IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Feb 28 2022 02:56 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

IAN CHRISTOPHER HELD,)
Appellant,))
vs.)
THE STATE OF NEVADA,))
Respondent.)
)

Case No. 83549

Appeal from Jury Verdict and Conviction Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada The Honorable David Hardy

APPELLANT'S JOINT APPENDIX - VOLUME II

MARC PICKER Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

CHRISTOPHER HICKS Washoe County District Attorney

MELISSA ROSENTHAL Deputy Alternate Public Defender

350 S. Center St., 6th Floor RENO, NEVADA 89501

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

JENNIFER NOBLE Deputy District Attorney

1 South Sierra St., 4th Floor RENO, NEVADA 89501

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS VOLUME I

1.	Information (Filed on 3/18/2021)1
2.	Judgment (Filed on 9/3/2021)
3.	Notice of Appeal (Filed on 9/3/2021)7
4.	Motion to Bifurcate Count three of the Information
	(Filed on 6/17/2021)
5.	Motion to Suppress (Filed on 6/16/2021) 16
6.	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress
	(Filed on 6/22/2021)
7.	Defendant's Motion to Suppress Fruits of Search Warrant Pursuant
	To Franks v. Delaware (Filed on 5/12/2021)

VOLUME II

	<u>VOLUME III</u>
10.	Exhibit 2 Application for Search Warrant (Filed 5/24/2021) 59
9.	Exhibit 1 Telephone Search Warrant (Filed 5/24/2021)
	Warrant Pursuant to Franks v. Delaware (Filed 5/24/21)
8.	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Fruits of Search

11.	Exhibit 3 Transcript of Preliminary Hearing, Pages 1-68
	Dated 3/3/2021)

VOLUME IV

12.	Continued Exhibit 3 Transcript of Preliminary Hearing, Pages 69-144	
	Dated 3/3/2021)	
	<u>VOLUME V</u>	
13.	Transcript of Proceedings, Motion to Suppress	
	(Dated 6/9/2021)215	
	<u>VOLUME VI</u>	
14.	Transcript of Proceedings, Motion to Suppress, Pages 1-83	
	(Dated 6/29/2021)	
	VOLUME VII	
15.	Continued Transcript of Proceedings, Motion to Suppress,	
	Pages 84-165 (Dated 6/29/2021)	
	VOLUME VIII	
16.	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 2, Pages 1-99	
	(Dated 6/30/2021)	
	VOLUME IX	
17.	Continued Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 2,	
	Pages 100-199 (Dated 6/30/2021)	
///		
///		
///		

VOLUME X

18.	Continued Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 2,
	Pages 200-261 (Dated 6/30/2021)
	VOLUME XI
19.	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 3,
	Pages 1-129 (Dated 7/1/2021)
	VOLUME XII
20.	Continued Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 3,
	Pages 130-261 (Dated 7/1/2021)
	VOLUME XIII
21.	Notice of Bindover (Filed 3/08/2021)
21. 22.	
	Notice of Bindover (Filed 3/08/2021)924
22.	Notice of Bindover (Filed 3/08/2021)
22. 23.	Notice of Bindover (Filed 3/08/2021) 924 Appearances Hearing (filed 3/22/2021) 925 Verdict, Count I (Filed 7/06/2021) 926
22. 23. 24.	Notice of Bindover (Filed 3/08/2021) 924 Appearances Hearing (filed 3/22/2021) 925 Verdict, Count I (Filed 7/06/2021) 926 Verdict, Count II (Filed 7/06/2021) 927

FILED Electronically CR20-3104 2021-05-24 04:05:37 PM Alicia L. Lerud Clerk of the Court Transaction # 8461138 : yviloria

1 2480 Christopher J. Hicks 2 #7747 One South Sierra Street 3 Reno, NV 89501 rdelong@da.washoecounty.us (775) 328-3200 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 6 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE. 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, Case No: CR20-3104 11 v. Dept: D15 12 IAN CHRISTOPHER HELD, also known as 13 IAN HELD, 14 Defendant 15 16 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS FRUITS OF SEARCH WARRANT PURSUANT TO FRANKS v. DELAWARE 17 18 The State, by and through CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS, Washoe County 19 District Attorney, and Robert W. DeLong, Deputy District Attorney, 20 hereby opposes the Defendant's MOTION TO SUPPRESS FRUITS OF SEARCH 21 WARRANT PURSUANT TO FRANKS v. DELAWARE ("the Motion"), filed by the 22 Defendant Ian Christopher Held on May 12, 2021. This Opposition is 23 based on the following memorandum of points and authorities, together 24 with all other pleadings, papers, and exhibits on file herein, and any 25 evidence that may be considered by the Court during a hearing on the 26 Motion. 0040

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

The Defendant in this matter has filed a motion seeking to suppress the evidence found as a result of a telephonic warrant that was obtained in this case. When Officers were investigating a string of residential burglaries in September of last year, they detained and interviewed the Defendant and his girlfriend, Annabelle Bush. After the interviews, Officers applied for a telephonic search warrant to search the Defendant's residence, a trailer located in Reno. Officers relied upon evidence they obtained during their investigation of the potential burglaries, and information that they obtained from the Defendant and his girlfriend when they were interviewed.

During the interviews, the Defendant and his girlfriend made several admissions regarding the burglaries, which are discussed in detail below. However, the Defendant now claims that Detective Kenneth Fye made significant misstatements when applying for the search warrant because he told Honorable Judge Ryan Katherine Sullivan that "[t]hey admitted to doing all the burglaries that I just mentioned, and stealing the vehicle." The State submits that this not a misstatement when it is considered in light of all of the admissions that were made during the Defendant's interview with Officers of the Reno Police Department. Although it is a generalization, it is appropriate after reviewing all of the information before the Officers at the time that they applied for the warrant.

The Defendant also claims that Officers conducted an illegal search of the trailer prior to applying for the search warrant. This 0041

25

26

claim is based upon the fact that Officers permitted Bush to enter the trailer after she was interviewed by officers. However, the warrant does not seek to search for any evidence that was not discussed during the interviews of the Defendant and Bush. Bush was staying with the Defendant at the trailer prior to her interview with officers, and was never placed under arrest. More importantly, the Defendant has not and cannot establish that, but for the alleged omission concerning her entry into the trailer, the warrant would not have been granted. Probable cause existed prior to her entry of the trailer, based upon the information obtained by Officers during their interviews of the Defendant and Bush. Arguably, probable cause existed based solely upon the information provided by the Defendant.

In addition, the Defendant complains about the fact that Officers misstated the location of a vehicle registered to the Defendant when applying for the warrant. Detective Fye told the Judge that the U-Haul truck that the Defendant was renting was parked in the driveway of a residence that was burglarized in the Somersett area, when the vehicle was actually parked on the street in front of the residence. The State submits that this distinction is immaterial when considering all of the evidence before the Court when it determined that probable cause existed for the issuance of a search warrant.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On February 24, 2021, the State filed its Third Amended Complaint against the Defendant in this matter, charging the Defendant with Count I Residential Burglary, Second or Subsequent Offense; Count 0042

1

2

3

4

II Residential Burglary, Second or Subsequent Offense; Count III Attempt Residential Burglary, Second or Subsequent Offense; and Count IV Being a Felon In Possession of a Firearm. A preliminary hearing was held on March 3, 2021, which concluded on March 8, 2021. As a result of the hearing, the Court determined that probable cause existed to bind over the Defendant to District Court on Counts I, III, and IV. An information was then filed by the State in this matter on March 18, 2021, charging the Defendant with one count of residential burglary, one count of attempted residential burglary, and one count of being an ex-felon in possession of a firearm.

In addition to the above procedural history, on September 28, 2020, the Honorable Judge Ryan Katherine Sullivan granted a telephonic search warrant in this matter, permitting Officers investigating the case to search the Defendant's trailer for stolen property. (See Exhibit A hereto.) When the warrant was executed, Officers seized several stolen items as a result of their search of the Defendant's trailer. (Ex. A 4.) The Defendant now seeks to have any evidenced obtained by officers as a result of his warrant suppressed. The State opposes the Motion.

III. STANDARD OF REVIEW

NRS 179.035(3) provides that a warrant "to search for and seize any property" may issue "[w]hen the property or things to be seized consist of any item or constitute any evidence which tends to show that a criminal offense has been committed, or tends to show that a particular person has committed a criminal offense." The Nevada Supreme Court has recognized that the determination of "[w]hether 0043

1

probable cause is present to support a search warrant is determined by a totality of circumstances." <u>Doyle v. State</u>, 116 Nev. 148, 158, 995 P.2d 465, 471-72 (2000) (<u>citing Illinois v. Gates</u>, 462 U.S. 213, 238 (1983)). The Court further found that "[a] deficiency in either an informant's veracity and reliability or his basis of knowledge 'may be compensated for, in determining the overall reliability of a tip, by a strong showing as to the other, or by some other indicia of reliability.'" <u>Id.</u> (quoting <u>Gates</u>, 462 U.S. at 233, 103 S.Ct. 2317). Moreover, great deference should be afforded to a Judge's determination of probable cause. <u>Gates</u>, 462 U.S. at 236, 103 S.Ct. 2317. A Court should not "overturn a magistrate's finding of probable cause for a search warrant unless the evidence in its entirety provides no substantial basis for the magistrate's finding." <u>Garrettson v. State</u>, 114 Nev. 1064, 1068-69, 967 P.2d 428, 431 (1998).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

In this case, the totality of the circumstances presented to the issuing Court demonstrate that probable cause existed for the issuance of the search warrant, and deference should be afforded to Judge Sullivan's issuance of the telephonic search warrant. The Defendant's own admissions provide a concrete and substantial basis for the Court's finding of probable cause.

IV. Officers did not make intentional or reckless misstatements to the Court when applying for a telephonic warrant in this case.

This case is the result of an investigation by the Reno Police Department and other agencies into a string of residential burglaries that occurred in late September of 2020. During the investigation, 0044

Detective Kenneth Fye, of the Sparks Police Department, applied for and obtained a telephonic search warrant from the Honorable Judge Ryan Katherine Sullivan to search the Defendant's trailer for stolen property. The Defendant now seeks to suppress any evidence obtained as a result of this warrant.

The Defendant claims that "the warrant application contains a significant misstatement that was either intentionally or recklessly made." (Motion 3:12-14.) However, this is incorrect. When the warrant application is read in its totality, along with the testimony presented at the preliminary hearing, it is clear that the statements made to the Court were appropriate and demonstrated probable cause for the issuance of the warrant. This is true even though the Defendant's girlfriend was permitted to enter the trailer prior to, or during the application being made for the warrant.

During the telephonic warrant application, Detective Fye testified to the Court regarding three separate burglaries that he was investigating with respect to the Defendant. First, a residential burglary that occurred on September 21, 2020, at 1440 Whisper Rock Way, in Reno. (Exhibit B 5:5-6.) Second, an attempted residential burglary at 920 South University Park loop. (Ex. B 5-6.) Third, the theft of a red Jeep, belonging to Jason Rocco, the Defendant's neighbor. (Ex. B 6:13-19.) During the application for the warrant, Detective Fye did state that "[t]hey admitted to doing all the burglaries that I just mentioned, and stealing the vehicle." (Ex. B 7:1-2.) While this may be a generalized statement regarding all of the information obtained by the Officers at the time, it is not a "significant misstatement that 0045

was either intentionally or recklessly made." When taken in context, it becomes clear that Detective Fye's statement is appropriate considering all of the evidence before the officers at the time. The Defendant's admissions to officers concerning each of the three alleged burglaries, which were made prior to the application for the search warrant, are detailed separately below.

A. Evidence Supporting an Admission to Committing a Residential Burglary at 1440 Whisper Rock Way.

Detective Lance the Reno Police Department Tindell of testified during the preliminary hearing in this matter that he interviewed the Defendant during his investigation of a burglary that occurred in September of last year at 1440 Whisper Rock Way, Reno, NV. (Preliminary Hearing Transcript Volume I ("PHT I"), attached hereto as Exhibit C, 59-60.) This address is located in the Somersett residential development in Reno. (PHT I 63-64.) Detective Tindell testified that the Defendant admitted to being "in the area of Somersett in a U-Haul truck that he had rented." (PHT I 63:9-10.) The Defendant also admitted to prying "the front door open with pliers and either a tire iron or a tire jack from the U-Haul truck, gained entrance to this particular residence in Somersett." (PHT I 63:15-18.) In addition, the Defendant admitted to committing this burglary by describing to Detective Tindell some of the items he took from the residence, such as coins, clothing, and tools. (PHT I 63:14-18.)

All of these admissions were recorded, and obtained during the Defendant's interview prior to the application for the warrant. The 0046

26

State plans to offer them as evidence for the Court if a hearing is held on this matter. However, the State notes that these admissions are difficult to hear on the recording, and that the testimony of Detective Tindell will be needed to clarify the statements made by the Defendant. Regardless, the State has presented sworn testimony in this case establishing that Defendant did indeed admit to burglarizing the home located at 1440 Whisper Rock Way.

B. Evidence Supporting an Admission to Committing an Attempted Residential Burglary at 920 University Park Loop.

Detective Tindell testified that during his interview with the Defendant, the Defendant admitted to covering up a Ring doorbell at 920 University Park Loop and then throwing a rock through a window of the residence. (PHT I 62:5-12.) He also testified that the Defendant admitted that he was confronted by a man at the residence and engaged in a brief conversation with the man before leaving the area with the Defendant's girlfriend, Annabelle Bush, in a red Jeep. (PHT I 62:10-17.) As part of Detective Fye's investigation into this matter, he and Detective Tindell witnessed the Defendant and Annabelle Bush drive away from the area of University Park Loop, in a Red Jeep. (PHT I 61-62, 115.)

The Defendant's girlfriend, Annabelle Bush, was also interviewed by Detective Fye as part of the investigation. This interview was also recorded and may be played as part of any hearing that may be held regarding this motion. During the interview, Bush admits that the Defendant broke a window at a house off of McCarran 0047

Boulevard. She stated that the Defendant talked with a homeowner and told the guy that he was looking for someone that had recently robbed him. These statements support the Defendant's admissions to covering up a Ring doorbell at 920 University Park Loop and then throwing a rock through a window of the residence.

C. Evidence Supporting an Admission to the theft of a red Jeep, belonging to Jason Rocco.

When the Defendant was interviewed by Detective Tindell, the Defendant was asked how he came into contact with the red Jeep he had been using. In response, the Defendant admitted that "the Jeep belonged to a friend of his, a neighbor, who had been arrested." (PHT I 61:15-15.) The Defendant also stated that he had used the Jeep previously and "figured it would be okay" to use the Jeep after Rocco had been arrested, "because he used it previously." (PHT I 61:15-17.) When these statements are considered in connection with Rocco's statements telling officers that the Defendant did not have the right to drive the vehicle (PHT I 113-114), it becomes clear that the Defendant has admitted that he was driving the vehicle without the owner's consent.

During the interview of Annabelle Bush, she also stated that she knew the jeep belonged to the next door neighbor, Jason Rocco. Bush thought the bill of sale she found for the Jeep was suspicious because it didn't contain Rocco's name or the Defendant's name. She also told Detectives that Rocco was already in jail when the Defendant got the keys to the Jeep. During the interview,

9

Detective Fye confirms that she believed that Rocco was locked up and that the Defendant went over to Rocco's trailer, got the keys, and. started driving it around. She also described stolen items taken form Rocco's trailer, that belonged to Rocco and she presumed were stolen. Bush identified cloths, paperwork, a drone, and a computer within the Defendant's trailer as being property stolen from Rocco. These were among the items listed as being sought within the search warrant. (Ex. A 1.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

When the totality of this information is considered, it is clear that the Defendant admitted to taking a Jeep without the owner's consent, and that he was in the possession of stolen items from Rocco's trailer.

v PROBABLE CAUSE EXISTED FOR THE WARRANT APPLICATION IRRESPECTIVE OF THE FACT THAT BUSH WAS PERMITTED TO ENTER THE TRAILER PRIOR TO THE SEARCH WARRANT BEING GRANTED.

16 The Defendant complains that Officers committed a warrantless search because they permitted Bush to enter the trailer prior to the search warrant being granted. (Motion 5:16-26.) This claim is based upon the fact that Officers permitted Bush to enter the trailer after she was interviewed by officers-when she was not under arrest. However, the warrant does not seek to search for any evidence that was not discussed during the interviews of the Defendant and Bush. In fact, it is not clear that Detective Fye, the Officer applying for the warrant, even knew that Bush had entered the trailer after she was interviewed. No information regarding her entry was discussed within the warrant application or the warrant itself.

The warrant was granted based entirely upon information gathered outside of her entry into the trailer.

Accordingly, the Defendant has not and cannot establish that, but for the alleged omission concerning her entry into the trailer, the warrant would not have been granted. An independent and substantial basis existed for the Court's finding that probable cause existed for a warrant prior to Bush's entry into the trailer, based upon the information obtained by Officers during their interviews of the Defendant and Bush. Arguably, probable cause existed based solely upon the information provided by the Defendant. Because the warrant application did not rely on any information that may have been obtained when Bush entered the trailer, there is no basis to invalidate the warrant for the omission of information.

VI. CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, the State respectfully submits that the Defendant in this matter did make enough admissions during this interview with Officers to justify the statement that "[t]hey admitted to doing all the burglaries" when applying for the warrant. In addition, the fact that Bush entered the trailer prior to the search warrant being granted is immaterial because her entry into the trailer did not provide any information that was relied upon within the application for the warrant. When the totality of the evidence before the Officers at the time of the application is considered, it is clear that probable cause ///

|| ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	existed for the issuance of the warrant in this case and that the
2	Defendant's Motion to Suppress should be denied.
3	AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030
4	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding
5	document does not contain the social security number of any person.
6	,
7	Dated this 24th day of May, 2021
8	CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney
9	Washoe County, Nevada
10	
11	
12	By COCCCC ROBERT W. BELONG
13	10022 DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	0051
	12

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY E-FILING
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of
3	the Washoe County District Attorney's Office and that, on this date,
4	I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court. A
5	notice will be sent electronically to the following:
6	
7	ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER
8	MELISSA ROSENTHAL ESQ
9	Dated this 24th day of May, 2021
10	
11	/s/RACHEL STEINMAN
12	RACHEL STEINMAN
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	005
	13

INDEX OF EXHIBITs

EXHIBIT 1	Telephonic Search Warrant	4 Pages
EXHIBIT 2	Application for Search Warrant	10 Pages
EXHIBIT 3	Transcript of Preliminary Hearing	144 Pages

.

FILED Electronically CR20-3104 2021-05-24 04:05:37 PM Alicia L. Lerud Clerk of the Court Transaction # 8461138 : yviloria

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

	FILE
	JAMES R. CO RENO JUSTICE BY <u>HHowd</u> DEPUTY CL
I	IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF <u>Rend</u> TOWNSHIP,
IN	AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, STATE OF NEVADA.
	* * *
IN THE MATTER	C OF THE APPLICATION
FOR A SEARCH	WARRANT.
	DUPLICATE ORIGINAL
	SEARCH WARRANT
	(TELEPHONIC)
THE STATE OF N	NEVADA, TO ANY PEACE OFFICER IN THE COUNTY OF WAS
	by affidavit having been made this date before $f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx = \int_{-\infty}$
<u>_</u>	
probable cause	, Washoe County, Nevada, that there to believe that the crime(s) of Burghary
·	
felony violatio	on(s) of NRS 205.060.1D
has/have been o	committed by Inn HEID
and that evider	nce of the crime(s), namely, <u>stolen property</u> -
RC PRONES LA	APTOP COMPUTER, SAFE BOX, X-BOX SYSTEM,
Jeweixy, Bon	LED WINE, RYDBI POWER TODIS, DYD'S
	y located, concealed and/or hidden on or within

 $(\underline{\Lambda})$ a residence and its surrounding premises and curtilage 1 2 including sheds, outbuildings and areas appurtenant thereto, described as 1955 W. 4th ST # BI (98 AIFA TRAILER) 3 4 ______in Washoe County, Nevada; (___) a vehicle, described as _____ 5 6 which is presently located at in Washoe County, Nevada; (___) a container, described as _____ which is/are presently located at 1955 W. 4Th ST #81____ in Washoe County, Nevada. YOU ARE THEREFORE DIRECTED to make a complete search within the exterior boundaries of the location and items described above, including any containers therein, whether locked or unlocked, which could reasonably contain the evidence to be searched for, and if the evidence is found, to seize it, make a written inventory of the same, and bring the inventory forthwith before me at the above Court. A copy of the sworn affidavit supporting probable cause for the issuance of this Search Warrant is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein. Serve this Warrant between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and (×) 7:00 p.m. (___) Good cause appearing, serve this Warrant at any time. Good cause appearing, this Warrant may be served without () knocking and announcing.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

0056

1	DATED this 28th day of SEPTEMBER, 2020.
2	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
3	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	0057
	3

•	
1	RETURN
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY and return that I received the annexed
3	Search Warrant on the 28th day of SEPTEMBER, 2020; that I
4	executed the same by making said search of the premises commonly
5	designated as 1455 W. 4th St # 81 (1998
6	ALEA JEANER)
7	Washoe County, Nevada; that upon said search T seized the following
8	item(s):
9	X20 DRONE
10	SOLAR LIGHT MILLWAVKER SAW
11	BLACK& DECKER SAN
	RYOPH SAW
12	Passport - LAVINIA VAKA
13	(3) ID CARDS
14	BIRTH CERTIFICATE "AIBGERTA LEE"
15	BLACK . 22 REIFLE
	20 GA SHOTGIN
16	BLACK BRA WITH MULTIPLE RIFIEL
17	Compours Bow
18	2 BASS W/ LOOSE AMMUNITION
19	BILL BAS WI DRIVE & ACLESSORIES
20	
21	DATED this 28 day of September, 2020.
22	
23	
24	Peace Officer
25	
26	
20	

00\$8

FILED Electronically CR20-3104 2021-05-24 04:05:37 PM Alicia L. Lerud Clerk of the Court Transaction # 8461138 : yviloria

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF RENO TOWNSHIP IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, STATE OF NEVADA BEFORE THE HONORABLE RYAN SULLIVAN --000--Transcript of Proceeding Case No. 10 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR A SEARCH WARRANT, _____/ RE: IAN HELD

0060

APPEARANCES Washoe County Deputy District Attorney One South Sierra Street Reno, Nevada 89520 6 SPARKS POLICE DEPARTMENT. . . Detective K. Fye Transcription. . . . Leslie Rosenthal Proceedings recorded by digital sound recording, transcript 11 produced by Certified Court Reporter

Ę

1 2 EXAMINATION 3 RENO, NEVADA, SEPTEMBER 28, 2020 4 -000-5 Q Tyson Lee 6 А Detective K. Fye 7 8 MR. LEE: The date is September 28th, 2020. 9 This is Tyson Lee with the Washoe County DA's Office. 10 On the line, we have Judge Sullivan with the Reno 11 Justice Court, and Detective Fye with the Sparks Police 12 Department. 13 And the time is 6:08 p.m. 14Your Honor, if you could please swear in Detective 15 Fye. 16 THE COURT: Certainly. 17 Before I do that. I'll state that I understand you're recording this call, and you have my permission to do 18 19 so. 20 Detective, will you please raise your right hand? 21 DETECTIVE FYE: Yes. 22 (Detective duly sworn). THE COURT: Thank you. 23 24

1	EXAMINATION
2	
3	BY MR. LEE:
4	${\mathbb Q}$ Detective, could you please read into the record the
5	substantive parts of the Search Warrant that you're requesting?
6	A Yeah. You want me to just go and read the whole
7	thing?
8	Q Yes, please.
9	A Okay. That proof by affidavit having been made this
10	date before me by Detective Kenneth Fye of the Sparks Police
11	Department, Washoe County, Nevada, that there is probable cause
12	to believe that the crimes of burglary, a felony violation of
13	NRS 205.060.1D has been committed by Ian Held, I-A-N H-E-L-D,
14	and that evidence of the crimes namely stolen property to
15	include RC drones, laptop computer, a safe box, an Xbox
16	console, jewelry, bottled wine, Ryobi power tools, and DVDs is
17	or are presently located, concealed, and or hidden on or within
18	a residence and its surrounding premises and curtilage,
19	including sheds, outbuildings, and areas appurtenant thereto
20	described as 1455 West Fourth Street, Number 81.
21	${f Q}$ Okay. And are you requesting service of this Warrant
22	between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.?
23	A Yes.
24	${\sf Q}$ Okay. And that location is that within Reno?

0063

1

A Yes, it is.

2 Q Okay. Could you please describe the probable cause 3 that you have to believe that Mr. Held committed the crimes of 4 burglary? 5 А Yes. A residential burglary occurred on 9/21/2020, at 1440 Whisper Rock Way, in Reno. 6 7 Reno Patrol responded to the residential burglary, 8 and found that the suspect used a rock to gain entry through a 9 glass window. 10 The suspect stole power tools, wine, DVDs, and some 11 other personal belongings from this homeowner. A neighbor 12 observed a U-Haul truck in the driveway, and was able to get 13 the license plate off the U-Haul truck. 14 The Reno patrol officer spoke with a local U-Haul and found out that Ian Held had rented the vehicle. 15 16 The Reno Patrol officer then went by Ian Helds' 17 residence at 1455 West Fourth Street, and observed the U-Haul truck parked in front of his trailer. 18 19 The officer then advised the repeat offender program 20 about this suspect, and the residential burglary that occurred. So road detectives began watching him later last week, and we 21 22 observed him driving in the area of 920 South University Park 23 loop. He was driving a red Jeep Cherokee at this time. We saw him leave the area at a -- kind of a high rate of speed. 24 We

0064

1 went back and checked the area. We didn't notice anything initially. Later on, a homeowner called and reported an 2 attempt of residential burglary, where they observed Ian going 3 around his house on a ring doorbell. Which is also evidence 4 5 with this case. But Ian was seen scouting the house out, and 6 walking around it. He then threw a -- [inaudible] through the 7 window in an attempt to gain entry. When he was confronted by 8 the homeowner, the homeowner saw him get into the red Jeep and 9 leave the area.

We ended up finding out that the red Jeep belonged to a guy named Jason Rocco who's currently in custody at the Washoe County Jail, and he is a neighbor of Ian Held.

We went and spoke with Rocco, and he told us that when he was arrested, the jeep was locked up and secured at his house, and Ian did not have permission to have it.

16 So we determined that Ian had stolen the red Jeep, 17 and that he had also committed a residential burglary to get 18 him to Rocco's trailer where he stole the keys to gain access 19 to the Jeep.

And we also learned that Ian had taken some property out of his trailer, as well. We placed Ian and his girlfriend who was with him on the South University Park loop burglary. Her name is Annabelle Bush. We made contact with both of them and placed Ian under arrest today. They were both cooperative

6

1 when interviewed with detectives. They admitted to doing all 2 the burglaries that I just mentioned, and stealing the vehicle. Ian informed detectives that interviewed him that 3 4 there was still stolen property that belonged to Rocco in his residence to include RC drones, a computer, and some clothing 5 6 items. He said all that was still located in his trailer. So 7 that's the property we're trying to recover. And that's it. 8 Q Okay. And the property that you described, that is a 9 trailer? 10 Ά Correct. 11 0 And is it -- why didn't you seize it and seal it to 12 apply at a different time? 13 А So that was our original plan, but when we got to the 14 trailer, it had poor tires. Slides on the -- it has a large 15 slide out on the trailer, and it doesn't look like it's 16 operable, it's an older trailer, and there's a lot of property on top of the roof. Underneath the trailer, the sewer lines 17 18 are hooked up in a really weird fashion. It's all pretty rusty, it's in bad shape, and it doesn't look like it's 19 20 movable. 21 Okay. And are detectives present to perform this 0 22 should it be granted immediately? 23 А They are. 24 Q Okay.

1 MR. LEE: Your Honor, do you have any further 2 questions? 3 THE COURT: No, I do not. And I do find probable 4 cause for the search. 5 MR. LEE: And does the detective have your permission 6 to sign your name? 7 THE COURT: Yes, he does. MR. LEE: Okay. And the time is now 6:14 p.m. 8 9 I think that's all we need. 10 Thank you. 11THE COURT: All right. Thank you, all. Have a good 12 night. 13 DETECTIVE FYE: Thank you, Judge. 14THE COURT: Bye. 15 16 (Interview concluded.) 17 --000--18 19 20 21 22 23 24

8

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	
3	
4	STATE OF NEVADA)
5) \$\$:
6	COUNTY OF WASHOE)
7	
8	I, LESLIE ROSENTHAL, do hereby certify:
9	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of 1
10	through 10, inclusive, contains a full, true and complete
11	transcription of the tape recording in this matter to the best
12	of my ability.
13	AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030
14	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the
15	preceding document does not contain the social security number
16	of any person.
17	DATED: This 20th day of January, 2021.
18	/S/ LESLIE ROSENTHAL
19	LESLIE ROSENTHAL
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 STATE OF NEVADA.) 2) SS: COUNTY OF WASHOE 3) 4 5 I, Ryan Sullivan, Justice of the Peace of Reno 6 7 Township, Washoe County, Nevada, hereby certify: That 8 application for a Search Warrant was made by oral affidavit; 9 That the witness was first duly sworn and the testimony and statements of all parties to the conversation were recorded 10 in my presence at my direction by electronic means, and 11 12 thereafter the tape was transcribed and submitted to me as 13 herein appears; 14 That when the examination of the witness and the oral 15 presentation of evidence and information was completed, it 16 appearing that there was reasonable cause and sufficient 17 grounds to believe that evidence of crime may be found, a 1.8 Search Warrant was orally authorized pursuant to NRS 179.045. 19 20 21 22 JUSTICE OF THE PEACE 23 24

10

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Alternate Public Defender's Office and that on this date I served a copy of the Appellant's Joint Appendix – Volume II to the following:

> IAN CHRISTOPHER HELD, 96555 c/o NNCC PO BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 Via U.S. Mail

CHRIS HICKS WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY Attn: Appellate Department Via Electronic Mail

DATED this 28th day of February, 2022.

<u>/s/Randi Jensen</u> Randi Jensen