
)

)

)

)

)

)

)

1

1

issues. This Court has already acknowledged the complexity of this matter, having previously granted Adam's motions for an extension of time and to increase the page-volume limitation to 30 pages / type-volume limitation to 14,000 words for Adam's *Child Custody Fast-Track Statement*, in addition to Chalese's numerous motions for an extension of time.

Based on the foregoing, Adam respectfully requests that, pursuant to NRAP 3E(f)(3), this Court grant this first request to extend the time by 14 days for him to file his *Reply to Fast-Track Response* to February 13, 2023. Additionally, pursuant to NRAP 3E(d)(4), that this Court allow Adam to file his *Reply to Fast-Track Response* at either a page-volume limitation of 15 pages or a type-volume limitation of 7,000 words.

DATED Monday, January 30, 2023.

Respectfully Submitted:

THE ABRAMS & MAYO LAW FIRM

/s/ Vincent Mayo, Esq.

Vincent Mayo, Esq.

Nevada State Bar Number: 8564

6252 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 100

Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

Attorney for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing *Appellant's Motion for Leave to File Expanded Child Custody Reply to Fast-Track Response Pursuant to NRAP 3E(d)(4), and Motion for an Extension of Time to File Reply to Fast-Track Response* was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals of Nevada in the above-entitled matters on Monday, January 30, 2023. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List, pursuant to NEFCR 9, as follows:

Alex Ghibaud, Esq.
Michancy Cramer, Esq.
Attorneys for Respondent

/s/ David J. Schoen, IV, ACP
An employee of The Abrams & Mayo Law Firm