IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ADAM SULLIVAN, P.E., NEVADA STATE ENGINEER, DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES; SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY; CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY; AND MUDDY VALLEY IRRIGATION CO., Appellants,

vs.

LINCOLN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT; VIDLER WATER COMPANY, INC.; COYOTE SPRINGS INVESTMENT, LLC; NEVADA **COGENERATION ASSOCIATES NOS. 1** AND 2; APEX HOLDING COMPANY. LLC: DRY LAKE WATER, LLC: GEORGIA-PACIFIC GYPSUM, LLC: REPUBLIC ENVIRONMENTAL **TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; SIERRA** PACIFIC POWER COMPANY, D/B/A NV ENERGY; NEVADA POWER COMPANY, D/B/A/ NV ENERGY; THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS; MOAPA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT; WESTERN ELITE ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.; BEDROC LIMITED, LLC; CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS; AND LAS VEGAS WATER DISTRICT. Respondents.

Electronically Filed Jun 20 2022 03:36 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No. 84739 Case No. 84741 Case No. 84742 Case No. 84809

RESPONDENTS LINCOLN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT'S AND VIDLER WATER COMPANY, INC.'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY'S <u>DOCKETING STATEMENT</u>

Pursuant to NRAP 14(f), Respondents, Lincoln County Water District and Vidler Water Company, Inc., by and through their undersigned counsel, submit their Response to Appellant, Center for Biological Diversity's ("CBD") Docketing Respondents strongly disagree with Issue d stated in response to Statement. Question 9 entitled "Issues on Appeal" on page 9 of CBD's Docketing Statement. Appellant CBD incorrectly argues that an issue on appeal in this case is: "d. Does substantial evidence support the State Engineer's decision to combine seven basins in the Lower White River Flow System for joint administration and impose a cap on groundwater pumping?" The district court did not reach the substantial evidence issues of the consolidated petitions for judicial review. Because the district court declined to reach the substantial evidence issues in deciding the petitions for judicial review, it is not appropriate for the Supreme Court to consider substantial evidence issues since there is no error regarding substantial evidence CBD can argue in this appeal from the district court's order. See Recontrust Co. v. Zhang, 130 Nev. 1, 9, 317 P.3d 814, 819 (2014).

- ///
- ///
- ///
- ///
- |||

DATED this 20th day of June, 2022.

LINCOLN COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 181 North Main Street, Suite 205 P.O. Box 60 Pioche, Nevada 89043 Telephone: (775) 962-8073

<u>/s/ Dylan V. Frehner</u> DYLAN V. FREHNER #9020 Email: <u>dfrehner@lincolncountynv.gov</u>

GREAT BASIN LAW 1783 Trek Trail Reno, Nevada 89521 Telephone: (775) 770-0386

/s/ Wayne O. Klomp WAYNE O. KLOMP #10109 Email: wayne@greatbasinlawyer.com

Attorneys for Lincoln County Water District

ALLISON MacKENZIE, LTD. 402 North Division Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 Telephone: (775) 687-0202

<u>/s/ Karen A. Peterson</u> KAREN A. PETERSON #366 Email: kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com

Attorneys for Vidler Water Company, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRAP 25(1)(c), I hereby certify that I am an employee of ALLISON MacKENZIE, LTD., Attorneys at Law, and that on this date, I caused the foregoing document to be served on all parties to this action by:

 \checkmark Court's electronic notification system

 \sim and \sim

 \checkmark Via E-Mail as follows:

Sylvia L. Harrison <u>sharrison@mcdonaldcarano.com</u> Jordan W. Montet <u>jmontet@maclaw.com</u> Kiel Ireland <u>KIreland@ag.nv.gov</u>

DATED this 20th day of June, 2022.

/s/ Nancy Fontenot NANCY FONTENOT

4872-1571-6389, v. 1