## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ARTHUR MOORE.

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 82747

FILED

MAR 0 4 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. YOUNG
DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed an untimely motion for a third extension of time to file the reply brief. When this court granted appellant's previous motion for an extension of time, this court stated that no further extensions would be granted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. See NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). This court is not convinced that appellant demonstrates extraordinary circumstances and extreme need in support of the instant motion. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Appellant shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file and serve the reply brief. Failure to timely file the reply brief may be construed as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Description, C.J.

cc: The Law Office of Dan M. Winder, P.C. Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A