter is 11 about the firearms that have been depicted throughout this 12 So I'll address that. All the firearms -trial. MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection, relevance. 13 14 THE COURT: Mr. Trejo? 15 MR. SCHWARTZER: And move to strike the answer. 16 THE COURT: It depends on what his response is. 17 Thank you, Mr. Henry. 18 THE WITNESS: I just figured that it's a relevant 19 matter as detectives have commented on the nature of these 20 firearms. I felt stating them would be appropriate and 21 relevant, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: But I'm not exactly sure -- can I -- can 23 I take a look at the answer that he had about the -- no know, 24 the --25 THE INTERPRETER: Yeah. This one? Page 14

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022 1 THE COURT: -- no, the thing that he had about the 2 firearms? THE INTERPRETER: This one. 3 (Court reviewing document) 4 5 Yeah. That part is not going to be 6 relevant, Mr. Trejo. 7 MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And he hadn't answered enough for him to -- for it to be -- need to be stricken, Mr. Schwartzer. 9 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you. 11 BY MR. TREJO: 12 THE WITNESS: Next, I'll address the big questions 13 the prosecution wants to ask me, which involve August 4th, 14 2018, and September 3rd, 2018. 15 The first would be if I attempted a robbery at 1150 16 South Rainbow Boulevard on August 4th, 2018. The second is if 17 I robbed and committed all the crimes alleged on September 18 3rd, 2018, at 1150 South Rainbow Boulevard. 19 The cold truth is that I don't recall. 20 recollection of the late 2018 months is, for the most part, 21 gone. And what I do remember is hazy, at best. 22 I did try to have a doctor testify who treated me --23 MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection, relevance. 24 THE COURT: You -- you can't say that, Mr. Trejo. 25 So that part's going to be stricken.

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022 MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you. 1 THE COURT: So continue. 2 BY MR. TREJO: 3 THE WITNESS: I'm not allowed to get into specifics 4 5 because it allegedly -- well, it's allegedly irrelevant. 6 I'll keep it short. I suffered a severe oxygen deprivation 7 that left me in a coma for two months after my arrest. That 8 event caused what I believe the doctor called acute brain 9 damage. 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection. Hearsay. And not --11 none of this evidence has been provided to the State, if there 12 is any evidence. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Trejo, it is hearsay, because it's 14 coming from somebody who's not -- I mean, you don't have 15 knowledge of that yourself. You don't have firsthand 16 knowledge of it. And so -- and also it has not yet -- or it 17 has not been provided to the State. So I'm going to sustain 18 that objection. 19 BY MR. TREJO: 20 THE WITNESS: In essence, the time prior December 21 10th, 2018, including August and September of 2018, is either 22 gone or hazy. 23 I will be straightforward and tell you I do have a 24 clear memory of two things on September 3rd, 2018. I know the

date these events occurred, because they were documented in

25

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	the police reports and medical records.
2	I recall the dog bite that we saw on video.
3	Specifically, I recall the pain mostly.
4	The second thing I recall is Dr. McNickle (phonetic)
5	inserting a chest
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection. Hearsay. Anything Dr.
7	McNickle said.
8	THE COURT: And again, that is going to be hearsay,
9	Mr. Trejo.
L 0	THE WITNESS: It wasn't anything she said. It is
L1	something I recall.
L2	THE COURT: But if it's something you recall her
L3	saying, then that's
L 4	THE WITNESS: It's something it's something I
L 5	recall.
L 6	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and let's hear it and
L7	we'll see.
L8	BY MR. TREJO:
L 9	THE WITNESS: The second thing I recall is Dr.
20	McNickle inserting a chest tube through my rib cage in order
21	to drain my lungs of blood. Again, the pain is the most
22	relevant aspect of that memory.
23	Oh, the most prevalent.
24	THE INTERPRETER: Correction.
25	THE WITNESS: The pain is the most prevalent aspect
	Page 17
	•

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	or less likely to have occurred. And so I'm there wasn't
2	enough of it for me to strike, so I'm going to just sustain
3	the objection and just ask him to move along.
4	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	BY MR. TREJO:
6	THE WITNESS: I can't think of anything else of
7	relevance. The State can go ahead and cross-examine. Thank
8	you.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Schwartzer?
LO	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
L1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L2	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
L3	Q Did you used to work at 1150 South Rainbow or did
L4	you work somewhere else?
L 5	A Do you mean when I was employed by Cash America?
L 6	Q Yes, sir.
L7	Did you work there or not? It's just yes or no.
L8	A I worked at several locations. I believe I covered
L 9	that store a week or so.
20	Q Okay. So you have worked in the past at 1150 South
21	Rainbow; is that correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. So I'm showing you Exhibit 14.
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, move to publish the
25	admitted exhibits?
	Page 20

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
 1
              THE COURT: Yes.
 2
    BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
 3
             Exhibit 14, this is the store you worked at at least
    for a period of time? Is that correct?
 4
 5
              Yes.
 6
         0
              Okay.
 7
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Move to publish Exhibit 11, Your
 8
   Honor, already admitted.
              THE COURT: Yes.
              MR. SCHWARTZER: It's at 10 seconds, into Exhibit
10
11
    11.
12
   BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
              That's you, right? That's you in that -- in that
13
14
    helmet with -- holding the gun, right?
              Can I recall that? No.
15
16
              Is that you? After seeing all the evidence you --
    that this jury has seen, is that you, on Exhibit 11, yes or
17
18
   no?
19
              That question will call for speculation, Mr.
20
    Schwartzer. I do not recall this.
21
         Q
              Is that you? Yes or no? Third time.
22
              According to the facts, it would appear so, Mike.
    Do I recall this? No.
23
24
              All right. Showing you Exhibit 84. Do you
25
    recognize that firearm? Yes or no?
                                 Page 21
```

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022 1 Α Yes. Is that your Glock 35? Yes or no? 2 I have to look at my records to match the serial. 3 Α 4 Glocks are pretty generic. 5 Do you own a Glock 35? Yes or no? Or did in 2018, 6 I quess? 7 Α Yes. Okay. Exhibit 89, do you recognize that firearm? 8 9 Α Yes. Is that your Kel-Tec? 10 Q It looks like it. 11 12 All right. And that Kel-Tec was full of bullets, is 13 that correct? Showing you Exhibit 91. 14 I don't know if it was full of bullets, based on my Α 15 recollection. 16 Because you're claiming you don't recall anything right now; right? From September 3rd? Just yes or no, Mr. 17 18 Trejo. 19 I said I don't recall most things spanning a period 20 of months. I'm sure you're aware why. 21 No, I -- I don't. Because, Mr. Trejo, didn't you 22 seem to have a pretty clear recollection of what occurred on 23 September 3rd, 2018, after you were shot; right? Yes or no? 24 I recall an image and a feeling. Then it's haze. 25 Well, hazy.

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	A I don't recall if that was the exact language, but I
2	trust you can read.
3	MR. SCHWARTZER: May I approach?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
6	Q Showing you page 6 of your statement. I even
7	highlighted it there for you.
8	What what was the exact does that refresh your
9	memory regarding exactly what the word you used?
L 0	A Yes, it does, Mike.
l1	Q Okay. So you used the words "shooting gear" before
L2	you went the store; is that correct?
L3	A Can you repeat the question?
L 4	Q You used the word "shooting gear" before you went to
L5	the you put on shooting gear before you went to the store;
L 6	is that correct?
L7	A I don't recall.
L8	Q Okay. You also say you wore a gun belt that was tan
L 9	in color? Is that correct?
20	A I remember the transcript stating a gun belt. I
21	don't remember the color stated on the transcript.
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: May I approach?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
25	Q Showing you page 6 again, last line.
	Page 24

	C-18-3353	315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	А	I don't recall reading that specific statement.
2	Q	Okay.
3		MR. SCHWARTZER: May I approach?
4		THE COURT: Sorry. Yes.
5		MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
6		That was page 10, for the record, for the September
7	3rd, 2018	statement by Mr. Trejo.
8		THE WITNESS: The statement shows me answering,
9	"Yes, sir	," to the question asked by Joe Patton.
10	BY MR. SC	HWARTZER:
11	Q	"Yes, sir," regarding and then, "were you armed
12	with weap	ons?"
13		You answered, "Yes, sir."
14		Is that correct?
15	А	From what I just read, yes.
16	Q	Okay. Also, "Okay. What did you do when you
17	parked?"	
18		"I walked into the store."
19		That's correct; right?
20	А	I kind of remember reading that earlier today. I
21	trust wha	t you're reading.
22	Q	Okay. Now, you keep saying earlier today. You've
23	had this	statement for a long time; isn't that correct?
24	А	Yes. But I was reviewing the statement this
25	morning.	

- Q Okay. So you also said you were wearing a motorcycle helmet to Sergeant Patton, when you walked into the store?
 - A I believe the statement says so.
- Q Okay. And, in fact, I mean, we just showed that Exhibit 11 video, the person who entered the store had a motorcycle helmet on, right? Just yes or no?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Okay. So you also said you don't think the manager recognized you; is that correct? Again, just yes or no?
- Sir, I'm just asking you for a yes or no.
- 12 A According to the page you showed me, yes.
- 13 Q Okay.

- A I cannot answer yes or no, based on how you phrased the questions.
- Q Okay. Oh, you say the reason why you don't think you -- she recognized you is because you were wearing something over your face; is that correct?
- A According to the statement, yes.
- Q Okay. So would you agree with me, on September 3rd, 2018, you seem to have a pretty decent memory about what occurred? For example, you knew the firearms. You knew what you were wearing. And you knew what you took, and where you placed the items you took. Is that all correct?
- A According to the statement, I was aware at the time.

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	The event that affected my memory and my current state
2	happened two months earlier
3	THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry.
4	THE WITNESS: Two months later.
5	THE INTERPRETER: Strike that. Two months later.
6	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
7	Q Oh, so two months after you committed this crime?
8	Yes or no?
9	A Two months after September 3rd, 2018, yes. I have
10	complications from a year-old injury to my throat.
11	Q Okay. So whatever happened to you, obviously,
12	doesn't affect the didn't affect the fact that he committed
13	these crimes on September 3rd of 2018; right?
14	Again, it's a yes or no.
15	Sir, again, I'm just asking yes or no questions.
16	You have a chance to do a redirect after this where you can
17	explain your answers. But I'm just looking for yes or no
18	questions.
19	THE COURT: Answers.
20	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
21	Q Answers.
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	THE WITNESS: I can't attest to committing the
24	crime, Mike. I don't recall, based on the evidence. I can
25	only speculate
	Page 29

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
 1
    September 3rd, 2018; is that right?
 2
              I do recall reading that on my statement.
 3
              Do you recall that your answer to that was, "This is
    my first time, sir."
 4
 5
              I do recall reading that.
 6
              And that wasn't truthful, based on what you've seen
    in this trial; is that correct?
 7
 8
              I can only speculate. I wouldn't even know how to
    answer that.
              Okay. You committed another attempt robbery on
10
11
    August 4th of 2018, based on that evidence that you observed,
12
    as well as your statement you gave to Sergeant Clark, isn't
    that correct? Or Detective Clark? Just yes or no?
13
14
              Based on the recording I've heard a couple weeks
         Α
15
    ago, I would assume that's what it sounded like. But again, I
16
    don't recall.
17
              Okay. Well, we'll get into that after the lunch
18
    break.
19
              But finally, the last thing I want to get into in
20
    your statement is you gave a reason why you chose that
21
    SuperPawn; right?
22
              I honestly don't recall reading that.
              MR. SCHWARTZER: May I approach, Your Honor?
23
24
              THE COURT: Yes.
25
    //
                                 Page 33
```

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

Q Page 18. Again, I have it highlighted for you.

So you do -- now that you reviewed your statement, on page 18, you do give the reason why you chose that specific location; is that right?

- A The statement states so, Mike.
- Q Yeah. It was because it was close to your house?
- A According to that statement, yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, that's the end for that statement, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So ladies and gentlemen, oh, before I do that, you guys said you only think it will take about 15 minutes for jury instructions?

MR. SCHWARTZER: I think so.

THE COURT: So, okay, if we do the normal, regular hour and 15 minutes for lunch then.

During the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected to this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, of any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

It is 12:50. So me and math are not great. So

Page 34

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022 1 2:05? 2:05. 2 So be back at 2:05, ladies and gentlemen. Thank 3 you. (Outside the presence of the jury.) 4 5 And if you guys can be back at 1:45. 6 MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. SCHWARTZER: I do have something on the record. 8 THE COURT: Oh, sure. 9 10 MR. SCHWARTZER: During defendant's testimony, 11 during the direct, he mentioned that -- and I know it was 12 stricken from the record. But for the appellate record, if we 13 get so far, he says that -- essentially, a doctor would have 14 said that he suffers some type of memory loss, is what I was 15 at least hearing from him. 16 Again, we don't have the medical records. 17 medical records he's provided in his -- in his motions, by him 18 I mean, Mr. Trejo, don't indicate anything about memory loss. 19 It indicates a legal 2000, which was talked, an anti-20 personality disorder, a gunshot wound, canine, all that stuff. 21 But there is absolutely nothing in the medical records 22 regarding memory loss. 23 So, again, I would -- the State would request the 24 medical records, if that is something that becomes an issue in 25 the appellate record, because again, this doctor was never

noticed as an expert. So we didn't know what she was going to testify to. She was never noticed as a witness. So we didn't talk to her. I only -- I only thought she was the treating physician. I didn't know there was going to be anything regarding memory loss.

So if there's even like an indication at all that this witness was going to be used that way, I think it's -- it's best that the State gets provided that evidence so it could be part of the appellate record.

THE COURT: I agree. Although, I don't think he actually -- I mean, he was indicating that, but he didn't actually say it on the record. So I don't think it's anything that we need to deal with in front of the jury.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I agree.

THE COURT: But I -- but I do think that for any appeal that he's going to make, he needs to provide those medical records to the State.

Mr. Henry, can you help facilitate that, if he brings them tomorrow, can you make copies of them or something?

MR. HENRY: Well, I have about 10,000 pages of medical records so --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Just send them my way.

MR. HENRY: Well, 8,000 are physical. And then there's about another three or four on a disk. So we'll work

Page 36

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022 1 on that. MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. I just -- we -- we've gotten 2 3 literally nothing except for what Mr. -- and I'm not blaming Mr. Henry. Mr. Henry's not the attorney. He's standby 4 5 counsel. 6 THE COURT: Right. 7 MR. SCHWARTZER: It should be very clear that this 8 is not Mr. Henry's responsibility. But we have not gotten anything but very select pages from Mr. Trejo. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I mean, I don't -- I --11 I'll do what I can to facilitate it. Mr. Henry will do what 12 he can to facilitate it. We just -- I don't have -- yeah. MR. SCHWARTZER: I'll work with Mr. Henry. 13 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor. That's the -- that's all I have for the record. 16 17 (To the Clerk) You're looking at me. Just -- you 18 need everything? 19 THE CLERK: I'll keep them. 20 MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. 21 THE CLERK: To where you know what (indiscernible) 22 need. But --23 MR. SCHWARTZER: Can we keep the ones that -- that 24 -- these separate? So I just don't have to go back through 25 them or?

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
 1
              THE CLERK: Yeah.
 2
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
 3
              THE COURT: What time did I say? 1:45?
 4
    Okay.
 5
              THE MARSHAL:
                            Judge?
 6
              THE INTERPRETER: I think he --
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Oh. He has something? Okay. Go ahead.
 8
              MR. TREJO:
                          Those select --
 9
              THE INTERPRETER: (To Mr. Trejo) Select or
    (indiscernible)?
10
              MR. TREJO: -- those select medical records were
11
   provided and for -- for September until September 11th.
12
    event that caused any brain damage was on December of 2018.
13
14
    If I decided to send you the full records, I have to get at
15
    least 600 pages. Lastly, I didn't state it for appeal
16
   purposes.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Right. But I still -- I still
18
   believe that the State should have access to those.
19
    going to --
20
              MR. HENRY: So I just want to clarify. If he's only
21
    talking about medical records from December 2018, I -- I can
22
   provide those records only. There -- there are -- the records
23
    are voluminous. So --
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
25
                          -- if I can narrow it down to --
              MR. HENRY:
                                 Page 38
```

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	THE COURT: Do
2	MR. HENRY: December; is that fine?
3	MR. SCHWARTZER: That's
4	THE COURT: December?
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: that's fine.
6	MR. HENRY: It's 2018.
7	THE COURT: December, 2018?
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: That's fine.
9	MR. HENRY: December to January 2018, to 2019?
10	Okay.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: And that has to do with his like
12	medical practitioner as well then?
13	MR. HENRY: Yes.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
15	THE COURT: So December of 2018 and January of 2019,
16	okay?
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: That will work for the State. If
18	we need to do follow-up, I'll just do subpoenas.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	(Court recessed at 12:53 p.m., until 1:53 p.m.)
21	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
22	THE COURT: Sorry, ya'll. The search warrant phone
23	was blowing up.
24	Can we grab him?
25	(Pause in the proceedings)
	Page 39

1 THE MARSHAL: And the finals on the instructions, 2 Judge, are you going to want copies to give them out to --3 THE COURT: Yeah. For everybody. So let me -where was I? We got that yesterday. It was the 2nd. 4 5 does it say that? Oh, I saved it under today. Okay. So we are back on the record in the case of 6 7 Mario Trejo, Case Number C-18-335315-1. We are outside the 8 presence of the jury. Mr. Schwartzer and Ms. Heap are present on behalf of Mr. Trejo. We don't have the interpreter, I just realized. 10 11 Mr. Trejo and Mr. Henry present.

Mr. Henry, would you be able to be -- act as the interpreter for now?

MR. HENRY: Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Sorry about that.

Okay. So we're here to go over jury instructions.

The first instruction is the standard, "Members of the jury, it is now my duty as Judge to instruct you."

Any Opposition to that one?

MR. HENRY: Not from the defense.

THE COURT: Okay. And these -- these are the State's proposed, so I'm assuming that there's not going to be any opposition from the State.

MR. SCHWARTZER: There's one minor change we want to make on the burglary one.

Page 40

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
 1
              THE COURT: Okay. We'll -- we'll do it when we get
    to it. So hold on.
 2
 3
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. But everything else you can
    -- there will be no objection from the State.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. So number -- the second one, and
 6
    we're going to change the order of these and everything when
 7
    we get an opportunity. But so I'm just going to -- right now,
 8
    I'm just going to mark this one as Number 2.
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
              THE COURT: "If in these instructions, any rule,
10
11
    direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways," is
12
    that -- any opposition from the defense on that one?
              MR. HENRY: Sorry, Judge. I was talking to Mr.
13
14
    Trejo.
15
              THE COURT:
                         Number two --
16
              MR. HENRY:
                          Is this --
17
              THE COURT:
                          -- "If in these instructions any rule,
    direction," is there any opposition on that one?
18
19
              MR. HENRY:
                          No.
20
              THE COURT: That will be Number 2.
21
              Number three is, "An Indictment is but a formal
22
    method of accusing a person of a crime," that will be Number
23
    3, unless there's an opposition. It's a standard one, Mr.
24
    Trejo.
25
                          No opposition.
              MR. HENRY:
                                 Page 41
```

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	THE COURT: Okay. And it lists all the charges.
2	Then the next one would be, "To constitute the crime
3	charged there must exist a union or joint operation of an
4	act," that would be Number 4.
5	Mr. Trejo?
6	MR. HENRY: No opposition.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So that will be Number 4.
8	The next one is, "The defendant is presumed innocent
9	until the contrary is proved."
10	Any opposition?
11	MR. HENRY: No, Judge.
12	THE COURT: And that will be Number 5.
13	The next is, "You are here to determine the guilt or
14	innocence of the defendant from the evidence in the case."
15	MR. HENRY: No objection.
16	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to number that Number
17	6.
18	Why did I just not underline it.
19	The next is, "The evidence which you are to consider
20	in this case consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the
21	exhibits, and any facts admitted or agreed to by counsel."
22	That
23	MR. TREJO: No opposition.
24	THE COURT: That's Number 7, then.
25	And Mr. Schwartzer or Ms. Heap, or anybody, just
	Page 42

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
1
    tell me when you want to start reordering them as well.
 2
              MR. SCHWARTZER: This -- the next one, the
 3
    credibility or believability, that's the one I would start
   putting them behind the -- all the crimes.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 6
              MR. SCHWARTZER: The --
 7
              THE COURT: So I'm --
 8
              MR. SCHWARTZER: -- so the next two I would ask to
   put behind the elements of the crimes.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to take those out of
11
    order right now.
12
              MR. SCHWARTZER: And then while we're at that --
13
              THE COURT: Hold on. Let me get --
14
              MR. SCHWARTZER: -- I would like --
15
              THE COURT: -- then to where --
16
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Oh, I'm sorry.
17
              THE COURT: -- you need for them to be.
18
              Let me see, conspiracy. Conspiracy, still.
19
    Conspiracy, still.
                        Two or more persons. Robbery. Personal
20
   property. Violence and intimidation. Day or night, burglary.
21
    Robbery. Intention to -- (indiscernible) commission of a
22
   burglary. That's the weapon, (indiscernible) first degree
23
    kidnapping. Assault with a deadly.
24
              So you want it in front of, the elements of an
25
    attempt, or do you want it after attempt as well?
                                Page 43
```

C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
MR. SCHWARTZER: After attempt, please.
THE COURT: Okay. Then do you want it also after
the whether or not a a a deadly weapon was used? So
you still want it after that one?
MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, please.
THE COURT: Deadly weapon is an okay required
to they're not required to recover the deadly weapon,
burglary.
That says, general intent. Do you want it before or
after the general intent?
MR. SCHWARTZER: Before, please.
THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to put those here
and I won't get I won't read them until then, so. Okay.
MR. SCHWARTZER: Then
THE COURT: Hold on. Let me go back to where we
were.
MR. SCHWARTZER: Sorry. Because with the conspiracy
instructions, I'm going to want to move them back as well, as
well as withdraw a couple.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SCHWARTZER: So
THE COURT: So then we were at Number 7, which was,
"The evidence which you are to consider."
So then the first conspiracy, and where do you want
the conspiracies all moved to?
Page 44

MR. SCHWARTZER: No, it's charged as a firearm or -THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- if it wasn't charged that way, I would ask you to eliminate the instruction as a whole.

THE COURT: Okay. So now, this instruction which is going to be numbered 15, reads, "Every person who commits the crime of burglary who has in his possession or gains possession of any firearm at anytime during the commission of the crime at anytime before leaving the structure or upon leaving the structure is guilty of burglary while in possession of a firearm." And I am going to remove the citations from that one.

The next is, "Every person who wilfully seizes, confines, inveigles, entices, decoys, abducts, conceals, kidnaps or carries away any person by any means whatsoever with the intent to hold or detain, or who holds or detains the person for the purpose of committing robbery upon, or," and I forgot to fix that one, because the "or" then ended the line, and it's -- I'm going to change it so it's all on the same line -- "robbery upon or from the person is guilty of first degree kidnapping."

That's going to be Number 16, unless you have an objection, Mr. Trejo.

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay. That's Number 16.

Next is, "The crime of first degree kidnapping is charged in this case as a specific intent crime. A specific intent, as the term implies, means more than the general intent to commit the act."

So that one has two paragraphs, and it just goes on to talk about knowingly.

Any objection?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: That will be Number 17.

Next is, "Where a person is charged with the crime of first degree kidnapping for the purpose of committing robbery, it is not necessary to establish the robbery was actually committed. The crime of kidnapping is accomplished when the kidnapping was done for the purpose of committing a robbery."

MR. SCHWARTZER: We can -- we can delete that one. That one's not really applicable to our case.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to delete that one.

Any objection to deleting that one, Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to delete that one.

"When a defendant is accused of first degree kidnapping with a specific intent to commit an unlawful act

and is also accused of the unlawful act itself, the defendant may not be convicted of the kidnapping if the movement and/or confinement of the victim was merely incidental to the unlawful act." Then it goes on to give further definitions.

Any objection to that one?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: That would be Number 18, then.

Next, "A person unlawfully attempts to use physical force against a person of another" -- "against the person of another" I'm going to change that to --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Against the --

THE COURT: "The person of another" instead of "against a person of another".

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

THE COURT: "A person unlawfully attempts to use physical force against the person of another or intentionally places another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm by -- by or through the use of a deadly weapon is guilty of assault with a deadly weapon."

That would be Number 18, unless you have an objection, Mr. --

MR. SCHWARTZER: I have it as 19, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. You're right, 19. Number

19. Unless you have an objection, Mr. Trejo.

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: So that's going to be Number 19. And I will take out the citation on that one.

"The elements of an attempt to commit a crime are, number one," and then it goes through all of those. That would be Number 20, unless you have an objection, Mr. Trejo.

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: Number 20.

The next is, "You are instructed that if you find the defendant guilty of burglary, robbery, attempt robbery, kidnapping, or assault on a protected person you must also determine whether or not a deadly weapon was used in the commission of this crime."

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, I --

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- I think we can remove burglary, because we already have the primary instruction regarding -- specific for burglary with possession of a firearm.

THE COURT: Okay. So now it will read, "You are instructed that if you find the defendant guilty of robbery, attempt robbery, kidnapping," and I'm going to put a comma after kidnapping. I hate when people don't use Oxford comma. "Or assault on a protected person, you must also determine whether or not a deadly weapon was used in the commission of this crime. If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that a deadly weapon was used in the commission of such an offense

court at trial to establish that a deadly weapon was used in

25

other persons alleged or proven to have been members of a conspiracy is not in itself sufficient to prove that such a person was a member of the alleged conspiracy. However, you are instructed that presence, companionship, and conduct before, during, and after" -- and I am adding a common to -- after during -- "and after the offense are circumstances from which one's participation in the criminal intent may be inferred."

Do you want to leave that one, or -- or the State's asking to remove it?

MR. TREJO: I ask that it stands.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Sounds good.

THE COURT: Okay. So then that will be Number 27.

And I will also remove the citation from it.

Next is, "Where two or more persons are accused of committing a crime together their guilt may be established without proof that each personally did every act and -- act constituting the offense charged." And then three more paragraphs.

Any objection to that one, Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: That will be 28. And I will remove the citation.

The next is, "The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, his

relationship to the parties, his fears, motives, interests or feelings, his opportunity to have observed the matter to which he testified, the reasonableness of his statements, and the strength or weakness of his recollections. If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his testimony which was not proved by other evidence."

Any objection, Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: That's going to be Number 29.

Next is, "A witness who has special knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education in any particular science, profession or occupation," and I'm adding a comma, because I hate non-use of the Oxford comma, "or occupation as an expert witness, an expert witness may give his opinion as to any matter in which he is skilled." And then there is another paragraph.

Any objection?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: That's going to be Number 30.

Next is, "Burglary, first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, and attempt robbery with use of a deadly weapon, are all specific intent crimes. Defendant cannot be liable under conspiracy

and/or aiding and abetting theory for those crimes for acts committed by a co-conspirator unless defendant also has a requisite specific intent. Robbery is a general intent crime. As such, defendant may be liable under conspiracy theory for robbery for acts committed by a co-conspirator which are one of the probably and natural consequences of the object of the conspiracy."

Any objection, Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: That's going to be Number 31.

"Specific intent is the intent to accomplish the precise act which the law prohibits. General intent is the intent to do that which the law prohibits. It is not necessary for the prosecution to prove that the defendant intended the precise harm or the precise results which eventuated if a crime is a general intent crime."

Any objection?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: That's going to be Number 32. And I'm going to remove the citation.

Next is, "Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women." And then it goes on.

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	MR. SCHWARTZER: It's just our stock?
2	MS. HEAP: Yeah.
3	MR. SCHWARTZER: I guess we got it from our stock.
4	But however you want
5	THE COURT: I think it usually said number, one of
6	your number.
7	MR. SCHWARTZER: I mean, I don't think it affects
8	either way. So if you want to put number, that's fine, Your
9	Honor.
10	THE COURT: Does anyone care? I mean, it just seems
11	it just seems awkward to say one of your member.
12	MS. HEAP: One of your members?
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: It should be maybe members.
14	THE COURT: Yeah. But I thought it was just one of
15	your number. I thought that that was the way the stock
16	usually read.
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: Whatever you
18	THE COURT: I'm going to change it to number.
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
20	THE COURT: Just because I'm a weirdo.
21	Okay. So any objection to that, Mr. Trejo?
22	MR. TREJO: No objection.
23	THE COURT: That's going to be Number 36.
24	Next, "If during your deliberation you should desire
25	to be further informed on any point of law or hear again

portions of the testimony you must reduce your request to writing, signed by the foreperson, and the officer will then return you to court where the information sought will be given to you in the presence of, and after notice to the District Attorney and the Defendant."

Can I just -- and just put in -- can I take out "and his or her counsel," and I just leave it at "and the defendant?"

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes. Thank you for that catch.

THE COURT: Okay. "Playbacks of testimony are time consuming and are not encouraged unless you deem it a necessity."

And I took out the one about readbacks because we have a playback courtroom.

Any objection to that one, Mr. Trejo?

MR. TREJO: No objection.

THE COURT: So that's going to be Number 37.

Number 38, would be, "Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law. But whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it, and remember it to be, and by the law as given to you in these instructions with the sole fixed, and

steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the defendant and the State of Nevada."

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, should we do -- change it based on this situation to "arguments of the parties who will endeavor" and then on line 4, "whatever parties may say" since there --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- is no counsel?

THE COURT: Yeah. "Arguments of the parties." Yep.

MR. TREJO: No objection.

Okay. So now this one reads, "Now you will listen to the arguments of the parties who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law. But whatever the parties may say you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law as given to you in these instructions, with the sole fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the defendant and the State of Nevada."

And you said no objection already, Mr. Trejo? Is that correct?

MR. TREJO: Yes.

THE COURT: That is going to be Number 38.

And Mr. Trejo, have you had an opportunity to review

asked a specific question and that was not an answer to that question. So I'm going to sustain that objection and strike that.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Again, the question, in case you forgot it, Mr. Trejo, was you were not suffering from any memory loss on September 3rd of 2018; isn't that correct?
 - A Not according to the statements or records, Mike.
- Q So there was no reason for you to tell Sergeant

 Detective Patton about any crimes that you --
- MR. SCHWARTZER: Well, let me scratch that. That's a bad question.

14 BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

- Q There's no reason to believe you were lying to Sergeant Detective Patton; isn't that correct?
- Again, Mr. Trejo, I'm just asking for yes or no answers. Again, you will have a chance to do a redirect where you can talk about whatever you want to talk about as long as it's within the bounds of evidence.
- A I can only guess to that, Mike. People generally lie for lots of reasons.
- Q Okay. So you were lying to the Detective -
 Sergeant Detective Patton? Is that -- is that what you're

 stating right now?

A I'm saying I'd be guessing. People would lie for lots of reasons. I can only answer your question based off what this statement says.

- Q Okay. So including you, you would lie for reasons, then? Because I'm asking about you.
- A Be specific. What -- what would I lie about, and in what context are you asking?
- Q Sure. In the context of talking to a detective after you committed a robbery, could you be lying to the detective?
- That's a lot of typing for a yes or no answer.
 - A So you're asking to speculate? I'll humor you. If I could have been lying to the detective, then I could have lied about more than the crime committed. I could have lied about a lot of other issues.
 - I'd be glad to answer yes or no if you could phrase your question as such, Mike.
 - Q Okay. So you admit you would lie to a detective in the circumstance I just stated?
 - That's a yes or no answer.
 - A I don't know if I would lie to a detective. It depends on the factors. Also factored in would be the fact that I was medicated according to records, in pain, and highly stressed, if I'm allowed to speculate on that specific situation.

- Q Let's move on. Showing you Exhibit 36. Do you recognize that car? Just yes or no?
- A I've seen many like -- like it but according to reports this is my friend's Matt's car.
- Q Okay. You don't want to give me any straight answers, do you, Mr. Trejo?
- A It's been 45 months since the incident. Of course, logic says I know who it belongs to and which car it is. Do I remember the car? No.
 - Q Showing you 133. Is that your phone? Yes or no?
- A That's my daughter on the screen saver. Yes. It's one of the phones I had a few years back.
 - Q So the phone that you heard testimony was impounded and downloaded is, in fact, your phone. Isn't that correct?
 - A I'd be inclined to agree.
- Q Okay. Showing you Exhibit 95. Is that a knife you owned?
- A I believe so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

- 19 Q Showing Exhibit 134. Is that a firearm you owned?
 - A December 3rd, is not the only time you talked to detectives. You also talked to Detective Jeff Clark on September 6th, is that -- of 2018; isn't that correct?
- 23 A I believe so.
 - Q All right. I mean, you heard your statement two weeks ago. Was that your voice when we played the statement

Okay. Specifically, that you made a -- that he made

a turn into Walmart and then you proceeded to go home. Isn't that correct?

- A I don't recall the specific language, but it sounds correct.
- Q Okay. You also said that your co-conspirator was -you gave Your co-conspirator the Kel-Tec to use in this
 robbery; isn't that correct?
 - A If I recall the statement accurately, then yes.
- Q All right. Additionally, you also admit to wearing a motorcycle helmet that -- on August 4th as well?
 - A If I recall the statement accurately, then yes.
- Q Okay. So fair to say you were able to provide a lot of details about the August 4th, 2018 robbery on September 6th of 2018?
 - A According to what I've read, yes.
- Q And you weren't suffering from memory loss on September 6th of 2018; isn't that correct?
- A Like I said before, that happened two months later as you were informed.
- Q Okay. So you had your full memory when you committed these -- the attempt robbery on August 4th of 2018, and the robbery with use as the kidnapping on September 3rd of 2018?
- MR. SCHWARTZER: And, Your Honor, for the -- while he's typing his response, I will publish Exhibit 143.

saw in the pawn shop video is the person that we're seeing here at 1:38 in Exhibit 143; isn't that correct?

A Yes.

Q Fast forwarding to 8:38. Oh, that's 7:29. I can do it right here, too.

Do you recognize the person on the screen?

- A I've seen this video last week and based off that, yes.
 - O Who is that?
 - A That person is myself.
- Q Okay. So the person who was in the pawn shop on September 3rd, 2018, that we showed you video in the very beginning of my cross-examination is, in fact, yourself?
 - A I couldn't deny that.
- Q So all the actions that man in the motorcycle helmet, that this jury has seen for the last two weeks, plus, that was you doing those actions?
 - A Unfortunately, that's what the evidence points to.
- Q All the testimony we heard from all these employees that we dragged in here to testify, when they were talking about a motorcycle helmet person, that was you; isn't that correct?
- A The testimony can be tainted like I've said. And according to the evidence, and like I've already answered, yes.

Q Okay. So you're the one guilty of all the crimes that we can see in that video? The video from the pawn shop, and the video from the Air Unit?

MR. SCHWARTZER: And, Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.

I'm -- I have no more questions for Mr. Trejo.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Trejo, you can go ahead and redirect.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, we need a restroom.

THE COURT: Oh, sure. Absolutely.

Ladies and gentlemen, during the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, of or any -- of any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We will take five minutes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's 3:10, so 3:15.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Schwartzer. I was not looking up.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Oh, I've got a --

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
 1
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Doesn't he have to stay on the
 2
    stand? Until the jury's --
 3
              THE COURT: No. He's going to need to come to bench
 4
    so.
 5
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Oh, that's right, Your Honor.
 6
                           (Bench conference)
 7
              THE COURT: Oh, we've got multiple pages.
 8
              Bill, on the second page, can you ask him to put his
    name and badge number on that one, as well?
 9
              The first one is, "Were you coerced into this
10
    situation?"
11
12
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Whatever.
13
              THE COURT: You said whatever?
14
              MR. SCHWARTZER: I mean, he says he doesn't
15
    remember. But, sure.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Number 2, "How is your safety in
    jeopardy if you name an accomplice you cannot remember?"
17
18
              MR. HENRY:
                         (Indiscernible).
19
              MR. SCHWARTZER: All right.
20
              MS. HEAP: I mean, was -- was that --
21
              THE COURT: Number 2.
22
              MS. HEAP: -- answer stricken?
23
              THE COURT: "Do you believe evidence was altered or
24
    falsified?"
25
              Mr. Schwartzer?
```

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022
 1
              THE COURT:
                          We'd be real tight.
 2
              MR. HENRY: What about if we pay for dinner?
 3
              THE COURT: I can't hear you?
              MR. HENRY: I said, what about if we pay for dinner?
 4
 5
    (Indiscernible).
 6
              MS. HEAP: Is your closing long?
 7
              MR. TREJO: It shouldn't be.
 8
              MR. HENRY: His is not long. His is like ten
   minutes.
10
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Ten minutes? And yours is what?
              MS. HEAP: I could do it in a half an hour.
11
12
              MR. SCHWARTZER: So ten minutes, like 4:00, 4:30,
13
    4:40 --
14
              THE COURT: Okay. We'll try.
15
              MR. HENRY:
                          Let's try.
16
              MR. SCHWARTZER: We can try.
              THE COURT: Okay.
17
                       (End of bench conference)
18
19
              THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to
20
    now read you the instructions on the law that apply to this
21
    case. And where did I have them saved.
22
              Instructions to the jury. Oh, we need to -- oh, we
   need to hand them out.
23
24
              So you're all going to have a copy of these.
25
    can follow along.
```

Okay. Instructions to the jury.

(COURT READS JURY INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we've reached the part of the trial where the closing arguments are given and the State has the burden of proof so they're allowed to both open and close the closing arguments.

So, Ms. Heap?

MS. HEAP: Thank you, Your Honor.

STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

MS. HEAP: Ladies and gentlemen, every person has the right to feel safe at work, right? Whether we're talking about retail workers at a place like SuperPawn, or uniformed patrol officers. Everyone deserves to feel safe at work.

But on September 3rd, and August 4th of 2018, you've heard from all the victims in this case, that they didn't feel safe at work on those two days. And they didn't feel safe there because of the defendant's actions, right? His choices, the crimes that he committed on those two days.

Not only did they not feel safe at work on those two days, but you saw them on the stand. You saw victim after victim come up here and tell you how it still affects them.

You heard from Adriane. She had to leave that line of work. She now works in another field because she feels so lucky that she survived the defendant's actions that now she wants to help people in the medical field.

You heard that people have moved away. You heard and you saw Juliana on the stand. Remember when Jennifer testified? She told you that her main goal that day on August 4th was to get Juliana and Ivan out of there, right? She needed to get -- get them to safety because they were teenagers.

In 2018, Juliana was a teenager. She told you that after that event, she couldn't walk to her car without her dad or her sister watching her out the window to make sure she got there safely. She told you she didn't feel safe in her own home in her shower.

She didn't feel safe in her bed in her own home, because she didn't know when the defendant was going to come back. She was afraid of him. She told you four years later, now, she suffers from PTSD, as does Adriane.

This is a continuation here. His actions, not only affected them for years ago, making them feel unsafe at work, but it continues to affect them today.

Now, at the beginning of this trial Mr. Schwartzer told you that the State has to do two things, right? We have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that crimes were committed back in 2018, and that the defendant, Mr. Trejo, is the one who committed those crimes.

Well, at this point, there's no doubt crimes were committed, right? On August 4th of 2018, the defendant

committed conspiracy to commit robbery, and attempt robbery with a deadly weapon.

The evidence also shows that on September 3rd, the defendant committed burglary while in possession of a firearm, and robbery with a deadly weapon, first degree kidnapping with a deadly weapon, and assault on a protected person.

Additionally, we have no doubt at this point that the defendant was involved. We have cell phone records where he planned the robbery on September 2nd, for the robbery on September 3rd.

We have -- we had testimony from his friend who lent him his car. He said, yeah, that's my car and I lent it to the defendant, to Mr. Trejo, that day.

We had multiple witnesses and victims come up here and ID the defendant. Yes. That person right there at the table was the one who pointed the firearm at me. That was the person who held the gun to my head.

We have video from the SuperPawn, right? Twelve different angles of video that we can watch him commit these crimes. We have video from the four officers. We have four different body cam videos that show the defendant committing some of these crimes.

We have the Air Unit video. We have the defendant actually being caught at the scene on September 3rd. We have his DNA on all of the firearms and magazines.

He confessed, not once, but twice. You actually heard his September 6th confession to Detective Clark, and today you heard testimony regarding his September 3rd confession to Sergeant, previously, Detective Patton.

We have evidence that he wrote an apology note and you'll have that with you when you go back there. And let's not forget the admissions he made today while on the stand. He couldn't deny that that was him who took off the motorcycle helmet, and that the same person was the one in the SuperPawn.

So we have all of those evidence. And all of that evidence shows defendant committed all of the crimes he is charged with.

Now, before we get into each charge, all of the crimes have specific legal meanings, right? Sometimes when we talk about different crimes, when we talk about them in our daily life, it's a little bit different than the actual legal meaning.

But before we get there, I want to point out a few jury instructions. Jury Instruction 33 says, you should use your common sense. Right? It doesn't say you can use your common sense, it says you must use your common sense. And you can make reasonable inferences from the evidence.

Now, let's look at September 3rd. He's charged with the first 11 counts. Those all -- the first 11 counts apply strictly to September 3rd, 2018.

One thing that you'll notice about all of those charges is there is a deadly weapon component or a firearm component. And the Court tells you in those instructions, Jury Instruction 22, that a firearm is a deadly weapon.

And as you see here, we have the three firearms recovered in this case.

Now, burglary while in possession of a firearm.

Oftentimes, when someone talks about someone breaking into their home, right, they say, I was robbed. And that's followed up with, yeah, I was robbed. Somebody broke into my home and took my belongings. People think of that as a robbery.

Well, technically, in the eyes of the law, that's a burglary, not a robbery. So burglary is when someone enters a building with the intent to commit some type of crime inside. And in this case, that crime that we're talking about is a robbery. And in order to be guilty of this charge they have to have a firearm with them, or gain possession.

So in this case, we know the defendant entered the SuperPawn, right? We've seen him on video several times over the last two weeks. But how do we know he intended to commit the robbery?

Well, let's look at his cell phone records. You're going to have all of his cell phone records back with you. So I'm going to just point out a couple of conversations. If you

look at the conversation with the phone number that ends in 5067, which occurred on September 2nd, so the day before the robbery, that conversation -- in that conversation the defendant talks about knowing the time of shift changes.

He asks that person to drive him there, because his truck is too recognizable. He tells the person, I'll protect you no matter what. And then he also says, Please help me out, brosky, one last time.

Well, the person appears to stop responding to him and he says, ah, I guess I'm going solo, and wish me luck.

Now, this conversation is important because it is confession to the August crimes, his confession to Detective Clark, he tells Detective -- Detective Clark that, yeah, I had a friend with me, and I actually guilted that friend into going along with this. I guilted that friend into committing that robbery with me. And this shows an example of what appears to be him guilting a friend.

Another text string I'd like to point out is one that ends in -- with phone number that ends in 0733, which also occurred the day before the September robbery.

In that text string, it appears he's talking to a female. He tries to get her to go do the robbery with him. He says, yeah, we'll get 20 racks each.

You heard testimony from the Detectives that a rack is a -- a form of money, right? He -- I believe he testified

that it was a thousand dollars. That's a common street term for what a rack is.

He tells this person, don't worry, I've got all the gear. He actually says that he's hitting a lick. And we know from testimony that a lick is a robbery.

He also talks about his chances of going to prison. They talk about covering tattoos. And then interestingly, at the end of the conversation, he even appears to ask this person out on a date. He's going to hit him back for -- hit her back for a date after he commits this robbery.

What else shows this as intent to commit robbery?
Well, his actions right before the robbery, right? He walks
in there with a gun and he demands property right away.

And how do we know this? Jonathan, if you recall, testified that he hit his panic button prior to the defendant even entering the store. And you can see Jonathan down here on the bottom right-hand corner of this still shot and this is from the surveillance video, at 13:08:03.

Jonathan is down there pressing his panic button and you can see what he sees. You can see the defendant. You can see him dressed in the helmet and you can see the gun in his hand before he walks in to that store.

And then what does he do when he walks into the store? But he instantly starts demanding that people move around, that they give him property with, again, that gun

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 12 | 5-03-2022

pointed at them.

So we know he entered the store and he had a firearm and he intended to commit robbery. And not only did he have one firearm, right? He had two firearms. He had both of those firearms when he entered that store.

The evidence proves beyond a reasonable doubt that he's guilty of count one, burglary while in possession of a firearm.

Now, let's look at Count 2. Robbery. Oftentimes, when we think of robbery, we think of maybe getting mugged, right? A holdup. Somebody comes up to you and says, hey, give me your purse that's on your shoulder. Give me the wallet in your pocket, or the watch on your wrist. That's a type of robbery.

But robbery, again, has a specific legal definition. It's the unlawful taking of personal property from the person of another, so that purse on my shoulder, or the wallet in my pocket. But it could also be in your presence. It doesn't have to be attached to your person. It just has to be against the will, and by force, violence or fear of injury. And that fear of injury can be to the person, whose property is being taken, or it can be to someone that they're with.

So what we're dealing with is personal property, right? And not real property. We're not talk about stealing a house here, we're talking about personal property that's

moveable. And we already know what "on a person" is, right? That purse that's on my shoulder.

But also within a person's -- within the presence of a person. And what's that? What that means is, if the person can reach it, if they can observe it, if they can control it, and if not for the force used by that person, they could retain possession of the property.

So what does that mean? We're standing in here. I have my computer on that desk. If someone comes in here and uses force to take that computer from me, it's not on my person, right? I'm not holding it. But I have control of that. That's my computer, right?

I can control that, and but for the force of someone maybe pointing a gun or whatever force that they use, but for that force, I could retain possession of that property.

Now, robbery -- force has to be used in a specific way. It has to be used to obtain or retain property. It has to be used to prevent or overcome the resistence of the taking of the property or to facilitate escape with the property.

And in this case, Mr. Trejo used that force in all of those ways, right? He obtained property from Adriane. He pointed that gun at her. He demanded the property. He demanded the jewelry and the money.

He also used that force to prevent or overcome the resistence, the taking of the property. You see him on the

video, and you've heard testimony that he forced all of those victims onto the floor, and then he pounded on the counter, and said, I'm still watching you, as he's pointing the firearm at them. That was to prevent them from resisting, to prevent them from overtaking them.

And then he also used force to facilitate his escape, right? He pointed that gun at Adriane and dragged her out of there in order to escape with that property.

Now, in the eyes of the law, the amount of force is irrelevant. It just has to be some force. And the amount of property taken or the value of the property taken doesn't matter, as well.

Another element with robbery in a situation like this is all of these victims, they were at work, right? There was no testimony that it was their personal money stolen. It was all company property, right? The jewelry off the walls, the cash out of the tills.

Well, employees at work can be robbery victims as well. As long as they have a right to control and retain that property, they can be robbery victims. And that's all because they have a possessory interest in that company property.

So counts 2 through 8 are for the seven employees of the SuperPawn that the defendant robbed at gunpoint. Each count is for a specific victim. And you heard from all of those victims. They all told you what happened to them that

day. They all told you the defendant came in there pointing a gun at them, taking the company property.

The only person you didn't hear from was Carla Reck. And you heard testimony that she's actually moved away. But you did hear from the other six employees and they told you how they feared for their -- their lives. They feared that they were going to get shot and they feared that their co-workers were going to get shot.

Now, with Carla Reck, she had a common experience. She was one of those victims that was told to get down on the floor and had a firearm pointed at them to keep them there. She had that common experience with those others.

You heard that her demeanor was the same as other people. She was part of that sob, she was crying. She was upset. She was shocked.

Now, you have a Jury Instruction, Jury Instruction 10, that tells you the State doesn't have to prove actual fear. It is presumed in a situation like this. If there is an event, such as a gun being pointed at you, that would cause a person to fear danger in order to give up property, that's a robbery and that fear is presumed.

So looking at the seven employees of the SuperPawn, the defendant took their -- the jewelry and cash. They all had control of that property and could have retained it, but for the force the defendant used.

And you actually heard Adriane testify that when the defendant was demanding the jewelry, she had to go back into the office and get the key to the jewelry case, because that's not something she usually did.

Her associates, the people the defendant had down on the ground at gunpoint, they had that job; right? It was their job to show jewelry to potential customers. They had that key.

So that shows all of those employees had control of that property and could have retained it, but for the defendant coming in there at gunpoint.

And it was against their will, right? They all testified how they feared for their life. That their life was more important than any items that might be stolen. And that's why they didn't get up and fight back, because he's pointing a gun at them, and they felt as though they may be harmed.

And it was done by force. We talked about the three different types of forces. In this case, all three of those forces are used. He used force to obtain the property from Adriane. He used force to prevent anyone from resisting to him taking the property. And he used force to escape with that property.

The defendant -- or the evidence shows that the defendant is guilty of counts 2 through 8, for each employee,

each of the seven employees at SuperPawn.

Now, first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, this is count 9. And this only applies to Adriane.

You have several instructions that talk about kidnapping, right? It gives you the legal definition of kidnapping, when someone is seized or carried away, with the intent to commit a robbery, that is first degree kidnapping. And I believe these are instructions 16 through 18 in your packet.

So some of the key elements, right? Movement is a key element to kidnapping. If that force was used to escape, we already know that when force is used to escape the property that's a robbery. And when kidnapping is done with intent to commit robbery, that is first degree kidnapping.

So kidnapping is a little bit different than burglary, right? You heard from the Judge that if someone, in this case the defendant, if he's guilty of burglary, like he went in there, he went into the store with the intent to commit robbery, well, you can find him guilty of burglary as well as the underlying robbery conviction.

Kidnapping is a little different. In order to find the defendant guilty of the kidnapping, as well as the robbery, you have to find beyond a reasonable doubt one additional element. And what we're looking at is the movement here. Could the robbery have been completed without the

kidnapping? Does that movement of the victim increase the harm to her?

You have a jury instruction -- and I -- I believe it's 17 or 18, that tells you, in order to find the defendant guilty of a first degree kidnapping, and the robbery, you have to find one of these factors beyond a reasonable doubt.

You don't all have to agree. You just each have to believe that one of these factors has been proven by the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt.

And what I would submit to you, when we go through these, is all of these -- all of these elements have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt. So we could go through, and we will go through and check each and every one.

So we'll look first at element one, right?

Did the movement or the restraint of the victim, was it incidental to the robbery? So what does that mean? Was it just enough to complete the robbery or was it extra? We can take a look at the two different types of movement with Adriane.

Adriane was moved around that store, right? We all saw the video. She was moved from the office, to the jewelry counters, to the tills. How is that different than the movement when she exited the store, or when he forced her out of the -- out of the store?

Well, the movement to the jewelry counter, right,

that's necessary to get that jewelry. That's necessary for the robbery. Once he gets that property, the robbery can be done there, right? The event can be done. But the movement, to exit the store, when he drags her out at gunpoint, that's extra. So that was not incidental to the robbery. It wasn't required for the robbery, it was extra.

So that shows the evidence has proven beyond a reasonable doubt factor one exists.

What about factor 2? Did that movement or restraint substantially increase the risk of harm over and above what was necessary for the robbery?

Well, she's in the store, right? No matter where she is, between the jewelry counter or the tills, she's in the same amount of danger there, right? The defendant still has a gun to her head. But once she leaves that area, or once he forces her out into the parking lot, now she's in the line of fire by several police officers as well.

So that increases the risk of harm to her. And we can actually take a look at this, right? We can see when they exit. Right when they exit, they already have officers pointing firearms in their direction.

Shortly thereafter, just seconds later, we have more officers. Her danger is increased when she has to fight for her life to get that gun away from the defendant. And she tells you that, hey, he's pointing -- he's pointing that gun

at me and then he points it at officers.

2.1

And she's trying to save the officers from the defendant. She's trying to get that gun out of his hand. She does successfully get that gun out of her (sic) hand. And the officers start shooting. Those shots are going right by her.

And, in fact, if you look at Officer Farrington's body camera, you can see how close she comes to getting shot. In this photograph, you can see a puff of smoke in the back of that photograph, or in that still frame, which is presumably a bullet hitting that back wall.

This is three minutes and 51 seconds into that body cam. At 3:52, he has to lift his firearm to avoid shooting her. And less -- not even a second later, still in the same frame, 3:52, he returns his gun to point it back at the defendant.

Now, factor 3, was any incidental movement or restraint, did it exceed what was required to complete the robbery, right? Could he have left without taking Adriane? Of course, the answer is yes. He could have put himself in harm's way. But instead, he chose to use Adriane as a human shield.

Factor 4, was she physically restrained, and was that -- did that restraint increase her risk of harm?

Well, Mr. Trejo here, he put a gun to Adriane's head and he physically grabbed her. That is physically restraining

her, as he pulls her out and across that parking lot. That increased, as we've seen in these still photographs, that increased her risk of harm.

1.5

There he is. There he is, holding onto her with one hand, pointing that firearm at her head with the other. And not only is he pointing that firearm at her, he's pointing it in the direction of armed officers, as well, putting her in harm.

And finally, factor 5, did that movement or restraint have an independent purpose or significance?

Yes. Right? He took her out there, because he needed a human shield. He didn't want the officers to shoot him. It's okay with them shooting her, you know? He put her in front of him to prevent himself getting injured. He used her as a human shield.

So all of these factors, the evidence shows that all of these factors have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt. And you can go back there, and you can pick any one of those factors. You only need one, and you don't all have to agree. As long as each and every one of you finds one of those factors, you can find the defendant guilty of both the robbery with a deadly weapon for Adriane, as well as the first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, which is count 9.

Now, the final two counts for the September 3rd robbery, both of those counts are assault on a protected

person with a deadly weapon. I think sometimes when we hear the term assault and battery, we think of that as one crime, right? But there are actually two separate crimes.

The assault is the fear of injury, and the battery, or the actual connection of physical force, is the battery. So assault is legally defined as an unlawful attempt to use physical force upon the person of another, or intentionally placing another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm.

So if I walk over to Mr. Schwartzer, and he's looking at me, and I have drawn my fist back and I go to punch him but I missed, that's a failed battery, right? I attempted to use physical force upon his person.

Or if I point a firearm at someone, and fire and miss, that's an attempt to use physical force. Now, we can also have an assault by intentionally placing a person in reasonable apprehension of harm.

When I draw my fist back, or I point that firearm at someone, that would put a person in reasonable apprehension of being harmed.

And in this case, the defendant commits the assault both ways, right? He points that firearm at officers. And Adriane told you, as she's struggling, as he's trying to get it -- to get that firearm up before she takes it, he's continually pulling that trigger, because he's trying to fire

at those officers.

2.1

He's trying to commit a battery. He's trying to use that force against them. But he can't. And then when he can't do that, he tries with his other firearm, right?

And for this crime, we have to show that the person is a protected person.

You have in your instructions the full definition of a protected person. But we know that a police officer, who's on duty, and doing their job, is a protected person. And the offender knew or should have known that the victim was an officer.

So the question is, did Mr. Trejo know that they were officers? Yes. The defendant knew that these two were officers. They both told you how they had a badge on their chest. They had badges, patches on their arms. They were wearing their full Metro uniform. They were giving commands. He knew or should have known they were officers.

And the evidence actually shows he did, in fact, know they were officers, right? There's testimony from all of the victims that he went up to that window. He knew the officers were there. He went up there and he looked out.

Officer Carrigy told you, yeah, he knew I was there. He saw me. Or he believed that the defendant saw him. And the evidence actually shows he did see him. Because what did he do? He drug Adriane out at gunpoint because he knew

officers were out there.

And then did he place them in reasonable apprehension of harm, or did he fail a battery? Well, yeah, Adriane told you he tried to pull that trigger, right? He tried to pull that trigger over and over to shoot at them. We can't hear that on the video, but she told you that.

But what we can see on the video is after she successfully got that Glock firearm aware from him he -- immediately he reached for that Kel-Tec that was strapped on his back and he brought it up.

The officers told you how when they saw that motion, that firearm coming up. And we can actually see him do that in this video. He pointed that firearm directly at those officers placing them in fear.

So the defendant -- the evidence shows the defendant is guilty of Count 10, and Count 11, one for each officer,
Officer Carrigy and Officer Graham.

Now, we'll move on to August 4th. Counts 12 and 13 apply to the August 4th attempted robbery, because of his actions on those two days. He started with conspiracy to commit robbery, and Count 13, attempt robbery with a deadly weapon.

Conspiracy to commit robbery. You have several instructions in there that talk about a conspiracy. But the gist of a conspiracy is the agreement to commit a crime. In

this case, of course, we're talking about robbery. Once that agreement happens, this crime is over.

So what do we know about 8/4? We know the defendant and his friend went to the SuperPawn to try and rob it, right? They both have guns. And Juliana told you, she saw two people there. One of those people was charging at them with a firearm.

And then, of course, he admits it all, right? He tells Detective Clark, in that August 6th interview, why he went there. He says, yeah, I went there to rob the place. He admitted that he guilted his friend into committing -- or trying to commit that robbery. Of course, we know, it's an attempted robbery, because he wasn't successful. But he tells you he did that.

He also admits what type of firearms they had. You have this confession. You can play it if you want. But in that confession, Detective Clark says, oh, you know, which firearm were you carrying, and he mentioned the firearm. And the defendant said, no, I was carrying the AK. I was carrying the other firearm that was recovered, that I had with me at the September robbery.

And then he says, my friend, my friend had that Kel-Tec, that Kel-Tec that was strapped on his back during the September robbery, that's the gun that he gave to his friend, that he guilted into doing this.

And he also admits that they were there in his friend's car, and that this friend's car is a black Acura. Just like all the witnesses said, there was that suspicious black Acura parked there, before the defendant charged at them. And it was that black Acura that followed them after the attempted robbery.

So the defendant is guilty, the evidence proved that he's guilty of Count 12, conspiracy to commit robbery.

And finally, we have attempt robbery. And we already talked about what a robbery with a deadly weapon is. And an attempt robbery is just that, right? He tried to rob them at gunpoint, but he failed.

Besides his confession, there's other evidence that shows he's guilty of this crime, right? The testimony was that he laid in wait, until Jennifer opened that store. She told you that she got to that -- she got to the SuperPawn that morning and she saw that car, that suspicious black Acura.

She actually drove around the parking lot trying to look into that vehicle because it was suspicious to her. She was already heightened. She was paying attention to that black Acura as she opened the store.

The testimony was that he charged at Jennifer,

Juliana, and Ivan, with a motorcycle helmet, and gun in hand.

Now, he fails at this, because Jennifer screams, right? As soon as she sees him, she stands up and screams

multiple times to get the other two -- the other two teenagers to register what was happening. She screams, we're being robbed, we're being robbed, run. And they all run to Juliana's Jeep to make their escape.

That's what prevented the defendant from committing that full robbery on August 4th, 2018.

You also hear evidence and testimony regarding what happened after they fled in Juliana's Jeep, right? All three of those victims testified that they believed they were being followed. When Juliana changed lanes, the black Acura changed lanes. When Juliana made the U-turn, the black Acura made the U-turn.

Defendant asked a few questions. Well, isn't it possible that it was just some big giant coincidence and some other random black Acura that was behind them at that time making the exact same movements as them? Well, that defies common sense, right?

Those witnesses testified that when they left the store that black Acura was right there following what they were doing.

However, quite frankly, it doesn't matter, whether or not defendant was following them that day, because his crimes had already been completed. The conspiracy to commit the robbery was already completed. The attempt robbery with a deadly weapon was already completed.

Now, all of those victims felt chased that day. That was part of the fear that they lived through. That was part of their experience. But it doesn't go to any elements of the crimes that the defendant committed. He had already committed his crimes at the time they were chased by that black Acura.

The evidence proves -- all of that evidence proves that defendant is guilty of Counts 11 -- or sorry -- Counts 12 and Count 13.

And at this time, probably by the end of today, we're going to ask you to find the defendant guilty as charged. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Trejo?

2.1

Do you need a restroom break?

Okay. Thank you. Because, I did, too.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, during the recess you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected to this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, of any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

It is 4:41. We'll be back in five minutes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

2.1

(In the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: Will the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. SCHWARTZER: The State does, Your Honor.

MR. TREJO: The defense does.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You guys might as well not have a seat. I just wanted to bring you back in. We had some issues that happened on the break and because it's so close to 5:00, we're just going to have to finish this tomorrow.

So if you guys can come back tomorrow at 11:00. I have my criminal calendar, so it will be 11:00 o'clock.

So during the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected to this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, or any -- of any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

So we will see you tomorrow morning at 11:00. Thank you so much.

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 12 5-03-2022
1	THE COURT: Anything outside the presence?
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: Not from the State, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. TREJO: Nothing from the defense, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
6	I'll see you guys all tomorrow. Sorry about that.
7	(Court recessed for the day at 4:56 p.m.)
8	* * * *

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case.

Julie Hond

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO,

Defendant.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * *

DEPT NO. C-18-335315-1

DEPT NO. XXIV

DEPT NO. XXIV

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIKA BALLOU, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, MAY 4, 2022

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING: JURY TRIAL - DAY 13

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: HILARY L. HEAP, ESQ.

MICHAEL J. SCHWARTZER, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO,

Pro Se

ALEXANDER C. HENRY, ESQ.

Standby Counsel

ALSO PRESENT:

Marie Bacquerie Spanish Interpreter

RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 13 5-04-2022
1	<u>INDEX</u>
2	
3	DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. TREJO 5
4	STATE'S REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. SCHWARTZER 14
5	VERDICT
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L 8	
L9 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 13 5-04-2022					
1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, MAY 4, 2022					
2	(Case called at 11:12 a.m.)					
3	(Outside the presence of the jury.)					
4	THE COURT: C-18-335315-1. Mr. Trejo, Mr. Henry,					
5	and the Spanish Interpreter are present on behalf of Mr.					
6	Trejo. Ms. Heap and Mr. Schwartzer are present on behalf of					
7	the State.					
8	Anything outside the presence?					
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: Not from the State, Your Honor.					
10	THE COURT: Okay.					
11	Mr. Trejo?					
12	MR. TREJO: Not from the defense.					
13	THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to go ahead and					
14	bring in the jury. I lost Bill. I don't know where Bill is.					
15	(Pause in the proceedings)					
16	THE COURT: And we're ready as soon as you get the					
17	jury.					
18	THE MARSHAL: Okay.					
19	(In the presence of the jury)					
20	THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence					
21	of the jury?					
22	MS. HEAP: The State does.					
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes.					
24	MR. TREJO: The defense does.					
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.					
	Page 3					

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 13 | 5-04-2022 Please proceed, Mr. Trejo. 1 2 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, the interpreter needs a minute to read this. 3 4 THE COURT: Okav. 5 (Pause in the proceedings - Interpreter reviewing 6 defendant's notes.) 7 THE COURT: Okay. Madam Interpreter, should we give 8 the jury a break? THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Ma'am. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So ladies and gentlemen of the 11 jury, during the recess, you're admonished not to talk or 12 converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject 13 connected to this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any 14 report of, or commentary on the trial, of any person connected 15 with this trial, by any medium of information, including 16 without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet and 17 radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected 18 with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. 19 It is 11:25. Let's come back in 15 minutes, so that 20 will be 11:40, right? Yeah. 11:40. 21 (Court recessed at 11:25 a.m., to 11:39 a.m.) 22 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 23 THE COURT: Are we ready to go? Madam Interpreter? MR. SCHWARTZER: The State is. 24 25 THE INTERPRETER: Yes. Yes, Ma'am. Page 4

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 13 | 5-04-2022 THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 (In the presence of the jury) 3 THE COURT: Will the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury? 4 5 MR. TREJO: I do, Your Honor. 6 MR. SCHWARTZER: The State does. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Please proceed, Mr. Trejo. 8 Okay. DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT 9 10 MR. TREJO: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury. 11 you for being here. 12 So we have finally made it to the final stage of 13 this long and arduous trial. Unfortunately, I don't have a 14 nice PowerPoint to present, nor an impressive law degree. 15 don't have a voice to address you with. I can't even wear a 16 nice tie. So I'll keep it as simple as humanly possible. 17 First, I have to say that I am humbled by your 18 patience and undivided attention. These last three weeks have 19 been difficult stating my case with all my deficiencies. 20 I imagine it has taken a lot to hear -- to bear with me. 21 Thank you all. 22 Yesterday, Chief Deputy District Attorney Heap, gave 23 her closing, and asked that you all find me guilty of these 13 24 charges held against me, today. She was very eloquent when 25 stating her narrative, but I noticed she did avoid a few

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 13 | 5-04-2022

factor -- I'd like -- a few factors I'd like to bring up as to my closing.

I do not know exactly what evidence you will be able to review. But I ask that you take some details down to compare both narratives to the evidence presented. The factors in questions are as follows.

Let's begin with Detective Barry Jones. Let's begin with Detective Barry Jones's testimony and findings involving texts. Let me point out that these forensic analysis weren't done until September of 2021, three years after the incident.

This delay prevented some crucial information from coming to light in a timely manner and hence tainting the State's current narrative. In the conversation with a female, there is a mention of, quote, "plan being set," end quote, and about a, quote, "inside person." I believe Ms. Heap forgot to mention this. She did mention something about a, quote, "date," after reviewing the text. I was dumbfounded. And the only thing that made sense was a typo. Perhaps the texts were meant to say, update, quote. I can't be sure.

The mention of the internal accomplice is relevant for two reasons. First, if the detectives had done this digital analysis in time, there could have been a thorough investigation into this accomplice.

Second, is that there being an accomplice on the scene on September 3rd, 2018. The accomplice was isolated

with the other witnesses and given ample opportunity to taint or pollute the other witnesses' memory in order to better protect themselves.

Next, let's bring up Officer Keenan Graham's testimony. He explains that the officer is generally separated and isolated with a monitor to avoid polluting their memory after high stress situations, and preserve their testimony.

This is a human factor. Humans tend to behave in a similar manner. Therefore, witnesses, even those not in uniform, should be held to the same standard being that they are too -- they too are human. Even if a witness is not under investigation, their testimony can be tainted.

Let's take a moment and assume the witnesses were completely honest and unbiased in their testimony. Then allow me to present you all with facts you can verify through playbacks during your deliberation.

Both Adriane Serrano-Bojorquez and Juliana Saldana admitted to claiming in their statements that the suspect sounded like a, quote, "gypsy". That stands out because it's not a common description and gypsies don't come from a single place. In fact, they're nomadic tribes from around the --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection. Facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: I mean, it's just argument. I'm going to allow it.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. TREJO: They're nomadic tribes from around the world, different languages spoken by them all.

Most of the witnesses from the shop claim to have seen, quote, "padding" during their cross-examinations. The word padding is prevalent throughout their statements. Many of these people believe the suspect wore Kevlar or body armor but they all describe it as, quote, "padding".

Take unto consideration the fact that Jennifer and Sarah (phonetic) was at AutoZone on September 3rd, 2018. Even though she didn't witness the robbery. She also admits to seeing, quote, "padding". This is another factor that points to the witnesses discussing details prior to the recorded statements.

Mr. Ivan Jaquez, Giovanni Andino, Adriane Serrano, all admit during cross-examinations to not witness specific people hit panic buttons or pendants. Nor did the -- nor did they witness Mrs. Melani Howard duck behind the counter and call 911. But they also admit to stating these facts during their September 3rd statements, meaning, they had to have shared information.

Adriane and Melani both admitted to stating -- stating back in September 2018 that the suspect had a, quote, "Glock, even though Melani claims to have dropped behind the counter instantly, when the suspect first entered. Then she

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 13 | 5-04-2022

stated that they did not share any details while awaiting their recorded interviews.

Melani also claimed she couldn't hear much of the context spoken by the suspects, yet she claims that when Adriane and the suspects were farthest from her at the cash registers, she heard, quote, "I don't want the trace packs" -- "the track packs", end quote. Highly unlikely that she herself heard this.

Jennifer and Sarah, Juliana Saldana, and Ivan

Jaquez, claim to have -- to have been chased on August 4th,

2018, by a black vehicle of generic description. All admitted
the vehicle was plain and non-descript. Yet Ivan claims the
vehicle chased them to Rainbow. Jennifer claims being chased
to Jones Boulevard and Julie claimed to be -- to be chased all
the way to Torrey Pines Avenue.

Additionally, in the confession tapes, the suspect denies chasing the victim. Jennifer and Sarah admits that she testified at a grand jury hearing that, quote, "That's when I had a gunshot" --

THE INTERPRETER: Oh, I'm going to reread it.

MR. TREJO: Quote, "That's when he had a gun -- shotgun and he pumped it," end quote. Yet Juliana denied remembering the shotgun during her testimony.

Jennifer claims that she said -- Jennifer claims that she said that because Julie saw it. I'd like to remind

you all that this testimony was used to charge the defendant with additional charges.

Adriane Serrano claims she saw the suspect's face from brow to bottom of nose, and that she told her manager who I -- who I was. She even pointed me out on Monday. Yet the surveillance video and the helicopter video shows the suspect's face fully covered. The mask recovered on scene fully covers the face except for the eyes and eyelids.

Additionally, in her testimony to the Grand Jury, she claims she didn't know until detectives pulled her aside. This she admits on cross-examination.

Adriane also describes to a T the AK was found in the -- the AK that was -- Adriane also describes to a T the AK that was found in the car during her 2018 statement. This is admitted during her cross-examination.

She also testified that she caused a malfunction. She describes something known as stovepipe malfunction in which a cartridge is fired and the casing is left stuck in the chamber. Yet she admitted that during her 2018 statement she thought there was still a live round in the gun. After stripping the gun of its magazine, the stovepipe issue was not disclosed publically, and could only be -- could only have come from an insider in law enforcement or prosecutors.

Additionally, the alleged, quote, "shotgun" mentioned in the defendant's confession by Detective Clark and

Jennifer and Sarah was never found. Neither were the defendant's second phone.

A lot of details --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection. Facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: Correct. There is nothing related to the second phone so I'm going to have that stricken.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. TREJO: A lot of details in the investigation were neglected through examination. A lot of details were -- a lot of details in the investigation were neglected throughout examination -- oh, thorough -- were neglected thorough examination.

Lastly, let's speak about law enforcement testimony. Both Thomas Carrigy and Maria Fulwiler claimed suspects -- suspect dragged the victim out by the neck. The videos don't support that claim.

Detective Fulwiler claimed she saw the suspect drag the alleged hostage out, yet she -- she didn't clear the -- the wall until the suspect was -- was shot. She also claimed she could see over the wall due to her three-inch boots.

Three-inch is a lot even for male boots.

Also, Detective Leavitt claimed he had officers monitor the witnesses from discussing details or experiences, yet the facts show otherwise. This brings doubt as to the Detective's credibility and should show the bias law

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 13 | 5-04-2022

enforcement is susceptible to.

As a final factor, I'd like to state that one of the witnesses never testified. That would be Carla Reck. The State claims she had to move away. The reason was not explicitly stated. Yet one of the robbery charges in -- is in regards to this witness.

Yesterday, I was asked about coercion. While I'm not sure about the time around September 3rd, 2018, I do know from the time in 2018, that is clear to me, pressure was applied by internal -- by the internal accomplice who set it all in motion.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection. Facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: Yeah. That -- that is absolutely facts not in evidence. And so, I'm going to have that stricken as well.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, I am a man of conviction and principles. I believe in paying our dues when they're owed. I understand there are consequences for every choice. But there are times when the proposed consequence don't fit the -- the choice. There are also situations in which the dues are paid, yet more is wanted.

I wouldn't have brought this to trial if I didn't feel the plea offer was appropriate.

MS. HEAP: Objection, Your Honor.

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 13 | 5-04-2022

MR. SCHWARTZER: Objection.

THE COURT: That's going to be sustained and stricken.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. TREJO: As I stand here, please take a good look at me. I truly believe my debt to our community has been paid, not just with time, but with a much heavier coin. Yet the State will ask for more than that.

The State requests guilty on all charges without holding all the facts. The State requests you deprive me, not just my freedom, but the rest of my life.

I come before you requesting an innocent verdict at least on what you all believe is an unwarranted charge. I do, if you choose to do so, request you find me innocent, not because I don't want to face the consequences, but because I've given all I have to give for my trespasses.

Because seven robbery charges is undue and excessive because the prosecution and detectives failed to get the whole picture, and instead, presented a one-sided narrative. I just ask for a fair consequence.

I humbly ask that you send me -- I do ask that you send me home. I've paid the price. I'm not even the same man I was in 2018, not mentally, nor in my heart. Thank you all. Thank you for all your time, patience and duty.

THE COURT: Mr. Schwartzer?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

STATE'S REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. SCHWARTZER: Ladies and gentlemen, you're probably sick of me speaking. It's been three weeks. I'm going to keep this short, right?

I'm sitting here listening to Mr. Trejo misconstrue the facts. And you can go back in your own notes and look at your facts. And he says, you know, a witness said this, a witness said that. They said this in the Grand Jury, they said this on the stand, they said this in the statement, they said this on the stand.

First off, when you talk to detectives you're not under oath. It's not testimony. Things like hearsay is not applicable. So yes, you might talk about what other people did, or saw, or heard, or whatever. That might happen when you give a statement to detectives.

But when you're up here on the stand, and you swear an oath, then you talk about what actually happened to you. And the one thing that -- listening to this narrative from Mr. Trejo, the one thing I noticed he didn't mention at all, at all, is him getting up here and telling you that was him.

He told you he was the person in the video, after I asked him. He told you all the actions of that person from the video, from the SuperPawn, from the helicopter, that was all him.

And ladies and gentlemen, I would submit to you, on September 3rd, 2018, every crime we've accused him of, you can prove beyond a reasonable doubt from the video alone.

I understand Carla didn't testify. She's moved out of state. But there's nothing that says, you know, you can read those instructions as much as you want. There's nothing that says we have to bring in every victim.

We brought in six people from that day, and they told you what happened. They told you about the fear. They told you about the gun. They told you about the begging.

Juliana couldn't even look at him, except for to call him a name at one point.

Adriane couldn't even look at him. Think about that raw emotion that these people suffer from. Think about Melani Howard, hiding in that cabinet, whispering on the phone, "I'm scared". Think about Jonathan, thinking about his mother, in fear, and how he reacted when that was talked about.

Mr. Trejo says, he's paid his debt to society? He hasn't been found accountable yet. I'm asking you, you ladies and gentlemen, to take that oath, that took that oath to find this man accountable for these crimes, that he admitted to you, he committed.

I can show you all the video I want. I think I have through three weeks of trial. You can go back and watch it all you want. Every crime from September 3rd, 2018 is there.

He admits it's him. But on top of that, we brought in all that testimony, we brought in DNA. We don't even need DNA. We brought in the DNA, right?

All those firearms, his DNA. Oh, I -- I don't know what was going on, you know, I was pressured by this unnamed accomplice that we have no information about. Oh, go through those text message again with that girl. Does that seem like someone who was pressured?

Hey, you want to do a lick? We can get 20 racks a piece. Oh, I guess, I'm going to go solo. We can go for a date afterwards. Does that sound like that someone's been coerced? Or is that someone who thinks that they're going to go make a lot of money robbing a pawn store and putting all these people in danger.

There's no internal -- I would submit to you, there's no evidence of an internal accomplice. What we have is someone with a lot of vibrato try and get a date from this girl. There's no evidence at all of an accomplice. I know for awhile he -- it seemed from his questioning, from Mario's questioning, that Adriane was his accomplice.

You saw Adriane on the stand. She changed the field of the -- of her work. She was a manager there and now she's doing something totally different. You saw the raw emotion.

You saw that experience from that gun. And I know he says that she described that AK. I showed her that --

she's -- she told him that wasn't the gun. But when she saw that Kel-Tec, which by the way, fits that description to a T, she cried. She cried, because of the emotional impact of seeing that gun four years later.

I mean, I can go through all of the stuff he said from September 3rd, like oh, the officers said that he put his arm around the neck that the -- that the defendant their arm around Adriane's neck. I don't need to do that. Just watch the video. He tracks her. He puts a -- he has a gun to her head. I mean, it's clear as day in that video, that he admits, I mean, he admitted to detectives on September 3rd, and he admitted to it on the stand yesterday. It's there.

And one of the things you don't get argument from him about is the elements of the crime. And you know why you don't get any argument? Is because the State has met its burden on every crime on September 3rd of 2018.

Burglary, entering into a building with the purposes and intent to commit -- to commit a robbery. There's no breaking in burglary. You don't have to break the door. You can open the door, which he did. Done.

Robbery with use. You were told in the instructions about -- and I won't go too much into it, because Ms. Heap did a fantastic job, but you already were told what's in presence. And then you were -- you're instructed, an employee, while at work has possessory interest in the property of his or her

employed company such that a taking of a company's property under the above conditions, which describes what a robbery is, from that person of an employee at the time of the robbery constitutes a robbery.

So employees have that possessory interest. We didn't overcharge this. That is the law in the State of Nevada. The law in the State of Nevada says every employee there, that in their presence, this man with multiple firearms and weapons, comes in to you, and invokes that fear, each one of them is a victim.

And that makes sense, because the harm he did isn't just to one person, it's to all those people. And like I said, you already got a slice of that emotional harm.

August 4, 2018, I would submit, we obviously proved that one beyond a reasonable doubt too. Is there a question that there was a firearm there? Because Mr. Trejo, on September 6th, didn't seem to have a question about that. In fact, he corrected the Detective and said it was an AK he was using, not some shotgun.

Julie got it wrong when she said shotgun. That's fine. I mean, she was running from a person with a motorcycle helmet that had an AK on him. But he admits, the defendant admits to committing that crime and having a firearm. And that is backed up from the testimony of Ivan, from Julie, and from Jennifer.

And there's something else I kind of want to talk about with that. So, Mr. Trejo doesn't remember anything from August and September of 2018, because of whatever. Except for, you remember, he said there was an accomplice that he won't name and doesn't -- I will submit to you doesn't exist.

But someone -- a juror asked a question to him that I thought was interesting. He doesn't remember anything about this -- about this -- except for this accomplice from September 3rd. The juror asked a question that I thought was interesting, and his response.

Who was your accomplice in August 4th of 2018? He didn't say -- remember, he didn't say, I didn't remember.

Right? He didn't say that. He said, I don't want to say, because the person I've known for ten years and has been with me in my hard part of my life.

He knew who that -- for someone who said he doesn't remember anything, he remembered who that accomplice was, because he gave you a description of who it was. This is the same person who also on the stand said, he would lie to detectives in the right situation, with the factors.

Ladies and gentlemen, I would submit to you, this selected memory loss may not be as full as he say it is, because of that question from that juror, and that response. So if you don't believe him, you have to disregard his testimony. Or you don't have to. You can disregard his

testimony, if there's a critical issue.

But it really doesn't matter, because at the end, we proved all the elements of every crime. And even Mr. Trejo can't contradict that. He might blame the cops. He might blame the witnesses. And look, that might be a great argument if we didn't have all this video, and he didn't admit to everything. But the point of the matter, that's not the facts in this case.

We have an overwhelming amount of -- amount of evidence. An overwhelming amount of evidence to find him, beyond a reasonable doubt.

Mr. Trejo should be found accountable. Mr. Trejo should be found accountable for all the damage he did to all those victims, even the one that happened to move away before our trial. Mr. Trejo should be accountable, and that's what justice calls for.

And ladies and gentlemen, I ask you to convict him of all his crimes. And I thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Okay. And so the Clerk is going to swear the officers to take charge of the jurors and alternate jurors.

I just texted Chapri to come in, so she should be coming in.

THE CLERK: Will you both raise your right hand?

(JEA AND MARSHAL SWORN TO TAKE CHARGE OF THE JURORS)

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. So ladies and gentlemen, you know that a criminal jury consists of 12 people, and there are 14 of you here. So we've got two who are going to be the alternates. And the alternates are not discharged from jury service. You're going to remain on the jury until they reach a verdict in case something happens with one of the other jurors, and you need to continue the deliberations.

So the alternate jurors are Number 13, Shane
Haycock, and Number 14, Matthew Ang. So you're going to go
with my Judicial Executive Assistant, and she's going to get
your information right now. Thank you.

(Alternate jurors exit the courtroom)

THE COURT: And the other 12 of you are going to follow Bill out into the jury room.

(Jury retires to deliberate at 12:08 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: It is 12:09. Officer, how -- how quickly would you be able to get him there and back?

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: As quick as you need, Your Honor. I'm going to be -- in fact, what we'll do, I'll take him downstairs then in court holding. I'll keep him there. Bill has my number, so if someone call (indiscernible).

THE COURT: I just thought he might need to eat before.

```
C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 13 | 5-04-2022
 1
              CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yeah. We'll -- we'll get him
 2
    fed down there.
 3
                          Okay. Yeah. If you can take -- and
              THE COURT:
    Bill's got your number, you said?
 4
 5
              CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yep.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. Great.
                                          Thank you.
 7
              THE CLERK: Can I get your guys' numbers?
 8
              THE COURT: And then, interpreter, how do we get you
   back here?
              THE INTERPRETER: You can call the office.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
                       (Pause in the proceedings)
12
13
              MS. HEAP: I gave them your number, too.
14
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
15
              THE COURT: You all, this is not -- I didn't even
16
    ask for your numbers because this is not going to take very
    long I don't think.
17
18
            (Court recessed at 12:10 p.m., until 2:05 p.m.)
19
                     (In the presence of the jury)
20
              THE MARSHAL: And, Your Honor I have the jurors.
21
              THE COURT: Thank you.
22
              Will the parties stipulate to the presence of the
    jury?
23
24
              MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor.
25
              MR. TREJO: I do, Your Honor.
                                 Page 22
```

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 13 5-04-2022
1	THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated.
2	Has the jury selected a foreperson?
3	MEMBERS OF THE JURY: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And who is the foreperson? Can you
5	please state your name on the record?
6	JUROR NO. 9: Shadam Broderick.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	And has the jury reached a verdict?
9	JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
10	THE COURT: And will the defendant and his attorney
11	will the defendant please stand?
12	And if you can hand that to the Marshal.
13	THE COURT: And the Clerk will now and the Clerk
14	will now read the verdict aloud.
15	VERDICT
16	THE CLERK: State of Nevada versus Mario Trejo, Case
17	Number C-18-335315-1, Department 24.
18	Verdict. We the jury in the above-titled case find
19	the Defendant Mario Trejo as follows:
20	Count 1, Burglary while in the possession of a
21	firearm; guilty of burglary while in the possession of a
22	firearm.
23	We the jury in the above-titled case find the
24	Defendant Mario Trejo as follows:
25	Count 2, Robbery with the use of a deadly weapon;
	Page 23

	C-18-3353	315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 13 5-04-2022
1	guilty of	robbery with the use of a deadly weapon.
2		We the jury in the above-titled case find the
3	Defendant	Mario Trejo as follows:
4		Count 3, Robbery with the use of a deadly weapon;
5	guilty of	robbery with the use of a deadly weapon.
6		We the jury in the above-titled case find the
7	Defendant	Mario Trejo as follows:
8		Count 4, Robbery with the use of a deadly weapon;
9	guilty of	robbery with the use of a deadly weapon.
10		We the jury in the above-titled case find the
11	Defendant	Mario Trejo as follows:
12		Count 5, Robbery with the use of a deadly weapon;
13	guilty of	robbery with the use of a deadly weapon.
14		We the jury in the above-titled case find the
15	Defendant	Mario Trejo as follows:
16		Count 6, Robbery with the use of a deadly weapon;
17	guilty of	robbery with the use of a deadly weapon.
18		We the jury in the above-titled case find the
19	Defendant	Mario Trejo as follows:
20		Count 7, Robbery with the use of a deadly weapon;
21	guilty of	robbery with the use of a deadly weapon.
22		We the jury in the above-titled case find the
23	Defendant	Mario Trejo as follows:
24		Count 8, Robbery with the use of a deadly weapon;
25	guilty of	robbery with the use of a deadly weapon.

We the jury in the above-titled case find the Defendant Mario Trejo as follows:

Count 9, First degree kidnapping with the use of a deadly weapon; guilty of first degree kidnapping with the use of a deadly weapon.

We the jury in the above-titled case find the Defendant Mario Trejo as follows:

Count 10, Assault on a protected person while -- with the use of a deadly weapon; guilty of assault on a protected person with the use of a deadly weapon.

We the jury in the above-titled case find the Defendant Mario Trejo as follows:

Count 11, Assault on a protected person with the use of a deadly weapon; guilty of assault on a protected person with the use of a deadly weapon.

We the jury in the above-titled case find the Defendant Mario Trejo as follows:

Count 12, Conspiracy to commit robbery; guilty of conspiracy to commit robbery.

We the jury in the above-titled case find the Defendant Mario Trejo as follows:

Count 13, Attempt robbery with the use of a deadly weapon; guilty of attempt robbery with the use of a deadly weapon.

Dated this 4th day of May 2022, signed by

Foreperson, Shadam Broderick.

Are these your verdicts as read, so you say one, so you say all?

MEMBERS OF THE JURY: We do.

THE COURT: Do either party with to have the jury polled?

MS. HEAP: The State does not.

MR. TREJO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

The Clerk will now record the verdict into the minutes of the court.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you so much for your time and attention to this case. These are important rights. I know the parties would like to thank you very much for your service. This process could not go on without you. I know you spent a lot of time in your life these past three weeks doing this. So I really, really want to express to you how much this -- how important this has been for all of the parties involved.

So the question is going to come up if you can now talk to people. You may, if you wish, talk to any other person and discuss your deliberation, which you gave to this case. You are not required to do so. However, I would ask you all to please follow Bill back into the jury deliberation room for just a few minutes.

	C-18-335315-1 STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO JT - DAY 13 5-04-2022				
1	Thank you.				
2	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.				
3	(Jury excused at 2:11 p.m.)				
4	(Outside the presence of the jury.)				
5	THE COURT: And so we need to set his matter over				
6	for sentencing and for it to be gone to the Department				
7	remanded to the Division of Parole and Probation for a				
8	Sentencing date, and set for Sentencing.				
9	THE CLERK: June 15th, 9:30 a.m.				
10	THE COURT: And I				
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.				
12	THE COURT: usually go and talk to them in the				
13	back for just a little bit. In probably about ten minutes you				
14	guys can come back too.				
15	MS. HEAP: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.				
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.				
17	THE COURT: In the jury deliberation room.				
18	Alex, if you wanted to, you're welcome to. But I'm				
19	going to talk to them first, and I'll send Bill out here to				
20	come get you guys in about ten or 15 minutes.				
21	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.				
22	MR. HENRY: Okay.				
23	MS. HEAP: Thank you.				
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.				
25	(Court adjourned at 2:12 p.m.)				
	Page 27				

C-18-335315-1 | STATE v. MARIO BLADIMIR TREJO | JT - DAY 13 | 5-04-2022 I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly ATTEST: transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Electronically Filed 8/4/2022 1:33 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RTRAN	Otemb.
2		
3		
4		
5	DISTRIC	T COURT
6	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADA
7	STATE OF NEVADA,)
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO: C-18-335315-1
9	VS.	DEPT. XXIV
10	MADIO TRE IO	
11	MARIO TREJO, Defendant.	
12	Defendant.	_{}
13		
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIKA	
15	TUESDAY, N	MAT 10, 2022
16	DECODDED'S TRANSC	CRIPT OF HEARING RE:
17	ORDER TO S	
18		
19	APPEARANCES:	
20	Juror C	Chasity McKay
21		
22		
23		
24	RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIE	IN COURT RECORDER
25	RECORDED DT. COCAN CONOTIL	LLD, OOOKI KLOOKDEK

3171

Las Vegas,	Nevada;	Tuesday,	May	10,	2022
	*	****			

[Proceeding commenced at 9:09 A.M.]

THE COURT: Page Number 6, Case Number C-18-335315-1, State of Nevada versus Mario Trejo. This is on for the Juror, Chasity McKay. Is that you, ma'am? You need to come up to the –

So, ma'am, you never came back after lunch.

MS. MCKAY: Yeah, I know. During lunch, I actually – I was not technically passed out but I blacked out in the morning before then. I already had a headache, and so while sitting outside waiting for recess, I like threw up and everything, and I was starting to black out, so I went home.

THE COURT: Okay. But you should have told –

MS. MCKAY: I don't know. I don't believe my family at home had diabetes or anything.

THE COURT: Right. But, I mean, you should have said something to somebody, the Marshal, because you could've gotten excused and not had to be here today because some Judges wouldn't even bring you in to have an explanation. You'd just have to pay \$500 for not coming back. And I'm trying to not be a jerk and give you an opportunity, but you can't just – you don't just get to walk away.

MS. MCKAY: Okay. I apologize for that. I didn't know.

THE COURT: Okay. So obviously you were excused because you didn't come back, but, yeah, just if that ever happens again, if you ever get jury duty, you need to talk to somebody who can

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25

talk to the Judge because otherwise people will just, I mean, some Judges will just send you a, you know, a fine, and it can be up to \$500, and it can go further if you don't pay it, and, I mean, that's how people end up in trouble and, like, these are not steps that get to go away, like, you just really need to explain stuff to people. You need to talk to people. You can't just walk away from things.

MS. MCKAY: Yeah. I apologize for that. That's why I came here, you know. I should've said something.

THE COURT: You don't need to apologize. You just need to figure out how to do it the right way so that, I mean, you're probably missing work today, you're probably – there's all kinds of stuff that could be happening, but because you had to be here it's not happening, right?

MS. MCKAY: Right.

THE COURT: So just don't do – I mean, you have to figure out the right way to do things, and that's – I'm just here to try and explain it to you. Okay?

MS. MCKAY: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay, cool. Thank you for coming, ma'am.

MS. MCKAY: Okay, thank you. And I apologize again.

[Proceeding concluded at 9:12 A.M.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

SUSAN SCHOFIELD Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 7/18/2022 10:27 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 STATE OF NEVADA, 8 Plaintiff, CASE NO: C-18-335315-1 9 DEPT. XXIV VS. 10 MARIO TREJO, 11 Defendant. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIKA BALLOU, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 WEDNESDAY, JUNE 15, 2022 15 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: 17 **SENTENCING** 18 **APPEARANCES:** 19 For the Plaintiff: MICHAEL SCHWARTZER, ESQ. 20 HILLARY HEAP, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorneys 21 22 For the Defendant: **PRO SE** Interpreter: Marie Bacquerie 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: SUSAN SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER

3174

Las Vegas, Nevada;	Wednesday,	June	15,	2022
--------------------	------------	------	-----	------

[Proceeding commenced at 10:29 A.M.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Mario Bladimir Trejo,
Case No. C-18-335315-1. Mr. Trejo represents himself on this. He
does have the help of the Interpreter because he cannot speak, so can
the Interpreter please state your appearance for the record?

THE INTERPRETER: Marie Bacquerie, Spanish Interpreter, MVBM 840.

THE COURT: Thank you. And Mr. Schwartzer present on behalf of the State.

This is on for the entry of judgment, imposition of sentence.

Mr. Trejo, is there any legal cause or reason we cannot proceed today?

MR. TREJO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Trejo, by virtue of the jury's verdict you are hereby adjudged guilty of the offenses of Count 1, burglary with use of a deadly weapon, a Category B as in boy felony, Counts 2 through 8, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, Category B felony, Count 9, first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, a Category A felony, Counts 10, 11, assault on a protected person with use of a deadly weapon, both C felonies, Count 12, conspiracy to commit robbery, a Category B as in boy felony, and Count 13, attempt robbery with use of a deadly weapon, a Category B felony.

So, Mr. Trejo, go ahead and say what you'd like to say.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

1	IN THE SUPREME CO	OURT OI	F THE STATE OF N	IEVADA
2 3	MARIO TREJO,)	No. 84724	
	MARIO IREJO,)	110. 64/24	
4	Appellant,)		
5	v.)		
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
7	,)		
8	Respondent.)		
9 10	APPELLANT'S APPENI	– DIX VOI	LUME XIII PAGES	<u> 2926-3175</u>
11	DARIN F. IMLAY		STEVE WOLFSON	
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610		Clark County District 200 Lewis Avenue, 2 Las Vegas, Nevada 8	t Attorney 3 rd Floor 39155
13	Attorney for Appellant		AARON FORD	
14 15			Attorney General 100 North Carson St Carson City, Nevada (702) 687-3538	reet 89701-4717
16			Counsel for Respond	lant
17			Counsel for Respond	ient
18	<u>CERTIF</u>	ICATE (OF SERVICE	
19	I hereby certify that this	docume	nt was filed electroni	cally with the Nevada
20	Supreme Court on the 16 day of	Febr	uary 2023. Ele	ectronic Service of the
21	foregoing document shall be made in a	accordanc	e with the Master Ser	vice List as follows:
22	AARON FORD		WILLIAM M. WAT	ERS
23	ALEXANDER CHEN I further certify that I ser	ved a cop	by of this document by	y mailing a true and
24	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid,	, addresse	ed to:	
25	MARIO TREJO, #1258166			
26	HIGH DESERT STATE PRISC P.O. BOX 650 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070			
27				
28			<u>chel Howard</u> ark County Public Defe	nder's Office
	a = -			