

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed
Jun 29 2022 02:38 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

JOAN KATHRYN WENGER,

Supreme Court Case No. 84003

Appellant,
vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

**On Appeal from the Ninth Judicial District Court
County of Douglas, State of Nevada
Case No. 2021-CR-00114**

RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX

MARK B. JACKSON
Douglas County District Attorney
Nevada Bar No. 4294
P.O. Box 218
Minden, NV 89423
(775) 782-9800
mjackson@douglas.nv.gov

ERIK A. LEVIN
Deputy District Attorney
Douglas County
Nevada Bar No. 6719
P.O. Box 218
Minden, NV 89423
(775) 782-9800
elevin@douglas.nv.gov
Attorneys for Respondent

KARIN L. KREIZENBECK
Nevada State Public Defender
Nevada Bar No. 6396
511 E. Robinson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701
[\(775\) 684-1080](tel:7756841080)

SALLY DESOTO
Chief Appellate Deputy
Nevada Bar No. 8790
511 E. Robinson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701
[\(775\) 684-1080](tel:7756841080)
ssdesoto@nspd.nv.gov
Attorney for Appellant

Document

Page(s)

Transcript of Proceedings - Arraignment
Case #2021-CR-00114 (August 23, 2021)RA001-025

RECEIVED

SEP - 2 2021

Douglas County
District Court Clerk

FILED

2021 SEP -2 PM 2:56

CASE NO. 2021-CR-00114

DEPT. NO. 2

IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, THOMAS GREGORY

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOAN KATHRYN WENGER,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ARRAIGNMENT

MONDAY, AUGUST 23, 2021

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

A.J. HAMES
Deputy District Attorney
Minden, Nevada

For the Defendant:

BRIAN FILTER
Attorney at Law
Minden, Nevada

Reported By:

Kathy Jackson CSR
Nevada CCR #402
California CCR #10465

1 MONDAY, AUGUST 23, 2021, MINDEN, NEVADA

2 -oOo-

3 THE COURT: First case this morning is the State
4 of Nevada versus Joan Kathryn Wenger, Case Number 21CR114.
5 Show the appearance of A.J. Hames on behalf of the State.

6 Good morning, Mr. Hames.

7 MR. HAMES: Good morning, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Brian Filter is appearing on behalf
9 of the defendant. Good morning, Mr. Filter.

10 MR. FILTER: Good morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: And the defendant, Kathryn or Joan
12 Kathryn Wenger, is appearing before the Court in lawful
13 custody. Good morning, Ms. Wenger.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: We're here today for a continued
16 arraignment. The Court is in receipt of an information filed
17 on June 29th, 2021. Mr. Filter, have you received a copy?

18 MR. FILTER: Your Honor, I have received a copy
19 of that. I reviewed it with my client. Her name is spelled
20 correctly at or about line 12. She's familiar with the
21 contents, would waive the reading. It's her intention to
22 enter a guilty plea pursuant to the guilty plea agreement on
23 file with the Court.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Wenger, is that correct?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: All right. And, Ms. Wenger, we
3 continued this matter a couple of times to give you
4 sufficient time to consider the case and discuss the matter
5 with Mr. Filter. Have you had sufficient time to do that?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Wenger, do you have a
8 copy of the information in front of you?

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

10 THE COURT: In that document it is formally
11 alleged that you have committed the criminal offense of
12 vehicular homicide, a category A felony. To that offense how
13 do you plead?

14 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

15 THE COURT: Please raise your right hand. Face
16 the court clerk to be sworn.

17 (Whereupon, the defendant was duly sworn.)

18 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
19 Ms. Wenger, how old are you?

20 THE DEFENDANT: 66.

21 THE COURT: What is your level of formal
22 education?

23 THE DEFENDANT: Bachelor's.

24 THE COURT: Do you read, write and understand the

1 English language?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

3 THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United
4 States?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

6 THE COURT: As you appear here today are you
7 under the influence of any alcohol, controlled substances or
8 prescription medication?

9 THE DEFENDANT: No.

10 THE COURT: Do you understand you do not have to
11 plead guilty?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

13 THE COURT: Instead you could enter a plea of not
14 guilty thereby preserving all of your constitutional rights.
15 Do you understand that?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

17 THE COURT: Do you understand if you were to
18 enter a plea of not guilty you would be entitled to have a
19 speedy public jury trial. That means a trial within about
20 60 days of today's date, and at that trial Mr. Filter would
21 be here with you to assist you. Do you understand?

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23 THE COURT: Do you understand if you plead guilty
24 today you would be waiving or giving up your right to have a

1 trial in this case?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

3 THE COURT: Do you waive your right to have a
4 trial?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

6 THE COURT: By pleading guilty and waiving your
7 right to have a trial you would also be waiving or giving up
8 other important constitutional rights. If we were to have a
9 trial in this case you would have a constitutional right to
10 remain silent, meaning that nobody could compel you to take
11 the witness stand and testify. Do you understand?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

13 THE COURT: And if you were to decide to remain
14 silent at trial nobody, including the Court, could penalize
15 you in any way for that decision. Do you understand?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

17 THE COURT: Do you understand if you plead guilty
18 you would be waiving or giving up your right to remain
19 silent?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Do you waive your right to remain
22 silent?

23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24 THE COURT: If we were to have a trial in this

1 case you would have a decision to make, whether to remain
2 silent, as we've discussed, or whether you instead desire to
3 take the witness stand to testify. In other words whether or
4 not you testify would be a decision for you to make at the
5 time of trial. Do you understand?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

7 THE COURT: Do you understand if you plead guilty
8 you would be giving up your right to testify in your own
9 defense at trial?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

11 THE COURT: Do you waive that right?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

13 THE COURT: If we were to have a trial in this
14 case you would enjoy the right of confrontation. That means
15 that you through Mr. Filter would have an opportunity to ask
16 questions or otherwise cross-examine witnesses. Do you
17 understand your right of confrontation?

18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

19 THE COURT: Do you understand you waive that
20 right by entering a guilty plea?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Do you waive your right of
23 confrontation?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Also at the time of trial you would
2 have a right to compel the attendance of witnesses. That
3 means that if there were witnesses that you and Mr. Filter
4 thought were important to your case he would cause a subpoena
5 to be issued compelling those folks to come in and testify.
6 Do you understand your right to compel the attendance of
7 witnesses?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

9 THE COURT: Do you understand you waive that
10 right by entering a guilty plea?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

12 THE COURT: Do you waive your right to compel the
13 attendance of witnesses?

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

15 THE COURT: If we were to have a trial in this
16 case you would have an opportunity to present the Court and
17 the jury with any legal defenses that you might have in this
18 case. Do you understand?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

20 THE COURT: Do you understand if you plead guilty
21 you would be waiving or giving up all of your rights?

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23 THE COURT: All right. And all of your defenses?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Do you waive your defenses?
2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
3 THE COURT: By entering a guilty plea you greatly
4 reduce those issues from which you can file an appeal. Do
5 you understand?
6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
7 THE COURT: Have you discussed each of these
8 rights with Mr. Filter?
9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with his
11 representation of you?
12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13 THE COURT: Do you have any questions for myself
14 or Mr. Filter regarding your constitutional rights?
15 THE DEFENDANT: No.
16 THE COURT: Do you waive each of the rights we've
17 discussed?
18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19 THE COURT: The Court is in receipt of a document
20 entitled guilty plea agreement. Do you have a copy of that
21 document in front of you?
22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23 THE COURT: If you could start by turning to page
24 five of that agreement, at about line 22 and a half there is

1 a signature line with your name printed underneath it. Is
2 that your signature that appears on the line?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

4 THE COURT: Did you place that signature there
5 only after you had an opportunity to read the guilty plea
6 agreement?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Did you discuss the guilty plea
9 agreement with Mr. Filter?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

11 THE COURT: Do you understand the terms of the
12 guilty plea agreement?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

14 THE COURT: Has anybody made any other promises
15 or inducements to get you to enter a plea today other than
16 those that are contained in the guilty plea agreement?

17 THE DEFENDANT: No.

18 THE COURT: Does the guilty plea agreement
19 accurately reflect the agreement you are entering into with
20 the State of Nevada?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Do you agree to be bound by the terms
23 of the guilty plea agreement?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 THE COURT: What is your understanding of the
2 maximum penalty for this offense?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Life.

4 THE COURT: All right. That is correct. The
5 maximum prison sentence is life with parole eligibility when
6 ten years have been served. Do you understand that?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Do you understand the only other
9 alternative to that that the Court could impose would be a
10 definite term of 25 years in prison with minimum parole
11 eligibility beginning when ten years have been served.

12 MR. FILTER: Your Honor, if I could have a
13 moment.

14 THE COURT: Yes.

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

16 THE COURT: Has anybody made any promises to you
17 regarding what your sentence will be in this case?

18 THE DEFENDANT: No.

19 THE COURT: Do you understand that what sentence
20 you'll receive is entirely up to the Court?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Do you understand you are not
23 eligible for probation for this offense?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 THE COURT: So at the time of sentencing the
2 Court could if the Court deemed it appropriate sentence you
3 to serve a period of life imprisonment with minimum parole
4 eligibility beginning when ten years has been served or the
5 Court could impose a definite term of 25 years in prison with
6 minimum parole eligibility beginning when ten years have been
7 served. Do you understand that?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

9 THE COURT: So in other words at the time of
10 sentencing those are the two options that are available to
11 the Court. Do you understand?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

13 THE COURT: And while the Court will listen to
14 any recommendations made, the Court could go the direction of
15 life with the possibility of parole in ten years. Do you
16 understand that?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Knowing that do you still desire to
19 plead guilty?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Do you understand that you need to
22 get an evaluation prior to being sentenced in this case?

23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24 THE COURT: All right. And also do you

1 understand that upon pleading guilty the department of motor
2 vehicles may revoke or suspend your driver's license or
3 driving privileges and/or the registration of your vehicle?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

5 THE COURT: Do you understand you can be ordered
6 to make restitution in this case if any is requested?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

8 THE COURT: And that would be made part of your
9 sentence. Do you understand that?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

11 THE COURT: If you were to enter a plea of not
12 guilty and we were to set this matter for trial, at that
13 trial you would be presumed to be innocent, and the State
14 would have the burden of proving the elements of the offense
15 beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

17 THE COURT: The elements of the offense appear in
18 the information. Do you have a copy of that front of you?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

20 THE COURT: Do you understand if you plead guilty
21 you would be giving up your right to make the State attempt
22 to prove this case at trial?

23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24 THE COURT: Do you waive that right?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2 THE COURT: Okay. So, again, the elements of the
3 offense are stated in the information. Specifically that you
4 on or about February 28th, 2020, here in Douglas County,
5 Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully drive a vehicle on or
6 off the highway while under the influence of intoxicating
7 liquor and/or while having a concentration of alcohol of 0.08
8 or more in your blood and/or you were found by measurement
9 within two hours after driving a vehicle to have a
10 concentration of alcohol of 0.08 or more in your blood and
11 approximately caused the death of another person while also
12 driving, specifically Laura Staugaard, S-t-a-u-g-a-a-r-d, all
13 of which occurred on U.S. Highway 395 in the area of Johnson
14 Lane in the County of Douglas, State of Nevada.

15 Ms. Wenger, do you understand what the State
16 would have to prove at the time of trial in this case?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Do you believe if we were to have a
19 trial in this case that the State would have sufficient
20 evidence to prove those elements beyond a reasonable doubt?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Did you commit the offense as stated
23 in the information?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 THE COURT: The information further alleges that
2 you have previously been convicted of at least three
3 violations of NRS 484C.110, 484C.120 or 484C.30 or a
4 violation of law of any other jurisdiction that prohibits the
5 same or similar conduct.

6 And, Ms. Wenger, do you still have a copy of the
7 information in front of you?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

9 THE COURT: If you could turn to page two of that
10 information the State has alleged three different prior
11 convictions. The first one is for an offense that occurred
12 on or about August 16th, 2009, with the date of conviction on
13 or about November 17th, 2009 in Tahoe Township Justice Court,
14 Douglas County, Nevada. Did you, in fact, receive that
15 conviction?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

17 THE COURT: The second one is date of offense
18 October 17th, 2011. Date of conviction June 7th, 2012 in Ely
19 Municipal Court in White Pine County, Nevada. Did you, in
20 fact, suffer that conviction?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

22 THE COURT: And the third is an offense occurring
23 on or about August 26th, 2018. The date of conviction on or
24 about October 30th, 2018 in Reno Township Justice Court in

1 Washoe County, Nevada. Did you, in fact, suffer that
2 conviction?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

4 THE COURT: Ms. Wenger, I read verbatim to you
5 the elements of the offense as stated in the information. I
6 did not read the entire information to you. Do you wish for
7 me to read the entire information to you or do you waive a
8 formal reading of the information?

9 THE DEFENDANT: I waive.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

11 Mr. Filter, are there any other areas you would
12 ask me to canvass Ms. Wenger on?

13 MR. FILTER: Your Honor, she has a question for
14 me. Your Honor, if I could have just a moment.

15 THE COURT: Yes. Take your time.

16 MR. FILTER: Thank you, Your Honor. She just had
17 a question.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Wenger, do you have any
19 questions for the Court?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Not right -- no.

21 THE COURT: Okay. I welcome you to ask them if
22 you have them of either myself or Mr. Filter. Do you have
23 any?

24 THE DEFENDANT: No.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Filter, are there any other areas
2 you would ask me to canvass your client on?

3 MR. FILTER: No, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Hames, any other areas of
5 inquiry?

6 MR. HAMES: No, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Ms. Wenger, have you understood
8 everything we discussed here today?

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

10 THE COURT: Is it your intention to maintain your
11 plea of guilty or would you prefer to enter a plea of not
12 guilty thereby preserving your rights?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

14 THE COURT: The Court makes a finding that
15 Ms. Wenger has entered a guilty plea. She's done so freely
16 and voluntarily with the assistance of counsel. She
17 understands her constitutional rights, and she's waived those
18 rights in order to enter the plea.

19 The Court finds that there is a sufficient
20 factual basis for the plea and the plea is accepted by the
21 Court.

22 Mr. Hames, the Court has yet to receive any of
23 the prior convictions. Do you wish to lodge those today or
24 at the time of sentencing?

1 MR. HAMES: I could lodge those today, Your
2 Honor.

3 THE COURT: Have they been provided to
4 Mr. Filter?

5 MR. HAMES: Yes, they have, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: We will have those marked as State's
7 exhibit -- are there three?

8 MR. HAMES: There are three exhibits.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. HAMES: Two of which are paper-clipped, and
11 there's a series of documents but they are all one exhibit.

12 THE COURT: Would you assist the clerk to get
13 them how you would like them marked. Okay. Those will be
14 marked as State's exhibits first in order. All right. There
15 have been three exhibits marked.

16 Mr. Filter, have you had a chance to review those
17 exhibits?

18 MR. FILTER: I've been previously provided copies
19 and I don't have any objection to them.

20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Exhibit
21 Number 1 is a certified copy of a prior conviction in the
22 municipal court of the City of Ely, County of White Pine,
23 State of Nevada. It reflects a judgment of conviction for
24 driving under the influence, a second offense. The date of

1 offense and date -- the date of conviction are as appearing
2 in the information. The defendant was represented by
3 counsel. The defendant was canvassed on her constitutional
4 rights, entered a plea of no contest. The judgment was
5 entered. The Court finds that State's Exhibit Number 1 is
6 admissible for the purpose of enhancement and it is admitted.

7 Exhibit 2 is a certified copy, the judgment of
8 conviction out of Reno Municipal Court in Washoe County,
9 Nevada reflecting a conviction for driving under the
10 influence, a second offense. The date of offense and date of
11 conviction are as indicated in the information. The
12 defendant was represented by counsel after being canvassed on
13 her constitutional rights, entered a plea of guilty.
14 Exhibit 2 reflects a valid judgment of conviction, admissible
15 for sentencing enhancement and it is admitted.

16 Exhibit Number 3 is a certified copy of judgment
17 of conviction out of Tahoe Township Justice Court in Douglas
18 County, Nevada reflecting a conviction for driving under the
19 influence of alcohol. The date of offense and date of
20 conviction are as stated in the information. The defendant
21 was represented by counsel, having been canvassed on her
22 constitutional rights entered a plea of guilty. And, again,
23 had the assistance of counsel in doing so. Exhibit 3 is
24 admitted for the purpose of enhancement.

1 Sentencing in this matter then will proceed along
2 the lines of a category A felony.

3 Counsel, as far as sentencing goes would you like
4 to set this on a normal law and motion date or do you
5 anticipate needing more time than normal, Mr. Hames?

6 MR. HAMES: Your Honor, I will have probably two
7 victims who would like to give a statement. So if the
8 calendar is somewhat light I think that a Monday would be
9 appropriate. But if we have very busy calendars coming up it
10 could take slightly longer than a normal sentencing.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Filter, what are your thoughts?

12 MR. FILTER: Your Honor, I think it would
13 probably be on a normal calendar.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Filter, would you be available
15 for sentencing on October 4th?

16 MR. FILTER: I am, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Hames, does that date work for
18 the State?

19 MR. HAMES: Your Honor, if we could go out two
20 additional weeks. The victims are unavailable from the 2nd
21 until the 19th of October.

22 THE COURT: So through the 19th. So we probably
23 need to go out because the Monday, the 18th, it sounds like
24 they wouldn't be back yet. So we need to go out to

1 September 25th?

2 MR. HAMES: October.

3 THE COURT: October, excuse me.

4 MR. HAMES: Yes, Your Honor, if we could do
5 October 25th.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Filter, are you available on that
7 date?

8 MR. FILTER: Your Honor, I am not. I'm going to
9 be out of state that Monday.

10 THE COURT: Okay. November 1st.

11 MR. HAMES: Your Honor, one moment.

12 THE COURT: Yes.

13 MR. HAMES: Your Honor, I just spoke with some of
14 the victims in the case and they have indicated they could
15 make the 4th of October work.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. FILTER: Your Honor, my only -- my only
18 concern with that is the necessity of doing the evaluation.
19 I'm a little bit concerned that won't be done in time.

20 THE COURT: All right. I think we have here with
21 us today Chris Thomas with parole and probation.

22 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Mr. Thomas, so this -- in this case
24 the defendant is going to need to get a substance abuse

1 evaluation, and so I'm wondering your input if six weeks is
2 appropriate time to put sentencing or whether more time would
3 be needed?

4 THE PROBATION OFFICER: You know, I think I would
5 side with Mr. Filter. More time might be necessary to get
6 that squared away with if she's been in custody.

7 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Hames, if you would
8 check with the victim's family. You know, other dates
9 available, the next earliest date would be the 11th of
10 October. We have the 18th of October. Mr. Filter is
11 unavailable on the 25th. And so then it takes us to
12 November 1st. Those would be the available dates.

13 MR. HAMES: I'll ask. One moment.

14 THE COURT: Thank you.

15 MR. HAMES: Your Honor, if we could do the 18th.
16 I think that would work with their schedule, and that should
17 also give the department a couple of extra weeks to make sure
18 that she gets her evaluation done.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Filter, is the 18th
20 available to you?

21 MR. FILTER: It is, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Sentencing in this matter is
23 scheduled for October 18th, 2021. Sentencing will be at
24 9:00 o'clock in the morning.

1 The defendant is ordered to be here at that time
2 and date. The Court orders the preparation of a presentence
3 investigation report. The defendant is ordered to cooperate
4 with the division as they prepare that report. The Court
5 also orders the division to pursue a substance abuse
6 evaluation for Ms. Wenger.

7 And, Ms. Wenger, again, you are ordered to
8 cooperate with the division in regard to that evaluation.

9 All right. Mr. Filter, anything further for
10 today's purpose?

11 MR. FILTER: No, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Hames?

13 MR. HAMES: No, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: The Court previously entered a
15 hearing regarding bail. Does any party request modification,
16 Mr. Filter?

17 MR. FILTER: Not at this junction, Your Honor.

18 MR. HAMES: No, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Can I have a bail order, please.

20 Mr. Filter, given the defendant's plea to a category A felony
21 which is a non probation offense the Court is inclined to set
22 no bail but I want to give you an opportunity to address that
23 if you would like.

24 MR. FILTER: Could I have one moment.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FILTER: Your Honor, we'll just submit to the Court on that.

THE COURT: The defendant, given her plea of guilty today will be held without bail, and we'll see you back for sentencing on October 18th, 2021 at 9:00 o'clock in the morning.

Mr. Filter, anything else?

MR. FILTER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Hames?

MR. HAMES: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That will be the Court's order.

1 STATE OF NEVADA,)
2 CARSON CITY.) ss.
3)

4 I, KATHY JACKSON, Nevada Certified Court Reporter
5 Number 402, do hereby certify:

6 That I was present in the District Court in Minden, in
7 and for the State of Nevada, on Monday, August 23, 2021, for
8 the purpose of reporting in verbatim stenotype notes the
9 within-entitled Arraignment;

10 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1
11 through 24 is a full, true and correct transcription of said
12 Arraignment.

13
14 Dated at Carson City, Nevada, this 2nd day
15 of September, 2021.

16
17 
18 /s/ Kathy Jackson
19 KATHY JACKSON, CCR
20 Nevada CCR #402
21
22
23
24

1 CAPITOL REPORTERS
2 628 E. John Street #3
3 Carson City, Nevada 89706
4 775-882-5322

5 THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
6 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

7 STATE OF NEVADA,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 JOAN KATHRYN WENGER,
11 Defendant.

Case No. 2021-CR-00114
Dept. No. 2

12 **AFFIRMATION**
13 Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

14 The Undersigned does hereby affirm that the following
15 document **DOES NOT** contain the social security number of any
16 person: (List of document(s) attached below)

17 1) Arraignment -- 8/23/21

18 -or-

19 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the document
20 named below **DOES** contain the social security number of a
21 person as required by state or federal law or for the
22 administration of a public program or for an application for
23 a federal or state grant: (List of document(s) attached
24 containing social security number information below)

1) _____

2) _____

20 *Kathy Jackson*
(Your signature) Kathy Jackson _____ (Date) 9/2/21

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document, **RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX**, was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 29th day of June, 2022.

Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master

Service List as follows:

KARIN L. KREIZENBECK
Nevada State Public Defender
Nevada Bar No. 6396
511 E. Robinson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701

SALLY DESOTO
Chief Appellate Deputy
Nevada Bar No. 8790
511 E. Robinson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701
ssdesoto@nspd.nv.gov
Attorney for Appellant

Aaron Ford
Nevada Attorney General
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701

/s/ Erik A. Levin

Erik A. Levin
Deputy District Attorney