IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MATTHEW TRAVIS HOUSTON,
Appellant,

vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respon

Respondent.

No. 84886

FILED

DEC 15 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS

Appellant has filed a pro se motion for the production of transcripts. The motion is denied at this time. However, should it be determined at a later date that the production of any transcripts not already included in the record on appeal are necessary to resolve this appeal, the transcripts may be ordered at that time. See NRAP 11(a)(2).

Appellant has also filed pro se motions that appear to ask (1) that this court direct the district court to provide him with copies of certain documents and (2) for the appointment of counsel. The motions are denied at this time. See Brown v. McDaniel, 130 Nev. 565, 569, 331 P.3d 867, 870 (2014) (there is no constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel at state's expense in noncapital postconviction proceedings); see also Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722, 755 (1991).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sardesty, A.C.J.

cc: Matthew Travis Houston
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

22-39301