#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Feb 28 2022 03:01 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

IAN CHRISTOPHER HELD,	)
Appellant,	) Case No. 83549
vs.	)
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	)
Respondent.	)
	)

Appeal from Jury Verdict and Conviction Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada The Honorable David Hardy

### APPELLANT'S JOINT APPENDIX - VOLUME VI

MARC PICKER
Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

MELISSA ROSENTHAL
Deputy Alternate Public Defender

JENNIFER NOBLE
Deputy District Attorney

1 South Sierra St., 4th Floor
RENO, NEVADA 89501

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

# TABLE OF CONTENTS VOLUME I

1.	Information (Filed on 3/18/2021)
2.	Judgment (Filed on 9/3/2021)
3.	Notice of Appeal (Filed on 9/3/2021)
4.	Motion to Bifurcate Count three of the Information
	(Filed on 6/17/2021)9
5.	Motion to Suppress (Filed on 6/16/2021)
6.	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress
	(Filed on 6/22/2021)
7.	Defendant's Motion to Suppress Fruits of Search Warrant Pursuant
	To Franks v. Delaware (Filed on 5/12/2021)29
	VOLUME II
8.	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Fruits of Search
	Warrant Pursuant to Franks v. Delaware (Filed 5/24/21)40
9.	Exhibit 1 Telephone Search Warrant (Filed 5/24/2021)54
10.	Exhibit 2 Application for Search Warrant (Filed 5/24/2021) 59
	<u>VOLUME III</u>
11.	Exhibit 3 Transcript of Preliminary Hearing, Pages 1-68
	Dated 3/3/2021)

## **VOLUME IV**

12.	Continued Exhibit 3 Transcript of Preliminary Hearing, Pages 69-144							
	Dated 3/3/2021)							
	<b>VOLUME V</b>							
13.	Transcript of Proceedings, Motion to Suppress							
	(Dated 6/9/2021)215							
	<u>VOLUME VI</u>							
14.	Transcript of Proceedings, Motion to Suppress, Pages 1-83							
	(Dated 6/29/2021)237							
	<u>VOLUME VII</u>							
15.	Continued Transcript of Proceedings, Motion to Suppress,							
	Pages 84-165 (Dated 6/29/2021)320							
	VOLUME VIII							
16.	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 2, Pages 1-99							
	(Dated 6/30/2021)402							
<b>VOLUME IX</b>								
17.	Continued Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 2,							
	Pages 100-199 (Dated 6/30/2021)501							
///								
///								
///								

## **VOLUME X**

18.	Continued Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 2,					
	Pages 200-261 (Dated 6/30/2021)	601				
	<b>VOLUME XI</b>					
19.	Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 3,					
	Pages 1-129 (Dated 7/1/2021)	663				
	<u>VOLUME XII</u>					
20.	Continued Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial Day 3,					
	Pages 130-261 (Dated 7/1/2021)	792				
	VOLUME XIII					
21.	Notice of Bindover (Filed 3/08/2021)	924				
22.	Appearances Hearing (filed 3/22/2021)	925				
23.	Verdict, Count I (Filed 7/06/2021)	926				
24.	Verdict, Count II (Filed 7/06/2021)	927				
25.	Verdict, Count III (Filed 7/06/2021)	928				
26.	Order (Filed 4/09/2021)	929				
27.	Third Amended Criminal Complaint (Filed 2/24/2021)	930				

1 4185 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 7 STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE 8 THE HONORABLE DAVID HARDY, DISTRICT JUDGE 9 STATE OF NEVADA, Department No. 15 10 Plaintiff, Case CR20-3104 11 Vs. 12 IAN CHRISTOPHER HELD, 13 Defendant. 14 Pages 1 to 165, inclusive. 15 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 MOTION TO SUPPRESS Tuesday, June 29, 2021 17 APPEARANCES: 18 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: NICKOLAS GRAHAM, DDA 19 ROBERT DELONG, DDA 1 So. Sierra St., So. Tower 20 Reno, NV 89502 21 FOR THE DEFENDANT: MELISSA ROSENTHAL, DPD IAN SILVERBERG, APD 22 350 So. Center Street Reno, NV 89520 23 Christina Amundson, CCR #641 REPORTED BY: 24 Litigation Services 323.3411

1	INDEX										
2	Examination of Anabelle Bush:										
3	By Ms. Rosenthal	8, 20									
4	By Mr. DeLong	11									
5											
6	Examination of Kenneth Fye:										
7	By Ms. Rosenthal	22, 61, 79									
8	By Mr. DeLong	44, 72, 80									
9	By the Court	77									
10											
11	Examination of Lance Tindell:										
12	By Ms. Rosenthal	83, 100									
13	By Mr. DeLong	92									
14											
15	Examination of Jeremy Catalano:										
16	By Ms. Rosenthal	109									
17	Mr. DeLong	122									
18	EXHIBITS										
19	ID	ADMITTED									
20	9-12 61	64									
21											
22											
23											
24											

RENO, NEVADA -- 6/29/21 -- 9:00 A.M.

-000-

THE COURT: Good morning.

Ms. Rosenthal, shall we wait for -- good morning. CR20-3104.

Counsel, let's begin with appearances, please.

MR. DeLONG: Robert DeLong and Nick Graham on behalf of the state.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Melissa Rosenthal and Ian Silverberg on behalf of Mr. Held, who is present this morning.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

We are out of the jury's presence. The jury has not yet been summonsed to appear in Department 15. We are all in the courtroom.

Counsel, by administrative order we are required to wear masks if we do not socially distance. I intend to announce that rule. I shall not police it. You may use your own best discretion according to your comfort levels. I want to be heard and sound is difficult in this room. I intend to put a mask on when the jury arrives later this afternoon. That's all I have to say about masks and

social distancing.

We are here pretrial pursuant to three motions, a motion to suppress regarding the warrant application, the motion to suppress regarding the return of a Ryobi drill and a motion in limine regarding hearsay and the defense's inability to introduce any statements made By Mr. Held. Of course, an exception to the Evidence Code is if the state seeks to introduce such out-of-court declarations, it does not fall within the definition of hearsay.

Mr. DeLong, was your motion in limine simply a prophylactic statement of law or do you anticipate any defense effort?

MR. DeLONG: Your Honor, it's our understanding that an alibi, if you will, was made by the defendant through his own statements. And we don't intend to introduce any evidence of that alibi unless, of course, the defendant himself wants to speak to that and to be subject to cross-examination, so that was the intention and reason for filing that motion.

While I'm commenting, your Honor, I believe there's also the motion to bifurcate that should be

at least addressed regarding the ex-felon in possession of a firearm charge.

1.4

THE COURT: Right. I guess I didn't attend to it this morning because I knew we would not be presenting to this jury any information about that count. And I was informed yesterday that the charging document was redacted for purposes of instructions and reading to the jury.

I will attend to the bifurcation as soon as I read it again. I don't remember reading it. I remember oral arguments that we had.

THE CLERK: Your Honor, I apologize. I believe you granted that at the motion to suppress hearing previously.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. DeLong.

MR. DeLONG: I'm sorry. I hadn't filed it yet, your Honor. We discussed it during the motion to suppress hearing but then I filed a written motion after that hearing was continued.

THE COURT: All right. I have not read that and I will. Anything from the defense?

MS. ROSENTHAL: Regarding the motion in limine, your Honor, I would just note that I don't believe that the statements that the state claims

are alibis. Statements are not alibis that specifically goes to Mr. Held's state of mind and why he was at that location and not why he was somewhere else. I don't think it's hearsay because of that exception.

With the state's argument that it goes to an alibi, it was his state of mind as to why he was somewhere, not that he was somewhere else.

THE COURT: How do you intend to get that evidence in?

MS. ROSENTHAL: Your Honor, I believe it may come in if an officer testifies to a conversation with Mr. Held. I ask for completeness that Mr. Held's whole interview come in, if any part of it.

THE COURT: This is a regular recurring issue in criminal trials. Counsel, I advise all of you to tread very carefully during opening statements and jury selection, very carefully. And then if there is anticipated testimony involving Mr. Held, I need to know about it out of the presence of the jury before it occurs.

You'll need to manage your witnesses. Of course, if the state introduces the evidence, it's a

different analytical structure, but I don't want to violate the well set and historically rich concept that, if the defendant remains — chooses to remain silent, that is a sacred constitutional choice, but his testimony may not come through other means when he's invoked his right to silence. I'll just listen and manage as we proceed.

I do not need arguments yet regarding the motions to suppress. I have read and reread the moving papers. I prefer to begin with witness testimony and then I would invite argument from counsel at the conclusion of the witness testimony.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Your Honor, for clarification, are we asking questions of the witnesses in regards to both motions at this time.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Your first witness.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Mr. Held would call

Ms. Annabelle Bush, please.

(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Counsel, you may proceed.

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. DeLONG:

- Q. Hello, can you please state and spell your name for the record.
- A. Annabelle Bush. A-n-n-a-b-e-l-l-e, B-u-s-h.
- Q. And, Ms. Bush, were you present at a trailer park back in September of last year?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Was that the trailer park located on Fourth Street?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Was that in Reno, Washoe County, Nevada?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And when you exited that trailer, what happened?
  - A. We started walking to the --

THE COURT: Wait, wait. We have to make sure everybody hears everything.

THE WITNESS: We were walking to the office and we were drawn at gunpoint.

- BY MS. ROSENTHAL:
- Q. And who -- when you say "drawn at gunpoint," can you please elaborate?

- A. Two cars pulled up -- like we were walking and two cars pulled up, one in front, one in back, and then people jumped out and had their guns.
  - Q. And what happened after that?
- A. We got took -- handcuffed and took to a Reno, I guess, police station.
  - Q. So, you were placed under arrest --
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. -- to your knowledge?
    When you say "we," who do you refer to?
    Who was with you.
  - A. Ian.
  - Q. And do you see Ian today?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Could you please identify him?
  - A. He's right there (indicating).
- MS. ROSENTHAL: Your Honor, may the record reflect identification of Mr. Held.

THE COURT: Yes.

#### BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Ms. Bush, when you were taken to the Reno Police Department, what happened next?
  - A. Well, I was questioned.
  - Q. And after you were questioned, what

- A. They took me back to the trailer and asked me to recover stolen property.
- Q. When you say "they asked," do you recall who specifically asked you?
  - A. Lance.
  - Q. Okay. Would that be Lance Tindell?
- A. Yes. And there was one other one. I don't remember his name, though.
  - Q. And did you end up going in that trailer?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Was that your trailer?
  - A. No.
- Q. And did you let them know it was not your trailer?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did they let you know at any time if they had consent to go in that trailer?
  - A. No. I think --

THE COURT: I didn't understand what you said.

THE WITNESS: I believe they told me they didn't have the consent to go in there.

4

5

6 7

8

9

1.0

1112

13

14

1516

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. And after you went in the trailer, what happened next?
- A. I was trying to recover stolen property but I couldn't remember the stolen property. They told me that Ian said there was a gun in the trailer that would be around the bed, so I went to go look. And then there was guns in the closet so I went and told them.
  - Q. And what happened next?
  - A. They wouldn't let me back in the trailer.
- Q. And at some point were you allowed to go back into the trailer?
  - A. After they were done.
  - Q. What happened?
  - A. They searched the trailer.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Pass this witness, your

THE COURT: Thank you.

To the state.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. DeLONG:

Honor.

Q. So, do you remember who interviewed you when you were detained at the Sheriff's Office?

8

7

9

1112

13

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

- A. Lance and someone else.
- Q. Was it possibly Mr. Kenneth Fye?
- A. Um, I don't know. I don't remember the name at all of the second one.
  - Q. Do you remember being interviewed?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember telling them that stolen property was inside of the trailer?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What property did you describe?
- A. Well, because they asked about going in the trailer that was next to us, so I believe it was stolen property from -- I forget the person's name.
  - O. Was it Rocco?
  - A. Yes. Rocco.
  - Q. Is his trailer next to Ian Held's trailer?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you remember Ian Held driving a red Jeep?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you know who owned that Jeep?
  - A. Rocco.
  - Q. So, Ian Held didn't own that Jeep?
  - A. No.

8

9

7

10

12

11

1314

16

15

1718

19 20

22

21

23

- Q. Did you tell officers about that?
- A. Yeah. They were aware of it.
- Q. And did you tell officers about being at University Park Loop, the street?
  - A. I don't remember what street that is.
- Q. Do you remember telling them you were with Ian Held when he broke a window and confronted a person inside a house?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember him telling you to get out of the way and him jumping into the red Jeep?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And driving away?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. You told all that to officers.

    Is that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And then you said the officers told you -- I'm sorry. Why did you go back in the trailer?
- A. The officers asked me to go recover stolen property.
  - Q. Did they ask you to do anything else?
- A. No -- well, they asked me to see if there was guns in there.

6

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

1516

17

19

20

18

21

22

24

23

- Q. Where had you been living before that occurred?
- A. Sometimes I would stay with Ian, but sometimes I would just stay on the streets or with my ex-boyfriend. I wasn't staying there full time.
  - Q. But you did stay there?
  - A. Yes, every so often.

THE COURT: You said, "Yes," what?

THE WITNESS: Yes, "every so often."

#### BY MR. DeLONG:

- Q. Well, you were there when you were detained by officers, weren't you?
  - A. Yes. I showed up like 4:00 that morning.
- Q. And did you have any of your own property in that trailer?
  - A. Yes, there was some of my property there.
  - Q. You didn't want any of that?
  - A. I got my property out of there.
- Q. When did you get your property out of there?
  - A. After they were done.
  - Q. What do you mean by "after they were done"?
- A. After they were done with the search warrant, I would go back to the residence. I had

permission from the person who ran the trailer park to stay and recover my property and get it out of there before his mom was going to come pick up the trailer.

- Q. Isn't it true that you told officers, though, that you wanted to get your property out of there before they were going to execute the search warrant?
- A. No. I said if they weren't going to allow me to go back in there period, that I could get my belongings so I had some stuff to take with me, my stuff.
- Q. So, you did tell them you wanted to get some of your stuff out.
- A. Yeah. If they would not let me back into the trailer.
- Q. And they said, No, we're gonna let you back in. Is that what they told you?
  - A. They said they didn't --

THE COURT: Hold on, please. Didn't understand a word you said. Again, I'm not criticizing you. You must slow and enunciate each word, please.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: They said that they would have to see what their supervisor says about going back into the trailer or not.

BY MR. DeLONG:

- Q. So, who told you specifically to go get stolen property out of the trailer?
- A. Lance and whoever else was interviewing me asked if I could recover it.
  - Q. Did they ask you together?
- A. No. I believe Lance came in and he was like, I'm sorry, but you're going to take a ride down to jail, and I said, What? And he said, I'm just kidding, but we need you to go back to the trailer and recover stolen property.
- Q. And where were you when this conversation occurred?
- A. We were at the -- well, I was at the Reno -- whatever -- Police Station in a questioning room.
- Q. Now, you indicated that -- I want to make sure I understand this right.

Did you testify earlier that Ian said there were guns by the bed?

A. That's what they said, that Ian said during

8

12

14

13

15

1617

18

20

19

2122

23

24

his interview.

- Q. They told you that Ian said there were guns in the trailer.
- A. Yes. He said to go into the trailer and look for a gun that he -- he said there was a gun that was possibly by the bed.
- Q. So, when you went in the trailer, what were you looking for?
- A. At first stolen property. But after I couldn't recover some of the stolen property because there was a lot of shit in there --

THE COURT: Hold on.

THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.

THE COURT: We each have a way of speaking in our personal lives, but in a courtroom we use dignified words.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: This courtroom will not devolve into a street environment.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: You understand what I'm saying?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Be careful, please.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So, after I couldn't

identify any stolen property, they asked me to go in and see if there was a gun around the bed, because Ian Held during his interview said there was a gun in the house.

#### BY MR. DeLONG:

- Q. So, initially you went in to find stolen property. Is that your testimony today?
- A. Yes. He asked me to go recover Rocco's stuff.
  - Q. What stolen property specifically?
  - A. Anything that came from Rocco's trailer.
- Q. And then is it your testimony that after you did that, you came back out with nothing?
- A. I said, Oh, I think this might be some of it, but because I wasn't 100 percent sure, they said just leave it.
  - Q. So, you came back out with nothing?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Is that your testimony?
  - A. Pretty much, yep.
- Q. And they sent you back in again, is that your testimony?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And this time it was only for a gun.

	Α.	Ye	ah.	They	said	that	Ian	Hel	ld	st	ate	ed.
durin	g t	he	inter	rview	that	there	e was	s a	gu	n	in	the
house												

- Q. Had you ever seen any guns in the house? It's not a house, though.
  - A. It's a trailer.
  - Q. Talking about a trailer.

    Have you ever seen any guns in there?
  - A. There was guns in there, yes.
- Q. Did you tell officers about the guns being in there?
  - A. I did.
- Q. Did you tell them before or after you went in?
  - A. After.
  - Q. But you knew there were guns in there.
  - A. Yes.
- $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  DeLONG: If I may have one moment, your Honor.
- BY MR. DeLONG:
  - Q. Where are you residing currently?
  - A. Crossroads.
  - Q. And why are you at Crossroads?
  - A. Because I was an addict.

- Q. Okay. And when you were with Ian Held at the house where a rock was thrown through the window and he told you to get out of the way so he could drive, were you under the influence of drugs at that time?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you under the influence of drugs when you were detained by officers?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Are you under the influence of drugs today?
  - A. No.

MR. DeLONG: No further questions at this time.

THE COURT: To the defense for redirect.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Ms. Bush, do you know who was on the lease to the trailer?
  - A. As far as I know, Ian Held.
- Q. And when you indicated on cross that his mom was coming to pick the trailer up, is that because she owned the trailer?
- A. I believe her new husband owned the trailer.

- But you were not an owner of that trailer, 0.
- And you were not on a lease to that
- And you told officers that you were not living there, correct?

MS. ROSENTHAL: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Re-cross on those narrow

#### RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- Isn't it true you told officers that you were living there for the last two months?
  - No, I don't remember saying that.
- Isn't it true that you told officers that he had saved you from a terrible relationship, an
  - Yes. Ian did.
  - And you were dating him?
  - And you were staying there the last two

months.

A. I was not staying there the whole time, no. On and off.

MR. DeLONG: That's all, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

That concludes your testimony.

Your next witness, please.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Your Honor, I would call Detective Fye.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Deputy, would you bring Ms. Bush back in. Just have her stand right there.

Ms. Bush, you've been subpoenaed to participate at trial. You remain under subpoena and must be present at the time you are called as a witness.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Counsel, you may begin.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

Q. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

- A. Kenneth Fye, F-y-e.
- Q. How are you currently employed?
- A. As a detective for the Sparks Police Department.
- Q. How were you employed on September 28<sup>th</sup>, 2020?
- A. As a detective with the Sparks Police Department.
- Q. And on that day do you recall requesting a search warrant?
  - A. I do.
- Q. And were you the lead detective on that case?
  - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And as lead detective can you describe your roles.
- A. Well, I took the information from a lot of the responding officers that took the initial reports, kinda compiled that data and turned that into a surveillance of the suspect, Ian Held.
  - Q. And there was another suspect, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Who was that?
  - A. That was Annabelle Bush. It was the

girlfriend of Ian.

- Q. Okay. And when you -- were you the one that conducted the surveillance as you indicated?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And could you describe what that surveillance was?
- A. It was direct surveillance following Ian around at his place of residence and around town while he was driving.
- Q. Did that occur on September 28<sup>th</sup> or before that time?
  - A. Started before then.
- Q. And on September 28<sup>th</sup> were Mr. Held and Ms. Bush arrested?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Were they both taken to Reno Police Department?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And they were both interviewed, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And the interview rooms were not equipped with audio-visual, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And so body camera or Axon cameras were

used?

- A. Detective Tindell used an Axon camera and I used a cell phone.
- Q. And you just turned "record" on your cell phone?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Who did you interview?
  - A. Annabelle Bush.
  - Q. At any time did you interview Mr. Held?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And was that recorded?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Was that on your cell phone?
- A. That was on Detective Tindell's Axon camera.
- Q. And after the interviews were conducted, what happened?
- A. After the interviews were over with, it was determined that Annabelle was gonna be a witness for this case and Ian would be arrested for his involvement with the cases, and that was what was determined after the interviews.
- Q. Isn't it true Ms. Bush was already arrested?

- A. She was, yeah.
- Q. And so she was arrested when -- she was under arrest when the interview was conducted, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And at some point did you apply for a search warrant?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And was that before or after Ms. Bush returned to the trailer?
- A. That I applied for the warrant was after she returned to the trailer.
  - Q. Did you accompany Ms. Bush to the trailer?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And do you recall what time that was?
  - A. I do not.
- Q. Do you recall if it was morning, afternoon, evening?
- A. I remember that the warrant was granted just before 7:00 p.m. so I would guess that we arrived back to the trailer maybe around 6:00 p.m.
- Q. And when you arrived back, was anybody else at the location?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Who was that?
- A. Detective Catalano and Detective Bailey.
- Q. And they were watching the trailer, so to speak?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Ms. Bush was allowed to return to the trailer and go inside, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And before that time Mr. Held had denied consent to search the trailer, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. In fact, Mr. Held was questioned for almost an hour about consent and it was denied the entire time, correct?
- A. No. I wouldn't say that he denied it the whole entire time. He was back and forth with his consent because he said that he had no problem with us getting the stolen property out of the trailer and he gave us information about that stolen property.

But he had a concern that we were gonna take property that wasn't stolen that was his personal valuables. And so that was his concern so we kinda went back and forth with him on that, but

- Q. But he never said, I give you consent to search my trailer, correct?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. And you knew that when you went back to the trailer.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you did not apply for a search warrant at that time.
- A. I applied for the search warrant after I returned to the trailer.
- Q. Okay. But you did not apply for the search warrant prior to letting somebody else go in the trailer.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you knew at the time that Ms. Bush was not on the lease for the trailer, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you knew that the trailer was not owned by Ms. Bush?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And Ms. Bush had told you that she did not live there permanently, correct?
  - A. That's not correct. She told me that she

was in a dating relationship with Ian for about two months. She said that she was kind of homeless before that, she had nowhere else to stay, and that was her primary place to live, that she had personal belongings in the trailer and she established residency there, was my understanding.

- Q. Okay. So, she said, I live in that trailer with Mr. Held?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. This is my residence.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's all in that report that you took?
- A. I don't know if she referred to it as being her residence. She referred to it as being Ian's place, because he owned the trailer. But she said that that's where she resides and that's where she had been staying for two months.
- Q. And, in fact, she told you that she sometimes stayed there, correct?
- A. She told me that she sometimes stayed with her children, but primarily she stayed at that trailer.
  - Q. But including the days right leading up to

- A. If I remember correctly, I think she said she went to Fernley, maybe something to do with her children or something like that. But she said her primary place to stay and where she kept her personal belongings was in the trailer.
- Q. But during your questioning of her she said that she had not stayed there for several days, correct? In fact, she was confused on the days of the week.
- A. I remember she said because of her drug use she was confused on the days. And I remember her saying that she had gone to Fernley, I think, with her children. But I can't say for sure if she said she stayed there for several days, as you're saying.
- Q. On the 28th of September 2020 what time did you begin the surveillance on Mr. Held?
  - A. On the  $28^{th}$ ?
  - Q. Yes.

- A. I wanna say roughly late morning, maybe around 10:00 or 11:00 a.m.
- Q. But you had been surveilling him prior to that, correct?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. And isn't it accurate that Ms. Bush was not at the trailer that night before?
- A. I can't say for sure that we surveilled at that time that I can recall.

THE COURT: Will you pause, please.

Thank you.

#### BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Detective, your interview of Mr. Held was specifically regarding the consent to search, right?
  - A. Primarily, yes.
- Q. It was not regarding the events that had occurred previously?
- A. We also talked about some of that stuff while I was talking with him. Specifically what I remember is the burglary of Jason Rocco's trailer and use of the Jeep that he was driving.
- Q. And as lead detective, did you have access to all the information in this case?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And were you aware of a U-Haul truck?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And a photo of a U-Haul truck?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And that photo being -- having been taken a

A. Correct.

- Q. And that was taken by an individual not related to any burglaries, correct?
- A. The photo that I'm recalling is the photo parked in front of the trailer --
  - Q. I'm sorry?
- A. A photo of the U-Haul truck parked in front of Ian's trailer that I think was taken by the RPD officer.
- Q. Okay. But do you recall seeing a photo of the U-Haul truck parked elsewhere?
  - A. No.
- Q. And you didn't know where the U-Haul -- how it was connected to the trailer.
- A. I knew that the initial officer had taken the residential burglary at 1440 Whisper Rock. A witness in that case saw a U-Haul truck and got a license plate off it.

The RPD officer followed up on that from U-Haul and got the rental information for the person who rented it. It came back to Ian Held and it was cosigned by Annabelle Bush, and that's kind of what led us onto them or identified them as potential

suspects early on in the investigation. That's what I was familiar with.

- Q. Okay. But, in fact, that person had taken a photo of the U-Haul, not just relayed the license plate, correct?
  - A. The witness?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. I'm aware of that now, but at the time of the -- that I originally got the information relayed to me it was just a verbal relay of information, so I was not aware of that photo at that point.
- Q. So, were you aware that the U-Haul truck was never in the driveway?
  - A. I am now.
  - Q. And it was, in fact, on a public street?
- A. The information that was relayed to me by the officer -- actually, by Sergeant Welch who supervised the officer on the initial calls -- he said that the U-Haul truck was parked directly in front of the victim's house, is what he relayed to me.
- Q. Okay. So, that would mean that it was not in the driveway, correct?
  - A. I think it could be interpreted

differently. Parked directly in front of the house could mean the street and also could mean the driveway.

So, I later referred to it in a warrant as being parked in a driveway, but it was told to me that it was parked directly in front of the house and I interpreted that as being parked in the driveway.

- Q. So, it's inaccurate, to your knowledge, now that it was ever in the driveway?
- A. I understand now that it was parked on the street and not in the driveway now.
- Q. And so it was your interpretation that it was in the driveway, not from the information that was relayed to you.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. When you said in your search warrant application that it was parked in the driveway, that was inaccurate?
- A. I know that now. But, like, again, you know, I was told by Sergeant Welch it was parked directly in front of the house, and I interpreted that as being in the driveway.
  - Q. So, you could have said it was parked

directly in front of the house in your search warrant application, correct?

- A. I could have worded it many ways in my search warrant application.
- Q. And that would have been information you relayed -- that you were given, was that it was parked in front of the house.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But that's not what you told the justice of the peace. You said it was parked in the driveway.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And when -- do you recall in your search warrant saying that Ms. Bush also admitted to all of the things?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And that was also inaccurate, correct?
- A. I think that it was possibly a slight overstatement, but she gave corroborating information for each of the things that I had discussed in the search warrant application.
- Q. But, in fact, during Ms. Bush's interview she denied participation in any of the burglaries, correct?
  - A. She admitted being in the vehicle during

the attempted burglary at University Park Loop, so she fully admitted to that. She said that it wasn't her intent to do any burglaries or anything like that, so she admitted involvement with that.

- Q. So, she admitted to being in a vehicle where a crime occurred, but she did not admit to burglarizing a house, correct?
- A. Yeah. Like I said, it was just corroborating information from the investigation, the other information I obtained up to that point.
- Q. Did Ms. Bush say, I burglarized those residents?
  - A. She did not.
- Q. And isn't it accurate that Ms. Bush separated herself from Mr. Held's actions during her interview?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And ultimately you determined that Ms. Bush was not a participant in the burglaries, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And she was what you called a witness.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So, in fact, she did not admit to committing a crime, correct?

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

21

20

22

23

- Α. Correct.
- And when you are applying for a search warrant, the goal is to give the justice of the peace the full story, correct?
  - Α. Correct.
- And so they can make a determination on whether there's probable cause to grant the search warrant.
  - Α. Correct.
- And you take an oath before you start Q. testifying for that, correct?
  - Α. Correct.
  - 0. The same oath you took here?
  - Α. Yes.
- And isn't it true you did not tell the Q. judge the full story?
- So, to explain, being on a telephonic it's, you know, I'm doing this in my vehicle and everything on the fly. I've compiled as much information as I could and I did my best to accurately give a representation and fully explain all the details. And so when I said that they fully admitted to the crimes, I mean that they both gave information about all the crimes that I had

explained.

So, in an interview they don't always say, you know -- me as an investigator with my training and experience, they don't always say, Yes, I committed a burglary at this date and time. They'll give little bits and pieces of information that implied that she was in the vehicle, she helped drive away the vehicle, she was driving through the neighborhood with him and was aware that he was scouting houses to burglarize. She was aware that he had driven up in the area of Somersett and so forth. She was aware that he went into Jason Rocco's trailer and she was aware that he took the Jeep without Rocco's consent.

So, those are the things that she said she was aware of. Like I said, she gave information that led me to that conclusion based off the other facts and circumstances that I had done the week prior to the investigation.

- Q. But even based off her statements to you and you're saying she's accompanying Mr. Held, you determined she was not going to be arrested in this case or no longer under arrest.
  - A. Yeah. I guess because we saw her driving

in the vehicle and we originally placed her under arrest for conspiracy to commit burglary because at that time from our observation it looked like she was assisting with the scouting of the houses and possibly with other aspects of the burglary from what we observed.

And after speaking with her, I believe -we believe that that wasn't necessarily the case
and, you know, like you said, she was determined to
be a better fit as a witness.

- Q. And it's your testimony that your telephonic search warrant was rushed.
- A. No. I was just trying to say that when I have a full day or time to sit at my desk and type it and proofread it, it could just be a bit more thorough than what I'm trying to get across on the phone, that I did my absolute best given all the facts and circumstances and everything I believe to be true to the judge at that time.

But I guess what I'm saying is I could have done a more thorough job if I had time to sit down and write. But looking back on the warrant, I still think I did a good job and I still think I presented what I knew to be true at that time. Again, I think

I may be overgeneralized by saying that rather than going into detail on each individual thing that I just explained to the Court today.

- Q. In fact, there was no urgency in getting that search warrant at that time, correct?
  - A. No.
  - Q. You could have gotten it at a later time.
  - A. What do you mean?
- Q. You could have taken the time to type up an application for a search warrant.
- A. Well, I believe we also talked about that in a preliminary hearing, where the vehicle couldn't be towed. That was our original plan, was to seal the vehicle, tow it into a secured lot and seal it up. And then that's what we generally do that would give us enough time to type up a warrant, walk it through and have it signed by a judge.

But since the vehicle was not towable, it pushed us into doing a telephonic warrant because we just didn't have the manpower or the ability to sit around and surveil, especially until the next working day that we have an officer basically stand around the clock just to do that.

Q. But you could have. It could have been

done.

- A. No, it could not have been done because we didn't have the manpower or resources to guard the trailer for that amount of time.
- Q. But there was nothing urgent in the trailer that you had to search it at that time, correct?
  - A. Correct. Like, I wasn't worried about --
  - Q. Because --
- A. We were watching it. But if we were to leave it, you can't leave it unmanned because that gives up the --
- Q. But you stated that you could have locked it and drug it away, so there was no urgency in searching the trailer at that time.
- A. I could not. I originally thought I could seal it, lock it up, and bring it to a secured lot. But then when we got on scene, the trailer was in really poor condition, the tires were bad, it had duct work all around it, the sewer lines were haphazardly on the --

THE REPORTER: Slow down, please.

THE WITNESS: The trailer was not towable.

BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

Q. Ideally, if the trailer were to have been

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

10

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

towed, you would have done that, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And at that time you would have applied for a search warrant written and not telephonic.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And so there was nothing urgent in the trailer itself to where you felt like you had to get a telephonic search warrant right away.
- A. I know you've asked that but I just don't know what you mean by that.
  - Q. Let me try to state it a different way.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. If -- I'm just going to move on.

I'm going to circle back to telling the full story in your application for a search warrant.

- A. Okay.
- Q. Isn't it accurate that you omitted significant information in your warrant application?
  - A. I don't agree with that.
- Q. And you make no mention to the justice of the peace that Ms. Bush was allowed back in the trailer, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And you don't say anything about her

entering after consent was denied, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And so that's a significant omission.
- A. Okay.
- Q. And so the judge didn't have the full story when you submitted this to the court.
  - A. I don't agree with that.
- Q. So, you think the judge had all the information available to her when determining probable cause for the search warrant?
- A. I believe I gave all the pertinent information I had available to me at the time.
- Q. So, that was information you decided was pertinent?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Not the total story of what was available.
- A. What I had available to me at the time. Given the multiple variables and stuff like that in the investigation and things going on, I believe that I gave all the pertinent information.
- Q. But you did have -- you were aware at the time that Ms. Bush had gone into that trailer.
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And that Mr. Held had denied consent.

- A. Correct.
- Q. And you did not mention that.
- A. Correct.

MS. ROSENTHAL: I'll pass the witness.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. DeLONG:

- Q. So, I guess we've gone over this a little already, but Detective Fye, you applied for a search warrant in this case, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And what was the basis? What was the reason for applying for the search warrant?
- A. To recover stolen property from residential burglaries.
- Q. When you say shorthand "residential burglaries," what do you mean?
- A. Talking about the residential burglary that happened at 1440 Whisper Rock and the residential burglary that occurred at 1455 Keystone Avenue, Jason Rocco's trailer, and property that Ian and Annabelle had told us was in the trailer.
- Q. Now, it's been discussed already that you said that they admitted committing all the burglaries. Is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. But that wasn't the only information you gave the judge, was it?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you remember the information you gave the judge regarding 1440 Whisper Rock Way?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Can you elaborate?
- A. I explained that a Reno patrolman responded to take this report and what he had found was that a back sliding glass door had been broken with what appeared to be a rock and that there was pry marks on the door and there was obvious signs of entry into the house.

And it looked like that person — that victim's property had kind of been rummaged through and it appeared things were missing. I believe the victim was out of town when the initial report was taken, so they couldn't determine the loss exactly at that point in time. And the witness also said that he observed a U-Haul truck being driven by a white male with longer hair driving a rented U-Haul truck with an Arizona license plate that he was able to write down. He said he saw that truck parked in

front of the house, and that's what he gave the initial officer in that information and relayed to me later.

- Q. So, that's the U-Haul truck we're talking about that you indicated initially was in the driveway, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But it was actually -- you understand now it was in front of the house.
  - A. Yes, now I do.
  - Q. You wrote a report in this case too, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Where did you indicate the truck was within the report?
- A. I also stated that it was in the driveway in the report.
- Q. So, that was your understanding at least at the time, with respect to the investigation.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But now it's -- you understand it was in front of the house?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Where did you gain that new understanding?
  - A. After the preliminary hearing I believe

that the witness came and testified and differentiated that, said that the U-Haul truck was parked directly in front of the house rather than in the driveway, and that was made aware to me at that time.

- Q. Have you seen pictures of where the truck was parked?
  - A. I have not.
- Q. But it's your understanding that it still was in front of a house, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So, then you mentioned you also based your warrant upon 1920 University Park Loop.

Is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Can you tell us what information you gave the magistrate with respect to that attempted burglary?
- A. Yes. So, we were tracking that vehicle using a tracker at that time. It was done digitally. And we saw it going up into a neighborhood that we didn't know Ian to have any association with.
  - So, we saw him going into that

neighborhood, Detective Tindell arrived on scene first and stayed out of the area to see what was going to happen so that he didn't give up his identity or concealment as a detective by driving by or anything like that, so he stayed nearby.

Time passed and then he saw the Jeep leaving at a high rate of speed. And he observed Ian in the driver's seat and Ms. Bush in the passenger seat and relayed that information to me. We drove around the area but didn't see any disturbance or any signs of a burglary or anything like that, but later learned that an attempted residential burglary was reported at 920 South University Terrace Loop.

And so, again, another RPD officer responded to that and made contact with the owners. The owners said they saw Ian on his Ring doorbell, that he walked around the house like he was scouting it, broke out a window and was confronted by the owner at that time. They had a conversation with each other and then Ian fled the area, which is when Detective Tindell saw him.

Q. Now, at the time you applied for the warrant he had been interviewed already, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And you were at least made aware of what occurred during the interviews?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did he talk about -- well, start with University Park Loop. Did he discuss that at all during the interviews?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What did he say to officers?
- A. So, he had admitted to going there, admitted to ringing the door bell and admitted to breaking the window, and he said that he was looking for someone that owed him money.
- Q. Then did he make any statements with respect to the first residence 1440 Whisper Rock Way?
  - A. During the interview he did, yes.
  - Q. What did he say about that?
- A. He said that he burglarized the house, he said that -- I think he said he tried to pry the door open with a screwdriver. And then he went back to the U-Haul truck and grabbed a tool of some sort out of it and used that and broke in the glass.
  - Q. Did you interview Annabelle Bush before you

A. Yes.

1.2

- Q. Did she tell you about either of these incidents?
- A. She told me that she was aware that Ian had been driving in the area of Somersett but didn't have a whole lot more information on that. She told me that she was aware of the University Park -- 920 university park, that she was with him on that one.

She said that she knew that he had broken the window and had a confrontation with a neighbor. She was aware of the story of him looking for someone who owed him money but she said that she didn't believe that was a true story, that it was Ian's intent to burglarize the house.

- Q. And you mentioned there was a third basis for applying for the warrant.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you also mentioned you were tracking the red Jeep that Mr. Held was driving.
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Why was that being tracked?
- A. So, we saw him -- you know, we started direct surveillance on his trailer and then saw him

6 7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

driving the red Jeep. We -- I checked the registration and stuff like that and I found out it belonged to a neighbor that also lived in the Keystone trailer park, Jason Rocco, and I learned that he was just arrested, I think about one or two weeks before we were conducting that surveillance on Ian.

So, we went up to the jail to talk to him -- he was in custody at the Washoe County Jail -- and did an interview with him.

- Ο. What did he tell you?
- He told us that he knew who Ian was, that Α. they had a past friendship and they had a falling-out and they were no longer on good terms.

He told me that to his knowledge his Jeep was parked in front of his trailer and it was secured and his trailer was secured and that the keys to the Jeep were inside of his trailer. said that Ian did not have permission to be driving the trailer -- or permission to enter his trailer and Ian didn't have permission to be in possession of any of his property.

So, that created the basis for putting the tracker -- for tracking the Jeep?

- A. Correct. At that time Jason Rocco reported that vehicle as stolen with us, because he said that, you know, it's supposed to be his and it's supposed to be kept secure at his house and no one else is supposed to have it. So, it was deemed to be stolen at that time and he signed a stolen vehicle waiver. We reported it as stolen at that time, and that's when we placed the tracker on it.
- Q. Now, did Ian Held during his interview make any statements about the Jeep or potential burglary there?
  - A. Yes, he did.
  - Q. What did he say?
- A. So, he admitted to driving the Jeep and told us he was friends with Rocco and that Rocco had given him permission to borrow the Jeep in the past, so he assumed that, since Rocco was incarcerated and not using it, that he would be okay with Ian driving the Jeep, although he never actually had permission to drive it.
- Q. That doesn't line up with what Rocco told you, does it?
  - A. No, it does not.
  - Q. Do you know Rocco's last name?

- A. First name "Jason" last name "Rocco."
- Q. Thank you. And then did you discuss the Jeep and Rocco's trailer with Annabelle Bush?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. You conducted that interview?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. What did she say about that?
- A. She told us that to her knowledge Ian never had permission to drive the Jeep or go into the trailer. And she told us that she and Ian had both been driving that Jeep and she told us that she had witnessed Ian going into Rocco's trailer and grabbing his property -- Rocco's property out of Rocco's trailer and bringing it into Ian's trailer.
- Q. Now, discussing your interview with Ms. Bush, during the interview itself -- you recorded that interview, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. During that recorded interview, did you talk about going back to the trailer or what she would do after she was interviewed?
  - A. Say that again.
- Q. Did you talk about what would occur after the interview was done?

J

A. No.

- Q. So, what happened after you finished that interview with Annabelle Bush?
- A. So, after I finished the interview with Ms. Bush is when I went into the interview with Detective Tindell and Ian and asked for the consent to search the trailer.
  - Q. What happened next?
- A. He denied our consent after asking for quite a while, and it was determined that we would tow the vehicle and get a warrant. I told Ms. Bush that she wouldn't be placed under arrest, that she would be a witness in this case.

She said that she was done with Ian because this was kind of an overwhelming experience for her, is how she explained it. And she wanted to go back to the trailer and she wanted to retrieve her stolen property and she was going to go on her way --

- Q. Wait a minute. She was going to retrieve her stolen property?
- A. Sorry. If I said "stolen," she was going to retrieve her personal property.
  - Q. Go on.
  - A. Okay. So, she wanted to go retrieve her

personal property from the trailer and then go on her way while we obtained the warrant and searched the trailer.

- Q. Did Detective Tindell ever come back in and talk with Ms. Bush after you had sought consent to enter the trailer?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever tell Ms. Bush, You're going to go get stolen property out of there?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Did you drive Ms. Bush back to the trailer?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you discuss anything with her during that trip?
- A. No. During the trip I remember she -- what took up most of the time during that trip is she called, I believe, her brother because she wanted someone to come pick her up to bring her somewhere else other than the trailer.

I remember her brother said he didn't have a vehicle but he would work on getting a vehicle and he would come pick her up.

Q. After she got off the phone with her brother, did she tell you anything?

No.

- \_\_\_\_

- Q. When you were driving back to the trailer, what was the plan for the investigation going forward from there?
- A. So, when I was driving back to the trailer, the plan was for Annabelle to get her personal property and leave, and then we were gonna seal the trailer and tow it back to the Sparks Police Department to a secured lot.
  - Q. So, she was gonna go with her brother then?
- A. That was her plan, yeah. Her brother had some issues, like I said, to pick her up, so it may be delayed is what it sounded like but her plan was to get a ride from the trailer.
- Q. So, did this plan to tow the trailer and then get a warrant later, did that ever change?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. When did that change?
- A. Pretty much as soon as we got on scene. I evaluated the trailer and noticed the things that I talked about earlier; that is, it was not towable, and that's when it was determined that we would do a telephonic warrant rather than a tow.
  - Q. So, that's what created the impetus for the

- A. Correct.
- Q. You realized you couldn't move this thing, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. What happened with Annabelle Bush when you got back to the trailer?
- A. She went into the trailer to grab her personal property. When we arrived on scene Detectives Bailey and Catalano were there, so I passed her off to them at that time while I evaluated the trailer, made that determination, and started preparing things to call the judge.
- Q. Was there ever any intention for her to identify stolen property?
- A. No. I do remember explaining to her that that was Ian's reason for denial, is just that he had a big concern. Because we had left her in that interview room for however long it was that I was in there with Ian, so it was quite a while. I think she was expecting to leave sooner.

And I went back and explained to her that

Ian was worried that we were gonna -- I think she

was also intending on going back to that trailer and

sleeping that night and staying there. And so I was explaining to her that that wouldn't be a possibility because of the tow and I explained to her that Ian denied consent of searching it because he was worried that detectives would take his own personal property, so I just explained that to her.

- Q. So, you did discuss stolen property with her?
- A. Yes. And that happened during the interview as well when she had told me about Rocco's property that she knew was in the trailer.
- Q. So, were you a witness to what occurred when she went into the trailer?
  - A. No.
  - Q. So, what did you do at that time?
- A. What do I do? Again, I just -- when he arrived on scene, I passed her off to Detectives Bailey and Catalano and then I assessed the trailer and determined it wasn't towable and prepared to call the judge.
- Q. Now, going on to a different topic, did you find out that property was stolen from 1440 Whisper Rock Way?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you remember what property was stolen?
- A. I remember the victim reported bottles of wine, I believe some coins or change, some Ryobi power tools and DVDs.
- Q. Were any of those items identified in the trailer?
- A. Yes, the Ryobi power tools were included in the trailer.
  - Q. Was that returned in the search warrant?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What happened with those tools?
- A. They were returned to the victim in this case.
  - Q. When you -- did you participate in that?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And when that occurred did the victim do anything? Say anything? What happened?
- A. Yeah. So, I talked to him on the phone. knew that that was not in the initial report, the power tools, so I called him and asked him for specifics.
- I remember he said it was in a dark case, the Ryobi drill and saw combination tool set, and he said that there would be a loose drill bit in the

case with it. And that was like a spot-on, accurate description of the power tools that we had located, so I determined that those were -- belonged to him.

- Q. And you ultimately participated in returning them to him?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. Is this out of the ordinary for you?
- A. No. This is our regular practice. We don't like to deprive the victims of their property so we usually make -- if we can make the determination before it gets booked into evidence, then we'll photograph it and then we'll return it straight back to the victims.
  - Q. Were these power tools photographed?
  - A. Yes, they were.
  - Q. And were they included in your reports?
  - A. Yes.

MR. DeLONG: If I may have one moment, your Honor.

# BY MR. DeLONG:

- Q. Did you ever discuss firearms with Annabelle Bush?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. When did that occur?

A. I just remember her saying that she knew
that Ian had a firearm at some point and she said
that it was stolen from him, that someone had stoler
all of his belongings, I think like a backpack that
had the gun in it and all his belongings and so,
yeah, I remember discussing that with her.

- Q. Did you believe there were firearms in the trailer when you were bringing her back to the trailer?
  - A. No.
- Q. During any other investigation, would you allow a witness into a trailer if you knew firearms were in there?
  - A. No, we do not.
- Q. Did you ever tell her, Go get these firearms out of there?
  - A. No.

MR. DeLONG: No further questions.

THE COURT: To the defense, redirect?

MS. ROSENTHAL: Yes, your Honor. Thank

you. May I have some things marked as exhibits?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE CLERK: Exhibits 9 through 12 marked.

(Exhibits 9 - 12 marked.)

### BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Detective Fye, I'm going to start from the beginning. You stated that the neighbor saw somebody leaving the residence, correct?
  - A. In the U-Haul truck correct.
  - Q. But that's inaccurate, isn't it?
- A. I believe that's correct, that the neighbors saw a U-Haul truck leaving the area of Whisper Rock.
- Q. In fact, the neighbor only saw the U-Haul truck parked on the street.

MR. DeLONG: Objection, your Honor, misstates testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled on that basis.

### 15 BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Isn't it true that a neighbor observed the U-Haul truck?
  - A. Yes, that's true.
  - Q. And was able to get the license plate?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And there's no mention that that neighbor identified anyone, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And you are the lead detective in this

case?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And it's your testimony that you haven't seen all the photos in this case.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Have you seen the photos that your officers took of the drill that was returned?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you -- were you present when those photos were taken?
  - A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. And when you spoke to Mr. McCulloch, he identified a drill bit specifically?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that's what led you to determine that this was his drill?
- A. He explained the whole tool set and the case, the color, the combo tool set, the brand, and then the drill bit also.
  - Q. And a Ryobi drill isn't unique, correct?
  - A. I'm not really sure.
- Q. Anyone could go to Home Depot or Lowe's and buy a Ryobi drill set, correct?
  - A. Correct.

Q. And I'm going to show you what's been marked as 9 through 12. I'll ask you to identify these or if you recognize them. I'm sorry.

(Witness reviewing document.)

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I do.

#### BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Are these the photos taken by officers -- the officers that you were with?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is that the condition that the drill was in when you saw it last?
  - A. Yes.
- MS. ROSENTHAL: Your Honor, I'd ask for admission of those.

MR. DeLONG: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Nine through 12 are admitted, Ms. Clerk.

(Exhibit 9 through 12 admitted.)

## BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. And sticking to Exhibit No. 10, could you please point to where you see this drill bit that you mentioned.
  - A. Yes. It's right here (indicating).
  - Q. And that's a generic drill bit?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Could be part of any set?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, there's a drill in this case, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. So, the drill bit could go to that?
  - A. I assume, yes.
  - O. Could be used with that tool?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And it would be common for someone who had a drill to have drill bits with it.
- A. Yeah. I think it was the combination of things, again, the total thing, and then just, I have one loose bit floating in there, seemed to be a pretty specific detail. Some people may have that but just one specific bit floating in there to his knowledge was pretty significant.
- Q. And when the search of the trailer was conducted, was the drill in the trailer?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you recall where it was?
- A. I did not participate in the search of the trailer so, no, I don't know exactly where.

- Q. But you have since read the reports of other officers?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And now do you know where this drill was located?
  - A. I believe it was in the living room area.
- Q. And are there photos of the drill in the trailer?
  - A. I'm not sure.
- Q. And to your knowledge there are no photos, then.
  - A. I'm not sure if there is or not.
- Q. So, you've never seen a photo of this drill in that trailer?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. You've only seen it outside of the trailer?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And was Mr. McCulloch able to provide proof of purchase for this drill?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Was he asked for?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. And was -- are these the only photos that you know of of the drill that was taken?

A. Yes.

Q. So, these are the only photos we have of the only evidence against Mr. Held related to that burglary, correct?

MR. DeLONG: Objection, your Honor --

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. DeLONG: -- misstates the testimony in evidence.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know if it misstates the testimony in evidence before the court at the moment. But I'm going to ask defense counsel to reframe the question so the Court understands it. What I heard you say was, without this drill bit, there is no other evidence from the trailer.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Linking Mr. Held to the burglary.

THE COURT: That would connect Mr. Held to the burglary. No wine, no other property of any type.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Correct. That's my question to you.

THE WITNESS: It would --

THE COURT: Overruled. You'll get a chance to re-cross.

1 | ob 3 | tr 4 | ta

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

1516

1718

19

21

20

2223

24

THE WITNESS: I would say that the witness' observations of that, the interview, the U-Haul truck, the license plate, the rental information, I take that all as evidence for Mr. Held in the burglary.

## BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. The only property that was alleged to have been taken from that residence that was found in Mr. Held's trailer is this drill, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And isn't it common for officers of the police department to store evidence for a period of time?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. Including phones, things like that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And often when it is a defendant's property, it's stored for forever, correct?
  - A. Correct, until they come and get it.
- Q. And this was released to Mr. McCulloch almost immediately?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And it was released to Mr. McCulloch prior to Mr. Held being charged in this case?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Not prior to being arrested but prior to being charged. Do you know?
- A. Yeah, it was after the arrest and before this.
- Q. Okay. And so Mr. Held would have had no opportunity to view this evidence prior to it being released, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And given that this is a significant piece of evidence against Mr. Held, was anything -- other photos or fingerprints or anything taken of that drill?
  - A. No.
- Q. So, these four photos are all we have of this piece of physical evidence.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And I'm going to show you Exhibit 9.

  Could you please describe the color of that case.
  - A. Dark grayish black.
  - Q. So, is it gray or is it black?
  - A. I guess very dark gray.
  - Q. And do you have any sort of chain of

- A. Well, I remember taking possession of it after the warrant and then I gave it directly back to the victim.
  - Q. So, there's no chain of custody, correct?
  - A. You mean like a written chain of custody?
- Q. Correct. Was this ever booked into evidence?
  - A. No, it was not.
- Q. So, the evidence of this drill against Mr. Held was never booked into evidence by -- in this investigation.
  - A. Correct.

- Q. It was simply photographed a couple times and released.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And when you were discussing what Mr. Held said to Officer Tindell, you specifically said "I think he said," because you don't know what he said because you weren't there, correct?
  - A. During the first interview?
  - O. Yes.
    - A. Correct.

- Q. So, your information regarding what Mr. Held said was from Officer Tindell.
  - A. Correct, relayed to me. Correct.
- Q. Have you ever listened to the interview with Mr. Held?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. And the initial report for the 920 University Park Loop case was a destruction of property, correct?
  - A. The initial report?
  - Q. Correct, the call for service.
- A. I'm not exactly sure what the officer labeled it.
- Q. And do you recall what time of day that occurred?
- A. I wanna say it was around 2 p.m. but I'm not 100 percent confident.
  - Q. So, the middle of the day?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. It's light out?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. People are around?
  - A. Yes -- well --
    - Q. There could have been people around?

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. There could have been, yes.
- Q. And it's not a common time where people are sleeping.
  - A. Correct.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Sorry. Court's indulgence. BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Did you have an opportunity to review Officer Bailey's report prior to today?
  - A. I don't think I did. No.
  - Q. Have you ever reviewed his report?
- A. I'm trying to recall any details of it and I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. So, as lead detective you haven't reviewed all the reports in this case.
- A. I just can't really remember any details that are jumping out on me on that.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: The state?

RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. DeLONG:

Q. I don't mean to quiz you, but do you remember when the Whisper Rock -- the photo of the U-Haul truck was taken at that address?

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

1.8 19

20

21 22

23

- Do I remember when? Α.
- The date. 0.
- September 23<sup>rd</sup> maybe, but I'm not 100 percent on that.
- Do you know when Officer Trail did his investigation of Whisper Rock?
  - I thought it was September 23<sup>rd</sup>. Α.
- And do you know when Mr. -- pardon me --0. when Ian Held was arrested? Do you know the date?
  - September 28<sup>th</sup>, I believe. Α.
  - So, there's some time between there. Ο.
  - (Witness nods.) Α.
- With the Whisper Rock alleged burglary and 0. then when he was finally arrested.
  - Correct. Α.
- Now, when you returned the drill bits to Mr. McCulloch, he identified at least some specifics about them, correct?
  - Α. Yes.
- Now, if he hadn't done that, would you have Q. released them to him?
  - Α. No.
- You did release guns back to individuals in this case, didn't you?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

- Α. Yes.
- And who were those guns returned to?
- Mr. O'Day. I remember his last name was Α. "O'Day."
  - Was he able to identify those weapons? Q.
  - Yes. Α.
- And were there indications on the weapons that caused you to return them to him?
- Yes. His name was inscribed on most of them.
  - But you didn't give Mr. O'Day all the guns? Q.
  - No. Α.
  - Is there a gun in evidence right now? Q.
  - Α. Yes.
  - And why is that gun in evidence? Ο.
- Because he wasn't able to identify that Α. one.
- And no one else reported that, at least in Q. connection with this investigation, as stolen?
  - Correct. Α.
- The return of the property in this case, is Q. that different from any other case you worked on regarding stolen property?
  - That's a common practice, and that's No.

our ideal outcome, is not to deprive the victim of their property. If we can give it back to them, we like to do that.

- Q. So, you looked at that, the drill case, right, correct?
  - A. Right.

- Q. And that was a unique factor that Mr. McCulloch identified. Is that right?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. What was that unique factor?
- A. Again, detail. He described everything basically to a T and one very specific thing was the drill bit that was loose in the case.
  - Q. Did he describe the color of the case?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did he describe what was in the contents of the case specifically?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Not just the drill bit, though, right?
- A. No. He said the drill bit, the drill, saw, Ryobi combo tool set all in one case.
- Q. It's not just like a bag that you stuff tools in, right? This was a specific set sold with a drill and a saw together, right?

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

21

20

2223

- A. Correct.
- Q. So, it's not just unique to any drill that you get at Home Depot. It's a set.
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And he was able to identify that set?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And the bits that were in there and the loose bit that was in there. Is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Did you have any concern giving him that drill back that he was lying to you?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Do you know what he did for a living?
- A. I believe he was a retirement law enforcement.
  - Q. But he's retired at this time?
  - A. Yes.
- MR. DeLONG: No further questions at this time, your Honor.
- MS. ROSENTHAL: I have one followup on that.
- THE COURT: I don't allow three attempts at the witness. Under NRS 50.145, I have the authority to ask questions myself. You have the prerogative

8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24 to object to the court's questions, and I encourage you to do so if you believe my questions are objectionable. That's the only time I'll say it. I might -- I have never yet asked questions in front of the jury and I don't intend to do it in this trial, but at any time that I ask questions of a witness, Counsel, you are allowed to object. BY THE COURT:

- So, you drove Ms. Bush back to the trailer. Is that correct?
- Yes, sir. Α.
- All right. And she entered the trailer. Q.
- Α. Correct.
- Was the trailer locked or was it unlocked 0. when she entered the trailer?
- I don't know 100 percent, but I believe it Α. was unlocked.
- Okay. So, you don't have any recollection Q. of her possessing a key or knowing where a keypad was or access code or anything that would have demonstrated her awareness of how to enter the home?
  - No. Α.
- When she returned from the home -- well, 0. she went into the home, under your testimony, to

retrieve personal property.

A. Correct.

1.0

2.0

Q. When she exited the home, did she possess her own personal property?

A. I don't think that she did, because she was asked to step out -- again, I was separated from that. She was with Detective Bailey and Catalano. But while she was in the trailer getting her personal property, she said that there was a gun in the trailer, that she located a gun.

I think Officer Bailey just said, Okay, hold still and come out here and we'll have you wait outside until we're conducting business for officer safety purposes.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'll allow you a very narrow followup on the questions I asked. The purpose of the question is I'm trying to determine agent status, whether Ms. Bush was an agent of the place or whether she was an inhabitant of the home. I was looking for her ability to access the home and whether there was indicia of her habitation in the property.

To the defense, any questions based upon my specific questions?

MS. ROSENTHAL: Yes, your Honor.

### BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

- Q. Detective Fye, you wrote several reports in this case, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you recall saying that Ms. Bush would help in compiling property and differentiating between stolen and personal property?
- A. I believe she offered that when I explained to her about Ian's concern with us taking his own personal property and depriving him of that. So, I explained that to her and she said, Oh, yeah, I know what's in there, what's stolen, and what he grabbed from Rocco's, and I know the difference. So, she offered that service but it wasn't asked of her.
- Q. When it was offered by her, did you agree to let her do that?
- A. I don't think I said, Don't do anything like that, but I made sure that she was aware we were getting a warrant and stuff like that and we would be getting the property after the warrant or she was made aware that we would apply for it and, if granted, you know, if any assistance was needed of her, it would be at that time.

- Q. But you didn't tell her that you would get a warrant until after she exited, correct?
- A. It's my knowledge that she knew the whole time we were going over there and the trailer was getting towed and she was not having a place to stay that night.
- Q. When she exited she didn't have her personal property.
  - A. Correct.

- Q. She had to go in and get it after the search warrant was conducted.
  - A. Correct.

MS. ROSENTHAL: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Thank you. To the state.

# RECROSS-EXAMINATION

# BY MR. DeLONG:

- Q. So, you weren't present when she went in the trailer, though. You weren't watching her go in, did you?
  - A. No.
- Q. Was she just allowed to be in there for as long as she wanted?
  - A. No. I think -THE COURT: My questions were very narrow.

I'm trying to discern whether she was an agent of the police or any indicia of ownership.

2.2

MR. DeLONG: If I may, your Honor, this is directly linked, though, with respect to his response to your questions regarding the firearm, because she was made to leave the trailer. That is — that simply is what I'm eliciting right now.

THE COURT: My understanding is when she left the trailer before the warrant, she did not possess any of her own personal property.

MR. DeLONG: I'm trying to get at the reason for why that occurred.

THE COURT: I don't care about the reasons.

MR. DeLONG: If I may, your Honor.

If we're concerned whether she has indicia of ownership coming out of the property and it turns out she was told to get out of there and stop looking for her own property because she made mention of a firearm --

THE COURT: You made your argument. I know why you believe it's probative. I was wondering if she had a cosmetics bag or luggage, shoes, or something like that, and she doesn't.

MR. DeLONG: Fair enough. Thank you, your

Honor. No further questions.

THE COURT: You may step down, Detective. Your next witness, please.

MS. ROSENTHAL: I would call Officer Lance Tindell.

matters. I only allow direct, cross, redirect, and recross. So, I summarily stopped you from a third redirect, but I didn't notice you in advance that that's the court's policy, so I want to -- I wish I would have so it wasn't a direct response. But I intend to tell the jury that -- and I do every single time -- that there's no more than two sequences of examination.

The second is I learned this morning the standard pretrial jury selection order that I enter in every case has not been entered, so I entered it about 20 minutes ago. I asked the clerk staff to give you a copy of it to govern your jury selection participation.

With that, this witness may proceed and be sworn.

You may begin, Defense.
(Witness sworn.)

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MS. ROSENTHAL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you. Can you please state and spell your name for the record.
- A. First name is Lance, last name Tindell, L-a-n-c-e, T-i-n-d-e-l-l.
  - Q. How are you presently employed?
  - A. I work for the Reno Police Department.
  - Q. Were you so employed on September of 2020?
  - A. That is correct. Yes, I was.
- Q. Were you involved in an investigation related to Mr. Ian Held?
  - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And did you at some point interview Mr. Held?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. And was that recorded?
  - A. It was.
  - Q. How was that recorded?
  - A. Via body camera.
  - Q. And why was it recorded via body cam?
  - A. Because the cameras were down at the time.
  - Q. Were they down in every room?
  - A. As far as I know, yes.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Alternate

Public Defender's Office and that on this date I served a copy of the Appellant's

Joint Appendix – Volume VI to the following:

IAN CHRISTOPHER HELD, 96555 c/o NNCC PO BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 Via U.S. Mail

CHRIS HICKS
WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Attn: Appellate Department
Via Electronic Mail

DATED this 28th day of February, 2022.

/s/Randi Jensen Randi Jensen