IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TULY LEPOLO,

Appellant,

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent,

Supreme Court Case Electronically Filed Jul 28 2023 12:06 PM Elizabeth A. Brown District Court Case Noter 20 Subjected Court

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Volume II

JEAN J. SCHWARTZER. ESQ Nevada Bar No. 11223 Law Office of Jean J. Schwartzer 411 E. Bonneville Avenue Suite 360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 979-9941 Attorney for Appellant STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1565 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Respondent

INDEX TO APPENDIX

Lepolo v. State, Case No. 85631

Document	
Amended Information filed August 22, 2022	
Criminal Complaint filed October 6, 2016	1
Information filed January 8, 2020	2-4
Judgment of Conviction filed October 12, 2022	63-66
Minute Order filed May 4, 2021	51-54
Motion for Disclosure of Evidence filed April 1, 2021	5-41
Notice of Appeal filed November 3, 2022	67-69
Opposition to Motion for Disclosure of Evidence filed April 6, 2021	41-50
Second Amended Information filed August 23, 2022	57-58
Stipulation and Order filed August 25, 2022	61-62
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 filed February 8, 2023	70-238
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2 filed February 8, 2023	239-407
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3 filed February 8, 2023	408-627
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4 filed February 8, 2023	628-827
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 filed February 8, 2023	828-962
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6 filed February 8, 2023	963-1027
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 filed February 8, 2023	1028-1042
Transcript of Sentencing filed February 8, 2023	1023-1055
Verdict Form filed August 24, 2022	59-60

I would prefer not to be, and not to be in the position of having to decide, one way or the other. Not to say I feel strongly, one way, about prosecution or defense. I'm an attorney. I'm not a litigator. I have my own feelings about, you know, attorneys that I've dealt with and attorneys, more so, probably, than anything. But I would just prefer not to be in the position of deciding, one way or the other.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. That's fair. You understand that, ultimately, it's going to be up to Judge Bluth, who stays and who -- who goes on this jury, right? It'll be up to the judge who ends up on this jury, ultimately?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Sure.

MR. GIORDANI: If you end up on the jury, are you able to listen to the evidence and make a decision at the end of the case? Or is it something that you're just --you're so uncomfortable sitting in judgment, you don't think you can get there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I'll do my job. I -- it's a difficult question to ask, because I -- I think the same reason that draws me to being here today is the same reason I could reach a decision. But again, I --

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: My ultimate preference would be to allow others who are comfortable doing that, make the choice -- or I shouldn't say "make the choice," review the evidence in the -- in the trial and -- and make that decision.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. I appreciate that. I have a

1	question now for the the group. If you can just hold on to the or, I
2	guess, hand the mike on up. It's okay. Is there anyone in the first 32
3	here, that knows or has friends in law enforcement, or has ever had a
4	family member, or anything like that, in law enforcement? Okay. All
5	right. Seeing a whole bunch of hands. So can you other than Ms.
6	Charles, who I spoke to earlier are you referring to your son, Ms.
7	Charles?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: Uh-huh.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Is that a yes?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: Yes.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. Can you pass that microphone
12	down to seat 6 there, please. Ms. Acosta?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yes.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Did you raise your hand?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: No, I didn't.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Seat 5. And, Ms. Romero, you raised your
17	hand?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: I did.
19	MR. GIORDANI: And you have law enforcement in your
20	family, or friends, or
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Just a friend.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Who's that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Robert Ochsenhirt.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay. He's with Las Vegas Metro
25	Homicide.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: He is.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You didn't hear his name on the
3	witness list, did you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: No.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anything about that particular
6	relationship that would cause you to be unfair in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: No, I don't think so.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You heard a little bit of the exchange
9	I had with your fellow jurors here. The idea is, we don't want people
10	who are just going to automatically prejudge an officer, one way or
11	another
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Uh-huh.
13	MR. GIORDANI: based on personal beliefs or individual
14	relationships. Are you comfortable that you won't do that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: No, I don't think I would.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Down to the next row, right
17	in front of you there, ma'am. Can I have your Badge Number?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: 318.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, ma'am, Ms. Burns?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: I have two nieces that are
21	married to retired Lucas County sheriffs, in Ohio.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: And my son-in-law, here, is a
24	retired North Las Vegas police officer.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. How long ago did your son-in-law

1	retire?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Five years ago.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Did he was he ever assigned to the
4	homicide unit?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: I'm sorry, what?
6	MR. GIORDANI: Was he ever assigned to robbery, homicide
7	in
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: No.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Las Vegas?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: No.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Do you talk or does he talk shop with
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: No
13	MR. GIORDANI: you ever? Tell you stories?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: No.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Do you have a an impression of law
16	enforcement, as a whole, as a result of those relationships?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Well, not even the relationship.
18	But I do think that most law enforcement are doing the best that they can
19	do with what they have. Do they make mistakes? I'm sure they probably
20	do. We all do.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: But I think most people try to do
23	the best that they can do.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So it sounds to me like you're pretty
25	neutral, when it comes to law enforcement?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: I am.
2	MR. GIORDANI: All right. I might come back to you later,
3	ma'am.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Okay.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Would you pass the microphone down to
6	we'll get to you as well, sir.
7	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't know where
8	you were looking.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, sorry.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Badge Number
11	MR. GIORDANI: I'll get this. Give me an hour or so.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Badge Number 522.
13	MR. GIORDANI: 522. Go ahead, ma'am.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: I have
15	MR. GIORDANI: I'm sorry.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: a brother-in-law-once-
17	removed, so it's actually my sister's brother-in-law. He was in law
18	enforcement for many years. He was on K-9 unit. This is in California.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: And then was then went into
21	gang unit in Santa Ana, California, just recently retired and now works
22	for a sheriff's department.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay. So he went back into law
24	enforcement after full retirement?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Yeah, just doing, you know,

bailiff or something.

MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Yeah. Not on the streets anymore.

MR. GIORDANI: You know, as this goes along, the questions will move a little quick -- more quickly. You understand what I'm getting at with these questions. Do you have a feeling, one way or another, as to law enforcement --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: No, it's like she said, you know, they do the best with what they have. There's good and bad in any occupation or field. My niece raised money for bulletproof vests for police dogs for many years. And we went to K-9 shows and met some wonderful police officers, and also met some jerks, quite frankly.

MR. GIORDANI: Fair enough.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: You know? So it's -- you know, it's -- it's the individual that -- there's good and bad everywhere.

MR. GIORDANI: You're absolutely right. And all we're asking is that you -- I mean, both sides want the same thing. We want you to judge a witness based on what they have to say, not because they're wearing a badge or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: You know, we might have lay witnesses come off the street who are dressed in sweatpants or have food on their clothes. I mean, we want you to listen to them and not judge them based upon what they do, right? Do you think you can do that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Absolutely.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. You can pass
3	that mike on.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: I agree with them.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. What's your Badge Number, please?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: It's 304.
7	MR. GIORDANI: 304. You get what I'm asking?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: Yeah, I get it.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And you think you
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: I have a brother-in-law that was
11	in he was border patrol. And my father's in he was U.S. Customs.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: But I'm pretty much neutral
14	when it comes to it.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Got you. Thank you, sir.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: Might as well steam it up.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Down. Hey, no. I get you. I appreciate that.
18	Did you raise your hand, ma'am?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: I did. My brother's a retired
20	Metro police officer. He was in robbery, homicide, and also strip bikes
21	when he worked for Metro.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: But he's been retired for eight
24	years, so I don't think that it will have any effect on my opinion.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. What's your Badge Number?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: 346.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Sorry about that.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Oh.
4	MR. GIORDANI: By tomorrow, we'll all be real familiar with
5	each other. Right now, I'm still asking. Who is your brother who was in
6	robbery, homicide?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: His name is Gary Dale.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. How long ago did he
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: It's been at least eight years,
10	maybe longer.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. It's Ms. Mazzanti, right?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: Correct.
13	MR. GIORDANI: And you understand the line of questioning.
14	It sounds to me like you don't have any issues and you can
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 304: I truly believe I can be neutral.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Good. Okay. And can you pass that down
17	did you raise your hand, sir?
18	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	MR. GIORDANI: No. Two down. And your Badge Number,
20	sir?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: 538. My dad was a fireman and
22	a policeman for 23 years, outside of Boston, in the Dedham Police Force.
23	And so I grew up in that whole environment.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Do you have an impression of law
25	enforcement?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I probably have a different
2	impression of law enforcement, at that time period, than today.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Oh.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: You know, particularly on my
5	DUI that I was charged, the officer that was involved with that, I have
6	issues with, at that time.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: But then I have other issues
9	you know, I have other praise for officers that helped my daughter, that
10	did extra stuff, on their off time, to help her. So I'll probably echo the
11	other jurors, there's good and bad in every occupation.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Absolutely.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I would say, a silent cop on a bad
14	cop, makes him a bad cop these days, but I don't think it's a whole, as a
15	community. But picking up in the same thing, you know, it's every
16	every profession, but it just seems more weighty these days than it did in
17	the '60s and '70s.
18	MR. GIORDANI: More weight?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yeah, I I think, back then, you
20	know, when you get pulled over by a cop back then, you were more
21	terrified about them calling your parents
22	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: than taking you to jail.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: And maybe that's just the way

we were all brought up back in the day. Today, it just seems like you can be fearful when that happens. Maybe not so much for me, but, again, I -- I don't want that to outweigh the good that all the good cops do and what they're going up against today for -- you know, I don't know if any paycheck's worth some of the stuff they have to deal with.

MR. GIORDANI: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: So, you know, it's -- there's issues there on -- on both sides. And I just think that's life where we're at right now, you know? I know what the cops are going up against much more gun use these days than back then. You know, it just is -- it just -- it seems to have just escalated over the last 35 years. That's just what we've all witnessed. And that's -- that's, kind of, where I stand. Just being honest with you.

MR. GIORDANI: No, I really appreciate that. And you bring up a couple of good points. We've all seen some horrendous behavior by police officers, in the media, right? I mean, we've all seen it. We've also, you know, think back to one October. The community came together and rallied -- rallied around them at that point, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Absolutely.

MR. GIORDANI: And so there's good and bad.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Our cops didn't run away. Our cops ran in.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. Exactly. And whatever opinion you have about beliefs, the point of this line of questioning is, can you just judge these cops, based on what they have to say and what they did in

1	this case, and not judge them based upon other stuff you've seen in the
2	news or fell outside of this courtroom?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I'm going to judge the whole
4	situation that way, not just on cops.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: You know? There's no winners
7	in this. No matter which way it goes, there's no winners.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Right.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: And that's the weight we're all
10	feeling. I know that.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Absolutely. Yeah. Can I can I ask you,
12	while I have you, a few questions about your daughter's situation?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. To me, it it just seems like the
15	system failed you entirely.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: It was very distressing, to the
17	point my old East Coast almost came out
18	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: to protect my daughter.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: And it was massively
22	disappointing, with photographic evidence of black and blue all the way
23	around my daughter's neck. And they were counting on me to protect
24	her. And I asked the judge, if you're not believing me and I know this
25	is not normal procedure but I want you to call my kids and I want you

1	to call this other person there as a witness, that and he didn't. He
2	judged his decision on a CPS report that never interviewed the kids.
3	Never interviewed the therapist that called in the report. Never called
4	me, as the primary custody parent
5	MR. GIORDANI: Wow.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: and made that decision. I
7	thought about appealing, but going by the percentages of how many of
8	those are overturned also a horrendous number, I might add I didn't
9	want to put my kids through that again. Unfortunately, my daughter is
10	still dealing with the issues, not just of the abuse, of let's call it a family
11	member, which, unfortunately, you know, when you go to learn-how-to-
12	be-divorced class, they say 90 percent of abuse comes from the person's
13	new significant person.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: But she's still dealing with that.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So I really don't mean to pry, but I
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: You can pry.
18	MR. GIORDANI: have to.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I this is important. You can
20	pry.
21	MR. GIORDANI: So was it the same significant other the
22	first abuse with both your children, as it was the second time with the
23	string?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Same guy?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yep.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And you mentioned, earlier, with the
3	judge, there's justice court and then there's family?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Correct.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Justice, and Family?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
7	MR. GIORDANI: The initial abuse with both your five your
8	five, and eight, year old, was that reported in the criminal system?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: No.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So this is just an issue that was just
11	an issue that was dealt with in the family system?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Well, it was not dealt with.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Well, yeah. It sounds
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: There was no place for them to
17	go, on that situation
18	MR. GIORDANI: I got you. Is there a reason why there
19	wasn't a criminal charges brought? I mean, was it reported at all, to law
20	enforcement?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
22	MR. GIORDANI: And CPS is one thing, right?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Correct.
24	MR. GIORDANI: So it was reported to the police as well?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you know why charges never
2	came?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: He's lucky. I have no idea, to be
4	honest with you. I don't.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I don't. It particularly, after
7	multiple things, I just know my kids depended on me to protect them and
8	I couldn't do it in that courtroom. And that judge, you know well, if
9	you're familiar, the judge is appointed by someone to hear all the the
10	cases, to you know, the restrictive orders.
11	MR. GIORDANI: What's the judge's name?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I don't have it, off the top of my
13	head. It's he's an appointed guy. Like, he's not voted on, or anything
14	like that. There
15	MR. GIORDANI: I'm sorry.
16	THE COURT: Hearing master.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Is it Sullivan?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: No. I can get the info if you need
19	it.
20	MR. GIORDANI: No.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Not today, but
22	MR. GIORDANI: No, no, no. It's obviously not Judge Bluth.
23	And it doesn't you know, that is, kind of, separate.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Correct.
25	MR. GIORDANI: All right. So same same person. The

1	second time, that one it sounded like that one was reported?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
3	MR. GIORDANI: That made it to the criminal system as well,
4	right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: That one
6	MR. GIORDANI: Speak into the mike.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: That one did not go to the
8	MR. GIORDANI: Button on the very bottom. Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Check. Hold on one second.
10	That one did not make it to the criminal system, with the strangulation.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, it did not?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: It did not.
13	MR. GIORDANI: The justice, that you talked about earlier,
14	was the most recent?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: The most recent, the the sexual
16	assault?
17	MR. GIORDANI: That had nothing to do with
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: That was a different person.
19	MR. GIORDANI: I understand. It was somebody on our in
20	our neighborhood. I didn't know, at the time. My daughter, kind of, shut
21	down. It was multiple times.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: The DA's office did try to charge
24	him. And the judge seemed I wasn't allowed in the courtroom and
25	no one was. When there's a minor, apparently, with these type of things,

they try to keep the court as empty as possible. And from what the district attorney's office told me, is that the judge just wasn't getting the statute of what they were charging him with. And she had all questions, like she didn't even know the particular law.

MR. GIORDANI: What judge was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I don't know. I don't know. I can get to the information of my daughter's name. And it's very recent.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. The judge might want to inquire of that later.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I have zero problem with that.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. But all of this comes down to , kind of, some basic concepts, right? We don't want people that are -- you know, have gone through horrible things like this, and then that's going to carry over, and Mr. Lepolo's not going to get a fair trial. That's one of the basics. Do you think that that is a concern for you here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I think every situation is based on the evidence. And you -- you would hope that the justice system serves whoever's in the courtroom, because it can be any one of us, and we would want that, as well.

MR. GIORDANI: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: You know, is that the way life works? No, not all the time. But in my position, I'd like to think I'm a fair person, and a common-sense person, and I would want the same for me if I was sitting in either chair.

MR. GIORDANI: Either chair -- Mr. Lepolo's chair or our

1	chair, right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Correct.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anything about the way
4	well, I guess you just answered the question. The system failed you.
5	We, the prosecutor, judges, we're part of the system, right? Is there
6	anything about that that might bleed over here as to how it may affect
7	us or the court?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: No, I don't and I don't think the
9	prosecutors failed my daughter on the last episode. I thought the judge
10	failed my my daughter. And, you know, we can work on that at the
11	voting booth.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Absolutely.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: So, no, in that particular case.
14	No, I do not.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I might may come back to you
16	later
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Sure.
18	MR. GIORDANI: sir, okay? And, law enforcement, if you
19	could pass it down to two spots. Can I have your Badge Number, sir?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: 580, Martin.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Martin?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yes.
23	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Who do you know in law
24	enforcement, sir?
25	PROSPECTIVE ILIBOR 379: Well 1 Lused to be in the

1	military.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Oh.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Many, many moons ago.
4	MR. GIORDANI: yes, sir.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: And I spent my first 12 or 13
6	years was actually a security officer.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: I was in the military police.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: And even back in the early '80s, I
11	took a deal. Said, this is not for me.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, wow.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yeah. I said I said, do I really
14	want a little work after I retire at 39 or 40 years old. Do I really want to,
15	you know, I mean, stay in law enforcement?
16	MR. GIORDANI: Uh-huh.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: So I actually cross-trained with
18	the logistics.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: And then I finished my career.
21	And then I actually retired. It was in '96, from the military. And I had
22	every hunting rifle that you can own. I had the .44 magnums, I had the
23	.357 magnums, I had a .338, I had a .308 and .30-06. Over the years,
24	since then, I have got rid of all of them. Now, I I'm a big guy. I am not
25	afraid of guns. It's just, I personally think there's too many guns on the

1	street. As same way that I said earlier.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: You know, until our country does
4	something, you know, I mean, about it, you know, they will pass a bill to
5	make somebody happy somewhere.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: But, I mean I mean, I hate to
8	say it, but the cops, there is no way I would be a copy in any city today,
9	small or large. Because I'll be honest, the cops don't get a fair shake. I
10	mean, granted, they are they're not all good. And there's bad and
11	good, the same way the gentleman said. But, man, it is tough out there
12	to stay in law enforcement.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. So let me back up a moment.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Okay.
15	MR. GIORDANI: You served in in the military. You were a
16	military police officer?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yes.
18	MR. GIORDANI: You decided, way back then, I don't want
19	any part of this law enforcement thing?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Absolutely.
21	MR. GIORDANI: And is that was the reasoning behind that
22	the same as what you're talking about now, or is it
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Some, yes, and some, no. You
24	know, I had friends and he was in the military. They didn't always do the
25	right thing.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: And I knew that, you know?
3	They would sometimes go out and pull you know, "Well, the guy's
4	weaving a little bit." Everybody weaves going down the street. I mean,
5	face it. You know what I mean? What you see and I see, A, is two
6	different things, you know? I understand that they take advantage
7	sometimes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: And there's good with bad. And
10	that gives the good cops a bad name.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Right. You heard the the questioning. I
12	mean, you understand. I'm asking these questions because I need to
13	know
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yes.
15	MR. GIORDANI: if you can be fair. Assume, for the sake of
16	argument, you're going to see a few law enforcement officers testify.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yes.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Can you judge them based upon what they
19	have to say?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yes.
21	MR. GIORDANI: And, I guess, the nature of their testimony
22	and whether it makes sense to you, as an individual?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yes.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Getting away from law enforcement
25	and focusing a little more specifically on guns

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Okay.
2	MR. GIORDANI: I agree, entirely. There's way to many guns
3	on the street.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: There's 318 million, is the last
5	count. It is what I heard the other night. I don't know. It's what the
6	news said.
7	MR. GIORDANI: And the population of the United States is
8	somewhere close to that, right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Three thirty, 340.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Right. So at least a gun for
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yeah.
12	MR. GIORDANI: every person in the U.S., right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yeah.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Problematic, I would guess?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yeah.
16	MR. GIORDANI: What we're asking you to do here, is judge
17	the crimes that we have charged Mr. Lepolo with, not based upon the
18	evidence, not on whether there the gun should've been there in the
19	first place; assuming there was a gun there, right?
20	You seem very passionate about this. Are you going to be
21	able to listen to the evidence and judge the evidence based upon, I
22	guess, common sense and your own personal beliefs? Or is your
23	personal passion about this issue going to overpower logic and reason?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: No, I don't I think I can make a

rational decision.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. That was a weirdly worded question.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yeah.
3	MR. GIORDANI: I should've said, can you make a rational
4	decision?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yeah.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Yeah.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. We you know, we could
9	have a gun debate all day.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: Oh, I know.
11	MR. GIORDANI: That's not what
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 379: It's wrong today, right tomorrow,
13	and then it's wrong again the day after tomorrow.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Yep. Yeah. All right. Thank you, sir. You
15	can pass the microphone down. There's somebody up front here who
16	raised their hand about law enforcement. I want to reach them here.
17	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here you go.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. Ma'am. Ma'am, tell me your
19	Badge Number?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: 380.
21	MR. GIORDANI: 380. Who do you know in law enforcement?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: So I did an internship with CSI,
23	when I was getting my second bachelor's.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: I know Assistant Sheriff Andy

1	Walsh.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: And then I also worked at Metro
4	for three years, in the Fusion Center.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Got you. And it's Ms. Jackson-Hale, for the
6	record?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: And you also worked at Boyd's School of
9	Law?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Correct.
11	MR. GIORDANI: For
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: About seven years.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And you were well, let's go back to
14	law enforcement. You obviously know what I'm talking about now, or
15	you get the line of questioning. Is there anything about your personal
16	relationships and your personal background in law enforcement that
17	might cause you to be unfair here?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: No, not at all.
19	MR. GIORDANI: You can judge police officers like you would
20	anybody else?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Yes.
22	MR. GIORDANI: If they take the witness stand?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Yes. Absolutely.
24	MR. GIORDANI: And so you worked with CSI? Like
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: I did an

1	MR. GIORDANI: did you go out
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: internship with CSI.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Went out in the field?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Uh-huh.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Processed crime scenes?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Correct.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Did you see any murders or dead bodies?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: No.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you went out on what type of
10	calls?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Mostly, like, domestic violence.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Burglary, robbery.
14	MR. GIORDANI: And you you sit through there's the
15	briefing and then the overall photography. And you sat through that
16	whole process and and worked alongside them?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: So, not necessarily the briefing.
18	We would sit over
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: at the office on Badura, and
21	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: then they would rotate through
23	the calls, and then we would get called out. So I think there was one
24	homicide, but they didn't take me out for that one
25	MR. GIORDANI: Oh.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: because it was really
2	extensive.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Sure. Okay. And I imagine you interacted
4	with several people on that witness list?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: I have not. I don't recognize
6	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, you don't?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: any of the names.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: No.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You might as they come in and
11	testify, you might recognize faces and not necessarily know their names?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: I don't think that I've worked with
13	anyone in homicide.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I'm sorry, I'm referring specifically to
15	all the crime scene analysts I read off.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Oh, okay.
17	MR. GIORDANI: They work all types of crimes.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Uh-huh.
19	MR. GIORDANI: This one happens to be a homicide, but
20	there may have been you know, there may be faces that you recognize,
21	where you might not know the crime scene analyst's name. Is that going
22	to be, in any way, problematic for you?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: No, not at all.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Thank you. Anyone else in
25	the front row know law enforcement? And in the back row there.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: My Badge Number is 597. I have
2	an uncle that's a police officer, in California. And I know we I'm family
3	friends with a police officer here. His last name is Scott, but I know him
4	as AJ. I don't know if that's actually his first name, but that's who
5	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: I know in law enforcement.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is he there's a there's an Officer
8	Scott that might testify in this case.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Uh-huh. I heard the name Scott,
10	but I wasn't sure if, like, AJ was literally his first name or not, or that's
11	just his nickname. I know of him as AJ. I barely talk with him or
12	communicate with him. But it's I you asked the question, do I know
13	anybody here in law enforcement? Technically, yes, I do.
14	MR. GIORDANI: What is just very general description;
15	what does he look like, your friend AJ?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: He's a taller guy. He's not, like,
17	big-big, but I want tolike, husky, I guess you could say.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. That's not this officer.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Okay.
20	MR. GIORDANI: What
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: I just wanted to be sure.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Because I heard "Scott." I was,
24	like, is that the Scott I know? But
25	MR. GIORDANI: It sounds like it's not. So other than that,

1	now that you know it's not him, do you have any concerns about being
2	fair and impartial?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: No, none at all.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you very much. Mr. Monarrez,
5	right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Yes.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Anyone else in this row? Can you pass the
8	microphone down to the gentleman in the suit, next to you? Your Badge
9	Number, sir?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: 607.
11	MR. GIORDANI: It's a bit related to that question and kind of
12	unrelated in a way. I remember you speaking quite a bit about armed
13	security?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Yes.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Your kid or, actually, two of your kids are
16	in security?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: One of my sons is a bodyguard,
18	and the other one, a security officer.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And, you know, we I focused
20	mostly on police, but I would consider that law enforcement in a way.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Oh, okay.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Sometimes security gets involved and they
23	have to break up fights.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Correct.
25	MR GIORDANI: And then do nolice reports and he witnesses

1	in cases. Do you have a feeling, one way or another, about law
2	enforcement as a whole?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: No. Anything about your
4	relationship, obviously with your your children and their employment,
5	that might cause you to be unfair?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: No, I just tell them to the right
7	thing and follow procedures.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Perfect. Okay. And did you say you've got
9	a background in
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Yes, I do security also. I have a
11	full-time job as a teacher and security.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, wow. Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Yes.
14	MR. GIORDANI: That's right. So Clark County School
15	District, and
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Also with Allied Universal.
17	MR. GIORDANI: That's right. Okay. Have you ever had to be
18	a a witness in a criminal case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: No.
20	MR. GIODANI: or make contact with with Metro or any
21	other law enforcement agency?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: I have made contact on calls, but
23	never a witness.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Likewise, I mean, considering your
25	background, do you think you can be fair and impartial?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Of course.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, sir. All right. Is there
3	anyone in the first 32 here that I've spoken to not ignoring you, just not
4	to you yet that has any background in criminal justice or crime-related
5	studies, other than what I've already talked to a couple of these folks on?
6	Nobody else? Can I have your Badge Number, sir?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: It's 455.
8	MR. GIORDANI: And what's your background in crime or
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: Well, my my I I did my first
10	year of law school way back when.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, yeah. And you decided against it?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: It wasn't my cup of tea, but I did
13	like the contracts I've used which I use in business.
14	MR. GIORDANI: All right. You didn't have any particular
15	focus on crime or criminal justice, it was just
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: No, we did torts, criminal law,
17	Constitution, and real estate.
18	MR. GIORDANI: All right. And anything about that, that
19	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: No, sir.
21	MR. GIORDANI: The judge will tell you what the law is, at the
22	end of this case. She's going to give you a packet on the law. That has
23	to be the law that you follow. You can't bring in your own, you know,
24	education or knowledge about the law. Is that okay by you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: Understood.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, sir. Anyone else? Does
2	anyone else in this group of 32, listen to crime podcasts or watch crime
3	shows on a regular basis? Let's put it that way. Okay. Pass that
4	microphone up to the first person. All right.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Hello.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Your Badge Number, ma'am?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Oh. Oh, I'm so sorry. I believe
8	it's 608. I think I put it away. Hold on.
9	MR. GIORDANI: 608?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: is that oh, hold on. No. Yes,
11	608. Sorry.
12	MR. GIORDANI: No, you're right. Ms. Romero?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Uh-huh.
14	MR. GIORDANI: What can you give me an example of
15	what you watch or listen to?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Like, Dateline, Buried in the
17	Backyard, you know? I'm a big ID fan.
18	MR. GIORDANI: 2020?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Yeah, all that.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Yep.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Some of that is editorialized; would you
23	agree there?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Yes.
25	MR. GIORDANI: And some of it may be fact based, but

1	they're trying to sell ads, some TV time, right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Yes.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you believe that well, do you
4	think that you can set aside whatever interests you have with those
5	crime-related shows, and just, you know, pay attention to the evidence
6	as it comes out here in the courtroom?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: I would I would like to think I
8	could, yes.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You're hesitant?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: I mean, I've never been in this
11	whole environment, so I have I really don't know. This is the first time
12	for me.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Never been in a courtroom. I
15	just yeah.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Fair enough. Fair enough. Some of these
17	questions are poorly worded, so I apologize. Let me just ask you very
18	simply.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Okay.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Is there anything about your personal
21	interest in crime that might cause you, for whatever reason, to be unfair
22	to either Mr. Lepolo or his lawyer, or us, the State?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: No, I don't think so.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And do you listen to any crime
25	podcasts?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: I do not.
2	MR. GIORDANI: All right. You can pass the microphone
3	down. Ma'am, your Badge Number, please?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: 510.
5	MR. GIORDANI: What shows or podcasts do you listen to or
6	watch?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Just television shows and shows
8	like The First 48.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: And then
11	MR. GIORDANI: The First 48 is one of the few that's actually
12	reality basically, reality television, right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Uh-huh.
14	MR. GIORDANI: And they follow it's always homicides, I
15	believe.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Uh-huh.
17	MR. GIORDANI: And then they follow the detectives as they,
18	I guess, work the case for the first 48 hours; is that correct?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Yes. Yes.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Do you would you agree with me that not
21	all homicides are solved in the first 48 hours?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Correct. Yes.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Actually, probably a good chunk aren't,
24	right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Correct. Yeah.
1	

1	MR. GIORDANI: When you if you end up on this jury,
2	you're going to have to sit and listen to evidence as it plays out over,
3	you know, four or five days or so?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Uh-huh.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think that you can do that, wait in
6	forming your opinion until all the evidence is before you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Absolutely. Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: And anything about your interest in True
9	Crime, that
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: None at all.
11	MR. GIORDANI: would cause you concern?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: No.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Thank you, ma'am. You
14	can pass that microphone down. I believe you raised your hand, sir?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: Yes, sir.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Badge Number?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: 269.
18	MR. GIORDANI: What do you watch or listen to, sir?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: I mostly listen to True Crime
20	podcasts. But that covers more like serial killers and cults kind of thing.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: I watch True Crime TV, if it's on,
23	but I don't go out of my way to watch it.
24	MR. GIORDANI: All right. True Crime, meaning fact-based
25	stuff?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Both podcasts and the shows you watch are
3	all fact based?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: Yes.
5	MR. GIORDANI: And are they typically at least the
6	podcasts, are they typically about closed prior cases, or are they ongoing
7	cases?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: Closed prior cases.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Got you. Okay. Anything about that, that
10	might cause you to be
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: Not at all, no.
12	MR. GIORDANI: not a good fit for this jury?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: No.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, sir. Pass that down. Mr.
15	Auten, sir?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: 260. Yeah. Nothing that would
17	affect as compared to our prior discussion, nothing in this scenario.
18	But I do I mean, I watch a fair number of documentaries or limited
19	series. I finished one yesterday, for example. But
20	MR. GIORDANI: What did you finish yesterday?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I the Hillside Strangler.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, yes.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I watch a limited series on
24	that's the two people who were ultimately accused and found guilty.
25	MR. GIORDANI: And that was on Netflix? Or something

1	else?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I think it was on Peacock.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Okay. And it sounds like there's no
4	issues there?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Not in this regard, no.
6	MR. GIORDANI: In this regard?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Yeah.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Thank you. And I'm going
9	to instead of going to each one of you about this, is there anyone that
10	watches these shows or podcasts and thinks real strong strongly about
11	the criminal justice system as a result? In other words, I'm thinking of
12	one. I can't think of the name of the podcast, but there's this murder that
13	happened. A young girl was was killed. This individual was convicted
14	after a trial and then his appellate lawyers did some digging. And now
15	there's a podcast about all his appeals and how he's trying to get out
16	from under it. Does anyone know what I'm talking about? Please help
17	me.
18	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Serial.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Serial. Yes. Okay. Does anyone or has
20	anyone watched Serial? Ma'am?
21	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just someone was
22	recommended it to me. I haven't started it yet, but
23	MR. GIORDANI: Oh.
24	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: but they gave me
25	the background on it.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And that sounded kind of like
2	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
3	MR. GIORDANI: what I was
4	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
5	MR. GIORDANI: butchering there?
6	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Has anyone else watched Serial?
8	Okay. And I'm just going to get to the point here. What you see on TV,
9	what you hear on podcasts, that can't have any bearing on this reality.
10	This is a murder case. A real human being is dead. A real
11	human being is sitting there on trial. Heavy, heavy stakes. And we can't
12	have people, you know, who aren't realistic about this, or who who
13	may have unrealistic expectations.
14	Is there anyone that has concern, based upon what I just
15	said, in sitting on this jury? It looks like everyone understands it and
16	there's no issues and no hands. Thank you. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: All right. So it generally takes us about two
18	days to pick a jury. I anticipate us having the jury tomorrow. I have a
19	criminal calendar tomorrow that starts at 9:30. It'll probably go about
20	two hours. So I'll have you guys back here tomorrow at 11:30. Just pay
21	attention to where you're sitting, because those are the seats that you
22	will return to, except my guys over here. When you get here, Officer
23	Kennis will line you up and then you'll just go in with the other jurors.
24	So I feel like there's oh, yeah. There was one other thing
25	that I wanted to say. So tomorrow, since we're coming in the afternoon,

we won't be taking a lunch break. So come fed, or bring snacks or something. Of course I'll give you breaks throughout the day, but we won't be taking, like, an hour lunch break, just because I want to try and get the jury. So the rest of you who aren't selected can go on your way.

So 11 30. Please, during this recess, remember not to discuss or communicate with anyone, including any fellow jurors, in regards to any of the facts of the case. Please do not -- in any way, regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, email, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media.

Please do not read, watch, or listen to any news media accounts or commentary about the case, do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using any other reference materials. Please not make any investigation, test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way attempt to learn or investigate the case on your own.

And please do not form or express any opinion, regarding the matter, until it's formally submitted to you. We'll see you back here tomorrow morning at 11:30. Eleven three zero. Have a nice night. Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Jury out at 4:56 p.m.]

[Proceedings adjourned at 4:57 p.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

John Buckley, CET-623

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Date: February 3, 2023

Electronically Filed 2/8/2023 10:08 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RTRAN		Comme !!
2			
3			
4			
5	DISTR	CT COURT	
6	CLARK CO	JNTY, NEVADA)	4
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)) CASE	#: C-20-345911-1
8	Plaintiff,)) DEPT	. VI
9	vs.)	
10	TULY LEPOLO, #8471381,)	
11	Defendant.)	
12)	INC DILITII
13 14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE BLUTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE THURSDAY, AUGUST 18, 2022		
15	RECORDER'S TRANSC		
16	RECORDER 3 TRANSCI	IF I OF JOH I	IRIAL - DAT Z
17	APPEARANCES:		
18	For the Plaintiff:	JOHN GIORDA	ANI, ESQ.
19		ELISA CONLIN	
20	For the Defendant:	JASON MARG	OLIS, ESQ.
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKA	S, COURT REC	CORDER

- 1 -

1	<u>INDEX</u>
2	
3	Jury Voir Dire3
4	State's Opening Statement
5	Defendant's Opening Statement
6	
7	
8	WITNESSES FOR THE PLAINTIFF
9	None
10	
11	WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENDANT
12	None
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Thursday, August 18, 2022
2	
3	[Case called at 11:44 a.m.]
4	THE COURT: All right. We are on the record in State of
5	Nevada v. Tuly Lepolo, C-345911-1. Mr. Lepolo is present in custody,
6	Mr. Margolis present on his behalf, with paralegal, Mr. Mendoza. Both
7	Deputy District Attorneys, Mr. Giordani, as well as Ms. Conlin are presen
8	behalf of the State outside; we're outside the presence of the jury.
9	The State has filed an amended information this morning
10	changing the department number, as well as an, aka, or alias number.
11	Have had an opportunity to review that, Mr. Margolis?
12	MR. MARGOLIS: I did, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And it's my understanding tha
14	we need to qualify this jury for both phases; is that correct?
15	MR. MARGOLIS: [No verbal response].
16	THE COURT: Okay. Sounds good. All right. We can bring
17	them in now. Thank you.
18	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
19	[Prospective Jurors in at 11:44 a.m.]
20	THE COURT: All right. Welcome, everybody. Thank you.
21	Please be seated.
22	We are on the record in State of Nevada v. Tuly Lepolo,
23	C-345911. Mr. Lepolo is present with counsel, Mr. Margolis, as well as
24	present Mr. Mendoza, both Deputy District Attorneys, Mr. Giordani, as
25	well as Ms. Conlin are present on behalf of the State.

Do the parties, stipulate to the presence of the jury.

2

MR. GIORDANI: We do, Your Honor.

3

MR. MARGOLIS: Yes, Your Honor.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: All right. So those of you that are joining us this morning we have already been here for a day selecting a jury. There may be a point in time where I have to get you guys all caught up to where we are right now, but as of right now, I don't need to do that yet. So there are 1, 2, 3, 4 -- there are six individuals left over there. If we get through them, that means we move into your group.

So I will get you guys caught up if that occurs. Like I stated, what you just need to know as of right now is this is a trial that should last about a week, a week and a half. It is one charge of murder with use of a deadly weapon and one charge of assault with use of a deadly weapon, okay.

For those of us that have been here since yesterday, I'm going to turn it over to the State in a moment, but one thing that I do need to -- we need to talk about a little bit today, and I'd like to discuss with you, is that in cases that involve murder, if and only if the jury finds the Defendant guilty of first degree murder, you move into what's referred to as a penalty phase.

So in murder cases, there are two phases. There's what's referred to as the "trial phase" where the jury decides guilty, not guilty, and then only if you find the Defendant guilty of first degree murder, do you move into what's referred to as the "penalty phase." In the penalty phase you have three options, the options are as follows: You can

sentence the Defendant to a minimum of 20 years, a maximum of 50 years in prison; life, in prison with the possibility of parole after 20 years has been served; and option three is life without the possibility of parole.

So again, three terms, three options. There are four options under the statute, the fourth option is the death penalty, but this is not a death penalty case. So for those of you who are wondering, I want to make clear on that, this case does not involve the death penalty. So three options, 20 to 50 years, 20 to life, life without. Okay, those are the three options. Does anybody know, or does anybody have any questions about the two phases?

So first phase you're determining guilt or innocence, whether or not the State has proved to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant committed the crime. If you find the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt for first degree murder, then you move into what's referred to as the penalty phase, hear evidence, just like as in the -- just like is in the trial phase, and that those are your three options.

Okay. Any questions I can answer about any of that before I turn it back over to Mr. Giordani. Yes. If we could go to the microphone in the back, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 698: I was just curious if the timing for the trial included that phase as well. Is it all meant to be done in about a week or a week and a half, or --

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 698: Okay.

THE COURT: That was included. May I have your name and

badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 698: Oh, sorry, Ferreri. Badge number -- sorry, what did I do with it.

[Court and Clerk confer]

THE COURT: 698, that's okay, I got you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 698: Badge number 0698.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

So, Mr. Giordani with that -- Mr. Giordani, with that, I will turn it over to you.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.

Welcome back, and thank you for showing up everyone, we do appreciate it. I want to start today by asking you the first 32, that I spoke with yesterday. If anyone went home last night and put a little more thought into questions that you heard, and the discussions that you heard, and think after good night's sleep that we, the parties need to know something?

In other words, sometimes we'll have jurors go back and they'll think about the case and what they, you know, I guess thought about for the first time overnight, meaning -- yes ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: I --

MR. GIORDANI: No, no. Let me get you the microphone.

And let me just briefly finish what I said. Sometimes people go home and they think about something that they've never thought about before, right? Like, you're not thinking about murder on a daily basis; maybe we are, but you're not. Is there anything like that you want to bring up?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: No. It was just more, and I didn't
2	know if this applied when you said if we knew law enforcement, and my
3	sister did date a cop for five years. So, I mean, he's not in the picture
4	anymore, but it is. And then my husband has a lot of acquaintances with
5	law enforcement, but not me personally. I mean, I know of them, but I
6	don't have a relationship with them. And then we do have a family
7	friend, distant, that is retired, but is a family friend.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Your badge number?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: 581.
10	MR. GIORDANI: 581. Your last name?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Ali.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Is there you heard the discussions,
13	yesterday.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Uh-huh.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Is there anything about those relationships
16	that might cause you to be unfair to Mr. Lepolo or to us, the State of
17	Nevada?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: I don't believe so, no.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Now you understand there might be law
20	enforcement officers who testify in this case and you're tasked as a juror
21	with looking at them neutrally
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Right.
23	MR. GIORDANI: like you would any other person off the
24	street?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Correct.

1	MR. GIORDANI: And you think you can do that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you for letting me know.
4	Anyone else, we talked yesterday? Okay. Thank you.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Hi. So, yeah. I'm one of those
6	that went home and I suffer from severe anxiety. I'm seeing a
7	psychiatrist for it and they're willing to submit a letter to excuse me,
8	because I just don't think I'll be able to pursue with this kind of a trial and
9	being able to see evidence and yeah.
10	MR. GIORDANI: And it's Ms. Hamlet
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Uh-huh.
12	MR. GIORDANI: 564 for the record.
13	So you have concerns about seeing the photographs, or the
14	heavy nature of the charges?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Yeah.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Thanks.
18	MR. GIORDANI: I'll leave it at that. Can you pass the
19	microphone down there, just to the front row? You, sir? Did you raise
20	your hand?
21	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I was scratching
22	my head.
23	MR. GIORDANI: So we talked a little bit yesterday about the
24	presumption of innocence. It's a basic tenant of our society. If you're
25	accused of a crime, I'm accused of a crime, Mr. Lepolo is accused,

anybody in the United States is accused, you're presumed innocent until we, the State, do our job and prove the case against you. After sleeping on it does anyone have an issue with that basic tenant of criminal justice in America? Seeing no hands.

We also talked about the burden of proof being on us, the State. The Judge mentioned Mr. Margolis, he can sit there like a potted plant, not do a darn thing, and you would still have to hold us, the State, to our burden, even if you think, hey, he should have asked this question. Well, he doesn't have to. It's our job to do the proving; is everyone okay with it that? And seeing a bunch of nods and no negative responses.

We also talked about witnesses and people coming in off the street, and law enforcement witnesses, and I want to take that a step further. Can someone, anyone, maybe someone I haven't talked to yet raise their hand and tell me where do you think -- what types of neighborhoods do you think the majority of crimes are committed? Anybody, please, so I don't have to pick somebody, anybody?

Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Magatelli, 414.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: I think crimes are committed and stigmatized -- neighborhoods where stigmatized people live.

MR. GIORDANI: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: I think that humans are born inherently good, and that, you know, due to stigmatization, you know, just a lifetime of experiences can lead to people doing bad things like

1	crimes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Did you ever study criminal justice?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: I went to school, so yeah, it was
4	a course that I took. But
5	MR. GIORDANI: Basics?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Yeah.
7	MR. GIORDANI: In high school or college?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: College.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you make a very good point. And
10	then a lot of studies obviously show that crime is focused in lower
11	socioeconomic areas, right? And with that, and it comes to my point,
12	sometimes in those types of neighborhoods people in those
13	neighborhoods don't have the best relationships with police officers,
14	okay. Would you agree with me that sometimes crimes can go
15	unreported?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Yeah, most definitely.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Sure. Sometimes people may not want to
18	cooperate with law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Correct.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Even if they're witness or a victim or, I
21	mean, sometimes people just don't want to cooperate?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Yeah. I also think that there are
23	some times that cases go unreported because people believe it's easier
24	to not deal with the police.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Yea.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: I also believe that there's a certain level of unconscious bias that people innately have.

MR. GIORDANI: What do you mean by that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Unconscious bias, meaning people grow up and experience biases from family, friends just experiences in general, and that through that sometimes you can, you know, make actions that are not good like crime.

MR. GIORDANI: Sure. Do you, you individually, ma'am, do you think that you could look at a witness from a different neighborhood than you maybe and judge them based upon the content of their testimony rather than, you know, where they're from, or what they may look like or when they reported the crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Yeah. Definitely I think -- you know, I grew up very privileged. I was able to go to college. I do think I could be unbiased and kind of put myself you know, mentally, in that person's shoes. But at the same time I want to look at it from the police's view as well, because they also have their own unconscious bias and views of how things happened. So it's really going to be based on evidence.

MR. GIORDANI: That's what it should be based on.

Okay. And this is for kind of the group now. Is there anyone that disagrees with this statement? Everyone in America, everyone I guess across the world, is entitled to equal and exact justice. Anyone have a problem with that idea or that sentiment? So if we have witnesses who don't look like you, aren't from the same neighborhood,

don't talk like you are you all going to be able to judge them based upon what they have to say and not necessarily how they look or how they're dressed or how they speak? Is there anyone that can't do that, is a better question? Seeing no hands across the board. And thank you for volunteering, I appreciate that.

The Judge just talked about penalty for the first time. I want to ask a couple questions about that. So it's a really weird conversation that we have when it comes to murder cases because they're unique. In every other type of case, literally every type other type of criminal case, the judge just does the sentencing after the jury does their thing. Either it's guilty or not guilty. If it's guilty then it goes to a judge for sentencing.

In the sole instance, murder with use of a deadly weapon, first degree, the jury does the sentencing, and it's really -- it's a weird conversation because we are asking you all to look into the future and tell us what you're going to think a week from now. And I understand it's difficult, but I still have to ask the questions because now's the only chance I'm going to have, and Mr. Margolis is going to have to speak to you directly.

So there are those three penalties, 20 to 50 years, life with the possibility of parole after 20 years, life without the possibility of parole. Those are the three options, that's all that's in play if he is convicted, Mr. Lepolo is convicted of first degree murder. Is there anyone on this first 32 that thinks they just couldn't do that, couldn't sentence a person to those particular three sentences?

All right. Okay. A couple hands going up there, Chris, thank

I	you. Wr. Auten, number 260?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: 260. Yeah, I don't really have
3	anything to add beyond what I said yesterday, but I do think that's I
4	didn't know, coming into the courtroom today, whether this was a capital
5	punishment case and obviously the restrictions prevent me from figuring
6	that out, but that was a curiosity, but not withstanding that it doesn't rise
7	to that level, I still think it's an issue. Yeah.
8	MR. GIORDANI: And that's based upon your statements
9	about being uncomfortable sitting in judgment?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Yes.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Don't try to hand off the microphone, I'm
12	not done yet, sorry. It's okay if it makes you uncomfortable. I think it's
13	natural and I think it would be a little weird if you weren't a little
14	uncomfortable sending someone to prison for 20 to 50 years, right? I
15	mean, it's not an easy thing to do, but that's what the law calls for, if we
16	get to a penalty phase, would you be able to follow the law and you'll be
17	required to pick between those three?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Yes, I can follow the law.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. There was a couple other hands
20	there. Who else had their hand up?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531:
22	Omar Lopez, badge 531.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. Mr. Lopez, how do you feel
24	about the sentencing portion of this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: I'm just not comfortable it

1	being it falling on to me for having that decision made for him
2	MR. GIORDANI: Uh-huh.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: I'm just not comfortable with it.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And you understand it wouldn't just
5	fall on you, it would be up to 12 of you to come to a consensus.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Correct. But just my part, I'm
7	just not comfortable with it.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Not comfortable with the amount of time,
9	or
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Just, yes. The time and just
11	being here and judging him I guess for it.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And again, we're asking you to look
13	into the future, right? You don't know anything about the facts of this
14	case, you don't know anything about any of the people involved in this
15	case.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Correct.
17	MR. GIORDANI: You're just saying, I guess, based upon the
18	numbers, the amount of time, you're not comfortable with it?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Correct, yeah.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Anyone else raise their
21	hand to that question? Anyone else back here? Thank you.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Matsubara, badge number 587.
23	feel like I'm also not comfortable making decisions. Like, I know I have
24	to follow the law when it comes to it, but if I don't have to I really don't
25	want to make a decision for even though they might deserve it, I just

1	don't feel like I could possibly make a decision myself, even though
2	there's other people. But I would feel something about the person, even
3	though if it wasn't even though there's 12 people, 12 other people
4	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: to make a decision, but I would
6	still feel like I would feel something for the person
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: that make the decision, or
9	MR. GIORDANI: That's, that's okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
11	MR. GIORDANI: We're not asking you to be robots.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
13	MR. GIORDANI: We want you to make rational, calculated
14	decisions and not be inflamed by your feelings.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Right. But feeling something when you're
17	sending someone to prison potentially for a very long time is natural.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
19	MR. GIORDANI: You said about halfway through, that I could
20	still follow the law, but I don't really want to be in that position.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Yes.
22	MR. GIORDANI: If we choose you know, if the parties
23	choose you as a juror and the Judge chooses you as a juror, could you
24	do it? Or would you just sit back there and cross your arms and say, I've

done enough, I don't want to be want to be involved in sending someone

1	to prison.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: It's a hard question.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: If they if they choose me, I
5	would, but that would be the last thing I would want to do. If I don't
6	have to, then I really don't want to. Like, I feel like I really don't I really
7	don't stand for myself when it comes to, like, I tend to like follow the
8	crowd when it when there's a lot of people.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: And if there's like other people,
11	if it if it's like a five to five decision, and I'm the last one to choose, it
12	would be like a really hard it would really be hard for me to choose.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: If it's like a seven to three, then I
15	might just choose the seven, because I don't know, I mean, that's just
16	me.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Just go with the majority kind of decision?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Yes. So that wouldn't be like fair
19	to for the person.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. No, I agree, I appreciate your
21	honesty. Thank you.
22	Anyone else who is uncomfortable with the three potential
23	punishments? And again, that's only if we get to penalty phase. All
24	right, seeing no hands.
25	Can, or did anyone hear the date of the crime when the clerk

1	read read the information yesterday? Does anyone remember it, 2106?
2	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I remember April.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Pass it down to this gentleman here. I
4	haven't spoken to you yet, have I?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: No oh, right there.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you ladies.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: I believe you said 2016.
8	MR. GIORDANI: You're right, yeah.
9	THE COURT: Your name and badge number?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: Allison Daniel, 359.
11	THE COURT: Thank you , sir.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: Thank you, sir.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. 2016. There the question I have for
14	you is, do you have an issue with the time lapse; and it's been almost
15	it's been six years.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: I on the way home last night, I
17	thought, wow, six six years, why why so long?
18	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: But I don't know any of the facts,
20	though.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Absolutely, right. And we can't give you the
22	facts, the facts come from here, right? The facts don't come from the
23	lawyers talking, the facts come from the witness stand. So we can't get
24	into that stuff right now. But what my question for you is, is does the
25	age of the case, I mean, you know, the fact that it occurred six years ago

1	in and of itself, does that cause you so much concern that you just can't
2	look at the evidence fairly.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: Not at all.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. There's like hundreds of reasons why
5	it may take six years for a murder to go to trial, right; would you agree
6	with there?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Probably lots of reasons. And what we can't
9	have is people who are like, okay, the justice system sucks, it doesn't
10	move fast enough, and I'm going to prejudge or treat one side unfairly.
11	Do you get what I'm saying there, sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: And you don't think that'll be an issue?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: No.
15	MR. GIORDANI: No. You can't let the fact that the case is all,
16	you know, benefit or hurt Mr. Lepolo, and you can't let it benefit or hurt
17	the State, you just have to listen to the evidence and make a choice
18	based upon that. You're okay with that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 359: Yes.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Anyone else have a problem with the
21	age of the case, or the date of the offense being 2016? All right, I'm
22	seeing no hands. Thank you, sir.
23	Can we please pass the microphone to badge number 314,
24	Mr. Overmyer. How are you sir?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: I'm good, how are you?

1	MR. GIORDANI: Good, thank you.
2	I want to ask you a little bit about your wife's employment.
3	Obviously she's a federal public defender, and she does appeals?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: That's correct.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Do you personally agree, or have the same
6	beliefs when it comes to the criminal justice system?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: As she does? No, I think those
8	are those are separate.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. I certainly, you know, understand
10	that. I just want to get a feel for you as a person, because I assume that
11	she comes home and talks about what she does for work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Occasionally. She tries not to do
13	that, but
14	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Yeah. And she does only criminal
15	appeals, right?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Yes.
17	MR. GIORDANI: And at the federal level you're talking about
18	probably people that are doing real serious crimes and then real serious
19	time.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Correct.
21	MR. GIORDANI: At that level.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Uh-huh.
23	MR. GIORDANI: So the reason I'm asking these questions is
24	you know, I my wife and I agree on some things, we disagree on other
25	things, and I want to get a feel for you, because I have an idea probably

1	notwithstanding your relationship, that you can apply the law as it's
2	given to you by this Judge, and then if we get to a second phase, and
3	only if, sentence the Defendant to one of those three possible motions?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Yes. I would not have an issue
5	with that.
6	MR. GIORDANI: And do you think it would at all be an issue,
7	that day you return your verdict, say it is guilty, you have to go home at
8	night, obviously and see your wife
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Sure, yeah.
10	MR. GIORDANI: do you think that there would be any issue
11	there or any pressure from that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: I don't, no.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Uh-huh.
15	MR. GIORDANI: By a show of hands can everyone, I have
16	not spoken to individually raise their hands, briefly. All right. Can you
17	pass the microphone over to the right?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Hi.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Badge number please?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Badge 523, Grigorov.
21	MR. GIORDANI: 523?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yes.
23	MR. GIORDANI: That's right, Mr. Grigorov, correct?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yes.
25	MR. GIORDANI: You indicated that you're the victim of a

1	residential burglary.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.
3	MR. GIORDANI: And then got caught. It seemed to me like it
4	wasn't too big a deal in your life?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: They didn't they only stole my
6	PlayStation and my games, so it wasn't like the end of the world, but
7	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: you know, it's not it was still
9	like not good
10	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, it's a bummer.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.
12	MR. GIORDANI: But, you know, the only reason we ask these
13	questions is, is there's somebody who's so inflamed with this system or
14	with the way police handled their own case, they can't
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: No, I mean, I get it. It's hard to
16	like solve burglary stuff, and
17	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.
19	MR. GIORDANI: So no one ever or did police actually
20	come out?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah. They did come out, yeah.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Take a witness statement, probably.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah. Yeah, that's but they
24	only came once and that was pretty much it.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. But do you think you can still be fair

1	in this case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: I think I can be fair, yeah.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Do you have any issue with the three
4	possible penalties we discussed?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: I've been thinking about it and
6	yeah, kind of like what the other people were saying, like, I do think it
7	would be hard for me to send someone to prison for like a really long
8	time.
9	MR. GIORDANI: It should be hard. I hope It's hard.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: I mean, yeah, hard, but yeah,
11	I'm just not like like I have trouble like deciding like what I want for
12	breakfast. Like, I just like kind of when there's big decisions I just kind o
13	freeze up, and I am kind of like a go with the wind person, like the other
14	people were saying. And, yeah, like I just I I'm just, I guess you don't
15	know until you're like in that position, so
16	MR. GIORDANI: Exactly.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.
18	MR. GIORDANI: And my follow up well, you would agree
19	that you don't know a thing about this case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yes. I don't know anything.
21	Yeah.
22	MR. GIORDANI: There were some allegations or some
23	accusations that we have made against Mr. Lepolo were read to you.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: But no evidence has been presented.

25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Right.
2	MR. GIORDANI: So can you understanding that you know
3	nothing right now
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Uh-huh.
5	MR. GIORDANI: about the case. Understanding that, can
6	you not envision any scenario where you could send someone to prison,
7	if we've proven that they killed someone?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Well, even if it's like the right
9	thing to do, like I feel like it would bother me. Like I might have regrets
10	or something.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.
13	MR. GIORDANI: So the big question is, could you do it, could
14	you follow the law? If you're picked, the Judge says, this is the law, you
15	have to follow it, could you follow it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: I mean, I want to say, yes, but I
17	I just don't know. Like, I just don't know. Yeah.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Yeah. I appreciate your honesty, and
19	I know it's weird asking you to think into the future, I guess; what are you
20	going to do a week from now? I get it.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.
22	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you. Can you pass the
23	microphone up and to your right there. How are you ma'am?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: I'm doing good. Bailey Acosta,
25	badge number 286.

1	MR. GIORDANI: 286.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Uh-huh.
3	MR. GIORDANI: How do you feel about the criminal justice
4	system, Ms. Acosta?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Honestly, I don't know anything
6	about the criminal
7	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: justice. So this is like my first
9	time doing something like this.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. you heard some of the basic
11	principles presumed innocent
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: State has the burden of proof beyond a
14	reasonable doubt.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Uh-huh.
16	MR. GIORDANI: You're okay with all of that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah. And in a way, yeah.
18	MR. GIORDANI: In a way?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Well, I still don't understand
20	some of the parts, that's why.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Sure. And the Judge will instruct
23	you at the end of this before you go back to deliberate on what the law
24	is.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I just we have to make sure now

1	that you're a good fit for a criminal case
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah.
3	MR. GIORDANI: and specifically a first degree murder, a
4	potential first degree murder conviction.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Uh-huh.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So do you understand this jury trial
7	process, we're going to narrow you all down to a group of 14 or 12?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Right.
11	MR. GIORDANI: And it's going to be you that makes the
12	decision on whether we proved our case or not?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah.
14	MR. GIORDANI: You're okay with that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: No.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Why?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Like the same thing, like every,
18	they all say about making decisions about the three penalties, so I
19	wouldn't know
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: how to make any decisions.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Hold on, let's not let's back up a second.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Uh-huh.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Setting the penalty stuff aside, you as a
25	jury, if you're on it, you and 11 other people are going to go back in

1	those rooms back there and have to make a group decision on whether
2	we met our burden of proof; can you do that part?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: No, I don't think so.
4	MR. GIORDANI: You don't think you could go back there and
5	talk
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: I mean, yeah, I can talk to guys.
7	don't know about that.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. What's your hesitance are you is it
9	hard for you to speak in a group?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah, sometimes.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you think if you disagreed with
12	someone back there that you could voice that opinion?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah, I mean, I could try to voice
14	up my opinions.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And then do you think you could
16	agree on a verdict if you believed we, the State, have met our burden of
17	proof?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah, I could try to agree.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And then if you get to a first degree
20	murder and only then you move on to a penalty phase, it might last a
21	day but you'll get you're going to have to then return to the back room
22	and make a decision on potential penalty if we get there; is that what you
23	have a problem with?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah. Yeah.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Can you tell me why?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: It's like it's it's kind of hard to
2	make a decision on this of this situation. I don't know if I was able to
3	do it, or I don't know the the whole case right now.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: So I don't know if I was able to
6	make or think.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Can you promise me and promise the judge
8	that you'll follow the law when she gives it to you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: I can follow the law, yes. It's just
10	the decision making. Yes, I just don't know.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Yeah, it's real hard to
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah.
13	MR. GIORDANI: obviously know what you're going to do a
14	week from now, I get it.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Uh-huh.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. If you are comfortable that you can
17	follow the law, then you might end up on the jury.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think you can follow the law?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: I am like in between.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: So, yeah.
23	MR. GIORDANI: You get out there?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 286: Yeah.
MR. GIORDANI: Can you the other folks that I didn't speak
with yet? Sorry, pass that down there, thank you.
Your badge number ma'am?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Griffiths, or 0629.
MR. GIORDANI: How are you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Good, thank you.
MR. GIORDANI: Good. Do you have feelings one way or
another about the criminal justice system?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: No.
MR. GIORDANI: Neutral?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Very. Yeah. I don't know much
about it.
MR. GIORDANI: That's fair. How about law enforcement in
general, feelings?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: No, I don't have any like strong
feeling either way.
MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I have notes about how you were the
victim of a residential burglary, almost was something a lot worse?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Yeah.
MR. GIORDANI: I'm going to admit right now my notes a
little sloppy. So can you just tell me was it reported, and if so, did the
police respond and did anyone get caught?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: The police it was reported, the
police did respond, but by the time they got to the apartment, the person

already left, and they weren't able to catch him

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Did you physically come into contact with him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: So when he, it was an apartment, so he came in through the living room window and I was in my room, which was towards the back. When I heard the noise I thought maybe it was my brother and my grandma coming home, so I didn't like, think much about it, but then I heard like the window, so when I went out there to look, I did come face to face with the person.

MR. GIORDANI: Wow.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: So immediately I ran to my mom's room because I knew my bedroom did not have a lock on the door, I was in high school. So I ran to her -- her bedroom. He tried to push into the room where I was trying to push him out and then we kind of just fought back and forth for a while until I was able to push the door, lock it and then call 9-1-1, and at that time that's when he left.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Wow.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Uh-huh.

MR. GIORDANI: And did the police -- did the police handle it well, in your opinion, or could they have done more?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: I'm not too sure what more they could have done --

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Considering -- like I know from neighbors, like I said, it was an apartment. Neighbors told me that like, I,

where like the operator had to call me to let me know that it's actually So like later on, like we found out like the police was going around the apartment community trying to find the person, but they weren't able to. So I feel like that's all they could have done in that MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Fair enough. That's obviously a PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Yeah. MR. GIORDANI: -- a high school kid. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Yeah. MR. GIORDANI: And the only reason I ask is, is there anything about it that might cause you to be unfair to either side in this PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: No. No, I've like obviously was shook up in that moment and I still think about it to this day, but in this scenario I don't feel like that has anything to do with it. MR. GIORDANI: You -- also, you've sat as a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: I have. MR. GIORDANI: Which, you know, for us it's like, okay, that's a green flag, right? Like you passed this test before. When you did the criminal jury service -- and you're never supposed to tell us what the

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Right.

1	MR. GIORDANI: When you did your criminal jury service and
2	then you were released from your oath did you have a positive or a
3	negative feeling about the trial?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Well, personally nothing about
5	the trial, but I was in a situation similar to that, so I thought about that
6	situation, but I didn't like hold it or continue to think positive or negative
7	about that particular trial. It just brought back memories for myself.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: But then like I said, at time I was
10	able to deal with that and move on from that.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I remember what you said about the
12	charges in the case.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Yeah.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Are you saying your personally
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Right.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Separate and apart from what we just talked
17	about?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Complete, yeah.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm going to leave
20	that alone, the Judge might have some further questions
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Okay.
22	MR. GIORDANI: for you later outside the group.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Okay?
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: You're welcome.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Anyone else I haven't spoken with? Thank
2	you. Pass it down. Thank you. Can I have your badge number, sir?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Shawn Palmer, 386.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Good evening, Mr. Palmer. Are you doing
5	okay?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Good.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Good. Your, your niece was a victim of a
8	horrendous crime.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Yes.
10	MR. GIORDANI: And you indicated that the guy was recently
11	sent to prison.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Yeah. Yeah. Two months ago
13	he was sentenced to six years.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Sounds like you followed the case at least a
15	little bit?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: A little, yeah.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Were you in any way a witness?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: No.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Because it was a step-cousin, right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Right, right. And it was in a
21	different State, and
22	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: My sister was very happy with
24	the with the outcome. I was more just support for her.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Sure, okay.

1	You understand why I'm asking these questions?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Uh-huh.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Anything about that your personal
4	experience with the system that might make you unfair to either side of
5	this case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: No. No, very neutral.
7	MR. GIORDANI: How do you feel about law enforcement?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Very neutral.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: There's good and bad.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Good and bad like any profession.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Uh-huh.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Any profession, right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: I agree, yeah.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And do you have any feelings one
16	way or another about the penalty phase if we get there, in this case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: No.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think you can consider those three
19	penalties if we get there?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Yes.
21	MR. GIORDANI: And you understand right now you don't
22	know anything about the case. You have to wait and reserve judgment
23	until the end of the evidence.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Right.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Do you understand that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Right. I understand. Yeah.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Anything I haven't asked you that I should
3	have?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: I don't think so.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Do you watch any crime shows or listen to
6	podcasts?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: I do not.
8	MR. GIORDANI: No interest?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: I don't watch I don't I really
10	don't pay much attention to TV or news, or things like that, so
11	MR. GIORDANI: Do you have any interest in being a juror?
12	Do you want to be a juror?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: I have no problem with it.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Wouldn't necessarily want to do it, but if
15	called you would do it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: No, I think that it's an important
17	responsibility that we all have and I I have no issue with it.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Great. All right. Thank you, sir. You can
19	pass the mic. to Ms. Van Natta, 390?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Yes.
21	MR. GIORDANI: How are you, ma'am?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Good, thank you.
23	MR. GIORDANI: You came from the day the world shut
24	down? Anything about that, that would cause you to be unfair to either
25	side in this case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: No.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. How do you feel about the criminal
3	justice system as a whole?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: I think they did their job well, in
5	my case, I made a mistake.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: You know, we're human.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And how do you feel about law
9	enforcement?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: I am pro-law enforcement. Yeah.
11	MR. GIORDANI: You've heard the discussions, because
12	you're pro-law enforcement, should the Defense have any concerns
13	about you as a juror?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: No.
15	MR. GIORDANI: You can keep an open mind and listen to
16	what all witnesses have to say, right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Yes.
18	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Including law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Yes.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Just because they're wearing a badge, you
21	can't just adopt what they say.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Right.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Right. Okay. are you going to have any
24	issue, ma'am, looking at autopsy photographs?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: No.

1	MR. GIORDANI: And do you think that you could consider all
2	three punishments if we get to a penalty phase in this case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Yes.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. Anything I haven't asked you
5	that I should have?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: No.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. Pass that down and speak into
8	it.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: James McFerron, 401.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, sir. You spoke to the judge a
11	little bit about what happened to your girlfriend before you met her,
12	right? Without, I don't want to get into detail, but is there anything about
13	that, that might cause you to be unfair to either Mr. Lepolo's side of
14	things or the State side?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: I don't believe so.
16	MR. GIORDANI: You talked about hearing about fingerprints
17	and DNA, and then the judge kind of clarified with you, we're just
18	reading the witness this right now. Do you understand right now you
19	haven't heard any evidence
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Correct?
21	MR. GIORDANI: Right. Okay. If there's DNA, if there's prints
22	that has that will likely be a factor in your decision, but just hearing
23	that from the witness list, you can't make any prejudgments about the
24	strength of weakness of the case, do you get that? Are you okay with
25	that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Yeah.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And do you have any feelings about
3	the criminal justice system?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Pro justice.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Well, can I assume you mean pro law
6	enforcement, as well?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Yes.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. We need jurors who can be fair to
9	both sides and who won't pre-judge witnesses just because of what they
10	do for a living, you know, if they wear a badge or not. Are you okay with
11	that idea?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: And you think you can do that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Yes.
15	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you sir. Pass that down.
16	We spoke a little bit.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yeah.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Tell me your badge number again, I'm
19	sorry.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Ali, 581.
21	MR. GIORDANI: How do you feel about the system, ma'am?
22	And I mean, the criminal?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Criminal, yeah. I don't really
24	have an opinion. I've never had to experience anything right or wrong
25	on it.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You understand this part of the system, this narrow part of the system, the jury trial process, it's designed to -- designed to be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Like that's why we're asking all these questions. That's why Mr. Margolis is going to ask you questions. We're trying to get fair jurors, and do you think that's you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes. My only concern is I do work in a behavioral health clinic, so I have seen patients come through that are, you know, trauma, very traumatized with certain you know, aspects of stuff that happened in their life. And that's only one side of it, so that's the only thing that I -- I'm concerned with, is without knowing anything of the case, is what the trauma, or the type of trauma that is imposed on the families and persons at risk.

MR. GIORDANI: Sure. And that's a -- I guess that's an understandable concern that you have, but what I can tell you, we're not allowed to go into any of the evidence. Right? Well, let me put it this way, your decision should be based upon the evidence --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Correct.

MR. GIORDANI: -- and whether we meet our burden of proof. If you have sympathy for a murder victim or for her family that's human expected, understandable, that's fine. But what we can't have is people who are just so, I guess emotional, is -- it's a real generic way to say it. But we can't have people whose passions will overtake their

1	logic, that's that's, that's the bottom line.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Okay.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So considering your unique training,
4	and your experience, do you think that you can look at the evidence,
5	consider it for what it's?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: I can look at the evidence, you
7	know, and not knowing what is coming my way
8	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: you know, that's only fair. But
10	I don't know if I'd be able to, you know, being I don't know anything
11	about the case, or being a mom as well, I think that that might have an
12	issue with it as well.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Yeah. Okay.
14	I don't know any other way to ask other than can you make a
15	promise to us, the parties and the Judge that you will listen to the
16	evidence and reserve judgment until the close of evidence?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes. I could do that.
18	MR. GIORDANI: And if there is feelings that you have about
19	one side or another, a witness or a victim, whatever it may be, can you
20	promise us that you will do your best to use logic in your decision
21	making?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. I appreciate you raising that
24	concern.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: No worries.

MR. GIORDANI: Before you pass that on, you in your
capacity do you deal with the criminal justice system?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Not as a whole, not my role in
the clinic, but I do set up, you know, victim witness appointments or, you
know, criminal that we do provide services for. So in that aspect, we do
have to know a certain amount of the detail before
MR. GIORDANI: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: bringing them into the clinic.
MR. GIORDANI: Do you ever work with my office to set that
up?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: I don't think so.
MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Usually my front office staff
usually does most of it.
MR. GIORDANI: But but my victim witness unit, our victim
witness unit
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Oh, yes.
MR. GIORDANI: reaches out to victims and witnesses in
order to
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes, and then
MR. GIORDANI: offer services then?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes. And they would schedule
with our clinic.
MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So what is your exact role at the
clinic?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: I'm a director of operations, but
2	we kind of move I mean, I do everything there. Okay.
3	MR. GIORDANI: And you interact with patients too?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Frequently?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Well, I mean the same I mean, the
8	same question stands, right? It's can you do can you do your job as a
9	juror and look at the evidence forward?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 581: Yes. It's evidence based, so
11	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Okay. All right. Thank you ma'am.
12	Anyone else I haven't spoken to, if you wouldn't mind
13	volunteering. Thank you, sir.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Christopher Rapanos, badge
15	number 605.
16	MR. GIORDANI: How are you today, sir?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Good, how are you doing?
18	MR. GIORDANI: Good, thank you. You were an active or
19	your son is an active duty Marine?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yes, sir.
21	MR. GIORDANI: How do you feel about his service? Are you
22	proud?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Very proud. Yes, sir.
24	MR. GIORDANI: I Imagine you are.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Uh-huh.

	MD CIODDANII A I I 00 II I I I
1	MR. GIORDANI: And you're a 22 year old. I stopped
2	writing my apologies what does your 22 year old do?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: He works for the City of
4	Henderson in Parks and Rec Department.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Does he have interactions with law
6	enforcement in any way?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: No.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. How do you feel about the criminal
9	justice system, sir?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: I think it's fair. You know, I don't
11	sway one way or the other, but I keep an open mind.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Good.
13	And law enforcement, do you have feelings about
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: You know, like some of the other
15	jurors said, there's, there's good and bad, but I keep an open mind, you
16	have to just, you know, in this case you have to hear the evidence and,
17	you know, it doesn't matter if they have a badge or not, you just hear
18	what they have to say.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And there was a bit of a discussion
20	earlier that was already an hour ago, sorry, about the majority of
21	crimes happening in lower socioeconomic neighborhoods, right?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Uh-huh.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Would you agree with that idea?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yes. I think that I mean, crime
25	happens in all types of neighborhoods, the rich, the poor, the middle

1	class, you know, I just think sometimes it gets more reported in the lower
2	class.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Good point. And the idea behind the
4	line of questioning is I mean, everyone's entitled to justice.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Uh-huh, sure.
6	MR. GIORDANI: No matter where
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Sure.
8	MR. GIORDANI: you're from or what you look like.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Uh-huh. Yes, sir.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Do you agree with me?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yes, sir.
12	MR. GIORDANI: And would you agree or disagree with this
13	statement? Some areas of town or neighborhoods don't tend to
14	cooperate with police?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yeah, some areas. But I also
16	think that the, the upper class, sometimes that happens too.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Sure.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: It's not just the lower class.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Absolutely, absolutely. And my point is,
20	getting back to the point, everyone is entitled to equal and exact justice.
21	If you're a juror
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Uh-huh.
23	MR. GIORDANI: you will stand up and say that in the
24	deliberation room if necessary, right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Absolutely.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Uh-huh.
3	MR. GIORDANI: All right. And are you okay with the three
4	penalties, if we end up getting to a penalty phase?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yes, sir.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, sir. Can you pass the
7	microphone down. Your badge number, sir?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Badge number 0497.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Good afternoon. Mr. Amil?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes.
11	MR. GIORDANI: And you were an alternate juror previously?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: How was the jury service experience, in
14	your opinion?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: It's a lifetime experience, I think.
16	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Do you want to serve on this jury?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Honest answer is, if I cannot,
18	then I would prefer.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Honest answer.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: That's the honest answer.
21	MR. GIORDANI: I like an honest answer.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes. That's the honest answer.
23	MR. GIORDANI: That's all we need is honesty.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yeah.
25	MR. GIORDANI: If called to serve, Judge Bluth calls your

1	name and says you're on the jury, will you do it?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes. But I would like to say now,
3	before this starts, that I have issue with reserving judgment up to the
4	end.
5	MR. GIORDANI: What do you mean?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Because yesterday, once the
7	charge was read and it says one person was killed, and something like
8	that, automatically I had a verdict in my mind.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: So I'm going against the flow of
11	the rest. In my case, I already have a decision in my mind, so the
12	Defense would have a hard time convincing me.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So let me break that down a little bit,
14	bear with me.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: That's an honest answer.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, I appreciate the honesty, but I want to
17	sometimes we have these discussions and jurors haven't thought about
18	this before, and they come to a snap judgment, and then we talk it out
19	and they're like, oh, okay, you put it that way, I think I can do this. So
20	you understand you haven't heard a shred of evidence' I haven't put on a
21	single witness, right?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes.
23	MR. GIORDANI: And you understand, we, the State, we have
24	to prove the case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes.

1	MR. GIORDANI: And if we don't do our job, your job as a	
2	juror is to find the Defendant not guilty.	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: I understand.	
4	MR. GIORDANI: I have to do my job. You have to do yours.	
5	You're okay with that idea?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: I understand, yes.	
7	MR. GIORDANI: So if we the Judge said, all right, sir,	
8	you're on the jury, State call witnesses, and we say the State rests and	
9	the Judge says, all right, go back and deliberate	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes.	
11	MR. GIORDANI: you haven't heard any evidence. What's	
12	your verdict?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: For me? I'm more biased on the	
14	guilty part, already	
15	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, no, no.	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: from the very beginning.	
17	MR. GIORDANI: You just agreed with me that you haven't	
18	heard any evidence.	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes. But I already have that in	
20	me already once I read the charge. So I mean, I think you understand	
21	what I'm saying, right? It's the burden of proof for me is the reverse.	
22	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So to use	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Not be	
24	MR. GIORDANI: your mind	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 497: Yes. In my mind it's already max	

1	death penalty, something like that.	
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Just because of	
3	THE COURT: Let's move on Gio.	
4	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you very much, sir.	
5	Can you pass that for me? Oh, I haven't spoken to you yet,	
6	ma'am.	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Okay.	
8	MR. GIORDANI: Your badge number please. I saw that.	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Emily Stevenson, badge 0606.	
10	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. I'll try to be quick, I promise.	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Uh-huh.	
12	MR. GIORDANI: How do you feel about the criminal justice	
13	system, ma'am?	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Very good	
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: for me.	
17	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think it's a fair system?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.	
19	MR. GIORDANI: How do you feel about law enforcement?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Just like the other one. I am a	
21	pro law enforcement too.	
22	MR. GIORDANI: And you understand pro law enforcement is	
23	great and fine	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yeah. There's bad and good	
25	also, but mostly if we can analyze it the job itself is very hard.	

1	MR. GIORDANI: Absolutely.	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yeah.	
3	MR. GIORDANI: And what we need are jurors who can listen	
4	to the evidence and not prejudge it until they've heard it.	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.	
6	MR. GIORDANI: Right. We don't want, because the police	
7	officer walks in with a badge that you're just going to say, all right	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: No, no, no	
9	MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Lepolo is guilty, right? We need you	
10	to wait and listen to the evidence.	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.	
12	MR. GIORDANI: And you can do that.	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.	
14	MR. GIORDANI: Do you have any problem with the three	
15	penalties that we discussed?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: No.	
17	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anything about you that I	
18	should know before I ask you to pass the microphone down?	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Well, I came from the Philippines	
20	and the justice system there is very different from here. United States is	
21	better than in our country, so that's all that I can say.	
22	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Well, the United States Justice	
23	system, it has its flaws for sure.	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Oh, of course, yes.	
25	MR. GIORDANI: It's the best system in the world, right?	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.	
2	MR. GIORDANI: I mean, we have to prove the case against	
3	you, you don't have to prove that you're innocent; if that makes sense to	
4	you.	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.	
6	MR. GIORDANI: I know in the Philippines it's done a little bit	
7	differently.	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: It is very different.	
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yeah.	
11	MR. GIORDANI: The Judge is going to give you instructions	
12	on the law, and whatever that packet contains you as a juror, you have to	
13	follow it.	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yeah.	
15	MR. GIORDANI: Are you okay with that?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.	
17	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Thank you, ma'am.	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: You are welcome.	
19	MR. GIORDANI: Pass that down.	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: Saldivar, badge 504.	
21	MR. GIORDANI: Ms. Saldivar, I believe you are number 32.	
22	Let me check. Seat 32. Can I have your badge number again?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: 504.	
24	MR. GIORDANI: Sorry. Yes. How do you feel about the	
25	system, ma'am; the criminal justice system?	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: I feel like I'm pretty neutral
2	with when it comes to that.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. The discussion yesterday with the
4	Judge, I think I might have written it down wrong, but correct me. Your
5	partner was a police officer and was shot on duty?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: No, my cousin she's an LA police
7	officer in California, and she was with her partner and she got shot by
8	somebody random. They were like just patrolling, or they were just
9	like it was probably like one or two years it was on the news. And I'm
10	not sure if they caught the guy yet, because we don't really talk about
11	that stuff.
12	MR. GIORDANI: You said you don't talk about it?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: We I try not to ask her
14	questions, because I know with her it took a long time to recover and
15	she's still going to like psychiatrist and everything
16	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. And because of that, do you have any
17	bias or predisposition for or against law enforcement?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: No.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Do you think you can judge the case based
20	upon the evidence and not your personal relationship?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: Yes.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Are you okay with or able to consider all
23	three of those potential penalties if we get to a penalty phase?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: I know like previous of the jurors
25	yes. I mean, eventually, I don't want it to be on my, you know, part of

1	me with the final, but if I have to, yes.	
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Is there anything that I	
3	haven't asked you that I should have?	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: No.	
5	MR. GIORDANI: Or anything I should know about you?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: No.	
7	MR. GIORDANI: Before I move on?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: No.	
9	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you ma'am.	
10	Can I have the Court's brief indulgence?	
11	THE COURT: Yeah	
12	[Pause]	
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right.	
14	Before I sit down, is there anyone that wants to chime in, or	
15	add anything? All right. Thank you all very much. We will pass.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. I know that you had asked to approach, I	
17	didn't know if you still wanted to do that, or if that had passed.	
18	MR. MARGOLIS: No, I would like to if I could.	
19	THE COURT: Sure, of course.	
20	MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you.	
21	[Sidebar begins at 12:43 p.m.]	
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Well, the Defense "has a problem" quote/	
23	unquote, and the presumption had shifted to the other side. So I think I	
24	would like him removed.	
25	THE COURT: I know. Like many, he tried to see that and	

1	[indiscernible]. No, no, no. No, that's not the [indiscernible].	
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Well, he's persistent, I'll give him that.	
3	MR. GIORDANI: We would not object if they're moving to	
4	strike him for cause.	
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Yes, please.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Amil, 497 is struck.	
7	MR. MARGOLIS: I'll give the others the benefit of the doubt	
8	for now.	
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what did you say?	
10	MR. MARGOLIS: I will give everyone else the benefit of the	
11	doubt for now.	
12	THE COURT: Okay.	
13	MR. MARGOLIS: They appear to be trying to be fair.	
14	THE COURT: Thank you.	
15	[Sidebar ends at 12:44 p.m.]	
16	THE COURT: Mr. Amil, 497, you are excused. Thank you, sir.	
17	If you could seat the next juror, please?	
18	THE CLERK: Seat number 28, will be badge number 632,	
19	Robert Judin.	
20	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Judin afternoon, sorry.	
21	I've been here since very early in the morning. Just	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: Good morning.	
23	THE COURT: start from the top whenever you're ready.	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: So I lived in Clark County since	
25	October of 2019. I've got two years Bachelors' Degrees in journalism, in	

sport management from the University of Florida. I am employed, I have three different jobs. I work in the sports marketing world, as the director of marketing for an NFL draft company. I teach a sports branding class for the University of Florida, online, and then I do freelance graphics for the UNLV football team here.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: I am married. I have a sevenyear-old daughter, and two-year-old daughter. They don't work, obviously. I have had my home burglarized, and my car burglarized. Neither time the law enforcement was helpful.

THE COURT: But you -- sorry, you reported it both times, but you didn't find them to be helpful in the situation, would that be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: Correct. With the car incident the -- the officer kind of laughed at me and hung up. They were never caught. Like I kind of feel it was handled poorly in both situations. To tell you, honestly I have some strong feelings about the -- about law enforcement and the criminal justice system.

THE COURT: Let's talk about those now, since I know it will come up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: Yeah. I mean, I think from a micro sense, like I respect the job that has to be done, and understand that, you know, law enforcement, they're people too, and it's a very difficult job to do. At the same time I think there are some jobs where you have to bat a 1,000. You hop on a plane, you don't want to have to think about the pilot being subpar, or not up to doing the job. And if you

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
	2
	3
1	
1	
	6
	7
1	
	9
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	4

have to go under the knife and get surgery, I just thing that there are some occupations and professions or you know, if you're not perfect, you have to be near perfect.

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: And based on a series of variables, including my own experiences, plus things that have happened in the news, I mean, truth be told, I have serial distrust for law -- like law enforcement, based on those experiences.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you some follow-up, okay? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: Sure.

THE COURT: Number one, do those feelings trickle over the criminal justice system, as in prosecutors, proctors?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: Yeah. I mean, I would agree with the sentiment that, you know, while it has its flaws that this system is best in the world. I just personally have a hard time looking past those flaws.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: I'm the kind of person that, you know, if there is a problem that is acknowledged, then just fix it. And I -- I often -- or seldom do, do I see those problems being fixed.

THE COURT: So you've heard me talk about the law that will apply, and one of those laws is that the State is held to the burden proving to you be yond a reasonable doubt. Would you hold the State to a higher burden, because of your views?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: Most likely.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about police officer's		
2	testimony.		
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: Uh-huh.		
4	THE COURT: If a police officer walked in here, would you		
5	hold them to would you judge them, or evaluate them more critically		
6	than another witness, or would you hold them to the same level?		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: I think I would consider the		
8	testimony more arbitrary, than maybe the next person would.		
9	THE COURT: Okay. So you would judge them more harshly,		
10	basically?		
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: I would say so, probably.		
12	THE COURT: Okay. And you would hold the State to a		
13	higher burden?		
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 632: I I would agree with that.		
15	THE COURT: Okay. Parties?		
16	[Sidebar begins at 12:49 p.m.]		
17	MR. MARGOLIS: We move to strike for cause.		
18	MR. GIORDANI: No objection.		
19	[Sidebar ends at 12:49 p.m.]		
20	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You are excused.		
21	Next?		
22	THE CLERK: Badge number 646, Joseph Mieszala. He's seat		
23	number 28.		
24	THE COURT: All right, sir. From the top, please?		
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Sure. Mieszala, badge 646. I		

lived in Clark County for four years. I have a BA in management. I am employed, I'm a director of IT for 50 ophthalmology clinics throughout the west coast. I am divorced, but in a relationship. My partner doesn't work. I have two children, both in their thirties. One works here for a title company and one works for Google.

I've been a victim of a crime. My -- my car was stolen about five years ago, and fortunately recovered. And yes, it was reported and -- and unfortunately the Burbank P.D. and the Pasadena P.D. weren't talking to each other because the car was stolen in Pasadena, and the Burbank police called me three days later and said, are you going to ever pick your car up?

THE COURT: Oh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: So obviously somewhere throughout the paperwork system, it never got there.

THE COURT: Understood.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: My significant other was convicted of felony possession, way before we started going out. I really don't have an opinion on that.

No, I have never served as a juror before. I have not heard anything about the trial, and I -- I guess I would share some of the juror's opinions yesterday regarding gun control.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: And in good conscience, I don't know that I couldn't make a fair judgment.

THE COURT: Okay. So I think that there were some

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

sentiments expressed yesterday across the spectrum, right? And so there were some people that made statements of guns in any way, shape, or manner, I can't listen to it, I don't tolerate it; there's that end. And then there were some that said, listen, I think some of the things that are going on in our country right now, gun violence, especially in the realm of children, I'm sure that that person was talking about where people are walking into schools and shooting it up, that's very, very bothersome to me. Those are kind of different views on a spectrum; where would you say you are?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: I've never held a gun. I've never shot a gun. I've never owned a gun. So somehow I'm still here. I understand that, you know, obviously law enforcement needs them.

THE COURT: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Military needs them. And as far as the general populace having full access to them, I -- my opinion is that you can find ways to defend yourself without them.

THE COURT: Okay. If you heard evidence of a gun, I mean, does that mean you're just going to shut down, you know, guilty of everything, or are you still going to be able to analyze and look at the facts and apply the law from a clinical perspective?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Again, I, you know, in good conscience, I would tend to sway the other way. Right. I would -- if there's a gun involved, I would -- why was the gun there in the first place?

THE COURT: Okay. State?

1	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
2	Okay. State, Thank you. Mister how do I pronounce it,
3	Mieszala?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Mieszala.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Mieszala, there is an allegation of a gun
6	being involved. There's no evidence of a gun being involved as you sit
7	here, you agree with me there?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Yes.
9	MR. GIORDANI: So we, the State, we would have to prove
10	that there was a gun used to begin with. We would also have to prove
11	that the person we accused of using it, used it. You're not saying that
12	just because we've alleged, or accused a gun was involved, that you're
13	just going to call Mr. Lepolo guilty, right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: No. I wouldn't go that far, but I
15	would say that, you know, it it be it would influence me in the
16	decision making process.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. But could you I mean, we still have
18	to do our job, you agree with me there, we still have to prove that it was
19	him, and a gun was used, and he used it?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Correct.
21	MR. GIORDANI: And we have to prove that beyond a
22	reasonable doubt?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Yes.
24	MR. GIORDANI: That's the standard, you know,
25	Constitutional standard. Okay. So I understand you have strong feelings

1	and, you know, you're entitled to those feelings. But we need jurors that
2	are going to hold us to our burden, even if you have strong feelings, one
3	way or another, you have to hold us to our burden and you have to make
4	a clinical decision at the end of this, whether we did our jobs or not;
5	that's the bottom line. Do you think you could do that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Yes.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you think that well I'll just ask
8	you, because I asked the other jurors, do you have any issues, if we get
9	there, if we prove our case and prove it's a first degree murder going
10	into a second phase and considering those three potential penalties?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Do I have issue with that or
12	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: I mean, that's why we're here.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Yeah. Some people
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Justice needs to be served,
16	right?
17	MR. GIORDANI: Absolutely. Yeah. Some people come in
18	and they say, look, I could just never consider parole for someone that
19	killed somebody. Other people say, I can never consider life without
20	parole. You know, but it sounds like you could be neutral and consider
21	all three, if we get there?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Sure. From a logical perspective.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you have strong feelings about
24	law enforcement one way or another?
25	PROSPECTIVE HIROR 6/6: No

1	MR. GIORDANI: And the criminal justice system as a whole?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: No.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Kind of setting aside the gun control
4	argument? No? No, issues, okay. And you might have to look at some
5	autopsy photographs, are you going to be okay with that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Yes.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Any issue if well I'm just going to tell you,
8	both parties are probably going to be using our phones every once in a
9	while and using laptops to coordinate things. Okay. Do you have any
10	issue with that whatsoever?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Is this on? No, but obviously if
12	the storms in this in Nevada keep going, I may have to use mine a few
13	times.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, I understand. The only thing you
15	can't do is research or tweet, or do anything related to the case. Are you
16	okay with that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Yes.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Can you withhold from Twittering for a
19	week?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: I'm not a twit.
21	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you, sir.
22	THE COURT: All right. Let's take a quick break, Mr. Margolis,
23	before you get started, okay.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Sure.
25	THE COURT: You have to talk to the Marshal

	•
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

1

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, please remember that during this recess she must not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors in any way regarding the case or it's merit, either by voice, phone, email, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media. Please not read, watch, or listen to any news, media accounts or comment you about the case. Do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet or using reference materials.

Please don't make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate an aspect of the case or in any other way, attempt to learn or investigate the case on your own. And please don't form or express any opinion on this matter until it's formally submitted to you. I will see you at one 1:10, 1-1-0.

THE MARSHAL: All rise.

[Prospective Jury out at 12:57 p.m.]

All right. Thank you everyone. Please be seated

[Recess at 12:57 p.m., recommencing at 1:18 p.m.]

THE MARSHAL: All rise.

[Jury in at 1:18 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. Thank you everyone, please be seated.

We are on the record in State of Nevada v. Tuly Lepolo,
C-345911. Mr. Lepolo is present with Mr. Margolis, both Deputy District
Attorneys, Mr. Giordani, as well as Ms. Conlin are present on behalf of
the State. Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury panel?
MR. GIORDANI: We do, Your Honor.

MR. MARGOLIS: Yes, Your Honor.

2

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Margolis, the floor is yours.

3

MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you very much.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good afternoon everybody. I will endeavor to be brief, but no promises. Let's get that out of the out of the way right quick. I'm Jason Margolis, this is my client, Mr. Lepolo, we are very grateful for your attention and for your being here. And I understand it's a stressful situation and I'll be honest, for me, it's kind of a novel situation, it's one I'm not likely going to be able to experience, I'm a little bit jealous of you, but be that as it may.

I'm going to ask a few kind of open-ended questions and hopefully one or more of you will be kind enough to raise your hands, and I won't have to start, you know, hitting on you. But that's kind of one how I want to go. I'm going to ask a basic question. If I get some hands, I'm going to go to those hands, and then we're going to see where it goes from there.

My first question is somewhat related to some of the evidence I anticipate you'll see, is I want to know if anyone in the panel here has ever challenged anyone to do anything? It could even be something as simple as a Tide Pod challenge, or I'm going to lose 20 pounds before you, anything like that? Perfect. Thank you Mrs. Burns.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: I've challenged my grandson many times, and he's only 16, so he knows not to challenge grandma because grandma's going to win.

MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, I love this, I love this. Give me an

1	example of something you challenged him on.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Challenge him to get a better
3	grade in a certain class.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: And how does he respond?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: He he's usually pretty good
6	about it. He'll bring the grade up. You know, sometimes he'll argue,
7	well, you know, maybe if I just bring it up one letter, no two.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: And we make bets back and forth
10	and he doesn't like losing
11	MR. MARGOLIS: That's what I was going to ask.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: He doesn't like losing, he doesn't
13	like losing to me.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Amen, amen. So sometimes it'll take a
15	little inducement from you perhaps to get him to really enthusiastically
16	embrace the challenge?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: And I think so, because you
18	know, mom and dad, they don't count.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Of course not.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: It's grandma and grandpa that
21	count.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Absolutely.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: So, yeah, I challenge him.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you very much for
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Uh-huh.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: for answering my question. How about
2	ever been the recipient of the challenge? You personally?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: No, I don't think so. If I do, I
4	don't remember.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Fair enough.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Maybe
7	MR. MARGOLIS: Anybody other than Mrs. Burns ever levy to
8	challenge to somebody, a dare with somebody? No one's played truth
9	or dare, I don't believe it. Mr. Grigorov, you'll get picked on first, my first
10	victim. Have you ever been a guy to challenge somebody else to do
11	something, dare somebody to do something?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Well, I mean obviously I have,
13	but
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Do tell, spill the beans.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: I don't know, like arm wrestling a
16	couple years ago.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Awesome, awesome, arm wrestling. Okay.
18	Did your recipient accept the challenge?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.
20	MR. MARGOLIS: What were the stakes?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Just for fun.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Just for fun bragging rights, if you will?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Dominance.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Yeah.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Did you win the challenge?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: I did win, yeah.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: And how much joy did that give you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: It felt pretty good. Yeah.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: How long did you lure that over the victim,
6	that he was a loser of the
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 523: Just like a couple minutes.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, man. I would revisit that if I were you.
9	Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Grigorov.
10	How about, this is Mrs. Jackson-Hale, what about you?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Yeah.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Tell me about it. Who'd you challenge?
13	Who'd you dare? What happened?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: My mom and I challenge. My
15	mom and I challenge each other all the time to do like 30 day, like fitness
16	challenges.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: When's the last time you did one?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: We're doing one right now.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh. Do you have interim scorekeeping?
20	Do we know who's in the lead right now?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: We we're even.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, horse race, nice. How long is left in the
23	challenge?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: It started on August 1st and it's
25	30 days.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Let me ask you this, since it's your mom,
2	and I'm pretty sure you got a history of these challenges. Is there kind of
3	an impetus on the part of the challenge to answer the bell?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Oh yeah.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. What happens if one of you balks at
6	the challenge of the day?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: Well, it's my mom, so we kind of
8	give each other a hard time.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah. Merciless ridicule, that's the name of
10	the game. That's nothing more familiar than that. Ever been dared by
11	somebody, or challenged by somebody other than your mom?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: My kids
13	MR. MARGOLIS: Give me an example?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 380: I mean, they challenge me, or I
15	challenge them all the time with cleaning their room, or if you don't get
16	your room cleaned, you're not going outside.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh. Yeah. No, I've often found that the
18	incentive and takeaway has a lot to do with the buy-in on the challenge.
19	Okay, thank you very much.
20	Let's get into it a little bit more here. Okay. One of my
21	favorite subjects, one of my favorite books and movies was Fight Club. I
22	realize that's going to date me a little bit, because that's a '90s, but who
23	here amongst our panel has been in a fight; I mean a physical altercation
24	of any kind? It doesn't need to be a knockdown, drag out, it can be a
25	simple show. It can be a I used to teach, so when you walk in the

1	hallway, a guy does one of these, right. Okay. It's clearly intended to
2	draw your attention, provoke a reaction. Does anybody want to tell me
3	about a fight they were in?
4	All right. Finding no volunteers, Mr. Lane, I'm going to pick
5	on you because you said something that stuck with me immediately.
6	Okay. My dad was born in Boston. You just said your east coast almost
7	came out.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. When you say, and you can correct
10	me at any point if I'm wrong, when you say that your east coast came
11	out, that tells me that you're going to get in some guy's face or
12	somebody's grill, and you're going to demand appropriate treatment.
13	Fair?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Fair.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So have you ever been in a fight?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Tell me about it.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Going back in the east coast
19	fellow employee out in the parking lot.
20	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Over in about a minute, a school,
22	minute. Someone yells, "Cops," fight, breaks up; this is mid-'70s.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Mid-'70s, okay.
24	So, fair to say, when that fight began, people were
25	understanding of the rules of engagement?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yeah. In those days, yes.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: You and your opponent, it was understood
3	you were going to hit, you might kick, you were certainly going to shove,
4	there might even be a full-Nelson or something, right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Correct.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: But fair to say, when you got into a fight,
7	the understanding was the fight was going to be with what God gave us?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yes.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Right. Okay. Did you feel in that instance,
10	and I don't know who was the provocateur or who was the provocatee,
11	right, but did you feel in that instance that there would be consequences
12	if you hadn't engaged in the fight?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I think in high school and junior
14	high, when you don't answer the bell, there's consequences of being
15	followed and stuff of that nature, and I think that still goes on today to
16	some extent.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Sure. And how about even, just not even
18	the, not even from the partner or the opponent as it were in the fight,
19	what happens if you are to pass on that challenge there, or that if you
20	were to not engage, what would the other folks uninvolved in the fight
21	say, think?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I think it's probably fair to say,
23	using your words, "in constant ridicule."
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. I like my words, so I'll go with it.

Yeah, you're going to be known as a coward, right? A chicken, fair?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: In some cases.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Now let me ask you a question. Did
3	you feel under those circumstances that you kind of had to fight?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yeah. On those those cases as
5	a as a unruly teenager, I guess, if you will.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So I'm sensing from what you're
7	saying that you feel like as you as you got older and even you, from
8	the east coast, right, as you get older, you start to realize that maybe not
9	everything's worth a fight. Fair?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Very fair.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Thanks very much for engaging with
12	me.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: That's as recent as a couple of
14	years ago, you know, and when my children were abused, I knew the
15	abuser.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Yeah. And you know, I'm sure as a
17	father, okay, as someone who, from the moment they're born, it is
18	incumbent upon you, you feel this, you know, irresistible impulse to
19	protect them. And, you know, it caught my attention when you said that
20	Okay. And you didn't attack this guy. What do you think other than the
21	passage of time made you make that decision?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Because I'm the one that's
23	raising my two children; at that time, you know, the mother wasn't
24	protecting them. If I did something irrational
25	MR MARGOUS: LIb-bub

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: they would have no one.
2	That's that's honestly, that's the bottom line. You know, my instincts
3	were one thing, my common sense and intelligence is a not a teenager,
4	spoke out, and my job as a single dad raising two children, you know,
5	primarily by myself, is to protect them, and I do that to the second.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: I feel like you felt like if you gave into that
7	impulse, you would end up hurting the people that you were duty bound
8	to protect, right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Correct.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you very much Mr. Lane, I
11	appreciate that.
12	Mr. Daniel [sic throughout], what do you think about what
13	Mr. Lane said? Is protection of children something that maybe takes
14	someone who's a little less inclined to fight, and makes more inclined to
15	fight?
16	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Definitely.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Why is that? I mean, I we've all heard
18	stories about the woman who all of a sudden picks up a car to save her
19	child. Like what, what's going on there? Do you the impulse
20	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They're fragile.
21	MR. MARGOLIS: being so much stronger with a child,
22	when your child is threatened?
23	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Definitely. Even
24	medical people and police, they get very emotional when a child is
25	involved, a lot of times.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Let me ask you this, does this
2	change as your children get older? Do you think if your children get
3	older, you suddenly become less protective of them?
4	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: You don't think so? Thank you. I'll let you
6	off the hook, now, Mr. Daniel.
7	Mrs. Van Natta, or Ms. Van Natta, is it Ms. Vanna?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Correct.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: I saw you shaking your head about the kids
10	get older, but the, but the impulse, the compelling impulse remains the
11	same, right?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Oh, definitely.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: How old are you kids?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Twenty-nine, 26, 22, and my
15	son's going to be 18.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: I still have one that's kind of
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Yeah.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: almost up to there.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Yeah.
20	MR. MARGOLIS: Right? And fair to say you worry about
21	them as much today as you did
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Every day.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: When they were two, three, five, whatever
24	the case may be, right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Uh-huh.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Right. Can't turn it off, right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: No.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Do you think that you are more rational or
4	less rational when the subject is your kids?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Probably less rational.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: You know
8	MR. MARGOLIS: And in spite of your best efforts.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Because I want to protect them
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Right.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: My mother hen comes out and
12	just wants to take care of the problem. But, you know, I mainly talk to
13	my kids about how to fix the problem themselves. So without
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Have your kids ever been in a fight?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: My daughter was bullied in
16	school.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: What happened exactly? I mean
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Just
19	MR. MARGOLIS: what you can tell?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: It was years ago, just girls
21	picking on her, things like that. She she just came became very
22	withdrawn. But recently my 22 year old had an employee that she's a
23	manager, say some really nasty things about her on social media.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: and it just it broke my heart

1	for my daughter because she's none of those things. So, you know,
2	fighting can be on social media, as well.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Sure. What did you do, if anything? What
4	did she do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: I just talked with her and let her
6	cry to me, you know. I just told her, I said, if you try to verbally fight
7	back it's going to blow up even bigger. So just
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Determined not to spar back, basically
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Right.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: I mean, it would just escalate a bad
11	situation.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: Right. But it still hurts as a
13	parent when your child hurts.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: So
16	MR. MARGOLIS: And there's a part of you that probably
17	wanted to jump on the internet, right?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 390: I did want to.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah. No, I understand. I understand.
20	Thank you very much.
21	Nobody else here has been in a fight, I find that really hard to
22	believe, so I'm going to start going person by person here.
23	Let's go up into the corner, Mrs. Charles? You don't seem
24	like a shrinking wallflower to me. Am I correct, that you're not a
25	shrinking wallflower?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: I stand my ground.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Very good. Ever been in a situation where
3	you felt like you had no choice but to fight, or to stand your ground, or
4	there was no reasonable method of retreat?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: I've never been in a fight, but I
6	stand my ground, yes.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: Well, it doesn't need to be a physical fight,
8	right? It can be somebody throwing down a line in the sand, or a
9	gauntlet of another type. Can you think of anything like that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: Not really. I kind of get my way,
11	I guess.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Well, that says something to me,
13	though, as well. You spoke briefly, and I don't want to misquote you, so
14	correct me if I'm wrong, I believe you had a career in special education?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: Correct, still.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: I've got to be honest, that's a pretty
17	pugnacious group of thugs, my wife teaches primary autism, and she's
18	regularly concerned about the specter of a lawsuit, if something is done
19	untoward in an IEP, right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: Yes. Yes.
21	MR. MARGOLIS: So sometimes she's preparing for a
22	meeting, and I'm kind of looking at her like, that is not what I remember.
23	IEP meetings being like, when I popped in as a gen-ed teacher back in
24	the day. So not even in any of those IEP situations where the <i>Sabre</i>
25	lawsuit, you know, is being rattled. None of those?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: You know, I've been really lucky
2	I've never I've never been sued. I've never
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah. I've got to find out what school size
4	you that's great, that's great. Okay. Do you think part of that, though,
5	part of that reluctance on the part of an ordinarily very litigious group of
6	people, do you think something you put off kind of suggests like, hey, l
7	know my way around, like, don't mess with me?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 254: Maybe.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Thank you very much. It's
10	unfortunate you weren't in a physical fight, I want to talk about it, but be
11	that as it may.
12	Mr. Auten?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Yes.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Fight, physical or otherwise of any kind?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: No physical.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: No physical.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Sure.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Do you consider yourself a person that has
19	strong opinions?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Probably so. Yeah. I mean, I
21	think so. I don't know that I always stand my ground, and I think
22	probably there's way too much unnecessary conflict in the world. So, I
23	mean, I think I'm willing to make my point, but I'm I mean, apart from
24	my kids, that's different. But at the same time, I don't tell my kids to
25	engage in conflict. I I mean, I'm more of a turn the other cheek type of

person. You know, you live to do something another day instead of get yourself in a situation that is out of your control.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. What if -- and I'm going to pick up on something you said. Because while I would agree with, you know, I'm not a fighter, I'm not a huge guy to fight; I'm not going to win any fights, right? So sometimes though, turning in the other cheek, you know, I get hit on this cheek, so I'm going to turn the other cheek and boom, I get a haymaker in the other cheek, right?

So do you feel like there's situations where there is no real opportunity to die another day, or not die another day as the case may be, or there is no reasonable retreat?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I would say my experience says otherwise.

MR. MARGOLIS: You feel like there's always a way out? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I -- I do.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Let me ask you this. Do you think there are ever circumstances where -- I'll bring in gender rules for a minute here, as a mam there's less opportunity to retreat?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I think -- I think that -- I actually disagree with you. I think that's all the more reason to be thoughtful and rational, and think about what you're doing. I just -- I don't know, I don't -- my experience again, I'm just talking about myself.

MR. MARGOLIS: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I don't see escalating conflict as really being successful in protecting anybody, really, at the end of the

1	day.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Now you use the words "escalating
3	conflict." I don't know if I use those words, but if I did, I didn't mean to.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Sure. I'll say that
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: instead of
7	MR. MARGOLIS: Conflict came to your door, Mr. Auten?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: And then and continuing to
9	engage in the conflict that what's, I guess maybe.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Because I don't want to put
12	words in your mouth, but
13	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. I think I understand. Do you think ar
14	aversion to conflict is why you don't practice litigation law?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: Absolutely.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Fair enough.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 260: I did for about a year, and I I
18	wouldn't be an attorney if I I have great respect for everybody in this
19	room who comes to the courtroom all the time, I really do. I would neve
20	do it, I have no desire to do it.
21	MR. MARGOLIS: Anybody else feel like Mr. Auten has no
22	desire to get up and scrap all the time? I mean, I got nobody that's been
23	in a fight here, it seems like nobody even wants to scrap. Is that I
24	mean, so, okay, is there a point at which, you know what, you don't want
25	to fight, that's fine, we won't fight.

Many of you have children and families. Okay. So I want to talk a little bit about family obligations if you are not fighters. I think it stands to reason that you can't all be so fortunate is to not have a single family member that is kind of like mixing it up at the Thanksgiving dinner, or, you know, at the football game he maybe hits the brother-in-law a little bit harder than is required. Right?

So if none of you are fighters, has there ever been a situation where a family member has gotten you into a set of circumstances that you didn't really want to be in? And I'm going to ask you, Mr. Palmer -- well, I'll check for volunteers, Mr. Palmer, but I have a sneaking suspicion that I'm not going to get a show of hands here.

Anybody want to volunteer a situation wherein their family member put them in a situation that they probably wouldn't have been in, but for that family member? I got an uncle named Andy. He does it all the time, and he likes brandy; that's all I'll say. Let your imaginations run wild from there. Seeing no volunteers, Mr. Coleman, I'm afraid you're it, also. All good. I'm sorry. Palmer. I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: That's okay. You had it right the first time.

MR. MARGOLIS: I think that's partial credit, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Yeah.

MR. MARGOLIS: All right. So any family member ever put you in a position you wished you weren't in?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Yeah.

MR. MARGOLIS: Please --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: My father like walked away from the family back in the early -- early to mid '80s, and started a new family. So he left my mother behind with six children. And my sister passed away last July, and I didn't think my dad deserved to know, or be notified, but my other sister felt differently about it, and she proceeded to contact my dad's wife to try to get ahold of him, to let him know that his daughter had passed away.

And his wife started going -- started yelling at my sister over the phone. I could actually hear her telling her, you know, that to -- to basically just leave him alone. They, you know, they -- if he needs to know anything about my sister's death, that she will let him know and we're not to contact him. So I grabbed the phone from my sister and I started -- I lost it on her and started yelling, you know, like started yelling at her.

MR. MARGOLIS: I don't think anybody --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: So --

MR. MARGOLIS: -- would blame you for that, yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Yeah. So I'm not a fighter, so it definitely wasn't something that I would normally do. But it -- she had attacked my sister and was blocking us from letting him know that his daughter had died, and I just -- I lost it on her, until my sister took the phone back away from me and said to just stop.

MR. MARGOLIS: At that point your sister is now concerned for you, right --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Yeah.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: like, that you're going to not be able to
2	dial it back down.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 386: Yeah.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you very much for volunteering.
5	Anybody else been in a situation like that? Thank you. And
6	I'm not going to miss it. Hold on. Hold on. Mrs. Long?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Miss.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Ms. Long, thank you.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: I had completely forgotten about
10	it, but yes, I have been in a fight, and it was when my sister was using
11	and I would go out of my way to try to avoid her, but there were times
12	when she just would not stop, and it would it would digress into
13	physical altercations with, you know, I wound up with fat lips before and
14	hands full of hair, and you know, you don't want to fight, but at the same
15	time when someone's coming at you and they've just gotten to a point
16	where you cannot ignore it anymore, you know, and it really hurts when
17	it's a family member.
18	So, you know, and I've been in a lot of verbal confrontations.
19	My son is of color, and so there's been a lot of times when I've gotten
20	into verbal confrontations when he was growing up over things that
21	were said to and about him.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: If I recall, your sister actually kind of
23	abused your mother, right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Yes. Yes.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: So, you know, in a weird way you had it the

1	worst of both ends and that you're trying to protect your mother, but in
2	instead doing you're often at loggerheads with your sister, right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Right.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah. That's a pretty awful set of
5	circumstances.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: I mean, everything everything
7	turned out okay. You know, she was held accountable and got treatment
8	and she and I ended up being the caretakers for my mom for six years,
9	and when she went through dementia, so
10	MR. MARGOLIS: It's a happy ending, definitely. How many
11	years was she kind of not doing the greatest?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Gosh. It was probably about
13	four years.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And it's been a long time since then?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Yeah. It was 2000 yesterday I
16	said 2014, it was 2013.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, good. Good.
18	So more years of good than there were the years of bad in a
19	sense, right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Right. That's outstanding.
21	Thank you very much, Ms. Long.
22	Let's see. How about Mr. Dara you can't hide, you're right
23	next door, I had to stop by. Ever had a family member kind of put you in
24	a spot?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: Oh yeah. My sister

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Let us know, what happened?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: Last Super Bowl, she likes to run
3	her mouth and yeah, we got into a fight.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: She got into a physical fight with
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: No. I got into a fight because of
6	her running her mouth.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So if I could paraphrase, she's
8	talking smack to somebody?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: Uh-huh.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: That's somebody is not having it?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: No. A group of people.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, a group of people. Even better, even
13	better. I love that, those odds. How many people in the group?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: Six.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, shoot. Did you have any comrades
16	with you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: No.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Just your loud mouth sister?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: Uh-huh.
20	MR. MARGOLIS: That's a rough set of that set of facts.
21	Okay. What happened, man?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: I pretty much got my ass kicked.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah. I was going to say, if you took on all
24	six, I would be screaming from it from the rooftops. Did she feel bad at
25	least afterwards?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: No.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Didn't really have a choice though, right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: No.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: What happens if you just walk away and
5	leave your sister there with this angry mob with six folks?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: They probably went and
7	probably took it out on her.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Probably would have kicked her ass
9	instead. Right, fair statement?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 514: [No verbal response].
11	MR. MARGOLIS: All right, thank you. That was actually a
12	very good one, Mr. Dara.
13	Ms. Griffiths? No family member ever put you in an
14	uncomfortable position?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: No, not really.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Did you ever put a family member in an
17	uncomfortable position?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: No.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Do you think if your mom put you in a spot
20	where, like Chris, you're either getting what have you, or she is, are you
21	stepping in?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: For sure.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. If roles were reversed, do you think
24	your mom's stepping in?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 629: Most definitely.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: That's all I need. Thank you very much.
2	Mr. Lopez, you're a younger guy. I know you don't have a
3	big family or your own, but do you have any siblings?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Yes.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Do they ever put you in a spot?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: No. Not that I can recall, no.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: Fortunate. How about buddies?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Not buddies, but I would say like
9	cousins.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Let me know. Tell me please, if it's not
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: I do have this cousin that does
12	like, I guess likes trouble.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: So likes trouble?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Yeah.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: Let's hear it.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Anywhere he goes and he just
17	causes trouble, and if I'm there, I usually have to like calm him down or
18	anything like that.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Does that make you want to spend more
20	time with this cousin?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Not really, no.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And are there times when you kind
23	of have no choice but to spend time with the cousin?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Yeah.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Have you ever complained to

1	anybody else in your family, your dad, your uncle, or anything about the
2	fact that, you know, my cousin keeps
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Yeah. We all know it, so we all
4	talk
5	MR. MARGOLIS: It's just another
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: about it, yeah.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: understood thing.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Yeah.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: He's the black sheep.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Yeah.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Deal with it. Work around it.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 531: Yeah.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you. Ms. Mazzanti?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Yes, sir.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: How about you? Family member
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: No.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: of questionable.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Not really.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Everybody's an outstanding citizen? That
20	is awesome.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Pretty much, I mean, we all work
22	and we all have an education, and the last time I had a physical fist fight
23	was with my younger brother when he was eight and I was ten, so
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Did you win?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: No.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Ah, man.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: He was younger, but bigger than
3	me already.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah. Yeah. Have you won some since
5	though with him?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: We don't fight.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: Not physical fights, arguments,
8	disagreements?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Arguments? No. He he should
10	have been a lawyer.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, really?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: He can talk his way around in
13	and out of anything. So I really don't fight with him anymore.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: How about at UCLA? Ever any academic
15	kerfuffles, of a kind?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: No. I mean, my profession is,
17	I'm a librarian, and if you look at the you know, the people who
18	become librarians, like our number one feature with most of the people
19	in my workplace is harmony. If you've ever done the yeah. So we're
20	all harmonious, everything's done by consensus and there's almost
21	never any arguing. Sometimes it takes us three years to get something
22	done.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah, I was going to say I mean
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: I'm not going to lie about that.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: you get to lunch at seven o'clock at night,

1	right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: But sometimes but by and
3	large that's how we deal with things.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Do you think as a result of that, not
5	just in your work life but in your personal life, you avoid corners of
6	conflict, troublemakers like
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Yeah.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Mr. Lopez' cousin?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Probably. Probably.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: I'd have to be honest about that.
12	Yeah.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: And it probably doesn't take much for you
14	to be like, okay, I'm checking out, I'm going to go elsewhere?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Uh-huh. You know, it just sort of
16	depends on what I'm like, if it's something to do with my department
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: I will fight with the best of
19	them
20	MR. MARGOLIS: See, now that's what
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: for my staff.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: I'm looking for, you're whole
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: For my staff.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: intonation changed, your countenance
25	changed. You're like okay, all right, let's go.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Yeah. So, you know, it's
2	something that that I care passionately about, absolutely, I will fight for
3	it.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Fair statement that most people care pretty
5	passionately about their kids?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: I would assume so, I don't have
7	any.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: I know, I know. I know. But that's
9	something you probably have
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: From observing my family
11	members
12	MR. MARGOLIS: gandered in your observations
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: who have, yes.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Correct.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Cool. Thank you very much for sharing.
17	Let's pop around a little bit.
18	Mrs. Ali, how about you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: No, I don't really family
20	members, I mean, we're all fine. We all get along really well. The only
21	thing is first generation problems. We don't allow it to go to a second
22	generation problems. So if, like my mom is upset with her sisters and
23	brothers, and they can be at war most of the time
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: at first it was like, you know, I

1	don't want you to talking to, you know, so-and-so's kids. And so we
2	don't we don't, we the second generation pretty much sticks
3	together.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: So you guys don't subscribe to the blood
5	feud
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: To the drama, no.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: of the elder generation
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Correct.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: right? Okay. And that's a conscious
10	choice, right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Right.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Because it would be kind of easy
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: It's kind of
14	MR. MARGOLIS: to just go along?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Right. But it's their it's, we
16	we're growing up in different times and their you know, problems are
17	not our problems, I guess.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Do you feel like their problems, and I don't
19	know if I'm misreading this, but do you feel like their problems aren't
20	even problems that you and your cousins could have?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: No. It's mostly just, you know,
22	who's getting what, and, you know, when my grandma passes away,
23	and that kind of stuff and no one can agree
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Dollar bills, huh?
25	DROSDECTIVE ILIBOR 246: and yeah So it's lit's nothing

1	that really matters to us. I mean, maybe it trickles down, but nothing
2	that would that we would want to affect our relationship.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: That's a very pretty how ma'am?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Uh-huh.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: I mean, at least in your generation
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Yes. And and my kids are all,
7	we have a very anything that is bothering them is an open discussion,
8	bring it to our attention immediately. My husband's a psychologist, so
9	that helps.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Pretty good at talking through problems
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Yes.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Yes. He's very good at directing
14	and anything that comes up, you know, we talk it out, hash it out and
15	move on.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Do you and your husband ever disagree
17	about what the appropriate approach is?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Maybe. I mean, not too much. I
19	have two older kids that are from a different marriage. So I think at the
20	beginning maybe there was some conflict, but for the most part, no,
21	we've all grown to to kind of teach everyone the same and parent them
22	the same.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: That's good.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Uh-huh.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: A bit like my daughters, you're constant

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: No.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: constant.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Not yet, anyways. I mean,
4	they're still
5	MR. MARGOLIS: How old?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: they're still young. The
7	youngest is 12, then I have a 16 year old. Yeah. And she's good
8	MR. MARGOLIS: That's on the horizon. I mean, I'm scared,
9	I'm very scared. All right. Thank you very much.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: You're welcome.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Let's move on to the different subject. How
12	many of you expect a defendant to testify? I know you know that they
13	don't have to, but how many of you expect a defendant to testify? Show
14	of hand?
15	Let me rephrase. I'm the Defendant, I'm not guilty, okay? I
16	like the dulcet tones of my own voice, I might take the stand in my
17	defense. Okay. But many people do not enjoy talking, or hearing the
18	sound of their own voice as much as I do, thank God for that. Okay. But
19	are there any other reasons why a defendant might not take the stand
20	and someone wants to volunteer, when I wait for a volunteer?
21	Thank you very much, Ms. Long.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Oh, sorry. Well, it's like they said
23	you could sit there like a potted plant and it's the Defendant, it's the
24	prosecution's job to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty.
25	So if it's their burden of proof, why does he have to get up to defend

1	himself unless he wants to? I mean, I don't think it should be expected.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: I appreciate that. But let me push back with
3	just a general
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Okay.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: feeling
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Okay.
7	THE CLERK: And I'm going to take off my defense lawyer hat
8	now and just be an ordinary guy
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Uh-huh.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: okay. And I'm going to say that if I do sit
11	there like a potted plant, and Mr. Lepolo and I take in all of the
12	happenings here, and I watch the representatives for the State and they
13	speak for 90 percent of the time, and I speak for 10 percent of the time,
14	there's probably a very basic assumption on the part of all of us humans
15	that somebody carried the show, right? This was a show on some level,
16	and it was 90 percent prosecution, and 10 percent defense. Okay.
17	They read a long witness list, and I reserve the right to call
18	every one of those witnesses, you know? But in spite of the fact that you
19	know, I don't have to, if I don't, you're not going to have an impression
20	of that kind, just a little?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Oh, yeah. I mean, because
22	you're going to have a different perspective on their testimony and
23	you're going to want to present that perspective.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: And you know, like I said, unless,

25

you know, if the Defendant has something very pertinent that would help us make a decision, then, yes, I would think that he would want to testify.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Do you think if you were accused of a crime you would testify in your own defense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: If -- if I was not guilty, yes, I would want to, probably.

MR. MARGOLIS: And that's kind of what I'm getting at.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: Yeah.

MR. MARGOLIS: I think that's a very common feeling,
Ms. Long. Okay. I think that most of us, hey, if you accuse me of
something and I didn't do it, I'm going to scream it from the rooftops as
loud as I possibly can, in earshot of as many people as I can, right? But I
think that there could be a number of other reasons, and not just the
burden of proof. So I appreciate all your help Ms. Long, but I'm trying to
let somebody else carry some of this burden for you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 522: All right.

MR. MARGOLIS: Let's go with Mr. Overmyer, can you tell me another reason why someone might not take the stand in their own defense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: The other side gets to question that person, as well.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Elaborate on that, because I agree. You're, you're definitely onto something there. When the other side questions the defendant, what's the fear of the defendant at that point, or what's the fear of the person on the stand, the witness?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: I can't think of anything off the
2	top of my head, but it just
3	MR. MARGOLIS: All right. How about I say something, you
4	tell me if you agree or disagree?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Okay.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. The lawyer might confuse the
7	witness, whether they be defendant or a lay witness. You guys are not in
8	the courtroom. Witnesses are not in the courtroom as often as are the
9	lawyers, right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: Sure. Yeah.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: So fair to say, if you're not a wordsmith,
12	this might cause you some concern, right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: I think so, yeah.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Any other reasons?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 314: I can't think of any off the top of
16	my head.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: All right.
18	Ms. Smith, she's right behind you there. Thank you, Mr.
19	Overmyer. Can you think of any other reasons why a person might not
20	testify?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Just from me thinking, I would
22	say if that person has trouble communicating maybe, or getting their
23	point across, that could be a reason they would feel, you know, I'll let
24	someone speak on my behalf, or, you know, might better be safe just to
25	don't put yourself in a predicament, maybe.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Don't put your foot in your mouth,
2	something like that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Yeah.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: And when you say "speak better" do you
5	mean felicity or facility with the English not felicity, facility with the
6	English language, or maybe even beyond that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: More so, and this is just me
8	speaking kind of on my situation, I'd say not necessarily can't, you know,
9	verbalize, but maybe just getting your point across. If and I'm a wordy
10	person, but sometimes I'm not very straight to the point. So, you know,
11	if I'm putting myself in, you know, someone else's, you know, shoes, I
12	would just think like, okay, if I'm up there, I'm probably going to be
13	talking in circles, I'm it's going to take me two hours and
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Yes.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Yeah. Yeah, it, it's just not
16	going to be good.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: You're going to understand yourself, but
18	maybe the audience is going to be like, wow, that's a lot of bird walking
19	and she did there?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Exactly, yes. Okay. Thank you
21	very much Ms. Smith. I appreciate it.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 510: Thank you.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Ms. Romero, how about you? What do you
24	think about what Ms. Smith said? Is that, is that a reasonable fear for
25	people to have that maybe, I'm not going to say what I mean to say, or

1	they're going to hear X when I'm saying Y; is that fair?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Yes. I would think that in
3	speaking, you know what you want to say, it's in your brain.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: It may not come out the way that
6	you intended it to come out, or it may not sound the way that you
7	wanted it to sound. So
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Has that ever happened to you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Oh yeah, I'm sure. Yes. Yeah.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Is there an example you could share with
11	us?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: I feel like I put my foot in my
13	mouth all the time, actually. So
14	MR. MARGOLIS: You're not the only one.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: You know, I guess, you know, I'd
16	have to say with my kids, I say something that I didn't necessarily mean
17	to say or didn't mean to say it in the way that I said, so so, yes, I I
18	would say I put my
19	MR. MARGOLIS: I would say, you know
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: put my mouth.
21	MR. MARGOLIS: I think with my kids I do that good and
22	bad.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Uh-huh.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Good and bad.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Uh-huh.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Over promise and under deliver
2	sometimes, but, yeah. Thank you very much.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608: Uh-huh.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: How about Ms. Magatelli; how about you?
5	Any reason why a person wouldn't hop up on the stand?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: If I was a part of a crime, if I was
7	even involved, even if I wasn't, you know, guilty of what I was being
8	accused of
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: I'm guessing, you know,
11	thinking about that day that it happened would probably be the worst
12	day of my life, if I was involved.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: So I, personally, would be very
15	emotional, I probably wouldn't be able to get my point across. And
16	yeah, I think I could be easily tripped up, you know, by a prosecution.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: So the trauma of talking about what
18	happened would probably affect your ability to be articulate you're
19	saying?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Uh-huh.
21	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And you want to be articulate on the
22	stand. You don't want to risk them taking something that you didn't
23	mean, right. Thank you. Thank you.
24	Mr. McFerron?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Yes, sir.

MR. MARGOLIS: Do you like speaking in public? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: No, not really.

MR. MARGOLIS: All right. Then I'll be brief with this. Okay. But I'm also introducing another reason why I think a lot of people don't want to be a witness, even in their own defense, where you think that most of us would have a greater motivation. I don't want to be a witness, I don't want to be on the stand for anything about anything, you know, and I can certainly see like, I would be more comfortable on witness stand if it were my derriere at risk, you know?

But do you know what the single greatest fear amongst

Americans is, you can probably guess from the tenor of the question
here; what is it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Speaking in public.

MR. MARGOLIS: Speaking in public. Okay. People would rather -- they're, they're less scared of death than they are speaking in public, which is kind of illustrative to me. Okay. Because most of us don't think that is great. Okay. Would you be eager to testify in a trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: No.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Do you think it would be fair to hold that against you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Maybe not fair, but I guess it can be looked at each different ways, both -- both sides. One way or the -- from one side can look at it in their favor, and the other side can look at it in the other -- their favor

MR. MARGOLIS: In a human way, if someone accuses you of

1	something you didn't do, what's your knee jerk reaction?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: To deny it. Just say, I didn't do
3	it.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Do you think even though legally we know
5	we're not supposed to in the back of our minds, some of us still say, why
6	why isn't this person testifying?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Yeah, probably.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Appreciate it. Thanks. I know you're not
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Okay.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: crazy about talking.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 401: Thank you.
12	I'm going to make a statement and then I'm going to ask if
13	anyone wants to volunteer and tell me what they think I mean by the
14	statement. And then of course when I get no volunteers, I'm going to
15	have to pick on somebody. But we all love justice, okay? We're here to
16	do justice and seek truth, and we talk about justice as a noble goal in the
17	criminal justice system. We want to do right by both sides, we want to
18	be fundamentally fair. Okay?
19	Which leads me to the statement I'm going to make. What if
20	I told you there's no justice, there's just us? What am I trying to say?
21	What do I mean when I make that statement? There's no justice, there's
22	just us; volunteers, anybody?
23	Mr. Davenport, you are it. You are my target on this
24	question. So what do I mean, what am I talking about when I say, "No
25	justice, just us?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: When you say it, I don't I don't
2	when you say it, I don't know what you mean
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: but I get another vision.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Tell me what you
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: In mine
7	MR. MARGOLIS: what your vision is?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: sometimes it might be
9	COURT RECORDER: Can we have the microphone?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: it might be just us in the
11	community. I would say like, it is just us yeah, I hate talking to mics. I
12	would say, it's just us in the community. It's a different, a lot of
13	MR. MARGOLIS: All right. Let's focus
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: ways you can look at it.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: on that one right there, because I like that
16	one, actually. Just us in the community, okay, what are you illustrating
17	with that interpretation, I guess?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Well, outsiders, they won't
19	they can't relate to what's going on into our community. So we
20	MR. MARGOLIS: Who's our community?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Whoever community
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Whoever?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Right, whoever.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: That set of neighborhoods and
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Wherever you live at

1	MR. MARGOLIS: conditions
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: wherever you live at.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: and socioeconomic services?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Right, exactly.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Okay. Do you think that that
6	mindset leads to the resolution of disputes in a good way?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: No.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. If I'm picking up what you're putting
9	down, please correct me if I'm not.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Okay.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: It seems to me that you're suggesting that
12	in some neighborhoods, in some communities, justice is doled out by
13	the participants on the block, in the parking lot at the liquor store?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Yes.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And while this is seen as a good
16	thing, sometimes by members of that community, more often than not it
17	leads to less than savory results, fair?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Sometimes, yes, it does.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Now, there can certainly be good
20	grassroots' community programs, and good conflict mediation and stuff
21	like that, but I'm getting the impression that you think oftentimes when
22	people resort to their own devices they don't necessarily choose the
23	most productive method?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: It depends.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: Depends?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Okay.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: What on, please?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: I would say sometimes you
4	might handle it another way. In other words you can go around and talk
5	about it another way, or handle it, go, you know, get people together,
6	mediate, and then there's other ways you can just things just happen
7	that's not good.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: All right. You're a little bit older like
9	myself, so you can remember a time when fighting meant like meeting a
10	the McDonald's parking lot or the back lot of the school or whatever,
11	right?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Definitely, yeah.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And you know, if I'm interpreting
14	what you're saying correctly, you know, sometimes that's okay. Yeah?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Yes.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And obviously when, you know,
17	when it escalates, that's not okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: No.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: But the idea that people can referee
20	themselves, moderate themselves, and occasionally solve the dispute in
21	their own community amongst their own selves, something we should
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Well, sometimes you look at it as
23	is we are a village, so sometimes we handle things internally.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: You know, and I'm not going to

say it's always right or it's always wrong.
MR. MARGOLIS: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: We don't always get the best
results, but
MR. MARGOLIS: Family disputes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Yes.
MR. MARGOLIS: A good example, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Yes.
MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. You know, a lot of a lot of families,
and I think even my family to a certain extent, you can tell me about
yours, Mr. Davenport, you know, I don't know that we're real enthusiastic
about calling the police on one another.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: No. Well, in my family, see, I'm
talker, I'm going to talk about my family, because I can only talk
MR. MARGOLIS: Of course, please.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: about my family.
MR. MARGOLIS: Please.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: See, I was raised with, my
mother had a hierarchy. Okay? When she left the house, nobody was
home. My sister was the oldest, she was in charge, you did what she
said
MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: and her word was law. So,
and if you had a dispute, you wait until my mother got home and you
handled you talked to her about it. Okay.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: But the chain of command was the chain of
2	command?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Yes, it was.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: And until your mother came home, big
5	sister was calling the shots, right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: Right. It wasn't always the right
7	thing, but
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Of course not, but
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: you discussed that with mom
10	when she got home.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: It's the same thing now.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: You respect the office if not the individual,
14	right; and, and she held the office, right? That makes perfect sense.
15	Thank you very much, Mr. Davenport for taking the question.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 607: You're welcome.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: I think it I think it was you, Ms. Magatelli,
18	that talked about, you know, trauma and triggers and stuff like that, in
19	terms of maybe being a reason why you wouldn't want to take the stand
20	is that fair?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: [No verbal response].
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Do you feel like the triggers from a past
23	trauma make it more difficult to think logically?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: I think it can make it difficult to
25	respond to future situations.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Future situations?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: yeah. So, you know, if you have
3	trauma
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: something comes up where
6	you're having to potentially deal with the same trauma I think you might
7	act more in the heat of the moment.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Jump to a conclusion more quickly
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Uh-huh.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: perhaps? Maybe take an action that
11	justified, in your mind, but maybe not someone who hadn't experienced
12	that past trauma.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 414: Yeah.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Perfect. Thank you very much.
15	I'm going to ask you to pass the mic right behind you to
16	Ms. Hamlet. Do you know anybody that, I mean, I think you talked about
17	it a little bit, right, yourself? Did you ever I mean, you haven't suffered
18	a trauma?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Just I had a lot of bullying in
20	school, a lot of I mean, but otherwise
21	MR. MARGOLIS: It was, correct me if I'm wrong, anxiety?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Yeah.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And do you feel like the anxiety is
24	brought on by, or was generated by the bullying?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Possibly.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. If not generated by, exacerbated by?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Maybe, yeah.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Do you do you think that you are
4	do you think you overanalyze things, maybe
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Yeah.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: are more anxious than the average bear?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 564: Uh-huh.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: because of that? Thank you very much.
9	I'm going to ask you to pass the mic right next door to Mr. Martin.
10	Mr. Martin?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes. Badge, 580.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: You said some very interesting things to
13	me, so I just wanted to follow up on. Military service, right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: Then moved on to logistics.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: And I believe you were doing retail
18	management for seven different bases, or something along those lines?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes. And you know the thing
20	that struck me is, you know, you're a military guy that had a very strong
21	opinion on guns?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Absolutely.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: It was, it was stunning and kind of
24	refreshing to me to hear that, you know, you're a person who
25	presumably in the course of your employment, carried and used guns on

1	a regular basis for a long time, right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: And I think you said something along the
4	lines of, you know, people that are in the military and police officers, or
5	certain folks that ought to have guns
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: and there's certain folks that need not
8	have guns?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Absolutely.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. I'm going to ask you this based in
11	part on your background. Do you believe that a gun escalates or
12	deescalates a situation?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: It kind of depends on the
14	situation that that you're in, because you may react different because
15	of the situation, but I have come over the past, I don't know, eight to ten
16	years or so, guns don't kill people, people kill people. That is my view on
17	it, because you know, that it is just like it is just like you're driving a
18	car and then you run over somebody.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: The car didn't kill that people,
21	you did.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: I agree. I agree.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: That that is my view of it.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: It's not the car's fault, but
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes

1	MR. MARGOLIS: But let me push back on that a little bit.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Okay. I've actually enjoyed this
3	car and gun debate myself a few times with various other folks. Okay?
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. I'm a California guy, I love cars, and
5	if I lived and worked, you know, two blocks from, I'd still own a car
6	because I don't know how not to, it's a new environment. But, you
7	know, cars have a lot of other purposes.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Oh, absolutely.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. A lot of other purposes, a lot of
10	productive purposes that they serve. I mean, aside from getting here to
11	there and you know, you are free to travel about the country and all that
12	good stuff.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Cars have these other purposes.
15	Guns, as far as I can tell, put holes in things and people?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. I agree with you. A gun can't kill a
18	person without somebody pulling the trigger. I mean, say for like the
19	gun rigged in the guy's shed, or something like
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yeah.
21	MR. MARGOLIS: law school cases or whatever. But you
22	know, yes, you need to have a trigger-happy human with a gun to make
23	that gun a danger. Okay. But I think we heard the numbers earlier on in
24	this case, that there's quite nearly a gun per a human being?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. I don't own one, which means
2	somebody's got mine, right? But the idea being with all of those guns,
3	do you think that increases the likelihood of violence, or decreases it?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: It I would think that it increases
5	it.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Increases it?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And if you and I are in a verbal
9	disagreement, okay, and maybe we're even intimating that we're, you
10	know, we're doing the puff the chest out thing and you know, kind of
11	equivalent to the
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yeah.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: the guy in the hallway thing, right. And I
14	then do one of these [demonstrating], but presume I, you know, got a
15	gun there, how do you take my sudden need to move my jacket?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: It would depend on; a) if I knew
17	you, it's got something to do with it too.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So, I mean, first I like that answer
19	and I think that actually invites more discussion. Let's say that you and I
20	met each other, you know, five minutes ago at the 7-Eleven.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Okay.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: And I might have thought you took too
23	long in front of me in line, right. And then you seem to like be
24	disrespecting my personal spaces, we're leaving the 7-Eleven because
25	you're stepping on the back of my shoes. So now you've got two strikes

against you, right? 1 2 And then I go to get in my car and damned if you haven't 3 parked your car, so next to my car that I have to like make myself, you 4 know, a coat hanger to get into my car, right? And those are the 5 circumstances in which we have words, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes. 6 7 MR. MARGOLIS: And immediately after that, what's your 8 interpretation? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: That you actually -- may actually 10 be going after a weapon? 11 MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. What is that -- what is that going to 12 engender in you; actions have reactions, right? What is that going to 13 cause you --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: It would actually depend on if --15 hey, if I thought that yet my life was in harm or something, I would 16 probably take a reaction to it. But -- but if I had a weapon in which I 17 don't, I would not, you know what I mean --18 MR. MARGOLIS: You don't have a weapon --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: -- if I had one. Huh? 20 MR. MARGOLIS: You don't have a weapon, and I've just 21 done this? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: I depends on how at that 23 moment -- how -- how they decide here, whatever you want to call it. I 24 feel I may step out of the way. 25 MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Now, now if I was in close
2	proximity, I I may actually tackle you.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. What do you think your being you in
4	that situation, right, and me being, you know, this gun showing guy?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Oh, a gun showing. Well, see,
6	what you
7	MR. MARGOLIS: What do you think my reaction would be?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: You didn't say a gun was
9	showing gun.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah. I meant to
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: because, you know
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Okay.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: open carry. Right? I'm
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yeah. Okay.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: I'm abiding by that law, I'm open carry.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Okay.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. But I show it to you in that context
19	where we're three feet apart from each other and we clearly established
20	that we're not bosom buddies, right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yeah.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: And then I decide to all of a sudden move
23	my jacket and show you. How does that affect you? What do you
24	what do you believe my intention was?
25	PROSPECTIVE ILIBOR 580. That you were trying to scare me

1	to actually leave.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: And that's just with me doing this?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: Right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Now you've handled a gun. Would you say
9	that it would be a fair statement for me to make or assumption for me to
10	make if I were to say that you, Mr. Martin, have certainly had more
11	experience and dealt with guns more than most civilians?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Probably.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yeah.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: And remind me, how long were you in the,
16	the military? Not the logistics part, but the military active?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Almost 12 years.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Almost 12 years?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
20	MR. MARGOLIS: I don't know what the a the average term of
21	services in the military?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Twenty.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Is it 20?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Well, that's that is that is the
25	normal retirement. It's a 20, but I actually stayed 26 years.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: But not everybody I mean that's a career
2	military person, right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Yes.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Like, I don't know, and I don't know
5	if you know, but does the average soldier do four years, eight years?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: It very varies, it varies.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: It varies.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: I mean
9	MR. MARGOLIS: You can't even say in hours, right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: No.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Well, let me ask you well, you
12	know what, I should probably move on for you, Mr. Martin, because you
13	are very experienced with the guns. So let me see if I can find
14	somebody who's less experienced
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 580: Okay.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: with the guns. And I believe I know
17	someone who is less experienced with the guns, because he might have
18	told us. So.
19	And let's see, Mieszala, Mr. Mieszala, right? I didn't want to
20	mispronounce it, and first I had to find you in the scribble on my page,
21	but you said something that drew my attention because it sounded fairly
22	similar to something I've said out loud before, which is that I think you
23	said, "I don't have a gun," right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Correct.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: And you are still here?

MR. MARGOLIS: And a little smile crept across my face when I've heard that, because it seemed to me that you were addressing a common, common theme in this gun debate that we've been having in this country. Okay? Which is that whenever you ask, you know, Joe neighbor, why he has to have a gun, he says, to protect myself and my family, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: That's correct.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And when Joe neighbor says that, in my mind, I think, does Joe neighbor have a bunch of marauding, violent Huns knocking at his door on every given day? And to be fair, Joe neighbor might, okay, Joe neighbor might. But my general impression is that Joe neighbor does not, and I took your statements to mean that you felt like Joe neighbor probably doesn't either. Is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: That's fair.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So the I need a gun for self-defense argument, you find a bit dubious, yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Yes.

MR. MARGOLIS: And if I were to put you in the same position that I put Mr. Martin in, you know, and we're at the 7-Eleven and you're chatting up the cashier and it's taking forever and I'm getting annoyed and visibly annoyed, right? And then we finally get out the door and you know, in spite of the fact that you were in front of me, you managed to keep talking to the cashier, and now you're right on my heels going out the door, right? And then the car park situation, and at

1	this point, I've just lost my patience with you and you are a nuisance that
2	I can no longer endure, right? And I decide to do this [demonstrating].
3	Okay? How do you react? What is your impression? How is it the same
4	or different than what Mr. Martin said?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: Intimidation.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 646: I'd be intimidated.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: One word, "intimidation," okay? In other
9	words, there's one reason and one reason only for that, right? Okay. I
10	mean, how many thank you very much.
11	Let me ask this question, and I'm really I want really want
12	you to give me the show of hands, even though you're not giving me the
13	show of hands. I mean raising the hand is easier than talking. Right?
14	I'm just going to ask you for the show of hands. I guess I'll rephrase it
15	this way. Gun in the household. Okay? Thank you very much.
16	Mr. Wallin?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: Sir?
18	MR. MARGOLIS: I believe you raised your hand in the
19	affirmative, yes?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: That is correct.
21	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So you own a firearm, I'm going to
22	take it to you know, I'm going to assume that firearm is there to protect
23	your family if need be.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: Yes, sir.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: Are you a hunter?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: I'm a veteran.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay, veteran. All right. So do you feel
3	that if you didn't have that gun in your household, you would be less
4	safe?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: I'm also a martial artist, so that's
6	kind of a trick question for me. I feel, especially getting older, that I have
7	the less ability to depend on my physical abilities, and now it's more of a
8	shift to a tool for my protection.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: So almost like if you were a little younger,
10	you might not feel as much of a desire to have it?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 455: That would be fair.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Thank you very much. If you could
13	pass that mic just right next door.
14	Mr. Rapanos?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Rapanos, yes.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Rapanos, yes, sir.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Close, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yes.
20	THE CLERK: A gun in your household?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yes, sir.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Hunter?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Never been hunting.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So fair to say you have it for
25	protection of yourself and your family, if need be?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yes, sir.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. How long have you had to firearm
3	him in your household?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Probably seven, eight years.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Pray tell, you never had to
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: No.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: Thank goodness.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yeah.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. How many people live in the house?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Two.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Just you and your
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Me and my youngest son, yes.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And has he ever handled the
14	weapon, fired the weapon, used the weapon?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Not in the house, but at the
16	shooting range
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, that's good.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: or you know, for practice, yes.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: A good clarification. Yeah. I didn't that
20	was not that's not a great question, buddy, I apologize. Yeah, that
21	would be something. Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Gun safety is very important.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Gun safety is very important. Okay.
24	And do you feel that every gun owner has your attitude
25	toward gun safety and exemplifies it in this country?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Probably not, but they should.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Is there a way, if you were, you
3	know, Oz, today, what would you do to make that happen?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: If I was what?
5	MR. MARGOLIS: If you were Oz, you know, if you had
6	infinite powers?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Oh, okay.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. How would you make every gun
9	owner be responsible? What, what would that look like? How would
10	mean, what could you do?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Classes and training.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Don't we do that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yeah. But no one can force you
14	to go to the school.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: So it's optional?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 605: Yes, it is.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, yeah. On your honor, good stuff. I
18	never find those liquor stores were they say "pay what you owe" at the
19	counter, bit if I did I'd frequent them all. All right. Thank you,
20	Mr. Rapanos, I appreciate it.
21	All right. Ms. Stevenson? I didn't catch whether you had
22	was up or not, so tell me do you have
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Stevenson, Emilie.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: All right. Do you have a gun in your
25	household?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yeah.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: You do?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. How long have you had it?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Maybe five years.
6	MR. MARGOLIS: Have you ever fired it?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes. In the in the range.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: In the gun range?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Not at some evil doer, coming to
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: No.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: mean you harm, thankfully. Okay, good.
13	Anybody else in your house know how to use the firearm?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.
15	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Do you feel safer now than you did
16	five years ago?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Actually, not really, but you
18	know for us as I have said, I am a Filipino, in the Philippines we we
19	own guns too. And we, my father used to teach us how to go to the
20	range and do these things. So I am also bringing my kids here, and they
21	own their own guns also. But we own guns here in Nevada. Through
22	we have training, yes.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh. Do your kids open carry their
24	guns? Do they walk around?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: No. I have a I I'm licensed to

1	carry, but I don't.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, you don't?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: No.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: How come?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: For me, I just don't want that I
6	will I have seen a lot of altercation, that when you are so angry you
7	don't really decide on what to do, and if you have guns, you might
8	choose it, that is on my own opinion.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: The potential for danger
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes. Yes.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: is greater, when the gun is around?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Yes.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: A gun can't kill anyone, as Mr. Martin said,
14	but the person probably couldn't kill anyone without the gun's assistance
15	either, yeah?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 606: Correct.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Thank you.
18	Let me ask Mr. Matsubara a question or two.
19	First of all let me say I am thrilled that you are uncomfortable
20	sentencing anyone to a long time in prison, but a job is a job, right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: So as I sit there with Mr. Lepolo right now,
23	do you think he's guilty?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: I don't know.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: If you had to vote right now, what would

1	you vote?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: I would say innocent.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Even better than not guilty, I like it. Okay.
4	Let me think about how I'm going to ask this. You said
5	that you would be uncomfortable on a jury, right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Yes.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. What if I told you that a little bit of
8	discomfort is probably warranted, do you think that's probably right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: I'm sorry, I don't understand the
10	question.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Let me ask it a different way; it's a poor
12	question. If you had to guess, okay, do you think anybody in this room
13	with you amongst the potential jurors is like really jonesing to go back
14	there and deliberate on this case, is really excited about it?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Maybe. Maybe so.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: You do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: I don't know.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Tell me who they are. All right. Tell me
19	who they are? I'm pretty sure I'm the only guy in this room jonesing to
20	be a juror, because it's probably never going to happen, right? Like my
21	ship has probably sailed on that front. But I guess what I'm trying to get
22	at, you're not helping get there, so I'm just going to make it a statement.
23	I think the most people in your seat, and among your
24	brethren here, are a little bit uncomfortable about the proposition, okay.
25	And unfortunately, you know, the old adage is pressure makes diamonds

and iron sharpens iron. And so even though there might be 12 of you uncomfortable people in there, okay, and they made a movie about this, they called it 12 angry men; it was really. They made them remake of it. It is not as good, but still pretty good. But some discomfort is warranted, it's a difficult task.

It is a noble task, and it is a necessary task, you know, and we've had plenty of people on this panel that have told us about how they came from different countries, and while this country's criminal justice system has its warts, every single one amongst us would line up to be tried in this system before those other systems.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.

MR. MARGOLIS: You know. So do you think you can be fair to Mr. Lepolo, despite your discomfort?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: I don't know if I can, a hundred percent, just because -- I don't know. I would, if -- if someone told me, like if I'm the actual person, then I would probably, but I don't like to like, talk in groups, do like group, you know, group debates and like, I don't think I could stand for myself, my opinion.

MR. MARGOLIS: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: My actual opinions.

MR. MARGOLIS: You bring up a good point and that touched on something that I heard you say earlier that I wanted to bring up with you, and I might not have as yet --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.

MR. MARGOLIS: So, you know, I'll let you off the hook for a

1	minute, but I'm probably going to come back to you.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Because I want do another hand raise
4	activity. Okay. Who amongst my panel here thinks that they have strong
5	opinions? Okay. And let me give you an example of strong opinions.
6	Okay? If you say to me Del Taco or Taco Bell, I'm going to say Del Taco,
7	and I can give you like a five paragraph essay on why. Okay.
8	I had a girlfriend once, I said, in my humble opinion, she
9	looked at me deadpan and said, you don't have humble opinions. Okay?
10	She was absolutely right, okay. And touché, she got me. I just I just, I
11	had to laugh even though it was at my expense, because it was well
12	played. Okay.
13	So strong opinions. Okay. Who said who amongst you
14	thinks they have strong opinions? Lone gunman, come on? All right.
15	Let's have some strong opinions.
16	Ms. Burns?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Yes.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. When I ask strong opinions, I'm
19	asking because there might be it might be 9 to 3, it might be 10 to 2, or
20	better yet it might be 11 to 1. Okay? And it could be 11 to 1 in favor of
21	Taco Bell and I'm going down with the ship. Okay. So you think if you
22	were in that room and you were 11 to 1 and you were the holdout that
23	you could hold out?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Absolutely.

MR. MARGOLIS: What do you think gives you that strength?

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: I think the way I was raised has a lot to do with it. My religion has a lot to do with it. Most of the time I'm an pretty even keel person, but there are certain things that I have very strong beliefs in, and I will defend those to the end. I'm not going to say, well, because everybody else says, yes, I'm going to say yes, no; if I don't want to, I won't do it.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: So I have my own opinions about a lot of things.

MR. MARGOLIS: I hear it, sister and I like it. So let's say, you know, what happens in situations like that oftentimes is that the 9, 10 or 11 are going to start to grouse and they're going to be like, come on, Burns, you stick in the mud, what the hell? Right? Okay. And it might start subtle, but it'll probably get a little louder. Okay. Not going to bother you, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: No.

MR. MARGOLIS: Perfect. Mr. Lane?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: Yeah.

MR. MARGOLIS: Let's hear from you, another strong opinion holder. Okay. I evoked 12 angry man for a reason. I want to know who's going to be strong like bull, with respect to their own opinion.

Okay? You said you were going to be, what do you think gives you the strength to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I have strong positions on many things like, you know, other people do in the, in the room and, you know,

and research that and come to those opinions and you feel very comfortable in that opinion. And given the women's rights, gun control, current political situation, stuff like that as far as in a jury room on your 11 to 1 sequence, after talking with your fellow jurors --

MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: -- going through the facts and stating your opinion, and they're stating theirs, and you know, I don't think you would sway just because, you know, you want to go away for the weekend and don't want to be sequestered to the golden nugget, you know, that's --

MR. MARGOLIS: Oh, Mr. Lane, you'd be surprised --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I know that's the --

MR. MARGOLIS: -- it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: I know that's the -- I know that's the reality. You know, I know you're speaking of the reality and none of us, well, some of us have been in a jury box, and myself has not. So yeah, you don't know when you get in there with your other 11 jurors who, you know, you're, it, you're, you're the club now, you know, and the weight of what's being decided, you know, as I stated, there's -- there's no real winner in this, and it's very weighty. And so, you know, do I one hundred percent know what will happen in the jury -- in the jury room with my fellow 11 people? I don't think you do until you're there.

MR. MARGOLIS: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 538: You can only go by how you've lived your life and your convictions on life.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay? Yes?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Can I follow up on this?
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Absolutely, Ms. Burns.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Just for a minute.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Music to my ears, go for it.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Okay. So if take the 11/1,
7	situation
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Sure.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: I probably would say to them,
10	prove to me that I'm wrong.
11	MR. MARGOLIS: Perfect.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: And then maybe I would change
13	my mind, but until somebody can show me another way of doing it
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Sure.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: that's how I think it works.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: I mean, this is all both sides want, right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Right.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: I mean, the idea is, look, you have your
19	opinion, but that doesn't mean your opinion is etched in stone, never to
20	be
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Correct.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: revisited based upon new facts. It's like
23	you can't just have this strong opinion and I'm not looking to let the facts
24	get in the way.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: Exactly. Maybe I missed

1	something, point it out to me.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: Right. Right. Exactly.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 318: And then let me make my own
4	decision.
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Perfect. I mean that is that would be a
6	great jury room if that were to happen. Thank you Ms. Burns.
7	All right. You're up again, Mr. Matsubara. I don't think
8	you're alone. Okay. Now you said under those circumstances, you're,
9	you're not a big conflict guy, right? You're not a put up your dukes and
10	let's fight it out, man, right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Like if if you are in a room and 10 jurors
13	see it one way, and you and one other juror see it another way, you're
14	probably more inclined than the other hold out to join the crowd, right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Your words, right, fair?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Yes.
18	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Would you at least make them
19	convince you, or would you just capitulate because it was 10 to 2?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Like I would hear out what their
21	opinions are before like actually changing my mind, but I don't know, it's
22	just me that like, I don't really want to be like the one that's left out, ou
23	of the group. So before that happens
24	MR. MARGOLIS: So you feel like you were excluded if you
25	were on the short number of the verdict, right? Like if

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Yeah.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: you were on the
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: The less powerful part of the big brother
5	house
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Uh-huh.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: you would feel troubled, right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 587: Yeah.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you. I appreciate the honesty.
10	Ms. Saldivar, I don't think I've spoken to you yet, and I would
11	be remiss if I didn't. So you think you're more of a swaying palm tree in
12	the breeze, or a solid oak tree, immoveable, in the jury room?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: In regards to if I would follow or
14	keep my
15	MR. MARGOLIS: No. I mean, let's say that, you know, you
16	take an initial vote back there it's, you know, 8/4 and you're on the 4 side,
17	are you going to be like, oh, well you 8 saw it that way, I must have done
18	something wrong?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: Unfortunately, sometimes that's
20	how I am even, you know, whether it's at work, anywhere where it's like
21	a big group
22	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: sometimes I will just end up
24	switching over as a follower instead of keeping if I see that they have
25	more of like, hey, this is what's going on, then I'll go with that.

1	MR. MARGOLIS: Not going to lead a minority sect in the
2	other direction?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: It it's hard for me sometimes
4	to
5	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: to do that.
7	MR. MARGOLIS: I mean, but when I'm hearing you say that,
8	it almost feels like you wish sometimes you were a little more
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: Yes, all the time.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: All the time.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: Even when speaking in public
12	and everything, like I am very where I don't want to talk
13	MR. MARGOLIS: You're doing great now.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: But then once I'm done it's like, I
15	should have said this. I should have said that. I should have thought
16	about this
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Well, since you said it, since you said it,
18	what should the State and I have asked you? What do you feel like you
19	should have said, that you haven't said here today, based on the kind of
20	the discussions we've had?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: I don'tI can't think of anything
22	right now.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Nothing at all?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 504: No.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. All right. Thank you very much, Ms.

1	Saldivar.
2	All right. I hate to do this, but it's entirely possible that I have
3	omitted someone; whom have I not spoken to directly? I can never
4	mind, I can already see, Mr. Mendez?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: So if you're in the jury room,
6	what do you think? Are you more of a go along, to get along guy or I've
7	never had a humble opinion in my life and I'm standing to the death on
8	this one?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: If if I'm not given a reason to
10	change my mind, if there's not a convincing argument or compelling
11	evidence, then I'm going to stand my ground on what I believe.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: You're going to say, sell me. Right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: Pretty much.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Two days later, stalemate; still feel
15	the same way.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 269: I'll be tired, but yeah.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Perfect answer. Thank you very much.
18	Court's indulgence for a minute. Thanks.
19	[Pause]
20	MR. MARGOLIS: Fair enough. A couple more minutes.
21	Sorry, thank you.
22	Mr. Monarrez? I haven't heard much from you today. I
23	remember hearing from you yesterday.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Yes.
25	MR. MARGOLIS: So if given those two choices, okay, I

1	realize it's a binary option, and that's not great, but oak tree or palm
2	tree?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Oak tree, being more sturdy in
4	your
5	MR. MARGOLIS: More sturdy and also, you know,
6	potentially the negative connotation of that is, you know, pigheaded,
7	stubborn, Lord knows I know about that.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: I like to see myself as an open-
9	minded person.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: I don't make any judgment
12	unless I hear all the facts and I see everything. I was raised that type of
13	person, I'm still that type of person, even now in my early twenties; and
14	apply that to literally almost all situations. I don't like to judge a book by
15	a cover. I'd say I never do it, but I try my best not to do it.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Right. I mean, I don't think any of us can
17	ever do it. I mean, it's little joke, stereotypes are a real timesaver.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Uh-huh.
19	MR. MARGOLIS: Right. But what happens when you catch
20	yourself being lazy in that regard and kind of judging the book by its
21	cover? How often do you kind of catch yourself mid-thought and say,
22	yeah, don't do that, first of all?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Pretty quickly on I I will jump,
24	make a quick judgment and I'm like, wait, I don't know anything about
25	them, or I don't know anything about the situation, let me dial it back,

and I don't know the facts yet, so I need to keep an open mind about it.

MR. MARGOLIS: Let me ask you this. Do you think the majority of people are inclined to do what you do when they have that snap judgment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: That I don't know actually. I would hope that everybody would keep an open mind.

MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: But I can't really judge them for that; maybe they do, maybe they don't.

MR. MARGOLIS: Right, fair enough. Let me rephrase it a little bit, because I agree, you probably can't speculate about, you know, some metaphysical group of people. Do you feel like you seek out people in your life that are inclined to do what you do and examine their snap judgments and their biases? And --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: I like to see myself, like when I either friends or family member make a quick judgment, I'm like, wait, I'm the one to tell him like, do you know everything about it? Do you know the facts about it? And when they tell me no, then I'm like, well, how can you come to your conclusion without knowing everything before, like passing judgment onto them?

MR. MARGOLIS: So sometimes you're the voice of reasoning --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 597: Yeah. I get that a lot with family and friends ever, since middle school, that I'm like the mediator, I'm the always the one that like, what's your side of the story? What's your side

1	of the story? And let me I'm like the third person point of view to like,
2	see into something
3	MR. MARGOLIS: The objective arbitrator, not affected by
4	dissuasive emotion. Very good. Thank you Cristobal.
5	All right, Ms. Acosta. I think I know the answer, but I'm
6	asking, because you've been pretty direct about it. Palm tree or oak
7	tree?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Palm tree, I guess.
9	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. Are there ever situations where you,
10	an admitted, self-admitted palm tree becomes an oak tree?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: I don't know. Like, I can't even
12	recall anything.
13	MR. MARGOLIS: All right. So let me ask it this way. You
14	have to have gotten mad at some point in your time on this mortal coil?
15	Yes?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Somewhat mad, I guess.
17	MR. MARGOLIS: Somewhat mad. I'll take it. I'll take it. All
18	right. So on some occasion you got somewhat mad, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Yeah.
20	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And I'm guessing someone did
21	something to you, said something about you hurt, someone you cared
22	about that prompted these feelings of so-so madness.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Yes.
24	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And at that point when you became
25	so-so mad, were you resolved to make it known to this individual?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Yeah.
2	MR. MARGOLIS: That you had a beef?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Some ways? Yeah.
4	MR. MARGOLIS: Some ways, yes. Okay. What did you do,
5	what did you do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: I guess a family member. Talk
7	about my other family member
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Uh-huh.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: With, I guess I deeply care about
10	this family member and I just talk like, you can't talk about this to my
11	family member. Okay.
12	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So you didn't like grab a stick and
13	start beating the person, talking about the other family member, but you
14	made it known, right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Uh-huh Yes, that's correct.
16	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. And do you feel like you'll be able to
17	do that in the jury room if somebody says something that you don't
18	agree with about a piece of evidence or about a piece of testimony?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Yes. In a way, yes.
20	MR. MARGOLIS: In a way?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: Yeah.
22	MR. MARGOLIS: All right. Tell me in what way you could,
23	and in what way you couldn't, because you are champion hedger. I
24	mean, if I took you to the sports book, I would say, where do I hedge,"
25	because you were very good at hedging. So what in what way could

you, in what way couldn't you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 670: I don't know.

MR. MARGOLIS: All right. I will let you off the hook.

Anybody want to volunteer anything to all of us in this room that neither myself or the State have inquired, that you feel like we should know? Hearing no volunteers, I will mercifully let you out.

Thank you very much for your kind attention, and Mr. Lepolo thanks you as well.

THE COURT: Mr. Margolis, does the defense pass the panel?

MR. MARGOLIS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

So ladies and gentlemen, at this point in time you'll see the parties going back and forth with a white piece of paper. If you remember yesterday when I was discussing that in this type of case, each side gets nine peremptory challenges. That means that we call them nine strikes or nine kicks. After each side does their nine, it means we have 14 qualified jurors.

In order for them to do that you have to be seated in the seats that you are in, because there's kind of a method to the madness and it helps to be able to see what face is with which name on what seat. But please feel free to talk quietly amongst yourselves, pull out your phones, make sure that they're, you know, they're silent, but you can go ahead and look at them. And then I do need to talk to the parties really quick about the sheet of paper.

[Sidebar begins at 2:48 p.m.]

1	THE COURT: So obviously 8 plus 1, the last one has to be on
2	an alternate, a waiver of 1 is not a waiver [indiscernible].
3	MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you. Can you have them leave the
4	noise on? Thank you.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
6	[Court and Clerk confer]
7	[Pause]
8	[Counsel confer]
9	MR. GIORDANI: Judge, may we approach?
10	[Sidebar begins at 3:08 p.m.]
11	MR. GIORDANI: So that's [indiscernible]. So I just want to
12	make sure we're on the same page. The four alternates are the only
13	THE COURT: 29 through 32.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Well, he they kicked 32 already, so
15	THE COURT: Oh.
16	MR. GIORDANI: we're now
17	THE COURT: 28 through 31?
18	MR. GIORDANI: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 so 26
19	through 31 are the only ones we can use as [indiscernible].
20	MR. MARGOLIS: Got you. Okay. Perfect.
21	THE COURT: What do you because he already kicked 27.
22	MR. GIORDANI: We waived it. And then he kicked Juror 32.
23	THE COURT: So you're adding two more to it?
24	MR. GIORDANI: No. So the only the alternates are 26
25	through 31.

1	THE COURT: I guess what I'm consuming that's only five.
2	And we only have because you're saying he just used it earlier, so he
3	can use the one
4	MR. GIORDANI: No. Wait. Hold on. Have we got the same
5	count?
6	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay. So 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12.
7	You can no longer use your last one on any juror before before 26.
8	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
9	MR. GIORDANI: That's all I'm saying.
10	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah.
11	MR. GIORDANI: I just want to make sure
12	THE COURT: Yeah.
13	MR. GIORDANI: we're on the same page.
14	MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: He's already used [indiscernible].
16	[Sidebar ends at 3:09 p.m.]
17	THE COURT: Okay. If you hear your name, please stand.
18	Pamela Charles, 254. Benjamin Auten, 260. Bailey Acosta, 286.
19	Christopher Durrett, 304. Joseph Overmyer, 314. Dimitri Grigorov, 523.
20	Omar Lopez, 531. Allison Daniel, 359. Steven Lane, 538. Kelley Hamlet,
21	564. Sherry Van Natta, 390. James McFerron, 401. Marisa Magatelli,
22	414. Ren Matsubara, 587. Joseph Mieszala, 646. Emilie Stevenson, 606.
23	Wayne Davenport, 607. And Margarita Saldivar, 504.
24	All right. For those of you standing, if you could please or
25	not exit, but go to the back of the room for me. You don't have to sit

down, just, kind of, stand. Those of you that have remained seated in my first 32, you guys are our jurors. Those of you that are standing, and those of you that we didn't get to, I really appreciate you being here, and I'm sorry that we couldn't get to you, but I'm sure that you're fine with that. So thank you so much for your willingness to serve, but you are excused at this point in time.

THE MARSHAL: And if I could grab everybody's badge before they leave. Thanks.

[Excused Prospective Jurors out at 3:15 p.m.]

THE COURT: Mr. Mendez, could you scoot down to seat 1, for me? Ms. Smith and Ms. Romero, can you guys scoot down to seats 2 and 3, for me? Ms. Acosta, will go up to seat 4? Why am I saying that, Ms. Griffith? I'm looking at you, but I'm saying "Acosta." I'm sorry. Followed by Ms. Long. Followed by Ms. Burns, right -- turn, yeah, right behind you.

All right. Miss -- is it -- do I say it Mazzanti? PROSPECTIVE JUROR 346: Mazzanti.

THE COURT: Yeah. Would you go right behind you, into that corner? Thank you. Followed by Mr. Martin. Ms. Jackson-Hale. Mr. Palmer. Ms. Ali. Mr. Rapanos. Mr. Wallin. And then Mr. Monarrez. So as for Mr. Wallin and Mr. Monarrez, as long as you guys stay with Mr. Wallin, going in first, you guys can seat -- sit anywhere in that third row - oh, sorry. So he -- you have to be first. Yeah. So it can be -- yeah. Now it can be anywhere. So what I meant is, you have to be before him in -- in line, but you guys can sit anywhere. Does that make sense?

1	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: [No verbal response].
2	THE COURT: Yeah. All right. Okay. So I am going to you
3	are our jury. I'm going to read some opening introduction introduction
4	remarks to you. Because when we get here in the morning, the parties
5	are going to go into what's referred to as opening statements. And so I'd
6	like to start fresh with those, all right?
7	MR. GIORDANI: Your Honor, may we approach?
8	THE COURT: Yeah.
9	[Sidebar begins at 3:17 p.m.]
10	MR. GIORDANI: I thought you said, today, [indiscernible]
11	outside. I thought we were doing it today?
12	THE COURT: Oh, okay. We can.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Are you guys okay with that?
14	MR. MARGOLIS: I'm fine.
15	THE COURT: All right. No, I'm sorry. I didn't if I said that, I
16	had just forgotten it. But that's totally fine.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. Okay.
18	THE COURT: Yeah, then let's take a well, no, I'm going to
19	take a break before.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Take a break before
21	THE COURT: Yeah.
22	MR. GIORDANI: openings? Yeah.
23	MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah, yeah,
24	MR. GIORDANI: Perfect.
25	THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.

[Sidebar ends at 3:18 p.m.]

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So before we begin our formal trial - by the way, the parties reminded me, we're actually going to do opening statements today. I apologize. I had forgotten that I had told that to them, so that's my fault. So what we're going to do is, I'm going to read you the introductory remarks. We're going to take a recess. You guys can get something to drink, or a snack. And then we'll go into opening statements, and then we'll recess for the day, okay?

Before we begin the trial, for those who remain as jurors, I want to let you know what you can expect. What I will now say is intended to serve as a general introduction to the trial of this case. It is not a substitute for the detailed instructions on the law, which I will give you at the close of the case and before you retire to consider your verdict.

First, I'd like you to be as comfortable as possible while you're here. If you have trouble hearing or seeing, at any time during the trial, please raise your hand as an indication. Also, if you need to use the restroom, if you feel ill, or if you have any other problem, again, please raise your hand as an indication. I try to do my best to constantly be scanning you guys, to see if you're in need of everything, and Officer Kennis does the exact same thing. So if you just lift your hand or, kind of, just wave it, we'll be on top of that.

I also encourage you to stand up and stretch between witnesses or when the attorneys are discussing something up here at the bench with me. You can also feel free to bring in water or any other

clear liquid, as long as it doesn't cause any disruption in the proceedings.

During trial, we'll generally meet sometime between the hours of 8:30 and 11:00. And we always end around 5:00. The only time we don't end around 5:00, is if there's a witness that is so close to be getting done, so that we can finish them so they don't have to, you know, come back the following day. Or especially those who -- sometimes we have witnesses who fly out late that night. We usually try to accommodate them and get them done. But I never hold you much over 5:00, because I know that people have issues after 5:00 that you get -- need to get to, and I understand that.

Also, during the day, we generally break for lunch sometime between noon and 1:00. On the days that we start later, like the days that we start at 11:00, 11:30, we don't break for lunch, but I still give you the 15-minute recesses. But in the times that we start in the morning, 10:00 or before, I always make sure that we have an hour break so that you guys are getting time to get lunch and whatever you need, okay?

So as you already know, this is a criminal case commenced by the State of Nevada -- which I sometimes referred to as the State -- against Mr. Lepolo, the Defendant. This case is based on what's referred to -- are we on an "Information"? Yeah. On an Information.

And Ms. Brown, I know she's already read to you the information, but I'm going to have her read the amended Information, at this point in time. Ms. Brown?

THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County, Nevada. State of

Nevada, Plaintiff, versus Tuly Lepolo, aka Tutamua Lepolo, Defendant. Case Number C- 20-345911-1. Department Number VI, Amended Information. Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court, that Tuly Lepolo, aka Tutamua Lepolo, the Defendant above-named, having committed the crimes of murder with use of a deadly weapon, and assault with a deadly weapon, on or about the 3rd day of April, 2016, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force, and effect of -- and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

Count 1, murder with use of a deadly weapon, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and with malice afterthought, killed Rachel Stapinski, a human being, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit, firearm, by shooting at and into the body of the said Rachel Stapinski. The said killing having been, one, willful, deliberate, and premeditated, and/or two, pursuant to a challenge to fight, whereby Raquel Stapinski, was shot and killed in the crossfire.

Count 2, assault with a deadly weapon, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm, and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit, Flora Marie Taylor, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit, a firearm, by pointing said firearm at Flora Marie Taylor. Steven B. Wolfson Clark County, Clark County District Attorney, signed by John Giordani, Chief

3 4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

Deputy District Attorney, to which the Defendant has entered a plea of not guilty.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please understand that the information that was just read to by my clerk, is simply a charge, and that it is not, in any sense, evidence of the allegations it contains. The Defendant has pled not guilty to the Information. The State, therefore, has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the Information, beyond a reasonable doubt.

As Mr. Lepolo sits there right now, he is not guilty. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden. It is your primary responsibility as jurors, to find and determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are here to determine the facts, from the testimony you hear and other evidence, including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence.

You must base your verdict solely on the evidence presented in the courtroom, and the instructions on the law that I give you. To protect the integrity of the jury process, it is very important that you not -- do not do any independent research about this case, until the jury has reached a final decision. You may not visit any location involved in this case.

You must not do any research or look up words, names, maps, or anything else that may have anything to do with the case. This includes reading newspapers, watching television, or using a computer,

cell phone, the internet, or any other means to get information related to this case or the people and places involved in the case. This applies whether you are in the courthouse, at home, or anywhere else.

Additionally, until you are discharged from service as a jury, you must not provide or receive any information about your jury service, to anyone, including friends, coworkers or family members. You may tell people that you have been picked for a jury, and how long the case will take. However, you must not give any information about the case itself or the people involved in the case. You must also warn people not to try to say anything to you, or write to you, about your jury service or the case. This includes face-to-face, phone, or computer communications.

In this age of electronic communication, I'd like to stress that you must not use electronic devices or computers to research or talk about this case, including Googling, Tweeting, texting, blogging, emailing, Snapchatting, TikToking, posting information on a website, or any other means, at all.

All of us are depending on you to follow these rules so that there will be a fair and lawful resolution of this case. You may not declare to your fellow jurors, any facts relating to this case, of your own knowledge. And if you discover, during the trial, or after the jury has retired, that you or any other juror has personal knowledge of any witness or fact in controversy in the case, you must disclose that information to me, outside the presence of the other jurors.

The trial process will be like -- begins like this. Trial begins with opening statements. The district attorneys will make an opening

statement, if they so desire, which is an outline to help you understand what the State expects to prove. Next, the Defendant's attorneys may, if they so desire, make an opening statement, but they do not have to.

Opening statements serve as an introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove, but they are not, in themselves, evidence. Next comes the presentation of evidence. The State will commence with its Case in Chief. This is the State's opportunity to present its evidence in the case. This consists of the calling of witnesses and the production of physical items of evidence, such as documents or photographs, and the like.

Counsel for the Defendant may cross-exam the State's witnesses. Following the State's Case in Chief, the Defendant may present evidence, and the District Attorney may cross-examine the Defense witnesses. However, as I have said, the Defendant is not obligated to present any evidence at all.

Next, comes rebuttal evidence. If the Defendant presents evidence, the State will have the opportunity to present rebuttal evidence. And the Defendant may have the opportunity to present surrebuttal evidence.

The evidence in this case will consist of sworn testimony of witnesses, all exhibits received in evidence, regardless of which side introduces the evidence. If the attorneys on both sides stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must, unless otherwise instructed, accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

I may take judicial notice of certain facts or events. If I take

judicial notice of a fact or event, you must accept that fact as true. So a good example of me taking judicial notice, would be if someone said, Judge, please take judicial notice that May 22nd of 2019 was a Friday. So then I would go into Google, or go into a trusted source, and establish that that fact is absolutely true. And then I would inform you, please take judicial notice that so-and-so. So I'll just make sure -- if that does happen, I'll make sure and instruct you on that.

In every case, there are two types of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony, by a witness, about what that person saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which, if that fact is proven, you can infer the existence of the second fact. So let me give you a real world explanation of that.

So let's say, tonight, when you get back -- get to -- in your car, on your way back home. As you get in the car and you start to pull out of the parking garage, it starts to get dark and dreary, it's gray outside, the clouds start to form, you hear thunder, you see lightning, and then you see rainfall on your windshield. That's direct evidence that it rain. You saw it with your own right -- your own eyes.

Circumstantial evidence is similar, but different in this way -so all of those things happen. You get into your car, it gets dark and
dreary, the clouds form, it's grey, you hear thunder, you see lightning.
You drive all the way home and you pull into your garage, and you're
exhausted, so you go to sleep. You wake up in the morning and there's
rain going down the driveway -- or not rain -- I apologize -- it's wet on the

driveway, there's water on the grass, there's water running down the gutters and the street. You didn't see that it rained, right? But you pulled together all those circumstances, all of those facts, and then you come to the conclusion -- obviously, it rained.

The law in Nevada says that you may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both types of evidence, but it is up to you to decide how much weight to give a particular piece of evidence.

The parties may sometimes make objections to some of the testimony or other evidence. It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which he or she believes may not -- may not properly be offered, and you should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party that he or she represents. At times I may sustain objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any evidence to which an objection has been sustained or which I have instructed you to disregard.

You also must not consider anything which you may have seen or heard when the court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is said or done by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses. In considering the weight and value of the testimony of any witness, you may take into consideration the appearance, attitude, and behavior of the witness, the interest of the witness in the outcome of the case, if any, the relation of the witness to the Defendant or the State, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not, and the probability or improbability of the witness' statements and all of the facts and

circumstances in evidence. Thus, you may give the testimony of any witness just such weight and value as you believe the testimony of the witness is entitled to receive.

At the conclusion of the evidence. I will instruct you on the law. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions, or in the instructions that I will read to you after the evidence is in. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath, to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given to you by the Court.

Until the case is submitted to you, you must not discuss it with anyone, even with your fellow jurors. After it is submitted to you, you must discuss it only in the jury room with your fellow jurors. So what jurors general -- sometimes get confused on is, they recognize that they can't go home and they can't talk to their family or coworkers or friends about what goes on. You also can't speak about it to one another. So if something happens interesting in court, you guys go out on a recess. You cannot talk about it. You can't talk about what any witness said, what one of the attorneys did, anything you learned. The only time it can ever be discussed, is after the case is finally done and you're in the deliberation room with one another. That's the only time discussion -- the only time and place discussion can ever be had, in regards to deliberations.

Again, if you discover, during the course of the trial, that you have personal knowledge of the facts of the case, or that you know one

9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

21

20

22 23 24

25

of the witnesses, please give a note to the marshal, who will present it to me.

After the instructions have been read to you, each side will have the opportunity to present closing arguments. What is said in closing arguments is not evidence, just like opening statements are not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence, while discussing with you how to apply the law to the facts in the case.

Since the state has the burden of proving the Defendant guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments. This means the State will make a closing argument, followed by closing argument from the Defense, and then the State may make a rebuttal closing argument. After the arguments have been completed, you will retire to deliberate on your verdict.

During the trial, it may be necessary for me to confer with the lawyers, at the bench, about questions or law or procedure that I need to make a decision on. Sometimes you may be excused from the courtroom for the same reason. I try to limit these interruptions as much as possible, but please understand, if we take a break, it is necessary, and that we appreciate your patience.

As I was telling you guys yesterday, I was a juror before. And I would get so frustrated with the judge when she would say, be back at 10:20, and then it'd 10:25, and then it'd be 10:30, and then it'd be 10:35. And I would get so bad, because I'm kind of an anxious, OCD-type person, right?

Well, now that I am on the other side of things, when we are running behind, it's not that -- we rarely, rarely get a recess on our own, to be honest with you. Normally, when I say, Give us 15 minutes, we are in here working on legal issues so that when the witnesses come in, everything goes more seamless for you.

So please know, if we're in here and it's delayed, it's not because we're, you know, messing around, or that we're not doing what we're supposed to be doing. We're often talking and working through things that have to do with the case. So please be patient, and know that I know if we're running late, because I'm watching that clock like the back of my hand -- so I'm keeping track of it.

You will be given a badge to wear during your jury service. Please wear that badge at all times while you're in the courthouse or on a break. This badge lets everyone know that you are a juror in the case. It's important, because during the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides, and court personnel, other than the marshal, are not permitted to talk to members of the jury.

And by this, I mean, not only can they not talk about the case, but they cannot talk to you at all. Not even to ask the time of day. The badge helps them to identify you as a juror.

If someone will not talk to you, please understand that they are not being rude. To ensure that there's absolute impartiality of the jury, the people involved in this case are bound by ethics and law, to avoid contact with jurors, until the case is decided.

In a moment, when we go into opening arguments when you

come back from recess, you'll find paper -- a notepad and pens. These are for your use, and you are free to take notes during the trial, if you wish. But please keep the notes to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide and deliberate the case.

Do not let note-taking distract you. You also should rely on your own memory of what was said, and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors. If jurors have conflicting notes, you should not rely on the notes, because the court recorder's record contains the complete and authentic record of the trial.

I will probably type a lot of notes during the trial. Please do not take this into consideration. If I begin to type during a witness' testimony, you are not to consider that testimony more important than any other testimony. In fact, you are not to consider anything I say or do, or anything during this trial, that suggests that I am inclined to favor the claims or position of any party. I am required to remain neutral.

It would be wrong for you to conclude that anything I say or do, means I am for one side or another, in the trial. Discussing and deciding the facts is your job, alone.

Jurors in my department are allowed to ask questions. However, a specific process must be followed. So how it will work is, the attorneys will ask all the questions of their witnesses. And after they're done, I'll ask, Do any -- Does anyone from the jury have a question for this witness? If you do, just raise your hand. They have to be written. And so it's only -- it can only be one question per page, and it has to be signed by you and have your seat number.

__

So that back row, closest to the wall, you guys are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. My second row is 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12. And my third row is 13 and 14. So one question per page, signed, and your new juror seat number. If you have multiple questions, totally fine -- just one per page. And the reason why is, I have to do an analysis on each piece of the paper. And then that goes in as a court exhibit.

So that's why it can only be one question per page. If your question doesn't get asked, it's not because anyone is trying to hide the answer from you. It's because there's an evidentiary rule that prevents me from asking it. Obviously, you guys aren't lawyers. I don't expect you to know which questions are admissible and which aren't. So if you have a question, just ask it.

But just know, if I don't answer it, it's not because anyone's hiding it from you. It's just because there's an actual rule that prevents me from asking, okay? All right.

Finally, in fairness to the parties, you should keep an open mind throughout this trial, reaching your conclusions only during your final deliberations after all the evidence is in and you have heard the attorney's closing arguments and my instructions to you on the law.

Now that I have conduct -- concluded with the preliminary instructions, will you please rise and raise your right hand so that my clerk can administer the jurors oath to you.

[Jury, sworn]

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

THE COURT: All right. So I am going to give you -- let's take

1	a te
2	the
3	ren
4	any
5	me
6	cor
7	
8	acc
9	cor
10	
11	cas
12	lea
13	exp
14	γοι
15	
16	eve
17	sin
18	bec
19	it's
20	
21	
22	

24

25

a ten-minute recess. You guys can stretch your legs, get a drink. And then we'll come back and we will roll into opening statements. Please remember, during this recess, to not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way, regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, email, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media.

Please do not read, watch, or listen to any news, media accounts, or commentary about the case. Don't do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using reference materials.

Please do not make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way attempt to learn or investigate the case on your own. And please do not form or express any opinion regarding the case, until it's formally submitted to you.

I know that you feel like you hear that a lot, but you haven't even started. So that has -- we have -- I have to say that verbatim every single time you leave this room, so that's why I have memorized, because I've done it a thousand times. So I know that you may feel like it's repetitive, but the law says I have to do it, all right?

So I'll see you guys in ten minutes, 3:45, please.

THE MARSHAL: All rise.

[Jury out at 3:36 p.m.]

[Recess at 3:36 p.m., recommencing at 3:48 p.m.]

[Jury in at 3:48 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, everyone. Thank you.

1	We are on the re
2	Everyone, pleas
3	Margolis, as we
4	as well as Ms. C
5	stipulate to the _l
6	MR.
7	MR.
8	THE
9	been instructed.
10	your opening st
11	MS.
12	THE
13	MS.
14	This
15	throughout the
16	her life, on April
17	On t
18	apartment comp
19	of the apartmen
20	numbers. You
21	two families hav
22	And
23	are familiar with
24	in the street ir
O.E.	a araamant ta fia

We are on the record in State of Nevada versus Tuly Lepolo, C-345911. Everyone, please be seated. Mr. Lepolo is present, with Counsel, Mr. Margolis, as well as -- or excuse me, paralegal Mendoza. Mr. Giordani, as well as Ms. Conlin, are present on behalf of the State. Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. MARGOLIS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. At this point in time, the jury has been instructed. They have been sworn. State, are you prepared to give your opening statement?

MS. CONLIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Conlin.

MS. CONLIN: Thank you.

This is Raquel Stapinksi. This is her before April 2016. And throughout the course of this trial, you will learn how the man who took her life, on April 3rd, 2016, is Tuly Lepolo.

On that day, at 6501 West Charleston Boulevard, an apartment complex here in Las Vegas. We'll be focusing in on this corner of the apartment complex. And I've marked 25 and 26 as the building numbers. You will learn, in this trial, that on April 3rd, 2016, there were two families having separate barbecues.

And these two families, some individuals from each family are familiar with one another. And at some point, there's a gathering out in the street -- in the parking lot area. And there's what begins as an agreement to fight, amongst individuals from both families. And it starts

out as a fist fight, hand to hand.

2

3

At some point, you will learn that a single shot in the air, goes off, and the individuals that are gathered in the street disperse and return to their respective areas. However, you will also hear that Mr. Lepolo goes to a white Chevrolet Suburban, where that yellow square appeared in that carport. He goes into the car, retrieves a gun, closes the door, and heads in the direction towards Apartment 231, located in Building 26.

8

9

As he's running by, you will hear that a witness hears a statement of, What's up now, bitch-ass N word, as he's running in that direction. You will also hear, from Flora Taylor, who will indicate that Mr. Lepolo ran up to her, pointed a gun in her face, and then Mr. Lepolo begins firing.

16 17

14

15

And he begins firing in the direction of Apartment 231. You will also hear that an individual, by the name of Henry Taylor, returns fire. Mr. Lepolo is then seen headed in the direction behind Building 25, in the direction towards Torrey Pines, and he's not seen again.

19 20

18

Officers respond to a shooting call and, ultimately, they discover Ms. Stapinski on the sidewalk, deceased, and she has a gunshot wound to her arm. And you will hear, from a coroner, who determined that the cause of death is a gunshot wound to the arm axilia [phonetic], and the manner of death is homicide.

22 23

24

25

21

So officers respond. They discover Ms. Stapinski. Homicide detectives respond. But, ultimately, you will hear that individuals that are found on scene, are not, initially, cooperative with police. However,

as I said, crime scene analysts respond to the scene. Homicide detectives are assigned to the case. And over the course of the next couple of years, various investigative tools are used. And you will hear, throughout the course of this trial, regarding trajectory analysis, firearms-related analysis, DNA, and fingerprints.

You will hear about the white Chevrolet Suburban that, you will hear, Mr. Lepolo went into. You will hear that the -- initially, the crime scene analysts respond. They do initial fingerprint processing at the scene, and then there's later processing, later, at the crime scene garage, where they do additional fingerprint processing as well as recovery of items from inside the vehicle, and do DNA analysis.

And you will hear that, among others, Tuly Lepolo's fingerprints are in and around the vehicle. And Mr. Tuly Lepolo's DNA is included on some of the items that are found inside the vehicle.

As I mentioned, crime scene analysts respond to the scene that night. And they discover a blood trail in this general direction of the yellow line that I have included on this photo. And that yellow line is not to suggest that there's one solid line of blood, but just to visually demonstrate the path. And as they respond, they will swab those blood drops. And, ultimately, you will hear, from an analyst that reviews the DNA, Mr. Tuly Lepolo's DNA is included in this blood trail.

You will also hear about trajectory analysis that the crime scene analysts did the night that they responded. This is one example, and I've drawn that yellow rectangle to describe -- or to point out those yellow rods that are sticking out of the wall. You will hear, from the

crime scene analyst, about trajectory analysis and what that entails.

They will also -- the crime scene analyst will describe the diagram that they've created from this analysis. And just to describe where we're at in the apartment complex, on the left-hand side, you have the map where this -- the building and the diagram match up.

And we'll learn about the multiple trajectories that go into Apartment 233, in Building 26. And you will also learn about trajectories that are across from that alcove in Building 25. Some bullets were recovered from the trajectories, going into Building 26. And you will hear that those bullets come back as .40 caliber.

You will also hear about another set of cartridge cases that are collected. There are two sets. One set is found in the alcove, and then one set is found outside the alcove. In regards to further firearms analysis, you will hear that the bullet that is recovered from Ms. Stapinski, is a .40 caliber bullet.

And over the course of this trial, you will -- from the witnesses and the evidence, you will learn that the person that shot that .40 caliber bullet ending Ms. Stapinski's life, was Mr. Tuly Lepolo.

At the end of this, Mr. Giordano and I will stand up again in front of you and ask you to find Mr. Tuly Lepolo guilty of both counts.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, State. Mr. Margolis, whenever you're ready, sir.

MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you. Hello, again. Almost done.

Mr. Margolis. I represent Mr. Lepolo. We are here, because on April 3rd,

2016, Raquel Stapinski, tragically lost her life. Sad. No one should lose their life in the way that Ms. Stapinski did. And we're very sorry that it happened.

That being said, the State of Nevada is here, because they want to hold Mr. Lepolo responsible, and Mr. Lepolo is not guilty of this crime. You should find him not guilty of this crime.

The evidence is going to show that this case involved a family feud that, basically, exploded into gun violence. And I use "family feud" specifically and purposefully. Because while there were two families that were feuding, there was also considerable overlap between those two families. You know, overlap that really makes a messy situation, you know?

And some of the questioning that I did with you folks, during our process, was related to that. The State alleges that there was a challenge to fight. But I would submit that the evidence is going to demonstrate, very clearly, that Mr. Lepolo did not challenge anyone to fight, nor did he accept the challenge to fight.

And to the extent the evidence shows that anyone in the Lepolo family did what the State alleges, i.e., challenge someone to a fight or accepted a challenge to a fight, I would submit that the circumstances of that challenge really left no choice. Sometimes, retreat is not an available option. Sometimes, your hand is forced, okay?

I would argue that to the extent anyone in the Lepolo family challenged any --

MR. GIORDANI: Objection. I'm sorry to interrupt.

MR. MARGOLIS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GIORDANI: Argument.

THE COURT: I do think it's delving into argument.

MR. MARGOLIS: Okay.

THE COURT: It is sustained.

MR. MARGOLIS: The evidence is going to show that there was a fight. And the evidence is going to show that that fight went from a simple physical fist fight, into gun violence. The evidence is going to show that that gun was not introduced by Mr. Lepolo.

In any criminal case, and certainly in a first degree murder case, the Prosecution bears the burden. And it is a heavy burden. And it is a high, tall order that they must reach, okay? The State is required to prove every element of every charge levied against Mr. Lepolo, beyond a reasonable doubt.

And it is forced to rely upon the witnesses that it has, in order to accomplish that objective. To the extent there exists any reasonable doubt, after the conclusion of the presentation of evidence, Mr. Lepolo is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

All Mr. Lepolo and I ask, is that each and every one of you in this jury give your own discerning individual judgment to the facts that are entered in evidence and to the testimony provided by the witnesses. You are the finders of fact. You are the ones who determine the degree to which any particular witness is telling the truth about what they're testifying to you.

And I would submit, in a case in which there's a lot of family overlap, there might be reasons why one witness or another may bend the truth. Your job to determine who's telling the truth, how much of the truth they're telling.

I know it's a dead horse, and I know I've beaten it a few times, but I'm going to beat it again. Judge Bluth has beaten it. Even the Prosecution has beaten it. We don't have to do a single thing. I could've waived this opening statement and not said a single word. Mr. Lepolo is not charged with the hurdle of proving his innocence. I could let the evidence go and not cross-examine a single witness. I'm not going to do that, but it's very important that I know that you understand that we have no burden here. It's entirely upon the State. Okay? And that burden comes complete with the facts surrounding the incident and the people involved in the incident.

I'm going to ask that as witnesses testify, that you pay special attention to the fight that proceeded the gun battle. I'm going to ask that you pay special attention to the behaviors and statements of the individuals, not just in my client, Mr. Lepolo's family, but also in the other family that was involved in this skirmish.

Much of the Lepolo family does not even reside in Las Vegas. They were on their way out of town on April 3rd, 2016. Slight difference in the factual predicate. The Lepolo clan was celebrating their party as it were. To be fair and truthful, the day prior. That being said, many members of the Lepolo had come back to the Lantana Apartment Complex on their way back to Southern California to say goodbye to the

۲ ۱

٥-

Nevada residence of the Lepolo family.

If anyone in the Lepolo family had a time machine, I'm sure they would go back to April 3rd, 2016, and call grandma on the phone.

But unfortunately, there is no going back.

The evidence will show that Ms. Stapinski was at a birthday party for a very close friend of hers by the name of Dana Forman. The evidence will show that during the course of that birthday party, drinks were had, BBQ was eaten, and to a certain extent, old grudges were renewed. While there was a predicate fist fight that day, there had also been a series of incidents previous to that involving these two families.

A large part of the two families were known to one another. Mr. Lepolo, for his part, not being a Las Vegas resident, probably a little informed of the facts on the ground as some other folks that were there. Turns out, a couple months prior to the April 3rd, 2016, that results in the tragic loss of life, evidence will show there's another fight, allegedly.

Evidence will show that Ms. Forman told numerous members of her family and probably anybody within ear shot that would listen, that Mr. Lepolo's son had been involved in jumping her and attacking her, had kicked her in the head. As a result of this attack, the evidence is going to show that there were members of the other family involved in this skirmish that felt dutybound to avenge the jumping of Ms. Forman.

Thus, leads to the staged fire. Much of my discussion during jury selection was revolving around the nature of a physical fight and the escalation of a physical fight and who bears responsibility when the