

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BO DWIGHT HEGGE,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 83664

JUN 20 2022

JUN 20 2022

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING MOTION*

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction challenging an order denying appellant's presentence motion to withdraw his plea. Appellant has filed a motion to strike the original criminal complaint from respondent's appendix on the ground that it does not contain a clear district court file-stamp, as required by NRAP 30(c)(1). Respondent counters that the inclusion is appropriate and that the lack of a clear file-stamp does not preclude inclusion. NRAP 30(b)(2) anticipates the inclusion of the criminal complaint in the appendix, the document has a file-stamp, albeit unclear, and appellant fails to demonstrate that the document is not the operative criminal complaint. Having considered the arguments of the parties, the motion to strike is denied.

It is so ORDERED.

*[Signature]*, C.J.

cc: Ben Gaumond Law Firm, PLLC  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Elko County District Attorney