IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TULY LEPOLO, Supreme Court Case Electronically Filed Aug 25 2023 09:36 PM Elizabeth A. Brown District Court Case Noter 20 Subjected Court Appellant, VS. STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent,

MOTION FOR TRANSMITTAL OF EXHIBITS PURSUANT TO NRAP 30(D)

COMES NOW Appellant, TULY LEPOLO, by and through his counsel in this matter, JEAN J. SCHWARTZER, ESQ., and pursuant to Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure 30(d), asks this Court to direct the District Court to transmit State's Exhibit 323 admitted at trial on August 23, 2022 to the Supreme Court of Nevada to be used for the purpose of reaching a decision on the issues raised in Appellant's appeal filed this same day.

This motion is based upon the following memorandum and all papers and pleadings on file herein.

DATED this 25th day of August, 2023.

/s/ Jean J. Schwartzer

JEAN J. SCHWARTZER, ESQ Nevada State Bar No. 11223 Law Office of Jean J. Schwartzer 411 E. Bonneville Avenue, Suite#360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 979-9941 Counsel for Appellant

MEMORANDUM

Rule 30 (d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure allows the inclusion of copies of relevant and necessary exhibits in an appendix. However, if an exhibit cannot be reproduced, a party may:

...file a motion requesting the Supreme Court to direct the district court clerk to transmit the original exhibits. The Supreme Court will not permit the transmittal of original exhibits except upon a showing that the exhibits are relevant to the issues raised on appeal, and that the Supreme Court's review of the original exhibits is necessary to the determination of the issue.

NRAP 30(d).

Appellant asks that this Court direct the District Court Clerk's Office to transmit State's Exhibit 323 admitted at trial on August 23, 2022.

State's Exhibit 323 admitted at trial on August 23, 2022 consists of a recording of the redacted police interview with Appellant. Appellant argued in his direct appeal that the district court erred when it denied his request to suppress this statement based upon the lack of voluntariness as well as the fact that Appellant asked for an attorney. Hearing the tone and cadence of Appellant's request is integral to the inquiry as to whether or not the statement was voluntary and whether he unequivocally invoked his Fifth Amendment right to counsel. The district court listened to the recording before making the ruling. Moreover transcripts were not provided to the jury and the jurors only heard the recording of Appellant's statement.

The recording offers the Court an opportunity to view direct evidence in making its determination.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Counsel cannot submit this recording in Appellant's Appendix because it is an audio recording and cannot be uploaded to the Supreme Court of Nevada E-Flex System.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Chambers respectfully requests the Court to order the District Court Clerk's Office to transmit State's Exhibit 323 admitted at trial on August 23, 2022 to the Supreme Court of Nevada so as to be used when reaching a decision on the issues on appeal.

DATED this 25th day of August, 2023.

/s/ Jean J. Schwartzer

JEAN J. SCHWARTZER, ESQ Nevada State Bar No. 11223 Law Office of Jean J. Schwartzer 411 E. Bonneville Avenue, Suite#360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 979-9941 Counsel for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY AND AFFIRM that this document was filed electronically on the 25th of August, 2023 and that the document shall be served in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON FORD

ALEXANDER CHEN

BY: <u>/s/ Jean Schwartzer</u>

JEAN J. SCHWARTZER, ESQ Nevada State Bar No. 11223 Law Office of Jean J. Schwartzer 411 E. Bonneville Avenue, Suite#360 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 979-9941 Counsel for Appellant