1			
2	INDEX OF PLEA	<u>DINGS</u>	
3	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL. NO.
4	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTORNEY JOHN E. MALONE IN SUPPORT		
5	OF THE REPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE		
6	(Filed May 26'21)	531-536	VOL. 4
7	AMENDED NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER (Filed Oct 22'21)	709-713	VOL. 5
8 9	ANSWER TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS		
10	(POST-CONVICTION) (Filed Sep 15'21)	650-670	VOL. 5
11			
12	(ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CHANGE VENUE)		
13	(Filed Dec 16'21)	618-619	VOL. 5
14	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (Filed Oct 28'21)	714-715	VOL. 5
15			.02.
16	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (ORDER DENYING MOTION TO		
17	HOLD JOHN MALONE IN CONTEMPT) (Filed Dec 16'21)	614-615	VOL. 5
18	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (ORDER DENYING PEREMPTORY		
19	CHALLENGE) (Filed Dec 16'21)	612-613	VOL. 5
20		012-013	VOII. 3
21	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (ORDER DENYING MOTION TO		:.
22	VACATE SENTENCING) (Filed Dec 16'21)	616-617	VOL. 5
23	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT		
24	(Filed Nov 15'21)	602-603	VOL. 4
25	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT (Filed Nov 06'18)	487-490	VOL. 3
26	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
27	(Filed Oct 15'21)	570	VOL. 4
28			•

ı				
1	INDEX OF PLEADIN	'G <u>S</u>		
2 3	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL.	NO.
4	CLERK'S CERTIFICATE (Filed Apr 17'20)	511	VOL.	4
5	CLERK'S CERTIFICATE (Filed Jan 03'22)	624	VOL.	-
6 7	DEFENDANT'S SUBMISSION OF		VOII.	5
8	DOCUMENTATION IN MITIGATION OF SENTENCING (Filed Oct 04'18)	373-379	VOÏ.	š
9	DISCLOSURE	325-326	VOL.	2
11	<u> </u>	323-326	VOL.	3
12	REQUEST FOR PAYMENT (Filed Sep 17'19)	496-498	VOL.	4
13	EXPARTE MOTION FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUTATION AND FEES	••		
14 15	(Filed Aug 30'18)	294-296	VOL.	
16	GUILTY PLEA ÄGREEMENT (Filed Jun 04'18)	69-74	VOL.	
17	INFORMATION (Filed May 29'18)	64-68	VOL.	1
18	JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION			
19 20	(Filed Oct 10'18)  MOTION TO STRIKE	381-382	VOL.	
21	THE STATE AS SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND ATTACHED	. ,		1
22	EXHIBITS (SEALED) (Filèd Sep 06'18)	301-310	VOL.	2
23	MOTION TO HOLD-JOHN MALONE IN CONTEMPT/SHOW CAUSE			
25	(Filed Oct 28'21)	577-588	VOL.	4
26	in the state of th		•	ž.
27	en general de la companya de la comp		2 f E	
28			· ·.	
		La de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la c	:	

	,		
1	INDEX OF PLEA	DINGS	
2	DESCRIPTION ·		VOI NO
3	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL. NO.
4	MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD OR		
5	IN THE ALTERNATIVE, REQUEST FOR RECORDS/COURT CASE		
6	DOCUMENTS (Filed Apr 29'20)	515-519	VOL. 4
7 8	MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL (Filed Aug 31'18)	298-299	VOL. 2
9	MOTION TO SUBMIT FOR DECISION (JUVENILE COURT		
10 11	RECORDS) (Filed Sep 27'21)	558-559	VOL. 4
12	MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE (Filed Oct 20'21)	574-576	VOL. 4
13 14	MOTION TO COMPEL (Filed Apr 23'21)	522-526	VOL: 4
15	MOTION FOR COURT APPOINTED FEES WITH AFFIDAVIT IN		
16 17	SUPPORT THEREOF (Filed Oct 03'18)	370-372	VOL. 3
18	MOTION TO HOLD JOHN MALONE IN CONTEMPT		
19	(Filed Aug 16'21)	546-549	VOL. 4
20	MOTION TO SUBMIT FOR DECISION (Filed Sep 27'21)	FF6 FF7	NOT 4
21		556-557	VOL. 4
22	MOTION FOR COURT APPOINTED FEES WITH AFFIDAVIT IN	٠,	
23	SUPPORT THEREOF (Filed Feb 13'19)	492-494	VOL. 4
24	MOTION FOR COURT APPOINTED FEES WITH AFFIDAVIT IN		•
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	SUPPORT THEREOF	88-90	VOL. 1
27	(Filed Jul 12'18)		
28			

٠,٠,٠

.

	INDEX OF PLEADINGS		
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL. NO.
3		11100 110.	<u>von.</u> 110.
4	MOTION TO VACATE ILLEGAL SENTENCE		
5	(Filed Oct 12'21)	560-563	VOL. 4
6	MOTION TO FILE SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND/OR EXHIBITS		
7	UNDER SEAL	• .	
8	(Filed Aug 28'18)	291-292	VOL. 2
	MOTION FOR MODIFICATION		
9	OF SENTENCE (Filed Jul 28'21)	539-543	VOL. 4
10	MOTION		
11	(Filed Oct 18'19)	500-502	VOL. 4
12	MOTION TO HOLD JOHN MALONE		
13	IN CONTEMPT (Filed Jan 07'22)	628	VOL. 5
14	and the second		
15	MOTION TO WITHDRAWAL AS COUNSEL		
	(Filed Jun 07'18)	75-78	VOL. 1
16	MOTION FOR ORDER FOR COMPLETE	·	
17	DOCKET SHEET (Filed Sep 23'21)	672-673	VOL. 5
18	MOTION FOR ORDER FOR		*
19	COMPLETE DOCKET SHEET		<b>*</b> •
20	(Filed Sep 23'21)	550-551	VOL. 4
21	NOTICE OF APPEAL (Filed Oct 21'21)	705-706	, "
22	(Filed Oct 21-21)		VOL. 5
	NOTICE OF APPEAL (Filed Oct 28'21)	716-717	VOL. 5
23		,10 ,1,	.02. 3
24	NOTICE OF APPEAL (Filed Nov 18'21)	607	VOL. 4
25	NOTICE OF TRANSFER TO COURT		
26	OF APPEALS (SUPREME COURT)	505 ···	Tror "
27	(Filed Dec 16'19)	505	VOL. 4
28			
	and the second of the second o	- · ·	٠.

INDEX	OF	PLEADINGS
-------	----	-----------

. : •

	<u>INDEX OF PLEADINGS</u>				
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL.	NO	
3		1100	<u></u>	110.	
4	NOTICE OF APPEAL (Filed Nov 06'18)	485-486	VOL.	3	
5	NOTICE OF APPEAL	•	••		
6	(Filed Oct 28'21)	589	VOL.	4	
7	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER (Filed Oct 22'21)	707-708	VOL.	5	
8		707-700	· .		
9	NOTICE OF APPEAL (Filed Nov 18'21)	609	VOL.	4	
10	NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANCE BY STATE				
11	OF NEVADA. REQUEST TO GRANT HABEAS (Filed Oct 20'21)	702-704	VOL.	5	
12	NOTICE OF APPEAL				
13	(Filed Nov 18'21)	608	VOL.	4	
14	NOTICE OF APPEAL				
15	(Filed Nov 18'21)	606	VOL.	4	
16	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE (Filed Aug 20'21)	643-644	VOL.	5	
17	NOTICE OF COUNSEL (Filed Aug 19'21)	640-642	VOL.		
18	NOTICE OF JUDGE	•			
19	DISQUALIFICATION (SUPREME COURT)				
20	(Filed Mar 19:20)	506	VOL.	4	
21	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO				
22	VACATE ILLEGAL SENTENCE (Filed Oct 20'21)	571-573	VOL.	4	
23	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO			-	
24	STRIKE THE STATE'S SENTENCING				
25	MEMORANDUM AND ATTACHED EXHIBITS (Filed Sep 07'18)	311-315	VOL.	3	
26	ORDER (SEALED)		•		
	(Filed Sep 13'18)	317-324	VOL.	3	
27		•			
28					

:

TMDEX	$\cap$	PLEADINGS
TINDEV	U.S	PHEADINGS

	<u>INDEX OF PLEADINGS</u>			
2				
	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL.	NO.
3		* *, *		
4	ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE			
7	(SUPREME COURT)			
5	(Filed Apr 17'20)	512-514	VOL.	4
	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO			
6	VACATE SENTENCE			
7	(Filed Nov 10'21)	595-597	VOL.	4
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			-
8	ORDER SEALING SENTENCING		•	
	MEMORANDUM AND EXHIBITS			
9	(Filed Aug 29'18)	293	VOL.	2
10	OPPER DENUTNG DEDEMORORY			
10	ORDER DENYING PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE			
11	(Filed Nov 10'21)	600-601	VOL.	4
	(			
12	ORDER CONCERNING BAIL	•		
13	(Filed Jun 18'18)	-82-83	VOL.	1
15				, ,
14	ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE (Filed Mar 20'20)	507-509	VOL.	-
	(Filed Mar 20 20)	307-303	VOL.	-
15	ORDÉR DENYING MOTION			
16	(Filed Nov 19'19)	503-504	VOL.	4
10				
17	ORDER SETTING HEARING			_
10	(Filed Jun 22 18)	84	VOL.	1
18	ORDER FOR PAYMENT	•		•
19	(Filed Oct 04'19)	499	VOL.	4
20	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE			ē
21	(Filed May 19'21)	527-528	VOL.	4
21				
22	ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF	* 1.1 F. 1.		,
	ATTORNEY'S FEES (Filed Oct 09'18)	380	VOL.	3
23	The second of th			
24	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO	•		
۲-	HOLD COUNSEL IN CONTEMPT	1		
25	AND DIRECTING COUNSEL TO	ar i sa yiyar	11	
3.	RÉSENT PORTIONS OF CASE FILE	592-594	VOL.	4
26	(Filed Nov 10'21)	JJ4-3J <del>4</del>	٧ОЦ.	<b>-</b>
27	A Commence of the Commence of		1	¢
i				
28				
	. 4.性 (1.4. ) \$ \$ *** (1.4. ) \$ \$ *** (1.4. ) \$ \$ *** (1.4. ) \$ *** (1.		•	

1	INDEX OF PLEADI	MGG	
2	TINDEY OF PHEAD!	<u> 1195</u>	
3	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL. NO.
4	ORDER TO FILE UNDER SEAL (Filed Sep 05'18)	300	VOL. Ž
5 6	ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY FEES		
7	(Filed Feb 15'19)	495	VÓL. 4
8	ORDER SETTING HEARING (Filed Jul 10'18)	87	VOL. 1
9	ORDER AND COMMITMENT (Filed May 29'18)	10-63 <sup>1</sup>	VOL. 1
10	ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF		
11	ATTORNEY FEES		
12	(Filed Aug 14'18)	128	VOL. 1
13	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL, VACATING OSC HEARING	٠.	
14	(Filed May 28'21)	537-538	VOL. 4
15	ORDER SETTING HEARING (Filed Sep 10'18)	316	VOL. 3
16			
17	ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS	••	
18	(SUPREME COURT) (Filed Dec 07'21)	610-611	VOL. 5
19	ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL		
20	(MALONE) (Filed Jun 13'18)	80-81	VOL. 1
21	ORDER CONCERNING BAIL	05.06	17OT 1
22	(Filed Jul 09'18)	85-86	VOL. 1
23	ORDER DISCHARGING COUNSEL AND ORDERING COUNSEL TO		•
24	PROVIDE DEFENDANT WITH CASE		
25	(Filed May 21'20)	520-521	VOL. 4
26	· ;·		
27			
28			

.

1					
2	<u>INDEX OF PLEADINGS</u>				
3	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL. NO.		
4	ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR COMPLETE DOCKET SHEET				
5	(Filed Oct 15'21)	564-565	VOL. 4		
6	ORDER DENYING WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	•• .			
7	(Filed Oct 19'21)	699-701	VOL. 5		
8	ORDER DENYING PETITION (SUPREME COURT)		ada,		
9	·	697-698	VOL. 5		
10	ORDER DENYING POST CONVICTION PETITION REQUESTING GENETIC				
11	MARKER ANALYSIS (Filed Oct 15'21)	676-677	VOL.5		
12	ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR		VOII. 5		
13	COMPLETE DOCKET SHEET (Filed Oct 15'21)	674-675	VOL: 5		
14		644-675"	VOE . 5		
15	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF SENTENCE	566-567	VOL. 4		
16	(Filed Oct 15'21)	566-567	VOL. 4		
17	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO HOLD JOHN MALONE IN CONTEMPT	568-569	VOL. 4		
18	(Filed Oct 15'21)	568-569	VOL. 4		
19	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CHANGE VENUE				
20	(Filed Nov 10'21)	598-599	VOL. 4		
21	ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE MOTION FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL				
22	EVALUATION AND FEES (Filed Aug 31 18)	297	VOL. 2		
23	ORDER				
24	(Filed Aug 12'21)	544-545	VOL. 4		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	ORDER (Filed Aug 12'21)	638-639	VOL. 5		
	(Fried Adg 12 21)	;	VOD. 3		
27	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••			
28					
			•		
ļ	egistisk i en visk etter optionet i en treet i en verske en verske en verske en verske en verske en verske en De gjorden for treet i en verske en vers				

	,		
1	INDEX OF PLEAD	INGS	
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	<u>VOL. N</u> O.
3	ORDER DIRECTING TRANSMISSION		
4	OF RECORD AND REGARDING BRIEFING		
5	(SUPREME COURT) (Filed Jan 12 22)	629-630	VOL. 5
6	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO		
7	WITHDRAWAL AS COUNSEL (Filed Jun 12'18)	79	VOL. 1
8	ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS		
9	(SUPREME COURT) (Filed Jan 03'22)	626-267	⊕(* <b>VOL.</b> 5
10	PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE TO		
11	JUDGE (Filed Nov 08'21)	590-591	NOT 4
12		290-291	VOL. 4
13	PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS (Filed Oct 15'21)	678-696	VOL. 5
14	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	· . ·	·
15	(POST CONVICTION) (Filed Aug 09'21)	631-637	VOL. 5
16	POSTCONVICTION PETITION REQUESTING		
17	A GENETIC MARKER ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE WITHIN THE POSSESSION OR		
18			
19	(Filed Aug 26'21)	645-649	VOL. 5
20	PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION	-	
21	REPORT (Filed Aug 27'18)	1-9	VOL. 1
22	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS		
23	(SUPREME COURT) (Filed Nov 16'21)	604	VOL. 4
24	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS		
25	(SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 83957) (Filed Dec 23'21)	623	VOL. 5
26		• :	-
27			
28			

\* \* \*

. .

.

I		INDEX OF PLEADING	<u>GS</u>		
2 3	DESCRIPTION		PAGE NO.	VOL.	NO.
4 5	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS (SUPREME COURT CASE NO. (Filed Dec 23'21)	83954)	620	VOL.	5
6 7	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS (SUPREME COURT CASE NO. (Filed Dec 23'21)	83956)	622	VOL.	5
8 9	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS (SUPREME COURT CASE NO. (Filed Dec 23'21)	89355)	621	VOL.	5
10 11	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS (SUPREME COURT) (Filed Nov 19'18)		491	VOL.	3
12	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS (SUPREME COURT)	Takan ta arawa at ar	· ·		
13 14	(Filed Sep 20'21) RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS		671 	VOL.	5 .
15 16	(SUPREME COURT) (Filed Nov 17'21)	gent o	605	VOL.	
17	REMITTITUR (Filed Apr 17'20)	,	510	VOL.	4
18 19	REMITTITUR (Filed Jan 03'22)		625	VOL.	<u>.</u> 5
20 21	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF PLEADING (Filed Sep 23'21)		 552-553	VOL.	
22	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF PLEADING				;
23	(Filed Sep 23'21)		554-555	VOL.	4
24 25	REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (Filed Nov 06'18)		482-484	VOL.	3
26				\	-
27		•	s		
28	en de la companya de La companya de la co		'		
					;
IJ	$T_{ij} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}^{k_i}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{ij} = T_{ij} $				

1	INDEX OF PLEADING	<u>3S</u>		
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.	VOL.	NO.
3	RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW			
4	CAUSE (Filed May 26'21)	529-530	ΫΟL.	4
5		329-330	VOL.	4
6	SENTENCING MEMORANDUM (SEALED) (Filed Aug 28'18)	129-290	ЙОГ.	2
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		•	
8	(SENTENCING HEARING 7/9/18) (Filed Jul 27'18)	103-127	VOL.	'n
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	÷	
10	(SENTENCING 9/10/18) (Filed Sep 25'18)	327-369	VOL.	2
11	-	321-309	VOL.	3
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (SENTENCING 10/8/18)			
13		383-481	VOL.	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (ARRAIGNMENT 6/18/18)	*	*	•
15	(Filed Jul 13'18)	91-102	VOL.	1
16		A Section 2	٠٠,	
17		_		
18			• •	
19				
20			• •	
21		٠		
22				
23				
24			:	
25				
26			÷	
27				
28				

Douglas County District Attorney Post Office Box 218 Minden, Nevada 89423 (775) 782-9800 Fax (775) 440-4403

## RECEIVED

SEP - 7 2018

Dept No. II

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

-11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Douglas County Dougla Court Clerk

This document does not contain personal information of any person

Case No. 18-CR-0116/18-CR-0084

FILED

2010 SEP -7 AM 9: 49

BOODIE'R. WILLIAMS CLERK!

BY MOIL XINTERTY

IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE THE STATE'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND ATTACHED EXHIBITS

MICHAEL LUIS COTA JR.

Defendant.

The State of Nevada, by and through Matthew Johnson, Deputy District Attorney, of the Douglas County District Attorney's Office, opposes defendant Michael Luis Cota Jr.'s (Cota) motion to strike its sentencing memorandum and attached exhibits.

## **ARGUMENT**

Cota has failed to demonstrate that this Court should strike any portion of the State's sentencing memorandum or the documents attached thereto. This Court granted the State's motion to seal the State's sentencing memorandum and the attached records. Therefore, any juvenile justice information contained in those records and governed by NRS 62H.025(1) remains confidential. This Court is not restricted from considering any reliable and relevant evidence at the time of sentencing, NRS 176.015, and its exercise of discretion at sentencing will not be reversed unless its sentencing decision is supported solely by impalpable and highly suspect evidence. *Denson v. State*, 112 Nev. 489, 492, 915 P.2d 284, 286 (1996). "Few

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

limitations are imposed on a judge's right to consider evidence in imposing a sentence, and courts are generally free to consider information extraneous to the presentencing report." Denson, 112 Nev. at 492, 915 at 286. "Possession of the fullest information possible concerning a defendant's life and characteristics is essential to the sentencing judge's task of determining the type and extent of punishment." Id. "Further, a sentencing proceeding is not a second trial, and the court is privileged to consider facts and circumstances that would not be admissible at trial." Id.; see also NRS 47.020(3)(c) (explaining the scope of Title 4 of NRS with respect to sentencing).

Cota's juvenile record is relevant to his character, revealing a pattern of escalating violent behavior. See Johnson v. State, 122 Nev. 1344, 1354, 148 P.3d 767, 774 (2006) (explaining that defendant's juvenile record revealing a escalating pattern of violent behavior has significant probative value showing not only his propensity for violence and gang involvement but also his amenability to rehabilitation). Police reports and other documents like those attached to the State's sentencing memorandum are not impalpable or highly suspect evidence. See Silks v. State, 92 Nev. 91, 94 n.2, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 n.2 (1976) ("(W)e believe that other criminal conduct may properly be considered, even though the defendant was never charged with it or convicted of it. Its relevance . . . is apparent.").

This Court is not precluded from considering hearsay at sentencing as Cota contends. NRS 47.020(3)(c); Cf. Memorandum at 5. The attached documents are highly relevant to Cota's character and directly relate to the likelihood that he will commit future acts of violence and his suitability for probation. Nevada courts routinely consider acts and/or convictions that occurred less than nine years ago as part of their sentencing determinations. The fact that Cota's acts occurred when he was a juvenile does not prohibit this Court from considering them. The Nevada legislature had this is mind when they authorized this Court to inspect even sealed juvenile records of a person who is less than 21 years of age for the purposes of sentencing. See NRS 62H.170(3). In this case Cota's records have not been sealed and he is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Contrary to Cota's claim, the State did not include Cota's "complete juvenile record" as part of his sentencing memorandum. Memorandum at 2.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

nineteen years old. Cota has failed to demonstrate that the sentencing memorandum and exhibits are "made up of highly suspect and untrustworthy allegations and claims." Memorandum at 2.

Exhibit 8 is not protected by the psychologist-patient privilege. NRS 49.209. The privilege, of course, only protects communications between the psychologist and the patient and the report only appears to contain a single sentence that discloses the actual communications between Cota and a psychologist at all, rather than some other source. See Exhibit 8 at 2, paragraph 3. That psychologist is not the author of Exhibit 8 but one who had evaluated him previously. Cota has failed to demonstrate that the communication is "confidential" within the meaning of NRS 49.207. The previous communication was part of a psychological evaluation that was done while he was under the supervision of the juvenile court and Cota fails to demonstrate that he did not intend it to be disclosed to a third person as part of his supervision. Cota also waived any privilege he could have asserted with respect to communications in the report by failing to object during the more than five years that have elapsed between when the evaluation, which included the communication(s), was provided to his juvenile probation officer, the district attorney's office, and the Juvenile Division of the Ninth Judicial District Court, and when the State attached it to its sentencing memorandum for this Court's review. In the event that this Court determines that any communication in the evaluation is privileged under NRS 49.207, and Cota can still assert his privilege, this Court can certainly strike the sentence or sentences containing those communications and still consider the rest of the evaluation.

A violation of NRS 62H.025 is not grounds for striking the State's memorandum or the attached exhibits. This Court has been given the authority by the Nevada Legislature to inspect any juvenile record of a person like Cota who is less than 21 years of age for the purposes of sentencing even when that record is sealed. NRS 62H.170(3). This includes records that are not in possession of the juvenile court. See NRS 62H.100 (defining records). It can certainly consider the unsealed records of Cota that were attached as exhibits to the State's sentencing memorandum.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The State did not violate any subsection of NRS 62H.025 including subsection 5. First, a violation of NRS 62H.025(5) only occurs when a person is "provided with juvenile justice" information pursuant to [NRS 62H.025]." (Emphasis added). Exhibits 1-13 could not have been released to the State "pursuant to [NRS 62H.025]." NRS 62H.025(5). At the time the State obtained Exhibits 1-13, NRS 62H.025 only governed "written request[s]," for juvenile justice information. 2013 Nev. Stats. ch. 155, at 519 amended on July 1, 2015 by 2015 Nev. Stats. ch. 297, at 1495. No written request was made to obtain Exhibits 1-13. Only after those exhibits were obtained was the written request requirement dropped by the Nevada legislature. *Id.* Therefore, even if Exhibits 1-13 contain "juvenile justice information" within the meaning of NRS 62H.025(6)(b), they were not "provided [to the State] pursuant to [NRS 62H.025]," and subsection 5 is inapplicable to those exhibits. Second, NRS 62H.025 only governs the release of juvenile justice information by a juvenile justice agency. Most of the documents obtained by the State were not provided by a "juvenile justice agency." Exhibits 1-6, 10-12, and 14-16 were released by the Douglas County Sheriff's Office, not a juvenile justice agency. NRS 62H.025 did not even exist when Exhibits 1 to 7 were obtained by the State. Because Cota fails to demonstrate that any document attached to the State's sentencing memorandum was provided to the State "pursuant to [NRS 62H.025]," his allegation that the State violated any subsection of NRS 62H.025 is meritless. NRS 62H.025(5).

This Court should not strike any portion of the State's sentencing memorandum and the attached exhibits. Cota's motion should be denied.

DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of September, 2018.

MARK B. JACKSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY

MATTHEW-JOHNSON **Deputy District Attorney** 

P.O. Box 218

Minden, Nevada 89423

(775) 782-9800

1	Case No. 18-CR-0084/18-CR-0116		
2	Dept. No. II RECEIVED 2019 SEP 10 (11 02 03		
3	SEP 1 0 2018 #0550 #0 50 F0		
4	Douglas County District Court Clerk		
5			
6	IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS		
8			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	vs. ORDER SETTING HEARING		
12	MICHAEL L. COTA,		
13	Defendant.		
14	/		
15	The above-entitled matter is set for:		
16	(XX) Continued Sentencing Hearing		
17	TO COMMENCE on Monday, October 8, 2018 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.		
18	DATED this /of day of September, 2018.		
19			
20	THOMAS W. CREGORY		
21	DISTRICT JUDGE		
22	Copies served by hand delivery/mail on September 101, 2018,		
23	addressed to: Douglas County District Attorney's Office (Hand Delivery), John Malone, Esq., 209 North Pratt Street, Carson City,		
24	Nevada 89701 (Mail); Douglas County Jail (Hand Delivery):		
25	Division of Parole and Probation (Hand Delivery)		
26			
27	Erin C. Plante		
28	Erin C. Plante		

THOMAS W. GREGORY
DISTRICT JUDGE
NINTH JUDICIAL,
DISTRICT COURT
P.O. BOX 218
MINDEN, NV 89423

1 ·

FILED 1 Case No. 18-CR-0116/18-CR-0084 2 2010 SEP 13 AM 10: 21 Dept. No. II RECEIVED 3 BORSIER WILLIAMS SEP 13 2018 4 Douglas County Emini Court Clerk 5 6 IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, 11 vs. DISCLOSURE 12 MICHAEL LUIS COTA JR., 13 Defendant. 14 15 Both of these criminal matters are scheduled for sentencing. The State recently filed a sentencing memorandum and exhibits 16 17 referencing conduct of Defendant as a juvenile. Some of the referenced conduct occurred during the Court's prior employment 18 with the Douglas County District Attorney's Office. 19 The undersigned does not recollect having prosecuted Defendant for the 20 21 delinquent offenses referred to in the State's exhibits. an abundance of caution, the State is directed to review the 22 23 State's juvenile files to determine what role, if any, the 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 ///

THOMAS W. GREGORY DISTRICT JUDGE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT P.O. BOX 218 MINDEN, NV 89423

28

///

1	undersigned played in those cases and to inform Defendant's
2	counsel of the findings.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	DATED this <u>/3<sup>26</sup></u> day of September, 2018.
5	
6	THOMAS W. GREGORY
7	DISTRICT JUDGE
8	Copies served by hand delivery/mail on September 13 , 2018,
9	addressed to:
10	Douglas County District Attorney's Office (Hand Delivery)
11	P.O. Box 218 Minden, Nevada 89423
12	
13	John Malone, Esq. (Mail) 209 North Pratt Street
14	Carson City, Nevada 89701
15	
16	
17	Erin C. Plante
18	Erin C. Plante
19	
20	•
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	•
26	

THOMAS W. GREGORY
DISTRICT JUDGE
NINTH JUDICIAL
DISTRICT COURT
P.O. BOX 218
MINDEN, NV 89423

•	The state of the s	
1	Case No. 18-CR-00084, 18-CR-00116  Department No. II  ROBRIE D. WILLIAM	
2	Department No. II 2018 SEP 25 PM 1: 14	
3	CLERK Diougi 2010	
4	BY ANOUNTEPUTY COUNTY COM	
5	IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS	
. 7	THE HONORABLE THOMAS W. GREGORY	
8	-000-	
9	STATE OF NEVADA,	
10	Plaintiff,	
11	vs.	
12	MICHAEL L. COTA JR.,	
13	Defendant. )	
14	)	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
16	SENTENCING HEARING	
17	MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2018	
18	MINDEN, NEVADA	
19		
20	For the State: Matthew Johnson,	
21	Deputy District Attorney	
22	For the Defendant: John Malone, Public Defender	
23		
24	Reported by: Michel Loomis, RPR Nevada CCR #228, California CSR #6863	
1	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322	

1	MINDEN, NEVADA, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2018, A.M. SESSION
2	-000-
3	
4	THE COURT: The Court next calls the State of
5	Nevada versus Michael Louis Cota. That there are two cases
6	involving Mr. Cota. They are case numbers 18-CR-00116 and
7	18-CR-00084.
8	Show the appearance of Matthew Johnson on behalf
9	of the State.
10	Good morning, Mr. Johnson.
11	MR. JOHNSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: John Malone is appearing on behalf or
13	the Defendant.
14	Good morning, Mr. Malone.
15	MR. MALONE: Good morning, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: And also appearing in lawful custody
17	is Mr. Cota.
18	Good morning, Mr. Cota.
19	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, sir.
20	THE COURT: We're here today for a sentencing
21	hearing on both of these cases. The Court has received a
22	motion from the Defense to strike the State's sentencing
23	memorandum and its exhibits.
24	The State filed an opposition to that motion, I

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

believe, on the 7th. And I'd like to know if that motion is 1 2 ready to be heard here today. 3 Mr. Malone, were you going to be filing a reply? 4 MR. MALONE: No, Your Honor, we won't be filing a 5 reply, but I think that there are some structural problems with hearing that motion. We have a packed courtroom, 6 7 obviously. 8 In order to address the issues in the courtroom, 9 I would think that we're -- the Defense's position is that the records that are meant to be introduced can't be disseminated, 10 they cannot be -- they're confidential, they can't be released 11 12 and we would just be multiplying the error here. 13 THE COURT: So, the motion precedes going forward with sentencing. Assuming that the motion is decided today, 14 it sounds like you're ready to have the argument today on the 15 16 motion. MR. MALONE: Well, I don't know how we can have 17 18 the argument in an open courtroom. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Johnson, do you have a 20 response to that? MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, my understanding is 21 that this Court sealed the sentencing memorandum and exhibits. 22 As far as the arguments, I don't -- I don't know whether we 23

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

have to discuss anything that is has been sealed by this Court

1	or not. So, I think it would be up to this Court.
2	I think that the opposition and the motion stand
3	on its own. This Court can take it without argument. I'm
4	happy to make argument in addition to what's already been
5	provided on paper.
6	THE COURT: So, Mr. Malone, I think what you're
7	saying, is you in making argument, you would be getting
8	into some of the facts that are sealed documents; is that
9	accurate?
10	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I think I would be
11	dancing on my toes.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. MALONE: In an attempt to work around things.
14	I this is a new situation for me where this kind of
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. MALONE: sentencing memorandum has been
17	attempted to be introduced.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Malone, let me ask you this, if
19	the Court hears argument today and makes a decision on the
20	motion, would you then be prepared to go forward with
21	sentencing today?
22	MR. MALONE: No.
23	THE COURT: What's that?
24	MR. MALONE: No.

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

THE COURT: Why is that?

\_

\_

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, back in August, we did request a psychological evaluation be prepared by Dr. McEllistrem. We then learned, several weeks later, that he felt he had a conflict on that. And so we did file a motion for funds to have a psychological examination prepared by Dr. Piasecki.

It's my understanding that she's scheduled to interview Mr. Cota on the 13th and she would have a report prepared very shortly after that. I think given the exposure presented by the State's sentencing memorandum, the necessity for that evaluation is more important than ever.

THE COURT: All right. What I'm going to do is trail this matter. We have a courtroom full of other folks and other cases. I don't see any reason why we cannot have argument today on the motion, particularly if we stick to the legal aspects, which it primarily is.

It's primarily an argument on the law. Both sides have briefed it. I've had an opportunity to read the briefs. There's really no need to present additional argument, although I'll give you that opportunity to do that. But I believe we can do that in open Court but it's my preference that we get some other cases handled.

MR. MALONE: Thank you.

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

I
I

24

THE COURT: So, we'll go ahead and trail this matter, Mr. Cota, we'll call you back in a few minutes.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

(Proceedings recessed.)

THE COURT: The Court recalls the cases of the State of Nevada versus Michael Louis Cota, Case Number 18-CR-116 and 18-CR-0084.

Show the appearance of Matthew Johnson on behalf of the State. John Malone is appearing on behalf of Mr. Cota. Mr. Cota is appearing here today in lawful custody.

The Court trailed the matter to the end of the calendar so that we could have argument regarding the motion that had been filed by the Defense to strike the State's sentencing memorandum and attached exhibits.

Mr. Malone previously indicated he did not anticipate filing a reply in the case, however, would like to make argument. I'll give the parties an opportunity to do so at this time.

I have fully read and considered the — both the motion and the opposition. Appreciate the efforts made by both counsel in that regard. So, there's really no need to repeat your arguments there. If you'd like to summarize them you may. And if you would like to add any other arguments you may also do that.

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

in the sentencing memorandum.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

19

20

21

22

23

24

However, if this Court would prefer to close the courtroom, we don't have any opposition to that. I don't think it's necessary or required by the law, however, we're not opposed to that if this Court has any doubts about that.

THE COURT: The Court has considered the request to close the courtroom. The request is denied. This is a criminal proceeding, it will be held in open Court.

I understand, I believe Mr. Malone what your position is. There have been — the motion itself — or the sentencing memorandum itself and the documents have been sealed as has your response.

What is left now for the Court's consideration is purely legal argument and I believe there's no reason to close the courtroom for that purpose.

So, Mr. Malone, you may provide the Court with any additional argument you would like at this time.

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, although the sentencing memo is sealed at this point in time, the fact of the matter is that the order — the motion to seal was filed contemporaneously with the sentencing memorandum. The order to seal wasn't signed until sometime later.

So, there was a period of time where those records were open -- open files. Anyone would access them.

Anyone could copy them. Anyone could take those copies out of

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

They could leave them on a bus bench. could post them on the internet. They could do all kinds of 2 3 different things. 4 I think that that situation really illustrates 5 why this sentencing memorandum is out of order and isn't something that this Court should accept. By accepting it, you 6 7 would encourage it to occur in the future, for people that have -- whenever the State wishes to do so. 8 9 One of the things that I want to talk about but I'm reluctant to do in a courtroom where we do have civilian 10 11 witnesses but I think I'm forced to, is there is a psychological report in there dated about five years ago. 12 Court has that document, I believe; correct? 13 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR. MALONE: Okay. It's nine pages long. top of eight of those pages, it lists confidential 16 17 consultation. 18 THE COURT: You can proceed, Mr. Malone. 19 just thumbing through it. 20 MR. MALONE: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: I'm familiar with it. 22 MR. MALONE: So, the front piece does not, in fact, say confidential consultation but in the top right 23 corner of every other page, it does list that. The front

1

24

the courtroom.

The

On the

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

piece does say that the purpose of this evaluation is to help with treatment coordination and discharge planning. a sentencing document. It's not a document that was introduced or the allegations in here did not result in any charges against Mr. Cota. They're allegations and you can't tell from this document when they occurred. They're not They're reports from Nevada that were accessed by a dated. treatment provider in Utah.

The allegations are not examined, they did not result in any charges. How do we know that? We know that by the standard report that the Division did. It lists five different juvenile charges that Mr. Cota was charged with as a juvenile. Not one of them, not one of them includes the factual situations listed in this confidential psychological report or assessment of risk.

And guess what. We know that this is a five-year-old report. Five years ago. Five years ago, in a different state, in a treatment facility that he was sent to, by the Division, for his treatment and for his assessment and in hopes to fix things. And we don't have any other occurrences since then that would implicate this report.

So, what we have a suspect information before the We have a departure from the regular order. regular order is expressed on page 3 of these Presentence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Reports, where it does list juvenile history, which is allowable under the statute.

We're looking at unsealed records and generally, this is what we see. We see a list of charges prepared by the Division, who has access to those reports.

We don't see this. We don't see an inch of documents filed before the Court by the District Attorney, who got them who knows how. I'm assuming they got them out of their own files, which should have been protected. should have been stored and not accessible by people in that office.

So, the fact that they have them is one -- one One thing that Mr. Johnson does and is his argument, issue. is say, well, 62H.102(5) doesn't apply because we didn't get them from a juvenile facility. Well, he's missing the point. The point is not how he got them, how his office got them, how anybody in his office got them, the point is what he did with them.

If you look at 62H.025 it says that the child's attorney can access those documents, be provided them. not the child's attorney. I don't think I should have these. I'm the adult attorney. It's obvious from that -- that statutory scheme, which I'll point out to the Court, has been amended in just about every legislative session in recent

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

memory, that it doesn't allow an adult -- it doesn't specifically allow an adult attorney to have those documents.

I'll concede it does allow a District Court Judge to go and access them. It does, it's not normally done.

I mean, if we had this in every sentencing for anybody under the age of 21 and maybe Mr. Johnson would want to go and unseal records for people over the age of 21 because it's salacious, it's destructive, it's upsetting and it's the sort of thing that are sealed for a reason.

One of the points of the Juvenile Justice System is to take young people, young people that are having problems perhaps, and rehabilitate them before other things happen.

What's interesting to me and what I said on -last week or came to mind was, I have two young clients right One's currently in the Juvenile Justice System. father died when he was ten. And then, we see this bad behavior start. It was basically marijuana possession, things like that.

Mr. Cota's dad, interestingly, was sentenced to prison when he was ten. So, what we have are traumatic events happening to young men who throw them off, who throw them off their center, who, we believe -- by funding the Juvenile Justice System, we believe, we have faith that we can help them, that we can turn them on the right path.

And to do so, what we have is a system that does provide degrees of confidentiality and makes the decision that what we're going to do is put more emphasis on rehabilitation than punishment in the Juvenile System.

One of those aspects is, when you go in — when your Juvenile Probation Officer, when somebody in a treat — in a juvenile facility wants to talk to a psychologist, wants to go and get what is deemed a treatment plan, a coordination discharge summary, for coordination planning, we want those people to — we want those young people to talk to them. We want them to talk to them freely.

We don't want them thinking, gee, you know, what's going to happen later on? When I'm 19 years overruled and I've stolen a firearm from a house and when I've gotten into a fight in the jail with a — with a — with a sheriff's deputy, is this stuff going to be strewn all over the place? Is it going to be accessible by everybody for, at least, a period of time? It's not proper.

I don't think the Court should go down the road of allowing this sort of salacious, damaging and inappropriate material to be put forth in open Court like we're doing right now. I have to do this to go and defend Mr. Cota, which shouldn't be happening. It shouldn't be happening. And when you look at the statutes — the applicable statutes, the first

line, juvenile records are confidential.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This isn't confidential. There's a period of time when they were accessible by anybody. And it should -my motion to strike should be granted. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Malone, I think it -- I have found when the Court makes a decision that really the more information I have the better. The more informed the Court is the better.

You, yourself, in making argument right now, talked about Mr. Cota's upbringing here and with his dad. Those are things, I'm guessing, you know, you would see in those juvenile records. But it seems like what -- you don't want the Court to -- you kind of want to say, Court, you can't consider his upbringing. You can't consider -- and I take it that there might be things in there that you'll argue in favor of him at sentencing or give explanation as to his conduct.

Why do you want to keep that stuff from the I mean, what -- that's the part of your argument I Court? think I struggled with -- the most with. You're purposely wanting to keep information from the Court that would be relevant to sentencing. Maybe some parts of it relevant for what the State's saying, but some also for what Mr. Cota is saying.

In every sentencing hearing we do, we're given a

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

Presentence Report that gives me all kinds of information about a Defendant's background. Why is that? It's because the information is relevant to the Court for the purpose of sentencing.

1.6

So, talk to me a little bit about that. Why are you trying to restrict what the Court can learn about Mr. Cota and his upbringing?

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I don't have access to Mr. Cota's complete juvenile file, nor should I. And the statute prevents it. I did have a conversation with Mr. Johnson when he filed this and when I filed my motion to strike.

One of the things he told me was that this isn't his complete record. This is cherry picking. I don't think that he cherry-picked things that helped my client. I think he cherry-picked the worst. So, what you're not get -- you're not getting information. You're not -- you're getting distorted information by a adverse party at a sentencing argument for a young man. He's still 19. They picked out the worst things they can in his life that they could find in whatever records they have access to.

I am firmly convinced that what he did was just look at his — the juvenile records that he accessed in his file. He has said some things — that he accessed some of

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

them from arrest records in the sheriff's office. 1 shouldn't be accessible by the general public either or by 2 3 anybody. So, I think that you have a good point. respect that point. I think information, if you have -- you 5 stated the more information the better. I think the more 6 information I have about a criminal defendant, the better able I am to make a decision regarding sentencing. You don't have 8 9 that here. You have a biased record. You have a biased 10 record. 11 THE COURT: But don't you get a full opportunity 12 at sentencing, just like the State does, to present the information you want me to hear. Okay. So, you say I'm 13 getting, maybe, one aspect of it. Isn't your job to present 14 15 me with the other aspect? 16 MR. MALONE: I can't. 17 THE COURT: Why not? 18 MR. MALONE: The records are -- the records are not available to me. They!re confidential. 19 20 THE COURT: Have you asked to see the records? 21 Your Honor, I don't believe that I'm MR. MALONE: allowed under the statute. I don't believe I'm allowed under 22 the statute. What -- generally, the more information the 23 better. That's a great general rule. But we have, really, 24

342

Those

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

attention -- or, actually, a real wall between the criminal justice system's access to everything and the desire by the legislature and the rule -- the -- the enactment of laws that keep these records confidential. And there are great reasons to do that. They've made decisions to do that.

The system has changed over the years. It used to be that all Juvenile Justice Court hearings were sealed or private. That's changed. That's changed to allow victim witness testimony, et cetera.

But still, 62H.025 starts out with juvenile -records of Juvenile Justice are confidential. And they're, in
fact, confidential from adult criminal defense attorneys. It
specifically says the child's attorney may have access.

So, absent the rules set forth in 62H, I think that the Court's proposition might have some ability to go forward but given the fact that what the legislature has done is said that these are confidential, I don't think it — I think that you are, in fact, precluded or precluded in open sentencing. The fact of the matter is that 62H.025 does say that a Judge can review them.

So, you are in a -- you're in a zone of safety.

I'm not. I'm not supposed to have these documents.

THE COURT: Is your argument more that the documents shouldn't be known by the public that -- that, what

\_

you just said, made it kind of sound like you would be okay with the Court looking -- looking at the documents, as long as it was the Court only that was looking at the documents.

MR. MALONE: Actually, I think it's more complex than that. I think that, from the way I read the statute, is that if you decided to go and access a juvenile file, you'd be allowed to. I don't believe the District Attorney can. His access to the juvenile records is limited for the purpose of initiating a charge.

So, he has limited access. This is not the initiation of a charge. This is a sentencing. This is information for sentencing. So, it's not an acceptable use of these records under 62H, clearly not.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this also. In — you indicated how the Division, and they've done so here, when they're preparing the presentence report, they get dispositions from the Juvenile Court and they list those things. And so, I have that information, that he has those dispositions in the criminal case.

You're arguing that I shouldn't look at records from those dispositions. It might further help me understand, instead of just seeing an entry for a disposition, the State's trying to give inform — more information about that disposition.

And, again, I'm having a hard time with the idea that I can be told that there is a disposition, but then I have to be precluded from looking at or hearing about -- more information about that disposition.

Do you have any further thoughts on that?

MR. MALONE: I do. The State added much more information than those five different juvenile dispositions.

THE COURT: So, are you okay with -- is it a different argument for the dispositions that the Division has listed in their Presentence Report than an uncharged conduct?

MR. MALONE: No. No, because the statute says that records of Juvenile Justice are confidential and then it lists, as you've seen, numerous — and as we listed, one by one in our brief, we listed every single exception.

So, this is not included in that exception. It's also -- I don't -- I've done thousands and thousands of sentencings. I've never seen this kind of sentencing brief, never. And there's a reason why it's not allowed, it hasn't been allowed and it shouldn't be allowed.

I already made the point that this is cherry-picked by Mr. Johnson's own admission, he cherry-picked things and didn't put in the entire record. That's why you would have something like this. This evaluation is stunning in the way that it condemns Mr. Cota. It — it paints him —

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

well, you've read it. I don't even want to talk about it in Court where we have people in here. This is some of the worst sort of allegation that you would see against another human being or a criminal defendant. That's why it shouldn't be in here.

And the fact of the matter is that is stale.

It's five years old and we don't have anything -- we've never had -- he has never been charged with anything like the allegations that are listed in this report.

THE COURT: Well, isn't it up to the Court, though, at sentencing, to decide what weight to give it. I mean, the fact that it's stale, if that's accurate, that might be a reason for the Court not to give it much weight but that doesn't really go to admissibility.

The other thing is, again, you get full opportunity at sentencing and what you've said a moment ago, is you've asked for funds to hire an expert to evaluate Mr. Cota and to give me a not stale evaluation.

Why shouldn't the State give -- get to give me the information they have and you get to give me the information you have, so I can make a full assessment?

MR. MALONE: Because the statute says that they can't. It says the Juvenile Justice rec -- records of Juvenile Justice adjudications are confidential, period. It

doesn't say you can bring this information in at sentencing and that you can cherry-pick the record and that you can bring psychological records in.

I'm limited even — even though I retained a psychological expert to do a report on Mr. Cota now, as a 19-year-old, before this Court, even though I do that, can I release these? I don't think I can. Those — those are the — it's a Pandora's box that we open up by doing this. Not to mention the fact that it's uncontroverted that these records were disclosed to the public. They had access to it.

Mr. Johnson can't tell you, with candor, that he knows no one accessed them. There ought to be a sanction for that. In fact, the statute actually does list a sanction. It makes it a gross misdemeanor to release this information.

So, we have a comprehensive statute that I think covers everyone that sets forth a program that's supposed to be followed. It wasn't followed here and there's a reason why in over — you know, I know Your Honor has done probably 3,000 sentencings of felony matters or gross misdemeanor matters and you don't see this. There's a reason for that. It's out of the order. It doesn't — it gives the Court information that, really, is in an unprocessed, unproven form. Okay?

Because what we're talking about here are allegations, not criminal convictions. And we're looking at

	ł
1	five different charg
2	what has been the es
3	legislature's statut
4	he's allowed to do t
5	He can't. There's n
6	might that does,
7	Judge's ability to a
8	The cas
9	this point in time.
10	one of those cases,
11	situation in which n
12	is the objection. The
13	The John
14	a three-Judge panel w
15	who had been convicte
16	So, tha
17	established paramete
18	it's a different thin
19	Well, trial counsel :
20	from the existing cas

22

23

24

five different charges. So, we're making a departure from what has been the established order, consistent with the legislature's statute. And if Mr. Johnson can show me where he's allowed to do this under the statute, fine but he can't. He can't. There's no exception here. The only exception that might — that does, arguably, apply is the District Court Judge's ability to access juvenile files.

The case law is not favorable to my position at this point in time. But every one of those cases including one of those cases, the Supreme Court was dealing with a situation in which no objection was made. No objection. This is the objection. This should stop it.

The Johnson case involved a capital offense where a three-Judge panel was debating the sentence for a defendant who had been convicted by a jury.

So, that falls straight under the current, established parameters here, where judges can look at it. But it's a different thing and their trial counsel didn't object. Well, trial counsel is objecting here. So, it's different from the existing case law.

THE COURT: Mr. Malone, thank you.

MR. MALONE: Thank you.

THE COURT: I fully understand your position.

Unrelated but kind of related is when should we

1

2

3

4

5

proceed with sentencing? Whatever I decide here today, I took it from your earlier comments that you wanted to get the evaluation of Mr. Cota accomplished before you proceed to sentencing. Do you have an idea of time frame?

> MR. MALONE: About 30 days from now.

THE COURT: All right. And, of course, I'll hear from Mr. Johnson.

I take it that that's a motion to continue the sentencing; is that accurate?

MR. MALONE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted Mr. Johnson to have a full ability to respond to that as well.

So, Mr. Johnson, if you could start by talking to me, first, about the motion to strike and then give me your thoughts on continuing the trial date so that they can finish the evaluation. Go ahead.

MR. JOHNSON: Sure, Your Honor. First, I just wanted to start with the most important question before this Court which is the center of the motion to strike is can this Court consider any or all of the information that's in the sentencing memorandum and the exhibits attached thereto.

And the law is clear that this Court, as long as it's not highly improbable and highly suspect evidence, it's relevant that this Court has the ability and expressly is

allowed to consider it.

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And, in fact, I don't believe Mr. Malone was trying to say this but there was some talk about sealed None of the records that -- by this Court are sealed. So, none of them are sealed and even if they were, I provided the statute -- the relevant statute NRS 62H.170(3), that until he's up to 21 years old all of these -- all -- the legislature expressly provided for the ability to for this Court to consider those records at sentencing, even if they're sealed.

In this case, they're not sealed, which is even a lower level, but there's nothing wrong and this Court expressly allowed to consider all of those records at sentencing.

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I'll agree that they're not sealed, he's not of the age of 21. They are, in fact, confidential.

> THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Malone.

MR. JOHNSON: As far as the confidentiality, as you know, I filed a motion to seal both the sentencing and the exhibits and my understanding is that this Court sealed both of those records. They remain confidential.

I believe Mr. Malone is under a misapprehension about both what the statute NRS 62H.025 provides and what he

can actually do as the attorney for Mr. Cota. And there is two ways that he can access the exact same information that we as the District Attorney's office can access.

First of all, under subsection E of 62.025

Section 2, Section E, it does say a District Attorney -sorry, F -- an attorney representing the child. And I believe
that Mr. Malone misreads the statute.

It's not — it doesn't mean that once the — once the person is no longer a child, it can only apply to the attorney that was representing him at the time. That would make little sense in light of what the statute is supposed to do, apply to, not the actual proceeding, but later on when you're looking at juvenile records.

And here, he is the attorney for the child, the person that, at the time, all of these records relate to and so F -- subsection F expressly provides a mechanism by which Mr. Malone can access those records from a Juvenile Justice agency. That's the first way he could do it. He could directly request them.

The second way he could do it would be -- the definition of a Juvenile Justice agency doesn't include the Juvenile Court, the 9th Judicial District Court nor does it include the Douglas County Sheriff's Office. Those aren't Juvenile Justice agencies and this statute only provides --

look at subsection two -- this is the mechanism by which a Juvenile Justice agency may release Juvenile Justice information.

The statute only has to do with -- with information going from the Juvenile Justice agency to someone -- someone listed here. It has nothing to do with Mr.

Malone's ability to request, directly from the Juvenile Court, any record that is Juvenile Justice information. You can directly request from the Court and obtain all of the information that we received, which was given to us simultaneously with it being filed in the Juvenile Justice Court. So, all of those documents that we received, Mr.

Malone has access to it and he only has to make it a request for it. So, if he hasn't done that, that's his own decision.

So, he has two different ways he could do it. He could, pursuant to subsection F, access all of this information or he could directly request it from either the Douglas County Sheriff's Office, in the event that those documents are attached, or from the Juvenile Court for the 9th Judicial District Court.

So, he has plenty of ways he can get this information. And so, he's -- he just hasn't -- has decided not to do it. And he can still request those. And there's nothing preventing him from under the law from accessing the

same things that we can access.

And so, as far as that goes, he's not at any disadvantage nor is his client at any disadvantage, except to the extent that he hasn't requested them.

So, that handles that. As I believe, I just heard him say several times, this Court has the ability to consider these documents. And so, whatever the mechanism was by which this Court received them, there's nothing — no reason to strike any of it because this Court has the ability to consider all of those.

They are relevant, I haven't heard any argument that they're not relevant. I've heard one argument about them being stale but we have an individual whose 19 years old. He's only been an adult for less than two years.

The majority of the information about Mr. Cota, which will help this Court to decide what the appropriate sentence is, is before he became an adult. And that's why it's appropriate there. As I put in my memorandum, District Courts routinely consider information that is more than five years old and even more than ten years old, in making its decision and there's nothing in any of the documents that I provided to the Court that makes it so stale that it's not appropriate for this Court to consider it. And as you said, this Court decides the weight to give to any of the documents

that are attached to the sentencing memorandum.

As I put in my memorandum, and I don't want to rehash the memorandum, Your Honor, it's been the law for 44 years. It's in footnote two of the Silks case that other criminal conduct may be properly considered, even though the Defendant was never charged with it or convicted of it. Its relevance is apparent. And with that, we'd rest and just submit it on the opposition.

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, before I hear again from Mr. Malone, he also has, today, verbally, requested a continuance for 30 days to get a psychological evaluation.

Has that already been set up, Mr. Malone? Where are you at with the process?

MR. MALONE: Yes, Your Honor. But I think I talked about this earlier. We had requested Dr. Joe

McEllistrem to do the psychological evaluation because, I believe, that's a contractual — it's contractual arrangement with the county. Anyway, it wouldn't have — it wouldn't have required me to request additional funds. So, we proceeded with that plan when he — when we — back in August.

And then, I think I learned two weeks ago, that Dr. Joe would not be able to do the evaluation. That he felt he had a conflict. And so, then, we explored other avenues and didn't file the motion for funds to be able to have that

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

1 evaluation done. 2 So, it's -- I apologize to the Court for there being a delay. It wasn't counsel's fault. 3 It -- we tried to proceed in the most efficacious way, prior to Dr. Joe going 4 and saying he wouldn't be able to do it. 5 6 THE COURT: But you thought 30 days --7 MR. MALONE: Oh ---8 THE COURT: -- would be sufficient? Has the evaluation with the doctor already been set or --9 10 MR. MALONE: Your Honor, my understanding from 11 the doctor was she would interview him on the 13th. But I 12 don't -- that was just an e-mail that was sort of basic. believe I can interview him -- or I plan to be able to 13 interview him on the 13th. And I think that was before we 14 even got the Court's signed order or were made aware of the 1.5 Court's signed order. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MALONE: I think you signed the order. 18 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MR. MALONE: So, that's where we're at right now. I just want to have cushion. I don't want to come in here 21 without the eval because there's some delay. 22 23 Dr. Piasecki is based at UNR, so she does have to travel and set up her schedule to go -- come in and interview. 24

THE COURT: Is 30 days sufficient?

MR. MALONE: I think so.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MALONE: I would ask also for the Court to allow me to present these documents to Dr. Piasecki if -- if you are going to allow these documents to remain as portions of the case.

I also -- I didn't know that the press was here. I don't know this gentleman. I'm sure the Court does. But I would ask the Court to issue a gag order, so this doesn't make it into the local papers. That certainly is something that shouldn't happen. And, certainly, at this point of the case and the process here.

THE COURT: Well, all that has happened here today is argument of law. Whether juvenile records can be released and/or considered by the Court. I'm comfortable that that is an argument that can be held and should be held in open Court.

The Court will be taking, under submission, the motion and I will generate a written order. And I understand, from your comments just now, that you need the Court to make that assessment so that you can, then, also decide whether to give the documents to Dr. Piasecki; is that correct.

MR. MALONE: That's correct.

THE COURT: And do you believe the documents would be helpful to Dr. Piasecki in generating her assessment?

MR. MALONE: No. I think that, actually, she probably shouldn't have them but if the Court's going to have them and use them as part of your sentencing determination, then I think I would be remiss in not having her access them. She, of course —

THE COURT: Why -- why would they not -- the law aside, as far as confidential and whatnot, why wouldn't those types of reports be helpful to a mental health expert?

MR. MALONE: Well, number one, I -- I -- there are a couple things. Would they be helpful, sure. They're not going to be unhelpful necessarily. I believe in -- and the Court's asking me to make an argument putting the law aside. So, I wasn't doing that. I think I have to be -- as an officer of the Court, I think I have to follow the applicable law, which, I think, would prevent me from releasing them to her.

THE COURT: Well, my question, I guess, is, if I decide that the Court can consider these documents, then your request is that your expert be allowed to review them. And so, that suggests that you find some relevance in the documents. It would be helpful to Dr. Piasecki. That's all I'm asking.

MR. MALONE: Not necessarily. But I do think that what Dr. Piasecki's — one of the things that I would ask Dr. Piasecki to do is to assess the relevance of these documents at the sentencing hearing of a 19-year old, with the information that she would be presented with.

THE COURT: So --

MR. MALONE: So, it's not necessarily to say that they would be helpful for her to come up with some sort of treatment protocol, some sort of predictive analysis of what his future behavior would be or punishment but it would be to undermine the State's argument greatly.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MALONE: And I did. If I've now dealt with some of the Court's -- I don't know if the Court has other questions.

THE COURT: No.

MR. MALONE: But I have some other -- Your Honor, the statute in 62H.025F says, "child's attorney." We know -- we can just look at legislative analysis. Every word -- that word differentiates an attorney for an adult. It doesn't say -- a blanket definition would be attorney. It doesn't say that. The legislature chose to say "child's attorney." "Child's attorney." So, the Court should take that into account. It's pretty clear what they're doing there. The

attorney for a child in the juvenile proceeding is different than an attorney that's assigned to defend an adult in a criminal proceeding.

Also, regarding proceedings — in juvenile proceedings, people are not convicted in the Juvenile Court. They're not convicted. So, we're not talking about criminal convictions coming in. What we're talking about is a different animal. And we have a completely different system and we have a completely different way of looking at the proceedings. So, we're not talking about juvenile convictions here. We're talk — and we're — we're even talking about uncharged acts.

I can't tell from these records, which of these five charges are contained in here. I mean, we have — we have — you know, we have reports in here, I think, that I put in my brief. They're — they're mislabeled. When you look at some of the sheriff's office reports, they're listed at the top of the page and you'll see that the offense is listed as an offense that's clearly different than what was investigated.

We have instances of kicking. One of the charges or the allegations or the acts that is listed in the report was kicking another boy in the heels on a — on the schoolyard. Kicking in the heels. I mean, that sounds like

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

35<sup>C</sup>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what we used to call giving somebody a flat.

THE COURT: Mr. Malone, you're kind of getting into the facts.

MR. MALONE: I'll be -- I think -- and I agree with the Court. I'm done. I wanted to make sure that there was a distinction. The legislature has made a distinction between an attorney and a child's attorney and I think that's important, period. I also think that the Court -- Mr. Johnson did not address the situation where he had the documents filed for a period of time when they were not sealed.

But that's clear from the Court's -- from the date stamps, time stamps on both the sentencing memorandum and on the Court's sealing order. You have to look at the order, not the time that the motion to seal was done.

In my -- in anything I filed, in this issue right now, has required me to come back and forth to the Court several times. You'll see that in my billing sheets. I didn't file anything regarding the -- my motions until I had that order sealing.

So, that was necessary to protect the record and protect those confidential documents from being accessed by the general public.

> THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Malone. Mr. Johnson, back to you.

MR. JOHNSON: I apologize, Your Honor. I have two points and I only -- I only addressed one of those.

THE COURT: Yes. And if you take -- address the continuance as well.

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, my understanding is that, I guess, there was a motion filed ex parte requesting funds for an evaluator. The only thing that I -- I don't have information about and Mr. Malone's the one -- had the information about is, I believe, there's been eight weeks that have been available for an evaluation to be done of the Defendant.

We were prepared to go forward with sentencing today. I have my witness — witness here, ready to testify. And I don't know whether Dr. McEllistrem was contacted on week seven or week one and why there's such a delay in finding out that, apparently, Dr. McEllistrem can't do the evaluation, that someone else couldn't have been obtained. I don't even know the exact date that the ex parte motion for funds was made and what — what the series of events.

So, my only objection would be whether it -- it's appropriate for the amount of delay. We've already had the Defendant in custody for some time and we'd like to proceed with sentencing as quickly as possible.

But I don't have enough information to say

whether there's a good reason for the amount of delay that's happened before this evaluation. I'll accept that, apparently, September 13th, if I heard it right, is when this evaluation will take place. But I hate to have another 30 days, come in here and say we haven't got an evaluation yet because we'd like to proceed to sentencing.

THE COURT: The Court finds that there is good cause to continue the sentencing. Mr. Cota entered a guilty plea back on June 9th -- or excuse me, July 9th and Mr. Malone has provided the Court with sufficient cause to continue, namely, that he attempted to get an evaluation through Dr. McEllistrem and when that did not work, he then applied for funds to attempt to employ another expert. And the Court finds that the Defense has been diligent in that regard.

Yes?

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I'd like to point out that Mr. Cota does have two charges. I was assigned to the charge, initially, back in July and then we did have an arraignment. He was arraigned on August 6th on the battery by a prisoner charge that I think changed the case in some ways. He was facing more punishment. And I — I'll be very honest back in — when I received the first case, there were some issue — if we can approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Well, I don't think you need to. I'm

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322-

find --

MR. MALONE: Okay.

J

THE COURT: -- I'm finding that you've shown good cause for the continuance. I'll also say, as another basis for the continuance, that the State filed their sentencing memorandum on August 28th and Mr. Malone then filed his motion to strike. The State filed their opposition just on September 7th. It's an interesting issue. The Court wants to spend time on deciding. And so, that too is a basis for the continuance.

The Court does acknowledge that that is an inconvenience for folks who came here to hear or be part of the sentencing. However, paramount to the sentencing is that the Court be prepared to go forward with sentencing. And so this matter is going to be continued.

Mr. Malone has requested one month. That would take us out to October 8th.

Mr. Johnson, you indicated you have a witness present and I'm wondering if it might be best to hear this matter on a non-law and motion day. Did you -- how long do you anticipate the witness testimony to be?

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I imagine the witness's testimony will be about 15 to 20 minutes, as far as direct and then I have a number, like, a — snippets of several calls and

1	a body cam video, which are approximately, altogether, I want
2	to say, maybe, 20 minutes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And today were any victims
4	did any victims appear today to provide victim impact
5	testimony?
6	MR. JOHNSON: I don't believe they showed up
7	today. They had a scheduling conflict. I'll be sure to
8	notify them about the new sentencing date.
9	THE COURT: All right. Well, from what it sounds
10	like Mr. Malone, let me hear on your end. Are you
11	anticipating this to be a longer than normal sentencing or is
12	it something we could accomplish on a normal law and motion
13	day?
14	MR. MALONE: My anticipation, at this time,
15	Your Honor, would be that I would just proceed on Dr.
16	Piasecki's written report and not have her testify.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. MALONE: But that could change. And it would
19	definitely change the calendar but I would I think I would
20	be able to inform the Court of that change with plenty of
21	time.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So, I'm going to go ahead, for
23	now, set it on a law and motion day. Four weeks out would be
24	October 8th. Mr. Malone, are you available on that date?

1	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, can I go in the back of	
2	the courtroom?	
3	THE COURT: Yes, take your time.	
4	MR. MALONE: And grab my calendar? October 8th.	
5	That looks fine, Your Honor.	
6	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, would October 8th be	
7	okay for the State?	
8	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: And your witness?	
10	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. Well, yes, Your	
11	Honor.	
12	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Sentencing on both	
13	of these matters is continued till October 8th at nine o'clock	
14	in the morning. The Court understands the parties will be	
15	waiting for the Court's order regarding the motion and so I	
16	will address that as soon as possible and get that out to the	
17	parties.	
18	In the event that the Court I'm just trying to	
19	think of all issues. I want to make sure when we come back	
20	next time that there isn't further continuance.	
21	Mr. Malone asks that if the Court rules in the	
22	State's favor on the pending motion that he would like the	
23	doctor, Dr. Piasecki to be given copies of the exhibits.	
24	Mr. Johnson, what is the State's position in that	

regard?

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I think this Court can, as part of its — its order granting funds, it can also order that any or all of the documents that this Court has already received be provided to Dr. Piasecki.

And therefore, any concern that Mr. Malone has about him providing them, this Court can provide the documents or order Mr. Malone to provide those documents. So, we don't have any objection to that.

THE COURT: Okay. And I don't mean to give any indication which way I'm going on the motion. I'm just trying to think of what -- what other issues we might have out there.

Mr. Malone anything else that you can think of that we should address here today?

MR. MALONE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, how about you?

MR. JOHNSON: I just have one more thing. I just request that this Court order that, once the evaluation is completed, that a copy of the order be provided for the State, as soon possible and not on the eve of sentencing, so we can take a look at it and address it in any way we need to. So, it gives us some advance notice.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Malone, if it's going to be used by the Defense, I would expect you to give a copy of that

1	to the State in advance of sentencing.
2	MR. MALONE: I plan on filing it.
3	THE COURT: All right. Very good.
4	All right. Well, thank you both for the
5	
6	
7	Thank you both.
8	MR. MALONE: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	(Proceedings concluded.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
[	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

1	STATE OF NEVADA )
2	COUNTY OF DOUGLAS
3	
4	I, Michel Loomis, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5	
6	the Ninth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in
7	bouglas, do hereby certify:
	That I was present in Department No. II of the
8	above-entitled Court and took stenotype notes of the
9	proceedings entitled herein, and thereafter transcribed the
10	same into typewriting as herein appears;
11	That the foregoing transcript is a full, true and
12	correct transcription of my stenotype notes of said
13	proceedings.
14	DATED: At Carson City, Nevada, this 22nd day of
15	September, 2018.
16	14 11
17	Mile Jewis
18	Michel Loomis, CCR No. 228
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1			
2	wood rije dane, burle (()		
3	Carson City, NV, 89706 (775) 882-5322		
4			
5	IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF NEVADA		
7			
8	STATE OF NEVADA,		
9	Plaintiff,		
10	) Case No. 18-CR-00084; ) 18-CR-00116		
11	MICHAEL L. COTA JR., ) Dept. No. II		
12	Defendant. )		
13			
14			
15	AFFIRMATION		
16	Pursuant to NRS 239B.030		
17	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the following		
18	document <b>DOES NOT</b> contain the social security number of any person:		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	Mild-lating		
24	MICHEL LOOMIS, RPR NV CCR #228		
<u> </u>	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322		

	11		•	
1	= - 1.1.1.1.01.1.0, L.5q.	RECEIVED	FILED	
2	11	OCT - 3 2018	2018 OCT -3 AM 11: 32	
3	Carson City, NV 89701 (775) 461-0254	Dauglas Dounty District Court Clerk	EODBIE R. WILLIAMS CLERK	
4		•	BY WOLLENGTHY	
5	IN THI	E NINTH JUDICIAL DI	STRICT COURT	
_	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF NEVADA			
6	gm	* * *		
7	STATE OF NEVADA,		•	
8	Plaintiff,	CAS	DOS4 SE NO. 18-CR-06 <del>61</del>	
9	MICHAEL LUIS COTA,	DEF	PT NO. II	
10	Defendant.			
11			•	
12	MOTION FOR COURT APPOINTED FEES WITH AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT THEREOF			
13	COMES NOW, John E. Malone, Esq., having been appointed as counsel to represent			
14	Defendant, MICHAEL LUIS COTA, in the above-entitled matter and moves this Honorable Cour			
15	for an Order for Payment of Ap	for an Order for Payment of Appointed Counsel, pursuant to NRS 7.125.		
16	This motion is made and based upon the pleadings and papers on file herein and the			
17	affidavit attached hereto.			
18	DATED this \( \frac{1}{2} \) day of	October, 2018.		
19		Violent		
20		Ştate Ba	. MALONE, ESQ. r No. 5706	
21		Carson C	ratt Ave. Lity, NV 89701	
22		(773) 46	1-0254	
23				
24				

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

3 STATE OF NEVADA ) :ss 4

**Carson City** 

John E. Malone, being first duly sworn, under penalty of perjury, hereby deposes and says:

- 1. That affiant is an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada;
- 2. That affiant was appointed as counsel to represent Defendant, Michael Luis Cota, in the above-entitled matter;
- 3. That affiant rendered the following services at the statutory rate of \$100.00 per hour for in court or out of court services:

11	<u>Date</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Description</u>
12	07/14/18	0.25	Receive and review file for new case.
13	07/20/18	2.25	Travel to and from court; meet with client and DA Johnson.
14	07/24/18	3.50	Travel to and from court; meet with client and DA Johnson; appear at
	07/30/18	2.25	Justice Court arraignment.  Travel to and from court; meet with client re: both cases (one bill to
15	00/01/10	2.00	this matter)
	08/01/18	2.00	Travel to and from court; sign and file guilty plea memo.
16	08/06/18	1.50	Travel to and from court; appear at arraignment in District Court.
	08/27/18	0.50	Telephone call with client's mother; memo file for sentencing; arrange
17			Mental Health evaluation.
	08/27/18	1.50	Review States sentencing memorandum for options to respond.
18	08/28/18	2.00	Travel to and from court complex; meet with client and review both PSIs (one bill to this case).
19	09/03/18	6.50	Research re: release of juvenile information.
ŀ	09/05/18	5.00	Draft motion to strike and motion to seal.
20	09/06/18	2.50	Travel to and from court; file motion to seal.
	09/06/18	1.50	Travel to and from court; file motion to strike in both cases (one bill to
21	1		this case).
22	09/10/18	6.50	Travel to and from court; appear at hearing on motion to strike; meet

23

22

24

with client.

, 1	
1 2	HOURS 37.75 hours @ \$100/hour \$3,775.00 MILEAGE 248 miles @ 54.5/mile \$ 135.16
3	TOTAL \$3,910.16
5	4. That to the best of affiant's knowledge, the items set forth above are correct and have been necessarily incurred in these precess it.
6 7	been necessarily incurred in these proceedings;  5. That affiant has not been paid from any other source for the time and costs summarize
8	herein.
9   10	Further affiant sayeth not.  John E. Malone
11	Subscribed and Sworn to before me
12	this day of October, 2018.
13 14	KELLY ATKINSON NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA
15	Notary Public No. 04-86425-3 My Appt Exp. Feb. 26, 2020
16	
-17	
18	
20	
21	·
. 22	
23    24	_
24	

## RECEIVED

John E. Malone, Esq. 1 State Bar No. 715 2 209 N. Pratt Ave. Carson City, NV 89701 (775) 461-0254 3 Attorney for Defendant 4 5 6 7 8 9 vs. 10 11 12 13 14

OCT - 4 2018

Douglas County Distinct Court Clerk FILED

2018 OCT -4 PM 2: 12

DOBBIE R. WILLIAMS

IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

MICHAEL LUIS COTA,

Defendant.

Case Number: 18-CR-0084/18-CR-0116

Dept Number: II

## **DEFENDANT'S SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTATION** IN MITIGATION OF SENTENCING

COMES NOW Defendant, MICHAEL LUIS COTA, by and through his attorney of record, John E. Malone, Esq., who herein submits the following documents for mitigation purposes at his sentencing, presently set for Monday, October 8, 2018, at 9:00 a.m.:

1. Risk Assessment by Melissa Piasecki, M.D. dated October 3, 2018.

DATED this \_\_\_\_day of October, 2018.

JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant, Michael Luis Cota

23

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## **AFFIRMATION**

# Pursuant to NRS 239.B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of October, 2018.

JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant, Michael Luis Cota

• •

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NPCP 5 (b) I haraby cartific that on this data I sent air 5 and 1
3	Pursuant to NRCP 5 (b) I hereby certify that on this date I sent via first class mail, facsimile,
4	and/or hand delivery in Carson City, a true and correct copy of the foregoing DEFENDANT'S
	SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTATION IN MITIGATION OF SENTENCING to the following:
5	Deputy District Attorney
6	PO Box 218
7	Minden, NV 89423
8	DATED this Lynday of October, 2018.
9	Lelex lflenn
10	Kelly Atkinson
11	
12	

### MELISSA PIASECKI, M.D.

FORENSIC PSYCHIATRY
561 KEYSTONE AVE. #104
RENO, NV 89503
775 722-1077 Fax 866 500-7716
plaseckimd@gmail.com
BOARD CERTIFIED IN PSYCHIATRY AND FORENSIC PSYCHIATRY

John E. Malone, Esq. 209 Pratt Ave. Carson City, NV 89701

October 3, 2018

Re: Michael Luis Cota Case No. 18-CR-0084

DOB: 2.2.99 Dear Mr. Malone:

At your request, I have completed an evaluation of your client, Mr. Cota with regards to his treatment needs and risk of re-offense.

To complete this evaluation, I met with Mr. Cota on 9.28.18 and I spoke with his mother by phone on 9.29.18. I also reviewed documents: Information 5.29.18, Presentencing Investigation Reports 8.21.18 and 8.22.18, 2012 records from Copper Hills Youth Center and discovery materials from Douglas County Sheriff's Office.

**Summary:** Mr. Cota is a 19-year-old single man who pleaded guilty to Battery by a Prisoner in Custody and Principal to Grand Larceny of a Firearm.

**Medical History:** Mr. Cota has a history of a head injury when he was struck in the head with a pole at age fifteen. He stated that the pole penetrated his skull and that he had more problems with behavior control following this injury.

Mental Health History: Mr. Cota reported multiple mental health assessments and treatments. He was diagnosed with ADHD and speech impairments in first grade. He was prescribed medication for mental health problems starting around age thirteen, when he was first hospitalized. He received outpatient, inpatient and residential treatment for mood disorder diagnoses from ages thirteen to eighteen. In Reno, he was hospitalized at West Hills Hospital and Willow Springs Residential Treatment Center. He was transferred to a residential program in Utah in 2012 after concerns were raised regarding sexual misconduct with another child. He received outpatient care at the Children's Cabinet and Douglas Mental Health.

Mr. Cota said that when he was around thirteen years old, he began to self-harm by cutting. He clarified that he did not use a sharp instrument but instead used plastic that he rubbed to cause abrasion and scarring on his skin. The pain that was associated with self-harm made him feel calmer. Later, the pain associated with tattooing gave him similar effect. He stated "I felt better with the needle, I just felt better." He also described suicide gestures in which he had

thoughts of dying.

Mr. Cota and his mother did not recall any benefit from medications and believed most medications were too sedating.

At the time of my assessment, Mr. Cota reported intact appetite and sleep patterns. He described feelings of anger and isolation as well as a tentative belief that other inmates are talking about him.

**Substance History:** Mr. Cota reported that he first used alcohol at age eleven. He reported a pattern of binge drinking at parties with frequent blackouts from drinking. Mr. Cota reported he first used marijuana at age eight when his father first offered it to him at a party.

At age sixteen, Mr. Cota began to smoke methamphetamine. In April, 2018, his father introduced him to intravenous use by mixing the drug and putting a needle into his arm. He recently progressed to regular intravenous use, with three or four uses a day. He had periods of continuous use, with little sleep, for three to four day periods. He denied psychotic symptoms during methamphetamine use.

Mr. Cota reported no history of prescription drug abuse, hallucinogens or gambling problems. He used inhalants when he was around eleven years old.

Developmental and Occupational History: Mr. Cota reported that he was born in Idaho and raised in Idaho and northern Nevada. His parents did not marry and his mother left Idaho with him and his sisters in 2003. He reported witnessing domestic violence at multiple points during his childhood. His father struggled with substance use and spent time in prison. Mr. Cota said that he wanted to spend time with his father and his mother would allow his father back into the home which led to cycles of domestic violence. Mr. Cota recalled his father pushing his mother onto the rim of the bathtub leading to a serious injury and significant bleeding.

Mr. Cota attended school up to the ninth grade. He said he was in special education for emotional and behavioral problems. He left school because of residential placements. He does not have a GED. Mr. Cota worked at a number of unskilled jobs for six month periods.

Mr. Cota has an infant son but is no longer in contact with the child's mother. He was married one time. The PSI indicates that his son lives with Mr. Cota's mother.

**Legal History:** Mr. Cota has a history of one misdemeanor conviction according to the PSI. He has a history of juvenile youth camp, probation and parole throughout his teens.

**Mental Status Exam:** Prior to the assessment, I informed Mr. Cota that I was asked to complete an assessment and a report for his attorney's use in court. I described the limits of confidentiality and of my role. Mr. Cota appeared to understand these considerations and agreed to the interview.

Mr. Cota presented as a young man in standard jail attire. His appearance was notable for

facial and forearm tattoos, including a crown above his left eye.

He was pleasant and cooperative throughout. His speech was spontaneous and conversational, with normal rate and tone. Mr. Cota's thought processes were tight and logical. He denied suicidal thoughts or violent thoughts. He had vague thoughts that others were talking about him. He also described vague hallucinations but was not certain of whether or not he heard voices or his own thoughts.

He described his mood as "happy" and rated it at 7 out of 10, with 10 being the best. Mr. Cota was able to register and recall three unrelated words, and he was oriented to the day, month and year. Mr. Cota was future oriented with the desire to return to the community.

**Mr. Cota's report of events:** Mr. Cota reported that he used methamphetamine extensively in the period prior to the gun offense. He noted that he stole the guns for money to buy methamphetamine.

### Findings:

- 1. Mr. Cota has a methamphetamine use disorder. Mr. Cota demonstrated limited insight into the negative effects of substance use on his behavior and health and his need for treatment. Chronic use of methamphetamine has neurotoxic effects. Mr. Cota's pattern of use is consistent with the patterns associated with drug-induced changes to executive functioning. As a result of chronic methamphetamine use, impulse control, judgment, problem solving and cognitive flexibility are compromised. These brain changes tend to normalize after two years of abstinence.
- 2. Mr. Cota has a history of mental health diagnoses and treatment. He and his mother describe impulse control, inappropriate anger and self-harm. He experienced extreme emotional dysregulation and used self-harm as a maladaptive coping strategy. Mr. Cota described some vague symptoms at the time of my assessment but did not describe symptoms consistent with a current mood or psychotic disorder.
- 3. Mr. Cota described significant childhood exposure to violence. He witnessed his father beating his mother and felt responsible for his father being in the home. Mr. Cota still struggles with boundaries and conflicting feelings related to his father. His recent escalation to IV drug use facilitated by his father suggests that his father remains a powerful influence on him.
- 4. Mr. Cota stated he needs help developing coping skills and communication skills in order to manage emotions and relationships. He expressed a desire to work with mental health professionals to gain these skills.
- 5. There is no evidence that Mr. Cota currently has any recent behaviors suggesting sexual misconduct. Results from prior testing with the J-SOAP were valid for the six month period following the 2013 assessment. The use of the SORAG in 2013 was not valid for a younger adolescent. Guidelines for the use of the SORAG specify that it may be used with individuals who allegedly offended at age sixteen or older.

5. Mr. Cota is in need of evidence-based treatment for emotional dysregulation and substance use. His history of trauma and loss is a factor in his substance use and his relationship with his father is a complicating factor. If sentenced to prison, Mr. Cota should receive intensive cognitive behavioral therapy to address distorted thoughts and unhealthy relationships. He should also receive treatment that allows him to gain behavioral skills for emotional regulation, coping, communication and parenting. Mr. Cota should receive educational support for a GED and a high school diploma. When he transitions to the community, he should have intensive support and monitoring to stay free of substances.

Please contact me if you have any questions about this report.

Sincerely,

Melissa Piasecki, M.D.

1		
2	209 N. Pratt Ave. OCT - 3 2018 2018 0CT - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
3	Carson City, NV 89701 (775) 461-0254  Douglas County District Court Clerk  BOBBIER, WILLIAMS CLERK	
4	By Wortherney	
5	IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT	
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF NEVADA	
7	STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	Plaintiff,  CASE NO. 18-CR-0661	
9	MICHAEL LUIS COTA,  DEPT NO. II	
10	Defendant.	
11	ODDED TOD TO TO	
12	ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY FEES	
13	Having reviewed and considered the billing statement submitted ex parte by John E.	
14	Malone, appointed counsel for Defendant, Michael Luis Cota, and good cause appearing, the	
15	court hereby orders payment of \$ 3,910,16 to:	
16	John E. Malone 209 N. Pratt Ave.	
17	Carson City, NV 89701	
18	for services rendered for August/September 2018.	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
. 20	DATED this grand day of Ochober, 2018.	
21	111/2/	
22	District Judge	
23		
24		

FILED

Case No. 18-CR-0084

Dept. No. II

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

vs.

2018 OCT 10 AM 11: 54

BOBBIE R. WILLIAMS CLERK

VW) Id

OCT 1 0 2018

RECEIVED

Douglas County District Court Clerk

IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

MICHAEL LUIS COTA,

Defendant.

On the 9th day of July, 2018, Defendant entered a plea of guilty to the following offense contained within the Information: Count IV: PRINCIPAL TO GRAND LARCENY OF A FIREARM, a violation of

NRS 205.226, NRS 195.020, a category B felony. 18

On the 8th day of October, 2018, Defendant appeared for Finding no legal cause why judgment should not be sentencing. pronounced, judgment was rendered as follows: Count IV: PRINCIPAL TO GRAND LARCENY OF A FIREARM, a violation of NRS 205.226, NRS 195.020, a category B felony, imprisonment in the state prison for a maximum term of seventy-two (72) months and a minimum term of sixteen (16) months and ordered the Defendant to be joint and severally liable with Robert Donald Brown for restitution in the amount of nine hundred sixty-nine dollars and eighteen cents (\$969.18). Defendant is granted one hundred sixty-one (161) days

28 THOMAS W. GREGORY DISTRICT JUDGE

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT P.O. BOX 218

**MINDEN, NV 89423** 

credit for time served.

Defendant shall pay statutory fees and assessments of \$25.00 (NRS 176.062), \$3.00 (NRS 176.0623), and \$150.00 (NRS 176.0915).

Defendant shall appear on the first Monday following his release from incarceration at 9:00 a.m. to arrange a payment schedule for all Court ordered fees and restitution.

This judgment constitutes a lien in like manner as a judgment rendered in a civil action. NRS 176.275.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of October, 2018.

THOMAS W. CREGORY DISTRICT JUDGE

	RECEIVED
1	CASE NO. 18-CR-00084 OCT 24 2018 2818 OCT 24 PM 5: 03
2	DEPT. NO. 2 Panging Strilly ROBBIET WILLIAMS
3	IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STAND OF NEVADA
4	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS
5	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, THOMAS GREGORY
6	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
8	Plaintiff,
9	vs.
10	MICHAEL L. COTA, JR.,
11	Defendant.
12	/
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	SENTENCING
16	MONDAY, OCTOBER 8, 2018
17	
18	APPEARANCES:
19	For the State: MATTHEW JOHNSON
20	Deputy District Attorney Minden, Nevada
21	For the Defendant: JOHN MALONE
22	Attorney at Law 411 Mill Street
23	Reno, Nevada 89502
24	Reported By: Kathy Jackson CSR Nevada CCR #402 California CCR #10465
L	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
•			
1		INDEX OF WITNESSES	•
2	NAME		PAGE
3	DEPUTY	FRANCISCO TORRES	•
4		Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson	10
5		Cross-Examination by Mr. Malone	23
6		Redirect Examination by Mr. Johnson	38
7			
8	MIKA CO	ATC	
9		Direct Examination by Mr. Malone	52
10	9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Johnson	64
11		Redirect Examination by Mr. Malone	65
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
			,
		2	

1	MONDAY, OCTOBER 8, 2018, MINDEN, NEVADA
2	000-
3	THE COURT: The Court next calls the State of
4	Nevada versus Michael Lewis Cota, Junior. There are two
5	cases pending. They are Case Numbers 18CR0116 and 18CR0084.
6	Show the appearance of Matthew Johnson on behalf of the
7	State. John Malone is appearing on behalf of the defendant.
8	Good morning. Also appearing in lawful custody on both cases
9	is the defendant, Mr. Cota.
LO	Hello, Mr. Cota.
L1	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, sir.
.2	THE COURT: We're here today for sentencing on
.3	both of these matters.
. 4	Mr. Malone, have you received copies of the
.5	presentence investigation reports? One is dated August 21st,
.6	2018 and one is dated August 22nd, of 2018.
.7	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I have, and I've had the
.8	opportunity to review both of those reports with Mr. Cota.
.9	THE COURT: All right. Any factual corrections
20	to offer?
1	MR. MALONE: No, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, has the State received
23	copies of both the reports?
4	MR. JOHNSON: Yes. Your Honor

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

THE COURT: Do you have any factual corrections 1 2 to offer? MR. JOHNSON: The only correction is the defendant lists that he's married to Allie Cota but I believe 4 it's Allie Scaffalani (phonetic) and I don't believe that is 5 correct but I haven't -- I don't have a search of the 6 7 marriage license for that person to confirm that's correct. 8 MR. MALONE: Your Honor, that is -- that 9 statement on page two of the PSI is incorrect. He does share 10 a child with Allie. They are not married. 11 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Cota? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: I made that correction on both 14 presentence reports, both at page two. Thank you for that. 15 Mr. Malone, and, Mr. Johnson, my thought would be 16 that we proceed to sentencing on both cases and you can make 17 your arguments on both. However, I'm also happy to proceed 18 on the cases individually if you would like to do that. 19 Mr. Malone, do you have a preference? Of course, 20 he'll be sentenced individually but I just meant in terms of 21 how we proceed today. 22 MR. MALONE: Your Honor, it would be my desire to 23 proceed on both of them in tandem. 24 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Johnson, what are

1	your thoughts?
2	MR. JOHNSON: That sounds good to us, Your Honor
3	
. 4	
5	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, we are prepared. I
6	
7	presenting more material and of necessity a lot of my
8	sentencing argument is going to be dependent upon the
9	information and testimony that they put in.
10	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Johnson, what are
11	your thoughts about that?
,12	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm not opposed to
13	putting on the evidence first, but we would ask to argue
14	second, that's usually the practice of this Court.
15	THE COURT: All right. I think that's a fair way
16	to proceed.
17	So, Mr. Johnson, do you have witnesses you would
18	like to present today?
19	MR. JOHNSON: I do, Your Honor. If I could just
20	grab some exhibits that I have with the clerk at this time.
21	THE COURT: You may.
22	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, one other matter, the
23	defense did file a psychological evaluation performed by
24	Melissa Piasecki. It was filed on Thursday. I wanted to

1 confirm that the Court did receive that. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Malone, thank you for making that The Court did receive and will consider as part of . 3 the sentence the evaluation by Dr. Piasecki. MR. MALONE: Thank you. THE COURT: I do want to make sure with 6 7 Mr. Johnson when he's done with the clerk that the State also 8 received it. 9 Mr. Johnson, Mr. Malone had asked if the Court 10 received a copy of the psychological evaluation. I confirmed 11 that I did receive it. I've reviewed it and considered it and will consider it as part of the sentencing today. 12 13 the State receive it as well? 14 MR. JOHNSON: I did, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: And I should have asked you, do you 16 have any objection to the Court considering the evaluation? 17 MR. JOHNSON: I do not, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right, very good. 19 Okay. Mr. Johnson, you may proceed. 20 MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, the one -- I'm going to 21 be playing one video during -- I don't know if you're holding it or if I just --22 23 THE COURT: All right. I need to turn on the

24

system here.

1 I apologize, Your Honor. MR. JOHNSON: THE COURT: That's okay. Are these exhibits that 2 3 are going to be offered? MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Let's first address that before they are published to the Court. I have not seen them yet. 6 7 MR. JOHNSON: Sure. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Malone, are you going to be having any objections? Have you seen what Mr. Johnson is 9 10 going to be --11 MR. MALONE: Yes, I've been provided copies of 12 those. 13 Do you have any objection? THE COURT: 14 MR. MALONE: No. 15 THE COURT: How many exhibits are there, 16 Mr. Johnson? 17 MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I started the exhibits continuing from the sentencing memorandum I previously 18 19 It's going to be Exhibit 17, 18, and then there's 20 a thumb drive that has one video and five jail calls. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. JOHNSON: And I've already had them premarked 23 by the clerk, and then the last exhibit is the restitution 24 which is Exhibit 25, so they are marked as Exhibit 17 through

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	25 are the exhibits which would be, if I'm adding correctly,
2	which would be nine total.
3	THE COURT: Do you concur with that, madam clerk?
4	Okay. So Exhibit 17 through 25 are admitted
5	without objection.
6	It takes a moment for it to
7	MR. JOHNSON: The video will be towards the end.
8	I'm happy to call Deputy Torres.
9	THE COURT: It's what?
10	MR. JOHNSON: The video will be played towards
11	the end of Deputy Torres' testimony.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. JOHNSON: It takes a little bit to boot up to
14	go ahead and begin, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: I think we should be all right here
16	in just a moment.
17	Mr. Johnson, go ahead and attempt to I think
18	we're on-line.
19	MR. JOHNSON: I see what is on my screen, Your
20	Honor.
2 <sup>-</sup> 1	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, actually I would like to
22	interpose an objection to any evidence regarding gang
23	associations and gang information. There's no gang
24	enhancements in this case. I think that such evidence would

1

be overly prejudicial for to be viewed.

2

response?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, as you know, sentencing is — the Supreme Court has said that sentencing, if it's relevant as long as not highly suspect evidence, it is

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Johnson, what is your

admissible. The actual second offense where he has been --

he has pled guilty to the battery on a police officer, I'm actually going to be playing video from that and at the

conclusion of that, he actually references his gang and he

makes future threats when he gets out involving gang. So it

is relevant not only to the offense but also to his character

and I think it's important for this Court to see before it

makes a sentencing determination about what the appropriate sentence is.

THE COURT: The objection is noted for the record. It is overruled.

Mr. Johnson, it does look like our system is still warming up here. If you would like to proceed with Deputy Torres, you may.

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, the State calls Deputy Francisco Torres.

THE COURT: Deputy Torres, please step forward.

Raise your right hand. Face the clerk to be sworn.

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

	DEPUTY FRANCISCO TORRES,
:	called as a witness on behalf of the
;	State having been first duly sworn,
4	
5	
6	THE COURT: Please be seated.
7	
8	
	DI PIK. OOHNSON:
9	Q. Deputy Torres, can you please state your full
- 10	name, and spell your first and last name for the record.
11	A. Yes, Francisco Torres, F-r-a-n-c-i-s-c-o
12	T-o-r-r-e-s.
13	Q. And, deputy, how are you currently employed?
14	A. With the Douglas County Sheriff's Office.
15	Q. And are you assigned to any specific unit or do
16	you have any specific job as a deputy sheriff?
17	A. Yes, I'm currently assigned to the Tri-County
18	Gang Unit.
19	Q. And what kind of training or experience have you
20	had with the Tri-County Gang Unit?
21	A. Tri-County Gang, I've been we've took an
22	80-hour class in Los Angeles, both in-class and out in the
23	field part of the California Gang Investigation Association,
24	California Gang Task Force Association, and then I've had

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And you said putting in work. What do you mean by putting in work?

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

	of them was tattoos. Did I hear you correctly one of them i
:	2 self-identification?
	A. Yes, self-admit.
4	Q. Was there any other criteria?
5	·
6	Q. By association, what do you mean by that?
7	A. Association, hanging out with other validated
8	gang member or other associates.
9	Q. Now, moving on, do you recognize the person
10	
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. And who is that individual?
13	MR. MALONE: We stipulate to the identification
14	of Mr. Cota, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Do you accept that stipulation?
16	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	Q. (BY MR. JOHNSON:) And when is the first time
19	THE COURT: Identification has been established.
20	Go ahead.
21	Q. (BY MR. JOHNSON:) When is the first time that
22.	you encountered Mr. Cota?
23	A. The first time I encountered Mr. Cota I want to
24	say was back in probably May of 2017, a little before that.
J	

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322.

1	gangs?
2	A. Yes, that is represented by the Bloods gang
3	members.
4	Q. And how about anything else in that picture that
5	led you to believe that he might be associated with a gang?
6	A. The red shirt and while talking to him, he also
7	admitted to being a Bloods gang member.
8	Q. You asked him whether he was a member of the
. 9	Blood gang?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And what did he tell you?
12	A. That he was.
13	Q. Now, we haven't talked about the Bloods yet.
14	What can you tell you us about the Blood gang?
15	A. They are violent. They are involved in murder,
16	drug trafficking, racketeering. They are a very violent
17 ·	gang.
18	Q. And where do they operate?
19	A. Throughout the nation.
20	Q. Now, are they divided into any subgroups or are
21	they all just the Bloods gang?
22	A. Nationally they are known as the Bloods but
23	different sets. Each CD state has different sets.
24	Q. Did Mr. Cota tell you whether he's involved in a

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	different set of the Bloods gang?
2	A. He claimed to be a Blood.
3	Q. Did Mr. Cota tell you how he became a member of
4	the Bloods gang?
5	A. He did not but as I've gotten as I made
6	contact with him, his criminal activity
7	MR. MALONE: Asked and answered, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Sustained.
9	Q. (BY MR. JOHNSON:) Have you spoken with other
10	deputies who spoke with him about how he became associated
11.	with the
12	MR. MALONE: Objection, calls for a hearsay
13	response.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	Mr. Johnson, while we're waiting, the system is
16	on.
17	MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
18	THE COURT: And so if there's it might be your
19	connection to the system that's the issue.
20	MR. JOHNSON: I can try to either restart, Your
21	Honor, or flip the HDMI one more time. I won't restart it.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, if you would like, we
23	can recess.
24	MR. JOHNSON: I can contact Mr. McAllister if it

1 He helped me set it up last time. I did exactly 2 the same things he showed me. 3 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and take a recess. 4 Deputy Torres, you can step down. We'll resume in -- we'll shoot for five minutes. If more time is needed, 5 then we'll take it. 6 7 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 9 THE COURT: Court is back in session on Case 10 Number 18CR0084 and 18CR0116. Show the appearance of Matthew 11 Johnson on behalf of the State. John Malone on behalf of the defendant. Also appearing is the defendant, Mr. Cota. 12 13 Deputy Torres has resumed the stand. He remains 14 under oath. 15 Mr. Johnson, you may continue. 16 (BY MR. JOHNSON:) Deputy Torres, I'm showing you ο. 17 what's been admitted and marked as Exhibit 18. Do you recall me showing you that in my office earlier? 18 19 Α. Yes, I do. 20 And what is that you're holding? Q. 21 It's inmate mail that Mr. Cota wrote. Α. 22 And someone that has training and experience with 0. gangs, was there anything in particular in this e-mail that 23 stood out to you that's related to gangs? 24

1	A.	Yes, every C is crossed out or if there's an X
Ź	next to it	
3	Q.	What's the significance of that?
, 4	Α.	It's a sign of disrespect for the Crip gang.
5	Q.	How are the Crips and the Bloods related?
6	A.	They are enemies.
7	Q.	And so is this something that we typically see by
8	a Blood mer	mber to cross out an X cross out a C?
9	A.	Cross out a C, yes.
10	Q.	Why do they do that again?
11	A. ·	To show disrespect for the Crip gang members.
12	Q.	Does Mr. Cota associate with any known members of
13	the Bloods	gang that you're aware of?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	And who does he associate with?
16	Α.	Jobrontae Warner.
17	Q.	And how do you know Jobrontae Warner is a gang
18	member?	
19	Α.	Jobrontae Warner.
20	Q.	And how do you know Jobrontae Warner is a member
21	of the Bloom	ds gang?
22	A.	Jobrontae Warner self-admittance, dress,
23	association	in Las Vegas and the prison system.
24		MR. MALONE: Objection, calls for hearsay.
		——CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322——————————————————————————————————

	THE COURT: Sustained.
	Q. (BY MR. JOHNSON:) Have you noticed
:	MR. MALONE: Move to strike the response as well
	THE COURT: It's granted.
į	Q. (BY MR. JOHNSON:) Have you noticed any
6	particular tattoos on Jobrontae Warner that would lead you to
7	believe that he's a Bloods gang member?
8	
9	Q. What are those tattoos?
10	A. The Playboy.
11	Q. And is that associated with the Bloods in general
12	or is it associated with the sex?
13	A. That is associated with the set Playboy Bloods.
14	Q. And where are the Playboy Bloods located at?
15	A. Las Vegas.
16	Q. Deputy Torres, I'm going to show you a video.
17	And, Your Honor, this is a video from the second
18	incident for which the defendant has pled guilty from the
19	jail from a body cam, and it's been marked as Exhibit 19 and
20	marked as Exhibit 19 on the USB drive. I didn't want to
21	present five separate USB drives so each of them is
22	delineated by which exhibit corresponds to what I've already
23	given to the Court.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.

1	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. I'm going to pause it
2	here because apparently I just had Mike check the sound.
3	THE WITNESS: It will kick in after the first 30
4	seconds.
5	(Whereupon, a video was played.)
6	MR. JOHNSON: Let's pause it right there.
7	Q. Do you know who the person J that he's referring
8	to?
9	A. That's Jobrontae.
10	Q. Was he incarcerated at the same time as Michael
11	Cota was here?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. And J is Jobrontae's nickname?
14	A. That is correct.
15	(Whereupon, a video was played.)
16	THE WITNESS: Another thing, when he was being
17	walked out, he said soowoop which is also another something
18	about Bloods.
19	(Whereupon, a video was played.)
20	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
21	that last statement that was made sue sponte with no
22	questioning by the District Attorney and the response that
23	soowoop is something about Bloods is has almost no
24	relevance. There's no actual legal conclusion or factual

1	conclusion. There something about Bloods is should be
2	stricken. It's overly prejudicial. It doesn't have any
3	factual basis from what Deputy Torres has said.
4	THE COURT: The objection is sustained on the
5	basis that there was no questioning.
6 -	MR. JOHNSON: And, Your Honor, I'm going to ask
7	the rest of the questions at the end of the video. I'm just
8	going to step back. I want to make sure Your Honor can hear
9	all that he said. I'm trying to turn the volume up as loud
10	as possible.
11	(Whereupon, a video was played.)
12	Q. (BY MR. JOHNSON:) Deputy Torres, did you hear
13	what he just said right there?
14	A. I did.
15	MR. MALONE: Objection, what's the relevance of
16	Deputy Torres testifying as to what was said on the video?
17	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson?
18	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I'm about to ask him
19	what the significance of him saying Bloods bro is.
20	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
21	Q. (BY MR. JOHNSON:) Did you hear what he just said
22	there?
23	A. I did.
4	Q. And what did he say?
. <u>L</u>	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
	22

1	approach.
2	THE COURT: You may.
3	Q. (BY MR. MALONE:) I have what's been marked and
4	
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Are you familiar with that photograph?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. Okay. Just so there is the tattoo present
9	over Mr. Cota's left eye in this photograph, right?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. Are you able to read what it says?
12	A. It's a pretty bad tattoo.
13	Q. Well, I wasn't asking for artistic critiques.
14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. But are you able to read what it says?
16	A. Finesses.
17	Q. Finesse, do you know what finesse means?
18	A. Finesse, we can look it up in the dictionary.
19	Q. Okay. If I said that finesse means having a
20	certain touch or light touch for things, would that help
21	reflect or educate you in any way?
22	A. That could be
23	Q. Or remind you of anything?
24	A. That could be. That could be. It could also be
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
	24

1	a nickname being used. It could mean a lot of different
2	
3	Q. But it's a name, it's a word there, right,
4	finesse?
5	A. It states finesse, yes.
.6	Q. And then when we look at this document that is
7	not dated, the tattoo has been overlaid, correct?
8	A. That is correct.
9	Q. Okay. What kind of hat is Mr. Cota wearing
10	there?
11	A. It says Cali.
12	Q. Okay. And the colors are Jamaican sort of
·13	colors, right?
14	A. It's got red, yellow and green.
15	Q. Okay. And is that affiliated with any particular
16	gang? Does that red there mean that anybody wearing that hat
17	is a Blood?
18	A. That is not, there are certain factors.
19	Q. No, I'm just asking
20	A. Just because
21	Q does this hat mean that anybody wearing that
22	hat with red in it is a Blood and your answer is no, correct?
23	A. No, sir.
24	Q. But no is the answer?
[	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322.———————————————————————————————————

1	A. That is correct, sir.
2	
3	
4	
5	A. Yes, that was taken December 28th when Jobrontae
é	Warner was arrested.
7	Q. Okay. And if somebody goes into a tattoo shop
8	and has a tattoo done, do the Bloods control whether or not a
9	certain tattoo can be given by that tattoo person?
10	A. I'll give you an example.
11	Q. Did you understand the question?
12	A. I don't.
13	Q. Actually it's possible for anybody to walk into a
14	tattoo shop and get whatever they wanted tattooed on their
15	body pretty much, correct?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. Okay. So sometimes that can be significant,
18	correct?
. 19	A. There are factors
20	Q. Sir, did you understand the question?
21	A. Can you ask it again, please.
22	Q. Yes. Sometimes what is tattooed on a person's
23	body can be can have significance to a gang membership,
24	correct?

1	A. There can be
2	<b>†</b>
3	A. Repeat the question.
4	Q. I can ask it again. Sometimes people just go in
5	and get a tattoo and it doesn't have gang significance,
6	correct?
7	A. That is correct.
8	Q. Okay. And sometimes people go into a tattoo shop
9	and get a tattoo and it does have some significance, correct?
10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. Do you see the can you show the deputy your
12	tattoo under his right eye, your right eye. Are you familiar
13.	with that tattoo on Mr. Cota?
14	A. I believe that is a cross.
15	Q. Okay. Christian cross, right?
16	A. It's a cross.
17	Q. Well, I mean a Christian cross versus like an
18	Orthodox cross, correct?
19	A. Right.
20	Q. Different types of crosses. So that symbol has
21	significance in the Christian religion, correct?
22	A. I wouldn't know.
23	Q. No, okay. Do you have you ever seen a
24	crucifix?
Ĺ	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. And a crucifix is a cross, right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Like the one on Mr. Cota's eye?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Or underneath his eye, and it's got a figure of
7	Jesus being crucified on it, correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. So that symbol has meaning to a Christian
10	or someone in the Christian religion, correct?
11	A. I guess it would.
12	Q. Okay. It doesn't to you?
13	A. I'm not Christian so I can't say.
14	Q. Okay. And you're not familiar with that
15	symbology? You didn't learn that you didn't learn that in
16	Los Angeles during your gang training?
17	A. No.
18	Q. During that 80 hours?
19	A. I did not.
20	Q. Okay. Now, you testified earlier about gangs
21	committing criminal activity for the furtherance of the gang,
22	correct?
23	A. Correct.
4	Q. Okay. But you didn't testify to anything that
L	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
	28

1	Mr. Cota, any offenses that he's committed that benefitted
2	the Bloods gang, correct?
3	A. Him stealing the weapon and the tattoo on his
· 4	
5	tattoo which was done by Jobrontae Warner he told me.
6	
7	THE DEFENDANT: It's not the same gun.
8	MR. MALONE: Let me see.
9	THE DEFENDANT: AK47.
10	Q. (BY MR. MALONE:) Have you taken a close look at
11	that tattoo?
12	A. It is a rifle, long gun.
13	Q. The tattoo?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. But the gun that is the basis of one of
16	Mr. Cota's crimes here, right, I believe it's 18 18CR0084,
17	are you familiar with that case?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. That's the stealing of an AR15 style
20	rifle?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. Okay. And it had been customized with some stock
23.	and other additions, correct, by the owner, the true owner,
24	the legal owner?
Ĺ	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	A. I believe so.
2	MR. MALONE: Okay. And, Your Honor, I would like
. 3	the Court to view and the witness to view the tattoo that is
4	on Mr. Cota's left arm, forearm that it's
5	THE WITNESS: It's an AK47.
6	Q. (BY MR. MALONE:) Okay. That is not the the
7	rifle that was stolen, correct?
8	A. No.
9	Q. This is a Soviet made rifle, right, started
10	developed in the late 1940s, correct?
11	A. Sure.
12	Q. Okay. It's been a very popular rifle throughout
13	the world for the last 70 years, correct?
14	A. I can't attest to that.
15	Q. You're not familiar with AK47's?
16	A. I can't attest that it's been gained
17	popularity.
18	Q. Fair enough. It's an assault weapon, right?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. Okay. That means this is a fully automatic
21	it's a functional fully automatic assault weapon, correct?
22	A. It's an assault rifle just like an AR15.
23	Q. Well, actually, an AR15 is not an assault rifle,
4	is it?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322-

1	A. It's a rifle.
2	Q. Okay. An AR15 is not a fully automatic weapon,
3	correct?
4	A. I can't say.
5	Q. Well, you testified that you know about what
6	
7	
8	Q. Okay. And you're not familiar you're not
9	aware that an AR15 is a semiautomatic rifle?
10	A. I know that an AR15 was stolen, that's all I
11	know.
12	Q. Okay. But this is a completely different weapon,
13	correct?
14	A. That is representation of an AK47, that's
15	correct.
16	Q. It's a weapon made either in China or in the
17	Soviet former Soviet Union, correct, Russia?
18	A. Sure.
19	Q. Okay. And an AR15 was developed in the United
20	States?
21	A. Sure.
22	Q By the Armalite Company?
23	A. Sure.
24	Q. Okay. Introduced in the late 1960s and provided
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

*	to troops in Vietnam, correct?
	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I object to this
	witness doesn't have personal knowledge of.
,	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, it's completely relevant
!	because he's already testified that this tattoo
(	THE COURT: I'm going to allow the question.
7	Q. (BY MR. MALONE:) This is not the a rendition
٤	or a representation of the weapon that was stolen, is it?
9	A. No. What I stated, sir
10	
11	A. Okay.
12	Q. Thank you. When did you first see this tattoo on
13	Mr. Cota's body?
14	A. I saw it after I later learned it was that he
15	got that tattoo after the crime.
16	Q. I think you can only testify to things that
17	you've seen.
18	A. Uh-huh.
19	Q. So my question is when did you first see this
20	tattoo on Mr. Cota's body?
21	A. I believe it was in March.
22	Q. Okay. March of this year?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. When was the last time the time that
ł	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
	32

. 1	you most recently seen Mr. Cota before March?
2	
3	
4	A. November 2nd.
5	Q. Okay. And basically am I correct in that every
6	time you see Mr. Cota, you will approach him and talk to him
7	or stop him?
8	A. I've been in central contact with him numerous
9	times.
10	Q. Okay. That didn't quite answer the question,
11	okay. I asked you basically if you've seen Mr. Cota on the
12	street, you will stop and talk to him?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. Pretty much every single time?
15	A. Not all of the time.
16	Q. Okay. Pretty much all of the time?
17	A. Not all of the time.
18	Q. What's the percentage, 90 percent of the time?
19	A. Sure.
20	Q. Okay. And do you photograph Mr. Cota every time
21	you stop him?
22	A. Not every time.
23	Q. Okay. Do you photograph him well, that's all.
24	So let me you said you stopped him in
L	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	December,	December 28th?
2	Α.	He was present there of the arrest of Jobrontae
3	Warner.	
4	Q.	And the time prior to that was what?
5	A.	If I recall it must have been November 2nd.
6	Q.	11-2-17. And you have prior to the November 2nd?
7	, A.	I can't say, sir.
8	Q. ·	Okay. You were shown jail letters written by
9	Mr. Cota,	correct?
10	Α.	Correct.
11	Q.	Okay. And you testified that X's in the middle
12	of a C, th	at is disrespect shown to the Crips gang?
13	<b>A.</b>	That is correct.
14	Q.	I'm wondering here too, blue is the Crips color,
15	correct?	·
16	Α.	Yes, sir.
17	Q.	Okay.
18	•	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
19		MR. MALONE: I'm not asking you, sir.
20	Q.	The crown over his left eye is blue, correct?
21	A.	I believe it is black.
22	Q.	Would you like to come closer. Would you accept
23	that it's b	lack, I mean blue?
24	Α.	I believe it's black.
L		——CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322 ———————————————————————————————————

1	Q. Can you
2	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I believe this Court
3	can determine the color of the tattoo on the defendant
4	
5	
6	Mr. Johnson is correct.
7	MR. MALONE: I would ask the Court to take note
8	of the blue color of Mr. Cota's tattoo, correct?
9	THE COURT: I will make my assessment of the
10	color.
11	MR. MALONE: Okay. Thank you.
12	Q. Now, Deputy Torres, this crown that is over
13	Mr. Cota's left eye completely covered the finesse tattoo
14	that had been there previously, correct?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Now, the jail mail that you referred to earlier,
17	that's communication to Mr. Cota's girlfriend, Allie,
18	correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Okay. Did you read this?
21	A. I did not.
22	Q. You did not? If I can approach, I would have you
23	take a look at this and read it.
4	THE COURT: You may.
1	

1	MR. MALONE: And, Your Honor, it's going to take
2	
3	
4	THE COURT: Yes, we'll take a recess for five
5	minutes.
6	MR. MALONE: Thank you.
7	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
8	THE COURT: Court is back in session on Case
.9	Numbers 18CR0084 and 18CR00 or excuse me, 0116. Show the
10	appearance of counsel for the State. Counsel for the
11	defendant. Also appearing is the defendant, Mr. Cota.
12	Deputy Torres has resumed the stand. He remains under oath.
13	Mr. Malone.
14	Q. (BY MR. MALONE:) Deputy Torres, were you able to
15	review Exhibit 17?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	MR. MALONE: Okay. If I could approach, Your
18	Honor?
19	THE COURT: You may.
20	Q. (BY MR. MALONE:) So in looking at this letter,
21	it's clearly a communication. It appears to be a
22	communication to Allie Cota, a person described as Allie
23	Cota, correct?
24	A. That's correct.

	1
1	Q. Okay. And do you know who she is?
2	A. I believe from what I know, she was pregnant with
3	his baby at one point.
4	Q. Okay. So they had a relationship?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And the letter talks a lot about that
7	relationship?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And in the letter Mr. Cota says that he's
10	going to be better, correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. He's thought about mistakes he's made in his
13	past?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Okay. And then he wants to be a good example to
16	his nephew?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. Okay. And he wants to be a good example to their
19	child?
20	A. (Witness nodded head.)
21	Q. He talks about giving Allie strength, right, to
22	go on?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. So there's a lot in this letter that is
L	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	supportive of his relationship with with Allie, correct?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. And primarily that's what it is?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay, thank you. You mentioned that Jobrontae,
6	Jobrontae Warner is an avowed or self-identified Blood
7	Blood member, Playboy Bloods?
8	A. He's a validated gang member, yes.
9	Q. Okay. So validation means you validated him?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. Law enforcement validated him?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Okay. Are there who are other Playboy Blood
14	members here in Douglas County?
15	A. Here in Douglas County, he was the one.
16	Q. Okay, thank you. Nothing further.
17	Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	MR. MALONE: Thank you, deputy.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Redirect, Mr. Johnson?
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. JOHNSON:
24	Q. When you reviewed the mail, did you see any C's
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
	38

1	that weren't X'd out?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And did you if you want to estimate how many
4	C's were X'd out in this document?
5	A. I can't say. There was a number that were and a
6	number that were not.
7	Q. More than ten?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. More than 20?
10	A. Around there, yeah.
11	Q. Okay. And just to confirm, when you spoke with
12	Michael Cota, he admitted that he was a Bloods gang member to
13	you, correct?
14	A. That is correct.
15	MR. JOHNSON: No further questions, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Recross?
17	MR. MALONE: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
18	THE COURT: May Deputy Torres be excused?
19	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
20	MR. MALONE: Yes, thank you, deputy.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Deputy Torres, thank you.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. Thank you.
24	(Witness excused.)
	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
	39

1	MR. JOHNSON: I just have a couple of portions of
. 2	
3	of evidence.
4	THE COURT: I want to make sure any other
5	
6	MR. JOHNSON: I believe I just handed all of the
7	exhibits that were discussed back to the clerk.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. JOHNSON: Other than Exhibit 19 which is one
10	of the ones on the thumb drive.
11	THE COURT: Okay, very good. Thank you.
12	MR. JOHNSON: And this is just going to be audio,
13	Your Honor. I turned the volume up as high as possible.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	MR. JOHNSON: And I'm just going to be moving
16	into the portion.
17	(Whereupon, an audio was played.)
18	MR. JOHNSON: That is from May 3rd.
19	This next jail call is May 5th.
20	(Whereupon, an audio was played.)
21	MR. JOHNSON: That's Exhibit 21. The next
22	exhibit is Exhibit 22 from May 5th.
23	(Whereupon, an audio was played.)
24	MR. JOHNSON: It's the same exhibit. I'm just
Į	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
•	40
	422

	·
1	going forward.
2	(Whereupon, a audio was played.)
3	MR. JOHNSON: This next exhibit is Exhibit 23
4	from July 9, 2018.
5	(Whereupon, an audio was played in open court.)
6	MR. JOHNSON: I'm just going to fast forward to
7	on Exhibit 23.
8	(Whereupon, an audio was played.)
. 9	MR. JOHNSON: Then the last exhibit is
10	Exhibit 24. This is from August 8, 2018.
11	(Whereupon, an audio was played.)
12	MR. JOHNSON: That's the last exhibit and that's
13	the only exhibit other than the sentencing memorandum that I
14	wanted to put on for the Court.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Do you still have the
16	thumb drive?
17	MR. JOHNSON: I do, and I'm going to take it out
18	right now.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	MR. JOHNSON: And I have it here, Your Honor.
21	The last exhibit is the restitution, Your Honor.
22	I don't know if you want me to present Exhibit 25 now.
23	THE COURT: Yes, let's do that now as well.
24	Mr. Johnson, what is the total amount of

requested restitution?

MR. JOHNSON: It's 969.19, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right. Mr. Malone, you may make your recommendations and present any evidence you would like to present.

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I have a couple of comments about Deputy Torres' testimony. I think one of the things that is most interesting is one of his final comments. He was talking about Blood members — Blood gang members, Playboy Blood members here in Douglas County. His response to my question about what Playboy Blood members he was aware of here in Washoe County. Have I said Washoe County twice?

THE COURT: I know what you're talking about, Mr. Malone.

MR. MALONE: Douglas County, Douglas County, and I apologize. I'm going to take a short pause here to get my --

THE COURT: Don't mind.

MR. MALONE: — brain rebooted. I think one of the things that I noticed and I thought was very instructive or relevant was when I was talking to him about specifically Playboy Blood members here in Douglas County, his response was Jobrontae Warner was the only person that he knew, the

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

only validated gang member of that gang. The Court could take notice of it, it's not much of a gang. We've been talking about gang membership here all of the time.

The Court is aware of and has reviewed, I'm sure, Dr. Piasecki's evaluation of Mr. Cota. We know that for years he's had drug problems. It's reflected in his juvenile history. That goes to some of the comments that he made to his — the voice you heard I think in every phone call was Allie, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Allie.

MR. MALONE: Well, the conversations with his sister, with his girlfriend, when he talks about having a drug problem, it's true, he does have one. He — there's plenty of evidence in the juvenile record that we've objected to and we will continue — I want to interpose an objection to its introduction to any reference to it. I assume the Court — the Court's previous ruling stands, correct?

THE COURT: I do know your objection, Mr. Malone, and the prior ruling does stand.

MR. MALONE: So, Your Honor, since it's coming in in order to do my job, I need to make reference to it as well but that doesn't waive any objection that we have to its introduction or consideration by the Court.

So when he's talking about psychological

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

conditions, I think he refers to himself as psycho. The

Court is aware of the medications that he was on during his

youth. I'll just make reference to one of the reports, one

of the evaluations. It gave him a medication case list that

was extensive. It's my understanding that he has been on

over 20 psychoactive medications over the years.

. 12

Your Honor, I apologize, I don't have that marked adequately but the Court will -- can take notice of that.

Those references that the medication he was on both at West Hills and at Copper Canyon out in Utah. So when he's talking about his need for or the fact that he does have psychological issues in his diagnosis, those are true things. They actually exist.

What we have is a young man from — we have all this juvenile history going back to about when he was ten years old. And, interestingly, that correlates to a time when his father was incarcerated. His father is also named Michael Cota, extensive criminal history, extensive use of drugs. We know that his father introduced him to certain drugs, marijuana and methamphetamine come to mind and were reflected in Dr. Piasecki's evaluation.

We have really quite -- you know, one of the issues I thought about when looking at Mr. Cota's case was comparing him to other individuals who I've represented. I

have a young man up in Carson City who — whose father died at ten. At ten, this young man's life just went downhill. He started getting in trouble. He started interacting with bad peers. And I remarked — it was remarkable to me the parallels between Michael Cota and this young man essentially the same loss of a father figure, the same type of falling off the edge of the earth in terms of getting in trouble and it's — it's instructive.

I think that we talk about the influence of parents but then when we see direct evidence of either negative parenting or absent parents, we have this kind of situation that does occur, and I think it's not surprising.

Mr. Cota's sisters are here in court. They have not had the same problems. They have had different — different issues in their lives but what they — they were not males deprived of a father figure and to this day deprived of a positive father figure. Even though Michael Cota Senior is out of custody and walking around, he as early as this year was introducing Michael Junior to intravenous methamphetamine use, I mean horrible, horrible situation, horrible parenting and not anything we would wish on anybody.

When you do look at the totality of Michael's juvenile history which the District Attorney has put in, a lot of it shows that this is a young man that has always

presented himself as tough. If somebody teased him, he reacted. If somebody hit him, he would hit back.

And it seems at a certain point in time when I looked at the story and looked at everything that was going on in his life that he ended up and started being the person who was blamed for nearly everything even when he had not thrown the first punch or done the first provocation.

I mean, what's been introduced as Exhibit 2 is a pushing in school. He pushed a friend. This person actually, he was cooperative with the police. I asked — this is the report. It's one, two, three, four, five, six, I believe seventh paragraph, I asked Jada, who was the person who Mr. Cota pushed, if she wanted Michael to be arrested for pushing her. Jada stated she did not as Michael was her friend. So she was pushed. She didn't hit the ground, but he was arrested and he was taken into custody.

There's a fight in -- I'm sorry, I'm looking,

2010 right in the home. Michael starts out, he doesn't want
to do a book report. He throws a pillow at home, ends up
overturning a dining table and threw chairs around. So I
would characterize that as it's not a good -- good thing, but
it's a thing that we have a parent. We have his mother
calling the police. Instead of disciplining him and being
able to take care of the problem herself, she calls the

police.

So what we have is a young man whose parenting was really preempted and by the system, by the juvenile justice system instead of by the parents. He throws a pillow. He overturns a table. Mom calls the police and has him arrested and it's time after time.

Now, one of the things that disturbed me about this record, and I think I talked about it extensively at our last hearing, was the allegation of sexual behavior. It's a little bit interesting that is in a report that I believe that the District Attorney probably pulled from their own files. It's not certainly something that would be in a Douglas County Sheriff file because it's prepared by treatment providers at facilities where Michael had been put by juvenile probation.

So the reference to the sexual behavior comes from Copper Canyon. It's I believe Exhibit 8 and it talks about the incident with a ten-year-old girl, Michael being 13 or 14 and her sitting on his lap. So what -- and, Your Honor, I would ask that the courtroom maybe be cleared of any jail inmates that are present. I don't think there's any need for their presence, and we're talking about juvenile history here. I don't think this is appropriate for them to be present.

THE COURT: Deputy Nishikida, do you have the ability to remove Ms. Payton from the courtroom?

THE BAILIFF: We do.

THE COURT: If not, it's too ---

THE BAILIFF: But, Your Honor, it's going to cause a delay because -- because the nature of what is going on here, we have to maintain security up here so we have to get some jail personnel come up here which is going to, depending on how much -- how busy they are, the volume of work down there to have somebody up here, I wouldn't assume more than two or three minutes but that would delay your proceedings by two or three minutes. There's not much else we can do about it.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Malone, I appreciate the request. I'm not going to delay these proceedings to remove an inmate who is present in the courtroom.

Regarding the juvenile records, they have been introduced and considered by the Court. They are sealed and I've read and considered those and, of course, you can comment on as you deem appropriate but just know that I have read and considered them, so let's proceed.

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I think one thing that is important to note in those records are that the part of

the Copper Hills' evaluation which is Exhibit 8 makes reference to an incident that supposedly occurred at Willow Springs. Let me make sure I'm referring -- at Willow Springs.

Exhibit 7 is the report from Willow Springs.

It's the report from the Douglas County Juvenile Probation

Department. It makes no reference to that incident

whatsoever, none.

Copper Hills is clearly saying this happened in Douglas County. This happened in Nevada, and we're going to have him evaluated and they do that and then they come up with some fairly disturbing responses. One of the things that Dr. Piasecki reviewed for you and reviewed for us when looking over the records were the use of the testing instruments that Copper Hills used, the soap, and the SORAG. She notes that the SOAP is valid for about six months after it's given. Any conclusions based on that are no good after six months. And the SORAG is an adult oriented test that was performed on a 14-year-old.

Making things even worse are the fact that we don't even know where Copper Hills got that information. He is sent from Willow Springs specifically according to Copper Hills because of these incidents, but Willow Springs doesn't talk about them at all in their referrals in the probation

officer report filed back in April of 2013.

1.1

Yet we have this report that generated later on that year and it makes -- actually, I'm incorrect, the -- no, I'm correct. So the Willow Springs reports are from 2012 and he's sent later that year to Copper Hills, yet we don't have any linkage, no nexus between his behavior at Willow Springs and what goes on at Copper Hills.

On top of that, we know from Dr. Piasecki that the testing instruments that are used are not appropriately administered and are not appropriate for his age. We also know that in spite of the dire consequences that Copper Hills goes and says are going to happen in terms of their percentage of — of recidivism, those haven't occurred.

We do have somebody that's been diagnosed with ADD, oppositional defiant disorder. Those are his medical diagnosis. There's one other one. Oh, actually if I can — and there's one other one. It's contained in the Copper Hills eval. It also goes and talks about the — his current psychiatric medications, Zyprexa, Amantadine, the Midgical (phonetic), Clonidine and Trazodone. What we have is some pretty powerful psychiatric agents here that he's on a, basically a grab bag of psychiatric medications that don't seem to ever have done him any good.

So what we have is a young man whose parenting

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

was suspect, parenting was nonexistent and poorly done when it was present, and then we have him being made a ward of the state, a ward of the state and what it looks like is he's overmedicated, overmedicated all of the time.

Your Honor, Mr. Cota's sister, Mika, is here in

Your Honor, Mr. Cota's sister, Mika, is here in the court. She's a subject of one of the reports. This will be Exhibit 11. This is an incident where Michael takes carrots from his nephew's plate. I think the youngster was in a highchair and then does get into a physical fight with Mika, and Mika is prepared to tell you a little bit about that incident. She's here in court.

Ma'am, can you come up.

THE COURT: Do you want to call her as a witness?

MR. MALONE: I'll call her as a witness.

THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, come on up. Stop there for a moment. Please raise your right hand and face the clerk to be sworn.

. 9

1.0

## MIKA COTA,

called as a witness on behalf of the Defendant having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT: Come on up to the witness stand here

CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	and have a seat.
2	Mr. Malone, you may continue.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. MALONE:
5	Q. Ma'am, please state your name, and spell both
6	your first and last name for the court reporter.
7	A. Mika Cota, M-i-k-a C-o-t-a.
8	Q. Okay. And, Mika, what is your relationship with
9	Michael Cota?
١٥	A. I'm his sister, his older sister.
11	Q. I don't know if you can speak up for there is
12	a microphone there that would help, I think.
13	A. Yeah.
L 4	Q. Okay. And you're his older sister; is that
15	correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. Did you you grew up together?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Who was the primary parent in your
20	household?
21	A. Our mother.
22	Q. Okay. Where was your father?
23	A. He was either locked up or not around.
24	Q. Okay. What do you mean by locked up?
	,

1	Α.	In prison, jail.
2	Q.	Okay. Did you growing up, did you have much
3	contact wit	th your father?
4	Α.	Not very much.
5	Q.	Okay. Did you have any positive contact with
6	your father	:?
7	A.	No.
8	Q.	Okay. Is your father a drug user?
9	А.	Yes.
10	Q.	Okay. Is he does he represent to any gangs or
11	does he rep	present any gangs or imply that he's a member of
12	any gangs?	·
13	А.	He claims he represents a gang.
14	Q.	Okay. And what gang would that be?
15	Α.	Crips.
16	Q.	You've been present in the courtroom today where
17	there's bee	en talk about Michael Cota acting like a Blood,
18	correct?	
19	A.	Uh-huh.
20	Q.	Okay. And if you can answer out loud.
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	Okay. Is it significant to you that your father
23	says he's a	Crip and Michael says that he's a Blood?
24	Α.	I think my brother just wants to be more like,

1	looks up to my dad, and I don't know why he they both try
2	to represent a gang, I'm not sure.
3	Q. But why would he be you know that they
4	represent basically different gangs, correct?
5	A. Right.
6	Q. Or they talk about representing different gangs?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. And Michael doesn't doesn't follow his father
9	with that?
10	A. Right.
11	Q. Okay. And he does exactly the opposite?
12	A. Right.
13	Q. Any idea about that?
14	A. No, I have no idea.
15	Q. Okay. In terms of when Michael was a young
16	child, what was his physical presence? Was he on the smaller
17	side of his peers or larger?
18	A. He was about average, but people picked on him a
19	lot. He was bullied, and me and my sister, as older sisters,
20.	we would try to defend him. We would defend him.
21	Q. Oh, sorry.
22	A. We just tried to defend him and like make him so
23	it's, I don't know, just trying to be a bigger sister.
24	O. Okay.

1		A.	Like trying to be there.
2		Q.	When you say he was bullied, was that teasing,
3	verbal	teas	ing?
4		Α. ·	Yes.
5		Q.	Okay. Was it physical?
6		A.	It got physical sometimes.
7		Q.	Okay. Did you notice a change in your brother
8	after	a whi	le, after what you observed in terms of bullying?
9		A.	Yes.
10	-	Q.	Okay. What was that?
11		A.	He tried to be more like the bully. He tried to
12	portra	y him	self as the bully so he didn't get bullied and he
13	tried	he	tried to be more tougher than the bully was.
14		Q.	Act tough?
15		Α.	Yes.
16		Q.	Does he act tougher than you think he actually
17	is?		
18		A.	I think so.
19		Q.	Okay. Have you seen him cry?
20		A.	Yes.
21		Q.	Okay. Very often?
22	}	Α.	Yes.
23		Q.	Okay. Is that part of his truer nature?
24		A.	Yes.
			CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
			55 437

1	Q. Okay. Your brother did you witness physical
2	violence between your parents?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. From what age when was the earliest you
5	can remember that happening?
6	A. I don't know the years but it was growing up. It
7	was something when my dad was around, it was my mom and him
8	having altercations where it got physical.
9	Q. Okay. And are you aware of whether Michael saw
10	those altercations?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And so his model as a man was somebody who
13	was violent to women?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And went to prison for violent crimes?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And used drugs?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Have you witnessed Michael well,
20	actually, the incident that we're talking about that occurred
21	with you back in 2014, right?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Were you injured?
24	A. No.

Q. Okay. You reported that Michael hit you several
times on the shoulder; is that correct?
A. No, I thought he pushed me.
Q. Pushed you?
Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. MALONE: Okay. I'm going to Mika, I'm
going to show you a police report that's dated back in 2014.
THE COURT: Is that one of the marked exhibits,
Mr. Malone?
MR. MALONE: It's already been admitted, Your
Honor. It's Exhibit Number 11.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Q. (BY MR. MALONE:) Mika, I just want you to read
through this.
A. All right.
Q. Quickly to refresh your recollection over of
the incident?
A. Okay.
Q. All right. Have you ever seen this police
report?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Did reading that report
refresh your recollection of the incident?

1		A.	I know	that he didn't hit me. I know he pushed
2	me.			
3		Q.	Okay.	Pushed you in the shoulder area?
4		A.	Yes.	,
5		Q.	Okay.	And did it leave a mark?
6		A.	No.	
7		Q.	Okay.	Were you injured?
8	·	A.	No.	
9		Q.	Okay.	It describes you falling against a TV,
10	correc	t?		
11		A.	Yes.	
12		Q.	Okay.	Can you describe that to the Court?
13		A.	We got	into I tried to take the plate. He got
14	upset,	and	then he	went and pushed me, and I stepped back
15	into t	he TV	•	
16		Q.	Okay.	Did the TV knock over?
17		Α.	No.	
18		Q.	Okay.	Did you fall over?
19		Α.	No.	
20		Q. <b>.</b>	Okay.	And it started by him taking carrots from
21	your s	on?		
22		Α.	Yes.	
23		Q.	Who wa	s about what age in 2014?
24		Α.	Two.	
		<u> </u>	——CAPI	TOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322
		•		58 UUO

1	Q.	Two, okay. So took carrots from a two-year-old?
2	Α.	Yeah.
3	Q.	Was he was there any elements of humor or
4	teasing ab	out that, like joking around?
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	No, he just wanted the carrots?
7.	Α.	No. He took just took them, and I wanted to
8	be momma b	ear and get them back for him.
9	Q.	Okay. So you wanted to be a good mom?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Okay. Why did you call the police?
12	A.	I guess that's what happened when we were growing
13	up.	
14	Q.	Okay.
15	A.	So it was something that we did.
16	Q.	Okay. You called the police on Michael a lot or
17	people cal	led the police on Michael a lot?
18	Α.	Yeah.
19	Q.	Okay. The also your son was there. Were you
20	concerned	about him witnessing violence?
21	Α.	Yès.
22	Q.	Okay. That and did that trigger something for
23	you do you	think?
.24	Α.	Yes.
	Ī	

1	Q. Okay. For sure or?
2	A. What, I'm sorry.
3	Q. Did when when you say it triggered
4	something in you, what were your feelings like? What were
5	you thinking?
6	A. That I needed to like, I don't know. Like, I had
7.	to like be bigger so like I'm a role model for my child, and
8	I didn't want to be picked on.
9	Q. Okay. And did you were you concerned that he
10	was being exposed to violence?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And you had been exposed to violence?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And Michael had been exposed to violence?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And your sister had been exposed to violence?
17	A. Yes.
1,8	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I want to move this
19	along but we can't have the entire thing be leading. I
20	object to leading.
21	THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
22	Q. (BY MR. MALONE:) Growing up or are you aware of
23	any problems of controlled substance that your brother has?
.24	A. Yes.

1	Q.	Okay. Describe those, please.
2	A.	I know in maybe 2010 my dad introduced him to
3	marijuana ar	nd whenever my dad was around, he introduced
4	Michael to i	t again and again and then now going up to meth
5	and so it's	just like a spiral out of control.
6	Q.	Okay. Are you aware of any medications,
7	psychiatric	medications that your brother was on during his
8	childhood?	·
9	Α.	Yes, he was always on medications.
10	Q.	Okay. And were you able to see those medications
11	around the 1	nouse?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q. ·	Okay. And you talked about it with your mother?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Did you talk about it with Michael?
16	. A.	We would try and help my mom have Michael take
17	the medicat	ions every morning.
18	Q.	Okay. Did his medications change over the years?
19	Α.	They did change but they didn't help him.
20	Q.	Okay. Are you aware of any that did help him?
21	Α.	No.
22	Q.	Okay. Has your brother behaved to you in a
23	loving mann	mer at times?
24	Α.	Yes.

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322-

1	Q. Okay. Can you describe how much of percentage of
2	your interaction with him are positive and loving?
3	A. Probably 90 percent of the time.
4	Q. Okay. But there are times that his behavior can
5	be can you describe the other say ten percent of the time?
6	A. Just when he feels cornered or like he's getting
7	bullied, I think it just triggers when he was younger and so
8	he gets aggressive.
9	Q. Did you see the video that was played earlier of
10	him in the jail?
11	A. Yeah.
12	Q. Okay. Did he look cornered to you?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did he look bullied to you?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Did he look like he was in pain?
17	A. I feel like he was scared.
18	Q. Okay. And you've seen that with him before?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. And you went to the same elementary
21	schools at times?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And so you saw behavior on the playground?
2.4	A. Yes.
	1

1	Q.	Where he was bullied?
2	Α.	Yes, and outside of the school.
3.	Q.	Do you know when Michael started getting tattoos?
4	Α.	Just in 2017.
5	Q.	Okay. So this is about a year's worth of work
6	for him her	e?
7	A.	Yeah.
8	Q.	Okay. Are you aware of at times did you ever
9	see evidenc	e that he was harming himself?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Okay. Describe, please, for the judge.
12	A.	One day we were in the kitchen and he wanted to
13	end his lif	e because he didn't want to be a failure, and so
14	we sat in t	he kitchen, and he cried to me, and he told me
15	that he did	n't want to be here, and so me and him talked
16	until he di	dn't feel that way and we went to bed?
17	Q.	Did you ever see him cutting himself?
18	Α.	No.
19	Q.	Rubbing any of his arms or hurting himself like
20	that?	
21	Α.	Yeah.
22	Q.	And what was that like?
23	Α.	If he didn't inflict pain on himself, he would
24	pick the so	cabs until it made a scar so like he remembered it.

1	Q. Okay. Has he told you why he gets tattoos?
2	A. I personally think this was because we're older.
3	We got tattoos before he did and so when he got the chance to
4	do it he did it and he did excessively.
5	Q. Okay. Thank you.
6	A. Uh-huh.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. JOHNSON:
9	Q. Have you witnessed him using methamphetamine?
.0	A. No.
.1	Q. So you've never see him use it before. And have
.2	you ever stolen anything before?
.3	A. No.
L4 .	Q. Have you ever
Ļ5	MR. MALONE: Relevance, Your Honor.
L 6	THE COURT: What's the relevance?
17	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, it's relevant because
18	the argument is that his lack of his family upbringing is the
19	reason that he committed these crimes. I'm asking someone
20	that has a similar family upbringing if they committed
21	similar crimes.
22	THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Let's go
23	to a different area.
24	MR. JOHNSON: No further questions, Your Honor.

-CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322-

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
2	May this witness step down?
3	MR. MALONE: One further question.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. MALONE:
6	Q. Did you ever suffer from some of the bad
7	upbringing that your brother experienced as well?
8	A. Well, I had a child at 14 so I guess I wanted to
9	be
10	Q. Yes, that's a yes?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. So for you that
13	A. That was my
14	Q. And are you do you believe that your
15	upbringing was rough?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. Do you believe that you would have done
18	some different things in your life if that upbringing hadn't
19	occurred in the way it did?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. Was there anything positive about your
22	parents fighting all of the time?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Was there anything positive about your father
	•

1	going to prison?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Was there anything positive about your mother
4	has done drugs in the past; is that correct?
5	A. No.
6	
	Q. No, okay. Is there anything positive about your
7	father doing drugs?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. Thank you.
.0	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson?
.1	MR. JOHNSON: No further questions.
.2	THE COURT: All right. You may step down. Thank
.3	you.
. 4	(Witness excused.)
.5	THE COURT: Mr. Malone, do you have other
.6	witnesses?
.7	MR. MALONE: No, Your Honor, but I still have a
.8	little bit of argument.
.9	THE COURT: You may continue.
20	MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I think you can see that
21,	what my theme here is that we have a young man whose parental
22	supervision, parental upbringing was at best absent and at
2.3	worse abusive and negative and horrible.
24	We then have a period of time where intervention

by the State is made and things don't really get any better.

Drug after drug is prescribed. Incarceration after

incarceration is levied on him, and I just see a young person
whose head spinning. Nothing seems to go okay.

At school, when he is hit by somebody and there is an incident in elementary school where the other person strikes the first blow and then Michael -- Michael is punished when he fights back, that happens time and time again and nothing seems to work.

There are two ways to take that data, that evidence, that data and look at it. One is this is a person that we need to incarcerate for the safety of the community. It's not a bad argument, but you've seen the one incident of violence. You've seen the one incident where he's in the jail. His foot is stepped on by the deputy, taken to the medical unit and then he strikes back.

We know that he stole a gun. We also know that he offered to cooperate. He cooperated with law enforcement. It led to the arrest and prosecution of various people. He made an agreement with the State to -- Your Honor, I normally would want this all sealed and in a -- not to be -- not to have witnesses privy to it, but I think it's important to note that he did make an agreement with the State to testify and the prosecution of one of his co-defendants. He was

willing to be a witness. He eventually didn't need to be called, that was in the initial case. That was in 0084.

We also have a person I think who is wanting to make changes. You heard about the documentation in the letter that he wrote to his girlfriend and the mother of his child where he's talking about being a better person. He's talking about being a good example to other people, positive affirmations of what he wants his life to be and where he wants his life to go.

Now, one of these charges doesn't allow him to enter into the regimental discipline program. Obviously, the battery by a prisoner doesn't allow that. What we would suggest is, number one, that he be sent to prison, that he be sent to prison, that he be sent to prison, that he be sent down to the High Desert Facility and enter into the boot camp program. You do have the ability to do that. You can sentence him on 0084 to that program. You can suspend the sentencing in 0116. That — what that is going to do is give you more information about the character of this young man and whether he has the capacity to change.

We spoke earlier. I know that the Court said that didn't, I agree, that the better -- the more information that you had to make a judgment the better off things would be. I think this is an instance where you, the Court, have a

wonderful opportunity to gain more information about Mr. Cota. You know, I'm not going -- I'm not going to say that he's not going to go down there and fail. He can be back in a week. He can be back in a week or he can be back standing taller, standing prouder and being better.

He's been off any medications and off any drugs now for months. I don't think he wants to go down there and run and work out and be subject to discipline for the next six months, but I think it's something that might do him good. I think it also would give the Court more information about his character and where his role in our society is going to be.

The easy choice is to send him to prison, but he's eventually going to get out. Will he be better when he gets out if he does a few years, four or five years at most probably in our prison system, I don't think so. That hasn't seemed to work in the past. My hope for Michael Cota is that he has a wake-up call and he puts himself back together into a process where he can contribute to society instead of being a drag on it. I think you do have that option.

I don't see any reason that would prevent him entering the regimental discipline program. They may not accept him. They may send him back up here without him participating, but he may surprise us. He may surprise

himself, and he may surprise his family, and he may end up doing good things. He might be that example to his son, to his nephew that he wants to be. We can only hope. That would be my primary argument to Your Honor.

1.9

Regarding probation, I think if you were thinking about granting him probation, which is another possibility here, entering into an inpatient treatment program would be important. I'm just going to — I'm just going to present the Court with what I think is probably the best resolution of the case at this point in time. It gives you the option of say in six or seven months seeing that he's done well, maybe considering more likely to grant probation to him or maybe put together a sentence that would allow him the opportunity not to do a lengthy prison term.

So what it does is give the Court more information. It gives him the opportunity to grow and to prove himself and it does it in a very restrictive prison environment, and we would ask the Court to follow that or to consider that. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Malone.

Mr. Cota, both of these cases the law affords you an opportunity to personally address the Court and offer any evidence in mitigation. Would you like to address the Court at this time?

MR. MALONE: Do you want to talk to the Court?

THE COURT: Please, stand, Mr. Cota.

THE DEFENDANT: I wrote the Court a letter, well you. My name is Michael Cota. I come here — come before you today as a humble young man. I have made a lot of bad decisions in my life. I made a lot of mistakes, but I realize my past does not have to define me, and I won't allow it. I take full responsibility of my actions. I lay awake many nights thinking and wishing I could go back and change my actions.

I'm not only sorry because the consequence I'm facing but also -- I also have remorse because I let my stupid decisions ruin a good friendship. I'm not a bad person. I'm just a young man that has made a lot of stupid decisions. I would like to ask you to please consider granting me probation or the felon -- what?

MR. MALONE: Regimental treatment.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, the regimental discipline program, also become a positive role model to my nephew Elijah and my sister's pregnant so I would like to be a role model for my nephew or niece when he or she comes.

I have a lot of things pushing me and motivating me to do right. When I get out, most of all I would -- I want to change for myself so I can be the person that I want

to be for the loved ones and that they deserve. Thank you for listening to my request.

run consecutive.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cota.

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, the State is going to be recommending the following sentence and I would like to

Mr. Johnson, what does the State recommend?

discuss the reasons why I think it's the appropriate sentence. It's laid out in the sentencing memorandum. We're recommending for the first crime, grand larceny, a sentence of 12 to 30 months and for the second, battery by a prisoner, a sentence of 28 to 72 months, and we're asking that those

In addition to that, part of the guilty plea agreement that was signed by the defendant, we're going to be requesting that the defendant not have any contact or communication with Brittany Mastera (phonetic) or Deanna Jones McVay during the period he's incarcerated on parole or on probation as a result of the grand larceny of a firearm. I provided a police report in there about the threats he made. Deanna McVay is the mother of his child that the threats he made to her and while she was pregnant, the child who was in utero. And part of our guilty plea agreement was that we request the Court make the order of no contact order during the period of that termination. He made similar

threats to Brittany Mastera as part of an agreement.

In addition to that, we would be asking the defendant to pay restitution in the amount of \$969.19. And that he be placed -- that's our sentence, Your Honor, but I think it's important to look at the totality of what has happened here.

The defendant broke into the home of a member of his community. He stole an AR15 rifle. He stole another rifle. He stole a knife and ammunition and within a matter of hours later that day he already sold it to another gang member that his friend found, and that weapon is out there somewhere to be used by anyone for who knows what without any remorse at all or expressed remorse.

He was arrested for that offense. And while he was incarcerated, he had already came up with this plan how he's not going to accept responsibility for what he did and how he was going to get out of it. And you heard the jail calls about what he said. He said go ahead and talk to my mom. Tell them I have a mental issue and I'm addicted to methamphetamine, that was on May 3rd. He's already come up with his plan about how he's going to convince the Court about how he's deserving of probation or diversion or some other program.

Two days later he said, he laughed with his --

with Allie Scaffalani. He said I'm going to say I'm a fucking drug addict. I need fucking rehab and they laughed about the fact that I'm just going to say I'm a drug addict and get out of this thing, no big deal.

Then the next day or actually hours later, he's talking again with her and he says this is the plan, I'm going to act hella psycho and shit so I don't have to go to prison because I want a diversion program. I don't need to go to prison. I just have to say these things and the Court is going to go ahead and put me in a diversion program or in this case some sort of regimental discipline program. I'm just going to get out of that.

And then he says he's going to tell the same thing to his attorney, and that was the very beginning, that's already what his plan was. Now, you have been presented with what his statement was, what he told the psychologist who evaluated him and there in his statement, he says he's addicted to methamphetamine and marijuana, but we don't have any evidence other than that he's carrying out his plan to say I'm a big drug addict. I have these mental health problems to get out of this prison sentence that is awaiting me.

We heard from his sister. She said she never actually witnessed him using methamphetamine. So this was

his plan, but he didn't stop there. He didn't have remorse while he was in jail deciding what to do and get out. No, he decided what he was going to do was he was going to get mad and he was going to punch one of the deputies.

Now what happened there in jail, he was moved to another cell and he didn't like that they were forcing him to move to the other cell. So he got into a fight stance inside that jail. He tried to actually get out of the cell to attack the deputies. They closed the door at that time. He got into a fight. He threw his property down and he was ready to fight.

And just like what happens with any other person in jail, they went in to secure him and place him into a secured chair, gave him multiple commands to get on the ground and comply with the orders, comply with the orders in a jail facility, and he didn't comply with those orders, and they had to forcibly take him to the ground and in the midst of that he punched one deputy in the face and he knocked the arm of another deputy, hitting the taser out of his hand. He made that jail facility not secure, and he didn't stop there.

He went back outside and he continued to threaten those deputies. You heard him say to one deputy I'm going to break your jaw when I get out of here. That's a future act of violence. He's already saying what he's going to do, and

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24 he told another deputy I'm going to break your jaw too. we have somebody who has already provided in a sentencing memorandum, I'm not going to go through it, with a history of violence, over 40 acts of violence I provided in that sentencing memorandum showing that this is what he does. He's a violent person and in his history you'll read that.

We have an active example of what he pled quilty to, a future act of -- a present act of violence where he punched one deputy, hit the other deputy's arm in a secured jail facility, and then we have threats of future acts of violence.

And he's not just threatening those acts of violence as he is here, he's also as you heard testimony, he's repping his gang. You heard him on the video say Bloods, bro. I'm going to break your jaw. He's repping his gang, and he's already self-admitted to Deputy Torres. PSI says he's self-admitted that he's a member of the Bloods gang, and he's already threatening future acts of violence while repping his gang.

We have a threat to our community not just from what he's already done, not just we can look at his past history of violence, we can look at what he's already said he's going to do when he gets out of jail. He's going to threaten deputies and commit other crimes what I submit to

you unless -- unless we have some punishment that is more severe than what he's already had.

He's had every chance imaginable to get his life straight. I listed all of the facilities that he's been in Northern Nevada, and I'm not going to rehash those, every single one he's failed at. He's been medicated, and he's failed to change his behavior. And that's just part of it, Your Honor, is the violence, his gang association. We heard that he's hanging out with a validated member of the Playboy Bloods. He has his own admission that he's a member of the Bloods, and we don't need to encourage any other people to join that gang here in Douglas County. I submit that is a significant sentence, the absence of that would do that.

Then I want to talk about his remorse or in other words, his lack of remorse. This is what he said on July 9th, a day or day and a half after he punched a deputy in the face and you heard it, but I'm just going to rehash it. He says this, like Saturday morning, I'm like, do you need to know, I punched this bitch ass in the face. I got tased. There's nothing more to investigate, and then he laughs.

So I saw his ass yesterday, bro. He was in the bubble, and his ass looked like my eye. That shit was fucking hilarious. It was funny. That's what he thinks two

days after he punches a deputy in Douglas County that he thinks it's really funny that his eye is black and blue, just like his eye. That's not someone who has remorse. That's someone that thinks it's funny to commit acts of violence against law enforcement in this community, and I don't think we should allow that without a significant punishment of prison.

And then we have him saying I can show some emotion. This is on August 8th, 2018 when he's talking to McKenna, and he says this, the judge is going to grant me probation, I know that, but this is what I got to do.

McKenna told me I have to have emotions. I don't have any emotions about this. He said he has no emotions about what he did, not the grand larceny, not the punching of the deputy in our jail. He says but I'll go ahead and cry in court.

I'll do whatever I need to do to get back out on the streets.

I'll claim I'm a drug addict. I'll claim I'm hella psycho.

I'll claim that I have emotions, and I'm going to cry in court.

We haven't had any crying in court, but we have someone that is trying to manipulate the system to get back out on the streets to commit more acts of violence, and I don't think that is the appropriate sentence. I think in light of his past history, his propensity for violence,

his actual acts of violence that the appropriate sentence is what I just laid out to the Court, and we ask that be imposed.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Malone?

. 24

MR. MALONE: Quick response, Your Honor. To characterize that conversation as being one where Michael is saying that he is going to go and make up or fabricate psychiatric problems or drug use belie the fact that he has documented a long long documented history of psychiatric problems that were treated by extensive use of drugs and by his incarceration or his placement in treatment facilities over the years. I don't think that there's any counter to the issues of his exposure to drugs, his use of drugs.

And when we talk about gang activity, our gang expert, Deputy Torres, says guess how many members of the Bloods, the Playboy Bloods are here in Douglas County, one, Jobrontae Warner, so we don't have many.

We have a young man who fabricates things but they are not fabrications of his problems. They are fabrications of his toughness of his manliness and his ability, really the reaction to somebody who has been a victim and is trying not to be.

The Court saw the -- the jail video. The Court

saw an asp or extendable baton being pushed up underneath his nose while he's in a restraint chair, and what we do hear are words and threats back from Mr. Cota. But I would say under the circumstances where he's in pain, where he's being humiliated, where he is being punched, you saw the initial punches.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The police report say that one of the, not the deputy, the sheriff's report say that one of the deputies describes it very clinically as administering two full body blows to his crotch area. The deputy did that, so it's not surprising that Mr. Cota's behavior under those circumstances even though it wasn't initially very good either and he was provacative, the things he says in anger in pain are just that, they are things said in anger and pain, and they have minimal use in terms of determining what kind of person he is.

Regarding acts of violence, we have him throwing pillows. We have him pushing people. We have him kicking their feet in school. Those are all things he did as a child. In terms of his adult behavior, we have this fight in the jail, that's it in terms of violence.

> Thank you, Mr. Malone. THE COURT:

Mr. Johnson, are either of the victims present?

I don't believe any of the victims MR. JOHNSON:

are here, Your Honor. And if I could at the risk of one more minute, I just wanted to make one statement I forgot to make.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I just wanted to point out, I know that the --

THE COURT: Mr. Cota, and, Mr. Malone, you can have a seat. Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: I know that the defendant's admission in documentation in mitigation said the SORAG is not valid. The person that drafted the SORAG says on, I don't have the page number but it's in the exhibit, it says however the SORAG has been developed and shown to be valid even when a few of the variables are unknown and when the offender might be somewhat younger than the norm group. So I just wanted to point that out for the record that at least this person believes is appropriate for someone younger than the norm group.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I first would like to talk about credit for time served. In the first case, 084, parole and probation indicated that credit for time served would be 133 days and that was as of September 10th. I believe there's been an additional 28 days, and I'm coming up with a total of 161 days.

1	Does the division agree with that?
2	MS. CERNIGLIA: I agree, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Malone, do you agree with that?
4	MR. MALONE: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson?
6	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Also I would like to know from the
8	division, Ms. Cerniglia, if you know I believe it was
9	after the presentence investigation report was lodged,
10	although I could be mistaken about the timing, but I believe
11	it was after it was lodged, the State filed a sentencing
12	memorandum that the Court has received and considered under
13	seal, and I'm wondering if the division at all had that when
14	they came up with the sentencing recommendations that are
15	provided in the presentence report?
16	MS. CERNIGLIA: I don't believe so, Your Honor,
17	no.
18	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
19	Would either side like to comment on that?
20	Mr. Johnson, anything you would like to say about
21	that?
22	MR. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor, I think you can
23	consider both.
24	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Malone, any comments

1	you would like to make?
2	MR. MALONE: Please, Your Honor.
3	Ms. Cerniglia, you did follow the regular parole
4	and probation protocol in accessing Mr. Cota's records?
5	MS. CERNIGLIA: Yes.
6	MR. MALONE: Because he's under 21 years of age,
7	correct?
8	MS. CERNIGLIA: Yes. The only time are you
9	speaking about juvenile?
10	MR. MALONE: Yes, his juvenile record.
11	MS. CERNIGLIA: The only time we are allowed to
12	put in juvenile after the age of 21 is for violence or sexual
13	assault or something like that.
14	MR. MALONE: But my question here was you had
15	access to juvenile records, and you've listed in your report
16	and those were that list is pursuant to the statute that
17	you operate under, correct?
18	MS. CERNIGLIA: Yes, Heather Hardy wrote this
19	report and I believe she did, yes.
20	MR. MALONE: Okay. And we can see on page three
21	of that's listed on page three of 0084 and that's the same
22	page?
23	MS. CERNIGLIA: Yeah, yes.
4	MR. MALONE: Okay. And so you did have access to
L	CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	his juvenile history that is listed, two counts of battery,
2	J
3	correct?
4	MS. CERNIGLIA: I believe that's correct.
5	MR. MALONE: Okay. And you have experience in
6	preparing presentence investigation reports?
. 7	MS. CERNIGLIA: Yes, since 2000.
8	MR. MALONE: So, I mean, you're still learning
9	but you do have some experience.
10	MS. CERNIGLIA: There's always something to
11	learn.
12	MR. MALONE: And you're familiar with the methods
13	of accessing the juvenile history, correct?
14	MS. CERNIGLIA: Yes.
15	MR. MALONE: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Malone, you can have
17	a seat for a moment.
18	What I haven't seen yet and what I would like to.
19	see before I make my decision is the letter that was
20	introduced. It might have been Exhibit 18, and I would like
21	to verify that have all exhibits now been given to the clerk?
22	THE CLERK: Yes.
23	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor, it should be
24	Exhibit 17 through 25.

THE COURT: All right. I'm just going to take a moment to look at 18 because I hadn't seen that prior.

All right. Mr. Cota, please, stand.

I appreciate all of the information and arguments that have been supplied to the Court. I believe it's important that a sentencing Court be well informed, and I believe the parties have done that. The Court finds that all of the evidence presented is relevant to the Court's determination today and the Court finds that none of the evidence is highly suspect.

Mr. Cota, all of the information about your juvenile history is important to the Court as a matter of assessing your overall person and how you present to the Court. However, a lot more goes into deciding a sentence than just looking at your history, that is one component, and the Court has looked closely at both of these cases and the facts and circumstances surrounding those cases. The Court has also taken into consideration all of the comments and arguments made by your counsel and all of the evidence that's been presented here today.

The reason I ask the division whether they had the sentencing memorandum when they devised their recommendation is the Court is always mindful about attempting not to put too much emphasis on one piece of

·3

information and instead of looking at the totality of the circumstances. It was interesting to the Court that the division's recommendation is what it is which is a recommendation for prison without having the State's full sentencing memorandum. Now, that doesn't at all cause me to go one way or another, but I thought it was interesting of their assessment.

And, Ms. Cerniglia, I would just like to confirm with you, that's correct, you did not have the State's sentencing memorandum when the division prepared the presentence reports?

MS. CERNIGLIA: I don't believe -- Heather Hardy wrote this report, and I looked through the files after you asked that question to see if I saw anything in there and I did not.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. CERNIGLIA: So I don't think so.

THE COURT: It doesn't factor into my decision-making here, Mr. Cota. I just found it interesting that they came up with the recommendation they did even if the Court — even if they didn't consider the information that Mr. Malone has objected to. And so, of course, when I consider everything, I'm looking at the totality, again, of the circumstances and I start with the two cases that you're

here on.

In the first case, it is a crime of theft and it's a crime of theft of a firearm and a firearm that is an AR15, all of which presents a serious set of circumstances to the Court. The factual circumstances behind the theft include burglary and burglary of a firearm. Again, all of these facts and circumstances are things that the Court find to be significantly serious.

The second case involves a crime of violence and it is committed at a time when you are already in custody on the first case and the crime of violence is directed towards law enforcement, and there are also with that threats of violence in the future to law enforcement, and so those are things, again, the Court considers very seriously.

I think Mr. Malone's comments regarding your juvenile conduct and things like throwing pills and kicking feet is well taken but that's not what we're taking about here. We are talking about an adult, you, who is in custody doing these things to law enforcement and taking acts of violence and threatening future violence. Again, the Court takes these things very seriously.

The gang information is important. It's one of the factors that the Court considers and it's a relevant factor to consider particularly when thinking about general

deterrence, and so those things, again, I find are important to my consideration and a part of the consideration.

But what I really -- when I look at the two cases you come before me on, yes, you are young and I've taken that into consideration. And in the first case, it's your first case in -- actually, it's not your very first case in the criminal system. It's your first felony case in the criminal system and I take that into consideration but as I've indicated, serious offenses here, and that's before we even get into thinking about gang involvement and even before we get into looking at your history.

So I've considered, Mr. Cota, whether you would be a good candidate for probation, and the Court finds that you are not a good candidate for supervision. You have made some really really poor decisions here and they are decisions that from the Court's perspective are deserving of a prison sentence.

Mr. Cota, I hope, you have — you are 19 years old. You have the ability still to make better decisions. There is going to come a day when you are out of custody and you are going to have a long life after that, and you're going to have some decisions to make then whether you want to continue the life you've begun here and live that life in prison or whether you can be the person that I believe you

2

3

5

6

1

8

10

11

12 13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

can be, but you've got to make the decisions, Mr. Cota, and again, you have not made good decisions here.

Turning to sentencing in Case Number 18CR0084, Mr. Cota, the Court now adjudicates you guilty of Count Four which is principal to grand larceny of a firearm which I'm now prepared to sentence you.

Mr. Johnson, it's my understanding from the plea negotiations that upon sentencing, the State would be moving to dismiss the other counts; is that correct?

MR. JOHNSON: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. As to Count Four, the Court is going to follow the recommendations of the division of parole and probation. Mr. Cota, you are ordered to pay an administrative assessment fee of \$25, a DNA fee \$150, a genetic marker fee of \$3. The Court is not going to order attorney's fees, however, because I am going to order restitution, and the Court does expect you to pay that restitution. In fact, it will be the order of the Court that you appear before the Court the first Monday following sentencing or excuse me, the first Monday following your release from custody to set up a payment plan to pay back the restitution in this case and any outstanding fees and The restitution is ordered in the amount of assessments. \$969.16.

22.

Department of Corrections with minimum parole eligibility beginning when 16 months have been served. You are granted credit for time served of 161 days. There was a component of the plea agreement that talked about a no contact provision, and I would like the parties — counsels' input regarding whether the Court can order that in a situation where the Court is ordering prison time. So, in other words, Mr. Cota is not being — he's not on probation. He's not being supervised. He's being sent to prison. So really it's just a question I have for counsel whether you believe that that is something the Court has authority to do.

You are ordered to serve 72 months in the Nevada

Mr. Johnson, what are your thoughts in that regard?

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, it was part of the agreement to dismiss the other counts that he had agreed. I think it can definitely be — be imposed in — when he's paroled as a condition of the parole that he not have contact. I don't know whether during — whether you can control what the prison does in terms of contact over the phone, so I'm not sure what your jurisdiction is over that. However, it is — I suppose I should say that our dismissing it is contingent on him following through on the agreement, but we ask that in the event that he is paroled that that be

a condition in light of his threats.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Malone, what are your

thoughts?

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I have no opinion.

THE COURT: What's that?

MR. MALONE: I don't have an opinion. I haven't researched that.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I tend to agree with what Mr. Johnson said. I don't know that in a situation where I'm sending somebody to prison that I can tell that the prison what the rules are going to be or that I can tell Mr. Cota what the rules are going to be. I'm sending him to prison, and it will be the prison's decision how to handle that.

I do, however, and I will state for the record, I encourage the division of parole and probation upon Mr. Cota's release to honor what I believe is the agreement of the parties. That was the plea agreement and so I certainly encourage that but it's encouragement only, not a Court order.

Ms. Cerniglia, do you have input?

MS. CERNIGLIA: I was just going to say at the time when he would go before the board and when -- when granted parole, they normally read the PSI. They have a copy

\_\_\_\_\_CAPITOL REPORTERS (775)882-5322

1	of it and they normally do honor that.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MS. CERNIGLIA: While taking that into
4	consideration.
5	I did have one other question. Is the
6	restitution joint and several with Robert Donald Brown?
7	THE COURT: Yes, it is. Thank you for that
8	clarification.
9	MS. CERNIGLIA: And on this case only?
10	THE COURT: Yes. Thank you, Ms. Cerniglia.
11	MS. CERNIGLIA: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: The Court now finds it appropriate to
13	dismiss the other counts of the information unless there's
14	any objection, Mr. Johnson?
15	MR. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right. So the other counts of
.17	the information which I believe are Counts One, Two, Three
18	and Five are dismissed; is that correct, Mr. Johnson?
19	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Malone, do you agree with that?
21	MR. MALONE: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. Turning to the other
23	case, Case Number 18CR0116, the Court has my comments for
24	the other case really are similar. Although, parties have

argued the case jointly here today. It's the Court's job to consider each case individually and I have done so here even though my comments in both cases are similar.

I've already indicated here that this is a crime of violence and Mr. Malone stated that would keep you — make it improper for you, actually that you would not be qualified for the regimental discipline program and I considered that, Mr. Malone, when talking about the first case which is not a crime of violence, so I did factor that in in my assessment. But with this new case where it is a crime of violence, the Court has considered in both cases whether to give you regimental discipline and that request is denied based upon primarily the new case being a crime of violence which speaks to the Court loudly about whether you would be a good candidate for that program even on the other case which is not a crime of violence.

So in Case Number 18CR0116, the Court adjudicates Mr. Cota guilty of the crime of battery by a prison in lawful custody which is a category B felony for which the Court is now prepared to impose sentence.

Mr. Malone, do you agree that Mr. Cota does not get any credit for time served in this case?

MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I believe it -- it depends on whether or not you're going to run consecutive or

concurrent.

В

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MALONE: I don't know yet.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Johnson, the division recommends zero days credit for time served. Do you agree with that?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. It's my understanding he committed it in jail. He was already incarcerated for the other one.

THE COURT: All right, very good.

The defendant is sentenced to pay the administrative assessment fee of \$25. The Court is not going to impose a DNA fee because the DNA fee was imposed in 18CR0084. The Court does impose a genetic marker fee of \$3. And once again the Court is not going to impose attorney's fees.

The Court is going to follow the division's recommendation as to this case as well. Mr. Cota is sentenced to serve 72 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections with minimum parole eligibility beginning when 24 months have been served. That sentence is to be run consecutive to Case Number 18CR0084. The Court finds the consecutive sentence to be appropriate. This is a separate offense committed on a different day, and it's a different

2 finds it appropriate to impose a consecutive sentence in this 3 case. 4 Mr. Malone, if you -- it's my intention to grant 5 zero days credit for time served on this case, but I would 6 certainly listen to any argument from you. 7 MR. MALONE: Your Honor, I think that's the 8 correct rule where you're applying the correct rule. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Johnson, do you have any 10 comment you would like to make on credit for time served? 11 MR. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: As the Court sentence on both of 13 these cases, Mr. Malone, do you have anything further for 14 today's purpose? 15 MR. MALONE: No, Your Honor. The -- yes, and 16 this is perhaps a little bit silly, but I do have -- Mr. Cota 17 does have legal paperwork that he wishes me to take 18 possession of, and I'm not sure when he will be transported 19 from the Douglas County Jail. If I could have some quidance 20 on when they usually pick people up. 21 THE COURT: Typically the jail does not publicize 22 that and it's for obvious reasons. So what I would recommend 23 to you is if you have some information, documents that you

type of an offense with another victim, and so the Court

1

24

would like to go along with Mr. Cota, I understand that's

what your question is.

MR. MALONE: Whether or not I'm going to have a day or two.

THE COURT: Okay. What I would suggest you do is immediately after today, and this is actually the last matter on the adult calendar, you can either ask the jail or one of the bailiffs here for some input on that. They probably are going to tell you to give it to him soon if it's something that you want to ensure is going to go with him.

MR. MALONE: Okay.

THE COURT: Do either of the bailiffs have any additional information they would like to provide, Deputy Nishikida.

THE BAILIFF: Well, typically, Your Honor, that it depends on how long the clerk's office takes to do an order of judgment of conviction and order them produced to the sheriff's office to transport to the prison. So, you know, that depends on their schedule and stuff like that. I would necessarily say that, yes, he has anything that he wants to send or give to him, he needs to do it probably by the end of business today.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Malone, if it helps at all, usually a judgment of conviction will be signed by the end of today, sometimes within the next day.

MR. MALONE: Okay. There are times you have couple of THE COURT: days but that gives you an idea. We do attempt to get the judgments out as soon as possible. MR. MALONE: Thank you. I appreciate that. THE COURT: Court stands in recess. 

1 .	STATE OF NEVADA, ) ss.
2	CARSON CITY. )
3	
4	I, KATHY JACKSON, Nevada Certified Court Reporter
5	Number 402, do hereby certify:
6	That I was present in the District Court in Minden, in
7	and for the State of Nevada, on Monday, October 8, 2018, for
8	the purpose of reporting in verbatim stenotype notes the
9	within-entitled Sentencing Hearing;
10	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1
11	through 97, is a full, true and correct transcription of said
12	Sentencing Hearing.
13	
14	Dated at Carson City, Nevada, this 18th day
15	of October, 2018.
16	
17	
18	/s/Relikubekspickon
19	KATHY JACKSON, ECR Nevada CCR #402
20	
21	
22	·
23	·
24	

1	CAPITOL REPORTERS
2	123 W. Nye Lane, Suite 107 Carson City, Nevada 89706
3	775-882-5322
4	THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
5	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS
6	STATE OF NEVADA, Case No. 18-CR-00084
7	Plaintiff, 18-CR-00116 v. Dept. No. 2
8	MICHAEL L. COTA, JR., Defendant.
9	Affirmation
10	Pursuant to NRS 239B.030
11	The Undersigned does hereby affirm that the following
12	document <b>DOES NOT</b> contain the social security number of any person: (List of document(s) attached below)
13	1) Sentencing 10/8/18
14	-or-
15	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the document named below <b>DOES</b> contain the social security number of a person as required by state or federal law or for the
16	administration of a public program or for an application for a federal or state grant: (List of document(s) attached
17	containing social security number information below)
18	1)
19	2)
20	The state of the s
21	(Your signature) Kathy Jackson (Your signature) Kathy Jackson (Your signature)
22	
23	
4	•
	——————————————————————————————————————

RECEIVED FILED JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ. 1 State Bar No. 5706 NOV 06 2018 2 209 N. Pratt Ave. Carson City, NV 89701 Douglas County District Court Clerk Telephone (775) 461-0254 3 Attorney for Petitioner 4 5 IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF STATE OF NEVADA 6 7 IN AND FOR DOUGLAS COUNTY 8 MICHAEL LUIS COTA, 9 CASE NO. 18-CR-0084 10 Petitioner. DEPT NO. II 11 VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA, 12 13 Respondent. 14 15 REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 TO: NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK **CAPITOL RECORDERS** 17 18 MICHAEL LUIS COTA, Defendant named above, requests preparation of a transcript of proceedings before the District Court, as follows: 19 20 Specific individual dates of proceedings for which transcripts are being requested: October 8, 2018 (Sentencing Hearing). 21 Specific portions of the transcript being requested: N/A. 22 23 Number of copies required: 3. 24

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN MALONE 209 N. Pratt Ave Carson City, Nevada 69701 I hereby certify that on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2018, I ordered the transcript(s) listed above from the court reporter named above, and will submit the invoice from the court reporter to the court once received as this is a court appointed matter.

DATED this day of Nou., 2018.

JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 5706 209 M. Pratt Ave. Carson City, NV 89701 T. (775) 461-0254 F: (775) 461-0237

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN MALONE 209 N. Prati Ave Carson City, Nevada 89701

23

24

Ч8**Ч** Page 3 of 3 RECEIVED

MOV 06 2013

JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5706 209 N. Pratt Ave. Carson City, NV 89701 Attorney for Petitioner

Douglas County District Court Clerk 2018 NOV - 6 AM 10: 30

BOBBIER. WILLIAMS

CLERK

5

4

1

2

3

J

6

7 8

Λ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR DOUGLAS COUNTY

MICHAEL LUIS COTA,

Petitioner,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

CASE NO.

18-CR-0084

DEPT NO. II

#### **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

NOTICE is hereby given that MICHAEL LUIS COTA, Defendant above named, by and through his attorney, JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ., hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the Ninth Judicial District Court's Judgment of Conviction of Mr. Cota filed on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2018.

This appeal is to all issues of law.

DATED this 5th day of November

, 2018.

JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ. Attorney for the Petitioner, Michael Luis Cota

485

Page 1 of 2

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN MALONE 209 N. Pratt Ave Carson City, Neveda 89701

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that service of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing and/or hand delivery in Carson City, Nevada, addressed to each of the following:

Douglas County District Attorney's Office PO Box 218 Minden, NV 89423

Michael Luis Cota, #1206075 NNCC PO Box 7000 Carson City, NV 89702

DATED this 5th day of November, 2018

Kelly Atkinson

NW Office of John Malone 209 N. Prett Ave

Page 2 of 2

1	<u>-</u> ر			
		RECEIV	/ED	
. 1°	JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ.	MOA 0 0	2018	FILED
2	Nevada Bar No. 5706 209 N. Pratt Ave.	Douglas Co District Cour	ounty t Clerk	2010 NOV -6 AM 10: 30
3	Carson City, NV 89701 Attorney for Petitioner		•	BOBBIE R. WILLIAMS CLERK
4				BY-AWAMSEPUTY
5				
6				
7	IN AND FOR CARSON CITY			
8	MICHAEL LUIS COTA,	i	I	
9				
10	Petitioner,		CASE 1	E NO. 18-CR-0084
11	VS.		DEPT N	NO. II
12	THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
13	Respondent.			
14	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT			
15	Name of Appellant filing this case appeal statement: MICHAEL LUIS COTA.			
16	2. Identify the judge issuing the order appealed from: HON. THOMAS W. GREGORY.			
17	3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:			
18	MICHAEL LUIS COTA, Appellant, JOHN E. MALONE, ESQ., Counsel, 209 N. Pratt			
19	Ave., Carson City, NV 89701.			
20	4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for			
21	each respondent: STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent, Douglas County District			
22	Attorney, 1038 Buckey	e Road, Post (	Office Box	218, Minden, Nevada 89423.
23	111			

5.	Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is no
	licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the District Court granted that
	attorney permission to appear under SCR 42: Both attorneys identified in questions 3
	and 4 are licensed to practice in Nevada.

- 6. Indicate whether Appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the District Court: Counsel was appointed.
- 7. Indicate whether Appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

  Counsel is appointed.
- 8. Indicate whether Appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the District Court Order granting such leave: Not applicable.
- 9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the District Court: Sentencing hearing, held on October 8, 2018.
- 10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the District Court, including the type of Order being appealed and the relief granted by the District Court: This is a direct appeal from a Judgment of Conviction. Appellant pled guilty to one count of Principal to Grand Larceny of a Firearm, a category B felony. Appellant was sentenced to a maximum term of seventy-two (72) months and a minimum of term of sixteen (16) months and ordered to be joint and severally liable for restitution in the amount of nine hundred sixty-nine dollars and eighteen cents (\$969.18).

At sentencing, the District Court, over defense objection, allowed a sentencing memo containing confidential juvenile justice information, and testimony regarding gang membership, to be introduced and considered at Mr. Cota's sentencing.

||///

24 ||//

OFFICE OF JOHN MALONE

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding: Not applicable.

12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation: Not applicable.

13. If this civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement: Not applicable.

DATED this 5 day of November, 2018.

IOHN E. MALONE, ESQ.

NV State Bar No. 5706

209 N Pratt Ave.

Carson City, NV 89701

T: (775) 461-0254

Attorney for the Petitioner,

Michael Luis Cota

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that service of the foregoing CASE APPEAL STATEMENT was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing and/or hand delivery in Carson City, Nevada, addressed to each of the following:

> Douglas County District Attorney's Office PO Box 218 Minden, NV 89423

Michael Luis Cota, #1206075 **NNCC** PO Box 7000 Carson City, NV 89702

DATED this 5th day of November, 2018.

Kelly Atkinson

### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE CLERK

MICHAEL LUIS COTA,

Appellant.

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

2018 NOV 19 Supreme Court No. 77415 Biblishick Court Case No. 18-CR-0084

NOV 19 2018 BY ALTON DEPUTY

**Douglas County** 

# Douglas Court Clerk District Court Clerk RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS

TO: John E. Malone

Douglas County District Attorney/Minden \ Mark B. Jackson, District Attorney

Bobbie W. Williams, Douglas County Clerk /

You are hereby notified that the Clerk of the Supreme Court has received and/or filed the following:

11/15/2018

Appeal Filing Fee waived. Criminal. (SC)

11/15/2018

Filed Notice of Appeal. Appeal docketed in the Supreme Court this

day. (Docketing statement and Notice of Briefing Schedule mailed to

counsel for appellant.) (SC)

DATE: November 15, 2018

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court lh