				7/18/2022 12:08 PM	
				Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT	
	1	MORRIS LAW CENTER		Alemas, Linus	,
	2	SARAH A. MORRIS, ESQ.		Com	
	2	Nevada Bar No. 8461			
	3	sarah@morrislawcenter.com			
		TIMOTHY A. WISEMAN, ESQ.			
	$_4$	Nevada Bar No. 13786		Electronically Filed	
		tim@morrislawcenter.com		Jul 25 2022 11:15 a.m.	
	5	5450 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 330		Elizabeth A. Brown	
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89146		Clerk of Supreme Court	
	6	Telephone: (702) 850-7798			
	7	Facsimile: (702) 850-7998			
	'	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
	8				
CENTER	- 1	DISTRICT (COURT		
	9				
		CLARK COUNT	Y, NEVADA	L	
	10				
	11	MARIA MCMILLIN, an individual,			
	11		Case No.:	A-19-787989-C	
	12	Plaintiff,			
30			Dept. No.:	1	
EY EY	13	VS.			
\rightarrow Z					
SS	14				
ZĔ	15	ROBERT THOMPSON; Individually and as			
	13	Franchisee; TYRON HENDERSON,			
MORRIS	16	Individually; DOES I-XX, inclusive; and ROE			
\subseteq	_	CORPORATIONS I-XX, inclusive			
\geq	17				
		Defendants.			
	18				
>>	19	NOTICE OF	4 DDE 4 T		
	17	NOTICE OF	APPEAL		
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				

Electronically Filed 7/18/2022 12:08 PM

WORRIS LAW CENTER MORRIS LAW CENTER ATTORNEYS AT LAW 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

NOTICE is hereby given that the Plaintiff, Maria McMillan, appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the District Court's ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59 and all orders merged into that order.¹

Dated this 18th day of July, 2022.

MORRIS LAW CENTER

By: Jimothy & Wiseman

Sarah A. Morris, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8461 Timothy A. Wiseman Nevada Bar No. 13786 Attorneys for Plaintiff

¹ This expressly includes the Order Granting Summary Judgment filed on November 23, 2021.

MORRIS LAW CENTER ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury, that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years, and I am not a party to, nor interested in, this action. On this date, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL by the method indicated:

BY U.S. MAIL: by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada addressed as set forth below.

BY PERSONAL DELIVERY: by causing personal delivery via messenger service of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es)

X

BY ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION: submitted to the above-entitled Court for electronic filing and service upon the Court's Service List for the above-referenced case.

and addressed to the following:

set forth below.

Steve T. Jaffe, Esq. Cindie D. Hernandez, Esq. HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 7425 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89128 Attorney for Defendant

Dated this 18th day of July, 2022.

An employee of Morris Law Center

25

26

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 MORRIS LAW CENTER SARAH A. MORRIS, ESO. 2 Nevada Bar No. 8461 sarah@morrislawcenter.com 3 TIMOTHY A. WISEMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13786 4 tim@morrislawcenter.com 5450 W. Sahara Ave. Suite 330 5 Las Vegas, NV 89146 6 Telephone: (702) 850-7798 Facsimile: (702) 850-7998 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 MARIA MCMILLIN, an individual 11 Case No.: A-19-787989-C Plaintiff, 12 Dept. No.: 1 VS. 13 ROBERT THOMPSON; Individually and as 14 Franchisee; TYRON HENDERSON, 15 Individually; DOES I-XX, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-XX, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 AND ALL RELATED MATTERS. 18 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 19 1. APPELLANT FILING THIS CASE APPEAL STATEMENT: 20 Maria McMillin 21 2. JUDGE ISSUING THE JUDGMENT OR ORDER APPEALED FROM: 22 The Honorable Judge Bita Yeager 23

Electronically Filed 7/18/2022 12:13 PM

ALL PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING IN THE DISTRICT COURT:

1

26

3.

MORRIS LAW CENTER

	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
WW	11
AII	12
NEID	13
IOKI	14
ALI	15
	16
	17
	18
A A III	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

24

25

26

1

2

3

Counsel for Respondents; Robert Thompson and Tyron[e] Henderson	1
HALL JEFFE CLAYTON	

STEVE T. JAFFE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7035
sjaffe@lawhjc.com
CINDIE D. HERNANDEZ, ESQ
Nevada Bar No. 7218
chernandez@lawhjc.com
7425 Peak Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

Telephone: (702) 316-4111 Fascimile: (702) 316-4114

Respondents were represented in the district court by the above listed attorneys, and upon information and belief, will also be represented by the same attorneys on appeal.

6. WHETHER APPELLANT WAS REPRESENTED BY APPOINTED OR RETAINED COUNSEL IN THE DISTRICT COURT:

Appellant was represented by retained counsel, Mainor Wirth, in the Eighth Judicial District Court Action.

7. RETAINED COUNSEL ON APPEAL:

Appellant is represented by retained counsel, Morris Law Center and Mainor Wirth, on appeal.

8. WHETHER APPELLANT WAS GRANTED LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND THE DATE OF ENTRY OF THE DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING SUCH LEAVE:

Appellant has not moved for leave to file an appeal in forma pauperis.

9. INDICATE THE DATE THE PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED IN THE DISTRICT COURT:

The Complaint in this matter was filed with the Eighth Judicial District Court on January 23, 2019.

MORRIS LAW CENTER

10. PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE OF THE ACTION AND RESULT:

This action focuses on claims of personal injury caused by negligence or negligent hiring, training, and supervision. Plaintiff received serious injuries after Defendant Henderson, an employee of the establishment, collided with her while she was shopping.

This appeal concerns claims against Defendant Tyrone Henderson, which were resolved in favor of the Defendant on summary judgment. Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration which was denied in relevant part. The order removing Mr. Henderson from the case was certified as final pursuant to NRCP 54(b).

11. PREVIOUS APPEAL OR WRIT PROCEEDING:

A previous appeal was filed in this case under case number 84015. That motion was administratively dismissed with the Nevada Supreme Court noting that the appeal may have been premature due to timely motion to alter or amend under NRCP 59.

12. CHILD CUSTODY:

This appeal does not involve child custody or visitation.

13. POSSIBILITY OF SETTLEMENT:

Settlement may be possible, and Appellant is prepared to negotiate in good faith. Dated this 18th day of July, 2022.

MORRIS LAW CENTER

By: Jimathy a Wisiman

Sarah A. Morris, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8461 Timothy A. Wiseman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13786 Attorneys for Plaintiff

MORRIS LAW CENTER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury, that I am over the age of
eighteen (18) years, and I am not a party to, nor interested in, this action. On this date, I
caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing CASE APPEAL
STATEMENT by the method indicated:

BY FAX: by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m. pursuant to EDCR Rule 7.26(a). A printed transmission record is attached to the file copy of this document(s).

BY E-MAIL: by transmitting via e-mail the document(s) listed above to the email addresses set forth below and/or included on the Court's Service List for the above-referenced case.

BY U.S. MAIL: by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada addressed as set forth below.

BY OVERNIGHT MAIL: by causing document(s) to be picked up by an overnight delivery service company for delivery to the addressee(s) on the next business day.

BY PERSONAL DELIVERY: by causing personal delivery via messenger service of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

BY ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION: submitted to the above-entitled Court for electronic filing and service upon the Court's Service List for the above-referenced case.

and addressed to the following:

Steve T. Jaffe, Esq. Cindie D. Hernandez, Esq. HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 7425 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89128 Attorney for Defendant

Dated this 18th day of July, 2022.

An employee of Morris Law Center

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-787989-C

Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

vs.

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

Location: Department 1
Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita
Filed on: 01/23/2019

Case Number History:

Cross-Reference Case A787989

Number:

Supreme Court No.: 84015

CASE INFORMATION

Statistical Closures

11/23/2021 Summary Judgment

Case Type: Negligence - Premises Liability

Case Flags: Appealed to Supreme Court

Jury Demand Filed Arbitration Exemption Granted

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number A-19-787989-C
Court Department 1
Date Assigned 01/04/2021
Judicial Officer Yeager, Bita

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff McMillin, Maria Lead Attorneys

Mainor, Br

Mainor, Bradley S. Retained 702-464-5000(W)

Defendant 7-Eleven, Inc Roose, Melissa

Retained 702-872-5555(W)

Henderson, Tyron

Removed: 06/24/2022

Dismissed

Thompson, Robert Jaffe, Steven T.

Retained 7023164111(W)

DATE EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT INDEX

01/23/2019 Complaint

Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria

[1] Plaintiff's Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial

01/23/2019 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria

[2] Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19)

02/20/2019 Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending

Party: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria

[3] Summons

03/13/2019 Affidavit of Service

[4] Affidavit of Service Upon Defendant 7-Eleven

	CASE NO. A-19-/8/989-C
03/19/2019	Answer to Complaint [5] 7-Eleven's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint
03/19/2019	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure [6] Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
03/19/2019	Demand for Jury Trial [7] Demand for Jury Trial
03/19/2019	Disclosure Statement [8] 7-Eleven's Disclosure Statement
03/21/2019	Acceptance of Service [9] 7-Eleven's AMENDED 7.1 Disclosure Statement
04/08/2019	Request for Exemption From Arbitration Filed by: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [10] Petition for Exemption From Arbitration
04/22/2019	Commissioners Decision on Request for Exemption - Granted [11] Commissioner's Decision on Request for Exemption - GRANTED
07/12/2019	Stipulation and Order [12] Stipulation and Order for Confidentiality and Protective Order
07/12/2019	Notice of Entry of Order [13] Notice of Entry of Order
08/13/2019	Joint Case Conference Report Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [14] Joint Case Conference Report
09/03/2019	Order Scheduling Status Check [15] Order Scheduling Status Check: For Mandatory Confernce Pursuant to Rule 16
09/18/2019	Order Scheduling Status Check [16] Amended Order Scheduling Status Check: For Mandatory Pretrial Conference Pursuant to Rule 16
10/08/2019	Mandatory Rule 16 Conference (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Bonaventure, Joseph T.) Parties Present: Attorney Roose, Melissa Attorney Ganier, Ash M.
10/10/2019	Order [17] Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial and Calendar Call Scheduling Order
10/14/2019	Amended Scheduling Order [18] Amended Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial and Calendar Call Scheduling Order
12/13/2019	Stipulation and Order

	CASE NO. A-19-787989-C
	Filed by: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [19] (12/13/19 DO NOT ENTER USJR - PENDING DEPT ANSWER) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO ALLOW PLAINTIFF TO AMEND COMPLAINT
12/13/2019	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [20] NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO ALLOW PLAINTIFF TO AMEND COMPLAINT
01/14/2020	Amended Complaint Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [21] PLAINTIFF S AMENDED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
01/23/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [22] SUMMONS
01/23/2020	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending Party: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [23] SUMMONS
03/16/2020	Ex Parte Motion Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [24] Plaintiffs Ex Parte Motion For Stay Of Discovery Deadlines Pending Service Of Process On Newly Named Defendants And Supplemental Early Case Conference
03/16/2020	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [25] Affidavit of Service Upon Defendant Tyron Henderson
03/16/2020	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [26] Affidavit of Service upon Defendant Robert Thompson
03/17/2020	Motion For Stay (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Kephart, William D.) Plaintiffs Ex Parte motion for stay of discovery deadlines pending service of process of newly named defendants and supplemental early case conference Parties Present: Attorney Ganier, Ash M.
04/06/2020	Answer to Amended Complaint Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert [27] Defendants Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint
04/06/2020	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure [28] Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
04/06/2020	Demand for Jury Trial Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert [29] Demand for Jury Trial
04/07/2020	Notice of Early Case Conference Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [30] Notice of Early Case Conference
05/22/2020	

	CASE NO. A-19-787989-C
	Supplemental Joint Case Conference Report Party: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [31] SUPPLEMENTAL JOINT CASE CONFERENCE REPORT
07/09/2020	Filing Fee Remittance Filed By: Defendant Henderson, Tyron [32] Filing Fee Remittance
09/16/2020	Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [33] Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines [First Request]
09/17/2020	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed By: Defendant 7-Eleven, Inc [34] Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines [First Request]
09/24/2020	Scheduling and Trial Order [35] Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre Trial and Calendar Call Scheduling order
12/01/2020	CANCELED Pre Trial Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Kephart, William D.) Vacated - Superseding Order
12/15/2020	CANCELED Calendar Call (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Kephart, William D.) Vacated - Superseding Order
01/04/2021	CANCELED Jury Trial (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Kephart, William D.) Vacated - Superseding Order
01/04/2021	Case Reassigned to Department 1 Judicial Reassignment to Judge Bita Yeager
01/21/2021	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Defendant 7-Eleven, Inc; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [36] Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Second Request)
01/21/2021	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [37] Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines [Second Request]
01/29/2021	Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Pursuant to NRCP 16.1 Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert [38] DEFENDANTS ROBERT THOMPSON and TYRON[E] HENDERSON S NRCP 16.1(a) (1) SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES AND NRCP 16.1(a)(3) PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES
01/29/2021	Amended Scheduling Order [39] Amended Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Calendar Call and Pre- Trial Conference
02/16/2021	Notice of Change of Hearing [40] Notice of Change of Hearing
03/23/2021	Stipulation and Order

	CASE NO. A-19-70/989-C
	Filed by: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [41] Stipulation and Order Regarding NRCP 35 Examination of Plaintiff, Maria McMillin
06/18/2021	Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines [42] Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery (Third Request)
06/22/2021	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order [43] Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines [Third Request]
06/29/2021	CANCELED Pre Trial Conference (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Kephart, William D.) Vacated - per Stipulation and Order
07/01/2021	Notice of Hearing [44] Notice of Hearing
07/20/2021	CANCELED Calendar Call (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Kephart, William D.) Vacated - per Stipulation and Order
08/02/2021	CANCELED Jury Trial (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Kephart, William D.) Vacated - per Stipulation and Order
08/18/2021	Affidavit of Service [45] Affidavit/Declaration of Service John Baker, Ph.D., P.E.
08/20/2021	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [46] Affidavit/Declaration of Service of Kirk Mendez, M.D./Bone & Joint Specialists
08/25/2021	Affidavit of Service [47] Affidavit/Declaration of Service of Craig Tingey, MD
09/01/2021	Disclosure of Documents and Witnesses Pursuant to NRCP 16.1 Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert [48] DEFENDANTS ROBERT THOMPSON and TYRON[E] HENDERSON S NRCP 16.1(a) (1) THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES AND NRCP 16.1(a)(3) PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES
09/09/2021	Status Check: Trial Readiness (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Parties Present: Attorney Hernandez, Cindie D. Attorney Ganier, Ash M.
09/22/2021	Motion for Summary Judgment Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [50] Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
09/23/2021	Clerk's Notice of Hearing [51] Notice of Hearing
09/23/2021	Clerk's Notice of Hearing [52] Notice of Hearing
09/23/2021	Ex Parte Motion Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-19-787989-C

[53] Ex Parte Motion to Strike Incorrectly Filed Document- Defendants Motion to File Under, Exhibit "B" to Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thomson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Order Shortening Time.

09/24/2021

Order Granting Motion

Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [54] Order Granting Ex Parte Motion to Strike Incorrectly Filed Document

09/24/2021

Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

[55] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

09/24/2021

Filed Under Seal

Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [56] SEALED PER MINUTE ORDER 10/27/21 [56] Defendants Motion to File Under Seal, Exhibit B to Defendant Tyron(e) Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or, in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Order Shortening Time

09/28/2021

Stipulation and Order

Filed by: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria

[57] Stipulation and Order to Extend Motions in Limine Deadline

09/28/2021

Notice of Entry

Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria

[58] Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Motions in Limine Deadline

09/29/2021

Stipulation and Order

Filed by: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [59] Stipulation and Order to Continue Trial

09/29/2021

Amended Scheduling Order

[60] Amended Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Calendar Call and Pre-Trial Conference

10/01/2021

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order

[61] Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Trial

10/06/2021

Opposition and Countermotion

Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria

[62] Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Leave to Amend Plaintiff's Complaint

10/20/2021

Reply in Support

Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [63] Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Judgment on Pleadings, or in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Countermotion for Leave to Amend Plaintiff's Complaint

10/25/2021

Status Check: Trial Readiness (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cherry, Michael A.)

Parties Present: Attorney Jaffe, Steven T.

	CASE 110. A-17-707707-C
	Attorney Guindy, Joseph W
10/27/2021	Motion to Seal/Redact Records (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cherry, Michael A.) Defendants Motion to File Under Seal, Exhibit "B" to Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment on Order Shortening Time
10/27/2021	Motion for Judgment (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cherry, Michael A.) Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
10/27/2021	Opposition and Countermotion (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cherry, Michael A.) Events: 10/06/2021 Opposition and Countermotion Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Leave to Amend Plaintiff's Complaint
10/27/2021	All Pending Motions (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cherry, Michael A.) ALL PENDING - DEFENDANTS MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL, EXHIBIT "B" TO DEFENDANT TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANT ROBERT THOMPSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME DEFENDANT TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANT ROBERT THOMPSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANT ROBERT THOMPSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANT ROBERT THOMPSON'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT Parties Present: Attorney Jaffe, Steven T. Attorney Ganier, Ash M.
10/28/2021	Recorders Transcript of Hearing [64] Recorder's Transcript Re: Miscellaneous Motions 10-27-21
11/04/2021	CANCELED Calendar Call (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Vacated
11/04/2021	CANCELED Pretrial/Calendar Call (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Vacated
11/15/2021	CANCELED Jury Trial (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Vacated
11/22/2021	Order Granting Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [65] Order Granting Motion to File under Seal, Exhibit B As to Defendant Tyron [E] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, Or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment
11/22/2021	Order Granting Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [66] Order Granting Motion To File Under Seal, Exhibit B As To Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings, Or In The Alternative, Motion For

	CASE NO. A-19-78/989-C
	Summary Judgment
11/23/2021	Notice of Entry [67] Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to File Underseal, Exhibit "B" as to Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment
11/23/2021	Notice of Entry [68] Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to File Underseal, Exhibit "B" as to Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment
11/23/2021	Order Granting Summary Judgment [69] Order Granting Defendant Tyron Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment and Order Granting Defendant Robert Thomspon's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment and Order Denying Plaintiff's Countermotion to Amend Complaint
11/23/2021	Notice of Entry of Order [70] Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Order Granting Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment and Order Denying Plaintiff's Countermotion to Amend Complaint
11/23/2021	Summary Judgment (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Debtors: Maria McMillin (Plaintiff) Creditors: Robert Thompson (Defendant), Tyron Henderson (Defendant) Judgment: 11/23/2021, Docketed: 11/24/2021
11/29/2021	Motion for Costs Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [71] Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Costs
11/29/2021	Appendix Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [72] Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyron[e] Henderson's, Motion for Costs
12/01/2021	Clerk's Notice of Hearing [73] Notice of Hearing
12/13/2021	Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [74] A-19-787989-C
12/14/2021	Motion to Amend Judgment Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [75] Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59
12/16/2021	Clerk's Notice of Hearing [76] Notice of Hearing
12/20/2021	CANCELED Pretrial/Calendar Call (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Vacated

	CASE NO. A-19-787989-C
12/20/2021	Notice of Change of Hearing [77] Notice of Change of Hearing
12/22/2021	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [78] CASE APPEAL STATEMENT
12/22/2021	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [79] Notice of Appeal
12/29/2021	Opposition to Motion Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [80] Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59(e)
01/03/2022	CANCELED Jury Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Vacated
01/12/2022	CANCELED Jury Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Vacated JURY TRIAL FIRM - #2 ON THE STACK
01/25/2022	Reply to Opposition Filed by: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [81] Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants Opposition to Her Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59
01/27/2022	Motion for Costs Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [82] Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyron[e] Henderson's, Motion for Costs and Opposition to Countermotion for Stay of Execution Pending Appeal
02/02/2022	Motion for Costs (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Costs
02/02/2022	Motion (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59
02/02/2022	All Pending Motions (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) ALL PENDING - DEFENDANTS, ROBERT THOMPSON AND TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR COSTSPLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59 Parties Present: Attorney Jaffe, Steven T. Attorney Ganier, Ash M.
02/09/2022	Recorders Transcript of Hearing [83] Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59, Defendants' Motion for Costs 02-02-22
02/23/2022	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed [84] Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Dismissed
02/23/2022	Clerk's Certificate (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Debtors: Maria McMillin (Plaintiff) Creditors: Robert Thompson (Defendant), Tyron Henderson (Defendant) Judgment: 02/23/2022, Docketed: 02/24/2022

	CASE NO. A-19-787989-C	
	Comment: Supreme Court No. 84015 Appeal Dismissed	
03/03/2022	CANCELED Motion for Costs (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Vacated - Set in Error Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyron[e] Henderson's, Motion for Costs	
04/11/2022	Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) 04/11/2022, 06/13/2022 STATUS CHECK: APPEAL Parties Present: Attorney Jaffe, Steven T. Attorney Guindy, Joseph W	
06/01/2022	Notice of Change of Hearing [85] Notice of Change of Hearing	
06/13/2022	Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) STATUS CHECK: RESETTING - Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Costs	
06/13/2022	All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) ALL PENDING - STATUS CHECK: RESETTING - DEFENDANTS, ROBERT THOMPSON AND TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR COSTSSTATUS CHECK: APPEAL Parties Present: Attorney Hernandez, Cindie D. Attorney Guindy, Joseph W	
06/24/2022	Order [86] Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59	
06/24/2022	Notice of Entry Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [87] Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59	
06/24/2022	Order of Dismissal (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Debtors: Tyron Henderson (Defendant) Creditors: Maria McMillin (Plaintiff) Judgment: 06/24/2022, Docketed: 06/27/2022	
07/05/2022	Order Denying Motion Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert; Defendant Henderson, Tyron [88] Order Denying Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyrone Henderson's Motion for Costs	
07/07/2022	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert [89] Notice of Entry Order Denying Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyron[E] Hendersons, Motion for Costs	
07/08/2022	Motion Filed By: Defendant Thompson, Robert [90] Defendants Robert Thompson and Tyrone Henderson's Motion for Clarification to Amend or Alter Judgment	
07/11/2022	Clerk's Notice of Hearing [91] Notice of Hearing	

07/18/2022	Notice of Appearance Party: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [92] Notice of Appearance as Co-Counsel
07/18/2022	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [93] Notice of Appeal
07/18/2022	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff McMillin, Maria [94] Case Appeal Statement
08/12/2022	Motion for Clarification (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Yeager, Bita) Defendants Robert Thompson and Tyrone Henderson's Motion for Clarification to Amend or Alter Judgment
DATE	EINANCIAL INFORMATION

DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
	Defendant Henderson, Tyron Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 7/20/2022	30.00 30.00 0.00
	Defendant 7-Eleven, Inc Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 7/20/2022	223.00 223.00 0.00
	Defendant Thompson, Robert Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 7/20/2022	423.00 423.00 0.00
	Plaintiff McMillin, Maria Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 7/20/2022	318.00 318.00 0.00
	Plaintiff McMillin, Maria Appeal Bond Balance as of 7/20/2022	500.00

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

County, Nevada

Department 19

	Case No. (Assigned by Clerk's	Office)	
I. Party Information (provide both hor	me and mailing addresses if different)		
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):	3 - 33	Defenda	nt(s) (name/address/phone):
MARIA MCMILLIN			7-ELEVEN, INC.
			DOE EMPLOYEE
			DOES I-XX
			ROE CORPORATIONS I-XX
Attorney (name/address/phone):		A ttoma ox	
Bradley S. Mainor, Esq.; As	h Maria Capier, Esa	Attorney	(name/address/phone): N/A
MAINOR WIRT	·		N/A
	<u> </u>		
6018 S. Ft. Apache Road, Ste 15			
(702) 464-5000; Fax:	(702) 463-4440		
II. Nature of Controversy (please se	lect the one most applicable filing type	below)	
Civil Case Filing Types			
Real Property			Torts
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence		Other Torts
Unlawful Detainer	Auto		Product Liability
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability		Intentional Misconduct
Title to Property	Other Negligence		Employment Tort
Judicial Foreclosure	Malpractice		Insurance Tort
Other Title to Property	Medical/Dental		Other Tort
Other Real Property	Legal		
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	Accounting		
Other Real Property	Other Malpractice		
Probate	Construction Defect & Contr	act	Judicial Review/Appeal
Probate (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect		Judicial Review
Summary Administration	Chapter 40		Foreclosure Mediation Case
General Administration	Other Construction Defect		Petition to Seal Records
Special Administration	Contract Case		Mental Competency
Set Aside	Uniform Commercial Code		Nevada State Agency Appeal
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construction		Department of Motor Vehicle
Other Probate	Insurance Carrier		Worker's Compensation
Estate Value	Commercial Instrument		Other Nevada State Agency
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts		Appeal Other
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract		Appeal from Lower Court
Under \$100,000 or Unknown	Other Contract		Other Judicial Review/Appeal
Under \$2,500			
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing
Writ of Habeas Corpus Writ of Prohibition			Compromise of Minor's Claim
Writ of Mandamus Other Civil Writ			Foreign Judgment
Writ of Quo Warrant			Other Civil Matters
Business Co	ourt filings should be filed using the	Business	s Court civil coversheet.
January 22, 2019		/s/	Ash Marie Ganier
Date	_	Signa	ture of initiating party or representative

See other side for family-related case filings.

Electronically Filed 06/24/2022 2:38 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

2

1

3

4

5

7

v.

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Eighth Judicial District Court

Bita Yeager

Clark County, Nevada

Department 1

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARIA MCMILLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

ROBERT THOMPSON; Individually and as Franchisee; TYRON HENDERSON,

Individually; DOES I-XX, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I - XX, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-19-787989-C

Dept. No. 1

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59

On February 2, 2022, a hearing was conducted on PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59, with Ash Marie Blackburn, Esq., appearing on behalf of Plaintiff, and Steven T. Jaffe, Esq., appearing on behalf of the Defendants. The matter having been fully briefed, all supporting materials having been reviewed, and following oral argument by counsel. The Court hereby orders as follows:

PROCEDURAL HSTORY

On December 13, 2019, a Stipulation and Order to Allow Plaintiff to Amend Complaint was filed, to add Robert Thompson, Franchisee, as a party in the place and stead of 7-ELEVEN Inc., and Tyron[e] Henderson be substituted in the place and stead of Doe Employee. On January 14, 2020, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint; however, it did not fully replace "Doe Employee" with "Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson" and "7-Eleven, Inc." with "Defendant Robert Thompson." The deadline to amend pleadings was April 22, 2021. On September 22, 2021, Defendants Robert

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Thompson and Tyrone Henderson filed a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiff's Negligence claim. Plaintiff filed an Opposition and Countermotion to Amend on October 6, 2021. The parties presented oral argument on the motion on October 27, 2021. On that day, the Court granted the motion applying a summary judgment standard. An Order Granting Summary Judgment was filed on November 23, 2021.

On December 14, 2021, Plaintiff filed their Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59. Before the Motion was heard in District Court, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal on December 22, 2021. The appeal was dismissed by the Nevada Supreme Court on January 25, 2022. The Nevada Supreme Court subsequently denied a motion to vacate or modify the order dismissing their appeal, noting that the appeal "may have been prematurely filed because appellant filed a timely NRCP 59 motion to alter or amend in the district court and the motion remained pending when the instant appeal was filed." McMillin v. Thompson (Feb. 11, 2022), Order Denying Motion, No. 22-04613. Remittitur issued on February 23, 2022.

ORDER

NRCP 59(e) allows a party to file a motion to alter or amend a judgment in cases where it "may be appropriate to correct 'manifest errors of law or fact,' address 'newly discovered or previously unavailable evidence, 'prevent manifest injustice,' or address a 'change in controlling law." Panorama Towers Condo. Unit Owners' Ass'n v. Hallier, 137 Nev. Adv. Op. 67, 498 P.3d 222, 224 (2021) (citing AA Primo Builders, LLC v. Washington, 126 Nev. 578, 582, 245 P.3d 1190, 1193 (2010)).

I. Negligence

"In order to state a claim for negligence, a plaintiff must allege that (1) the defendant owed the plaintiff a duty of care, (2) the defendant breached that duty, (3) the breach was the legal cause of the plaintiff's injuries, and (4) the plaintiff suffered damages." Sadler v. PacifiCare of Nev., 130

Nev. 990, 993–94, 340 P.3d 1264, 1266–67 (2014). The Court ruled that Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed for failure to allege that the Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of care. In the Order Granting Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed on November 23, 2021, the Court found that there are no allegations that "the Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of care, or that they breached that duty." The Court clarifies that this finding is premised on the fact that the correct defendants were not properly listed in the operating Amended Complaint, and the proper parties had not been properly substituted therein after leave was provided to the Plaintiff. Thus, Negligence had not been properly pled against the correct defendants. Therefore, the Court did not err in concluding that Plaintiff did not properly plead Negligence.

II. <u>Leave to Amend Complaint</u>

Under *Nutton v. Sunset Station, Inc,* "when a motion seeking leave to amend a pleading is filed after the expiration of the deadline for filing such motions, the district court must first determine whether 'good cause' exists for missing the deadline under NRCP 16(b) before the court can consider the merits of the motion under the standards of NRCP 15(a)." 131 Nev. 279, 281, 357 P.3d 966, 968 (2015). "In determining whether 'good cause' exists under Rule 16(b), the basic inquiry for the trial court is whether the filing deadline cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the amendment." 131 Nev. at 287, 357 P.3d at 971. In evaluating whether or not good cause has been shown, the Court can consider: (1) the explanation for the untimely conduct, (2) the importance of the requested untimely action, (3) the potential prejudice in allowing the untimely conduct, and (4) the availability of a continuance to cure such prejudice. *Id.*

The Court did not err in denying leave to amend here. The deadline to amend pleadings expired on April 22, 2021. Plaintiff brought her Countermotion for Leave to Amend on October 6,

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2021, after the deadline to amend pleadings. However, as the Court reasoned, Plaintiff did not demonstrate good cause under Rule 16(b) for missing the deadline to support her leave to amend her pleadings. Plaintiff made no showing that the filing deadline cannot reasonably be met despite her diligence and Plaintiff did not address any of the four factors set forth in Nutton for the Court to consider. Therefore, the Court could not consider her countermotion under NRCP 15(a) in the absence of a "good cause" showing under NRCP 16(1), and did not err in denying Plaintiff's Countermotion for Leave to Amend.

III. Sua Sponte Summary Judgment

If on a motion to dismiss, under NRCP 12(b)(5), the Court considers matters outside the pleadings, the motion must be treated as one for summary judgment under Rule 56. NRCP 12(c); see also Stevens v. McGimsey, 99 Nev. 840, 841, 673 P.2d 499, 500 (1983). Summary judgment is "appropriate when the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, admissions, and affidavits, if any, that are properly before the court demonstrate that no genuine issue of material fact exists, and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 Nev. 724, 731, 121 P.3d 1026, 1031 (2005). When evaluating facts for the purpose of Summary Judgment, a factual dispute is genuine, and therefore summary judgment is inappropriate, when the evidence is such that a rational trier of fact could return a verdict for the nonmoving party. Id.

NRCP 56(f) provides that the court, after giving notice and a reasonable time to respond, "may (1) grant summary judgment for a nonmovant, (2) grant the motion on grounds not raised by a party; or (3) consider summary judgment on its own after identifying for the parties material facts that may not be genuinely in dispute." "Although district courts have the inherent power to enter summary judgment sua sponte pursuant to [NRCP] 56, that power is contingent upon giving the losing party notice that it must defend its claim." Soebbing v. Carpet Barn, Inc., 109 Nev. 78, 83, 847 P.2d 731, 735 (1993). It is "troubling when a district court grants summary judgment sua sponte

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

without having taken evidence in the form of affidavits or other documents." Renown Reg'l. Med. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct., 130 Nev. 824, 828, 335 P.3d 199, 202 (2014). The defending party must be given notice and an opportunity to defend itself before a court may grant summary judgment sua sponte. Id. Renown and NRCP 56(f) make it clear that a District Court can sua sponte grant summary judgment as long as the other side has notice and an opportunity to be heard. 130 Nev. at 828, 335 P.3d at 202.

a. Summary Judgment on Negligence

Plaintiff here had sufficient notice and opportunity to be heard as it pertains to her Negligence claim because 1) Defendants requested summary judgment as to Plaintiff's negligence claim in the alternative and 2) Plaintiff offered evidence outside of the pleadings, which created the possibility of the motion to dismiss the negligence claim being treated as a motion for summary judgment. The Court also found no genuine issue of material fact. Therefore, the Court did not err in granting summary judgment sua sponte as to Plaintiff's claim of Negligence.

b. Summary Judgment on Negligent Hiring, Training, and Supervision

Plaintiff here did not have sufficient notice or an opportunity to be heard regarding the Court's sua sponte decision to grant summary judgment as to the negligent hiring, training, and supervision claim. Defendants did not request in their motion that the negligent hiring, training, and supervision claim be dismissed. There was no indication that this claim was at issue until the court made its ruling. Plaintiff did not have sufficient notice and the opportunity to defend herself on that cause of action before the district court's ruling was made. Therefore, the Court committed clear error in granting summary judgment as to Plaintiff's second cause of action for negligent hiring, training, and supervision.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59 is GRANTED IN PART only as it pertains to

Eighth Judicial District Court

Clark County, Nevada

Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent hiring, training, and supervision. Accordingly, the court's prior order entered on November 23, 2021, is hereby amended pursuant to *Renown* and NRCP 59(e) to reinstate that cause of action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Plaintiff's Motion to

Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59 is **DENIED** in all other aspects.

This Court notes that this order, combined with the prior order that is hereby incorporated by reference, resolves all claims by or against Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson and removes him as a party from the case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there is no just reason for delay for entry or enforcement of this Order, and as such this Order is certified as final pursuant to NRCP 54(b) as to the basis for Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's dismissal from this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 24th day of June, 2022

Brita Yeager

358 A4C 9786 6841 DISTRICTED FOR THE STREET OF THE STREET COURT AND GREET OF THE STREET OF THE STREE

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-19-787989-C 6 DEPT. NO. Department 1 VS. 7 8 7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s) 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all 12 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 6/24/2022 14 joe@mwinjury.com Joseph Wirth 15 Ash Ganier ash@mwinjury.com 16 17 **Bradley Mainor** brad@mwinjury.com 18 Lindsay Hayes Lindsay@mwinjury.com 19 Cindie Hernandez chernandez@lawhjc.com 20 Natalie Cothran Natalie@mwinjury.com 21 Jamie Soquena jsoquena@lawhjc.com 22 Joseph Guindy jguindy@mwinjury.com 23 **Gregory Scott** gscott@lawhjc.com 24 25 Erika Parker eparker@lawhjc.com 26 Alysse Beasley alysse@mwinjury.com 27

28

1	If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mai					
2	via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last known addresses on 6/27/2022					
3						
4	Melissa Roose	Backus, Carranza & Burden Attn: Melissa J. Roose, Esq				
5		3050 S. Durango Dr. Las Vegas, NV, 89117				
6						
7	Steven Jaffe	Hall Jaffe & Clayton, LLP Attn: Steven Jaffe				
8		7425 Peak Drive Las Vegas, NV, 89128				
9		245 (6545, 1 (7 , 6) 12 6				
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
	i					

Electronically Filed 6/24/2022 3:40 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **NEO** STEVEN T. JAFFE, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 7035 sjaffe@lawhjc.com 3 CINDIE D. HERNANDEZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7218 chernandez@lawhic.com 4 5 HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 7425 Peak Drive 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (702) 316-4111 7 Fax (702) 316-4114 8 Attorneys for Defendants Robert Thompson and Tyrone Henderson 9 10 DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 11 12 MARIA MCMILLIN, an individual, CASE NO. A-19-787989-C DEPT. NO. 19 13 Plaintiff. 14 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER **GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING** IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO 15 ROBERT THOMPSON; Individually and as ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT Franchisee; TYRON HENDERSON, Individually; DOES I-XX, inclusive; and ROE **PURSUANT TO NRCP 59** 16 CORPORATIONS I - XX, inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 19 TO: ALL PARTIES ABOVE-NAMED; and 20 TO: THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD 21 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN 22 PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JJDGMENT PURSUANT TO 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28

1	NRCP 59 was entered on June 24, 2022, a copy of which is attached hereto.
2	DATED this 24th day of June 2022.
3	HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP
4	By: /s/ Cindie D. Hernandez
5	STEVEN T. JAFFE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7035
6	CINDIE D. HERNANDEZ, ESQ.
7	Nevada Bar No. 7218 7425 Peak Drive
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Attorney for Defendant
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of HALL JAFFE &
3	CLAYTON, LLP , and on this 24th day of June 2022, I served a copy of the foregoing
4	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
5	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JJDGMENT PURSUANT TO
6	NRCP 59 as follows:
7 8	[] U.S. MAIL — By depositing a true copy thereof in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid and addressed as listed below; and/or
9	[] FACSIMILE — By facsimile transmission to the facsimile number(s) shown below; and/or
10	[] HAND DELIVERY — By hand-delivery to the addresses listed below; and/or
11 12	[X] ELECTRONIC SERVICE — Pursuant to the Court's CM/ECF e-filing system.
13	Bradley S. Mainor, Esq.
14	Joseph J. Wirth, Esq. Ash Marie Ganier, Esq.
15	MAINOR WIRTH, LLP
16	6018 S. Fort Apache Rd. Ste. 150 Las Vegas, NV 89148
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff
18	
19	/s/ Jamie Soquena
20	An Employee of HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 6/24/2022 2:38 PM

Electronically Filed 06/24/2022 2:38 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

1

2

4

5

6

7

v.

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Eighth Judicial District Court

Bita Yeager

Clark County, Nevada

Department 1

26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARIA MCMILLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

ROBERT THOMPSON; Individually and as Franchisee; TYRON HENDERSON,

Individually; DOES I-XX, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I - XX, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-19-787989-C

Dept. No. 1

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59

On February 2, 2022, a hearing was conducted on PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59, with Ash Marie Blackburn, Esq., appearing on behalf of Plaintiff, and Steven T. Jaffe, Esq., appearing on behalf of the Defendants. The matter having been fully briefed, all supporting materials having been reviewed, and following oral argument by counsel. The Court hereby orders as follows:

PROCEDURAL HSTORY

On December 13, 2019, a Stipulation and Order to Allow Plaintiff to Amend Complaint was filed, to add Robert Thompson, Franchisee, as a party in the place and stead of 7-ELEVEN Inc., and Tyron[e] Henderson be substituted in the place and stead of Doe Employee. On January 14, 2020, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint; however, it did not fully replace "Doe Employee" with "Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson" and "7-Eleven, Inc." with "Defendant Robert Thompson." The deadline to amend pleadings was April 22, 2021. On September 22, 2021, Defendants Robert

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Thompson and Tyrone Henderson filed a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiff's Negligence claim. Plaintiff filed an Opposition and Countermotion to Amend on October 6, 2021. The parties presented oral argument on the motion on October 27, 2021. On that day, the Court granted the motion applying a summary judgment standard. An Order Granting Summary Judgment was filed on November 23, 2021.

On December 14, 2021, Plaintiff filed their Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59. Before the Motion was heard in District Court, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal on December 22, 2021. The appeal was dismissed by the Nevada Supreme Court on January 25, 2022. The Nevada Supreme Court subsequently denied a motion to vacate or modify the order dismissing their appeal, noting that the appeal "may have been prematurely filed because appellant filed a timely NRCP 59 motion to alter or amend in the district court and the motion remained pending when the instant appeal was filed." McMillin v. Thompson (Feb. 11, 2022), Order Denying Motion, No. 22-04613. Remittitur issued on February 23, 2022.

ORDER

NRCP 59(e) allows a party to file a motion to alter or amend a judgment in cases where it "may be appropriate to correct 'manifest errors of law or fact,' address 'newly discovered or previously unavailable evidence, 'prevent manifest injustice,' or address a 'change in controlling law." Panorama Towers Condo. Unit Owners' Ass'n v. Hallier, 137 Nev. Adv. Op. 67, 498 P.3d 222, 224 (2021) (citing AA Primo Builders, LLC v. Washington, 126 Nev. 578, 582, 245 P.3d 1190, 1193 (2010)).

I. Negligence

"In order to state a claim for negligence, a plaintiff must allege that (1) the defendant owed the plaintiff a duty of care, (2) the defendant breached that duty, (3) the breach was the legal cause of the plaintiff's injuries, and (4) the plaintiff suffered damages." Sadler v. PacifiCare of Nev., 130

Nev. 990, 993–94, 340 P.3d 1264, 1266–67 (2014). The Court ruled that Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed for failure to allege that the Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of care. In the Order Granting Tyron[e] Henderson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed on November 23, 2021, the Court found that there are no allegations that "the Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty of care, or that they breached that duty." The Court clarifies that this finding is premised on the fact that the correct defendants were not properly listed in the operating Amended Complaint, and the proper parties had not been properly substituted therein after leave was provided to the Plaintiff. Thus, Negligence had not been properly pled against the correct defendants. Therefore, the Court did not err in concluding that Plaintiff did not properly plead Negligence.

II. <u>Leave to Amend Complaint</u>

Under *Nutton v. Sunset Station, Inc,* "when a motion seeking leave to amend a pleading is filed after the expiration of the deadline for filing such motions, the district court must first determine whether 'good cause' exists for missing the deadline under NRCP 16(b) before the court can consider the merits of the motion under the standards of NRCP 15(a)." 131 Nev. 279, 281, 357 P.3d 966, 968 (2015). "In determining whether 'good cause' exists under Rule 16(b), the basic inquiry for the trial court is whether the filing deadline cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the amendment." 131 Nev. at 287, 357 P.3d at 971. In evaluating whether or not good cause has been shown, the Court can consider: (1) the explanation for the untimely conduct, (2) the importance of the requested untimely action, (3) the potential prejudice in allowing the untimely conduct, and (4) the availability of a continuance to cure such prejudice. *Id.*

The Court did not err in denying leave to amend here. The deadline to amend pleadings expired on April 22, 2021. Plaintiff brought her Countermotion for Leave to Amend on October 6,

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2021, after the deadline to amend pleadings. However, as the Court reasoned, Plaintiff did not demonstrate good cause under Rule 16(b) for missing the deadline to support her leave to amend her pleadings. Plaintiff made no showing that the filing deadline cannot reasonably be met despite her diligence and Plaintiff did not address any of the four factors set forth in Nutton for the Court to consider. Therefore, the Court could not consider her countermotion under NRCP 15(a) in the absence of a "good cause" showing under NRCP 16(1), and did not err in denying Plaintiff's Countermotion for Leave to Amend.

III. Sua Sponte Summary Judgment

If on a motion to dismiss, under NRCP 12(b)(5), the Court considers matters outside the pleadings, the motion must be treated as one for summary judgment under Rule 56. NRCP 12(c); see also Stevens v. McGimsey, 99 Nev. 840, 841, 673 P.2d 499, 500 (1983). Summary judgment is "appropriate when the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, admissions, and affidavits, if any, that are properly before the court demonstrate that no genuine issue of material fact exists, and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 Nev. 724, 731, 121 P.3d 1026, 1031 (2005). When evaluating facts for the purpose of Summary Judgment, a factual dispute is genuine, and therefore summary judgment is inappropriate, when the evidence is such that a rational trier of fact could return a verdict for the nonmoving party. Id.

NRCP 56(f) provides that the court, after giving notice and a reasonable time to respond, "may (1) grant summary judgment for a nonmovant, (2) grant the motion on grounds not raised by a party; or (3) consider summary judgment on its own after identifying for the parties material facts that may not be genuinely in dispute." "Although district courts have the inherent power to enter summary judgment sua sponte pursuant to [NRCP] 56, that power is contingent upon giving the losing party notice that it must defend its claim." Soebbing v. Carpet Barn, Inc., 109 Nev. 78, 83, 847 P.2d 731, 735 (1993). It is "troubling when a district court grants summary judgment sua sponte

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

without having taken evidence in the form of affidavits or other documents." Renown Reg'l. Med. v. Second Jud. Dist. Ct., 130 Nev. 824, 828, 335 P.3d 199, 202 (2014). The defending party must be given notice and an opportunity to defend itself before a court may grant summary judgment sua sponte. Id. Renown and NRCP 56(f) make it clear that a District Court can sua sponte grant summary judgment as long as the other side has notice and an opportunity to be heard. 130 Nev. at 828, 335 P.3d at 202.

a. Summary Judgment on Negligence

Plaintiff here had sufficient notice and opportunity to be heard as it pertains to her Negligence claim because 1) Defendants requested summary judgment as to Plaintiff's negligence claim in the alternative and 2) Plaintiff offered evidence outside of the pleadings, which created the possibility of the motion to dismiss the negligence claim being treated as a motion for summary judgment. The Court also found no genuine issue of material fact. Therefore, the Court did not err in granting summary judgment sua sponte as to Plaintiff's claim of Negligence.

b. Summary Judgment on Negligent Hiring, Training, and Supervision

Plaintiff here did not have sufficient notice or an opportunity to be heard regarding the Court's sua sponte decision to grant summary judgment as to the negligent hiring, training, and supervision claim. Defendants did not request in their motion that the negligent hiring, training, and supervision claim be dismissed. There was no indication that this claim was at issue until the court made its ruling. Plaintiff did not have sufficient notice and the opportunity to defend herself on that cause of action before the district court's ruling was made. Therefore, the Court committed clear error in granting summary judgment as to Plaintiff's second cause of action for negligent hiring, training, and supervision.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59 is GRANTED IN PART only as it pertains to

Eighth Judicial District Court

Clark County, Nevada

Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent hiring, training, and supervision. Accordingly, the court's prior order entered on November 23, 2021, is hereby amended pursuant to *Renown* and NRCP 59(e) to reinstate that cause of action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59 is DENIED in all other aspects.

This Court notes that this order combined with the prior order that is hereby incorporated by

This Court notes that this order, combined with the prior order that is hereby incorporated by reference, resolves all claims by or against Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson and removes him as a party from the case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there is no just reason for delay for entry or enforcement of this Order, and as such this Order is certified as final pursuant to NRCP 54(b) as to the basis for Defendant Tyron[e] Henderson's dismissal from this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 24th day of June, 2022

Brita Yeager

358 A4C 9786 6841 DIS Brid Veager RT JUDGE District Court Judge

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-19-787989-C 6 DEPT. NO. Department 1 VS. 7 8 7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s) 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all 12 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 6/24/2022 14 joe@mwinjury.com Joseph Wirth 15 Ash Ganier ash@mwinjury.com 16 17 **Bradley Mainor** brad@mwinjury.com 18 Lindsay Hayes Lindsay@mwinjury.com 19 Cindie Hernandez chernandez@lawhjc.com 20 Natalie Cothran Natalie@mwinjury.com 21 Jamie Soquena jsoquena@lawhjc.com 22 Joseph Guindy jguindy@mwinjury.com 23 **Gregory Scott** gscott@lawhjc.com 24 25 Erika Parker eparker@lawhjc.com 26 Alysse Beasley alysse@mwinjury.com 27

28

1	If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last known addresses on 6/27/2022	
2		
3		
4	Melissa Roose	Backus, Carranza & Burden Attn: Melissa J. Roose, Esq
5		3050 S. Durango Dr. Las Vegas, NV, 89117
6		-
7	Steven Jaffe	Hall Jaffe & Clayton , LLP Attn: Steven Jaffe
8		7425 Peak Drive Las Vegas, NV, 89128
9		Lus (0gus, 1 (1 , 0) 120
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	1	

COURT MINUTES

October 08, 2019

A-19-787989-C

Negligence - Premises Liability

Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

October 08, 2019

1:00 PM

Mandatory Rule 16

Conference

HEARD BY: Bonaventure, Joseph T.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16B

COURT CLERK: Tia Everett

RECORDER: Christine Erickson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Ganier, Ash M.

Attorney

Roose, Melissa

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Colloquy regarding scheduling. Court noted trial anticipated to last 7-10 days. COURT ORDERED, the following discovery schedule;

Motions to amend parties and/or add parties TO BE FILED by 3/06/2020;

Initial expert disclosures DUE on or before 3/06/2020;

Rebuttal expert disclosures DUE on or before 4/05/2020;

Discovery cut-off SET for 6/04/2020;

Deposition cut-off SET for 6/04/2020;.

Dispositive motions TO BE FILED by 7/06/2020;

Motions in Limine TO BE FILED by 9/02/2020;

PRINT DATE: 07/20/2022 Page 1 of 11 Minutes Date: October 08, 2019

A-19-787989-C

Parties shall participate in a settlement conference on or before 4/05/2020; and

Pre Trial Memorandum DUE on or before 12/08/2020.

FURTHER ORDERED, matter SET for trial and Trial Order shall issue.

12/01/2020 9:00 AM PRE TRIAL CONFERENCE.

12/15/2020 9:00 AM CALENDAR CALL

1/04/2021 1:00 PM JURY TRIAL

COURT MINUTES

March 17, 2020

A-19-787989-C

Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

March 17, 2020

9:00 AM

Motion For Stay

HEARD BY: Kephart, William D.

Negligence - Premises Liability

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16B

COURT CLERK: Tia Everett

RECORDER: Ch

Christine Erickson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Ganier, Ash M.

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Ganier advised Plaintiff is no longer proceeding against Defendant 7 - 11 and since filing motion her office was able to personally serve the two individually named Defendants. Further, Ms. Ganier advised she will possibly submit a stipulation to extend discovery deadlines and moving the trial date. Court instructed Ms. Ganier to submit the stipulation and order for review. COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR.

Negligence - Premises Liability COURT MINUTES

September 09, 2021

A-19-787989-C Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

September 09, 2021 8:30 AM Status Check: Trial

Readiness

HEARD BY: Yeager, Bita COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16A

COURT CLERK: Rem Lord

RECORDER: Lisa Lizotte

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Ganier, Ash M. Attorney

Hernandez, Cindie D. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Parties announced ready for trial and stated they anticipated to try their case in six to nine days including voir dire. Colloquy regarding the parties potentially attending a settlement conference. COURT ORDERED, trial SET on the last week of the stack.

PRINT DATE: 07/20/2022 Page 4 of 11 Minutes Date: October 08, 2019

COURT MINUTES

October 25, 2021

A-19-787989-C Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

Negligence - Premises Liability

VS.

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

October 25, 2021 10:00 AM Status Check: Trial

Readiness

HEARD BY: Cherry, Michael A. **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 16A

COURT CLERK: Michele Tucker

RECORDER: Lisa Lizotte

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Guindy, Joseph W

Attorney Attorney

Jaffe, Steven T.

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, Trial Date SET as a Firm date. Counsel advised seven days for trial with voir dire.

12/20/21 10:00 AM PRETRIAL/CALENDAR CALL

1/12/22 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL - FIRM

COURT MINUTES

October 27, 2021

A-19-787989-C

Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

October 27, 2021

9:30 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Cherry, Michael A.

Negligence - Premises Liability

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 16A

COURT CLERK: Michele Tucker

RECORDER: Lisa Lizotte

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Ganier, Ash M. Attorney Jaffe, Steven T. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- ALL PENDING - DEFENDANTS MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL, EXHIBIT "B" TO DEFENDANT TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANT ROBERT THOMPSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME... DEFENDANT TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANT ROBERT THOMPSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT... PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANT ROBERT THOMPSON'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Mr. Jaffe advised a confidential document was filed with the motion COURT ORDERED, Defendants Motion To File Under Seal, Exhibit "B" To Defendant Tyron[E] Henderson's Motion For Judgment On

07/20/2022 PRINT DATE: Page 6 of 11 Minutes Date: October 08, 2019

A-19-787989-C

The Pleadings, Or In The Alternative, Motion For Summary Judgment And Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings, Or In The Alternative, Motion For Summary Judgment On Order Shortening Time GRANTED.

Mr. Jaffe argued the plaintiff has a duty in their pleadings to plead the allegation and the tort. Plaintiff has not properly identified the parties and the deadline to amend the pleading has passed. Mr. Jaffe further argued the plaintiff has not identified the cause or the duty. Ms. Blackburrn argued as to a clerical error and this being a notice pleading state. Ms. Blackburrn further argued they only need to exchange the information in the complaint. Court STATED it is treating this as a motion for summary judgment and it had reviewed the video and it does not see this case going forward. COURT ORDERED, Defendant Tyron[E] Henderson's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings, Or In The Alternative, Motion For Summary Judgment And Defendant Robert Thompson's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings, Or In The Alternative, Motion For Partial Summary Judgment GRANTED.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Plaintiff's Countermotion for Leave to Amend DENIED.

CASE CLOSED

Mr. Jaffe to prepare the Order.

Negligence - Premises Liability

COURT MINUTES

February 02, 2022

A-19-787989-C

Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

February 02, 2022

9:30 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Yeager, Bita

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 05C

COURT CLERK: Michele Tucker

RECORDER:

Deloris Scott

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Ganier, Ash M.

Attorney

Jaffe, Steven T.

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- ALL PENDING - DEFENDANTS, ROBERT THOMPSON AND TYRONE[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR COSTS...PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59

Court STATED it is its understanding the plaintiff is asking for a Certification from the Court. Ms. Blackburn advised they are seeking for the Judgment to be altered so that the Defendants' prior motion is denied and this case proceeds on for a trial. Court NOTE the motion regarding of fees is on appeal and believe that removes jurisdiction, except for collateral matters. Ms. Blackburn argued the defendants position is the request is moot because of the Motion to Alter and Amend under NRCP 59. Mr. Jaffe argued Judge Cherry granted the motion and entered a dispositive ruling their right to appeal is not established and that does not necessarily require certification. Plaintiff had to file an appeal timely within the limitations and restrictions imposed by the Nevada Appellate Court Rules. Ms. Backburn argued they submitted the appeal under the guidelines of NRAP. If the court grants the motion to alter or amend it renders the appeal moot. Arguments by counsel as to Nutton and Renown.

COURT DOES NOT FIND the Court erred in denying leave to amend as there was no showing of

PRINT DATE: 07/20/2022 Page 8 of 11 Minutes Date: October 08, 2019

A-19-787989-C

good cause. COURT FINDS with the Plaintiff submitting the video with the arguments that were made in the pleadings. And since the video became an item outside of the pleadings the Court could consider they had notice summary judgment could be granted on the claim of negligence. As to summary judgment on the negligence COURT FINDS clear error. COURT DOES NOT FIND as to the negligent hiring claim the Plaintiff had notice she would have to defend the claim of negligent hiring. Under the Renown the Plaintiff was not on notice, and was not given the opportunity to come forward with evidence and FINDS clear error.

Mr. Jaffe clarified the dispositive motion in no longer fully dispositive and count two of the complaint remains, which is negligent hiring, training, and supervision against Defendant, Thompson as the owner. And fully and finally dispositive as to the claims against Defendant, Henderson. Mr. Jaffe requested leave to bring another motion for summary judgment as you cannot proceed on negligent hiring, training and supervision without the employee. COURT GRANTED the request.

COURT ORDERED, Defendants, Robert Thompson and Tyrone Henderson's Motion for Costs CONTINUED to June 13, 2022 and Status Check regarding appeal SET.

COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 59 DENIED as to negligence, certification, negligent hiring and training.

Mr. Jaffe to prepare the Order.

6/13/22 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: APPEAL

CONTINUED TO: 6/13/22 9:00 AM

Negligence - Premises Liability COURT MINUTES

April 11, 2022

A-19-787989-C

Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

April 11, 2022

9:00 AM

Status Check

HEARD BY: Yeager, Bita

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 05C

COURT CLERK: Maricela Grant

RECORDER: Aimee Curameng

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Guindy, Joseph W

Attorney

Jaffe, Steven T.

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Hearing held by BlueJeans remote conferencing. Upon Courts Inquire, Mr. Guindy stated they had submitted a proposed order to opposing council a few times before submitting to the court. Mr. Jaffe stated his firm did receive the email and assumed Ms. Hernandez took care of it. Mr. Jaffe stated they are not stipulating to certification. Court directed counsel to submit competing orders on the issue. COURT ORDERED, Status Check SET.

STATUS CHECK 6/15/2022 9:30 AM

PRINT DATE: 07/20/2022 Page 10 of 11 Minutes Date: October 08, 2019

COURT MINUTES

Negligence - Premises Liability COU

June 13, 2022

A-19-787989-C Maria McMillin, Plaintiff(s)

vs.

7-Eleven, Inc, Defendant(s)

June 13, 2022 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Yeager, Bita COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 05C

COURT CLERK: Michele Tucker

RECORDER: Lisa Lizotte

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Guindy, Joseph W Attorney

Hernandez, Cindie D. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- ALL PENDING - STATUS CHECK: RESETTING - DEFENDANTS, ROBERT THOMPSON AND TYRON[E] HENDERSON'S MOTION FOR COSTS ...STATUS CHECK: APPEAL

Court STATED it had not been fully clear on distinguishing its previous order by saying it was the lack of substitution of the parties and not the lack of the requirements for the tort that was insufficiently pled. Court FURTHER STATED it would issue a minute order in regards to this. At the time of the hearing the appeal was still with the Supreme Court. Since that time the appeal has been dismissed and this Court now has jurisdiction. Colloquy as to what will be put in the order and resetting the trial date. Ms. Hernandez requested contacting chambers with the new deadlines and date for trial. Court DIRECTED counsel to submit a stipulation and order as the dates. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Costs DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

PRINT DATE: 07/20/2022 Page 11 of 11 Minutes Date: October 08, 2019



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

SARAH A. MORRIS, ESQ. 5450 W. SAHARA AVE., SUITE 330 LAS VEGAS, NV 89146

> **DATE:** July 20, 2022 CASE: A-19-787989-C

RE CASE: MARIA MCMILLIN vs. ROBERT THOMPSON: TYRON HENDERSON

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: July 18, 2022

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

- \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)** \boxtimes If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed. \$24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)** \$500 - Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)** \boxtimes
 - - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
 - Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.
- Case Appeal Statement
 - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- Order \Box
- Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

^{**}Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada
County of Clark

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRCP 59; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

MARIA MCMILLIN,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

ROBERT THOMPSON; TYRON HENDERSON,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-19-787989-C

Dept No: I

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 20 day of July 2022.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk