


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL SAMUEL SOLID,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 85189

FILED


MAR 03 2023

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

Appellant has filed a motion for a second extension of time to file the opening brief. The motion alternatively asks for a 90-day extension and a 120-day extension. The motion incorrectly cites to NRAP 26(b)(1)(A) as the authority allowing an extension instead of NRAP 31(b)(3). And the motion does not comply with the requirements of NRAP 31(b)(3). Nevertheless, in this instance only, the motion is granted to the following extent. NRAP 31(b)(3). Appellant shall have until April 24, 2023, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Failure to timely comply may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Legal Resource Group
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney