APR 1 9 2022

Fast Track Response

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THOMAS BAHR } Supreme Court Case No.: 84306

A. B., Appellant } District Case No.: D-16-538413-C

v.

GESENIA ARTEAGA }

C. D., Respondent }

FAST TRACK RESPONSE

- Name of party filing this fast track response:
 Gesenia Arteaga.
- 2. Name, address, and telephone number of submitting this fast track response:

Gesenia Arteaga

P.O. Box 2743. Carson City, NV 89702. (702)513-8712

3. Name, address, and telephone number of appellate counsel if different from trial counsel:

Thomas Patrick Bahr

8975 W. Warm Springs Road. Apt:18-2128.

Las Vegas, NV 89148. (702)534-7729, Cell (702)883-2714.



4. Proceedings raising same issues. List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently pending before this court, of which you are aware, which raise the same issues raised in this appeal:

D-16-538413-C, J-19-347640-P1, T-17-17-178387-T, R-15-190227-R They are all linked to case D-16-538413-C.

5. Procedural history. Briefly describe the procedural history of the case only if dissatisfied with the history set forth in the fast track statement:

This case has been going on since 2016, and has been move to different

case number by the continuum actions of the Appellant Mr Thomas Bahr.

6. Statement of facts. Briefly set forth the fact's material to the issues on appeal only if dissatisfied with the statement set forth in the fast track statement (provide citations for every assertion of fact to the appendix, if any, or to the rough draft transcript):

Motions of modification of Custody; should have been Sole Custody to Gesenia Arteaga (the mother), concerning (daughter) Gesenia Diane Arteaga Bahr, whereas the previous, and resent trial has confirmed the fabrications set forth over the years from the Appellant Mr. Thomas Bahr has continued. The endangerment of

chemo-medication overdoses, no co-parenting on cancer treatments health updates, medication changes, over all the well-being of the situation concerning daughters health, emotional, and physical disposition when visits fall on his days, as well the mental evaluation documents sent as evidence stating his mental disposition by professionals, backing up the history of records on appeals volume 5, as well as CPS history concerning all cases D-16-538413-C, J-19-347640-PC, T-17-178387-T, R-15-190227-R.

7. Issues on appeal. State concisely your response to the principal issue(s) in this appeal:

The safety of daughter's well-being, Mr. Thomas Bahr continues to interrogate, manipulate, harass, intimidate, being mental and emotional abusive, and directing her what to say on camera continuously after informed by all judges to stop with the abuse. Mr. Thomas Bahr continues the same behaviors to get information about (mother) Gesenia Arteaga to continue to harass, stalk, and terrorize. Continuously even now wishing for death upon (mother) still. Daughter Gesenia Diane Arteaga Bahr is still visiting cancer clinics Cure4thekids on 1 Breakthrough Way Las Vegas, NV 89135, and still is going to have an operation soon.

8. Legal argument, including authorities:

Daughter was never subjected to any Domestic Violence as stated, when the event happened years ago and not present; Daughter was woken up to be removed from the area not understanding why by CPS and police officer. Daughter was given back as soon mother moved out to relative's house. When (mother) Gesenia Arteaga got primary custody daughter had become student of the month 2021. The only Domestic Violence daughter ever had subject witness too was Mr. Thomas Bahr attacking me on case T-17-178387-T, and R-15-190227-R. Where a restraining order was granted see on record on appeals pages 1129 for more legal arguments displaying same erratic behaviors.

On findings No.2 Case J-19-347640-P1 Mr. Thomas Bahr did not have favor, this is fabricated, Daughter had to be removed. (mother) got Sole Custody until he completed his charges, needed supervised visits with multiple agencies because of misconduct, and learned to behave until he wasn't under the radar. Mr. Thomas Bahr was informed to stop mentally, physically, and emotionally abusing her, and as recent trials has informed him before, and still having the same behaviors of abusive conduct concerning putting her on camera recording her couching her torturing her mentally, and emotionally using her again as a tool to incriminate (mother) Gesenia Arteaga. While still in the care of cancer clinics.

On finding No.3 Communicated to Mr. Bahr about work hours, and again he continues to put daughter through traumatizing events, with holding her from me, as done before where I had to get a pickup order from the court few times. Mr. Thomas Bahr using my daughter as a tool. Look at pages 1137 on record of appeals volume 5.

On finding No.5 Mr. Bahr continues to intimidate, terrorizes daughter about killing (mother) Gesenia Arteaga, and wishing on her death that has been interviewed by Law Enforcements, CPS,

Ex-family Members, and his Family Members that all fear for daughter's and mother's safety. Concerning daughters Pediatrician, the only ones are Dr. Waseem, and Dr Green. Mr Bahr has fabricated, unfounded the police report, and CPS that was made of any abuse concerning daughter and her well-being against (mother) Gesenia Arteaga.

On finding No.6 Please refer back to minutes of trial.

On finding No.7 On case J-19-347640-PC this statement wasn't properly addressed Mr. Bahr didn't have favor but did trial and needed to complete judgment on this case please look at documents sent with paperwork Eighth Judicial District Court Case.

On finding No.8 The evidence that was already filed was under the CPS documents, and police reports. This statement by Appellant is fabricated.

On finding No.9 (Mother) Gesenia Arteaga asked daughter if Mr. Thomas Bahr continues to give her oil massages, she stated no, but he has continue to be with her the showers and bathing her, even after she has stated she is eight years old and can do this herself, doesn't want his help, to stop. Mr. Thomas Bahr ignores her request of hand bathing her and being in the showers with her. Mother has addressed this matter with CPS, waiting on update on the matter with CPS.

On finding No.12 The statement about my daughter being exposed by Domestic Violence was fabricated the kids were asleep and were awaken by Law Enforcement and CPS that happened years ago, and my daughter is safe with me, where we live alone, and have confidential address program authorization for our safety from Mr. Thomas Bahr.

On finding No.13 By the reports with CPS please look over daughter statements, interviews, and reports. She has informed me she knows how to survive the few days at Mr. Thomas Bahr's apartment.

9. Preservation of issues. State concisely your response to appellant's position concerning the preservation of issues on appeal:

Mr. Thomas Bahr and Gesenia Arteaga are responsible on accumulating information on the matter at hand or should have employed representation. This judgement was based on history of 6 years of Court, CPS and Law enforcement involvement. Preparation was informed to each party and given extensions for misunderstood process. Concerning the outcome of the Appellant and preparation is not at fault on the judge or the behaviors conducted by the Appellant. (Daughter) Gesenia Diane Arteaga Bahr with Monday 9AM to 8PM Tuesday is still having continues abusive behaviors from Appellant Mr. Thomas Bahr. While still under the care of cancer clinics. She had to endure this traumatic experience threw cancer without the appellant considering of her well-being, and continues to do so. (Mother) Gesenai Arteaga cares deeply for daughter she is the love of her life, and every day that she is alive is a gift from her higher power, Mother only want peace for her, and safety. (Mother) Gesenia Arteaga believe with video chat visitations only from Mr. Thomas Bahr will be enough for her

peace of mind. He has not yet paid in child support but bought a \$3,000.00 dog concerning the responsibilities of co-parenting.

VERIFICATION

- 1. I hereby certify that this fast track response complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because:
- [x] This fast track response has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using [state name and version of word processing program] in [state font size and name of type style]; or
- [x] This fast track response has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using [state name and version of word processing program] with [state number of characters per inch and name of type style].
- 2. I further certify that this fast track response complies with the page- or type-volume limitations of NRAP 3C(h)(2) because it is either:

| [|] Proportionately spaced, has a typeface of 14 points or more and contain | |
|----|---|--|
| | words; or | |
| |] Monospaced, has 10.5 or fewer characters per inch, and contains words | |
| or | lines of text; or | |
| | [x] Does not exceed 32 pages. | |

3. Finally, I recognize that pursuant to NRAP 3C I am responsible for filing a timely fast track response and that the Supreme Court of Nevada may sanction an attorney for failing to file a timely fast track response, or failing to cooperate fully with appellate counsel during the course of an appeal. I therefore certify that the information provided in this fast track response is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

| Dated this 15 day of April, 2022 |
|--|
| (Signature) |
| |
| Gesenia Arteaga |
| P.O. Box 2743, Carson City. NV 89702 (Address) |
| (702)513-8712 (Telephone Number) |