1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 3 IN RE: Case No. 4 PETITION FOR REINSTATEMENT KYM S. CUSHING, 5 NEVADA BAR NO. 4242 6 7 8 9 10 11 **VOLUME II** 12 13 Pursuant to SCR 121 the enclosed 14 record of proceeding is being submitted 15 for filing under seal and is deemed 16 17 confidential. 18 19 20 Phillip J. Pattee, Esq. Kym S. Cushing, Esq. 21 Nevada Bar No. 4021 Nevada Bar No. 4242 State Bar of Nevada 24 Hummingbird Way

Henderson, NV 89014

Petitioner

22

23

24

25

3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 100

Counsel for the State Bar of Nevada

Las Vegas, NV 89102

INDEX

Description	Page Nos.	Vol.
Petition for Reinstatement	ROA Page	I
Filed January 21, 2022	001-116	
Order Appointing Hearing Panel Chair	ROA Page	I
Filed January 31, 2022	117-118	
Certificate of Service- Record on Appeal	ROA Page	I
Dated June 28, 2022	332	
Notice of Initial Case Conference	ROA Page	I
Filed February 1, 2022	119-120	
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and	ROA Page	I
Recommendation	325-327	
Filed May 16, 2022		
Scheduling Order	ROA Page	I
Filed February 28, 2022	121-124	
State Bar of Nevada's Initial Summary of Evidence and	ROA Page	I
Disclosure of Witnesses for Reinstatement Hearing	125-128	
Filed March 1, 2022		
Order Appointing Panel Members	ROA Page	I
Filed March 7, 2022	129-130	
Petitioner's Initial Summary of Evidence and Disclosure	ROA Page	I
of Witnesses for Reinstatement Hearing	131-299	
Filed March 14, 2022		
State Bar of Nevada's Final Summary of Evidence and	ROA Page	I
Disclosure of Witnesses for Reinstatement Hearing	300-303	
Filed March 28, 2022		
Petitioner's Final Summary of Evidence and Disclosure	ROA Page	I
of Witnesses for Reinstatement Hearing	304-324	
Filed April 18, 2022		
State Bar of Nevada's Memorandum of Costs	ROA Page	I
Filed May 17, 2022	325-331	

18 | | ///

20

TRANSCRIPTS & EXHIBITS

	No
ROA Page	II
333-426	
ROA Page	III
127-467	
ROA Page	III
468-474	
ROA Page	III
475-480	
ROA Page	II
481-489	
ROA Page	III
490-512	
ROA Page	II
513-660	
ROA Page	II
561-746	
ROA Page	II
747-862	
ROA Page	II.
ROA Page	II
366-868	
	_
•	II
369-870	
•	II
3/1-88/	
	111
_	III
588	
DO 4 B	****
•	
589-908	
	ROA Page 427-467 ROA Page 468-474 ROA Page 475-480 ROA Page 481-489 ROA Page 490-512 ROA Page 513-660 ROA Page 561-746 ROA Page 747-862 ROA Page 747-862 ROA Page

1	Description	Page Nos.	Vol. No.
2	SBN Exhibit 14 - Petitioner's Exhibit E – Completed CLE Course Credits by Year. Bates stamped KSC	ROA Page 909-914	III
3	O01-0005 SBN Exhibit 15 - Petitioner's Exhibit J – 2022 CLE	ROA Page	III
4	Certificates. Bates stamped KSC 001-0018	915-933	
5	SBN Exhibit 16 - Petitioner's Exhibit K – Certification of Completion of 8 hour Anger Mgmt.	ROA Page 934-938	III
6	Class. Bates stamped KSC 0001-0004 SBN Exhibit 17 - Petitioner's Exhibit N -	ROA Page	III
7	Supplement Brief. Bates stamped KSC 0001-0019	939-954	
8	SBN Exhibit 18 - Petitioner's Exhibit O – 2019 & 2020 Federal Tax Returns.	ROA Page 955-971	III
9	Bates stamped KSC 0001-0016 SBN Exhibit 19 - Petitioner's Response to	ROA Page	III
10	California's Bar Counsel's Report to Review Department Rfgarding [sic] Resignation; Declaration	972-1000	
11	of Kym Samuel Cushing. Filed June 22, 2021.		
12	Petitioner Exhibit A – Petition for Reinstatement without exhibits attached.	ROA Page 1001-1008	III
13	Petitioner Exhibit B – Supreme Court Order	ROA Page 1009-1015	III
14	Petitioner Exhibit C – Affidavit of Kym S. Cushing	ROA Page 1016-1035	III
15	Petitioner Exhibit D – NLAP Final Report	ROA Page 1036-1050	III
16	Petitioner Exhibit E – Completed CLE course credits by year	ROA Page 1051-1056	III
17	Petitioner Exhibit F – 2022 CLE Certificates	ROA Page 1057-1072	III
18	Petitioner Exhibit G – 2021 CLE Certificates	ROA Page 1073-1083	III
19	Petitioner Exhibit H – 2020 CLE Certificates	ROA Page 1084-1101	III
20	Petitioner Exhibit I – 2019 CLE Certificates	ROA Page 1102-1112	III

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
16 17
17

Description	Page Nos.	Vol.
		No.
Petitioner Exhibit J – 2022 CLE Certificates	ROA Page	III
	1113-1131	
Petitioner Exhibit K – Certificate of Completion of 8	ROA Page	III
hours of Anger Management Class	1132-1136	
Petitioner Exhibit L – Copy of \$7,500 Cashier's	ROA Page	III
check	1137-1138	
Petitioner Exhibit M – Copy of \$718.64 Cashier's	ROA Page	III
check	1139-1140	
Petitioner Exhibit N – Supplemental Brief	ROA Page	III
	1141-1160	
Petitioner Exhibit O – 2019 and 2020 Federal Tax	ROA Page	III
Returns	1161-1177	

1	STATE BAR OF NEVADA		
2	SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD		
3			
4	STATE BAR OF NEVADA)	se No.	
5	·	N22-00022	
6	vs.	CERTIFIED	
7	KYM SAMUEL CUSHING, ESQ.,) Nevada Bar No. 4242	TRANSCRIPT	
8	Petitioner.)		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	FORMAL HEARING OF KYM SAMUEL CUSH:	ING, ESQ.	
14			
15	Taken at the State Bar of Nevada Via Zoom Videoconference		
16	3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 100		
17	Las Vegas, Nevada		
18			
19	On Wednesday, April 27, 20	022	
20	At 9:00 a.m.		
21 22			
23			
24			
25	Reported by: Deborah Ann Hines, Co	CR #473, RPR	
		,	

```
1
     Appearances (via Zoom videoconference):
 2
     Commission Panel:
 3
             GARY A. PULLIAM, ESQ.
             Panel Chairman
 4
             P. DAVID WESTBROOK, ESQ.
            Panel Member
 5
 6
            DR. JO KENT MCBEATH
             Laymember
 7
     For the Complainant:
 8
             PHILLIP J. PATTEE, ESQ.
 9
            Assistant Bar Counsel
             State Bar of Nevada
10
             3100 W. Charleston Boulevard
11
             Suite 100
            Las Vegas, NV 89102
             (702)382-2200
12
13
     For the Petitioner:
14
             KYM SAMUEL CUSHING, ESQ.
15
     Also Present:
16
             TIFFANY BRADLEY
17
            Hearing Paralegal
18
             JOSHUA DAVIDSON
19
            Observer
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX		
2			
3	PETITIONER WITNESSES	PAGE	
4	KYM CUSHING		
5	Direct Examination By Mr. Cushing	11	
6	Cross-Examination By Mr. Pattee	41	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
(Thereupon Complainant's Exhibits
 1
                   1-19 were previously admitted
 2.
 3
                   into evidence.)
                   (Thereupon Petitioner's Exhibits
 4
 5
                   A-O were previously admitted into
                   evidence.)
 6
 7
              CHAIR PULLIAM: The hearing will come to
             This is In Re: Petition for reinstatement of
     order.
 8
 9
     Kym S. Cushing, Nevada Bar Number 4242. This is Case
10
     Number SBN-00022. My name is a Gary Pulliam.
     been appointed as chairman of this panel for today.
11
12
     My fellow panel members present are P. David
13
     Westbrook, esquire, and Dr. Jo Kent McBeath. Also
14
     present on behalf of the State Bar is Assistant Bar
15
     Counsel Phil Pattee and hearing paralegal Tiffany
     Bradley. Also present is petitioner Kym Cushing, who
16
17
     will be representing himself.
              Is that correct, Mr. Cushing? You're on
18
19
     mute.
20
              PETITIONER CUSHING:
                                   That is correct.
21
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Very good. Now, you
     understand you could have counsel if you wanted?
2.2
23
     You're willing to waive that for today's hearing; is
24
     that correct?
              PETITIONER CUSHING: Yes, that's correct.
25
```

All right. Very good. 1 CHAIR PULLIAM: All 2 riaht. Also present is Joshua Davidson, who is new to the disciplinary panel, and he's going to watching 3 the proceedings, and so we welcome everybody. 4 5 At a prehearing conference held on April 20th, 2022, the following exhibits were 6 7 admitted: State Bar 1 through 7 and Mr. Cushing's A through 0. 8 And, Mr. Cushing, you had expressed during 9 10 that conference a concern about one State Bar exhibit. Do you want to address that now or --11 PETITIONER CUSHING: No, it's fine. It's 12 13 We agree to stipulate that into evidence. 14 fact, I think both parties stipulated to each side's list of exhibits --15 16 CHAIR PULLIAM: Correct. 17 PETITIONER CUSHING: -- into evidence. CHAIR PULLIAM: Right. That's what I was 18 19 just getting ready to do that. So at that prehearing 20 conference, we did admit those exhibits. All right. Mr. Cushing, do you have any 21 witnesses you plan on calling today? 22 23 PETITIONER CUSHING: I may call two. 24 just depends how things are going. I don't expect 25 this to go super, super long, so I tried to tailored

my presentation to be tight and short and to the 1 2 point. CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Very good. And then 3 how about you, Mr. Pattee. Do you have any 4 5 witnesses? Potentially two, depending on, 6 MR. PATTEE: 7 as Mr. Cushing says, how things go. CHAIR PULLIAM: 8 Okay. MR. PATTEE: At the prehearing conference we 9 10 asked that -- we had submitted actually 19 exhibits, and the exhibit which Mr. Cushing raised the question 11 about was number 19 so --12 13 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, I was looking at the wrong page. So 1 through 19 were admitted. 14 15 That's correct, Mr. Pattee. Thank you very much. MR. PATTEE: Yeah, and we potentially have 16 17 two quick witnesses, depending on how everything With the panel's permission, usually the State 18 19 Bar, it's his burden but we go first because this is 20 what we do for a living, and if I could just give everybody sort of an overview of why the heck we're 21 here and what the standards of proof is and who has 2.2 23 to do what. And it takes about 30 seconds but it's 24 sort of -- instead of throwing Mr. Cushing into the

deep end, if I could at the get-go, if you don't

25

mind, let me explain where we're at and point to some 1 documents and things like that. 2 3 CHAIR PULLIAM: Interesting, Phil. That's right exactly where I was in my script to ask who was 4 5 going -- Mr. Cushing has the burden but who would go first in this proceeding, so you just answered that. 6 7 So basically you're just going to give an overview of what's going on, but let me address one thing real 8 9 auick. 10 Mr. Cushing, at some point are you planning on testifying under oath? 11 PETITIONER CUSHING: Yes, I plan -- my whole 12 13 testimony is going to be under oath, so I have to be 14 sworn in. 15 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. So, Mr. Pattee, you're going to provide the panel an overview of what 16 17 the process is and discuss the burden; is that 18 correct? MR. PATTEE: Yes, that is correct. 19 20 CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Well, go ahead then. 21 22 MR. PATTEE: Can I -- I know this is a very 23 serious matter, and it is especially serious to 24 Mr. Cushing, and with a formal proceeding, but does 25 anybody have a problem with us dropping the Mr. and

Mrs. and just going with people's first names? 1 PETITIONER CUSHING: That's fine. 2. I have no problem. 3 CHAIR PULLIAM: PETITIONER CUSHING: I know Gary and I know 4 Phil, so... 5 MR. PATTEE: Yeah. Okay. Well, once again 6 7 my name is Phil Pattee. I'm an Assistant Bar Counsel with the State Bar of Nevada and I've been assigned 8 9 to this matter, which, as I said before, is a 10 reinstatement hearing SBN22-0022 where Mr. Cushing, aka Kym, is asking for reinstatement to the State Bar 11 of Nevada. 12 13 If you turn to Exhibit 1, which was our 14 formal packet, if you turn to what is actually page 15 two, there's a couple of cover pages, but there is rule, I'm sorry, Supreme Court Rule 116, which goes 16 17 over what -- this is the rule which governs what 18 we're doing today. 19 And under procedure for reinstatement it 20 basically says that the attorney may be reinstated or readmitted only if the attorney demonstrates by clear 21 and convincing evidence the following criteria, and 22 23 it goes A through G, which I counted it up, that's 24 eight things that he needs to show by clear and

25

convincing evidence, unless -- or if he can't prove

all that, then you go back up to subsection two, he presents a good and sufficient reason why he should nevertheless be reinstated or readmitted. So bottom line here is this is his hearing, he can present whatever he deems appropriate and however he wants to do that.

The State Bar has planned on going through some documents. I would suggest -- he can do what he wants and we can defer to the panel chair. I would suggest basically after hearing what his rough plans are that I'll hold off on an opening statement, he can make an opening statement, he can testify, he can put on any witnesses he wants, and then I'll reserve my cross-examination of Mr. Crushing until after the end of his presentation, so it will have a little bit more context instead of us going back and forth and back and forth, let him put on his presentation and then I'll jump in.

I've got also a fairly concise I think presentation, and depending on how all this goes, possibly a couple of five-minute witnesses and hopefully we're out of here today.

PETITIONER CUSHING: We will be.

MR. PATTEE: And that's it for me.

CHAIR PULLIAM: Mr. Cushing, do you want to

just launch into your testimony or do you want to 1 2 give an opening as well? PETITIONER CUSHING: It probably would be 3 better if I get sworn in from the very beginning, 4 5 that way I don't have to break it up and so... CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. So what we'll do 6 7 then, and, Phil, you alluded to this, is we'd like Mr. Cushing to testify under oath, and then if --8 9 then you want to cross-examine him, correct, at that 10 point, or at least reserve the right to? MR. PATTEE: Yes, I'd like to reserve the 11 12 right to. Let's let him get his case in chief in and then we can come back, and if I have questions for 13 14 him, and --15 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. MR. PATTEE: -- we can talk. And my basic 16 plan is to go through the various documents which 17 have been submitted in this matter, some of our 18 19 exhibits, and have some questions for Kym about 20 those. And it shouldn't take too long, and then we'll go on from there. But, yeah, I think the best 21 thing, based on my knowledge of his cases, let him do 22 23 his thing and then --24 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. 25 MR. PATTEE: -- we'll jump in in about an

hour. 1 2 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. All right. Mr. Cushing, then let me go ahead and put you under 3 I'm sorry, Debbie, do you want to put him 4 oath. under oath? It's kind of your job, isn't it? Would 5 you mind doing that then. 6 7 Thereupon--KYM CUSHING 8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly 9 10 sworn, testified as follows: 11 CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cushing, and thank you, Debbie, as well. So, 12 13 Mr. Cushing, go ahead. I'm going to put myself on 14 mute so the floor is... 15 PETITIONER CUSHING: Okay. You cut off there at the end but I think you said the floor is 16 17 yours, something like that. All right. First of all, I would like to 18 19 thank Panel Chair Gary Pulliam and Mr. Westbrook and 20 Dr. McBeath for participating as panel members in my reinstatement hearing. It means a lot to me and I 21 22 thank you for your time. I'd also like to thank Bar 23 Counsel, Phil, and Tiffany for their professionalism 24 and courtesies and cooperation. They've been great

25

to work with.

As Phil alluded to earlier, Supreme Court Rule 116.2 lists requirements that an attorney must satisfy before he can be reinstated to the practice of law, and I understand that I have the burden of proof by clear and convincing evidence that I have to satisfy those seven requirements.

Now I can do that in several ways. I can do it through evidence that's been admitted into the hearing. And, by the way, I would like to thank the State Bar for agreeing to and stipulating to all the admission of the exhibits. It makes things go a lot smoother. I can meet my burden through testimony, including my testimony.

All the exhibits that you have in front of you were agreed to, as I said, by both parties. In fact most of the evidence in your binders are duplicates. There's a lot of duplication. Now, through my testimony and through evidence that has already been admitted I hope to demonstrate that I've satisfied all seven requirements or factors of SCR 116.2, as well as satisfying the conditions set forth in Supreme Court's order dated January 31, 2019, that was my order of suspension.

I'm going to also focus my presentation on my rehabilitation, what I've done to accomplish that,

what changes I have made in my life, and commitment 1 2 to do even better going forward. Mr. Cushing, can I interrupt 3 MR. PATTEE: you for a moment? 4 5 PETITIONER CUSHING: Sure. In State Bar's Exhibit 3 is the 6 MR. PATTEE: 7 order of suspension. PETITIONER CUSHING: Correct. 8 9 MR. PATTEE: And when Mr. Cushing was 10 suspended in January of 2020, he had several conditions which he was supposed to complete. 11 And if we had included everything that he gave us and that 12 13 we have, the exhibits are available to the panel, and 14 Tiffany can send them over, but it would have been 15 volumes and volumes, because one of the things he did was attach ever certificate for everything that he 16 17 was supposed to do. He was supposed to go and -- he was supposed 18 19 to pay money. He was supposed to do CLEs. He was 20 supposed to go to doctors. And to cut through some of the red tape here, he has -- the State Bar will 21 2.2 stipulate that he has met those requirements which 23 were spelled out in the Supreme Court's order of

24

25

January 2020.

Now, to be honest, did he pay the fees when

he was supposed to? No, but he has. There was a question in our mind of whether he completed -- he was supposed to do something like 35 or 36 CLE courses, and there's a question of whether he got -- actually did all but one or were they duplicates or whatever.

As far as the State Bar is concerned, if he didn't fulfill completely the CLE requirements, he has substantial compliance and we don't have a problem with that. So as far as the State Bar is concerned, he has met the requirements which were included in his original suspension order of December 20th.

So if he's planning on going through and explaining that he was at Dr. Jones' office on such and such a date and then went to a CLE on April 22nd, he's provided all of that, and so we don't need to get into that. We'll stipulate that he has complied with the order with the requirements in his suspension order.

PETITIONER CUSHING: I appreciate that and I thank Mr. Pattee for that.

PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: I have a quick question, you guys. Is the State Bar actually challenging whether or not he completed any of these

Because if there's no challenge here, 1 procedures? I'm wondering why we would need a full hearing. 2 Maybe we can just take a look at the exhibits and 3 reach a decision, if the State Bar is agreeing and 4 5 stipulating that he actually met the requirements. He met the requirements that 6 MR. PATTEE: 7 the Supreme Court told him to do, but he still has to prove by clear and convincing evidence the various 8 elements of Supreme Court Rule 116. 9 10 PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: Sure. MR. PATTEE: So we don't -- at the moment we 11 don't have an opinion on whether we would object to 12 13 his suspension or his reinstatement, agree with his 14 reinstatement or don't have a position. It's up to 15 him, and we'll know in about an hour or so where we're going with that. But he still has -- all I'm 16 saying is if he's planning on going through two hours 17 18 of "I did these CLEs," we got it. We believe --19 PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: That sounds good. 20 All right. That's great. We're at a little bit of a 21 disadvantage because we don't have access to all the 22 exhibits right now, we only got Exhibit 1 in our 23 packet. 24 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Well, let me address

that as well. And I'm talking about Rule 116.

25

```
There's other stuff in there that, the A through G
 1
     that I think his testimony would be addressing as
 2
 3
     opposed to any documents. And, you know, that -- I
     think that that's frankly a box that needs to be
 4
 5
     checked.
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK:
 6
                                       I agree.
 7
              CHAIR PULLIAM: And, Mr. Pattee, I
     appreciate that as well that the State Bar's letting
 8
 9
     us move about four or five slots on the game board
     ahead, okay.
10
11
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: I'll repeat that.
12
     Thank you.
13
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Mr. Cushing -- any other
14
     questions, Mr. Westbrook?
15
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: That answered my
16
     question. Thank you.
17
              CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. And how about
     you, Dr. McBeath. Anything?
18
19
              I think that was a "no."
20
              PETITIONER CUSHING: That was a "no."
              CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Mr. Cushing,
21
22
     remind you you're still under oath, so continue
23
    please.
24
              PETITIONER CUSHING: Okay. Well, I thank
25
     the panel chair and Bar Counsel for that stipulation.
```

I'm not going to go through all the certificates of completion or the CLE, but I want to touch on a couple of things because the first element of SCR 116 is important. Since I have the burden of proof, and some of the items in that Supreme Court order overlap the other factors of SCR 116, but I am not going to go through in great detail but I want to make sure I have it on the record.

In SCR 116.2 the first requirement is full compliance with the terms and conditions of all prior disciplinary orders. And that's what the Supreme Court order is involved with. There's only one. And I want to just briefly touch on that. The first Supreme Court requirement, and that's Exhibit B in my packet and Exhibit 3 in the State Bar's packet, is, quote, Cushing shall participate in the NLAP and comply with any treatment recommendations, end quote.

I met with Larry Espidero, who was the former director of NLAP. Unfortunately he passed away last year, but it was very sad. But I met with him. Exhibit D in my packet of exhibits are all of the quarterly reports that he prepared. I signed a contract for two years, and my -- the terms of my contract were aftercare meetings twice a month, 12-step meetings twice weekly, PRN support group

meetings once a month, random urine screens four times monthly, random drug tox screen and breathalyzer tests at random intervals.

Now in Exhibit D they show that I attended aftercare meetings at least twice a month for two years. I attended AA 12-step meetings twice weekly for two years, and incidentally I continue to do so. I attended PRN support group meetings at least once a month for two years. I passed every single random urine test during those two years, and that was around a hundred of them. I also passed every random drug tox screen and breathalyzer test.

And I just want to read his discharge note, which is Exhibit D, as in "David," and it's pages one and two is where you can find it. It's on page two.

And I'm going to leave out the word "urine" in the first sentence because it's a typo. I wasn't swimming in urine, so I'm going to leave that out.

Larry Espidero said, quote, No changes. Kym has attended all assigned groups in April, May and June. Urine screens were negative. He has been active in group and shown no resistance to the program during this period. No issues of denial and supportive of his peers in group. He has completed all requirements in the PRN program and is released,

end quote. So that shows that I did complete the NLAP program as ordered by the Supreme Court.

Incidentally, he did refer me to a

Dr. William Bauer, a psychiatrist. He was at Monte

Vista Hospital at the time. I met with him only
once, and he prescribed Prozac for depression and

trazodone for sleep. I didn't -- I took a couple of
them and I stopped. I didn't like the way they made
me feel, like loopy, I was in a cloud, brain fog and
jittery.

And I did some online research and I just stopped taking them. And I don't need them. I haven't taken any psychotropic drugs since that time, that's been over four years ago. I just didn't believe it was necessary. And I only had to meet with Dr. Bauer once.

I do want to emphasize too that I attended AA meetings for two years, but I continue to do so, not twice a week but at least once a week. And I had a graph with all the dates and times that I went to those AA meetings, and those were -- that graph was introduce into evidence in the underlying Bar hearing.

So the second requirement in the Supreme
Court order is I should complete an anger management

2.2

program approved by the State Bar. That is Exhibit K, which has been admitted into evidence. So I completed that requirement.

The third requirement for the Supreme Court order is I shall complete 10 CLE credits in addition to the annual requirement with at least 5 of those CLE credits in the area of substance abuse. Now I think at the time the annual requirement for CLE was 13 credits, and I didn't know if I had to do those CLE credits when I got the order, when I got the order in 2020 or if I had to do it in '21 or when I did the petition for reinstatement, so what I did, I just did them in all years.

And there's no duplication in those certificates of completion, and I double-checked.

But during 2019 through 2022, I completed 72.5 hours total CLE credit. 23 of those hours was in ethics and 22.75 in substance abuse. So if you have 13 annual requirement, I needed an extra 10 would be 23, and I got 72 generals. If the annual requirement was one substance abuse, I had 22.75. So those have been admitted as Exhibit E, which is the graph.

And then I also included what Phil's referring to earlier, all the certificates of completion. Those are Exhibits F through J, and they

show that I have complied with Supreme Court's third requirement for reinstatement. I've never taken so many CLE credits in my life but they were very interesting.

The fourth requirement was that I should pay the cost of the disciplinary proceeding, including 2,500 under SCR 120 within 30 days. A cashiers check for 7,500 was paid, and that is in exhibit as Exhibit L in my packet.

I was ordered an additional \$718.74, that was paid the same week, and that's Exhibit M, as in "Mary," and that includes the \$1,000 prepayment that's required. So L and M show that I paid my outstanding costs. That was the last requirement of the Supreme Court suspension order, and the stipulation is that I have completed and complied with all the Supreme Court's requirements.

But so that's the first element of the Supreme Court Rule 116 that I satisfied prior disciplinary orders. The second element of SCR 116 is, quote, the attorney has neither engaged in nor attempted to engage in the unauthorized practice of law during the period of suspension, end quote.

I have not engaged or attempted to engage in the unauthorized practice of law in any manner or

fashion. I did not work at all in the legal field. 1 2 I didn't work for any employer. I took a complete 3 break from everything and it's been almost one and a half years. 4 5 I voluntarily produced my tax returns. Those are Exhibit O. They've been admitted into 6 7 evidence and they document my sources of income, which have nothing to do with a legal field or job. 8 I'll discuss what I did during this time period a 9 10 little bit later in my presentation but I want to move on to SCR 116, the third requirement, and this 11 is a big one. Quote, any physical -- yes? 12 13 MR. PATTEE: Before you get into the big 14 one, let me back up a little bit. You were just 15 talking about your medical records, and just as a housekeeping matter, while it's on our minds, all of 16 17 this is going to the Supreme Court. Would you like those medical records sealed when they go up to the 18 19 Supreme Court? 20 PETITIONER CUSHING: Yes, I would. Thank you for reminding me of that, because there's some 21 sensitive information in there that I would not like 22 23 out, so thank you for that. 24 MR. PATTEE: No, we'll thank Tiffany for

25

that.

Go ahead. Go ahead with the big one.

CHAIR PULLIAM: That will be my order as well.

PETITIONER CUSHING: All right. Thank you. So the third requirement under SCR 116, and I'll read it, quote, Any physical or mental disability or infirmity existing at the time of the suspension has been removed. If alcohol or other drug abuse was a causative factor in the attorney's misconduct, the attorney has pursued appropriate treatment, has abstained from the use of alcohol or other drugs for a stated period of time, generally not less than one year, and is likely to continue to abstain from alcohol and drugs, end quote.

Now, this is the most important part, in my opinion, of the requirements, at least in my case.

And this is where I'm going to spend most of my time today. It has been four years since my last alcoholic drink. Four years. I suffered no relapses. None. I passed every urine drug test during my two years with Larry in NLAP. I was discharged from NLAP because Larry Espidero concluded I didn't need to keep coming anymore.

As Exhibit N, as in "Nancy," State Bar

Exhibit 17, is a supplemental brief. And I wrote

that because I wanted to give the panel some context

and background into what was going on with me in my life at the time in my work, not to excuse my actions but to give you some insight and perspective, and I just want to touch on a couple of things.

During the last couple of years at my old firm, I was working insane hours. My physical health was deteriorating and my mental health was deteriorating. During the last year I worked there, I lost 30 pounds. I went from 185 to 155. My hair started to fall out and that scared me. I looked terrible. I was literally working myself to death.

It was during this time that I started to drink alcohol to get through the day. It was a means to escape from the workload, the pressure and the stress, but it was so stupid. Here I am in my late fifties and I start to drink. There's no excuse for that.

MS. BRADLEY: Mr. Cushing? Mr. Cushing?

PETITIONER CUSHING: Yes.

MS. BRADLEY: Sorry to interrupt you but you're frozen. Okay, we're just waiting for you to return. I'm sorry to interrupt. If you could please repeat. My apologies.

PETITIONER CUSHING: Okay. Thank you for telling me that, though. I was just telling how --

explaining how stupid I was. In my late fifties I started to drink, and I did it as a means to escape to handle the stress, but it got progressively worse and very quickly and it took more and more for me to get to that place of escape.

I started making bad decisions. Thankfully none of my drinking never affected any of my clients, their cases or my law practice. No one at my firm even knew I drank alcohol and had problems. But it did affect me. It affected my decisionmaking process. It affected my health.

And I want to make also something clear. I made the decision to start, the misguided belief that it would make things better but it didn't, it made things worse. I realize that now, I can see that now, and I understand that now. I will never ever go down that destructive path again. It's not worth it. Drinking can give you temporary relief, but the consequences of drinking have long-lasting effects which will eventually result in permanent damage.

At NLAP I learned some strategies and methods to cope with stress and how to diffuse them. Number one was identify my stressors, and I have a few of those. One is unreasonable arbitrary deadlines, which drove me crazy when I was

practicing.

2.2

Another one was last minute scrambles or procrastination, waiting until the last minute to get something done. That drove me crazy.

Another one was lazy people. People who would look to me to answer a question when that person made no effort whatsoever to find the answer herself.

Another one was overreliance on technology. That's a stressor. For example, in my old firm, associates, new associates would come into my office and they would ask me a question about a case. And I'd ask them what did you do to find the answer. And invariably they would say, well, they checked the computer and they couldn't find anything. And I asked them if they checked the actual file, and it was as if I was speaking a foreign language. You know, they'd say something like, You mean the actual file file? The file in the file room? Yeah.

The notion of checking the actual hard file was completely anathema to them. They just assumed everything contained in the computer -- or in the hard file is in the computer, and that was rarely the case. Those are my stressors.

What did I do to alleviate those? I learned

2.

2.2

some healthy responses to stressors. I established boundaries and limits of what I can do, and I realized my limitations. I'm supposed to ask for help. That's a tough one for me, and I'm still working on that one, because I tend to view asking for help as an admission of weakness, but I'm still working on that.

Another one, which was rather surprising, was meditation and yoga, which I find remarkably interesting. For 15 years I was a certified personal trainer at 24 Hour Fitness while I was working. I then became a special master for the 24 Hour corporation. There were 24 of us. I did this part time while I practiced law.

My speciality was yoga and Pilates, believe it or not. I was trained in both. I have national certifications in both, including the National Academy of Sports Medicine, the American Council on Exercise, Stott Pilates, and YogaFit. We would travel all over the United States and also foreign countries and train instructors at their local facilities.

I stopped doing this about eight years ago because I was getting so crazy busy, I gave it up.

But looking back now I realize that yoga and Pilates

and meditation sustained me and they helped me and they centered me and I decided to go back to do that.

I've also learned that establishing healthy habits are important, like healthy eating and sleeping habits. One thing that's really interesting is when I stopped drinking, things tasted a lot better, and I started to gain weight, which I found fascinating.

Finally it's important to have a support structure in place, and my support structure is my wife. Believe me she's strict. After she found out about my drinking, she made me sit down with her and formulate a plan on how I could stop.

And one major thing that we did is I turned over to her all the finances. She now controls everything. Any separate accounts became joint accounts. Her name is on everything. She tracks all expenditures, and she never misses anything. I have to account for any money that I spend with the receipt.

We've been doing this for four years and it works. And honestly, I don't really mind. I did it for 30 years so now it's her turn, and it's actually kind of liberating. Plus I'm home all the time, so she knows where I am at all times. My Google

location history is activated on my cellphone so she can check it any time to see where I am or where I've gone. So those are my boundaries and my support structures in place.

Once I was suspended, I had some choices to make. I decided to use that time to decompress, work on my physical and mental health. I hadn't had a vacation in four years. I hadn't had a day off in four years. I didn't work for any employer. I considered it sort of a sabbatical.

So I started to do things that I always wanted to do with my house and I got started. I've always -- I think I mentioned I've always enjoyed physical labor, crating things. I worked hard my whole life. I started working when I was 12 years old. I was self-sufficient by the age of 16.

When I was 16, I had to get out of my parents' house, they were driving me crazy, so I went as far as I could and I went to Switzerland, and I went to a German high school in Switzerland. I went on a two-year church mission to Norway. I paid for that myself. I worked my way through college. I worked my way through law school as a law clerk. So I'm not afraid of hard, physical labor, I rather enjoy it.

So what did I do? Well, it started with a new roof which then led to a complete replacement of the second story HVAC unit in the middle of August.

One thing led to another and it just kept going, and I'm still doing that. I actually enjoy this.

There's something about working hard during the day then feeling physically exhausted afterwards but then feeling good like you've accomplished something.

During this break, my physical health has improved dramatically. I'm in great shape. I feel better. My blood pressure and cholesterol levels are good. I'm sleeping better. In terms of mental health, I've never felt better. I don't suffer from bouts of depression or anxiety, and I'm much more relaxed.

So how do you know, or how do I know that I won't start drinking again or suffer a relapse? Well first it's been four years since my last drink.

Second, Larry at NLAP released me two years ago because he believed I didn't need to come back and continue with the program. I also worked as a lawyer that next year after I resigned but before I was suspended.

I worked at a plaintiff firm, which was fascinating, but unfortunately I was even busier

there than I was at my last firm and I had less help.

So even though I was busier there and under more

stress, I didn't suffer a relapse. I managed it with

the help of my family, NLAP and AA meetings.

I was a trial lawyer for 28 years, and I was pretty good at it. When I would get prepared for trial, it consumed me. I would focus one hundred percent on that trial in being prepared. I could never eat, I could never sleep, and after ever single trial I would always get sick. It was incredibly stressful, but I did that for 28 years; and although incredibly stressful, I managed it. It probably wasn't the healthiest way to go about it but it worked for me.

So in terms of pressure, I managed it. I didn't need something like alcohol to get me through it. And really in my opinion nothing is more stressful and demanding than being in trial, knowing that your conduct and your abilities can have life-changing consequences for the person seated next to you.

So this third factor asks if alcohol or drug use was a causative factor in the attorney's conduct.

I have to say the answer is yes, unfortunately. As I testified earlier, I chose to start drinking in

response to work conditions. When I was betrayed by the very firm I worked so hard for, my drinking became out of control.

Now, the variable in all this was Wilson Elser, my old firm. That variable is no longer there. It's been removed from my life, and quite frankly I can't foresee anything like this ever happening again. I'm going to never allow a place that I work to do that to me.

I miss being a lawyer. I miss the challenges. I miss my association with fellow lawyers. I miss seeing and talking to colleagues and interacting with judges. I also miss mentoring younger associates.

As you know, trials are getting increasing rare. I always took an associate with me to every single trial, and I made them sit at counsel table with me and I make them take part and question at least one witness, that way they can say they had participated in a jury trial and they had one jury trial under their belt.

They would learn firsthand how trials really worked and how -- what it really takes to get ready for a trial. And if we won, it just made the experience so much better. I'm still in touch with

many of my former associates who have their own practice now, and that's one of the things I'm most proud of.

The fourth requirement of SCR 116.2 is, quote, The attorney recognizes the wrongfulness and seriousness of his misconduct resulting in the suspension, end quote. I admit, acknowledge and accept that my misconduct caused harm. My misconduct hurt people in my old firm. It tarnished the integrity and public perception of the legal profession. It hurt me and my legal career.

If you Google search my name, you will come across entry after entry after entry of national legal publications and articles about me, but if you do that, please don't click on any of them, it just adds to the numbers.

It started with New York Law Journal, which is like the New England Journal of Medicine. And I had no idea what going viral is. I don't have any social media, but the article about what I did with the checks and Wilson Elser, it went viral.

After the New York Law Journal published it, it went to like Bloomberg, AP, American Bar Journal, Yahoo, Buzz Feed, Reuters, a whole host of other national publications. And the articles would have

2.2

caricatures of a drunk lawyer holding a martini glass stumbling around. I have friends and relatives in Frankfurt, Berlin, Dubai, and Bangkok who saw these things, these articles. As far as locally, everyone seems to know what happened, and it's usually embarrassing.

I've had time to think about my actions and my misconduct. I realize and acknowledge my actions constituted misconduct. I recognize what I did was wrong. I am so sorry for what I did for my misconduct. I also understand the seriousness of this proceeding and the consequences of this panel's recommendations.

I wrote in my affidavit that was attached to the supplemental brief a couple of things I just want to read into the record. It starts on Exhibit N, page 21, paragraph 25 of my exhibits. I accepted and continue to accept complete responsibility for my previous actions, just like I did during my only meeting with Bar Counsel that took place one day after the grievance was filed. I sincerely regret what I did. I'm sorry for my misconduct. I acknowledge that my wrongful actions were the impetus for the Bar action. Had I acted like I should have, no Bar action would have ever been filed.

So regardless of my personal feelings and opinions about the disciplinary process itself, and those who participated in it, I acknowledge and admit that my misconduct would have resulted in a Bar action anyway, and that the Supreme Court would have imposed some sort of discipline.

I realize that practicing law is a privilege and that I abused that privilege. I had a blemish-free legal career for 28 years, that is until the first week of February of 2018. 28 years is a long time to go without a single complaint from anyone about my performance as a lawyer. Not a single client, judge, lawyer or member of the public ever complained about me to anyone. I've tried to make the necessary changes in my life so something like that will never happen again, and I think I've done so.

The fifth requirement of SCR 116 is, quote, The attorney has not engaged in other professional misconduct, end quote. I have not engaged in any other professional misconduct. I didn't practice law. I had no connection with any legal profession whatsoever during my suspension. I still don't.

The sixth requirement under SCR 116 is, quote, Notwithstanding the conduct for which the

attorney was disciplined, the attorney has the requisite honesty and integrity to practice law, end quote. I believe my 28-year successful history of practicing law with no complaints from anyone should be factored into this equation and be considered by this panel. If I weren't honest or if I lacked integrity, I would not have had a 28-year successful career.

Obviously during those years my clients trusted me. They believed I was honest and had integrity. They agreed with my legal recommendations, sometimes at great risk. They trust me with their cases. And that doesn't happen if a client doesn't trust you.

Prior to this incident involving my former employer in 2018, February, no client, judge, attorney or member of the public had ever complained about my performance or abilities as a lawyer. No complaint, no letter, not even a single phone call. No blown statutes, no malpractice, no attorney-client issues, nothing. But during that one week in February of 2018 it is clear I was not -- I was not honest, and my misconduct caused harm for which I am profoundly sorry.

I believe alcohol abuse should be considered

a mitigating factor here but not as an excuse for my actions but to show temporary diminished capacity, a temporary one, one that's been resolved and will not be repeated in the future. I've had no brushes with the law enforcement.

I'm a different person now than I was in 2018. I'm a better person. I had to hit rock bottom for me to realize that. If you think about it, I was at the pinnacle of my career. I lost everything. I lost my job, I lost my career, lost all of my clients. But as perverse as this may sound, looking back it was probably a good thing it happened because who knows what would have happened or what harm I would have caused had I kept going in that downward spiral.

The final requirement under SCR 116.2 is, quote, The attorney has kept informed about recent developments in the law and is competent to practice, end quote. During my suspension, I kind of went overboard. I subscribe to a legal service called Justia, J-u-s-t-i-a. I have read every published decision issued by the Nevada Supreme Court and the court of appeals during this time.

I've read every published decision issued by the United States Supreme Court, every published

decision issued by the Ninth Circuit Court of
Appeals, which encompasses most of the western United
States, every published decision issued by the Second
Circuit Court of Appeals, which is New York,
Connecticut and Vermont, every monthly edition of the
Nevada Lawyer, Clark County Communique.

I've read all notices and updates published by the State Bar of Nevada, all notices, updates and administrative orders issued by the Nevada Supreme Court, all notices, updates and administrative orders issued by the Eighth Judicial District.

I have not read so many court decisions covering so many different areas of law since I was in law school. It's been fascinating. I think I actually know more now about new Nevada cases, laws and court policies than I did when I was practicing. I am quite confident that I have kept myself informed about recent developments in the law and am competent to return to the practice of law.

That was the last of the seven requirements required for reinstatement under SCR 116. I believe I have met my burden of proof for reinstatement by clear and convincing evidence through my testimony, my answers to the panel's questions, and evidence admitted in this hearing.

About four years before I left Wilson Elser, I received the firms pro bono lawyer of the year award, which is given annually to the firm -- to the attorney who demonstrated an outstanding commitment to pro bono work. I was immensely proud of that award.

I would like to give back. You know, I could have just retired and not pursued this. I didn't have to do that, but, like I said, I want to. I feel like it would be a shame, I have this knowledge and this experience and the desire to help people. I don't think I'll ever go back to working for a firm, but what I do want to do is help pro bono, which of all the 900, thousand -- or a thousand attorneys that one year, I was the one selected for outstanding commitment to pro bono work, which I enjoy.

And I've been following the difficulties of people during the COVID-19, and there's a profound need for pro bono attorneys. I would like to give back, if allowed to do so by this panel.

You know what's interesting is I was on my old firm's national CLE committee and I gave many presentations, both inside and outside the firm where people got credit. And I checked recently, I'm still

```
a member of the State Bar of Nevada's CLE committee.
 1
     I haven't participated naturally, but I found that
 2
 3
     rather interesting. I think my term ends 2026.
              I would like to give back to the community,
 4
 5
     and I hope to do more pro bono work. There's a need
     for that these days, but to be truly effective my
 6
     license needs to be reinstated, and I hope you give
 7
     me that opportunity. I thank you for your time and
 8
 9
     consideration. And unless the panel members or
10
     Mr. Pattee have any questions, that concludes my
11
     testimony.
              CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Thank you,
12
13
    Mr. Cushing.
              Mr. Pattee, did you want to cross-examine
14
15
    him now?
              PETITIONER CUSHING: I'm sorry, I can't hear
16
17
     you, Phil. I think you're muted.
18
              CHAIR PULLIAM: You're muted, Phil.
19
              MR. PATTEE: I was looking for the cursor.
20
     It's spread over three different tabs.
                              Monitors?
21
              CHAIR PULLIAM:
22
              MR. PATTEE: I have some questions for
23
     Mr. Cushing, but with the panel's permission I'd like
24
     to go through various exhibits and intersperse my
25
     questions for him as we keep moving on and trying to
```

give an overview to this panel of how did we get here 1 and what's going on. I do have some guestions for 2 him along the way, and depending on his answers, we 3 might be able to skip over some stuff, if that's okay 4 5 with the panel. CHAIR PULLIAM: That's fine. 6 7 Mr. Cushing, do you understand that process? PETITIONER CUSHING: Yes. That's perfect. 8 9 CHAIR PULLIAM: Kind of a hybrid really of 10 cross, and if there's any --PETITIONER CUSHING: That's fine. 11 That's perfectly fine. 12 13 CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Pattee, or Phil. 14 15 EXAMINATION BY MR. PATTEE: 16 17 All right. Remembering this is not Ο. personal, it's business. Looking at Rule 116, 18 19 subsection D, attorney recognizes the wrongfulness 20 and seriousness of the misconduct resulting in suspension. When did you come to that conclusion? 21 When did I come to the conclusion that I --2.2 Α. 23 well, I recognized the seriousness and the 24 wrongfulness of my misconduct the day after the 25 grievance was filed in regards to Wilson Elser that

next day when Bar Counsel called me into a meeting for the first time, and actually you were at that meeting.

And at that -- in fact, Phil, you wrote an affidavit, which I want to thank you, by the way. That affidavit is completely 100 percent correct. And in that meeting I admitted what I did was wrong. I accepted responsibility for that. I expressed remorse. So in that regard it was the day after I met with Bar Counsel.

In regard to I'm assuming you're referring to things that occurred during the hearing, that took a little bit longer. And I had some choices to make, and I decided I don't want to deal with negativity. I accept what happened. I take the blame for what happened, everything. It's my fault. So that was -- that was a work in progress. Vis-à-vis Wilson Elser, that was the next day. I admitted it, and you stated that in your affidavit.

As for the other things, that was a work in progress, but I would say maybe in the last year I decided to let it go. It's not going to serve any useful purpose for me to complain about what happened. The findings of fact and the conclusions of law are what they are. I tried, you know, I tried

my best to get the Supreme Court to see my way. It was twice, and I lost, so I've accepted that. I have to just chalk it up to experience and learn from that.

I am sorry that I had issues, that it was so acrimonious. I feel bad about that. I know if Phil had been the person on the other side, it would have been a totally different story, but anyway, it is what it is. And I don't harbor any ill will or feelings towards people. But the answer to your specific question, the wrongfulness of my conduct I recognized the day after I met with you guys.

And as far as the other issues, it took a while, but I would say I got over it. Not "got over" but I recognize it was wrong probably maybe a year ago. It started, by the way, with the California State Bar action, which came out of the blue. I thought we were all done with everything, and I was thinking about doing a petition and all of a sudden, I think it was a year ago all of a sudden the California State Bar popped up. I mean, they had the case for like nine months to a year and did nothing, so that sort of triggered that result. If that answers your question.

O. And the action with the State Bar of

California, that was a reciprocal action --

A. Correct.

2.

2.2

Q. -- to this matter?

Okay. If I could direct everybody's attention, just so we get on board with what's really going on here and how we got here. Take a look at State Bar's Exhibit 3, which is the actual order of suspension. It was entered on January 31st of 2020. It's an order of suspension which suspended Mr. Cushing, Kym, for nine months.

And there it is. Thank you, Tiffany.

It it's a review of a Southern Nevada

Disciplinary Board hearing panel's recommendation

that he be suspended for nine months with conditions

for violations of RPC, Rule of Professional Conduct

3.4(c), fairness to opposing party and counsel,

disobeying an obligation under the rules of tribunal,

we'll get into that in a minute; 8.1(a), disciplinary

matters, that's providing false information during a

disciplinary matter; and 8.4(c), misconduct.

At the bottom basically the Supreme Court held, our Nevada Supreme Court held that Mr. Cushing wrote himself three checks from his law firm's operating account and deposited them into his personal account. When the law firm confronted him

about the checks, he lied and stated that he was reimbursing himself for an expert witness and gave an address for the expert which was property that he actually was renting and he provided a phone number for the expert.

- A. If I can just interject as you go along here, if that's fine. I stipulated to all of that in the underlying hearing as part of the stipulation of facts and I still agree with that.
- Q. I understand. I just want to make sure the panel understands what's going on. And I'm not going to read the whole thing, but I'm concentrating on buzz words like when the law firm confronted him about his lies, that's a buzz word. He stated he wrote checks to cover gambling losses. Later on he admits in the next paragraph that he does not have a problem with gambling and he said that only to save his job.

The court ordered him into -- the State Bar, what they did, what we did, was file a 102 petition asking for a temporary suspension. The Supreme Court denied that but ordered him into the NLAP program, which stands for Nevada Lawyer Assistance Program. And he entered the program but failed to comply with the treatment recommendations.

Lastly he was dishonest with the State Bar regarding various matters. Those are conclusions by our Supreme Court, and the court said that we established by clear and convincing evidence that he violated those rules. He had made an argument that the, or his attorney did in the objection to the temporary restraining order, the temporary order of suspension request, that 3.4(c), which is violating an order of a court, did not apply to him because it didn't involve the representation of a client. It was just them ordering him into NLAP. And the Supreme Court actually rejected that argument, so it gave us a little bit of case law, so thank you for that, Mr. Cushing.

The panel found that his mental state was intentional, and the misconduct harmed the public and the legal profession. And they found that -- in a footnote they note that during the disciplinary hearing Mr. Cushing conceded that involving his probono client into an attempted coverup with the fraudulent checks exposed his probono client to potential harm.

Basically here I have no doubt that

Mr. Cushing had no grievances in past, but he did get
himself into trouble by trying to pass three checks

from his former firm.

The court found seven aggravating factors pursuant to rule, Supreme Court Rule 102. They included a dishonest or self motive, pattern of misconduct, multiple offenses, bad faith obstruction of a disciplinary proceeding by intentionally failing to comply with rules or orders, submission of false statements and other deceptive practices during the disciplinary hearing, refusal to acknowledge the wrongful nature of his conduct, and substantial experience in the practice of law.

The Supreme Court of Nevada only found two mitigating circumstances: Absence of a prior record, and personal or emotional problems.

Now, the rules of profession -- I'm sorry, the ABA standards for something like this calls for disbarment, but there was a downward departure mostly based on absence of prior disciplinary record, personal and emotional problems. And so he received the nine-month suspension with all the CLEs, which are mentioned at the end, and it was signed by all seven Supreme Court justices.

Now, if there are any questions, like if Mr. Cushing wants to contest any of those, next is Exhibits 5 of the State Bar of Nevada, which is the

findings and conclusions of law and recommendation.

And it shows that the disciplinary hearing was held

over two days in December of 2018. State Bar was

represented by Assistant Bar Counsel Janeen Isaacson,

that's spelled J-a-n-e-e-n, Isaacson,

I-s-a-a-c-s-o-n.

And I invite, if the panel wants to and has any questions about the Supreme Court's order and the facts underlying it from the panel, to take a look at the findings of fact, because they cite back to the transcript of this hearing, which is -- has been admitted as State Bar's Exhibits 6A and 6B. Like I said, we can going through the findings and then refer back to the transcript, but I think it's all self-explanatory and we don't really need --

- A. Can I respond to something? Just, you know, I don't know how long you're going to go and I don't want to get so far down that -- I mean, it's up to you, this is your cross.
 - Q. Go ahead.

A. Well, I just wanted to say that I admit that 2018 was not my finest year. It was an aberration, and it was -- what I did was wrong. That first week in February of 2018 with my old firm and the checks, I admitted that, and I expressed regret. But I want

to note for the record though no money ever changed hands, no money ever came to my account, and no money ever left the firm. I made up those stories. I did that, absolutely. I stipulate to that as part of the underlying case. I did that. I was in a panic to save my job.

And I realize and understand that the panel are the finders of fact and they reach the conclusions of law. I understand that and I accept those. And I, you know, I have a right to defend myself, and I did that in my Supreme Court submissions when I challenged what happened.

For whatever reason, the Supreme Court didn't agree with me. So I had a choice to, you know, be mad at everybody for the rest of my life or just accept it and move on, and I've accepted it and tried to move on. This happened in a very narrow period of time, but I accepted what happened and I accept it today what happened, and I'm sorry for it. I didn't have a gambling problem, but I made up all these crazy stories.

And the final comment I'd like to make is that none of this has to do with the actual practice of law. These are personal, and that's probably the most hurtful to me because it makes -- that's not a

good thing because lawyers are supposed to be above all that, and I made some terrible mistakes. I own them. I've never -- by the way, I've never backed down for that.

When we had our meeting, Phil, the most serious, in my opinion, of the crime, or not crimes, of the issues were dealing with Wilson Elser, and I admitted to every single one of those in that meeting the next day with you and Miss Isaacson. I think that's important. And I still admit that was wrong and my actions were wrong. Thank you.

- Q. The actions were wrong even though there was no real client harm or harm to Wilson Elser --
 - A. Correct.

2.2

- Q. -- you still did try and convert three checks for thousands of dollars?
- A. Absolutely, and I did not have the authority to do so and I admitted that and it was wrong.
- Q. Okay. Next we've got is Exhibit 11, which is his petition for rehearing and reconsideration of the Supreme Court's order. It goes on for about 16 pages, and it says the Supreme Court, who's going to be reviewing this, overlooked procedural process violation, overlooked clear evidence and serious contact -- of prosecutorial misconduct, reiterates

that none of the three checks, this is on page five of Exhibit 11, none of the three checks cleared Wilson Elser's bank account. Wilson was not harmed and no money was ever transferred, and that's apparently a defense, which is somewhat auspicious. And then that's 16 pages.

And then if you get over to Exhibit 12, Mr. Cushing is absolutely correct that the Supreme Court rejected his request for reconsideration because on March 23 it looks like of 2020, they entered a three-word order denying -- order denying rehearing. Rehearing denied, all signed by all seven.

Next is the petition for reinstatement. If you folks have that in front of you, I believe it's in your -- I believe it's in your packet. And if you turn to page three, there is a -- there is a footnote number two that Isaacson, the former Assistant Bar Counsel, resigned from her position shortly after the hearing. Her assistant who was involved in this matter also resigned. It's understood the panel chair of the disciplinary hearing, Robert Caldwell, was removed from the list of potential panel members, no longer participates in any hearing panels. And I would ask Mr. Cushing what is the relevance of that?

A. First of all, let me answer that question by saying I am not here today to relitigate the Bar action. That's -- I don't think that's appropriate. I mean, in fact, I'm sure, Phil, you're aware of a case that came down from the Supreme Court recently this year where an attorney, I forgot his name, petitioned for reinstatement and the Supreme Court denied it because the attorney spent most of the time in the reinstatement hearing trying to relitigate the case.

So I don't want to do that. I don't want to be trapped into that. I'm not here to relitigate the case. I accept what happened in the underlying action. I've moved on. I mean, what better example of moving on can you have than by me literally moving on. There were things -- I mean, I could have pursued a federal court action. I could have sued the State Bar. I didn't. I accepted it.

So I don't want to get into relitigating the underlying case. I don't think that's appropriate, and I don't want to get set into a trap where the Supreme Court uses that against me, like they did in this other recent case where the petition for reinstatement was denied because the attorney kept trying to relitigate the case.

So the way I'll answer your question is at the time I had a good faith reason for doing that, and it was not made lightly and but I'm not going to go into relitigating the case. That's not appropriate in my opinion.

- Q. Well, it goes to Supreme Court Rule 116, reinstatement, in subsection F, which says that the attorney has the requisite honesty and integrity to practice law. And so the question is what is the relevance on January 24th of this year to include a statement that Miss Isaacson resigned right after your hearing and Mr. Caldwell has been removed?
- A. Well, the fact is Miss Isaacson did resign. It occurred after my hearing. And it should be no secret to anybody. My experience with the Nevada disciplinary system was not a good one. That should be no surprise to anybody. I don't think anyone who goes through Nevada discipline is happy about it. I thought things occurred that should never have occurred, and I made my argument to the Supreme Court.

The relevance of that is the players involved were those two players. The fact is that -- and I didn't -- I was told by a source who used to work in your office, by the way, that she resigned

following this hearing. And I just thought that was important for everyone to know. I mean, that is such a minor detail in the grand scheme of things, I don't know why that it's been elevated to such a thing. It's a fact. But the fact is both of them no longer work at the State Bar. They resigned after my hearing. I didn't say at what time, but it's a fact.

Q. Okay. Let's move on to Exhibit 19 please. Tiffany.

This is a letter which was filed with the State Bar of California about 11 months ago, maybe a little bit less, 10 months ago. And it's a response, California Bar Counsel's report to review department regarding your resignation request. Do you recognize that?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Let's turn to page 12, paragraph 13. The panel chair, as we know from the findings which have been admitted, was Robert Caldwell. You wrote that the panel chair was completely unqualified to be the panel chair. He lacked basic knowledge of how trials or Bar hearings should be run. He lacked the basic knowledge of the rules of evidence in civil procedure, and he did not understand the distinction between what a fact is and what an argument of a

- counsel is. Here's the important sentence. The panel chair was taken off the approved panel list not long after my Bar hearing. Why did you write that?
 - A. Because I was told that by someone who used to work in your office.
 - O. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

- A. And, by the way, I can -- again, I'm getting sucked into relitigating the case, which I don't want to do. But if I can give you an example of without, you know, going down the rabbit hole of what happened during the hearing. Do you know how my hearing started?
 - Q. That's not what we're talking about here.
- A. No, but this goes to the qualifications of my statement. How did the hearing start?
- Q. With respect it goes to truthful statements in documents which were submitted after your hearing and after your suspension, and this one --
 - A. Okay.
- Q. This one was last year. And subsection F
 of --
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. -- 116 talks about honesty.
- 24 A. Right.
 - Q. And the question is, why would you say that

Mr. Caldwell was removed from the panel?

A. Because, first of all, I stand by those statements. And without -- I stand by them. And I can give you example after example to back them up, but that would be going to relitigate the case. And I was told that by someone who used to work in your office. So I have a -- I was told that in confidence.

So I don't back away from those statements, but like I said earlier, these are not made up. And if I am forced to do so, it's going to take three days to go through the whole thing and I don't want to do that. But I have a good faith basis for saying those things.

Q. Okay.

- A. I had the right to defend myself.
- Q. Right. Let's turn on Exhibit 19 to page 15. The second paragraph, You need to remember that former Nevada Bar Counsel Janeen Isaacson was forced to resign at the conclusion of my Bar hearing. Why did you write that?
- A. Because I was told that by someone who used to work in your office in confidence. I didn't make it up. And if you're going to ask me the name, I'm not going to say because I don't want to breach that

confidence. But I was told that in confidence. And this person also told me that my hearing was instrumental in her decision.

- Q. Miss Isaacson is standing by to appear by Zoom, and our custodian of record is standing by with a list of our panel members. And I can explain that Mr. Caldwell is not off of our panel and has actually conducted an initial case conference for me two days ago in a formal hearing I'm assigned to.
- A. If I am wrong, then I am wrong. I am sure -- all I can tell you is is that I didn't make this up. I was told this in confidence by someone who used to work in your office. I just didn't make it up. And if they -- if they claim otherwise, I mean, there's nothing I can do about that.
- Q. And you didn't -- you didn't recheck it either? You just put it in pleadings and letters to the California Bar?
- A. Well, because the person who told me used to work in your office, Phil. It wasn't someone off the street. I thought that was important. But in the grand scheme of things, because is this going to be I said this and she says that I can't get my law license back?
 - Q. Goes to your honesty.

Well, I'm being honest. I don't think -- is 1 Α. there a question of -- I did not make this up. 2 Ο. Did it go -- does it go to your diligence 3 that you didn't follow up and do due diligence to 4 5 find out if either of those statements were correct? Well, I don't know if it goes to diligence 6 Α. 7 or not. I mean, how far do I have to go? I mean, I was told this by someone who used to work in your 8 9 office, who worked in the State Bar of Nevada's 10 office. Why would I need further diligence when it came from the source who was right there with you? 11 MR. PATTEE: Mr. Pulliam, we have a choice 12 13 I've got a custodian of record who's got the 14 list ready to go, and Janeen is waiting, has the Zoom 15 link, or you can let me make an offer of proof or you can swear me and I can explain the departure of 16 17 Miss Isaacson from our office. 18 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. 19 PETITIONER CUSHING: If I may respond. 20 CHAIR PULLIAM: Hang on, Mr. Cushing. Hang 21 on one second. 22 Okay. So what you're saying, Phil, is that, 23 first of all, the custodian of records is going to 24 confirm that Caldwell is still on the list, correct? 25 MR. PATTEE: He's on the list and he still

is. 1 2 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. I'm going to take 3 judicial notice of that, only because based on your representations, and I'm not even -- I'm not saying 4 5 that Mr. Cushing is making a deliberate untruth about that, I just think that he just wasn't a hundred 6 7 percent certain, however, so we don't have to bring in that person. And offer of proof for -- in other 8 9 words why Janeen Isaacson left the employ of the 10 State Bar, that's what you would be doing? MR. PATTEE: I could. 11 12 CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. 13 MR. PATTEE: I've only known her for 14 12 years. 15 CHAIR PULLIAM: Pardon? MR. PATTEE: I've only known her for 16 17 12 years. CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. All right. 18 19 Mr. Cushing, what's your position on that offer of 20 proof? PETITIONER CUSHING: If that's what she's 21 22 going to testify to, it is what it is. I mean, we 23 have -- if that's her testimony, I don't think we 24 need it. I think it's extraneous. It's collateral 25 to what's going on here. I don't -- Phil seems to

```
think it goes to my honesty, but as you alluded to,
 1
     I'm still under oath. I'm still under oath and my
 2.
     testimony is I didn't make this up. I was told this
 3
     by someone who worked in the office. So if that was
 4
 5
     wrong, then I'm wrong. But --
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Well, I think it's a
 6
 7
     consideration up to this point because certain things
     that were published in those other documents that
 8
 9
     Phil has pointed out. So, Phil, go ahead.
10
     allow you to make this offer of proof. I quess I'll
     put you under oath, okay.
11
12
     Thereupon --
13
                           PHILLIP PATTEE
14
     was called as a witness and having been first duly
15
     sworn, testified as follows:
16
              CHAIR PULLIAM: I quess -- I'm sorry,
17
     Debbie.
              Go ahead.
18
19
              MR. PATTEE: Miss Isaacson left the State
20
     Bar in or about January of, it would have been 2019.
     She had been planning to move for years. She -- her
21
2.2
     son got accepted to a very exclusive boarding school
23
     near, I believe it was Fort Collins, Colorado. And
24
     so she actually took the Colorado bar exam months
25
     before Mr. Cushing's hearing and passed it. And
```

2.

they -- and she and her husband actually moved to Fort Collins.

And she was about to quit right after she passed the bar exam, and instead of being forced out of the State Bar, she was actually promoted to acting Bar Counsel, and was still acting Bar Counsel when she was, I believe when she did Mr. Cushing's hearing. She was not forced out at all, everybody knew she was leaving, and in early 2019 she did.

And she was gone for a while. She now splits her time between the Las Vegas firm Lipson Neilson and does civil law and personal defense and runs Lipson Neilson's office in Colorado. She and her husband split their time going back and forth.

Mr. Cushing's hearing had nothing to do with her leaving. She was studying for the bar exam in 2018 I believe for months and months and months and took time off to take it and luckily passed it. Her son got into the exclusive boarding school, and that's why she left. It had nothing to do with Mr. Cushing's hearing and being forced out. She was walking out the door.

And Kim Farmer, who's also got a Zoom link that she can call in, could testify that not only was Janeen not forced out, she was promoted to handle the

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

office until she decided to leave for Colorado with her brand new Colorado law license.

CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Phil, hang on.

I think I've heard enough about that. However, I

want to defer to the other panel members to ask them

if they have any additional information, or do they

want any additional information about Miss Isaacson's

departure from the State Bar.

Mr. Westbrook?

PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: No, I don't need any additional information.

CHAIR PULLIAM: Dr. McBeath? You're on mute, Doctor. Okay, so do you have any -- do you need additional information from Mr. Pattee about her departure?

PANEL MEMBER MCBEATH: Well, I'm not concerned about her departure, I'm just only concerned with any information relevant to the interaction between the attorneys and what this was, what this big to-do was about. We didn't receive any of this in the data that I have.

CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Here's -- I think -- as the chairman, I'm going to make a decision here. We've heard enough about that. I don't want to get bogged down in the issues involved with her leaving.

I think it's been pretty well established that what 1 Mr. Pattee has said that the reason for her leaving. 2 Mr. Cushing, he had other information that 3 he doesn't want to disclose the source, so we're 4 5 going to have to just accept that as well. So I don't want to relitigate the prior case either, so 6 7 let's -- can we move on now. And, Mr. Pattee, do you have any additional information or presentation to do 8 here? 9 10 Just a couple of questions of MR. PATTEE: 11 Kym. BY MR. PATTEE: 12 13 The State Bar of California initiated a 14 reciprocal discipline, and didn't you offer to 15 resign? Yes, I did. Yes, we had -- as I 16 Α. indicated -- first of all, let me just state for the 17 18 record, if I'm wrong about Ms. Isaacson, I apologize, 19 and I apologize to her. It wasn't my intent. 20 wrong, I'm wrong. I don't have a problem saying that. 21 In regards to the reciprocal action, this 22 23 came really late. And we had two settlement 24 conferences with Bar Counsel, and one of the 25 settlement conference judges told me that there's an

option that I could resign with charges pending.

And it's a reciprocal action. In other words, what happened in Nevada is binding in California. And both settlement conference judges said in reciprocal actions, they call them J actions, that you can't challenge the findings of fact and conclusions of law. And to do so, which is hugely expensive, I think it's like \$15,000 to do this, just it would be 30,000 I think to go further.

And I, you know, I hadn't practiced law in 30 years in California. I let my license, my license lapse because I was administratively suspended because I didn't pay to keep my license active. I had no desire to go back to California or reactivate my license. I just wanted to resign and with charges pending, which was an option. But the State Bar wanted to continue on, and I didn't want to pay money for a privilege that I was never going to use again, so I just went into a default, which is one of the reasons -- one of the options one of the settlement judges gave me.

I just couldn't justify spending 15 or \$30,000 in a futile effort to overturn or get a different result when the result would be the same and I would be suspended or whatever. I was just

wasting money.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Welcome to the State Bar of California. My Bar Number is about 5,000 after years.
- A. It is? Well, I don't have a California Bar Number anymore, so. It's 143335. That was mine.

CHAIR PULLIAM: Anything further,

Mr. Pattee?

MR. PATTEE: Yeah, your Bar number is 143335 over there.

Okay. I've got nothing further.

CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Thank you very much. Do any of the panel members have questions of Mr. Cushing? Actually, hang on. Let's do it this way. Mr. Cushing, do you want to do some kind of a rebuttal to what Mr. Pattee has presented? I mean, you kind of did it throughout the process, but I want to, for the record want to make sure that you have nothing further to say in reference to what he's testified -- or presented.

PETITIONER CUSHING: No, thank you. I think that's why I asked to kind of do it as we go along so we wouldn't have to get bogged down at the very end, so I really have nothing further to add than what I've already testified to.

CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Thank you. Now I'll

```
go to the panel members and see if they have any
 1
 2
     questions. I'll start with you, Dr. McBeath.
                                                    Do you
     have any questions of either Bar Counsel or
 3
    Mr. Cushing?
 4
 5
              PANEL MEMBER MCBEATH:
                                     No.
              CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Thank you.
 6
                                                      And
     how about you, Mr. Westbrook. Do you have any
 7
     questions of either one?
 8
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: I'm satisfied.
 9
10
     Thanks, Mr. Pattee.
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. All right. And I
11
     don't have any questions either. I do have one
12
13
     question, though. Tiffany, where are you?
14
              PETITIONER CUSHING: It looks like Dubai.
15
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Yeah, or something. Is that
16
     just a background or something?
17
              MS. BRADLEY: Yes, it is. Technically it's
     San Francisco, but I'm with you.
18
19
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: I was going to say
20
     San Francisco, however yours looks pretty good.
21
     you actually have a green screen?
2.2
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Well, I quess
23
     closings now. Is there any further evidence to
24
    present at this point?
25
              PETITIONER CUSHING: Well, I was going to
```

call Steve Day, who is an attorney, to talk about my 1 2 integrity, and my wife to talk about changes in my behavior. I don't really feel the need to go through 3 that. I think it's been addressed already. And, you 4 5 know, unless someone really wants me to, but I think we've covered it in my testimony and in the evidence, 6 so I am going to forgo calling them. I'm sure that's 7 going to make my wife really happy, and I will rest 8 9 my case and submit it for your decision. 10 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Thank you. Phil, what's your thought? Do you have any final 11 words before you go into your closing argument? 12 13 MR. PATTEE: No, I don't. 14 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. All right. Well, 15 Mr. Cushing, why don't you go ahead and make whatever closing argument you want to make. 16 17 PETITIONER CUSHING: Thank you. I mean, I'll be brief. Thank you again for taking time out 18 19 of your schedules to hear my case. The evidence 20 which has been submitted as exhibits, as I indicated earlier, was stipulated to by both parties. And the 21 evidence supports that I have fully complied with the 22 23 Supreme Court's order for reinstatement.

24

25

I think the evidence also is there in regard

to SCR 116 that I have met the requirements by clear

and convincing evidence that I should be able to 1 return to the practice of law. And with that I would 2 3 That's the end of my closing. CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Thank you very 4 5 much, Mr. Cushing. Mr. Pattee, do you have a closing you want 6 7 to present? MR. PATTEE: Yes. We're right on the 8 9 middle. It happened a long time ago, four years give 10 or take. However, there is -- I do think that there is an honesty problem in his statements in his 11 petition saying that Janeen's gone without checking, 12 13 and Mr. Caldwell is gone without checking. And then 14 he tells the State Bar of California the same thing 15 saying that Janeen was forced out, which are not 16 true. 17 And we can't forget the underlying acts He attempted to steal money, thousands of 18 dollars from his, from his firm. It didn't work so 19 20 it's only attempted theft, but that's not a defense. Also a long time ago. 21 22 And pursuant to the Supreme Court he made 23 false statements to the State Bar, which is RPC

8.4(a), and he also -- I'm sorry, that would be

8.4(b) I believe. And then he didn't follow the

24

25

Supreme Court order saying, State Bar, your temporary -- your request for temporary suspension is suspended -- or is denied, but he has to go to NLAP and he didn't do that in violation of 8.4(c).

Those things did happen a long time ago. It was so long ago that Supreme Court order, not trying to be flippant, but it was January 31st of 2020, which was right before the pandemic starts, which seems like even ten years ago now. So, you know, that should probably be taken into consideration.

But he seems to have covered the other matters that are required by Supreme Court Rule 116. So the State Bar is not going to take a position on whether he should be reinstated. We're not going to oppose but we're also not going to be in support of and it's up to the panel in its infinite discretion to make the correct decision on how we deal with this.

If you want more information, I think he pretty much admitted what he did, so, you know, I don't think you need to go into the findings, which Tiffany will provide, because they're all going to the Supreme Court, but the panel's findings cite to the two-day transcript. And if you want to get into the weeds and do the findings on your own down the

road, you're more than welcome to do that or you can make a decision based on what you know, and with that we'd submit.

PETITIONER CUSHING: If I could just say something in rebuttal.

CHAIR PULLIAM: Yeah, I was -- hang on just one second, Mr. Cushing. Yes, I was going -- you'll have the final comments here, so go ahead.

reinstatement. Now, I don't want to relitigate the case, but you have to realize that the Supreme Court gave me the opportunity to apply for reinstatement after nine months. The Supreme Court gave me the opportunity. They could -- I mean, I could have been disbarred. I could have been, you know, suspended five years. Nine months. I waited those nine months. I was suspended for nine months. I paid my dues. I actually waited almost twice as long. But the Supreme Court gave me an opportunity to come back, which I want to do. And I hope you will let me do that.

The things that we're talking about in regard to honesty, that happened in 2018. That was four years ago. That was not my best year. It was a terrible year. I've admitted that and I'm sorry for

```
But I -- that's not going to happen again, and
 1
     that.
 2
     I can assure you I'm going to act with the utmost
     honesty and integrity if I'm allowed to resume my law
 3
     practice. I really hope I can do that. I did it for
 4
 5
     28 years and I'd like to spend my remaining years
 6
     also in that occupation. Thank you.
 7
              CHAIR PULLIAM:
                              Okay. Thank you very much.
     I quess we're ready to close for our deliberations.
 8
 9
     Tiffany, I quess you take it from here, right?
10
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: Actually, Tiffany,
11
     before we do that, could you send me just one
     exhibit. I'd like to see the tax returns.
12
13
              MS. BRADLEY: Mr. Pulliam, is that okay with
14
          Do I disperse the tax returns to the panel?
15
              CHAIR PULLIAM:
                              That's fine.
              MS. BRADLEY: It will take me a moment
16
17
     because it's in amongst documents, so I'll have to
18
     extract them and redact.
19
              CHAIR PULLIAM: I'm sorry, who requested
20
     that? Was that you, Mr. Westbrook?
21
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: That was me, yeah,
22
     David Westbrook requesting tax returns.
23
              PETITIONER CUSHING: It's Exhibit O in my
24
     set of exhibits.
25
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK:
                                       Yep. Just about to
```

say that. 1 MS. BRADLEY: Mr. Pulliam, would you like to 2 go to your separate rooms and I can send the exhibits 3 while you're in your deliberation? 4 5 CHAIR PULLIAM: Yes, that would be great. Now, question. Mr. Davidson, will he be joining us 6 7 in there for his training? MS. BRADLEY: That is up to you, Mr. Chair. 8 9 CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Well, that's 10 Let's -- since he's going to be -- might as 11 well go through the whole process. PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: I think it's a good 12 13 idea, yeah. 14 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. But can we take a 15 short bathroom break? PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: I actually do have 16 17 one question about that. You know, I work in the 18 jury system, and this is my first panel. Is there a 19 privacy issue as there would be with jury 20 deliberations now having a third party in witnessing it? 21 22 CHAIR PULLIAM: Mr. Cushing, I'll let you 23 make that call. 24 PETITIONER CUSHING: I don't have a problem 25 with Mr. Davidson joining in. It's for training

purposes, and I trust that anything that happens 1 2 during your private deliberations will be kept 3 confidential. PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: I mean, I've 4 5 reviewed all the rules. I don't see anything on point, but I know it wouldn't be allowed in a jury 6 7 system, so... PETITIONER CUSHING: I agree with you, 8 9 David, I don't think it would, it wouldn't, but I'm 10 not an expert in bar hearing procedures and policies, but I don't think it's a problem here for me at 11 12 least. 13 CHAIR PULLIAM: Mr. Cushing, that's why I asked you if you had an issue with it because I agree 14 15 with Mr. Westbrook about the comparison to a jury. 16 MR. PATTEE: I am an expert in --17 CHAIR PULLIAM: What did you say, Phil? MR. PATTEE: I am an expert in bar 18 19 procedures, and he's a member of the Southern Nevada 20 Disciplinary Board, and so training is not going to be a problem. You know, just another fourth person. 21 22 We used to have five people and we used to have seven 23 people on these panels. So adding someone else to 24 get some training, especially when, Gary, you're 25 terming off in a few months, we're going to need

someone who knows what they're doing. 1 Thanks, Phil. 2 PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: appreciate that. I didn't want to miss a rule that I 3 wasn't aware of. I've read them all I thought but I 4 5 didn't remember one, but you never know. MR. PATTEE: Well, don't read the rules. 6 7 PETITIONER CUSHING: And I'm assuming that Joshua is not going to be participating in the 8 9 deliberations. 10 CHAIR PULLIAM: No, he's strictly observing. All right. So can I take my bathroom break now? 11 (A recess was taken.) 12 13 CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. Let's go back on the 14 record then. All right. 15 PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: I have two mute 16 buttons. Sorry about that. 17 CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. We're going to go ahead and close for deliberations. 18 19 Ms. Bradley, can you put us where you want to put us. 20 (A recess was taken.) CHAIR PULLIAM: Let's go back on the record. 21 2.2 All right. We're reopening the hearing. The panel 23 members have deliberated on the petition for 24 reinstatement, and what we primarily focused on was 25 Rule 116 and the requirements for reinstatement,

specifically A through G, and I will -- we discussed each one of them and determined whether or not

Mr. Cushing satisfied them and whether or not there
was evidence of it, whether on not his conduct was
such that he satisfied it. So I'll just take it one
at a time.

A, full compliance with the terms and conditions of all prior disciplinary orders. We determined that he has satisfied that element.

B, the attorney has neither engaged in nor attempted to engage in the unauthorized practice of law during the period of suspension. We agree that he did that, and what we did, and Mr. Westbrook had a -- we wanted to review the tax returns just to make sure there was no entries of sources of income for legal work and things of that nature. And I believe we were satisfied that there was nothing that was a red flag indicating he was trying to practice law. So on that particular element we agree that he has not done that.

C, any physical or mental disability or infirmity existing at the time of the suspension has been removed. If alcohol or other drug abuse was a causative factor in the attorney's misconduct, the attorney has pursued appropriate treatment, has

abstained from the use of alcohol or other drugs for a stated period, generally not less than one year, and is likely to continue to abstain from alcohol or other drugs.

We all agree that based on Mr. Cushing's presentation today, but also the documents that were provided, that Mr. Cushing has in fact satisfied that particular element. I think we're confident that he will -- we weren't just getting a smokescreen from him. We seriously believed that he will do it, especially his track record so far up to this point has indicated that his commitment to that program. And obviously it's always a one-day-at-a-time thing so we can't say that he's going to make, you know, make the commitment forever, but the point is we believe he has up to this point.

So, D, the attorney recognizes the wrongfulness and seriousness of the misconduct resulting in the suspension. We believe he has satisfied that one as well. We discussed that as far as like what he did, how he reacted to it. We determined that he was just maybe having some sour grapes about the process, and that's why sometimes he seemed to be not quite as cooperative; however, we think that he has recognized the wrongfulness and

2.2

seriousness of the misconduct and that would be the resulting suspension, meaning the checks and the misstatements that he was originally disciplined for.

All right. And so we agree that he, as far as E goes, the attorney has not engaged in any other professional misconduct since suspension. We have all agreed that he has not done that. And so he therefore satisfies that element.

F, notwithstanding the conduct for which the attorney was disciplined, the attorney has the requisite honesty and integrity to practice law. And we discussed it basically that again his reaction in the filings with the California Supreme Court in, you know, the most recent past here, again we considered that not necessarily being dishonest or lacking integrity, it was kind of like we were talking about, sour grapes. He wanted to present his side of the story, and unfortunately the source of the story was probably unreliable. Should he have maybe checked up on it more? Yes, but is it that serious to affect his integrity and honesty to practice law? We didn't think so.

So and finally the attorney has kept informed about recent developments in the law and is competent to practice. And based on his

```
representations, and "he" being Mr. Cushing, that
 1
     the -- he's been keeping up with the different
 2
     Supreme Court decisions, what have you. I didn't
 3
     hear that he kept up on the workers' comp. decisions,
 4
 5
     so but that's all right. So we said yes, he has done
           Based on his representations, he obviously has
 6
 7
     been doing a lot of reading.
              So those are our findings. So basically
 8
     we've determined that he has met all the criteria in
 9
10
     Supreme Court Rule 116, so we would not necessarily
     need to go to the nuclear option, Mr. Pattee, in H
11
     because he has satisfied all the elements. Is that
12
13
     correct?
              MR. PATTEE:
14
                           Looks like it.
15
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. All right.
                                                 So
     anything further then from the Bar?
16
17
              MR. PATTEE: Would you like some help in
18
     doing the findings or do you plan on doing them
19
     vourself?
20
              CHAIR PULLIAM: I think you know the answer
     to that one. Could Tiffany do them for me?
21
              MR. PATTEE: I'll take care of it.
2.2
23
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Just let me know and I'll
24
     review them and stuff.
25
              Anything else from Mr. Cushing?
```

```
PETITIONER CUSHING:
                                   No.
                                        Just thank you
 1
     very much. I really appreciate this and I'm not
 2
 3
     going to forget this.
              CHAIR PULLIAM: So we're basically
 4
 5
     recommending his reinstatement, okay. Is that
     correct, other panel members?
 6
              PANEL MEMBER WESTBROOK: That's correct.
 7
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Dr. McBeath?
 8
 9
              PANEL MEMBER MCBEATH: Yes.
10
              CHAIR PULLIAM: Okay. And for me as well.
     So it was a unanimous decision. Okay, so we can go
11
     off the record. Mr. Pattee, so you guys will throw
12
13
     those things together and I'll review them?
14
              MR. PATTEE:
                           Naturally.
15
              CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Anything else
     from anybody?
16
17
              PETITIONER CUSHING:
                                   Thank you very much.
              CHAIR PULLIAM: All right. Good luck to
18
19
     you, Mr. Cushing.
20
              PETITIONER CUSHING: Thank you.
              MR. PATTEE: Good luck, Mr. Cushing.
21
2.2
                   (Thereupon the proceedings
23
                   were concluded at 11:00 a.m.)
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
3	SS:
4	COUNTY OF CLARK)
5	I, Deborah Ann Hines, certified court
6	reporter, do hereby certify that I took down in
7	shorthand (Stenotype) all of the proceedings had in
8	the before-entitled matter at the time and place
9	indicated; and that thereafter said shorthand notes
L 0	were transcribed into typewriting at and under my
L1	direction and supervision and the foregoing
L2	transcript constitutes a full, true and accurate
L3	record of the proceedings had.
L 4	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed
L5	my hand this 2nd day of May, 2022.
L6	
L 7	
L8	Deback Con Him
L9	Deborah Ann Hines, CCR #473, RPR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Index: \$1,000..agree

\$	2		abstain 23:12 76:3	20 44:7 49:23
Ψ			abstained 23:10	add 65:23
\$1,000 21:12	2,500 21:7	5 20:6 47:25	76:1	adding 73:23
\$15,000 64:8	2018 35:10 36:16,	5,000 65:3	abuse 20:7,18,21	addition 20:5
\$30,000 64:23	22 37:7 48:3,22, 24 61:17 70:23		23:7 36:25 75:23	additional 21:10
\$718.74 21:10	2019 12:22 20:16	6	abused 35:8	62:6,7,11,14 63:8 address 5:11 7:8
	60:20 61:9	6A 48:12	Academy 27:18	15:24 45:3
1	2020 13:10,24	6B 48:12	accept 33:8 34:18 42:15 49:9,	addressed 67:4
1 5:7 6:14 8:13	20:11 44:8 51:10 69:7		16,19 52:13 63:5	addressing 16:2
15:22	2022 5:6 20:16	7	accepted 34:17	adds 33:16
1-19 4:2	2026 40:3	7 5:7	42:8 43:2 49:16, 18 52:18 60:22	administrative
10 20:5,19 54:12	20th 5:6 14:13	7,500 21:8		38:9,10
100 42:6	21 20:11 34:17	72 20:20	access 15:21	administratively
102 45:20 47:3	22.75 20:18,21	72.5 20:16	accomplish 12:25	64:12
11 50:19 51:2 54:11	22nd 14:16		accomplished	admission 12:11 27:6
116 8:16 15:9,25	23 20:17,19 51:10	8	30:8	admit 5:20 33:7
17:3,6 21:19,20	24 27:11,12,13	8.1(a) 44:18	account 28:19 44:24,25 49:2	35:3 48:21 50:10
22:11 23:4 35:18, 24 38:21 41:18	24th 53:10	8.4(a) 68:24	51:3	admits 45:16
53:6 55:23 67:25	25 34:17	8.4(b) 68:25	accounts 28:16,	admitted 4:2,5 5:7 6:14 12:8,19
69:12 74:25 78:10	28 31:5,11 35:9,	8.4(c) 44:20 69:4	17	20:2,22 22:6
116.2 12:2,21	10 71:5	0.4(0) 44.20 00.4	acknowledge 33:7 34:8,23 35:3	38:25 42:7,18
17:9 33:4 37:16	28-year 36:3,7	9	47:9	48:12,25 50:8,18 54:19 69:20
11:00 79:23		000 00 11	acrimonious	70:25
12 29:15 51:7	3	900 39:14	43:6	affect 25:10
54:17 59:14,17	3 13:6 17:15 44:7	A	act 71:2	77:20
12-step 17:25	3.4(c) 44:16 46:8		acted 34:24	affected 25:7,10,
18:6 120 21:7	30 6:23 21:7 24:9	A-O 4:5	acting 61:5,6	affidavit 34:14
	28:23 64:11	a.m. 79:23	action 34:24,25	42:5,6,19
13 20:9,18 54:17	30,000 64:9	AA 18:6 19:18,21 31:4	35:5 43:17,25 44:1 52:3,14,17	afraid 29:24
143335 65:5,8	31 12:22	ABA 47:16	63:22 64:2	aftercare 17:24
15 27:10 56:17 64:22	31st 44:8 69:7	aberration 48:22	actions 24:2	18:5
155 24:9	35 14:3	abilities 31:19	34:7,8,19,23 37:2 50:11,12 64:5	age 29:16
16 29:16,17 50:21 51:6	36 14:3	36:18	activated 29:1	aggravating 47:2
17 23:24	4	absence 47:13, 18	active 18:22 64:13	agree 5:13 15:13 16:6 45:9 49:14
185 24:9	4242 4:9	absolutely 49:4	acts 68:17	73:8,14 75:12,19
19 6:10,12,14	4242 4.9	50:17 51:8	actual 26:16,18,	76:5 77:4
54:8 56:17				

agreed 12:15 36:11 77:7	April 5:6 14:16 18:20	attorney's 23:8 31:23 75:24	73:10,18 78:16 Bar's 13:6 16:8	boarding 60:22 61:19
agreeing 12:10 15:4	arbitrary 25:24 area 20:7	attorney-client 36:20	17:15 44:7 48:12 based 10:22	bogged 62:25 65:22
ahead 7:20 11:3, 13 16:10 22:25	areas 38:13	attorneys 39:15, 20 62:19	47:18 59:3 70:2 76:5 77:25 78:6	bono 39:2,5,14, 16,20 40:5 46:20,
41:13 48:20 60:9, 18 67:15 70:8	argument 46:5, 12 53:20 54:25	August 30:3	basic 10:16 54:21,23	21 bottom 9:3 37:7
74:18	67:12,16	auspicious 51:5	basically 7:7	44:21
aka 8:11	article 33:20	authority 50:17	8:20 9:10 44:21	boundaries 27:2
alcohol 23:7,10, 13 24:13 25:9	articles 33:14,25 34:4	award 39:3,6 aware 52:4 74:4	46:23 77:12 78:8 79:4	29:3 bouts 30:14
31:16,22 36:25 75:23 76:1,3	asks 31:22		basis 56:13	box 16:4
alcoholic 23:18	assigned 8:8 18:20 57:9	В	bathroom 72:15 74:11	Bradley 4:16
alleviate 26:25	Assistance	back 9:1,16,17	Bauer 19:4,16	24:18,20 66:17 71:13,16 72:2,8
allowed 39:21 71:3 73:6	45:23	10:13 22:14 27:25 28:2 30:20	beginning 10:4	74:19
alluded 10:7	assistant 4:14 8:7 48:4 51:18,20	37:12 39:7,12,21	behalf 4:14	brain 19:9
12:1 60:1	associate 32:16	40:4 48:10,14 56:4,9 57:24	behavior 67:3	brand 62:2
American 27:18	associates	61:14 64:14	belief 25:13	breach 56:25
33:23 anathema 26:21	26:11 32:14 33:1	70:20 74:13,21 backed 50:3	believed 30:20 36:10 76:10	break 10:5 22:3 30:9 72:15 74:11
anger 19:25	association 32:11	background 24:1 66:16	belt 32:21	breathalyzer 18:3,12
annual 20:6,8,19,	assumed 26:21	bad 25:6 43:6	Berlin 34:3	briefly 17:13
20	assuming 42:11	47:5	betrayed 32:1	bring 59:7
annually 39:3	74:7	Bangkok 34:3	big 22:12,13,25	brushes 37:4
answers 38:24 41:3 43:24	assure 71:2	bank 51:3	62:20	burden 6:19 7:5,
anxiety 30:14	attach 13:16 attached 34:14	bar 4:9,14 5:7,10 6:19 8:7,8,11 9:7	binders 12:16 binding 64:3	17 12:4,12 17:4 38:22
anymore 23:22 65:5	attempted 21:22,24 46:20	11:22 12:10 13:21 14:7,10,24	bit 9:15 15:20	busier 30:25 31:2
AP 33:23	68:18,20 75:11	15:4 16:25 19:22	22:10,14 42:13 46:13 54:12	business 41:18
apologies 24:23	attended 18:4,6,	20:1 23:23 33:23 34:20,24,25 35:4	blame 42:15	busy 27:24
apologize 63:18,	8,20 19:17 attention 44:5	38:8 40:1 42:1,10 43:17,21,25	blemish-free 35:9	buttons 74:16
apparently 51:5		45:19 46:1 47:25	blood 30:11	buzz 33:24 45:13,
appeals 37:23	attorney 8:20,21 12:2 21:21 23:9	48:3,4 51:18 52:2,18 54:6,11,		14
38:2,4	33:5 35:19 36:1,	13,22 55:3 56:19,	Bloomberg 33:23	
apply 46:9 70:12	17 37:17 39:4 41:19 46:6 52:6,	20 57:18 58:9 59:10 60:20,24	blown 36:20	
appointed 4:11	8,24 53:8 67:1	61:4,5,6,16 62:8	blue 43:17	Caldwell 51:22
approved 20:1 55:2	75:10,25 76:17 77:5,10,23	63:13,24 64:16 65:2,3,4,8 66:3 68:14,23 69:1,13	board 16:9 44:5, 13 73:20	53:12 54:19 56:1 57:7 58:24 68:13

Index: agreed..Caldwell

Index: California..constituted

California 43:16. 9,13 51:22 54:18, circumstances committee concise 9:19 21 44:1 54:11.13 39:23 40:1 20.21 55:2 58:18. 47:13 concluded 57:18 63:13 64:4, 20 59:2,12,15,18 cite 48:10 69:23 Communique 23:21 79:23 11,14 65:2,4 60:6,16 62:3,12, 38:6 68:14 77:13 22 65:6,11,25 civil 54:23 61:12 concludes 40:10 66:6,11,15,22 community 40:4 call 5:23 36:19 claim 57:14 conclusion 67:10,14 68:4 61:24 64:5 67:1 comp 78:4 41:21,22 56:20 70:6 71:7,15,19 **Clark** 38:6 72:23 72:5,8,9,14,22 comparison conclusions **CLE** 14:3,8,16 called 11:9 37:20 73:13,17 74:10, 73:15 42:24 46:2 48:1 17:2 20:5,7,8,10, 49:9 64:7 42:1 60:14 13,17,21 78:15, 17 21:3 39:23 competent 20,23 79:4,8,10, **calling** 5:22 67:7 40:1 37:18 38:18 conditions 15,18 77:25 12:21 13:11 **calls** 47:16 **clear** 8:21,24 chairman 4:11 17:10 32:1 44:14 12:5 15:8 25:12 complain 42:23 62:23 capacity 37:2 75:8 36:22 38:23 46:4 Complainant's **chalk** 43:3 care 78:22 50:24 67:25 conduct 31:19, 4:1 23 35:25 43:11 challenge 15:1 career 33:11 cleared 51:2 44:15 47:10 75:4 complained 64:6 35:9 36:8 37:9,10 clerk 29:23 35:14 36:17 77:9 challenged caricatures 34:1 **CLES** 13:19 conducted 57:8 complaint 35:11 49:12 case 4:9 10:12 15:18 47:20 36:19 conference 5:5. challenges 23:15 26:12.24 10.20 6:9 57:8 **click** 33:15 complaints 36:4 43:22 46:13 49:5 32:11 63:25 64:4 52:5,10,13,20,23, **client** 35:13 complete 13:11 challenging 25 53:4 55:8 56:5 19:1,25 20:5 22:2 conferences 36:13,16 46:10, 14:25 57:8 63:6 67:9,19 30:2 34:18 63:24 20,21 50:13 70:11 changed 49:1 confidence clients 25:7 36:9 completed 14:2, **charges** 64:1,15 cases 10:22 25:8 37:11 25 18:24 20:3,16 56:8,23 57:1,12 36:13 38:15 21:16 **check** 21:7 29:2 confident 38:17 close 71:8 74:18 cashiers 21:7 76:8 completely 14:8 checked 16:5 closing 67:12,16 26:21 42:6 54:20 causative 23:8 26:14,16 39:25 confidential 68:3.6 31:23 75:24 77:19 completion 17:2 73:3 closings 66:23 20:15,25 caused 33:8 checking 26:20 confirm 58:24 **cloud** 19:9 36:23 37:14 68:12.13 compliance confronted collateral 59:24 14:9 17:10 75:7 **checks** 33:21 cellphone 29:1 44:25 45:13 44:23 45:1.15 colleagues complied 14:18 centered 28:2 Connecticut 46:21,25 48:24 32:12 21:1,16 67:22 38:5 certificate 13:16 50:16 51:1,2 77:2 college 29:22 **comply** 17:17 connection **chief** 10:12 certificates 17:1 45:24 47:7 **Collins** 60:23 35:22 20:15.24 **choice** 49:14 computer 26:15, 61:2 consequences 58:12 certifications 22,23 25:19 31:20 Colorado 60:23, 27:17 choices 29:5 34:12 conceded 46:19 24 61:13 62:1,2 42:13 certified 27:10 consideration concentrating comment 49:22 cholesterol 40:9 60:7 69:10 **chair** 4:7,21 5:1, 45:12 comments 70:8 30:11 16,18 6:3,8 7:3, considered concern 5:10 15,20 8:3 9:9,25 commitment **chose** 31:25 29:10 36:5.25 10:6,15,24 11:2, 13:1 39:4,16 concerned 14:7, 77:14 11,19 15:24 16:7, **church** 29:21 76:12,15 11 62:17,18 13,17,21,25 23:1 constituted 34:9 **Circuit** 38:1,4 40:12,18,21 41:6,

Index: consumed..direct

consumed 31:7	County 38:6	cross-	day 24:13 29:8	74:9,18
contact 50:25	couple 8:15 9:21 17:3 19:7 24:4,5	examination 9:14	30:6 34:20 41:24 42:1,9,18 43:12	demanding 31:18
contained 26:22	34:15 63:10	cross-examine	50:9 67:1	
contest 47:24	courses 14:4	10:9 40:14	days 21:7 40:6 48:3 56:12 57:8	demonstrate 12:19
context 9:16	court 6:13 8:16	Crushing 9:14	deadlines 25:25	demonstrated
23:25	12:1 15:7,9 17:5,	cursor 40:19		39:4
continue 16:22 18:7 19:18 23:12	12,14 19:2,25 20:4 21:15,19	Cushing 4:9,16,	deal 42:14 69:17	demonstrates
30:21 34:18	22:17,19 35:5	18,20,25 5:9,12,	dealing 50:7	8:21
64:17 76:3	37:22,23,25 38:1,	17,21,23 6:7,11,	death 24:11	denial 18:23
contract 17:23,	4,10,12,16 43:1	24 7:5,10,12,24 8:2,4,10 9:23,25	Debbie 11:4,12	denied 45:22
24	44:21,22 45:19, 21 46:3,9,12	10:3,8 11:3,8,12,	60:17	51:12 52:8,24
control 32:3	47:2,3,12,22	13,15 13:3,5,8,9	December 14:13	69:3
	49:11,13 50:22	14:21 16:13,20,	48:3	denying 51:11
controls 28:15	51:9 52:5,7,17,22	21,24 17:16 22:20 23:3 24:18,	deceptive 47:8	department
convert 50:15	53:6,21 68:22 69:1,6,12,23	19,24 40:13,16,	•	54:13
convincing	70:11,13,19	23 41:7,8,11	decided 28:2 29:6 42:14,22	departure 47:17
8:22,25 12:5 15:8	77:13 78:3,10	44:10,22 46:14, 19,24 47:24 51:8,	62:1	58:16 62:8,15,17
38:23 46:4 68:1	Court's 12:22	19,24 47:24 51:8, 25 58:19,20 59:5,	decision 15:4	depending 6:6,
cooperation	13:23 21:1,17	19,21 63:3 65:13,	25:13 37:22,24	17 9:20 41:3
11:24	48:8 50:21 67:23	14,20 66:4,14,25	38:1,3 57:3 62:23	depends 5:24
cooperative	courtesies	67:15,17 68:5	67:9 69:17 70:2	-
76:24	11:24	70:4,7,9 71:23 72:22,24 73:8,13	79:11	deposited 44:24
cope 25:22	cover 8:15 45:15	74:7 75:3 76:7	decisionmaking	depression 19:6
corporation	covered 67:6	78:1,25 79:1,17,	25:10	30:14
27:13	69:11	19,20,21	decisions 25:6	desire 39:11
correct 4:18,20,	covering 38:13	Cushing's 5:7	38:12 78:3,4	64:14
24,25 5:16 6:15	coverup 46:20	60:25 61:7,15,21 76:5	decompress	destructive
7:18,19 10:9 13:8 42:6 44:2 50:14	•		29:6	25:17
51:8 58:5,24	COVID-19 39:19	custodian 57:5 58:13,23	deems 9:5	detail 17:7 54:3
69:17 78:13 79:6,	crating 29:14		deep 6:25	deteriorating
7	crazy 25:25 26:4	cut 11:15 13:20	default 64:19	24:7,8
cost 21:6	27:24 29:18		defend 49:10	determined
costs 21:14	49:21	D	56:16	75:2,9 76:22 78:9
Council 27:18	credit 20:17 39:25	damage 25:20	defense 51:5 61:12 68:20	developments 37:18 38:18
counsel 4:15,22	credits 20:5,7,9,	data 62:21		77:24
8:7 11:23 16:25 32:17 34:20 42:1,	10 21:3	date 14:16	defer 9:9 62:5	difficulties
10 44:16 48:4	crime 50:6	dated 12:22	deliberate 59:5	39:18
51:19 55:1 56:19	crimes 50:6	dates 19:20	deliberated	diffuse 25:22
61:6 63:24 66:3	criteria 8:22 78:9	David 4:12 18:14	74:23	diligence 58:3,4,
Counsel's 54:13	cross 41:10	71:22 73:9	deliberation	6,10
counted 8:23	48:19	Davidson 5:2	72:4	diminished 37:2
countries 27:21		72:6,25	deliberations 71:8 72:20 73:2	direct 44:4
			11.012.2013.2	

Index: director..failing

director 17:19	door 61:22	effective 40:6	entries 75:15	17:21 20:25
disability 23:5 75:21	double-checked 20:15	effects 25:19	entry 33:13	34:17 40:24 47:25 48:12
		effort 26:7 64:23	equation 36:5	67:20 71:24 72:3
disadvantage 15:21	doubt 46:23	Eighth 38:11	escape 24:14	existing 23:6
disbarment	downward 37:14 47:17	element 17:3	25:2,5	75:22
47:17	dramatically	21:18,20 75:9,19 76:8 77:8	Espidero 17:18 18:19 23:21	expect 5:24
disbarred 70:15	30:10	elements 15:9	esquire 4:13	expenditures 28:18
discharge 18:13	drank 25:9	78:12	established	expensive 64:8
discharged	drink 23:18	elevated 54:4	27:1 46:4 63:1	experience
23:21	24:13,16 25:2 30:18	Elser 32:5 33:21	establishing	32:25 39:11 43:3
disciplinary 5:3 17:11 21:6,20	drinking 25:7,18,	39:1 41:25 42:17 50:7,13	28:3	47:11 53:15
35:2 44:13,18,20	19 28:6,12 30:17	Elser's 51:3	ethics 20:17	expert 45:2,3,5 73:10,16,18
46:18 47:6,9,18 48:2 51:22 53:16	31:25 32:2	embarrassing	eventually 25:20	explain 7:1 57:6
73:20 75:8	driving 29:18	34:6	everybody's 44:4	58:16
discipline 35:6	dropping 7:25	emotional 47:14,	evidence 4:3,6	explaining 14:1
53:18 63:14	drove 25:25 26:4	19	5:13,17 8:22,25	25:1
disciplined 36:1 77:3,10	drug 18:2,12 23:7,19 31:22	emphasize 19:17	12:5,8,16,18 15:8 19:22 20:2 22:7	exposed 46:21
disclose 63:4	75:23	employ 59:9	38:23,24 46:4	expressed 5:9 42:8 48:25
discretion 69:16	drugs 19:13	employer 22:2	50:24 54:23 66:23 67:6,19,22,	extra 20:19
discuss 7:17	23:10,13 76:1,4	29:9 36:16	24 68:1 75:4	extract 71:18
22:9	drunk 34:1	encompasses	exam 60:24 61:4,	extraneous
discussed 75:1	Dubai 34:3 66:14	38:2	16	59:24
76:20 77:12	due 58:4	end 6:25 9:15 11:16 17:17 19:1	EXAMINATION 41:15	
dishonest 46:1 47:4 77:15	dues 70:18	21:23 23:13 33:7	exclusive 60:22	F
disobeying	duly 11:9 60:14	35:20 36:2 37:19 47:21 65:22 68:3	61:19	facilities 27:22
44:17	duplicates 12:17 14:5	ends 40:3	excuse 24:2,16	fact 5:14 12:16
disperse 71:14	duplication	enforcement	37:1	42:4,24 48:10 49:8 52:4 53:13,
distinction	12:17 20:14	37:5	Exercise 27:19	23 54:5,7,25 64:
54:24		engage 21:22,24	exhausted 30:7	76:7
District 38:11	E	75:11	exhibit 5:11 6:11 8:13 13:6 15:22	factor 23:8
Doctor 62:13	earlier 12:1	engaged 21:21, 24 35:19,20	17:14,15,21 18:4,	31:22,23 37:1 75:24
doctors 13:20	20:24 31:25	75:10 77:5	14 20:1,22 21:8, 11 22:6 23:23,24	factored 36:5
document 22:7	56:10 67:21	England 33:18	34:16 44:7 50:19	factors 12:20
documents 7:2 9:8 10:17 16:3	early 61:9	enjoy 29:25 30:5	51:2,7 54:8 56:17 71:12,23	17:6 47:2
a.u ru. H 10.ð	eat 31:9	39:17	exhibits 4:1,4	facts 45:9 48:9
55:17 60:8 71:17				5 11 1 45 04
	eating 28:4 edition 38:5	enjoyed 29:13	5:6,15,20 6:10	failed 45:24

fairly 9:19	64:6 69:21,23,25	Fort 60:23 61:2	56:4 68:9	happened 34:5
fairness 44:16	78:8,18	forward 13:2	glass 34:1	37:12,13 42:15, 16,24 49:12,17,
faith 47:5 53:2 56:13	fine 5:12,13 8:2 41:6,11,12 45:7 71:15 72:10	found 28:7,11 40:2 46:15,17 47:2,12	good 4:21 5:1 6:3 9:2 15:19 30:8,12 31:6 37:12 50:1	18,19 52:13 55:10 64:3 68:9 70:23
fall 24:10	finest 48:22	·	53:2,16 56:13	
false 44:19 47:7 68:23	firm 24:6 25:8 26:10 30:24 31:1	fourth 21:5 33:4 73:21	66:20 72:12 79:18,21	happening 32:8 happy 53:18 67:8
family 31:4	32:2,5 33:9 39:3,	Francisco 66:18, 20	Google 28:25	harbor 43:9
Farmer 61:23	13,24 44:25 45:13 47:1 48:24	Frankfurt 34:3	33:12	hard 26:20,23
fascinating 28:8	49:3 61:11 68:19	frankly 16:4 32:7	governs 8:17	29:14,24 30:6 32:2
30:25 38:14	firm's 39:23	fraudulent 46:21	grand 54:3 57:22	harm 33:8 36:23
fashion 22:1	44:23	friends 34:2	grapes 76:23 77:17	37:13 46:22
fault 42:16	firms 39:2 firsthand 32:22	front 12:14 51:15	graph 19:20,21	50:13
February 35:10 36:16,22 48:24	Fitness 27:11	frozen 24:21	20:22	harmed 46:16 51:3
federal 52:17	five-minute 9:21	fulfill 14:8	great 11:24 15:20 17:7 30:10 36:12	health 24:6,7
Feed 33:24	flag 75:18	full 15:2 17:9	72:5	25:11 29:7 30:9, 13
feel 19:9 30:10	flippant 69:7	75:7	green 66:21	healthiest 31:13
39:10 43:6 67:3	floor 11:14,16	fully 67:22	grievance 34:21	healthy 27:1
feeling 30:7,8	focus 12:24 31:7	futile 64:23	41:25	28:3,4
feelings 35:1 43:10	focused 74:24	future 37:4	grievances 46:24	hear 40:16 67:19 78:4
fees 13:25	fog 19:9	G	group 17:25	heard 62:4,24
fellow 4:12 32:11	folks 51:15	gain 28:7	18:8,22,24	hearing 4:7,15,
felt 30:13	follow 58:4 68:25	gambling 45:15,	groups 18:20	23 8:10 9:4,10
field 22:1,8	footnote 46:18 51:17	17 49:20	guess 60:10,16 66:22 71:8,9	11:21 12:9 15:2 19:23 38:25
fifties 24:16 25:1	forced 56:11,19	game 16:9	guys 14:24 43:12	42:12 44:13 45:8 46:19 47:9 48:2,
file 26:16,19,20, 23 45:20	61:4,8,21,25 68:15	Gary 4:10 8:4 11:19 73:24	79:12 	11 51:20,22,24 52:9 53:12,14
filed 34:21,25 41:25 54:10	foreign 26:17	gave 13:12 27:24	Н	54:1,7 55:3,11, 15,17 56:20 57:2,
filings 77:13	27:20	39:23 45:2 46:13 64:21 70:12,13,	habits 28:4,5	9 60:25 61:8,15,
final 37:16 49:22	foresee 32:7	19	hair 24:9	21 73:10 74:22
67:11 70:8	forever 76:15	generally 23:11 76:2	half 22:4	hearings 54:22 heck 6:21
finally 28:9 77:23	forget 68:17 79:3	generals 20:20	handle 25:3	held 5:5 44:22
finances 28:15	forgo 67:7	German 29:20	61:25	48:2
find 18:15 26:7,	forgot 52:6	get-go 6:25	hands 49:2	helped 28:1
13,15 27:9 58:5	formal 7:24 8:14 57:9	give 6:20 7:7	hang 58:20 62:3 65:13 70:6	high 29:20
finders 49:8	formulate 28:13	10:2 23:25 24:3	happen 35:16	history 29:1 36:3
findings 42:24 48:1,10,13 54:18		25:18 39:7,20 40:4,7 41:1 55:9	36:13 69:5 71:1	hit 37:7

Index: fairly..hit

STATE BAR OF NEVADA SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD Cushing, Kym on 04/27/2022

Index: hold..lawyer

hold 9:11	50:10 54:2 55:1	intent 63:19		Kim 61:23
holding 34:1	57:21	intentional	J	kind 11:5 28:24
hole 55:10	imposed 35:6	46:16	J-A-N-E-E-N	37:19 41:9 65:14, 16,21 77:16
home 28:24	improved 30:10	intentionally 47:6	48:5	knew 25:9 61:9
honest 13:25 36:6,10,23 58:1	incident 36:15 incidentally	interacting 32:13	J-U-S-T-I-A 37:21	knowing 31:18
honestly 28:22	18:7 19:3 include 53:10	interaction	Janeen 48:4 56:19 58:14 59:9	knowledge 10:22 39:11
honesty 36:2 53:8 55:23 57:25	included 13:12	62:19	61:25 68:15	54:21,23
60:1 68:11 70:23 71:3 77:11,21	14:12 20:23 47:4	interesting 7:3 21:4 27:10 28:5	Janeen's 68:12	Kym 4:9,16 8:11 10:19 11:8 18:19
	includes 21:12	39:22 40:3	January 12:22 13:10,24 44:8	44:10 63:11
hope 12:19 40:5, 7 70:20 71:4	including 12:13 21:6 27:17	interject 45:6	53:10 60:20 69:7	
Hospital 19:5	income 22:7	interrupt 13:3 24:20,22	jittery 19:10	
host 33:24	75:15	intersperse	Jo 4:13	labor 29:14,24
hour 11:1 15:15 27:11,12	increasing 32:15	40:24	job 11:5 22:8 37:10 45:18 49:6	lacked 36:6 54:21,22
hours 15:17	incredibly 31:10,	intervals 18:3	joining 72:6,25	lacking 77:15
20:16,17 24:6	12	introduce 19:22	joint 28:16	language 26:17
house 29:12,18	indicating 75:18	invariably 26:14	Jones' 14:15	lapse 64:12
housekeeping	infinite 69:16	invite 48:7	Joshua 5:2 74:8	Larry 17:18
22:16 hugely 64:7	infirmity 23:6 75:22	involve 46:10 involved 17:12	Journal 33:17, 18,22,23	18:19 23:20,21 30:19
hundred 18:11	information	51:20 53:23	judge 35:13	Las 61:11
31:7 59:6	22:22 44:19 62:6,	62:25	36:16	Lastly 46:1
hurt 33:9,11	7,11,14,18 63:3,8 69:19	involving 36:15 46:19	judges 32:13 63:25 64:4,21	late 24:15 25:1 63:23
hurtful 49:25	informed 37:17	Isaacson 48:4,5	iudicial 38:11	launch 10:1
husband 61:1,14	38:17 77:24	50:9 51:18 53:11, 13 56:19 57:4	59:3	
HVAC 30:3	initial 57:8	58:17 59:9 60:19	jump 9:18 10:25	law 12:4 21:23,25 25:8 27:14 29:23
hybrid 41:9	initiated 63:13	63:18	June 18:21	33:17,22 35:7,22
	insane 24:6	Isaacson's 62:7	jury 32:20 72:18,	36:2,4 37:5,18 38:13,14,18,19
<u> </u>	inside 39:24	issue 72:19	19 73:6,15	42:25 44:23,25
I-S-A-A-C-S-O-N	insight 24:3	73:14	Justia 37:21	45:13 46:13 47:11 48:1 49:9,
48:6	instructors	issued 37:22,24 38:1,3,9,11	justices 47:22	24 53:9 57:23
idea 33:19 72:13	27:21	issues 18:23	justify 64:22	61:12 62:2 64:7,
identify 25:23	instrumental	36:21 43:5,13		10 68:2 71:3 75:12,18 77:11,
ill 43:9	57:3	50:7 62:25	K	21,24
immensely 39:5	integrity 33:10 36:2,7,11 53:8	items 17:5	kooning 70:0	laws 38:15
impetus 34:23	67:2 71:3 77:11,		keeping 78:2	lawyer 30:21
important 17:4 23:14 28:4,9	16,21		Kent 4:13	31:5 32:10 34:1 35:12,13 36:18
,				

Index: lawyers..Neilson's

38:6 39:2 45:23 lawyers 32:12 50:1	literally 24:11 52:15 living 6:20	making 25:6 59:5	members 4:12 11:20 40:9 51:23	Monitors 40:21 Monte 19:4
				Monte 19:4
50:1	living 6.50		57:6 62:5 65:12	1001110 19.4
	•	malpractice 36:20	66:1 74:23 79:6	month 17:24
lazy 26:5	local 27:21	managed 31:3,	mental 23:5 24:7	18:1,5,9
learn 32:22 43:3	locally 34:4	12,15	29:7 30:12 46:15 75:21	monthly 18:2 38:5
learned 25:21 26:25 28:3	location 29:1	management	mentioned	months 43:22
leave 18:16,18	long 5:25 10:20 35:11 48:17 55:3	19:25	29:13 47:21	44:10,14 54:11,
62:1	68:9,21 69:5,6	manner 21:25	mentoring 32:13	12 60:24 61:17, 18 70:13,16,17
leaving 61:9,16	70:18	March 51:10	met 13:22 14:11	73:25
62:25 63:2	long-lasting	martini 34:1	15:5,6 17:18,20 19:5 38:22 42:10	motive 47:4
led 30:2,4	25:19	Mary 21:12	43:12 67:25	move 16:9 22:11
left 39:1 49:3 59:9 60:19 61:20	longer 32:5 42:13 51:24 54:5	master 27:12	met all 78:9	49:16,17 54:8 60:21 63:7
legal 22:1,8	looked 24:10	matter 7:23 8:9 10:18 22:16 44:3,	methods 25:22	moved 52:14
33:10,11,14 35:9,	loopy 19:9	20 51:21	middle 30:3 68:9	61:1
22 36:11 37:20 46:17 75:16	losses 45:15	matters 44:19 46:2 69:12	mind 7:1 11:6 14:2 28:22	moving 40:25 52:15
letter 36:19 54:10	lost 24:9 37:9,10	46.2 69.12 Mcbeath 4:13	minds 22:16	multiple 47:5
letters 57:17	43:2	11:20 16:18	mine 65:5	mute 4:19 11:14
letting 16:8	lot 11:21 12:11,17 28:6 78:7	62:12,16 66:2,5 79:8,9	minor 54:3	62:13 74:15
levels 30:11	luck 79:18,21	·		muted 40:17,18
liberating 28:24	luckily 61:18	meaning 77:2	minute 26:2,3 44:18	
license 40:7		means 11:21 24:13 25:2	misconduct	N
57:24 62:2 64:11,	M	media 33:20	23:8 33:6,8 34:8,	names 8:1
13,15	mad 49:15	medical 22:15,18	9,11,22 35:4,20, 21 36:23 41:20,	Nancy 23:23
lied 45:1	made 13:1 19:8	Medicine 27:18	24 44:20 46:16	narrow 49:17
lies 45:14	25:13,14 26:7	33:18	47:5 50:25 75:24 76:18 77:1,6	national 27:16,
life 13:1 21:3 24:2 29:15 32:6 35:15	28:12 32:17,24 46:5 49:3,20 50:2	meditation 27:9	misguided	17 33:13,25
49:15	53:3,20 56:10	28:1	25:13	39:23
life-changing	68:22	meet 12:12 19:15	misses 28:18	naturally 40:2 79:14
31:20	major 28:14	meeting 34:20 42:1,3,7 50:5,8	mission 29:21	nature 47:10
lightly 53:3	make 9:12 17:7	meetings 17:24,	misstatements	75:16
limitations 27:3	25:12,14 29:6 32:18 35:15	25 18:1,5,6,8	77:3	necessarily
limits 27:2	42:13 45:10	19:18,21 31:4	mistakes 50:2	77:15 78:10
link 58:15 61:23	49:22 56:23 57:11,13 58:2,15	member 14:23 15:10,19 16:6,11,	mitigating 37:1 47:13	needed 20:19
Lipson 61:11,13	60:3,10 62:23	15 35:13 36:17	moment 13:4	negative 18:21
list 5:15 51:23	65:17 67:8,15,16 69:17 70:2 72:23	40:1 62:10,16 66:5,9,19 71:10,	15:11 71:16	negativity 42:14
				Neilson 61:12
55:2 57:6 58:14, 24,25	75:14 76:14,15	21,25 72:12,16	money 13:19 28:19 49:1,2 51:4	Nelison 61.12

Index: Nevada..Phil

overboard 37:20 Pardon 59:15 Nevada 4:9 8:8. offenses 47:5 percent 31:8 12 37:22 38:6.8. 42:6 59:7 offer 58:15 59:8, overlap 17:5 parents' 29:18 9,15 44:12,22 19 60:10 63:14 perception 45:23 47:12.25 overlooked part 23:14 27:13 33:10 53:15.18 56:19 **office** 14:15 50:23,24 32:18 45:8 49:4 64:3 73:19 26:11 53:25 55:5 perfect 41:8 overreliance participate 56:7,23 57:13,20 Nevada's 40:1 26:9 17:16 perfectly 41:12 58:9,10,17 60:4 58:9 61:13 62:1 overturn 64:23 participated performance nine-month 32:20 35:3 40:2 35:12 36:18 one-day-at-aoverview 6:21 47:20 participates **period** 18:23 time 76:13 7:7,16 41:1 **Ninth** 38:1 51:24 21:23 22:9 23:11 online 19:11 49:18 75:12 76:2 **NLAP** 17:16,19 Р participating opening 9:11,12 19:2 23:20,21 11:20 74:8 permanent 10:2 25:21 30:19 31:4 25:20 packet 8:14 parties 5:14 45:22 46:11 69:3 operating 44:24 15:23 17:15,21 12:15 67:21 permission 6:18 21:9 51:16 **Norway** 29:21 40:23 **opinion** 15:12 party 44:16 72:20 23:15 31:17 50:6 pages 8:15 18:14 **note** 18:13 46:18 person 26:7 53:5 pass 46:25 50:22 51:6 49:1 31:20 37:6,7 43:7 57:2,19 59:8 opinions 35:2 **passed** 17:19 paid 21:8,11,13 notice 59:3 73:21 18:9,11 23:19 29:21 70:17 opportunity notices 38:7.8. 60:25 61:4,19 personal 27:10 40:8 70:12,14,19 pandemic 69:8 10 35:1 41:18 44:25 past 46:24 77:14 **oppose** 69:15 **panel** 4:11.12 5:3 **notion** 26:20 47:14,19 49:24 path 25:17 7:16 9:9 11:19,20 61:12 opposed 16:3 notwithstanding 13:13 14:23 Pattee 4:15 6:4, perspective 35:25 77:9 opposing 44:16 15:10,19 16:6,11, 6.9.15.16 7:15. 24:3 15,25 23:25 36:6 nuclear 78:11 option 64:1,16 19.22 8:6.7 9:24 39:21 40:9 41:1,5 perverse 37:11 78:11 10:11,16,25 13:3, **number** 4:9,10 45:11 46:15 48:7, 6,9 14:22 15:6,11 petition 4:8 6:12 25:23 45:4 **options** 64:20 9 49:7 51:21,23 16:7 22:13,24 51:18 65:3.5.8 20:12 43:19 54:18,20,21 55:2 40:10,14,19,22 order 4:8 12:22, 45:20 50:20 56:1 57:6,7 62:5, numbers 33:16 41:14,16 58:12, 23 13:7,23 14:12, 51:14 52:23 10,16 65:12 66:1, 25 59:11,13,16 19,20 17:5,12 68:12 70:9 74:23 5,9,19 69:16 60:13,19 62:14 19:25 20:5,10,11 0 71:10,14,21,25 63:2,7,10,12 petitioned 52:7 21:15 23:1 44:7,9 72:12,16,18 73:4 65:7,8,15 66:10 46:7,9 48:8 50:21 petitioner 4:16, 74:2,15,22 79:6, oath 7:11,13 10:8 67:13 68:6,8 51:11 67:23 69:1. 20,25 5:12,17,23 11:4,5 16:22 7.9 73:16,18 74:6 7:12 8:2.4 9:23 60:2,11 78:11,14,17,22 **panel's** 6:18 10:3 11:15 13:5.8 ordered 19:2 79:12,14,21 **object** 15:12 34:12 38:24 21:10 45:19,22 14:21 16:20,24 40:23 44:13 pattern 47:4 22:20 23:3 24:19. objection 46:6 ordering 46:11 69:23 24 40:16 41:8,11 pay 13:19,25 21:5 obligation 44:17 58:19 59:21 orders 17:11 panels 51:24 64:13,17 65:20 66:14,25 observing 74:10 21:20 38:9,10 73:23 67:17 70:4,9 peers 18:24 47:7 75:8 **panic** 49:5 obstruction 71:23 72:24 73:8 pending 64:1,16 original 14:12 47:5 74:7 79:1,17,20 paragraph 34:17 people 26:5 33:9 originally 77:3 45:16 54:17 occupation 71:6 Petitioner's 4:4 39:12.19.25 56:18 outstanding occurred 42:12 **Phil** 4:15 7:3 8:5. 43:10 73:22,23 21:14 39:4,16 paralegal 4:15 53:14,19,20 7 10:7 11:23 12:1 people's 8:1 40:17,18 41:14

Index: Phil's..random

42:4 43:6 50:5 52:4 57:20 58:22	pounds 24:9 practice 12:3	privilege 35:7,8 64:18	progress 42:17, 21	73:13,17 74:10, 13,17,21 78:15,
59:25 60:9 62:3 67:11 73:17 74:2	21:22,25 25:8 33:2 35:21 36:2	PRN 17:25 18:8, 25	progressively 25:3	20,23 79:4,8,10, 15,18
Phil's 20:23	37:18 38:19	pro 39:2,5,13,16,	promoted 61:5,	purpose 42:23
PHILLIP 60:13	47:11 49:23 53:9 68:2 71:4 75:11,	20 40:5 46:19,21	25	purposes 73:1
phone 36:19	18 77:11,21,25	problem 7:25 8:3	proof 6:22 12:5	pursuant 47:3
45:4	practiced 27:14	14:10 45:17 49:20 63:20	17:4 38:22 58:15 59:8,20 60:10	68:22
physical 22:12	64:10	68:11 72:24	·	pursued 23:9
23:5 24:6 29:7, 14,24 30:9 75:21	practices 47:8	73:11,21	property 45:3	39:8 52:17 75:25
physically 30:7	practicing 26:1	problems 25:9	prosecutorial 50:25	put 9:13,17 11:3, 4,13 57:17 60:11
Pilates 27:15,19,	35:7 36:4 38:16	47:14,19	proud 33:3 39:5	74:19
25	prehearing 5:5, 19 6:9	procedural 50:23	prove 8:25 15:8	
pinnacle 37:9	prepared 17:22	procedure 8:19	•	Q
place 25:5 28:10	31:6,8	54:24	provide 7:16 69:22	qualifications
29:4 32:8 34:20	prepayment	procedures 15:1	provided 14:17	55:14
plaintiff 30:24	21:12	73:10,19	45:4 76:7	quarterly 17:22
plan 5:22 7:12	prescribed 19:6	proceeding 7:6,	providing 44:19	question 6:11
10:17 28:13 78:18	present 4:12,14,	24 21:6 34:12 47:6	Prozac 19:6	14:2,4,24 16:16
	16 5:2 9:4 66:24 68:7 77:17	proceedings 5:4	psychiatrist	26:6,12 32:18 43:11,24 52:1
planned 9:7		79:22	19:4	53:1,9 55:25 58:
planning 7:10 14:14 15:17	presentation 6:1 9:15,17,20	process 7:17	psychotropic	66:13 72:6,17
60:21	12:24 22:10 63:8	25:11 35:2 41:7	19:13	questions 10:13
plans 9:10	76:6	50:23 65:16 72:11 76:23	public 33:10	19 16:14 38:24 40:10,22,25 41:2
players 53:22,23	presentations		35:13 36:17 46:16	47:23 48:8 63:10
pleadings 57:17	39:24	procrastination 26:3	publications	65:12 66:2,3,8,1
point 6:2 7:1,10	presented		-	quick 6:17 7:9
	65.15.19	produced 22:5	33:14,25	•
10:10 60:7 66:24	65:15,19 nresents 9:2	produced 22:5	33:14,25 published 33:22	14:23
	presents 9:2	profession 33:11 35:22	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3,	14:23 quickly 25:4
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16	·	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15 professional	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3,	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16 73:10	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14 30:11 31:15	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8 Pulliam 4:7,10, 21 5:1,16,18 6:3, 8 7:3,15,20 8:3	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17 18:19 19:1 21:2 23 22:12 23:5,13
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16 73:10 popped 43:21	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14 30:11 31:15 pretty 31:6 63:1	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15 professional 35:19,21 44:15 77:6	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8 Pulliam 4:7,10, 21 5:1,16,18 6:3, 8 7:3,15,20 8:3 9:25 10:6,15,24	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17 18:19 19:1 21:22 23 22:12 23:5,13 33:5,7 35:18,20,
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16 73:10 popped 43:21 position 15:14	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14 30:11 31:15 pretty 31:6 63:1 66:20 69:20	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15 professional 35:19,21 44:15	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8 Pulliam 4:7,10, 21 5:1,16,18 6:3, 8 7:3,15,20 8:3 9:25 10:6,15,24 11:2,11,19 15:24 16:7,13,17,21	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17 18:19 19:1 21:21 23 22:12 23:5,13 33:5,7 35:18,20,
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16 73:10 popped 43:21	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14 30:11 31:15 pretty 31:6 63:1 66:20 69:20 previous 34:19	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15 professional 35:19,21 44:15 77:6 professionalism	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8 Pulliam 4:7,10, 21 5:1,16,18 6:3, 8 7:3,15,20 8:3 9:25 10:6,15,24 11:2,11,19 15:24 16:7,13,17,21 23:1 40:12,18,21	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17 18:19 19:1 21:21 23 22:12 23:5,13 33:5,7 35:18,20,
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16 73:10 popped 43:21 position 15:14 51:19 59:19 69:13	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14 30:11 31:15 pretty 31:6 63:1 66:20 69:20 previous 34:19 previously 4:2,5 primarily 74:24 prior 17:10 21:19	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15 professional 35:19,21 44:15 77:6 professionalism 11:23 profound 39:19 profoundly	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8 Pulliam 4:7,10, 21 5:1,16,18 6:3, 8 7:3,15,20 8:3 9:25 10:6,15,24 11:2,11,19 15:24 16:7,13,17,21 23:1 40:12,18,21 41:6,9,13 58:12, 18,20 59:2,12,15,	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17 18:19 19:1 21:21 23 22:12 23:5,13 33:5,7 35:18,20, 25 36:3 37:17,19
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16 73:10 popped 43:21 position 15:14 51:19 59:19 69:13 possibly 9:21	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14 30:11 31:15 pretty 31:6 63:1 66:20 69:20 previous 34:19 previously 4:2,5 primarily 74:24 prior 17:10 21:19 36:15 47:13,18	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15 professional 35:19,21 44:15 77:6 professionalism 11:23 profound 39:19	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8 Pulliam 4:7,10, 21 5:1,16,18 6:3, 8 7:3,15,20 8:3 9:25 10:6,15,24 11:2,11,19 15:24 16:7,13,17,21 23:1 40:12,18,21 41:6,9,13 58:12, 18,20 59:2,12,15, 18 60:6,16 62:3,	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17 18:19 19:1 21:2: 23 22:12 23:5,13 33:5,7 35:18,20, 25 36:3 37:17,19 R rabbit 55:10
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16 73:10 popped 43:21 position 15:14 51:19 59:19 69:13 possibly 9:21	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14 30:11 31:15 pretty 31:6 63:1 66:20 69:20 previous 34:19 previously 4:2,5 primarily 74:24 prior 17:10 21:19 36:15 47:13,18 63:6 75:8	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15 professional 35:19,21 44:15 77:6 professionalism 11:23 profound 39:19 profoundly 36:24 program 18:23,	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8 Pulliam 4:7,10, 21 5:1,16,18 6:3, 8 7:3,15,20 8:3 9:25 10:6,15,24 11:2,11,19 15:24 16:7,13,17,21 23:1 40:12,18,21 41:6,9,13 58:12, 18,20 59:2,12,15,	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17 18:19 19:1 21:2 ² 23 22:12 23:5,13 33:5,7 35:18,20, 25 36:3 37:17,19
10:10 60:7 66:24 73:6 76:11,15,16 pointed 60:9 policies 38:16 73:10 popped 43:21 position 15:14 51:19 59:19 69:13 possibly 9:21 potential 46:22	presents 9:2 pressure 24:14 30:11 31:15 pretty 31:6 63:1 66:20 69:20 previous 34:19 previously 4:2,5 primarily 74:24 prior 17:10 21:19 36:15 47:13,18	profession 33:11 35:22 46:17 47:15 professional 35:19,21 44:15 77:6 professionalism 11:23 profound 39:19 profoundly 36:24	published 33:22 37:21,24,25 38:3, 7 60:8 Pulliam 4:7,10, 21 5:1,16,18 6:3, 8 7:3,15,20 8:3 9:25 10:6,15,24 11:2,11,19 15:24 16:7,13,17,21 23:1 40:12,18,21 41:6,9,13 58:12, 18,20 59:2,12,15, 18 60:6,16 62:3, 12,22 65:6,11,25	14:23 quickly 25:4 quit 61:3 quote 17:16,17 18:19 19:1 21:21 23 22:12 23:5,13 33:5,7 35:18,20, 25 36:3 37:17,19 R rabbit 55:10

rare 32:16	recognizes 33:5	25 79:5	representation	response 32:1
rarely 26:23	41:19 76:17	reiterates 50:25	46:10	54:12
reach 15:4 49:8	recommendatio n 44:13 48:1	rejected 46:12	representations 59:4 78:1,6	responses 27:1
reacted 76:21	recommendatio	51:9	represented	responsibility 34:18 42:8
reaction 77:12	ns 17:17 34:13	relapse 30:17 31:3	48:4	rest 49:15 67:8
reactivate 64:14	36:11 45:25	relapses 23:19	representing	restraining 46:7
read 18:13 23:4	recommending	relatives 34:2	4:17	result 25:20
34:16 37:21,24	79:5		request 46:8 51:9 54:14 69:2	43:23 64:24
38:7,12 45:12 74:4,6	reconsideration 50:20 51:9	relaxed 30:15		resulted 35:4
reading 78:7	record 17:8	released 18:25 30:19	requested 71:19	resulting 33:6
readmitted 8:21	34:16 47:13,18	relevance 51:25	requesting 71:22	41:20 76:19 77:2
9:3	49:1 57:5 58:13 63:18 65:17	53:10,22	required 21:13	resume 71:3
ready 5:19 32:23	74:14,21 76:11	relevant 62:18	38:21 69:12	retired 39:8
58:14 71:8	79:12	relief 25:18	requirement	return 24:22
real 7:8 50:13	records 22:15,18 58:23	relitigate 52:2,9,	17:9,14 19:24 20:3,4,6,8,19,20	38:19 68:2
realize 25:15 27:25 34:8 35:7	red 13:21 75:18	12,25 56:5 63:6 70:10	21:2,5,14 22:11	returns 22:5 71:12,14,22
37:8 49:7 70:11	redact 71:18	relitigating	23:4 33:4 35:18, 24 37:16	75:14
realized 27:3	refer 19:3 48:14	52:19 53:4 55:8	requirements	Reuters 33:24
reason 9:2 49:13		remaining 71:5	12:2,6,20 13:22	review 44:12
53:2 63:2	reference 65:18	remarkably 27:9	14:8,11,19 15:5,6 18:25 21:17	54:13 75:14 78:24 79:13
reasons 64:20	referring 20:24 42:11	remember 56:18	23:15 38:20	reviewed 73:5
rebuttal 65:15	refusal 47:9	74:5	67:25 74:25	
70:5	regard 42:9,11	Remembering	requisite 36:2 53:8 77:11	reviewing 50:23
receipt 28:20	67:24 70:23	41:17	research 19:11	risk 36:12
receive 62:20	regret 34:21	remind 16:22		road 70:1
received 39:2 47:19	48:25	reminding 22:21	reserve 9:13 10:10,11	Robert 51:22 54:19
recent 37:17	rehabilitation 12:25	remorse 42:9	resign 53:13	rock 37:7
38:18 52:23	rehearing 50:20	removed 23:7 32:6 51:23 53:12	56:20 63:15 64:1,	roof 30:2
77:14,24	51:12	56:1 75:23	15	room 26:19
recently 39:25 52:5	reimbursing	renting 45:4	resignation 54:14	rooms 72:3
recess 74:12,20	45:2	reopening 74:22	resigned 30:22	rough 9:10
recheck 57:16	reinstated 8:20 9:3 12:3 40:7	repeat 16:11	51:19,21 53:11,	RPC 44:15 68:23
reciprocal 44:1	9.3 12.3 40.7 69:14	24:23	25 54:6	
63:14,22 64:2,5	reinstatement	repeated 37:4	resistance 18:22	rule 8:16,17 12:2 15:9,25 21:19
recognize 34:9	4:8 8:10,11,19	replacement	resolved 37:3	41:18 44:15 47:3
43:15 54:14	11:21 15:13,14 20:12 21:2 38:21,	30:2	respect 55:16	53:6 69:12 74:3, 25 78:10
recognized	22 51:14 52:7,9,	report 54:13	respond 48:16 58:19	rules 44:17 46:5
41:23 43:12 76:25	24 53:7 67:23 70:10,12 74:24,	reports 17:22	JO. 19	47:7,15 54:23
	,,			

Index: run..successful

73:5 74:6	selected 39:15	sleep 19:7 31:9	stands 45:23	27:23 28:6
run 54:22	self-explanatory	sleeping 28:5	start 24:16 25:13	stories 49:3,21
runs 61:13	48:15	30:12	30:17 31:25 55:15 66:2	story 30:3 43:8
	self-sufficient 29:16	slots 16:9	started 24:10,12	77:18
	send 13:14 71:11	smokescreen 76:9	25:2,6 28:7	Stott 27:19
sabbatical 29:10	72:3	smoother 12:12	29:11,12,15 30:1 33:17 43:16	strategies 25:21
sad 17:20	sensitive 22:22	social 33:20	55:12	street 57:21
San 66:18,20	sentence 18:17	son 60:22 61:19	starts 34:16 69:8	stress 24:15 25:3,22 31:3
satisfied 12:20 21:19 66:9 75:3,	55:1 separate 28:16	sort 6:21,24 29:10 35:6 43:23	state 4:14 5:7,10 6:18 8:8,11 9:7	stressful 31:11, 12,18
5,9,17 76:7,20 78:12	72:3 seriousness	sound 37:11	12:10 13:6,21 14:7,10,24 15:4	stressor 26:10
satisfies 77:8	33:6 34:11 41:20,	sounds 15:19	16:8 17:15 20:1 23:23 38:8 40:1	stressors 25:23
satisfy 12:3,6	23 76:18 77:1	sour 76:22 77:17	43:17,21,25 44:7	26:24 27:1
satisfying 12:21	serve 42:22	source 53:24	45:19 46:1,15 47:25 48:3,12	strict 28:11
save 45:17 49:6	service 37:20	58:11 63:4 77:18	52:18 54:6,11	strictly 74:10
SBN-00022 4:10	set 12:21 52:21 71:24	sources 22:7 75:15	58:9 59:10 60:19 61:5 62:8 63:13,	structure 28:10
SBN22-0022	settlement	Southern 44:12	17 64:16 65:2	structures 29:4
8:10	63:23,25 64:4,20	73:19	68:14,23 69:1,13	studying 61:16
scared 24:10	shame 39:10	speaking 26:17	stated 23:11 42:18 45:1,14	stuff 16:1 41:4 78:24
schedules 67:19	shape 30:10	special 27:12	76:2	stumbling 34:2
scheme 54:3 57:22	short 6:1 72:15	speciality 27:15	statement 9:11,	stunishing 54.2
school 29:20,23	shortly 51:19	specific 43:11	12 53:11 55:15 statements 47:8	25:1
38:14 60:22 61:20	show 8:24 18:4 21:1,13 37:2	specifically 75:1	55:16 56:3,9 58:5 68:11,23	submission 47:7
SCR 12:20 17:3,	shown 18:22	spelled 13:23 48:5	States 27:20	submissions
6,9 21:7,20 22:11	shows 19:1 48:2	spend 23:16	37:25 38:3	49:12
23:4 33:4 35:18, 24 37:16 38:21	sick 31:10	28:19 71:5	statutes 36:20	submit 67:9 68:3
67:25	side 43:7 77:17	spending 64:22	steal 68:18	70:3
scrambles 26:2	side's 5:14	spent 52:8	Steve 67:1	submitted 6:10 10:18 55:17
screen 18:2,12	signed 17:22	spiral 37:15	stipulate 5:13	67:20
66:21	47:21 51:12	split 61:14	13:22 14:18 49:4	subscribe 37:20
screens 18:1,21	sincerely 34:21	splits 61:11	stipulated 5:14 45:7 67:21	subsection 9:1
script 7:4	single 18:9 31:9	Sports 27:18	stipulating	41:19 53:7 55:20
sealed 22:18	32:17 35:11,13 36:19 50:8	spread 40:20	12:10 15:5	substance 20:7, 18,21
search 33:12	sit 28:12 32:17	stand 56:2,3	stipulation	substantial 14:9
seated 31:20	sixth 35:24	standards 6:22	16:25 21:16 45:8	47:10
seconds 6:23	skip 41:4	47:16	stop 28:13	successful 36:3,
secret 53:15		standing 57:4,5	stopped 19:8,12	,

Cushing, Ryill on 04	1/21/2022		maex	. suckedunderstand
sucked 55:8	41:21 44:8,9 45:21 46:8 47:20	tend 27:5	three-word 51:11	transcript 48:11, 14 69:24
sudden 43:19,20	55:18 69:2 75:12,	term 40:3	throw 79:12	transferred 51:4
sued 52:17	22 76:19 77:2,6 sustained 28:1	terming 73:25 terms 17:10,23	throwing 6:24	trap 52:21
suffer 30:13,17 31:3	swear 58:16	30:12 31:15 75:7	Tiffany 4:15	trapped 52:12
suffered 23:18	swimming 18:18	terrible 24:11	11:23 13:14 22:24 44:11 54:9	travel 27:20
sufficient 9:2	Switzerland	50:2 70:25	66:13 69:22 71:9,	trazodone 19:7
suggest 9:8,10	29:19,20	test 18:10,12 23:19	10 78:21	treatment 17:17
super 5:25	sworn 7:14 10:4 11:10 60:15	testified 11:10	tight 6:1	23:9 45:25 75:25
supplemental 23:24 34:15	system 53:16	31:25 60:15 65:19,24	time 11:22 19:5, 13 20:8 22:9	trial 31:5,7,8,10, 18 32:17,20,21,
support 17:25	72:18 73:7	testify 9:12 10:8	23:6,11,16 24:2, 12 27:14 28:24	24
18:8 28:9,10 29:3 69:15	т	59:22 61:24	29:2,6 34:7 35:11 37:23 40:8 42:2	trials 32:15,22 54:22
supportive		testifying 7:11	49:18 52:8 53:2	tribunal 44:17
18:24	table 32:17	testimony 7:13 10:1 12:12,13,18	54:7 61:11,14,18 67:18 68:9,21	triggered 43:23
supports 67:22	tabs 40:20	16:2 38:23 40:11 59:23 60:3 67:6	69:5 75:6,22	trouble 46:25
supposed 13:11, 17,18,19,20 14:1,	tailored 5:25 takes 6:23 32:23	tests 18:3	times 18:2 19:20 28:25	true 68:16
3 27:3 50:1	takes 6:23 32:23	Thankfully 25:6	to-do 62:20	trust 36:12,14 73:1
Supreme 8:16	67:18	theft 68:20	today 4:11 5:22	trusted 36:10
12:1,22 13:23 15:7,9 17:5,11,14	talk 10:16 67:1,2	Thereupon	8:18 9:22 23:17 49:19 52:2 76:6	truthful 55:16
19:2,24 20:4 21:1,15,17,19	talking 15:25 22:15 32:12	11:7 60:12	today's 4:23	turn 8:13,14
22:17,19 35:5	55:13 70:22	thing 7:8 10:22, 23 28:5,14 30:4	told 15:7 53:24	28:23 51:17 54:17 56:17
37:22,25 38:9 43:1 44:21,22	77:16	37:12 45:12 50:1 54:4 56:12 68:14	55:4 56:6,7,22 57:1,2,12,19 58:8	turned 28:14
45:21 46:3,12 47:3,12,22 48:8	talks 55:23	76:13	60:3 63:25	two-day 69:24
49:11,13 50:21,	tape 13:21 tarnished 33:9	things 5:24 6:7	total 20:17	two-year 29:21
22 51:8 52:5,7,22 53:6,20 67:23	tarnished 33.9	7:2 8:24 12:11 13:15 17:3 24:4	totally 43:8	typo 18:17
68:22 69:1,6,12, 23 70:11,13,19	tax 22:5 71:12,14,	25:14,15 28:6 29:11,14 33:2	touch 17:2,13 24:4 32:25	
77:13 78:3,10	22 75:14	34:4,15 42:12,20	tough 27:4	
surprise 53:17	Technically 66:17	52:16 53:19 54:3 56:14 57:22 60:7	tox 18:2,12	unanimous 79:11
surprising 27:8	technology 26:9	69:5 70:22 75:16 79:13	track 76:11	vnauthorized
suspended 13:10 29:5 30:23	telling 24:25	79:13 thinking 43:19	tracks 28:17	21:22,25 75:11
44:9,14 64:12,25	tells 68:14	thought 43:18	train 27:21	underlying
69:3 70:15,17	temporary 25:18	53:19 54:1 57:21	trained 27:16	19:22 45:8 48:9 49:5 52:13,20
suspension 12:23 13:7 14:12,	37:2,3 45:21 46:7 69:2	67:11 74:4 thousand 39:14	trainer 27:11	68:17
20 15:13 21:15, 23 23:6 33:7	ten 69:9	thousands	training 72:7,25 73:20,24	understand 4:22 12:4 25:16 34:11
35:23 37:19		50:16 68:18	·	41:7 45:10 49:7,9

STATE BAR OF NEVADA SOUTHERN NEVADA DISCIPLINARY BOARD Cushing, Kym on 04/27/2022

54:24 waiting 24:21 words 45:13 59:9 7,9,10 19:14,18 26:3 58:14 64:3 67:12 20:13 22:4 23:17, understands 18,20 24:5 27:10, **waive** 4:23 45:11 work 11:25 22:1, 23 28:21,23 29:8, 2 24:2 29:6.9 understood walking 61:22 9,15 30:18,19 32:1,9 39:5,16 51:21 31:5,11 35:9,10 wanted 4:22 40:5 42:17,20 36:9 39:1 59:14, unit 30:3 23:25 29:12 53:25 54:6 55:5 17 60:21 64:11 48:21 64:15,17 56:6,23 57:13,20 65:3 68:9 69:9 **United** 27:20 58:8 68:19 72:17 75:14 77:17 37:25 38:2 70:16,24 71:5 75:16 wasting 65:1 unqualified yoga 27:9,15,25 worked 24:8 54:20 watching 5:3 Yogafit 27:19 29:14.22.23 30:21,24 31:14 unreasonable ways 12:7 York 33:17,22 32:2,23 58:9 60:4 25:24 weakness 27:6 38:4 unreliable 77:19 workers' 78:4 weeds 69:25 younger 32:14 untruth 59:5 working 24:6,11 week 19:19 21:11 27:5,7,11 29:15 Ζ **updates** 38:7.8. 35:10 36:21 30:6 39:12 48:23 10 workload 24:14 **Zoom** 57:5 58:14 urine 18:1,10,16, weekly 17:25 61:23 works 28:22 18,21 23:19 18:6 **utmost** 71:2 weight 28:7 worse 25:3,15 worth 25:17 Westbrook 4:13 V 11:19 14:23 write 55:3 56:21 15:10,19 16:6,11, 14,15 62:9,10 wrong 6:14 vacation 29:8 34:10 42:7 43:15 66:7,9,19 71:10, variable 32:4.5 48:23 50:10,11, 20,21,22,25 12.18 57:10 60:5 72:12,16 73:4,15 Vegas 61:11 74:2,15 75:13 63:18,20 Vermont 38:5 79:7 wrongful 34:23 view 27:5 western 38:2 47:10 violated 46:5 wrongfulness whatsoever 33:5 41:19,24 26:7 35:23 violating 46:8 43:11 76:18,25 wife 28:11 67:2,8 violation 50:24 wrote 23:24 69:4 William 19:4 34:14 42:4 44:23 violations 44:15 45:15 54:19 Wilson 32:4 33:21 39:1 41:25 viral 33:19.21 42:17 50:7,13 Υ Vis-à-vis 42:17 51:3 **Vista** 19:5 witnesses 5:22 Yahoo 33:24 6:5,17 9:13,21 **volumes** 13:15 year 17:20 23:12 witnessing 24:8 30:22 39:2, voluntarily 22:5 72:20 15 42:21 43:15. 20,22 48:22 52:6 won 32:24 W 53:10 55:20 wondering 15:2 70:24,25 76:2 waited 70:16,18 word 18:16 45:14 years 17:23 18:6,

Index: understands..Zoom