Case Nos. 85525 & 85656

In the Supreme Court of Nevada

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY; UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES, INC.; UMR, INC.; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.; and HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC.,

Appellants,

vs.

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD.; TEAM PHYSICIANS OF NEVADA-MANDAVIA, P.C.; and CRUM STEFANKO AND JONES, LTD.,

Respondents.

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY; UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES, INC.; UMR, INC.; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.; and HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC.,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark; and the Honorable NANCY L. ALLF, District Judge,

Respondents,

us.

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD.; TEAM PHYSICIANS OF NEVADA-MANDAVIA, P.C.; and CRUM STEFANKO AND JONES, LTD.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Electronically Filed Apr 18 2023 08:00 PM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No. 85525

Case No. 85656

APPELLANTS' APPENDIX VOLUME 26 PAGES 6251-6500

K. LEE BLALACK II
(pro hac vice)

JONATHAN D. HACKER (pro hac vice forthcoming)

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

1625 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376)
JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492)
ABRAHAM G. SMITH (SBN 13,250)
KORY J. KOERPERICH (SBN 14,559)
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP
3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Attorneys for Appellants/Petitioners

D. LEE ROBERTS (SBN 8877)
COLBY L. BALKENBUSH
(SBN 13,066)
WEINBERG, WHEELER,
HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, LLC
6385 South Rainbow Blvd.,
Ste. 400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
1.	Complaint (Business Court)	04/15/19	1	1–17
2.	Peremptory Challenge of Judge	04/17/19	1	18–19
3.	Summons - UMR, Inc. dba United Medical Resources	04/25/19	1	20–22
4.	Summons – United Health Care Services Inc. dba UnitedHealthcare	04/25/19	1	23–25
5.	Summons – United Healthcare Insurance Company	04/25/19	1	26–28
6.	Summons – Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.	04/30/19	1	29–31
7.	Summons – Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc.	04/30/19	1	32–34
8.	Summons – Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc.	04/30/19	1	35–37
9.	Summons – Oxford Health Plans, Inc.	05/06/19	1	38–41
10.	Notice of Removal to Federal Court	05/14/19	1	42–100
11.	Motion to Remand	05/24/19	1	101–122
12.	Defendants' Statement of Removal	05/30/19	1	123–126
13.	Freemont Emergency Services (MANDAVIA), Ltd's Response to Statement of Removal	05/31/19	1	127–138
14.	Defendants' Opposition to Fremont	06/21/19	1	139–250
	Emergency Services (MANDAVIA), Ltd.'s Motion to Remand		2	251–275
15.	Rely in Support of Motion to Remand	06/28/19	2	276–308
16.	Civil Order to Statistically Close Case	12/10/19	2	309
17.	Amended Motion to Remand	01/15/20	2	310–348

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
18.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Amended Motion to Remand	01/29/20	2	349–485
19.	Reply in Support of Amended Motion to Remand	02/05/20	2 3	486–500 501–518
20.	Order	02/20/20	3	519–524
21.	Order	02/24/20	3	525-542
22.	Notice of Entry of Order Re: Remand	02/27/20	3	543-552
23.	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/12/20	3	553-698
24.	Notice of Intent to Take Default as to: (1) Defendant UnitedHealth Group, Inc. on All Claims; and (2) All Defendants on the First Amended Complaint's Eighth Claim for Relief	03/13/20	3 4	699–750 751
25.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/26/20	4	752–783
26.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/26/20	4	784–908
27.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	04/03/20	4	909–918
28.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	05/07/20	4	919–948
29.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	05/14/20	4	949-972
30.	First Amended Complaint	05/15/20	4 5	973–1000 1001–1021
31.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	05/15/20	5	1022–1026
32.	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	05/26/20	5	1027–1172

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
33.	Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	05/26/20	5	1173–1187
34.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint	05/29/20	5 6	1188–1250 1251–1293
35.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	05/29/20	6	1294–1309
36.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	06/03/20	6	1310–1339
37.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint	06/03/20	6	1340–1349
38.	Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions	06/05/20	6	1350–1384
39.	Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions	06/09/20	6	1385–1471
40.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' (1) Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint; and (2) Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	06/24/20	6 7	1472–1500 1501–1516
41.	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality and Protective Order	06/24/20	7	1517–1540
42.	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	07/08/20	7	1541–1590

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
43.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	07/09/20	7	1591–1605
44.	Joint Case Conference Report	07/17/20	7	1606–1627
45.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	07/23/20	7	1628–1643
46.	Transcript of Proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Unredacted MultiPlan, Inc. Agreement	07/29/20	7	1644–1663
47.	Amended Transcript of Proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Unredacted MultiPlan, Inc. Agreement	07/29/20	7	1664–1683
48.	Errata	08/04/20	7	1684
49.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims File for At-Issue Claims, or, in the Alternative, Motion in Limine on Order Shortening Time	08/28/20	7 8	1685–1700 1701–1845
50.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims File for At-Issue Claims, Or, in The Alternative, Motion in Limine on Order Shortening Time	09/04/20	8	1846–1932
51.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	09/09/20	8	1933–1997
52.	Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiffs to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	09/21/20	8 9	1998–2000 2001–2183
53.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting, in Part Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims for At-Issue Claims,	09/28/20	9	2184–2195

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Or, in The Alternative, Motion in Limine			
54.	Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories	09/28/20	9	2196–2223
55.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At- Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	09/29/20	9-10	2224–2292
56.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents, and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/06/20	10	2293–2336
57.	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures	10/07/20	10	2337–2362
58.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	10/08/20	10	2363–2446
59.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	10/22/20	10	2447–2481
60.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/23/20	10 11	2482–2500 2501–2572
61.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs to Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/26/20	11	2573–2670

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
62.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on Order Shortening Time	10/27/20	11	2671–2683
63.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/27/20	11	2684–2695
64.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At- Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiffs' to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	11/02/20	11	2696–2744
65.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	11/04/20	11 12	2745–2750 2751–2774
66.	Notice of Entry of Order Setting Defendants' Production & Response Schedule Re: Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	11/09/20	12	2775–2785
67.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	12/23/20	12	2786–2838
68.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	12/30/20	12	2839–2859
69.	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Electronically Stored Information Protocol Order	01/08/21	12	2860–2874

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
70.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/08/21	12 13 14	2875–3000 3001–3250 3251–3397
71.	Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/11/21	14	3398–3419
72.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/12/21	14	3420–3438
73.	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Unsealed Portion Only)	01/13/21	14	3439–3448
74.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/19/21	14	3449–3465
75.	Appendix to Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/19/21	14 15	3466–3500 3501–3658
76.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/21/21	15	3659–3692
77.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Appointment of Special Master	02/02/21	15	3693–3702
78.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	02/04/21	15	3703–3713
79.	Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel	02/18/21	15 16	3714–3750 3751–3756

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production			
80.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	02/22/21	16	3757–3769
81.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	02/25/21	16	3770–3823
82.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Defendants' Motion to Extend All Case Management Deadlines and Continue Trial Setting on Order Shortening Time (Second Request)	03/03/21	16	3824–3832
83.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	03/04/21	16	3833–3862
84.	Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/08/21	16	3863–3883
85.	Errata to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/12/21	16	3884–3886
86.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #1	03/16/21	16	3887–3894
87.	Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	03/16/21	16	3895–3909
88.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	03/18/21	16	3910–3915

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
89.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/22/21	16	3916–3966
90.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	03/25/21	16	3967–3970
91.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	03/29/21	16	3971–3980
92.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion to Associate Counsel on OST	04/01/21	16	3981–3986
93.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	04/09/21	16 17	3987–4000 4001–4058
94.	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	04/12/21	17	4059–4079
95.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	04/15/21	17	4080–4091
96.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	04/21/21	17	4092–4095
97.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration of Court's Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to	04/26/21	17	4096–4108

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production			
98.	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time	04/28/21	17	4109–4123
99.	Defendants' Errata to Their Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production	05/03/21	17	4124–4127
100.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Granting Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	05/05/21	17	4128–4154
101.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion for Leave to File Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time in Redacted and Partially Sealed Form	05/12/21	17	4155–4156
102.	Notice of Entry of Order of Report and Recommendation #6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Question	05/26/21	17	4157–4165
103.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	05/28/21	17	4166–4172
104.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #7 Regarding Defendants'	06/03/21	17	4173–4184

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents			
105.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/03/21	17	4185–4209
106.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/04/21	17	4210–4223
107.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time in Redacted and Partially Sealed Form	06/09/21	17	4224–4226
108.	Defendants' Objections to Special Master Report and Recommendation No. 7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents	06/17/21	17	4227–4239
109.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/23/21	17 18	4240–4250 4251–4280
110.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Special Master's Report and Recommendation #7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Amended Third Set of Request for Production of Documents	06/24/21	18	4281–4312
111.	Notice of Entry Report and Recommendations #9 Regarding Pending Motions	07/01/21	18	4313–4325
112.	United's Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents	07/12/21	18	4326–4340

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time			
113.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	07/29/21	18	4341–4382
114.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	08/03/21	18	4383–4402
115.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4403–4413
116.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4414–4424
117.	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4425–4443
118.	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time and	08/09/21	18	4444–4464

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Overruling Objection			
119.	Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Sanctioned for Violating Protective Order	08/10/21	18	4465–4486
120.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified	08/11/21	18	4487–4497
121.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Unsealed Portion Only)	08/17/21	18 19	4498–4500 4501–4527
122.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to United's Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Sanctioned for Allegedly Violating Protective Order	08/24/21	19	4528–4609
123.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	09/02/21	19	4610–4633
124.	Reply Brief on "Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Hold in Contempt and Sanctioned for Violating Protective Order"	09/08/21	19	4634–4666
125.	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	09/09/21	19	4667–4680
126.	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Via Blue Jeans)	09/15/21	19	4681–4708
127.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Questions and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19	4709–4726

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
128.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Request for Production of Documents and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19	4727–4747
129.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed No to Answer and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19 20	4748–4750 4751–4769
130.	Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	09/21/21	20	4770–4804
131.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1: Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Agreements with other Market Players and Related Negotiations	09/21/21	20	4805–4829
132.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 1, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence Relating to Defendants' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	09/21/21	20	4830–4852
133.	Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude References to Defendants' Decision Making Process and Reasonableness of billed Charges if Motion in Limine No. 3 is Denied	09/21/21	20	4853–4868
134.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 10 to Exclude Reference of Defendants' Corporate Structure (Alternative Moton to be Considered Only if court Denies Defendants' Counterpart Motion in Limine No. 9)	09/21/21	20	4869–4885

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
135.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13: Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Collection Practices for Healthcare Claims	09/21/21	20	4886–4918
136.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 13 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Contesting Defendants' Defenses Relating to Claims that were Subject to Settlement Agreement Between CollectRX and Data iSight; and Defendants' Adoption of Specific Negotiation Thresholds for Reimbursement Claims Appealed or Contested by Plaintiffs	09/21/21	20	4919–4940
137.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	09/21/21	20	4941–4972
138.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 7 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of the Costs of the Services that Plaintiffs Provided	09/22/21	20 21	4973–5000 5001–5030
139.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 8, Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 7, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence as to the Qualitative Value, Relative Value, Societal Value, or Difficulty of the Services they Provided	09/22/21	21	5031–5054
140.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 9 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of Plaintiffs Organizational, Management, and Ownership Structure, Including Flow of Funds Between Related Entities, Operating Companies, Parent Companies, and Subsidiaries	09/22/21	21	5055–5080
141.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion	09/29/21	21	5081-5103

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	in Limine No. 1: to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges			
142.	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Defendants' Objection to Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents about which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	09/29/21	21	5104–5114
143.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6 Regarding Billed Charges	09/29/21	21	5115–5154
144.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	09/29/21	21	5155–5169
145.	Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time	10/04/21	21	5170–5201
146.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Via Blue Jeans)	10/06/21	21	5202–5234
147.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time	10/07/21	21	5235–5245
148.	Second Amended Complaint	10/07/21	21 22	5246–5250 5251–5264
149.	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and-or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiffs Have	10/08/21	22	5265–5279

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Dismissed Certain Claims and Parties on Order Shortening Time			
150.	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	10/08/21	22	5280–5287
151.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' NRCP 16.1(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures	10/08/21	22	5288–5294
152.	Plaintiffs' Objections to Defendants' Pretrial Disclosures	10/08/21	22	5295-5300
153.	Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiffs have Dismissed Certain Claims and Parties on Order Shortening Time	10/12/21	22	5301–5308
154.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should not be Held in Contempt for Violating Protective Order	10/14/21	22	5309–5322
155.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/18/21	22	5323–5333
156.	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Legal Newsline)	10/18/21	22	5334–5338
157.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/19/21	22 23	5339–5500 5501–5561
158.	Amended Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/19/21	23 24	5562–5750 5751–5784
159.	Amended Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/20/21	24	5785–5907
160.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/22/21	24	5908–6000

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
			25	6001–6115
161.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/25/21	25	6116–6126
162.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 1	10/25/21	25 26	6127–6250 6251–6279
163.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 2	10/26/21	26	6280-6485
164.	Joint Pretrial Memorandum Pursuant to EDRC 2.67	10/27/21	26 27	6486–6500 6501–6567
165.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 3	10/27/21	27 28	6568–6750 6751–6774
166.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 4	10/28/21	28	6775–6991
167.	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Dolcefino Communications, LLC)	10/28/21	28 28	6992–6997
168.	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Dolcefino Communications, LLC)	10/28/21	28 29	6998–7000 7001–7003
169.	Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	10/28/21	29	7004–7018
170.	Supplement to Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	10/31/21	29	7019–7039
171.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1 Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	11/01/21	29	7040–7051
172.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 1, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence	11/01/21	29	7052–7063

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Relating to Defendants' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations			
173.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow Reference to Plaintiffs' Decision Making Processes Regarding Setting Billed Charges	11/01/21	29	7064–7075
174.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude References to Defendants' Decision Making Processes and Reasonableness of Billed Charges if Motion in Limine No. 3 is Denied	11/01/21	29	7076–7087
175.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12, Paired with Motion in Limine No. 11, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Discussing Defendants' Approach to Reimbursement	11/01/21	29	7088–7099
176.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 5 Regarding Argument or Evidence that Amounts TeamHealth Plaintiffs Billed for Services are Reasonable [An Alternative Motion to Motion in Limine No. 6]	11/01/21	29	7100–7111
177.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 7 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of the Costs of the Services that Plaintiffs Provided	11/01/21	29	7112–7123
178.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 8, Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 7, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence as to the	11/01/21	29	7124–7135

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Qualitative Value, Relative Value, Societal Value, or Difficulty of the Services they Provided			
179.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 10 to Exclude Evidence of Defendants' Corporate Structure (Alternative Motion to be Considered Only if Court Denies Defendants' Counterpart Motion in Limine No. 9)	11/01/21	29	7136–7147
180.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11, Paired with Motion in Limine No. 12, to Authorize Defendants to Discuss Plaintiffs' Conduct and Deliberations in Negotiating Reimbursement	11/01/21	29	7148–7159
181.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Collection Practices for Healthcare Claims	11/01/21	29	7160–7171
182.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14: Motion Offered in the Alternative MIL No. 13 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Contesting Defendants' Defenses Relating to Claims that were Subject to a Settlement Agreement Between CollectRx and Data iSight; and Defendants' Adoption of Specific Negotiation Thresholds for Reimbursement Claims Appealed or Contested by Plaintiffs	11/01/21	29	7172–7183
183.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 15 to Preclude Reference and Testimony	11/01/21	29	7184–7195

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Regarding the TeamHealth Plaintiffs Policy not to Balance Bill			
184.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 18 to Preclude Testimony of Plaintiffs' Non- Retained Expert Joseph Crane, M.D.	11/01/21	29	7196–7207
185.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 20 to Exclude Defendants' Lobbying Efforts	11/01/21	29	7208–7219
186.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	11/01/21	29	7220–7231
187.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 27 to Preclude Evidence of Complaints Regarding Defendants' Out-Of-Network Rates or Payments	11/01/21	29	7232–7243
188.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 29 to Preclude Evidence Only Relating to Defendants' Evaluation and Development of a Company that Would Offer a Service Similar to Multiplan and Data iSight	11/01/21	29 30	7244–7250 7251–7255
189.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 32 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Materials, Events, or Conduct that Occurred on or After January 1, 2020	11/01/21	30	7256–7267
190.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude Certain Expert Testimony and Fact Witness Testimony by Plaintiffs' Non-Retained	11/01/21	30	7268–7279

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Expert Robert Frantz, M.D.			
191.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 38 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Defendants' use of MultiPlan and the Data iSight Service, Including Any Alleged Conspiracy or Fraud Relating to the use of Those Services	11/01/21	30	7280–7291
192.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony And-Or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiff have Dismissed Certain Claims	11/01/21	30	7292–7354
193.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Supplement Report of David Leathers	11/01/21	30	7355–7366
194.	Plaintiffs' Notice of Amended Exhibit List	11/01/21	30	7367–7392
195.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	11/01/21	30	7393–7403
196.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 5	11/01/21	30 31	7404–7500 7501–7605
197.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 6	11/02/21	31 32	7606–7750 7751–7777
198.	Defendants' Deposition Designations and Objections to Plaintiffs' Deposition Counter- Designations	11/03/21	32	7778–7829
199.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	11/03/21	32	7830–7852
200.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and	11/03/21	32	7853–7874

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified			
201.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 7	11/03/21	32 33	7875–8000 8001–8091
202.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 17	11/04/21	33	8092–8103
203.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 25	11/04/21	33	8104-8115
204.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 37	11/04/21	33	8116–8127
205.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 9	11/04/21	33	8128–8140
206.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 21	11/04/21	33	8141–8153
207.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 22	11/04/21	33	8154–8165
208.	Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition Designations	11/04/21	33 34	8166–8250 8251–8342
209.	1st Amended Jury List	11/08/21	34	8343
210.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 8	11/08/21	34 35	8344–8500 8501–8514
211.	Recorder's Amended Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	35	8515–8723
212.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	35 36	8724–8750 8751–8932
213.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 10	11/10/21	36	8933–9000

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
			37	9001-9152
214.	Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Only Documents Used at Trial Under Seal	11/12/21	37	9153–9161
215.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	11/12/21	37	9162–9173
216.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Defendants' Prompt Payment Act Jury Instruction Re: Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies	11/12/21	37	9174–9184
217.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 11	11/12/21	37 38	9185–9250 9251–9416
218.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Specific Price Term	11/14/21	38	9417–9425
219.	2nd Amended Jury List	11/15/21	38	9426
220.	Defendants' Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/15/21	38	9427–9470
221.	Jointly Submitted Jury Instructions	11/15/21	38	9471-9495
222.	Plaintiffs' Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/15/21	38 39	9496–9500 9501–9513
223.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Punitive Damages for Unjust Enrichment Claim	11/15/21	39	9514–9521
224.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 12	11/15/21	39 40	9522–9750 9751–9798
225.	Defendants' Response to TeamHealth Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Defendants' Prompt Pay Act Jury Instruction Re: Failure to Exhaust Administrative	11/16/21	40	9799–9806

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Remedies			
226.	General Defense Verdict	11/16/21	40	9807–9809
227.	Plaintiffs' Proposed Verdict Form	11/16/21	40	9810–9819
228.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 13	11/16/21	40 41	9820–10,000 10,001–10,115
229.	Reply in Support of Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-Of- State Harms to Non-Parties	11/16/21	41	10,116–10,152
230.	Response to Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Specific Price Term	11/16/21	41	10,153–10,169
231.	Special Verdict Form	11/16/21	41	10,169–10,197
232.	Trial Brief Regarding Jury Instructions on Formation of an Implied-In-Fact Contract	11/16/21	41	10,198–10,231
233.	Trial Brief Regarding Jury Instructions on Unjust Enrichment	11/16/21	41	10,232–10,248
234.	3rd Amended Jury List	11/17/21	41	10,249
235.	Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	11/17/21	41 42	10,250 10,251–10,307
236.	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Jury Instruction (Contested)	11/17/21	42	10,308–10,313
237.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 14	11/17/21	42 43	10,314–10,500 10,501–10,617
238.	Errata to Source on Defense Contested Jury Instructions	11/18/21	43	10,618–10,623
239.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 15	11/18/21	43 44	10,624–10,750 10,751–10,946
240.	Defendants' Supplemental Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/19/21	44	10,947–10,952

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
241.	Errata	11/19/21	44	10,953
242.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	11/19/21	44	10,954–10,963
243.	Plaintiffs' Proposed Special Verdict Form	11/19/21	44	10,964–10,973
244.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 16	11/19/21	44 45	10,974–11,000 11,001–11,241
245.	Response to Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Punitive Damages for Unjust Enrichment Claim	11/19/21	45 46	11,242–11,250 11,251–11,254
246.	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/20/21	46	11,255–11,261
247.	Defendants' Supplemental Proposed Jury Instruction	11/21/21	46	11,262–11,266
248.	Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/21/21	46	11,267–11,272
249.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 17	11/22/21	46 47	11,273–11,500 11.501–11,593
250.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	11/22/21	47	11,594–11,608
251.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	11/22/21	47	11,609–11,631
252.	4th Amended Jury List	11/23/21	47	11,632
253.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 18	11/23/21	47 48	11,633–11,750 11,751–11,907

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
254.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 19	11/24/21	48	11,908–11,956
255.	Jury Instructions	11/29/21	48	11,957–11,999
256.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 20	11/29/21	48	12,000
			49	12,001–12,034
257.	Special Verdict Form	11/29/21	49	12,035–12,046
258.	Verdict(s) Submitted to Jury but Returned Unsigned	11/29/21	49	12,047–12,048
259.	Defendants' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions	12/05/21	49	12,049–12,063
260.	Plaintiffs' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions and Verdict Form	12/06/21	49	12,064–12,072
261.	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions	12/06/21	49	12,072–12,077
262.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 21	12/06/21	49	12,078-,12,135
263.	Defendants' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions-Supplement	12/07/21	49	12,136–12,142
264.	Jury Instructions Phase Two	12/07/21	49	12,143–12,149
265.	Special Verdict Form	12/07/21	49	12,150–12,152
266.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 22	12/07/21	49	12,153–12,250
			50	12,251–12,293
267.	Motion to Seal Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/15/21	50	12,294–12,302
268.	Motion to Seal Defendants' Supplement to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/15/21	50	12,303–12,311
269.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Only Documents Used at	12/27/21	50	12,312–12,322

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Trial Under Seal			
270.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to United's Motion to Seal	12/29/21	50	12,323–12,341
271.	Defendants' Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	12/30/21	50	12,342–12,363
272.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damage	12/30/21	50 51	12,364–12,500 12,501–12,706
273.	Defendants' Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	01/04/22	51	12,707–12,717
274.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Judgement as a Matter of Law	01/06/22	51	12,718–12,738
275.	Motion to Seal Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/10/22	51	12,739–12,747
276.	Motion to Seal Defendants' Second Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/10/22	51 52	12,748–12,750 12,751–12,756
277.	Defendants' Motion to Seal Courtroom During January 12, 2022 Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits on Order Shortening Time	01/11/22	52	12,757–12,768
278.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Seal Courtroom During January 12, 2022 Hearing	01/12/22	52	12,769–12,772
279.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for	01/20/22	52	12,773–12,790

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Entry of Judgment			
280.	Appendix in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	01/20/22	52	12,791–12,968
281.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Proposed Schedule for Submission of Final Redactions	01/31/22	52	12,969–12,979
282.	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Schedule for Submission of Redactions	02/08/22	52	12,980–12,996
283.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Cross- Motion for Entry of Judgment	02/10/22	52 53	12,997–13,000 13,001–13,004
284.	Defendant' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	02/10/22	53	13,005–13,028
285.	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time for Hearing Re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Unlock Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits	02/14/22	53	13,029–13,046
286.	Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Unlock Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits on Order Shortening Time	02/15/22	53	13,047–13,053
287.	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	02/15/22	53	13,054–13,062
288.	Defendants' Index of Trial Exhibit Redactions in Dispute	02/16/22	53	13,063–13,073
289.	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits	02/17/22	53	13,074–13,097
290.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	02/17/22	53	13,098–13,160

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
291.	Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Judgment and Order Denying Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	03/04/22	53	13,161–13,167
292.	Notice of Entry of Judgment	03/09/22	53	13,168–13,178
293.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	03/09/22	53	13,179–13,197
294.	Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost	03/14/22	53	13,198–13,208
295.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 1	03/14/22	53 54	13,209–13,250 13.251–13,464
296.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 2	03/14/22	54 55	13,465–13,500 13,501–13,719
297.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 3	03/14/22	55 56	13,720–13,750 13,751–13,976
298.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 4	03/14/22	56 57	13,977–14,000 14,001–14,186
299.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 5	03/14/22	57 58	14,187–14,250 14,251–14,421
300.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 6	03/14/22	58 59	14,422–14,500 14,501–14,673
301.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 7	03/14/22	59 60	14,674–14,750 14,751–14,920
302.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of	03/14/22	60 61	14,921–15,000 15,001–15,174

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Cost Volume 8			
303.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 9	03/14/22	61 62	15,175–15,250 15,251–15,373
304.	Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	03/21/22	62	15,374–15,388
305.	Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	03/30/22	62	15,389–15,397
306.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 1	03/30/22	62 63	15,398–15,500 15,501–15,619
307.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 2	03/30/22	63 64	15,620–15,750 15,751–15,821
308.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 3	03/30/22	64 65	15,822–16,000 16,001–16,053
309.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 4	03/30/22	65	16,054–16,232
310.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 5	03/30/22	65 66	16,233–16,250 16,251–16,361
311.	Defendants Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Post-Trial Motions on Order Shortening Time	04/05/22	66	16,362–16,381
312.	Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	04/06/22	66	16,382–16,399
313.	Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	04/06/22	66	16,400–16,448
314.	Motion for New Trial	04/06/22	66 67	16,449–16,500 16,501–16,677

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
315.	Notice of Appeal	04/06/22	67	16,678–16,694
316.	Case Appeal Statement	04/06/22	67 68	16,695–16,750 16,751–16,825
317.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay	04/07/22	68	16,826–16,831
318.	Reply on "Defendants' Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Post-Trial Motions" (on Order Shortening Time)	04/07/22	68	16,832–16,836
319.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	04/07/22	68	16,837–16,855
320.	Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	04/13/22	68	16,856–16,864
321.	Appendix in Support of Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	04/13/22	68 69	16,865–17,000 17,001–17,035
322.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	04/20/22	69	17,036–17,101
323.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	04/21/22	69	17,102–17,113
324.	Notice of Posting Supersedeas Bond	04/29/22	69	17,114–17,121
325.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Costs	05/04/22	69	17,122–17,150
326.	Health Care Providers' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees	05/04/22	69	17,151–17,164
327.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	05/04/22	69	17,165–17,178
328.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for New Trial	05/04/22	69 70	17,179–17,250 17,251–17,335
329.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter	05/05/22	70	17,336–17,373

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	of Law			
330.	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	06/22/22	70	17,374–17,385
331.	Reply in Support of Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	06/22/22	70	17,386–17,411
332.	Reply in Support of Motion for New Trial	06/22/22	70	17,412–17,469
333.	Notice of Supplemental Attorneys Fees Incurred After Submission of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys Fees	06/24/22	70 71	17,470–17,500 17,501–17,578
334.	Defendants' Response to Improper Supplement Entitled "Notice of Supplemental Attorney Fees Incurred After Submission of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys Fees"	06/28/22	71	17,579–17,593
335.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	06/29/22	71	17,594–17,609
336.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/29/22	71	17,610–17,681
337.	Order Amending Oral Ruling Granting Defendants' Motion to Retax	07/01/22	71	17,682–17,688
338.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	07/19/22	71	17,689–17,699
339.	Defendants' Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Approving Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	07/26/22	71	17,700–17,706
340.	Notice of Entry of Order Approving Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	08/02/22	71	17,707–17,725

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
341.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	08/02/22	71	17,726–17,739
342.	Amended Case Appeal Statement	08/15/22	71 72	17,740–17,750 17,751–17,803
343.	Amended Notice of Appeal	08/15/22	72	17,804–17,934
344.	Reply in Support of Supplemental Attorney's Fees Request	08/22/22	72	17,935–17,940
345.	Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Orders Denying Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and Motion for New Trial	09/13/22	72	17,941–17,950
346.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Hearing	09/22/22	72	17,951–17,972
347.	Limited Objection to "Order Unsealing Trial Transcripts and Restoring Public Access to Docket"	10/06/22	72	17,973–17,978
348.	Defendants' Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript	10/06/22	72	17,979–17,989
349.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript	10/07/22	72	17,990–17,993
350.	Transcript of Proceedings re Status Check	10/10/22	72 73	17,994–18,000 18,001–18,004
351.	Notice of Entry of Order Approving Supplemental Attorney's Fee Award	10/12/22	73	18,005–18,015
352.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for New Trial	10/12/22	73	18,016–18,086
353.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	10/12/22	73	18,087–18,114
354.	Notice of Entry of Order Unsealing Trial Transcripts and Restoring Public Access to	10/12/22	73	18,115–18,125

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Docket			
355.	Notice of Appeal	10/12/22	73 74	18,126–18,250 18,251–18,467
356.	Case Appeal Statement	10/12/22	74 75	18,468–18,500 18,501–18,598
357.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying "Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript"	10/13/22	75	18,599–18,608
358.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	10/18/22	75 76	18,609–18,750 18,751–18,755
359.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Status Check	10/20/22	76	18,756–18,758
360.	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Expiration of Temporary Stay for Sealed Redacted Transcripts	10/25/22	76	18,759–18,769
361.	Notice of Filing of Writ Petition	11/17/22	76	18,770–18855
362.	Trial Exhibit D5502		76 77	18,856–19,000 19,001–19,143
491.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/08/21	145 146	35,813–36,062 36,063–36,085
492.	Transcript Re: Proposed Jury Instructions	11/21/21	146	36,086–36,250

Filed Under Seal

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
363	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	09/28/20	78	19,144–19,156

364.	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	04/01/21	78	19,157–19,176
365.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	04/01/21	78	19,177–19,388
366.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	04/19/21	78 79	19,389–19,393 19,394–19,532
367.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time	05/05/21	79	19,533–19,581
368.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Supplement the Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 & #3 on Order Shortening Time	05/21/21	79 80 81	19,582–19,643 19,644–19,893 19,894–20,065
369.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Supplement the Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 and #3 on Order Shortening Time	06/01/21	81 82	20,066–20,143 20,144–20,151
370.	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 5 Regarding Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Regarding Confidentiality	06/01/21	82	20,152–20,211

	Designations (Filed April 15, 2021)			
371.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Report and Recommendation #6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Questions	06/16/21	82	20,212-20,265
372.	United's Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	06/24/21	82	20,266–20,290
373.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	06/24/21	82 83 84	20,291–20,393 20,394–20,643 20,644–20,698
374.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	07/06/21	84	20,699–20,742
375.	Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed not to Answer Under Seal	07/15/21	84	20,743-20,750
376.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Special Master Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed not to Answer Questions	07/22/21	84	20,751-20,863
377.	Objection to R&R #11 Regarding United's Motion to Compel Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified	08/25/21	84 85	20,864–20,893 20,894–20,898

378.	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	09/21/21	85	20,899–20,916
379.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	09/21/21	85	20,917–21,076
380.	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges	09/21/21	85	21,077–21,089
381.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges	09/21/21	85 86	21,090–21,143 21,144–21,259
382.	Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow References to Plaintiffs' Decision Making Process Regarding Settling Billing Charges	09/21/21	86	21,260–21,313
383.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 5 Regarding Arguments or Evidence that Amounts TeamHealth Plaintiffs billed for Serves are Reasonable [an Alternative to Motion in Limine No. 6]	09/21/21	86	21,314–21,343
384.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 6 Regarding Argument or Evidence That Amounts Teamhealth Plaintiffs Billed for Services are Reasonable	09/21/21	86	21,344-21,368
385.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 1 of 6)	09/21/21	86 87	21,369–21,393 21,394–21,484

386.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 2 of 6)	09/21/21	87	21,485–21,614
387.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 3 of 6)	09/21/21	87 88	21,615–21,643 21,644–21,744
388.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 4 of 6)	09/21/21	88	21,745–21,874
389.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 5 of 6)	09/21/21	88 89	21,875–21,893 21,894–22,004
390.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 6 of 6)	09/21/21	89	22,005–22,035
391.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 1 of 8	09/21/21	89 90	22,036–22,143 22,144–22,176
392.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 2 of 8	09/21/21	90	22,177–22,309
393.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 3 of 8	09/22/21	90 91	22,310–22,393 22,394–22,442
394.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 4 of 8	09/22/21	91	22,443–22,575
395.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 5 of 8	09/22/21	91	22,576–22,609
396.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 6 of 8	09/22/21	91 92 93	22,610–22,643 22,644–22,893 22,894–23,037
397.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 7a of 8	09/22/21	93 94	23,038–23,143 23,144–23,174
398.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 7b of 8	09/22/21	94	23,175–23,260
399.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 8a of 8	09/22/21	94 95	23,261–23,393 23,394–23,535
400.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 8b of 8	09/22/21	95 96	23,536–23,643 23,634–23,801
401.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11 Paired	09/22/21	96	23,802–23,823

	with Motion in Limine No. 12 to Authorize Defendants to Discuss Plaintiffs' Conduct and deliberations in Negotiating Reimbursement			
402.	Errata to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11	09/22/21	96	23,824–23,859
403.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12 Paired with Motion in Limine No. 11 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Discussing Defendants' Approach to Reimbursement	09/22/21	96	23,860–23,879
404.	Errata to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12	09/22/21	96 97	23,880–23,893 23,894–23,897
405.	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 1)	09/22/21	97	23,898–24,080
406.	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 2)	09/22/21	97 98	24,081–24,143 24,144–24,310
407.	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 3)	09/22/21	98 99 100	24,311–24,393 24,394–24,643 24,644–24,673
408.	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 4)	09/22/21	100 101 102	24,674–24,893 24,894–25,143 25,144–25,204
409.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 1 of 6	09/22/21	102	25,205–25,226
410.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 2 of 6	09/22/21	102	25,227–25,364
411.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 3 of 6	09/22/21	102 103	25,365–25,393 25,394–25,494
412.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 4 of 6	09/22/21	103	25,495–25,624
413.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine	09/22/21	103	25,625–25,643

	No. 14 – Volume 5 of 6		104	25,644-25,754
414.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 6 of 6	09/22/21	104	25,755–25,785
415.	Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to Defendants Motions in Limine 1, 7, 9, 11 & 13	09/29/21	104	25,786–25,850
416.	Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to Defendants' Motions in Limine No. 2, 8, 10, 12 & 14	09/29/21	104	25,851–25,868
417.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	09/29/21	104 105	25,869–25,893 25,894–25,901
418.	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders - Volume 1	09/29/21	105 106	25,902–26,143 26,144–26,216
419.	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders - Volume 2	09/29/21	106 107	26,217–26,393 26,394–26,497
420.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/05/21	107	26,498–26,605
421.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/11/21	107 108	26,606–26,643 26,644–26,663
422.	Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/17/21	108	26,664-26,673
423.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for	10/17/21	108 109	26,674–26,893 26,894–26,930

	Partial Summary Judgment			
424.	Response to Sur-Reply Arguments in Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/21/21	109	26,931–26,952
425.	Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-of-State Harms to Non-Parties	10/31/21	109	26,953–26,964
426.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-of-State Harms to Non- Parties	11/08/21	109	26,965–26,997
427.	Excerpts of Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	109	26,998–27003
428.	Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Documents Used at Trial	11/11/21	109	27,004–27,055
429.	Appendix of Selected Exhibits to Trial Briefs	11/16/21	109	27,056–27,092
430.	Excerpts of Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 13	11/16/21	109	27,093–27,099
431.	Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof	11/22/21	109 110	27,100–27,143 27,144–27,287
432.	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/05/21	110	27,288–27,382
433.	Supplement to Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/08/21	110 111	27,383–27,393 27,394–27,400
434.	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/13/21	111	27,401–27,495
435.	Defendant's Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial	12/14/21	111	27,496–27,505

436.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 1	12/14/21	111 112	27,506–27,643 27,644–27,767
437.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 2	12/14/21	112 113	27,768–27,893 27,894–27,981
438.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 3	12/14/21	113 114	27,982–28,143 28,144–28,188
439.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 1 of 18	12/24/21	114	28,189–28,290
440.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 2 of 18	12/24/21	114 115	28,291–28,393 28,394–28,484
441.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 3 of 18	12/24/21	115 116	28,485–28,643 28,644–28,742
442.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 4 of 18	12/24/21	116 117	28,743–28,893 28,894–28,938
443.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 5 of 18	12/24/21	117	28,939–29,084
444.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 6 of 18	12/24/21	117 118	29,085–29,143 29,144–29,219
445.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 7 of 18	12/24/21	118	29,220–29,384
446.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 8 of 18	12/24/21	118 119	29,385–29,393 29,394–29,527

447.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	119	29,528–29,643
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 9 of 18		120	29,644–29,727
448.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	120	29,728–29,893
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 10 of 18		121	29,894–29,907
449.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 11 of 18	12/24/21	121	29,908–30,051
450.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	121	30,052–30,143
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 12 of 18		122	30,144–30,297
451.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	122	30,298–30,393
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 13 of 18		123	30,394–30,516
452.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	123	30,517–30,643
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 14 of 18		124	30,644–30,677
453.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 15 of 18	12/24/21	124	30,678–30,835
454.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	124	30,836–30,893
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 16 of 18		125	30,894–30,952
455.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial	12/24/21	125	30,953–31,122
	Exhibits – Volume 17 of 18			
456.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	125	30,123–31,143
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 18 of 18		126	31,144–31,258
457.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/05/22	126	31,259–31,308
458.	Second Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial	01/05/22	126	31,309–31,393

	Exhibits		127	31,394–31,500
459.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/12/22	127	31,501–31,596
460.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/20/22	127	31,597–31,643
			128	31,644–31,650
461.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/27/22	128	31,651–31,661
462.	Defendants' Index of Trial Exhibit Redactions in Dispute	02/10/22	128	31,662–31,672
463.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	02/10/22	128	31,673–31,793
464.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	02/16/22	128	31,794–31,887
465.	Joint Status Report and Table Identifying	03/04/22	128	31,888–31,893
	the Redactions to Trial Exhibits That Remain in Dispute		129	31,894–31,922
466.	Transcript of Proceedings re Hearing Regarding Unsealing Record	10/05/22	129	31,923–31,943
467.	Transcript of Proceedings re Status Check	10/06/22	129	31,944–31,953
468.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	129	31,954–32,143
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 1)		130	32,144–32,207
469.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	130	32,208–32,393
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 2)		131	32,394–32,476
470.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	131	32,477–32,643
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 3)		132	32,644–32,751
471.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	132	32,752–32,893
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume		133	32,894–33,016

	4)			
472.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 5)	10/07/22	133 134	33,017–33,143 33,144–33,301
473.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 6)	10/07/22	134 135	33,302–33,393 33,394–33,529
474.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 7)	10/07/22	135 136	33,530–33,643 33,644–33,840
475.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 8)	10/07/22	136 137	33,841–33,893 33,894–34,109
476.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 9)	10/07/22	137 138	34,110–34,143 34,144–34,377
477.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 10)	10/07/22	138 139 140	34,378–34,393 34,394–34,643 34,644–34,668
478.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 11)	10/07/22	140 141	34,669–34,893 34,894–34,907
479.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 12)	10/07/22	141 142	34,908–35,143 35,144–35,162
480.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	142	35,163–35,242

	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 13)			
481.	Exhibits P473_NEW, 4002, 4003, 4005, 4006, 4166, 4168, 4455, 4457, 4774, and 5322 to "Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits" (Tabs 98, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 118, and 119)	10/07/22	142	35,243–35,247
482.	Transcript of Status Check	10/10/22	142	35,248–35,258
483.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing re Hearing	10/13/22	142	35,259–35,263
484.	Trial Exhibit D5499		142 143	35,264–35,393 35,394–35,445
485.	Trial Exhibit D5506		143	35,446
486.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	09/28/20	143	35,447–35,634
487.	Defendants' Motion to Supplement Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 & #3 on Order Shortening Time	05/24/21	143 144	35,635–35,643 35,644–35,648
488.	Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow References to Plaintiffs; Decision Making Processes Regarding Setting Billed Charges	09/21/21	144	35,649–35,702
489.	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Exhibit 43)	09/29/21	144	35,703–35,713
490.	Notice of Filing of Expert Report of Bruce Deal, Revised on November 14, 2021	04/18/23	144	35,714–35,812

ALPHABETICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
209	1st Amended Jury List	11/08/21	34	8343
219	2nd Amended Jury List	11/15/21	38	9426
234	3rd Amended Jury List	11/17/21	41	10,249
252	4th Amended Jury List	11/23/21	47	11,632
342	Amended Case Appeal Statement	08/15/22	71 72	17,740–17,750 17,751–17,803
17	Amended Motion to Remand	01/15/20	2	310–348
343	Amended Notice of Appeal	08/15/22	72	17,804–17,934
117	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4425–4443
118	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4444-4464
158	Amended Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/19/21	23 24	5562–5750 5751–5784
159	Amended Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/20/21	24	5785–5907
47	Amended Transcript of Proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Unredacted MultiPlan, Inc. Agreement	07/29/20	7	1664–1683

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
468	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 1) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	129 130	31,954–32,143 32,144–32,207
469	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 2) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	130 131	32,208–32,393 32,394–32,476
470	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 3) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	131 132	32,477–32,643 32,644–32,751
471	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 4) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	132 133	32,752–32,893 32,894–33,016
472	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 5) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	133 134	33,017–33,143 33,144–33,301
473	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 6) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	134 135	33,302–33,393 33,394–33,529
474	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 7) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	135 136	33,530–33,643 33,644–33,840
475	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 8) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	136 137	33,841–33,893 33,894–34,109
476	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	137	34,110–34,143

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 9) (Filed Under Seal)		138	34,144–34,377
477	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 10) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	138 139 140	34,378–34,393 34,394–34,643 34,644–34,668
478	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 11) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	140 141	34,669–34,893 34,894–34,907
479	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 12) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	141 142	34,908–35,143 35,144–35,162
480	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 13) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	142	35,163–35,242
321	Appendix in Support of Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	04/13/22	68 69	16,865–17,000 17,001–17,035
280	Appendix in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	01/20/22	52	12,791–12,968
306	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 1	03/30/22	62 63	15,398–15,500 15,501–15,619
307	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 2	03/30/22	63 64	15,620–15,750 15,751–15,821
308	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	03/30/22	64 65	15,822–16,000 16,001–16,053

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Volume 3			
309	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 4	03/30/22	65	16,054–16,232
310	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 5	03/30/22	65 66	16,233–16,250 16,251–16,361
295	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 1	03/14/22	53 54	13,209–13,250 13.251–13,464
296	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 2	03/14/22	54 55	13,465–13,500 13,501–13,719
297	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 3	03/14/22	55 56	13,720–13,750 13,751–13,976
298	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 4	03/14/22	56 57	13,977–14,000 14,001–14,186
299	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 5	03/14/22	57 58	14,187–14,250 14,251–14,421
300	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 6	03/14/22	58 59	14,422–14,500 14,501–14,673
301	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 7	03/14/22	59 60	14,674–14,750 14,751–14,920
302	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 8	03/14/22	60 61	14,921–15,000 15,001–15,174
303	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health	03/14/22	61	15,175–15,250

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 9		62	15,251–15,373
486	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	09/28/20	143	35,447–35,634
423	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/17/21	108 109	26,674–26,893 26,894–26,930
379	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	85	20,917–21,076
381	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	85 86	21,090–21,143 21,144–21,259
26	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/26/20	4	784–908
491	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/08/21	145 146	35,813–36,062 36,063–36,085
365	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to	04/01/21	78	19,177–19,388

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions (Filed Under Seal)			
272	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damage	12/30/21	50 51	12,364–12,500 12,501–12,706
436	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 1 (Filed Under Seal)	12/14/21	111 112	27,506–27,643 27,644–27,767
437	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 2 (Filed Under Seal)	12/14/21	112 113	27,768–27,893 27,894–27,981
438	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 3 (Filed Under Seal)	12/14/21	113 114	27,982–28,143 28,144–28,188
429	Appendix of Selected Exhibits to Trial Briefs (Filed Under Seal)	11/16/21	109	27,056–27,092
405	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 1) (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	97	23,898–24,080
406	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 2) (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	97 98	24,081–24,143 24,144–24,310
407	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 3) (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	98 99 100	24,311–24,393 24,394–24,643 24,644–24,673
408	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 4) (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	100 101 102	24,674–24,893 24,894–25,143 25,144–25,204
391	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 1 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	89 90	22,036–22,143 22,144–22,176

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
392	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 2 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	90	22,177–22,309
393	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 3 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	90 91	22,310–22,393 22,394–22,442
394	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 4 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	91	22,443–22,575
395	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 5 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	91	22,576–22,609
396	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 6 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	91 92 93	22,610–22,643 22,644–22,893 22,894–23,037
397	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 7a of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	93 94	23,038–23,143 23,144–23,174
398	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 7b of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	94	23,175–23,260
399	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 8a of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	94 95	23,261–23,393 23,394–23,535
400	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 8b of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	95 96	23,536–23,643 23,634–23,801
385	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 1 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	86 87	21,369–21,393 21,394–21,484
386	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 2 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	87	21,485–21,614
387	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine	09/21/21	87	21,615–21,643

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	No. 13 (Volume 3 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	1	88	21,644-21,744
388	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 4 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	88	21,745–21,874
389	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 5 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	88 89	21,875–21,893 21,894–22,004
390	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 6 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	89	22,005–22,035
409	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 1 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	102	25,205–25,226
410	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 2 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	102	25,227–25,364
411	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 3 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	102 103	25,365–25,393 25,394–25,494
412	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 4 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	103	25,495–25,624
413	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 5 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	103 104	25,625–25,643 25,644–25,754
414	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 6 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	104	25,755–25,785
373	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	06/24/21	82 83 84	20,291–20,393 20,394–20,643 20,644–20,698
70	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/08/21	12 13 14	2875–3000 3001–3250 3251–3397
368	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Supplement the Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 & #3 on Order Shortening Time (Filed	05/21/21	79 80 81	19,582–19,643 19,644–19,893 19,894–20,065

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Under Seal)			
418	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders - Volume 1 (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	105 106	25,902–26,143 26,144–26,216
419	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders - Volume 2 (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	106 107	26,217–26,393 26,394–26,497
489	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Exhibit 43) (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	144	35,703–35,713
75	Appendix to Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/19/21	14 15	3466–3500 3501–3658
316	Case Appeal Statement	04/06/22	67 68	16,695–16,750 16,751–16,825
356	Case Appeal Statement	10/12/22	74 75	18,468–18,500 18,501–18,598
16	Civil Order to Statistically Close Case	12/10/19	2	309
1	Complaint (Business Court)	04/15/19	1	1–17
284	Defendant' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	02/10/22	53	13,005–13,028
435	Defendant's Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial (Filed Under Seal)	12/14/21	111	27,496–27,505

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
311	Defendants Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Post-Trial Motions on Order Shortening Time	04/05/22	66	16,362–16,381
42	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	07/08/20	7	1541–1590
150	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	10/08/21	22	5280–5287
198	Defendants' Deposition Designations and Objections to Plaintiffs' Deposition Counter- Designations	11/03/21	32	7778–7829
99	Defendants' Errata to Their Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production	05/03/21	17	4124–4127
288	Defendants' Index of Trial Exhibit Redactions in Dispute	02/16/22	53	13,063–13,073
462	Defendants' Index of Trial Exhibit Redactions in Dispute (Filed Under Seal)	02/10/22	128	31,662–31,672
235	Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	11/17/21	41 42	10,250 10,251–10,307
375	Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed not to Answer Under Seal (Filed Under Seal)	07/15/21	84	20,743-20,750
214	Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Only Documents Used at	11/12/21	37	9153–9161

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Trial Under Seal			
130	Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	09/21/21	20	4770–4804
312	Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	04/06/22	66	16,382–16,399
131	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1: Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Agreements with other Market Players and Related Negotiations	09/21/21	20	4805–4829
134	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 10 to Exclude Reference of Defendants' Corporate Structure (Alternative Moton to be Considered Only if court Denies Defendants' Counterpart Motion in Limine No. 9)	09/21/21	20	4869–4885
401	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11 Paired with Motion in Limine No. 12 to Authorize Defendants to Discuss Plaintiffs' Conduct and deliberations in Negotiating Reimbursement (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	96	23,802–23,823
403	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12 Paired with Motion in Limine No. 11 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Discussing Defendants' Approach to Reimbursement (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	96	23,860–23,879
135	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13: Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Collection Practices for Healthcare Claims	09/21/21	20	4886–4918
136	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 13 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Contesting Defendants' Defenses Relating to Claims that were Subject to Settlement Agreement	09/21/21	20	4919–4940

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Between CollectRX and Data iSight; and Defendants' Adoption of Specific Negotiation Thresholds for Reimbursement Claims Appealed or Contested by Plaintiffs			
132	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 1, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence Relating to Defendants' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	09/21/21	20	4830–4852
137	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	09/21/21	20	4941–4972
383	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 5 Regarding Arguments or Evidence that Amounts TeamHealth Plaintiffs billed for Serves are Reasonable [an Alternative to Motion in Limine No. 6] (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	86	21,314–21,343
384	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 6 Regarding Argument or Evidence That Amounts Teamhealth Plaintiffs Billed for Services are Reasonable (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	86	21,344-21,368
138	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 7 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of the Costs of the Services that Plaintiffs Provided	09/22/21	20 21	4973–5000 5001–5030
139	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 8, Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 7, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence as to the Qualitative Value, Relative Value, Societal Value, or Difficulty of the Services they Provided	09/22/21	21	5031-5054
140	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 9 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of	09/22/21	21	5055–5080

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Plaintiffs Organizational, Management, and Ownership Structure, Including Flow of Funds Between Related Entities, Operating Companies, Parent Companies, and Subsidiaries			
271	Defendants' Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	12/30/21	50	12,342–12,363
71	Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/11/21	14	3398–3419
52	Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiffs to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	09/21/20	8 9	1998–2000 2001–2183
23	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/12/20	3	553–698
32	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	05/26/20	5	1027–1172
348	Defendants' Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript	10/06/22	72	17,979–17,989
304	Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	03/21/22	62	15,374–15,388
277	Defendants' Motion to Seal Courtroom During January 12, 2022 Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits on Order Shortening Time	01/11/22	52	12,757-12,768
487	Defendants' Motion to Supplement Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 & #3 on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	05/24/21	143 144	35,635–35,643 35,644–35,648
169	Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	10/28/21	29	7004–7018

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
339	Defendants' Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Approving Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	07/26/22	71	17,700–17,706
273	Defendants' Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	01/04/22	51	12,707–12,717
94	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	04/12/21	17	4059–4079
98	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time	04/28/21	17	4109–4123
370	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 5 Regarding Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Regarding Confidentiality Designations (Filed April 15, 2021) (Filed Under Seal)	06/01/21	82	20,152-20,211
61	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs to Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/26/20	11	2573–2670
151	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' NRCP 16.1(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures	10/08/21	22	5288-5294
64	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel	11/02/20	11	2696–2744

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Production of Clinical Documents for the At- Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiffs' to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time			
60	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/23/20	10 11	2482–2500 2501–2572
199	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	11/03/21	32	7830–7852
100	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Granting Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	05/05/21	17	4128–4154
108	Defendants' Objections to Special Master Report and Recommendation No. 7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents	06/17/21	17	4227–4239
431	Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof (Filed Under Seal)	11/22/21	109 110	27,100–27,143 27,144–27,287
14	Defendants' Opposition to Fremont Emergency Services (MANDAVIA), Ltd.'s Motion to Remand	06/21/19	1 2	139–250 251–275
18	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Amended Motion to Remand	01/29/20	2	349–485
283	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Cross-	02/10/22	52	12,997–13,000

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Motion for Entry of Judgment		53	13,001–13,004
322	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	04/20/22	69	17,036–17,101
155	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/18/21	22	5323–5333
141	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 1: to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges	09/29/21	21	5081–5103
417	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	104 105	25,869–25,893 25,894–25,901
50	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims File for At-Issue Claims, Or, in The Alternative, Motion in Limine on Order Shortening Time	09/04/20	8	1846–1932
56	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents, and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/06/20	10	2293–2336
251	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	11/22/21	47	11,609–11,631
89	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause	03/22/21	16	3916–3966

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Why Defendants Should Not be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions			
220	Defendants' Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/15/21	38	9427–9470
259	Defendants' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions	12/05/21	49	12,049–12,063
263	Defendants' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions-Supplement	12/07/21	49	12,136–12,142
313	Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	04/06/22	66	16,400–16,448
421	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/11/21	107 108	26,606–26,643 26,644–26,663
74	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/19/21	14	3449–3465
28	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	05/07/20	4	919–948
36	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	06/03/20	6	1310–1339
325	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Costs	05/04/22	69	17,122–17,150
457	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed Under Seal)	01/05/22	126	31,259–31,308
37	Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint	06/03/20	6	1340–1349
334	Defendants' Response to Improper Supplement Entitled "Notice of	06/28/22	71	17,579–17,593

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Supplemental Attorney Fees Incurred After Submission of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys Fees"			
286	Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Unlock Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits on Order Shortening Time	02/15/22	53	13,047–13,053
225	Defendants' Response to TeamHealth Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Defendants' Prompt Pay Act Jury Instruction Re: Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies	11/16/21	40	9799–9806
12	Defendants' Statement of Removal	05/30/19	1	123–126
33	Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	05/26/20	5	1173–1187
247	Defendants' Supplemental Proposed Jury Instruction	11/21/21	46	11,262–11,266
240	Defendants' Supplemental Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/19/21	44	10,947–10,952
48	Errata	08/04/20	7	1684
241	Errata	11/19/21	44	10,953
402	Errata to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	96	23,824–23,859
404	Errata to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	96 97	23,880–23,893 23,894–23,897
54	Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories	09/28/20	9	2196–2223
85	Errata to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for	03/12/21	16	3884–3886

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Sanctions			
238	Errata to Source on Defense Contested Jury Instructions	11/18/21	43	10,618–10,623
430	Excerpts of Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 13 (Filed Under Seal)	11/16/21	109	27,093–27,099
427	Excerpts of Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9 (Filed Under Seal)	11/09/21	109	26,998–27003
481	Exhibits P473_NEW, 4002, 4003, 4005, 4006, 4166, 4168, 4455, 4457, 4774, and 5322 to "Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits" (Tabs 98, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 118, and 119) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	142	35,243–35,247
30	First Amended Complaint	05/15/20	$\frac{4}{5}$	973–1000 1001–1021
13	Freemont Emergency Services (MANDAVIA), Ltd's Response to Statement of Removal	05/31/19	1	127–138
226	General Defense Verdict	11/16/21	40	9807–9809
305	Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	03/30/22	62	15,389–15,397
326	Health Care Providers' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees	05/04/22	69	17,151–17,164
294	Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost	03/14/22	53	13,198–13,208
44	Joint Case Conference Report	07/17/20	7	1606–1627
164	Joint Pretrial Memorandum Pursuant to EDRC 2.67	10/27/21	26 27	6486–6500 6501–6567
465	Joint Status Report and Table Identifying	03/04/22	128	31,888–31,893

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	the Redactions to Trial Exhibits That Remain in Dispute (Filed Under Seal)		129	31,894–31,922
221	Jointly Submitted Jury Instructions	11/15/21	38	9471–9495
255	Jury Instructions	11/29/21	48	11,957–11,999
264	Jury Instructions Phase Two	12/07/21	49	12,143–12,149
347	Limited Objection to "Order Unsealing Trial Transcripts and Restoring Public Access to Docket"	10/06/22	72	17,973–17,978
156	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Legal Newsline)	10/18/21	22	5334–5338
167	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Dolcefino Communications, LLC)	10/28/21	28 28	6992–6997
168	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Dolcefino Communications, LLC)	10/28/21	28 29	6998–7000 7001–7003
314	Motion for New Trial	04/06/22	66 67	16,449–16,500 16,501–16,677
119	Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Sanctioned for Violating Protective Order	08/10/21	18	4465–4486
79	Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	02/18/21	15 16	3714–3750 3751–3756
488	Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow References to Plaintiffs; Decision Making Processes Regarding Setting Billed Charges (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	144	35,649–35,702

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
382	Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow References to Plaintiffs' Decision Making Process Regarding Settling Billing Charges (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	86	21,260–21,313
133	Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude References to Defendants' Decision Making Process and Reasonableness of billed Charges if Motion in Limine No. 3 is Denied	09/21/21	20	4853–4868
11	Motion to Remand	05/24/19	1	101–122
432	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed Under Seal)	12/05/21	110	27,288–27,382
434	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed Under Seal)	12/13/21	111	27,401–27,495
267	Motion to Seal Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/15/21	50	12,294–12,302
275	Motion to Seal Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/10/22	51	12,739–12,747
276	Motion to Seal Defendants' Second Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/10/22	51 52	12,748–12,750 12,751–12,756
268	Motion to Seal Defendants' Supplement to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/15/21	50	12,303–12,311
315	Notice of Appeal	04/06/22	67	16,678–16,694
355	Notice of Appeal	10/12/22	73 74	18,126–18,250 18,251–18,467
292	Notice of Entry of Judgment	03/09/22	53	13,168–13,178
115	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 2	08/09/21	18	4403–4413

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order and Overruling Objection			
116	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4414–4424
127	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Questions and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19	4709–4726
128	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Request for Production of Documents and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19	4727–4747
129	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed No to Answer and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19 20	4748–4750 4751–4769
200	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified	11/03/21	32	7853–7874

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
340	Notice of Entry of Order Approving Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	08/02/22	71	17,707–17,725
351	Notice of Entry of Order Approving Supplemental Attorney's Fee Award	10/12/22	73	18,005–18,015
357	Notice of Entry of Order Denying "Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript"	10/13/22	75	18,599–18,608
40	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' (1) Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint; and (2) Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	06/24/20	6 7	1472–1500 1501–1516
274	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Judgement as a Matter of Law	01/06/22	51	12,718–12,738
352	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for New Trial	10/12/22	73	18,016–18,086
154	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should not be Held in Contempt for Violating Protective Order	10/14/21	22	5309–5322
161	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/25/21	25	6116–6126
338	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	07/19/22	71	17,689–17,699
171	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1 Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	11/01/21	29	7040–7051

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
172	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 1, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence Relating to Defendants' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	11/01/21	29	7052–7063
173	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow Reference to Plaintiffs' Decision Making Processes Regarding Setting Billed Charges	11/01/21	29	7064–7075
174	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude References to Defendants' Decision Making Processes and Reasonableness of Billed Charges if Motion in Limine No. 3 is Denied	11/01/21	29	7076–7087
175	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12, Paired with Motion in Limine No. 11, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Discussing Defendants' Approach to Reimbursement	11/01/21	29	7088–7099
176	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 5 Regarding Argument or Evidence that Amounts TeamHealth Plaintiffs Billed for Services are Reasonable [An Alternative Motion to Motion in Limine No. 6]	11/01/21	29	7100–7111
177	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 7 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of the Costs of the Services that Plaintiffs Provided	11/01/21	29	7112–7123
178	Notice of Entry of Order Denying	11/01/21	29	7124–7135

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 8, Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 7, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence as to the Qualitative Value, Relative Value, Societal Value, or Difficulty of the Services they Provided			
179	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 10 to Exclude Evidence of Defendants' Corporate Structure (Alternative Motion to be Considered Only if Court Denies Defendants' Counterpart Motion in Limine No. 9)	11/01/21	29	7136–7147
180	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11, Paired with Motion in Limine No. 12, to Authorize Defendants to Discuss Plaintiffs' Conduct and Deliberations in Negotiating Reimbursement	11/01/21	29	7148–7159
181	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Collection Practices for Healthcare Claims	11/01/21	29	7160–7171
182	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14: Motion Offered in the Alternative MIL No. 13 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Contesting Defendants' Defenses Relating to Claims that were Subject to a Settlement Agreement Between CollectRx and Data iSight; and Defendants' Adoption of Specific Negotiation Thresholds for Reimbursement Claims Appealed or Contested by Plaintiffs	11/01/21	29	7172–7183
183	Notice of Entry of Order Denying	11/01/21	29	7184–7195

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 15 to Preclude Reference and Testimony Regarding the TeamHealth Plaintiffs Policy not to Balance Bill			
184	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 18 to Preclude Testimony of Plaintiffs' Non- Retained Expert Joseph Crane, M.D.	11/01/21	29	7196–7207
185	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 20 to Exclude Defendants' Lobbying Efforts	11/01/21	29	7208–7219
186	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	11/01/21	29	7220–7231
187	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 27 to Preclude Evidence of Complaints Regarding Defendants' Out-Of-Network Rates or Payments	11/01/21	29	7232–7243
188	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 29 to Preclude Evidence Only Relating to Defendants' Evaluation and Development of a Company that Would Offer a Service Similar to Multiplan and Data iSight	11/01/21	29 30	7244–7250 7251–7255
189	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 32 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Materials, Events, or Conduct that Occurred on or After January 1, 2020	11/01/21	30	7256–7267
191	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 38 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Relating to	11/01/21	30	7280–7291

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Defendants' use of MultiPlan and the Data iSight Service, Including Any Alleged Conspiracy or Fraud Relating to the use of Those Services			
190	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude Certain Expert Testimony and Fact Witness Testimony by Plaintiffs' Non-Retained Expert Robert Frantz, M.D.	11/01/21	30	7268–7279
293	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	03/09/22	53	13,179–13,197
62	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on Order Shortening Time	10/27/20	11	2671–2683
78	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	02/04/21	15	3703–3713
193	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Supplement Report of David Leathers	11/01/21	30	7355–7366
353	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	10/12/22	73	18,087–18,114
97	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration of Court's Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	04/26/21	17	4096–4108

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
77	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Appointment of Special Master	02/02/21	15	3693–3702
269	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Only Documents Used at Trial Under Seal	12/27/21	50	12,312–12,322
202	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 17	11/04/21	33	8092–8103
203	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 25	11/04/21	33	8104–8115
204	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 37	11/04/21	33	8116–8127
205	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 9	11/04/21	33	8128–8140
206	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 21	11/04/21	33	8141–8153
207	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 22	11/04/21	33	8154-8165
341	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	08/02/22	71	17,726–17,739
358	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	10/18/22	75 76	18,609–18,750 18,751–18,755
215	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the	11/12/21	37	9162–9173

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Court's Discovery Orders			
147	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time	10/07/21	21	5235–5245
242	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	11/19/21	44	10,954–10,963
192	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony And-Or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiff have Dismissed Certain Claims	11/01/21	30	7292–7354
63	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/27/20	11	2684–2695
335	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	06/29/22	71	17,594–17,609
281	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Proposed Schedule for Submission of Final Redactions	01/31/22	52	12,969–12,979
114	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	08/03/21	18	4383–4402
53	Notice of Entry of Order Granting, in Part Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants'	09/28/20	9	2184–2195

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Production of Claims for At-Issue Claims, Or, in The Alternative, Motion in Limine			
102	Notice of Entry of Order of Report and Recommendation #6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Question	05/26/21	17	4157–4165
22	Notice of Entry of Order Re: Remand	02/27/20	3	543-552
142	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Defendants' Objection to Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents about which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	09/29/21	21	5104–5114
66	Notice of Entry of Order Setting Defendants' Production & Response Schedule Re: Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	11/09/20	12	2775–2785
285	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time for Hearing Re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Unlock Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits	02/14/22	53	13,029–13,046
354	Notice of Entry of Order Unsealing Trial Transcripts and Restoring Public Access to Docket	10/12/22	73	18,115–18,125
86	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #1	03/16/21	16	3887–3894
120	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs'	08/11/21	18	4487–4497

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Witnesses Testified			
91	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	03/29/21	16	3971–3980
95	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	04/15/21	17	4080–4091
104	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents	06/03/21	17	4173–4184
41	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality and Protective Order	06/24/20	7	1517–1540
69	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Electronically Stored Information Protocol Order	01/08/21	12	2860–2874
289	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits	02/17/22	53	13,074–13,097
360	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Expiration of Temporary Stay for Sealed Redacted Transcripts	10/25/22	76	18,759–18,769
282	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Schedule for Submission of Redactions	02/08/22	52	12,980–12,996
111	Notice of Entry Report and Recommendations #9 Regarding Pending Motions	07/01/21	18	4313–4325

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
490	Notice of Filing of Expert Report of Bruce Deal, Revised on November 14, 2021 (Filed Under Seal)	04/18/23	144	35,714–35,812
361	Notice of Filing of Writ Petition	11/17/22	76	18,770–18855
24	Notice of Intent to Take Default as to: (1) Defendant UnitedHealth Group, Inc. on All Claims; and (2) All Defendants on the First Amended Complaint's Eighth Claim for Relief	03/13/20	3 4	699–750 751
324	Notice of Posting Supersedeas Bond	04/29/22	69	17,114–17,121
10	Notice of Removal to Federal Court	05/14/19	1	42–100
333	Notice of Supplemental Attorneys Fees Incurred After Submission of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys Fees	06/24/22	70 71	17,470–17,500 17,501–17,578
291	Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Judgment and Order Denying Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	03/04/22	53	13,161–13,167
345	Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Orders Denying Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and Motion for New Trial	09/13/22	72	17,941–17,950
377	Objection to R&R #11 Regarding United's (Filed Under Seal)Motion to Compel Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified (Filed Under Seal)	08/25/21	84 85	20,864–20,893 20,894–20,898
320	Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	04/13/22	68	16,856–16,864
153	Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiffs have Dismissed Certain Claims and Parties on Order Shortening Time	10/12/21	22	5301–5308

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
20	Order	02/20/20	3	519-524
21	Order	02/24/20	3	525-542
337	Order Amending Oral Ruling Granting Defendants' Motion to Retax	07/01/22	71	17,682–17,688
2	Peremptory Challenge of Judge	04/17/19	1	18–19
415	Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to Defendants Motions in Limine 1, 7, 9, 11 & 13 (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	104	25,786–25,850
416	Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to Defendants' Motions in Limine No. 2, 8, 10, 12 & 14 (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	104	25,851–25,868
145	Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time	10/04/21	21	5170–5201
422	Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/17/21	108	26,664-26,673
378	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	85	20,899–20,916
380	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	85	21,077–21,089
149	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and-or Argument	10/08/21	22	5265–5279

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Regarding the Fact that Plaintiffs Have Dismissed Certain Claims and Parties on Order Shortening Time			
363	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	09/28/20	78	19,144–19,156
49	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims File for At-Issue Claims, or, in the Alternative, Motion in Limine on Order Shortening Time	08/28/20	7 8	1685–1700 1701–1845
250	Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	11/22/21	47	11,594–11,608
194	Plaintiffs' Notice of Amended Exhibit List	11/01/21	30	7367–7392
208	Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition Designations	11/04/21	33 34	8166–8250 8251–8342
152	Plaintiffs' Objections to Defendants' Pretrial Disclosures	10/08/21	22	5295–5300
328	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for New Trial	05/04/22	69 70	17,179–17,250 17,251–17,335
420	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/05/21	107	26,498–26,605
327	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	05/04/22	69	17,165–17,178
144	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	09/29/21	21	5155–5169
143	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion	09/29/21	21	5115–5154

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	in Limine Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6 Regarding Billed Charges			
279	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	01/20/22	52	12,773–12,790
374	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	07/06/21	84	20,699–20,742
25	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/26/20	4	752–783
34	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint	05/29/20	5 6	1188–1250 1251–1293
349	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript	10/07/22	72	17,990–17,993
278	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Seal Courtroom During January 12, 2022 Hearing	01/12/22	52	12,769–12,772
369	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Supplement the Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 and #3 on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	06/01/21	81 82	20,066–20,143 20,144–20,151
329	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	05/05/22	70	17,336–17,373
317	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay	04/07/22	68	16,826–16,831
35	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended	05/29/20	6	1294–1309

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief			
83	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	03/04/21	16	3833–3862
55	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At- Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	09/29/20	9-10	2224–2292
72	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/12/21	14	3420–3438
122	Plaintiffs' Opposition to United's Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Sanctioned for Allegedly Violating Protective Order	08/24/21	19	4528–4609
270	Plaintiffs' Opposition to United's Motion to Seal	12/29/21	50	12,323–12,341
222	Plaintiffs' Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/15/21	38 39	9496–9500 9501–9513
260	Plaintiffs' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions and Verdict Form	12/06/21	49	12,064–12,072
243	Plaintiffs' Proposed Special Verdict Form	11/19/21	44	10,964–10,973
227	Plaintiffs' Proposed Verdict Form	11/16/21	40	9810–9819
84	Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/08/21	16	3863–3883

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
287	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	02/15/22	5 3	13,054–13,062
364	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions (Filed Under Seal)	04/01/21	78	19,157–19,176
366	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order (Filed Under Seal)	04/19/21	78 79	19,389–19,393 19,394–19,532
195	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	11/01/21	30	7393–7403
371	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Report and Recommendation #6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Questions (Filed Under Seal)	06/16/21	82	20,212–20,265
376	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Special Master Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed not to Answer Questions (Filed Under Seal)	07/22/21	84	20,751–20,863
110	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Special Master's Report and Recommendation #7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Amended	06/24/21	18	4281–4312

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Third Set of Request for Production of Documents			
367	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	05/05/21	79	19,533–19,581
426	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-of-State Harms to Non- Parties (Filed Under Seal)	11/08/21	109	26,965–26,997
246	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/20/21	46	11,255–11,261
261	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions	12/06/21	49	12,072–12,077
236	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Jury Instruction (Contested)	11/17/21	42	10,308–10,313
248	Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/21/21	46	11,267–11,272
216	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Defendants' Prompt Payment Act Jury Instruction Re: Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies	11/12/21	37	9174–9184
223	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Punitive Damages for Unjust Enrichment Claim	11/15/21	39	9514–9521
218	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Specific Price Term	11/14/21	38	9417–9425
428	Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Documents Used at Trial (Filed Under Seal)	11/11/21	109	27,004–27,055
211	Recorder's Amended Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	35	8515–8723

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
73	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Unsealed Portion Only)	01/13/21	14	3439–3448
125	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	09/09/21	19	4667–4680
126	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Via Blue Jeans)	09/15/21	19	4681–4708
31	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	05/15/20	5	1022–1026
88	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	03/18/21	16	3910–3915
90	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	03/25/21	16	3967–3970
96	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	04/21/21	17	4092–4095
82	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Defendants' Motion to Extend All Case Management Deadlines and Continue Trial Setting on Order Shortening Time (Second Request)	03/03/21	16	3824–3832
101	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion for Leave to File Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time in Redacted and Partially Sealed Form	05/12/21	17	4155–4156
107	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time in Redacted and Partially Sealed Form	06/09/21	17	4224–4226
92	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion to Associate Counsel on OST	04/01/21	16	3981–3986

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
483	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing re Hearing (Filed Under Seal)	10/13/22	142	35,259–35,263
346	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Hearing	09/22/22	72	17,951–17,972
359	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Status Check	10/20/22	76	18,756–18,758
162	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 1	10/25/21	25 26	6127–6250 6251–6279
213	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 10	11/10/21	36 37	8933–9000 9001–9152
217	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 11	11/12/21	37 38	9185–9250 9251–9416
224	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 12	11/15/21	39 40	9522–9750 9751–9798
228	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 13	11/16/21	40 41	9820–10,000 10,001–10,115
237	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 14	11/17/21	42 43	10,314–10,500 10,501–10,617
239	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 15	11/18/21	43 44	10,624–10,750 10,751–10,946
244	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 16	11/19/21	44 45	10,974–11,000 11,001–11,241
249	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 17	11/22/21	46 47	11,273–11,500 11.501–11,593
253	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 18	11/23/21	47 48	11,633–11,750 11,751–11,907
254	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 19	11/24/21	48	11,908–11,956
163	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 2	10/26/21	26	6280-6485
256	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 20	11/29/21	48 49	12,000 12,001–12,034

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
262	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 21	12/06/21	49	12,078-,12,135
266	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 22	12/07/21	49 50	12,153–12,250 12,251–12,293
165	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 3	10/27/21	27 28	6568–6750 6751–6774
166	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 4	10/28/21	28	6775–6991
196	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 5	11/01/21	30 31	7404–7500 7501–7605
197	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 6	11/02/21	31 32	7606–7750 7751–7777
201	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 7	11/03/21	32 33	7875–8000 8001–8091
210	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 8	11/08/21	34 35	8344–8500 8501–8514
212	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	35 36	8724–8750 8751–8932
27	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	04/03/20	4	909–918
76	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/21/21	15	3659–3692
80	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	02/22/21	16	3757–3769
81	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	02/25/21	16	3770–3823
93	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	04/09/21	16 17	3987–4000 4001–4058
103	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	05/28/21	17	4166–4172
43	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	07/09/20	7	1591–1605

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
45	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	07/23/20	7	1628–1643
58	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	10/08/20	10	2363–2446
59	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	10/22/20	10	2447–2481
65	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	11/04/20	11 12	2745–2750 2751–2774
67	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	12/23/20	12	2786–2838
68	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	12/30/20	12	2839–2859
105	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/03/21	17	4185–4209
106	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/04/21	17	4210–4223
109	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/23/21	17 18	4240–4250 4251–4280
113	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	07/29/21	18	4341–4382
123	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	09/02/21	19	4610–4633
121	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Unsealed Portion Only)	08/17/21	18 19	4498–4500 4501–4527
29	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	05/14/20	4	949-972
51	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	09/09/20	8	1933–1997
15	Rely in Support of Motion to Remand	06/28/19	2	276–308
124	Reply Brief on "Motion for Order to Show	09/08/21	19	4634–4666

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Hold in Contempt and Sanctioned for Violating Protective Order"			
19	Reply in Support of Amended Motion to Remand	02/05/20	2 3	486–500 501–518
330	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	06/22/22	70	17,374–17,385
57	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures	10/07/20	10	2337–2362
331	Reply in Support of Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	06/22/22	70	17,386–17,411
332	Reply in Support of Motion for New Trial	06/22/22	70	17,412–17,469
87	Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	03/16/21	16	3895–3909
344	Reply in Support of Supplemental Attorney's Fees Request	08/22/22	72	17,935–17,940
229	Reply in Support of Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-Of- State Harms to Non-Parties	11/16/21	41	10,116–10,152
318	Reply on "Defendants' Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Post-Trial Motions" (on Order Shortening Time)	04/07/22	68	16,832–16,836
245	Response to Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Punitive Damages for Unjust Enrichment Claim	11/19/21	45 46	11,242–11,250 11,251–11,254

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
230	Response to Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Specific Price Term	11/16/21	41	10,153–10,169
424	Response to Sur-Reply Arguments in Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/21/21	109	26,931–26,952
148	Second Amended Complaint	10/07/21	$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	5246 - 5250 $5251 - 5264$
458	Second Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed Under Seal)	01/05/22	126 127	31,309–31,393 31,394–31,500
231	Special Verdict Form	11/16/21	41	10,169–10,197
257	Special Verdict Form	11/29/21	49	12,035–12,046
265	Special Verdict Form	12/07/21	49	12,150–12,152
6	Summons – Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.	04/30/19	1	29–31
9	Summons – Oxford Health Plans, Inc.	05/06/19	1	38–41
8	Summons – Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc.	04/30/19	1	35–37
7	Summons – Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc.	04/30/19	1	32–34
3	Summons - UMR, Inc. dba United Medical Resources	04/25/19	1	20–22
4	Summons – United Health Care Services Inc. dba UnitedHealthcare	04/25/19	1	23–25
5	Summons – United Healthcare Insurance Company	04/25/19	1	26–28
433	Supplement to Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed	12/08/21	110 111	27,383–27,393 27,394–27,400

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Under Seal)			
170	Supplement to Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	10/31/21	29	7019–7039
439	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 1 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	114	28,189–28,290
440	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 2 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	114 115	28,291–28,393 28,394–28,484
441	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 3 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	115 116	28,485–28,643 28,644–28,742
442	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 4 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	116 117	28,743–28,893 28,894–28,938
443	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 5 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	117	28,939–29,084
444	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 6 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	117 118	29,085–29,143 29,144–29,219
445	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 7 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	118	29,220–29,384
446	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 8 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	118 119	29,385–29,393 29,394–29,527
447	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 9 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	119 120	29,528–29,643 29,644–29,727
448	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial	12/24/21	120 121	29,728–29,893 29,894–29,907

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Exhibits – Volume 10 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)			
449	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 11 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	121	29,908–30,051
450	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 12 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	121 122	30,052–30,143 30,144–30,297
451	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 13 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	122 123	30,298–30,393 30,394–30,516
452	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 14 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	123 124	30,517–30,643 30,644–30,677
453	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 15 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	124	30,678–30,835
454	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 16 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	124 125	30,836–30,893 30,894–30,952
455	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 17 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	125	30,953–31,122
456	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 18 of 18 (Filed Under	12/24/21	125 126	30,123–31,143 31,144–31,258

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Seal)			
466	Transcript of Proceedings re Hearing Regarding Unsealing Record (Filed Under Seal)	10/05/22	129	31,923–31,943
350	Transcript of Proceedings re Status Check	10/10/22	72 73	17,994–18,000 18,001–18,004
467	Transcript of Proceedings re Status Check (Filed Under Seal)	10/06/22	129	31,944–31,953
157	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/19/21	22 23	5339–5500 5501–5561
160	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/22/21	24 25	5908–6000 6001–6115
459	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Filed Under Seal)	01/12/22	127	31,501–31,596
460	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Filed Under Seal)	01/20/22	127 128	31,597–31,643 31,644–31,650
461	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Filed Under Seal)	01/27/22	128	31,651–31,661
146	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Via Blue Jeans)	10/06/21	21	5202-5234
290	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	02/17/22	53	13,098–13,160
319	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	04/07/22	68	16,837–16,855
323	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	04/21/22	69	17,102–17,113
336	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/29/22	71	17,610–17,681
463	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Filed Under Seal)	02/10/22	128	31,673–31,793

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
464	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Filed Under Seal)	02/16/22	128	31,794–31,887
38	Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions	06/05/20	6	1350–1384
39	Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions	06/09/20	6	1385–1471
46	Transcript of Proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Unredacted MultiPlan, Inc. Agreement	07/29/20	7	1644–1663
482	Transcript of Status Check (Filed Under Seal)	10/10/22	142	35,248–35,258
492	Transcript Re: Proposed Jury Instructions	11/21/21	146	36,086–36,250
425	Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-of-State Harms to Non-Parties (Filed Under Seal)	10/31/21	109	26,953–26,964
232	Trial Brief Regarding Jury Instructions on Formation of an Implied-In-Fact Contract	11/16/21	41	10,198–10,231
233	Trial Brief Regarding Jury Instructions on Unjust Enrichment	11/16/21	41	10,232–10,248
484	Trial Exhibit D5499 (Filed Under Seal)		142 143	35,264–35,393 35,394–35,445
362	Trial Exhibit D5502		76 77	18,856–19,000 19,001–19,143
485	Trial Exhibit D5506 (Filed Under Seal)		143	35,446
372	United's Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	06/24/21	82	20,266–20,290
112	United's Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified	07/12/21	18	4326–4340

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	on Order Shortening Time			
258	Verdict(s) Submitted to Jury but Returned Unsigned	11/29/21	49	12,047–12,048

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 18, 2023, I submitted the foregoing appendix for filing via the Court's eFlex electronic filing system.

Electronic notification will be sent to the following:

Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest

(case no. 85656)

Pat Lundvall	Dennis L. Kennedy
Kristen T. Gallagher	Sarah E. Harmon
Amanda M. Perach	BAILEY KENNEDY
McDonald Carano llp	8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1	200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	Attorneys for Respondents (case no.
Attorneys for Respondents (case no	. 85525)
85525)/Real Parties in Interest (ca	se
no. 85656)	Constance. L. Akridge
	Sydney R. Gambee
Richard I. Dreitzer	HOLLAND & HART LLP
FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC	9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor
9275 W. Russell Road, Suite 240	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148	
	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae (case no.

85656)

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid, at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as follows:

The Honorable Nancy L. Allf DISTRICT COURT JUDGE – DEPT. 27 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Respondent (case no. 85656)

Joseph Y. Ahmad
John Zavitsanos
Jason S. McManis
Michael Killingsworth
Louis Liao
Jane L. Robinson
Patrick K. Leyendecker
AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS, & MENSING, PLLC
1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2500
Houston, Texas 77010

Justin C. Fineberg
Martin B. Goldberg
Rachel H. LeBlanc
Jonathan E. Feuer
Jonathan E. Siegelaub
David R. Ruffner
Emily L. Pincow
Ashley Singrossi
LASH & GOLDBERG LLP
Weston Corporate Centre I
2500 Weston Road Suite 220
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33331

Attorneys for Respondents (case no. 85525)/Real Parties in Interest (case no. 85656)

/s/ Jessie M. Helm
An Employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP

C	OO	\cap	CD	ECT	'I\/⊏	II ID	\cap P	569:	Llh	huh
t	۲К	U	S٢	ヒしょ	IVE	JUK	UK.	วทร:	Un-	nun.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- but for the 10 million that we are seeking plus, if we established that in your mind by a preponderance and only by a preponderance, not the higher standard --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: Sure.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- is this the kind of thing where you can follow the Court's instruction or is it the kind of thing where you're thinking that's -- you know, that's a lot of money, and I'm going to need a lot more compelling evidence in my mind that's closer to clear and convincing before I can award that kind of money?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: I just -- the proof. I believe, you know, just you know, hearing witness testimony and just not having any emotions about it or any feelings like to a prior situation, you know, that could have happened. You know, personally for anybody involved.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So if Her Honor says the standard is a preponderance of the evidence and we submit evidence -- proof like you're talking about -- and it meets that standard, are you able -- are you -- is this a situation where you are not able to award \$10 million if we meet that standard?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: No, I don't think so.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir.

Okay. Now, let me -- I'm going to change topics here. All right. So, and listen, I'm going to raise my hand first here, okay. How many people have been to the emergency room and the thought ran through your head, oh my goodness, I've been here X number of hours;

what is taking so long? Okay. Okay. Now -- all right. So let's get a couple of things out here. So when we go to the emergency room it's because it can't wait, right? And whether it's really or maybe not so serious but you think it's serious, it can't wait.

So here is the issue. Dr. Scherr and the other people are other doctors, okay. They work at these facilities that we've identified. And so what we need to know is for those people that have felt that kind of frustration, okay, the question is this. If you end up on the jury, okay, and you're hearing the evidence and it's about these facilities that you have been to, staffed by our doctors, how many of you are going to think man, there is no way I'm giving these guys a penny after what -- after how long I had to wait in the emergency room for myself, my close friend, or my family member, and so you're going to essentially decide the case not on the evidence but on your own experience outside of the evidence? You all with me? You following me? Okay.

Now, listen, I know some of you all are thinking this, okay. I know it. Okay. Because going to the emergency room is very stressful. And going to the emergency room sometimes brings out a lot of emotions in people, right? And sometimes those emotions don't go away, and that resentment is there like a lot of other things in our life that we come across. Okay. But here's the thing, right? None of that that you've gone through is at issue in this case.

So -- but if that tension is there and it's going to inhibit your ability to serve as a juror, please, this is really important to us, we just need to know, okay? Okay. Please. I mean, this is really important.

So let's start in the back row. For those people that raised
their hand and had to wait awhile and maybe felt some frustration,
especially, at one of the facilities where we are at, okay? How many
people here are going to in the back row where we're starting
behind, and you think that experience is just going to it's going to
creep into your deliberations and it's going to affect your ability to really
kind of treat this thing evenly, okay? Are you with me? Okay? All right.

So let's start in the back. And I saw some of you nodding your head a I was talking. So -- yes, ma'am? Juror number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: 004

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: 004.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: Yeah, I can -- to be honest with you, I could definitely tell I would be more emotionally involved with it trying to make a decision. Bringing my mother to MountainView emergency last year unfortunately, during the holiday season was the most stressful you know -- probably the most stressful thing that I ever had to go through as an adult.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, and listen, we only have one mother, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: Exactly.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. I got it. Okay. I'm very tight with

1	my mother too. So is this the kind of thing where because of that
2	experience with your mother, we're starting way behind; is that right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: Yeah.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And it's the kind of thing where
5	it's going to kind of affect your ability I mean, when they're presenting
6	evidence, it's going to it's going to go down a lot easier than when
7	we're presenting evidence; is that right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: Right. Yes.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. thank you, ma'am. And
10	therefore, maybe this is not the right case for you.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: That would be correct. Yes,
12	I'm
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, Okay, ma'am. Thank you for your
14	honesty. Okay. Anybody else that was waiting in the emergency room
15	where that's going to kind of creep into your mind? Same questions.
16	Yes, ma'am? How about and your juror number?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: 034.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Okay. so I have this to admit
20	that I'm on the opposite end of what you're asking. I
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Well, Mr. Roberts is going to have
22	questions for you too.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: My son had kidney failure
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: and every time I had taken him

to the emergency, and myself -- I've done it one time for myself -- at Mountain View, we've just had the best experience.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, we're glad to hear that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: So I don't know whether I should --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: -- we've got to get it totally opposite of what you're asking.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am. Okay. So listen, so here's the one thing -- so, one of the things you're going to see if you end up on the jury, okay, Mr. Blalack -- and these are -- man, these are sensational, sensational lawyers, and it's a real honor to be in the same courtroom. They are among the best.

One of the things -- we're going to disagree about a lot of things. Okay. but the one thing we do agree on right now is we want to identify people that have feelings or beliefs or values that are going to make it tough for either our side when I'm asking questions or their side when they're asking questions to really get a fair shake here. You with me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Yes.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So I'm really looking for the people that kind of are -- would make it more difficult for us, right? I mean, as far as I'm concerned, I hope you make it on the jury, okay? Okay. So I'm -- so I'm going to say -- so listen, you -- I promise you're going to get asked a lot of questions, okay, but not from me. You with me? And please don't

take offense to it. Okay. I mean, I understand, and I'm delighted that you had a great experience. Okay. And Dr. Scherr especially is delighted.

Okay.

So let me move on. Anybody else in the second row that had kind of a sour experience -- excuse me -- in the first row, sour experience at an emergency room? Okay. Next row? You know, like the hands that went up waiting, anybody in the second row? Where we're maybe starting a little bit behind? Third row? Okay. Yes, sir? What? Hold on. Did you raise your hand? Yeah, yeah. We've got to start this way. Yeah. Number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: 441.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: 441. Yes, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: So I took my mom to the emergency room a couple years back. It's not in -- I believe one of those hospitals you mentioned. So but I did wait a couple hours. I was under the impression that, you know, because of what happened, they'd take her in immediately, but we were kind of like sitting in the waiting room until she can fully get checked in. And all of that stuff. So it take a couple hours.

And then me, personally, I was kind of feeling frustrated just the fact that, you know, what if there's something a lot worse that you know, went on with my mom and you guys didn't see it right away? So you know, maybe those couple of hours -- you know, thankfully nothing serious you know, happened to my mom but -- or at least they didn't find anything serious for my mom but as far as you know, what if. You know,

1	those two hours would have been a critical time.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So given that experience though,
3	that kind of left a sour taste in your mouth?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: Honestly, it did.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And therefore, because we're the
6	emergency room doctors and nurses, we're starting behind?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: Yes, sir.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And it's the kind of thing that
9	would substantially impact your ability to serve on this jury?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: At this moment, yes.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir. Okay. Anybody
12	else in the third row? Yes, sir. Let's pass it down. Number again, sir?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 488: 488.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 488?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 488: Yes.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir. Please?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 488: Multiple times I've taken my wife
18	and we've had to wait for even family members, we'd have to wait a
19	couple hours before they even get seen. My sister-in-law recently just
20	she had COVID. She had actually pneumonia, COVID in her lungs. They
21	turned her away. Went back not a day later, went into a coma, went on
22	the ventilator and passed away. We just buried her two weeks ago.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 488: So
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Now, listen, that is absolutely

1	tragic, and I would imagine right now you're thinking man, there is no
2	way I'm giving these guys a dime given that terrible experience you just
3	talked about; is that right?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 488: Yes, sir.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir. Okay. Thank you.
6	And I'm sorry for your loss, sir.
7	Okay. Second row. Or fourth row I guess. We're finally
8	getting [indiscernible]. Sorry. Okay. So let's see here. Okay. Man,
9	we're getting the same people over and over. We're going to be good
10	friends by the time this is done. Okay. All right.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: 544.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: Yeah, I took my daughter to the
14	hospital, and she had a erupted appendix at one of the hospitals. And
15	they sent her away. They didn't know that she had an appendix. So we
16	actually took her to the other hospital which is St. Rose, and they took
17	her in right away. So I mean, the bad experience was from the first
18	hospital and not the second one.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And so given and it's your
20	daughter?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: Yes.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So given that, it's your daughter,
23	right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: Correct.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I would imagine man; we're starting way

1	behind?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: Not really, sir. No.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, not because of that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: Not because of that, no.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Well
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: I like to be fair at the same time,
7	but I just have to hear everything else, and I know right now you can't
8	say anything.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So let me just ask this. Is that experience
10	which sounds terrible
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: It was terrible, yes.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir. No doubt about it. Okay. I got
13	two daughters. I totally get it. That experience is that going to impact
14	maybe the way you evaluate the evidence as it comes in so that when
15	our people are talking or we're offering exhibits, they're not going to
16	carry the same kind of weight in your mind that when these fine lawyers
17	submit their evidence?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: No, sir.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. You just wanted to tell me about
20	that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: No, no. I just know that I have to
22	hear both sides of the story
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Got it.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: in order for me to make the
25	right assumption, so.

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, sir. Okay. There was another
2	hand up here? Yes, sir?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: I used to work at Santa Paula
4	[phonetic] Hospital as a security officer
5	THE COURT: Badge number?
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, I'm sorry. Badge number?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: 555.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And can you speak up just a little
9	bit so the court reporter can hear you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: I said I used to work as a security
11	guard at Santa Paula Hospital, and they had the times where they were
12	really busy so I can understand that. But still, I'm not I wasn't satisfied
13	with the length of time it took. But I still try to take my be at ease with
14	it.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So is this the kind of thing where
16	because you weren't satisfied with how long it was taking to
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: Yeah, my wait time
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: attend to people that were ill or
19	potentially ill
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: yeah.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: we're starting out behind because
22	we're the emergency room doctors?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: Not really because I know you
24	just doctors are practice medicine. They're practicing medicine.
25	They're not educated I mean, not educated expert, that's the word I

	was	looking	for,	expert	person
--	-----	---------	------	--------	--------

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. Anybody else -- ma'am, you had your -- di you have your hand --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I did.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So let's pass that down, please. And juror number, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: 590.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: My daughter was in quite a serious car accident.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: And so we were -- had gone to an emergency room, and not one of the hospitals you mentioned. And so we were trying to leave after she had received some medical attention. And we were waiting, waiting, waiting, waiting. And they had forgotten about us. So we were trying to leave, and so finally, I had to make quite a scene to get our paperwork to leave. We just really needed a prescription. So they had forgotten about us. And she was in quite a bit of pain. We were trying to leave to go get our -- her prescription.

So it was kind of an unnecessary -- I think more of a clerical, you know? But nonetheless, she was in a lot of pain, and she didn't need to be.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So given that experience, and it sounds -- that sounded very, very challenging, to say the least. Given that experience, are we starting out further back than maybe the

Defendant is in your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: You know, I don't know. I don't really -- I don't even really know where to place blame on that situation. I just know that I fell into that question you had asked.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. So let me say this, and I don't know if this makes a difference to you or not. So the intake people, the pharmacists, the administrative folks, those -- for the most part, those are people that work for the hospital. Okay. Not us. We are the doctors, the nurses, the nurse -- the physician assistants. You with me? So in terms of processing, it's typically done by the hospital side, not by us.

Now, I'm not trying to make excuses and I'm not trying to -- but I don't -- and I don't know what hospital you were at. My question is really just -- I mean, that sounds like a terrible situation you went through. And so is this the kind of thing where, given that they forgot about you and you kind of slipped through the cracks, you're thinking, man, I'm just -- I'm not going to reward anybody that's in the emergency medicine sphere of the world, whether it's a hospital, a doctor, or otherwise, because of what I went through?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: Yeah. I mean, I don't -- I don't know if it was -- it probably wasn't the doctor or nurse. You know, I don't know who was responsible for that part of the experience, so.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, my question is a little broader, though.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: Right.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: In other words, is it like because that was

25

1	a very negative experience that you had
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: Right.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I mean, are we on equal footing?
4	Because we're I mean, we're in the emergency medicine world. And
5	you had a bad experience with the emergency medicine world.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: Right.
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Whether it was, you know, our type of
8	folks or hospital folks, you had a bad experience. And so are we starting
9	out further back?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: No, I don't think so. Not
11	necessarily.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, you say not necessarily. I just do
13	not I mean, I hate to put you on the spot and I'm sorry. I just I mean,
14	is this the kind of thing where we are you're going to listen to the
15	evidence and both sides in your mind are going to get treated the same?
16	Or is this where I think the term I'd use here; it's going to go down
17	easier? It's going to be a little more of are you going to be a little more
18	receptive to their evidence than to our evidence?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: I'd like to think that I would have
20	an open mind about it.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. Okay.
22	Now, how about the front row? Anybody here?
23	Okay. So I'm going to change topics again now. Okay. So
24	let me give you okay. Now, this one is let me give you the names of

the Defendants again, and Mr. Roberts will explain what they do. I'm

just going to give you the names the ones that we care about, okay?
And that's United Healthcare Insurance Company, Sierra Health and Life
Insurance, and the Health Plan of Nevada. Now, there are some other
Defendants here, and if you end up on the jury, you'll hear more about
them. But those are three of the Defendants that actually act as
insurance companies, okay? In other words, you pay a premium,
they and then will pay the healthcare provider when you make a claim
under the policy and if it's covered. Okay?

All right. So here's the issue: how many people here have health insurance with any of those three entities? Okay. Let's --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: What if we used to have it?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: What if we used to have it?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Great question. Thank you. Thank you very much. Okay, so I'm going to change my question a little bit. Thank you, sir. I'm going to give you an assist on that.

Okay. So here's my question, and I'm going to change it up a little bit: how many people have had -- in the past -- have a family member or a close friend, health insurance with any of those three? And let's identify, please -- I'm just going to go rapid-fire. Just raise your hand and give me your badge number, please. Let's start in the back row. Badge Number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: 004.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: 004. Who else in the back?

Insurance -- yes, ma'am?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: 141.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 141. Next?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: 210.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 210. Yes?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: 401.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: 404.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Next?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: 555.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 488: 488.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 488.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: 544.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: 555.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 532: 532.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 532. And the front?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: 719.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: 719.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 719, okay. Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Wait a minute. Wait a minute.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, I'm checking. Now, this is a careful
22	man.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: 593.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: I'm dyslexic.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: That's all right. Okay. All right. So here's the question -- okay. So you know, sometimes on these, you know, a fair number of the cases that get tried in these great courts here in this building are car accident cases, right? I mean, we -- you know, you see the billboards all over town with these fellows that represent -- and ladies that represent, you know, people that have been injured in car accidents. And some of those cases end up going to trial, okay?

And the ones that go to trial, you know, sometimes there are jurors who end up on the jury and they're thinking, you know, this person that got hurt, they got a good claim here, and it was the other person's fault. And they deserve to win, and they deserve the money they're asking for to make them whole, but I'm really concerned about the premiums of my own insurance. Okay? So I just can't do it.

Now -- and listen, just like the other question with the waiting in the emergency room, I know for a fact some of you are thinking of this, okay? So here's the question. Those of you that raised your hand, you've got your insurance company sitting here, right? Now, what effect it has on your rates, your rates, I need to know whether you're going to kind of hold back or require a higher burden of proof or award less even though we proved a certain amount because your concerned, man, this is going to really raise my premiums and I'm already paying a lot. Okay.

Now, you heard no evidence on what the premiums are, on what it's going to do, or anything like that. I'm just thinking before you hear any evidence, that thought is circulating in your mind right now, as I'm talking. Okay.

I see you nodding your head. Okay. So let's start with the
back row. Row number one, how many people are thinking that right
now? That have I'm sorry. Of the people that raised their hand that
have insurance with one of the three companies that I just talked about.
Okay? Let's go let's start in the back. And now I'm going to start with
you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: So 004. And so I think that I'm thinking right now, honestly --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: What's been going on with insurance has been going on long before hearing about the case, about, you know, premiums going up. This has -- this has been an ongoing battle for years. So deep down, it's fearful, just thinking about it. Yeah, absolutely.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So -- okay. Okay. Thank you, ma'am.

And anybody else in the back row that is having that concern or thought?

Okay. Next row. Second row. All right. Yes, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: 401.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Same thing. I agree with the person behind. We've been having trouble, or I've been having trouble with our insurance. Our premiums just went up and, just -- I mean --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So -- okay. So my question -- I think you understood my question. And I'm sorry --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Yes.

1	win. ZAVITSANOS I don't mean to let me just elaborate
2	a little bit.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Okay.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. My question is if you end up on
5	the jury, is this the kind of thing where even though you've heard the
6	evidence, you're going to be thinking even though these folks over here,
7	they proved their case, I'm not going to award as much as they're
8	asking
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: No.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: because it's going to hurt my
11	pocketbook?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Uh-huh. No. It wouldn't
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: change my decision.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. So how about the next
16	row down? Third row from the top? Okay. How about the I'm sorry.
17	Go ahead.
18	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. No.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, okay. Yes, sir?
20	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't have
21	them.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No? Oh, or you're just thinking
23	about okay. All right. Fourth row? Anybody in the fourth row? Okay.
24	I know this is kind of putting you all to sleep here. Sorry. Okay. Next
25	row? Front row? Anybody here?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: I agree that that's a lot of
2	THE CLERK: Badge Number, please.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry. Hold on, let me get it. Wait a
4	minute. Wait a minute. Hold on.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: 719.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am.
7	THE CLERK: Thank you.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: I do agree I that's how I would
9	feel, like somebody has to pay, you know, to get the money. So I feel
10	like our premiums would be going up.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So that's okay, my question is a
12	little different than that.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: Okay.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: My question is if you end up on the jury,
15	okay, and the evidence comes in and in your mind, we have met the
16	standard that the Judge, that Her Honor, gives you, okay, is this a
17	situation where you either might rule against us or award less because
18	of this thing that's in your mind that's not part of the evidence that would
19	affect you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: Yes.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So you would cut back the
22	damages because of this concern that we're talking about right now?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: Yes.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And even if we met the standard of
25	proof you would not be able to award whatever it was that we proved

0
0
တ
2
7
$\overline{}$

ı	Decause of that concerns
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: Yes.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And therefore, you would not be
4	able to follow the Judge's instructions on that, right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: Yes, I would both
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: You would be you would have a hard
7	time following those instructions, correct?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: Uh-huh.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you. And was that a yes?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: Yes.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Okay.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Zavitsanos?
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: I'm going to ask the lawyers to do a sidebar.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
16	[Sidebar at 4:36 p.m., ending at 4:37 p.m., not transcribed]
17	THE COURT: And we intend to go to 4:45 every day, and
18	we'll start tomorrow at 9:30.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: May I proceed, Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: Please.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please
22	the Court. Okay. All right. I'm going to change topics again, okay?
23	Okay. All right. Here's what I'm going to do. Since I only have five
24	minutes left before we break for the day, we're going to do a multiple-
25	choice test just like we had at school, okay? And I'm not going to ask
	-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you to elaborate. I'm just going to ask you to pick A, B, C, or D. Okay?

Now here's the question. The healthcare crisis in the United States, A, it's the doctors that are to blame; B, it's the insurance companies that are to blame; C, it's both of them; or D, I don't have an opinion on that. I need to hear more. Now, let me do it again. A, it's the doctors' fault, the healthcare crisis; B, it's the insurance companies, the health insurance companies; C, it's both of them; or D, I don't really have an opinion, I need to hear more. Everybody understand?

Okay. We're going to do this rapid fire. We're going to start in the back. You're going to give me a letter and your juror number.

Okay? So let's start with the nice lady in the back.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: 004, C.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: C, okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: 034, C.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 074: 074, D.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: 141, C.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: 0 -- I'm sorry, 404. D.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: 401, D.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 283: 283, C.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: 210, D.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: 441, C.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 450: 450, D.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: B?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 450: D.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: D?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 450: D.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: D like David.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 450: D like David.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, sir.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 488: 488, C.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 494: 494, C.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: 522, C.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 532: 532, D, delta.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: 544
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: You must be a pilot.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: 544, C.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: 555, C.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: 564, C.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: 569, C.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: 590, B as in bravo.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Let me. Thank you very much.
24	There you go, sir.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: 593, C as in Charlie.

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Another pilot.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: 719, D as in David.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 729: 729, D.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. One more multiple-choice test.
6	Okay? All right. I didn't know we'd get done that fast. All right. Here's
7	the next one. Okay. Everyone knows, everyone knows about the
8	Affordable Care Act, also known as Obamacare. Okay. And you know, if
9	you turn on these cable channels, there's a lot of people with opinions
10	on them.
11	So here's the test on that. Obamacare, the ACA, A, it's good
12	for the country; B, it's a bad idea; or C, not really sure, I need to hear
13	more about it. Okay? You with me? Okay. We're going to start in the
14	back and work across. Yes, ma'am?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: 004, B as in boy.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Not a good idea. Okay. Yes,
17	ma'am?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: 034, C.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, ma'am.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 074: 074, C.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: 141, C.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: 404, A.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

0
0
0
N
7
4

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: 401, C.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 283: 283, A.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: 210, B.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: 441, B.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, sir.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 450: 450, C.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 488: 488, B.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 494: 494, B.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: You can just we can go this way.
11	That's fine.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: 590, A.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: 569, B.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, sir.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: 564, C.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: 555, D as in dog.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. You're changing my test.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: 544, B.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 532: 532, B.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: 522, C.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: 593, A.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: 719, C.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 729: 729, C.
1	

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, ma'am. Your Honor, I'm
about at another transition point. Would you like me to keep going or -
THE COURT: Nope. It's time for our recess.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So we'll start tomorrow at 9:30 sharp. Please be lined up in order.

Overnight, do not talk with each other or anyone else on any subject connected to the trial. Don't speculate about what it's going to be about, what the witnesses are or what the issue are. If it's covered in the press, do not read, watch, or listen to any report of it or commentary on the trial. Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information, including without limitation newspapers, television, radio, internet, cell phones, or texting.

Don't conduct any research on your own relating to the case.

Don't consult dictionaries, use the internet, or use any reference materials. Don't talk, text, tweet, Google, or do any social media on the fact that you're in jury selection. You may not do any other type of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in this case.

Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected to the matter unless you're chosen for the jury and the matter is submitted to you. You've been great today. Thank you so much for all the attention. See you tomorrow at 9:30.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

THE COURT: If you have a letter for your employer, talk to

the marshal.

[Prospective jurors out at 4:44 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]

THE COURT: Okay. The room is clear. Plaintiff, do you have anything for the record?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Defendant, do you have anything for the record?

MR. BLALACK: Not with respect to voir dire, Your Honor. I do want to revisit --

THE COURT: Do you have something? Yeah. Let's take a housekeeping matter.

MR. BLALACK: Yes, Your Honor. I just wanted to revisit the issue we started with today, which is my request that opposing counsel identify subpoenaed witnesses they want starting for Monday or whenever they're going to start the trial and give us an order so that I can make the necessary arrangements with the relevant witnesses.

THE COURT: You know, I have to -- let me put on the record, the sidebar, I suggested you guys know there's anyone you're going to both agree to excuse based upon these questions. Mr. Roberts indicated he wasn't in a position to do that until he talked to them. So I have a feeling we're going to need all four days for the jury selection.

MR. BLALACK: I'm confident of that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. So I would say give them your first three witnesses, but I'm not going to require them to be here Thursday.

1	MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So Your Honor, may I ask a question on
3	that, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Of course.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: The question is when, Your Honor
6	because I what I have proposed with counsel was we would give each
7	other 24 hours' notice and I'm happy to let them know Friday morning.
8	But I mean, Your Honor, I look, they
9	THE COURT: If I'm not going to require them to be here on
10	Thursday, then you can do it Friday.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Does that work for you?
13	MR. BLALACK: That's fine, Your Honor. I do
14	THE COURT: All right. So
15	MR. BLALACK: believe that's fine.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. My note is to ask Nessie about the
17	trip to Palm Springs. And just so you guys know, the people I saw who
18	had a little bit of nodding off were 555, 21, and 484, Ordaz, Villezcas, and
19	Smith. So
20	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Nodding off, Your Honor?
21	THE COURT: Not sleeping but getting drowsy.
22	MR. ROBERTS: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: And I try to tell you every day because just as
24	a warning.
25	MR. BLALACK: Well, thank you, Your Honor.

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The rest of our lawyers are more
2	exciting than Mr. Zavitsanos.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 555 and 488?
4	THE COURT: Yeah. Smith, 555, and it could be he said he
5	took antianxiety medicine. Villezcas or Villezcasa, 21, and Ordaz, 544,
6	the one who has to go to truck training on Thursday.
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So Your Honor, I suppose and I'm
10	sorry. I know Your Honor told us this a couple of times. When I pass,
11	that is the moment when I make my cause, Your Honor?
12	THE COURT: When you say that you have concluded your
13	examination, I'll say, will you pass the panel for cause, or will you have a
14	motion to make?
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, I see, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: And if you have a motion to make, I'll excuse
17	the jury.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do the same thing for you. I also
20	tracked the number of minutes you have in voir dire to make sure the
21	Defendant gets as many as the Plaintiff.
22	MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor. We appreciate that.
23	THE COURT: Yeah. And I'll have that calculation for you
24	tomorrow.
25	

1	MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Have a good night, everybody.
4	[Proceedings adjourned at 4:48 p.m.]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
21	audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	Xinia B. Cahill
23	Maukele Transcribers, LLC
24	Jessica B. Cahill, Transcriber, CER/CET-708
25	

v	U2	 U	

Electronically Filed 10/27/2021 10:41 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 006280

24

25

1	RTRAN	Otens.	
2			
3			
4			
5	DISTR	ICT COURT	
6	CLARK CO	UNTY, NEVADA	
7 8	FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVIC (MANDAVIS) LTD., ET AL.,	ES) CASE#: A-19-792978-B	
9	Plaintiffs,)	
10	vs.) }	
11	UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL.,))	
12	Defendants.)	
13)	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE NANCY ALLF DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
15	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 26, 2021		
16	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - DAY 2		
17	APPEARANCES:		
18	For the Plaintiffs:	PATRICIA K. LUNDVALL, ESQ.	
19	FOI the Fiamuns.	JOHN ZAVITSANOS, ESQ.	
20		JASON S. MCMANIS, ESQ. JOSEPH Y. AHMAD, ESQ. KEVIN LEYENDECKER, ESQ.	
21	Fourtha Dafandanta	,	
22 23	For the Defendants:	D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. K. LEE BLALACK, ESQ. JEFFREY E. GORDON, ESQ. GLENN STEVENS, ESQ.	

RECORDED BY: BRYNN WHITE, COURT RECORDER

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, October 26, 2021
2	
3	[Case called at 9:28 a.m.]
4	[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]
5	THE COURT: Please, everyone, please be seated.
6	MR. BLALACK: Good morning, Your Honor.
7	MR. LUNDVALL: Good morning, Judge.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Good morning, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Let's call Fremont Emergency
10	Services v. United Healthcare Insurance Company. Let's take
11	appearances, please, for the record.
12	MR. LUNDVALL: Good morning, Your Honor. Pat Lundvall
13	from McDonald Carano, here on behalf of the healthcare provider.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: John Zavitsanos on behalf of the
15	healthcare providers.
16	MR. AHMAD: Joe Ahmad, also on behalf of the healthcare
17	providers.
18	MR. LEYENDECKER: Good morning, Your Honor. Kevin
19	Leyendecker, on behalf of the healthcare providers.
20	MR. MCMANIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Jason
21	McManis, on behalf of the healthcare providers.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. And for the Defense, please?
23	MR. BLALACK: Good morning, Your Honor. Lee Blalack on
24	behalf of the Defendants.
25	MR. ROBERTS: Good morning, Your Honor, Lee Roberts on

1	behalf of the Defendants.
2	MR. GORDON: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeff Gordon, on
3	behalf of the Defendants.
4	MR. BLALACK: And then do you want to introduce our
5	client?
6	MR. ROBERTS: Oh, yes. He was not here yesterday. I
7	previewed his arrival. Standing behind me is Mr. Glen Stevens, in-house
8	counsel for Health Plan of Nevada and Sierra Health and Life.
9	THE COURT: Thank you, and welcome.
10	MR. STEVENS: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Okay, guys. Anything to take up before we
12	bring in the venire?
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: One issue, Your Honor, and it's a gentle
14	request. So, first off, the parties have agreed to strike for cause two
15	venire members, and those are which ones are those, Mr. Roberts?
16	MR. ROBERTS: Martinez, badge number 719, Ms. Martinez,
17	and Mr. Villezcas, badge number 485.
18	MR. AHMED: 488.
19	MR. ROBERTS: I'm sorry, 488. I can't read my own writing,
20	thank you.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: May I continue, Your Honor?
22	THE COURT: Please.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. And along those lines, Your Honor,
24	we have four others that we think are clearly potentially over the line.
25	Mr. Roberts, obviously, would like to probe a little bit more, so with the

Court's permission, obviously, I don't have an objection to letting
Mr. Roberts examine just those four. In other words, not to do his full
voir dire. He can do a very, very brief introduction of who he is, but not
to question any of the others, to see if we can get those over the line.

And the reason being, Your Honor, because of -- and I am being very, very respectful for the way Your Honor does it here, because otherwise, if Your Honor agrees with us at the end we got to, you know, start again, and this might save a little bit of time. And I know we all have the concern that we want to finish by Thanksgiving and so every hour counts.

So I don't have an objection to doing that. Mr. Roberts said he would like to do that, and we conferred, and we are -- I think we are in agreement on that, and so -- and then can address it at the bench, and if Your Honor agrees then we get to the replacements in and we just continue.

THE COURT: Is that correct?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor. That seems to be an efficient time, since we're just starting fresh, and we've got a new group of 55 coming in --

THE COURT: We do.

MR. ROBERTS: -- to go ahead and figure out if we're going to stipulate these two or ask the Judge to decide as cause challenge.

THE COURT: Who are the four? I think I know, but --

MR. AHMED: It's Juror number 4, 210, 441 and 544.

THE COURT: Is that correct?

1	MR. ROBERTS: That is what I have, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: It matches up with my notes too. Okay. All
3	right.
4	[Court and Court Recorder confer]
5	THE COURT: So let's go ahead and bring in the venire,
6	excuse the two. We'll fill up the room with as many as we can. I have to
7	start over for them, but I don't want to do that until we've made some
8	decisions?
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Good.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Is that acceptable
12	THE COURT: Yeah.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: what I requested, Your Honor?
14	THE COURT: Yes, absolutely.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: The marshal and I have a code, so I'm just
17	MR. LEYENDECKER: Your Honor, is there a list of the new
18	ones coming in?
19	THE COURT: When he picks them up he'll get the list.
20	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
21	[Prospective jurors in at 9:33 a.m.]
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Good morning,
23	everyone.
24	GROUP RESPONSE: Good morning.
25	THE COURT: And welcome to Tuesday. So Mr. Nesci, did

25

1	you talk to the boss?				
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: I did talk to the boss. She said				
3	no, but I'm telling you if I'm requested I'll be here.				
4	THE COURT: Thank you for your willingness to serve you				
5	community.				
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: You're welcome.				
7	THE COURT: Okay. So in consultation with lawyers this				
8	morning I'm going to ask two people to stand, Martinez, 719 and				
9	Villezcas, 488. We are going to thank you for being willing to serve your				
10	community and showing up for jury duty two days, without being				
11	selected, but we think that this case there might be a better case out				
12	there for you than this one. Please don't be offended, but you won't be				
13	selected for the jury, but thank you, and you are now excused.				
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 719: Thank you.				
15	THE COURT: So, Marshal Allen, let's reorder.				
16	THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am.				
17	[Pause]				
18	MR. BLALACK: Excuse me, Your Honor. Can we approach				
19	for one second?				
20	THE COURT: Of course.				
21	[Sidebar at 9:35 a.m., ending at 9:36 a.m., not transcribed]				
22	THE COURT: Can you do a roll call of the jurors?				
23	THE CLERK: Okay. Samantha Robinson?				

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: Here.

THE CLERK: Cristeta Wood?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Nerissa Gonzaga?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 074: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Cindy Springberg?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: Here.
6	THE CLERK: Jacob Harrison?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Katelyn Landau?
9	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Landau didn't check in, or sorry,
10	we just have to have a short delay. Jury service, this is why it takes four
11	days, instead of like on TV, in an hour. So we're going to check with
12	Landau. I have a cell phone number for her.
13	[Pause]
14	THE COURT: This would be a good time for a stretch break,
15	if you want one. Sorry, guys.
16	[Pause]
17	THE COURT: So there's no response to the cell phone.
18	Plaintiff, your response what you propose we should do at this time?
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I did not hear
20	you.
21	THE COURT: There was no response from the member of
22	the venire who did not show up today. Do you have a recommendation
23	of what you want the Court to do; I'll ask both sides.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: May I have 30 seconds, Your Honor?
25	THE COURT: You may.

1	[Counsel confer]
2	THE MARSHAL: She's here, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, so can we approach again?
5	THE COURT: You may. The juror just did walk into the
6	courtroom.
7	[Sidebar at 9:40 a.m., ending at 9:40 a.m., not transcribed]
8	MR. LUNDVALL: And that is that we finish the roll call.
9	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. And, Defense, did you
10	want to weigh in?
11	MR. ROBERTS: No objection, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Good enough.
13	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you. It's always helpful.
14	THE CLERK: Katelyn Landau.
15	THE COURT: Here? Please, audible?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 283: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Brenda Santoyo?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Stephen Keith?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Miguel Recto?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Zerrick Walker?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 450: Here.
25	THE CLERK: Steve Zabinski?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 494: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Linda Friedrich?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Randy Meyer?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 532: Here.
6	THE CLERK: Gerardo Ordaz?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Albert Smith?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: Here.
10	THE CLERK: Ronald Rucker?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Joshua Seid?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: Here. [Si-ed].
14	THE CLERK: Seid, thank you. Melissa Neyman?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Thomas Nesci?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Present.
18	THE CLERK: Thank you.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: You're welcome.
20	THE CLERK: And Blanca Salvatierra.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 729: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: So will you please come take a seat down here.
24	[Pause]
25	THE COURT: Is there do the parties

MR. BLALACK: We have an empty seat, Your Honor. And I don't know if that was empty at the start of the day or if we're missing someone.

THE COURT: Well, we did excuse two people. We excused two people.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel for the Plaintiff, counsel for the Defense, are we ready to proceed?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: For the Plaintiff, Your Honor, yes, we are.

MR. ROBERTS: For the Defense, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So we're going to go out of order a little bit, to try to save you time. Mr. Roberts, you may now inquire of several of the members of the venire.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning. GROUP RESPONSE: Good morning.

MR. ROBERTS: Again, I'm Lee Roberts and I represent the Defendants, and I just have a few follow-up questions to ask some of the members of the potential panel about the burden of proof, and the preponderance of the evidence question. And before I ask the individual questions, I just want to preview a little bit, and reinforce some of the things Mr. Zavitsanos said, and that is, it's perfectly natural to have opinions about the way things should be, beliefs about what we think is right and wrong.

And we want to know that, today, we want to know your opinions, but once you're sworn, we also need to know whether those opinions are so strong that you're not going to be able to set them aside,

and follow the law given to you by the Court when you're deciding the case.

So the preponderance of the evidence, as Mr. Zavitsanos said, as the lawyers we can't tell you what the instructions from the Court are going to be. She hasn't given those instructions yet. She's going to give them to you after you're sworn and hear the evidence. But we can ask you just about, you know, if you look at it this way, then if you think you could follow it.

So I want you all to try to start fresh with this preponderance issue and assume that the Court may not say anything about feathers, may not say anything about coin flips. May not say anything about 51 percent. But instead, the Court says something like this.

That a preponderance of the evidence is satisfied when it's enough evidence, when considered and weighed against the evidence opposed to it, that has more convincing force and produces in your mind a belief that what is sought to be proved is more than likely true than not true. Okay. It's enough evidence that produces in your mind a belief that what is sought to be proved is more likely true than not true.

Now, when you look at it that way some folks say that yeah, 10 million's a lot of money But if it produces in your mind a belief it's more than likely owed than not owed, would it be fair to send the plaintiffs home with nothing?

What do you think? Juror number 4, Ms. Robinson. What do you think? If you look at it that way and the evidence was sufficient to form a belief in your mind it was more than likely true that they were

owed	10 million.	would it be	fair to	send them	home with	nothing?
0		110010100		00110111		

JUROR 004: 004. So your question to be honest, it would not be fair to send them home with nothing if you had the, you know, proof, and the evidence to prove it.

MR. ROBERTS: So going back and if you think about a preponderance that way, do you think you could follow an instruction of the Court that was something like that? That it doesn't have to be clear and convincing, it doesn't have to be beyond a reasonable doubt, but that it produces in your mind a belief that it is more likely true than not true that they're owed \$10 million. Do you think you could follow that instruction and set aside your belief that more ought to be required?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: Well, let's see. Because we don't really know the full dynamics of the case.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: -- Set aside my belief, That would be a hard one. That would -- I would probably be undecided, honestly.

MR. ROBERTS: So you can't give us an assurance that if it was enough evidence for you to believe that it was more likely true than not true, you can't give us an assurance that you would follow the law and award the money under that circumstance?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: That would be correct, yes.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Robinson. I appreciate it. Let's pass the mic to Mr. Harrison, right in front of you. And Mr. Harrison, I think you told us yesterday you would need more

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is?

1	than 51 percent.
2	PRO
3	MR.
4	number please?
5	THE
6	MR.
7	MR.
8	MR.
9	assume 51 perce

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: Yes, sir.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Can we just get a ber please? I don't mean to interrupt.

THE COURT: Oh sure. 210.

MR. ROBERTS: My fault.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: That's all right. Go ahead. Thank you.

MR. ROBERTS: So you need more than 51 percent, but let's assume 51 percent isn't in the instruction by the Court but, it's something more along the lines of what I just said. You were convinced that it was more likely true than not true, in your mind that they were owed money; could you award it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: I would need to be certain that they were -- I'd need the evidence to be clear and convincing. I need to be certain of my decision.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you, sir. Let's pass the mic to Mr. Recto. Badge 441.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: 441.

MR. ROBERTS: Do you know what my question, Mr. Recto,

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: Same question? Okay. So I would agree with, you know, the two behind me. AS far as that goes, it has to be very convincing considering the amount of money owed.

MR. ROBERTS: And I understand that. There are a lot of folks that say that. And you, like, those two would not be able to set that

belief aside	and follow the	instruction by	y the Court ir	terms of	deciding
this case?					

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 441: As far as kind of what the first lady mentioned earlier, in regards -- it's hard to decide at the moment because again, you guys aren't presenting any evidence just yet. So, but for the time being, I am on the same page as they are.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you, sir. And last, if we could pass the mic to Juror 544, Mr. Ordaz. Mr. Ordaz, tell me, what's your thinking this morning?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: 544. Same thing, with them. Clear and convincing. Right now, you guys don't have any evidence for us or anything like that, so we would have to see all the evidence just to make sure, but my answer right now would be clear and convincing.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. And that's your belief. Can you set aside that belief?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 544: No, sir. It would have to be clear and convincing to make sure that it's right.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you, sir. I appreciate it. That's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good. Counsel, please approach.

[Sidebar at 9:52 a.m., ending at 9:52 a.m., not transcribed]

THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to call out four names, and please when I call your name, please stand. Robinson, Juror 004; Harrison, 210; Recto, 441; and Ordaz, 544.

We are going to thank you and excuse you from jury service.

I actually thought all of you could have been good jurors, and I actually
think that you may have answered that question in a way to get out of
jury duty, and I'm really disappointed. But I hope that if you get that
chance, that you can find out how rewarding an experience it is. So at
this point, you'll be thanked and excused from further service.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 210: Thank you, Your Honor.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 004: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And Marshal, let's reorder again.

And what I'm going to suggest to the lawyers, is that we continue -- both sides, counsel. I'm going to suggest that we continue with this group and send the marshal out to get the new jurors.

MR. ROBERTS: That's fine, Judge.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Whatever the Court's preference is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's do that. Okay. So how many of you have not played musical chairs since grade school? Okay.

So Plaintiff, you may continue to inquire of the venire.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. And may it please the Court. And Counsel. Thank you, Your Honor. Okay, good morning.

THE JURORS: Good morning.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right. So I'm going to continue with where I left off yesterday. I'm going to try not to be repetitive. However, when the new members of the panel come in, we are going to be repetitive, because we have to ask them the same questions, in fairness,

to both parties. So please bear with us. This is a very important part of
the process, and again, if you end up on the jury, I really do believe and I
think Mr. Roberts believes, you really will enjoy your service. It's this
is a really interesting case.

Okay. So let me just -- I'm not going to be repetitive, but I want to backtrack for just two members of the panel here that gave some answers yesterday that I did not follow up on. And that's -- where's Juror Number 34? Good morning, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Good morning.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: so yesterday, I think you said that you were leaning in favor of the Plaintiff. Did I understand that correctly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: No, I didn't say that I was leaning towards that. I said that I had good --

THE CLERK: Can we pass the microphone, please?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: OH, yes, I'm sorry. My apologies.

THE COURT: The marshal just went to get the new panel, so does anyone have the microphone? It's back there. Thanks, guys.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: You want me to talk real loud? 034.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. So please continue.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: So I didn't say I was leaning either way. I -- when you were asking about if anyone had any experiences where they had to wait in an ER.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: I just said that I didn't have that experience.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh that's, right. You had the positive experience.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Yes. Exactly. Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me ask this then. So you heard -- you got to meet Mr. Roberts a little bit, and you're going to hear a lot more from him probably tomorrow, maybe today. But if you end up on the jury, okay, is there anything that you've heard so far, and I haven't gone through all the topics yet, okay, but is there anything you've heard so far that would cause you to form a belief that would interfere with your ability to follow Her Honor's instructions to follow the preponderance standard, give them a fair shake, just like you would do us, and weigh the evidence fairly and evenly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Yes, definitely. I'd be fair about that. I liken it to a white sheet of paper. If they give me the like -- the preponderance is the light gray, clear evidence would be charcoal and black for beyond a reasonable doubt. If either one of you fill in that light gray matter for me, then yes, I would be fair.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, okay. Fair enough. And just to be clear, in fairness to them, I mean, we have the burden of proof. Okay? So we've got to get the ball across the 50-yard line or 51-yard line. And I think I was clear yesterday that the 51 percent is not in the Court's charge. That's just the shorthand way that we refer to it it's more likely so than not so. Meaning, if it's even, which one is more likely so than

not so.	It's lower than the clear and convincing.	So you would be able
to follo	w that instruction?	

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Definitely.

THE COURT: Okay. And nobody has a head start right now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: No.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. All right.

Now I want to -- 404. There you are -- okay. So yesterday, you

mentioned, I think you said you had your part of the case against Blue

Cross. Is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Yeah, it's 404. It's a settlement. It never went to trial. It's a Blue Cross Blue Shield antitrust lawsuit well, that never went to trial. They settled out of court for \$2.67 billion. And so, the 10 million doesn't seem -- but yeah, that's - and I was not -- I was solicited for that, to participate.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: And I filled out information and online and sent it.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me just follow up on that a little bit. So as I understand it, this was a class action.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: It was.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Meaning that the people on whose behalf the suit was brought was a very wide net, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Correct.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And then as part of that class action, the group bringing the case would select a representative to

1	speak for that
2	PR
3	MF
4	filled out a for
5	PR
6	MF
7	about that exp
8	right now, befo
9	PR
10	no. The case -
11	information ar
12	know, I mean,
13	out these settl
14	thought that I
15	MF
16	getting back to
17	mentioned?
18	PR
19	- I don't know
20	MF
21	PR
22	really think tha
23	MF
24	doesn't. Now,
25	going to cause

speak for that class.	Were you that representative?
PROSPE	CTIVE JUROR 034: No.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. You were just a beneficiary You ut a form, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Correct.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. So is there anything about that experience that would cause you to lean one way or another right now, before you've heard any evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: No. Not -- when you isolate it, no. The case -- the only thought that I had when I received that information and was solicited was that we will pay 2.67 billion. You know, I mean, there's no way that company is going to, you know, pay out these settlements and there not be repercussions. That was my thought that I had, and basically that was it.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So when you say we will pay, are you getting back to the issue about the increase in premiums I just mentioned?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Yes. I do think that there will be I don't know how else they're going to absorb something like that.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: I don't have to decide, and I don't really think that has anything to do here.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, okay. And I agree with you. It doesn't. Now, my question is, is that thought that you have -- is that going to cause you -- let me do this incrementally. Is that going to cause

you to hesitate or pause or be reluctant to evaluate the evidence for either side because --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: No.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- because you had that thought before?

Okay. Do you think you would be able to follow Her Honor's instructions if you ended up on the jury.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Yes. Yesterday I was uncertain about that, but today I feel like I wouldn't have an issue. You know, for me, I was saying that I live -- you know, I like things black and white. They're not always, but that's the way I like them. And I thought, when I went home last night, that the courts came up with this, you know. I mean these three levels were devised, and everybody agrees to them; the lawyers, the judge.

So I would have to, you know -- I think everybody-knowsbest kind of thing. And if it's a preponderance and everybody agrees that that's what will determine the outcome of the case, then I'm okay with that.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: You're with me on that? Okay. Let me raise one other thing. There is some possibility that Blue Cross may come up as part of the narrative in the case. Now, again, I can't get into what's going on. Now what I will tell you is Blue Cross Blue Shield is not a party to this case. They're not making a claim in this case. They're not being sued in this case. And I don't believe either side is going to call any witnesses that work for or represent Blue Cross Blue Shield, but their name may come up because they are -- they're an insurance company in

this -- in this health insurance space. Okay?

All right. So -- and I wish -- I wish I could go into more, but I can't. So with that said, is there anything about that that would cause you to lean one way or another -- not hearing anything else -- and, again, I'm giving you just like a drop of water compared to the gallons you're going to get if you end up on the jury. Okay. Anything about that that would cause you to lean way or another?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: The short answer's no. But I do have prejudice about insurance companies, that -- you know, when I was growing up, they said insurance companies owned the world. You know? All -- they have all the money. And, of course, I don't -- I don't think that necessarily is true now. But my experience with HPN and UnitedHealthcare has not been stellar, so -- but I don't know that I'm any different than most people in that particular situation. And, you know, I mean I think everybody's had their dealings with the medical experience on both sides.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yep.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: And I think if you're going to weed us all out, you're going to have an empty box up here.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So here's the question: He question is, it's not -- there's nothing wrong with having opinions outside of this courtroom, having experiences outside of this courtroom. I mean it's impossible not to have those, right, because we all live lives, we all have --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Exactly.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- health insurance, we all have made claims at various times. The question is whether what is your mind about UnitedHealthcare or Blue Cross, or anyone else for that matter, it is going to impede or interfere with your ability to be fair and impartial and give both sides fully attention, equal weight, and evaluate, and come to a decision based on the evidence? What do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: I think I can set it aside.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. Thank you, sir.

All right. Okay. Now -- all right. Now, I'm going to change topics. All right. One of the -- one of the claims in this case is -- there are several claims in this case. One of them is something called breach of an implied contract. Okay? Now, I don't want to get into a definition about that because I think the lawyers may have a little bit -- a slight disagreement. And at the end of the day, the only place where the correct definition is going to come from is from Her Honor. Okay? So I'm just letting you know that claim is there.

Now, here's the issue: How many people here think that in order to have a binding agreement, you must have a written, signed agreement regardless of the evidence, regardless of the circumstances; no handshake deals, no your word is your bond, no course of dealings; you have to have a signed deal in order for there to be a binding contract? Okay?

So let me start on the back row, the first row. Anybody in the back row believe that in order to have an enforceable agreement with someone, you have to have -- it's got to be in writing, signed by both

C	⊃	
C	⊃	
Č	ิ้ง	
Ć	ນ	
Č	Ö	
N	5	

sides were all the terms are agreed? I'm getting blank stares here. I'm
getting the 4 p.m. look here.
Okay. All right. How about the second row? All right. Yes,
ma'am? And your juror number, please?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: 401.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yep.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: I think so. I mean to make
anything legal, there has to be something
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: signed.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: So let me let me refine my question a
little bit. Okay? Let's say that the Court gives an instruction that
recognizes that you can have an agreement that is not in writing as long
as certain conditions are met. Okay? So it doesn't have to be in writing,
but certain conditions have to be medicine. Are you with me so far?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Uh-huh.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So the question is, if the Court
gives that kind of instruction, is this the kind of thing where, in your
mind, you're thinking, I can't I don't really care what the instruction
says. In my mind okay if it's not signed by both sides were all the
terms of laid out, I can't I can't do it. It I just can't do it? Even if the
Court says you can.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: I think I would be able to.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. You'd

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Or I --

	MR. ZAVITSANOS:	be able to	follow the (Court's
instructions	S			

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: I --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- on that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: I think so. I think so.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. Now, look, let me be clear. I'm not asking whether ideally you should have a written agreement. That may be what you're talking about: Okay? That's fine. And I think everybody agrees it would be better ideally where there's no dispute about what the issue is. But here, okay, we are claiming an implied contract. And there are certain elements that go into that.

If the Court gives an instruction on that claim and one of the elements is not that it is in writing where all the terms are agreed, could you follow that instruction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: I think so.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. How about anybody else in the second row think regardless of what the Court says, if you're going to make a claim for any kind of contract type claim, breach of implied contract or otherwise, it has to be in writing, signed by both sides, everything agreed to? Okay.

Third row? Anybody in the third row? Okay.

Fourth row? Nobody in the fourth row. Okay.

All right. One second here. All right. Now -- okay.

Yesterday I said I would get back to this point, and we'll do that now.

And that is the issue of punitive damages. Okay? The people heard that

1	term before? Have you all heard of term, punitive damages? And
2	you're would I think could we pass the mic down? And I'm picking
3	on you because you shook your head more aggressively
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: I
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: than everyone else.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: I will never do that again.
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: 593.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir. Okay. So
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Of course I've heard of punitive
11	damage.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Tell me what you've heard about it.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Well, if there's a certain case and
14	the case is decided in your favor, the state of Nevada has a clause where
15	punitive damages are awarded to the victim.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And what do you understand that
17	punitive damages are for? What's the purpose of it?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Compensation for a person's
19	or the victim's damages.
20	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me since you got the
21	mic
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Uh-huh.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: let me ask you a couple of follow-up
24	questions. Let's say that the Court, if you end up on the jury, gives an
25	instruction that punitive damages are not for compensation, in other

words, to make someone whole, but rather to punish and make an example of. Okay? So, in other words, this is separate and apart from the ten plus million dollars we talked about yesterday. Do you follow me so far?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So if punitive damages are not to compensate but rather to punish and to make an example of, okay, and to deter conduct like this from others, if that was the case, do you have any feelings about whether you could or could not award punitive damages? And let me add one other thing to this. Okay. For the punitive damage claim, okay, because that is a different type of damage, I think the Court is going to give an instruction that we, the Plaintiffs, have to satisfy not a preponderance standard but a clear and convincing standard, which is below beyond a reasonable doubt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So my first -- I'm going to give you two questions. Let's see if you can weave them in both. Okay. The first question is, having heard that explanation, do you have a problem with punitive damages conceptually, and then, second, if you don't have a problem with punitive damages, could you award punitive damages if we satisfy the clear and convincing, but we don't get to beyond a reasonable doubt? Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: I do have not a problem with punitive damages. Whatever the Court instructs the guidelines, the standards to be, I could -- that's what I will make my decision on.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: (Okay
-------------------	------

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Whether it's preponderance or anything else. Whatever the standard is, regardless of my beliefs, I will stick to that standard.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: And just to be clear, because I don't want to be misunderstood --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- on this, I am not suggesting that the Court is going to instruct you that you should award punitive damages.

The Court is not going to do that. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Correct.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right. So the Court is going to say that you may award punitive damages; and if you award punitive damages, you got to satisfy this clear and convincing standard. And it is not to compensate; it's to punish and make an example. Okay. Because you've not to meet certain elements, which you'll hear if you end up on the jury. Okay. And the punitive damage claim in this case that we're going to be asking for, it's a big --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- number. A big, big, big, big number. Way more than the 10 million. Way, way more than 10 million. Okay? Because we think that the conduct here was so egregious that it warrants that.

MR. ROBERTS: Objection, Your Honor, on Local Rule 770(d).

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. The Court will

disregard the last sentence.

conceptual problem?

judge per the standard.

	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And, I'm sorry, what's your badge
number?	
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: 590.
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Okay.
Second row	. Anybody in the second row? All right. How about the third
row, or the s	second row from the back? Can we pass the microphone
back, please	9?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: I have two questions.
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: 404. Sorry. 404.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: There will be a claim for punitive

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: Give me the standard, and I will

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So I'm going to work back. So

let's -- anybody else in the front row? You've all been very attentive in

listening to what I'm saying here. Anybody have a problem with

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: No.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir.

damages. And so if we meet that standard, do you have any kind of a

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 593: No.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Who determines the dollar
2	amount? Is that left to the jury?
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir. We can suggest a number, but
4	it's completely up to the discretion of the jury.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: And does the punitive damages
6	always go to the Plaintiff?
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I cannot respond to that. And you're not
8	going to be asked to decide where it goes. And, in fact, I'm ethically
9	prohibited from discussing that. Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Okay.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: All I can tell you is that that there will
12	be a I think, I anticipate, there's going to be a question on the charge
13	that you get from the Court that's going to lay out two questions, which
14	is first, have we met this clear and convincing standard, okay there's
15	some preliminary questions before that, okay, sort of conduct, state of
16	mind, which I will not get into right now. It's based on a clear and
17	convincing. And then there will be if we meet that, there will be a
18	blank that the jury will decide how much, if any. It could be zero. Okay?
19	You're not required to award. Are you with me?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: [No audible response.]
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So my question is
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Well, I still have I have
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: one more question.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Is -- can I ask something about historically how that money has been distributed?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: No.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Okay. So what's your question?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So my question is, just based on what you've heard so far, any hesitation, trepidation, anything impeding your ability to consider that if we met the standard and if we meet all of the elements?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Well -- God, that is so hard. All the -- you know, you don't have everything laid out in front of you, but yet you're asked to make a decision on it. I -- typically I can follow instructions. I'm --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: -- smart enough to follow instructions. But I also have, you know, like my feelings about would the Plaintiff -- would the Plaintiff be deserving of a punitive damage? That would come up for me because -- are we going to have one person -- let's take the case of -- if I can, of Blue Cross Blue Shield, that \$2.67 billion, if that was similar here and it was, you know, some outrageous amount and we're going to hand it to an individual to punish a company, I would have a terrible time with that. If the money was going to go to educate the public, you know, to charities or something where I thought I could -- I could live with that when I walk out of this room, then I don't think I would have an issue with it.

But just to hand somebody money to punish someone else,

you've already been, in my opinion, made whole if you're asking for 10 million and that's your damages and that -- and you win, and you get the 10 million, I feel like you've been made whole. And to say that, no, I want a punish them and I want the money, that seems a little out of line to me.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me say one thing in response to that very briefly and then follow up with a question, okay? If that's all right. So I believe that when you get the charge, if you end up on the jury, the only conduct that you will be asked to evaluate is the Defendant's conduct.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Right.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Assuming we meet these elements. Okay. And there's not going to be a question about where the money ultimately goes. I don't believe that's going to be in the charge. Okay. All right.

Now, my question is -- and, you know, this is -- this is always hard because we've got a chicken and egg thing because you haven't heard the basis for justification for this. And I can't really get into that.

Okay. I've got to stay in my lane here.

So the question is -- I mean you just described some discomfort that you have. So if we met the elements, we checked off all the boxes, we met the clear and convincing and you got to that question about how much, is this a situation where you're going to be thinking, okay, it has to be zero because of beliefs and values I have outside of this lawsuit?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: No. I don't no.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Zero? No.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Thank you. Sir.
5	Okay. Anybody else in the second row.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: 401. Just a question. Well, if we
7	are selected, will we ever know who the that money is going to?
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: We will not. Okay.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: It's it is not going to be so here's the
11	thing, right, there is
12	MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, may we approach?
13	THE COURT: You may.
14	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you.
15	[Sidebar at 10:23 a.m., ending at 10:25 a.m., not transcribed]
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Sorry, Judge. Sorry, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right. Please proceed.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. I'm sorry, ma'am. Were we
19	finished talking or did you have a question?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: I just asked that if we were
21	ever going to know who the money was going to, and you said no.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So, yes. I think the answer is no, but I'm
23	going to move on. Do you have any other questions beyond that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: No.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Anybody else in the back row?

0
0
0
Ŵ
<u>~</u>
2

1	Okay. May I continue?
2	THE COURT: Please.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Let me continue. Okay.
4	How many and by the way, if at any point I ask a question or Mr.
5	Roberts asks a question that you would rather address privately with Her
6	Honor, with the lawyers present and without the rest of the panel
7	hearing it, just let us know that you'd rather discuss that privately, okay?
8	We don't our intent is not to embarrass anyone, but we're just trying to
9	get your opinions on things, okay?
10	All right. So here's the next topic area that I have and that is
11	how many folks here are either on Medicare or Medicaid? Okay. So let's
12	start in the first row in the back. Anyone on Medicare or Medicaid? Can
13	we pass the microphone back?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: 034. I'm on Medicare.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Medicare. Okay. And all right. And
16	how long have you been on Medicare, ma'am?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Five years.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Do you have any opinions about
19	Medicare? Any concerns about it?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Again, so far I've had really
21	positive experiences. I don't know if I'm the exception, but I've had
22	really good experiences
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: with Medicare and the benefits
25	that I receive.

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Anybody else
2	in the back row? Okay. How about the second row? Anybody on
3	Medicare or Medicaid?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: 404. I start Medicare November
5	1st.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And you're not on it now?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: I am not on it now.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Anyone else, Medicare or
9	Medicaid? Okay. Let's go to the third row. Yes, sir.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: Albert Smith, 555. I signed up
11	for Medicare, but I'm not collecting it, because I'm insured by my
12	company I'm working for.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So you're still you still have
14	private insurance?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 555: Correct.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. Thank you, sir.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: 522. Medicare three years.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Any opinions, thoughts about
19	Medicare or the Medicare system? Okay. Okay. How about in the first
20	row? Medicare or Medicaid. Okay. Thank you very much. Okay. Next
21	topic. Has anyone, either yourself, a family member or a close friend of
22	yours ever worked for or with an insurance company? And I'm using
23	that net as broadly as possible, whether you handled claims, you did
24	appraisals. And it doesn't just have to be health insurance. Any kind of
25	insurance. Okay. I see somebody nodding their head. Okay. We got

1	two people. So let's start in the back and we're going to work our way
2	up. Yes, sir? Juror number?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: 4-0
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Anybody hold on. Anybody in the
5	back row? Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: I don't know I worked for an
7	insurance defense firm.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. That's a law firm that typically
9	defends claims, like personal injury-type claims and things of that sort?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: Yes.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Working with lawyers?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: Yes.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And what firm was that in?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: At the time, it was Baron, Bavone
15	and Pruitt [phonetic].
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And I would imagine that the folks
17	that paid most of the bills for that law firm where insurance companies?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: Correct.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And did you what was your role
20	with them?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: Paralegal.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Did you work with any of the
23	adjustors or claims people?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: Occasionally.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And did you develop any kind of

1	friendships professionally
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: Not long
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: long term
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: not long-lasting, no.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Anything about that that causes
6	you to maybe be skeptical of people that bring claims against insurance
7	companies, here in this type of a case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141: No.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Thank you, ma'am. All
10	right. How about all right. Now let's go to the second row. Number
11	please?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: 404. I just handled the insurance
13	claims for Nextel Phone Company. That's basically if you dropped your
14	phone in a pool, I took care of it.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, you're the guy?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: I'm the guy.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me make sure I understand.
18	So you process claims for your company with an insurance carrier?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Yes.
20	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So you're kind of the
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Middle guy.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: the intermediary between the
23	customer and the ultimate insurer?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Right.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Is that right?
1	Ī

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Yes.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And so is it just property claims
3	that you do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Well, at the time, I was I
5	handled the insurance, but I also handled retention, so if somebody
6	called up and said my child my phone bill's \$600. I found out my kid
7	talked on the phone overnight, you know, or something like that, I
8	handled those kinds of disputes as well.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Are you still doing that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: No. That was many years ago.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I see. Are you doing what do you do
12	now, sir?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: I'm retired.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: I've been an accountant most of
16	my life and I was a realtor for 12 years in that time period.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Got it. Got it. Okay. Anything about that
18	experience being the middle man and I don't mean to
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Yeah.
20	MR. ZAVITSANOS: be denigrating in any way, shape or
21	form, but anything about your role as the person who processed claims
22	that would cause you to lean in favor of the insurance company here?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: No.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Or insurance companies?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 404: Okay.

006	
317	

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. How about the second
row? Okay. And I think somebody raised their hand in the first row.
Was that you, ma'am? Can we pass that down, please?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: Thank you. Hi. 590. My sister-
in-law has been with Standard Insurance in Portland, Oregon for I want
to say like 30 or 35 years.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And forgive me. I'm not familiar
with them. Is that a health insurance company? Is it property and
casualty? Is it some specialized thing?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: I don't know. I think it may have
changed over the years. I think it's some kind of a group.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: Passed that, I don't know. I just
know she's been with them forever.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And anything about that in any
way, shape or form, stories she may share with you during Thanksgiving
or Christmas or any of the holidays, where it causes you to maybe be
skeptical of people
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: No.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: bringing claims? Okay. Not one way
or the other?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 590: No.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Your Honor,
I'm going to change topics. I don't know if you'd like
THE COURT: This is a good time for a re

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

THE COURT: We'll take our morning recess. We have another panel of jurors who will come in after the recess. So let me give you an admonition.

Do not talk with each other or anyone else on any subject connected with the trial during the recess. Don't read, watch or listen to any report of commentary on the trial. Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, internet, radio, cell phones or texting.

Don't conduct any research on your own. Don't speculate about what the case is going to be about. Don't speculate about the witnesses or the lawyers. Don't talk with anyone. Don't use any social media platform to say that you're in jury selection. After the trial's over, you can, but not now or not during.

So don't talk, text, tweet, Google or conduct any other type of research with regard to any issue, party, witness or attorney involved in the case. Most importantly, do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial, unless you're chosen for the jury and the matter is submitted to you.

Another great morning. Thanks for your attention. It is 10:35. Let's be back at 10:50 in order.

[Prospective jurors out at 10:35 a.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]

THE COURT: Okay. The room is clear. Defendant, you made an objection during the voir dire. Let's put that on the record.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor. My objection was in connection with, I believe it was Mr. Keith, badge number 404. Mr. Zavitsanos was inquiring about punitive damages and the potential juror was asking him a question about whether -- where the money went, whether it went to the Plaintiff or whether it went to charity or someone else. And Mr. Zavitsanos indicated that the instructions would not indicate where that money went. The instructions haven't been settled. They'll come from the Court. And I thought that that comment was getting close, if not crossing the line, to responding to juror's inquiries, rather than inquiring of the jurors.

THE COURT: Is there a response for the record?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. Couple of things. First, I think I prefaced it by saying that I could not divulge where it goes. Second, I think this issue has been raised several times with the Court, most recently during the hearing on the motion in limine, where the Court indicated that the flow of money and where it goes is not going to be a relevant issue for the case. And then third, the -- as I understand it, the jury instructions do not indicate where punitive damages go. And I have not found any case or any type of authority under Nevada law that indicates where the money goes.

So I was -- I mean, I wish they had not asked me that question. I was trying to sidestep it as much as I could. And I certainly don't mean to put my thumb on the scale here. I just dealt with it the best that I could.

THE COURT: And you know, my comment out in the hall

1	was you know, you're right at the line, but I felt like you were kind of
2	peeking over the line a little bit. So I asked you to dial it back and
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
4	THE COURT: not far. You can go to the line.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Both sides can go to the line. I get it. But just
7	as a caution.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Good. All right. Anything else to take up?
10	Now, when at the next break, we're going to have more jurors. It's my
11	intention to start at the beginning with them and try to weed them out
12	for availability.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Any objection to that approach?
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor. And if I and you
16	know, just again. I haven't conferred with counsel on this yet, but I
17	expect he would agree to this. If we get people identified for cause, my
18	request would be to do it just like you did this morning. If we get to a
19	point where I would have no reason Mr. Roberts then probing
20	THE COURT: Well, it certainly professional courtesy goes a
21	long way and so if you all talk about something, it's your case. If you
22	both agree
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: I will always
25	MR 7AVITSANOS: So let me visit with him

THE COURT: I'll always say yes.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
THE COURT: All right. Have a good break. See you at 10:50.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
[Recess taken from 10:39 a.m. to 10:50 a.m.]
[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]
THE COURT: Thank you. Please remain seated.
Now, when we bring in the jury, it is my intent to fill it up and
start again. I can't see past this pillar, so we won't be able to put
everybody in. 3A is overflow, and they're on BlueJeans.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: And, Your Honor, you are going to do
the hardships first, just like
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
THE COURT: Yeah. But I have to start at the beginning of
the script. So will you go stand back there so I can tell you who I can see
and who I can't see? That's about as far as I can go.
THE MARSHAL: So right here, ma'am?
THE COURT: Yeah.
[Counsel confer]
THE MARSHAL: Is this good right here, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You can go one more.

1	THE MARSHAL: One more?
2	THE COURT: Right there. And did was anyone familiar
3	with any of the names on the new panel?
4	MR. ROBERTS: Did we get the list?
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, we did.
6	THE COURT: Take a minute. I'm going to
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I have an extra one here, Joe.
8	THE COURT: We usually get that on the record, so.
9	[Counsel confer]
10	THE COURT: You never know. A number of our judges have
11	served on jury duty in the last few years.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Have you, Your Honor?
13	MR. ROBERTS: You actually made it?
14	THE COURT: No. I have not been called. But as a lawyer, I
15	sat in the box one day for three days, a med mal case, to be perempted,
16	so whatever.
17	MR. ROBERTS: Which justice was it that served? I can't
18	remember now, but
19	THE COURT: Gibbons.
20	MR. ROBERTS: Gibbons, that's right.
21	THE COURT: He's been doing trials. He's been helping us
22	with the backlog.
23	MR. ROBERTS: Oh, that's great. That would be quite a draw
24	for a senior judge.
25	THE COURT: So I did the math, and you guys held 16 of the

$\overline{}$
\simeq
$_{\odot}$
တ
ယ
Ň

1	first 45?		
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor?		
3	THE COURT: You held 16 of the first 45 is the way I am		
4	looking at it right now?		
5	MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.		
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.		
7	[Counsel confer]		
8	[Pause]		
9	THE COURT: All right. He is about to bring in the new panel.		
10	Either of you know anyone		
11	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury, please.		
12	THE COURT: on those lists?		
13	MR. ROBERTS: No, Your Honor.		
14	[Prospective jurors in at 10.55 a.m.]		
15	THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please, be seated.		
16	We are going to be bringing in new jurors, so I have to start		
17	at the beginning with them. Thank you for your patience. And by the		
18	end of every trial, he's the most beloved person in the room.		
19	THE MARSHAL: Is that you?		
20	THE COURT: Nope. It should it should be both lawyers,		
21	though, because they work so hard. Thank you.		
22	[Pause]		
23	THE COURT: I don't think it will take so long that I will ask		
24	you to continue with your voir dire.		
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Would you like me to proceed, Your		

1	Honor?		
2	THE COURT: I think he is going to be lining them up. It		
3	should only be a couple of minutes. Thank you for your patience,		
4	everyone.		
5	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Would you like me to proceed?		
7	MR. ROBERTS: No. She's		
8	THE COURT: No, because I don't		
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh. Oh, I see. I see.		
10	THE COURT: I don't, because I think I will have to interrupt		
11	you.		
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. I am sorry. I did not hear you. My		
13	apologies. Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.		
14	THE COURT: So with the masks, it's really hard to hear. And		
15	in Courtroom 3A, there is a coffered ceiling, and the sound is even worse		
16	in there, so.		
17	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury, please?		
18	[Prospective jurors in at 10:59 a.m.]		
19	[Pause]		
20	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, that is all the jurors.		
21	THE COURT: That's great.		
22	THE MARSHAL: Okay.		
23	THE COURT: Thank you so much, Marshal.		
24	THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am. I'll take the rest back.		
25	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, everyone. Please be		
1	Ī		

seated.

So to those of you who joined us today, thank you for being willing to serve your community as a juror. We are maintaining COVID protocol. I am required to enforce an order of our chief judge that your mask must cover your nose and mouth at all times that you are in the courtroom.

Is everyone feeling well today? Is there anyone here who has a fever who just joined us today? If so, you can let the marshal know privately, and I could arrange for testing if you need it.

Now, so welcome to District Court. This is the trial in the case number A-792978, which is the case of Freemont Emergency Services (Mandavia), LTD, a Nevada professional corporation; Team Physicians of Nevada-Mandavia, P.C., a Nevada professional corporation; Crum, Stefanko and Jones, Ltd. d/b/a Ruby Crest Emergency Medicine, a Nevada professional corporation v. UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company, a Connecticut corporation, UnitedHealthcare Services, Inc., d/b/a UnitedHealthcare, a Minnesota corporation, UMR, Inc., d/b/a United Medical Resources, a Delaware corporation; Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc., a Nevada corporation, and Health Plan of Nevada, Inc., a Nevada corporation.

The record will reflect the presence of the new jurors. There are additional jurors in Courtroom 3A.

Are the parties ready to proceed? Plaintiff?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. We are.

THE COURT: Defendant?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So good morning again. You have been summoned here to serve as prospective jurors in this case.

Before we begin, I need to introduce myself and the staff.

My name is Nancy Allf. I am the judge assigned to this case. You've met Marshal Allen outside. Then we have Brynn who is our court recorder.

And all phones have to be off because even if you're on vibrate, it can interfere with the recording. And the parties have spent a lot of time and money. They have chosen this place to resolve their dispute. We want to make sure that they get -- both have an equal good shot to have a good record and a good outcome.

So Brynn is the court recorder. And then we also have Nicole, who is our court clerk. She manages all of the evidence and keeps minutes of all of the matters. And they work really hard. So I try not to put them on the spot. So sometimes, my law clerk or administrative assistant may be in the courtroom, and don't be distracted by that.

But I am going to now ask the attorney for the Plaintiff and then the attorney for the Defendant to introduce themselves and their teams. And then I will ask if any of you are familiar with any of them. Plaintiff?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning everyone. To the new folks, my name is John Zavitsanos. That is a very different Greek name. If you end up on the jury, I promise you'll be able to pronounce it by the end. Let me

introduce the rest of our team. With us is Pat Lundvall. We have my law
partner of 35 years, Joe Ahmad. Next to him, Kevin Leyendecker, also
with our firm. Jason McManis. We have Colin Kennedy in back,
Michelle Rivers who will be helping us with the electronic display of the
exhibits, Michael Killingsworth, and Norm Revitz. And we represent the
three Plaintiffs, the three groups of doctors, nurses, and nurse
practitioners that have filed the case against the Defendants.

THE COURT: Okay. Is anyone in the room who has just joined us, familiar with any of those names or any of the people?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Did I cut you off?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. I think I deserve to put myself in the penalty box here. I forgot to introduce my client. Dr. Scott Scherr, who is here as a representative of the three entities.

THE COURT: If there is anyone who is familiar with any of those individuals, we start with our new people in the back. Then we start in the front row, and then we go from your right to left. So -- and I try to do it in order. And if you -- is there anyone in the front row from the right to left who is familiar? I think we had a hand in the second row? Yes. Please stand and give us your name and badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: Elizabeth Trambulo, 116.

THE COURT: Yes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: So I used to work at a law firm and Pat Lundvall is right there.

THE COURT: So you are familiar with Ms. Lundvall from

working at a law firm?	And the fact that you	have worked with	her in the
past, would that cause	you to be more or less	s fair to either side	?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: No.

THE COURT: No. Thank you. And in the back row, we had one hand. Name and badge number, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 198: Frederick Goll, 198. I am actually a physician, so I am familiar with both the physicians and the insurance companies.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you both. Defendant, will you please do the same?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 198: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I just needed that on the record, thank you.

We'll move on to let the Defendants introduce themselves.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

My name is Lee Roberts, and I am with the Las Vegas Office of the law firm of Weinberg, Wheeler, Hudgins, Gunn & Dial. And I represent the Defendants in this matter, the people who have been sued.

Here at counsel table, my co-counsel Mr. Lee Blalack, my co-counsel Mr. Jeff Gordon. And then I don't know if you can all see them over here, but back in the corner are our law firms' paralegals, Audra Bonney and Dex Pagdilao. And they are the people in charge of keeping track of all of the exhibits and displaying the visuals for you.

My clients who are here today, I have two representatives.

First, Mr. Ryan Wong who is here on behalf of UnitedHealthcare

Insurance Company, UnitedHealthcare Services, and UMR. Right here is

Mr. Glen Stevens who is from Las Vegas. And he is here on behalf of
Health Plan of Nevada and Sierra Health and Life. Thank you, Your
Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. For those of you who just joined us today, is anyone familiar with any of the parties, any of the lawyers? I see no one in -- how about in the front row, do I have any hands up? How about the middle row? And the back row? Doctor, are you familiar with any of the people introduced here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 198: The lawyers no, but the insurance companies, yes.

THE COURT: Very good. Does the fact that you are aware of the insurance company is a party cause you to be more or less favorable to either side?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 198: It would depend on the evidence.

THE COURT RECORDER: I need the microphone down there, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 198: We've had working with relationships for like 26 years with all the insurance companies. So it would depend on information presented.

THE COURT: I think what you said though, it depends on the evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 198: Correct.

THE COURT: Good enough. Thank you.

Okay. Now, Plaintiff, will you please list your witnesses and then I'll ask the Defendant to do the same. And we'll go through the

same exercise.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor. May I proceed?

THE COURT: Please.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. So this is a pretty long list, and bear with me. So again, the three Plaintiffs, the entities that brought the claim, are Fremont Emergency Services. They're here in Las Vegas, in Clark County. And we're going to call them Fremont. The next one is Team Physicians of Nevada - Mandavia. They'll be referred to as Team Physicians. The third one sounds like a law firm, Crum, Stefanko, and Jones. They go by Ruby Crest Emergency Medicine. Now we will usually refer to all three of them as the healthcare providers.

And then here are the witnesses that we -- that may be called. This is not everybody that will be called, but these are the may be called. Kent Bristow, Rena Harris -- I'm going to stand over here.

Thank you. Leif Murphy, Jennifer Shrader, Dr. Scott Scherr, Daniel Jones, Dr. Jody Crane, Mark Kline, Paul Bevilacqua, Paula Dearolf, Joe Carman with a C, Jason Heuberger, Miles Snowden, Robert -- Dr. Robert Frantz, Jennifer Behn. That's B-E-H-N. Eddie Ocasio, Rhone D'Errico, Brent Davis, Dan Collard, Lisa Zima, Brad Belvins, Wade Sears, David Greenberg, David Leathers. That completes our --

THE COURT: Thank you. Is anybody who joined us today familiar with any of those names? I see no one raising their hands. How about in the --

THE CLERK: In the back.

THE COURT: I'll get to you just in a second. Let's do the first
row first. First row, anyone familiar with any of the witnesses? I see no
hands. Second row. Third row. All right. We have one. Your name and
badge number, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: Ken Weisman, 175. I don't know any of the individual named witnesses, but I have done lien reduction negotiations with Fremont Emergency Services. It should not impact.

THE COURT: All right. And how are you currently employed, Mr. Weisman?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: How are you currently employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I'm self-employed. Actually, self-employed and yes, both.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you. And was there anyone else who knew any of the Plaintiff's witnesses?

Defendant, the same exercise, please.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.

And just as the Plaintiff said, these are all people that you may hear from. Not definitely, but you may hear from the following witnesses if you're selected for the jury. Tom Ralston, Michael Schill, Jacy Jefferson, Liz Lord, Scott Ziemer, Bruce Singleton, Leslie Hare, Shaun Schoener, Bruce Deal, Chuck Lanier, Susan Mohler, Susan Dominey, Sean Crandell, Mike Bandomer, Jacqueline Kienzle, Karen King, John Haben, Dan Rosenthal, Greg Dosedel, Alexander Mizenko, Angie Nierman, Rebecca Paradise, Dan Schumacher, Emma Johnson,

Charles Sims, Jason Schoonover, Jolene Bradley, Lisa Dealy, Melissa
Dotson, Vince Zuccarello, Mark Edwards, Kevin Ericson, Marty Millerliele,
David Yerich, Jean Stenzel, and Joseph Esparraguera. Thank you, Your
Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is anyone familiar with any of those possible witnesses? I see no one in the jury box.

How about the front row? I see no hands up. How about the second row? How about the third row? Any familiarity with any of the potential witnesses? Okay. Very good. Thank you all.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Please swear -- will the new members of the jury -- at least of the panel, please stand and raise your right hand? For our new members only, please. Everyone, please.

[Prospective jurors were sworn]

THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Okay. Let me give you just a little intro for everyone since you guys are joining us on day two. Phones have to be off. If you need letters for your employers, we can give you those. If you write down your name and the contact information, where we're supposed to send it, we will either email or fax letters for you to your employers.

Now normally, I would allow you to bring a bottle of water into the courtroom, but because I'm obligated to enforce this mask rule, I don't do that. And so, I'll ask everyone to keep your mask on the whole time that you're here.

Now a couple of rules. If you need a break for any reason,

for your personal comfort or you've got something you need to address, let us know. I'll always be happy to take a break. But I do have to say that when one of us takes a break all of us take a break. So if we've just recently had one, I'll ask you to wait as long as you can and still be comfortable and still be able to participate.

Now we're at the point in jury selection where we have already talked to other people for a whole day, but I'm going to get some questions with regard to your availability and whether it would be a hardship for you to serve on this jury. We expect this jury to go -- the trial will start Monday. We believe that jury selection will last through Thursday of this week. And trial starts Monday. We think the trial will go to the week -- the Tuesday of the week of Thanksgiving, which is November 23. So I do have a couple of questions before we get into qualifying questions though.

In order to serve as a juror, you must be a citizen of the United States. Is there anyone in the jury box who joined us today who is not a citizen? I see no hands up.

How about in the first row? I see no one with a hand up. Second row? Third row?

Next qualification is that if you have been convicted of a felony, you would need to have your civil rights restored. And if you want privacy for any answer that you give, ask for privacy, and I will make sure you get it. Is there anyone in the jury box to whom that might apply?

How about in the first row? I see no hands up. Second row?

1	No hands. And third row? No hands. Okay. Very good. Thank you.
2	Now is there anyone who speaks English as a second
3	language and is having a hard time following me? In the row back here?
4	Anyone? How about anyone in this row? How about in the back?
5	We everything has to be in order, because we have to
6	make sure the parties have a good record. In the first row, we have do
7	we have any hands up? Give me
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 081: Thida Heng, 081.
9	THE COURT: Give me your 81.
10	THE CLERK: 081.
11	THE COURT: Let me get there. Okay. Ms. Heng, yes. What
12	is your native language?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 081: Cambodian.
14	THE COURT: And are you having trouble following?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 081: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Do you work outside the home?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 081: Yes, I work.
18	THE COURT: Do you speak English for work?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 081: I speak just for living, but for
20	just [indiscernible]. I don't understand.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Are you familiar with medical terms
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 081: Oh, no.
23	THE COURT: in English? Or are you do you know legal
24	terms in English?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 081: No.

1	THE COURT: Thank you for your candor. Thank you for
2	being honest.
3	Was there anyone else in this row who speaks English as a
4	second language and is having a hard time following? Okay. So in the
5	third row, is there anyone who speaks English as a second language.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111: No, not so much.
7	THE COURT: Oh. May I have your name and badge number,
8	please.
9	PROSPECITVE JUROR 111: Jose Becerra
10	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
11	THE MARSHAL: Speak into the mic, please.
12	THE COURT: 77?
13	THE CLERK: Jose Becerra. It should be 111.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111: 111.
15	THE COURT: Hang on. 111. Okay. Jose Cruz Becerra.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Becerra, what's your first language?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111: Espanol.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And do you work outside the home
20	trabajar?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111: [Indiscernible]
22	THE COURT: And do you speak English at work?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111: No.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anyone else in that row to
25	whom that might apply? How about in the back row? Is there anyone

1	who speaks English as a second language?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 162: Hannah Lin, 162.
3	THE COURT: 162. Thank you, Ms. Lin. What's your first
4	language?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 162: Chinese.
6	THE COURT: Do you work outside the home?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 162: Yes.
8	THE COURT: And do you speak English for your work?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 162: Yes, I do. I speak English for like
10	the common sentence, but like I don't [indiscernible] the medical things
11	and the legal things.
12	THE COURT: Do you know any legal terms in English?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 162: I know a little bit, but not
14	[indiscernible].
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 162: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Is there anyone else in that last row in the back
18	who speaks English as a second language, having a hard time following?
19	I see no hands up. Counsel, please approach.
20	[Sidebar at 11:23 a.m., ending at 11:23 a.m., not transcribed]
21	THE COURT: So, Ms. Heng, Juror 81.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 081: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Will you please stand?
24	Mr. Becerra, Juror 111, please stand.
25	And Juror 162, Ms. Lin, please stand.
J	

We want to thank you and excuse you from serving on the jury. But you -- before they leave, I have to give my speech.

This is the greatest system of justice the world has ever seen. And it's because of people like you who came here today being willing to serve their community. The parties chose to dissolve their disputes in a courtroom by a jury. And the fact that you showed up today means that the system works. So thank you. You'll be excused from jury services. You may leave.

Okay. The next portion of our jury selection is to deal with availability or if it would be a hardship for any of you to serve. But I have to read something to preface first. We have a script. It's not because we don't know what we're doing, but we like to promote consistency with all of the trials. But ultimately, we will end up with 12 jurors in this case. And we -- which includes alternate jurors. We don't tell you who the alternates are until the end of the trial, because we want everyone to focus on the evidence.

We're very concerned with having a jury that is -- people who are completely openminded, neutral objective, and unbiased in their thinking. To accomplish that, we'll see about your availability. After that, I ask some questions, and then the lawyers have the ability to ask questions.

We all have personal prejudices. We have biases based on family experience, education, political views, religious views, financial situations, and the fact that you might start with a certain bias or prejudice doesn't mean that you can't serve on the jury. But the

questions we are asked -- we are asking is to determine if you will listen fairly and equally to both sides and if you're willing to apply the law to the facts as you find them.

So when we ask the questions, don't -- please don't hide anything about your personal beliefs. And some of the questions directed to -- are directed to the whole group, and some are directed to individuals.

Now before we start that, I need to know if anyone in this row in the jury box would be unavailable to serve through Thursday for jury selection, starting trial Monday to the Tuesday of Thanksgiving weekend, November 23rd. All right. We'll take these in order, please. I have to go in order.

[Court and Clerk confer]

THE COURT: The microphone.

[Pause]

THE COURT: I believe it's Mr. Johnson.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: Yes. Michael Johnson, 005.

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: So I am self-employed. I have meetings scheduled out of town. My phone is off, so I can't look at my calendar, but November 4th, November 16th, and November 17th, in Northern Nevada.

THE COURT: Okay. And those are not easily rescheduled?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: They are not.

THE COURT: And would you lose money on travel if you

can	't	a	റ	?
Odii		-	$\mathbf{\circ}$	•

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: I wouldn't lose money on travel.

I would lose money on not being able to do my job.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else? We have to go in order. No, no, in order. We have to -- I have to be really careful. I'm not ignoring you. Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Ethan Lewis. I'm a high school football coach. And we're in the middle --

THE CLERK: Badge number, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Oh, 026. I'm a high school coach, and we're getting ready for our season. We're also concluding our football season. And so, obviously, it's based on high school kids and all their stuff and their seasons. Practices would start 1:30 each day. And obviously, I won't be able to be there. And I play a predominant role as the head coach in those roles. So being able to lead my team at the end of the season would be, you know, beneficial for us.

THE COURT: How many coaches are on the staff?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: There's three in basketball and

there's six in football.

THE COURT: And you're the head coach for football?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yeah.

THE COURT: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Thank you. Our next person, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 029: D'Artagnan Webb, badge

1	number 029. I came across?
2	THE COURT: Yeah, I can hear you.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 029: All right. I'm a single income
4	household. And I work graveyard. Like I just got off at 4:00 in the
5	morning. So mentally, I'm not even here right now.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have any dependents?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 029: Yes. I have five.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Can you please pass the mid
9	down?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Angelo Torres, Number 038. I
11	work at a funeral home. I'm available.
12	THE COURT: So you would be available to serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being willing to
15	serve. Okay. Is there anyone in order here who would not be available?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 052: Yes, Your Honor. 052, Steven
17	Childress. Yes. I have a very important doctor's appointment on
18	Monday at 2:20 p.m. This is something that I had difficulty scheduling.
19	It's like I said, it's really it's important to me. It's to do with tests that
20	I've had.
21	THE COURT: If you need privacy, I'm happy to give you
22	privacy.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 052: Yeah. I yeah, please.
24	THE COURT: Counsel, please approach.
25	[Sidehar at 11:30 a.m. ending at 11:30 a.m. not transcribed]

THE COUR	: So for those of you who've indicated that yo	u
won't be able to serve,	we're going to take that up over the noon hour	

But I have an agreement from both sides, Mr. Childress, that you may be excused from the jury. So thank you for your -- being here and being willing to serve. We all hope everything goes well on Monday. So I'm not going to reorder anybody, but you're excused. You may leave. And then we have one other person in the front row. Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Jeffrey Kennedy, 053. I'm the sole provider for my family, and I'm actually booked for the next two weeks. I don't have time to take off. It's the convention industry.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And in our front row up here starting from the right to left. Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Howard Aden, 055.

THE COURT: Yes, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Let me get this straight. I bought a house out of state, and I need to be off this Thursday to transfer the funds, wire transfer and then Friday we're going to close. And my home here in Las -- north Las Vegas, I've sold. It's under contract, and it's supposed to be closed by the 20th of November.

THE COURT: We don't usually start until 9:30. Could you do the funds transfer before court? Because Friday --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: I have to go to the -- I have to go to the bank, and we have an appointment. I don't know.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask over the noon hour if you can see if you can do that Thursday morning before court or over the lunch

_	
0	
0	
0	
Ś	
4	
N	

1	hour. And Friday is a holiday. Friday is Nevada day.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: This Friday?
3	THE COURT: Yeah.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Well, I'm doing a wire transfer
5	over the phone. The place is the place I'm buying is in Tennessee.
6	THE COURT: All right. So check on check about your bank
7	appointment for Thursday over the noon hour, and we'll revisit this.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Okay. And also, well my new
9	home I have to be out by the 20th.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: So when are you physically moving to
13	Tennessee?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: As soon as we have to get out
15	between now and the 20th.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Our next person, please?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: Samuel King, 059.
18	THE COURT: And
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: My work schedule, you know, it's
20	like
21	THE COURT: I can't hear you. Can you put the mic
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: My work schedule the impact
23	would be, you know, just too much.
24	THE COURT: Where
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: I just barely started working.

1	THE COURT: Where are you employed?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: Alorica.
3	THE COURT: Where?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: Alorica.
5	THE COURT: And what is that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: A pharmacy.
7	THE COURT: And what do you do, sir?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: Customer service.
9	THE COURT: How many employees are there?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: I'm not certain.
11	THE COURT: And your employer can't affect your
12	employment for jury service.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: Right.
14	THE COURT: And I'm more than happy I have letters up
15	here
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: It's just the money part. I just
17	started working like eight months ago so it's like you know, every check
18	is like I'm trying to catch up on my bills.
19	THE COURT: And
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: And today is like, you know, it's a
21	huge impact.
22	THE COURT: And do you have any dependents?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 059: No, but, you know, I don't want
24	to be homeless though.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anyone else in order who

1	would not be available?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 099: 099.
3	THE COURT: Your name, please?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 099: Erica Aguilar. I work at a salon,
5	and I'm pretty much one of the main techs there, and they rely on me
6	heavily. Today there's only three staff there, which doesn't leave them
7	with a lot of availability. So they actually need me pretty much every
8	day.
9	THE COURT: And what are your normal days off?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 099: Sunday. I do every other
11	Saturday. So it's either Saturday and Sunday or just Sundays.
12	THE COURT: And do you lose would you lose income if
13	you don't go to work?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 099: Yes, I would.
15	THE COURT: Do you have any dependents?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 099: No.
17	THE COURT: No?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 099: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks, Ms. Aguilar.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 099: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Anyone else in this row? Okay. Let's go to the
22	second row, please, starting from your right to left.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Hi, my name is Patrice Detken,
24	badge number 108.
25	THE COURT: Hang on. 108?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

PROSPECTIVE	JUROR 1	108:	108
-------------	---------	------	-----

THE COURT: I'm getting there. Yes. Mr. Detken.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Yes, I'm a police Chaplin as well as a pastor. Next week I have a memorial service on Tuesday and a wedding on Thursday.

THE COURT: What time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: At noon for the one on Tuesday.

And at four or I think, three o'clock, the one on Thursday.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Detken. Anyone else in this row in order?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 150: Judy Schuler, 150. I have cancer doctor appointments. I have one this coming Thursday and another one in November. I also work at a firm where I'm the main accounting person and in real estate and the funds come in around the first of the month that I have to take care of and book properly.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else in that row? Let's go to the back row, please. Your name, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 152: Good morning. Arlene Saucedo, 152. Okay. I am an on-call employee, but my main priority is being a full-time mother. I have two children at home. One goes to school. My son did have to miss school today, because I wasn't sure what time I was going to be out.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Saucedo. Okay. Let's go to the back row, please. And let's in order from your right to left, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Yes. My name is John Michalik,

1	616. I work the back shift at
2	THE COURT: Hang on a second. I have to find you. Oh, I got
3	you. 161.
4	THE CLERK: 161.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Yeah, 161. I'm sorry.
6	THE COURT: Please.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Yeah, I work the back shift
8	swing shift at Treasure Island. The hours are basically from one to ten.
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: I have no dependents, but I don't
11	know if that
12	THE COURT: I believe the casinos pay their employees even
13	though they serve on jury duty.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Okay.
15	THE COURT: So would you be able to check on that over the
16	noon hour?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Yeah. Yeah, I can give them a
18	call.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Anyone else in order?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 164: Amanda Hoyer, juror number
23	164.
24	THE COURT: Yes?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 164: I'm committed to a house sitting

0
0
O
ũ
4
\sim 1

1	job from the 7th through the 14th. I also have doctor's appointments on
2	the 5th and the 26th that were scheduled six months ago. And I need to
3	complete them before the end of the year due to my health insurance
4	requirements.
5	THE COURT: And where is the house sitting?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 164: Where?
7	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 164: Here in Las Vegas up in
9	Centennial Hills.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And would it preclude why would jury
11	service preclude you from doing that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 164: Because I'm taking care of some
13	medical needy pets that require injections multiple times a day.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And then you I think we're dark on the
15	5th. But the appointment you have, is it November 26th?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 164: I want to say it's the 26th. Yeah,
17	my phone is off so I can't check my calendar right now.
18	THE COURT: So why don't you check that over the noon
19	hour and get back to us.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 164: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 170: 170. Carole Glass-Beil. I'm 78. I
22	live up in Mesquite and the way to drive in the dark I cannot drive
23	nighttime driving. I'd have to have somebody bring me in and bring me
24	home.
25	THE COURT: So I believe you're age exempt from jury

0
0
Ō
ယ
4
\sim

1	service. Did anyone explain that to you?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 164: Nobody no.
3	THE COURT: The fact that you came today is very
4	impressive to me. Thank you. All right. Next person in order, please?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Joseph Dolan, 171. I am the sole
6	runner for my company, EDS Electronics, Inc.
7	THE COURT: I you'll have to slow down. I couldn't hear
8	that. I'm sorry.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: I am the sole runner for our
10	company, EDS Electronics, Inc. If I am not there construction workers
11	won't be able to get parts on time and jobs will be postponed as well as
12	our inspections in our service department.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. When you take a vacation,
14	who backs you up?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Nobody. They dismiss out for a
16	week. But I couldn't we take a month on those parts.
17	THE COURT: Can't the QE step in for you?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: We are a small company. We
19	don't have very many people.
20	THE COURT: All right. Are you the QE?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: I don't know what that means,
22	QE.
23	THE COURT: Qualified employee.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Yes, I do the qualified
25	THE COURT: Under the license.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: I'm the runner for the		
2	warehouse.		
3	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Next, please.		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: Good morning, Your Honor. Ken		
5	Weissman, number 175.		
6	THE COURT: Yes?		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I'm a sole practitioner and my		
8	office can't basically be left unsupervised, unmanaged, no one		
9	answering the phones [indiscernible] COVID. And in addition, I have a		
10	couple of trials scheduled during the next month as a		
11	THE COURT: So what courts are your trials scheduled?		
12	Because I can see if they're going to go.		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I'm sorry?		
14	THE COURT: Where are your trials scheduled, because I		
15	can		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: Through the short trial program		
17	here as a presiding judge.		
18	THE COURT: All right. So when do yo know when they're		
19	scheduled?		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I'm sorry?		
21	THE COURT: Do you know when they're scheduled?		
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I don't offhand. I don't have my		
23	calendar with me.		
24	THE COURT: All right.		
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: In addition, I'm an employee of		

1	LAPD as a hearing officer, but I don't think there's anything coming up in
2	the next month.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: My doctor's appointments are
5	not a problem; I can reschedule those.
6	THE COURT: Good enough. Thank you.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: But leaving my office unattended
8	for a month I can't do. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 198: Frederick Goll, 198. As I
11	mentioned previously, I'm a physician, and I currently have a full
12	schedule booked past the end of the trial, actually into December. So it
13	would result in significant delay in those patients' care, surgery,
14	otherwise.
15	THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. Next? Is there anyone else
16	in that row?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 208: Yes, Rui Costa, 208.
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 208: Oh, you found me. Okay. I'm
20	sorry. My wife just had surgery on Friday, so I'm taking care of her until
21	she feels better and bringing the kids to school. Probably would be a
22	little bit difficult.
23	THE COURT: And what's her expected recovery time?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 208: Not sure. When she's home.
25	So whenever she feels better, and I'm giving her the medication and

things like that.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. A couple more questions about ability to serve as the jury -- oh, we have one more? Oh, I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 209: Sarah Bonilla, 209. I would just be losing income being here, and I am a single mother of two with my own rent to pay.

THE COURT: Okay. And you work for Cashman Photo. What do you do there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 209: Customer service representative, accounting. I do multiple things there.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you make -- well, you would get paid as a juror but is your regular pay more than \$40 a day?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 209: Yeah, a lot more.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And then we -- for the lawyers, we still have overflow in 3A. I'm not going to go there just yet. But what I'm going to do is -- we're going to take a lunch hour now. It's 11:45. I'll ask everybody to be back at 12:45. Come at 12:40 so you can line up in order. Those of you have things to do over the -- check up on your calendars and your schedules, please do that over the noon hour. And then the lawyers and I will be ready at 12:45.

Let me give you an admonition before we take our break. So -- and for the people that just joined us, if you're selected for the jury, we only want you to think about the evidence that you hear, and you see, and the testimony in the courtroom. So if we run into any of you in the hallway or in the elevator, we're not allowed to talk to you. We're not

being antisocial. It's just we're bound by a very strict code of ethics that we won't want anything we say or do outside the courtroom to influence you as a juror. So please understand that.

Now, during the recess, do not talk with each other or anyone else on any subject connected to the trial. Don't speculate about who the parties are or what the issues might be. Don't speculate about who the witnesses are or what their testimony might be. Don't speculate with regard to the lawyers and anything about the lawyers. Don't read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on this trial. Do not discuss it with anyone connected to this case by any medium of information, newspapers, radio, internet, cell phones, television.

Don't conduct any research on your own with regard to what the case might be. You can't consult dictionaries, use the internet or use reference materials. You can't do anything on social media with the fact you are even in jury selection. Don't talk, text, tweet, Google or conduct any other type of research with regard to any issues, party, witness, or attorney involved in the case. Most importantly, do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected to the case unless you're chosen for the jury and the jury deliberates.

Have a good lunch, everybody. See you at 12:45. Please be on time.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Prospective jurors out at 11:45 a.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]

THE COURT: Okay. The room is clear --

1	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, we still have a prospective
2	juror.
3	THE COURT: Oh. I'm sorry. Sorry. Okay. So now the room
4	is clear. Anything for the record?
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Anything for the record?
7	MR. ROBERTS: No, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: I'm going to suggest that you guys talk about
9	whether you want to examine more thoroughly the individuals who
10	indicated unavailability and be back at 12:40 so we can talk about it.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, may I ask, how many
12	approximately how many additional new members are in the other
13	room?
14	THE COURT: I don't know. But
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Ball park is it
16	THE MARSHAL: 24.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: How many?
18	THE MARSHAL: 24.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 24. Okay.
20	THE COURT: 24.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: That might influence and so okay.
22	Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Thanks, guys.
24	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you.
25	[Recess taken from 11:47 a.m. to 12:47 p.m.]
1	

1	[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]
2	THE COURT: All right. Court will come to order. Do you
3	have everyone? I see.
4	MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, we're all here.
5	THE COURT: Great. Okay. Did the parties talk about jury
6	selection over the break?
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, we did not. I think I did see
8	an email regarding, I think, the lady who was over 70.
9	THE COURT: Age exempt.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. And I think we're both in
11	agreement to excuse her if she wants to be excused.
12	THE COURT: Juror 34, the first person in the box, is 70, and
13	she sent a note through the Marshall to me. Is she exempt?
14	MR. ROBERTS: Isn't it over 70?
15	THE COURT: It's over 70.
16	MR. ROBERTS: So if she's 70, I don't think she's exempt.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: When's her birthday?
18	MR. ROBERTS: 34.
19	THE COURT: Well, Juror 34, we don't know. She says she's
20	70 now, so
21	MR. ROBERTS: I don't recall the wording of the statute. I
22	know that Mr. White just sent it to us, but I didn't look at it because I was
23	familiar with it, but
24	THE COURT: It's 70 or 90 or let's see 90 miles or over 70.
25	There's wiggle room after 65 if they can show cause.

1	MR. ROBERTS: If she's asked to be excused because of that,
2	Your Honor, we would agree.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Same, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. So we'll excuse 34, as well as the
5	woman from Mesquite. Mesquite is only 82.8 miles from the
6	courthouse, so
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: but she's 78, so she qualifies.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
10	[Court and Marshal confer]
11	THE COURT: You guys, Juror 569 is telling the Marshal that
12	he has a problem and can't go forward. I'd like to bring him in
13	separately. Any objection?
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor.
15	MR. ROBERTS: No objection, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	MR. ROBERTS: And Your Honor, we are prepared to either
18	go with through all the jurors with the Court or to briefly meet with the
19	other side to reach an agreement, but we're ready to discuss all the
20	jurors.
21	THE COURT: Good enough. Why don't you guys talk? You
22	have a lot of professional courtesy. You know, the better the lawyers,
23	the more professional courtesy.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. I think that's a great
25	idea, so give us maybe five, ten minutes.

1	THE COURT: Can we have a minute to bring in 569 first?
2	MR. ROBERTS: Of course.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
4	MR. ROBERTS: Of course, Your Honor. He was the one that
5	had anxiety and is on medication. Okay.
6	THE COURT: No.
7	MR. ROBERTS: According to my notes.
8	MR. BLALACK: Yeah, that's what I've got, too, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Seid.
10	MR. BLALACK: 569?
11	THE COURT: He has anxiety and so does Mr. Smith.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All right. Court will come to order. Mr. Seid,
14	you've asked to speak to us.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: Sure. It's just that maybe I'm not
16	showing it from the outside like I told the gentleman here, but the
17	anxiety is just driving me crazy on the inside.
18	THE COURT: And
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: About being here and
20	THE COURT: Is it the idea that you might have to decide who
21	is going to be the winner or just the process?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: It's a combination of all, ma'am.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: Just, you know it's just that,
25	you know, this is just totally out of my element. I mean, I respect the

C	\supset
Ç	⊇
Ç	ת
Ç	Ŋ
C	ת
•	7

ı	l neck out of what everybody does here, but it's just that
2	THE COURT: We're not offended.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: No, I understand.
4	THE COURT: We want to make sure you're okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: Yeah. It's just that, you know,
6	I'm not good at maybe showing it like physically, but in the inside, I'm,
7	you know I'm just, you know I'm not good, you know you know,
8	I'm not good for TV, for example, I can't you know, it's not good for
9	like when I have to talk, it's hard for me to be like, you know, the light
10	shining on me.
11	THE COURT: We're trying to be as nice as we can here.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: I understand.
13	THE COURT: So
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: But it's just just kind of you
15	know, this is kind of driving me a little crazy on the inside.
16	THE COURT: How'd you sleep last night?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: Okay. I mean sorry, sorry.
18	THE COURT: Is this something that gets accommodated at
19	your work?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: You know what? I've been there
21	for so long, it really you know what I've been there for so long, it really
22	doesn't affect me personally. There's times where, you know, I feel like
23	I'm in deep you know, I'm under pressure to get things done the right
24	way, but honestly, I don't show it, and but at the same time, like, you
25	know, like this is just totally something new to me that, you know, l

	_
C	⊃
C	\supset
C	α
Ć	v
Ć	ת
•	n

1	didn't even know I was going to come down here until Sunday night, and
2	then, you know, this is I don't know how to explain myself, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: Do you have trouble concentrating?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: At times, yes.
5	THE COURT: Or do you have trouble listening and paying
6	attention?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: At times, yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Good enough. Thank you, sir. I'm sorry
9	if we put you on the spot.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: No, I'm not on the spot. I
11	appreciate it.
12	THE COURT: Good enough. Why don't you step outside
13	with the Marshal?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: Yes, sir. Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry.
15	THE COURT: Actually, I didn't give you guys a chance to
16	question him. Did you want to? Plaintiff? Defendant?
17	MR. ROBERTS: No, the Defense did not want to. We've
18	heard enough, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Why don't you take a moment with your
20	team
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Zavitsanos?
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me Your Honor, may we just visit
24	for a second?
25	THE COURT: Yeah.
1	

1	[Counsel confer]
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, no objection to releasing
3	this gentleman.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	MR. ROBERTS: We agree, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. So we're going to lose Juror 569, Mr.
7	Seid. And then when they why don't you guys take a minute on how
8	you want to proceed, and I'll be back as soon as you're ready.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. About 5 or 10 minutes
10	ought to do it.
11	THE COURT: Yeah. And Juror 34 okay, so we've already
12	agreed to 34 in Mesquite. Okay. And the Marshal confirmed over lunch
13	there are 24 jurors in 3A. They're not hearing this, are they, Brynn?
14	THE COURT RECORDER: No.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Take your time.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. Okay.
17	[Recess taken from 12:54 p.m. to 1:03 p.m.]
18	THE COURT: So in the statute, if the chaplain is a police
19	officer, he's exempt by statute. I don't know if we need to ask that or
20	not.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, okay.
22	THE COURT: Anyway, so I'm ready.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Your Honor, these are the ones or
24	which we reached an agreement. Badge numbers

THE COURT: Agreement to excuse?

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Excuse me?
2	THE COURT: To excuse?
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor, to excuse.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: To excuse. My apologies. Yes, to
6	excuse. Okay. These are badge numbers 029, 053, 055, 099, 150, 152,
7	164, 170, 198, 208, 209.
8	THE COURT: Is that correct?
9	MR. ROBERTS: That's correct.
10	THE COURT: All right. And then I will ask Juror 34 if she'd
11	like to be excused?
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
13	MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And did that include the police chaplain?
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No. The police chaplain, Your Honor, is
16	108. We did not reach an agreement on that, and so we so Your
17	Honor, probably we can ask the question if he's just [indiscernible].
18	MR. ROBERTS: If he's a police officer, if he says yes, then
19	you'll ask him if he wishes to be excused.
20	THE COURT: And I'll ask 34 if she wishes to be excused.
21	MR. ROBERTS: Correct.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And Your Honor, on the others, I think
24	the disagreement varied anywhere from, we just need to ask more
25	questions to, you know, a hard no. So

1	THE COURT: It's okay. I
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: You know, you're allowed to not agree.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. No, I understand.
5	THE COURT: So
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I didn't know if the Court was going to
7	ask follow-up questions, like for example, the just as an example, the
8	gentleman, 005, I think we that was the gentleman that's going to be
9	out of town in Northern Nevada
10	THE COURT: Oh.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: who has a business.
12	THE COURT: Right.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So
14	THE COURT: He was the first one.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
16	MR. ROBERTS: And he confirmed he would not lose money
17	on travel, just on business.
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	MR. ROBERTS: But I don't think we ever got to whether it
20	would be a undue hardship for him to lose that money.
21	THE COURT: Good enough. Okay. All right. So are you
22	ready to bring in this group? So Andrew, we're going to excuse
23	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, you want me to bring them in
24	so that you can
25	THE COURT: Yeah, but we are going to excuse 11 people,

1	just for a heads up.
2	THE MARSHAL: Thank you, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: Marshal is doing the job of three people right
4	now. There are only three employees in jury services.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, wow.
6	THE COURT: So
7	MR. ROBERTS: Hmm.
8	THE COURT: Yeah.
9	MR. ROBERTS: I did not realize that.
10	THE COURT: They are so overworked.
11	MR. ROBERTS: Are we going to bring more people in or just
12	leave them over there?
13	THE COURT: Yeah. That's why I gave him a heads up
14	MR. ROBERTS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: because when we excuse them, I'll ask him
16	MR. ROBERTS: We'll fill them up.
17	THE COURT: to fill them in.
18	MR. ROBERTS: Okay, very good. Thank you, Your Honor.
19	Oh, and Your Honor
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. ROBERTS: I should tell you that we have a new
22	company representative here who is going to be taking over for Mr.
23	Stevens tomorrow, and it's Dr. Lambert Wu.
24	THE COURT: Oh.
25	MR. ROBERTS: He's a medical director with Health Plan of

1	Nevada.
2	THE COURT: Very good. And thank you, and welcome.
3	MR. WU: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	[Pause]
5	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
6	[Prospective jurors in at 1:08 p.m.]
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, everyone. Please be seated.
8	So Mr. Seid, 569, we spoke to you privately, and after
9	consultation with the lawyers, we're going to thank you for being willing
10	to serve your community and excuse you from further jury service.
11	Thank you.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 569: I appreciate it. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: All right. And then this will be pertaining to the
14	new group of people who joined us this morning. Will the following of
15	you please stand? Let's see. Number 029, 053, 055, 99, 150, 152, 164. Is
16	164 standing, and I just can't see? Oh. 170. 170? 198, 208, and 209. We
17	thank you for being here today and being willing to serve your
18	community. This is the greatest system of justice the world has ever
19	seen because of you, and we would have loved to work with you. We
20	hope that the right trial will come, and you'll get your chance, so you
21	may leave.
22	PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Thank you, Your Honor.

23

24

25

MR. ZAVITSANOS: You did not excuse 59, did you, Your

THE COURT: Now, Juror 34 --

1	THE COURT: No.
2	MR. ROBERTS: Okay.
3	THE COURT: 29, 53, 55, 99.
4	MR. ROBERTS: Okay.
5	MR. BLALACK: That's what I thought.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Let's just wait until the noise goes down
7	a little because it's hard to hear with the mask.
8	Juror 34, you sent a message back through the Marshal to
9	us. You are exempt based upon your age, unless you want to serve.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: I do have a difficult time driving
11	at night. I just
12	THE COURT RECORDER: I need her on the microphone,
13	please.
14	THE COURT: Oh. Sorry to put you on the spot like that.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: It's all right.
16	THE COURT: For 34, please.
17	THE COURT RECORDER: The microphone.
18	THE MARSHAL: Oh.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Your Honor, I did not know until
20	the lady that was about the age limit and I do also have a problem
21	driving at night, and it gets dark earlier, so yes, I would like to be
22	excused.
23	THE COURT: Even if we spring or let's see, we're going to
24	fall back next weekend.
25	PROSPECTIVE HIROR 024: Voob

THE COURT: Okay. So you're not obligated. You don't have
to give an excuse.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Okay.
THE COURT: All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Thank you so much, Your Honor
THE COURT: So you'll be excused, as well. All right. Let's
take a
MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, and I apologize if I'm confused,
but I would request that the Court take a roll call for Juror number 59.
THE COURT: Let's
MR. ROBERTS: Samuel King.
THE COURT: Let's just do a roll call so that I can also mark
on my list who has been excused.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Let's start with Mr. Johnson.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: Here.
THE COURT: The Clerk will do it.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: Oh.
THE CLERK: Ethan Lewis?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Here.
THE CLERK: Angelo Torres?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Here.
THE CLERK: Karyl Kelly?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Here. Karyl.
THE CLERK: Karyl. Thank you. Christina Carr?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Samuel King?
3	THE COURT: Oh. We let Steven Childress go. What about
4	Jeffrey Kennedy, 53?
5	THE CLERK: We let
6	THE COURT: We let him go.
7	THE CLERK: We let him go.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	THE CLERK: The next one, we have is Samuel King. Is he
10	here?
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We X'ed him.
12	THE CLERK: Did we excuse 55?
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: What about 54?
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I think we excused 55, Your Honor.
16	THE CLERK: Oh, I'm so sorry. Is 59 here? Samuel King.
17	THE COURT: Go back. What about 54?
18	THE CLERK: 54 was Howard Aden. He was excused.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	THE CLERK: That's 55. Which numbers are you going by?
21	Panel number or badge number?
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I know what happened, Your
23	Honor.
24	THE COURT: Badge number. We've been going pretty fast.
25	I didn't keep up. What happened?

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, we called out 55, and I think	
2	he must've thought we were talking about the panel number because he	
3	is 55 in the panel number.	
4	THE COURT: Oh.	
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And he got up when 55 was	
6	called, but he's really 59 by the badge number. I was confused when he	
7	stood up, too, but he should not have been excused.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. So Andrew, Juror Number 059 is the	
9	one who left by mistake.	
10	THE MARSHAL: Yes.	
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.	
12	THE COURT: Oh. Andrew, see if you can call 059. Mr.	
13	Samuel King. Sorry, everybody. Yes?	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 034: Your Honor, may I be excused?	
15	THE COURT: Yes, you may. You may leave the room.	
16	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Thank you so	
17	much.	
18	THE COURT: And thank you for being willing to serve. This	
19	is a good time for a stretch break while we're in limbo here for a minute.	
20	[Pause]	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: Yes.	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: I take the transit bus	
24	THE COURT: Yeah.	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: and if it's going to run past 5, I	

22

23

24

1	only have a half hour period.
2	THE COURT: The bus station is a block away, and we always
3	stop at 4:45.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Okay.
5	THE COURT: So make sure
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: But it's not the regular bus. It's
7	the scheduled one.
8	THE COURT: A different one. Okay. Did all of you hear that
9	explanation? And what's your name and badge number, please?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Karyl Kelly, Karyl was with a K.
11	THE COURT: That's right.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: And 489.
13	THE COURT: We just were on the roll call here.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: 048.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 48, Your Honor.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: 48.
17	THE COURT: We always stop at 4:45. The schedule most
18	days is 9:30 to 4:45. We're going to hope that we can do shorter lunches,
19	like half an hour instead of a hour, so that with the hope of finishing on
20	time or maybe early.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Okay.

THE COURT: So you know, I don't have the Marshal here to give you guys a recess, but if I give you a short one, will you be ready in 10 minutes? If I give you guys a short recess, can everybody be back in

25 order in 10 minutes? Yes? All right.

During the recess, don't talk with each other or anyone else on any subject connected to the trial. Don't read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, don't discussing this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, internet, cell phone, or texting.

Don't conduct any research, don't speculate about the witnesses, don't speculate about the lawyers, don't use the internet, don't do any social media, even about being in jury selection, and then don't tweet, Google, conduct any type of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in the case. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the matter is submitted to you.

It is 1:18. Please be ready at 1:30.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. And Your Honor, we have a quick question.

THE COURT: Whenever you're ready.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: It doesn't need to be on the record.

[Prospective jurors out at 1:18 p.m.]

[Recess taken from 1:18 p.m. to 1:28 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]

THE MARSHAL: Back in session.

THE COURT: Thanks, everybody. Okay. So Samuel King has left the building, Juror 59. Is there a response?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: From the Plaintiff, no, Your Honor. We actually were okay with excusing him, so we don't have any -- no

response, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I know you don't have your co-counsel. Do you want to defer your response?

MR. ROBERTS: I would momentarily.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ROBERTS: I'm inclined to agree as it'd be too disruptive, I believe, to bring him back at the chance he might dismiss --

THE COURT: Take a moment with Mr. Blalack.

MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, Defendants would agree to dismiss Mr. King.

THE COURT: Thank you. I think that his work schedule may have really been real. Now, the police chaplain, Juror 108, has inquired of the marshal whether or not the chaplain can be dismissed, so that you know. And I will just take him out of order and voir dire him on whether or not he's an officer.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thanks, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then I think we've got some notes from jurors. No. Oh, good. Okay. And then, when they come back, they should be ready any time, we'll reorder, and we'll bring new people down from 3A. And you guys are standing. Did you have something you wanted?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor. I was just going to ask on that point where you just left off, when you bring the new folks in, will you kind of requalify and go through the hardships again with the new folks?

1	THE COURT: I have to do it with thethey've heard
2	everything.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
4	THE COURT: So they'll be ready to hear the hardship
5	excuses.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I got it. Got it. Okay. Thank you, Your
7	Honor.
8	[Pause]
9	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
10	[Prospective jurors in at 1:33 p.m.]
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. All right. So Mr.
12	Detken, Juror 108. You're a police chaplain. Are you also a police
13	officer?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: No, ma'am.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So you're a civilian?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Yes, ma'am.
17	THE COURT: Because police officers are exempt from jury
18	service if they choose. We need to ask a few more questions before we
19	can determine whether or not you'll stay with us.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Okay.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask that everybody be
22	reordered, and we're going to bring some more jurors in. And thank you
23	for your patience.
24	[Pause]
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Marshal. You can bring

in the new members, please.

THE MARSHAL: All right.

THE COURT: All right. So for those of us who just joined us today, and in the same order that we've been in, I have to ask if any of you have any medical conditions that would preclude you from serving on a jury for the next few weeks. And that would be starting with Mr. Johnson, and to his right. Are there any -- is there anyone in that row who has a medical condition that would preclude them from serving?

How about on our front row over here? I see no hands up.

Thank you. How about in the back? Anyone in this first row, does anyone have any medical conditions that would preclude you from serving?

Thank you. Okay. Now, does anyone have a religious or philosophical belief that they should not stand in judgment of another such that they could not serve on a jury?

Anyone in our second row here or anyone in the first row? I see no hands up. How about in the back? Okay. All right.

Let me start with just a few basic questions for our new people. Mr. Johnson, how long have you lived in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: I live in Boulder City.

THE CLERK: We need the --

THE COURT: Oh, we don't have the microphone. Sorry. We'll get there.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: With the Court's permission, could we ask when you're doing this to get the number -- the badge number,

1	please?	
2	THE COURT: That's a good idea.	
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: Yes.	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: Name is Martin Johnson, Badge	
6	005.	
7	THE COURT: And you live in Boulder City.	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: I live in Boulder City. That is an	
9	important distinction.	
10	THE COURT: Yes, it is.	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: And I have lived there for the	
12	most part since 1982, so	
13	THE COURT: Great.	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: almost 40 years.	
15	THE COURT: And would it be possible for you to reschedule	
16	without having damage to your business, those business meetings that	
17	you have scheduled?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: A couple of meetings could be	
19	rescheduled, but others have been on my calendar for months and are	
20	beyond my control. School board meetings, meetings with the state	
21	Board of Finance, those types of things. I can't control when those are.	
22	THE COURT: Can you tell us more about the nature of your	
23	business?	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: I advise state and local	
25	governments on acquiring funds to build. In fact, many years ago, I	

1	helped Clark County get the money to build this facility as part of my job.
2	THE COURT: I'm sure you know the history of this building.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: Unfortunately, yes. I do. And so
4	as such, I go to school board meetings, county commission meetings, et
5	cetera, to discuss with them those plans and how best to finance the
6	facilities that they're looking to get.
7	THE COURT: I see. Okay. Let me ask you a few more
8	questions, then. Have you ever served before as a juror?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: I have not.
10	THE COURT: Have you ever sued or been sued?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: No.
12	THE COURT: And if you're married, does your spouse work?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: She's a coach for the high school
14	volleyball team, so I would have to say no.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: She gets paid five cents an hour.
17	THE COURT: So okay. That might be work.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: It's psychological work.
19	THE COURT: Good enough. And I think that's it for now.
20	Thank you, Mr. Johnson. Mr. Lewis?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, ma'am.
22	THE COURT: What where do you coach football?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Shadow Ridge High School.
24	THE CLERK: Badge Number, please.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: 026.

1	THE COURT: Shadow Ridge?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, ma'am.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Who's their big rival?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Arbor View.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And have you played them yet this
6	season?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: We actually got reclassified at
8	the start of the season, so we didn't actually end up playing them this
9	year.
10	THE COURT: So how long have you lived in this area?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Seven years.
12	THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: She's a full-time student right
14	now. She graduates in December.
15	THE COURT: Have you ever served before on a jury?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: I have.
17	THE COURT: Was it here in Clark County?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: Was it a civil or a criminal case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Criminal.
21	THE COURT: Did the jury deliberate?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: No. He ended up taking a plea
23	deal.
24	THE COURT: All right. So you didn't deliberate. You weren'
25	the foreperson.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever sued or been sued?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: No, ma'am.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. Let's hear from our next person,
5	please.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Angelo. Angelo Torres.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in the Las
8	Vegas area?
9	THE CLERK: Badge Number, please?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: 038. Since 1965.
11	THE COURT: And you said that you have a funeral coming
12	up?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: No. Actually, I'm work in that
14	industry.
15	THE COURT: Oh, you work in the funeral industry, and so
16	you were available.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Sorry about that. So you've lived here since
19	'65. If you're married, does your spouse work?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Not married. Single.
21	THE COURT: Have you ever sued or been sued?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: I've been sued.
23	THE COURT: And having been sued in the past, would it
24	affect your ability to be equally fair to both sides?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Yes.
I	

1		THE COURT: How?
2		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: It would I would be my
3	apologies.	Please repeat that question.
4		THE COURT: All right. So you've been sued before. Is the
5	matter reso	olved?
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Yes, it was.
7		THE COURT: Did it leave you with hard feelings?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: No. Actually, it we won the
9	case.	
10		THE COURT: Okay. So would the fact that you have been in
11	a lawsuit b	efore, keep you from being equally fair to both sides?
12		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: I could be fair.
13		THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Our next person. Ms. Kelly,
14	048?	
15		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Yes?
16		THE COURT: Yes. How long have you lived in the Las Vegas
17	area?	
18		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Twenty-one years.
19		THE COURT: If you're married what kind of work do you
20	do?	
21		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: I'm on disability.
22		THE COURT: And if you're married, does your spouse work?
23		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: I am not married.
24		THE COURT: What did you do before your disability?
25		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: I was a merchandiser and also

1	worked in art galleries.	
2	THE COURT: Okay. And have you ever sued anyone before	
3	or been sued?	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Yes.	
5	THE COURT: And is that resolved now?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Yes.	
7	THE COURT: Is there anything about having gone through	
8	that keep you from being equally fair to both sides?	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: No.	
10	THE COURT: Okay. And have you ever served before on a	
11	jury?	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: No.	
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Next person, please. Ms. Carr. Are	
14	you badge 49?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Yes, 049.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. How long have you lived in	
17	the Las Vegas area?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: About four years.	
19	THE COURT: And are you currently employed?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Yes.	
21	THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: I'm an accounting analyst for a	
23	property management company.	
24	THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Yes.	

1	THE COURT: What kind of work?	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: He's a consultant for FICA.	
3	THE COURT: Have you ever sued or been sued?	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: No, ma'am.	
5	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: No, ma'am.	
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Can you please pass the	
8	mic down to the corner here?	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: My badge is 082, Daisy	
10	Nepomuceno.	
11	THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in the Las	
12	Vegas area?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: For about 30 years.	
14	THE COURT: And if you're currently employed, what kind of	
15	work do you do?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I'm a payroll administrator for a	
17	construction company.	
18	THE COURT: And if you're married, does your spouse work?	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I'm not married.	
20	THE COURT: Have you ever sued anyone or been sued?	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: No.	
22	THE COURT: Have you ever served before on a jury?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: No.	
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Our next person, please.	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Catherine Ross, Badge Number	
l		

1	093.	
2		THE COURT: And how long have you lived in the Las Vegas
3	area?	
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: 27 years.
5		THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: I'm unemployed right now.
7		THE COURT: What did you do before?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: IT specialist.
9		THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?
10		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Not married.
11		THE COURT: And have you ever sued anyone or been sued?
12		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: No.
13		THE COURT: Have you ever served before on a jury?
14		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: No.
15		THE COURT: Thank you. Next person, please?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Jennifer Wilson, badge number
17	95.	
18		THE COURT: So how long have you lived in the Las Vegas
19	area?	
20		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: For three years.
21		THE COURT: And what kind of work do you do?
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: I am a financial center manager
23	for a bank.	
24		THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?
25		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Yes. He's a substitute teacher for

1	Clark County.
2	THE COURT: Have you sued or anyone ever sued you or
3	have you sued someone else?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Have not been sued. I think
5	we're involved with a lawsuit with a builder right now.
6	THE COURT: Does it have to do with your residence?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Yes.
8	THE COURT: And is it a construction defect
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Yes. Yes.
10	THE COURT: type of situation?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And is it resolved?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: It is not resolved yet, no.
14	THE COURT: Is the fact that you've been going through that
15	going to keep you from being equally fair to both sides?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: I can be fully fair.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: And did I ask if you've ever served on a jury?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Never served on a jury.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Detken?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Yes. Patrice Detken, badge
23	number 108.
24	THE COURT: And let me know a little bit about your work.
25	You have a memorial service next week and a wedding. Are there

1	people who could cover those if you can't be there?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: I tried during the afternoon to
3	see, and it doesn't look like it.
4	THE COURT: Are there
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: So there's no one that I know
6	that can officiate that's a part of our service.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And is it they came to you because
8	they're police officers and wanted a police chaplain, or?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: No, it's part of our church.
10	THE COURT: I see.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: So I've got a service on Tuesday
12	at noon, and then I've got a wedding on Thursday.
13	THE COURT: What time is the wedding?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Three o'clock.
15	THE COURT: Where is it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: And the funeral is at the
17	funeral is on Tuesday at noon.
18	THE COURT: Yeah. The wedding, where is it scheduled?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: It's at our church.
20	THE COURT: And if we did it over the took a break for you,
21	could you do it, or?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: I don't probably not. I mean,
23	I'm there with the family the whole day. So especially when someone
24	gets married.
25	THE COURT: You're there the whole day?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: I mean, they're there a couple of
2	hours beforehand, and then we spend time praying together, and then,
3	we're there afterwards, as well.
4	THE COURT: I see.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: For the reception.
6	THE COURT: Because I prefer weddings, but my part takes
7	ten minutes.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I don't mean to put you on the spot.
12	So how long have you lived in the Las Vegas area?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Eight years.
14	THE COURT: And we know your employment. Do you have
15	other employment or is that your full-time?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: No, that's my full-time job.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And then, if you have a spouse, does
18	your spouse work?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: She does.
20	THE COURT: What kind of work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: She's a professor at UNLV.
22	THE COURT: Have you ever sued anyone or been sued?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: I have not.
24	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: I have not.

1	THE COURT: Thank you. Our next person, please?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Badge number 114. Dinah
3	Hortillas.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in the Las
5	Vegas area?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Going eight years.
7	THE COURT: And what kind of work do you do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Pharmacy technician.
9	THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Widowed, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: I'm a widow.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry for your loss. What did your spouse
14	do before?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Landscaper.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you oh, no, no,
17	no. Sorry. Have you ever served before on a jury?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: No, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: And have you ever sued anyone or been sued?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: No, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. So Brynn, can you help
22	me get the microphone to the back?
23	THE COURT RECORDER: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Yeah. And it'll start on the on their right.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, I think we have an

25

your spouse work?

1	agreement on two other issues. Would you like to wait until you're
2	through or would you like us to approach?
3	THE COURT: No, why don't you guys approach?
4	[Sidebar at 1:50 p.m., ending at 1:51 p.m., not transcribed]
5	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to call out two names. I'll ask
6	you to stand. Mr. Johnson, 005, and Mr. Detken, 108. We would like to
7	thank you for being here and being willing to serve your community. In
8	consultation with the attorneys, you'll be excused from serving on this
9	trial. I hope you get the chance someday to feel like you're benefiting
10	your community more than you already do now by serving on a jury.
11	Thank you again for being here.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 005: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 108: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: And I believe that takes us to Ms. Trambulo,
15	Juror 116; is that correct? And you've worked at law firms in the past?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: I did. I was a runner when I was
17	in college.
18	THE COURT: Oh, okay. So how long have you lived in the
19	Las Vegas area?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: 17 years.
21	THE COURT: And what kind of work do you do now?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: Software engineering manager
23	at a VHR company.

THE COURT: Give me just a second. If you're married, does

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: Not married.
2	THE COURT: And how have you ever sued anyone or been
3	sued?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: No.
5	THE COURT: Anything about having worked a law firm make
6	you adverse to litigation?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: No.
8	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: No.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Marshal Allen, please
11	bring in the new people. We're going to pause for a moment to orient
12	the new people.
13	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: I know it seems sorry.
15	THE MARSHAL: Do you want me to fix this?
16	THE COURT: Yeah. We're going to reorder.
17	THE MARSHAL: Ma'am, could you come on up? Everybody
18	else just slide over a seat.
19	All rise for the jury.
20	[Prospective jurors in at 1:54 p.m.]
21	THE COURT: Wait, Andrew. Andrew, wait, wait. Are don't
22	you want thank you, everyone. Andrew, is that everyone? The jurors
23	may be seated.
24	THE MARSHAL: Fill in all the seats, please. Your Honor, can
25	you can you see now?

THE COURT: I can see everyone. Thanks everyone. Please be seated.

For those of you who are just joining us, I have some questions directed only for you. And that will be only our new people. All of you were in courtroom3A since this morning, so you should have heard all of the questions that have been asked. Does anyone need any of them repeated? All right. And if there's someone who -- I can't -- I don't have eye -- if there's someone I don't have eye contact with, if you need to answer a question, you'll need to make eye contact with me, please.

All of you have heard about the jury selection will be through Thursday of this week. The trial will start Monday. We expect to go until the Tuesday of Thanksgiving week, which is November 23rd. Is there anyone in the order you came in, so if there's anyone who's not available to work that long as a juror, and serve your community, after you're sworn in --

[Court and Clerk confer]

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll ask for your hardship excuses or availability concerns as soon as you take the oath.

THE CLERK: Those of you that have been sworn in yet, that are new to the courtroom, please stand up. Raise your right hand.

[The Prospective jurors were sworn]

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. And our first new person, what is your name and badge number, please?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: John Onyema, 217.
2	THE COURT: Okay, thank you. I just need to know where to
3	start. Okay. So is there anyone in the front row here who would be
4	unavailable to serve their community as a juror, starting with Mr.
5	Onyema?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: If you'll please give us your name and badge
8	number.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Yeah, John Onyema, badge
10	number 217. I work for a software company, and I have several projects
11	in development. And I need to be available for that week before Black
12	Friday, to get all the programs online and, yeah, and I'll be in need
13	during that time.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And are there people who can cover
15	you?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: No.
17	THE COURT: And what happens when you go on vacation?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Well, I time my vacations, so
19	they don't line up with these projects.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Is there anyone else in order, in
21	this front row, who would not be available?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 220: My name is Albert, 220.
23	THE COURT: And let me get your 220. Let me just get
24	there, sir. Okay, and that's Borboa?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 220: Borboa.

THE COURT: Yes. Can you stand so I can hear you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 220: There's only two people in the
house, my sister of mine, older, 71, and me. She works, and I take her to
work back and forth. Nobody else takes care of her but me. And she
she goes to work. And however, if I don't
THE COURT: Why does why would you need to be there?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 220: Huh?
THE COURT: What is your hardship? I don't understand.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 220: That that my sister needs me
to take her to work and back. And because she can't she doesn't drive.
She's 71.
THE COURT: Okay. And
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 220: And my I have a bad hip that I
can't really stand for more than three or four hours, usually I'm in pain.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 220: I'm 67. So that would be it.
THE COURT: Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 220: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Anyone else in the front row here?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222: My name is Leslie Hamilton,
badge number 222. The question was posed earlier about full disclosure
in relationships with the Plaintiffs and the Defendants.
THE COURT: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222: So I've had past and present
interactions with both the Plaintiffs and the Defendants. In 2001, I was a

pharmaceutical sales representative calling upon the Fremont Medical
Center Outpatient Physician Groups, and I served them until 2008. As it
relates to the Defendants, in June 2020, I started a dialogue with the
provider relations individuals at United Healthcare and Health Plan of
Nevada to initiate the process for addition of my pulmonary device for
addition to their formulary. I continue to work in the medical sales
environment. I cover five states. I do work autonomously. And
beginning next week on November 3rd and 4th, I have meetings with
two pulmonology groups in Long Beach. Beginning Wednesday,
November 10th and 11th, I'm meeting two internal medicine groups in
Bakersfield, in addition to the Bakersfield Memorial Hospital case
manager meeting.

Typically, if I were to miss these meetings, ask for permission for my manager to cover those meetings. Unfortunately, starting tomorrow, Wednesday, he's on a seven-day hunting trip. So I'd have no one to cover.

THE COURT: Would jury service pose a hardship to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222: Jury service would mean I would be unable to work, and I would be unable to fulfill the five meetings and in-services and evaluations with my pulmonary device, that no one could cover.

THE COURT: Would that pose a hardship?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222: It would pose a hardship to the

customers and the pulmonary patients that I serve.

THE COURT: Okay. And have anything about your past

interactions with either side have anything to do with the hardship? Could you be neutral otherwise?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222: I could otherwise be neutral, yes, if I were forced to be made available, yes.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Hamilton. The next person in order then. If there -- are there any hands in the next -- is that row -- everyone in that row's had a chance to give me a hardship? Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224: 24, Kelsey Dudley. I have -- I would be available.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's hear from in the second row of anyone who would be unavailable, starting with your right to left. And if someone -- if you -- if I can't see you, you'll have to stand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: Okay. It's Robert Biondo. The number is 237.

THE COURT: I can't hear you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: Oh, can you hear me now?

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: Sorry. 237, it's Robert Biondo. So I actually work at a retail cosmetology store actually on the strip. My schedule's already been put out for, like, at least, like, the next couple weeks. I can't request any other time off because they already blacked out. But my grandma also does stay at my place. And she actually has dementia. She had a really hard fall at least a couple years ago. So she has a really hard time walking. I have a two-story house. So I'm the one

that actually helps her come up and down the stairs to try to get her legs to actually move more. If not, she gets, like, the -- she gets, like, back up inside of her throat, and it's really hard for her actually to breathe. And she's actually choking up a lot. So I have to literally walk her up and down the stairs every day.

THE COURT: Okay. What are your normal work hours?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: Mine change all the time. I'm the only guy -- the only guy that works at my location. So I actually work for Sephora. So they use me doing like receiving and also, even on stage, also.

THE COURT: What happens --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: So usually, like, I would usually work, like, early mornings sometimes. Like usually, Monday and Tuesday, usually 6 a.m. to like 2. And then after that, then it like changes throughout the week.

THE COURT: And what happens when you go on vacation?

Do they have other people who can come in?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: They do. But it's already blacked out. November and December is already blacked out. So no one can actually request any kind of time off at all.

THE COURT: And is your business seasonal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: No. I have actually been with the company for six years.

THE COURT: Okay. And what does your grandmother do when you're at work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: So she actually -- I usually have her stay downstairs actually on the couch, that way she's closer to like the nearest restroom that's in my house. She has a walker. So she can actually move herself that way, that way she's always walking around. If not, if she's sitting in bed, like I said, she gets backed up inside there. And she's already -- we've already had to rush her to the hospital at least already once because when it does back up, it's -- they said I guess that's -- I guess that's the reason why she's already had at least two strokes already. So --

THE COURT: Sorry. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237: No problem.

THE COURT: Is there anyone else in order in that row who would be unavailable?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 257: Hello, my name is Wellington To. My badge number is 257. I currently am a student at University of Las Vegas Nevada. And the hard -- this hardship would be that I can't attend class since my classes are Monday, Wednesday, Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 2:15 p.m. And if I was to attend jury duty, I wouldn't be able to pass my classes since my classes are currently very difficult.

THE COURT: Thank you. Let's -- you may pass the microphone to the next person who has unavailability or a hardship.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 258: My name is Jamie Stopper, number 258. I work for the Department of Family Services with child that are neglected and abused. It's the end of the month. So I've already -- I'm trying to get everything done before the end of the month. I'm

getting a new case tomorrow with five new children. I'm expected in
family court on November 2nd and November 4th. And I have a
vacation that I've been planning for the last two years from November
9th through November 21st.
THE COURT: Thank you. Next person in order, please.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 259: Mary Hamlin, 259. I'm an
independent contractor. So I will not be able to get paid through the
course of the trial.
THE COURT: And would that pose a financial hardship to
you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 259: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: As an independent contractor, do you have
more flexible hours so that you could still get your work done?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 259: Normally, yes. I'm in a contract
with one of the gaming companies here. And my hours at this point for
the next three months will be 8:30 to 4:30.
THE COURT: Thank you. Next person in order, please.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 284: My name is Dilcia McDougall,
and my badge is 284. And unfortunately, I have a trip to my country,
Honduras the 12th through 23. I can be available after Thanksgiving.
THE COURT: But when is your trip again?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 284: The 12th through the 23.
THE COURT: And is it would you lose money? Would you
be able to reschedule it? Is everything paid already?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 284: Everything is paid already.

1	THE COURT: Thank you. Next person in order, please.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 290: My name is Nicole Hunt, and my
3	number is 290. So I actually have two reasons. I'm a teacher. And we
4	have a shortage of substitute teachers. And I teach seventh graders, so
5	nobody's jumping at the bit to do them. Today alone, I've already had
6	six kids at the principal's office. But I also have a vacation planned. I'm
7	flying to Florida on November 18th, which is that Thursday before
8	Thanksgiving. And we're not flying home until after Thanksgiving.
9	We're we have nonrefundable tickets to Universal Studios, and our
10	hotel is paid and our car rental.
11	THE COURT: I think Ms. Nierman over here is also are you
12	a seventh-grade teacher also, or
13	MS. NIERMAN: Yes.
14	THE COURT: We have two seventh-grade teachers in the
15	room. Thank you, Ms. Hunt. Anyone else on that row?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: My name is Susana Arroyo. My
17	number is 292. I'm not sure if I'm going to be able to understand what
18	they're talking about. My English is like about 70 percent, let's say. And
19	I'm a single mother. And I don't know if I can afford to be missing any
20	days.
21	THE COURT: And do you work outside the home?

THE COURT: And do you work outside the home?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: Yeah. I work at the Wynn Hotel.

THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: I'm a shift manager.

THE COURT: And do you speak English for your job?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: I do. I do. I speak English. But
2	most of the people that I work with, they speak Spanish.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand medical terms
4	in English?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: Not 100 percent.
6	THE COURT: Do you understand legal terms in English?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: Not 100 percent. I've never been
8	on these, so I'm not sure.
9	THE COURT: All right. It's not the purpose was not to
10	embarrass you. I just I had to put you on the spot.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 292: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: All right. Now, let's start in the back row on
15	your right, if there's anyone who is unavailable. Unavailable or
16	hardship?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 315: Hello. My name is Jacquelyn
18	Redmer. Badge number is 315. I'm a single mom. I work a full-time job
19	and take care of my mother. So I wouldn't be available right now.
20	THE COURT: And how old are your children?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 315: They are 12 and 13.
22	THE COURT: And what are your normal work hours?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 315: I work 8 to 5, Monday through
24	Friday.
25	THE COURT: Would it pose a financial hardship to you?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 315: Absolutely.
2	THE COURT: Are you the sole
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 315: Yes.
4	THE COURT: breadwinner at the house?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 315: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And what kind of work do you do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 315: I'm a receptionist.
8	THE COURT: What type of business?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 315: Executive Suites.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	Next in order, anyone who has a hardship.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: My name is
13	THE COURT: You'll have to speak up. I'm sorry, I can't hear
14	you.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: Hello.
16	THE COURT: Yes?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: My name is Baoling Fu. My
18	name badge number is 327. Because I have two kids, I need the
19	mornings them go to school. I have a small one. I need to take them
20	daytime.
21	THE COURT: How old are your children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: Eight and four.
23	THE COURT: Eight and twelve?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: Eight and four.
25	THE COURT: Eight and four. Do you work?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: Yes. I have work.
2	THE COURT: What are your normal work hours?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: I work Red Rock Casino.
4	THE COURT: And
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: I start day shift 11 to 7.
6	THE COURT: Do you speak English for your work? Are you
7	having any trouble following us today?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: Excuse me?
9	THE COURT: Do you do you understand everything we're
10	doing here? Do you understand in English the work we're doing today?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: Half, half.
12	THE COURT: Half and half. Do you understand medical
13	terms in English?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: No.
15	THE COURT: Do you understand legal terms in English?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: No.
17	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 327: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Let's pass the mic to the next person who
20	would be unavailable or who would have a hardship.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 335: Hello. I'm Karen Osborne. My
22	badge number is 335. I work from home. I work for a bank. I work 8:30
23	to 5, Monday through Friday. I also have an elderly mom that I take care
24	of. I am there even I work from home, so I am able to be there in case
25	she needs me. She doesn't drive. She can't move around very well. So

- 1	
	I do my part to take care of her. I just moved her here from Florida. So
	that's what I've got.
	THE COURT: Okay. Are you the sole breadwinner?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 335: I am.
	THE COURT: Or do you have a spouse?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 335: I don't have a spouse. No.
	THE COURT: And did you have children?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 335: No.
	THE COURT: No children, but it's your mother?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 335: It's my mother. Yes.
	THE COURT: And how old is your mom?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 335: She's going to be 75 next month.
	THE COURT: And what is her health status?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 335: She is immunocompromised.
	She has COPD. And she has a difficult time walking. She's bigger than
	the average bear. But she's she's a great mom. So
	THE COURT: Thank you.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 335: You're welcome.
	THE COURT: All right. I always feel like I'm prying into
	everybody's life up here. Okay. Next person, please.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 339: Hi. My name is Bobbie Dudley.
	My badge number is 339. And I was just wondering if I can say my
	reason in private.
	THE COURT: If you could do what?
	PROSPECTIVE II IBOR 339: Say my reason in private

1	THE COURT: You may.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 339: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Please come up here without the microphone.
4	Counsel, please approach.
5	[Sidebar at 2:15 p.m., ending at 2:16 p.m., not transcribed]
6	THE COURT: Ms. Dudley, we have respected your privacy.
7	And you have given us a sufficient reason for you not to serve on this
8	jury. We hope that your day gets better.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 339: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Okay. The microphone will go to our next
11	person, please.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 349: Hi. I'm Robbie Broneske, and my
13	badge number is 349. My husband doesn't drive, so I'm the one who
14	takes him to work. For him to take Uber, he has anxiety problems. So
15	he wouldn't be able to do that.
16	THE COURT: What are his work hours?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 349: It varies.
18	THE COURT: And is there anyone else who can take him?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 349: No. We have no family here.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
21	That is the last juror on the list.
22	THE MARSHAL: I just want to make sure Ms. Dudley's
23	excused.
24	THE COURT: Ms. Dudley, you're excused. You may leave.
25	And we all thank you for being willing to walk into this courtroom.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 339: Thank you

THE COURT: All right. So that is -- did you have anything more, Mr. Broneske, about where -- where does he work, how far is it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 349: We live over by the South Point Casino, and he works in Summerlin. So to take an Uber there would be more than he would make.

THE COURT: And what kind of work does he do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 349: He works in retail. But he is in the back room. So he's like an associate that pushes the freight out.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 349: And also, his mom has dementia, and she's at the middle stages of it. So we don't know when he might have to go back there either.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I know we just took a break, but I'm going to take a short break so that the lawyers can confer with regard to the information we've gotten from our new people. Thank you for your courtesy and understanding that we have to take another recess.

During the recess -- this is for everyone -- don't talk with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Don't read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information including without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, internet, cell phone, or texting.

Don't conduct any research on your own. Don't speculate

about what the case is about. Don't speculate about the witnesses.		
Don't speculate about the lawyers. And don't conduct any research.		
You can't even consult dictionaries, use the internet, or use reference		
materials. Don't post anything on social media. Don't text, Tweet,		
Google issues or conduct any other type of research with regard to any		
issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in the case. Do not form or		
express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the		
matter is submitted to the jury.		
I don't my screen's not up. What time is it?		
THE CLERK: 2:19.		
THE COURT: It's 2:19. Let's be back at 2:35.		
THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jurors.		
THE COURT: And lawyers, I'll be back at 2:30. Why don't		
you guys confer?		
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Your Honor.		
[Prospective jurors out at 2:20 p.m.]		
THE COURT: Okay. Room's clear. Why don't you guys		
discuss that with each other? Nicole's going to see if we could get		
another panel tomorrow, if needed.		
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
THE COURT: And she hopefully will have an answer soon.		
I'll be back at 2:30.		
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
[Recess taken from 2:21 p.m. to 2:31 p.m.]		

THE MARSHAL: The Court is back in session.		
THE COURT: Have you all had enough time? Did you all		
have enough time?		
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.		
MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor.		
THE COURT: I don't want to proceed without Mr. Blalack.		
Mr. Roberts, we can proceed without him. I'm kidding.		
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. Would you like		
me to identify, Your Honor, who we've agreed upon?		
THE COURT: Yes. And this time I'm going to need names		
and numbers, because I don't want to make another mistake and let		
somebody go.		
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. And forgive me, Your		
Honor, I only have the numbers. Mr. Roberts is probably more careful		
than I am, so he can fill in the name.		
THE COURT: Well I have it up here too, so.		
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.		
THE COURT: We're starting with Juror Number 217, was the		
first.		
MR. ZAVITSANOS: No agreement on that one, Your Honor.		
THE COURT: Got it.		
MR. ZAVITSANOS: 220, we do have an agreement to excuse.		
THE COURT: For Borboa?		
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Borboa. 222, there is no agreement. 237		

1	there is an agreement, Biondo.	
2	THE COURT: 237, Biondo.	
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 257, there is an agreement, To.	
4	THE COURT: To.	
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 258, there is an agreement, Stopper.	
6	259, there is an agreement, Hamlin. 284, there is an agreement,	
7	McDougall. 290, there is an agreement, Hunt. 292, there is an	
8	agreement, Arroyo.	
9	THE COURT: Hang on. I got to catch up.	
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	
11	THE COURT: No. Okay.	
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 315, there is an agreement, Redmer.	
13	327, there is an agreement, Fu., 335, there is an agreement, Osborn.	
14	THE COURT: Give me a sec here. Well, we let Dudley go.	
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.	
16	THE COURT: Does that take us to our last	
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And 349, which is the last one. To be	
18	charitable, I think both sides are largely indifferent on this one. We	
19	neither have an agreement nor disagreement. I've rarely had a case	
20	where it's the spouse's employers employment hardship.	
21	THE COURT: It's the spouse's anxiety.	
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.	
23	THE COURT: Is the issue.	
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I guess if you put it that way, the anxiety	
25	not to be an Uber	

1	MR. ROBERTS: It would cost more than		
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I think we're both receptive, and we war		
3	to get the Court's feelings.		
4	THE COURT: If your spouse has so much anxiety that they		
5	can't drive, and they can't be driven by an Uber, I think it's enough.		
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Stip to leave, Your Honor.		
7	MR. ROBERTS: That's fine then, Your Honor.		
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
9	THE COURT: Nicole is asking about 276 and let me get there.		
10	I have on the top of page 15. Quach, Juror 276. But he was she		
11	didn't		
12	THE CLERK: Didn't she have a trip planned?		
13	THE COURT: No. That was McDougall to the Honduras.		
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I don't show it's 276 having spoken.		
15	THE COURT: I don't either.		
16	MR. ROBERTS: 349 was the last one, I believe, we addressed		
17	here.		
18	THE COURT: Let me read this back to make sure I have it		
19	correct for you.		
20	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.		
21	THE COURT: And one of the jurors told me if I tied knots,		
22	this thing would not slip off my face. I haven't done it yet.		
23	220 Borboa. 237, Biondo. 257, To. 258, Stopper. 259		
24	Hamlin. 284, McDougall. 290, Hunt. 292, Arroyo. 315, Redmer. 327, Fu		
25	335, Osborn. 349, Broneske. Is that correct?		

the jury? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. And, Your Honor, as with the others, with the two folks on the not agreed, that is 217 and 222, is You Honor going to ask additional follow-up questions or would you like counsel to do that? THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'll see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: From the Plaintiff, Your Honor, yes, it's
THE COURT: Thank you. All right, are we ready to bring in the jury? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. And, Your Honor, as with the others, with the two folks on the not agreed, that is 217 and 222, is You Honor going to ask additional follow-up questions or would you like counsel to do that? THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'll see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	2	correct.
the jury? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. And, Your Honor, as with the others, with the two folks on the not agreed, that is 217 and 222, is You Honor going to ask additional follow-up questions or would you like counsel to do that? THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'll see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	3	MR. ROBERTS: Defense agrees, Your Honor.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. And, Your Honor, as with the others, with the two folks on the not agreed, that is 217 and 222, is You Honor going to ask additional follow-up questions or would you like counsel to do that? THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'l see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	4	THE COURT: Thank you. All right, are we ready to bring in
others, with the two folks on the not agreed, that is 217 and 222, is You Honor going to ask additional follow-up questions or would you like counsel to do that? THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'll see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but	5	the jury?
Honor going to ask additional follow-up questions or would you like counsel to do that? THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'll see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. And, Your Honor, as with the
THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'I see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	7	others, with the two folks on the not agreed, that is 217 and 222, is Your
THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'll see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	8	Honor going to ask additional follow-up questions or would you like
see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday? MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	9	counsel to do that?
12 MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but 14 MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the 15 software company in projects and development. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the 18 pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different 19 doctor groups. 10 THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. 21 MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with 22 United. 23 THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you 24 want to clarify?	10	THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me find them and then I'll
THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	11	see what you want to know. 217, Black Friday?
MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
software company in projects and development. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	13	THE COURT: I probably would have let him go, but
THE COURT: Yes. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: That was the gentlemen with the
MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	15	software company in projects and development.
pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	16	THE COURT: Yes.
doctor groups. THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And then 222 was the lady with the
THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties. MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	18	pulmonary device and has meetings in different states with different
MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	19	doctor groups.
United. THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	20	THE COURT: And whose also worked with both parties.
THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you want to clarify?	21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And she is currently working with
want to clarify?	22	United.
	23	THE COURT: Right. Yeah. What other question would you
25 MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well I we would like to excuse both of	24	want to clarify?
II	25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well I we would like to excuse both of

them. You know, I think, and I don't want to speak for counsel, my esteemed opposing counsel here. I thought they were both over the line in terms of hardship. But I'm just asking Your Honor if you, if Your Honor is going to ask additional questions or if you would like us to do it?

THE COURT: Why don't I pivot to Mr. Roberts on that.

MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, I think -- I don't know if we need additional questions, although they may be helpful. But from our standpoint it sounded to us like those were both hardships for the company and not for the employee, not for the individual juror. And it almost sounded like, you know, the one, the pulmonary device was some sort of sales issue.

THE COURT: It's definitely a sales issue.

MR. ROBERTS: Which --

THE COURT: She said she had a hardship.

MR. ROBERTS: But I think it was her employer that had a hardship because -- I mean, maybe she works on commission. Maybe it would be a financial hardship, but I don't think it's come out yet.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well I think she actually said more than that, Your Honor. I think she said both patients, customers and her and she had visits with actual physician groups in connection with procedures that were coming up. So I think it's over the line, but.

THE COURT: I felt they both showed a hardship, but if you want additional questioning, you don't have to agree if you want that change.

MR. ROBERTS: And our recollection may be mistaken, but we thought when you directly asked her about hardship, she said to the companies.

THE COURT: She did at first, but I asked her a second time. So because then she kept giving more answers and that's when she went into the thing about the -- she had it about the next week meeting in Long Beach.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: And, Your Honor, the reason -obviously, it's obvious here, but the reason I'm asking now is because if
Your Honor is going to excuse these two for hardship, from our
standpoint we'd prefer that we do that now so we get the new people in
so that we can resume with the jury selection, so that we can be sure of
finishing this week. That's why I'm asking. Because otherwise, there's
an extra step involved if we get to a point where there is hardship and
then we got to stop and do this again. So that's why I'm asking. Forgive
me, I was just trying to be efficient.

THE COURT: Was there a response?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes. If Your Honor recalls her saying that it was a hardship to her the second time around, we'll accept that, and we'll excuse 222.

THE COURT: I'll just verify it, and I can ask for questions on Onyema and that may resolve your issue.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's okay too, you know. It's okay.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you. I appreciate that, Your Honor.

THE CLERK: I did get a response from jury services. It says
how many jurors would you like? An entire panel of 45? And what time
did you need them available? I currently have two panels reporting for
pickup at 11:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m.
THE COURT: So if we could get another panel, I would say
10:00 tomorrow?
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Excuse me, Your Honor?
THE COURT: If we could get another panel tomorrow, I
would say 10:00 a.m.?
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah, as early as possible.
THE COURT: Even if they have some of them have to be in
the spillover.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
THE COURT: Thank you.
THE CLERK: So 10:00 a.m. if possible?
THE COURT: 45.
THE CLERK: Okay.
THE COURT: Thanks.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: And, Your Honor, not to beat a dead
horse here, but for Number 217, I thought he said the same thing.
THE COURT: I'll clarify it.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. Let's bring in the jury.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Oh, did you guys see that there was a note

1	from I haven't read it.	
2	MR. ROBERTS: It's effectively the same information.	
3	THE COURT: Of Family Services?	
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: The penmanship is outstanding, Your	
5	Honor.	
6	MR. ROBERTS: Yes, it is.	
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: It's impeccable.	
8	THE COURT: Parochial school, for sure. There you go.	
9	Thank you.	
10	MR. ROBERTS: And there's no one left in 3A. Correct, Your	
11	Honor?	
12	THE COURT: That's it. That was the last person on panel	
13	two.	
14	MR. ROBERTS: That's what we thought. Thank you.	
15	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.	
16	[Prospective jurors in at 2:44 p.m.]	
17	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. So badge	
18	number 217, Mr. Onyema, we have some more questions for you. Tell	
19	us what the lead up to Black Friday is like for you.	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: It's just making sure the	
21	programs that we send to test in live are working as they should and	
22	testing and double testing and working with the customers and the	
23	programmers to make sure every all the bugs are kicked out. So when	
24	the volume goes up that things don't crash.	

THE COURT: And how long do you need to ramp that up?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: I mean, we've already started.		
2	THE COURT: And do you work more time than usual during		
3	this time? Do you have overtime?		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Usually we get it done between		
5	the 8:00 to 5:00 each day. Usually not overtime. Sometimes on the		
6	weekends we'll work extra, but usually we try to keep it through Monday		
7	through Friday 8:00 to 5:00.		
8	THE COURT: And would it be hardship for you		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Yeah.		
10	THE COURT: or for your employer if you have to serve on		
11	jury duty?		
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: The hardship for me would be		
13	the decreased pay because you're not getting I make substantially		
14	more than \$5 or the \$40 of a day. Yeah. So, yes.		
15	THE COURT: And do you have dependents?		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: No dependents.		
17	THE COURT: No dependents. Are you the sole person in		
18	your household?		
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Yes.		
20	THE COURT: So you don't have to work overtime. If you had		
21	to serve on the jury, are there other people your company could use to		
22	do the ramp up?		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Yeah, they can pull people from		
24	other departments, yeah.		
25	THE COURT: Okay thank you. And then I have a couple		

more questions for Ms. Hamilton.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222: Yes, ma'am?

THE COURT: Tell us about your meetings in Long Beach next week. The meetings next week.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222: Oh, yes. Right. So I'm in servicing two separate pulmonary physicians. One on Wednesday. One on Thursday. Both were inquiries made during this COVID environment while my particular pulmonary device isn't indicated for treatment of COVID, it is the pulmonologist's goal to keep there at risk pediatric and adult patient population safely at home. Using one of the other 56 ICB 10 codes that may qualify them for high frequency chest wall oscillation.

THE COURT: And so, the way I understood your testimony was that first you thought it was really more of a hardship to your employer, but I thought I heard you say it would be a hardship to you if you had to serve on the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222: No hardship to me. I would in lieu of the absence of my manager taking over my meetings, I would just cancel the meetings. So the hardship would be to the pediatric and adult patient populations who aren't getting the education that I'm providing through their physicians. But I wouldn't suffer any financial hardship, no.

THE COURT: All right, very good. Counsel, please approach really quickly.

[Sidebar at 2:49 p.m., ending at 2:50 p.m., not transcribed] THE COURT: In consultation with the lawyers during the

break, and now we've made a determination that some people will be		
released from the jury for hardship. And I'll ask you to stand if I read		
your badge number and name. Borboa, 220, Hamilton, 222, Biondo, 237,		
To, 257, Stopper, 258, Hamlin, 259, McDougall, 284, Hunt, 290, Arroyo,		
292, Redmer, 315, Fu, 327, Osborn, 335, and Broneske, 349. We want to		
thank you for being willing to serve your community. We hope you get		
that chance to serve on a jury, and thank you again for willing, and you		
are excused.		
IN UNISON: Thank you, Judge.		
THE COURT: I just have to find my place to start the		
questioning again. Okay. I believe we are to Asato; is that correct? Yes.		
Sir, how long have you lived in the Las Vegas area?		
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Three years.		
COURT REPORTER: And Your Honor, I'm sorry, may I ask		
the badge number, please?		
THE COURT: Of course. It is 150.		
COURT REPORTER: Thank you, Your Honor.		
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: 130.		
THE COURT: Wait, 130?		
MR. LUNDVALL: That's what we have, Your Honor.		
THE COURT: Hang on. You are correct. It's 130. Do we		
have the microphone?		
Mr. Asato, your badge number, please?		
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Mike Asato, 130.		
THE COURT: And you've lived in Las Vegas about three		

1	years?	
2		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Three years, yeah.
3		THE COURT: And where are you employed?
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: I work for an air conditioning
5	company.	
6		THE COURT: And what kind of work do you do?
7		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: I do controls, building controls.
8		THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?
9		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: She does not.
10		THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
12		THE COURT: Here in Clark County?
13		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No.
14		THE COURT: Where did you serve on a jury?
15		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Colorado.
16		THE COURT: And was it a civil or criminal case?
17		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Criminal.
18		THE COURT: Did the jury deliberate?
19		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: We did.
20		THE COURT: Did you participate in the deliberations?
21		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
22		THE COURT: Did the jury reach a verdict?
23		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
24		THE COURT: And were you the foreperson of the jury?
25		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever sued anyone, or been
2	sued?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Small claims.
4	THE COURT: And is that resolved now?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And anything about having gone through that
7	cause you to be less than fair to either side?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. I believe our next person would be
10	Mr. Michalik; is that correct?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: All right. And how long have you lived in the
13	Las Vegas area?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: 29 years.
15	THE COURT: And we know that you work at full time?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Swing shift at the Treasure Island?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Yes.
19	THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: No.
21	THE COURT: Not married, or
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: Not married.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been on a jury before?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: No.
25	THE COURT: Have you ever sued or been sued?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161: No.		
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Our next person, please.		
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Joseph Dolan, 171.		
4	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you lived in the Las		
5	Vegas area?		
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: My entire life, 30 years.		
7	THE COURT: I think we had other natives here as well. I		
8	think one juror was excused yesterday. We note that you work as a		
9	runner for EBS Construction?		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Runner/helper for our service		
11	department.		
12	THE COURT: Tell us exactly what you do.		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: So if I'm running, I'm picking up		
14	checks for our company, picking up parts for construction, delivering		
15	parts to construction, and delivering parts and equipment to our service		
16	techs and our inspection agents.		
17	THE COURT: And how many employees does the company		
18	have?		
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: I'd say less than 50. We're a		
20	small company with lots of clients.		
21	THE COURT: And isn't there someone who can do your job if		
22	you're not there?		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: If they take me out of the		
24	warehouse for a week, stuff gets backed up. Our construction guys		
25	won't get the parts they need. Our service techs can't find the stuff they		

0
0
0
4
\sim

1	need because they have deadlines and times they have to be at jobsites.	
2	That's the whole reason I was hired was to do that for them because our	
3	jobs was getting backed up.	
4		THE COURT: Okay. So if you're married, does your spouse
5	work?	
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Yes, she does.
7		THE COURT: What kind of work does she do?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: She's a cultivator for a grow
9	house.	
10		THE COURT: And can you tell me the name of the company?
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Flower One.
12		THE COURT: Thank you. Have you ever sued anyone or
13	been sued	?
14		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: I have been sued, yes.
15		THE COURT: And is that matter resolved now?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Yes, it is.
17		THE COURT: Is there anything about having gone through
18	that, that v	vould cause you to be unfair to one or the other side?
19		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: I do not believe so.
20		THE COURT: Okay. And have you ever served on a jury
21	before?	
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: No.
23		THE COURT: Thank you.
24		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: Ken Weissman, Badge Number
25	175.	

1	THE COURT: Have you had a chance to check on the dates	
2	that you're short trials are scheduled?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I've called Stephanie Jackson	
4	over at the ADR office multiple times, every recess, and there's no	
5	answer. I do not have anyone in my office who can check my physical	
6	calendar.	
7	THE COURT: Do you have any support staff at your office?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I'm sorry?	
9	THE COURT: Do you have support	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: No. Not since not since COVID	
11	closed it down.	
12	THE COURT: Okay.	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: It's just me in the big office.	
14	THE COURT: Okay. So how long have you lived in the Las	
15	Vegas area?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: 14 years.	
17	THE COURT: We know you're employed as an attorney.	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I'm an attorney in sole practice.	
19	I'm also employed by the Los Angeles Police Commissioner as a hearing	
20	officer. I'm also, as you know, a short trial judge, and I work as well as a	
21	property manager, helping my wife, who is a property manager.	
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And have you ever sued or	
23	been sued?	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: Yes, quite a few times.	
25	THE COURT: And having been is anything pending now?	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I don't believe so.
2	THE COURT: And
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I'm reasonably sure everything
4	is that there's nothing pending at the moment.
5	THE COURT: All right. Anything about having sued or been
6	sued keep you from being less than fair to both sides?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I was named in a regal action,
8	alleged to have conspired with one of the California Supreme Court
9	Justices by filing an appeal in a case, and that left me with a very bad
10	taste in my mouth, but it was resolved on appeal, and judgment
11	judgment was entered the correct way.
12	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: I have served, civil. We went to a
14	verdict, and I was not the foreperson.
15	THE COURT: Did you participate in the deliberation?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 175: Yes, ma'am.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. You can pass the mic.
18	Your name and badge number?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: John Onyema, badge number
20	217.
21	THE COURT: And how long have you lived in the Las Vegas
22	area?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: More than 20 years.
24	THE COURT: We know your employment is software.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Yes.

1	THE COURT: And we know that you're single.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Have you sued anyone or ever been sued?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Been sued.
5	THE COURT: And is that matter resolved now?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: Yes, it's resolved.
7	THE COURT: Anything about having gone through that, that
8	would cause you to be less than fair to one side?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: No.
10	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 217: No.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 218: Kyle Zakahi, badge 218.
14	THE COURT: How long have you lived in the Las Vegas
15	area?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 218: A little over three years.
17	THE COURT: And what kind of work do you do?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 218: I work for a medical billing
19	company.
20	THE COURT: Are you familiar with either of the parties?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 218: I know the companies, not the
22	physicians though.
23	THE COURT: But none of the witnesses or the lawyers?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 218: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. If you're married, does your spouse
J	1

1	work?	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 218: I'm not married.	
3	THE COURT: Have you ever served before on a jury?	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 218: No.	
5	THE COURT: And have you ever sued or been sued?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 218: No.	
7	THE COURT: Thank you.	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224: 224, Kelsey Dudley.	
9	THE COURT: How long have you lived in the Las Vegas	
10	area?	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224: 28 years.	
12	THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224: Caregiver.	
14	THE COURT: Can you tell us more about that?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224: I work for Assisted Hands	
16	Homecare. It's a small business. We work with elderly, Alzheimer's,	
17	dementia patients, do personal care services.	
18	THE COURT: Okay. If you're married, does your spouse	
19	work?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224: I am not married.	
21	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224: I have not.	
23	THE COURT: Have you ever sued anyone or been sued?	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224: I have not.	
25	THE COURT: Thank you. Okay.	
J		

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 244: Christine Stankoff, badge		
2	number 244.		
3	THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in the Las		
4	Vegas area?		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 244: 25 years.		
6	THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 244: I'm a cashier at the Venetian.		
8	THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 244: No, he's disabled.		
10	THE COURT: What did he do before?		
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 244: Worked for the IRS.		
12	THE COURT: We are only looking for conflicts of interest, not		
13	to pry into your life. Have you ever served before on a jury?		
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 244: No.		
15	THE COURT: And have you ever sued anyone, or been sued?		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 244: No.		
17	THE COURT: Thank you.		
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 252: Cody Roberts, 252.		
19	THE COURT: How long have you lived in the Las Vegas		
20	area?		
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 252: Born and raised.		
22	THE COURT: Oh, we have another local. Okay. What kind of		
23	work do you do?		
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 252: I work for Clark County at the		
25	airport.		

1	THE COURT: And if you're married, does your spouse work?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 252: I'm single.
3	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 252: No.
5	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 252: No.
7	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 252: No.
9	THE COURT: And have you ever sued anyone or been sued?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 252: No.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	Your name, please? And thank you for scooting over.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: You're welcome. Scott Olin,
14	badge 295.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in the Las
16	Vegas area?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: Almost 12 years.
18	THE COURT: And what kind of work do you do?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: Marketing technology.
20	THE COURT: If you're married, does your spouse work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: She does.
22	THE COURT: What kind of work?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: Email marketing.
24	THE COURT: Email marketing?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: Yep.

1	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: No.
3	THE COURT: Have you sued anyone or been sued?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: I have been sued, yes.
5	THE COURT: And is that matter pending now?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: No, that's resolved.
7	THE COURT: It's having gone through that, is there
8	anything about that, that would keep you from being fair, equally to both
9	sides?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: No.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 308: Mandy Tat, badge 308.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in the Las
14	Vegas area?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 308: 29 years.
16	THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 308: Front desk at the Excalibur.
18	THE COURT: And if you're married, does your spouse work?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 308: Yes.
20	THE COURT: What kind of work?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 308: A chef at the Mandalay Bay.
22	THE COURT: Have you ever served before on a jury?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 308: No.
24	THE COURT: And have you ever sued anyone or been sued?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 308: No.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 308: Thanks you.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: Edward Mueller, 313.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Dr. Mueller, how long have you lived in
5	the Las Vegas area?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: 12 years.
7	THE COURT: And we know that you're a medical doctor.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: Pardon?
9	THE COURT: You're are you a medical doctor?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: Yes, I am.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: Former. Retired.
13	THE COURT: And if you're married, does your spouse work?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: My spouse is a an owner of an
15	employment an entertainment services company.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And who's your actual employer?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: Pardon?
18	THE COURT: Who was your actual employer?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: My actual employer is Onsite
20	Healthcare. I'm a human resource manager.
21	THE COURT: And have you ever sued anyone or been sued?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: No.
23	THE COURT: And have you ever served before on a jury?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 313: No.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

1		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Doreen Ozoa, 346.
2		THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in the Las
3	Vegas area	?
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: A little over 21 years.
5		THE COURT: And do you work?
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Yes, I do.
7		THE COURT: What kind of work do you do?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: I work as a EVS worker at the
9	Tropicana.	We do a lot of cleaning and bio.
10		THE COURT: Thank you. And if you're married, does your
11	spouse work?	
12		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: My husband is retired.
13		THE COURT: What did he retire from?
14		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Repeat.
15		THE COURT: What did he retire from?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Bus driver.
17		THE COURT: Have you ever served before on a jury?
18		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: No.
19		THE COURT: And have you ever sued anyone or been sued?
20		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: No.
21		THE COURT: Thank you. I believe that's our last person. So
22	Plaintiff, I ca	an turn the veneer over to you for additional voir dire.
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
24		MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, I have one clarification.
25		THE COURT: Sure.
J		

1	MR. ROBERTS: Juror badge number 276, Quach, has that		
2	juror been excused?		
3	THE COURT: Is 276 here in the courtroom? Yes. Did I skip		
4	over you by mistake?		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Excuse me?		
6	THE COURT: Did I skip over you by mistake? So Mr. Quach?		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Yeah.		
8	THE COURT: What's your native language?		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Chinese.		
10	THE COURT: Okay. And have you been able to follow		
11	everything we're doing today? Do you speak English?		
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Just little bit.		
13	THE COURT: Just a little bit?		
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Yes.		
15	THE COURT: And where do you work?		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Now I'm not working.		
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand medical terms in		
18	English?		
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Excuse me?		
20	THE COURT: Yeah. Do you how much English do you		
21	understand? I don't want to embarrass you, but just a little bit of		
22	English?		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: I don't understand.		
24	THE COURT: A little bit English?		
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Yeah.		

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, please approach.

[Sidebar at 3:06 p.m., ending at 3:07 p.m., not transcribed]

THE COURT: Mr. Quach, thank you for being here today, and you'll be excused from serving on this jury. And Marshal Allen, if you can help him. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 276: Thank you.

[Sidebar at 3:08 p.m., ending at 3:08 p.m., not transcribed]

THE COURT: Go ahead, please.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court. Okay. So first off, let me direct my comments to the folks out here. I'm not ignoring you, but I'm -- we're going to direct -- we're going to direct our questions to the new folks over here unless and until such time as you get into one of these seats, and then we're going to visit with you. So it would be helpful, I think, for both Mr. Roberts and I to listen to the questions, because if you do end up in one of the seats over here, it probably will go a little faster if you pay attention. Okay. So thank you for your indulgence.

To the folks that we visited with yesterday, okay, this is like that movie Groundhog Day, okay? Right. And I'm going to start singing Got You Babe from Sonny and Cher, so this is going to sound really familiar, right? So you know, I'm asking you all to kind of pay attention because I'm covering the same area. If at any point the folks that heard the questioning yesterday, if by chance you change your mind or you have a new opinion on something that we covered yesterday that's different today, both our side and the Defendant would appreciate it if

you would raise your hand and let us know, okay, because we are -- we are taking you at your word, and we are relying on what you are telling us. Okay.

So now I'm going to address my comments primarily to the fresh faces we have that -- and it's kind of weird because these folks were sitting down here before, and now they're kind of reshuffled, so now I'm going to direct it primarily to the new folks here.

So let me introduce myself first. My name is John Zavitsanos, and we are very proud to represent the doctors, nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants of the three plaintiffs in this case. Now one thing that Her Honor did not cover is the facilities where our people work. Now emergency room doctors, now this is -- I didn't know this until we got on this case actually, but when you go to the emergency room at a hospital, the doctors and nurses that work in the emergency department are not employees of the hospital. All right.

So if you go to the emergency room, you get a bill from the hospital, you get a bill from the emergency room doctor, and then if you see a radiologist, they're not employees either, you get a bill from them, and if you see an anesthesiologist, they're not employees either, and you get a bill from them. So you get separate bills because they're not employees. Now if the hospital has employees, then you get a bill from them. Okay.

So we're not here representing any hospitals, we're here only representing the doctors, the emergency room doctors, the nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants. Now I'm going to tell you

which hospitals we're at because probably some of you have been to some of these facilities. Okay? So bear with me. All right.

So the first Plaintiff, which is Freemont Emergency Services, the hospitals we're at there are Aliante, The Lakes, MountainView Hospital, and then Dignity Health at the Rose de Lima Campus, the San Martin Campus, the Siena Campus. We are also at Southern Hills Hospital, and we are at Sunrise Hospital. That's all in Clark County. Okay.

Team Physicians, one of the other groups, they're in Fallon.

Okay. So quite a distance from here. They are in the Banner Churchill

Community Hospital. And then finally, Ruby Crest, that's the third group
that we represent, they're in Elko, and they work at Northeastern Nevada

Regional Hospital. Okay. All right.

So for the new folks, can I just see a show of hands if -- and I may forget to do this, so just for every question I'm going to ask you, I'm going to ask about you, a family member, or a close friend. Okay. So every question is predicated on those three. So how many of you have, either you, a family member, or a close friend, have been to one of those facilities in the emergency room? Okay. Let's just go real quickly. Your number, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Badge 95, Jennifer Wilson.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: 95. I'm not going to ask the questions. Just have 95.

- 151 -

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: 26, 026.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: 026, okay, and?

PROSPECTIVE	JUROR 048:	048.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: 048. Is there another hand too? Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: 082.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: 082.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: 082. Okay. All right. So I'm going to have -- I'm not going to pry. I'm just going to ask just enough because what we're doing here is we're trying to figure out if your life experiences or values or beliefs or certain views that you have are going to impact your ability to treat both sides fairly. Okay.

So first thing is I'm going to be asking a series of questions, and these folks have heard -- they've heard many of these already, and I've got some that I haven't covered yet, so I'm going to address it to everybody when I get there, but what I need to know right now is for the new folks that are here, if I say something that you disagree with or something that you have an opinion on, or something that kind of touches on one of your personal values, can I -- can you raise your hand, please, and let me know that you're going to let me know about that?

Okay, okay, okay. Put the hands up. Come on. Come on, everybody. Okay. All right. Sir? Will you let me know? Thank you. Okay. Thank you, sir. Okay. So, all right.

The first thing I want to talk about, bear with me here now, is the -- so this case, I can't get into the facts at this point. I'm not permitted to do so. What I can tell you is it's a dispute about how much

emergency room doctors ought to be reimbursed at when patients receive out-of-network care at a facility by the Defendant. Okay. We say it's one number, they say it's another number. Okay. So there's a dispute about what the reimbursement rate's going to be. Everybody with me? Okay.

So we are the Plaintiffs, meaning we brought the lawsuit, and we have the burden of proof. Okay. Now what that means is we have to prove the case. Now there are different standards that apply when you bring a case like this and let me talk about one standard that does not apply. We've all seen TV, and we've all heard beyond a reasonable doubt, right? You all heard that term? So that applies only in criminal cases, and the reason it applies in criminal cases is because when you take someone's liberty, or you're going to restrict their freedom, we want the burden of proof to be as high as possible, so that there's very little room for doubt. Okay.

And so on a number scale, right? On how certain it is, it's like 95ish percent, okay? You don't want it -- it's not absolutely certain, but beyond any reasonable doubt.

Then below that is the next standard which is clear and convincing. In other words, there are some claims, and there's one claim in this case I'm going to get to in a little bit, where it has to be clear and convincing. So again, on a number scale, that's like 70, 75 percent certain. Okay.

And then the bottom scale is preponderance of the evidence.

Now I'm going to read you the definition because that's the one that

applies to most of the claims in this case, and I'm going to give you kind of a shorthand way to think about it, okay? So this is what I believe the definition is. Now at the end of the day only the Court is going to give the definition if you end up on the jury, all right? So let me just read it first, and then I'll give you a shorthand way to think about it.

So that's preponderance of the evidence, which is the lowest standard, the one I'm about to ask you about: is evidence that enables a trier of fact, that's the jury, to determine the existence of the contested facts, that's what we're doing in this case, is more probably true than the nonexistence of the contested facts. So more likely so than not so, meaning, like on a number scale, it's like 51 percent. Okay?

So one thing that lawyers do is they talk about kind of the scales of justice, we just have to tip it ever so slightly in terms of in your mind is it more likely so than not, just like by a feather, to prove the fact. Everybody with me so far? Okay.

Now here's the questions. So this case involves thousands of claims, thousands of claims. Not just one claim, but thousands of them, and the total amount of those claims that we are suing for exceeds ten million dollars. That's a big number. Okay? It's a big number.

Now here's the question, and I can't tell you what -- I can't give you more facts than that, but right now that I just said that number, ten million dollars, how many people here are thinking oh, boy, it's a big number, man. If it was a hundred thousand, or 50 thousand, I got no problem with this preponderance standard, but at ten million dollars, you know, 51 percent or preponderance is not a enough. I need a lot

more proof before if I end up on the jury, I'm going to require somebody
to pay somebody else ten million dollars when I may have some doubts
even though it's more likely so than not. You follow what I'm saying?
Okay?

So how many people here would require by clear and convincing evidence or beyond a reasonable doubt before they could award something like ten million dollars if we prove the case by a preponderance of the evidence, in your mind, but your values are it's not good enough, I need a standard? All right. How about in the second row here? Anybody feel that way? Sir, how about you? You're with your -- can we get the microphone, please? And I'm not picking on you, I just --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: No, you're good.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: We just need to get you talking, okay? That's fine. I'll wake up. Listen, it's -- listen, it's 3:30.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Right, yeah.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: My day's normal. I'm at practice right now.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: You're good. What's up?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, by the way. Wait a minute. Here's the most important question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Okay, all right.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: What's your record?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: We're seven and two, first time

1	we've been to the playoffs in ten years.
2	THE CLERK: Can I get a badge number, please?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: 026.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And I'm sorry, you said you were
5	the head football coach?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yeah. Offensive coordinator,
7	head football coach.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, you're also the offensive
9	coordinator?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yeah.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: So I'm
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And are you at practice every day?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Pretty much? Yeah.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, sir.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And obviously at the games?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes. Yes, sir.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. Well, before you answer
20	my question, let because I meant to follow up on this so
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: No, you're good.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: tell me a little bit about the about
23	that hardship if you can, sir?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: So, I mean, obviously, we lost
25	last season. I've got a lot of kids that are having an opportunity to play.

1	0
2	kı
3	tr
4	W
5	cl
6	ti
7	a
8	W
9	
10	a
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	th
18	
19	
20	q
21	
22	
23	li
24	V

Our practices run from 2 to 4:00 every day, 4:30 at the latest. I, you know, like I said, first time we've been in the playoffs in ten years. We're trying to, you know, we got a shot at winning a state title which means we'll play on November 20th. We're one of the top four teams in our class, and so we, you know, we're hosting a playoff game for the first time ever. There's a lot of -- there's a lot of big things that we're doing, and, you know, obviously, I missed practice today, and so we'll see what's going on.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. So we'll visit more about that later, now --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Sounds good. Thank you.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- getting back to my question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: All right.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, sir.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So sounds like you did a good job with these kids, so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: I'm trying.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. So getting back to my question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, sir.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: How do you feel about that in terms of like before, if you ended up on the jury, let's say you stuck around and you didn't get excused because of this hardship, and you ended up on the jury, how do you feel about if we prove by a preponderance, but not

by clear and convincing, would you have a hard time awarding ten million dollars?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: No, I don't think so because I think if the facts are there and you're able to prove it, that it is, then I would say that no, that wouldn't be difficult.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So now you hesitated a little bit. Is that because you got a little bit of doubt or were you just being careful?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Probably being careful how I'm wording everything.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. Okay. Hey, listen -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: No --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- yeah, and here's the thing, and the others have heard of this already, okay, so at this point, this is the only time that we are permitted to speak to you and that where you can speak to us.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Right.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: It's the only part of the trial we can do that. After that, once you get in the box, we are actually prohibited. It's also the only time where you can disagree with what the law is, you can disagree with anything, actually, but once you get in the box, you are required to follow the instructions that the Court gives you.

And so what we're doing is if you've got a value or a belief that interferes with kind of your ability to follow the law and you're going to struggle with that, then maybe you shouldn't be on this jury and

_
0
0
Ō
4
Ċ

1	maybe you should be on another one. You with me?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, sir.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Fine. So you're good with
4	preponderance if we
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yeah, my sister use my sister's
6	studying to be a lawyer right now, and she uses that exact term all the
7	time.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: You mean like at the Thanksgiving
9	table
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Uh-huh.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: she's talking about preponderance?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Oh, yeah.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, well
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: She's yeah, she thinks oh, well,
15	if it is, it's 51 percent, sorry.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right. Where is she at school?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Tulsa University.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Okay. The Golden
19	Eagles, right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Golden Hurricanes.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Golden Hurricanes, that's right.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: That's pretty close, though. I'll
23	give you credit.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, okay, all right. All right. So, okay.
25	So how about the rest of the folks in the second row? I'm getting a lot of

blank stares, man. I know it's a -- I know, you know, we're kind of crashing here after lunch, so how about the rest of the folks? Ma'am, how about you? And I'm sorry, please, if you could give your juror number before you speak, the court reporter will thank you. Okay? So please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: 048. I wouldn't have a problem with it if -- as long as there was a little bit more proof, you know, to justify it. If it was like 50-50, then I'd have an issue, I think.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me give you a scenario, okay? Let's say you end up on the jury, okay? And by the way, we've got the burden of proof, so we've got to prove our case as opposed to them having to disprove the case. You with me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: But they're not going to be [indiscernible]. I promise you. These are really good lawyers. And what they're going to do is they're going to present evidence on their side, okay? And if you end up on the jury, and you go back there, and you're thinking, man, both sides were pretty compelling, but the Plaintiffs convinced me by just this much more -- I mean, if I had to pick one, I'd pick them because they got over the line a little bit more than the Defendant did. If that was the scenario, okay, in your mind, and we've established that in your mind, would you have a problem, given the size of the claim here, over \$10 million, in being able to reward that kind of damage?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: No.

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. And I skipped you, sir.
2	How about you? And what is your number, sir?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: My number is 038. I agree with
4	the two, also. If you have the preponderance, and you have all the facts,
5	I have no problem with that.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And are you gentleman did you
7	say you work in a funeral home?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Yes.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. How long have you
10	done that, sir?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Forty-one years.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, wow. Wow. That's a long time.
13	Okay. So I gather you don't really deal with insurance claims and things
14	of that sort in your business, right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: No.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. So ma'am, how about
17	you? On the preponderance question, same thing. Given the size of the
18	claim, any hesitation, any reluctance on your part to be able to reward
19	those kind of damages if we satisfied that standard in your mind, but we
20	didn't get the clear and convincing?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: No.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. Thank you. Ma'am, how
23	about you? Oh, I'm sorry. What was your number?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Oh, sorry. Badge number 049.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: See, I'm violating my own rule here.

1	Okay. What is your number?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Badge number 082.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am. Okay. How about you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I wouldn't have an issue with
5	that.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. You're okay with it?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yeah.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Would you hand this up
9	front, please? Okay. Sir, how about you? What's your badge number
10	and how do you feel about that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: 130. I have no problem making
12	that decision.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. You're okay with the standard?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir. Ma'am, how
16	about you? What is your number?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: 116.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 116? Yes, ma'am. How about you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: I don't have an issue with it.
20	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you. And ma'am, how
21	about you?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: 114. Same thing. I don't have an
23	issue.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: You don't have an issue. Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Badge 095.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am. How about you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: I don't have an issue with it.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: No issue? Okay. And last but not least.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: 093, no issue.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: No issue. Okay. All right. Okay. So I'm going to move on. Now, here's the other thing. I'm going to jump around a little bit. I'm going to change topics now.

And this is primarily directed to the new folks who have been in the emergency room where our people are, but I'm directing this to all the new folks, as well, okay? I'm particularly interested in those folks.

All right. So here's the deal. We've all had either ourselves, a family member, or very close friend go to the emergency room, and you end up waiting, right? And you're frustrated, tempers are sometimes a little bit high, you think you've a situation that may be either serious, critical, or maybe even life-threatening, and sometimes, for whatever reason, you've got to wait. Okay. And you get kind of mad, okay. And look, we've all heard about that, and I know you all know what I'm talking about here.

So the question is, first off, how many people have experienced that at any time with anybody, whether it's a family member -- now, come on. I know you all have had, right? Okay. So all right. So the question is, did that experience where you either had to wait a little bit or maybe you got a little sour on the experience, are we starting a little bit behind because we happened to be the healthcare professionals in those emergency rooms? Okay. And I'm gonna go right

1	down the line again for the next folks.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: 026. No.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. And Coach, have you
4	been to one of our facilities? Did I
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: I've sent athletes. Our athletic
6	training group works through Dignity Health, and so we send all of our
7	athletes to Dignity Health.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Now, I'm talking about just the
9	emergency room. I'm not talking about
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Right. No, I'm saying yeah,
11	like we've had we had a kid who broke his hand last week that went to
12	the emergency room last week at Dignity.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So nothing about that is causing
14	us to maybe start a little bit behind? This was a 40 yard dash when
15	we're five yards back?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: I mean, we're gonna miss him.
17	There's no doubt about that, but no, it's no, you guys aren't starting
18	behind.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir. All right. Sir, how
20	about you?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Can we go back? 038. I have to
22	ask this question, again. I have to go back on something.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Did you say Saint Rose
25	Dominican?

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Did you bring up St Rose
3	Dominican?
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No. Rose Dominican.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Rose Dominican.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: On Lake Mead?
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Excuse me?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: On Lake Mead Drive in
10	Henderson?
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: Okay. So I'm going to go back. I
13	was in the emergency room for a very short time, but it was nothing
14	major, so my apology. I was raising my hand.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, no. That's okay. Hey, listen, there's
16	no wrong answer here, okay. We would much rather you talk than not
17	talk. I mean, I know I'm getting a lot of blank stares since it's late in the
18	afternoon, but anything about that experience one way or another, okay,
19	how did they treat you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: It was positive.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: It was good. Okay, all right. Okay. How
22	about the next person? Let's get your badge number.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: 048. I've been in a couple of
24	those hospitals and had some not great experience.
25	MR ZAVITSANOS: Okay

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Just felt understaffed. Maybe at times, almost like they were socializing when I had been like hitting the buzzer or requested something. And I understand they're busy, but when it looks like they're just, you know, sitting around BS'ing, it got very frustrating.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me say one thing and then I'm going to ask you a follow-up question. I'm going to make one comment, okay? So the relationship between the emergency room doctors at these facilities and the people who work for the hospital, it's kind of like a Reese's peanut butter cup, okay? You don't know where the peanut butter ends and the chocolate begins, right? I mean, we're kind of joined at the hip.

And so it may be that the experience that you had, you know, maybe possibly one of the doctors or nurses that works with us could've done a better job. It may be that one of the people from the hospital could've done a better job. Now, what's clear, though, is that that experience, that was very unfortunate that you went through, is not going to be an issue in this case, but it's still in your mind, right? I mean, it's still there, you went through that experience, it was negative.

So my question is whether that's going to impact us, because it was one of the facilities you went to and we're there, and we've got doctors there and nurses, are we, you know -- because you're thinking the last thing I'm going to do is award these people \$10 million after the experience I went through. I mean is that kind of going through your mind right now as I'm talking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Not really. I think, unknowingly,
there may be that thought floating around in my head, but I can be
objective

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: -- to things, too.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So because this is the only time I can ask you questions, right? Like if you end up on the jury, I can't even say good morning to you if I see you in the hall, okay? I mean, there's strict prohibitions in our ability to communicate, so this is my only time. So I'm not putting you on the spot here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Yeah. No, that's fine.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I've just got to know, because this is really important to us, and it's important to them, all right? So the issue is -- well, first of all, was it one negative experience or did it happen more than once?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: With these hospitals, just once.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So how long ago was that,

ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Two years ago.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So not that long ago. Pretty recent. All right. So consciously or subconsciously or somewhere in between, are we at a disadvantage here because of that experience? And either like you're going to be more receptive when they talk versus when we talk or you're going to drop the amount that we're claiming, even though we proved it by preponderance, because of that experience,

or you're going to require a higher burden of proof, or you're just not
going to listen when one of our doctors gets on, or maybe not listen as
carefully consciously or subconsciously because of that experience?
DDOCDECTIVE HIDOD 040. I think lid atill he listening. The

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: I think I'd still be listening. The only way that maybe I would think there needs to be more proof is if it just feels too close in my mind, and then, you know, what's going to tip it. Is it going to be something you guys say or is it going to be that experience in my head?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: So give me your best possible guess on what you think is going to influence it more. Is it going to be the evidence that you hear from both sides, or if it's a close call, and we're just at the preponderance standard, is it the kind of thing where you're thinking, you know what, okay, yeah, they met the standard, but it's close, and I really had a bad experience, so can't do it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: No, I think I would be able to go by the facts.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right. Thank you for your honesty.

All right. Juror number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Juror number 049.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Knock on wood, I've never had to visit any of these facilities.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Wow, okay. Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Family members, close friends
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: has not been a personal
4	circumstance or situation.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So yesterday during lunch, I'm going
6	with Dr. Scherr across the street, and I jaywalked, and he said, this is
7	how most people end up in the emergency room. You see? So you
8	must always walk inside the lines when the walk sign is on, okay?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Yes.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: He yelled at me about that, so, okay. All
11	right. Thank you. Okay. Yes? Next?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Badge 082.
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: The bad experience that I've had
15	hasn't been in one of your facilities.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So well, listen, I think when Dr.
17	Scherr gets on the stand, these emergency room doctors, they are a
18	different breed, okay? You know, it's kind of this brotherhood and
19	sisterhood. They're very bonded with one another, right? So even
20	though it was not at one of our facilities, okay, did you have a negative
21	experience?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I mean, I had forgotten about it
23	until you mentioned that.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I had to think really hard about it.

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, so
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: So I guess it wasn't too bad now
3	that
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So hey, let me just get to the
5	punchline, okay? Anything about that that's going to cause you to make
6	us start a little bit further back?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: No.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right, thank you. Okay. Let's
9	go on down here.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Badge 130, Mike Asato.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: I haven't been to any of the
13	facilities that you talked about, and my experience with emergency
14	rooms has been mainly my parents, but long waits sometimes.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. No, I get it. I get it.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: That's it.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: We've all been there. So anything about
18	that that's going to cause you to give one side a head start over the
19	other?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you. Let's go to the next
22	one.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: Badge 116.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. How about you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: I went to the emergency room

1	once for a close friend of mine. It took a while, but it doesn't impact
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: One way or the other?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: No.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Next?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Badge 114. I'm with my three
6	friends, and I end up walking out of the off. It took forever, like four
7	hours waiting.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: And the nurse, there was like I
10	don't think maybe she started something, like give us an attitude, and
11	so
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: I ended up walking out,
14	anyways.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, okay. So it was for yourself?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: No, for my friend.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, for your friend, okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Yeah. So
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So was it one of these facilities
20	that I mentioned?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Yeah, one of your facilities.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So that's a long wait, right?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Yeah.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Four hours.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: It is a long wait, and next day

	you have to work, you know
ĺ	

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. I got it, I got it. Okay. So given -- how long ago was this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Three years ago.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Three years ago. Okay. So anything about that where you think if you end up on the jury, you know, is it going to make it harder on these doctors? Am I going to require more proof, or I'm going to cut the damages even though they proved it because of that experience?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: No. I'll be neutral maybe.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Neutral, like --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Neutral?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Uh-huh.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. You've got a soft voice, so I'm just having a hard time hearing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: I'm sorry.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: That's all right. That's okay. You know what the number one fear most people have? It's talking in public. It's ahead of the fear of cancer, okay? Seriously. And we know that, and so it's hard to get people to talk. So I appreciate you volunteering, okay.

Okay. So you could be neutral, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: Yes.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. That's not going to affect one way or the other?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: No, no.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: No.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, next?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Yes. Jennifer Wilson, 095.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: I've had two experiences at St
8	Martin.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: One for myself and one for my
11	husband. I mean, when you go to the hospital, it's not a good
12	experience, right?
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: But I visited the emergency room
15	a few times. This is just the only time in Las Vegas for me. If I had to
16	rate all of them, it probably was one of the best experiences I've had, if
17	you could say it's the best. I've also because of the different visits I've
18	had in the emergency rooms, I have experience on the insurance side.
19	But for me, neither the insurance piece of it or the doctor piece of it is
20	going to affect because it wouldn't affect it. Like this is a judgement
21	about how doctors are getting paid, how the nurses are getting paid,
22	right? So my experience wouldn't affect it one way or the other.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Okay.
25	MR ZAVITSANOS: Okav

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Does that make sense?
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: Yeah?
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Absolutely. Thank you.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: You're welcome.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, and finally?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Catherine Ross, 093. I have

never been to an emergency room in Nevada.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: You want inside the lines, too, right?
Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

Okay. All right. Let me move on. All right. So the next thing is -- so again, we are not only seeking actual damages of 10 plus million dollars, but punitive damages. I'm going to get to that in a little bit. As I'm talking, have any of you -- have the thoughts started crossing your mind, well, I can't award -- even if they prove it, even if these Plaintiffs prove it, I can't award 10 plus million dollars because my goodness, it's going to affect my health insurance premiums? I'm going to end up paying more for health insurance if I -- you know, I'm part of a jury that awards some big numbers. Is anybody thinking that as I'm talking right now? If anybody feels that way, even the slightest -- in the second row -- instead of going one-by-one, I'm just gonna try to catch up so that we can continue on with the folks in the back, as well.

Anybody in the second row feel that way? Anybody? Okay. How about the first row. Okay. I'm seeing a lot of noes here. Okay. Well, you're all paying attention. That's good. All right, thank you.

Okay. You Honor, may I ask, what time are you going to?

THE COURT: We'll go to 4:45 today. The last time they came in the room was 2:45, so we've just gone an hour --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: How much longer would you like me to go before you --

THE COURT: I'd like to do a 10 minute break now.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, now?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, all right. All right.

So during the recess -- and this is for everyone -- don't talk with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Don't read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any means of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, televisions, radio, internet, cell phones, or texting.

Don't conduct any research, don't talk about what the witnesses might be, what the testimony might be, don't use any social media with regard to the fact that you're in jury selection. You can't text, tweet, Google, or conduct any type of research with regard to any issue, party witness, or attorney involved in the case. Most importantly, do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the jury is selected and the jury deliberates.

Thank you. You guys have been great. It's been a lot of hurry up and wait today, and I haven't seen a single angry face yet, so thank you. And let's be ready at 4 p.m. sharp.

1	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury. Your Honor
2	[Prospective jurors out at 3:46 p.m.]
3	[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]
4	THE COURT: And the room is clear. Defendant, did you
5	have anything for the record?
6	MR. ROBERTS: Nothing. Nothing for the record, Your
7	Honor.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Plaintiff, did you have anything for
9	the record?
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Have a good break, guys.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	[Recess taken from 3:47 p.m. to 4:01 p.m.]
15	[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]
16	THE COURT: Please seated.
17	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So there were two messages from jurors
19	during the recess. Juror 171 says that if he has jury duty, he won't be
20	able to pay his rent.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: He won't be able to?
22	THE COURT: Pay his rent.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
24	THE COURT: And Juror 295 has medical issues he did not
25	previously disclose. So I'm going to suggest we bring them in

1	separately. Any objection to that?
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor. That would be that
3	would be Plaintiff agrees with that.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Roberts?
5	MR. ROBERTS: No objection, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring in 171 first. So I have to ask
7	you guys. You had a year with no trials, right?
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Excuse me?
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
10	MR. BLALACK: I tried one in April, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Did you have a year without trials? Jury trials?
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Nope.
13	MR. BLALACK: No, I tried one in April.
14	THE COURT: In April?
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: This is my third one.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. ROBERTS: I went February of 2020 until last month.
18	THE COURT: Got it. Come on in. Mr. Dolan, you're badge
19	number 171?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: Yes, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: You gave a message to the marshal. Can you
22	relay it to us?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: If I do this trial for a month, I
24	won't be able to pay pretty much any of my bills. I will officially get
25	evicted. Me missing work today already puts me in jeopardy of not

being able to pay my rent because I live paycheck to paycheck, me and
my wife. So each day I'm out of work with no money, we're stressed on
bills, or we have to push something off for a week or two weeks. We
can't get the groceries we need. I got a daughter that I'm trying to help
take care of, and I can barely do that as it is right now.
THE COURT: How old is your daughter?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 171: She's 12. And her birthday's
next month. And if I miss a month of work, I can't help out with
Christmas or her birthday.
THE COURT: Okay. Does the Plaintiff have any questions for
Mr. D?
MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Does the Defendant have any questions?
MR. ROBERTS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. D. Please step out now. And
we'll bring in 295. Did we ever get a confirmation, Nicole, about a new
panel for tomorrow?
THE CLERK: Yes. 45 at 10 a.m.
THE COURT: We can get 45 people at 10 a.m. tomorrow.
MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you have a position, Plaintiff?
MR. ZAVITSANOS: We believe he should be excused, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Defendant?
MR. ROBERTS: Defense stipulates, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Thank you. And may I have your name and
2	badge number, please?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: Yes. Scott Olin, badge 295.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Olin, so apparently, you gave a
5	message to the marshal over the recess. Can you relay that information
6	to us?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: Yeah. I just wanted to make it
8	aware that I get migraines on a pretty regular basis. And when I get
9	them, I'm out of commission for the entire day. So with an extended
10	jury trial, it might be impossible for me to attend every single day.
11	THE COURT: And how much notice do you get? Because my
12	sister gets them, and she if she takes the medicine, she
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: About an hour. Yeah. And my
14	my medication knocks me out for about three or four hours at a time.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And how regular are they?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: About once a week or so.
17	THE COURT: Does your work accommodate that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And how do they accommodate it?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: Typically, I'll just finish up work
21	when I'm awake or over the weekend or whatever.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Do you have anything
23	further?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 295: No. Thank you.
25	THE COURT: Plaintiff, do you have any questions?

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Defendant, do you have any questions?
3	MR. ROBERTS: No, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Thank you, both. You may step outside.
5	Okay. The room's clear. On 170 I'm sorry. 171, we have
6	agreement. 295. Plaintiff, any objection to
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: We believe he should be excused, Your
8	Honor.
9	THE COURT: Defendant, do you have do you want a
10	moment to caucus with your group?
11	MR. BLALACK: Yes, just give use two seconds.
12	MR. ROBERTS: Yes. A moment, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Take your time.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, may I take a sip of water?
15	THE COURT: You may.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Is that thank you.
17	THE COURT: Yeah. I you know, we're supposed to enforce
18	this mandate, which is why I don't bring things in.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm happy to step outside, if you like.
20	THE COURT: You can step right back in the hall.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
22	You got this, so.
23	THE COURT: It's only been 35 years, right? t's only been 35
24	years?
25	MR. AHMAD: You know, I've actually known him from law

0
0
0
4
တ
0

I	school, from the first day of law school. Yeah. So it's been a long time.
2	THE COURT: That's an enduring partnership.
3	MR. AHMAD: Most of my life now, I think.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
6	MR. ROBERTS: Sorry. I apologize for conferring, Your
7	Honor.
8	THE COURT: No, no. No, no.
9	MR. ROBERTS: In the past, I've had judges say, well, if that
10	happens, we'll accommodate you for the afternoon and come back the
11	next day, but given our compressed time frame and our need to get
12	done before Thanksgiving, we stipulate if the Court agrees that would be
13	proper.
14	THE COURT: That's great. Thank you. All right. So since I
15	see Marshal Allen, we can bring in the jury now.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And, Your Honor, is it correct that there
17	are no jurors left in the other room?
18	THE COURT: That's correct.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
20	THE COURT: Yeah. We're at the bottom we have 45
21	ordered for tomorrow at 10. Although, I haven't seen a lot of clues that
22	there were going to be big objections to the people in the box.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah, so far.
24	THE COURT: And that doesn't mean I won't.
25	MR. ROBERTS: We saw a few, Your Honor. But, you know

1	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Then I doesn't mean I won't see
2	them.
3	MR. ROBERTS: One of them went home, I think, our
4	70-year-old. We were going to make a run at her, but.
5	THE COURT: Good to know.
6	MR. ROBERTS: We still we'll see we still see a few more.
7	THE COURT: You know, I when you take this job, you're
8	not an advocate anymore. So it just changes your point of view so
9	different. So different. Anyway.
10	[Pause]
11	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
12	[Prospective jurors in at 4:09 p.m.]
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. So I'm going to
14	ask two jurors to stand. 171, Mr. Dolan; and 295, Mr. Olin.
15	They have revealed information to the marshal during the
16	recess. We have talked to them about certain representations made. We
17	will we thank you and excuse you from further jury service in this case.
18	We believe that you have shown significant hardship. Thank you, both.
19	And you may leave.
20	All right. So Mr. Zavitsanos?
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Please continue.
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please
24	the Court. Okay. We are almost caught up to where we were yesterday

with the other jurors. So let me get caught up, and then I'm going to

director questions to everyone, okay.

Okay. So -- and they heard this already, but I'm going to give you all two tests, okay? Multiple choice answers. Just like school. And there's no wrong answer, okay? I just -- and I don't need you to elaborate. I just need you to give me one of the choices that I'm going to give you. So here is the first test.

The first test is if there is a healthcare crisis: A, it's the fault of the doctors; B, it's the fault of the insurance companies; C, it's both of them; or D, I don't have an opinion on that. I need to learn more.

Everybody with me? I'm going to repeat those one more time.

If there is a healthcare crisis: A, it's the fault of the medical profession and the doctors; B, it's the fault of the insurance companies; C, it's both of them; or D, I don't have an opinion on that. I need to learn more. Okay. So we're going to start with the new folks. Coach, we're going to start with you. Just going to go rapid-fire.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Do I -- do I need to --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, we're just going to just --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: D.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Just say your number and what letter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: 026, D.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: D. Okay.

THE CLERK: Just speak loudly, please.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: 038. I'm going to go with D.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: D like David?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: D as in David.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: 048, D.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: D like David. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: 049, D.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: D like David.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: 082, D.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: D like David?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yes.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: 130, D.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: D like David?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yup.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: 116, D.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Are you guys in a club? Okay. Man, this
is unbelievable. Okay, go ahead.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: 114, D.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: 095, C.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: 093, D as in David.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: You had to be different; didn't you?
Okay. All right. Thank you very much. Thank you all for your answers.
All right.

Now, second test, okay? And here it is. Everybody has
heard of the ACA, Obamacare, right? We've heard people debating it on
TV, and politicians talking about it, and people running even for
president talking about it, right? Okay. So here is the question.
Obamacare: A, it's good for the country; B, it's a bad idea; or C, I'm not
sure. I need to learn more about it. Okay. Are you with me?
So A, it's good for the country; B, it's not a good idea, it's a
bad idea; or C, I'm not sure. I need to learn more about it. Okay. Coach,
we're going to start with you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: My experience, B.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Bad idea. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 038: 038. I'm going to go with C.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: C, okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: 048, C.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: 049, C.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: 082. Can you
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, no problem. Okay. The first one
is that's okay, that's all right. The first one: A, it's good for the
country; B, it's a bad idea; or C, I need to learn more about it.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: B.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: B?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yes.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okav.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: 130, B.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: B like boy?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Boy.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116: 116, B.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: B.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 114: 114, C.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 095: 095, C.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: 093, C.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you. Okay. Thank you, all. Okay.
13	All right.
14	Okay. For the new folks, I'm just going to kind of do this.
15	Just raise your hand, please, if this applies to you. Have any of you,
16	family member or close friend ever worked with or for any kind of
17	insurance company, whether it's health insurance, property and casualty,
18	marine insurance, anything? Even you know, and I'm using as broad
19	of an umbrella here as possible. Okay? Okay. So I think I saw yes,
20	Coach?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: My grandfather owned an
22	insurance business for a long time.
23	THE CLERK: Badge number, please?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: 026. I my apologies. I'm really
25	bad at that.

1	THE CLERK: That's okay.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: He owned an insurance business.
3	Meaning he was an agent, or he was he worked worked for the
4	insurance company like as an underwriter or an adjuster?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: He like I guess he owned his
6	own like practice that was an insurance company. I don't know if that
7	was
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And did he in other words, did he sell
9	insurance?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes, as well.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. All right. And anybody
12	else and that was your grandfather?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 026: Yes.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Anybody else in the second row?
15	Yes, ma'am.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your number?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: 082.
19	THE COURT RECORER: Can we get the microphone if there's
20	going to be speaking?
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry. Yes, yes. Marshal, we need
22	thank you, very much.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Badge number 082.
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I worked for Anthem, Inc. in the

1	past. Health insurance.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And tell me a little bit about that.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I worked for their member
4	services in the ACA Department.
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: In the what department?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: ACA Department.
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: ACA Department. Okay. Okay. So how
8	long ago was that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: That was in 2018. I worked there
10	for about eight months.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me ask you this. Okay.
12	That name, they're not a party. In other words, they're neither being
13	sued, nor are they suing, okay, and I don't believe any Anthem
14	employees are going to testify. All right. However, the name Anthem
15	and what they do, and other facts about them, is probably going to come
16	up in this case. Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Okay.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And I think there's a possibility that our
19	folks may be a little critical of them. Okay. Are you with me?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yes.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Now I can't tell you anything more
22	than that. Is this a situation where because you worked for them did
23	you have friends there? I mean while you worked there.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yeah.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And they put food on your table,

and they paid you, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yes.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So is this a situation where if that happens, and we are critical -- and they're not -- look, this is not a major part of the case. Okay, this is a small part of the case. But if we do that, and we're critical of them, is this the kind of situation where if that evidence comes in, your mind is going to be thinking, oh, boy, well, I don't like this because of my own experience having worked there, and I had friends there, and that is -- that is going to color my view about how I look at this. Do you understand what I'm saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yes.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, so well me how you feel about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: I wouldn't feel a certain way. I mean I was only there eight months, so my experience wasn't the greatest with them. I just feel neutral. It's -- you know, I worked there, and I don't feel anything negative or positive.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So in other words, if that -- and look I'm not telling you it is going to come up, but if that's one of the many insurance companies whose name may or may not be on certain documents that the Court may or may not admit into evidence, okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Okay.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: If they are on there, will you be able to evaluate that evidence for what it is without --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yes.

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: you know, being affected by your
2	experience there one way or another?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yes. Yes, I'll be
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: You're okay with that, right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 082: Yes.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. How about in the front
7	row? Yes, sir. Hold on, wait a minute. I don't want to get in trouble
8	here.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: 130.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: 130. Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yeah, my wife worked at an
12	insurance company for most of her career. It was quality service for auto
13	and home.
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, so she actually worked for the
15	insurance company not an agency?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Right.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And which company was that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: USAA.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: And a State Farm office, too. But
21	that was more of a small office.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, USAA. Now they're the they're
23	the ones that primarily it's for military
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yeah.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: right?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. San Antonio, right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yeah. This is in Colorado.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. Okay. Well, they're based in San
5	Antonio.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Right.
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, so okay. So big insurance
8	company, right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And do you have children?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Two.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So USAA, in a small part helped
13	raise your kids.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right? I mean they put food on your
16	table and helped pay the bills.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yeah.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And how many years did your wife work
19	for them?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Eighteen.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Eighteen. Okay. That's a long time,
22	right. Did she retire from there or did she go somewhere else?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No, she we moved and then
24	she quit that job.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. So now USAA is not I

don't think they're on any of the documents in this case. But since she
worked for an insurance company, and we've got an insurance company
being sued here, and there's going to be some pretty serious allegations
against them, okay. But they're going to defend very vigorously. Is this
the kind of situation, because your wife worked for an insurance
company, you're going to find yourself just maybe a little bit kind of
naturally drawn to their side where they get a little bit of a head start in
your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: No?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Nope.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. You say that with some pride.

Okay. So that -- they're not going to -- that's not going to color you one way or another?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No, I don't -- it will not.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So -- and tell me -- I'm sorry, tell me again what your wife did for them.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Policy service for auto and home.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So what does that mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: People call in and want to add another car or they buy another house or --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So it's a acquiring insurance?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: There's a policy set up, and they service the policy.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Did your wife work in handling

1	claims?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And you know what I mean by
4	that, right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Evaluating how much you pay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Right.
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Whether it's covered. What a fair market
9	value is. She didn't do any of that stuff.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And so and look this is real
12	this is a real important case for us. Okay. And again I take you all at
13	your word, right.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yeah.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So is this is this the kind of deal where
16	we are starting on equal footing with the insurance companies?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Yes.
18	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir. Okay. Anybody
19	else in the front row here? Okay. All the way down here. Thank you.
20	Okay. Yes, ma'am.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Badge number 093. So not
22	worked like for an insurance company, but I've worked with health
23	insurance. In 1998 I was hired by; it was called Circus Circus Enterprise
24	at the time. And they processed in house medical and dental claims. So
25	I'm familiar with like medical claims, providers, fee schedules.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So that -- and you said Circus Circus.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Yeah, it was -- at the time it was Circus Circus. Then it went to Mandalay Resort Group. Then it was bought out by MGM Resorts. And when MGM Resorts took over, they closed us out.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let me ask you this. Okay. So I don't think I'll get in trouble for sharing this with you. Okay. So there's going to be -- there's going to be two types of roles that the Defendants -- the United companies over here served for purposes of this case. One of the roles is where they are the insurance company. You pay a premium. They have the risk. And the money that's paid out for those claims comes out of their treasury. Okay.

The other role that they have and that -- if you end up on the jury you'll hear about is where they are what's called the third party administrators. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Yes, I've worked for a couple of third party administrators. One was called Course Works and one was called L & H Administrators. They used to be here in Nevada, but then they went out of business.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So what a third-party administrator does is when a company like Circus Circus, if they don't want to go out and buy insurance, and they themselves want to be the insurance company, so that they will pay the claims, they will hire a company, like a third party administrator --

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Uh-huh.
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: to manage those claims.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Yes.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Are you with me?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Yes.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So one of the big issues in this
7	case, big issue in this case, is going to be how the Defendants operated
8	these in their role as a third party administrator. Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Uh-huh.
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: We are very critical about the way they
11	did that, and they are going to defend it as appropriate. You with me?
12	Okay.
13	So having done what you've done in the past, and I can't get
14	into more of the facts than what I've told you, okay. Having done what
15	you've done in the past, do you think that as that evidence comes in,
16	because you worked for a third party administrator, and you worked with
17	third party administrators for Circus Circus, right how many years did
18	you do that between these companies all the companies that you
19	mentioned?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Ten years.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So that's a long time.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: But I didn't my job was an IT
23	computers. So I was the one who like would add to the computer the
24	benefits, or the providers, or the fee schedule.
25	MR ZAVITSANOS: Okay

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: I didn't actually pay the claim.				
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I got it.				
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: But I would set up the back end				
4	that paid the claim.				
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I understand.				
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Okay.				
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right. And by the way there's I think				
8	if the Court may admit certain documents where that's an issue, too,				
9	okay.				
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Uh-huh.				
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: But I'm less concerned about that. So				
12	my question is the same one I asked this gentleman here. Which is the				
13	fact that you've worked with and for third party administrators, and you				
14	kind of know that lingo.				
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Right.				
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And you know that process. Right. And				
17	that's okay. I mean we can't we can't leave our experiences at the				
18	door. Is that going to cause you to give them a head start because				
19	you've been in that world?				
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: No.				
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. We're not starting behind.				
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: No.				
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Thank you, ma'am.				
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 093: Uh-huh.				
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Two more three more				

areas, and then we're going to pick up where I left off yesterday. We're going to re-engage the folks in the back.

Okay, so how many of the new folks have health insurance that -- through either Sierra, Health Plan of Nevada, or United Healthcare or who work for a company where one of the United companies is the third party administrators as your health insurance? Any of the new folks have health insurance that's either issued by any of the United companies, or administered by any of United companies? Anybody? Okay. All right. We have one gentleman check his insurance card.

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If you don't mind.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah, yeah, yeah, if you need to check. Please. It's very important. Okay. I'll give you all a second. Okay. All right. Just learned that. Okay. All right. Okay. All right. So if I understand this -- okay, nobody's raising their hand here now. So nobody has either Sierra, Health Plan of Nevada, or United Healthcare Insurance, right? Okay. We're going to move on then.

All right. Okay, next one. Punitive damages. Okay. All right. So here's the question on that. So we talked about preponderance, right. You all understood that. Now for punitive damages, I believe, and only the Court is going to issue these instructions, but I believe the Court will likely give you a standard that's high in order for us to qualify and be eligible to receive punitive damages, and that is clear and convincing evidence. It's not beyond a reasonable doubt, it's more than preponderance. It's kind of in between. Everybody with me?

Okay. Now -- and the amount is entirely up to the jury, but these are not compensatory damages. In other words, they're not damages designed to make somebody whole. They're damages designed to punish, and to make an example of, and to deter. Everybody with me?

Now some people have very strong opinions about that.

They think, you know, if you've been made whole, and you're not out of pocket, you shouldn't get any more.

So what I want to know is if we introduce evidence and we meet that threshold, clear and convincing, and we are eligible for punitive damages, and the amount is entirely up to you all, if you end up on the jury, is there anybody that in your mind is thinking, there's no way I'm going to award a penny above what you're actually out of pocket? Do you understand the question? Does everybody understand the question?

Let's start with the second row, the new people. Anybody have any hesitation, thoughts, concerns about that? And I can't get into why we think we are owed punitive damages. You know, if you end up on the jury, you'll hear. But anybody have any issues with that, just philosophical kind of from your value system, in the second row?

Okay. How about the first row? Anybody here? You're -- it looks like you're thinking. It's hard to read people's faces with these masks. So I'm just going by the eyes here, right. So it looks like you're thinking. What do you think? And let's get the mic, please. Okay. Juror number, please?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: 130.				
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. What do you think?				
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: Well, I guess if it's a systemic				
4	problem				
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Hold on. Put it up				
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: if it's a systemic problem, then				
7	maybe the punitive damages is trying to change their attitude.				
8	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, I don't want to get in trouble, and I				
9	can't step out of my lane here. Okay. So let me see if I can answer this				
10	carefully. So you're going to get there are some very detailed				
11	instructions that the Court's going to give you. Okay. And the lawyers				
12	right now may have a little bit of a disagreement about what that is. But				
13	in the end, the Court's going to decide what those instructions are.				
14	So my question is, whatever those instructions are, if at the				
15	end of the day the standard is clear and convincing and we meet that, is				
16	there something in your values that is telling you, yeah, I just I get it, I				
17	just can't do it because I don't care what the instructions are, I don't care				
18	what the Court says, it's just not right and I can't even consider it? That's				
19	my question.				
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 130: No. I don't have a problem with				
21	that.				
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Anybody else in the				
23	front row have an issue with punitive damages? Okay. All right. Let me				
24	check here. Okay.				

How many people have either Medicaid or Medicare? Okay.

Of the new folks. We've already talked to the others. So let's go so in
the second row, there's one hand. Would you please hand the mic this
way, please? Thank you. And is it Medicare or Medicaid?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: 048. Currently, it's Medicare. But previously, I had been also on Medicaid.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. And do you have any opinions, thoughts, concerns about either the Medicaid system or the Medicare system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Nothing that comes to mind right away.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. I mean, critical, happy, neutral?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 048: Overall, really, pretty happy with both. I thought it was going to be like more jumping through hoops and things like that. And I found that more with, you know, other insurance companies.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. All right. How about the front row? Anybody on Medicare or Medicaid in the front row? Okay. Thank you.

Okay. Last topic before we pick up with the rest of the folks again. Okay. So one of the claims in this case is -- one of the claims is breach of implied contract. Okay. Now, there's a whole bunch of parts to that. Okay. One part that is not going to be in there, that I don't believe is going to be in there is that you have to have a written agreement signed by both sides where all the terms are agreed upon. You all with me? Okay.

So here's the question. How many of the new folks believe that if there is such a claim in the case, breach of implied contract, you're going to be thinking, well, okay, Mr. Zavitsanos, I -- unless we've got a written agreement signed by both sides, and everything's agreed upon, I just -- things are too much -- too up in the air, and I just can't consider that? It's -- if you're going to bring a claim for breach of an implied contract, it's either got to be signed by both sides, where everything's agreed on, or not. I can't consider anything else.

And I'm not talking about what ideally it should be. I'm saying, in your mind are you going to be resistant to finding in favor of the healthcare providers, our group here, if we satisfy the elements of that claim by a preponderance of the evidence because we don't have -- because the claims at issue were not pursuant to a written deal signed by both sides? Anybody?

Do you understand the question? In other words, does it have to be in writing, signed by both sides, before you'll consider finding in our favor? Anybody? I'm getting a lot of blank stares. Okay.

Yes, ma'am? Will you pass -- hold on. Let's pass the -- okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Juror 049.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: Can you give us an example of the gray area, or are you just pretty much saying, are you okay with a gray area --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: -- and deliberating on that?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- no. I guess I screwed up my question. Okay. Let me try -- let me try it this way. Okay. So we say that we had an implied agreement with them, okay, where -- that meets certain elements that the Court's going to give you. Okay. And that is at a certain rate of reimbursement that we should have received for treating folks who were insured by the various insurance companies under the United umbrella over here.

MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, may we approach?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you.

[Sidebar at 4:38 p.m., ending at 4:40 p.m., not transcribed]

MR. ZAVITSANOS: May I continue, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you. Your Honor, may it please the Court and counsel. Okay.

So my question is, right, if the Court gives instructions on what this breach of implied contract is -- and I can't get too far into it, okay -- is this the kind of deal where because there's not a written agreement signed by both sides where everything's agreed to, you're going to think, well, you know what, you guys, you're just out of luck, I just -- I can't consider that, even if the Court instructs otherwise? That's my question. What do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: I think that if it's -- if what the gray area is is specified within I guess the Court -- once everything goes to the jury and all of that information is presented, then yes. It just

depends on certain things.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: You're going to have a wheelbarrow full of information. Okay. Okay. You're going to need boots by the time we get done. Right. I mean, it's -- believe me, there's going to be lots of evidence on both sides. So I just want to know though, from our standpoint, from the healthcare providers, okay, if the Court gives an instruction, part of that instruction does not include that it has to be in writing signed by both sides, are you going to have a problem with that? That's the question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 049: No.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. All right. Anybody else in the front row here on that issue about it has to be in writing signed by both sides? Okay. Let me just check here real quick.

[Pause]

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, this is --

THE COURT: A good time to break?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. So from here on out, they are questions that are going to be directed to everyone. So --

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- however the Court would like to proceed.

THE COURT: All right. We'll take our recess until tomorrow at 9:30. 9:30 tomorrow in this same courtroom.

During the recess, you're instructed, do not talk with each other or anyone else on any subject or issue connected with the trial.

Don't read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial.

Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, internet, cell phone, or texting.

Do not conduct any research on your own relating to this case, such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, referencing reference materials. You are not to conduct any investigation, test any theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about it on your own. You may not Google, Tweet, text, conduct any type of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in this case. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the jury is selected and the jury deliberates.

You're a great group. I love how attentive you all are. Even you guys in the back are attentive. So thank you for that. See you tomorrow at 9:30.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Prospective jurors out at 4:43 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]

THE COURT: Okay. The room is clear. Defendant, you raised an objection. Let's get that on the record.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- right before I asked to approach the bench, a juror had asked for clarification regarding a question about implied contracts. And in response, the -- I asked to approach before the answer was given. But Mr. Zavitsanos had started

to explain what the allegations in this case were. My concern was that by answering the question about what he meant by an implied contract, and giving his allegations in this case, he was presenting the juror with a hypothetical based on the facts of this case in order to try to find her verdict on those facts. And that's why I had asked to approach. I did not ask for a curative instruction because the line of inquiry had not been completed. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the response, please?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I was not going to do that, Your Honor. I was not going to use any of the facts of the case to elicit a response from the juror. It's a difficult concept. The parties don't agree on what breach of implied contract is. I simply wanted to probe whether this particular venire member would require a written agreement signed by both parties. And most importantly, I never got it out.

THE COURT: Good enough.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you, folks. Anything else for the record?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I think Ms. Lundvall may have

19 something.

MS. LUNDVALL: Not for the record, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good enough. Anything -- Defendant, anything

for the record?

23 | | /////

24 | | /////

25 | | ////

1	MR. ROBERTS: Nothing else for the record, Your Honor.			
2	THE COURT: All right. So I guess we're in recess.			
3	[Proceedings adjourned at 4:46 p.m.]			
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the			
21	audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the			
22	Simus B. Cahill			
23	Maukele Transcribers, LLC			
24	Jessica B. Cahill, Transcriber, CER/CET-708			
25				

McDONALD CARANO 2300 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE 1200 • LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102 PHONE 702.873.4100 • FAX 702.873.9966

28

		Electronically Filed 00 10/27/2021 10:31 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	JPMEM	As & Stru
	Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761)	Joseph Y. Ahmad (admitted pro hac vice)
2	Kristen T. Gallagher (NSBN 9561) Amanda M. Perach (NSBN 12399)	John Zavitsanos (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Jason S. McManis (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
3	McDONALD CARANO LLP	Michael Killingsworth (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
,	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200	Louis Liao (admitted pro hac vice)
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100	Jane L. Robinson (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) P. Kevin Leyendecker (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
5	plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com	Ahmad, Zavitsanos, Anaipakos, Alavi &
6	kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com	Mensing, P.C. 1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2500
0	aperaen@medonaidearano.com	Houston, Texas 77010
7	Justin C. Fineberg (admitted pro hac vice)	Telephone: 713-600-4901
8	Martin B. Goldberg (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Rachel H. LeBlanc (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	joeahmad@azalaw.com jzavitsanos@azalaw.com
	Lash & Goldberg LLP	jmcmanis@azalaw.com
9	Weston Corporate Centre I 2500 Weston Road Suite 220	mkillingsworth@azalaw.com lliao@azalaw.com
10	Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33331	jrobinson@azalaw.com
, ,	Telephone: (954) 384-2500	kleyendecker@azalaw.com
11	jfineberg@lashgoldberg.com mgoldberg@lashgoldberg.com	
12	rleblanc@lashgoldberg.com	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14	D. Lee Roberts, Jr., Esq.	Dimitri D. Portnoi, Esq.(Admitted Pro Hac
	Nevada Bar No. 8877	Vice)
15	lroberts@wwhgd.com Colby L. Balkenbush, Esq.	dportnoi@omm.com Jason A. Orr, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
16	Nevada Bar No. 13066	jorr@omm.com
17	cbalkenbush@wwhgd.com	Adam G. Levine, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac
1 /	Brittany M. Llewellyn, Esq.	Vice)
18	Nevada Bar No. 13527 bllewellyn@wwhgd.com	alevine@omm.com
19	Phillip N. Smith, Jr., Esq.	Hannah Dunham, Esq. (<i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>)
	Nevada Bar No. 10233	hdunham@omm.com
20	psmithjr@wwhgd.com	Nadia L. Farjood, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac
21	Marjan Hajimirzaee, Esq.	Vice)
	Nevada Bar No. 11984 mhajimirzaee@wwhgd.com	nfarjood@omm.com O'Melveny & Myers LLP
22	WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS,	400 S. Hope St., 18th Floor
23	GUNN & DIAL, LLC	Los Angeles, CA 90071
24	6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 400	Telephone: (213) 430-6000
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	V I as Plalack II Ess (Admitted Due Use
25	Telephone: (702) 938-3838 Facsimile: (702) 938-3864	K. Lee Blalack, II, Esq.(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
26	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	lblalack@omm.com
		Jeffrey E. Gordon, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac
27		Vice)
<u>,</u> ,		jgordon@omm.com

1	Daniel F. Polsenberg, Esq.	Kevin D. Feder, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
	Nevada Bar No. 2376	kfeder@omm.com		
2	dpolsenberg@lewisroca.com	Jason Yan, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
3	Joel D. Henriod, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8492	jyan@omm.com O'Melveny & Myers LLP		
	jhenriod@lewisroca.com	1625 Eye St. NW		
4	Abraham G. Smith, Esq.	Washington, DC 20006		
5	Nevada Bar No. 13250	Telephone: (202) 383-5374		
3	asmith@lewisroca.com	Telephone. (202) 383-3374		
6	Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP	Paul J. Wooten, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600	pwooten@omm.com		
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5996	Amanda L. Genovese (Admitted Pro Hac		
	Telephone: (702) 949-8200	Vice)		
8	1 elephone. (702) 949-8200			
9		agenovese@omm.com		
,		Philip E. Legendy (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) plegendy@omm.com		
10		O'Melveny & Myers LLP		
		Times Square Tower, Seven Times Square		
11		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		New York, NY 10036		
12	Attorno and four Defendants	Telephone: (212) 728-5857		
13	Attorneys for Defendants			
13	DISTRIC	r court		
14	DISTRICT COURT			
-	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
15		l		
1.6	FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES	Case No.: A-19-792978-B		
16	(MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional	Dept. No.: XXVII		
17	corporation; TEAM PHYSICIANS OF NEVADA-MANDAVIA, P.C., a Nevada			
1 /	professional corporation; CRUM,			
18	STEFANKO AND JONES, LTD. dba RUBY			
	CREST EMERGENCY MEDICINE, a	JOINT PRETRIAL MEMORANDUM		

Nevada professional corporation,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

19

20

21

28

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE 22 COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; 23 UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC., dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota

corporation; UMR, INC., dba UNITED 24 MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware 25 corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE

INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, 26

INC., a Nevada corporation, 27

Defendants

PURSUANT TO EDCR 2.67

Trial Date: October 25, 2021

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd; Team Physicians of Nevada-Mandavia, P.C.; Crum, Stefanko and Jones, Ltd. dba Ruby Crest Emergency Medicine ("the Health Care Providers") and Defendants UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company; United HealthCare Services, Inc.; UMR, Inc.; Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc.; and Health Plan of Nevada, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties," hereby submit the following Joint Pretrial Memorandum:

I. **Rule 2.67 Conference**

The Parties held the EDCR 2.67 conference on September 30, 2021, at 1:30 p.m. Pacific. Appearances of counsel were as follows:

Health Care Providers: John Zavitsanos, Jason McManis, and Michael Killingsworth,

Ahmad, Zavitsanos, Anaipakos, Alavi & Mensing P.C.

Defendants: K. Lee Blalack, II and Adam Levine, O'Melveny & Myers LLP;

Colby Balkenbush and Lee Roberts, Weinberg Wheeler,

Hudgins, Gunn & Dial, LLC.

II. **Statement of the Facts of the Case**

A. The Health Care Providers' Statement

This action arises out of a dispute concerning the rate at which Defendants reimbursed the Health Care Providers for emergency medicine services provided to patients covered under health plans underwritten, operated, and/or administrated by Defendants. In the claims asserted by the Health Care Providers in their operative pleading, the Health Care Providers allege that Defendants are obligated to reimburse the Health Care Providers for the reasonable value of the emergency medical services provided by the Health Care Providers to the Defendants' members and insureds, which the Health Care Providers' contend is their billed charges. For the claims at issue, however, the Health Care Providers allege Defendants reimbursed the Health Care Providers at an amount below reasonable value, and that Defendants employed an arbitrary and selective means to unjustifiably reduce the overall amount Defendants paid to the Health Care Providers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Through this lawsuit, the Health Care Providers seek actual damages in excess of \$10,000,000 for Defendants' systematic underpayment of claims, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs, and punitive damages, including damages under NRS 42.005(2)(b).

Defendants' Statement¹ B.

This action is a payment dispute between TeamHealth Plaintiffs, a group of privateequity backed companies that offer outsourced emergency-room staffing services to hospitals located in 47 states including Nevada, and Defendants, which insure or administer health plans that provide healthcare coverage for members who allegedly received emergency medicine services from physicians contracted with TeamHealth Plaintiffs. Defendants are five different companies, each of which offers health insurance and/or administrative services to health plans sponsored by employers, unions and other organizations.

The terms under which Defendants reimburse healthcare providers for services rendered to Defendants' clients, including out-of-network emergency medicine services, are determined by the language of those clients' health plans. This includes the out-of-network benefits that those plans make available to their members and utilizing certain out-of-network programs that are designed to control healthcare costs and thereby restrain the growth in premiums and costsharing paid by health plan members. There was no written, oral or implied contract between Defendants and TeamHealth Plaintiffs that specified the rate of reimbursement for the disputed emergency medicine services.

The TeamHealth Plaintiffs contest in this action 11,584 individual benefit claims for which the Defendants already allowed payment of \$2,848,965.78. Defendants reimbursed TeamHealth Plaintiffs for the reasonable value of the services at issue in this case. But in this action, TeamHealth Plaintiffs want much more; they seek their full billed charges, which

The Health Care Providers object to Defendants' Statement because it violates EDCR 2.67(b)(1). Defendants' Statement is neither brief nor factual, and instead consists of improper attorney argument of the alleged facts.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

represents another \$13,560,083.00. It is TeamHealth Plaintiffs' billed charges, not Defendants' reimbursements, that are unreasonable.

Because the \$2,848,965.78 that Defendants already allowed in payment for the disputed services equals or exceeds the reasonable value of those services, TeamHealth Plaintiffs have suffered no actual monetary damages and are not entitled to relief under any cause of action asserted in this case. But even if there was a determination that Defendants had underpaid TeamHealth Plaintiffs by some amount, TeamHealth Plaintiffs are still not entitled to any recovery due to their unclean hands. Fremont, a Las Vegas based staffing company, improperly billed some of its claims for reimbursement to Defendants under the tax identification number of Ruby Crest, an Elko based provider, even though the services were provided by emergency medicine providers contracted with Fremont in Las Vegas. This improper billing scheme was implemented to take advantage of the higher rates of reimbursement that TeamHealth Plaintiffs believed Ruby Crest was being paid by some of the Defendants and bars all of TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims. In addition, TeamHealth Plaintiffs are not entitled to interest on any damages award, attorneys' fees and costs or punitive damages.

III. Claims for Relief and Categories of Damages Requested

A. The Health Care Providers' Statement

The Health Care Providers allege the following claims for relief and categories of damages. The references and citations to the Health Care Providers' Second Amended Complaint are in no way intended to limit the applicability of any of the factual allegations in the Second Amended Complaint to any of the theories of recovery or the amount of damages sought under any theory of recovery.

Count 1: Breach of Implied-in-Fact Contract (Second Am. Compl. ¶¶ 62–79) **Damages:** (1) actual damages; and (2) pre- and post-judgment interest.

Count 2: Unjust Enrichment (Second Am. Compl. ¶¶ 80–89)

Damages: (1) actual damages; and (2) pre- and post-judgment interest.

Count 3: Unfair Settlement Practices (Second Am. Compl. ¶¶ 90–97)

<u>Damages:</u> (1) actual damages; (2) punitive damages, including damages under NRS 42.005(2)(b); and (3) pre- and post-judgment interest.

Count 4: Violations of Nevada Prompt Pay Statutes (Second Am. Compl. ¶¶ 98–105) Damages: (1) actual damages; (2) attorneys' fees and costs; and (3) preand post-judgment interest.

B. Defendants' Statement

1. With respect to TeamHealth Plaintiffs' Unfair Settlement Practices claim, Defendants dispute that punitive damages are available or that TeamHealth Plaintiffs may seek punitive damages with no statutory cap. Punitive damages are capped at three times the amount of compensatory damages pursuant to NRS 42.005(a).

IV. Affirmative Defenses

- **A. First Affirmative Defense:** TeamHealth Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- **B. Second Affirmative Defense:** Some or all of the disputed claims are preempted by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") because the members in question obtained their health care coverage through employer-based health plans. These claims relate to payments under plans governed by ERISA, and all such claims are both conflict and completely preempted by ERISA.
- C. Third Affirmative Defense: This Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted against Defendants. TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims arise under ERISA and therefore implicate federal question jurisdiction.
- **D. Fourth Affirmative Defense:** The claims asserted are barred by the absence of an applicable duty running from Defendants to TeamHealth Plaintiffs. Among other reasons, as out-of-network providers, TeamHealth Plaintiffs have chosen not to enter into any contractual relationship or rate agreement with Defendants, nor has any duty arisen by operation of Nevada law.
- E. Fifth Affirmative Defense: The terms and conditions of the applicable health plans

F. Sixth Affirmative Defense: Some or all of TeamHealth Plaintiffs' billed charges are excessive under the applicable standards, and/or TeamHealth Plaintiffs have failed to identify any basis for entitlement to demand receipt of any fixed percentage of billed charges.

stand as a bar to some or all of the relief requested.

- **G. Seventh Affirmative Defense:** Some or all of the claims asserted are subject to rates set by TeamHealth Plaintiffs' participation in networks offered by MultiPlan, Inc.
- H. Eighth Affirmative Defense: To the extent that TeamHealth Plaintiffs have any right to receive plan benefits, that right is subject to basic preconditions and prerequisites that have not been established, such as that the patients are members of health plan insured or administered by Defendants on the date of service, that the coordination of benefits has been applied, that the services were medically necessary, that an emergency medical condition was present, that TeamHealth Plaintiffs timely submitted correctly coded claims and supplied any requested documentation, and/or that any necessary authorizations were obtained.
- I. Ninth Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs lack standing to pursue claims against Defendants.
- J. Tenth Affirmative Defense: Some or all of the Defendants did not function as an insurer or issuer of the health plan coverage alleged to be at issue, and TeamHealth Plaintiffs therefore lack standing as to any such Defendant.
- **K. Eleventh Affirmative Defense:** TeamHealth Plaintiffs failed to timely correct known defects with respect to some or all of the claims asserted.
- L. Twelfth Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that they seek to unjustly enrich TeamHealth Plaintiffs by allowing them to retain funds in excess of any amounts due for covered services under plans insured or administered by Defendants.
- **M. Thirteenth Affirmative Defense:** TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent they have not suffered any damages.

- N. Fourteenth Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent any alleged liability to or damages suffered by TeamHealth Plaintiffs were not proximately caused by Defendants, or by the conduct alleged.
- O. Fifteenth Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the failure to exhaust mandatory administrative and/or contractual remedies.
- **P. Sixteenth Affirmative Defense:** TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that TeamHealth Plaintiffs have not mitigated their damages by seeking reimbursement from other sources, including, but not limited to, other health plans, programs, or entities that may have had an obligation to pay.
- Q. Seventeenth Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of waiver, estoppel, and/or laches.
- **R.** Eighteenth Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent TeamHealth Plaintiffs failed to sue the appropriate entity.
- **S. Nineteenth Affirmative Defense:** TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of accord and satisfaction and/or release.
- T. Twentieth Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are subject to setoff and/or recoupment with respect to claims for which Defendants made payment on the basis of current procedural terminology ("CPT") or other billing codes included in TeamHealth Plaintiffs' submissions that TeamHealth Plaintiffs' clinical records of their patients' care reveal to have been improperly submitted, either because TeamHealth Plaintiffs' clinical records do not support submission of the codes at all, or because TeamHealth Plaintiffs' clinical records establish that different codes should have been submitted.
- U. Twenty-First Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims are subject to setoff and/or recoupment with respect to claims for which Defendants made payment

on the basis of TeamHealth Plaintiffs' billed charges and those billed charges exceeded the billed charges submitted to other payors, where TeamHealth Plaintiffs never intended to collect such charges from any other payors, or where the charges were otherwise in error.

- V. Twenty-Second Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs are not entitled to relief because they have received all payments due, if any, for the covered services they provided in accordance with the terms of their patients' health plans.
- W. Twenty-Third Affirmative Defense: TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claim for punitive damages cannot be sustained because an award of punitive damages that is subject to no predetermined limit, such as a maximum multiple of compensatory damages or a maximum amount of punitive damages that may be imposed, would: (1) violate Defendants' Due Process rights guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; (2) violate Defendants' rights not to be subjected to an excessive award; and (3) be improper under the Nevada Constitution, Nevada statutes, common law and public policies of Nevada.
- X. Twenty-Fourth Affirmative Defense: All of TeamHealth Plaintiffs' causes of action, both legal and equitable, are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands. TeamHealth Plaintiffs wrongfully and fraudulently billed Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services' reimbursement claims under Plaintiff Ruby Crest Emergency Medicine's tax identification number in order to deceive the Defendants into paying a higher rate of reimbursement for Fremont Emergency Services' claims.
- Y. Twenty-Fifth Affirmative Defense: It has been necessary for Defendants to employ the services of an attorney to defend the action and a reasonable sum should be allowed Defendants for attorney's fees and all incurred costs of the suit.

V. Claims or Defenses to be Abandoned

- A. The Healthcare Providers' Claims to be Abandoned

 None.
- B. Defendants' Defenses to be Abandoned

None.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VI. **List of Exhibits and Objections to Admissibility**

Due to the complexity of the case and the disagreement between the Parties as to the scope of the case, the Parties have not agreed to a joint exhibit list. The Parties continue to meet and confer on objections and admissibility of exhibits.

The Health Care Providers' current list of exhibits, together with Defendants' objections, is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

Defendants' current list of exhibits, together with the Health Care Providers' objections, is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. The Health Care Providers' objections to Defendants' current list of exhibits, if any, are attached hereto as **Exhibit 2A**.

Pursuant to the October 25, 2021 Stipulation and Order to Extend Certain Pretrial Deadlines, the Parties will submit deposition designations and objections to deposition designations to the Court on November 1, 2021.

The Health Care Providers propose that certain exhibit objections be taken up at the final pretrial conference, to be held on October 28, 2021.

VII. Agreements as to the Limitation or Exclusion of Evidence

The Parties continue to negotiate in good faith to reach agreement on the motions in limine where possible. Thus far, the Parties have agreed to the following:

- September 30, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 34 and Purported Delay as Part of Defendants' Trial and Litigation Strategy;
- September 30, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 2;
- September 30, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Evidence of Defendants' Out-of-Network Programs that Do Not Relate to Disputed Reimbursement Claims;
- September 30, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Evidence of Employee Performance Metrics and/or Evaluations:
- October 6, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Evidence of Defendants' Financial Condition and the Amount of Punitive Damages Plaintiffs Should Be Awarded;
- October 6, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Arguments to the Jury Related to ERISA Conflict Preemption;
- October 13, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 31;

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	VI
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

•	October 13, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Plaintiffs' Expert David Leathers
	July 30, 2021 Initial Expert Report;

- October 14, 2021 Stipulation and Order Regarding Evidence of Executive Compensation; and
- Stipulation and Order Regarding Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 35: To Preclude Reference to Defendants Collectively as "United" or "United Defendants".

VIII. List of Witnesses

A. Plaintiffs' Expected Witnesses

Plaintiffs presently expect to present the following witnesses at trial:

- Kent Bristow
- Dr. Joseph Crane
- Dr. Robert Frantz
- John Haben
- Leslie Hare
- David Leathers
- Alexander Mizenko
- Leif Murphy
- Angie Nierman
- Rebecca Paradise
- Scott Phillips
- Dan Rosenthal
- Dan Schumacher
- Scott Ziemer
- Vince Zuccarello

B. <u>Plaintiffs May Call Witnesses</u>

Plaintiffs may present the following witnesses at the time of trial, if the need arises:

- Jennifer Behm
- Jolene Bradley
- Kevin Ericson
- Jacy Jefferson

	11
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	v
9	v
10	C
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	II

1	 Dr. Daniel Jones 				
2	■ Marty Millerliele				
3	 Eddie Ocasio 				
4	■ Jason Schoonover				
5	Jennifer Shrader				
6	 Miles Snowden 				
7	Plaintiffs reserve the right to call any witnesses named by Defendants and to call any				
8	witnesses as may be necessary for the purpose of impeachment. Plaintiffs may call any and all				
9	witnesses in rebuttal to testimony given by Defendants' witnesses. Plaintiffs reserve the right to				
10	object to any of Defendants' witnesses at the time of trial.				
11	C. <u>Defendants' Expected Witnesses</u>				
12	Defendants presently expect to present the following witnesses at trial:				
13	 Mike Bandomer 				
14	 Kent Bristow 				
15	Sean Crandell				
16	■ Bruce F. Deal				
17	■ John Haben				
18	Rena Harris				
19	 Daniel Jones 				
20	 Jacqueline Kienzle 				
21	■ Karen B. King				
22	 Alexander Mizenko 				
23	Leif Murphy				
24	Shaun Schoener Shaun Schoener				
25	■ Bruce Singleton				
26	D. <u>Defendants' May Call Witnesses</u>				
27	Defendants may call the following witnesses at the time of trial, if the need arises:				

Jennifer Behm

00.1.0.0.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.1			
001000000000000000000000000000000000000			

•	Jolene Bradley
•	Joe Carman
•	Brent Davis
•	Lisa Dealy

•	David Greenberg
	Leslie Hare

•	Jacy Jefferson

Mark	K1	ine

Angie Niermar
Angie Memiai

- Eddie Ocasio
- Rebecca Paradise
- Scott Scherr
- Dan Schumacher
- Jennifer Shrader
- Scott Ziemer

Defendants reserve the right to call any witnesses named by TeamHealth Plaintiffs and to call any witnesses as may be necessary for the purpose of impeachment. Defendants may call any and all witnesses in rebuttal to testimony given by TeamHealth Plaintiffs' witnesses. Defendants reserve the right to object to any of TeamHealth Plaintiffs' witnesses at the time of trial.

IX. Brief Statement of Each Principal Contested Issue of Law

A. The Health Care Providers' Position

The Health Care Providers' position is that any contested issues of law have been resolved by prior rulings of the Court, including Defendants' ERISA pre-emption arguments raised, below. The Health Care Providers note that many of the purposed issues of law identified by Defendants, below, are fact issues to be resolved by the jury at trial.

B. Defendants' Position

Defendants' position is that various issues of law that are contested in this case are reflected in their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, the Parties' various motions in limine, the TeamHealth Plaintiffs' Motion for Further Sanctions Against Defendants, and Defendants' jury instructions that will be submitted to the Court on November 1, 2021. In addition, Defendants anticipate that the following issues of law will be presented for the Court's resolution at trial:

- 1. Whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims for higher reimbursement conflict with the payment obligations in the health plan documents that govern the benefits of the patients who received the disputed services and are thus preempted by ERISA.
- Whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs' implied-in-fact contract claim and Unfair Insurance Practices Act claim under NRS 686A.310 are subject to conflict preemption by ERISA.
- 3. Whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs have adduced any evidence that the Parties intended to contract without a written or oral agreement; whether the Parties exchanged any bargained-for promise; and whether the terms of any agreement between the Parties were sufficiently clear on all essential terms, including price, in support of TeamHealth Plaintiffs' implied-in-fact contract claim.
- 4. Whether the proper market for measuring the reasonable value of any services—including the reasonableness of Defendants' rates of reimbursement, TeamHealth Plaintiffs' billed charges, terms of any agreement between the Parties, or value of any benefit conferred onto Defendants by TeamHealth Plaintiffs—should be determined by looking to other emergency medicine services markets.
- 5. Whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs conferred a benefit on any Defendant from services provided by any TeamHealth Plaintiff and whether any Defendant

2300 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE 1200 • LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102 PHONE 702.873.4100 • FAX 702.873.9966

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

accepted and retained a benefit such that it would be inequitable for that Defendant not to have paid additional monies to any TeamHealth Plaintiff for that benefit.

- 6. Whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs are "insureds" and whether Defendants are "insurers" within the meaning of NRS 686A.310, and within the meaning of NRS 683A.0879, NRS 689A.410, NRS 689B.255, NRS 689C.485, NRS 695C.185, and NAC 686A.675, such that they can prove liability under these statutes and regulation.
- 7. Whether a third party claimant other than an "insured" may bring a claim against an "insurer" under NRS 686A.310.
- 8. Whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs can present evidence sufficient to establish that Defendants are "guilty of oppression, fraud or malice, express or implied" to support the imposition of punitive damages for any of TeamHealth Plaintiffs' claims and whether punitive damages are available to TeamHealth Plaintiffs on any claim for which that category of damages is asserted.
- 9. With respect to TeamHealth Plaintiffs' Unfair Settlement Practices claim, whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs may seek punitive damages or seek punitive damages with no statutory cap, where punitive damages are capped at three times the amount of compensatory damages pursuant to NRS 42.005(a).
- 10. Whether Defendants' reimbursement practices utilizing out-of-network reimbursement programs is relevant to the reasonableness of Defendants' reimbursement for emergency medical services.
- 11. Whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs have presented sufficient evidence to support their claim under NRS 686A.310, including: when, if at all, Defendants' liability became "reasonably clear" as required under NRS 686A.310; and whether TeamHealth Plaintiffs have adduced evidence that an officer,