

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LARISA MEREORA, AN INDIVIDUAL;
THOMAS MULKINS, AN INDIVIDUAL;
NINA GROZAV, AN INDIVIDUAL; ION
NEAGU, AN INDIVIDUAL; ALISA
NEAUGU, AN INDIVIDUAL; MARIA
REYNOLDS, AN INDIVIDUAL; NNG
LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY, D/B/A UNIVERSAL
MOTORCARS; AND UNIVERSAL
MOTORCAR LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY, D/B/A
UNIVERSAL MOTORCARS,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
NADIA KRALL, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,

and

SLC LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 84967

FILED

JUL 26 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DIRECTING SUPPLEMENTATION
OF APPENDIX*

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges district court orders denying a motion to dismiss and denying a motion for summary judgment.

Although petitioners reference a ruling by the district court regarding denial of a motion for summary judgment and provided minutes, they have not provided a stamped, written district court order. This court normally will not consider a petition for extraordinary relief in the absence

of the written order being challenged. *See Rust v. Clark Cty. Sch. Dist.*, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987); *see also* NRAP 21(a)(4) (explaining that it is the petitioners' obligation to provide an appendix that includes all records that may be essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition).

Accordingly, within 14 days from the date of this order, petitioners should file and serve an appendix containing a copy of the district court's written order denying petitioners' motion for summary judgment.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Hon. Nadia Krall, District Judge
Hofland & Tomsheck
Enenstein Pham & Glass/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk