IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Jul 14 2022 02:27 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

GREGORY DELLO MORGAN, Appellant(s),

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent(s),

Case No: A-22-847232-W

Related Case C-19-344461-1

Docket No: 84898

RECORD ON APPEAL

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT GREGORY MORGAN #1196223, PROPER PERSON P.O. BOX 208 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070 ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-2212

A-22-847232-W Gregory Morgan, Plaintiff(s) vs. William Hutching, Warden SDCC, Defendant(s)

INDEX

VOLUME: PAGE NUMBER:

1 1 - 44

A-22-847232-W Gregory Morgan, Plaintiff(s) vs.
William Hutching, Warden SDCC, Defendant(s)

INDEX

VOL	<u>DATE</u>	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
1	7/14/2022	Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record	
1	7/14/2022	District Court Minutes	42 - 44
1	2/18/2022	Motion and Order for Transportation of Inmate for Court Appearance or, in the Alternative, for Appearance by Telephone or Video Conference	31 - 38
1	2/1/2022	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	15 - 16
1	4/22/2022	Order for Production of Inmate Gregory Dello Morgan, BAC #1196223	39 - 41
1	1/25/2022	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	1 - 14
1	2/11/2022	State's Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	17 - 28
1	2/18/2022	Unsigned Document(s) - Order for Transportation of Inmate for Court Appearance or, in the Alternative, for Appearance by Telephone or Video Conference	29 - 30

Petitieher/Ih Propia Persona Post Office Box 208, SDCC Indian Springs, Nevada 89070



IN THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Clark

Gregory D. Margan ; Petitioner,		
vs.	Case No.	A-22-847232-W
William Hutching Warden	Dept. No.	Dept. 32
Correctional Confer)	Docket _	
Respondent(s).		

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

INSTRUCTIONS:

- (1) This petition must be legibly handwritten or typewritten signed by the petitioner and verified.
- (2) Additional pages are not permitted except where noted or with respect to the facts which you rely upon to support your grounds for relief. No citation of authorities need be furnished. If briefs or arguments are submitted, they should be submitted in the form of a separate memorandum.
- (3) If you want an attorney appointed, you must complete the Affidavit in Support of Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. You must have an authorized officer at the prison complete the certificate as to the amount of money and securities on deposit to your credit in any account in the institution.
- (4) You must name as respondent the person by whom you are confined or restrained. If you are in a specific institution of the department of corrections, name the warden or head of the institution. If you are not in a specific institution of the department within its custody, name the director of the department of corrections.
- (5) You must include all grounds or claims for relief which you may have regarding your conviction and sentence.

Failure to raise all grounds I this petition may preclude you from filing future petitions challenging your conviction and sentence.

(6) You must allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition you file seeking relief from any conviction or sentence. Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed. If your petition contains a claim of ineffective assistance of you claim your counsel was ineffective.

(7) If your petition challenges the validity of your conviction.

6

10

(7) If your petition challenges the validity of your conviction or sentence, the original and one copy must be filed with the clerk of the district court for the county in which the conviction occurred. Petitions raising any other claim must be filed with the clerk of the district court for the county in which you are incarcerated. One copy must be mailed to the respondent, one copy to the attorney general's office, and one copy to the district attorney of the county in which you were convicted or to the original prosecutor if you are challenging your original conviction or sentence. Copies must conform in all particulars to the original submitted for filing.

PETITION

	<u> </u>
1	1. Name of institution and county in which you are presently imprisoned or where and who you
1	2 are presently restrained of your liberty: Southern Desert Correctional Center, Clark Com
1	2. Name the location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack: 8 Th
1	4 Judical District Court, Clark Courty Nevada
1	
1	4. Case number: (19 344461-1
1	5. (a) Length of sentence: Aggregate total is bornowths to 180 months.
18	(b) If sentence is death, state any date upon which execution is scheduled: N/A
19	
20	this motion:
21	Yes No If "Yes", list crime, case number and sentence being served at this time:
22	served at this time.
23	7. Nature of offense involved in conviction being challenged: Count 1 - Conspicacy to
24	Commit Robbery, Count 2- Robberg, Count 3- Burglary
25	
26	
27	
28	,
	-

	8 What was your plea? (Check one)
	2 (a) Not guilty
	3 (b) Guilty
	4 (c) Nolo contendere
	9. If you entered a guilty plea to one count of an indictment or information, and a not guilty plea
	to another count of an indictment or information, or if a guilty plea was negotiated, give details:
	7
	8
	9 10. If you were found guilty after a plea of not guilty, was the finding made by: (check one)
	(a) Jury
1	(b) Judge without a jury
l	2 11. Did you testify at trial? Yes No
1	3 12. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?
1	
1.	13. If you did appeal, answer the following:
16	(a) Name of court:
17	(4) suss manner of chanton;
18	/ / /
19	(-) 21.6 от арреат.
20	(real sopy of order of decision, if available).
21	14.) If you did not appeal, explain briefly why you did not:
22	
23 	
24	15. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously
25	fried any petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any court
26	federal? Yes No
27	
8	3
11	

	10. If your answer to No 15 was "Yes", give the following information:
	2 (a) (1) Name of court:
	3 (2) Nature of proceedings:
	5 (3) Grounds raised :
	6
	7
	8 (4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
	9 Yes No
1	0 (5) Result:
1	
13	!
1.	3 result:
14	
15	(1) Name of Court:
16	(2) Nature of proceeding:
17	(3) Grounds raised:
18	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
19	
20	
21	(6) Date of result:
22	(7) If known, citations or any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to each
23	result:
24	(c) As to any third or subsequent additional application or motions, give the same
25	information as above, list them on a separate sheet and attach.
26	
27	
28	4
.	

	(d) Did you appear to the highest state or federal court having jurisdiction, the result or action
	taken on any petition, application or motion?
	(1) First petition, application or motion?
	4 Yes No
	Citation or date of decision:
	6 (2) Second petition, application or motion?
	7 Yes No
	8 Citation or date of decision:
•	9 (e) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion,
10	
11	response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches attached to the petition. Your respons
12	may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length).
13	
14	
15	17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been previously presented to this or any other
16	court by way of petition for habeas corpus, motion or application or any other post-conviction
17	proceeding? If so, identify:
18	(a) Which of the grounds is the same:
19	
20	(b) The proceedings in which these grounds were raised:
21	
22	(c) Briefly explain why you are again raising these grounds. (You must relate specific facts
23	in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches
24	attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in
25	length). — \/\/\
26	
27	
28	5
1	1

	18. If any of the grounds listed in Nos. 23(a), (b), (c), and (d), or listed on any additional pages
	you have attached, were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, list briefly what
	grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them. (You must relate
	specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x
	5 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten
	6 pages in length).
	7
	19. Are you filing this petition more than one (1) year following the filing of the judgment of
	9 conviction or the filing of a decision on direct appeal? If so, state briefly the reasons for the delay.
ì	(You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on
1	paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five
1	2 handwritten or typewritten pages in length).
1	3
1	4
1.	20. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the
10	
10	7 Yes No
18	If "Yes", state what court and the case number:
19	·· ·
20	and the state of t
21	conviction and on direct appeal: Alex Bassett
22	
23	
24	22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the
25	judgment under attack?
26	Yes No If "Yes", specify where and when it is to be served, if you know:
27	
28	6
[]	i de la companya de

	Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating
	2 additional grounds and facts supporting same.
	3 23. (a) GROUND ONE: 5 Th 6Th 14Th Violate of Admendment
	4
	5
	6
	7 23. (a) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law):
	8 While I was incorrected at High desert Stat Prison the
	Court violated my Admondment by never Serving Moradh
](
1	
12	
13	
14	
15	Of any Indictment which was a clear violetica
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	7
//	

ļ	23. (b) GROUND TWO: <u>Ineffective assistance of Counsel</u>
2	
3	
4	
5	23. (b) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law):
6	Alex Basself failed to file a petition for wort of
7	Mondamus to Challenge: the Grand June Indictment.
8	Alex Bassett did not allow me to See the exculpatory
9	evidence (Video Tape) in my case which would of shown
10	I never committed a Robbery, nor were there any gun
11	Alex Bassell hed to me one more than (one) time.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	8
ı	

				
23. (c) SUPPORTI	NG FACTS (Tell your	story briefly with	nout citing cases	or law
			· -	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			·	<u>-</u>
		 		
<u> </u>				
				

	(d) GROUND FOUR:
·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23.	(d) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law
-	
	
.	
<u>-</u>	
	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	
	10

	# 1196x33
1	WHEREFORE, Gregory D. Morgan, prays that the court grant work of Habras Corpus
.2	relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.
3	EXECUTED at Social bern Descrit Correctional Center
4	on the 10 day of $3an$, $20an$
5	
6	Grean D. Moran
7	Signature of Petitioner
8	VERIFICATION
9	Under penalty of perjury, pursuant to N.R.S. 208.165 et seq., the undersigned declares that he is
10	the Petitioner named in the foregoing petition and knows the contents thereof; that the pleading is
- 11	true and correct of his own personal knowledge, except as to those matters based on information and
12	belief, and to those matters, he believes them to be true.
13	·
14	Horasan Marrayan A
15	Signature of Petitioner
16	
17	
18	Attorney for Petitioner
19	
20	
21	
22	•
23	
24	
25	11
6	
7	
8	
X	

	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAILING	
	2 1, Gregory Morgan , hereby certify, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), that on this 1	O
	day of Jan, 2022 I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing, "Philips fo	 !
4	Writ of habeas Corpus (Post Conviduo)	
3	by placing document in a sealed pre-postage paid envelope and deposited said envelope in the	_
ϵ		
7		
. 9	200 Puis Ave. 30 Floor	
10	89155-1160	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	CC:FILE	
18		
19	DATED: this 10th day of Jan, 2000.	
20	l a	İ
21	Dregon D. Morgan	
22	/In Propria Personam	
23	/In Propria Personam Post Office Box 208,S.D.C.C. Indian Springs, Nevada 89018 IN FORMA PAUPERIS:	
24	ASTOMATAGE CAD.	
25		
26		
27	,	
28	12	
1	ſ	l

AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 2398.030

O.Box 208 ndian Springs NV 89070

(-)):1"|OCOQU #1196233

RECEIVED

Deven D. Griesons
For Clerk of the Court
200 Lewis Mie 3rd Floor
Les Veges MV89155-1160





Electronically Filed 02/01/2022 8:13 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

PPOW

1

2			
3	DISTRICT COURT		
4	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
5	Gregory Morgan,		
6	Petitioner,	Case No: A-22-847232-W	
7	vs.	Department 32	
8	William Hutching, Warden SDCC,	ORDER FOR PETITION FOR	
9	Respondent,	WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
10			
11	Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habe	as Corpus (Post-Conviction Relief) on	
12	January 25, 2022. The Court has reviewed the Petition and has determined that a response would assist		
13	the Court in determining whether Petitioner is illegally imprisoned and restrained of his/her liberty, an		
14	good cause appearing therefore,		
15	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respon	dent shall, within 45 days after the date of this Order	
16	answer or otherwise respond to the Petition and file a return in accordance with the provisions of NRS		
17	34.360 to 34.830, inclusive.		
18	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED	that this matter shall be placed on this Court's	
19	7th Amil 2001		
20	Calendar on the 7th day of April, 2022 —————, at the hour of		
21	8:30 am		
22	<u></u>	Dated this 1st day of February, 2022	
23		(1)1/0 -	
24		(trist) lax	
25		District Court Judge	
26	!	54A EC8 E433 79EE	

54A EC8 E433 79EE Christy Craig District Court Judge

27

1	CSERV	
2	D	DISTRICT COURT
3	CLAR	K COUNTY, NEVADA
4		
5		
6	Gregory Morgan, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-22-847232-W
7	VS.	DEPT. NO. Department 32
8	William Hutching, Warden	
9	SDCC, Defendant(s)	
10		_
11	AUTOMATED	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
12	Electronic service was attempted through the Eighth Judicial District Court's	
13	electronic filing system, but there were no registered users on the case.	
14	If indicated below, a conv. of the	na shove mentioned filings were also served by mail
15	If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last known addresses on 2/2/2022	
16		######################################
17		#1196223 SDCC
18		P.O. Box 208 Indian Springs, NV, 89070
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
24 25		
25		

Electronically Filed
2/11/2022 10:22 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

	il		CLERK OF THE COURT
1	RSPN		Stevent Stern
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		
3	JONATHAN E. VANBOSKERCK		
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006528		
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212		
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	·		
8		CT COURT NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	-vs-	CASE NO:	A-22-847232-W
12	GREGORY DELLO MORGAN,		C-19-344461-1
13	# 2752270	DEPT NO:	XXXII
14	Defendant.		
15	STATE'S RESPONSE TO DI	EFENDANT'S PE	TITION FOR
16	WRIT OF HABEAS COR	RPUS (POST-CON	VICTION
17	DATE OF HEAF TIME OF HEA	RING: April 7, 2022 ARING: 8:30 AM	2
18	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada	a, by STEVEN B.	WOLFSON, Clark County
19	District Attorney, through JONATHAN 1	E. VANBOSKER	CK, Chief Deputy District
20	Attorney, and hereby submits the attached Po	oints and Authorities	s in Response to Defendant's
21	Petition for Writ Of Habeas Corpus (Post-Co	nviction).	
22	This response is made and based upor	all the papers and	pleadings on file herein, the
23	attached points and authorities in support her	eof, and oral argun	nent at the time of hearing, if
24	deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.		
25	<i>II</i>		
26	//		
27	<i>//</i>		
28	11		

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On November 1, 2019, the Grand Jury indicted Gregory Dello Morgan ("Petitioner") with Count One: Grand Larceny (Category C Felony – NRS 205.220.1, 205.222.2); Count Two: Conspiracy to Commit Robbery (Category B Felony – NRS 200.380, 199.480); Count Three: Robbery with Use of a Deadly Weapon (Category B Felony – NRS 200.380, 193.165); Count Four: Burglary While in Possession of a Deadly Weapon (Category B Felony – NRS 206.060); Count Six: Burglary While in Possession of a Deadly Weapon (Category B Felony – NRS 206.060); Count Seven: Grand Larceny (Category C Felony – NRS 205.220.1, 205.222.2); Count Eight: Conspiracy to Commit Robbery (Category B Felony – NRS 200.380, 199.480); Count Nine: Robbery with Use of a Deadly Weapon (Category B Felony – NRS 200.380, 193.165); Count Ten: Burglary (Category B Felony – NRS 205.060); Count Eleven: Grand Larceny (Category C Felony – NRS 205.220.1, 205.222.2); and Count Twelve: Burglary (Category B Felony – NRS 205.060). Indictment filed 11/1/19 ("Indictment") at 1-5.

On January 10, 2020, the State filed a superseding indictment adding additional counts of Grand Larceny and burglary, as well as a charge of Participation in Organized Retail Theft (Category B Felony – NRS 205.08345). Superseding Indictment filed 1/10/20. This was amended on January 14, 2020, to add an additional count for a total of eighteen (18) counts. Amended Superseding Indictment filed 1/14/20.

The State filed a motion to admit Petitioner's prior bad acts and previous convictions, as well as a motion to seek punishment as a habitual criminal. State's Notice of Motion in Limine Defendants Statements and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Bad Acts or in the Alternative to Put Defendants on Notice of the State's Intention to Admit Prior Judgment of Conviction, filed 2/19/20; State's Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal, filed 3/3/20. The motion to admit prior bad acts and previous convictions was denied, as was Petitioner's motion to sever his trial from his co-defendants. Minutes filed 3/5/20. Petitioner moved to dismiss his counsel but in open court withdrew that motion. Motion to Dismiss

Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel filed 9/21/20; Minutes filed 10/13/20.

On November 4, 2020, the Court set trial for the following week. The next day, Petitioner entered into a guilty plea agreement. Guilty Plea Agreement ("GPA") filed 11/5/20. In the GPA, Petitioner pled guilty to Count One: Conspiracy to Commit Robbery (Category B Felony – NRS 200.380, 199.480); Count Two: Robbery with Use of a Deadly Weapon (Category B Felony – NRS 200.380); and Count Three: Burglary (Category B Felony – NRS 205.060). GPA at 1. The other fifteen (15) felony charges were dropped and Petitioner was not sentenced as a habitual criminal. GPA at 1. As a habitual criminal, he risked life without the possibility of parole. GPA at 2.

The Judgment of Conviction ("JOC") was filed January 21, 2021. Petitioner was sentenced according to the terms of the GPA to 24-60 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections for count one; 36-120 months for count two, consecutive to count one; and 24-60 months for count three, concurrent with count two. JOC at 2; GPA at 1. He received 466 days credit for time served. JOC at 2. His aggregate sentence is 60 to 180 months. JOC at 2. The court recommended drug treatment while in custody. JOC at 2.

Petitioner did not appeal his conviction. On June 30, 3021, Petitioner moved to dismiss his counsel and requested new counsel. Motion to Dismiss Counsel & Appoint Alternate Counsel, filed 6/30/21. The court granted his motion to dismiss his counsel but did not appoint new counsel. Minutes filed 7/22/21. On August 9, 2021, Petitioner filed a Motion to Withdraw Plea. The State responded on August 24, 2021, and it was denied on September 21, 2021.

On October 5, 2021, Petitioner filed a Motion to Appoint Counsel. This was denied without prejudice on October 26, 2021, because Petitioner had no outstanding matters in which an attorney could assist.

Petitioner filed a second Motion to Appoint Counsel ("Motion") on January 31, 2022. He filed the instant Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) (hereinafter "Petition") on January 25, 2022.¹

¹ The court clerk received the Petition prior to the one-year mark. <u>See Hobbs v. State</u>, Order of Reversal and Remand, Docket No. 75301, Filed February 15, 2019.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

The District Court relied on the PSI for the facts of the case at sentencing:

On September 20, 2019, two males entered the Footlocker store on South Las Vegas Boulevard and began to look around the store. The first male went to the cashier to return merchandise and was refunded \$70.37 in cash. The other male eventually joined the first male and they continued to walk through out the store. The two males then picked up 23 NBA jerseys worth approximately \$1,300.00 and quickly walked out of the store without paying for the jerseys. The cashier followed the men and called 911. When the one suspect noticed the cashier following them, he pulled up his shirt revealing a semi-automatic pistol in his waistband. The cashier then stopped his pursuit of the men.

On September 24, 2019, officers were dispatched to Champs Sports inside the Fashion Show mall. Officers made contact with the manager who explained that two males entered the store and he asked if he could help them. The two subjects began going through the store and picking out jerseys from the racks. When the two men attempted to leave the store without paying, the manager stepped in front of the men and told them they could not do that. One of the men lifted his shirt and brandished a black handgun in his waistband. An estimated total of \$1,732.05 in merchandise was taken from the store.

Once detectives reviewed surveillance, they noticed the suspects were the same two suspects from the armed Robbery that occurred at Footlocker four days prior. Foot locker managers advised that over the past few days people have been calling the stores across the valley asking if they could refund jerseys at various locations. One of the men identified himself as the codefendant, Andre Snipes.

On September 29, 2019, two men entered the Footlocker store in Festival Plaza in Downtown Summerlin. On September 30, 2019, the manager of that Footlocker informed detectives of the names and identification information for the two suspects, one being the defendant, Gregory Morgan, and other being co-defendant Andre Snipes.

Photo lineups were taken to the Champs and Footlocker stores and both witnesses were positive the defendant and co-defendant were the men who stole the merchandise from their stores. On October 7, 2019, officers located a social media website where the defendant was attempting to sell a couple pair of tennis shoes. A purchase agreement was set up with the defendant, who met him in a parking lot. Once the suspects arrived at the location of the transaction, they were taken into custody.

PSI at 7-8.

ARGUMENT

I. GROUND ONE IS A SUBSTANTIVE COMPLAINT AND IS WAIVED AS IT WAS NOT RAISED ON DIRECT APPEAL.

In his first ground for error, Petitioner alleges a violation of his Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights:

While I was incarcerated at High Desert State Prison the court violated my Amendment by never serving me with a notice to seek Indictment, which violated my due process of law, which I am entitled to equal protection of the law. On 11-5-2019 at 7:30 am there was a Grand Jury indictment return – case closed – dismissed Grand Jury Judgment entered. Anything after I was never notify of any Indictment which was a clear violation.

Petition at 7.

The State interprets this to assert a violation of NRS 172.241, which affords "reasonable notice" to a person whose indictment is to be considered by a grand jury, otherwise known as a Marcum notice. NRS 172.241(2); Sheriff, Humboldt Cty. v. Marcum, 105 Nev. 824, 783 P.2d 1389 (1989), amended, 790 P.2d 497 (Nev. 1990). Petitioner appears to claim he never received such notice. This substantive claim is waived for not being raised on direct appeal. NRS 34.724(2)(a). Further, the guilty plea agreement waived all alleged errors that arose prior to the guilty plea.

A court *must* dismiss a petition for habeas relief if the underlying conviction was based on a guilty plea and the petition alleges anything other than that the plea was involuntarily or unknowingly entered or that the plea was entered without the effective assistance of counsel, unless the court finds both cause for the failure to present the grounds and actual prejudice to the petitioner. NRS 34.810(1)(a).

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that "challenges to the validity of a guilty plea and claims of ineffective assistance of trial and appellate counsel must first be pursued in post-conviction proceedings.... [A]ll other claims that are appropriate for a direct appeal must be pursued on direct appeal, or they will be *considered waived in subsequent proceedings*." Franklin v. State, 110 Nev. 750, 752, 877 P.2d 1058, 1059 (1994) (emphasis added)

(disapproved on other grounds by <u>Thomas v. State</u>, 115 Nev. 148, 979 P.2d 222 (1999)). "A court must dismiss a habeas petition if it presents claims that either were or could have been presented in an earlier proceeding, unless the court finds both cause for failing to present the claims earlier or for raising them again and actual prejudice to the petitioner." <u>Evans v. State</u>, 117 Nev. 609, 646-47, 29 P.3d 498, 523 (2001). Substantive claims are beyond the scope of habeas and are waived if not raised on direct appeal. NRS 34.724(2)(a); <u>Evans</u>, 117 Nev. at 646-47, 29 P.3d at 523; Franklin, 110 Nev. at 752, 877 P.2d at 1059.

A showing of good cause and prejudice may overcome procedural bars. "To establish good cause, appellants *must* show that an impediment external to the defense prevented their compliance with the applicable procedural rule. A qualifying impediment might be shown where the factual or legal basis for a claim was not reasonably available at the time of default." Clem v. State, 119 Nev. 615, 621, 81 P.3d 521, 525 (2003) (emphasis added). The Court continued, "appellants cannot attempt to manufacture good cause[.]" Id. at 621, 81 P.3d at 526. Examples of good cause include interference by State officials and the previous unavailability of a legal or factual basis. See State v. Huebler, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 19, 275 P.3d 91, 95 (2012).

In order to establish prejudice, the defendant must show "not merely that the errors of [the proceedings] created possibility of prejudice, but that they worked to his actual and substantial disadvantage, in affecting the state proceedings with error of constitutional dimensions." Hogan v. Warden, 109 Nev. 952, 960, 860 P.2d 710, 716 (1993) (quoting United States v. Frady, 456 U.S. 152, 170, 102 S. Ct. 1584, 1596 (1982)). To find good cause there must be a "substantial reason; one that affords a legal excuse." Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 248, 252, 71 P.3d 503, 506 (2003) (quoting Colley v. State, 105 Nev. 235, 236, 773 P.2d 1229, 1230 (1989)). Clearly, any delay in the filing of the petition must not be the fault of the petitioner. NRS 34.726(1)(a).

Here, Petitioner pled guilty. Ground One of his habeas petition does not allege his plea was involuntary or that he entered his plea without the effective assistance of counsel. Therefore, this ground must be dismissed unless Petitioner shows good cause for not raising it

 $/\!/$

//

on direct appeal, as well as prejudice from an error of constitutional dimensions that worked to his actual and substantial disadvantage.

Petitioner makes no attempt to show good cause for not raising the issue of a <u>Marcum</u> notice on direct appeal. All the facts and law related to this claim were available to him at the time available for a direct appeal, and Petitioner does not demonstrate that an impediment external to the defense prevented him from raising his claim then.

Regarding prejudice, Petitioner makes no attempt to offer cogent argument as to how not being able to appear before the Grand Jury affected his decision to plead guilty to three felonies rather than go to trial on eighteen felonies as a habitual offender. The alleged failure to receive a Marcum notice does not demonstrate sufficient prejudice to permit Petitioner to overcome the procedural default, because his guilty plea negates the alleged error.

"When a criminal defendant has solemnly admitted in open court that he is in fact guilty of the offense with which he is charged, he may not thereafter raise independent claims relating to the deprivation of constitutional rights that occurred prior to the entry of the guilty plea."

Reuben C. v. State, 99 Nev. 845, 845–46, 673 P.2d 493, 493 (1983) (quoting Tollett v. Henderson, 411 U.S. 258, 267, 93 S.Ct. 1602, 1608, (1973)). In Reuben C., a challenge to a juvenile certification decision was precluded where he had pled guilty. In Tollett, a challenge to the composition of the grand jury was precluded. In Cline v. State, 90 Nev. 17, 518 P.2d 159 (1974), a claim that his confession was coerced was precluded. "It is now the established law of this state that where a guilty plea is not coerced and the defendant was competently represented by counsel at the time it was entered, the subsequent conviction is not open to collateral attack and any errors are superseded by the plea of guilty." Powell v. Sheriff, Clark Cty., 85 Nev. 684, 687, 462 P.2d 756, 758 (1969).

Because Petitioner pled guilty, admitting in open court that he actually committed the crimes for which he was convicted, his claim of not having received a <u>Marcum</u> notice, whether true or not, is precluded from consideration.

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

II. GROUND TWO IS A NAKED ASSERTION, SUITABLE ONLY FOR SUMMARY DENIAL.

In his second ground for error, Petitioner makes bare and naked assertions alleging ineffective assistance of counsel:

Alex Bassett failed to file a petition for writ of mandamus to challenge the Grand Jury Indictment. Alex Bassett did not allow me to see the exculpatory evidence (video tape) in my case, which would of shown I never committed a robbery, nor were there any gun. Alex Bassett lied to me more than (one) time.

Petition at 8.

The Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that, "[i]n all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right . . . to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense." The United States Supreme Court has long recognized that "the right to counsel is the right to the effective assistance of counsel." Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 686, 104 S. Ct. 2052, 2063 (1984); see also State v. Love, 109 Nev. 1136, 1138, 865 P.2d 322, 323 (1993). To prevail on a claim of ineffective assistance of trial counsel, a defendant must prove he was denied "reasonably effective assistance" of counsel by satisfying the two-prong test of Strickland, 466 U.S. at 686-87, 104 S. Ct. at 2063-64; see also Love, 109 Nev. at 1138, 865 P.2d at 323. Under the Strickland test, a defendant must show first that his counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness and second, that but for counsel's errors, there is a reasonable probability that the result of the proceedings would have been different. Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687-88, 694, 104 S. Ct. at 2065, 2068; Warden, Nevada State Prison v. Lyons, 100 Nev. 430, 432, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984) (adopting the Strickland two-part test). "[T]here is no reason for a court deciding an ineffective assistance claim to approach the inquiry in the same order or even to address both components of the inquiry if the defendant makes an insufficient showing on one." Strickland, 466 U.S. at 697, 104 S. Ct. at 2069.

The court begins with the presumption of effectiveness and then must determine whether the defendant has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that counsel was

ineffective. Means v. State, 120 Nev. 1001, 1011, 103 P.3d 25, 32 (2004). "Effective counsel does not mean errorless counsel, but rather counsel whose assistance is '[w]ithin the range of competence demanded of attorneys in criminal cases." <u>Jackson v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 430, 432, 537 P.2d 473, 474 (1975).

"There are countless ways to provide effective assistance in any given case. Even the best criminal defense attorneys would not defend a particular client in the same way." Strickland, 466 U.S. at 689, 104 S. Ct. at 689. In essence, the court must "judge the reasonableness of counsel's challenged conduct on the facts of the particular case, viewed as of the time of counsel's conduct." Strickland, 466 U.S. at 690, 104 S. Ct. at 2066.

A defendant who contends his attorney was ineffective because he did not adequately investigate must show how a better investigation would have rendered a more favorable outcome probable. Molina v. State, 120 Nev. 185, 192, 87 P.3d 533, 538 (2004). To satisfy the Strickland standard and establish ineffectiveness for failure to investigate, a defendant must allege *in the pleadings* what information would have resulted from a better investigation or the substance of the missing witness' testimony. Molina v. State, 120 Nev. 185, 192, 87 P.3d 533, 538 (2004); State v. Haberstroh, 119 Nev. 173, 185, 69 P.3d 676, 684 (2003). It must be clear from the "record what it was about the defense case that a more adequate investigation would have uncovered." Id. A defendant must also show how a better investigation probably would have rendered a more favorable outcome. Id.

"A petitioner for post-conviction relief cannot rely on conclusory claims for relief but must make specific factual allegations that if true would entitle him to relief. The petitioner is not entitled to an evidentiary hearing if the record belies or repels the allegations." Colwell v. State, 118 Nev. Adv. 807, 813, 59 P.3d 463, 467 (2002) (citing Evans v. State, 117 Nev. 609, 621, 28 P.3d 498, 507 (2001)). "Bare" and "naked" allegations are not sufficient to warrant post-conviction relief, nor are those belied and repelled by the record. Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 502, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984). "A claim is 'belied' when it is contradicted or proven to be false by the record as it existed at the time the claim was made." Mann v. State, 118 Nev. 351, 354, 46 P.3d 1228, 1230 (2002). A habeas corpus petitioner must prove disputed factual

allegations by a preponderance of the evidence. <u>Means</u>, 120 Nev. at 1011, 103 P.3d at 32. The burden rests on Petitioner to "allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition." NRS 34.735(6).

Petitioner's claim that his attorney lied to him on more than one occasion is a bare and naked allegation, insufficient to warrant post-conviction relief. <u>Hargrove</u>, 100 Nev. at 502, 686 P.2d at 225. Petitioner fails to identify any specific lies told by his attorney or explain the context surrounding the alleged lies. He fails to demonstrate he relied on those lies to his substantial detriment or that the results of the proceedings would have been different if his attorney had not lied. This assertion must be dismissed pursuant to <u>Hargrove</u>.

The claim that Petitioner was never shown an exculpatory videotape which proved Petitioner never committed a robbery, and that if he had committed a robbery, a gun was not used, is similarly bare and naked. Petitioner fails to elaborate on how the video can be both relevant to the crime and show the crime did not occur. He fails to demonstrate the video exists. He fails to show how watching the video would have affected his decision to admit in open court that he committed the crimes he was convicted of. Whether the alleged video showed the robbery was committed without the use of a firearm is irrelevant, as Petitioner was not convicted of using a deadly weapon in his crimes. This unsubstantiated claim must be dismissed pursuant to <u>Hargrove</u>.

This claim is also belied by the record. Mann, 118 Nev. at 354, 46 P.3d at 1230. At the entry of his plea on November 5, 2021, Petitioner affirmed he had had "ample opportunity to discuss [his] case with [his] attorney. Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Possible Motion to Sever, filed August 19, 2021, at 7. The Court then asked if Petitioner had any defense to the charges:

THE COURT: Have you discussed with him any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in your favor?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied to have him as your attorney and the advice he's given you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Possible Motion to Sever, filed August 19, 2021, at 7.

If a video existed showing Petitioner did not commit the crimes, Petitioner would have known he did not commit the crimes. During the canvass, the Court asked Petitioner extensive questions about the factual basis of his plea, and Petitioner firmly admitted he committed the criminal acts charged. Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Possible Motion to Sever, filed August 19, 2021, at 18-21. This affirmation at the plea canvass reinforced the guilty plea agreement, in which Petitioner signed a statement saying "I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies, and circumstances which might be in my favor." GPA at 5.

Because Petitioner's claim that his attorney failed to share an exonerating video with him is both bare and naked and belied by the record, it must be dismissed pursuant to <u>Hargrove</u>.

Petitioner's claim regarding his attorney's ineffectiveness in failing to challenge the grand jury proceeding by filing a Petition for Writ of Mandamus is rendered moot by the entry of his guilty plea. Once Petitioner admitted he committed the crimes for which he was convicted, any challenge to an alleged lack of <u>Marcum</u> notice would not have been entertained by the Nevada Supreme Court. <u>Reuben C.</u>, 99 Nev. at 845–46, 673 P.2d at 493. Petitioner's attorney was not required to file a futile petition. <u>Ennis v. State</u>, 122 Nev. 694, 706, 137 P.3d 1095, 1103 (2006). Petitioner's guilty plea waived any prejudice from the lack of <u>Marcum</u> notice under the <u>Strickland</u> analysis.

//

//

//

//

//

1	<u>CONCLUSION</u>
2	For the foregoing reasons, the State respectfully requests this Petition for Writ Of
3	Habeas Corpus be DENIED.
4	DATED this 11th day of February, 2022.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
7	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
8	
9	BY <u>/s/ Jonathan E. Vanboskerck</u> JONATHAN E. VANBOSKERCK
10	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006528
11	
12	
13	<u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</u>
14	I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this 11th day of
15	February, 2022, by depositing a copy in the U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
16	GREGORY MORGAN, #1196223 S.D.C.C.
17	PO BOX 208 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070
18	INDIAN SEKINGS, NV 89070
19	BY /s/E. Del Padre
20	E. DEL PADRE Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	JV/ed/sw/GCU

,	LEFT SIDE	
1	IN THE STATE OF THE	
2	JOBIEME DISTRICT COURT OF THE	
3	OTHER OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE	
4	COUNTY OF Cark	
5	Gregory Morgan	
6	Petitioner,	
. 7	remoner,	
8	V.	
9) Case No. A-23-847232-W	
10) Case 140. 11 020 0 1 7 00 0 - 00	
11	William Hielching) Dept. No. 32	
12	Warden, SDCC	
13	Respondent.)	
14		
15		
16	ORDER FOR TRANSPORTATION OF INMATE FOR COURT APPEARANCE	
17	OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE OR VIDEO	
18	CONFERENCE	
19	Based upon the above motion, I find that the presence of	
20	Gregory Morgan is necessary for the hearing that is scheduled in this	
21	case on the 1^{+1} day of A_{DF} 3032 at	
22.	8:30 am	
23	THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that,	
24	Pursuant to NRS 209.274, Warden William Hutching	
25	of Southern Desert Correctional Center is hereby commanded to have	
26	Gregory Morgan transported to appear before me at a hearing	
27	scheduled for April 1, 2000 at 8,30 am at the	
28	Clark County Courthouse. Upon completion of the hearing,	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	RECEIVED	
	FEB 1 7 2022	

CLERK OF THE COURT

1	Gregory Morgan is to be transported back to the above
2	named institution.
3	
4	☐ Pursuant to NRS 209.274(2)(a), Petitioner shall be made available for telephonic
5	or video conference appearance by his or her institution. My clerk will contact
6	+1 .
7	arrangements for the Court to initiate the telephone appearance for the hearing.
8	The nearing.
9	Dated this 9th day of Feb 2022.
10	- COOC
11	
12	
13	District Court Judge
14	a so and younge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
27	
28	
11	

Electronically Filed

I AM NEEDED AS A WITNESS.

My petition raises substantial issues of fact concerning events in which I participated and about which only I can testify. See U.S. v. Hayman, 342 U.S. 205 (1952) (District Court erred when it made findings of fact concerning Hayman's knowledge and consent to his counsel's representation of a witness against Hayman without notice to Hayman or Hayman's presence at the evidentiary hearing).

THE HEARING WILL BE AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING.

My petition raises material issues of fact that can be determined only in my presence. See Walker v. Johnston, 312 U.S. 275 (1941) (government's contention that allegations are improbable and unbelievable cannot serve to deny the petitioner an opportunity to support them by evidence). The Nevada Supreme Court has held that the presence of the petitioner for habeas corpus relief is required at any evidentiary hearing conducted on the merits of the claim asserted in the petition. See Gebers v. Nevada, 118 Nev. 500 (2002).

- 4. The prohibition against ex parte communication requires that I be present at any hearing at which the state is present and at which issues concerning the claims raised in my petition are addressed. U.S. Const. amends. V, VI.
- 5. If a person incarcerated in a state prison is required or is requested to appear as a witness in any action, the Department of Corrections must be notified in writing not less than 7 business days before the date scheduled for his appearance in Court if the inmate is incarcerated in a prison located not more than 40 miles from Las Vegas. NRS 50.215(4). If a person is incarcerated in a prison located 41 miles or more from Las Vegas, the Department of Corrections must be notified in writing not less than 14 business days before the date scheduled for the person's appearance in Court.
 - 6. Southern Desert Correctional Center is located approximately

 10 miles from Las Vegas, Nevada.

1	7. If there is insufficient time to provide the required notice to the Department
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	Honorable Court order the Warden to make me available on the date of the
4	scheduled appearance, by telephone, or video conference, pursuant to NRS
5	209.274(2)(a), so that I may provide relevant testimony and/or be present for the
6	evidentiary hearing.
7.	8. The rules of the institution prohibit me from placing telephone calls from
8	the institution, except for collect calls, unless special arrangements are made with
9	prison staff. Nev. Admin. Code DOC 718.01. However, arrangements for my
10	telephone appearance can be made by contacting the following staff member at my
11	institution: Unknown,
12	whose telephone number is Unknaum
13	GTb C
14	Dated this 9th day of Feb 2022.
15	
16	Tregory Morgan
17	
18	
19	Gregory Morgan
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
.9	

1	CERTFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAILING
2	I, Gregory Morgan hereby certify, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), that on this 9th
3	day of 65, 202, I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing, "
4	Motion and Order for Transportation "
5	by placing document in a sealed pre-postage paid envelope and deposited said envelope in the
6	United State Mail addressed to the following:
7	
8	Steven D. Grierson Clerk of the court
9	200 lewis Ave 3rd Floor
10	89155-1160
11	
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	CC:FILE
18	OT - E-1
19	DATED: this 9^{Th} day of Feb , 2020 .
20	M_{-}
21	Gregory Morgan # 1196223
22	Post Office Box 208, S.D.C.C. Indian Springs, Nevada 89018
24	Indian Springs, Nevada 89018 IN FORMA PAUPERIS:
25	
26	
27	·
28	
.0	
ä	

AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding
Motion and Order for Transportation (Title of Document)
filed in District Court Case number A-22-841232-W
Does not contain the social security number of any person.
-OR-
☐ Contains the social security number of a person as required by:
A. A specific state or federal law, to wit:
(State specific law)
-OF-
 B. For the administration of a public program or for an application for a federal or state grant.
Signature Date
Gregory Morgan Print Name
<u>Petitioner</u> Title

Thevery Griefson Greens Avenue 384 Moor 1000 1000 119033 000 000 000 adian Springs, NV 89070



Electronically Filed 04/22/2022 10:20 AM CLERK OF THE COURT

CLERK OF THE COURT 1 OPI STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 ELIZABETH MERCER Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #010681 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada, 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. Plaintiff. 10 CASE NO. C-19-344461-1 11 -VS-A-22-847232-W 12 GREGORY DELLO MORGAN. DEPT NO. XXXII #2752270 13 Defendant. 14 15 ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE 16 **GREGORY DELLO MORGAN, BAC #1196223** 17 DATE OF HEARING: May 19, 2022 TIME OF HEARING: 8:30 AM 18 NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; and TO: 19 TO: JOSEPH LOMBARDO, Sheriff of Clark County, Nevada: 20 Upon the ex parte application of THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, by STEVEN 21 B. WOLFSON, District Attorney, through ELIZABETH MERCER, Chief Deputy District 22 Attorney, and good cause appearing therefor, 23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 24 shall be, and is, hereby directed to produce GREGORY DELLO MORGAN, Defendant in 25 Case Number C-19-344461-1, wherein THE STATE OF NEVADA is the Plaintiff, inasmuch 26 as the said GREGORY DELLO MORGAN is currently incarcerated in the NEVADA 27 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS located in Clark County, Nevada, and his presence will 28 //

1	be required in Las Vegas, Nevada, commencing on May 19, 2022, at the hour of 8:30 o'clock
2	AM and continuing until completion of the prosecution's case against the said Defendant.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that JOSEPH LOMBARDO, Sheriff of Clark County.
4	Nevada, shall accept and retain custody of the said GREGORY DELLO MORGAN in the
5	Clark County Detention Center, Las Vegas, Nevada, pending completion of said matter in
6	Clark County, or until the further Order of this Court; or in the alternative shall make all
7	arrangements for the transportation of the said GREGORY DELLO MORGAN to and from
8	the Nevada Department of Corrections facility which are necessary to insure the GREGORY
9	DELLO MORGAN's appearance in Clark County pending completion of said matter, or until
10	further Order of this Court.
11 	11/1//
12	DISTRICT HIDSE
13	DISTRICT JUDGE
14	B58 0F5 C12B 48CA Christy Craig
15	STEVEN B. WOLFSON District Court Judge
Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	Nevada Bar #001565
17	BY /s/ ELIZABETH MERCER
18	ELIZABETH MERCER
19	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010681
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	19F21141A/ds/GCU
28	

l	CSERV
2	DISTRICT COURT
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
4	
5	
6	Gregory Morgan, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-22-847232-W
7	vs. DEPT. NO. Department 32
8	William Hutching, Warden
9	SDCC, Defendant(s)
10	
11	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
12	Electronic service was attempted through the Eighth Judicial District Court's
13	electronic filing system, but there were no registered users on the case. The filer has been notified to serve all parties by traditional means.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Writ of Habeas Corpus

COURT MINUTES

April 07, 2022

A-22-847232-W

Gregory Morgan, Plaintiff(s)

William Hutching, Warden SDCC, Defendant(s)

April 07, 2022

8:30 AM

Petition for Writ of Habeas

Corpus

HEARD BY: Craig, Christy

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 05D

COURT CLERK: David Gibson

RECORDER:

Kaihla Berndt

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Evans, Ronald James

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Gregory Morgan not present.

The Court advised the matter needed to be continued for the Deft's presence and directed Mr. Evans to prepare a transport order. COURT ORDERED matter CONTINUED.

NDC

CONTINUED TO - 5/19/22 8:30 AM

PRINT DATE: Page 1 of 3 07/14/2022 Minutes Date: April 07, 2022

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Writ of Habeas Corpus

COURT MINUTES

May 19, 2022

A-22-847232-W

Gregory Morgan, Plaintiff(s)

William Hutching, Warden SDCC, Defendant(s)

May 19, 2022

8:30 AM

Petition for Writ of Habeas

Corpus

HEARD BY: Craig, Christy

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 05D

COURT CLERK: Andrea Natali

RECORDER:

Kaihla Berndt

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Evans, Ronald James

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Petitioner not present due to not being transported from the Nevada Dept. of Corrections. As the Deft. was not present, COURT ORDERED, mater CONTINUED and the state to prepare another transport order.

CONTINUED TO: 6/16/22 - 8:30 AM

CLERK'S NOTE: The foregoing minutes were distributed vial general mail to the following party:

Gregory Morgan #1196223

NDOC / SDCC PO Box 208

Indian Springs, NV 89070

(5/20/22 amn).

PRINT DATE: 07/14/2022 Page 2 of 3 Minutes Date: April 07, 2022

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Writ of Habeas Corpus

COURT MINUTES

June 16, 2022

A-22-847232-W

Gregory Morgan, Plaintiff(s)

William Hutching, Warden SDCC, Defendant(s)

June 16, 2022

8:30 AM

Petition for Writ of Habeas

Corpus

HEARD BY: Craig, Christy

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 05D

COURT CLERK: Andrea Natali

RECORDER:

Kaihla Berndt

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Cobb, Tyrus

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT NOTED, a transport order was supposed to have been prepared. Mr. Cobb stated there was a transport order filed 4/22/22 and he had an email from the Nevada Dept. of Corrections (NDC), indicating they had this date scheduled to transport the Deft. COURT DIRECTED, Mr. Cobb to reach out to Mr. Evans who worked with the Attorney General who worked with NDC, and ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 8/30/22 - 8:30 AM

PRINT DATE: Page 3 of 3 07/14/2022 Minutes Date: April 07, 2022

Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record

State of Nevada County of Clark SS

Pursuant to the Supreme Court order dated July 7, 2022, I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the complete trial court record for the case referenced below. The record comprises one volume with pages numbered 1 through 44.

GREGORY D. MORGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

WILLIAM HUTCHING WARDEN SOUTHERN DESERT CORRECTIONAL CENTER,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-22-847232-W

Related Case C-19-344461-1

Dept. No: XXXII

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 14 day of July 2022.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk