NO. 85067

Craftally.

JUL 25/2022

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT IN THE STATE OF NEVADA

\*\*\*\*

8

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

MICHAEL WHITFIELD,

CASE NO.

Petitioner

12

13

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

As Employer, Respondents.

15

16

17

14

PETITION FOR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MICHAEL WHITFIELD

P.O. Box 18421 Reno, NV 89511

Phone: 775 737-3493

Self Represented Litigant

KEVIN A. PICK

Nevada Bar No. 11683 Nevada Attorney General 5420 Kietzke Lane, Suite 202

Reno, NV 89511

#### PETITION FOR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL

*Introduction*, Under Collateral Estoppel bans a party from relitigating an issue that has already been ruled on by a court. Plaintiff petitions for a

-1-

\_\_\_\_

22-233//

reinstatement of his position immediately. The Attorney General overlooked important points which, if left as is, will continue to harm the petitioner. The collateral estoppel was mutual by plaintiff and defendant in this Domestic Protection Order. The matter should be thrown out because the court overlooked or misapprehended points of fact and law. Reconsider and Reversal is warranted on this issues. The Petitioner will designate the other party as Respondent throughout this document. The Respondent knew that the matter of Domestic Violence Restraining Order was first heard in the Second Judicial District of the State of Nevada (Exhibit 1). Respondent and the administrative board knew this matter had been heard in Nevada and was being retried in California. Respondents' action was to move forward knowing their actions were wrong. This clearly shows the Respondent understood the collateral estoppel issue. Plaintiff asked the court why Respondents were allowed to continue their discriminatory actions against plaintiff knowing petitioner was being tried on the same issue twice. There is no mistaking respondents who knew the petitioner was innocent. Upon realizing their error the respondents chose to continue to pursue the termination of the employee. Secondly, Respondent presents a narrative that the plaintiff committed

domestic violence. The protection order is prevention of domestic violence

26

27

28

with minor children. The respondents have not produced a record to show that the plaintiff was ever charged or arrested for any acts of domestic violence. Plaintiff lives in Reno, NV and order was obtained fraudulently in San Jose, CA (Exhibit 2). The requirements for a protection order are different from Nevada (see Santa Clara requirements for DVRO)(Exhibit 2). Plaintiff was going through a custody case (Washoe Family Court case FV16-02062) and the protection order was being used as leverage to get a better custody arrangement. Plaintiff was presented with the choice of his job or his children. The Attorney General knew the DVRO was a prevention of domestic violence with children (see Santa Clara Superior Court Portal). Plaintiff was never charged or arrested in Reno, NV or San Jose, CA, Nevada had personal jurisdiction over the plaintiff. See exhibit 1 from 9/24/2019 of confidential exhibits and see how the plaintiff is presented in the most negative way. Fourth, no one wanted this case. Lawyers do not want to face the state. The whole process seems to be designed for the self represented litigant to fail and for the state to succeed. In closing I would like to state mistakes were allowed at every level. Others were allowed their mistakes and the plaintiff was expected to be perfect in all of his actions. The administrative hearing, district court case and Nevada Supreme court case 79718 should have never happened. Officers of the court claimed ignorance to the law while giving each other a wink at the expense of

the pro se litigant. It is disappointing to see people that represent the law do not uphold the law.

Conclusion The petitioner asked that he be reinstated to his position immediately with back pay with interest and whatever the court deems necessary..

### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

- 1. I hereby certify that this Petition for Collateral Estoppel complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4). the typeface requirements of NRAP32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because: It has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in a 14 point Times New Roman font
- 2. I further certify that this brief complies with the page-or type volume limitations of NRAP 40 or NRAP 40A because: It is proportionally spaced, has a typeface of 14 points or more and does not exceed 10 pages.
- 3. I further affirm under NRS 239B.030(4) that the Petition for Collateral Estoppel has no one's personal information.

Dated this 22th day of July 2022

MICHAEL WHITFIELD

Pro Se Litigant PO Box 18421 Reno, NV 89511

Phone: (775) 737-3493

### INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Number of Pages 1			
Exhibit Description Dismissal of Domestic Violence Protection Order in Nevada				
Exhibit Number 2	Number of Pages 5			
Exhibit Description California Protection Order				
Exhibit Number 3	Number of Pages 1			
Exhibit Description Lawyer	r letter to Warden			
Exhibit Number	Number of Pages			
Exhibit Number				
Exhibit Number				
Exhibit Number				
Exhibit Number	Number of Pages			
Exhibit Number	Number of Pages			

## **Exhibit Cover Page**

EXHIBIT NUMBER DNE (1)

FILED
Electronically
TPO16-01888
2017-01-12 03:26:03 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 5895850

**CODE 2700** 

2

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

IN THE FAMILY DIVISION

## IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

CHRISTINA SENIOR,

MICHAEL WHITFIELD,

Applicant Present: YES

Adverse Party Present: YES

Applicant,

Case No. TPO16-01888

VS.

Dept. No. DM

Adverse Party.

Adverse Party

Applicant Attorney: PRO PER - BY TELEPHONE

Adverse Party Attorney: PRO PER

Presiding Judge/Court Master: LANCE WHITE

ORDER AFTER HEARING

The Court, having considered the filings, testimony and evidence presented at hearing, and having jurisdiction over the parties, the Court enters the following:

It is hereby ORDERED that the Order for Protection Against Domestic Violence originally issued on 12/14/16 in the above entitled case is **dissolved**. Based on the Applicant's oral request to dissolve the Temporary Order, the request for the extension is DENIED.

Dated January 12, 2017

Judge/Hearing Master

Objections/Appeals are governed by WDCR 24 & 32. You have ten (10) days for the receipt of this Recommendation and Order within which to file an objection or an appeal to the District Court.

# **Exhibit Cover Page**

EXHIBIT NUMBER TWO(2)

•		FL-300
	STATE BAR NO.:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY OR ATTORNEY	STATE BAR NO: Legal Document Services	
NAME: Michael Whitfield	20432 Silverado, #5B Cupertino, LDA #118, SCC	
FIRM NAME: STREET ADDRESS: PO BOX 18421	cupercino, non milio, con	
Control of the Contro	STATE: NV ZIP CODE: 89511	EDITE WITH
CITY: Reno TELEPHONE NO.: (775) 737-3493	FAX NO.:	
		A 2.227
E-MAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (name): Michael Whitfield	i	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY C	of Santa Clara	OCT 25 2017
STREET ADDRESS: 201 N. First Stree	t	and was the Court
MARLING ADDRESS: 191 N. First Stree	t	Superior Court Superior Court
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose, CA 95113		Superior Count of CACAMITY DEPUTY
BRANCH NAME: Family Justice Cen	ter	
PETITIONER: Christina Se	nior	L. BALLOWAY
RESPONDENT: Michael Whit	field	
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:		CASE NUMBER:
REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGE	TEMPORARY EMERGENCY ORDERS	17DV000096
Child Custody Visitation (Paren	iting Time) Spousal or Partner Support	
Child Support Domestic Violen	ice Order Attorney's Fees and Costs	
Property Control  Other (specify):	Set Aside Restraining Order	
	NOTICE OF HEARING	
1. TO (name(s)): Christina Senior		
Petitioner R	espondent	Other (specify):
<del></del> -		
2. A COURT HEARING WILL BE HELD A	S FOLLOWS:	
0.00	1:30 pm   Dept.: 7 (	Room:
The state of the s	1.00 pin	1
b. Address of court same as not	ed above other (specify):	1
1 146 6	ne Request for Order: The court may make the	ne requested orders without you if you do
	uset for Direct inches to Laborate the Server of Court Off	THE OTHER PARTIES
hefore the hearing (unless the court has	ordered a shorter period of time), and appear	at the hearing. (See form FL-320-INFO for
:-famotion		
(Forms FL-300-INFO	and <u>DV-400-INFO</u> provide information about o	completing this form.)
	COURT ORDER	
	(FOR COURT USE ONLY)	
It is ordered that:		CO MICAS HEARING
4. X Time X for service X until th	ne hearing is shortened. Service must be o	on or before (date): SDAUS (BLEWALL HEARL)
4. (A) Timbe (A) 10/10/10/10/10	at the Order (form EL 320) must be served on	or before (date): 2 DANS BEFORE HEARIN
5. X A Responsive Declaration to Reques	st for Order (tottli FE-520) mast be dorred en	line accuracione de follows
6. The parties must attend an appointm	nent for child custody mediation or child custo	dy recommending counseling as follows
(specify date, time, and location):		
	y (Ex Parte) Orders (form FL-305) apply to thi	s proceeding and must be personally
61 ( 11	illia Damient for Order	
served with all documents filed with	this Request for Order.	issue of whether
8. (Sther (specify): THE HEALTH	BE GRANTO AN EXEMPTION	4.4.4.
Assaman - Statem	BE GRANTED AN EXEMPTION	FROM THE FURTHER
purporture october	MIRELET HUDER FAMILY	CODE & 6384Ch.
Mounquistment per	A PSYLHOLD	GICAL EVALUATION.
Court notes The	BE GERMINO AN EXEMPTION DE PARILY PROMINENT UNDER PRYCHOLO PER PSYCHOLO	~~~~
Date: 10(211)	7) JU	LIE A.JEMEDECER Page 1 of 4
	REQUEST FOR ORDER	Family Code, §§ 2045, 2107, 6224 6226, 6320-6326, 6380-6353
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	17 N. 344500000000000	Government Code, § 26826

	FL-300
PETITIONER: Christina Senior RESPONDENT: Michael Whitfield	CASE NUMBER: 17 DV000096
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:  REQUEST FOR ORDER	}
Note: Place a mark  in front of the box that applies to your case or to you "Attachment." For example, mark "Attachment 2a" to indicate that the list of chil attached to this form. Then, on a sheet of paper, list each attachment number f your name, case number, and "FL-300" as a title. (You may use Attached Deck	or request. If you need more space, mark the box for ldren's names and birth dates continues on a paper followed by your request. At the top of the paper, write
The orders are from the following court or courts (specify county and state,  a. Criminal: County/state (specify): Case No. Example: County/state (specify): Case No.	n a copy of the orders if you have one.) ): No. (if known): No. (if known): No. (if known):  I request temporary emergency orders  cify):
(1) Specified in the attached forms:  Form FL-305 Form FL-311 Form	(parenting time) are:  Im FL-312  Form FL-341(C)  Inter (specify):  Attachment 2b.
c. The orders that I request are in the best interest of the children becar	use (specify): Attachment 2c.
d. This is a change from the current order for child custod (1) The order for legal or physical custody was filed on (da	
(2) The visitation (parenting time) order was filed on (date)	: . The court ordered (specify):
	Attachment 2d.

, =	stina Senior ael Whitfield	17 DV0	MBER: 00096
CHILD SUPPORT (Note: An earnings assignme a. I request that the court orc Child's name and age	der child support as follows:	me Withholding for Support ( <u>fo</u> lest support for each based on the child support gui	Monthly amount (\$) requested
b. I want to change a The court ordered child s	current court order for child upport as follows (specify):	support filed on (date):	Attachment 3a.
c. I have completed and file a current Financial States	d with this Request for Ordenent (Simplified) (form FL-1	er a current <i>Income and Exper</i> 55) because I meet the requir	nse Declaration ( <u>form FL-150</u> ) or I filed rements to file form FL-155.
d. The court should make o	change the support orders	s because (specify):	Attachment 3d.
a. Amount requested b. I want the court to The court ordered c. This request is to r I have completed a that addresses the	(monthly): \$	per month for support. partner support after entry of a rtner Support Declaration Atta rm FL-157. pense Declaration (form FL-1s)	filed on <i>(date):</i> a judgment. <i>chment</i> ( <u>form FL-157</u> ) or a declaration
PROPERTY CONTROL  a. The petitioner control of the following		er parent/party be given e	I request temporary emergency orders xclusive temporary use, possession, and rent (specify):
b. The petitioner [ and liens coming due v	respondent oth	er parent/party be ordered	d to make the following payments on debts
Pay to:	For:	Amount: \$	Due date:
Pay to:	For:	Amount: \$	Due date:
Pay to:	For:	Amount: \$	Due date:
		operty control filed on (date):	
<ul> <li>c.  This is a change tr</li> </ul>	om the current order for pro	perty control lieu off (date).	

	CASE NUMBER:
PETITIONER: Christina Senior RESPONDENT: Michael Whitfield	17DV000096
in that form.  c. A Supporting Declaration for Attorney's Fees and Costs Att	FL-319) or a declaration that addresses the factors covered
factors covered in that form.	
<ul> <li>DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ORDER</li> <li>Do not use this form to ask for domestic violence restraining. Temporary Restraining Order, for forms and information you.</li> <li>Read form DV-400-INFO, How to Change or End a Dome.</li> </ul>	stic Violence Restraining Order for more information.
<ul> <li>a. The Restraining Order After Hearing (form DV-130) was file</li> <li>b. I request that the court change end the p protective orders made in Restraining Order After Hearing</li> <li>c. I request that the court make the following changes to</li> </ul>	(form DV-130). (If you want to change the orders, complete 7c.)
d. I want the court to change or end the orders because (spec	cify): Attachment 7d.
8. X OTHER ORDERS REQUESTED (specify):	Attachment 8.
9. X TIME FOR SERVICE / TIME UNTIL HEARING I urgently a. To serve the Request for Order no less than (number b. The hearing date and service of the Request for Order c. I need the order because (specify):	er): court days before the hearing.
10. FACTS TO SUPPORT the orders I request are listed below. cannot be longer than 10 pages, unless the court gives me p	The facts that I write in support and attach to this request ermission.  Attachment 10.
	in a second all attachments
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California true and correct.	ornia that the information provided in this form and all attachments
Date: 10/4/2017	Wishlall July &
Michael Whitfield (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT)
Requests for Accommodations	the section of available if

Requests for Accommodations

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the proceeding. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms for Request for Accommodations by Persons With Disabilities and Response (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, § 54.8.)

	CASE NUMBER:
SHORT TITLE:	17DV000096
- Senior v. Whitfield	

## ATTACHMENT (Number): 8\_\_\_\_\_(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

- 1. Based on my mistake and excusable neglect I request that this Court Set aside the restraining orders entered on August 8, 2017, and allow me to allow me to rebut the false allegations made against me which are detailed in the attached DV-120 Response to Restraining Order.
- 2. In the event that this Court denies my request to set aside this judgment I request that I be granted an exemption from the relinquishment requirements as described in Family Code 6389(h).

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page 1 of 1 (Add pages as required)



## **Exhibit Cover Page**

EXHIBIT NUMBER Three (3)

#### Law Offices of

Doug NicholsoN Attorney at Law

429 W. Plumb Lane Reno, Nevada 89509

(775) 686-6505 Fax (775) 322-3841

nicholsonlaw@aggienetwork.com

December 4, 2017

#### HAND DELIVERED

Nevada Department of Corrections ATTN: ASSISTANT WARDEN BURNS

Dear Warden Burns:

By way of introduction I represent Michael Whitfield in an ongoing custody battle that is actually occurring in the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada (Case No.: FV16-02062) and in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara (Case No: 17DV000096). I am writing at the request of my client who told me that he is in jeopardy of losing his job because there is a protection order in place which was issued in California. I think it is very important that you know the background.

These Parties have an ongoing dispute over the two children they have in common. Ms. Senior has been convicted of Domestic Battery in Reno. Mr. Whitfield has no such convictions or charges. Ms. Senior fled the State of Nevada with the children and Mr. Whitfield has availed himself of the courts to maintain a relationship with his children. Ms. Senior has successfully filed an action in California and manipulated the system until I got involved in this matter. Judge Humke had a telephone conference with the California judge and it was decided that Nevada has jurisdiction over the case and children. Judge Humke entered an Order providing for temporary custody with Ms. Senior in California and for specific visitation. Ms. Senior did not like the Order and has been in contempt of court since October, 2017. Additionally, she has obtained the protection order in California and there is no factual basis for it. Mr. Whitfield hired a California attorney to represent him and all that attorney did was take his money.

Ms. Senior as well as her California attorney have done everything possible to extort a dismissal of the Nevada action. They have stooped so low as to offer to dissolve the protection order in exchange for Mr. Whitfield agreeing to dismiss the action in Nevada. Obviously, she isn't that concerned for her welfare or the welfare of the children.

With that said, we are pressing forward with our case here and trying to find an attorney in California who will litigate the matter and actually have an evidentiary hearing on the merits. Ms. Senior and her attorney are abusing the system and putting Mr. Whitfield's career in jeopardy for no legal or factual reason.

lask that you stay the termination proceedings until this matter is resolved by the courts. Should you have any questions or concerns please feel free to call me.

Respectfully

Doug Nicholson, Esa