1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Matthew Pruitt Nevada Bar #12474 D. Andrew Lajoie Nevada Bar #14901 KIRTON McCONKIE 301 North 200 East, Suite 3A St. George, Utah 84770 Telephone: (435) 574-5672 Fax: (385) 501-4989 mpruitt@kmclaw.com alajoie@kmclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	Electronically Filed 9/6/2022 1:19 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT Electronically Filed Sep 14 2022 04:15 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court	
9 10	DISTRIC	ΓCOURT	
11	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADA	
12 13 14	MMV INVESTMENTS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Plaintiff, vs.	CASE NO.: A-21-844680-B DEPT. NO.: XVI	
15 16 17 18	DRIBBLE DUNK, LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company; ALL NET, LLC, a Nevada limited-liability company; JACKIE L. ROBINSON, an individual; DOES I through X inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive,	NOTICE OF APPEAL	
19	Defendants.		
20	NOTICE O	F APPEAL	
21 22	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff MMV INVESTMENTS LLC hereby appeals		
22	from the following order and/or judgments:		
24	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' N	MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE	
25	ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR A MOR	RE DEFINITE STATEMENT, entered	
26	///		
27	///		
28			
	1 Case Number: /	Docket 85337 Document 2022-28848	

August 12, 2022; and any and all orders made appealable thereby.

KIRTON McCONKIE

Mik I

MATTHEW PRUITT, ESQ. Nevada Bar #12474 D. ANDREW LAJOIE, ESQ. Nevada Bar #14901 301 North 200 East, Suite 3A St. George, Utah 84770 *Attorneys for Plaintiff, MMV Investments LLC*

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that on this 6th day of September, 2022, I did serve, via Case		
3	Management/Electronic Case Filing, a copy of the above and foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL addressed to:		
4	Attorneys for Defendants:		
5	Renee M. Finch	rfinch@messner.com	
6	Winde Goldstein	wgoldstein@messner.com	
7	David Gould Caleb Meyer	dgould@messner.com cmeyer@messner.com	
8	Susan Ramirez	sramirez@messner.com	
9			
10		Carrie Orips	
11			
12		An Employee of KIRTON McCONKIE	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
21 22			
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	
		3	

	C	ASE NO. A-21-84	14080-B	
MMV Investments LLC, Plaintiff(s) vs. Dribble Dunk, LLC, Defendant(s)		***	Judicial Officer:	Department 16 Williams, Timothy C. 11/29/2021 A844680
		CASE INFORMAT	ION	
Statistical Clos 08/12/2022	ures Motion to Dismiss by the Defendant(s)	Case Type:	Uniform Commercial Code (NRS 104)
			Case Status:	08/12/2022 Dismissed
DATE		CASE ASSIGNME	ENT	
	Current Case Assignment Case Number Court Date Assigned Judicial Officer	A-21-844680-B Department 16 11/29/2021 Williams, Timothy C.		
		PARTY INFORMAT	ΓΙΟΝ	
Plaintiff	MMV Investments LLC			Lead Attorneys Pruitt, Matthew, ESQ Retained
Defendant	All Net, LLC Removed: 08/12/202 Dismissed Dribble Dunk, LLC	2		435-574-5672(W) Finch, Renee M. <i>Retained</i> 702-363-5100(W)
	Robinson, Jackie L. Removed: 08/12/202 Dismissed	2		/02 505 5100(W)
DATE	EV	ENTS & ORDERS OF T	THE COURT	INDEX
11/29/2021	EVENTS Complaint (Business Court) Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Inve [1] Complaint	estments LLC		
11/29/2021	Summons Electronically Issu Party: Plaintiff MMV Investm [2] Summons (Dribble Dunk, L	ients LLC		
11/29/2021	Summons Electronically Issu [3] Summons (All Net, LLC)	ed - Service Pending		
11/29/2021	Summons Electronically Issu Party: Plaintiff MMV Investm [4] Summons (Jackie L. Robins	ients LLC		

	CASE 110. A-21-0++000-D
01/17/2022	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [5] Affidavit Of Service (Jackie L. Robinson)
01/17/2022	Affidavit of Attempted Service Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [6] Affidavit Of Attempted Service (Jackie L. Robinson)
01/17/2022	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [7] Affidavit Of Service (All Net, LLC)
01/17/2022	Affidavit of Attempted Service Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [8] Affidavit Of Attempted Service (All Net, LLC)
01/17/2022	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [9] Affidavit Of Service (Dribble Dunk, LLC)
01/17/2022	Affidavit of Attempted Service Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [10] Affidavit Of Attempted Service (Dribble Dunk, LLC)
01/24/2022	Default Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [11] (8/11/22 Set Aside) Default - Dribble Dunk LLC
01/24/2022	Default Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [12] (8/11/22 Set Aside) Default -All Net, LLC
01/28/2022	Demand for Security of Costs Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC [13] Dribble Dunk, LLC's Demand for Security
01/28/2022	Demand for Security of Costs Filed By: Defendant All Net, LLC [14] All Net, LLC's Demand for Security
01/28/2022	Demand for Security of Costs Filed By: Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [15] Jackie L. Robinson's Demand for Security
01/28/2022	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [16] Defendants' Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19)
02/09/2022	Notice of Deposit Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [17] Notice Of Security Deposit

	CASE NO. A-21-844080-B
02/18/2022	Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [18] Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement [Hearing Requested]
02/18/2022	Clerk's Notice of Hearing [19] Notice of Hearing
03/04/2022	Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [20] Plaintiff s Opposition to Defendants Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definitive Statement
03/08/2022	Errata Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [21] Errata To Plaintiff's Opposition To Motion To Dismiss
03/28/2022	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [22] Stipulation and Order to Continue Motion to Dismiss
03/29/2022	E Stipulation and Order [23] Amended Stipulation and Order to Continue Motion to Dismiss
03/29/2022	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [24] Notice of Entry of Amended Stipulation and Order to Continue Motion to Dismiss
03/29/2022	Substitution of Attorney Filed by: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [25] Substitution Of Counsel
04/20/2022	Reply in Support Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [26] Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement
04/26/2022	Ex Parte Motion for Default Judgment Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [27] Ex Parte Application for Order Entering Default Judgment
05/25/2022	Notice of Hearing Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [28] Notice of Prove-Up Hearing on Ex Parte Application for Order Entering Default Judgment
05/25/2022	Clerk's Notice of Hearing Party: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [29] Notice of Hearing
06/06/2022	Order Shortening Time

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-21-844680-B

	[30] Motion to Set Aside Defaults Entered Against Dribble Dunk, LLC and All Net LLC on OST
06/08/2022	Stipulation and Order Filed by: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [31] Stipulation and Order to Continue Motion to Dismssi and Motion to Set Aside Default or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement
06/08/2022	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [32] Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Set Aside Default or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement
06/16/2022	Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [33] Plf's Opposition to Motion to Set Aside Defaults Entered Against Dribble Dunk, LLC and All Net, LLC (and Exhibits)
07/05/2022	Order Shortening Time [34] Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment on Order Shortening Time
07/06/2022	Reply in Support Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [35] Reply in Support of Motion to Set Aside Defaults Entered Against Dribble Dunk, LLC and All Net, LLC
07/08/2022	Errata Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [36] Errata to Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Order Shortening Time
07/29/2022	Order Shortening Time [37] Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendants on Order Shortening Time
08/01/2022	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC; Defendant All Net, LLC; Defendant Robinson, Jackie L. [38] Notice of Entry of Order
08/11/2022	Order Granting [39] Order Granting Motion to Set Aside Defaults Entered Against Dribble Dunk, LLC and All Net LLC
08/12/2022	Order Granting Motion [40] Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement
08/16/2022	Substitution of Attorney Filed by: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC [41] Substitution of Counsel
08/17/2022	Notice of Entry

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

	CASE NO. A-21-844080-B
	Filed By: Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC [42] Notice of Entry of Order
09/06/2022	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC [43] Notice of Appeal
08/12/2022	DISPOSITIONS Order of Dismissal (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Debtors: MMV Investments LLC (Plaintiff) Creditors: Dribble Dunk, LLC (Defendant), All Net, LLC (Defendant), Jackie L. Robinson (Defendant) Judgment: 08/12/2022, Docketed: 08/15/2022
04/27/2022	HEARINGS CANCELED Motion to Dismiss (9:05 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Vacated Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement
04/27/2022	 Motion to Dismiss (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) 04/27/2022, 07/13/2022 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement Matter Continued; Motion Granted; See 7/14/22 Minute Order Matter Continued; Motion Granted; See 7/14/22 Minute Order Journal Entry Details: Hearing held live and by BlueJeans remote conferencing. Mr. Allison advised he and Mr. Pruitt discussed continuance; requested matter reset to second week of June. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to 6/15/22. 6/15/22 9:30 AM DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT;
07/13/2022	Motion (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Motion to Set Aside Defaults Entered Against Dribble Dunk, LLC and All Net LLC on OST Motion Granted;
07/13/2022	All Pending Motions (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: Hearing held in-person and by BlueJeans remote conferencing. MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULTS ENTERED AGAINST DRIBBLE DUNK, LLC AND ALL NET LLC ON OST Arguments by counsel. COURT FINDS, good cause; therefore, ORDERED, Motion GRANTED. Court directed Mr. Allison to prepare the order with findings specifically setting forth good cause as argued. DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT Arguments by counsel. Court stated will review matter as pertains to all three parties; decision forthcoming. Department Guideline: Proposed order(s) to be submitted to DC16Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.;
07/14/2022	 Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Minute Order: Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Journal Entry Details: After review and consideration of the points and authorities on file herein, and oral argument of counsel, the Court determined as follows: Mr. Robinson s email does not rise to the level to create a new or continuing contract for indebtedness. Rather, it is a vague future promise to pay. Consequently, Defendant s Motion to Dismiss shall be GRANTED. Counsel on behalf of Defendant shall prepare a detailed Order, Findings of Facts, and Conclusions of Law, based not only on the foregoing Minute Order but also on the record on file herein. This is to be

	CASE NO. A-21-844680-B	
	submitted to adverse counsel for review and approval and/or submission of a competing Order or objections prior to submitting to the Court for review and signature. CLERK S NOTE: A copy of this Minute Order has been electronically served to all registered users on this case in the Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System.;	
08/03/2022	Motion for Prove Up of Default (9:05 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Notice of Prove-Up Hearing on Ex Parte Application for Order Entering Default Judgment Moot;	
08/03/2022	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (9:05 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment on Order Shortening Time Moot;	
08/03/2022	All Pending Motions (9:05 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: NOTICE OF PROVE-UP HEARING ON EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER ENTERING DEFAULT JUDGMENT PLAINTIFF MMV INVESTMENTS LLC'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME Hearing held in- person and by BlueJeans remote conferencing. Colloquy regarding whether today's matters are moot and certin dispute regarding proposed order on prior motion to dismiss. Court clarified the dismissal based on statute of limitations was in entirety and pertained to all three parties. COURT DIRECTED, objecting counsel to submit competing order for review. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Pruitt advised he will submit his order within one week; COURT SO NOTED. COURT ORDERED, today's matters are MOOT. Department Guideline: Proposed order(s) to be submitted to DC16Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.;	
08/10/2022	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel (9:05 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Timothy C.) Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendants on Order Shortening Time Motion Granted; Journal Entry Details: APPEARANCES CONTINUED: David Gould, Esq. present for Deft. Hearing held in-person and by BlueJeans remote conferencing. Court noted no opposition. Ms. Caliguire advised there will be substitution and there is agreement. COURT ORDERED, Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendants GRANTED. Prevailing party to prepare the order. Ms. Caliguire further advised that of the two pending orders before the court, the motion to dismisss order is agreed upon and motion to set aside has a competing order. Court stated the orders will be reviewed and decided this afternoon. Department Guideline: Proposed order(s) to be submitted to DC16Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.;	
DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
	Defendant Dribble Dunk, LLC Total Charges Total Payments and Credits	669.00 669.00

Balance Due as of 9/8/20220.00Plaintiff MMV Investments LLCTotal ChargesTotal Charges1,554.00Total Payments and Credits1,554.00Balance Due as of 9/8/20220.00Plaintiff MMV Investments LLC0.00Security Cost Bond Balance as of 9/8/2022500.00

BUSINESS COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

County, Nevada

		County, N	CASE NO: A-21-844680-
	Case No. (Assigned by Clerk's O	ffice)	Department 2
I. Party Information (provide both ho	me and mailing addresses if different)		
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defendant	(s) (name/address/phone):
MMV INVESTM	ENTS LLC	DRIBBL	E DUNK, LLC; ALL NET, LLC; JACKIE L. ROBINSON
c/o ALVERSON TAYL			2300 W Sahara Avenue, Suite 800
6605 GRAND MONTECITO PKWY, S	TE 200. LAS VEGAS. NV 89149		Las Vegas, NV 89102
Attorney (name/address/phone):	,,		name/address/phone):
MATTHEW PRU	IITT, ESQ.		Noah G. Allison
6605 GRAND MONTECI	TO PKWY, STE 200		3191 E. Warm Springs Road
LAS VEGAS, N	IV 89149		Las Vegas, NV 89120
II. Nature of Controversy (Please c	heck the applicable boxes for both the civ	vil case type	and husiness court case type)
Arbitration Requested		ii cuse type	
Civil Case	Filing Types		Business Court Filing Types
Real Property	Torts		CLARK COUNTY BUSINESS COURT
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence		NRS Chapters 78-89
Unlawful Detainer	Auto		Commodities (NRS 91)
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability		Securities (NRS 90)
Title to Property	Other Negligence		Mergers (NRS 92A)
Judicial Foreclosure	Malpractice		Uniform Commercial Code (NRS 104)
Foreclosure Mediation Assistance	Medical/Dental		Purchase/Sale of Stock, Assets, or Real Estate
Other Title to Property	Legal		Trademark or Trade Name (NRS 600)
Other Real Property	Accounting		Enhanced Case Management
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	Other Malpractice		Other Business Court Matters
Other Real Property			
Construction Defect & Contract	Other Torts		WASHOE COUNTY BUSINESS COURT
Construction Defect	Product Liability		NRS Chapters 78-88
Chapter 40	Intentional Misconduct		Commodities (NRS 91)
Other Construction Defect	Employment Tort		Securities (NRS 90)
Contract Case	Insurance Tort		Investments (NRS 104 Art.8)
Uniform Commercial Code	Other Tort		Deceptive Trade Practices (NRS 598)
Building and Construction	Civil Writs		Trademark/Trade Name (NRS 600)
Insurance Carrier	Writ of Habeas Corpus		Trade Secrets (NRS 600A)
Commercial Instrument	Writ of Mandamus		Enhanced Case Management
Collection of Accounts	Writ of Quo Warrant		Other Business Court Matters
Employment Contract	Writ of Prohibition		
Other Contract	Other Civil Writ		
	peal/Other Civil Filing		
Appeal Other	Other Civil Filing		
Appeal from Lower Court	Foreign Judgment		
L	Other Civil Matters		ll

11/29/2021

Date

grat Prut

Signature of initiating party or representative

-

Electronically Filed 08/12/2022 3:46 PM

1	ODDC	CLERK OF THE COURT	
1	ORDG The Allison Law Firm Chtd.		
2	Noah G. Allison (#6202)		
3	Heather Caliguire Fleming (#14492)		
4	2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490 Henderson, Nevada 89074		
4	Tel (702) 933-4444		
5	Fax (702) 933-4445		
6	noah@allisonnevada.com heather@allisonnevada.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendants		
	Dribble Dunk, LLC, All Net, LLC, and Jackie L.	Robinson	
8			
9	DISTRI	CT COURT	
10	CLARK CO	UNTY, NEVADA	
11	MMV INVESTMENTS LLC, a Delaware	Case No.: A-21-844680-B	
12	limited liability company,	Dept.: XVI	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	VS.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'	
15	DRIBBLE DUNK, LLC, a Nevada limited- liability company; ALL NET, LLC, a Nevada	MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR A MORE	
16	limited-liability company; JACKIE L. ROBINSON, an individual DOES I through	DEFINITE STATEMENT	
17	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X,		
18	inclusive,		
19	Defendants.		
20	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the	Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement came	
21	before this Court on July 13, 2022. The Court, having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, being		
22	fully advised on the premises and having heard the arguments of counsel, for reasons stated on the recor		
23	and good cause appearing therefore, rules as follows:		
24	FINDINGS OF FACT		
25	1. Plaintiff filed suit on November 29, 2021. The complaint included causes of action for breach o		

Plaintiff filed suit on November 29, 2021. The complaint included causes of action for breach of
 contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment, enforcement of
 promissory notes under NRS 104 Uniform Commercial Code, and fraud.

28 ///

THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD. 2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490 Henderson, Nevada 89074

2. Plaintiff's complaint alleged that, as a fundraising venture for a basketball arena in Las Vegas, 2 Defendants approached Plaintiff for funding in May 2010.

1

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD. 2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490 Henderson, Nevada 89074

3. Plaintiff's complaint further alleged it entered into multiple loan agreements and promissory notes with Defendants between May 21, 2010(?) and July 2012.

5 4. Plaintiff also alleged that Defendant Jackie Robinson ("Robinson") signed an individual continuing guaranty for the liabilities. 6

5. The loan agreements and promissory notes were for the following amounts and included the following due dates:

Alleged Document	Alleged Amount	Repayment Date on Found
Date		Agreements
May 21, 2010	\$4,500,000.00	Within 90 days
June 29, 2010	\$100,000.00	Within 30 days
July 7, 2010	\$2,000,000.00	Within 60 days
August 21, 2010	\$5,000,000.00	Within 20 days
May 27, 2011	\$30,000.00	Within 30 days
July 28, 2011	\$135,000.00	Within 120 days
January 23, 2012	\$250,000.00	Within 90 days
July 30, 2012	\$100,000.00	Within 90 days

6. Plaintiff filed its complaint on November 29, 2021, 3,319 days (9 years, 1 month and 1 day) after the repayment date on the July 30, 2012 promissory note.

7. Plaintiff claimed it was never paid anything toward these alleged debts.

8. On June 8, 2021, Robinson emailed Mark and Medi Vakili, Plaintiff's owners, and said that he anticipated additional funding for the project, and intended to pay his investors. This email did not contain new terms of repayment, a new payment schedule, acknowledge the amount due, and was not signed by Robinson.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

9. Defendants are entitled to dismissal of Plaintiff's claims pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5) if the Plaintiff 23 fails to allege any set of facts for which relief could not be granted. Bergmann v. Boyce, 109 Nev. 670, 24 675, 856 P.2d 560, 563 (1993). 25

10. The test for determining whether the allegations are sufficient to assert a claim for relief is whether 26 the allegations give fair notice of the nature and basis of a legally sufficient claim and the relief requested. 27 28 Ravera v. City of Reno, 100 Nev. 68, 70, 675 P.2d 407, 408 (1984); Western States Constr. v. Michoff, 108 Nev. 931, 840 P.2d 1220, 1223 (1992).

11. The Court may consider documents mentioned in the pleading when determining a motion to dismiss. "A statement in a pleading may be adopted by reference elsewhere in the same pleading or in any other pleading or motion. A copy of a written instrument that is an exhibit to a pleading is a part of the pleading for all purposes." NRCP 10(c). Defendants may rely on a document referred to in the complaint as part of a motion to dismiss, even if the document is not attached to the complaint. *Branch v. Tunnell*, 14 F.3d 449, 453 (9th Cir. 1994), overruled on other grounds by *Galbraith v. County of Santa Clara*, 307 F.3d 1119 (9th Cir. 2002). "When the plaintiff fails to introduce a pertinent document as part of his pleading, the defendant may introduce the exhibit as part of his motion attacking the pleading." *Id. (internal quotations omitted)*. The Nevada Supreme Court has also held that the court can consider documents not attached to the complaint when the complaint explicitly refers to said documents. *Converse Prof'l Group v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Group (In re CityCenter Constr.)*, 129 Nev. 669, 676 fn 3, 310 P.3d 574, 579 (2013).

12. The statute of limitations for breach of contract is six years. NRS 11.190(1)(b). The six year clock begins to run when the cause of action accrues. A cause of action accrues when suit may be maintained thereon. *Clark v. Robinson*, 113 Nev. 949, 951, 944 P.2d 788, 790 (1997).

13. The statute of limitations for enforcement of promissory notes under NRS 104 is also six years. NRS 104.3118(1).

14. The statute of limitations on a contract is not tolled unless the debt accrued in the contract is reaffirmed. A contract is reaffirmed if it is "contained in some writing signed by the party to be charged thereby." NRS 11.390; *see also Riff v. Kowall*, 76 Nev. 271, 273, 352 P.2d 819, 819 (1960) (holding that the statute of limitations is not tolled unless there is written acknowledgement of the debt); *Taylor v. Hendrie*, 8 Nev. 243, 245 (1873) (holding that a promissory note was unenforceable after the statute of limitations ran if there is insufficient "acknowledgement or promise to evidence a new or continuing contract.").

15. Reaffirmation of a debt sufficient to toll a statute of limitations "must be clear, explicit and direct
to the point that the debt is due." *Wilcox v. Williams*, 5 Nev. 206, 209 (1869). Letters with vague future
promises to pay are insufficient to toll a statute of limitations. *Id.*

28 || ///

16. The cause of action begins to accrue when the breach occurs. Mobile Discount Corp v. Price, 99 1 2 Nev. 19, 21, 656 P.2d 851, 853 (1983). A tolling of the statute of limitations may only occur if the debtor 3 makes a payment and acknowledges the debt. Id. An acknowledgement of debt must be clear, distinct, 4 and unequivocal in order for the debt to be taken out of the statute of limitations. Id.

17. The statute of limitations for unjust enrichment is four years. NRS 11.490(3)(d).

18. The statute of limitations for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and for 6 fraud is three years. NRS 11.190(3)(d); Kahn v. Dodds (In re AMERCO Derivitave Litig.), 127 Nev. 196, 8 228, 252 P.3d 681, 703 (2011).

19. Allegations of fraud in a complaint must state with particularity the circumstances constituting the fraud. NRCP 9(b). The circumstances that must be detailed include averments to the time, the place, the identity of the parties involved, and the nature of the fraud. Brown v. Kellar, 97 Nev. 582, 584, 636 P.2d 874, 874 (1981). Bare allegations that fraud occurred are insufficient.

20. A plaintiff must bring forth a suit as soon as the plaintiff "knows or should know of facts constituting a breach." Bemis v. Estate of Bemis, 114 Nev. 1021, 1025, 967 P.2d 437, 440 (1998) (emphasis in original). The "plaintiff must use due diligence in determining the existence of a cause of action." Id. 21. In this case, Plaintiff's causes of action are time-barred. The causes of action for common law breach of contract and for breach under NRS 104 should have been brought within 6 years of signing the last promissory note. The cause of action for unjust enrichment should have been brought within 4 years of signing the last promissory note. The causes of action for fraud and for the breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing should have been brought within 3 years of signing the last promissory note.

22. Plaintiff knew or should have known that it had potential causes of action against Defendants before the statutes of limitations ran.

24 23. The email sent by Robinson on June 8, 2021 did not create a new or continuing contract for 25 indebtedness. Rather, it is a vague future promise to pay and is insufficient to toll or restart the statute of 26 limitations.

27 24. The guaranty Robinson signed is void under Nevada law because the obligations it guaranteed are 28 time-barred by the statute of limitations.

20

21

22

23

5

7

9

10

1	ORDER				
2	Based on the foregoing; the Court, being fully advised on the matter and good cause appearing,				
3	orders as follows:				
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED and the case is				
5	DISMISSED.				
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Defendants' request for relief in the form of a More Definite				
7	Statement is DENIED AS MOOT .				
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment, currently set				
9	for hearing on August 3, 2022, is VACATED AS MO	OT.			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Dated this 12th day of August, 2022			
11		Jinot R. W. Cam			
		District Court Judge JM			
THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490Henderson, Nevada 89074L9219219219229	Submitted by:	14A 1F1 4560 42E0 Timothy C. Williams District Court Judge			
W FII Circle, evada		District Court Judge			
N LA orate C son, N	THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD.				
16 Corpc	By: /s/ Heather Caliguire Fleming				
11 HE A 2260 H	Noah G. Allison (Bar #6202) Heather Caliguire Fleming (#14492)				
	2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490				
19	Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorneys for Defendants				
20	Dribble Dunk, LLC, All Net, LLC, and Jackie L. Robi	nson			
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26 27					
27					
28					

1	CSERV			
2				
3		STRICT COURT COUNTY, NEVADA		
4				
5				
6	MMV Investments LLC,	CASE NO: A-21-844680-B		
7	Plaintiff(s)	DEPT. NO. Department 16		
8	VS.			
9	Dribble Dunk, LLC, Defendant(s)			
10				
11				
12	AUTOMATED	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
13	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile			
14	system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:			
15	Service Date: 8/12/2022			
16	Michelle Allison, Esq.	michelle@allisonnevada.com		
17	Nita MacFawn	nita@allisonnevada.com		
18 19	Teresa Frey	teresa@allisonnevada.com		
20	BreAnne Walker	breanne@allisonnevada.com		
20	Noah Allison, Esq.	noah@allisonnevada.com		
22	Matthew Pruitt	mpruitt@kmclaw.com		
23	Kelly Burton, Esq.	kelly@allisonnevada.com		
24	Heather Fleming, Esq.	heather@allisonnevada.com		
25	Tracy MacFawn	tmacfawn@battlebornlaw.com		
26				
27				
28				

Π

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NEO M. Caleb Meyer, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13379 Renee M. Finch, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13118 David M. Gould, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11143 MESSNER REEVES LLP 8945 West Russell Road, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 363-5100 Facsimile: (702) 363-5101 E-mail: <u>cmeyer@messner.com</u> <u>rfinch@messner.com</u> <u>dgould@messner.com</u> Attorneys for Defendants	Electronically Filed 8/17/2022 11:02 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
11 12	DISTR	ICT COURT
13	CLARK CO	UNTY, NEVADA
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	to Dismiss in the above-captioned matter was e	
	P.	age 1 of 3
	Case Number: A-2	1-844680-B

1	A copy of the Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss in the above-captioned matter		
2			
3	is attached hereto.		
4	DATED this 17 th day of August, 2022.		
5	DATED this 17 day of August, 2022.		
6	MESSNER REEVES LLP		
7	Is! David M. Gould		
8	M. Caleb Meyer, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13379		
9	Renee M. Finch, Esq.		
10	Nevada Bar No. 13118 David M. Gould, Esq.		
11	Nevada Bar No. 11143		
12	8945 W. Russell Road Ste 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148		
	Telephone: (702) 363-5100		
13	Facsimile: (702) 363-5101		
14	Attorneys for Defendants		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
_0	Page 2 of 3		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	On this 17 th day of August, 2022, pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2 and Rule 9 of the		
3	NEFCR, I caused the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER to be transmitted to the		
4	person(s) identified in the E-Service List for this captioned case in Odyssey E-File & Serve of the		
5	Eighth Judicial District Court, County of Clark, State of Nevada. A service transmission report		
6	reported service as complete and a copy of the service transmission report will be maintained with		
7	the document(s) in this office.		
8			
9			
10	Matthew M. Pruitt, Esq. KIRTON MCCONKIE		
11	50 East South Temple, Suite 400		
12	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
13			
14			
15	s Susan Ramirez		
16	Employee of MESSNER REEVES LLP		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Page 3 of 3		

	ELECTRONICALI	-						
	8/12/2022 3:	47 PM Electronically Filed , 08/12/2022 3:46 PM						
		Alenno Amin						
1	ORDG	CLERK OF THE COURT						
	THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD.							
2	Noah G. Allison (#6202) Heather Caliguire Fleming (#14492)							
3	2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490							
4	Henderson, Nevada 89074 Tel (702) 933-4444							
5	Fax (702) 933-4445							
6	6 <u>noah@allisonnevada.com</u> heather@allisonnevada.com							
7	Attorneys for Defendants							
,	Dribble Dunk, LLC, All Net, LLC, and Jackie L. Robinson							
8								
9	DISTRI	CT COURT						
10	CLARK COU	JNTY, NEVADA						
11	MMV INVESTMENTS LLC, a Delaware	Case No.: A-21-844680-B						
É 6 12	limited liability company,	Dept.: XVI						
A CH 11 2074 13	Plaintiff,							
LISON LAW FIRM CF Corporate Circle, Suite 4 derson, Nevada 89074 9 51 71 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	VS.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'						
LAW te Circ Nev	DRIBBLE DUNK, LLC, a Nevada limited-	MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE						
ISON rporat erson,	liability company; ALL NET, LLC, a Nevada limited-liability company; JACKIE L.	ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT						
되 도 원 10								
ALI CC	ROBINSON, an individual DOES I through							
THE ALI 2260 Cc Heno	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X,							
THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD 2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490 Henderson, Nevada 89074 81 L1 91 C1 R1 R1 R1 81 L1 91 C1 R1 R1 R1 81 L1 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive,							
THE ALI 2260 CG 18 18 18	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X,							
	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive, Defendants.	Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement came						
19	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive, Defendants. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the	Alternative Motion for a More Definite Statement came aving reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, being						
18 19 20	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive, Defendants. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the before this Court on July 13, 2022. The Court, h							
18 19 20 21	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive, Defendants. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the before this Court on July 13, 2022. The Court, h	aving reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, being a arguments of counsel, for reasons stated on the record						
18 19 20 21 22	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive, Defendants. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the before this Court on July 13, 2022. The Court, h fully advised on the premises and having heard th and good cause appearing therefore, rules as follo	aving reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, being a arguments of counsel, for reasons stated on the record						
18 19 20 21 22 23	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive, Defendants. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the before this Court on July 13, 2022. The Court, h fully advised on the premises and having heard th and good cause appearing therefore, rules as follo <u>FINDIN</u>	aving reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, being e arguments of counsel, for reasons stated on the record ows:						
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive, Defendants. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the before this Court on July 13, 2022. The Court, h fully advised on the premises and having heard th and good cause appearing therefore, rules as follo <u>FINDIN</u> 1. Plaintiff filed suit on November 29, 2021	aving reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, being the arguments of counsel, for reasons stated on the record tows: <u>GS OF FACT</u>						
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	X, inclusive; and ROES I through X, inclusive, Defendants. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the before this Court on July 13, 2022. The Court, h fully advised on the premises and having heard th and good cause appearing therefore, rules as follo <u>FINDIN</u> 1. Plaintiff filed suit on November 29, 2021	aving reviewed the pleadings and papers on file, being the arguments of counsel, for reasons stated on the record tows: <u>GS OF FACT</u> . The complaint included causes of action for breach of ith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment, enforcement of						

2. Plaintiff's complaint alleged that, as a fundraising venture for a basketball arena in Las Vegas, 2 Defendants approached Plaintiff for funding in May 2010.

1

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD. 2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490 Henderson, Nevada 89074

3. Plaintiff's complaint further alleged it entered into multiple loan agreements and promissory notes with Defendants between May 21, 2010(?) and July 2012.

5 4. Plaintiff also alleged that Defendant Jackie Robinson ("Robinson") signed an individual continuing guaranty for the liabilities. 6

5. The loan agreements and promissory notes were for the following amounts and included the following due dates:

Alleged Document	Alleged Amount	Repayment Date on Found
Date		Agreements
May 21, 2010	\$4,500,000.00	Within 90 days
June 29, 2010	\$100,000.00	Within 30 days
July 7, 2010	\$2,000,000.00	Within 60 days
August 21, 2010	\$5,000,000.00	Within 20 days
May 27, 2011	\$30,000.00	Within 30 days
July 28, 2011	\$135,000.00	Within 120 days
January 23, 2012	\$250,000.00	Within 90 days
July 30, 2012	\$100,000.00	Within 90 days

6. Plaintiff filed its complaint on November 29, 2021, 3,319 days (9 years, 1 month and 1 day) after the repayment date on the July 30, 2012 promissory note.

7. Plaintiff claimed it was never paid anything toward these alleged debts.

8. On June 8, 2021, Robinson emailed Mark and Medi Vakili, Plaintiff's owners, and said that he anticipated additional funding for the project, and intended to pay his investors. This email did not contain new terms of repayment, a new payment schedule, acknowledge the amount due, and was not signed by Robinson.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

9. Defendants are entitled to dismissal of Plaintiff's claims pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(5) if the Plaintiff 23 fails to allege any set of facts for which relief could not be granted. Bergmann v. Boyce, 109 Nev. 670, 24 675, 856 P.2d 560, 563 (1993). 25

10. The test for determining whether the allegations are sufficient to assert a claim for relief is whether 26 the allegations give fair notice of the nature and basis of a legally sufficient claim and the relief requested. 27 28 Ravera v. City of Reno, 100 Nev. 68, 70, 675 P.2d 407, 408 (1984); Western States Constr. v. Michoff, 108 Nev. 931, 840 P.2d 1220, 1223 (1992).

11. The Court may consider documents mentioned in the pleading when determining a motion to dismiss. "A statement in a pleading may be adopted by reference elsewhere in the same pleading or in any other pleading or motion. A copy of a written instrument that is an exhibit to a pleading is a part of the pleading for all purposes." NRCP 10(c). Defendants may rely on a document referred to in the complaint as part of a motion to dismiss, even if the document is not attached to the complaint. *Branch v. Tunnell*, 14 F.3d 449, 453 (9th Cir. 1994), overruled on other grounds by *Galbraith v. County of Santa Clara*, 307 F.3d 1119 (9th Cir. 2002). "When the plaintiff fails to introduce a pertinent document as part of his pleading, the defendant may introduce the exhibit as part of his motion attacking the pleading." *Id. (internal quotations omitted)*. The Nevada Supreme Court has also held that the court can consider documents not attached to the complaint when the complaint explicitly refers to said documents. *Converse Prof'l Group v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Group (In re CityCenter Constr.)*, 129 Nev. 669, 676 fn 3, 310 P.3d 574, 579 (2013).

12. The statute of limitations for breach of contract is six years. NRS 11.190(1)(b). The six year clock begins to run when the cause of action accrues. A cause of action accrues when suit may be maintained thereon. *Clark v. Robinson*, 113 Nev. 949, 951, 944 P.2d 788, 790 (1997).

13. The statute of limitations for enforcement of promissory notes under NRS 104 is also six years. NRS 104.3118(1).

14. The statute of limitations on a contract is not tolled unless the debt accrued in the contract is reaffirmed. A contract is reaffirmed if it is "contained in some writing signed by the party to be charged thereby." NRS 11.390; *see also Riff v. Kowall*, 76 Nev. 271, 273, 352 P.2d 819, 819 (1960) (holding that the statute of limitations is not tolled unless there is written acknowledgement of the debt); *Taylor v. Hendrie*, 8 Nev. 243, 245 (1873) (holding that a promissory note was unenforceable after the statute of limitations ran if there is insufficient "acknowledgement or promise to evidence a new or continuing contract.").

15. Reaffirmation of a debt sufficient to toll a statute of limitations "must be clear, explicit and direct
to the point that the debt is due." *Wilcox v. Williams*, 5 Nev. 206, 209 (1869). Letters with vague future
promises to pay are insufficient to toll a statute of limitations. *Id.*

28 || ///

16. The cause of action begins to accrue when the breach occurs. Mobile Discount Corp v. Price, 99 1 2 Nev. 19, 21, 656 P.2d 851, 853 (1983). A tolling of the statute of limitations may only occur if the debtor 3 makes a payment and acknowledges the debt. Id. An acknowledgement of debt must be clear, distinct, 4 and unequivocal in order for the debt to be taken out of the statute of limitations. Id.

17. The statute of limitations for unjust enrichment is four years. NRS 11.490(3)(d).

18. The statute of limitations for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and for 6 fraud is three years. NRS 11.190(3)(d); Kahn v. Dodds (In re AMERCO Derivitave Litig.), 127 Nev. 196, 8 228, 252 P.3d 681, 703 (2011).

19. Allegations of fraud in a complaint must state with particularity the circumstances constituting the fraud. NRCP 9(b). The circumstances that must be detailed include averments to the time, the place, the identity of the parties involved, and the nature of the fraud. Brown v. Kellar, 97 Nev. 582, 584, 636 P.2d 874, 874 (1981). Bare allegations that fraud occurred are insufficient.

20. A plaintiff must bring forth a suit as soon as the plaintiff "knows or should know of facts constituting a breach." Bemis v. Estate of Bemis, 114 Nev. 1021, 1025, 967 P.2d 437, 440 (1998) (emphasis in original). The "plaintiff must use due diligence in determining the existence of a cause of action." Id. 21. In this case, Plaintiff's causes of action are time-barred. The causes of action for common law breach of contract and for breach under NRS 104 should have been brought within 6 years of signing the last promissory note. The cause of action for unjust enrichment should have been brought within 4 years of signing the last promissory note. The causes of action for fraud and for the breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing should have been brought within 3 years of signing the last promissory note.

22. Plaintiff knew or should have known that it had potential causes of action against Defendants before the statutes of limitations ran.

24 23. The email sent by Robinson on June 8, 2021 did not create a new or continuing contract for 25 indebtedness. Rather, it is a vague future promise to pay and is insufficient to toll or restart the statute of 26 limitations.

27 24. The guaranty Robinson signed is void under Nevada law because the obligations it guaranteed are 28 time-barred by the statute of limitations.

20

21

22

23

5

7

9

10

1	ORDER					
2	Based on the foregoing; the Court, being fully advised on the matter and good cause appearing,					
3	orders as follows:					
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED and the case is					
5	DISMISSED.					
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Defendants' request for relief in the form of a More Definite					
7	Statement is DENIED AS MOOT .					
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Partial Motion for Summary Judgment, currently set					
9	for hearing on August 3, 2022, is VACATED AS MOOT.					
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Dated this 12th day of August, 2022				
11		Jinot R. W. Cam				
		District Court Judge JM				
THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490Henderson, Nevada 89074L9219219219229	Submitted by:	14A 1F1 4560 42E0 Timothy C. Williams District Court Judge				
W FII Circle, evada		District Court Judge				
N LA orate C son, N	THE ALLISON LAW FIRM CHTD.					
16 Corpc	By: /s/ Heather Caliguire Fleming					
11 HE A 2260 H	Noah G. Allison (Bar #6202) Heather Caliguire Fleming (#14492)					
	2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 490					
19	Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorneys for Defendants					
20	Dribble Dunk, LLC, All Net, LLC, and Jackie L. Robi	nson				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26 27						
27						
28						

1	CSERV		
2			
3	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4			
5			
6	MMV Investments LLC,	CASE NO: A-21-844680-B	
7	Plaintiff(s)	DEPT. NO. Department 16	
8	VS.		
9	Dribble Dunk, LLC, Defendant(s)		
10			
11	AUTOMATED	CEDTIFICATE OF SEDVICE	
12		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
13	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order Granting Motion was served via the court's electronic eFile		
14		e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:	
15	Service Date: 8/12/2022		
16	Michelle Allison, Esq.	michelle@allisonnevada.com	
17	Nita MacFawn	nita@allisonnevada.com	
18	Teresa Frey	teresa@allisonnevada.com	
19 20	BreAnne Walker	breanne@allisonnevada.com	
20 21	Noah Allison, Esq.	noah@allisonnevada.com	
22	Matthew Pruitt	mpruitt@kmclaw.com	
23	Kelly Burton, Esq.	kelly@allisonnevada.com	
24	Heather Fleming, Esq.	heather@allisonnevada.com	
25	Tracy MacFawn	tmacfawn@battlebornlaw.com	
26		\sim	
27			
28			

Uniform Commercia (NRS 104)	l Code	COURT MINUTES	April 27, 2022
A-21-844680-B	VS.	ents LLC, Plaintiff(s) LLC, Defendant(s)	
April 27, 2022	9:30 AM	Motion to Dismiss	
HEARD BY: Willia	ms, Timothy C.	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16C
COURT CLERK: C	hristopher Darlin	g	
RECORDER: Mari	a Garibay		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT: Allia	son, Noah G.	Attorney	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	

- Hearing held live and by BlueJeans remote conferencing. Mr. Allison advised he and Mr. Pruitt discussed continuance; requested matter reset to second week of June. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to 6/15/22.

6/15/22 9:30 AM DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Uniform Com (NRS 104)	mercial Code	COURT MINUTES	July 13, 2022
A-21-844680-B	vs.	nts LLC, Plaintiff(s)	
	Dribble Dunk, L	LC, Defendant(s)	
July 13, 2022	9:30 AM	All Pending Motions	
HEARD BY:	Williams, Timothy C.	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16C
COURT CLEF	K: Christopher Darling	5	
RECORDER:	Maria Garibay		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Allison, Noah G. Pruitt, Matthew, ESQ	Attorney Attorney	
		JOURNAL ENTRIES	
- Hearing held	in-person and by BlueJea	ans remote conferencing.	
MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULTS ENTERED AGAINST DRIBBLE DUNK, LLC AND ALL NET LLC ON OST Arguments by counsel. COURT FINDS, good cause; therefore, ORDERED, Motion GRANTED. Court directed Mr. Allison to prepare the order with findings specifically setting forth good cause as argued.			
DEFINITE STA	ATEMENT	OR IN THE ALTERNATIVI	

Department Guideline: Proposed order(s) to be submitted to DC16Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.

PRINT DATE: 09/08/2022

Page 2 of 6

Minutes Date: April 27, 2022

A-21-844680-B

PRINT DATE: 09/08/2022

Uniform Commercial (NRS 104)	Code	COURT MINUTES	July 14, 2022
A-21-844680-B	VS.	nts LLC, Plaintiff(s) .LC, Defendant(s)	
July 14, 2022	3:00 AM	Minute Order	
HEARD BY: William	ns, Timothy C.	COURTROOM:	Chambers
COURT CLERK: Ch	រristopher Darlinន្	5	
RECORDER:			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:			

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- After review and consideration of the points and authorities on file herein, and oral argument of counsel, the Court determined as follows:

Mr. Robinson s email does not rise to the level to create a new or continuing contract for indebtedness. Rather, it is a vague future promise to pay. Consequently, Defendant s Motion to Dismiss shall be GRANTED.

Counsel on behalf of Defendant shall prepare a detailed Order, Findings of Facts, and Conclusions of Law, based not only on the foregoing Minute Order but also on the record on file herein. This is to be submitted to adverse counsel for review and approval and/or submission of a competing Order or objections prior to submitting to the Court for review and signature.

CLERK S NOTE: A copy of this Minute Order has been electronically served to all registered users on this case in the Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System.

PRINT DATE: 09/08/2022

Uniform Comn (NRS 104)	nercial Code	COURT MINUTES	August 03, 2022	
A-21-844680-B	VS.	nts LLC, Plaintiff(s) .LC, Defendant(s)		
August 03, 2022	2 9:05 AM	All Pending Motions		
HEARD BY:	Williams, Timothy C.	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16C	
COURT CLER	K: Christopher Darling	5		
RECORDER:	Maria Garibay			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:	Caliguire, Heather M. Pruitt, Matthew, ESQ	Attorney Attorney		
JOURNAL ENTRIES				
- NOTICE OF PROVE-UP HEARING ON EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER ENTERING DEFAULT JUDGMENT PLAINTIFF MMV INVESTMENTS LLC'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME				
Hearing held in-person and by BlueJeans remote conferencing. Colloquy regarding whether today's matters are moot and certin dispute regarding proposed order on prior motion to dismiss. Court				

matters are moot and certin dispute regarding proposed order on prior motion to dismiss. Court clarified the dismissal based on statute of limitations was in entirety and pertained to all three parties. COURT DIRECTED, objecting counsel to submit competing order for review. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Pruitt advised he will submit his order within one week; COURT SO NOTED. COURT ORDERED, today's matters are MOOT.

Department Guideline: Proposed order(s) to be submitted to DC16Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.

PRINT DATE: 09/08/2022

Page 5 of 6 Minutes Date: April 27, 2022

Uniform Commercial Code (NRS 104)		COURT MINUTES	August 10, 2022
A-21-844680-B	1-844680-B MMV Investments LLC, Plaintiff(s) vs. Dribble Dunk, LLC, Defendant(s)		
August 10, 2022	9:05 AM	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel	
HEARD BY: Williams, Timothy C.		COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 16C
COURT CLERK: Christopher Darling			
RECORDER: Maria Garibay			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT: Calig	guire, Heather M.	Attorney	
JOURNAL ENTRIES			
- APPEARANCES CONTINUED: David Gould, Esq. present for Deft.			

Hearing held in-person and by BlueJeans remote conferencing. Court noted no opposition. Ms. Caliguire advised there will be substitution and there is agreement. COURT ORDERED, Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendants GRANTED. Prevailing party to prepare the order. Ms. Caliguire further advised that of the two pending orders before the court, the motion to dismiss order is agreed upon and motion to set aside has a competing order. Court stated the orders will be reviewed and decided this afternoon.

Department Guideline: Proposed order(s) to be submitted to DC16Inbox@clarkcountycourts.us.



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

BMATTHEW PRUITT 301 N. 200[™] EAST, STE 3A ST. GEORGE, UT 84770

DATE: September 8, 2022 CASE: A-21-844680-B

RE CASE: MMV INVESTMENTS, LLC vs. DRIBBLE DUNK, LLC; ALL NET, LLC; JACKIE L. ROBINSON

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: September 6, 2022

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

\$250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)**

- If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.

- □ \$24 District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
- Solo − Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
 - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
 - Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Court.
- ☑ Case Appeal Statement
 - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- □ Order
- □ Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. <u>The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing</u>, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

**Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada County of Clark SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

MMV INVESTMENTS, LLC,

Plaintiff(s),

Case N<u>o</u>: A-21-844680-B Dept N<u>o</u>: XVI

vs.

DRIBBLE DUNK, LLC; ALL NET, LLC; JACKIE L. ROBINSON,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

ana ana **IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto** Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 8 day of September 2022. Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk STREET, LA