#### No. 84735

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed
Jul 18 2022 08:17 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

#### **EDUCATION FREEDOM PAC**

Appellant,

VS.

RORY REID, an individual; BEVERLY ROGERS, an individual; and BARBARA K. CEGAVSKE, in her official capacity as NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE,

Respondents.

On Appeal from the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada Case No. 22 OC 00027 1B

### RESPONDENT BARBARA CEGAVSKE'S LIMITED ANSWERING BRIEF

AARON D. FORD
Nevada Attorney General
CRAIG A. NEWBY
Deputy Solicitor General
LAENA ST-JULES
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Nevada Attorney General
555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 486-3594
cnewby@ag.nv.gov
lstjules@ag.nv.gov
Attorneys for Respondent Barbara Cegavske,
in her official capacity as Nevada Secretary of State

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
ROUTING STATEMENT	1
SUMMARY OF THE LIMITED ARGUMENT	1
LIMITED ARGUMENT	1
CONCLUSION	2
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	3
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	5

### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>STATUTES</u>	
NRS 295.061(2)	1

#### **ROUTING STATEMENT**

This matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court pursuant to NRAP 17(a)(2).

#### SUMMARY OF THE LIMITED ARGUMENT

Respondent Barbara Cegavske, in her official capacity as Nevada Secretary of State, takes no position on the legality of the proposed initiative and will comply with any final judgment in this case.

#### LIMITED ARGUMENT

The Secretary of State submits the following limited answer to Appellant Education Freedom PAC's Opening Brief.

On March 24, 2022, the Secretary of State filed a limited response to Appellant's "Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Challenging Initiative Petition S-02-2022 Pursuant to NRS 295.061(1)." (AA0151-AA0153).

Consistent with her stated position before the district court, the Secretary of State does not take a position on the legality of the proposed initiative. This case was brought prior to the Secretary of State having the opportunity to consider certifying the proposed initiative as sufficient pursuant to NRS 295.061(2). Appellant and the other Respondents will make those arguments, and the Secretary of State will comply with any final judgment in this case. The Secretary of State does not take a

position on the policy merits of the proposed initiative. If deemed legal and qualified

for the 2022 general election ballot, Nevada voters will have that debate and make

that policy decision.

CONCLUSION

Because the Secretary of State does not take a position on the legality of the

proposed initiative, the Secretary of State does not seek any specific relief, except

that under the circumstances here, no award of attorneys' fees or costs is appropriate

against the Secretary of State.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of July, 2022.

AARON D. FORD Attorney General

By: /s/ Laena St-Jules

LAENA ST-JULES

Deputy Attorney General

2

# CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

1. I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting
requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and
the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because:
☑ This brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using
Microsoft Word 2010 in 14 pt. font and Times New Roman; or
☐ This brief has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using [state name
and version of word processing program] with [state number of characters per inch
and name of type style].
2. I further certify that this brief complies with the page- or type volume
limitations of NRAP 32(a)(7) because, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by
NRAP $32(a)(7)(C)$ , it is either:
⊠ Proportionately spaced, has a typeface of 14 points or more and contains
no more than 14,000 words; or
☐ Monospaced, has 10.5 or fewer characters per inch, and contains words
or lines of text; or
□ Does not exceed pages.
3. Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the
best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for
any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable

Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e)(1), which requires

every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a

reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript or appendix where

the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in

the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of

the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of July, 2022.

AARON D. FORD

Attorney General

By: /s/ Laena St-Jules

LAENA ST-JULES

Deputy Attorney General

4

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing RESPONDENT BARBARA CEGAVSKE'S LIMITED ANSWERING BRIEF in accordance with this Court's electronic filing system and consistent with NEFCR 9 on this 18th day of July, 2022.

Participants in the case who are registered with this Court's electronic filing system will receive notice that the document has been filed and is available on the court's electronic filing system.

I further certify that any of the participants in the case that are not registered as electronic users will be mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid.

Bradley S. Schrager, Esq.
John Samberg, Esq.
Daniel Bravo, Esq.
WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP
3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 590 S.
Las Vegas, NV 89169

Jason D. Guinasso, Esq. Alex R. Velto, Esq. Astrid A. Perez, Esq. HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC 5371 Kietzke Ln. Reno, NV 89511

/s/ Dorene A. Wright
An employee of the
Office of the Attorney General