In the Supreme Court of Revada

PHILIP MORRIS USA INC., a foreign corporation,

Petitioner.

US.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; and the HONORABLE VERONICA M. BARISICH,

Respondents,

and

DOLLY ROWAN, AS AN INDIVIDUAL, AS SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF NOREEN THOMPSON; NAVONA COLLISON, AS AN INDIVIDUAL; RUSSELL THOMPSON, AS AN INDIVIDUAL; R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, A FOREIGN CORPORATION; LIGGETT GROUP LLC, A FOREIGN CORPORATION; QUICK STOP MARKET, LLC, A DOMESTIC LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; JOE'S BAR, INC., A DOMESTIC CORPORATION; THE POKER PALACE, A DOMESTIC CORPORATION; SILVER NUGGET GAMING, LLC D/B/A SILVER NUGGET CASINO, A DOMESTIC LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; AND JERRY'S NUGGET, A DOMESTIC CORPORATION,

Real Parties in Interest

Electronically Filed Jun 02 2022 09:57 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No.

District Court Case No. A-19-807653-C

PHILIP MORRIS USA INC.'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR, ALTERNATIVELY, PROHIBITION – APPENDIX VOL. 31

D. Lee Roberts, Jr., Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8877
WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS,
GUNN & DIAL, LLC
6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 400
Las Vegas, NV 89118
(702) 938-3838
lroberts@wwhgd.com
Attorney for Petitioner Philip Morris
USA Inc.

INDEX TO PETITIONER'S APPENDIX - CHRONOLOGICAL

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Plaintiff's Complaint	02/25/2020	1	1–69
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	04/02/2020	1	70–81
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	04/14/2020	1	82–93
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	05/07/2020	1	94–105
Plaintiff's Notice of Serving Supplemental Authority	06/16/2020	1	106–12
Defendants' Notice of Serving Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	06/17/2020	1	113–22
Order Denying Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	08/25/2020	1	123–36
Stipulation Regarding Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	08/25/2020	1	137–44
Suggestion of Death Upon the Record	09/03/2020	1	145–47
Errata to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Wrongful Death	11/30/2020	2	148–280

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Complaint and Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Parties			
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Wrongful Death Complaint and Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Parties	12/10/2020	2	281–94
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Wrongful Death Complaint and Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Parties	12/30/2020	2	295–99
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Wrongful Death Complaint, and Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Parties	03/11/2021	2	300-09
Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/15/2021	3	310–438
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Joe's Bar, Inc. to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	439–60
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Jerry's Nugget to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	461–82
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Quick Stop Market, LLC to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	483–504

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant The Poker Palace to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	505–26
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Silver Nugget Gaming, LLC d/b/a Silver Nugget Casino to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	527–48
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	03/29/2021	4	549–62
Defendants' Motion to Strike the Lawyer-Related Allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	4	563–71
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	04/12/2021	4	572–96
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Strike the Lawyer-Related Allegations to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	04/12/2021	4	597–610
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	04/22/2021	4	611–24
Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Strike the Lawyer-Related Allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	04/27/2021	4	625–30

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Letters of Special Administration	08/31/2021	4	631–32
Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	09/08/2021	4	633–41
Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike the Lawyer-Related Allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	09/12/2021	4	642–49
Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	09/23/2021	5	650–72
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	10/04/2021	5-9	673–761
Liggett Group LLC's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	10/04/2021	10	762–806
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	10/07/2021	11	807–20
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Opposition to Motion to Reconsider Order Granting	10/20/2021	11	821–33

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)			
Plaintiff's Supplement to Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	11/08/2021	11	834–46
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Notice of Filing of Petitions for Writs of Prohibition or Mandamus Before the Nevada Supreme Court	11/09/2021	12	847–926
Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint	12/21/2021	12-17	927–1065
Stipulation and Order Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint	01/07/2022	18	1066–72
Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/11/2022	18-23	1073–1227
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Quick Stop Market, LLC to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	23-24	1228–50
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant The Poker Palace to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	24-25	1251–73
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Joe's Bar, Inc. to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/0222	25-26	1274–95

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Jerry's Nugget to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	26-27	1296–1318
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Silver Nugget Gaming, LLC to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	27-28	1319–41
Liggett Group LLC's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint	10/04/2021	28-30	1342–88
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	30-35	1389–1484
Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	04/19/2022	35	1485–91
Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	05/03/2022	35	1492–1597
Transcript Excerpts from Depositions of Plaintiff Dolly Rowan (taken December 6, 2021); Plaintiff Russell Thompson (taken February 17, 2022); and Plaintiff Navona Collison	02/15/2022	35	1598–1616
Order Denying Defendants Philip Morris USA Inc.'s and Liggett Group LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's	04/20/2021	35	1617–1625

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Second Amended Complaint (<i>Tully</i> , No. A-19-802987-C)			
Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5) (Camacho, No. A-19-807650-C)	11/03/2021	35	1626–1632

INDEX TO PETITIONER'S APPENDIX - ALPHABETICAL

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Jerry's Nugget to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	461–82
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Joe's Bar, Inc. to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	439–60
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant The Poker Palace to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	505–26
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Quick Stop Market, LLC to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	483–504
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	10/04/2021	5-9	673–761
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Silver Nugget Gaming, LLC d/b/a Silver Nugget Casino to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	3	527–48
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Jerry's Nugget to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	26-27	1296–1318
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Joe's Bar, Inc. to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	25-26	1274–95

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant The Poker Palace to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	24-25	1251–73
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Quick Stop Market, LLC to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	23-24	1228–50
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	30-35	1389–1484
Answer, Defenses, and Jury Demand of Defendant Silver Nugget Gaming, LLC to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/31/2022	27-28	1319–41
Defendants' Motion to Strike the Lawyer-Related Allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/29/2021	4	563–71
Defendants' Notice of Serving Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	06/17/2020	1	113–22
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	03/29/2021	4	549–62
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Notice of Filing of Petitions for Writs of Prohibition or Mandamus Before the Nevada Supreme Court	11/09/2021	12	847–926
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for	12/10/2020	2	281–94

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Leave to File Amended Wrongful Death Complaint and Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Parties			ts
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	10/07/2021	11	807–20
Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	04/22/2021	4	611–24
Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Strike the Lawyer-Related Allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	04/27/2021	4	625–30
Errata to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Wrongful Death Complaint and Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Parties	11/30/2020	2	148–280
Letters of Special Administration	08/31/2021	4	631–32
Liggett Group LLC's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	10/04/2021	10	762–806
Liggett Group LLC's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint	10/04/2021	28-30	1342–88

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike the Lawyer-Related Allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	09/12/2021	4	642–49
Order Denying Defendants Philip Morris USA Inc.'s and Liggett Group LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (<i>Tully</i> , No. A-19-802987-C)	04/20/2021	35	1617–1625
Order Denying Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	08/25/2020	1	123–36
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Wrongful Death Complaint, and Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Parties	03/11/2021	2	300–09
Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	04/19/2022	35	1485–91
Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5) (Camacho, No. A-19-807650-C)	11/03/2021	35	1626–1632
Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	03/15/2021	3	310–438
Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint	12/21/2021	12-17	927–1065

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	09/23/2021	5	650–72
Plaintiff's Notice of Serving Supplemental Authority	06/16/2020	1	106–12
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	04/12/2021	4	572–96
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Strike the Lawyer-Related Allegations to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	04/12/2021	4	597–610
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Amended Wrongful Death Complaint and Plaintiff's Motion to Substitute Parties	12/30/2020	2	295–99
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Opposition to Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)	10/20/2021	11	821–33
Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	01/11/2022	18-23	1073–1227
Plaintiff's Supplement to Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Defendant Philip Morris USA Inc.'s Motion to	11/08/2021	11	834–46

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Date	Vol.	Page
Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint Under NRCP 12(b)(5)			×
Stipulation and Order Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint	01/07/2022	18	1066–72
Stipulation Regarding Plaintiff's Amended Complaint	08/25/2020	1	137–44
Suggestion of Death Upon the Record	09/03/2020	1	145–47
Transcript Excerpts from Depositions of Plaintiff Dolly Rowan (taken December 6, 2021); Plaintiff Russell Thompson (taken February 17, 2022); and Plaintiff Navona Collison	02/15/2022	35	1598–1616

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

same. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 67.

- 68. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 68.
- 69. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 69.
- 70. Reynolds admits that in 1966 Congress issued a mandate that all packages of cigarettes have a warning label that read: "CAUTION: Cigarette Smoking May Be Hazardous To Your Health." Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 70.
 - Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 71. 71.
- 72. Reynolds admits that paragraph 72 accurately quotes a portion of a press release issued by the Tobacco Institute in 1966. Reynolds states that the complete language and/or context of the press release can be ascertained from the press release itself. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 72.
- Reynolds admits that it and, upon information and belief, other cigarette 73. manufacturers at various times have introduced filtered cigarette brands for sale to adult cigarette smokers. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 73.
- 74. Reynolds admits that it and, upon information and belief, other cigarette manufacturers at various times have introduced filtered cigarette brands for sale to adult cigarette smokers. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 74.
- Reynolds states that paragraph 75 inaccurately reflects Reynolds' statements on 75. smoking and health. Reynolds states that the complete language and/or content of the alleged statements can be ascertained from the alleged statements themselves and denies the alleged statements are fairly or accurately characterized in paragraph 75. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 75.
- Reynolds admits that it is aware of a 1988 press release containing the language 76. quoted in the second sentence of paragraph 76, the full and precise content and context of which may be ascertained from the press release itself. Reynolds denies the quoted statement is fairly or accurately characterized. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 76.
- After reasonable inquiry, Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to 77. form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 77 and, on that basis,

denies those allegations.

- 78. After reasonable inquiry, Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 78 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 79. Reynolds admits upon information and belief that at one or more times persons from many professions smoked cigarettes. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 79.
- 80. Reynolds admits that the first two documents referenced in paragraph 80 purports to quote selected excerpts from Reynolds documents from the 1920's but denies that the documents are quoted in context. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations concerning the second two documents referenced in paragraph 80. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 80.
 - 81. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 81.
- 82. Reynolds lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 82 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 83. Reynolds lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 83 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 84. Reynolds denies the existence of, and its participation in, any alleged conspiracy. Reynolds is informed and believes that selected excerpts from a document prepared in or around 1972 by Fred Panzer are quoted accurately, although out of context, in paragraph 84. Reynolds states that the complete and precise content of the referenced document can be ascertained from the document itself but denies that it is fairly or accurately characterized in paragraph 84. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 84.
- 85. Reynolds admits that at all times since January 1, 1966, it has complied with the federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act; Reynolds further admits that all packs of cigarettes manufactured by it for sale or distribution in the United States since January 1, 1966 (and all advertising for such cigarettes since approximately 1972) have borne the warning(s) set forth in that Act, to wit: Reynolds admits that beginning October 12, 1985 a system of four rotating labels has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

been utilized. These warnings are:

Surgeon General's Warning: Smoking causes lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema, and may complicate pregnancy.

Surgeon General's Warning: Quitting smoking now greatly reduces serious risks to your health.

Surgeon General's Warning: Smoking by pregnant women may result in fetal injury, premature birth, and low birth weight.

Surgeon General's Warning: Cigarette smoke contains carbon monoxide.

Except as expressly admitted, Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 85.

- 86. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 86.
- 87. Reynolds admits that the Surgeon General issued a report on smoking and health in 1988. Reynolds states the full and precise content of which may be ascertained from the report itself, but denies that it is fairly or accurately characterized in paragraph 87. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 87.
 - 88. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 88.
 - 89. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 89.
- 90. Reynolds admits that Mr. James W. Johnston, then-Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Reynolds, and senior officials of other companies testified before a congressional subcommittee in April 1994. Reynolds states that the complete and precise content of the referenced testimony can be ascertained from the testimony itself, but denies that it is fairly or accurately characterized in paragraph 90. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 90 that apply to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 90 that apply to other Defendants and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 91. Reynolds admits that representatives of various tobacco manufacturers have stated their belief in or prior to 1994 that nicotine in cigarettes is not addictive under any objective, scientifically verifiable pharmacological criteria used to define that term. Reynolds states the remaining allegations in paragraph 91 are not directed toward Reynolds and, accordingly, no answer from Reynolds is required. To the extent that an answer may be deemed required, Reynolds is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 91 that apply to other Defendants and, on that basis, denies those allegations.

- Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 92. 92.
- Reynolds denies the existence of, and its participation in, any alleged conspiracy and 93. denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 93.
- 94. After reasonable inquiry, Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations directed toward other defendants and, on that basis, denies those allegations. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 94.
 - 95. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 95.
- Reynolds states that in accordance with the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco 96. Control Act, Reynolds has not used descriptors such as "light," "low," "mild" on its cigarettes since in or about July 2010. Reynolds further admits that every pack of cigarettes it has sold since 1966 has contained one or more warnings required by the U.S. Congress and that since July 1, 1969 those warnings have been adequate as a matter of law to apprise the public of any relationship between smoking and health. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 96 that are directed to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations directed toward other defendants and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
 - 97. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 97.
 - 98. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 98.
 - 99. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 99.
 - 100. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 100.
- 101. Reynolds denies that its advertising and marketing is or was directed to youth or minors and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 101.
- Reynolds denies the existence of, and its participation in, any alleged conspiracy and 102. denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 102.
 - Reynolds denies the existence of, and its participation in, any alleged conspiracy and 103.

denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 103.

- 104. Reynolds denies the existence of, and its participation in, any alleged conspiracy and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 104.
- 105. Reynolds states that paragraph 105 inaccurately reflects Reynolds' statements on smoking and health. Reynolds states that the complete language and/or content of the alleged statements can be ascertained from the alleged statements themselves and denies they are fairly or accurately characterized. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 105 to the extent that the allegations are directed to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 105 to the extent that they are directed to other Defendants and, accordingly, denies the same.
 - 106. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 106.
- 107. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the existence, authenticity, content, or context of the unidentified statements in paragraph 107 and, accordingly, denies the allegations relating thereto.
- 108. Reynolds states that the allegations contained in paragraph 108 purport to selectively quote, improperly characterize, and/or reference portions of the district court's opinion in United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc. Reynolds states that the opinion speaks for itself but denies that it is fairly, accurately, or appropriately characterized in paragraph 108. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 108.
- 109. Reynolds states that the allegations contained in paragraph 109 purport to selectively quote, improperly characterize, and/or reference portions of the district court's opinion in United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc. Reynolds states that the opinion speaks for itself but denies that it is fairly, accurately, or appropriately characterized in paragraph 109. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 109.
- 110. Reynolds states that the allegations contained in paragraph 110 purport to selectively quote, improperly characterize, and/or reference portions of the district court's opinion in United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc. Reynolds states that the opinion speaks for itself but denies that it is fairly, accurately, or appropriately characterized in paragraph 110. Reynolds denies the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

allegations contained in paragraph 110.

- Reynolds admits that Plaintiffs purport to characterize certain law firms in paragraph 111. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 111.
- 112. [2] Reynolds states that the allegations contained in paragraph 112 purport to selectively quote, improperly characterize, and/or reference portions of the district court's opinion in United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc. Reynolds states that the opinion speaks for itself but denies that it is fairly, accurately, or appropriately characterized in paragraph 112. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 112.
- 113. Reynolds states that the allegations contained in paragraph 113, including subparagraphs a. through n., purport to selectively quote, improperly characterize, and/or reference portions of the district court's opinion in United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc. Reynolds states that the opinion speaks for itself but denies that it is fairly, accurately, or appropriately characterized in paragraphs 113, including subparagraphs a. through n. Reynolds also states that documents CC229, SHB118, CC139, CC141, and CC119 referenced in paragraph 113, including subparagraphs a. through n., are protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or the joint defense or the joint interest privilege, and that it is therefore improper for Plaintiffs to have referred to and quoted these documents in the Second Amended Complaint. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 113, including subparagraphs a. through n., to the extent they are directed to Reynolds. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 113, including subparagraphs a. through n., are directed toward other Defendants, Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to truth of those allegations and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- Reynolds states that the allegations contained in paragraph 114 purport to selectively quote, improperly characterize, and/or reference portions of the district court's opinion in United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc. Reynolds states that the opinion speaks for itself but denies that it

^[2] The allegations herein are not directed to Defendants' current counsel and/or their representation as part of their lawsuit defense in this case.

Reynolds denies the allegations referenced in Plaintiffs' footnote No. 1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 is fairly, accurately, or appropriately characterized in paragraph 114. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 114.

- Reynolds states that the allegations contained in paragraph 115 purport to selectively 115. quote, improperly characterize, and/or reference portions of the district court's opinion in United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc. Reynolds states that the opinion speaks for itself but denies that it is fairly, accurately, or appropriately in paragraph 115. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 115.
- 116. Reynolds states that the allegations contained in paragraph 116, including subparagraphs a. through d., purport to selectively quote, improperly characterize, and/or reference portions of the district court's opinion in United States v. Philip Morris USA, Inc. Reynolds states that the opinion speaks for itself but denies that it is fairly, accurately, or appropriately characterized in paragraphs 116, including subparagraphs a. through d. Reynolds also states that the documents purportedly quoted in paragraphs 116c. and 116d. are protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege, the work product doctrine, and/or the joint defense or the joint interest privilege, and that it is therefore improper for Plaintiffs to have referred to and quoted these documents in the Second Amended Complaint. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 116, including subparagraphs a. through d., to the extent they are directed to Reynolds. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 116, including subparagraphs a. through d., are directed toward other Defendants, Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to truth of those allegations and, on that basis, denies those allegations.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(WRONGFUL DEATH - NEGLIGENCE)

Dolly Rowan as Personal Representative of the Estate of Noreen Thompson and Dolly Rowan as Heir of Noreen Thompson Against Defendants R.J. Reynolds, and Liggett

- 117. Reynolds incorporates by this reference its responses to the allegations repeated and re-alleged by Plaintiffs in this paragraph as if fully restated herein.
- Reynolds admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring a claim for wrongful death. Reynolds 118. denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to

judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 118.

- 119. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 119 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 120. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 120 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 121. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 121 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 122. Reynolds admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring a claim pursuant to NRS 41.085(4). Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 122 that apply to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 122 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 123. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 123 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 124. Reynolds admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring a claim pursuant to NRS 41.085(5t). Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 124 that apply to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 124 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 125. Reynolds admits that it had duties imposed by applicable law and that Reynolds complied with those duties. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 125.

- 127. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 127 concerning the products that Plaintiffs' Decedent allegedly was "exposed to" and/or her alleged injuries or death and, accordingly, denies the same. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 127.
- 128. Paragraph 128 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required Reynolds denies the allegations in paragraph 128, including each of its subparagraphs.
- 129. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 129. Reynolds states that all packages of cigarettes manufactured by it for sale or distribution in the United States since January 1, 1966 (and all advertising for such cigarettes since March 30, 1972) have borne a warning deemed by Congress to be adequate to warn the public of any relationship between smoking and health, including the risk of lung cancer. Reynolds further states that, given the long standing common knowledge of the risks of smoking, warnings were not necessary nor required prior to the effective date of the Cigarette Labeling Act of 1966. There has been a longstanding awareness and belief of the alleged health risks associated with smoking and of the characteristics of cigarette smoking behavior, including the fact that some people at least at certain times have difficulty quitting.
- 130. Reynolds admits that it had duties imposed by applicable law and that Reynolds complied with those duties. Reynolds denies that its cigarettes caused or contributed to the injuries alleged and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 130.
- 131. Reynolds denies that its cigarettes caused or contributed to the injuries alleged and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 131.
- 132. Paragraph 132 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, except as otherwise expressly admitted elsewhere herein, Reynolds denies the remaining allegations

contained in paragraph 132.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Reynolds denies that paragraph 133 fairly or accurately characterizes the state of knowledge concerning smoking and health over time. Reynolds admits that there has been longstanding awareness of the health risks associated with smoking. Reynolds states that it, like the public at large, has been aware of information regarding the potential health risks associated with cigarette smoking since Reynolds began manufacturing cigarettes in 1913. Since the early 1950s, publicity attending claims of chronic disease risks associated with smoking has dramatically increased. Further, since at least the mid-1950s, Reynolds monitored the publicly available scientific and medical literature relating to smoking and health. Further responding, Reynolds states that its views pertaining to smoking and health, including addiction, evolved over time. For example, concerning lung cancer, Reynolds states that in 2000, Reynolds expressed the view that it manufactures products that have significant and inherent health risks for a number of serious diseases, including lung cancer, and may contribute to causing these diseases in some individuals. Today, it is Reynolds' position that "Cigarette smoking is a leading preventable cause of death. Quitting cigarette smoking significantly decreases the risk for lung cancer, heart disease, chronic bronchitis, emphysema and other serious diseases and conditions." Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 133.

- 134. Paragraph 134 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 134.
- 135. Paragraph 135 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 135.
 - 136. Paragraph 136 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal

26

27

28

on that basis, denies those allegations.

1

conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required,

paragraph 142 that apply to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to

form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 142 and,

whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Reynolds admits that it had duties imposed by applicable law and that Reynolds 143. complied with those duties. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 143.
- Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 144 concerning the products that Plaintiffs' Decedent allegedly "was exposed to and did inhale smoke from" and, accordingly, denies the same. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 144.
- 145. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 145 concerning the products that Plaintiffs' Decedent allegedly was "exposed to" and/or her alleged injuries or death and, accordingly, denies the same. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 145.
- 146. Paragraph 146 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required Reynolds denies the allegations in paragraph 146, including each of its subparagraphs.
- Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 147. Reynolds states that all packages of cigarettes manufactured by it for sale or distribution in the United States since January 1, 1966 (and all advertising for such cigarettes since March 30, 1972) have borne a warning deemed by Congress to be adequate to warn the public of any relationship between smoking and health, including the risk of lung cancer. Reynolds further states that, given the long standing common knowledge of the risks of smoking, warnings were not necessary nor required prior to the effective date of the Cigarette Labeling Act of 1966. There has been a longstanding awareness and belief of the alleged health risks associated with smoking and of the characteristics of cigarette smoking behavior, including the fact that some people at least at certain times have difficulty quitting.
- 148. Reynolds admits that it had duties imposed by applicable law and that Reynolds complied with those duties. Reynolds denies that its cigarettes caused or contributed to the injuries alleged and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 148.
- Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 149 concerning the products that Plaintiffs' Decedent allegedly "was exposed to," and/or her alleged injuries and, accordingly, denies the same. Reynolds

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 149.

- Reynolds denies that its cigarettes caused or contributed to the injuries alleged and 150. denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 150.
- Paragraph 151 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal 151. conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, except as otherwise expressly admitted elsewhere herein, Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 151.
- Reynolds denies that paragraph 152 fairly or accurately characterizes the state of 152. knowledge concerning smoking and health over time. Reynolds admits that there has been longstanding awareness of the health risks associated with smoking. Reynolds states that it, like the public at large, has been aware of information regarding the potential health risks associated with cigarette smoking since Reynolds began manufacturing cigarettes in 1913. Since the early 1950s, publicity attending claims of chronic disease risks associated with smoking has dramatically increased. Further, since at least the mid-1950s, Reynolds monitored the publicly available scientific and medical literature relating to smoking and health. Further responding, Reynolds states that its views pertaining to smoking and health, including addiction, evolved over time. For example, concerning lung cancer, Reynolds states that in 2000, Reynolds expressed the view that it manufactures products that have significant and inherent health risks for a number of serious diseases, including lung cancer, and may contribute to causing these diseases in some individuals. Today, it is Reynolds' position that "Cigarette smoking is a leading preventable cause of death. Quitting smoking significantly decreases the risk for lung cancer, heart disease, chronic bronchitis, emphysema and other serious diseases and conditions." Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 152.
- Paragraph 153 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 153.

- 154. Paragraph 154 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 154.
- 155. Reynolds denies that it engaged in the conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint and denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 155.
- 156. Reynolds denies that it engaged in the conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint. Reynolds also denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 156.
- 157. Reynolds denies that it engaged in the conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint. Reynolds also denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 157.
- 158. Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 158.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(WRONGFUL DEATH - STRICT LIABILITY)

Dolly Rowan as Administrator of the Estate of Noreen Thompson, and Dolly Rowan, NAVONA COLLISON, and Russell Thompson, as Heirs of Noreen Thompson, Against Defendants R.J. Reynolds and Liggett

- 159. Reynolds incorporates by this reference its responses to the allegations repeated and re-alleged by Plaintiffs in this paragraph as if fully restated herein.
- 160. Reynolds admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring a claim for wrongful death. Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 160.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 161. truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 161 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 162. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 162 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 163. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 163 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- Reynolds admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring a claim pursuant to NRS 41.085(4). 164. Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 164 that apply to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 164 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 165 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 166. Reynolds admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring a claim pursuant to NRS 41.085(5). Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 166 that apply to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 166 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 167. Reynolds admits that it manufactured and distributed cigarettes for resale to adult smokers throughout the United States, including the State of Nevada. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 167.
- 168. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 168 concerning the products sold or used by Plaintiffs' Decedent and, on that basis, denies those allegations. Except as expressly admitted elsewhere herein, Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 168.

1

169.

Except as expressly admitted elsewhere herein, Reynolds denies the allegations

admits that at all times since January 1, 1966, it has complied with the Federal Cigarette Labeling

and Advertising Act. In addition, Reynolds states that there has been a long-standing awareness and belief of the alleged health risks associated with smoking and of the characteristics of cigarette smoking behavior, including the fact that some people at least at certain times have difficulty quitting; that in 1957 the Surgeon General of the United States and the Director of the National Cancer Institute testified before Congress that no warning was necessary; that the framers of the Restatement (Second) of Torts wrote in 1962 and confirmed in 1964 that cigarette smoking is not unreasonably dangerous and that with respect to such products, there is no duty to warn; and that in 1964 the American Medical Association wrote to the Federal Trade Commission stating "With respect to cigarets [sic], cautionary labeling cannot be anticipated to serve the public interest with any particular degree of success. The health hazards of excessive smoking have been well-publicized for more than 10 years and are common knowledge. Labeling will not alert even the young cigaret [sic] smoker of any risks of which he is not already aware. . . . "; Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 174, including subparagraphs a. through s.

- 175. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 175 concerning the cigarette products that Plaintiffs' Decedent "exposed to, breathed smoke from" and, on that basis, denies those allegations. Reynolds denies that its cigarettes are or were "defective and unreasonably dangerous" and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 175.
 - 176. Reynolds denies the allegations contained in paragraph 176.
- 177. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 177 concerning Plaintiffs' Decedent and, on that basis, denies those allegations. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 177.
- 178. Paragraph 178 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, Reynolds admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring a claim pursuant to NRS 41.085(4). Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

allegations contained in paragraph 178.

- Paragraph 179 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 179.
- 180. Paragraph 180 does not require an answer because it asserts incorrect legal conclusions, rather than stating factual allegations. To the extent that any answer is required, Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 180.
- Reynolds denies that it engaged in the conduct alleged in the Second Amended 181. Complaint and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 181.
- 182. Reynolds denies that it engaged in the conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint. Reynolds also denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 182.
- 183. Reynolds denies that it engaged in the conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint. Reynolds also denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 183.
- 184. Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs have any cause of action against Reynolds, denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 184.

25 111

26 111

27 111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY)

Dolly Rowan as Administrator of the Estate of Noreen Thompson Against Defendants R.J. Reynolds and Liggett

- 185. Reynolds incorporates by this reference its responses to the allegations repeated and re-alleged by Plaintiffs in this paragraph as if fully restated herein.
- 186. Reynolds denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against, or any relief whatsoever from, Reynolds in this action, and denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 186 that apply to Reynolds. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 186 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 187. Reynolds admits that it manufactured and distributed cigarettes for resale to adult smokers throughout the United States, including the State of Nevada. Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 187.
- 188. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in paragraph 188 concerning the products sold to or used by Plaintiffs' Decedent and, on that basis, denies those allegations. Except as expressly admitted elsewhere herein, Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 188.
- 189. Except as expressly admitted elsewhere herein, Reynolds denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 189. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 189 are directed toward other Defendants, Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to truth of those remaining allegations contained in paragraph 189 and, on that basis, denies those allegations.
- 190. Reynolds admits that its cigarettes were expected to reach adult smokers without substantial change in the condition in which they were produced, manufactured, sold and marketed. Reynolds is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 190 concerning the condition in which its products were "within the possession" the Plaintiffs' Decedent and, accordingly, denies the same. Reynolds denies the