

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DARION MUHAMMAD-COLEMAN,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 82915

FILED


APR 19 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until May 9, 2022, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Zaman & Trippiedi, PLLC
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney