

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

STARR SURPLUS LINES  
INSURANCE CO.,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF  
NEVADA in and for the County of  
Clark and THE HONORABLE MARK  
DENTON, District Judge,

Respondents,

and

JGB VEGAS RETAIL LESSEE, LLC,

Real Party in Interest.

Electronically Filed  
May 26 2023 11:27 AM  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

**Case No.: 84986**

Eighth Judicial District Court  
Case No.: A-20-816628-B

**ORAL ARGUMENT JUNE 5, 2023,  
AT 10:30 A.M.**

**MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL FOR REAL PARTY IN INTEREST  
JGB VEGAS RETAIL LESSEE, LLC**

***ORAL ARGUMENT JUNE 5, 2023 AT 10:30 A.M.***

Real Party in Interest JGB Vegas Retail Lessee, LLC (“JGB”), hereby respectfully requests an order permitting the following attorney to practice in Nevada pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 (“SCR 42”): John M. Wilson.

Oral argument for the above-captioned matter is scheduled for June 5, 2023 at 10:30 A.M. Present counsel for JGB (Robert L. Eisenberg) anticipates that he

may be undergoing medical procedures that could impact his preparation or presentation of oral argument. Therefore, Mr. Wilson will present the oral argument on behalf of JGB, with Mr. Eisenberg also attending the argument in person.

Mr. Wilson, having complied with the requirements set forth by SCR 42, hereby submits a Verified Application for Association of Counsel, Certificate of Good Standing from California, and the State Bar of Nevada Statement. **Exhibit A.**

Accordingly, JGB requests that the Court admit the foregoing attorney to practice in this Court for the purposes of the above-captioned matter pursuant to SCR 42.

DATED: May 26, 2023.

**LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG**

By: /s/ Robert L. Eisenberg

---

ROBERT L. EISENBERG, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 0950  
rle@lge.net  
6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor  
Reno, Nevada 89519  
(775) 786-6868 / Fax: (775) 786-9716  
Attorney for Real Party in Interest

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on May 26, 2023, I electronically filed and served the foregoing  
**“MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL FOR REAL PARTY IN INTEREST  
JGB VEGAS RETAIL LESSEE, LLC”** with the Clerk of the Court of the Supreme  
Court of Nevada by using the Court’s Electronic Filing System.

Daniel F. Polsenberg, Esq. Joel D. Henriod, Esq. Abraham G. Smith, Esq. Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 949-8200 <a href="mailto:dpolsenberg@lrrc.com">dpolsenberg@lrrc.com</a>	Amy M. Samberg, Esq. Lee H. Gorlin, Esq. Clyde & Co US LLP 7251 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Ste. 430 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (725) 248-2900
<i>Attorneys for Petitioner</i>	
Bradley Schrager, Esq. Wolf Rifkin Shapiro Schulman Rabkin LLC 3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Ste. 590 South Las Vegas, NV 89169 <a href="mailto:bschrager@wrslawyers.com">bschrager@wrslawyers.com</a>	Mark T. Ladd, Esq. Cohen Ziffer Frenchman & McKenna LLP 1350 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 <a href="mailto:mladd@cohenziffer.com">mladd@cohenziffer.com</a>
<i>Attorneys for Real Party in Interest JGB Retail Vegas Lessee, LLC</i>	
Pisanelli Bice PLLC James J. Pisanelli, Esq. Debra L. Spinelli, Esq. 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 214-2100 <a href="mailto:JJP@pisanellibice.com">JJP@pisanellibice.com</a> <a href="mailto:DLS@pisanellibice.com">DLS@pisanellibice.com</a>	Reed Smith LLP John N. Ellison, Esq. Richard P. Lewis, Esq. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 (212) 521-5400 <a href="mailto:jellison@reedsmith.com">jellison@reedsmith.com</a> <a href="mailto:rlewis@reedsmith.com">rlewis@reedsmith.com</a>
<i>Attorneys for Amicus Curiae United Policyholders</i>	

Wystan M. Ackerman, Esq. Robinson & Cole LLP 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103 <a href="mailto:wackerman@rc.com">wackerman@rc.com</a>	Tyler Watson, Esq. Christian Kravitz Dichter Johnson & Sluga 8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89123 <a href="mailto:tywatso@ksjattorneys.com">tywatso@ksjattorneys.com</a>
<i>Attorneys for Amicus Curiae American Property Casualty Ins. Association</i>	

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid, at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as follows:

The Honorable Mark R. Denton  
District Court Judge – Dept. 13  
200 Lewis Avenue  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155  
*Respondent*

By: /s/ Margie Nevin  
Margie Nevin

## **EXHIBIT LIST**

<b><u>Exhibit</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
A	State Bar of Nevada Statement Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42(3)(b) and Verified Application for Association of Counsel Under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42

# **EXHIBIT A**

State Bar of Nevada Statement Pursuant to Supreme Court  
Rule 42(3)(b) and Verified Application for Association of  
Counsel Under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42

# **EXHIBIT A**

State Bar of Nevada Statement Pursuant to Supreme Court  
Rule 42(3)(b) and Verified Application for Association of  
Counsel Under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42

1 STAT

2  
3 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

4 Case No. 84986

5 Starr Surplus Lines  
6 Insurance Co.

7 vs.

8 The Eighth Judicial District  
9 Court of the State of Nevada,  
10 in and for the County of Clark

11 STATE BAR OF NEVADA STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE  
12 42 (3) (b)

13 THE STATE BAR OF NEVADA, in response to the application of  
14 Petitioner, submits the following statement pursuant to SCR42(3):

15 SCR42(6)**Discretion.** The granting or denial of a motion to associate  
16 counsel pursuant to this rule by the court is discretionary. The  
17 court, arbitrator, mediator, or administrative or governmental  
18 hearing officer may revoke the authority of the person permitted to  
19 appear under this rule. Absent special circumstances, repeated  
20 appearances by any person or firm of attorneys pursuant to this rule  
21 shall be cause for denial of the motion to associate such person.

22 (a) **Limitation.** It shall be presumed, absent special  
23 circumstances, and only upon showing of good cause, that  
24 more than 5 appearances by any attorney granted under  
25 this rule in a 3-year period is excessive use of this  
26 rule.

27 (b) **Burden on applicant.** The applicant shall have the  
28 burden to establish special circumstances and good cause  
for an appearance in excess of the limitation set forth  
in subsection 6(a) of this rule. The applicant shall set  
forth the special circumstances and good cause in an  
affidavit attached to the original verified application.

1. DATE OF APPLICATION: 5/26/2023

2. APPLYING ATTORNEY: John Michael Wilson, Esq.

///  
///


3. FIRM NAME AND ADDRESS: Latham & Watkins, LLP, 12670 High Bluff Drive, San Diego, CA 92130

4. NEVADA COUNSEL OF RECORD: Robert L. Eisenberg, Esq., Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg, 6005 Plumas Street, 3rd Floor, Reno, NV 89519-6069

5. In addition to the present application, petitioner made the following previous applications within the last three years:

8/30/2021 ADMISSION STATUS NOT REPORTED TO THE STATE BAR  
9/21/2022 ADMISSION GRANTED

DATED this May 26, 2023

  
Suzy Moore  
Member Services Admin.  
Pro Hac Vice Processor  
STATE BAR OF NEVADA



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STARR SURPLUS LINES INSURANCE  
CO.,

Petitioner,

v.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT of the State of Nevada, in and for the  
County of Clark; and THE HONORABLE  
MARK DENTON, District Judge,

Respondents,

and

JGB VEGAS RETAIL LESSEE, LLC,

Real Party in Interest.

Case No. 84986

VERIFIED APPLICATION FOR  
ASSOCIATION OF COUNSEL UNDER  
NEVADA SUPREME COURT RULE 42

VERIFIED APPLICATION FOR ASSOCIATION  
OF COUNSEL UNDER NEVADA SUPREME COURT RULE 42

John M. Wilson, Petitioner, respectfully represents:  
First Middle Name Last

1. Petitioner resides at 5436 Thunderbird Lane  
Street Address

La Jolla, San Diego, CA, 92037  
City County State Zip Code

(858) 945-2407.  
Telephone

2. Petitioner is an attorney at law and a member of the law firm of: Latham & Watkins LLP

with offices at 12670 High Bluff Drive  
Street Address

San Diego, San Diego, CA, 92130  
City County State Zip Code

(858) 523-5400, john.wilson@lw.com  
Telephone Email

3. Petitioner has been retained personally or as a member of the above named law firm by \_\_\_\_\_  
Real Party in Interest, JGB Vegas Retail Lessee, LLC to provide legal  
representation in connection with the above-entitled matter now pending before the above  
referenced court.

4. Since December 14 of 2003, petitioner has been, and presently is, a member of  
good standing of the bar of the highest court of the State of California where petitioner  
regularly practices law.

5. Petitioner was admitted to practice before the following United States District Courts, United  
States Circuit Courts of Appeal, the Supreme Court of the United States, and/or courts of other  
states on the dates indicated for each, and is presently a member in good standing of the bars of  
said Courts:

	<u>DATE ADMITTED</u>
<u>U.S. District Court, Central District of California</u>	<u>January 25, 2005</u>
<u>U.S. District Court, Northern District of California</u>	<u>February 14, 2007</u>
<u>U.S. Court of Appeal for the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit</u>	<u>January 11, 2016</u>
_____	_____

6. Is Petitioner currently suspended or disbarred in any court? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars; e.g., court, jurisdiction, date: No.

---

7. Is Petitioner currently subject to any disciplinary proceedings by any organization with authority at law? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars, e.g. court, discipline authority, date, status: No.

---

8. Has Petitioner ever received public discipline including, but not limited to, suspension or disbarment, by any organization with authority to discipline attorneys at law? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars, e.g. court, discipline authority, date, status: No.

---

9. Has Petitioner ever had any certificate or privilege to appear and practice before any regulatory administrative body suspended or revoked? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars, e.g. date, administrative body, date of suspension or reinstatement: No.

---

10. Has Petitioner, either by resignation, withdrawal, or otherwise, ever terminated or attempted to terminate Petitioner's office as an attorney in order to avoid administrative, disciplinary, disbarment, or suspension proceedings? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars:

No.

11. Petitioner, or any member of petitioner's firm, has/have filed the following application(s) to appear as counsel under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 during the past three (3) years in the following matter(s), if none, indicate so: *(do not include Federal Pro Hacs)*

<u>Date of Application</u>	<u>Cause</u>	<u>Title of Court Administrative Body or Arbitrator</u>	<u>Was Application Granted or Denied?</u>
<u>See Attachment A.</u>			

(If necessary, please attach a statement of additional applications)

12. Nevada Counsel of Record for Petition in this matter is:

(must be the same as the signature on the Nevada Counsel consent page)

Robert L. Eisenberg 950,  
First Name Middle Name Last Name NV Bar #  
who has offices at Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg,  
Firm Name/Company  
6005 Plumas Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Reno, Washoe,  
Street Address City County  
89519, (775) 786-6868.  
Zip Code Phone Number

13. The following accurately represents the names and addresses of each party in this matter, WHETHER OR NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, and the names and addresses of each counsel of record who appeared for said parties: (You may attach as an Exhibit if necessary.)

NAME

MAILING ADDRESS

<u>Robert L. Eisenberg, Esq.</u>	<u>Lemons, Grundy &amp; Eisenberg</u>
	<u>6005 Plumas Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor</u>
	<u>Reno, NV 89519</u>

14. Petitioner agrees to comply with the provisions of Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42(3) and (13) and Petitioner consents to the jurisdiction of the courts and disciplinary boards of the State of Nevada in accordance with provisions as set forth in SCR 42(3) and (13). Petitioner respectfully requests that Petitioner be admitted to practice in the above-entitled court FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS MATTER ONLY.

15. Petitioner has disclosed in writing to the client that the applicant is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction and that the client has consented to such representation.

## **Creed of Professionalism and Civility**

### **PREAMBLE**

A lawyer should always show personal courtesy and professional integrity in the fullest sense of those terms.

In fulfilling our duty to represent a client vigorously as lawyers, we will honor our obligations to the administration of justice, which is a truth-seeking process designed to resolve human and societal problems in a rational, peaceful, and efficient manner. We remain committed to the rule of law as the foundation for a just and peaceful society.

Uncivil, abrasive, abusive, hostile, or obstructive conduct impedes the fundamental goal of resolving disputes rationally, peacefully, and efficiently. Incivility tends to delay, and often deny, justice.

Lawyers should exhibit courtesy, candor, and cooperation when participating in the legal system and dealing with the public. These standards encourage lawyers to fulfill obligations to each other, to litigants, and to justice. These honorable actions achieve the twin goals of civility and professionalism, both of which are hallmarks of a learned profession dedicated to public service.

While these standards are voluntary and not a basis for litigation or sanctions, violations of these standards may trigger sanctions under Rules 4.4, 8.4(b), or others. Ethical problems arise from the conflict between a lawyer's responsibilities to clients, to the legal system, and to the lawyer's own interests. The Rules of Professional Conduct resolve such conflicts. The Rules, however, cannot address every conflict that may arise. These standards honor the spirit of the Rules by balancing a lawyer's obligation to protect and pursue a client's legitimate interests zealously, within the bounds of the law, while maintaining a professional, courteous, and civil attitude toward all persons in the legal system.

The Court expects lawyers to commit to the spirit and letter of these standards, affirming that these guidelines do not denigrate the lawyer's duty of zealous representation. Law schools and continuing legal education courses should incorporate these standards when teaching professionalism to law students and practicing lawyers alike. Lawyers should make copies available to clients and adjudicators should reinforce these standards in the courtroom to reinforce our obligation to maintain and foster these standards and to make it clear that incivility may hurt the client's case.

## **CREED**

1. We will strive to find harmony in our responsibilities as a representative of clients, as officers of the legal system, and as public citizens.
2. We will treat all participants of the legal system in a civil and courteous manner, not only in court, but also in all other written and oral communications.
3. We will never permit zealous advocacy to carry us beyond sobriety and decorum to disparaging personal remarks or acrimony.
4. We will demonstrate civility, professional integrity, personal dignity, respect, courtesy, and cooperation because they are essential to the fair administration of justice and conflict resolution.
5. We will not encourage or knowingly authorize any person under our control to engage in uncivil conduct.
6. We will not, absent good cause, attribute bad motives or improper conduct to other counsel or bring the profession into disrepute by unfounded accusations of impropriety.
7. We will avoid ex parte communications with the court or tribunal, including the judge's staff, on pending matters, except when permitted by law.
8. Honesty and fair dealing are integral components of civility. We will adhere to promises and agreements fairly reached, whether orally or in writing, in good faith. When reiterating oral promises or agreements in writing, we will fairly, completely, and in good faith, restate all elements of the parties' oral agreement.
9. We will confer early with other counsel to assess settlement possibilities. We will not falsely hold out the possibility of settlement to adjourn discovery or to delay trial.
10. We will stipulate to undisputed matters unless we have a good-faith basis not to stipulate.
11. We will try in good faith to resolve our objections with opposing counsel.
12. We will not time the filing or service of motions or pleadings in any way that unfairly limits another party's opportunity to respond.
13. We will not request an extension of time without just cause.
14. We will consult other counsel regarding scheduling matters in a good-faith effort to avoid scheduling conflicts.
15. We will endeavor to accommodate previously scheduled dates for hearings, depositions, meetings, conferences, vacations, seminars, or other functions of other counsel.
16. We will explain to our clients that cooperation is the professional norm. We will explain how procedural agreements do not compromise the clients' interests.
17. We will draft document requests and interrogatories without placing an undue burden or expense on any party.
18. We will ensure that our clients respond to document requests and interrogatories without strained interpretation. We will not produce documents or answer interrogatories in a manner designed to hide or obscure the existence of documents or information.
19. We will be punctual and prepared for all Court appearances so that all hearings, conferences, and trials may commence on time.
20. We will not engage in conduct that brings disorder or disruption to the legal proceeding. We will advise our clients and witnesses of the proper conduct expected and, to the best of our ability, prevent our clients and witnesses from creating disorder or disruption.

I, John M. Wilson, do hereby swear/affirm under penalty of perjury that the assertions of this application and the following statements are true:

- 1) That I am the Petitioner in the above entitled matter.
- 2) That I have read Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 42 and meet all requirements contained therein, including, without limitation, the requirements set forth in SCR 42(2), as follows:

(A) I am not a member of the State Bar of Nevada;

(B) I am not a resident of the State of Nevada;

(C) I am not regularly employed as a lawyer in the State of Nevada;

(D) I am not engaged in substantial business, professional, or other activities in the State of Nevada;

(E) I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the bar of any jurisdiction of the United States; and

(F) I have associated a lawyer who is an active member in good standing of the State Bar of Nevada as counsel of record in this action or proceeding.

- 3) That I have read the foregoing application and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my own knowledge except as to those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to the matter I believe them to be true.

That I further certify that I am subject to the jurisdiction of the Courts and disciplinary boards of this state with respect to the law of this state governing the conduct of attorneys to the same extent as a member of the State Bar of Nevada; that I understand and shall comply with the standards of professional conduct required by members of the State Bar of Nevada; and that I am subject to the disciplinary jurisdiction to the State Bar of Nevada with respect to any of my actions occurring in the course of such appearance.



DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of May, 20 23

[Signature]  
Petitioner/Affiant (blue ink)

STATE OF California )  
COUNTY OF San Diego ) ss

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 16<sup>th</sup> day of May, 20 23  
[Signature]  
Notary Public



## DESIGNATION, CERTIFICATION AND CONSENT OF NEVADA COUNSEL

SCR 42(14) Responsibilities of Nevada attorney of record.

(a) The Nevada attorney of record shall be responsible for and actively participate in the representation of a client in any proceeding that is subject to this rule.

(b) The Nevada attorney of record shall be present at all motions, pre-trials, or any matters in open court unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(c) The Nevada attorney of record shall be responsible to the court, arbitrator, mediator, or administrative agency or governmental body for the administration of any proceeding that is subject to this rule and for compliance with all state and local rules of practice. It is the responsibility of Nevada counsel to ensure that the proceeding is tried and managed in accordance with all applicable Nevada procedural and ethical rules.

I Robert L. Eisenberg, Esq. hereby agree to associate with Petitioner referenced  
Print NV Counsel name

hereinabove and further agree to perform all of the duties and responsibilities as required by Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42.

DATED this 25 day of May, 2023

Nevada Counsel of Record (blue ink)

Robert L. Eisenberg

STATE OF Nevada )  
 ) ss  
COUNTY OF Washoe )

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 25 day of May, 2023

Margie M. Nevin  
Notary Public



# **ATTACHMENT A**

<b>Date of Application</b>	<b>Cause</b>	<b>Title of Court, Administrative Body or Arbitrator</b>	<b>Was Application Granted or Denied?</b>
2/15/2018	Operating Engineers Construction Industry and Miscellaneous Pension Fund, Plaintiff(s) vs. Steven Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-769630-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
2/22/2018	Erste-Sparinvest Kapitalanlagegesellschaft M.B.H., Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-770222-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
2/22/2018	Thomas DiNapoli, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-770013-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
3/15/2018	Insulators and Asbestos Workers Local No. 14 Pension and Health & Welfare Funds, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-771162-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
4/18/2018	C Rogers, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-773024-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
4/20/2018	Patrick Martz, Plaintiff(s) vs. Switch Inc, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-773212-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
7/10/2018	Alvogen Inc, Plaintiff(s) vs. Nevada State of Department of Corrections, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-777312-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
9/7/2018	Nevada State Court for Alvogen, Inc, v. Nevada State Department of Corrections	Clark County	Granted
11/27/2018	Robert Bannister, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-785076-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
12/07/2018	Lana Talamas, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-785733-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted

<b>Date of Application</b>	<b>Cause</b>	<b>Title of Court, Administrative Body or Arbitrator</b>	<b>Was Application Granted or Denied?</b>
12/10/2018	Howard Meadows, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-785771-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
12/12/2018	Joe Tillotson, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-786074-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
12/12/2018	Larry Clerks, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-785970-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
12/12/2018	Gerald Donigian, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-785942-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
12/17/2018	John Kalish, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-786149-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
12/28/218	Rodney John, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-18-786696-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
01/07/2019	Lawrence Weiner, Plaintiff(s) vs. Stephen Wynn, Defendant(s), Case No. A-19-787070-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
03/04/2019	Jasvinder Kandola, Plaintiff(s) vs. John Lawrie, Defendant(s), Case No. A-19-790378-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
03/19/2019	Alison Sherman, Plaintiff(s) vs. J. Lawrie, Defendant(s), Case No. A-19-791417-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
03/19/2019	Marilyn Clark, Plaintiff(s) vs. J. Lawrie, Defendant(s), Case No. A-19-791410-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
10/01/2019	August Cardella, Plaintiff(s) vs. J Michael Lawrie, Defendant(s), Case No. A-19-802880-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted

<b>Date of Application</b>	<b>Cause</b>	<b>Title of Court, Administrative Body or Arbitrator</b>	<b>Was Application Granted or Denied?</b>
08/25/2020	Toptal, LLC v. Denis Grosz	2nd Judicial District of Washoe County	Granted
08/25/2020	Toptal, LLC v. Denis Grosz	2nd Judicial District of Washoe County	Granted
08/25/2020	Toptal, LLC v. Denis Grosz	2nd Judicial District of Washoe County	Granted
08/25/2020	Toptal, LLC v. Denis Grosz	2nd Judicial District of Washoe County	Granted
09/03/2021	Caesars Entertainment, Inc., Plaintiff(s) vs. ACE American Insurance Company, Defendant(s), Case No. A-21-831477-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
09/03/2021	Caesars Entertainment, Inc., Plaintiff(s) vs. ACE American Insurance Company, Defendant(s), Case No. A-21-831477-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
09/03/2021	Caesars Entertainment, Inc., Plaintiff(s) vs. ACE American Insurance Company, Defendant(s), Case No. A-21-831477-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
09/03/2021	Caesars Entertainment, Inc., Plaintiff(s) vs. ACE American Insurance Company, Defendant(s), Case No. A-21-831477-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
09/03/2021	Caesars Entertainment, Inc., Plaintiff(s) vs. ACE American Insurance Company, Defendant(s), Case No. A-21-831477-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
09/03/2021	Caesars Entertainment, Inc., Plaintiff(s) vs. ACE American Insurance Company, Defendant(s), Case No. A-21-831477-B	District Court, Clark County, Nevada	Granted
10/17/2022	Starr Surplus Lines Insurance Co. (Petitioner) vs. The Eighth Judicial District Court (Respondents); JGB Vegas Retail Lessee, LLC (Real Party in Interest), Case No. 84986	Supreme Court of Nevada	Granted

# THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA CERTIFICATE OF STANDING

May 22, 2023

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This is to certify that according to the records of the State Bar, JOHN MICHAEL WILSON, #229484 was admitted to the practice of law in this state by the Supreme Court of California on December 14, 2003 and has been since that date, and is at date hereof, an ACTIVE licensee of the State Bar of California; and that no recommendation for discipline for professional or other misconduct has ever been made by the Board of Trustees or a Disciplinary Board to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA



Vicky Avila  
Custodian of Records