## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RICHARD LEE MITCHELL, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

AUG 2 4 2021 ELIZABET A BROWN CLERKOF SUPREME COURT BY DEPUTY CLERK

No. 83390

## ORDER DIRECTING TRANSMISSION OF RECORD AND REGARDING BRIEFING

This court has concluded that its review of the complete record is warranted. See NRAP 10(a)(1). Accordingly, the clerk of the district court shall have 30 days from the date of this order to transmit to the clerk of this court a certified copy of the complete trial court record of this appeal. See NRAP 11(a)(2). The record shall include copies of documentary exhibits submitted in the district court proceedings, but shall not include any physical, non-documentary exhibits or the original documentary exhibits. The record shall also include any presentence investigation reports submitted in a sealed envelope identifying the contents and marked confidential. See NRS 176.156(5).

Within 120 days, appellant may file either (1) a brief that complies with the requirements in NRAP 28(a) and NRAP 32; or (2) the "Informal Brief Form for Pro Se Parties" provided by the supreme court clerk. NRAP 31(a)(1). If no brief is submitted, the appeal may be decided on the record on appeal. NRAP 34(g). Respondent need not file a response to any brief filed by appellant, unless ordered to do so by this court. NRAP

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A @

46A(c). The court generally will not grant relief without providing an opportunity to file a response. *Id*.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Jardesty, C.J.

cc: Richard Lee Mitchell Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(U) 1947A