| 3  | NOAS Mario Accomando, Pro Se 8546 Procyon Street Las Vegas, NV, 89139 773,308,5041 ninaa1948 @yahoo.com | <b>*</b> ,       |                                | 8/29/2022 1:14 PM<br>Steven D. Grierson<br>CLERK OF THE COURT |
|----|---|------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 4  |   | DISTR            | ICT COURT                      | Electronically Filed  |
| 5  |   |                  | UNTY, NEVADA                   | Sep 07 2022 10:15 a.m.<br>Elizabeth A. Brown                  |
| 7  |   |                  |                                | Clerk of Supreme Court  |
| 8  |   |                  |                                |   |
| 9  | GEORGANN ACCOMANDO  |                  |                                |   |
| 10 |   | Plaintiff.       | CASE NO.: D-21-<br>DEPT NO.: M | -628915_D   |
| 11 | VS.   |                  |                                |   |
| 12 | MARIO ACCOMANDO   | Respondent.      |                                |   |
| 13 |   |                  | l                              |   |
| 14 |   |                  |                                |   |
| 15 |   | NOTIC            | E OF APPEAL                    |   |
| 16 | Notice is hereby given that MA  | RIO ACCOMAN      | SDO. Respondent, above         | e named, hereby appeals to the                                |
| 17 | Supreme Court of Nevada from  | the Divorce Decr | ee after hearing (Attache      | ed) entered in this action on the                             |
| 18 | 29th day of June, 2022.   |                  |                                |   |
| 19 |   |                  |                                |   |
| 20 | Mario Accomando Pro Se  |                  |                                |   |
| 21 | 8546 Procyon Street<br>Las Vegas, NV, 89139   |                  |                                |   |
| 22 | 22   773,308,5041<br>ninaa1948 @yahoo.com   |                  |                                |   |
| 23 |   |                  |                                |   |
| 24 |   |                  |                                |   |
| 25 |   |                  |                                |   |
| 26 |   |                  |                                |   |
| 27 |   |                  |                                |   |
| 28 |   |                  |                                |   |

Docket 85275 Document 2022-27995

**Electronically Filed** 

Case Number: D-21-628915-D

Electronically Filed 06/29/2022 4:30 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

1 DECD MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ. Nevada Bar # 7121 REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC A multi-jurisdictional law firm 3365 Pepper Ln., Suite 102 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Tel: (702) 727-7777 Fax: (702) 458-8508 mariamilano@atharilaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff, GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 D-21-628915-D CASE NO.: GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO, 11 DEPT. NO.: Plaintiff, 12 Date of Trial: 3/7/22 Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m. vs. 13 MARIO ACCOMANDO, 14 Defendant, 15 16

## DECREE OF DIVORCE

COME NOW the parties, Plaintiff, GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO, represented by her counsel of record, MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ., of REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC and Defendant MARIO ACCOMANDO, appearing in proper person, having attended the Trial on March 7, 2022, the COURT NOTED that according to the terms found in the Trial Management Order, Ms. Milano attempted to meet and confer with Defendant before trial, and Defendant declined. Upon the Court's inquiry, Defendant refused to participate in settlement negotiations.

28

27

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court having heard the statements and arguments of the parties and having considered all the papers, pleadings on file and evidence presented herein, FINDS:

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

#### 1. ALIMONY:

The Court finds that after considering the evidence and testimony of the Parties, that the Plaintiff is entitled to a lump sum award of alimony. (12:11:13 - 12:11-18)

Pursuant to NRS 125.150 the Court has discretion to award such alimony to either spouse in a specified principal sum or as specified periodic payments as appears just and equitable; and shall, to the extent practicable, make an equal disposition of the community property of the parties, including, without limitation, any community property transferred into an irrevocable trust pursuant to NRS 123.125 over which the court acquires jurisdiction pursuant to NRS 164.010, except that the court may make an unequal disposition of the community property in such proportions as it deems just if the court finds a compelling reason to do so and sets forth in writing the reasons for making the unequal disposition...

In addition to any other factors the court considers relevant in determining whether to award alimony and the amount of such an award, the court shall consider: (a) the financial condition of each spouse; (b) the nature and value of the respective property of each spouse; (c) the contribution of each spouse to any property held by the spouses pursuant to NRS 123.030; (d) the

duration of the marriage; (e) the income, earning capacity, age and health of each spouse; (f) the standard of living during the marriage; (g) the career before the marriage of the spouse who would receive the alimony; (h) the existence of specialized education or training or the level of marketable skills attained by each spouse during the marriage; (i) the contribution of either spouse as a homemaker; the award of property granted by the court in the divorce, other than child support and alimony, to the spouse who would receive the alimony; and (k) the physical and mental condition of each party as it related to the financial condition, health and ability to work of that spouse....

Testimony at trial substantiates that both Parties are of an advanced age, the Plaintiff is 68 years old (9:04:55) and the Defendant is 74 years old (11:17:16); that the Plaintiff did not complete high school (9:05:18); did not obtain any other education or vocational training subsequent to leaving the 11<sup>th</sup> grade (9:05:26); that Plaintiff has not had any meaningful employment since the Parties married in 1995(9:06:10); that the Plaintiff assisted the Defendant in his real estate career (9:06:30-9:06:57), and carried out the responsibilities of a homemaker throughout the Parties marriage (9:26:07). Furthermore the Plaintiff suffers from lingering medical conditions from breast cancer (9:31:30) and given the standard of living the Parties enjoyed during their marriage and the fact that the Defendant is likely concealing monthly—income, the Court finds it appropriate to make a lump sum award of

alimony to the Plaintiff as the Court does not believe the otherwise

Defendant will comply with the Court's orders. (12:11:13 - 12:11:18)

### THE COURT FURTHER FINDS

- 2. That Plaintiff, for more than six weeks immediately preceding the commencement of this action, has been an actual, bona fide resident and domiciliary of the County of Clark, State of Nevada, and during all of said period of time, Plaintiff had and still has the intent to make the State of Nevada her home, residence and domicile for an indefinite period of time; (9:04:47)
- 3. That the parties were married in Las Vegas, Nevada, on or about June 10, 1995, and have been since that date have been husband and wife; (09:04:58 -9:05:10)
- 4. That the Plaintiff and Defendant have one minor child in common, NINA ROSE ACCOMANDO, born July 21, 2006 (Age 15), and the Plaintiff is not now pregnant; (9:20:27-9:20:34)
- 5. That minor child has lived in Nevada for the past six

  (6) months and that the minor child has lived with the Parties in Las

  Vegas, Nevada for the past five (5) years. (9:25:12)
- 6. That any custody and visitation orders made herein are in the best interest of the child (12:01:21 12:01:24);

NRS 125C.0035 states:

1. that in any action for determining physical custody of a minor child, the sole consideration of the court is the best interest of the child. If it appears to the court that joint physical custody

would be in the best interest of the child, the court may grant physical custody to the parties jointly.

- 2. Preference must not be given to either parent for the sole reason that the parent is the mother or the father of the child.
- 3. The court shall award physical custody in the following order of preference unless in a particular case the best interest of the child requires otherwise:
  - (a) To both parents jointly pursuant to NRS 125C.0025 or to either parent pursuant to NRS 125C.003. If the court does not enter an order awarding joint physical custody of a child after either parent has applied for joint physical custody, the court shall state in its decision the reason for its denial of the parent's application.
  - (b) To a person or persons in whose home the child has been living and where the child has had a wholesome and stable environment.
  - (c) To any person related within the fifth degree of consanguinity to the child whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child; regardless of whether the relative resides within this State.
  - (d) To any other person or persons whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child.

That in determining the best interest of the child, the

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

- (a) The wishes of the child if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to form an intelligent preference as to his or her COURT FINDS Nina is of sufficient age and capacity to form an physical custody. intelligent preference as to her custody.
- (b) Any nomination of a guardian for the child by a parent. COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.
- (c) Which parent is more likely to allow the child to have frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the noncustodial parent. COURT FINDS this factor is neutral.
  - (d) The level of conflict between the parents. COURT FINDS this factor is neutral.
- (e) The ability of the parents to cooperate to meet the needs COURT FINDS this factor is neutral. of the child.
- (f) The mental and physical health of the parents. COURT FINDS this factor is neutral.
- (g) The physical, developmental and emotional needs of the COURT FINDS this factor is neutral. child.
- (h) The nature of the relationship of the child with each COURT FINDS this factor is neutral. parent.
- (i) The ability of the child to maintain a relationship with any sibling. COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.
- (j) Any history of parental abuse or neglect of the child or a COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable. sibling of the child.
- (k) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has engaged in an act of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or any other person residing with the child. COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.

(1) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has committed any act of abduction against the child or any other child.

COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.

The Court finds that it would be in the best interest to award the Parties joint legal and joint physical custody of the minor child as the child is of suitable age and discretion to make a determination as to where she would like to reside. (12:01:21 - 12:03:18)

- 7. That the amount of child support ordered herein is in accordance with NAC 425;
- 8. That this Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties over custody of the minor child and subject matter jurisdiction. (12:01:12 12:01:16)

## NOW THEREFORE:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that as the Parties are incompatible in marriage with no chance of reconciliation, the bonds of matrimony now and heretofore existing between Plaintiff and Defendant be, and the same are, hereby wholly dissolved, set aside and forever held for naught, and an absolute Decree of Divorce is hereby granted to the Plaintiff, and each of the parties is hereby restored to the status of single, unmarried persons. (12:19:38-12:19:43)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED: the Court finds that it is in the minor child's best interest for the Plaintiff and Defendant be awarded joint legal and joint physical custody of the minor child. (12:01:21 - 12:01:24)

denied by the insurance company as untimely, that party shall pay the entire amount which would have been paid by the insurance company as well as the entire expense which would not have been paid by insurance if the claim had been timely filed.

Mitigation of Health Expenses Required: Use of Covered Insurance Providers: Each party has a duty to mitigate medical Absent compelling expenses for the minor children. circumstances, a party should take the minor child to a health care provider covered by the insurance in effect and use preferred or covered providers, if available, in order to minimize the cost of healthcare for the minor child. burden is on the party using a non-covered health care provider to demonstrate that the choice not to use a covered provider or the lowest cost option was reasonably necessary in If the court finds the particular circumstances of that case. the choice of a non-covered or more expensive covered provider was not reasonably necessary, then the court may impose a greater portion of financial responsibility for the cost of that health care to the party who incurred that expense up to the full amount which would have been provided by the lowest cost insurance choice.

Sharing of Insurance Information Required: The party providing insurance coverage for the children has a continuing obligation to provide insurance information to the other party including, but not limited to, copies of policies and policy amendments as they are received, claim forms, preferred provider lists (as modified from time to time), and identification cards. If the insuring party fails to timely supply any of the above items to the other party, and that failure results in a denial of a claim because of the non-insuring parties' failure to comply with the procedures required by the amended or updated insurance policies, the party providing insurance shall be responsible for all healthcare expenses incurred by the minor children for that claim that would have been covered by insurance.

Reimbursement for Out-of-pocket Expenses: If either party seeks reimbursement of an unreimbursed healthcare expense he or she has incurred on behalf of the minor children, he or she must submit such request for reimbursement to the other within thirty(30) days of incurring such expense or being advised by the provider that such expense would not be reimbursed. If that party fails to request such reimbursement within that time period, he or she shall forfeit any right to seek reimbursement. If the other party receives a written request for contribution for an unreimbursed health care expense for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the children; he or she must reimburse the other for fifty (50%) of that expense within thirty(30) days of receipt of the written request for contribution. That party must raise any objection to the request for contribution within the thirty (30) day period after the request for contribution is received or they shall be deemed to have waived such objection. Any objection to the request for contribution must be made in writing. If the other party does not respond to the request within the thirty (30) day period, that party may be assessed attorney's fees if a contempt proceeding or court action is required as a result of the party's failure to pay or timely object.

Sharing Insurance Reimbursement: Any reimbursements for payments made directly by a party or the parties to any healthcare provider to the minor children shall be distributed according to the amount of payment by each party. If a party receives such a reimbursement, that party shall distribute the reimbursement within seven (7) days of its receipt. (By

Order of the Court on 04-18-22)

parcels of land held by the Parties in Arizona shall be listed for sale within the next 60 days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the fair market value of the properties and list them accordingly. That any equity realized from the sale of said properties shall be split equally between the parties. (12:04:55 - 12:05:20)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant is instructed to fully and timely cooperate with the listings, transfers, and sales of the real properties adjudicated herein.(12:05:18 - 12:06:05). Any offer received on any of the real properties ordered to be sold that are within five percent (5%) of their fair market value shall be deemed an acceptable

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that should the balloon payments on the Pahrump real properties that were sold to individuals, Patrick Clark and Armen Galstan, be missed, and should said real properties revert in equal shares to the ownership of both Parties, that said properties shall be held by the Parties as tenants in common. (12:06:33 - 12:07:36)

IT IS FUTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any payments received in relation to the prior sale of the Pahrump properties shall be split equally between the parties, the Defendant shall provide proof of payment by providing cancelled checks to the Plaintiff. (12:07:32 - 12:07:39)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant shall pay Plaintiff one-half of any and all lease/rental income received from this date, March 7, 2022, forward within 30 days of receiving it. Defendant shall no longer accept cash payments from his tenants and show proof of rental income received to the Plaintiff. (12:07:42 - 12:08:22)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant owes Plaintiff one-half of the lease/rental income received for the

last two (2) years on the condominium located at 9607 Lame Horse Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89123. (12:08:23 - 12:08:33)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant is directed to prepare an accounting for the rent received from the 9607 Lame Horse Drive property for the last two (2) years and provide a copy to Plaintiff's counsel within the next sixty (60) days. Plaintiff is entitled to one half of the amount of the rents collected by the Defendant during that time. (12:08:33-47)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the marital residence located at 8546 Procyon St., Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 shall be listed for sale within sixty (60) days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the property's fair market value and list said property accordingly. As Defendant does not have a lease with the renter, said renter shall pose no interference with the sale. (12:08:58)

The Parties shall maintain said property in such a manner as would maximize the sale price of said property. (12:16:35 - 12:17:12)

That the equity realized from the sale of said property shall be equally split between the parties.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant shall no longer receive cash payments from the tenant at the Procyon property, and shall provide proof of the amounts received to the Plaintiff in the form of cancelled checks from tenant.

2.1

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the undisputed value of the automobile currently in Defendant's possession is \$15,000.00. Plaintiff shall receive one-half of the value of said automobile in the amount \$7,500.00 which shall be paid by the Defendant to the Plaintiff. (12:11:33 -12:11:40)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the undisputed value of the household items currently in the Defendant's possessions is \$15,000.00. (9:28:07) Plaintiff shall receive one-half of said value in the amount of \$7,500.00 (9:46:40 - 9:47:15) which shall be paid by the Defendant to Plaintiff from his half of the equity realized from the sale of the real property sold herein. (12:11:42)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant did not dispute the value of the community property and did not dispute Plaintiff's request to her personal property, to include the John Wayne photograph and desk that was constructed for her by her grandfather as requested. (12:11:50) Plaintiff shall, therefore, retrieve said items within thirty (30) days of establishing residence. However, Plaintiff may retrieve the photograph immediately. (12:11:59 -12:12:16) Plaintiff shall keep the wedding ring. (12:18:18-12:18:40)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that based on Defendant's failure to comply with EDCR 7.60, EDCR 16.2, failure to comply with discovery and the Trial Management Order, and failure to participate with this litigation, and failure to produce any

documents in support of his case, Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff's attorney's fees in the amount of \$ 23,285.00

The fees awarded are reasonable based upon the Court's review of counsel's Plaintiff's counsel shall submit a Brunzell Affidavit and

Memorandum of Fees and Costs. (12:12:37 - 12:13:53)
and given Defendant's total and complete lack of participation in the litigation multiplying the fees incurred by Plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the bank

account established by the Defendant for the minor child is community property. Therefore, one half of the account balance as of March 7, 2022 shall be transferred to the Plaintiff within thirty (30) days. The Court shall accept the Defendant's testimony that said account contains \$65,0000. Defendant shall provide a copy of the March, 2022 bank statement to Plaintiff's counsel within the next thirty (30) days. That should there be less than \$65,000 in said account, the Defendant shall have to explain to the Court the reason for the disparity. (12:13:56 - 12:15:53)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the Court shall accept Defendant's testimony that there is currently the amount of \$23,400.00 on deposit in a prepaid tuition account for the Parties' minor child. Said prepaid tuition account shall remain for Nina's benefit, however, Plaintiff's name shall be added to said account within thirty (30) days and the parties shall be joint owners. Should Nina not go to college, the amount shall be equally divided between the parties. (12:14:50 - 12:15:31)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that there are no community debts to divide. (12:15:56)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Plaintiff's maiden name shall be restored to GEORGANN ROSE REGIRO. (12:19:47)

Defendant did not make the ordered spousal support payments in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) for the months of October, 2021, November, 2021, December, 2021, he therefore, owes Plaintiff the amount of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000) in back spousal support which shall be paid from his one-half share of the equity realized from the sale of the real property ordered sold herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any amounts outstanding or owed shall be offset from the from the Defendant's assects that are liquidated (12:17:55 - 12:18:16)

shall prepare the proposed findings and conclusions of law and preparing the findings of fact and conclusions of law include the cost of said findings as a part of the attorney's fees award. Ms. Milano shall have thirty (30) days to prepare the Decree and submit it to Defendant for review and signature. Upon receipt, Defendant shall have ten (10) days to review, approve, and/or submit requested changes.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following provision of NRS

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country. The parties are also put on notice of the following provisions in NRS 125C.0045(8):

If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

- (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.
- (b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Parties are subject to the relocation requirements of NRS 125C.006 & NRS 125C.0065. If joint

or primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an 1 order, judgment or decree of a court and one parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would 5 substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a 6 meaningful relationship with the child, and the relocating parent 7 desires to take the child with him or her, the relocating parent 8 shall, before relocating: (a) attempt to obtain the written 9 consent of the non-relocating parent to relocate with the child; 10 and (b) if the non-relocating parent refuses to give that consent, 11 petition the court for permission to move and/or for primary 12 13 physical custody for the purpose of relocating. A parent who 14 desires to relocate with a child has the burden of proving that 15 relocating with the child is in the best interest of the child. 16 The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the 17 relocating parent if the court finds that the non-relocating 18 parent refused to consent to the relocating parent's relocation 19 with the child without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, 20 or for the purpose of harassing the relocating parent. A parent 21 who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the other parent or the permission of the court 23 is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359. 24

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Parties are subject to the provisions of NRS 31A and 125.007 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

2728

25

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that either party may request a review of child support pursuant to NRS 125B.145.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that if you want to adjust the amount of child support established in this order, you must file a motion to modify the order with or submit a stipulation to the court. Ιf a motion to modify this order is not filed or a stipulation is not submitted, the child support obligation established in this order will continue until such time as all children who are the subject of this order reach 18 years of age, or, if the youngest child who is subject to this order is still in high school when he or she reaches 18 years of age, when the child graduates from high school or reaches 19 years of age, whichever comes first. Unless the parties agree otherwise in a stipulation, any modification made Dated this 29th day of June, 2022 pursuant to a motion to modify the order will be feffeqtive as the date the motion was filed.

Respectfully submitted by:

MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7121
REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES
A Multi-jurisdictional firm
3365 Pepper Lane, Suite 102
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Attorney for Plaintiff,
GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO

F7A 535 2CF4 F675

Amy M. Mastin form and content

Applistrict Court Judge

## MARIO ACCOMANDO

8546 S. Procyon St. Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Defendant in Proper Person

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that you have an affirmative duty to update any changes in your personal information by filing a Notice of Change of Address form, which can be found at the following link:

https://www.familylawselfhelpcenter.org/images/fo

**Electronically Filed** 8/31/2022 2:00 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ASTA

2

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

MARIO ACCOMANDO,

Defendant(s),

Case No: D-21-628915-D

Dept No: M

# CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Appellant(s): Mario Accomando

2. Judge: Amy M. Mastin

3. Appellant(s): Mario Accomando

Counsel:

Mario Accomando 8546 Procyon St. Las Vegas, NV 8 9139

4. Respondent (s): Georgann Rose Accomando

Counsel:

Maria L. Milano, Esq. 3365 Pepper Ln., Ste 102 Las Vegas, NV 89120

D-21-628915-D

Case Number: D-21-628915-D

-1-

| 1           |  |
|-------------|--|
| 2           | <ol> <li>Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A         Permission Granted: N/A     </li> </ol>   |
| 3 4         | Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A   |
| 5           | 6. Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: N   |
| 6           | 7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A   |
| 7<br>8<br>9 | 8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**: N/A  **Expires 1 year from date filed  Appellant Filed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: No  Date Application(s) filed: N/A |
| 10          | 9. Date Commenced in District Court: June 11, 2021   |
| 11          | 10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: DOMESTIC - Marriage Dissolution   |
| 12          | Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Divorce Decree   |
| 13          | 11. Previous Appeal: Yes   |
| 14          | Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 83716, 84097, 84415  |
| 15<br>16    | 12. Case involves Child Custody and/or Visitation: Custody Appeal involves Child Custody and/or Visitation: Custody  |
| 17          | 13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown   |
| 18          | Dated This 31 day of August 2022.  |
| 19          | Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court   |
| 20          |  |
| 21          | /s/ Heather Ungermann  |
| 22          | Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk<br>200 Lewis Ave   |
| 23          | PO Box 551601<br>Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601  |
| 24          | (702) 671-0512   |
| 25<br>26    | cc: Mario Accomando  |
| 27          |  |
| 28          |  |

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff vs. Mario Accomando, Defendant. Location: **Department M**Judicial Officer: **Mastin, Amy M.**Filed on: **06/11/2021** 

#### **CASE INFORMATION**

Statistical Closures Case Type: Divorce - Complaint

06/29/2022 Disposed After Trial Start (Bench Trial) Subtype: Complaint Subject Minor(s)

Status: 06/29/2022 Closed

Case Flags: Appealed to Supreme Court

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

**Current Case Assignment** 

Case Number D-21-628915-D
Court Department M
Date Assigned 04/21/2022
Judicial Officer Mastin, Amy M.

#### PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose Milano

ccomando, Georgann RoseMilano, Maria L.7382 Prussian Green Rd.RetainedLas Vegas, NV 89139702-727-7777(W)

 Defendant
 Accomando, Mario
 Pro Se

 8546 Procyon St.
 773-308-5041(H)

8340 Procyon St. //3-308-3041(H)
LAs Vegas, NV 89139

Subject Minor Accomando, Nina Rose

DATE EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

## **EVENTS**

06/11/2021 Complaint for Divorce

Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose
[1] Complaint for Divorce and UCCJEA Declaration

06/14/2021 Request for Issuance of Joint Preliminary Injunction

Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose

[2] Request for Issuance of Joint Preliminary Injunction

06/14/2021 Lis Pendens

Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose

[3] Notice of Lis Pendens

06/14/2021 Lis Pendens

Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose

[4] Notice of Lis Pendens

06/14/2021 Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending

Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose

[5] Summons

06/22/2021 Affidavit of Service

Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

|            | CASE NO. D-21-628915-D  |
|------------|---|
|            | [6] Affidavit of Service  |
| 06/22/2021 | Joint Preliminary Injunction  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [7] Joint Preliminary Injunction                                  |
| 06/23/2021 | Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [8] Affidavit of Service  |
| 06/23/2021 | Affidavit of Service  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [9] Affidavit of Service  |
| 06/24/2021 | Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.302  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [10] Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.07            |
| 07/12/2021 | Answer - Divorce, Annulment, Separate Maintenence Filed by: Defendant Accomando, Mario [11] Answer - Divorce                                  |
| 07/14/2021 | NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference Order [12] Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance With NRCP 16.2              |
| 07/14/2021 | Order for Family Mediation Center Services [13] Order for Family Mediation Center Services  |
| 07/23/2021 | Financial Disclosure Form  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [14] General Financial Disclosure Form                               |
| 07/23/2021 | Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [15] Motion for Temporary Award of Interim Spousal Support and for Attorney's Fees        |
| 07/28/2021 | Notice of Hearing [16] Notice of Hearing  |
| 07/30/2021 | Certificate of Mailing Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [17] Certificate of Mailing   |
| 08/09/2021 | Notice of Early Case Conference Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [18] Notice of Early Case Conference                             |
| 08/11/2021 | Production of Documents  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [19] Plaintiff's List of Documents and Witnesses Pursuant to NRCP 16.2 |
| 08/11/2021 | Certificate of Mailing Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [20] Certificate of Mailing   |
| 08/16/2021 | Motion Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [21] Motion to Deternine Mental Health of Plaintiff**No Designation                               |
| 08/16/2021 | Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document [22] Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document  |
| 08/16/2021 | Motion Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [24] Motion   |

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

|            | CASE 110. D-21-020713-D   |
|------------|---|
| 08/25/2021 | Individual Case Conference Report  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [23] Plaintiff's Individual Case Conference Report   |
| 08/30/2021 | Notice of Hearing [25] Notice of Hearing  |
| 09/13/2021 | Notice of Telephonic Hearing Filed by: Defendant Accomando, Mario [26] NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPEAR BY COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT  |
| 09/13/2021 | Motion to Continue  Filed by: Defendant Accomando, Mario [27] Motion to Continue  |
| 09/13/2021 | Notice of Hearing [28] Notice of Hearing  |
| 09/22/2021 | Memorandum  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [29] Plaintiff's Brunzell Memorandum of Fees and Costs   |
| 09/30/2021 | Order [30] Order After 9.14.21 Hearing  |
| 09/30/2021 | Notice of Entry Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [31] Notice of Entry of Order  |
| 10/08/2021 | Motion for Order to Show Cause  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [32] Plaintiff's Motion for An Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and the Imposition of Sanctions for Defendant's Failure to Comply with NRCP 16.2 Mandatory Disclosure Requirements, and for His Failure to Make Ordered Spousal Support Payments and For Attorney's Fees |
| 10/08/2021 | Notice of Hearing [33] Notice of Hearing  |
| 10/11/2021 | Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [34] Certificate of Service   |
| 10/11/2021 | Ex Parte Motion Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [35] Ex Parte Motion for Order Shortening Time   |
| 10/14/2021 | Subpoena Duces Tecum  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [36] Subpoena Duces Tecum Custodian of Records for Bank of the West   |
| 10/14/2021 | Subpoena Duces Tecum  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [37] Subpoena Duces Tecum Custodian of Records for Huntington National Bank   |
| 10/14/2021 | Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [38] Subpoena Duces Tecum Custodian of Records for US Bank  |
| 10/14/2021 | Notice of Taking Deposition  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [39] Notice of Taking Deposition of Custodian of Records for Bank of the West  |
| 10/14/2021 | Notice of Taking Deposition Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  |

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

| CASE NO. D-21-020915-D |  |  |
|------------------------|--|--|
|                        | [40] Notice of Taking Deposition of Custodian of Records for US Bank   |  |
| 10/14/2021             | Notice to Take Deposition  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [41] Notice of Taking Deposition of Custodian of Records for Huntington National Bank   |  |
| 10/25/2021             | Notice of Appeal Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [42] Notice Of Appeal  |  |
| 10/26/2021             | Motion to Compel Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [43] Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendant to File a Financial Disclosure Form, Comply with the Requirements of NRCP 16.2; Answer Outstanding Written Discovery and for Attorney's Fees |  |
| 10/26/2021             | Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [44] Affidavit of Service  |  |
| 10/26/2021             | Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [45] Affidavit of Service  |  |
| 10/26/2021             | Notice of Hearing [46] Notice of Hearing   |  |
| 10/27/2021             | Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [47] Case Appeal Statement  |  |
| 11/08/2021             | Certificate of Service  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [48] Certificate of Service  |  |
| 11/16/2021             | Lis Pendens Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [49] Notice of Lis Pendens  |  |
| 11/19/2021             | Order [50] Order Awarding Attorney's Fees for Plaintiff  |  |
| 11/19/2021             | Trial Management Order [51] Trial Management Order   |  |
| 11/19/2021             | Notice of Entry of Order  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [52] Notice of Entry of Order  |  |
| 11/23/2021             | Order to Show Cause [53] Order to Show Cause   |  |
| 11/24/2021             | Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [54] Plaintiff's Affidavit and Supplemental Memorandum of Fees and Costs  |  |
| 11/24/2021             | Affidavit Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [55] Plaintiff's Affidavit and Supplemental Memorandum of Fees and Costs  |  |
| 11/24/2021             | Exhibits  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [56] Plaintiff's Exhibit Appendix  |  |
| 11/26/2021             | Notice of Telephonic Hearing Filed by: Defendant Accomando, Mario  |  |

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

|            | CASE NO. D-21-628915-D   |
|------------|--|
|            | [57] Notice of Telephonic Hearing  |
| 12/07/2021 | Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations [58] Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations                             |
| 12/08/2021 | Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [59] Certificate of Service  |
| 12/12/2021 | Notice of Telephonic Hearing  Filed by: Defendant Accomando, Mario [60] Notice of Telephonic Appearance                                |
| 12/21/2021 | Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [61] Affidavit of Service  |
| 12/21/2021 | Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [62] Amended Certificate of Service                                |
| 12/22/2021 | Order [63] Order on Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations  |
| 12/23/2021 | Notice of Entry Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [64] Notice of Entry of Order   |
| 01/10/2022 | NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed [65] Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Dismissed |
| 01/11/2022 | Notice of Appeal  Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [66] Notice of Appeal   |
| 01/12/2022 | Motion Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [67] Motion For Mediation  |
| 01/13/2022 | Notice of Hearing [68] Notice of Hearing   |
| 01/13/2022 | Case Appeal Statement [69] Case Appeal Statement   |
| 01/18/2022 | Certificate of Service  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [70] Certificate of Service                                      |
| 01/25/2022 | Receipt Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [71] Receipt of Check   |
| 01/31/2022 | Order [72] Order from 1.13.22 OSC Hearing  |
| 02/01/2022 | Notice of Entry of Order  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [73] Notice of Entry of Order                                  |
| 02/02/2022 | Pre-trial Memorandum Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [74] Plaintiff's Pre-trial Memorandum                                |
| 02/04/2022 | Financial Disclosure Form  |

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

|            | Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [75] Plaintiff's General Financial Disclosure Form   |
|------------|---|
| 02/09/2022 | Receipt Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [76] Receipt of Check  |
| 02/16/2022 | Trial Management Order [77] Continued Trial Management Order  |
| 03/09/2022 | NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed [78] Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Dismissed  |
| 03/10/2022 | Affidavit of Resident Witness Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [79] Affidavit of Resident Witness   |
| 03/16/2022 | Notice of Appeal Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [80] Notice Of Appeal   |
| 03/21/2022 | Case Appeal Statement [81] Case Appeal Statement  |
| 03/29/2022 | Memorandum Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [82] Memorandum of Fees and Costs   |
| 04/01/2022 | Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [83] Motion for More Definite Statement   |
| 04/02/2022 | Notice of Hearing [84] Notice of Hearing  |
| 04/07/2022 | Motion for Clarification Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [85] Motion for Clarification of the Court's Orders in Regards to the 9607 Lame Horse Drive Property on Order Shortening Time |
| 04/08/2022 | Notice of Hearing [86] Notice of Hearing  |
| 04/08/2022 | Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [87] Certificate of Service   |
| 04/08/2022 | Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [88] Certificate of Service   |
| 04/08/2022 | Ex Parte Application Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [89] Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time   |
| 04/08/2022 | Ex Parte Application for Order Party: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [90] Ex Parte Application for An Order Shortening Time   |
| 04/11/2022 | Order Shortening Time [91] Order Shortening Time on 5.9.22 and 5.27.22 Chambers Motions   |
| 04/12/2022 | Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [92] Notice of Entry of Order   |

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

|            | CASE 110. D-21-020/13-D  |
|------------|--|
| 04/15/2022 | Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing  [93] Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing  |
| 04/18/2022 | Order [94] Order for Continued Medicaid Coverage   |
| 04/18/2022 | Notice of Entry  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [95] Notice of Entry of Order   |
| 04/20/2022 | Peremptory Challenge Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [96] Peremptory Challenge  |
| 04/20/2022 | Notice of Department Reassignment [97] Notice of reassignment  |
| 04/20/2022 | Order [98] Order Reassigning Case  |
| 04/21/2022 | Notice of Department Reassignment [99] Notice of Department Reassignment   |
| 05/03/2022 | NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed [100] Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Dismissed  |
| 05/25/2022 | Order [101] Order regarding Lame Horse Drive Property  |
| 05/26/2022 | Notice of Entry Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [102] Notice of Entry of Order  |
| 05/26/2022 | Subpoena Duces Tecum  Filed by: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [103] Subpoena Duces Tecum for Custodian of Records of Chase Bank  |
| 06/20/2022 | Notice Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [104] Notice   |
| 06/29/2022 | Decree of Divorce [105] Decree of Divorce  |
| 07/06/2022 | Notice of Entry of Decree  Party: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [106] Notice of Entry of Decree of Divorce  |
| 08/29/2022 | Notice of Entry Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose [107] Notice of Entry of Order  |
| 08/29/2022 | Motion for Order to Show Cause  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [108] Plaintiff's Motion for An Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and the Imposition of Sanctions for Defendant's Failure to Comply with Terms of Decree of Divorce |
| 08/29/2022 | Notice of Appeal  Filed By: Defendant Accomando, Mario [109] Notice of Appeal - Decree   |
| 08/30/2022 | Exhibits  Filed By: Plaintiff Accomando, Georgann Rose  [110] Plaintiff's Exhibit Appendix   |

## CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

08/31/2022

Case Appeal Statement

Case Appeal Statement

## **HEARINGS**

08/03/2021

Minute Order (8:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

MINUTE ORDER - NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedures in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. COURT FINDS on July 14, 2021, an Order for Family Mediation Center Services and an Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance With NRCP 16.2 were filed and set for a hearing on September 9, 2021 at 11:30 a.m. COURT FINDS on July 23, 2021, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Temporary Award of Interim Spousal Support and for Attorney s Fees, which was set for a hearing on September 14, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. Therefore, in the interest of judicial economy, COURT ORDERS the September 9, 2021 hearings shall be CONTINUED to September 14, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. to be heard simultaneously with the Plaintiff's Motion. A copy of the Court s minute order shall be provided to Plaintiff s attorney and Defendant via e-mail, if an e-mail address is on record with the Court; if no e-mail address is available the minute order shall be mailed to the physical address of record. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order emailed to the parties/counsel. (kw 8/3/21);

Minute Order - No Hearing Held

09/14/2021

Case Management Conference (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

09/09/2021 Reset by Court to 09/14/2021

Hearing Set; See all pending dated 9/17/21

Hearing Set

09/14/2021

Return Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

FMC

09/09/2021 Reset by Court to 09/14/2021

Matter Heard; See all pending dated 9/17/21

Matter Heard

09/14/2021

Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Motion for Temporary Award of Interim Spousal Support and for Attorney's Fees

Granted; See all pending dated 9/17/21

Granted

09/14/2021

All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

#### MINUTES

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

MOTION: MOTION FOR TEMPORARY AWARD OF INTERIM SPOUSAL SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES... CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE... RETURN HEARING: FMC Plaintiff and Ms. Milano present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the Bluejeans application. Court reviewed the case. Court noted Defendant not present. Court further noted Defendant's Motions recently filed, to continue the matter, which were not served upon Plaintiff's counsel. Ms. Milano stated concerns with Defendant not complying with any of the court's orders. Ms. Milano advised Plaintiff is in dire need of interim financial support. Ms. Milano stated the parties have a rental property, which the rent is \$1,300.00 per month. Ms. Milano requested the rental income be paid directly to Plaintiff. Court noted Defendant's refusal to participate, failure to respond to Plaintiff's motion and file his financial disclosure form. Court advised inclined to assume Defendant agrees with the motion. Ms. Milano further requested attorney's fees. Ms. Milano advised the parties have multiple properties and requested the sale of properties to pay attorney's fees. Ms. Milano further requested temporary visitation. Court stated concerns with the requested relief not being before the court. Discussion regarding setting the matter for trial. COURT ORDERED the following; Plaintiff's Motion shall be GRANTED; Plaintiff shall receive \$1,000.00 per month in temporary support; Should there be no contract barring or precluding Plaintiff receiving the payment, Plaintiff shall receive the rental income from the property located at 9607 Lane Horse Drive. The tenant shall pay the rent to Plaintiff directly. Plaintiff shall keep track of the excess money received from the rental income; Ms. Milano shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and costs, with Brunzell factors, as it pertains to today's Motion; Matter set for a PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE on November 16, 2021 at 1:30 pm. Ms. Milano shall prepare the Order from today's hearing.;

Matter Heard

10/12/2021

Minute Order (4:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

## CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

Journal Entry Details:

MINUTE ORDER- NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. COURT FINDS there are presently four matters calendared in the above-entitled case: Defendant s Motion to Determine Mental Health of Plaintiff, filed August 16, 2021, set for decision in chambers October 15, 2021; Defendant s Motion to Continue Hearing, filed September 13, 2021, set for decision in chambers November 12, 2021; the Pre-Trial Conference, set by the Court for November 16, 2021 and Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and the Imposition of Sanctions for Defendant's Failure to Comply with NRCP 16.2 Mandatory Disclosure Requirements, and for his Failure to Make Ordered Spousal Support Payments and for Attorney s Fees, filed October 8, 2021 and set for hearing November 30, 2021. COURT FINDS as to Defendant's Motion to Determine Mental Health of Plaintiff, there is no proof of service of the Motion on Plaintiff. COURT FURTHER FINDS the Motion was not signed by Defendant, nor was the Verification signed. COURT FURTHER FINDS it appears from the content of the Motion that Defendant is seeking relief from this Court pursuant to NRS Chapter 433A.200, which allows for certain individuals to petition the Court to Order the involuntary admission of others to outpatient mental health services or inpatient facilities under certain, very limited circumstances. COURT FURTHER FINDS this is a cause of action that stands alone and would not be considered by this Court within a divorce proceeding. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS this Motion is vacated from the Court's October 15, 2021 chambers calendar. COURT FINDS as to the Motion to Continue Hearing, there is no proof of service of the Motion on Plaintiff. COURT FURTHER FINDS the Motion seeks to continue a hearing that was set for September 14, 2021 on Plaintiff's Motion for Award of Interim Spousal Support and for Attorney s Fees. COURT FURTHER FINDS the hearing set for September 14, 2021 was heard and adjudicated in Defendant's absence due to his failure to timely respond to Plaintiff's Motion that was properly served on him July 29, 2021. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS this Motion is vacated from the Court s November 12, 2021 chambers calendar as moot. COURT FINDS as to the October 8, 2021 Motion for Order to Show Cause, to the extent the motion seeks to address Defendant's failure to comply with NRCP 16.2 mandatory disclosure requirements, that requested relief must first be brought before the Discovery Commissioner pursuant to NRCP 16.2(k). COURT FINDS to the extent the Motion seeks to enforce this Court s order for interim spousal support, for purposes of judicial economy, the matter will be heard at the same time as the Pre-Trial Conference, November 16, 2021. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS the Motion currently set for November 30, 2021 shall be re-set to the time of the parties Pre-Trial Conference, November 16, 2021 at 1:30 p.m. COURT FURTHER ORDERS any discovery related issues, i.e., failure to comply with mandatory disclosure requirements, shall first be brought before the Discovery Commissioner for Report and Recommendations. A copy of the Court's minute order shall be provided to Plaintiff's attorney and Defendant via e-mail, if an e-mail address is on record with the Court; if no e-mail address is available, the minute order shall be mailed to the physical address of record. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order provided to the parties/counsel electronically. (kw 10/12/21):

Minute Order - No Hearing Held

10/15/2021 CANCELED Motion (7:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Vacated

Defendant's Motion to Deternine Mental Health of Plaintiff

11/12/2021 CANCELED Motion (7:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Vacated

Motion to Continue Hearing

11/16/2021 **Pre Trial Conference** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Non Jury Trial; See all pending dated 11/29/21

Non Jury Trial

11/16/2021 **Motion** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Plaintiff's Motion for An Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and the Imposition of Sanctions for Defendant's Failure to Comply with NRCP 16.2 Mandatory Disclosure Requirements, and for His Failure to Make Ordered Spousal Support Payments and For Attorney's Fees

11/30/2021 Reset by Court to 11/16/2021

See minute order dated 10/12/21

Order to Show Cause - To Issue; See all pending dated 11/29/21

Order to Show Cause - To Issue

11/16/2021 All Pending Motions (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

PRE TRIAL CONFERENCE...MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT AND THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS FOR DEFENDANT'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH NRCP 16.2 MANDATORY DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS, AND FOR HIS FAILURE TO MAKE ORDERED SPOUSAL SUPPORT PAYMENTS AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES All parties present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the Bluejeans application. Court noted Defendant's failure to appear for today's hearing. Court further noted Defendant's continued lack of compliance in the proceedings. Court further noted

# CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

Defendant's appeal. Ms. Milano advised there is also a Motion pending in front of the Discovery Commissioner. Court further noted Plaintiff's request for an Order to Show Cause for Defendant's failure to pay spousal support. COURT ORDERED the following; Ms. Milano shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs, from the September 14, 2021 hearing, with Brunzell factors; Attorney's fees shall be DEFERRED to the Order to Show Cause hearing; An Order to Show Cause shall be GRANTED for Defendant's for contempt. Matter set for January 13, 2022 at 1:30 PM. Matter shall be conducted via VIDEO CONFERENCE; Matter set for a NON-JURY TRIAL on February 9, 2022 at 9:00 AM. Department M shall prepare and Issue a Trial Management

Matter Heard

11/23/2021

Minute Order (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

The court has considered PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANT TO FILE A FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE FORM, COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF NRCP 16.2; ANSWER OUTSTANDING WRITTEN DISCOVERY AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES (motion). Defendant has failed to file a timely opposition to the Motion to Compel. Pursuant to EDCR 5.503, Defendant is deemed to admit that the Motion to Compel is meritorious and to have consented to the court granting the same. The Motion is therefore Granted. All discovery requested by the Motion is compelled and will be provided by defendant on or before December 10, 2021. All objections except as to privilege are waived. A negative inference will automatically issue for any and all disclosures required by NRCP 16.2 that are not disclosed by Defendant by December 10, 2021 would not have supported Defendant's position in this matter. The District Court will determine the exact wording of the inference at the time of trial in the matter. Plaintiff s request for fees is preliminarily granted under Rule 37(a) (5), subject to proof. Plaintiff may file a supplemental memorandum of fees and costs, including the Brunzell factors, disparity in income, and following Cadle v. Woods Erickson. ALL FACTS, INCLUDING THOSE REGARDING THE BRUNZELL FACTORS, MUST BE INCLUDED IN A SEPARATE AFFIDAVIT. Plaintiff may submit a redacted timesheet seeking fees for time spent attempting to resolve the dispute which is the subject of the Motion to Compel, drafting pleadings (including supplemental pleadings) and a proposed DCRR. Plaintiff s supplemental pleading is due November 29, 2021. An untimely memorandum will not be considered. Defendant may respond to the memorandum of fees and costs on or before December 6, 2021. Plaintiff will submit a single report and recommendation on or before December 10, 2021 regarding both the Motion to Compel and the request for fees. As to the request for fees portion of the DCRR, Plaintiff will use the form DCRR regarding attorney fee awards found at http://www.clarkcountycourts.us/departments/discovery/. The court will set a status hearing on December 15, 2021 at 1:30 to determine if the report and recommendation has been submitted. The hearing presently set for December 1, 2021 at 1:00 PM is hereby vacated.;

Minute Order - No Hearing Held

12/01/2021

CANCELED Motion (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Young, Jay)

Vacated - per Judge

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendant to File a Financial Disclosure Form, Comply with the Requirements of NRCP 16.2; Answer Outstanding Written Discovery and for Attorney's Fees

12/15/2021

CANCELED Status Check (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Vacated

Submission of report and recommendation

Reset by Court to 12/15/2021 12/15/2021

01/13/2022

Order to Show Cause (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

#### MINUTES

Stayed;

Journal Entry Details:

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE All parties present via VIDEO/TELEPHONE CONFERENCE through the Bluejeans application. Court reviewed the case and noted Defendant's failure to comply with the court's orders, which caused the matter to be set for today's Order to Show Cause. Plaintiff and Defendant SWORN and TESTIFIED. Defendant CANVASSED by the Court as to his failure to comply with his spousal support obligation. Ms. Milano stated concerns with Defendant's lack of intent to comply with the court's orders and requested his Answer be stricken. Defendant requested the parties be sent to mediation to work out any issues. Court noted the parties have an upcoming trial set in February. COURT ORDERED the following; 1. Defendant's shall be held in CONTEMPT for failure to comply with his court ordered spousal support obligation. Any penalties shall be STAYED pending his compliance with the court's orders; 2. Defendant shall make two (2) payments of \$1,000.00, by money order, to Ms. Milano's office, located at 3365 Pepper Lane, Suite 102, Las Vegas, NV 89120. The first payment shall be due by January 24, 2022 and the second shall be due prior to February 9, 2022; 3. Ms. Milano shall prepare the Order from today's hearing.;

02/09/2022

Non-Jury Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

## CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

Matter Continued;

Journal Entry Details:

NON-JURY TRIAL Court noted Defendant's appeal on file and advised the matter will need to be taken off calendar pending the decision from the supreme court. COURT ORDERED the matter taken OFF CALENDAR. Matter shall be reset upon decision of the Appeal by the Supreme Court.;

Matter Continued

02/28/2022

Motion (7:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Motion For Mediation

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

MINUTE ORDER- NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to EDCR 2.23(c), the judge may consider a motion on its merits at any time, with or without oral argument, and grant or deny it. EDCR 5.206(b) states that a copy of any documents filed MUST be served on all other parties to an action within 3 days of submission for filing. COURT FINDS: 1. On January 12, 2022, Defendant filed a Motion to Enter Mediation (Motion); 2. The Motion was set for a decision without a hearing on February 28, 2022, in chambers; and 3. To date, Defendant has failed to file proof that the Motion has been served on Plaintiff s attorney. Therefore, due to the service defect, COURT ORDERS the hearing scheduled for February 28, 2022 is OFF CALENDAR. COURT NOTES, this matter is set for an in-person Trial on March 7, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. A copy of the Court's minute order shall be provided to Defendant via email. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order provided to the parties/counsel electronically. (kw 3/2/22); Minute Order - No Hearing Held

03/07/2022

Non-Jury Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Rescheduled from 2.9.22

### **MINUTES**

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

NON-JURY TRIAL According to the terms found in the Trial Management Order, Ms. Milano attempted to meet and confer with Defendant before trial, and Defendant declined. Upon the Court's inquiry, Defendant refused to participate in settlement negotiations. Plaintiff and Defendant swore and testified. Opening statements presented by the Defendant. Ms. Milano waived opening statements. Testimony and evidence presented; see worksheets. Closing arguments. COURT-ORDERED: 1) Based on the best interest standard, Plaintiff and Defendant shall have JOINT LEGAL and JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor child, Nina Rose Accomando; 2) Nina shall have TEENAGE DISCRETION as to her contact with both parents; 3) Defendant ADMONISHED not to disparage Plaintiff to Nina. Defendant instructed to affirmatively positive in his discussion regarding Plaintiff and encourage Nina to spend time with Plaintiff and foster their relationship. If the Defendant fails to follow this Order, the Court may be inclined to modify custody to the Plaintiff; 4) According to the parties' similar incomes, child support will not be ordered at this time; 5) The Arizona properties shall be listed for sale within the next 60 days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the fair market value of the properties and list them accordingly. Defendant instructed to fully cooperate and participate with the listings and sale of the properties. If the Defendant fails, the Court will find Defendant in contempt and sanction attorney's fees; 6) If the balloon payment on the mortgages defaults, both parties shall be equally responsible for one-half of the debt as tenants in common; 7) Defendant shall pay Plaintiff one-half of the lease/rental income received from this date, 3/07/2022, and forward. Defendant shall no longer accept cash payments from his tenants and show proof of rental income received; 8) Defendant owes Plaintiff one-half of the lease/rental income received for the last two (2) years. Defendant directed to prepare an accounting for the rent received and owed from the tenants for the last two (2) years and provide a copy to Plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff is entitled to one half of the amount, and said funds shall be REDUCED TO JUDGMENT against the Defendant; 9) The marital residence shall be listed for sale within sixty (60) days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the property's fair market value and list accordingly. Defendant does not have a lease with the renter; therefore, there shall be no interference with the sale. Defendant shall not receive cash payments from the renter and shall provide proof of the amounts received. Defendant shall pay one-half of the rent income from the residence to Plaintiff pending close of escrow; 10) Plaintiff's counsel shall include in the final order an alimony analysis. The Court will award a lump sum amount of alimony to Plaintiff. Ms. Milano shall leave a blank in the order for the Court to enter an amount. Plaintiff and Defendant informed that rental income is a division of community property and will not be considered as a part of alimony; 11) The car is valued at \$15,000.00. Plaintiff shall receive one half of the value of \$7,500.00; 12) Defendant did not dispute the value of the community property and did not dispute Plaintiff's request to her personal property, to include the John Wayne photograph and furniture and furnishings as requested. Plaintiff shall retrieve all items within thirty (30) days of establishing residence. However, Plaintiff may retrieve the photograph immediately; 13) Based on Defendant's failure to comply with EDCR 7.6, EDCR 16.2, failure to comply with discovery and the Trial Management Order, and failure to participate with this litigation, Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff ATTORNEY'S FEES. The Court directed counsel to submit a Brunzell Affidavit and Memorandum of Fees and Costs leaving a blank in order for the Court to enter an amount; 14)

## CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

Nina's account is community property. As of today's date, 3/07/2022, the account balance shall be equally divided, and Plaintiff shall receive her one-half balance within thirty (30) days. Defendant shall provide a copy of the bank statement to Plaintiff's counsel. The Court shall accept Defendant's testimony of \$65,000.00 in the account and \$23,400.00 of prepaid tuition; 15) The prepaid tuition shall remain in Nina's name, and the parties shall be joint owners. If Nina does not go to college, the amount will be equally reverted to the parties; 16) There are no community debts to divide; 17) Plaintiff shall keep the wedding ring. Plaintiff's maiden name shall be RESTORED; 18) DIVORCE GRANTED. Ms. Milano shall prepare the proposed findings and conclusions of law and include the cost of said findings as a part of the attorney's fees award. Ms. Milano shall have thirty (30) days to prepare the Decree and submit it to Defendant for review and signature. Upon receipt, Defendant shall have ten (10) days to review..;

Matter Heard

04/19/2022 | CANCELED Motion (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Vacated - per Order

Vacated - per Order

Plaintiff's Motion for More Definite Statement

05/09/2022 Reset by Court to 04/19/2022

05/26/2022 CANCELED Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)

Motion for Clarification of the Court's Orders in Regards to the 9607 Lame Horse Drive Property on Order

Shortening Time

04/19/2022 Reset by Court to 04/28/2022 04/28/2022 Reset by Court to 06/01/2022 05/27/2022 Reset by Court to 04/19/2022 06/01/2022 Reset by Court to 06/07/2022 06/07/2022 Reset by Court to 05/26/2022

**SERVICE** 

06/16/2021 **Summons** 

Accomando, Mario Served: 06/18/2021

Electronically Filed
06/29/2022 4:30 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

```
1
   DECD
   MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ.
   Nevada Bar # 7121
   REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC
   A multi-jurisdictional law firm
   3365 Pepper Ln., Suite 102
4
   Las Vegas, NV 89120
   Tel: (702) 727-7777
   Fax: (702) 458-8508
5
   mariamilano@atharilaw.com
   Attorney for Plaintiff,
   GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO
7
                       DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION
8
                             CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
9
10
                                                           D-21-628915-D
    GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO,
                                          CASE NO.:
11
                                          DEPT. NO.:
                        Plaintiff,
12
                                           Date of Trial: 3/7/22
                                           Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m.
    vs.
13
    MARIO ACCOMANDO,
14
                        Defendant,
15
```

### DECREE OF DIVORCE

COME NOW the parties, Plaintiff, GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO, represented by her counsel of record, MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ., of REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC and Defendant MARIO ACCOMANDO, appearing in proper person, having attended the Trial on March 7, 2022, the COURT NOTED that according to the terms found in the Trial Management Order, Ms. Milano attempted to meet and confer with Defendant before trial, and Defendant declined. Upon the Court's inquiry, Defendant refused to participate in settlement negotiations.

28

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Court having heard the statements and arguments of the parties and having considered all the papers, pleadings on file and evidence presented herein, FINDS:

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

### 1. ALIMONY:

The Court finds that after considering the evidence and testimony of the Parties, that the Plaintiff is entitled to a lump sum award of alimony. (12:11:13 - 12:11-18)

Pursuant to NRS 125.150 the Court has discretion to award such alimony to either spouse in a specified principal sum or as specified periodic payments as appears just and equitable; and shall, to the extent practicable, make an equal disposition of the community property of the parties, including, without limitation, any community property transferred into an irrevocable trust pursuant to NRS 123.125 over which the court acquires jurisdiction pursuant to NRS 164.010, except that the court may make an unequal disposition of the community property in such proportions as it deems just if the court finds a compelling reason to do so and sets forth in writing the reasons for making the unequal disposition....

In addition to any other factors the court considers relevant in determining whether to award alimony and the amount of such an award, the court shall consider: (a) the financial condition of each spouse; (b) the nature and value of the respective property of each spouse; (c) the contribution of each spouse to any property held by the spouses pursuant to NRS 123.030; (d) the

duration of the marriage; (e) the income, earning capacity, age and health of each spouse; (f) the standard of living during the marriage; (g) the career before the marriage of the spouse who would receive the alimony; (h) the existence of specialized education or training or the level of marketable skills attained by each spouse during the marriage; (i) the contribution of either spouse as a homemaker; the award of property granted by the court in the divorce, other than child support and alimony, to the spouse who would receive the alimony; and (k) the physical and mental condition of each party as it related to the financial condition, health and ability to work of that spouse....

Testimony at trial substantiates that both Parties are of an advanced age, the Plaintiff is 68 years old (9:04:55) and the Defendant is 74 years old (11:17:16); that the Plaintiff did not complete high school (9:05:18); did not obtain any other education or vocational training subsequent to leaving the 11<sup>th</sup> grade (9:05:26); that Plaintiff has not had any meaningful employment since the Parties married in 1995(9:06:10); that the Plaintiff assisted the Defendant in his real estate career (9:06:30-9:06:57), and carried out the responsibilities of a homemaker throughout the Parties marriage (9:26:07). Furthermore the Plaintiff suffers from lingering medical conditions from breast cancer (9:31:30) and given the standard of living the Parties enjoyed during their marriage and the fact that the Defendant is likely concealing monthly income, the Court finds it appropriate to make a lump sum award of

alimony to the Plaintiff as the Court does not believe the otherwise

Defendant will comply with the Court's orders. (12:11:13 - 12:11:18)

## THE COURT FURTHER FINDS

- 2. That Plaintiff, for more than six weeks immediately preceding the commencement of this action, has been an actual, bona fide resident and domiciliary of the County of Clark, State of Nevada, and during all of said period of time, Plaintiff had and still has the intent to make the State of Nevada her home, residence and domicile for an indefinite period of time; (9:04:47)
- 3. That the parties were married in Las Vegas, Nevada, on or about June 10, 1995, and have been since that date have been husband and wife; (09:04:58 -9:05:10)
- 4. That the Plaintiff and Defendant have one minor child in common, NINA ROSE ACCOMANDO, born July 21, 2006 (Age 15), and the Plaintiff is not now pregnant; (9:20:27-9:20:34)
- 5. That minor child has lived in Nevada for the past six

  (6) months and that the minor child has lived with the Parties in Las

  Vegas, Nevada for the past five (5) years. (9:25:12)
- 6. That any custody and visitation orders made herein are in the best interest of the child (12:01:21 12:01:24);

NRS 125C.0035 states:

1. that in any action for determining physical custody of a minor child, the sole consideration of the court is the best interest of the child. If it appears to the court that joint physical custody

would be in the best interest of the child, the court may grant physical custody to the parties jointly.

- 2. Preference must not be given to either parent for the sole reason that the parent is the mother or the father of the child.
- 3. The court shall award physical custody in the following order of preference unless in a particular case the best interest of the child requires otherwise:
  - (a) To both parents jointly pursuant to NRS 125C.0025 or to either parent pursuant to NRS 125C.003. If the court does not enter an order awarding joint physical custody of a child after either parent has applied for joint physical custody, the court shall state in its decision the reason for its denial of the parent's application.
  - (b) To a person or persons in whose home the child has been living and where the child has had a wholesome and stable environment.
  - (c) To any person related within the fifth degree of consanguinity to the child whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child, regardless of whether the relative resides within this State.
  - (d) To any other person or persons whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child.

That in determining the best interest of the child, the

28

Court must consider:

(1) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has committed any act of abduction against the child or any other child. COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.

The Court finds that it would be in the best interest to award the Parties joint legal and joint physical custody of the minor child as the child is of suitable age and discretion to make a determination as to where she would like to reside. (12:01:21 - 12:03:18)

- 7. That the amount of child support ordered herein is in accordance with NAC 425;
- 8. That this Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties over custody of the minor child and subject matter jurisdiction. (12:01:12 12:01:16)

#### NOW THEREFORE:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that as the Parties are incompatible in marriage with no chance of reconciliation, the bonds of matrimony now and heretofore existing between Plaintiff and Defendant be, and the same are, hereby wholly dissolved, set aside and forever held for naught, and an absolute Decree of Divorce is hereby granted to the Plaintiff, and each of the parties is hereby restored to the status of single, unmarried persons. (12:19:38-12:19:43)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED: the Court finds that it is in the minor child's best interest for the Plaintiff and Defendant be awarded joint legal and joint physical custody of the minor child. (12:01:21 - 12:01:24)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Nina shall have teenage discretion as to her contact with both parents and Defendant is admonished not to disparage Plaintiff to Nina.

Defendant is instructed to be affirmatively positive in his swith Nina discussion regarding Plaintiff and encourage Nina to spend time with Plaintiff and foster their relationship. (12:01:26 - 12:03:28)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that if the

Defendant fails to follow this Order, the Court may be inclined to modify custody in favor of the Plaintiff. (12:03:14 -12:03:18)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that as a result of the orders herein, the parties' shall have similar incomes and assets, therefore, child support will not be ordered at this time.(12:03:37 -12:04:05)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the minor child shall continue to be covered by Medicaid as and for health insurance, and that any unreimbursed medical expenses incurred for the minor child shall be borne equally by the Parties pursuant to the "30/30 Rule" defined as follows:

<u>Documentation of Out-of- pocket Expenses Required</u>: A party who incurs an out-of-pocket expense of medical care is required to document that expense and proof of payment of that expense. A receipt from the health care provider is sufficient to prove the expense so long as the receipt has the name of the children on it and shows payment by the party seeking reimbursement.

Timely Submission of Requests for Reimbursement: The party who has paid or incurred a health care expense for the minor children must submit his or her claim for reimbursement from the insurance company within the deadline required for reimbursement by the insurance policy. If a party fails to timely submit such a claim for reimbursement, and the claim is

denied by the insurance company as untimely, that party shall pay the entire amount which would have been paid by the insurance company as well as the entire expense which would not have been paid by insurance if the claim had been timely filed.

Mitigation of Health Expenses Required: Use of Covered Insurance Providers: Each party has a duty to mitigate medical expenses for the minor children. Absent compelling circumstances, a party should take the minor child to a health care provider covered by the insurance in effect and use preferred or covered providers, if available, in order to minimize the cost of healthcare for the minor child. burden is on the party using a non-covered health care provider to demonstrate that the choice not to use a covered provider or the lowest cost option was reasonably necessary in the particular circumstances of that case. If the court finds the choice of a non-covered or more expensive covered provider was not reasonably necessary, then the court may impose a greater portion of financial responsibility for the cost of that health care to the party who incurred that expense up to the full amount which would have been provided by the lowest cost insurance choice.

Sharing of Insurance Information Required: The party providing insurance coverage for the children has a continuing obligation to provide insurance information to the other party including, but not limited to, copies of policies and policy amendments as they are received, claim forms, preferred provider lists (as modified from time to time), and identification cards. If the insuring party fails to timely supply any of the above items to the other party, and that failure results in a denial of a claim because of the non-insuring parties' failure to comply with the procedures required by the amended or updated insurance policies, the party providing insurance shall be responsible for all healthcare expenses incurred by the minor children for that claim that would have been covered by insurance.

Reimbursement for Out-of-pocket Expenses: If either party seeks reimbursement of an unreimbursed healthcare expense he or she has incurred on behalf of the minor children, he or she must submit such request for reimbursement to the other within thirty(30) days of incurring such expense or being advised by the provider that such expense would not be reimbursed. If that party fails to request such reimbursement within that time period, he or she shall forfeit any right to seek reimbursement. If the other party receives a written request for contribution for an unreimbursed health care expense for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the children, he or she must reimburse the other for fifty (50%) of that expense within thirty(30) days of receipt of the written request for contribution. That party must raise any objection to the request for contribution within the thirty (30) day period after the request for contribution is received or they shall be deemed to have waived such objection. Any objection to the request for contribution must be made in writing. If the other party does not respond to the request within the thirty (30) day period, that party may be assessed attorney's fees if a contempt proceeding or court action is required as a result of the party's failure to pay or timely object.

Sharing Insurance Reimbursement: Any reimbursements for payments made directly by a party or the parties to any healthcare provider to the minor children shall be distributed according to the amount of payment by each party. If a party receives such a reimbursement, that party shall distribute the reimbursement within seven (7) days of its receipt. (By

Order of the Court on 04-18-22)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the eight parcels of land held by the Parties in Arizona shall be listed for sale within the next 60 days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the fair market value of the properties and list them accordingly. That any equity realized from the sale of said properties shall be split equally between the parties. (12:04:55 - 12:05:20)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant is instructed to fully and timely cooperate with the listings, transfers, and sales of the real properties adjudicated herein.(12:05:18 - 12:06:05). Any offer received on any of the real properties ordered to be sold that are within five percent (5%) of their fair market value shall be deemed an acceptable

offer. (12:06:05 -12:06:13). If the Defendant fails to comply with may will this order, the Court will find Defendant in contempt and impose sanctions of attorney's fees should the Plaintiff have to return to Court with representation to enforce this Court's orders. (12:06:06 - 12:06:16)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that should the balloon payments on the Pahrump real properties that were sold to individuals, Patrick Clark and Armen Galstan, be missed, and should said real properties revert in equal shares to the ownership of both Parties, that said properties shall be held by the Parties as tenants in common. (12:06:33 - 12:07:36)

IT IS FUTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any payments received in relation to the prior sale of the Pahrump properties shall be split equally between the parties, the Defendant shall provide proof of payment by providing cancelled checks to the Plaintiff. (12:07:32 - 12:07:39)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant shall pay Plaintiff one-half of any and all lease/rental income received from this date, March 7, 2022, forward within 30 days of receiving it. Defendant shall no longer accept cash payments from his tenants and show proof of rental income received to the Plaintiff. (12:07:42 - 12:08:22)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant owes Plaintiff one-half of the lease/rental income received for the

last two (2) years on the condominium located at 9607 Lame Horse Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89123. (12:08:23 - 12:08:33)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant is directed to prepare an accounting for the rent received from the 9607 Lame Horse Drive property for the last two (2) years and provide a copy to Plaintiff's counsel within the next sixty (60) days. Plaintiff is entitled to one half of the amount of the rents collected by the Defendant during that time. (12:08:33-47)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the marital residence located at 8546 Procyon St., Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 shall be listed for sale within sixty (60) days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the property's fair market value and list said property accordingly. As Defendant does not have a lease with the renter, said renter shall pose no interference with the sale. (12:08:58)

The Parties shall maintain said property in such a manner as would maximize the sale price of said property. (12:16:35 - 12:17:12)

That the equity realized from the sale of said property shall be equally split between the parties.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant shall no longer receive cash payments from the tenant at the Procyon property, and shall provide proof of the amounts received to the Plaintiff in the form of cancelled checks from tenant.

Defendant shall pay one-half of said rental income from the marital residence to Plaintiff. (12:08:52 - 12:09:22)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff shall be awarded the real property located at 9607 Lame Horse Drive subject to Defendant's community share and other offsets or awards.

(By Order of the Court dated 05-25-22)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED That Plaintiff shall choose the realtor and said property shall be appraised within sixty (60) days. (By Order of the Court dated 05-25-22)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any amounts of lump sum alimony awarded to Plaintiff shall be deducted from Defendant's one-half share of the appraised value of the Lame Horse Drive property. (By Order dated 05-25-22)

other

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any amounts

as a result of this Decree or resulting from prior Orders
owed by the Defendant to Plaintiff shall also be offset from

Defendant's share of the Lame Horse Drive property. (By Order

dated 05-25-22)

IT IS FURTHER, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff shall be awarded a lump sum award of alimony in the amount of \$\frac{320,000.00}{5}\$. (12:10:43 - 12:11:17)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Plaintiff and Defendant are informed that rental income is a division of community property and shall not be considered as part of an award of alimony. (12:11:23 - 12:11:29)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the undisputed value of the automobile currently in Defendant's possession is \$15,000.00. Plaintiff shall receive one-half of the value of said automobile in the amount \$7,500.00 which shall be paid by the Defendant to the Plaintiff. (12:11:33 -12:11:40)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the undisputed value of the household items currently in the Defendant's possessions is \$15,000.00. (9:28:07) Plaintiff shall receive one-half of said value in the amount of \$7,500.00 (9:46:40 - 9:47:15) which shall be paid by the Defendant to Plaintiff from his half of the equity realized from the sale of the real property sold herein. (12:11:42)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant did not dispute the value of the community property and did not dispute Plaintiff's request to her personal property, to include the John Wayne photograph and desk that was constructed for her by her grandfather as requested. (12:11:50) Plaintiff shall, therefore, retrieve said items within thirty (30) days of establishing residence. However, Plaintiff may retrieve the photograph immediately. (12:11:59 -12:12:16) Plaintiff shall keep the wedding ring. (12:18:18-12:18:40)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that based on Defendant's failure to comply with EDCR 7.60, EDCR 16.2, failure to comply with discovery and the Trial Management Order, and failure to participate with this litigation, and failure to produce any

documents in support of his case, Defendant shall pay the

Plaintiff's attorney's fees in the amount of \$ 23,285.00 The fees awarded are reasonable based upon the Court's review of counsel's Plaintiff's counsel shall submit a Brunzell Affidavit and

Plaintiff

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Memorandum of Fees and Costs. (12:12:37 - 12:13:53) and given Defendant's total and complete lack of participation in the litigation multiplying the fees incurred by

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the bank account established by the Defendant for the minor child is Therefore, one half of the account balance as community property. of March 7, 2022 shall be transferred to the Plaintiff within thirty (30) days. The Court shall accept the Defendant's testimony that said account contains \$65,0000. Defendant shall provide a copy of the March, 2022 bank statement to Plaintiff's counsel within the next thirty (30) days. That should there be less than \$65,000 in said account, the Defendant shall have to explain to the Court the reason for the disparity. (12:13:56 - 12:15:53)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the Court shall accept Defendant's testimony that there is currently the amount of \$23,400.00 on deposit in a prepaid tuition account for the Parties' minor child. Said prepaid tuition account shall remain for Nina's benefit, however, Plaintiff's name shall be added to said account within thirty (30) days and the parties shall be joint owners. Should Nina not go to college, the amount shall be equally divided between the parties. (12:14:50 - 12:15:31)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that there are no community debts to divide. (12:15:56)

27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Plaintiff's maiden name shall be restored to GEORGANN ROSE REGIRO. (12:19:47)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that as the Defendant did not make the ordered spousal support payments in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) for the months of October, 2021, November, 2021, December, 2021, he therefore, owes Plaintiff the amount of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000) in back spousal support which shall be paid from his one-half share of the equity realized from the sale of the real property ordered sold herein. (12:17:38 - 12:18:18)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any amounts outstanding or owed shall be offset from the from the Defendant's assects that are liquidated (12:17:55 - 12:18:16)

shall prepare the proposed findings and conclusions of law and preparing the findings of fact and conclusions of law include the cost of said-findings as a part of the attorney's fees award. Ms. Milano shall have thirty (30) days to prepare the Decree and submit it to Defendant for review and signature. Upon receipt, Defendant shall have ten (10) days to review approve, and/or submit requested changes.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following provision of NRS 125C.0045(6):

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a

parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country. The parties are also put on notice of the following provisions in NRS 125C.0045(8):

If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

- (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.
- (b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Parties are subject to the relocation requirements of NRS 125C.006 & NRS 125C.0065. If joint

26

27

28

or primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and one parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the relocating parent desires to take the child with him or her, the relocating parent shall, before relocating: (a) attempt to obtain the written consent of the non-relocating parent to relocate with the child; and (b) if the non-relocating parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to move and/or for primary physical custody for the purpose of relocating. A parent who desires to relocate with a child has the burden of proving that relocating with the child is in the best interest of the child. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the relocating parent if the court finds that the non-relocating parent refused to consent to the relocating parent's relocation with the child without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or for the purpose of harassing the relocating parent. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the other parent or the permission of the court is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Parties are subject to the provisions of NRS 31A and 125.007 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that either party may request a review of child support pursuant to NRS 125B.145.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that if you want to adjust the amount of child support established in this order, you must file a motion to modify the order with or submit a stipulation to the court. If a motion to modify this order is not filed or a stipulation is not submitted, the child support obligation established in this order will continue until such time as all children who are the subject of this order reach 18 years of age, or, if the youngest child who is subject to this order is still in high school when he or she reaches 18 years of age, when the child graduates from high school or reaches 19 years of age, whichever comes first. Unless the parties agree otherwise in a stipulation, any modification made pursuant to a motion to modify the order will be effective as of the date the motion was filed.

Respectfully submitted by:

MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7121
REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES
A Multi-jurisdictional firm
3365 Pepper Lane, Suite 102
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Attorney for Plaintiff,
GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO

F7A 535 2CF4 F675

Amy M. Mastin form and content District Court Judge

## MARIO ACCOMANDO

8546 S. Procyon St. Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Defendant in Proper Person

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that you have an affirmative duty to update any changes in your personal information by filing a Notice of Change of Address form, which can be found at the following link:

https://www.familylawselfhelpcenter.org/images/fo

# cREZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.

REZA ATHARI\* AVRATED GARYS FINK\*\* AV RATED MARIA L MILANO\*\* JAMES D. MILLS \*\*\*\* ROBERT CARPENTER\*\*\*\*\*

## A Multijurisdictional Law Office

Member of American Immigration Lawyers Association

Main office: Las Vegas, Nevada

3365 Pepper Lane, Suite 102

Las Vegas, NV 89120

Telephone: (702) 727-7777

Fax: Toll free: (702) 458-8508

(800) 565-2030

San Diego CA

3444 Camino Del Rio North Ste 103

San Diego, CA 92108

Telephone:

(619) 284-8811

Fax

(619) 284-8822

OF COUNSEL:

ERIKA M MAYOROUIN\* JEVON I. HATCHER\*

Las Vegas, Nevada

626 South 9th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101

Telephone:

(702) 727-7777

Fax: Toll free: (702) 458-8508

(800) 565-2030

Salt Lake City, Utah

Practice limited to Immigration law 525 W 5300 S, Suite 175

Murray, UT 84123

Telephone:

Fax:

(801) 537-7777 (801) 266-3464

St. George, UT George, UT

Practice limited to Immigration law 1036 East Red Hills Pkwy, Ste D St.

George, UT 84770

Telephone:

Fax:

(435) 656-1136 (435) 656-1145

E-mail:

RezaAthari@atharilaw.com or Atharilaw@earthlink.net

\*Reza Athari

Certified Specialist- Immigration and Nationality Law State Bar of California - Board of Legal Specialization

Admitted in California Admitted in Nevada \*\*\*

Admitted in Nevada & California Admitted in New Jersey Admitted in Illinois

Sent via e-service: ninaa1948@yahoo.com

June 10, 2022

Mario Accomando 8546 Procyon St. Las Vegas, Nevada 89139

Accomando v. Accomando, Case No. D-21-628915-D Re:

Mr. Accomando:

Attached please find the latest REVISED proposed Decree of Divorce to which I have added the mandatory provisions as required by Nevada law and incorporated the Order of the Court filed May 26, 2022. Please bear in mind that you have ten (10) days from today to review the same and forward your written objections or requests for changes. Should you fail to do so, within that time frame, I will submit the Decree of Divorce to the Court without your signature.

Very truly yours,

Maria R. Milano

Maria L. Milano, Esq.

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Georgann Rose Accomando, CASE NO: D-21-628915-D 6 Plaintiff DEPT. NO. Department M 7 VS. 8 Mario Accomando, Defendant. 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Decree of Divorce was served via the court's electronic eFile system to 13 all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 14 Service Date: 6/29/2022 15 State Department statedepartment@atharilaw.com 16 Maria Milano mariamilano@atharilaw.com 17 Mario Accomando ninaa1948@yahoo.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Electronically Filed 7/6/2022 11:08 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 NEOJ MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 7121 REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES 3 A Multi-jurisdictional Law Firm 3365 Pepper Lane, Suite 102 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Tel: (702)727-7777 5 || Fax: (702) 458-8508 Email: mariamilano@atharilaw.com 6 Attorney for Plaintiff, GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO 7 DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO, 11 Plaintiff, 12 CASE NO: D-21-628915-D vs. DEPT NO: M 13 MARIO ACCOMANDO, 14 Defendant. 15 16 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECREE OF DIVORCE 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Decree of Divorce was entered in the 18 19 above-entitled matter on June 29, 2022, a true and correct copy is 20 attached hereto. DATED this 6TH day of July, 2022. 21 By: 22 MILANO, Esq. MARIA L. 23 Nevada Bar # 7121 REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 24 A Multi-Jurisdictional Firm 3365 Pepper Lane, Suite #102 25 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Attorney for Plaintiff 26 GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO 27 28

Case Number: D-21-628915-D

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years, and I am not a party to, nor interested in, this action. On the the day of July, 2022, I served a true and correct copy of NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECREE OF DIVORCE was sent to the party listed below via electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's Odyssey E-File and Serve System, as fellows:

Mario Accomando 8546 Procyon Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 ninaa1948@yahoo.com Defendant in proper person

Employee of Reza Athari& Associates

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Electronically Filed 06/29/2022 4:30 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

1 DECD MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ. Nevada Bar # 7121 2 REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC A multi-jurisdictional law firm 3 3365 Pepper Ln., Suite 102 Las Vegas, NV 89120 4 Tel: (702) 727-7777 5 Fax: (702) 458-8508 mariamilano@atharilaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff, 6 GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO 7

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO,

Plaintiff,

Date of Trial: 3/7/22

Time of Trial: 9:00 a.m.

Defendant,

Defendant,

## DECREE OF DIVORCE

COME NOW the parties, Plaintiff, GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO, represented by her counsel of record, MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ., of REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC and Defendant MARIO ACCOMANDO, appearing in proper person, having attended the Trial on March 7, 2022, the COURT NOTED that according to the terms found in the Trial Management Order, Ms. Milano attempted to meet and confer with Defendant before trial, and Defendant declined. Upon the Court's inquiry, Defendant refused to participate in settlement negotiations.

28

The Court having heard the statements and arguments of the parties and having considered all the papers, pleadings on file and evidence presented herein, FINDS:

# FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

#### 1. ALIMONY:

The Court finds that after considering the evidence and testimony of the Parties, that the Plaintiff is entitled to a lump sum award of alimony. (12:11:13 - 12:11-18)

Pursuant to NRS 125.150 the Court has discretion to award such alimony to either spouse in a specified principal sum or as specified periodic payments as appears just and equitable; and shall, to the extent practicable, make an equal disposition of the community property of the parties, including, without limitation, any community property transferred into an irrevocable trust pursuant to NRS 123.125 over which the court acquires jurisdiction pursuant to NRS 164.010, except that the court may make an unequal disposition of the community property in such proportions as it deems just if the court finds a compelling reason to do so and sets forth in writing the reasons for making the unequal disposition....

In addition to any other factors the court considers relevant in determining whether to award alimony and the amount of such an award, the court shall consider: (a) the financial condition of each spouse; (b) the nature and value of the respective property of each spouse; (c) the contribution of each spouse to any property held by the spouses pursuant to NRS 123.030; (d) the

duration of the marriage; (e) the income, earning capacity, age and health of each spouse; (f) the standard of living during the marriage; (g) the career before the marriage of the spouse who would receive the alimony; (h) the existence of specialized education or training or the level of marketable skills attained by each spouse during the marriage; (i) the contribution of either spouse as a homemaker; the award of property granted by the court in the divorce, other than child support and alimony, to the spouse who would receive the alimony; and (k) the physical and mental condition of each party as it related to the financial condition, health and ability to work of that spouse....

Testimony at trial substantiates that both Parties are of an advanced age, the Plaintiff is 68 years old (9:04:55) and the Defendant is 74 years old (11:17:16); that the Plaintiff did not complete high school (9:05:18); did not obtain any other education or vocational training subsequent to leaving the 11<sup>th</sup> grade (9:05:26); that Plaintiff has not had any meaningful employment since the Parties married in 1995(9:06:10); that the Plaintiff assisted the Defendant in his real estate career (9:06:30-9:06:57), and carried out the responsibilities of a homemaker throughout the Parties marriage (9:26:07). Furthermore the Plaintiff suffers from lingering medical conditions from breast cancer (9:31:30) and given the standard of living the Parties enjoyed during their marriage and the fact that the Defendant is likely concealing monthly income, the Court finds it appropriate to make a lump sum award of

# THE COURT FURTHER FINDS

- 2. That Plaintiff, for more than six weeks immediately preceding the commencement of this action, has been an actual, bona fide resident and domiciliary of the County of Clark, State of Nevada, and during all of said period of time, Plaintiff had and still has the intent to make the State of Nevada her home, residence and domicile for an indefinite period of time; (9:04:47)
- 3. That the parties were married in Las Vegas, Nevada, on or about June 10, 1995, and have been since that date have been husband and wife; (09:04:58 -9:05:10)
- 4. That the Plaintiff and Defendant have one minor child in common, NINA ROSE ACCOMANDO, born July 21, 2006 (Age 15), and the Plaintiff is not now pregnant; (9:20:27-9:20:34)
- 5. That minor child has lived in Nevada for the past six

  (6) months and that the minor child has lived with the Parties in Las

  Vegas, Nevada for the past five (5) years. (9:25:12)
- 6. That any custody and visitation orders made herein are in the best interest of the child (12:01:21 12:01:24);

#### NRS 125C.0035 states:

1. that in any action for determining physical custody of a minor child, the sole consideration of the court is the best interest of the child. If it appears to the court that joint physical custody

would be in the best interest of the child, the court may grant physical custody to the parties jointly.

- 2. Preference must not be given to either parent for the sole reason that the parent is the mother or the father of the child.
- 3. The court shall award physical custody in the following order of preference unless in a particular case the best interest of the child requires otherwise:
  - (a) To both parents jointly pursuant to NRS 125C.0025 or to either parent pursuant to NRS 125C.003. If the court does not enter an order awarding joint physical custody of a child after either parent has applied for joint physical custody, the court shall state in its decision the reason for its denial of the parent's application.
  - (b) To a person or persons in whose home the child has been living and where the child has had a wholesome and stable environment.
  - (c) To any person related within the fifth degree of consanguinity to the child whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child, regardless of whether the relative resides within this State.
  - (d) To any other person or persons whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child.

That in determining the best interest of the child, the

Court must consider:

- (a) The wishes of the child if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to form an intelligent preference as to his or her COURT FINDS Nina is of sufficient age and capacity to form an physical custody.
- (b) Any nomination of a guardian for the child by a parent. COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.
- (c) Which parent is more likely to allow the child to have frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the noncustodial parent. COURT FINDS this factor is neutral.
  - (d) The level of conflict between the parents. COURT FINDS this factor is neutral.
  - (e) The ability of the parents to cooperate to meet the needs of the child. COURT FINDS this factor is neutral.
  - (f) The mental and physical health of the parents. COURT FINDS this factor is neutral.
  - (g) The physical, developmental and emotional needs of the child. COURT FINDS this factor is neutral.
  - (h) The nature of the relationship of the child with each court FINDS this factor is neutral.
  - (i) The ability of the child to maintain a relationship with any sibling. COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.
  - (j) Any history of parental abuse or neglect of the child or a sibling of the child. COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.
  - (k) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has engaged in an act of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or any other person residing with the child. COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.

(1) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has committed any act of abduction against the child or any other child.

COURT FINDS this factor is not applicable.

The Court finds that it would be in the best interest to award the Parties joint legal and joint physical custody of the minor child as the child is of suitable age and discretion to make a determination as to where she would like to reside. (12:01:21 - 12:03:18)

- 7. That the amount of child support ordered herein is in accordance with NAC 425;
- 8. That this Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties over custody of the minor child and subject matter jurisdiction. (12:01:12 12:01:16)

### NOW THEREFORE:

Parties are incompatible in marriage with no chance of reconciliation, the bonds of matrimony now and heretofore existing between Plaintiff and Defendant be, and the same are, hereby wholly dissolved, set aside and forever held for naught, and an absolute Decree of Divorce is hereby granted to the Plaintiff, and each of the parties is hereby restored to the status of single, unmarried persons. (12:19:38-12:19:43)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED: the Court finds that it is in the minor child's best interest for the Plaintiff and Defendant be awarded joint legal and joint physical custody of the minor child. (12:01:21 - 12:01:24)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Nina shall have teenage discretion as to her contact with both parents and Defendant is admonished not to disparage Plaintiff to Nina.

Defendant is instructed to be affirmatively positive in his swith Nina discussion regarding Plaintiff and encourage Nina to spend time with Plaintiff and foster their relationship. (12:01:26 - 12:03:28)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that if the Defendant fails to follow this Order, the Court may be inclined to modify custody in favor of the Plaintiff. (12:03:14 -12:03:18)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that as a result of the orders herein, the parties' shall have similar incomes and assets, therefore, child support will not be ordered at this time. (12:03:37 -12:04:05)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the minor child shall continue to be covered by Medicaid as and for health insurance, and that any unreimbursed medical expenses incurred for the minor child shall be borne equally by the Parties pursuant to the "30/30 Rule" defined as follows:

Documentation of Out-of- pocket Expenses Required: A party who incurs an out-of-pocket expense of medical care is required to document that expense and proof of payment of that expense. A receipt from the health care provider is sufficient to prove the expense so long as the receipt has the name of the children on it and shows payment by the party seeking reimbursement.

Timely Submission of Requests for Reimbursement: The party who has paid or incurred a health care expense for the minor children must submit his or her claim for reimbursement from the insurance company within the deadline required for reimbursement by the insurance policy. If a party fails to timely submit such a claim for reimbursement, and the claim is

denied by the insurance company as untimely, that party shall pay the entire amount which would have been paid by the insurance company as well as the entire expense which would not have been paid by insurance if the claim had been timely filed.

Mitigation of Health Expenses Required: Use of Covered Insurance Providers: Each party has a duty to mitigate medical expenses for the minor children. Absent compelling circumstances, a party should take the minor child to a health care provider covered by the insurance in effect and use preferred or covered providers, if available, in order to minimize the cost of healthcare for the minor child. burden is on the party using a non-covered health care provider to demonstrate that the choice not to use a covered provider or the lowest cost option was reasonably necessary in the particular circumstances of that case. If the court finds the choice of a non-covered or more expensive covered provider was not reasonably necessary, then the court may impose a greater portion of financial responsibility for the cost of that health care to the party who incurred that expense up to the full amount which would have been provided by the lowest cost insurance choice.

Sharing of Insurance Information Required: The party providing insurance coverage for the children has a continuing obligation to provide insurance information to the other party including, but not limited to, copies of policies and policy amendments as they are received, claim forms, preferred provider lists (as modified from time to time), and identification cards. If the insuring party fails to timely supply any of the above items to the other party, and that failure results in a denial of a claim because of the non-insuring parties' failure to comply with the procedures required by the amended or updated insurance policies, the party providing insurance shall be responsible for all healthcare expenses incurred by the minor children for that claim that would have been covered by insurance.

Reimbursement for Out-of-pocket Expenses: If either party seeks reimbursement of an unreimbursed healthcare expense he or she has incurred on behalf of the minor children, he or she must submit such request for reimbursement to the other within thirty(30) days of incurring such expense or being advised by the provider that such expense would not be reimbursed. If that party fails to request such reimbursement within that time period, he or she shall forfeit any right to seek reimbursement. If the other party receives a written request for contribution for an unreimbursed health care expense for

27

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the children, he or she must reimburse the other for fifty (50%) of that expense within thirty(30) days of receipt of the written request for contribution. That party must raise any objection to the request for contribution within the thirty (30) day period after the request for contribution is received or they shall be deemed to have waived such objection. Any objection to the request for contribution must be made in writing. If the other party does not respond to the request within the thirty (30) day period, that party may be assessed attorney's fees if a contempt proceeding or court action is required as a result of the party's failure to pay or timely object.

Sharing Insurance Reimbursement: Any reimbursements for payments made directly by a party or the parties to any healthcare provider to the minor children shall be distributed according to the amount of payment by each party. If a party receives such a reimbursement, that party shall distribute the reimbursement within seven (7) days of its receipt. (By

Order of the Court on 04-18-22)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the eight parcels of land held by the Parties in Arizona shall be listed for sale within the next 60 days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the fair market value of the properties and list them accordingly. That any equity realized from the sale of said properties shall be split equally between the parties. (12:04:55 - 12:05:20)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant is instructed to fully and timely cooperate with the listings, transfers, and sales of the real properties adjudicated herein.(12:05:18 - 12:06:05). Any offer received on any of the real properties ordered to be sold that are within five percent (5%) of their fair market value shall be deemed an acceptable

offer. (12:06:05 -12:06:13). If the Defendant fails to comply with may will this order, the Court will find Defendant in contempt and impose sanctions of attorney's fees should the Plaintiff have to return to Court with representation to enforce this Court's orders. (12:06:06 - 12:06:16)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that should the balloon payments on the Pahrump real properties that were sold to individuals, Patrick Clark and Armen Galstan, be missed, and should said real properties revert in equal shares to the ownership of both Parties, that said properties shall be held by the Parties as tenants in common. (12:06:33 - 12:07:36)

IT IS FUTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any payments received in relation to the prior sale of the Pahrump properties shall be split equally between the parties, the Defendant shall provide proof of payment by providing cancelled checks to the Plaintiff. (12:07:32 - 12:07:39)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant shall pay Plaintiff one-half of any and all lease/rental income received from this date, March 7, 2022, forward within 30 days of receiving it. Defendant shall no longer accept cash payments from his tenants and show proof of rental income received to the Plaintiff. (12:07:42 - 12:08:22)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant owes Plaintiff one-half of the lease/rental income received for the

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant is directed to prepare an accounting for the rent received from the 9607 Lame Horse Drive property for the last two (2) years and provide a copy to Plaintiff's counsel within the next sixty (60) days. Plaintiff is entitled to one half of the amount of the rents collected by the Defendant during that time. (12:08:33-47)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the marital residence located at 8546 Procyon St., Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 shall be listed for sale within sixty (60) days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the property's fair market value and list said property accordingly. As Defendant does not have a lease with the renter, said renter shall pose no interference with the sale. (12:08:58)

The Parties shall maintain said property in such a manner as would maximize the sale price of said property. (12:16:35 - 12:17:12)

That the equity realized from the sale of said property shall be equally split between the parties.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant shall no longer receive cash payments from the tenant at the Procyon property, and shall provide proof of the amounts received to the Plaintiff in the form of cancelled checks from tenant.

of alimony. (12:11:23 - 12:11:29)

27

28

other

 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the undisputed value of the automobile currently in Defendant's possession is \$15,000.00. Plaintiff shall receive one-half of the value of said automobile in the amount \$7,500.00 which shall be paid by the Defendant to the Plaintiff. (12:11:33 -12:11:40)

undisputed value of the household items currently in the Defendant's possessions is \$15,000.00. (9:28:07) Plaintiff shall receive one-half of said value in the amount of \$7,500.00 (9:46:40 - 9:47:15) which shall be paid by the Defendant to Plaintiff from his half of the equity realized from the sale of the real property sold herein. (12:11:42)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Defendant did not dispute the value of the community property and did not dispute Plaintiff's request to her personal property, to include the John Wayne photograph and desk that was constructed for her by her grandfather as requested. (12:11:50) Plaintiff shall, therefore, retrieve said items within thirty (30) days of establishing residence. However, Plaintiff may retrieve the photograph immediately. (12:11:59 -12:12:16) Plaintiff shall keep the wedding ring. (12:18:18-12:18:40)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that based on Defendant's failure to comply with EDCR 7.60, EDCR 16.2, failure to comply with discovery and the Trial Management Order, and failure to participate with this litigation, and failure to produce any

6

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

.26 27

28

documents in support of his case, Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff's attorney's fees in the amount of \$ 23,285.00 The fees awarded are reasonable based upon the Court's review of counsel's Plaintiff's counsel shall submit a Brunzell Affidavit and

Memorandum of Fees and Costs. (12:12:37 - 12:13:53) and given Defendant's total and complete lack of participation in the litigation multiplying the fees incurred by

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the bank account established by the Defendant for the minor child is community property. Therefore, one half of the account balance as of March 7, 2022 shall be transferred to the Plaintiff within thirty (30) days. The Court shall accept the Defendant's testimony that said account contains \$65,0000. Defendant shall provide a copy of the March, 2022 bank statement to Plaintiff's counsel within the next thirty (30) days. That should there be less than \$65,000 in said account, the Defendant shall have to explain to the Court the reason for the disparity. (12:13:56 - 12:15:53)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that the Court shall accept Defendant's testimony that there is currently the amount of \$23,400.00 on deposit in a prepaid tuition account for the Parties' minor child. Said prepaid tuition account shall remain for Nina's benefit, however, Plaintiff's name shall be added to said account within thirty (30) days and the parties shall be joint owners. Should Nina not go to college, the amount shall be equally divided between the parties. (12:14:50 - 12:15:31)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that there are no community debts to divide. (12:15:56)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: that Plaintiff's maiden name shall be restored to GEORGANN ROSE REGIRO. (12:19:47)

Defendant did not make the ordered spousal support payments in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) for the months of October, 2021, November, 2021, December, 2021, he therefore, owes Plaintiff the amount of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000) in back spousal support which shall be paid from his one-half share of the equity realized from the sale of the real property ordered sold herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any amounts outstanding or owed shall be offset from the from the Defendant's assects that are liquidated (12:17:55 - 12:18:16)

shall prepare the proposed findings and conclusions of law and preparing the findings of fact and conclusions of law include the cost of said findings as a part of the attorney's fees award. Ms. Milano shall have thirty (30) days to prepare the Decree and submit it to Defendant for review and signature. Upon receipt, Defendant shall have ten (10) days to review approve, and/or submit requested changes.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following provision of NRS

125C.0045(6):

PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a

parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country. The parties are also put on notice of the following provisions in NRS 125C.0045(8):

If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

- (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.
- (b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Parties are subject to the relocation requirements of NRS 125C.006 & NRS 125C.0065. If joint

27

28

or primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and one parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the relocating parent desires to take the child with him or her, the relocating parent shall, before relocating: (a) attempt to obtain the written consent of the non-relocating parent to relocate with the child; and (b) if the non-relocating parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to move and/or for primary physical custody for the purpose of relocating. A parent who desires to relocate with a child has the burden of proving that relocating with the child is in the best interest of the child. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the relocating parent if the court finds that the non-relocating parent refused to consent to the relocating parent's relocation with the child without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or for the purpose of harassing the relocating parent. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the other parent or the permission of the court is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Parties are subject to the provisions of NRS 31A and 125.007 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that either party may request a review of child support pursuant to NRS 125B.145.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that if you want to adjust the amount of child support established in this order, you must file a motion to modify the order with or submit a stipulation to the court. If a motion to modify this order is not filed or a stipulation is not submitted, the child support obligation established in this order will continue until such time as all children who are the subject of this order reach 18 years of age, or, if the youngest child who is subject to this order is still in high school when he or she reaches 18 years of age, when the child graduates from high school or reaches 19 years of age, whichever comes first. Unless the parties agree otherwise in a stipulation, any modification made pursuant to a motion to modify the order will be reflective as of the date the motion was filed.

Respectfully submitted by:

MARIA L. MILANO, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7121
REZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES
A Multi-jurisdictional firm
3365 Pepper Lane, Suite 102
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
Attorney for Plaintiff,
GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO

F7A 535 2CF4 F675

Amy M. Mastin form and content

Application form and content

MARIO ACCOMANDO

8546 S. Procyon St. Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Defendant in Proper Person

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that you have an affirmative duty to update any changes in your personal information by filing a Notice of Change of Address form, which can be found at the following link:

https://www.familylawselfhelpcenter.org/images/fo

# cREZA ATHARI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.

REZA ATHARIS AVRATED GARYS FINK\*\* AV RATED MARIA L MILANO\*\* JAMES D. MILLS \*\*\*\* ROBERT CARPENTER\*\*\*\*\*

#### A Multijurisdictional Law Office

Member of American Immigration Lawyers Association

Main office: Las Vegas, Nevada

3365 Pepper Lane, Suite 102 Las Vegas, NV 89120

Telephone: Fax:

(702) 727-7777 (702) 458-8508

Toll free:

(800) 565-2030

San Diego CA

3444 Camino Del Rio North Ste 103

San Diego, CA 92108 Telephone:

(619) 284-8811

(619) 284-8822

OF COUNSEL:

ERIKA M MAYORQUIN\* JEVON I. HATCHER\*

Las Vegas, Nevada

626 South 9th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101

Telephone: Fax:

(702) 727-7777 (702) 458-8508

Toll free:

(800) 565-2030

Salt Lake City, Utah

Practice limited to Immigration law 525 W 5300 S, Suite 175 Murray, UT 84123

Telephone:

Fax:

(801) 537-7777 (801) 266-3464 St. George, UT George, UT

Practice limited to Immigration law 1036 East Red Hills Pkwy, Ste D St.

George, UT 84770

Telephone:

(435) 656-1136

Fax:

\*\*\*\*

(435) 656-1145

RezuAthariantharilan.com on Atharilan acarthlink.net E-mail:

\*Reza Athari Certified Specialist-Immigration and Nationality Law State Bur of California - Board of Legal Specialization

Admitted in California Admitted in Nevada
Admitted in Nevada &California Admitted in New Jersey Admitted in Illinois

Sent via e-service: ninaa1948@yahoo.com

June 10, 2022

Mario Accomando 8546 Procyon St. Las Vegas, Nevada 89139

Accomando v. Accomando, Case No. D-21-628915-D Re:

Mr. Accomando:

Attached please find the latest REVISED proposed Decree of Divorce to which I have added the mandatory provisions as required by Nevada law and incorporated the Order of the Court filed May 26, 2022. Please bear in mind that you have ten (10) days from today to review the same and forward your written objections or requests for changes. Should you fail to do so, within that time frame, I will submit the Decree of Divorce to the Court without your signature.

Very truly yours,

Maria R. Milano Maria L. Milano, Esq.

**CSERV** 

## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Georgann Rose Accomando,

CASE NO: D-21-628915-D

Plaintiff

DEPT. NO. Department M

vs.

Mario Accomando, Defendant.

**AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Decree of Divorce was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 6/29/2022

State Department statedepartment@atharilaw.com

Maria Milano mariamilano@atharilaw.com

Mario Accomando ninaa1948@yahoo.com

D-21-628915-D

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint COURT MINUTES August 03, 2021

Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff

vs.

Mario Accomando, Defendant.

August 03, 2021 8:00 AM Minute Order

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M. **COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, not present Mario Accomando, Defendant, not present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

Maria Milano, Attorney, not present

Pro Se

# **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

#### - MINUTE ORDER - NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedures in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

COURT FINDS on July 14, 2021, an Order for Family Mediation Center Services and an Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance With NRCP 16.2 were filed and set for a hearing on September 9, 2021 at 11:30 a.m.

COURT FINDS on July 23, 2021, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Temporary Award of Interim Spousal Support and for Attorney s Fees, which was set for a hearing on September 14, 2021 at 10:00 a.m.

Therefore, in the interest of judicial economy, COURT ORDERS the September 9, 2021 hearings shall be CONTINUED to September 14, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. to be heard simultaneously with the Plaintiff's Motion.

A copy of the Court's minute order shall be provided to Plaintiff's attorney and Defendant via e-mail, if an e-mail address is on record with the Court; if no e-mail address is available the minute order

| PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 1 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|

shall be mailed to the physical address of record.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order emailed to the parties/counsel. (kw 8/3/21)

## **INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

## **FUTURE HEARINGS:**

| PRINT DATE: 08/31/2022 Page 2 of 18 Minutes Date: August 03/ | , 2021 |
|--|--------|
|--|--------|

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

D-21-628915-D Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff vs.
Mario Accomando, Defendant.

**September 14,** 2021

10:00 AM

**All Pending Motions** 

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M.

**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 04

**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, present

Maria Milano, Attorney, present

Mario Accomando, Defendant, not present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

Pro Se

- MOTION: MOTION FOR TEMPORARY AWARD OF INTERIM SPOUSAL SUPPORT AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES... CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE... RETURN HEARING: FMC

Plaintiff and Ms. Milano present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the Bluejeans application.

Court reviewed the case. Court noted Defendant not present. Court further noted Defendant's Motions recently filed, to continue the matter, which were not served upon Plaintiff's counsel.

Ms. Milano stated concerns with Defendant not complying with any of the court's orders. Ms. Milano advised Plaintiff is in dire need of interim financial support. Ms. Milano stated the parties have a rental property, which the rent is \$1,300.00 per month. Ms. Milano requested the rental income be paid directly to Plaintiff.

Court noted Defendant's refusal to participate, failure to respond to Plaintiff's motion and file his financial disclosure form. Court advised inclined to assume Defendant agrees with the motion.

Ms. Milano further requested attorney's fees. Ms. Milano advised the parties have multiple properties

PRINT DATE: 08/31/2022 Page 3 of 18 Minutes Date: August 03, 2021

and requested the sale of properties to pay attorney's fees.

Ms. Milano further requested temporary visitation. Court stated concerns with the requested relief not being before the court.

Discussion regarding setting the matter for trial.

COURT ORDERED the following;

Plaintiff's Motion shall be GRANTED;

Plaintiff shall receive \$1,000.00 per month in temporary support;

Should there be no contract barring or precluding Plaintiff receiving the payment, Plaintiff shall receive the rental income from the property located at 9607 Lane Horse Drive. The tenant shall pay the rent to Plaintiff directly. Plaintiff shall keep track of the excess money received from the rental income;

Ms. Milano shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and costs, with Brunzell factors, as it pertains to today's Motion;

Matter set for a PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE on November 16, 2021 at 1:30 pm.

Ms. Milano shall prepare the Order from today's hearing.

#### **INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

#### **FUTURE HEARINGS:**

| PRINT DATE:   08/31/2022   Page 4 of 18   Minutes Date:   August 03, 2021 |  |
|---|--|
|---|--|

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

D-21-628915-D Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff
vs.
Mario Accomando, Defendant.

October 12, 2021 4:00 PM Minute Order

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M. **COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, not present Mario Accomando, Defendant, not present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

Maria Milano, Attorney, not present

Pro Se

# **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

#### - MINUTE ORDER- NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action.

COURT FINDS there are presently four matters calendared in the above-entitled case: Defendant s Motion to Determine Mental Health of Plaintiff, filed August 16, 2021, set for decision in chambers October 15, 2021; Defendant s Motion to Continue Hearing, filed September 13, 2021, set for decision in chambers November 12, 2021; the Pre-Trial Conference, set by the Court for November 16, 2021 and Plaintiff s Motion for an Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and the Imposition of Sanctions for Defendant s Failure to Comply with NRCP 16.2 Mandatory Disclosure Requirements, and for his Failure to Make Ordered Spousal Support Payments and for Attorney s Fees, filed October 8, 2021 and set for hearing November 30, 2021.

COURT FINDS as to Defendant's Motion to Determine Mental Health of Plaintiff, there is no proof of service of the Motion on Plaintiff. COURT FURTHER FINDS the Motion was not signed by Defendant, nor was the Verification signed. COURT FURTHER FINDS it appears from the content of the Motion that Defendant is seeking relief from this Court pursuant to NRS Chapter 433A.200,

|  |  | PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 5 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |
|--|--|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
|--|--|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|

which allows for certain individuals to petition the Court to Order the involuntary admission of others to outpatient mental health services or inpatient facilities under certain, very limited circumstances. COURT FURTHER FINDS this is a cause of action that stands alone and would not be considered by this Court within a divorce proceeding. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS this Motion is vacated from the Court's October 15, 2021 chambers calendar.

COURT FINDS as to the Motion to Continue Hearing, there is no proof of service of the Motion on Plaintiff. COURT FURTHER FINDS the Motion seeks to continue a hearing that was set for September 14, 2021 on Plaintiff's Motion for Award of Interim Spousal Support and for Attorney s Fees. COURT FURTHER FINDS the hearing set for September 14, 2021 was heard and adjudicated in Defendant's absence due to his failure to timely respond to Plaintiff's Motion that was properly served on him July 29, 2021. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS this Motion is vacated from the Court's November 12, 2021 chambers calendar as moot.

COURT FINDS as to the October 8, 2021 Motion for Order to Show Cause, to the extent the motion seeks to address Defendant's failure to comply with NRCP 16.2 mandatory disclosure requirements, that requested relief must first be brought before the Discovery Commissioner pursuant to NRCP 16.2(k). COURT FINDS to the extent the Motion seeks to enforce this Court's order for interim spousal support, for purposes of judicial economy, the matter will be heard at the same time as the Pre-Trial Conference, November 16, 2021. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS the Motion currently set for November 30, 2021 shall be re-set to the time of the parties Pre-Trial Conference, November 16, 2021 at 1:30 p.m. COURT FURTHER ORDERS any discovery related issues, i.e., failure to comply with mandatory disclosure requirements, shall first be brought before the Discovery Commissioner for Report and Recommendations.

A copy of the Court's minute order shall be provided to Plaintiff's attorney and Defendant via e-mail, if an e-mail address is on record with the Court; if no e-mail address is available, the minute order shall be mailed to the physical address of record.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order provided to the parties/counsel electronically. (kw 10/12/21)

#### **INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

#### **FUTURE HEARINGS:**

| PRINT | DATE: 08/31/2022 | Page 6 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |  |
|-------|------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
|-------|------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--|

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint COURT MINUTES November 16, 2021

D-21-628915-D Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff vs.
Mario Accomando, Defendant.

November 16, 2021

1:30 PM

**All Pending Motions** 

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M.

**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 04

**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, present

Maria Milano, Attorney, present Pro Se

Mario Accomando, Defendant, not present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- PRE TRIAL CONFERENCE...MOTION: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT AND THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS FOR DEFENDANT'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH NRCP 16.2 MANDATORY DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS, AND FOR HIS FAILURE TO MAKE ORDERED SPOUSAL SUPPORT PAYMENTS AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

All parties present via VIDEO CONFERENCE through the Bluejeans application.

Court noted Defendant's failure to appear for today's hearing. Court further noted Defendant's continued lack of compliance in the proceedings. Court further noted Defendant's appeal. Ms. Milano advised there is also a Motion pending in front of the Discovery Commissioner. Court further noted Plaintiff's request for an Order to Show Cause for Defendant's failure to pay spousal support.

## COURT ORDERED the following;

Ms. Milano shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs, from the September 14, 2021 hearing, with Brunzell factors:

|             | / /        |              |               | 4 00 0004       |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 7 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |
|             | ,,         | 10           |               |                 |

Attorney's fees shall be DEFERRED to the Order to Show Cause hearing;

An Order to Show Cause shall be GRANTED for Defendant's for contempt. Matter set for January 13, 2022 at 1:30 PM. Matter shall be conducted via VIDEO CONFERENCE;

Matter set for a NON-JURY TRIAL on February 9, 2022 at 9:00 AM. Department M shall prepare and Issue a Trial Management Order;

#### **INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** Jan 13, 2022 1:30PM Order to Show Cause

Courtroom 04 Mastin, Amy M.

Feb 09, 2022 9:00AM Non-Jury Trial Courtroom 04 Mastin, Amy M.

| PRINT DATE:   08/31/2022   Page 8 of 18   Minutes Date:   August 03, 2021 | PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 8 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |
|---|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
|---|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|

# DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**COURT MINUTES** November 23, 2021 **Divorce - Complaint** D-21-628915-D Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff Mario Accomando, Defendant.

November 23, 2021

1:30 PM

**Minute Order** 

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M.

**COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Sierra Stepp

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, not present Mario Accomando, Defendant, not present

Maria Milano, Attorney, not present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

Pro Se

- The court has considered PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DEFENDANT TO FILE A FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE FORM, COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF NRCP 16.2; ANSWER OUTSTANDING WRITTEN DISCOVERY AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES (motion). Defendant has failed to file a timely opposition to the Motion to Compel. Pursuant to EDCR 5.503, Defendant is deemed to admit that the Motion to Compel is meritorious and to have consented to the court granting the same. The Motion is therefore Granted. All discovery requested by the Motion is compelled and will be provided by defendant on or before December 10, 2021. All objections except as to privilege are waived. A negative inference will automatically issue for any and all disclosures required by NRCP 16.2 that are not disclosed by Defendant by December 10, 2021 would not have supported Defendant's position in this matter. The District Court will determine the exact wording of the inference at the time of trial in the matter.

Plaintiff's request for fees is preliminarily granted under Rule 37(a)(5), subject to proof. Plaintiff may file a supplemental memorandum of fees and costs, including the Brunzell factors, disparity in income, and following Cadle v. Woods Erickson. ALL FACTS, INCLUDING THOSE REGARDING THE BRUNZELL FACTORS, MUST BE INCLUDED IN A SEPARATE AFFIDAVIT. Plaintiff may submit a redacted timesheet seeking fees for time spent attempting to resolve the dispute which is the

PRINT DATE: 08/31/2022 Page 9 of 18 Minutes Date: August 03, 2021

subject of the Motion to Compel, drafting pleadings (including supplemental pleadings) and a proposed DCRR. Plaintiff's supplemental pleading is due November 29, 2021. An untimely memorandum will not be considered. Defendant may respond to the memorandum of fees and costs on or before December 6, 2021.

Plaintiff will submit a single report and recommendation on or before December 10, 2021 regarding both the Motion to Compel and the request for fees. As to the request for fees portion of the DCRR, Plaintiff will use the form DCRR regarding attorney fee awards found at http://www.clarkcountycourts.us/departments/discovery/. The court will set a status hearing on December 15, 2021 at 1:30 to determine if the report and recommendation has been submitted. The hearing presently set for December 1, 2021 at 1:00 PM is hereby vacated.

#### **INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** Jan 13, 2022 1:30PM Order to Show Cause

Courtroom 04 Mastin, Amy M.

Feb 09, 2022 9:00AM Non-Jury Trial Courtroom 04 Mastin, Amy M.

| PRINT DATE: 08/31/2022 Page 10 of 18 Minutes Date: August 03, | 2021 |
|---|------|
|---|------|

# DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint** 

**COURT MINUTES** 

January 13, 2022

D-21-628915-D

Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff

Mario Accomando, Defendant.

January 13, 2022

1:30 PM

Order to Show Cause

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M.

COURTROOM: Courtroom 04

**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, present

Mario Accomando, Defendant, present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

Maria Milano, Attorney, present

Pro Se

# **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

#### - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

All parties present via VIDEO/TELEPHONE CONFERENCE through the Bluejeans application.

Court reviewed the case and noted Defendant's failure to comply with the court's orders, which caused the matter to be set for today's Order to Show Cause.

Plaintiff and Defendant SWORN and TESTIFIED. Defendant CANVASSED by the Court as to his failure to comply with his spousal support obligation.

Ms. Milano stated concerns with Defendant's lack of intent to comply with the court's orders and requested his Answer be stricken. Defendant requested the parties be sent to mediation to work out any issues.

Court noted the parties have an upcoming trial set in February.

COURT ORDERED the following;

| PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 11 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |
|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
|             | //         |               |               | 1 0             |

#### D-21-628915-D

- 1. Defendant's shall be held in CONTEMPT for failure to comply with his court ordered spousal support obligation. Any penalties shall be STAYED pending his compliance with the court's orders;
- 2. Defendant shall make two (2) payments of \$1,000.00, by money order, to Ms. Milano's office, located at 3365 Pepper Lane, Suite 102, Las Vegas, NV 89120. The first payment shall be due by January 24, 2022 and the second shall be due prior to February 9, 2022;
- 3. Ms. Milano shall prepare the Order from today's hearing.

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:** 

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** 

| PRINT DATE: 08/31/2022 Page 12 of 18 Minutes Date: August 03, 2 | 2021 |
|---|------|
|---|------|

# DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint** 

**COURT MINUTES** 

February 09, 2022

D-21-628915-D

Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff

Mario Accomando, Defendant.

February 09, 2022

9:00 AM

**Non-Jury Trial** 

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M.

**COURTROOM:** Courtroom 04

**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, present

Mario Accomando, Defendant, not present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

Maria Milano, Attorney, present

Pro Se

# **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

# - NON-JURY TRIAL

Court noted Defendant's appeal on file and advised the matter will need to be taken off calendar pending the decision from the supreme court.

COURT ORDERED the matter taken OFF CALENDAR. Matter shall be reset upon decision of the Appeal by the Supreme Court.

#### **INTERIM CONDITIONS:**

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** 

Feb 28, 2022 7:00AM Motion

Motion For Mediation Chambers Mastin, Amy M.

| PRINT DATE:   08/31/2022   Page 13 of 18   Minutes Date:   A | PRIN | JT DATE: 08/ | /31/2022 | Page 13 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |
|--|------|--------------|----------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
|--|------|--------------|----------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|

# DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**COURT MINUTES Divorce - Complaint** 

February 28, 2022

D-21-628915-D

Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff

Mario Accomando, Defendant.

February 28, 2022

7:00 AM

Motion

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M.

**COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kendall Williams

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, not present

Mario Accomando, Defendant, not present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

Maria Milano, Attorney, not present

Pro Se

## **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

#### - MINUTE ORDER- NO HEARING HELD AND NO APPEARANCES

NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 state the procedure in district courts shall be administered to secure efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action. Pursuant to EDCR 2.23(c), the judge may consider a motion on its merits at any time, with or without oral argument, and grant or deny it.

EDCR 5.206(b) states that a copy of any documents filed MUST be served on all other parties to an action within 3 days of submission for filing.

#### COURT FINDS:

- 1. On January 12, 2022, Defendant filed a Motion to Enter Mediation (Motion);
- 2. The Motion was set for a decision without a hearing on February 28, 2022, in chambers; and
- 3. To date, Defendant has failed to file proof that the Motion has been served on Plaintiff's attorney.

Therefore, due to the service defect, COURT ORDERS the hearing scheduled for February 28, 2022 is OFF CALENDAR.

COURT NOTES, this matter is set for an in-person Trial on March 7, 2022 at 9:00 a.m.

| PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 14 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |
|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
|             |            |               |               |                 |

A copy of the Court's minute order shall be provided to Defendant via email.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order provided to the parties/counsel electronically. (kw 3/2/22)

**INTERIM CONDITIONS:** 

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** 

| PRINT DATE: 08/31/2022   Page 15 of 18   Minutes Date:   August 03, | 2021 |
|---|------|
|---|------|

# DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**Divorce - Complaint** 

**COURT MINUTES** 

March 07, 2022

D-21-628915-D

Georgann Rose Accomando, Plaintiff

Mario Accomando, Defendant.

March 07, 2022

9:00 AM

Non-Jury Trial

**HEARD BY:** Mastin, Amy M.

COURTROOM: Courtroom 04

**COURT CLERK:** Blanca Madrigal

**PARTIES:** 

Georgann Accomando, Plaintiff, present

Mario Accomando, Defendant, present

Nina Accomando, Subject Minor, not present

Maria Milano, Attorney, present

Pro Se

# **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

## - NON-JURY TRIAL

According to the terms found in the Trial Management Order, Ms. Milano attempted to meet and confer with Defendant before trial, and Defendant declined.

Upon the Court's inquiry, Defendant refused to participate in settlement negotiations.

Plaintiff and Defendant swore and testified.

Opening statements presented by the Defendant. Ms. Milano waived opening statements.

Testimony and evidence presented; see worksheets.

Closing arguments.

COURT-ORDERED:

| PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 16 of 18 | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021 |
|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
|             |            | 1 0           |               |                 |

- 1) Based on the best interest standard, Plaintiff and Defendant shall have JOINT LEGAL and JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor child, Nina Rose Accomando;
- 2) Nina shall have TEENAGE DISCRETION as to her contact with both parents;
- 3) Defendant ADMONISHED not to disparage Plaintiff to Nina. Defendant instructed to affirmatively positive in his discussion regarding Plaintiff and encourage Nina to spend time with Plaintiff and foster their relationship. If the Defendant fails to follow this Order, the Court may be inclined to modify custody to the Plaintiff;
- 4) According to the parties' similar incomes, child support will not be ordered at this time;
- 5) The Arizona properties shall be listed for sale within the next 60 days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the fair market value of the properties and list them accordingly. Defendant instructed to fully cooperate and participate with the listings and sale of the properties. If the Defendant fails, the Court will find Defendant in contempt and sanction attorney's fees;
- 6) If the balloon payment on the mortgages defaults, both parties shall be equally responsible for one-half of the debt as tenants in common;
- 7) Defendant shall pay Plaintiff one-half of the lease/rental income received from this date, 3/07/2022, and forward. Defendant shall no longer accept cash payments from his tenants and show proof of rental income received;
- 8) Defendant owes Plaintiff one-half of the lease/rental income received for the last two (2) years. Defendant directed to prepare an accounting for the rent received and owed from the tenants for the last two (2) years and provide a copy to Plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff is entitled to one half of the amount, and said funds shall be REDUCED TO JUDGMENT against the Defendant;
- 9) The marital residence shall be listed for sale within sixty (60) days. Plaintiff will choose the Realtor, and the Realtor will determine the property's fair market value and list accordingly. Defendant does not have a lease with the renter; therefore, there shall be no interference with the sale. Defendant shall not receive cash payments from the renter and shall provide proof of the amounts received. Defendant shall pay one-half of the rent income from the residence to Plaintiff pending close of escrow;
- 10) Plaintiff's counsel shall include in the final order an alimony analysis. The Court will award a lump sum amount of alimony to Plaintiff. Ms. Milano shall leave a blank in the order for the Court to enter an amount. Plaintiff and Defendant informed that rental income is a division of community property and will not be considered as a part of alimony;
- 11) The car is valued at \$15,000.00. Plaintiff shall receive one half of the value of \$7,500.00;

| PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 17 of 18                           | Minutes Date: | August 03, 2021  |
|-------------|------------|---|---------------|------------------|
|             | 00/01/20   | 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 1,111100000   | 1100800000, =0=1 |

- 12) Defendant did not dispute the value of the community property and did not dispute Plaintiff's request to her personal property, to include the John Wayne photograph and furniture and furnishings as requested. Plaintiff shall retrieve all items within thirty (30) days of establishing residence. However, Plaintiff may retrieve the photograph immediately;
- 13) Based on Defendant's failure to comply with EDCR 7.6, EDCR 16.2, failure to comply with discovery and the Trial Management Order, and failure to participate with this litigation, Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff ATTORNEY'S FEES. The Court directed counsel to submit a Brunzell Affidavit and Memorandum of Fees and Costs leaving a blank in order for the Court to enter an amount;
- 14) Nina's account is community property. As of today's date, 3/07/2022, the account balance shall be equally divided, and Plaintiff shall receive her one-half balance within thirty (30) days. Defendant shall provide a copy of the bank statement to Plaintiff's counsel. The Court shall accept Defendant's testimony of \$65,000.00 in the account and \$23,400.00 of prepaid tuition;
- 15) The prepaid tuition shall remain in Nina's name, and the parties shall be joint owners. If Nina does not go to college, the amount will be equally reverted to the parties;
- 16) There are no community debts to divide;
- 17) Plaintiff shall keep the wedding ring. Plaintiff's maiden name shall be RESTORED;
- 18) DIVORCE GRANTED. Ms. Milano shall prepare the proposed findings and conclusions of law and include the cost of said findings as a part of the attorney's fees award. Ms. Milano shall have thirty (30) days to prepare the Decree and submit it to Defendant for review and signature. Upon receipt, Defendant shall have ten (10) days to review..

| T | JT | $\mathbf{E}$ | RI | M | C( | )N | Dľ | ΓI | ) N | IS: |
|---|----|--------------|----|---|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|
|   |    |              |    |   |    |    |    |    |     |     |

**FUTURE HEARINGS:** 

| PRINT DATE: | 08/31/2022 | Page 18 of 18                           | Minutes Date:              | August 03, 2021   |
|-------------|------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------|
|             | 00/01/20   | 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | TITLE COLUCTION TO SECULIA | 1100,000 00, =0=1 |

# GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO V. MARIO ACCOMANDO CASE NO. D-21-628915-D

# PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBITS

|        | No: | EXHIBIT/ DOCUMENT   | Bates<br>No(s).             | OFFER   | OBJ. | ADMIT   |
|--------|-----|---|-----------------------------|---------|------|---------|
| 1      |     | Plaintiff, Georgann Rose<br>Accomando's most recent<br>Social Security Benefit<br>Statement   | PLTF00001<br>PLTF00793      |         |      |         |
| 2<br>B |     | Parties' Joint US Bank latest<br>Transaction History and<br>statements from April 15,<br>2021 to July 15,2021 for<br>checking account no.<br>xxxxx1629            | PLTF00002<br>-<br>PLTF00013 | 3.07.22 | NO   | 307-22  |
| 3      | 30  | Parties' Joint US Bank latest<br>Transaction History and<br>statements from April 15,<br>2021 to July 15,2021 for<br>checking account no.<br>xxxxx5767            | PLTF00014<br>               | 3.07-22 | GN   | 3.67.22 |
| 4      |     | Clark County of Nevada's Assessor's page property assessment report for the Parties' community property located at 8546 Procyon St., Las Vegas, Nevada 89139      | PLTF00025<br>-<br>PLTF00028 |         |      |         |
| 5      |     | Clark County of Nevada's Assessor's page property assessment report for the Parties' community property located at 9607 Lame Horse Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 | PLTF00029<br>-<br>PLTF00031 |         |      |         |
| 6      |     | Mohave County of Arizona list of properties of the Parties', and real property assessment reports for the nine (9) listed properties                              | PLTF00032<br>-<br>PLTF00041 |         |      |         |

| 7 | 3  | Nye County of Nevada's Assessor's page property assessment report for the Parties' community property located at 300 N. Leslie St., Pahrump Nevada recently sold by Defendant, Mario Accomando | PLTF00042<br>-<br>PLTF00045 | 3-67-22 | No | 3-07-22 |
|---|----|--|-----------------------------|---------|----|---------|
|   | 8  | Parties' Bank of the West<br>statements from January 12,<br>2017 to October 12, 2020 for<br>checking account no.<br>xxxxx9793  | PLTF00046<br>-<br>PLTF00227 |         |    |         |
|   | 9  | Parties' Huntington Bank<br>statements from January, 2017<br>to February, 2017 for<br>checking account no.<br>xxxxx0703  | PLTF00278<br>-<br>PLTF00286 |         |    |         |
| 2 | 10 | Parties' Huntington Bank<br>statements from February,<br>2017 to September, 2021 for<br>checking account no.<br>xxxxx0796  | PLTF00287<br>-<br>PLTF00428 | 307.22  | NO | 3-07-22 |
|   | 11 | Parties' US Bank statements<br>from December 24, 2018 to<br>October 19, 2021 for checking<br>account no. xxxxx5767   | PLTF00429<br>-<br>PLTF00516 |         |    |         |
| 3 | 12 | Parties' US Bank statements<br>from June 28, 2021 to<br>September 27, 2021 for<br>checking account no.<br>xxxxx9862  | PLTF00517<br>-<br>PLTF00526 | 3-07-22 | NU | 307-12  |
| 3 | 13 | Parties' US Bank statements from December 15, 2016 to October 15, 2021 for checking account no. xxxxx1629  | PLTF00527<br>-<br>PLTF00747 | 3-07.22 | No | 3.67-22 |
|   | 14 | Defendant Mario Accomando's<br>US Bank statements from<br>April, 2018 to April, 2019<br>for Kroger Rewards Mastercard<br>account no. xxxxx8894   | PLTF00748<br>-<br>PLTF00762 |         |    |         |
|   | 15 | Defendant Mario Accomando's<br>US Bank statements from<br>August, 2017 to March, 2018<br>for Kroger Rewards Visa<br>account no. xxxxx7535  | PLTF00763<br>-<br>PLTF00791 |         |    |         |

TE

| 16<br>B    | Broker price opinion prepared<br>by Arizona real estate agent<br>Terry Conger for the parties'<br>eight properties in Kingman,<br>Golden Valley area, Arizona | PLTF00792                   | 3-07-22 | No  | 3.07-22 |
|------------|---|-----------------------------|---------|-----|---------|
| 17         | Plaintiff's 2021 Form SSA<br>1099- Social Security Benefit<br>Statement   | PLTF00794                   |         |     |         |
| 18         | Plaintiff's US Bank uni-<br>statement from December 8,<br>2021 to January 7, 2022 for<br>checking account no.<br>xxxxx0598                                    | PLTF00795<br>-<br>PLTF00798 |         |     |         |
| 19         | Plaintiff's US Bank Visa card statement from October 27, 2021 to November 26, 2021 for credit account no. xxxxx7084   | PLTF00799                   |         |     |         |
| 20         | Plaintiff's Citibank<br>statement from November 17,<br>2021 to December 16, 2021 for<br>checking account no.<br>xxxxx5130                                     | PLTF00800<br>-<br>PLTF00803 |         |     |         |
| 21         | Plaintiff's Verizon bill payment  | PLTF00804                   |         |     |         |
| 22         | Plaintiff's bill from Dish dated September 19, 2021   | PLTF00805                   |         |     |         |
| 23         | Redfin estimate for the Parties' community property located at 8546 Procyon St., Las Vegas, Nevada 89139  | PLTF00806                   |         |     |         |
| 7 <u>B</u> | Redfin estimate for the Parties' community property located at 9607 Lame Horse Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89123   | PLTF00807                   | 307-22  | Yes | No .    |
|            |   |                             |         |     |         |



# EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

MARIO ACCOMANDO 8546 PROCYON ST. LAS VEGAS, NV 89139

> DATE: August 31, 2022 CASE: D-21-628915-D

**RE CASE**: GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO vs. MARIO ACCOMANDO

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: August 29, 2022

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

- \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)\*\*

   If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.

   \$24 − District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)\*\*

   \$500 − Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)\*\*
   NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
  - Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.
- ☐ Case Appeal Statement
  - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- □ Order
- ☐ Notice of Entry of Order

#### NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

## Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.

# **Certification of Copy**

| State of Nevada        | 7 | QQ. |
|------------------------|---|-----|
| <b>County of Clark</b> |   | SS: |

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; COVER SHEET; COVER SHEET; DECREE OF DIVORCE; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECREE OF DIVORCE; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

MARIO ACCOMANDO,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: D-21-628915-D

Dept No: M

**IN WITNESS THEREOF,** I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 31 day of August 2022.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk