IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ISIAH TAYLOR,		
Appellant,	Docket No. 83709	Electronically Filed Jan 27 2022 03:19 p.m
v. STATE OF NEVADA,		Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Cour
Respondent.		

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Volume 1

Monique McNeill, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9862
P.O. Box 2451
Las Vegas, Nevada 89125
Phone: (702) 497-9734
Email:Monique.mcneill@yahoo.com
Attorney for Appellant Taylor

<u>Document</u>	Page Nos.	
Amended Information	3-5	
Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea	30-37	
Guilty Plea Agreement	20-29	
Information	1-2	
Judgment of Conviction	83-84	
Motion to Dismiss Counsel	38-41	
Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea	42-45	
Notice of Appeal	86-88	
Opposition to Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea	46-56	
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea	66-68	
Third Amended Information	6-7	
Transcript: Entry of Plea	8-19	
Transcript Motion to Dismiss Counsel	57-60	
Transcript Motion to Dismiss Counsel/ Status Check Withdrawal of Plea	61-65	
Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing	69-82	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 27th day of January, 2022. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON FORD

MONIQUE MCNEILL

STEVEN WOLFSON

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

Isiah Taylor

By: /S/ Monique McNeill

Electronically Filed 5/5/2020 8:19 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 2 3 4 5 6	INFM STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 GENEVIEVE CRAGGS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013469 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	Others. Line
7 8		CT COURT JNTY, NEVADA
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	GAGENO G 20 240220 1
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO: C-20-348230-1
11	-VS-	DEPT NO: V
12	ISIAH TAYLOR, #2889160	
13	Defendant.	INFORMATION
14	Defendant.	
15	STATE OF NEVADA)	
16	COUNTY OF CLARK) ss.	
17	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Att	corney within and for the County of Clark, State
18	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of	of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:
19	That ISIAH TAYLOR, as Defendant	nt above named, has committed the crimes of
20	COUNT 1 - BURGLARY (Category B Feld	ony - NRS 205.060 - NOC 50424) and <u>COUNT</u>
21	2 - COERCION SEXUALLY MOTIVATE	CD (Category B Felony - NRS 207.190, 175.547,
22	207.193 - NOC 55532) in the manner follow.	ing:
23	That on or about the 16th day of Octob	er, 2015, at and within the County of Clark, State
24	of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and ef	fect of statutes in such cases made and provided,
25	and against the peace and dignity of the State	e of Nevada,
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	

COUNT 1 - BURGLARY

COUNT 2 - COERCION SEXUALLY MOTIVATED

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Defendant did willfully, unlawfully and feloniously enter a building, owned or occupied by F.B., located at 8455 W Sahara Avenue #5/263, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, with intent to commit assault and/or battery and/or a felony, to wit: Coercion Sexually Motivated.

Defendant did then and there, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously use physical force, or the immediate threat of such force, against F.B., with intent to compel F.B. to do, or abstain from doing, an act which F.B. had a right to do, or abstain from doing, by placing his mouth and/or tongue and/or finger(s) and/or penis on and/or into the genital opening of F.B., one of the purposes for which the Defendant committed the offense was Defendant's sexual gratification.

> STEVEN B. WOLFSON Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ GENEVIEVE CRAGGS GENEVIEVE CRAGGS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013469

Clark County District Attorney

24 25

26

27

28

DA#19F23502X/hjc/SVU LVMPD EV#151016001417 (TK12)

Electronically Filed
9/14/2020 4:03 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

1	AINF STEVEN B. WOLFSON
2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
3	GENEVIEVE CRAGGS Chief Deputy District Attorney
4	Nevada Bar #013469 200 Lewis Avenue
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500
6	Attorney for Plaintiff
7	DIS
8	CLARK
9	CLITHIN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	[
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO:	C-20-348230-1
12	-VS-	DEPT NO:	V
13	ISIAH TAYLOR, #2889160	A M	ENDED
14	#2889160	AW	ENDED
15	Defendant.	INFO	RMATION
16	STATE OF NEVADA)		
17	COUNTY OF CLARK) ss.		

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That ISIAH TAYLOR, as Defendant above named, has committed the crimes of SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366 - NOC 50095) and ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category B Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.330 - NOC 50119) in the manner following:

That on or about the 16th day of October, 2015, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

27 | // 28 | //

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2019\569\54\201956954C-AINF-(TAYLOR ISIAH)-001.DOCX

COUNT 1 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

Defendant did then and there, willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject F.B. to sexual penetration, to wit: digital penetration, by inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of F.B., against the will of F.B., or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that F.B. was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 2 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

Defendant did then and there, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously sexually assault and subject F.B. to sexual penetration, to wit: cunnilingus, by placing his mouth and/or tongue on any part of the female genitalia of F.B., against the will of F.B., or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that F.B. was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 3 - SEXUAL ASSAULT

Defendant did then and there, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously sexually assault and subject F.B. to sexual penetration, to wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis into the genital opening of F.B., against the will of F.B., or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that F.B. was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

//

20 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 | //

28 //

COUNT 4 - ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT 1 Defendant did then and there, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously attempt to sexually 2 assault and subject F.B. to sexual penetration, to wit: sexual intercourse, by attempting to insert 3 his penis into the genital opening of F.B., against the will of F.B., or under conditions in which 4 Defendant knew, or should have known, that F.B. was mentally or physically incapable of 5 resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct. 6 7 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 8 Nevada Bar #001565 9 BY /s/ Genevieve Craggs 10 Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013469 11 Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this 12 Information are as follows: 13 COR or Designee; CCDC 14 COR or Designee; LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS 15 COR or Designee; LVMPD RECORDS 16 F.B.; c/o CCDA-SVU/VWAC 17 MCCAFFERY; LVMPD#08731 18 19 MCPHAIL; LVMPD#03326 20 PETERS, CIERRA; UNK RYLAND; LVMPD#08608 21 SALAVESSA; LVMPD#07073 22 23 24 25 26 27 DA#19F23502X/hjc/SVU LVMPD EV#151016001417 28 (TK12)

Electronically Filed 6/7/2021 10:13 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

C-20-348230-1

I

THIRD AMENDED

INFORMATION

1 **AINF** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 TYLER SMITH 3 Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #011870 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO:

DEPT NO:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

ISIAH TAYLOR, #2889160

Defendant.

STATE OF NEVADA SS. COUNTY OF CLARK

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That ISIAH TAYLOR, as Defendant above named, has committed the crimes of TWO (2) COUNTS - ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category B Felony - NRS **200.364, 200.366, 193.330 - NOC 50119)** in the manner following:

That on or about the 16th day of October, 2015, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, Defendant

COUNT 1

did then and there, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously attempt to sexually assault and subject F.B. to sexual penetration, to wit: sexual intercourse, by attempting to insert his penis into the genital opening of F.B., against the will of F.B., or under conditions in which

V:\2019\569\54\201956954C-AINF-(THIRD AMENDED)-001.DOCX

0006

Case Number: C-20-348230-1

1	Defendant knew, or should have known, that F.B. was mentally or physically incapable of
2	resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.
3	COUNT 2
4	did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to sexually assault
5	and subject F.B., a female person, to sexual penetration, to wit: by attempting to place his
6	mouth and/or tongue on any part of the female genitalia of the said F.B., against her will, or
7	under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that F.B. was mentally or
8	physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.
9	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
11	Nevada Bal #001505
12	BY TVIER SMITH
13	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011870
14	110 rada Bai 11011070
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	DA#19F23502X/mlb/SVU LVMPD EV#151016001417 (TK12)

Electronically Filed 7/12/2021 9:56 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-20-348230-1 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. I 10 VS. 11 ISIAH TAYLOR, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CRISTINA D. SILVA, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 FRIDAY, JUNE 4, 2021 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 16 **ENTRY OF PLEA** 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** 19 For the State: LINDSEY D. MOORS, ESQ. 20 Chief Deputy District Attorney 21 22 For the Defendant: MELISSA E. OLIVER, ESQ. Chief Deputy Special Public Defender 23 JORDAN S. SAVAGE, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender 24 25 RECORDED BY: GINA VILLANI, COURT RECORDER

8000

Las Vegas, Nevada, Friday, June 4, 2021
[Hearing commenced at 3:32 p.m.]
THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, I'm Judge Silva. Can you hear me?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am, I can. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to you.
I understand that you're going to change your plea this
afternoon; is that correct?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. I'm standing in for Judge Yeager
who's currently unavailable so I told her I would do this for her. So as
soon as we're ready we'll get started.
I just want to confirm, both State and counsel are ready to
proceed?
MR. SAVAGE: We are, Your Honor.
MS. OLIVER: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: And our recorder, we're ready to go?
THE COURT RECORDER: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Great.
So let's get started, we're going to call Case C-20-348230-1,
State of Nevada versus Isiah Taylor.
Who's present on behalf of the State?
MS. MOORS: Lindsey Moors on behalf of Tyler Smith for the
State.

1	THE COURT: All right. And good afternoon to you.
2	And who's present on behalf of Mr. Taylor?
3	MR. SAVAGE: Jordan Savage and Melissa Oliver, Special
4	Public Defender's Office, on behalf of Mr. Taylor.
5	THE COURT: And good afternoon to both of you.
6	And, again, good afternoon, Mr. Taylor, who is present in
7	custody but available via video conferencing.
8	All right. Mr. Taylor, I want to go over this Guilty Plea
9	Agreement with you. It advises that you're going to plead guilty, pursuant
10	to the Alford decision, to two counts of attempt sexual assault, which are
11	category D felonies, the parties are stipulating to a 4 to 20 year sentence
12	in the Nevada Department of Corrections.
13	Is that your understanding of the negotiations?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
15	THE COURT: All right. And you I'll ask you specific
16	questions about the Alford plea here shortly, but I'll just advise at this
17	time if you have any problems hearing me or if I'm speaking too quickly, I
18	have a tendency to do that, just let me know; okay?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
20	THE COURT: All right. What is your true name?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Isiah Taylor.
22	THE COURT: And how old are you?
23	THE DEFENDANT: I'm 30.
24	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
25	THE DEFENDANT: I got my GED.

1	THE COURT: Do you do read, write, and understand the
2	English language?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
4	THE COURT: Do you have any sort of learning disability?
5	THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
6	THE COURT: Have you recently been treated for any mental
7	illness or addiction issues?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No.
9	THE COURT: Are you currently under the influence of any
10	drug, medication, or alcoholic beverage?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you reviewed the charging document
13	charging you with two counts of attempt sexual assault?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Do you understand the nature of the charges?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Have you discussed this case with your
18	attorneys?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Are you fully satisfied with your attorneys'
21	representation of you and the advice given to you by your attorneys?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: All right. How do you plead to the two counts in
24	the Third Amended Information, guilty pursuant to Alford or not guilty?
25	THE DEFENDANT: What was the I don't how is the plea?

1	Guilty but I'm pleading to
2	THE COURT: Right, you're
3	THE DEFENDANT: I don't know what the other one
4	THE COURT: you're pleading guilty pursuant to Alford, so
5	that was my question. Do you plead guilty
6	THE DEFENDANT: Oh, yeah, okay.
7	THE COURT: pursuant to Alford or do you plead not guilty?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Pleading guilty to Alford.
9	THE COURT: All right. And are you making this plea both
0	freely and voluntarily?
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Has anyone forced or threatened you, or has
3	anyone close to you forced or threatened you to get you to take this
4	plea?
5	THE DEFENDANT: No.
6	THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you outside
7	the terms of this written Guilty Plea Agreement in order for you to take
8	this plea?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No.
20	THE COURT: All right. I have this Guilty Plea Agreement in
21	front of me and it appears on page 6 of this agreement that you signed it
22	is that correct?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Before you signed it, did you read it?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And did you talk to your attorney about it?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Did they answer all your questions about this
agreement?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you feel that you had sufficient time to
review the agreement and to talk to your attorneys about it?
THE DEFENDANT: Did I have time?
THE COURT: Did you have sufficient time, enough time?
THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
THE COURT: All right. Do you understand
THE DEFENDANT: Even though
THE COURT: I'm sorry, sir?
THE DEFENDANT: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that by pleading
guilty you're waiving certain constitutional and appellate rights?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you also understand that if you're not a U.S.
citizen by entering this plea of guilty it may have immigration
consequences including deportation?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Do you understand that the potential
maximum range of penalty for this crime is not less than 2 years and not
more than 20 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

determine whether or not you are a high risk to reoffend?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand as part of your sentence the Court must sentence you to lifetime supervision following any term of imprisonment or probation upon your release to parole?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that you will have to register as a sex offender and maintain your sex offender registration status, you'll have to register within 48 hours of your release from custody.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And I'll advise you at this time that registration as a sex offender can impact where you live, where you work, where you go to school, and other factors that will be discussed with you by the Department of Parole and Probation.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Do you understand while there's an agreement between yourself and the State regarding the sentence, that ultimately sentencing is up to the judge and no one is in any position to promise you what sort of sentence you're going to get?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: However, this is the result of a settlement conference so if the judge does not accept this plea, you would be able to -- it's going to be negotiated, so there is an agreement and the judge

1	will accept the plea.	
2	MR. SAVAGE: This technically this wasn't	
3	THE COURT: Oh, that's right, it wasn't a settlement	
4	conference, strike that.	
5	MR. SAVAGE: It wasn't the result of settlement conference.	
6	But can I just	
7	THE COURT: So I was right the first time; okay.	
8	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, but can I just	
9	THE COURT: So let me restate that, so do you understand	
0	that ultimately sentencing is up to the judge and no one is in any position	
1	to promise you what kind of sentence you're going to get?	
2	MS. OLIVER: Judge, if we could just add something really	
3	quickly to the record.	
4	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, we reached out to Judge Yeager today	
5	and she has agreed to follow the stipulated sentence in this case	
6	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that.	
7	Were you able to hear that, Mr. Taylor?	
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
9	THE COURT: All right. So there was contact made by the	
20	parties and the judge who will sentence you has agreed to follow the	
21	negotiations in this matter.	
22	Do you understand that?	
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
24	THE COURT: All right.	
25	All right. Let's talk about what you did that's leading you to	

plead guilty here today, are you pleading guilty pursuant to *Alford* because you believe it is in your best interest?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask the State at this time to summarize the facts it would rely on should this matter have gone to trial in order for me to make a factual finding that there's a basis to take this plea.

State, when you're ready.

MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor.

If the State had proceeded to trial in this matter we would have proven beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about October 16th of 2015, that the defendant committed a burglary by entering the home of an F.B. unlawfully and that after he entered that home that he sexually assaulted her by inserting his penis into her genital opening.

THE COURT: All right. And that appears to be Count 1.

And what about Count 2?

MS. MOORE: And, Your Honor, I apologize, I don't have the file in front of me. What --

THE COURT: All right. I just want to confirm that the State would be -- at trial be able to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempted to sexually assault F.B., a female, by attempting to place his mouth or tongue on any part of the victim's genitalia.

MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor, and that as well, I apologize, I don't have it in front of me.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.

All right. So, Mr. Taylor, do you understand that those are the facts the State would have relied on to go to trial, if you hadn't resolved this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask you this question, is one of the reasons you're deciding to take this negotiation is because you understood you could face a higher penalty had you been convicted at trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So I'll ask this again, so did you believe this was in your best interest to accept this negotiation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. State, do you require any further canvassing of the defendant?

MS. MOORS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Taylor, do you have any questions for me or for your attorneys before we finish here today?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Well, the Court finds the defendant's plea of guilty pursuant to *Alford* is both knowingly and voluntarily made and the defendant understands the nature of the offenses and the consequences of his plea and therefore I accept his plea of guilty pursuant to *Alford*.

This matter will be referred to the Department of Parole and Probation for a Presentence Investigation Report.

1	And to confirm, State and defense, we are waiving a		
2	psychosexual evaluation; is that correct, State?		
3	MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor.		
4	THE COURT: And for defense?		
5	MR. SAVAGE: Yes, Your Honor.		
6	THE COURT: All right. So with that, let's get you a sentencing		
7	date, Mr. Taylor, one moment.		
8	THE CLERK: Okay. That'll be July 19 th at 8:30.		
9	THE COURT: All right. July 19 th , 8:30 in the morning will be		
10	your sentencing date.		
11	Anything else we need to address this afternoon?		
12	MR. SAVAGE: No, we just thank the Court for staying late		
13	today to take this. We appreciate it.		
14	THE COURT: No problem. No problem.		
15	Thank you, Mr. Taylor.		
16	All right. We can go ahead and go off the record.		
17	MS. MOORS: Yeah, and nothing from the State.		
18	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Moors. Take care.		
19	[Hearing concluded at 3:43 p.m.]		
20	* * * * *		
21	ATTECT THE RESERVE OF		
22	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.		
23	U. Minari		
24	Gina Villani		
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber District Court Dept. IX		

Electronically Filed
7/1/2021 3:05 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **GPA** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 TYLER SMITH Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #011870 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212 (702)671-2500 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

10 | Plaintiff,

11 | -vs-

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

|| ISIAH TAYLOR, || #2889160

Defendant.

CASE NO:

C-20-348230-1

DEPT NO:

Í

GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

I hereby agree to plead guilty to: TWO COUNTS (2) ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category B Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.330 - NOC 50119) as more fully alleged in the charging document attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

My decision to plead guilty is based upon the plea agreement in this case which is as follows:

The parties stipulate to four (4) to twenty (20) years in the Nevada Department of Corrections.

I agree to the forfeiture of any and all electronic storage devices, computers, and/or related equipment and/or weapons or any interest in any electronic storage devices, computers and/or related equipment and/or weapons seized and/or impounded in connection with the instant case and/or any other case negotiated in whole or in part in conjunction with this plea agreement.

I understand and agree that, if I fail to interview with the Department of Parole and

\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2019\569\54\201956954C-GPA-(TAYLOR)-001.DOCX

0020

Case Number: C-20-348230-1

Probation (P&P), fail to appear at any subsequent hearings in this case, or an independent magistrate, by affidavit review, confirms probable cause against me for new criminal charges including reckless driving or DUI, but excluding minor traffic violations, the State will have the unqualified right to argue for any legal sentence and term of confinement allowable for the crime(s) to which I am pleading guilty, including the use of any prior convictions I may have to increase my sentence as an habitual criminal to five (5) to twenty (20) years, Life without the possibility of parole, Life with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years, or a definite twenty-five (25) year term with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years.

Otherwise I am entitled to receive the benefits of these negotiations as stated in this plea agreement.

As to Count 1 and Count 2, I understand that as a consequence of the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of not less than TWO (2) years and a maximum term of not more than TWENTY (20) years. The minimum term of imprisonment may not exceed forty percent (40%) of the maximum term of imprisonment.

I understand that, if appropriate, I will be ordered to make restitution to the victim of the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty and to the victim of any related offense which is being dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement. I will also be ordered to reimburse the State of Nevada for any expenses related to my extradition, if any.

I understand that pursuant to NRS 176.139 and my plea of guilty to a sexual offense for which the suspension of sentence or the granting of probation is permitted, P&P shall arrange for a psychosexual evaluation as part of the Division's presentence investigation and report (PSI) to the court.

As to Count 1 and Count 2, I understand that I am not eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty.

I understand that I am not eligible for probation pursuant to NRS 176A.110 unless the psychosexual evaluation certifies that I do not represent a high risk to reoffend based upon a currently accepted standard of assessment. I understand that, except as otherwise provided by statute, the question of whether I receive probation is in the discretion of the sentencing judge.

I understand that, <u>before I am eligible for parole</u> a panel consisting of the Administrator of the Mental Health and Developmental Services of the Department of Human Resources or his designee; the Director of the Department of Corrections or his designee; and a psychologist licensed to practice in this state or a psychiatrist licensed to practice medicine in this state certifies that I was under observation while confined in an institution of the department of corrections and that I do not represent a high risk to reoffend based upon a currently accepted standard of assessment.

I understand that, pursuant to NRS 176.0931, the Court must include as part of my sentence, in addition to any other penalties provided by law, a special sentence of lifetime supervision commencing after any period of probation or any term of imprisonment and period of release upon parole.

I understand that the Court will include as part of my sentence, in addition to any other penalties provided by law, pursuant to NRS 179D.441 to 179D.550, inclusive, I must register as a sex offender within forty-eight (48) hours of release from custody onto probation or parole.

I understand that I must submit to blood and/or saliva tests under the direction of P&P to determine genetic markers and/or secretor status.

I understand that if more than one sentence of imprisonment is imposed and I am eligible to serve the sentences concurrently, the sentencing judge has the discretion to order the sentences served concurrently or consecutively.

I understand that information regarding charges not filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement may be considered by the judge at sentencing.

I have not been promised or guaranteed any particular sentence by anyone. I know that my sentence is to be determined by the Court within the limits prescribed by statute.

I understand that if my attorney or the State of Nevada or both recommend any specific punishment to the Court, the Court is not obligated to accept the recommendation.

I understand that if the State of Nevada has agreed to recommend or stipulate a particular sentence or has agreed not to present argument regarding the sentence, or agreed not to oppose a particular sentence, such agreement is contingent upon my appearance in court on the initial sentencing date (and any subsequent dates if the sentencing is continued). I understand that if I fail to appear for the scheduled sentencing date or I commit a new criminal offense prior to sentencing the State of Nevada would regain the full right to argue for any lawful sentence.

I understand if the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty to was committed while I was incarcerated on another charge or while I was on probation or parole that I am not eligible for credit for time served toward the instant offense(s).

I understand that if I am not a United States citizen, any criminal conviction will likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:

- 1. The removal from the United States through deportation:
- 2. An inability to reenter the United States:
- 3. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency:
- 4. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or
- 5. An indeterminate term of confinement, with the United States Federal Government based on my conviction and immigration status.

Regardless of what I have been told by any attorney, no one can promise me that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact my ability to become a United States citizen and/or a legal resident.

I understand that P&P will prepare a report for the sentencing judge prior to sentencing. This report will include matters relevant to the issue of sentencing, including my criminal history. This report may contain hearsay information regarding my background and criminal history. My attorney and I will each have the opportunity to comment on the information contained in the report at the time of sentencing. Unless the District Attorney has specifically

agreed otherwise, then the District Attorney may also comment on this report.

WAIVER OF RIGHTS

By entering my plea of guilty, I understand that I am waiving and forever giving up the following rights and privileges:

- 1. The constitutional privilege against self-incrimination, including the right to refuse to testify at trial, in which event the prosecution would not be allowed to comment to the jury about my refusal to testify.
- 2. The constitutional right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury, free of excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial to the defense, at which trial I would be entitled to the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained. At trial, the State would bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the offense(s) charged.
- 3. The constitutional right to confront and cross-examine any witnesses who would testify against me.
- 4. The constitutional right to subpoena witnesses to testify on my behalf.
- 5. The constitutional right to testify in my own defense.
- The right to appeal the conviction with the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained, unless specifically reserved in writing and agreed upon as provided in NRS 174.035(3). I understand this means I 6. am unconditionally waiving my right to a direct appeal of this conviction, including any challenge based upon reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings as stated in NRS 177.015(4). However, I remain free to challenge my conviction through other post-conviction remedies including a habeas corpus petition pursuant to NRS Chapter 34.

VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

I have discussed the elements of all of the original charge(s) against me with my attorney and I understand the nature of the charge(s) against me.

I understand that the State would have to prove each element of the charge(s) against me at trial.

I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in my favor.

All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my attorney.

I believe that pleading guilty and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL: 1 2 I, the undersigned, as the attorney for the Defendant named herein and as an officer of the court hereby certify that: 3 1. I have fully explained to the Defendant the allegations contained in the 4 charge(s) to which guilty pleas are being entered. 5 2. I have advised the Defendant of the penalties for each charge and the restitution that the Defendant may be ordered to pay. 6 3. I have inquired of Defendant facts concerning Defendant's immigration status 7 and explained to Defendant that if Defendant is not a United States citizen any criminal conviction will most likely result in serious negative immigration 8 consequences including but not limited to: 9 The removal from the United States through deportation: a. 10 b. An inability to reenter the United States: 11 The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency: C. 12 d. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or 13 An indeterminate term of confinement, by with United States Federal Government based on the conviction and immigration status. 14 Moreover, I have explained that regardless of what Defendant may have been 15 told by any attorney, no one can promise Defendant that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact Defendant's ability 16 to become a United States citizen and/or legal resident. 17 4. All pleas of guilty offered by the Defendant pursuant to this agreement are consistent with the facts known to me and are made with my advice to the 18 Defendant. 19 5. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the Defendant: 20 Is competent and understands the charges and the consequences of a. pleading guilty as provided in this agreement, 21 Executed this agreement and will enter all guilty pleas pursuant hereto b. 22 voluntarily, and 23 Was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor, a controlled c. substance or other drug at the time I consulted with the Defendant as 24 certified in paragraphs 1 and 2 above. 25 day of June, 2021. 26 27 mlb/SVU 28

1 AINF STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 TYLER SMITH Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #011870 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. CASE NO: C-20-348230-1 10 Plaintiff, DEPT NO: I 11 -VS-12 THIRD A M E N D E D ISIAH TAYLOR, #2889160 13 INFORMATION Defendant. 14 15 STATE OF NEVADA SS. 16 COUNTY OF CLARK STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State 17 of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court: 18 That ISIAH TAYLOR, as Defendant above named, has committed the crimes of 19 TWO (2) COUNTS - ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category B Felony - NRS 20 200.364, 200.366, 193.330 - NOC 50119) in the manner following: 21 That on or about the 16th day of October, 2015, at and within the County of Clark, State 22 of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, 23 and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, Defendant 24 25 COUNT 1 did then and there, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously attempt to sexually assault and 26 subject F.B. to sexual penetration, to wit: sexual intercourse, by attempting to insert his penis 27 into the genital opening of F.B., against the will of F.B., or under conditions in which 28

0027

.NET\CRMCASE2\2019\569\54\201956954C-AINF-(THIRD AMENDED)-001.DOCX

1	Defendant knew, or should have known, that F.B. was mentally or physically incapable of	
2	resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.	
3	COUNT 2	
4	did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to sexually assault	
5	and subject F.B., a female person, to sexual penetration, to wit: by attempting to place his	
6	mouth and/or tongue on any part of the female genitalia of the said F.B., against her will, or	
7	under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that F.B. was mentally or	
. 8	physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.	
9 10	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	
11	110 Sit	
12	BY TYLER SMITH	
13	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #011870	
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
15		
16	20	
17	Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this	
18	Information are as follows:	
19	CARNELL, CRISTEN; CCDA INVESTIGATOR	
20	COR or Designee; CCDC	
21	COR or Designee; LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS	
22	COR or Designee; LVMPD RECORDS	
23	F.B.; c/o CCDA-SVU/VWAC	
24	MCCAFFERY; LVMPD#08731	
25	MCGILL, JODI; CCDA INVESTIGATOR	
26	MCPHAIL; LVMPD#03326	
27	PETERS, CIERRA; UNK	
28	REVELS, JEROME; CCDA INVESTIGATOR	

1	RYLAND; LVMPD#08608		
2	SALAVESSA; LVMPD#07073		
3	SCOGGINS, JOCELYN; CCDA INVESTIGATOR		
4	L.		
5			
6			
7			
8	ži		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	DA#19F23502X/mlb/SVU		

DA#19F23502X/mlb/SVU LVMPD EV#151016001417 (TK12)

28

Electronically Filed
7/1/2021 12:57 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

MOT 1 JoNELL THOMAS SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 2 Nevada Bar No.: 4771 3 JORDAN SAVAGE Assistant Special Public Defender 4 Nevada Bar No.: 5480 330 South Third Street, 8th Floor 5 Las Vegas, NV 89101 6 Phone: (702) 455-6265 Fax: (702) 380-6948 7 savagejs@clarkcountynv.gov Attorneys for Isiah Taylor 8 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 11 CASE NO. C-20-348230-1 DEPT. NO. 5 STATE OF NEVADA. 12 Plaintiff 13 vs. 14 ISIAH TAYLOR, ID: 2889160 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA 19 COMES NOW, Defendant, ISIAH TAYLOR, by and through his attorneys, 20 JoNELL THOMAS, Special Public Defender, and JORDAN SAVAGE, Assistant Special 21 Public Defender, and files Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea (Exhibit A). 22 DATED this 1st day of July, 2021. 23 24 SUBMITTED BY: 25 /s/ Jordan Savage 26 JORDAN SAVAGE 27 Attorney for Taylor 28

0030

NOTICE OF MOTION TO: STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff; and TO: STEVEN WOLFSON, District Attorney, Attorney for Plaintiff YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned will bring on the above and foregoing **MOTION** on for hearing on ______, at the hour of _____, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that service of the above Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, was made on July 1, 2021, by Electronic Filing to: DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE email: motions@clarkcountyda.com /s/ Elizabeth (Lisa) Araiza Legal Secretary Special Public Defender

EXHIBIT A

U.S. District Court. All Districts CFR 11:31 MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA

JORDAN SAVAGE name of attorney				
OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFEA	ider name of firm			
330 S. THIRD STREET, 8TH FLOOR, LAS VEGAS NV 89101 Street address				
(702) 455-6273 Fax (702) 455-6265/6266 for number				
15/JORDAN SAVAGE ESQ.	e-mail address			
ASSISTANT SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER State top id. number				
ATTORNEYS FOR ISTAHTAYLOR Case No: C-20-348230-1				
Dept No: 5				
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
STATE OF NEVADA	Daket No.			
VS.	MOTION TO WITHDRAW			
ISIAH TAYLOR	GUILTY PLEA			
Ä				
G S M C-20-348230-1	(Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(d)(2)(B)			
五 2 11 2 2 2				
RELIEF SOUBHT				
DEFENDANT ISIAH TAYLOR MOVES THE COURT TO WITHDRAW				

DEFENDANT ISIAH TAYLOR MOVES THE COURT TO WITHDRAW
ISIAH TAYLOR # 02889160 PLEA OF GUILTY PURSUANT TO
THE PROVISIONS OF RULE 11(d)(2)(B) OF THE FEDERAL RULES
OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, AND HAVE THIS MATTER RESET
FOR (JURY) TRIAL ON A NEW PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.

GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

DEFENDANT ISIAH TAYLOR MOVES THE COURT TO WITHDRAW ISIAH TAYLOR PLEA OF GUILTY, THE DEFENDANT SHOULD BE GRANTED THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS MOTION BELAUSE AS OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF ISIAH TAYLOR REJECTS OFFER NEGOTIATES WITH PUBLIC DEFENDER AND PROSECUTOR, AFTER ORIGINAL NEGOTIATION, PAPER WORK ON THIS CASE HAS BEEN REVIEWED WHICH NEEDS TO BE RELOOKED AT. I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MY PUBLIC DEFENDER WITHDRAW MY PLEA. I WOULD LIKE MY CASE TO RELOOKED AT BY JUSTICE OF THE PEACE. MY CASE SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN BOUND OVER. I WAS PUSHED BY MY PUBLIC DEFENDER JORDAN SAVAGE TO BE HELD TO ANSWER BEFORE I WAS ABLE TO ESTABLISH MY NEGOTIATION CORRECTLY. THEN ARRAIGNED IN FRONT OF HEARING MASTER AND HELD TO ANSWER & NEGOTIATION THAT WAS NOT THE DEAL THAT I THOUGHT WAS DESCUASED. SO I WITHDRAW ALL NEGOTIATIONS AND FILLING THIS MOTION TO WITHTRAW. I WILL ENTER A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY, I WILL TAKE THIS CASE TO TRIAL SO THE JURY ULTIMATELY CAN HEAR THE CASE. THE EVIDENCE ESTABLISHED BY PROSECUTOR AND THE STATE OF NEVADA IS NOT ENOUGH FOR ME TO TAKE THE DEAL OFFERED. I HAVE THE RIGHT TO APPEAL MY CASE, I AM WILLING TO REMEET WITH MY PUBLIC DEFENDER AND THE PROSECUTAR TO RENEGOTIATE MY PLEA. I WILL CONSIDER A NEW PLEA. BUT AS OF TODAY JUNE 24-2021 I WILL ASK TO WITH DRAW ALL NEGOTIATIONS MADE, I HAVE THE RIGHT TO APPEAL, TO WITHDRAW MY GUILT ! PLEA. I WANT TO BE RESEEN BY JUDGE (HEARING MASTER) ULTIMATELY WITDRAWING MY NEGOTIATIONS. 0034

I WOULD ALSO LIKE ALL PAPER WORK JENT BY PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE AND PROSECUTOR OFFICE, THAT SHOWS ANY AND ALL EVIDENCE THAT PROSECUTOR HAS. I HAVE ASKED FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON THE STATES MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF CRIMES. DISCLOSURE BY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY OF EVIDENCE RELATING TO PROSECUTION; LIMITATIONS 1) EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN NRS 174.233 to 174.295, INCLUSINE, ALL THE REQUEST OF A DEFENDANT, THE PROSELUTING ATTORNEY SHALL PERMIT THE DEFENDANT TO INSPECT AND TO COPY OR PHOTOGRAPH ANY: WRITTEN OR RECORDED STATEMENTS OR CONFESSIONS MADE BY DEFENDANT, OR ANY WRITTEN RECORDED STATEMENTS MADE BY A WITHESS THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY INTENDS TO CALL DURING THE CASE IN CHIEF OF THE STATE, OR COPIES THEREOF, WITHIN THE POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL OF THE STATE, THE EXISTENCE OF WHICH IS KNOWN, OR BY THE EXERCISE OF DUE DILIBENCE MAY BECOME KNOWN, TO THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY: RESULTS OR REPORTS OF PHYSICAL OR MENTAL EXAMINATIONS, SCIENTIFIC TEST OR ANY EXPERIMENTS MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PARTICULAR CASE, OR COPIES THEREOF, WITHIN THE POSSESSION, CUSTORY OR CONTROL OF THE STATE. Books, PAPERS DOCUMENTS, TANGIBLE OBJECTS, OR COPIES THERE OF, WHICH THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY INTENOS TO INTRODUCE DURING THE CASE IN CHEIP OF THE STATE AND WHICH ARE WITHIN THE POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL OF THE STATE, AN INTERNAL REPORT, DOCUMENT OR MEMORANDUM, THE DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION. 0035

MATTER ON WHICH DEFENDANT MISIN FORMED e.g. It WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE TO PROVE I STAH TAYLOR DEFENSE BECAUSE ISIAH TAYLOR WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO COMPEL THE WITNEST TESTIFY ON ISIAH THYLOR BEHALF, ISIAH THYLOR FELT PUSHED INTO TAKING DEAL. ISIAH TAYLOR WAS TOLD THE JUDGE WOULD IMPOSE THE MAXIMUM STATUTORY PUNISHMENT FOR-THE OFFENSE IF THE DEFENDANT WENT TO TRAIL AND WAS FOUND GUILTY, UNDER THE SENTENCING GUIDELINES, THE ACTUAL SENTENCE WOULD BE MUCH LESS THAN THE STATUTORY MAKIMUM AND THAT PROBATION WOULD BE POSSIBILITY, EVEN FOLLOWING A CONVICTION AFTER TRIAL, DEFENDANT COULD ALWAYS RECONSIDER ISIAH THYLOR PLEA PRIOR TO ACTUAL SENT ENVING. ISIAH TAY OR WAS TOLD TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT THAT WAS BEING OFFERED. ISIAH TAYLOR HAS FILED THIS MOTIONS SO THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO UNDUE ON THE PART OF THE DEFENDANT IN SEEKING RELIEF. IS AH TAYLOR HAS HAD TO FILE DIRECTLY SO THE PLEADING ON FILE IN THIS ACTION, AND ON WHAT EVER EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENT MAY BE ALLOWED AT A HEARING ON THIS MOTION.

DATF: JUNE 25, 2021 (6/25/2021)

COPIES BEING SENT TO

COURT OF CLERK, DISTRICT COURT, UNITED STATES,
DISTRICT COURT, CLARK COUNTY NEVADA, OFFICE
OF THE SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER (JORDAN SAVAGE)

PAPERS SUBMITTED WITH MOTION

THIS MOTION IS BASED ON THIS DO	CUMENT, THE
ATTACHED MEMORANDUM OF LAW, TH	LE ATTIBAVITS
OF ISIAH TAYLOR, ALL OF THE PAT	PEDS AND PLEADINGS
ON FILE IN THIS ACTION, AND ON WH	
AND ARGUMENT MAY BE ALLOWED AT	
THIS MOTION.	

DATE: JUNE 25, 2021

6-25-2021 FRIDAY

CASE NO. C-20-348230-1

ISIAH TAYLOR /SIGNATURE: Sual SoxIN 6/25/2

ATTORNEY FOR I SIAH TAYLOR

ASSISTANT SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL PUBLIC PEFENDER

330 S. THIRD STREET, 8TH FLOOR, LAS VEGAS

NV. 89101

JORDAN SAVAGE

FAX (702) 455-6273

(702) 455-6265

(702) 455-6266

DATE: 6-25-21

SIGNATURE: 12

PETER A. SEWALL

DATE:

SIGNATURE:

WITNESS

CLERK OF THE COURT

MDC Name: Isiah Taylor # 2889/60
Address: 330 S. Casino Center
City/State/Zip: Las Vegas, NV 89101
Phone: 614 629 7875
DEFENDANT IN PROPER PERSON

FILED
JUL 0 7 2021

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

State of Nevada				
Plaintiff,				
vs.				
Isiah. M Taylor #2889160				
Defendant				

Case No.: <u>C-20-348230-1</u> Dept. No.: <u>5</u>

> July 28, 2021 8:30 AM

MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATE COUNSEL

COMES NOW, the Defendant Isiah Taylor 285160, and moves this Honorable Court to dismiss Defendant's counsel, Jordan Savage, and appoint alternate counsel to represent Defendant.

This Motion is based upon all papers, pleadings, and documents on file.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

It is respectfully requested of this court to grant this Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel for the reasons listed below:

1 Since Jordan Savage was appointed as counsel on 8-23-20, Defendant 2 has been prejudiced and suffered manifest injustice based on counsel's refusal or failure to: 3 Accept the Fact I never wanted nim on 4 my case from the very beginning. He had my fasty 5 Speedy trial waived and I did not give him consent 6 at all I did not even know what was going on when it 7 got walved. He also try to find every way for me to take a deal instead of finding a way to fight my 9 case. He recently come to see and I felt like I forced to take a deal with no time to think about 11 anything I was rushed into taking a dig I 12 did not want to take at all and I felt rushed 13 into & signing the paper. I honestly felt this men has been against me from the beginning 15 I Seriously do not want to feel forced to 16 take a deal for Something I did not do 17 I this just wanted a fair chance with 18 proper representation behind me pleuse understand 19 my converns please I should never felt forced 20 by someone who was suppose to be welping me 21 that's was too much the for me to be taking 22 especially to to did not do the come I reello 23 was stored to go to trial with this defense I 24 14st know he wouldn't have given his best 25 effort please look into this matter please and 26 May God Bless 27 28

II. ARGUMENT

2	Defendant, Isigh Taylor #2889160 asserts that he/she is being denied his/her right		
3	to effective representation due to wholly inadequate actions of his/her court-appointed counsel.		
4	Further, counsel's actions constitute a violation of the Defendant's due process rights under the		
5	following cases, statutes, and/or rules of professional conduct:		
6	Young V. Nev 120 Nev 963, 102 P30 572,120 Nev. Adv		
7	Key 98.2604 Nev. 120 Nev. 963.102 P30 572.120 Nev. Adv		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	WHEREFORE, the undersigned prays that the court grant Defendant's Motion to		
23	Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel.		
24	DATED THIS 17 day of June, 2021.		
25	DATED THIS 11 day of 300/2 , 20 21.		
26	Respectfully submitted,		
27	+21 +1 #2889160		
28	Defendant Defendant		
	II		

CCDC 33D S. Casino Center Blud Las Vegas, NV 89101 15/ah Taylor 2889160 © USPS 2016 THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA SENT FROM THE Clerk of the Court Stevents
Eight Dudicial District Court
Zoo Lewis Ave
Las Vegas, NV 89155 1 JUL 2021 PM 3 L LAS VEGAS NV 890

Electronically Filed 8/23/2021 8:39 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

MATSUDA LAW, Ltd. JESS Y. MATSUDA, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10929 228 S. Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101 P: (702) 383-0506 F: (702) 825-2688 Attorney for Defendant ISAIH TAYLOR

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

VS.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MATSUDA LAW

ISAIH TAYLOR,

Defendant.

Case No.: C-20-348230-1

Dept. No.: I

MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA

LILA

Hearing Date: August 30, 2021

Hearing Time: 8:30 A.M.

Defendant ISAIH TAYLOR, by and through his attorney, JESS MATSUDA, and, pursuant to NRS 176.165 and the United States and Nevada Constitutions, moves this Honorable Court to withdraw his guilty plea entered on July 1, 2021, on the following grounds:

- (1) ISAIH TAYLOR's plea was not knowingly and intelligently entered; and
- (2) Allowing the plea to stand would be fundamentally unfair.

This Motion is made and based upon the papers and pleadings on file herein, the Points and Authorities which follow, and arguments of counsel.

DATED this 22nd day of August, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

JESS MATSUDA, ESQ Attorney for Defendant

recomey for Defen

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On May 5th, 2020, Mr. Taylor was charged by way of Indictment on one (1) count of Burglary (Category B Felony – NRS 205.060 – NOC 50424 and one (1) count of Coercion Sexually Motivated (Category B Felony – NRS 207.190, 175.547, 207.193 – NOC 55532). On July 1st, 2021, a Guilty Plea Agreement was filed on behalf of Mr. Taylor. Mr. Taylor pled guilty by way of Alford to two (2) counts of Attempt Sexual Assault (Category B Felony – NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.330 – NOC 50119). Pursuant to the Guilty Plea Agreement, the parties stipulated to four (4) to twenty (20) years in the Nevada Department of Corrections.

II. LAW

The question of a defendant's guilt or innocence is not put in issue with a motion to withdraw a plea of guilty. State v. District Court, 85 Nev. 381, 385 (1969); Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 502 (1984); Kercheval v. United States, 274 U.S. 220, 224 (1927). The validity of a defendant's guilty plea must be challenged in the first instance in the sentencing court by way of a motion to withdraw the plea or by way of a petition for post-conviction relief. Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. 268, 272 (1986). "[T]he test is essentially factual in nature, and is thus best suited to trial court review in the first instance." Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. at 272. "[T]he burden [is] on the defendant to establish that his plea was not entered knowingly and intelligently" or that it was the product of coercion. Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. at 272; Gardner v. State, 91 Nev. 443, 446-47 (1975). The decision of the trial court to allow a defendant to withdraw his guilty plea "is discretionary and will not be reversed unless there has been a clear abuse of that discretion." State v. District Court, 85 Nev. at 385; State v. Adams, 94 Nev. 503, 505 (1978); Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. at 272.

III. ARGUMENT

A. ALLOWING MR. TAYLOR TO WITHDRAW HIS PLEA IS FAIR AND JUST.

27

28

"A motion to withdraw a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere may be made only before sentence is imposed or imposition of sentence is suspended. To correct manifest injustice, the court after sentence may set aside the judgment of conviction and permit the defendant to withdraw the plea." NRS 176.165. Thus, "A district court may, in its discretion, grant a defendant's motion to withdraw a guilty plea for any 'substantial reason' if it is 'fair and just." Molina v. State, 87 P.3d 533, 537 (Nev 2004). A court must examine the totality of the circumstances to determine whether a defendant entered his plea voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently. Molina, 87 P.3d at 537. "In reviewing an attack on a guilty plea a court must consider whether the plea was voluntarily entered as well as whether, considered as a whole, the process by which the plea was obtained was fundamentally fair." Taylor v. Warden, 96 Nev. at 274.

More recently, the Nevada Supreme Court also opined that whether a plea was entered voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently is not the only consideration in whether the withdrawal of the plea is "fair and just," and held that the Court must consider the totality of the circumstances in determining whether withdrawal of the plea is fair and just. Stevenson v. State, 131 Nev. Adv. 61, 2015.

A reviewing court need not look only to the technical sufficiency of a plea canvass to determine whether a plea has been entered with a true understanding of the nature of the offense charged. Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. at 271. The court may "review the entire record, and look to the totality of the facts and circumstances of a defendant's case, to determine whether a defendant entered his plea with an actual understanding of the nature of the charges against him." Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. at 271; Stocks v. Warden, 86 Nev. at 761 ("The voluntariness of [a defendant's] plea is to be determined by considering all relevant circumstances surrounding it").

Additionally, one of the purposes of the application of the "fair and just" analysis is to allow a defendant to withdraw his plea where it is made with "unsure heart and confused mind." Stevenson, 131 Nev. Adv. 61, quoting U.S. v. Alexander, 948 F.2d 1002, 1004 (6th Cir. 1991). Moreover, the circumstances surrounding the defendant's entry of plea, the inducements to plead guilty including strength of evidence and judicial rulings, and the amount of time passing between the entry of plea and the indication that the defendant wishes to withdraw are all important considerations. Id.

Here, the totality of circumstances shows that Mr. Taylor wishes to withdraw his plea for fair and just reasons. Mr. Taylor was not in the right state of mind when he accepted this plea deal.

On July 1, 2021, the day Mr. Taylor was canvassed by this Court, Mr. Taylor represents that he had taken medication the night before and felt drowsy, confused, and generally didn't understand what was going on. Additionally, Mr. Taylor represents that his understanding of the Guilty Plea Agreement (GPA) was that he was stipulating to probation, and that he never received a physical copy of the GPA, so he did not have a chance to review the document in its entirety prior to the plea canvass. For these reasons, Mr. Taylor wishes to withdraw his plea as it was not entered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Defendant Isaih Taylor respectfully requests that this Court allow him to withdraw his guilty plea and set this matter for trial at a date convenient to this Court.

DATED this 22nd day of August, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

JESS MATSUDA Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am a person competent to serve papers, that I am not a party to the above-entitled action, and that on the 13th day of August, 2021, I served the forgoing document on:

Clark County District Attorney's Office

Via E-Mail: motions@clarkcountyda.com

An Employee of

MATSUDA LAW, LTD.

Electronically Filed 8/24/2021 10:40 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **OPPS** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 LINDSEY MOORS Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #012232 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 9 10 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 11 Plaintiff, 12 -VS-CASE NO: C-20-348230-1 13 **ISIAH TAYLOR, #2889160** DEPT NO: Ι 14 Defendant. 15 16 DATE OF HEARING: AUGUST 30, 2021 TIME OF HEARING: 8:30 A.M. 17 18 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA 19 20 COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney, 21 through LINDSEY MOORS, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and hereby submits the attached 22 Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion, 23 This Opposition is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the 24 attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if 25 deemed necessary by this Honorable Court. /// 26 27 /// 28 ///

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS RELEVANT TO THIS OPPOSITION

Defendant, ISIAH TAYLOR was initially charged by way of Indictment with three count of SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366 - NOC 50095) and one count of ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category B Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.330 - NOC 50119). The crimes occurred on or about October 16, 2015. The victim is F.B.

On October 16, 2015. F.B. called Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) to report that she was a victim of a sexual assault by a black male adult known to her as Defendant. F.B. knew Defendant as he was her cousins' ex-boyfriend. Defendant had just been released from Clark County Detention Center after serving eight months on a Misdemeanor Battery Constituting Domestic Violence.

F.B. heard someone knocking at her door and realized it was Defendant. He wanted to come pick up his property that he believed was at her apartment. She refused to let him into her residence as she doesn't have any of his. F.B. then laid down at approximately 10:30 and was starting to fall asleep when she heard noises coming from the window that was next to the front door. F.B. said her window had been left unlocked by her other cousin who had stayed at the apartment the previous night. Defendant ran into her bedroom. F.B. tried to call 911 but Defendant knocked the phone out of her hand.

Defendant held her down on the bed with his hands on her chest and was very rough. He pulled her dress off and pulled her legs apart. He said, "I ain't had it in a long time and you ain't had it in a long time so you're gonna take this dick." He then penetrated her vagina digitally and performed cunnilingus on her. He then penetrated her vagina with his penis for approximately 15-20 minutes. He attempted to put his penis in her anus, but she began screaming so he stopped. She thought he ejaculated but was unsure if he used a condom. After the assault, Defendant went into her bathroom and washed his face, wiping it on a towel in her bathroom. He then left the apartment.

///

F.B. immediately called police. She was transported to University Medical Center to a have a full sexual assault examination. Investigators responded to the scene and took photos. The bedding was impounded, as was the towel from F.B.'s bathroom. Adult hand and fingerprints were found on the window that F.B. said Defendant came through.

Detectives attempt to make contact with Defendant but were unsuccessful. F.B.'s cousin, who was Defendant's alleged ex-girlfriend, told police she believed F.B. was lying. The case was closed pending forensics and fingerprint analysis.

On May 25, 2017, a Latent Print Report was received from LVMPD Forensic Laboratory that showed the several palm and fingerprints lifted from the exterior sliding window were identified as a match belonging to Defendant. In January of 2018, the results of the sexual assault kit were returned. It was reported the cervical swabs and vaginal swabs had male DNA indicated, but due to the presence of high levels of total human DNA compared to male, DNA, the samples were not processed for STR analysis. The rectal swabs were inconclusive. Now that all forensics were returned, the case was reopened and assigned to a sexual assault cold case detective. The detective requested that the towel taken from F.B.'s apartment be analyzed.

Defendant was located in Ohio where he was in custody on unrelated Rape and Kidnapping charges. With the help of the police and courts in Ohio, a search warrant for Defendant's buccal was procured and served on Defendant. After being read his *Miranda* rights, Defendant stated that he never went to her house when he got out of jail. He denied having consensual sex with her or ever forcing F.B. to have sexual intercourse with him. He admitted to stopping by with his ex-girlfriend at certain points during their relationship, but he never stayed long. He made multiple references to F.B.'s appearance, stating he would never want to "touch that."

After receiving the reference standard, the LVMPD Forensic Laboratory concluded that there was one male contributor in both the epithelial and sperm fractions found on the towel. The report concluded that the probability of randomly selecting an unrelated individual from the general population have a DNA profile that is consistent with the partial, deduced DNA

profile obtained from the sperm fraction taken from the towel is approximately 1 in 318 septillion (318 \times 10²⁴).

On May 26, 2021, a <u>Franks</u> hearing was held in this matter to introduce evidence of prior bad acts for propensity purposes in a sexual assault case. On that date, the State's Motion to Admit Prior Bad Acts was granted. Upon granting of the Motion, Defendant's prior Defense counsel contacted the State to resolve the case. The older offer of 3 to 8 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections (never probation) had been revoked on the record prior to the <u>Franks</u> hearing, and new offer of 4-20 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections was then discussed by the parties.

On June 4, 2021, after entering into plea negotiations with the State, Defendant entered a guilty plea, pursuant to North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970). The terms of the plea agreement are such that the parties stipulate to four (4) to twenty (20) years in the Nevada Department of Prisons. On August 23, 2021, Defendant filed a Moton to Withdraw Guilty Plea. The State's Opposition follows.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

I.

<u>DEFENDANT PRESENTS NO LEGITIMATE GROUNDS UPON WHICH TO WITHDRAW HIS PLEA</u>

NRS 176.165 states in pertinent part:

Except as otherwise provided in the section, a motion to withdraw a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill of nolo contendere may be made only before sentencing is imposed or imposition of sentence is suspended. To correct manifest injustice, the court after sentence may set aside the judgment of conviction and permit the defendant to withdraw his plea.

The law in Nevada clearly establishes that a plea of guilty is presumptively valid and the burden is on the defense to show that the plea was not voluntarily entered. Wingfield v. State, 91 Nev. 336 (1975). A defendant who seeks to withdraw a plea of guilty must show good cause therefore, as a plea of guilty is presumptively valid. Wynn v. State, 96 Nev. 673, 675, 615 P.2d 946, 947 (1980). The case of Patton v. Warden, 91 Nev. 1 (1975) suggests that

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

the presence and advice of counsel is a significant factor in determining the voluntariness of a plea of guilty. Furthermore, the Nevada Supreme Court makes it clear in the case of Heffley v. Warden, 89 Nev. 573 (1973) that the guidelines for voluntariness of pleas of guilty "do not require the articulation of talismanic phrases." It required only that the record must affirmatively disclose that a defendant who pled guilty entered his plea understandingly and voluntarily. Brady v. United States, 397 U.S. 742 (1970)."

In Wilson v. State, 99 Nev. 362 (1983), the Nevada Supreme Court stated:

In Higby v. Sheriff, 86 Nev. 774, 476 P.2d 959 (1970), concluded that certain minimum requirements must be met when a judge canvasses a defendant regarding the voluntariness of a guilty plea. We held that the record must affirmatively show the following: 1) the defendant knowingly waived his privilege against self-incrimination, the right to trial by jury, and the right to confront his accusers; 2) the plea was voluntary, was not coerced, and was not the result of a promise of leniency; 3) the defendant understood the consequences of his plea and the range of punishment; and 4) the defendant understood the nature of the charge, i.e., the elements of the crime. <u>Id</u>. at 781, 476 P.2d at 963. As to this last requirement, we subsequently held that in order for the record to show an understanding of the nature of the charge it is necessary that there be a showing that the defendant has made factual statements to the court which constitutes in admission to the pleaded offense. Hanley v. State, Nev. 130, 135, 624, P.2d 1287, 1290 (1981). The Court reviewing the validity of a guilty plea may look at the entire record in order to determine whether a plea was entered knowingly and intelligently in light of all the circumstances. The Court may determine that the guilty plea is valid by reason of the plea canvass itself or under a 'totality of the circumstances approach'. Bryan v. State, 102 Nev. 268 (1986).

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that in order to properly accept a guilty plea the court must sufficiently canvass the defendant to determine if he knowingly and intelligently entered into the plea. Williams v. State, 102 Nev. 268, 721 P.2d 364 (1986). A determination of whether a defendant knowingly and intelligently entered into a plea must be made by using a totality of the circumstances approach. <u>Iverson v. State</u>, 107 Nev. 94, 99, 807 P.2d 1372 (1991) (citing Bryant v. State, 102. Nev. 268, Nev. 268, 721 P.2d 364 (1986)). The totality of the circumstances approach requires that the trial court review the entire record to determine whether the plea was valid. Mitchell v. State, 109 Nev. 137, 848 P.2d 1060, 1061 62 (1993).

Defendant fully understood the consequences of his guilty plea. Defendant voluntarily

signed a Guilty Plea Agreement on July 1, 2021. The Guilty Plea Agreement was filed in open court on that date, and after orally canvassing Defendant, the Court accepted Defendant's guilty plea. (A copy of the Guilty Plea Agreement, "GPA", is attached hereto as State's Exhibit "1"). The Guilty Plea Agreement extensively lists the consequences of the plea. *See* Taylor v. Warden, 96 Nev. 272, 275, 607 P.2d 587, 589 (1980) (Court held factor in determining voluntariness of plea is whether defendant understood consequences of his plea).

In addition, the Guilty Plea Agreement states that Defendant discussed with his attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in his favor and that all of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to him by his attorney. (See State's Exhibit "1", pp. 5-6).

Defendant also fully understood the nature of the charge against him. Attached to the Guilty Plea Agreement is Exhibit "1", which is a copy of the Third Amended Information listing the crime that Defendant pled guilty to committing. The Information lists all of the elements of the crime. Moreover, Defendant's counsel swore, under penalty of perjury and the threat of sanctions from the State Bar Association of Nevada, that he fully explained to Defendant the allegations contained in the charge to which the guilty plea is being entered.(See State's Exhibit "1", p. 7).

The transcript of the entry of plea in this matter, which his attached hereto as State's Exhibit "2", does not contain any information which would lead this Court to the conclusion that the Defendant did not enter into his plea freely and voluntarily, and with a complete understanding of what he was doing.

In Stevenson v. State, 354 P.3d 1277, 131 Nev. Adv. Rep. 61 (2015), the Nevada Supreme Court determined that district court must consider the totality of the circumstances to determine whether permitting withdrawal of a guilty plea before sentencing would be fair and just. In that case, the Court affirmed the Judgment pursuant to a guilty plea of two counts of attempt sexual assault finding the following:

Having determined that a district court may grant a defendant's motion to withdraw his guilty plea before sentencing for any reason where permitting withdrawal would be fair and just, we

27

28

turn now to the reasons Stevenson has given as to why withdrawal was warranted. The crux of Stevenson's argument below as to why he should be allowed to withdraw his plea was that the members of his defense team lied about the existence of the video in order to induce him to plead guilty. The district court considered this contention and gave Stevenson considerable leeway to demonstrate how he was lied to or misled. Stevenson struggled to articulate a cohesive response, pointing instead to circumstances which, viewed in context, were neither inconsistent nor suspicious. After considering Stevenson's arguments, as well as the testimony presented at the multiple evidentiary hearings, the district court found that no one lied to Stevenson about the time it would take to determine whether the video could be extracted or otherwise misled him in any way. The district court also found that Stevenson's testimony in this regard was not credible. We must give deference to these findings so long as they are supported by the record, see Little v. Warden, 117 Nev. 845, 854, 34 P.3d 540, 546 (2001) (giving deference to factual findings made by the district court in the course of a motion to withdraw a guilty plea), which they are. Based on these findings, withdrawal was not warranted on this ground.

Id., 354 P.3d 1277 at 1281.

The Court went on to state:

Similarly, unconvincing is Stevenson's contention that he was coerced into pleading guilty based on the compounded pressures of the district court's "erroneous" evidentiary ruling regarding his motion to suppress the video, standby counsel's pressure to negotiate a plea, and time constraints. We need not consider whether the lower court's ruling regarding the video was correct, because even assuming it was not, undue coercion occurs when "a defendant is induced by promises or threats which deprive the plea of the nature of a voluntary act," Doe v. Woodford, 508 F.3d 563, 570 (9th Cir. 2007) (internal quotation marks omitted), not where a court makes a ruling later determined to be incorrect, see generally Brady v. United States, 397 U.S. 742, 757, 90 S.Ct. 1463, 25 L. Ed. 2d 747 (1970)("[A] voluntary plea of guilty intelligently made in the light of the then applicable law does not become vulnerable because later judicial decisions indicate that the plea rested on a faulty premise."). Moreover, time constraints and pressure from interested parties exist in every criminal case, and there is no indication in the record that their presence here prevented Stevenson from making a voluntary

25

26

27

28

and intelligent choice among the options available. See Doe, 508 F.3d at 570 ("The test for determining whether a plea is valid is whether the plea represents a voluntary and intelligent choice among the alternative courses of action open to the defendant." (internal quotation marks omitted)); Miles v. Dorsey, 61 F.3d 1459, 1470 (10th Cir. 1995). "Although deadlines, mental anguish, depression, and stress are inevitable hallmarks of pretrial plea discussions, such factors considered individually or in aggregate do not establish that [a defendant's] plea was involuntary.").

Id., 354 P.3d 1277 at 1281. (Emphasis added)

The Court concluded:

Finally, we reject Stevenson's implied contention that withdrawal was warranted because he made an impulsive decision to plead guilty without knowing, definitively, whether the video could be viewed. Stevenson did not move to withdraw his plea for several months, which contradicts his suggestion that he entered his plea in a state of temporary confusion while in the throes of discovering that the video was not easily accessible. See United States v. Alexander, 948 F.2d 1002, 1004 (6th Cir. 1991) (explaining that one of the goals of the fair and just analysis "is to allow a hastily entered plea made with unsure heart and confused mind to be undone, not to allow a defendant to make a tactical decision to enter a plea, wait several weeks, and then obtain a withdrawal if he believes that he made a bad choice in pleading guilty" (internal quotation marks omitted)); United States v. Barker, 514 F.2d 208, 222, 168 U.S. App. D.C. 312 (DC Cir. 1975). "A swift change of heart is itself strong indication that the plea was entered in haste and confusion[.]"). Most importantly, Stevenson relied upon the uncertainty surrounding the video as leverage to negotiate an extremely favorable plea despite the apparently strong evidence against him. See United States v. Ensminger, 567 F.3d 587, 593 (9th Cir. 2009)("The guilty plea is not a placeholder that reserves [a defendant's] right to our criminal system's incentives for acceptance of responsibility unless or until a preferable alternative later arises. Rather, it is a grave and solemn act, which is accepted only with care and discernment." (internal quotation marks omitted)).

Considering the totality of the circumstances, we have no difficulty in concluding that Stevenson failed to present a

sufficient reason to permit withdrawal of his plea. Permitting him to withdraw his plea under the circumstances would allow the solemn entry of a guilty plea to "become a mere gesture, a temporary and meaningless formality reversible at the defendant's whim." Barker, 514, F.2d at 221. This we cannot allow.

Id., 354 P.3d 1277 at 1281-1282.

A plea wherein the Defendant does not admit to the specific elements of the crime, like the one involved in this case, is acceptable when a defendant intelligently concludes that his interests require entry of a guilty plea and the record before the judge contains strong evidence of guilt. North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25, 91 S.Ct 160, (1970). Consistent with this decision the Supreme Court of Nevada has held that a judgment of conviction entered pursuant to a plea is constitutionally infirm when there is no evidence of actual guilt. Lyons v. State, 105 Nev. 317, 775 P.2d 219 (1989).

The trial court, "in accepting the plea, must determine that there is a factual basis for the plea, and he must further inquire into and seek to resolve the conflict between the waiver of trial and the claim of innocence." <u>Tiger v. State</u>, 98 Nev. 555, 654 P.2d 1031 (1982) (citing <u>Alford</u>, *supra*). In <u>Tiger</u>, the court found that the defendant did not admit facts constituting necessary elements of any crime that would support conviction. <u>Tiger</u>, 654 P.2d at 1033. There, the defendant admitted that he was present at the scene of the crime; that he voluntary entered the residence; that he knew that a shooting had occurred, and that he ran away. The court held that he did not admit facts constituting the necessary elements of first degree murder. Consequently, the court set the defendant's guilty plea agreement aside. <u>Id</u>. at 1033.

The record in the instant case contains a guilty plea agreement, discussed *supra*, pursuant to <u>Alford</u> decision which is signed by Defendant and indicates the rights waived by Defendant, as well as the consequences of entering a guilty plea. The agreement in cooperation with the canvassing, discussed *supra*, further provides that Defendants plea was clearly authorized and constitutional. All three of the requirements for a valid <u>Alford</u> plea were met when Defendant entered his plea. A sufficient factual basis existed, Defendant understood the charges against him, and the district court resolved the apparent conflict

 between his Defendant's plea and his claim of innocence.

Based on the totality of the circumstances, there was a sufficient factual basis for Defendant's plea. Moreover, there is no need for the Defendant himself to make or adopt the State's facts in order for an Alford plea to be valid. The court need only elicit a factual basis for the plea indicating strong evidence of Defendant's guilt. Here, the Defendant did not make a factual allegation of guilt. However, there was a detailed factual representation of the evidence the State would be able to prove if it were to take Defendant's case to trial. The plea clearly represents a voluntary and intelligent choice among the alternative courses of action open to the defendant. North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25, 31, 91 S.Ct. 160, 164 (1970).

In the Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, Defendant asserts that he wishes to withdraw his plea for the following reasons: (1) He took medication the night before his plea and felt drowsy, confused, and generally didn't understand what was going on. See Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, p. 4; (2) It was Defendant's understanding of the guilty plea that he was stipulating to probation. <u>Id</u>; and, (3) Defendant never received a physical copy of the GPA, so he didn't have a chance to review the document in its entirety prior to the plea canvass. <u>Id</u>.

Contrary to Defendant's assertion, the record reflects that Defendant was thoroughly canvassed by this Court at the time he entered his guilty plea and had ample opportunity to speak up about the issues he now raises in his motion, yet he did not do so. Defendant was specifically asked if he was under the influence of anything that would affect his plea, to which he responded, no.

Additionally, there is NO WAY Defendant thought he was getting probation as there had never been a probation offer in this matter and prior Defense counsel made Defendant well aware that after the Frank's hearing allowed the state to admit prior bad acts for propensity purposes, a resolution was in Defendant's best interest. This is especially true as the prior bad act evidence was not only attested to by the victim but was CAUGHT ON VIDEO. The reality is that this Defendant is suffering from buyer's remorse and nothing more. Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Plea is without any legal or factual support, and the record is devoid of any

1	evidence in support of Defendant's bare allegations.
2	<u>CONCLUSION</u>
3	Based upon the above, the State respectfully requests Defendant's Motion to Withdraw
4	Guilty Plea be DENIED.
5	DATED this <u>H</u> day of August, 2021.
6	Respectfully submitted,
7 8	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
9	Nevada Bar #001565
10	BY /WA
11	LINDSEY MOORS Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #012232
12	Nevada Bar #012232
13	
14	
15	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
16	I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this 24 day of
17	August, 2021, to:
18 19	JESS Y. MATSUDA, ESQ. Email Address:jess@jesslaw.com
20	Miller 1 Par Mars
21	BY WILLIAM OF COMMENT
22	Sedretary for the District Attorney's Office Special Victims Unit
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	19F23502X/LM/mlb/SVU

Electronically Filed 10/28/2021 12:26 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **RTRAN** 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C-20-348230-1 DEPT. NO. 1 9 VS. 10 ISIAH TAYLOR, 11 Defendant. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CRISTINA D. SILVA FOR THE HONORABLE 14 BITA YEAGER, DISTRICT JUDGE 15 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 6, 2021 AT 8:52 A.M. 16 **RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:** 17 MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATE COUNSEL 18 19 APPEARANCES: 20 GENEVIEVE C. CRAGGS, ESQ. FOR THE STATE: **Deputy District Attorney** 21 22 FOR THE DEFENDANT: JORDAN S. SAVAGE, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender 23 24

Recorded by: LISA A. LIZOTTE, COURT RECORDER

25

* * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

LISA A. LIZOTTE Court Recorder

Electronically Filed 10/28/2021 12:28 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **RTRAN** 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C-20-348230-1 DEPT. NO. 1 9 VS. 10 ISIAH TAYLOR, 11 Defendant. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE BITA YEAGER, DISTRICT JUDGE 14 MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 2021 AT 8:33 A.M. 15 **RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:** 16 MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATE COUNSEL STATUS CHECK: WITHDRAWAL OF PLEA 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** 19 FOR THE STATE: BRANDON ALBRIGHT, ESQ. 20 **Deputy District Attorney** 21 FOR THE DEFENDANT: JESS Y. MATSUDA, ESQ. 22 23 24 25

Recorded by: LISA A. LIZOTTE, COURT RECORDER

(MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 2021 AT 8:33 A.M.)

THE COURT: Okay. So this is on State of Nevada versus Isiah

Taylor, C-20-348230-1. Mr. Smith, are you handling this or is someone else from your office handling this case?

MR. SMITH: I'm not handling it. It looks like Ms. Moors or Mr. Albritton (sic) will be handling it.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what time they will be showing up?

MR. SMITH: I do not. I can send them a text.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we'll just trail this as well. Are those the only two that you have, Mr. Matsuda? Oh, I couldn't hear you.

Yes? Okay.

MR. MATSUDA: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Okay. So my apologies. We'll just be trailing those two for a minute while we wait. Thank you.

MR. MATSUDA: No problem. Thank you, Your Honor.

(Whereupon, the matter was trailed and then recalled at 8:41 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. So this is on for State of Nevada versus Isiah Taylor, C-20-348230-1. This is on the motion to withdraw plea. There's also the motion to dismiss counsel and appoint counsel. So I was looking at the pleadings, I also went through the canvass and if there's anything that either side would like to highlight I can certainly take that into account, but I will tell you that it does look like there was a thorough canvass and it does -- you know, looking at the factors under *Stevenson v State*, I don't find that it has been met. So, but, you know, I'll certainly allow -- Mr. Matsuda, you can certainly argue.

motion.

MR. MATSUDA: And, Your Honor, I was going to submit on my

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So let me just go through exactly the wording that I looked at as far as the canvass, and I took this directly from the transcript. I note that Judge Silva said, the parties are stipulating to a 4 to 20 year sentence in the Nevada Department of Corrections. Is that your understanding of the negotiations. To which Mr. Taylor replied, yes, ma'am. She also asked, are you currently under the influence of any drug, medication or alcoholic beverage. Mr. Taylor replied, no. She also asked, are you making this plea both freely and voluntarily. He replied, yes.

She asked, has anyone forced or threatened you or has anyone close to you been forced or threatened -- or has anyone close to you forced or threatened you to get you to take this plea. Mr. Taylor replied, no. She asked, has anyone made any promises to you outside the terms of this written guilty plea agreement in order for you to take this plea. Mr. Taylor replied, no. Before you signed it, did you read it. Mr. Taylor replied, yes. And do you feel that you had sufficient time to review the agreement and talk to your attorney about it. To which Mr. Taylor said, did I have time. She said, did you have sufficient time, enough time. He replied, yes.

Also she asked specifically, do you also understand that you are agreeing to a term of 4 to 20 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections. To which he replied, yes. So I do find that the transcript belies the assertions that were made by Mr. Taylor, so I'm going to deny the motion to withdraw plea. I'm also --

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor -- Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: I was under the influence. I didn't want to say anything because I was afraid. I did -- I did take meds that I shouldn't had took due to -- I didn't know that I was going to see my Public Defender or whatever. I was not coherent to anything that was going on. The only thing I know was to just go with what was said, and I --

THE COURT: So, Mr. Taylor, I --

THE DEFENDANT: -- do -- I did --

THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, I understand that you're -- those are your allegations and those are your assertions, but the transcript, the record completely does not show that. So that is the reason why I am denying the motion regarding the withdrawal of plea, and I'm also going to deny the motion to dismiss counsel and appoint new counsel. So, Mr. Albright, could you prepare the order on that?

MR. ALBRIGHT: Yes. Do you want one on both motions or just the motion to withdraw plea?

THE COURT: On both, please.

MR. ALBRIGHT: On both. Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ALBRIGHT: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. MATSUDA: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded.)

* * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

LISA A. LIZOTTE Court Recorder

Susi a Lizatto

Electronically Filed 09/03/2021 3:51 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ORDR** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 **BRANDON ALBRIGHT** Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #014158 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 8 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 11 Plaintiff, 12 -VS-CASE NO: C-20-348230-1 13 ISIAH TAYLOR, DEPT NO: I #2889160 14 Defendant. 15 16 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT COUNSEL AND MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA 17 DATE OF HEARING: 08/30/2021 18 TIME OF HEARING: 8:30 A.M. 19 THIS MATTER having come on for hearing before the above entitled Court on the 20 30th day of August, 2021, the Defendant being present, Represented by JESS MATSUDA. 21 ESQ., the Plaintiff being represented by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney, through 22 BRANDON ALBRIGHT, Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having heard the 23 arguments of counsel and good cause appearing therefor, 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

1	JI	fendant's motions, shall be, and it is DEN
2	DATED this day of September	, 2021 . Dated this 3rd day of September, 2021
3		Dota Yeager
4		
5	STEVEN B. WOLFSON	D49 E9B 888D 8E43 Bita Yeager District Court Judge
6	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	District Court Juage
7		
8	BY BRANDON ALBRIGHT	
9	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #014158	
10	1,0,4,4,4,2,4,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2728	19F23502X/mlb/SVU	
40	191/233027411110/3 V O	

Electronically Filed 11/2/2021 8:48 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO: C-20-348230-1 8 DEPT. XXIII Plaintiff, 9 VS. 10 ISIAH TAYLOR, 11 Defendant. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JASMIN LILLY-SPELLS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2021 14 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: **SENTENCING** 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 For the State: LINDSEY D. MOORS, ESQ. 19 Chief Deputy District Attorney 20 21 JORDAN S. SAVAGE, ESQ. For the Defendant: 22 Special Public Defender 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: MARIA GARIBAY, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; Wednesday, September 22, 2021

[Proceeding commenced at 12:02 p.m.]

THE COURT: Page 13, State of Nevada versus Isaih Taylor, that's C348230. Good morning, Mr. [indiscernible] is in custody, time set for sentencing. Any legal reason or cause that we can't move forward.

MR. SAVAGE: No, Your Honor, we're ready to proceed.

MS. MOORS: None from the State, Your Honor. Lindsey

Moors on behalf of the State. And I do have a victim speaker. I would
request that she go last pursuant to statute.

THE COURT: I did not know that you had a victim speaker. I'm going to trail you just briefly, so I can try to get some of the --

MS. MOORS: I promise it will be brief. I just know that she's been here since --

THE COURT: Yeah, I had a -- we had a child sentencing that I wasn't handling that went substantially long. So, just one moment, and I will call you back up.

[Matter trailed at 12:02 p.m.]

[Matter recalled at 12:36 p.m.]

THE COURT: Page 13, State of Nevada versus Isaih Taylor, that's C348230. While counsels are coming up, I just want to quickly inquire with the officers. Officers, after Mr. Taylor, are there any other individuals who you show to be in custody who I have not yet called?

THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: No, Your Honor. That's the last one.

1	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.		
2	MR. SAVAGE: Jordan Savage on behalf of Isaih Taylor,		
3	Special Public Defender's Office. We are ready to proceed.		
4	MS. MOORS: Lindsey Moors on behalf of the State.		
5	THE COURT: Time set for sentencing. Any legal reason or		
6	cause that we can't move forward?		
7	MR. SAVAGE: No, we're ready.		
8	MS. MOORS: No, Your Honor.		
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Moors, do you wish to be		
10	heard?		
11	MS. MOORS: Your Honor, we would stand by the		
12	negotiations, whereby it was a stipulated sentence of 4 to 20 years in		
13	the Nevada Department of Corrections.		
14	THE COURT: I do have one question. Did these two		
15	individuals know each other? It reads as if they're strangers.		
16	MR. SAVAGE: They know each other.		
17	MS. MOORS: In they did know each other, yes.		
18	THE COURT: Okay, so prior to the events, they knew each		
19	other?		
20	MS. MOORS: Correct, yes.		
21	THE COURT: And what was their relationship, if any?		
22	MS. MOORS: I believe he was an ex-boyfriend of her friend.		
23	THE COURT: Ex-boyfriend of		
24	THE DEFENDANT: Her cousin.		
25	MR. SAVAGE: Her cousin.		

MS. MOORS: They knew each other tangentially through that.

THE COURT: Okay. So, ex-boyfriend of her cousin. Okay, thank you.

Mr. Taylor, is there anything you'd like to tell me prior to sentencing, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm really not guilty of this at all. I'm not guilty of it at all. Only reason why I even took a deal was because my representation was not -- he was not trying to represent me in any way, shape, form, or fashion. And if I wanted to go to trial -- with everything in me, I wanted to go to trial. But that man is not trying to help me.

And from when we talked in the room, I did have a understanding of taking a plea to something probationable. And now I'm sitting up here looking at prison time. I don't understand. For something they don't have evidence on that I have done -- don't have no evidence to say I've done anything to this woman at all. And I'm finna' [sic] sit up here and go to prison for something that I didn't even do. Although I know it may look bad, but when you break it down to the evidence of what was said that I have done to this lady, I -- there was no evidence shown that I've done anything to this lady.

That man is -- he violated my Sixth Amendment right. He waived my fast and speedy trial, and I did not tell him to. Only thing I knew is I came to court and my fast and speedy trial was waived. This

man has not been trying to help me. I've been trying to get him off my case. And he -- they denied it.

THE COURT: Okay, sir, one moment, okay?

THE DEFENDANT: And he tells me that -- yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: I'm not familiar with the record of your arraignment with regard to invoking or waiving, but I can tell you that most Courts are not going to allow an attorney to waive without a statement from the Defendants.

THE DEFENDANT: Ma'am when I tried to -- when I tried to speak --

THE COURT: One moment, sir. I'm just trying to look at one thing.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: This was an *Alford* plea, so when you entered your plea, you indicated that you do not admit the elements of the offense, but you recognize that trial would be more risky. This appears to be signed by yourself. Mr. Taylor, did you sign this Guilty Plea Agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am, I did. But I did not get a copy of the plea agreement at all. I didn't get it until after I put in a motion to either --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: -- read or see what was being said to me. Because when he was in front of me, I did not understand anything he was saying.

would have known that -- he didn't tell me at all that looking into a settlement conference that waives your fast and speedy trial. That's not even how it's supposed to go.

He never told me that, so I know I went -- supposed to been going for a settlement conference and I come to court and next thing you know, they saying I waived. And I didn't know nothing about it. Prior to me talking to this man -- before -- the day before we even came into court, he didn't even -- he agreed with me that, no, my stuff wouldn't have been waived. And then I come to court and it's waived.

THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, I definitely appreciate your statements, and I recognize that you are frustrated. But as both counsel reminded me, this issue as to withdrawing the plea has already been litigated. There is a Court Order denying that motion. So, we do have to move forward to sentencing.

You are eligible to explore what other options you have after sentencing. With regard to --

THE DEFENDANT: What option?

THE COURT: One moment, let me finish, right?

With regard to what's before me today, which is sentencing you, there is an agreement for this sentence. I did advise Judge Yeager, as well as the parties, that my understanding was that there had been some communication that the Court said that it would follow the stipulated agreement.

In reviewing the facts of it, albeit you indicate that you did not commit this offense, that's what you've told me this morning, and it is an

Alford plea, I think the stipulated sentence is a little low. However, I do believe that it is significant when parties go into something having an understanding. And so, I did agree that I would go ahead and follow that stipulated sentence.

That being said, is there anything that you'd like to tell me with regard to sentencing? Because we're past the arguments that you have right now. Those are not anything that this Court can do anything about today. You have to look into your options to see whether you have any available options after today.

Is there anything you want to tell me about sentencing? THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for speaking today. Okay? And I hope that in time you will be less frustrated with regard to this situation.

MR. SAVAGE: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Savage.

MR. SAVAGE: -- just briefly. He obviously pled out for his maintaining his innocence. And of course, the case has had a tortured history, our relationship has had a tortured history as well. But we did do the stipulation, and we plan to abide by it and would submit it on the 4 to 20-year aggregate sentence that has been agreed to.

THE COURT: Thank you. And --

MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor. I would just be -- I would just ask that our victim be allowed to speak as well.

THE COURT: Oh, yes. Please go ahead and have her come

1	up. And thank you, Miss, I appreciate your patience this morning.	
2	MS. MOORS: Where do you want her? At the podium or do	
3	you want her	
4	THE COURT: If she can stand next to you. Miss, do you fee	
5	more comfortable standing next to Ms. Moors, or do you want to come	
6	up to the witness stand? Whatever your preference is.	
7	MS. MOORS: You can just stand there.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and raise your right hand,	
9	please. We'll have you sworn in.	
10	FELITA BURTON	
11	[having been called as a victim impact speaker and being first duly	
12	sworn, testified as follows:]	
13	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.	
14	THE COURT: Thank you.	
15	THE COURT CLERK: And can you please state and spell	
16	your first and last names for the record?	
17	VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Felita Burton, F-E-L-I-T-A, B-U-	
18	R-T-O-N.	
19	THE COURT: Thank you.	
20	THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.	
21	THE COURT: Miss, are you more comfortable seated or	
22	standing?	
23	VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Standing.	
24	THE COURT: Okay. Just go ahead and pull that mic a little	
25	bit closer to you. With the masks, it's a little bit difficult to hear. Make	

do?

sure that you -- we want to make sure we're picking up everything.

Please tell me how this has affected you and anything else you'd like me to know and consider with regard to the sentencing here.

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: It affected me because it's hard for me to make contact with guys. I'm very leery about who I talk to and who I'm with. I went through a phase where my family didn't believe what happened, and it was so many times I wanted to give up on the case just to like let it go because it went on so long.

THE COURT: Mm-hmm. How are you doing now?

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: I'm nervous. I'm very upset about it.

THE COURT: You're still very upset about it?

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: Have you had the ability to seek any counseling? Have you had the ability to seek any counseling and talk to someone about this offense?

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that something that you think would be helpful to you?

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Yeah.

THE COURT: And you think that's something you'd want to

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And has anyone from the District Attorney's Office or the Victim Witness Advocacy Office, have they

1	spoken to you?		
2	You can't hear me, very well?		
3	Is there something wrong with our mics today because I am		
4	like screaming?		
5	THE COURT RECORDER: I can hear very well, Judge, but		
6	we have some headphones.		
7	THE COURT: Okay.		
8	THE COURT RECORDER: Does she want a headset,		
9	Judge? I can give her headphones.		
10	THE COURT: No, it's not just her. Everyone has had		
11	difficulty hearing me. It's obviously me. Okay.		
12	Has anyone from the District Attorney's Office from their		
13	Victim Witness Advocacy Office, have they contacted you?		
14	VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Not as I know of.		
15	THE COURT: Okay.		
16	MS. MOORS: And Your Honor, actually one of our advocates		
17	is here with her today. So, we can certainly have that discussion. I think		
18	it has been had before with regards to counseling.		
19	THE COURT: Okay, so there is an advocate here present in		
20	the courtroom who you can discuss maybe some options for treatment		
21	moving forward.		
22	VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Yeah, I just want to move		
23	forward with my life.		
24	THE COURT: Okay.		
25	VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: And I forgive him for what		

happened. It took me a long time to get to that point. But he did climb through my window. And I told, you know, after the assault that I was going to call the police. He told me, I bet you are. And I did it anyway.

THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: So -- and I went through a phase with him when he was with my cousin, and he beat my -- he beat up on my cousin. I had to call the police for her. And I been extremely kind to him. When I lived in Columbus, Ohio, I let him stay in the same house with me and my cousin and had fun and whatever. They stayed too -- in my apartment in Las Vegas, they stayed two times. I been extremely kind to him. He hasn't been kind to me. He didn't pay any rent or anything. Nobody helped me out or nothing.

So, like I said, it's hard for me to speak about it.

THE COURT: Okay, that's completely understandable. If it's too difficult to speak, you don't have to tell me anything else. If you have something else you want to say, just let me know.

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: And I remember after the assault, I kept telling him to stop, you know, stop, I don't want you touching me. And I already told you he climbed in my window. He was banging at my door and I asked him to go away.

THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: But instead, he climbed through my window. His fingerprints were on my window -- on my window.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm terribly sorry that you had to experience this. I can see you're shaking today. And there is someone

in the courtroom who you can talk to about your different options, so hopefully you can get maybe some help that you need to be able to move forward. Okay?

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for coming into court and letting me know how you feel today.

VICTIM IMPACT SPEAKER: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

MS. MOORS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything from either counsel based upon that statement?

MS. MOORS: Nothing further from the State, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada, Mr. Taylor, you're hereby adjudged guilty of attempt sexual assault, a felony, two counts. As to Count 1, you're sentenced to a minimum term of 48 months, a maximum term of 240 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. As to Count 2, you're sentenced to a minimum term of 48 months, a maximum term of 240 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections. Count 2 will run concurrent to Count 1.

Pursuant to NRS 179D.460, you shall register as a sex offender within 48 hours of any release from custody. Additionally, you are subject to lifetime supervision pursuant to this conviction. You have extradition costs in the amounts of \$2,446.05. There's a \$25 Administrative Assessment Fee, a \$3 DNA Administrative Assessment

1	Fee, a \$150 DNA Fee. You're required to submit to the test for genetic	
2	markers.	
3	Towards this sentence, you have that's incorrect. How	
4	many days	
5	MS. MOORS: I show it as 606, Your Honor.	
6	THE COURT: 606 days credit for time served.	
7	THE DEFENDANT: How long?	
8	THE COURT: I didn't hear you, sir.	
9	THE DEFENDANT: How long do I have credit?	
10	THE COURT: 606 days. Thank you.	
11	THE DEFENDANT: How long is it?	
12	MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.	
13	MS. MOORS: Thank you.	
14	THE COURT: Thank you, you guys have a great day. Thank	
15	you for your patience.	
16	MS. MOORS: You, too.	
17	[Proceeding concluded at 12:51 p.m.]	
18	* * * * *	
19		
20		
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed	
22	the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
23		
24	Kaihlaberndt	
25	Kaihla Berndt Court Recorder/Transcriber	

Electronically Filed 10/12/2021 4:46 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

JOCP

2

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

ISIAH TAYLOR

#2889160

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

-VS-

CASE NO. C-20-348230-1

DEPT. NO. XXIII

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)

The Defendant previously appeared before the Court with counsel and entered a plea of guilty to the crime of COUNT 1 – ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.330; and COUNT 2 – ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.330; thereafter, on the 22nd day of September, 2021, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with counsel JORDAN S. SAVAGE, Chief Deputy Special Public Defender, and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offenses and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, \$2,446.05 Extradition Cost and \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee including testing to determine genetic markers plus \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee,

28

credit for time served.

the Defendant is sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: COUNT 1 - a MAXIMUM of TWO HUNDRED FORTY (240) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS; and COUNT 2 – a MAXIMUM of TWO HUNDRED FORTY (240) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS, CONCURRENT with COUNT 1; with SIX HUNDRED SIX (606) DAYS

FURTHER ORDERED, a SPECIAL SENTENCE of LIFETIME SUPERVISION is imposed to commence upon release from any term of imprisonment, probation or parole. In addition, before the Defendant is eligible for parole, a panel consisting of the Administrator of the Mental Health and Development Services of the Department of Human Resources or his designee; the Director of the Department of Corrections or his designee; and a psychologist licensed to practice in this state; or a psychiatrist licensed to practice medicine in Nevada must certify that the Defendant does not represent a high risk to re-offend based on current accepted standards of assessment.

ADDITIONALLY, the Defendant is ORDERED to REGISTER as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within FORTY-EIGHT (48) HOURS after any release from custody.

Dated this 12th day of October, 2021

acknim blispells

4C9 00A 567E 676F Jasmin Lilly-Spells **District Court Judge**

Electronically Filed 10/25/2021 9:05 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 2 3	NOASC MONIQUE MCNEILL, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 009862 P.O. Box 2451 Las Vegas, Nevada 89125 Tel: (702) 497-9734	Stevent. Sum
4	Email: monique.mcneill@yahoo.com	
5		
6	DIST	TRICT COURT
7	CLARK C	OUNTY, NEVADA
8 9	THE STATE OF NEVADA) CASE NO: C-20-348230-1
10	Plaintiff,)) DEPT. NO: XXIII
11	VS.))
12	ISAIH TAYLOR,))
13	Defendant.))
14	NOTI	CE OE ADDEAL
15	NOTE	CE OF APPEAL
16		
17	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN tha	t Defendant, ISAIH TAYLOR, appeals to the
18 19	Supreme Court of Nevada from the judgn	nent entered against said Defendant on October 12
20	2021 whereby he was convicted of Count	1: Attempt Sexual Assault and Count 2: Attempt
21	Sexual Assault.	
22	DATED (1: 25th 1 CO	1
23	DATED this <u>25th</u> day of O	ctober, 2021.
24		By: /s/ Monique McNeill
25		MONIQUE A. MCNEILL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 009862
26		P.O. Box 2451 Las Vegas, Nevada 89125
27		Phone: (702) 497-9734 Email: monique.mcneill@yahoo.com
28		Eman. monique.menemayanoo.com

1				
2	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>			
3	IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED by the undersigned that on <u>25th</u> day of October, 2021			
4	I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal on the parties listed on the			
5 6	attached service list via one or more of the methods of service described below as indicated			
7	next to the name of the served individual or entity by a checked box:			
8	VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada.			
9 10	VIA FACSIMILE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service.			
11 12	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: by personally hand-delivering or causing to be hand delivered by such designated individual whose particular duties include delivery of such on behalf of the firm, addressed to the individual(s) listed, signed by such individual of his/her representative accepting on his/her behalf. A receipt of copy signed and dated by such			
13 14	his/her representative accepting on his/her behalf. A receipt of copy signed and dated by such an individual confirming delivery of the document will be maintained with the document and is attached.			
15 16	BY E-MAIL: by transmitting a copy of the document in the format to be used for attachments to the electronic-mail address designated by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service.			
17				
18	DATED this <u>25th</u> day of October, 2021.			
19				
20	<u>By: /s/ Monique McNeill</u> MONIQUE A. MCNEILL, ESQ.			
21	Nevada Bar No. 009862 P.O. Box 2451			
22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89125			
23	Phone: (702) 497-9734 Email: monique.mcneill@yahoo.com			
24				
25				
26				
27				

SERVICE LIST

ATTORNEYS	PARTIES	METHOD OF
OF RECORD	REPRESENTED	SERVICE
CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 200 E. Lewis Ave Las Vegas, NV 89101 pdmotions@clarkcountyda.com	State of Nevada	Personal service Email service Fax service Mail service