28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	۱

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

ARGUMENT

Good Cause Exists to Enlarge the Time to File Appellant's Reply Brief

Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure (NRAP) Rule 31(a) provides that an appellant shall serve and file a reply brief within thirty (30) days after respondent's brief is served.

NRAP 26(b)(1)(A) provides in relevant part:

For good cause, the court may extend the time prescribed by these Rules or by its order to perform any act, or may permit an act to be done after that time expires.

Additionally, NRAP 31(b)(3) provides in relevant part:

Motions for Extensions of Time. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27.

- **(A) Contents of Motion.** A motion for extension of time for filing a brief shall include the following:
 - (i) The date when the brief is due;
- (ii) The number of extensions of time previously granted (including a 14-day telephonic extension), and if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due;
- (iii) Whether any previous requests for extensions of time have been denied or denied in part;
- (iv) The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary; and
- (v) The length of the extension requested and the date on which the brief would become due.

The Appellant's Reply Brief is currently due on April 7, 2022. No previous extensions have been requested.

Good cause exists to extend the time to file the Reply Brief in this case. Counsel has been diligently working on the case. Counsel requests additional time to finish researching and responding to the State's arguments.

As Appellant received a sentence under the large habitual criminal statute, this case requires extensive research to ensure that Mr. Edwards thoroughly responds to all of the State's arguments. Therefore, Counsel requests this continuance to finalize the Reply Brief.

In sum, Counsel and Appellant request this additional time to address all of the State's arguments before filing the Reply Brief. Accordingly, good cause exists for this Court to extend the time to file the Appellant's Reply Brief by twenty-one (21) days.

/// ///

///

///

25 /// 26

27 ///

28

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, good cause exists to enlarge the time to file the Reply Brief. Counsel respectfully requests that this Court grant the requested extension to file the Reply Brief by April 28, 2022.

DATED this 7th day of April, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Christopher R. Oram Christopher R. Oram, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4349 Rachael E. Stewart, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14122

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on April 7, 2022. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows: AARON FORD Nevada Attorney General STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM Counsel for Appellant /s/ Nancy Medina By: Law Offices of Christopher R. Oram