	Notice to Agents is Notice to Principals Notice to Principals is Notice to Agents An un-rebutted Affidavit Stands as Truth Silence is Acquiescence, Tactic Agreement & Estoppel
1	Name
2	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
3	Defendant in Proper Person
4	SUPREME COURT OF MENUTY CLERK
5	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, etc.
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Alving Alteria Sup. Ct. Of NV Casett's 85792
8	Thornton, Iseza Del Carmen Nino, ex. rei. 85862, 85793; Plaintiff, CASE NO. 85505
9	V. DEPT. NO.
10	Real Party in/of Interest ) DATE OF HRG:
11	(i) FIFFIGHT Defendant. TIME OF HRG:
12	AFFIDAVIT OF EMERGENCY MOTTON IN SUCCESSION
13	REQUEST TO OBTAIN COPY OF DEFENDANT'S FILE,
14	DURING POST CONVICTION RELIEF PROCESS Number Post
15	This Affiant, COMES NOW, the Defendant, & Real Party in lot Interest, in proper person, and
16	hereby moves that this Honorable Court grant an Order allowing the Public Defender's Office to
17 18	withdraw as attorney of record. Additionally, the Defendant asks that a copy of his entire file be
19	immediately produced and given to the Defendant. Defendant further requests that his Honorable
20	Court appoint counsel to represent him during the Post Conviction Relief process, Eall transcripts.  This Motion is based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached
21	Declaration of Counsel, and oral argument at the time set for hearing this Motion.
22	i have ZERO access
23	i have ZERO access
24	to Photocopy legal documents.
25	Due to the HDSP Mailroom By: Peter Jason: Pulliche; Wousa  (etc.) officials serial history  of mail theft Cetc.) / tampering, Defendant in Proper Person  I need a Complete indigent file Stamped Copy Pursuant to
26	of Mail theft Cetc.)/tampering, Defendant in Proper Person
27	ETEN WELL Kangas
28/	
	FEB 1 5 2023
7	DEPUTY CLUBS  1 0 F   1 23 - 0470

# <u>POINTS AND AUTHORITIES</u>

NRS 7.055 states in pertinent part:

- 1. An attorney who has been discharged by his client shall upon demand and payment of the fee due from the client, immediately deliver to the client all papers, documents, pleadings and items of tangible personal property which belong to or were prepared for that client.
- 2. If the court finds that an attorney has, without just cause, refused or neglected to obey its order given under this section, the court may, after notice and fine or imprison him until the contempt purged. If the court finds that the attorney has, without just cause, withheld the client's papers, documents, pleadings, or other property, the attorney is liable for costs and attorney's fees.

Counsel in the above-entitled case was court-appointed due to Defendant's indigence. Defendant does not owe counsel any fees. i also need ALL (hearing(s)) transcripts.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays this Honorable Court, Grant his Motion to Withdraw Counsel and that counsel deliver to Defendant all papers, documents, pleadings, discovery and any other tangible property which belong to or were prepared for the Defendant to allow Defendant the proper assistance that is needed to insure that justice is served; including but not limited to sentencing hearing transcripts, etc. (i need a court order for all hearing(s) transcripts).

DATED: this 30th day of January , 2023. FOR THE RECORD: On 10/31/2022 Former law library Supervisor L.M. Garcia alerted methat HOSP's Mailroom (etc.) Respectfully submitted, is under a criminal investigation 28 USC 1746. (1) WOUSA For mail theft, tampering, deliberately

delaying legal mail, etc. irecently had a case (8 J.D.C. of NV)

# dismissed than Ks to the Real Party in lof Interest # Affiant /In Propria Personam Post Office Box 650 [HDSP]

HDSP Mailroem Bandito's stealing some of my outgoing legal documents thus Sabotaging my case.

23 |Furthermore, i had ZERO access to the HDSP law library from 8/18/2021 to at least may June 2022. ive had ZERO access to the law library from 12/20/2022 to present i was provided about 1/2 an hour access? 25 on 12/19/2022, i was deemed 100% mentally & physically disabled in 2010 by a Plattsburgh, New York Judge, & from 2006-2009, i was provided Americans with Disabilities Act Accommodations in College. 27 i am not mentally competent to litigate this habeas at BARy nor do i

have fair & meaningful access to the Courts, law library, etc. i've had to trade my food for the stamps required to mail out legal. mail because Mr. Ashcraft, MFS. Palmroy, & L.M. Garcia refuse to sign/ authorize My outgoing legal mail brass sips. 28 USC 1746,11) WousA

2 of 11

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

#### DECLARATION OF : peter-vason: helfrich; Affiant, & 2 Real Party in Interest \_\_\_, makes the following declaration: 3 1. I am the Defendant in the above-captioned case, I am familiar with the facts and 4 circumstances of this case. 2. On 12/30/2022 my Mandamus 5 to the Nevada Supreme Court was DENIED. 6 See attached 7 3. I am now preparing my Petition for Post Conviction Relief and in doing so, need the Public Defender's Office to withdraw as my attorney of record, he was someone i sued in USDC of NV Court in 2012 = 's conflict of interest & I.A.C. 4. In an effort to prepare my Petition it is necessary for me to obtain a copy of my 8 9 entire file, & ALL transcripts, & a file stamped copy of said Petition. I need competent Counsel to amend; add grounds; supplement, & litigate said Petition at BAR 10 11 My case is complex with complicated issues. Some of those issues are: See attached Affidavit. Factual errors on PSI Report, Conflicts between 12 Attorney(s) & Client, I.A.C. at sentencing, I.A.C. Failure to dissmiss = 13 denial of 60day speedy trial; i invoked my right to a speedy trial, Martinez 14 refused to file a simple motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute bring 15 the case to trial in 60 days; etc. See attached Affidavit. 16 6. My access to HDSP's law library is ZERO. 17 18 7. I do not have a law degree. My educational background is as follows: i was deemed 100% mentally & physically disabled by a Plattsburgh New York Judge 19 in 2010, & i was provided ADA accommodations in College from 2006-2009 20 21 8. I am requesting that this Honorable Court appoint an attorney to represent me during the Post Conviction Relief process. 22 23 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS 53.045), 28usc/746, 11) w/o usa 24 EXECUTED this 30th day of January 25

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PETER JASON HELFRICH. Petitioner. VS. THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE: AND THE HONORABLE DAVID R. GAMBLE, SENIOR JUDGE. Respondents.

No. 85792

DEC 3 0 2022

## ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is an original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus to compel the district court to appoint postconviction counsel for petitioner. Having considered the petition, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Parraguirre

Stiglich

SUPREME COURT NEVADA

cc: Chief Judge, The Fifth Judicial District Court Hon. David R. Gamble, Senior Judge Peter Jason Helfrich Attorney General/Carson City Nye County District Attorney Nye County Clerk

CONFLICTS BETWEEN ATTORNEY(S) & CLIENT 1. The Affiant repeatedly demanded a Speedy trial, alerting Martinez from the first face to face meeting, and every face to face meeting thereafter = I.A.C., divided loyalties = Coerced Plea-See attached case Summary Affidavits filed 12/24/2020 & 3/29/2021 4- Speedy Trial Right Invoked Nunc Pro Tunc Ab Initio. Forced Pleadue to I.A.C. The Affiant was (& is) entitled to a speedy trial in 60 days or dismissal for lack of Speedy Prosecution = I.A.C. Daniel Martinez collusion with prosecutor(s) to hood wink the 100% mentally disabled Affiant out of his Speedy Trial Right (18usc 241,242). This 6th Amendment Constitutional mandate is also codified in NRS 178.556(2). Counsel is guilty of malfeasance, and Martinez's self-interest in concealing his malfea Sance created a second conflict of interest that adversely affected the Affiant. Therefore, it is unrealistic for this court to deny the Affiant Counsel, or to assume that Martinez vigorously pursued his clients best interestiss in mind when he failed or refused to simply file a Motion for a Speedy Trial or in the Alternative Dismissal for Lack of Speedy Trial. I.A. C., misudvising about Speedy trial right; Martinez: (1) Fell below below (ADK 411) an objective standard of reasonable competence and (2) that Affiant was prejudiced by his counsel's deficient performance and divided loyalties; in fact it is reasonably probable for the misadvice of Martinez the Affiant would not have pled quilty, because all Martinez had to do was file a Simple motion afore mentioned to Winthe case infavor of 2. Conflict between Neely III. and helfrich goes back to 2012 when the Affiant Sued Neely III. , and he was fired THREE TIMES before the SENTENCING PHASE of this LOERCED / FORCED by I.A.C.'s Altord Plea. Judge Gamble Knows or should have Known of conflict. Neely III. promised, yet failed to correct the factual errors in Affiants

7

10

111

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

P.S.I. Report, and Neely III. was I.A.C. at Sentencing. See also:

Case Summary CR 20-0145, filed 7/8/2021 Affidavit of Judicial Notice...

i am firing David Neely? Motion to withdraw Plea...

The court has a duty to a ssess the conflict(s) of interest. Judge Gamble's failure to either sever Martine Z and Neely III. or inquire as to whether he would waive the conflict requires this Court to reverse his Conviction(s); finding reversible error, helfrich was denied a Curico hearing us. v. Curico.

State Forms

Tourier Ab In.

State Forms

23.

Tourier Application

a. Supreme Ct Appeal

b. Application to Appeal

Financial Certificate

Notice of Motion

Certificate of Mailing (Service)

Motion to Withdraw Counsel (judicial)

a. Motion for Withdraw Counsel (suprob. Motion/with Request for Recordoc. Motion for Contempt for Failty

Forward Case File

Motion for Transcripts at State (judicial)

a. Motion for Transcripts at State (judicial)

a. Motion for Transcripts at State (judicial)

a. Motion to Appoint Coc.

a. Motion and a. C.

12. Motion

13. Noc.

14.

17

- 17. Summons (Carson City)
  - a. Summons (White Pine)
- 18. Summons (District Court)
  - a. Summons (3rd Judicial)
  - b. Summons (7th Judicial)
- 19. Service Instructions
  - a. Service Inst (Sheriff)
  - b. Service Inst (8th Judicial)
  - c. Service Inst (Washoe)
  - d. Service by Publication
- 20. Waiver of Service of Summons
- 21. Request for Transcripts (supreme)
  - a. Request for Records (judicial)
- 22. Subpoena
  - a. Justice Court Subpoena

- 23. Small Claims
  - Small Claims Dist Ct Carson City
  - b. Info Pack
- 24. Civil Cover Sheet
- 25. Warrant/Ticket Disposition
  - a. Warrant Dispo Calif
- 26. Affirmation Page for Submission to Court
- 27. Motion to Correct Illegal Sentence
  - a: Motion for Modification of Sent.
- 28. Motion to Withdraw Plea
  - Information
- 29. Medical Complaint (Nevada State Board)
- 30. State Bar Complaint
- 31. Criminal History Repository
- 32. General Power of Attorney
- 33. Limited Power of Attorney
- 34. Durable Power of Attorney
- 35. Revocation of Power of Attorney
- 36. Motion for Default-Small Claims (Justice Court)
- 37. Notice of Intension to Enter Default (District Court)
- 38. Request for Submission Motion (Washoe County)
- 39. Notice to Return Seized Property
  - a. Order to Return Seized Property
- 40. Motion for Jail Time Credits
- 41. Pleading Paper (lined)
  - a. Pleading Paper (unlined)
- 42. Parole Board Appeal
- 43. Motion for PSI
- 44. Blank Motion to District Court (PO box)
  - a. Blank Motion Dist Ct (street addr)
- 45. Civil Docketing Statement
- 46. Civil-Proper Person Appeal Statement
- 47. 1983-State Civil Rights Complaint
- 48. Affidavit of Due Diligence
- 49. Claim Against the State
- 50. Exhibit Page
- 51. Motion to Extend Copy Work Limit
- 52. Motion for Order to Show Cause
- 53. Petition for Writ of Mandamus
  - Mandamus Prohibition
  - b. Motion to Waive Fees
- 54. Genetic Marker Analysis
- 55. Appellant's Informal Brief

3. Actual innocence, self-defense - NO DUTY TO RETREAT; \*See Deputy Report For Case 20NY-1738; Page 7 of 11: @20:31:12 5/27/2020 66-Rucker SS-From McRae JC need ida unit ... shots fired that occured during this?... & @ 20:28:23 5/27/2020 Ruckerss-From: Beard WR believe that the parties involved in this accident were the ones i was enry to on wilson? Google: "Alvin Thornton domestic battery with a deadly weapon strangulation. 11.66 Maria Sanchez Frictim of home invasion and battery by Alvin - Domestic Battery with a Deadly Weapon/Strang they were being shot at during the incident wation - honnton I told him that they saw what they believed to 4. Exculpatory evidence Stolen by NCDC Officer(s) during cell search Discovery was mysteriously amended after to exclude favorable evidence 5. Martinez has no strategic justification for his failure to properly investigate the case or his failure or refusal to file a simple Motion for a speedy Trial or Dismissal for Lack of Speed Prosecution. He did not go to the Scene Storeage 10 the incident(s) to interview witnesses. Justice [Kent?] Tasperson 111 was a witness, as were those working (on 5/27/2020) at the Storeage Company. 12 where the car accident transpired. Counsel did not bother to hire an investigator 13 track down witnesses (i.e.: Jasperson, Josue Florez, Maria Sanchez, etc. 14 witnesses despite his awareness that he could have petitioned 15 to do so LANDMARK RIGHT TO SPEEDY TRIAL denied by 16 I.A.C. Martinezoas it's clear on the record that helfrich 17 never waived his speedy trial right. Furthermore, when Counsel 18 investigate and interview promising (Justice Jasperson, etc.) 19 Counsels inaction constitutes negligence, not strategy. | Falling below ADKYII) 20 6. Uniform Act to secure the attendance of witnesses denied at Affiant's 21 evidentiary hearing by I.A. C. Martinez 22 7. The extreme emotional disturbance (+ ATSD, anxiety, major depression) of 23 helfrich during the incident (s) is an affirmative defense that Martinez 24 reasonably neglected to investigate. Self-defense witnesses not interviewed. 8. Neely III. had a duty to explore all (aforementioned) possible detenses in 26 Mitigation. Defense Counsel must make a significant effort, based on reasonable 27 investigation and logical argument. Foregoing mitigating evidence is I.A.C. 28

```
9. Neely III's Closing argument was I.A.C., at sentencing. Transcripts required to prove this fact.
   10. Post sentencing evidence, ox for resentencing. Pepper v. U.S., 562
   U.S. 476, 179 L. Ed. 2d 196, 131 S.Ct. 1229 (2011), Holding that district Court at re
   -sentencing may consider evidence of the defendant's post sentencing rehabilitation
   11. PTSD is grounded in scientific Knowledge State v. Alberico, 861 P.2d 192,
   116 NM 196 (NM S.Ct. 1993)
   11. Martinez (& Neely's) decision not to investigate is not a strategy.
   12. PSI Report must be accurate.
                            Conclusion
    isimply need competent court appointed counsel to amend,
   Supplement, add grounds, and litigate this habeas at BAR;
10
   which i have been attempting to achieve for nearly two
   years in vain. From 8/18/2021 to around May/ June 2022, i had
   ZERO access to the law library, a copy machine, etc. From
13
   12/20/2022 to present, i have had ZERO access to the law library,
   a copymachine, etc. HDSP officials have conspired to:
   (1) Intercept and Steal legal do auments from my legal
   mail (8th J.D.C. Case#D-ZZ-660247-N) to sabatoge my caseis;
17
   (2) FNU Ashcraft, FNU Palmroy, FNU Garcia + REFUSE to sign/author
   ize my outgoing legal mail brass slips; forcing me to trade my
   food for stamps; i simply need Counsel appointed
   in the interests of Justice. 28 usc 1746, (1) whousa
                                             : Detervaron: helhich
    1/30/2023
23
                                         201 S. Carson St., suite 201
   Affidavit of service to Elizabeth Brown: Carson City, NV 89701
   pre-paid LII Pages/3-Stamps) postage (on 1/30/2023) mail & placed
   in the Unit 10 A mailbox = Deemed Filed Pursuant to U.S. Supreme Court
   mailbox rule. 28 asc 1746, (1) W/o usa
                     : peter Jason: helpich
     1/30/2023
```

11:19:31

AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF THE ONLY KNOWN ADDRESS (GOOGLE ADDRESS, JOY 12/16/2020 DEVINE CHURCH, PAHRUMP) & DAYS/TIMES (FRIDAYS 9:AM TO NOON, SUNDAYS 10-11AM) TO SERVE SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY TO ILLEGAL ALIEN WITNESS MARIA SANCHEZ WHO IS DELIBERATLY & UNLAWFULLY AVOIDING SERVICE OF SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY (SUBMITTED BY DEFENDANT, PETER JASON HELFRICH) JUDICIAL NOTICE OF DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS STOLEN IN CASE NO.: 20CR01449; 12/23/2020 INCIDENT LIKELY TRANSPIRED DURING CELL SEARCH OR STOLEN BY CRIMINAL INFORMANT AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF DEMAND OF 60 DAY SPEEDY TRIAL PURSUANT TO 12/24/2020 THE 6TH AMENDMENT AND REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION AND HEARING TRANSCRIPT OF: PRELIMINARY HEARING (11/30/20) 12/29/2020 01/05/2021 INFORMATION (FELONY/PERSON; FELONY/MOTOR VEHICLE-OTHER; FELONY/PERSON)

01/05/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF PLEA COUNTER OFFER & MITIGATING FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ALFORD PLEA TO BINDING 2 TO 5 & REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION & HEARING ON TBD; AT TBD AM/PM IN DEPT 2 OF SAID COURT

01/05/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE: 12/27/20 PHONE CALL TO ATTORNEY DOUG DAVIS = MARIA SANCHEZ TOLD MR DAVIS THAT IF SHE IS FORCED TO TESTIFY IN THIS CASE; SHE SHALL LIE, SLANDER, (ETC) PETER HELFRICH BECAUSE HE BROKE UP WITH HER & SHE IS AFRAID OF ALVIN THORNTON (ETC)

01/06/2021 ORDER OF RECUSAL(D2 TO D1)

01/06/2021 JUDGE WANKER, KIMBERLY: ASSIGNED

01/11/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF EMERGENCY TERMINATION OF APPOINTMENT OF

POWER OF ATTORNEY

O1/20/2021 REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION; AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE; HAND TRANSCRIBED DISCOVERY IN SUPPORT OF PLEA COUNTER OFFER 2 TO 5 & AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE PROTECTED CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION SERENITY MENTAL HEALTH & REQUEST FOR A PSY. EVAL FOR MITIGATION OF SENTENCE & NOTICE THAT DISCOVERY

DOCUMENTS STOLEN & REQUEST FOR HEARING AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF MITIGATING FACTS CONTAINED IN DEPUTY REPORT

FOR CASE #20NY-1730 PURSUANT TO 28U.S.C. 1746(1); W/O U.S.A

02/04/2021 ORDER OF RECUSAL

01/25/2021

02/22/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT FRAUD/THEFT OF \$ & PROPERTY BY WITNESS MARIA SANCHEZ & CON ARTIST DOUG DAVIS/ CERTIFICATE OF

SERVICE

/ 03/29/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF INVOKING THE RIGHT TO SPEEDY TRIAL PURSUANT TO THE 6TH AMENDMENT &28 U.S.C 1746(1); W/O U.S.A. & REQUEST FOR

SUBMISSION/&/HEARING/&/ 04/06/2021 AMENDED INFORMATION

04/07/2021 NO CONTEST PLEA AGREEMENT

04/08/2021 COURT MINUTES-4/8/21 - SENIOR JUDGE: DAVID GAMBLE

CLERK: TERRI PEMBERTON REPORTER: CECILIA THOMAS BAILIFF: JAMELE TAYLOR

APP: KIRK VITTO FOR THE STATE

DANIEL MARTINEZ IS PRESENT WITH THE DEFENDANT WHO IS IN CUSTODY

COURT CALLS THE MATTER AS AN ARRAIGNMENT. COURT NOTES AN AMENDED INFORMATION HAS BEEN FILED AND SO REVIEWS. NO CONTEST PLEA SUBMITTED TO THE COURT. COURT CANVASS THE DEFENDANT OUTLINES THE NO CONTEST PLEA AGREEMENT FOR THE RECORD AND THE MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SENTENCE. DEFENDANT SO ACKNOWLEDGES, PLEADS NO CONTEST. THE STATE SETS FORTH THE ELEMENT ON THE RECORD. COURT FINDS THE NO CONTEST PLEA TO BE GIVEN FREELY AND ACCEPTS SAID PLEA. COURT SETS SENTENCING TO 6/23/21 @ 10 AM DEFENSE MOTIONS FOR A REDUCED BAIL TO \$10,000 CASH OR BOND. THE STATE ARGUES AGAINST REDUCED BAIL AND ASKS FOR THE MOTION TO BE DENIED. COURT DENIES THE MOTION

04/09/2021 TRANSCRIPT OF ARRAIGNMENT HEARING (HRG 04/08/2021)

04/21/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF MITIGATING FACTS CONTAINED IN PRELIMINARY HEARING TRANSCRIPTS CASE #20CR01449

Run: 12/29/2022 Case Summary Page

11:19:31

04/26/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF: 1. NUMEROUS REQUESTS OF (FOR) COPY/COPIES OF (A) DISCOVERY (STOLEN=ORIGINAL COPY STOLEN) B. TRANSCRIPT REQUESTS = PRELIMINARY HEARING AND ORDER OF PROTECTION HEARING VS ALVIN & ALTERIO THORNTON & IZEL CARMEN DE NINO=NUMEROUS REQUESTS VIA PHONE & MAIL/EMAIL TO

DANIEL MARTINEZ

04/26/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO KIRK VITTO

PROSECUTING/SENTENCING BECAUSE OF JERALD KENDRICKS 2012 QUI TAM 1893 TO WHICH KIRK VITTO WAS A DEFENDANT & PETER HELFRICH WAS A PLAINTIFF='S

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

05/20/2021 ORDER APPOINTING DAVID NEELY, ESQ.

05/20/2021 DEFENSE ATTORNEY: NEELY, DAVID H III ASSIGNED

06/10/2021 PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT (PREPARED 06/08/2021)

06/23/2021 SUPPLEMENTAL PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT (PREPARED 06/21/2021)

06/29/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICIAL NOTICE=ILLEGAL ALIEN CON ARTIST MARIA SANCHEZ FLED

PAHRUMP AFTER PETE HELFRICH ALERTED IMMIGRATION/ICE OF HER ILLEGAL ALIEN

STATUS & THE CRIMES SHE COMMITTED AGAINST PETE HELFRICH'S SELLLING \$20,000 + IN PROPERTY, DESTROYING LEGAL EVIDENCE, IDENTITY THEFT, STEALING

\$1,295.95 IN SOCIAL SECURITY/DISABILITY WAGES, & VOWING TO LIE UNDER OATH

AT TRIAL IN RETALIATION FOR PETE HELFRICH DUMPING/DIVORCING HER

07/08/2021 AFFIDAVIT OF JUDICAIAL NOTICE OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW "PLEA" & NOTICE THAT I

AM FIRING DAVID NEELY

07/27/2021 COURT MINUTES-7/28/21 - SENIOR JUDGE: DAVID GAMBLE

CLERK: TERRI PEMBERTON REPORTER: SUZIE ROWE BAILIFF: JAMELE TAYLOR

APP: KIRK VITTO FOR THE STATE

DAVID NEELY IS PRESENT WITH THE DEFENDANT WHO IS IN CUSTODY

#### SENTENCING HEARING 1115AM

COURT CALLS THE MATTER AS A SENTENCING HEARING. COURT REVIEWS THE PSI'S THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED. NO CHANGES TO THE PSI REPORT. COURT NOTES P&P IS NOT PRESENT AND THE CTS IS COMPLICATED. COURT WAS HOPING P&P WOULD BE HERE TO PROVIDE THERE CALCULATION. THE STATE BELIEVES THE CTS SHOULD BE102 DAYS. DEFENSE STATES THE DEFENDANT IS NOT SURE. DEFENDANT IS OK TO PROCEED WITH COURT ORDERS COUNSEL TO BE IN TOUCH WITH P&P TO OBTAIN THE 102 DAYS CTS. THE PROPER AMOUNT OF CTS AND IF IT IS DIFFERENT THE JOC WILL BE AMENDED TO REFLECT THE CORRECT AMOUNT. ALL PARTIES CONCUR. COURT INFORMS THE DEFENDANT THAT HE HAS REVIEWED ALL DOCUMENTS THAT HE HAS SUBMITTED TO THE CLERK'S FOR FILING. SENIOR JUDGE GAMBLE HAS ORDERED THE CLERK'S TO NOT FILE SAID DOCUMENT'S AS HE IS REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL THE DOCUMENTS ARE ON THE LEFT SIDE IN THE FILE. DEFENSE ARGUES FOR MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT, 2 YEARS TO 6 YEARS WITH PROBATION . DEFENSE REVIEWS A PORTION OF THE PSI AS REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT. THE STATE RECOMMENDS THE MAXIMUM 48/120 WITH A \$10000 FINE. THE STATE REVIEWS THE PREVIOUS CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN. DEFENDANT ADDRESSES AND REVIEWS THE INCIDENT IN DETAIL. COURT ADMONISHES THE DEFENDANT TO THE BAD DECISION THE DEFENDANT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE INICIDENT. COURT ADJUDICATES THE DEFENDANT GUILTY. COURT SENTENCES THE DEFENDANT TO \$25 \$3 2 TO 6 YEARS IN PRISON WITH PAROLE ELIGIBILITY IN 2 YEAR WITH 102 DAYS CTS (IF P&P CONFIRMS IT TO BE MORE CTS IT SHALL BE AMENDED).

07/28/2021 JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (FELONY/PERSON)

08/03/2021 TRANSCRIPT OF: SENTENCING(07/28/21)

08/04/2021 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS SENTENCING (7/28/21)

08/18/2021 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: SENTENCING (07/28/2021)

09/10/2021 APPLICATION TO WAIVE FEES