## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LANDS, INC., D/B/A SPRINGSTONE
LAKES MONTESSORI SCHOOL; AND
SPRINGLANDS LLC,
Petitioners,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE TARA
D. CLARK NEWBERRY, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
J. L., A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH
HER FATHER, J.L.,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 84749

FILED

JUN 17 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 5. 10 UNIT
DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges district court orders granting partial summary judgment and granting a motion in limine to exclude evidence in a tort action.

Having considered the petition and its supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our discretionary and extraordinary intervention is warranted. Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). In particular, the appendix petitioners provided to support their petition is incomplete and we are not otherwise convinced that petitioners lack an adequate legal remedy in the form of an appeal from any adverse final judgment. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing such relief is warranted and that the right to appeal is an adequate legal remedy that

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA may preclude writ relief); see also Walker v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 136 Nev. 678, 682-83, 476 P.3d 1194, 1197-98 (2020) (stating conditions requisite to mandamus relief, including that petitioners have a legal right to the act the petition seeks to compel, respondent has a plain duty to perform such act, and the absence of an alternate legal remedy). We therefore

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Palmaguirra 6 .J

Pickering, J

, Sr.J

cc: Hon. Tara D. Clark Newberry, District Judge Alverson Taylor & Sanders The Schnitzer Law Firm Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Mark Gibbons, Senior Justice, participated in the decision of this matter under a general order of assignment.