IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MATTHEW TRAVIS HOUSTON, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 84886

FILED

JUN 06 2023

CLERKOF WARENE COURT

ORDER

Appellant's pro se motion for clarification does not appear to seek any relief from this court. Accordingly, this court takes no action on the motion. Appellant has also filed a pro se objection to a portion of the answering brief. The objection relates to the merits of the appeal. Accordingly, it is treated as a supplemental reply brief and will be considered at the time of disposition of this appeal.

It is so ORDERED.

stigline, C.J.

cc: Matthew Travis Houston Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

23-17887