Case Nos. 85525 & 85656

In the Supreme Court of Nevada

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY; UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES, INC.; UMR, INC.; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.; and HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC.,

Appellants,

vs.

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD.; TEAM PHYSICIANS OF NEVADA-MANDAVIA, P.C.; and CRUM STEFANKO AND JONES, LTD.,

Respondents.

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY; UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES, INC.; UMR, INC.; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.; and HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC.,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark; and the Honorable NANCY L. ALLF, District Judge,

Respondents,

us.

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD.; TEAM PHYSICIANS OF NEVADA-MANDAVIA, P.C.; and CRUM STEFANKO AND JONES, LTD.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Electronically Filed Apr 18 2023 08:12 PM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No. 85525

Case No. 85656

APPELLANTS' APPENDIX VOLUME 36 PAGES 8751-9000

K. LEE BLALACK II
(pro hac vice)

JONATHAN D. HACKER (pro hac vice forthcoming)

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

1625 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376)
JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492)
ABRAHAM G. SMITH (SBN 13,250)
KORY J. KOERPERICH (SBN 14,559)
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP
3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Attorneys for Appellants/Petitioners

D. LEE ROBERTS (SBN 8877)
COLBY L. BALKENBUSH
(SBN 13,066)
WEINBERG, WHEELER,
HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, LLC
6385 South Rainbow Blvd.,
Ste. 400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
1.	Complaint (Business Court)	04/15/19	1	1–17
2.	Peremptory Challenge of Judge	04/17/19	1	18–19
3.	Summons - UMR, Inc. dba United Medical Resources	04/25/19	1	20–22
4.	Summons – United Health Care Services Inc. dba UnitedHealthcare	04/25/19	1	23–25
5.	Summons – United Healthcare Insurance Company	04/25/19	1	26–28
6.	Summons – Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.	04/30/19	1	29–31
7.	Summons – Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc.	04/30/19	1	32–34
8.	Summons – Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc.	04/30/19	1	35–37
9.	Summons – Oxford Health Plans, Inc.	05/06/19	1	38–41
10.	Notice of Removal to Federal Court	05/14/19	1	42–100
11.	Motion to Remand	05/24/19	1	101–122
12.	Defendants' Statement of Removal	05/30/19	1	123–126
13.	Freemont Emergency Services (MANDAVIA), Ltd's Response to Statement of Removal	05/31/19	1	127–138
14.	Defendants' Opposition to Fremont	06/21/19	1	139–250
	Emergency Services (MANDAVIA), Ltd.'s Motion to Remand		2	251–275
15.	Rely in Support of Motion to Remand	06/28/19	2	276–308
16.	Civil Order to Statistically Close Case	12/10/19	2	309
17.	Amended Motion to Remand	01/15/20	2	310–348

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
18.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Amended Motion to Remand	01/29/20	2	349–485
19.	Reply in Support of Amended Motion to Remand	02/05/20	2 3	486–500 501–518
20.	Order	02/20/20	3	519–524
21.	Order	02/24/20	3	525-542
22.	Notice of Entry of Order Re: Remand	02/27/20	3	543-552
23.	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/12/20	3	553-698
24.	Notice of Intent to Take Default as to: (1) Defendant UnitedHealth Group, Inc. on All Claims; and (2) All Defendants on the First Amended Complaint's Eighth Claim for Relief	03/13/20	3 4	699–750 751
25.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/26/20	4	752–783
26.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/26/20	4	784–908
27.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	04/03/20	4	909–918
28.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	05/07/20	4	919–948
29.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	05/14/20	4	949-972
30.	First Amended Complaint	05/15/20	4 5	973–1000 1001–1021
31.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	05/15/20	5	1022–1026
32.	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	05/26/20	5	1027–1172

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
33.	Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	05/26/20	5	1173–1187
34.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint	05/29/20	5 6	1188–1250 1251–1293
35.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	05/29/20	6	1294–1309
36.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	06/03/20	6	1310–1339
37.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint	06/03/20	6	1340–1349
38.	Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions	06/05/20	6	1350–1384
39.	Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions	06/09/20	6	1385–1471
40.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' (1) Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint; and (2) Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	06/24/20	6 7	1472–1500 1501–1516
41.	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality and Protective Order	06/24/20	7	1517–1540
42.	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	07/08/20	7	1541–1590

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
43.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	07/09/20	7	1591–1605
44.	Joint Case Conference Report	07/17/20	7	1606–1627
45.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	07/23/20	7	1628–1643
46.	Transcript of Proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Unredacted MultiPlan, Inc. Agreement	07/29/20	7	1644–1663
47.	Amended Transcript of Proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Unredacted MultiPlan, Inc. Agreement	07/29/20	7	1664–1683
48.	Errata	08/04/20	7	1684
49.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims File for At-Issue Claims, or, in the Alternative, Motion in Limine on Order Shortening Time	08/28/20	7 8	1685–1700 1701–1845
50.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims File for At-Issue Claims, Or, in The Alternative, Motion in Limine on Order Shortening Time	09/04/20	8	1846–1932
51.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	09/09/20	8	1933–1997
52.	Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiffs to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	09/21/20	8 9	1998–2000 2001–2183
53.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting, in Part Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims for At-Issue Claims,	09/28/20	9	2184–2195

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Or, in The Alternative, Motion in Limine			
54.	Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories	09/28/20	9	2196–2223
55.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At- Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	09/29/20	9-10	2224–2292
56.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents, and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/06/20	10	2293–2336
57.	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures	10/07/20	10	2337–2362
58.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	10/08/20	10	2363–2446
59.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	10/22/20	10	2447–2481
60.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/23/20	10 11	2482–2500 2501–2572
61.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs to Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/26/20	11	2573–2670

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
62.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on Order Shortening Time	10/27/20	11	2671–2683
63.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/27/20	11	2684–2695
64.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At- Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiffs' to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	11/02/20	11	2696–2744
65.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	11/04/20	11 12	2745–2750 2751–2774
66.	Notice of Entry of Order Setting Defendants' Production & Response Schedule Re: Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	11/09/20	12	2775–2785
67.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	12/23/20	12	2786–2838
68.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	12/30/20	12	2839–2859
69.	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Electronically Stored Information Protocol Order	01/08/21	12	2860–2874

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
70.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/08/21	12 13 14	2875–3000 3001–3250 3251–3397
71.	Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/11/21	14	3398–3419
72.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/12/21	14	3420–3438
73.	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Unsealed Portion Only)	01/13/21	14	3439–3448
74.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/19/21	14	3449–3465
75.	Appendix to Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/19/21	14 15	3466–3500 3501–3658
76.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/21/21	15	3659–3692
77.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Appointment of Special Master	02/02/21	15	3693–3702
78.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	02/04/21	15	3703–3713
79.	Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel	02/18/21	15 16	3714–3750 3751–3756

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production			
80.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	02/22/21	16	3757–3769
81.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	02/25/21	16	3770–3823
82.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Defendants' Motion to Extend All Case Management Deadlines and Continue Trial Setting on Order Shortening Time (Second Request)	03/03/21	16	3824–3832
83.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	03/04/21	16	3833–3862
84.	Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/08/21	16	3863–3883
85.	Errata to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/12/21	16	3884–3886
86.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #1	03/16/21	16	3887–3894
87.	Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	03/16/21	16	3895–3909
88.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	03/18/21	16	3910–3915

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
89.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/22/21	16	3916–3966
90.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	03/25/21	16	3967–3970
91.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	03/29/21	16	3971–3980
92.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion to Associate Counsel on OST	04/01/21	16	3981–3986
93.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	04/09/21	16 17	3987–4000 4001–4058
94.	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	04/12/21	17	4059–4079
95.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	04/15/21	17	4080–4091
96.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	04/21/21	17	4092–4095
97.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration of Court's Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to	04/26/21	17	4096–4108

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production			
98.	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time	04/28/21	17	4109–4123
99.	Defendants' Errata to Their Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production	05/03/21	17	4124–4127
100.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Granting Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	05/05/21	17	4128–4154
101.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion for Leave to File Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time in Redacted and Partially Sealed Form	05/12/21	17	4155–4156
102.	Notice of Entry of Order of Report and Recommendation #6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Question	05/26/21	17	4157–4165
103.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	05/28/21	17	4166–4172
104.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #7 Regarding Defendants'	06/03/21	17	4173–4184

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents			
105.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/03/21	17	4185–4209
106.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/04/21	17	4210–4223
107.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time in Redacted and Partially Sealed Form	06/09/21	17	4224–4226
108.	Defendants' Objections to Special Master Report and Recommendation No. 7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents	06/17/21	17	4227–4239
109.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/23/21	17 18	4240–4250 4251–4280
110.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Special Master's Report and Recommendation #7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Amended Third Set of Request for Production of Documents	06/24/21	18	4281–4312
111.	Notice of Entry Report and Recommendations #9 Regarding Pending Motions	07/01/21	18	4313–4325
112.	United's Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents	07/12/21	18	4326–4340

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time			
113.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	07/29/21	18	4341–4382
114.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	08/03/21	18	4383–4402
115.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4403–4413
116.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4414–4424
117.	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4425–4443
118.	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time and	08/09/21	18	4444–4464

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Overruling Objection			
119.	Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Sanctioned for Violating Protective Order	08/10/21	18	4465–4486
120.	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified	08/11/21	18	4487–4497
121.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Unsealed Portion Only)	08/17/21	18 19	4498–4500 4501–4527
122.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to United's Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Sanctioned for Allegedly Violating Protective Order	08/24/21	19	4528–4609
123.	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	09/02/21	19	4610–4633
124.	Reply Brief on "Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Hold in Contempt and Sanctioned for Violating Protective Order"	09/08/21	19	4634–4666
125.	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	09/09/21	19	4667–4680
126.	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Via Blue Jeans)	09/15/21	19	4681–4708
127.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Questions and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19	4709–4726

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
128.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Request for Production of Documents and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19	4727–4747
129.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed No to Answer and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19 20	4748–4750 4751–4769
130.	Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	09/21/21	20	4770–4804
131.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1: Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Agreements with other Market Players and Related Negotiations	09/21/21	20	4805–4829
132.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 1, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence Relating to Defendants' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	09/21/21	20	4830–4852
133.	Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude References to Defendants' Decision Making Process and Reasonableness of billed Charges if Motion in Limine No. 3 is Denied	09/21/21	20	4853–4868
134.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 10 to Exclude Reference of Defendants' Corporate Structure (Alternative Moton to be Considered Only if court Denies Defendants' Counterpart Motion in Limine No. 9)	09/21/21	20	4869–4885

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
135.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13: Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Collection Practices for Healthcare Claims	09/21/21	20	4886–4918
136.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 13 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Contesting Defendants' Defenses Relating to Claims that were Subject to Settlement Agreement Between CollectRX and Data iSight; and Defendants' Adoption of Specific Negotiation Thresholds for Reimbursement Claims Appealed or Contested by Plaintiffs	09/21/21	20	4919–4940
137.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	09/21/21	20	4941–4972
138.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 7 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of the Costs of the Services that Plaintiffs Provided	09/22/21	20 21	4973–5000 5001–5030
139.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 8, Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 7, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence as to the Qualitative Value, Relative Value, Societal Value, or Difficulty of the Services they Provided	09/22/21	21	5031–5054
140.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 9 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of Plaintiffs Organizational, Management, and Ownership Structure, Including Flow of Funds Between Related Entities, Operating Companies, Parent Companies, and Subsidiaries	09/22/21	21	5055–5080
141.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion	09/29/21	21	5081-5103

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	in Limine No. 1: to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges			
142.	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Defendants' Objection to Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents about which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	09/29/21	21	5104–5114
143.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6 Regarding Billed Charges	09/29/21	21	5115–5154
144.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	09/29/21	21	5155–5169
145.	Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time	10/04/21	21	5170–5201
146.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Via Blue Jeans)	10/06/21	21	5202–5234
147.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time	10/07/21	21	5235–5245
148.	Second Amended Complaint	10/07/21	21 22	5246–5250 5251–5264
149.	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and-or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiffs Have	10/08/21	22	5265–5279

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Dismissed Certain Claims and Parties on Order Shortening Time			
150.	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	10/08/21	22	5280–5287
151.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' NRCP 16.1(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures	10/08/21	22	5288–5294
152.	Plaintiffs' Objections to Defendants' Pretrial Disclosures	10/08/21	22	5295-5300
153.	Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiffs have Dismissed Certain Claims and Parties on Order Shortening Time	10/12/21	22	5301–5308
154.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should not be Held in Contempt for Violating Protective Order	10/14/21	22	5309–5322
155.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/18/21	22	5323–5333
156.	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Legal Newsline)	10/18/21	22	5334–5338
157.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/19/21	22 23	5339–5500 5501–5561
158.	Amended Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/19/21	23 24	5562–5750 5751–5784
159.	Amended Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/20/21	24	5785–5907
160.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/22/21	24	5908–6000

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
			25	6001–6115
161.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/25/21	25	6116–6126
162.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 1	10/25/21	25 26	6127–6250 6251–6279
163.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 2	10/26/21	26	6280-6485
164.	Joint Pretrial Memorandum Pursuant to EDRC 2.67	10/27/21	26 27	6486–6500 6501–6567
165.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 3	10/27/21	27 28	6568–6750 6751–6774
166.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 4	10/28/21	28	6775–6991
167.	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Dolcefino Communications, LLC)	10/28/21	28 28	6992–6997
168.	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Dolcefino Communications, LLC)	10/28/21	28 29	6998–7000 7001–7003
169.	Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	10/28/21	29	7004–7018
170.	Supplement to Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	10/31/21	29	7019–7039
171.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1 Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	11/01/21	29	7040–7051
172.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 1, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence	11/01/21	29	7052–7063

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Relating to Defendants' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations			
173.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow Reference to Plaintiffs' Decision Making Processes Regarding Setting Billed Charges	11/01/21	29	7064–7075
174.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude References to Defendants' Decision Making Processes and Reasonableness of Billed Charges if Motion in Limine No. 3 is Denied	11/01/21	29	7076–7087
175.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12, Paired with Motion in Limine No. 11, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Discussing Defendants' Approach to Reimbursement	11/01/21	29	7088–7099
176.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 5 Regarding Argument or Evidence that Amounts TeamHealth Plaintiffs Billed for Services are Reasonable [An Alternative Motion to Motion in Limine No. 6]	11/01/21	29	7100–7111
177.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 7 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of the Costs of the Services that Plaintiffs Provided	11/01/21	29	7112–7123
178.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 8, Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 7, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence as to the	11/01/21	29	7124–7135

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Qualitative Value, Relative Value, Societal Value, or Difficulty of the Services they Provided			
179.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 10 to Exclude Evidence of Defendants' Corporate Structure (Alternative Motion to be Considered Only if Court Denies Defendants' Counterpart Motion in Limine No. 9)	11/01/21	29	7136–7147
180.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11, Paired with Motion in Limine No. 12, to Authorize Defendants to Discuss Plaintiffs' Conduct and Deliberations in Negotiating Reimbursement	11/01/21	29	7148–7159
181.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Collection Practices for Healthcare Claims	11/01/21	29	7160–7171
182.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14: Motion Offered in the Alternative MIL No. 13 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Contesting Defendants' Defenses Relating to Claims that were Subject to a Settlement Agreement Between CollectRx and Data iSight; and Defendants' Adoption of Specific Negotiation Thresholds for Reimbursement Claims Appealed or Contested by Plaintiffs	11/01/21	29	7172–7183
183.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 15 to Preclude Reference and Testimony	11/01/21	29	7184–7195

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Regarding the TeamHealth Plaintiffs Policy not to Balance Bill			
184.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 18 to Preclude Testimony of Plaintiffs' Non- Retained Expert Joseph Crane, M.D.	11/01/21	29	7196–7207
185.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 20 to Exclude Defendants' Lobbying Efforts	11/01/21	29	7208–7219
186.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	11/01/21	29	7220–7231
187.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 27 to Preclude Evidence of Complaints Regarding Defendants' Out-Of-Network Rates or Payments	11/01/21	29	7232–7243
188.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 29 to Preclude Evidence Only Relating to Defendants' Evaluation and Development of a Company that Would Offer a Service Similar to Multiplan and Data iSight	11/01/21	29 30	7244–7250 7251–7255
189.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 32 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Materials, Events, or Conduct that Occurred on or After January 1, 2020	11/01/21	30	7256–7267
190.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude Certain Expert Testimony and Fact Witness Testimony by Plaintiffs' Non-Retained	11/01/21	30	7268–7279

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Expert Robert Frantz, M.D.			
191.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 38 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Defendants' use of MultiPlan and the Data iSight Service, Including Any Alleged Conspiracy or Fraud Relating to the use of Those Services	11/01/21	30	7280–7291
192.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony And-Or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiff have Dismissed Certain Claims	11/01/21	30	7292–7354
193.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Supplement Report of David Leathers	11/01/21	30	7355–7366
194.	Plaintiffs' Notice of Amended Exhibit List	11/01/21	30	7367–7392
195.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	11/01/21	30	7393–7403
196.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 5	11/01/21	30 31	7404–7500 7501–7605
197.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 6	11/02/21	31 32	7606–7750 7751–7777
198.	Defendants' Deposition Designations and Objections to Plaintiffs' Deposition Counter- Designations	11/03/21	32	7778–7829
199.	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	11/03/21	32	7830–7852
200.	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and	11/03/21	32	7853–7874

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified			
201.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 7	11/03/21	32 33	7875–8000 8001–8091
202.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 17	11/04/21	33	8092–8103
203.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 25	11/04/21	33	8104-8115
204.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 37	11/04/21	33	8116–8127
205.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 9	11/04/21	33	8128–8140
206.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 21	11/04/21	33	8141–8153
207.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 22	11/04/21	33	8154–8165
208.	Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition Designations	11/04/21	33 34	8166–8250 8251–8342
209.	1st Amended Jury List	11/08/21	34	8343
210.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 8	11/08/21	34 35	8344–8500 8501–8514
211.	Recorder's Amended Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	35	8515–8723
212.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	35 36	8724–8750 8751–8932
213.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 10	11/10/21	36	8933–9000

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
			37	9001-9152
214.	Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Only Documents Used at Trial Under Seal	11/12/21	37	9153–9161
215.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	11/12/21	37	9162–9173
216.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Defendants' Prompt Payment Act Jury Instruction Re: Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies	11/12/21	37	9174–9184
217.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 11	11/12/21	37 38	9185–9250 9251–9416
218.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Specific Price Term	11/14/21	38	9417–9425
219.	2nd Amended Jury List	11/15/21	38	9426
220.	Defendants' Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/15/21	38	9427–9470
221.	Jointly Submitted Jury Instructions	11/15/21	38	9471-9495
222.	Plaintiffs' Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/15/21	38 39	9496–9500 9501–9513
223.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Punitive Damages for Unjust Enrichment Claim	11/15/21	39	9514–9521
224.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 12	11/15/21	39 40	9522–9750 9751–9798
225.	Defendants' Response to TeamHealth Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Defendants' Prompt Pay Act Jury Instruction Re: Failure to Exhaust Administrative	11/16/21	40	9799–9806

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Remedies			
226.	General Defense Verdict	11/16/21	40	9807–9809
227.	Plaintiffs' Proposed Verdict Form	11/16/21	40	9810–9819
228.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 13	11/16/21	40 41	9820–10,000 10,001–10,115
229.	Reply in Support of Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-Of- State Harms to Non-Parties	11/16/21	41	10,116–10,152
230.	Response to Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Specific Price Term	11/16/21	41	10,153–10,169
231.	Special Verdict Form	11/16/21	41	10,169–10,197
232.	Trial Brief Regarding Jury Instructions on Formation of an Implied-In-Fact Contract	11/16/21	41	10,198–10,231
233.	Trial Brief Regarding Jury Instructions on Unjust Enrichment	11/16/21	41	10,232–10,248
234.	3rd Amended Jury List	11/17/21	41	10,249
235.	Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	11/17/21	41 42	10,250 10,251–10,307
236.	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Jury Instruction (Contested)	11/17/21	42	10,308–10,313
237.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 14	11/17/21	42 43	10,314–10,500 10,501–10,617
238.	Errata to Source on Defense Contested Jury Instructions	11/18/21	43	10,618–10,623
239.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 15	11/18/21	43 44	10,624–10,750 10,751–10,946
240.	Defendants' Supplemental Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/19/21	44	10,947–10,952

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
241.	Errata	11/19/21	44	10,953
242.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	11/19/21	44	10,954–10,963
243.	Plaintiffs' Proposed Special Verdict Form	11/19/21	44	10,964–10,973
244.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 16	11/19/21	44 45	10,974–11,000 11,001–11,241
245.	Response to Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Punitive Damages for Unjust Enrichment Claim	11/19/21	45 46	11,242–11,250 11,251–11,254
246.	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/20/21	46	11,255–11,261
247.	Defendants' Supplemental Proposed Jury Instruction	11/21/21	46	11,262–11,266
248.	Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/21/21	46	11,267–11,272
249.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 17	11/22/21	46 47	11,273–11,500 11.501–11,593
250.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	11/22/21	47	11,594–11,608
251.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	11/22/21	47	11,609–11,631
252.	4th Amended Jury List	11/23/21	47	11,632
253.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 18	11/23/21	47 48	11,633–11,750 11,751–11,907

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
254.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 19	11/24/21	48	11,908–11,956
255.	Jury Instructions	11/29/21	48	11,957–11,999
256.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 20	11/29/21	48	12,000
			49	12,001–12,034
257.	Special Verdict Form	11/29/21	49	12,035–12,046
258.	Verdict(s) Submitted to Jury but Returned Unsigned	11/29/21	49	12,047–12,048
259.	Defendants' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions	12/05/21	49	12,049–12,063
260.	Plaintiffs' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions and Verdict Form	12/06/21	49	12,064–12,072
261.	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions	12/06/21	49	12,072–12,077
262.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 21	12/06/21	49	12,078-,12,135
263.	Defendants' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions-Supplement	12/07/21	49	12,136–12,142
264.	Jury Instructions Phase Two	12/07/21	49	12,143–12,149
265.	Special Verdict Form	12/07/21	49	12,150–12,152
266.	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 22	12/07/21	49	12,153–12,250
			50	12,251–12,293
267.	Motion to Seal Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/15/21	50	12,294–12,302
268.	Motion to Seal Defendants' Supplement to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/15/21	50	12,303–12,311
269.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Only Documents Used at	12/27/21	50	12,312–12,322

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Trial Under Seal			
270.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to United's Motion to Seal	12/29/21	50	12,323–12,341
271.	Defendants' Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	12/30/21	50	12,342–12,363
272.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damage	12/30/21	50 51	12,364–12,500 12,501–12,706
273.	Defendants' Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	01/04/22	51	12,707–12,717
274.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Judgement as a Matter of Law	01/06/22	51	12,718–12,738
275.	Motion to Seal Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/10/22	51	12,739–12,747
276.	Motion to Seal Defendants' Second Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/10/22	51 52	12,748–12,750 12,751–12,756
277.	Defendants' Motion to Seal Courtroom During January 12, 2022 Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits on Order Shortening Time	01/11/22	52	12,757–12,768
278.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Seal Courtroom During January 12, 2022 Hearing	01/12/22	52	12,769–12,772
279.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for	01/20/22	52	12,773–12,790

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Entry of Judgment			
280.	Appendix in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	01/20/22	52	12,791–12,968
281.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Proposed Schedule for Submission of Final Redactions	01/31/22	52	12,969–12,979
282.	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Schedule for Submission of Redactions	02/08/22	52	12,980–12,996
283.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Cross- Motion for Entry of Judgment	02/10/22	52 53	12,997–13,000 13,001–13,004
284.	Defendant' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	02/10/22	53	13,005–13,028
285.	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time for Hearing Re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Unlock Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits	02/14/22	53	13,029–13,046
286.	Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Unlock Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits on Order Shortening Time	02/15/22	53	13,047–13,053
287.	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	02/15/22	53	13,054–13,062
288.	Defendants' Index of Trial Exhibit Redactions in Dispute	02/16/22	53	13,063–13,073
289.	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits	02/17/22	53	13,074–13,097
290.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	02/17/22	53	13,098–13,160

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
291.	Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Judgment and Order Denying Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	03/04/22	53	13,161–13,167
292.	Notice of Entry of Judgment	03/09/22	53	13,168–13,178
293.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	03/09/22	53	13,179–13,197
294.	Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost	03/14/22	53	13,198–13,208
295.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 1	03/14/22	53 54	13,209–13,250 13.251–13,464
296.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 2	03/14/22	54 55	13,465–13,500 13,501–13,719
297.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 3	03/14/22	55 56	13,720–13,750 13,751–13,976
298.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 4	03/14/22	56 57	13,977–14,000 14,001–14,186
299.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 5	03/14/22	57 58	14,187–14,250 14,251–14,421
300.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 6	03/14/22	58 59	14,422–14,500 14,501–14,673
301.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 7	03/14/22	59 60	14,674–14,750 14,751–14,920
302.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of	03/14/22	60 61	14,921–15,000 15,001–15,174

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Cost Volume 8			
303.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 9	03/14/22	61 62	15,175–15,250 15,251–15,373
304.	Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	03/21/22	62	15,374–15,388
305.	Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	03/30/22	62	15,389–15,397
306.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 1	03/30/22	62 63	15,398–15,500 15,501–15,619
307.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 2	03/30/22	63 64	15,620–15,750 15,751–15,821
308.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 3	03/30/22	64 65	15,822–16,000 16,001–16,053
309.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 4	03/30/22	65	16,054–16,232
310.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 5	03/30/22	65 66	16,233–16,250 16,251–16,361
311.	Defendants Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Post-Trial Motions on Order Shortening Time	04/05/22	66	16,362–16,381
312.	Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	04/06/22	66	16,382–16,399
313.	Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	04/06/22	66	16,400–16,448
314.	Motion for New Trial	04/06/22	66 67	16,449–16,500 16,501–16,677

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
315.	Notice of Appeal	04/06/22	67	16,678–16,694
316.	Case Appeal Statement	04/06/22	67 68	16,695–16,750 16,751–16,825
317.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay	04/07/22	68	16,826–16,831
318.	Reply on "Defendants' Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Post-Trial Motions" (on Order Shortening Time)	04/07/22	68	16,832–16,836
319.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	04/07/22	68	16,837–16,855
320.	Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	04/13/22	68	16,856–16,864
321.	Appendix in Support of Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	04/13/22	68 69	16,865–17,000 17,001–17,035
322.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	04/20/22	69	17,036–17,101
323.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	04/21/22	69	17,102–17,113
324.	Notice of Posting Supersedeas Bond	04/29/22	69	17,114–17,121
325.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Costs	05/04/22	69	17,122–17,150
326.	Health Care Providers' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees	05/04/22	69	17,151–17,164
327.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	05/04/22	69	17,165–17,178
328.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for New Trial	05/04/22	69 70	17,179–17,250 17,251–17,335
329.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter	05/05/22	70	17,336–17,373

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	of Law			
330.	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	06/22/22	70	17,374–17,385
331.	Reply in Support of Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	06/22/22	70	17,386–17,411
332.	Reply in Support of Motion for New Trial	06/22/22	70	17,412–17,469
333.	Notice of Supplemental Attorneys Fees Incurred After Submission of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys Fees	06/24/22	70 71	17,470–17,500 17,501–17,578
334.	Defendants' Response to Improper Supplement Entitled "Notice of Supplemental Attorney Fees Incurred After Submission of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys Fees"	06/28/22	71	17,579–17,593
335.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	06/29/22	71	17,594–17,609
336.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/29/22	71	17,610–17,681
337.	Order Amending Oral Ruling Granting Defendants' Motion to Retax	07/01/22	71	17,682–17,688
338.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	07/19/22	71	17,689–17,699
339.	Defendants' Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Approving Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	07/26/22	71	17,700–17,706
340.	Notice of Entry of Order Approving Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	08/02/22	71	17,707–17,725

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
341.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	08/02/22	71	17,726–17,739
342.	Amended Case Appeal Statement	08/15/22	71 72	17,740–17,750 17,751–17,803
343.	Amended Notice of Appeal	08/15/22	72	17,804–17,934
344.	Reply in Support of Supplemental Attorney's Fees Request	08/22/22	72	17,935–17,940
345.	Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Orders Denying Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and Motion for New Trial	09/13/22	72	17,941–17,950
346.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Hearing	09/22/22	72	17,951–17,972
347.	Limited Objection to "Order Unsealing Trial Transcripts and Restoring Public Access to Docket"	10/06/22	72	17,973–17,978
348.	Defendants' Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript	10/06/22	72	17,979–17,989
349.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript	10/07/22	72	17,990–17,993
350.	Transcript of Proceedings re Status Check	10/10/22	72 73	17,994–18,000 18,001–18,004
351.	Notice of Entry of Order Approving Supplemental Attorney's Fee Award	10/12/22	73	18,005–18,015
352.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for New Trial	10/12/22	73	18,016–18,086
353.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	10/12/22	73	18,087–18,114
354.	Notice of Entry of Order Unsealing Trial Transcripts and Restoring Public Access to	10/12/22	73	18,115–18,125

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Docket			
355.	Notice of Appeal	10/12/22	73 74	18,126–18,250 18,251–18,467
356.	Case Appeal Statement	10/12/22	74 75	18,468–18,500 18,501–18,598
357.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying "Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript"	10/13/22	75	18,599–18,608
358.	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	10/18/22	75 76	18,609–18,750 18,751–18,755
359.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Status Check	10/20/22	76	18,756–18,758
360.	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Expiration of Temporary Stay for Sealed Redacted Transcripts	10/25/22	76	18,759–18,769
361.	Notice of Filing of Writ Petition	11/17/22	76	18,770–18855
362.	Trial Exhibit D5502		76 77	18,856–19,000 19,001–19,143
491.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/08/21	145 146	35,813–36,062 36,063–36,085
492.	Transcript Re: Proposed Jury Instructions	11/21/21	146	36,086–36,250

Filed Under Seal

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
363	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	09/28/20	78	19,144–19,156

364.	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	04/01/21	78	19,157–19,176
365.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	04/01/21	78	19,177–19,388
366.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	04/19/21	78 79	19,389–19,393 19,394–19,532
367.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time	05/05/21	79	19,533–19,581
368.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Supplement the Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 & #3 on Order Shortening Time	05/21/21	79 80 81	19,582–19,643 19,644–19,893 19,894–20,065
369.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Supplement the Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 and #3 on Order Shortening Time	06/01/21	81 82	20,066–20,143 20,144–20,151
370.	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 5 Regarding Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Regarding Confidentiality	06/01/21	82	20,152–20,211

	Designations (Filed April 15, 2021)			
371.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Report and Recommendation #6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Questions	06/16/21	82	20,212-20,265
372.	United's Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	06/24/21	82	20,266–20,290
373.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	06/24/21	82 83 84	20,291–20,393 20,394–20,643 20,644–20,698
374.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	07/06/21	84	20,699–20,742
375.	Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed not to Answer Under Seal	07/15/21	84	20,743-20,750
376.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Special Master Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed not to Answer Questions	07/22/21	84	20,751-20,863
377.	Objection to R&R #11 Regarding United's Motion to Compel Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified	08/25/21	84 85	20,864–20,893 20,894–20,898

378.	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	09/21/21	85	20,899–20,916
379.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	09/21/21	85	20,917–21,076
380.	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges	09/21/21	85	21,077–21,089
381.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges	09/21/21	85 86	21,090–21,143 21,144–21,259
382.	Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow References to Plaintiffs' Decision Making Process Regarding Settling Billing Charges	09/21/21	86	21,260–21,313
383.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 5 Regarding Arguments or Evidence that Amounts TeamHealth Plaintiffs billed for Serves are Reasonable [an Alternative to Motion in Limine No. 6]	09/21/21	86	21,314–21,343
384.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 6 Regarding Argument or Evidence That Amounts Teamhealth Plaintiffs Billed for Services are Reasonable	09/21/21	86	21,344-21,368
385.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 1 of 6)	09/21/21	86 87	21,369–21,393 21,394–21,484

386.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 2 of 6)	09/21/21	87	21,485–21,614
387.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 3 of 6)	09/21/21	87 88	21,615–21,643 21,644–21,744
388.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 4 of 6)	09/21/21	88	21,745–21,874
389.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 5 of 6)	09/21/21	88 89	21,875–21,893 21,894–22,004
390.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 6 of 6)	09/21/21	89	22,005–22,035
391.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 1 of 8	09/21/21	89 90	22,036–22,143 22,144–22,176
392.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 2 of 8	09/21/21	90	22,177–22,309
393.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 3 of 8	09/22/21	90 91	22,310–22,393 22,394–22,442
394.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 4 of 8	09/22/21	91	22,443–22,575
395.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 5 of 8	09/22/21	91	22,576–22,609
396.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 6 of 8	09/22/21	91 92 93	22,610–22,643 22,644–22,893 22,894–23,037
397.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 7a of 8	09/22/21	93 94	23,038–23,143 23,144–23,174
398.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 7b of 8	09/22/21	94	23,175–23,260
399.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 8a of 8	09/22/21	94 95	23,261–23,393 23,394–23,535
400.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 8b of 8	09/22/21	95 96	23,536–23,643 23,634–23,801
401.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11 Paired	09/22/21	96	23,802–23,823

	with Motion in Limine No. 12 to Authorize Defendants to Discuss Plaintiffs' Conduct and deliberations in Negotiating Reimbursement			
402.	Errata to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11	09/22/21	96	23,824–23,859
403.	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12 Paired with Motion in Limine No. 11 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Discussing Defendants' Approach to Reimbursement	09/22/21	96	23,860–23,879
404.	Errata to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12	09/22/21	96 97	23,880–23,893 23,894–23,897
405.	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 1)	09/22/21	97	23,898–24,080
406.	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 2)	09/22/21	97 98	24,081–24,143 24,144–24,310
407.	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 3)	09/22/21	98 99 100	24,311–24,393 24,394–24,643 24,644–24,673
408.	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 4)	09/22/21	100 101 102	24,674–24,893 24,894–25,143 25,144–25,204
409.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 1 of 6	09/22/21	102	25,205–25,226
410.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 2 of 6	09/22/21	102	25,227–25,364
411.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 3 of 6	09/22/21	102 103	25,365–25,393 25,394–25,494
412.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 4 of 6	09/22/21	103	25,495–25,624
413.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine	09/22/21	103	25,625–25,643

	No. 14 – Volume 5 of 6		104	25,644-25,754
414.	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 6 of 6	09/22/21	104	25,755–25,785
415.	Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to Defendants Motions in Limine 1, 7, 9, 11 & 13	09/29/21	104	25,786–25,850
416.	Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to Defendants' Motions in Limine No. 2, 8, 10, 12 & 14	09/29/21	104	25,851–25,868
417.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	09/29/21	104 105	25,869–25,893 25,894–25,901
418.	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders - Volume 1	09/29/21	105 106	25,902–26,143 26,144–26,216
419.	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders - Volume 2	09/29/21	106 107	26,217–26,393 26,394–26,497
420.	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/05/21	107	26,498–26,605
421.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/11/21	107 108	26,606–26,643 26,644–26,663
422.	Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/17/21	108	26,664-26,673
423.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for	10/17/21	108 109	26,674–26,893 26,894–26,930

	Partial Summary Judgment			
424.	Response to Sur-Reply Arguments in Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/21/21	109	26,931–26,952
425.	Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-of-State Harms to Non-Parties	10/31/21	109	26,953–26,964
426.	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-of-State Harms to Non- Parties	11/08/21	109	26,965–26,997
427.	Excerpts of Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	109	26,998–27003
428.	Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Documents Used at Trial	11/11/21	109	27,004–27,055
429.	Appendix of Selected Exhibits to Trial Briefs	11/16/21	109	27,056–27,092
430.	Excerpts of Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 13	11/16/21	109	27,093–27,099
431.	Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof	11/22/21	109 110	27,100–27,143 27,144–27,287
432.	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/05/21	110	27,288–27,382
433.	Supplement to Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/08/21	110 111	27,383–27,393 27,394–27,400
434.	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/13/21	111	27,401–27,495
435.	Defendant's Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial	12/14/21	111	27,496–27,505

436.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 1	12/14/21	111 112	27,506–27,643 27,644–27,767
437.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 2	12/14/21	112 113	27,768–27,893 27,894–27,981
438.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 3	12/14/21	113 114	27,982–28,143 28,144–28,188
439.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 1 of 18	12/24/21	114	28,189–28,290
440.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 2 of 18	12/24/21	114 115	28,291–28,393 28,394–28,484
441.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 3 of 18	12/24/21	115 116	28,485–28,643 28,644–28,742
442.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 4 of 18	12/24/21	116 117	28,743–28,893 28,894–28,938
443.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 5 of 18	12/24/21	117	28,939–29,084
444.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 6 of 18	12/24/21	117 118	29,085–29,143 29,144–29,219
445.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 7 of 18	12/24/21	118	29,220–29,384
446.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 8 of 18	12/24/21	118 119	29,385–29,393 29,394–29,527

447.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	119	29,528–29,643
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 9 of 18		120	29,644–29,727
448.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	120	29,728–29,893
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 10 of 18		121	29,894–29,907
449.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 11 of 18	12/24/21	121	29,908–30,051
450.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	121	30,052–30,143
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 12 of 18		122	30,144–30,297
451.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	122	30,298–30,393
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 13 of 18		123	30,394–30,516
452.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	123	30,517–30,643
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 14 of 18		124	30,644–30,677
453.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 15 of 18	12/24/21	124	30,678–30,835
454.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	124	30,836–30,893
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 16 of 18		125	30,894–30,952
455.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial	12/24/21	125	30,953–31,122
	Exhibits – Volume 17 of 18			
456.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to	12/24/21	125	30,123–31,143
	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 18 of 18		126	31,144–31,258
457.	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/05/22	126	31,259–31,308
458.	Second Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial	01/05/22	126	31,309–31,393

	Exhibits		127	31,394–31,500
459.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/12/22	127	31,501–31,596
460.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/20/22	127	31,597–31,643
			128	31,644–31,650
461.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/27/22	128	31,651–31,661
462.	Defendants' Index of Trial Exhibit Redactions in Dispute	02/10/22	128	31,662–31,672
463.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	02/10/22	128	31,673–31,793
464.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	02/16/22	128	31,794–31,887
465.	Joint Status Report and Table Identifying	03/04/22	128	31,888–31,893
	the Redactions to Trial Exhibits That Remain in Dispute		129	31,894–31,922
466.	Transcript of Proceedings re Hearing Regarding Unsealing Record	10/05/22	129	31,923–31,943
467.	Transcript of Proceedings re Status Check	10/06/22	129	31,944–31,953
468.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	129	31,954–32,143
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 1)		130	32,144–32,207
469.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	130	32,208–32,393
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 2)		131	32,394–32,476
470.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	131	32,477–32,643
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 3)		132	32,644–32,751
471.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	132	32,752–32,893
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume		133	32,894–33,016

	4)			
472.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 5)	10/07/22	133 134	33,017–33,143 33,144–33,301
473.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 6)	10/07/22	134 135	33,302–33,393 33,394–33,529
474.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 7)	10/07/22	135 136	33,530–33,643 33,644–33,840
475.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 8)	10/07/22	136 137	33,841–33,893 33,894–34,109
476.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 9)	10/07/22	137 138	34,110–34,143 34,144–34,377
477.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 10)	10/07/22	138 139 140	34,378–34,393 34,394–34,643 34,644–34,668
478.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 11)	10/07/22	140 141	34,669–34,893 34,894–34,907
479.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 12)	10/07/22	141 142	34,908–35,143 35,144–35,162
480.	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	142	35,163–35,242

	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 13)			
481.	Exhibits P473_NEW, 4002, 4003, 4005, 4006, 4166, 4168, 4455, 4457, 4774, and 5322 to "Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits" (Tabs 98, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 118, and 119)	10/07/22	142	35,243–35,247
482.	Transcript of Status Check	10/10/22	142	35,248–35,258
483.	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing re Hearing	10/13/22	142	35,259–35,263
484.	Trial Exhibit D5499		142 143	35,264–35,393 35,394–35,445
485.	Trial Exhibit D5506		143	35,446
486.	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	09/28/20	143	35,447–35,634
487.	Defendants' Motion to Supplement Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 & #3 on Order Shortening Time	05/24/21	143 144	35,635–35,643 35,644–35,648
488.	Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow References to Plaintiffs; Decision Making Processes Regarding Setting Billed Charges	09/21/21	144	35,649–35,702
489.	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Exhibit 43)	09/29/21	144	35,703–35,713
490.	Notice of Filing of Expert Report of Bruce Deal, Revised on November 14, 2021	04/18/23	144	35,714–35,812

ALPHABETICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
209	1st Amended Jury List	11/08/21	34	8343
219	2nd Amended Jury List	11/15/21	38	9426
234	3rd Amended Jury List	11/17/21	41	10,249
252	4th Amended Jury List	11/23/21	47	11,632
342	Amended Case Appeal Statement	08/15/22	71 72	17,740–17,750 17,751–17,803
17	Amended Motion to Remand	01/15/20	2	310–348
343	Amended Notice of Appeal	08/15/22	72	17,804–17,934
117	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4425–4443
118	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4444-4464
158	Amended Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/19/21	23 24	5562–5750 5751–5784
159	Amended Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/20/21	24	5785–5907
47	Amended Transcript of Proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Unredacted MultiPlan, Inc. Agreement	07/29/20	7	1664–1683

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
468	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 1) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	129 130	31,954–32,143 32,144–32,207
469	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 2) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	130 131	32,208–32,393 32,394–32,476
470	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 3) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	131 132	32,477–32,643 32,644–32,751
471	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 4) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	132 133	32,752–32,893 32,894–33,016
472	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 5) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	133 134	33,017–33,143 33,144–33,301
473	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 6) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	134 135	33,302–33,393 33,394–33,529
474	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 7) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	135 136	33,530–33,643 33,644–33,840
475	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 8) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	136 137	33,841–33,893 33,894–34,109
476	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and	10/07/22	137	34,110–34,143

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 9) (Filed Under Seal)		138	34,144–34,377
477	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 10) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	138 139 140	34,378–34,393 34,394–34,643 34,644–34,668
478	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 11) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	140 141	34,669–34,893 34,894–34,907
479	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 12) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	141 142	34,908–35,143 35,144–35,162
480	Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Volume 13) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	142	35,163–35,242
321	Appendix in Support of Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	04/13/22	68 69	16,865–17,000 17,001–17,035
280	Appendix in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	01/20/22	52	12,791–12,968
306	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 1	03/30/22	62 63	15,398–15,500 15,501–15,619
307	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 2	03/30/22	63 64	15,620–15,750 15,751–15,821
308	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	03/30/22	64 65	15,822–16,000 16,001–16,053

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Volume 3			
309	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 4	03/30/22	65	16,054–16,232
310	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Volume 5	03/30/22	65 66	16,233–16,250 16,251–16,361
295	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 1	03/14/22	53 54	13,209–13,250 13.251–13,464
296	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 2	03/14/22	54 55	13,465–13,500 13,501–13,719
297	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 3	03/14/22	55 56	13,720–13,750 13,751–13,976
298	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 4	03/14/22	56 57	13,977–14,000 14,001–14,186
299	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 5	03/14/22	57 58	14,187–14,250 14,251–14,421
300	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 6	03/14/22	58 59	14,422–14,500 14,501–14,673
301	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 7	03/14/22	59 60	14,674–14,750 14,751–14,920
302	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 8	03/14/22	60 61	14,921–15,000 15,001–15,174
303	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Health	03/14/22	61	15,175–15,250

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost Volume 9		62	15,251–15,373
486	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	09/28/20	143	35,447–35,634
423	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/17/21	108 109	26,674–26,893 26,894–26,930
379	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	85	20,917–21,076
381	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	85 86	21,090–21,143 21,144–21,259
26	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/26/20	4	784–908
491	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/08/21	145 146	35,813–36,062 36,063–36,085
365	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to	04/01/21	78	19,177–19,388

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions (Filed Under Seal)			
272	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damage	12/30/21	50 51	12,364–12,500 12,501–12,706
436	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 1 (Filed Under Seal)	12/14/21	111 112	27,506–27,643 27,644–27,767
437	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 2 (Filed Under Seal)	12/14/21	112 113	27,768–27,893 27,894–27,981
438	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial – Volume 3 (Filed Under Seal)	12/14/21	113 114	27,982–28,143 28,144–28,188
429	Appendix of Selected Exhibits to Trial Briefs (Filed Under Seal)	11/16/21	109	27,056–27,092
405	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 1) (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	97	23,898–24,080
406	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 2) (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	97 98	24,081–24,143 24,144–24,310
407	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 3) (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	98 99 100	24,311–24,393 24,394–24,643 24,644–24,673
408	Appendix to Defendants' Exhibits to Motions in Limine: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 33, 37 (Volume 4) (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	100 101 102	24,674–24,893 24,894–25,143 25,144–25,204
391	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 1 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	89 90	22,036–22,143 22,144–22,176

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
392	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 2 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	90	22,177–22,309
393	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 3 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	90 91	22,310–22,393 22,394–22,442
394	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 4 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	91	22,443–22,575
395	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 5 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	91	22,576–22,609
396	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 6 of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	91 92 93	22,610–22,643 22,644–22,893 22,894–23,037
397	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 7a of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	93 94	23,038–23,143 23,144–23,174
398	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 7b of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	94	23,175–23,260
399	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 8a of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	94 95	23,261–23,393 23,394–23,535
400	Appendix to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Volume 8b of 8 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	95 96	23,536–23,643 23,634–23,801
385	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 1 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	86 87	21,369–21,393 21,394–21,484
386	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 2 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	87	21,485–21,614
387	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine	09/21/21	87	21,615–21,643

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	No. 13 (Volume 3 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	1	88	21,644-21,744
388	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 4 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	88	21,745–21,874
389	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 5 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	88 89	21,875–21,893 21,894–22,004
390	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 (Volume 6 of 6) (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	89	22,005–22,035
409	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 1 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	102	25,205–25,226
410	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 2 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	102	25,227–25,364
411	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 3 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	102 103	25,365–25,393 25,394–25,494
412	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 4 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	103	25,495–25,624
413	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 5 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	103 104	25,625–25,643 25,644–25,754
414	Appendix to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14 – Volume 6 of 6 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	104	25,755–25,785
373	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	06/24/21	82 83 84	20,291–20,393 20,394–20,643 20,644–20,698
70	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/08/21	12 13 14	2875–3000 3001–3250 3251–3397
368	Appendix to Defendants' Motion to Supplement the Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 & #3 on Order Shortening Time (Filed	05/21/21	79 80 81	19,582–19,643 19,644–19,893 19,894–20,065

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Under Seal)			
418	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders - Volume 1 (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	105 106	25,902–26,143 26,144–26,216
419	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders - Volume 2 (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	106 107	26,217–26,393 26,394–26,497
489	Appendix to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Exhibit 43) (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	144	35,703–35,713
75	Appendix to Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/19/21	14 15	3466–3500 3501–3658
316	Case Appeal Statement	04/06/22	67 68	16,695–16,750 16,751–16,825
356	Case Appeal Statement	10/12/22	74 75	18,468–18,500 18,501–18,598
16	Civil Order to Statistically Close Case	12/10/19	2	309
1	Complaint (Business Court)	04/15/19	1	1–17
284	Defendant' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	02/10/22	53	13,005–13,028
435	Defendant's Omnibus Offer of Proof for Second Phase of Trial (Filed Under Seal)	12/14/21	111	27,496–27,505

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
311	Defendants Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Post-Trial Motions on Order Shortening Time	04/05/22	66	16,362–16,381
42	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	07/08/20	7	1541–1590
150	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	10/08/21	22	5280–5287
198	Defendants' Deposition Designations and Objections to Plaintiffs' Deposition Counter- Designations	11/03/21	32	7778–7829
99	Defendants' Errata to Their Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production	05/03/21	17	4124–4127
288	Defendants' Index of Trial Exhibit Redactions in Dispute	02/16/22	53	13,063–13,073
462	Defendants' Index of Trial Exhibit Redactions in Dispute (Filed Under Seal)	02/10/22	128	31,662–31,672
235	Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	11/17/21	41 42	10,250 10,251–10,307
375	Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed not to Answer Under Seal (Filed Under Seal)	07/15/21	84	20,743-20,750
214	Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Only Documents Used at	11/12/21	37	9153–9161

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Trial Under Seal			
130	Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	09/21/21	20	4770–4804
312	Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	04/06/22	66	16,382–16,399
131	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1: Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Agreements with other Market Players and Related Negotiations	09/21/21	20	4805–4829
134	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 10 to Exclude Reference of Defendants' Corporate Structure (Alternative Moton to be Considered Only if court Denies Defendants' Counterpart Motion in Limine No. 9)	09/21/21	20	4869–4885
401	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11 Paired with Motion in Limine No. 12 to Authorize Defendants to Discuss Plaintiffs' Conduct and deliberations in Negotiating Reimbursement (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	96	23,802–23,823
403	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12 Paired with Motion in Limine No. 11 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Discussing Defendants' Approach to Reimbursement (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	96	23,860–23,879
135	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13: Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Collection Practices for Healthcare Claims	09/21/21	20	4886–4918
136	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 13 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Contesting Defendants' Defenses Relating to Claims that were Subject to Settlement Agreement	09/21/21	20	4919–4940

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Between CollectRX and Data iSight; and Defendants' Adoption of Specific Negotiation Thresholds for Reimbursement Claims Appealed or Contested by Plaintiffs			
132	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 1, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence Relating to Defendants' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	09/21/21	20	4830–4852
137	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	09/21/21	20	4941–4972
383	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 5 Regarding Arguments or Evidence that Amounts TeamHealth Plaintiffs billed for Serves are Reasonable [an Alternative to Motion in Limine No. 6] (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	86	21,314–21,343
384	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 6 Regarding Argument or Evidence That Amounts Teamhealth Plaintiffs Billed for Services are Reasonable (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	86	21,344-21,368
138	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 7 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of the Costs of the Services that Plaintiffs Provided	09/22/21	20 21	4973–5000 5001–5030
139	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 8, Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 7, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence as to the Qualitative Value, Relative Value, Societal Value, or Difficulty of the Services they Provided	09/22/21	21	5031-5054
140	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 9 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of	09/22/21	21	5055–5080

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Plaintiffs Organizational, Management, and Ownership Structure, Including Flow of Funds Between Related Entities, Operating Companies, Parent Companies, and Subsidiaries			
271	Defendants' Motion to Apply the Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	12/30/21	50	12,342–12,363
71	Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/11/21	14	3398–3419
52	Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiffs to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	09/21/20	8 9	1998–2000 2001–2183
23	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/12/20	3	553–698
32	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	05/26/20	5	1027–1172
348	Defendants' Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript	10/06/22	72	17,979–17,989
304	Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	03/21/22	62	15,374–15,388
277	Defendants' Motion to Seal Courtroom During January 12, 2022 Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits on Order Shortening Time	01/11/22	52	12,757-12,768
487	Defendants' Motion to Supplement Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 & #3 on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	05/24/21	143 144	35,635–35,643 35,644–35,648
169	Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	10/28/21	29	7004–7018

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
339	Defendants' Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Approving Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	07/26/22	71	17,700–17,706
273	Defendants' Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	01/04/22	51	12,707–12,717
94	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	04/12/21	17	4059–4079
98	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time	04/28/21	17	4109–4123
370	Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 5 Regarding Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Regarding Confidentiality Designations (Filed April 15, 2021) (Filed Under Seal)	06/01/21	82	20,152-20,211
61	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs to Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/26/20	11	2573–2670
151	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' NRCP 16.1(a)(3) Pretrial Disclosures	10/08/21	22	5288-5294
64	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel	11/02/20	11	2696–2744

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Production of Clinical Documents for the At- Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiffs' to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time			
60	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/23/20	10 11	2482–2500 2501–2572
199	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders	11/03/21	32	7830–7852
100	Defendants' Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposed Order Granting Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	05/05/21	17	4128–4154
108	Defendants' Objections to Special Master Report and Recommendation No. 7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents	06/17/21	17	4227–4239
431	Defendants' Omnibus Offer of Proof (Filed Under Seal)	11/22/21	109 110	27,100–27,143 27,144–27,287
14	Defendants' Opposition to Fremont Emergency Services (MANDAVIA), Ltd.'s Motion to Remand	06/21/19	1 2	139–250 251–275
18	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Amended Motion to Remand	01/29/20	2	349–485
283	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Cross-	02/10/22	52	12,997–13,000

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Motion for Entry of Judgment		53	13,001–13,004
322	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	04/20/22	69	17,036–17,101
155	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/18/21	22	5323–5333
141	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 1: to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges	09/29/21	21	5081–5103
417	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 3: To Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	104 105	25,869–25,893 25,894–25,901
50	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims File for At-Issue Claims, Or, in The Alternative, Motion in Limine on Order Shortening Time	09/04/20	8	1846–1932
56	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents, and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/06/20	10	2293–2336
251	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	11/22/21	47	11,609–11,631
89	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause	03/22/21	16	3916–3966

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Why Defendants Should Not be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions			
220	Defendants' Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/15/21	38	9427–9470
259	Defendants' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions	12/05/21	49	12,049–12,063
263	Defendants' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions-Supplement	12/07/21	49	12,136–12,142
313	Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	04/06/22	66	16,400–16,448
421	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/11/21	107 108	26,606–26,643 26,644–26,663
74	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/19/21	14	3449–3465
28	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	05/07/20	4	919–948
36	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	06/03/20	6	1310–1339
325	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Retax Costs	05/04/22	69	17,122–17,150
457	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed Under Seal)	01/05/22	126	31,259–31,308
37	Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint	06/03/20	6	1340–1349
334	Defendants' Response to Improper Supplement Entitled "Notice of	06/28/22	71	17,579–17,593

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Supplemental Attorney Fees Incurred After Submission of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys Fees"			
286	Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Unlock Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits on Order Shortening Time	02/15/22	53	13,047–13,053
225	Defendants' Response to TeamHealth Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Defendants' Prompt Pay Act Jury Instruction Re: Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies	11/16/21	40	9799–9806
12	Defendants' Statement of Removal	05/30/19	1	123–126
33	Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	05/26/20	5	1173–1187
247	Defendants' Supplemental Proposed Jury Instruction	11/21/21	46	11,262–11,266
240	Defendants' Supplemental Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/19/21	44	10,947–10,952
48	Errata	08/04/20	7	1684
241	Errata	11/19/21	44	10,953
402	Errata to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	96	23,824–23,859
404	Errata to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12 (Filed Under Seal)	09/22/21	96 97	23,880–23,893 23,894–23,897
54	Errata to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories	09/28/20	9	2196–2223
85	Errata to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for	03/12/21	16	3884–3886

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Sanctions			
238	Errata to Source on Defense Contested Jury Instructions	11/18/21	43	10,618–10,623
430	Excerpts of Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 13 (Filed Under Seal)	11/16/21	109	27,093–27,099
427	Excerpts of Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9 (Filed Under Seal)	11/09/21	109	26,998–27003
481	Exhibits P473_NEW, 4002, 4003, 4005, 4006, 4166, 4168, 4455, 4457, 4774, and 5322 to "Appendix B to Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits" (Tabs 98, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 113, 114, 118, and 119) (Filed Under Seal)	10/07/22	142	35,243–35,247
30	First Amended Complaint	05/15/20	$\frac{4}{5}$	973–1000 1001–1021
13	Freemont Emergency Services (MANDAVIA), Ltd's Response to Statement of Removal	05/31/19	1	127–138
226	General Defense Verdict	11/16/21	40	9807–9809
305	Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys' Fees	03/30/22	62	15,389–15,397
326	Health Care Providers' Reply in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees	05/04/22	69	17,151–17,164
294	Health Care Providers' Verified Memorandum of Cost	03/14/22	53	13,198–13,208
44	Joint Case Conference Report	07/17/20	7	1606–1627
164	Joint Pretrial Memorandum Pursuant to EDRC 2.67	10/27/21	26 27	6486–6500 6501–6567
465	Joint Status Report and Table Identifying	03/04/22	128	31,888–31,893

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	the Redactions to Trial Exhibits That Remain in Dispute (Filed Under Seal)		129	31,894–31,922
221	Jointly Submitted Jury Instructions	11/15/21	38	9471–9495
255	Jury Instructions	11/29/21	48	11,957–11,999
264	Jury Instructions Phase Two	12/07/21	49	12,143–12,149
347	Limited Objection to "Order Unsealing Trial Transcripts and Restoring Public Access to Docket"	10/06/22	72	17,973–17,978
156	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Legal Newsline)	10/18/21	22	5334–5338
167	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Dolcefino Communications, LLC)	10/28/21	28 28	6992–6997
168	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings (Dolcefino Communications, LLC)	10/28/21	28 29	6998–7000 7001–7003
314	Motion for New Trial	04/06/22	66 67	16,449–16,500 16,501–16,677
119	Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Sanctioned for Violating Protective Order	08/10/21	18	4465–4486
79	Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	02/18/21	15 16	3714–3750 3751–3756
488	Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow References to Plaintiffs; Decision Making Processes Regarding Setting Billed Charges (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	144	35,649–35,702

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
382	Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow References to Plaintiffs' Decision Making Process Regarding Settling Billing Charges (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	86	21,260–21,313
133	Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude References to Defendants' Decision Making Process and Reasonableness of billed Charges if Motion in Limine No. 3 is Denied	09/21/21	20	4853–4868
11	Motion to Remand	05/24/19	1	101–122
432	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed Under Seal)	12/05/21	110	27,288–27,382
434	Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed Under Seal)	12/13/21	111	27,401–27,495
267	Motion to Seal Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/15/21	50	12,294–12,302
275	Motion to Seal Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/10/22	51	12,739–12,747
276	Motion to Seal Defendants' Second Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	01/10/22	51 52	12,748–12,750 12,751–12,756
268	Motion to Seal Defendants' Supplement to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	12/15/21	50	12,303–12,311
315	Notice of Appeal	04/06/22	67	16,678–16,694
355	Notice of Appeal	10/12/22	73 74	18,126–18,250 18,251–18,467
292	Notice of Entry of Judgment	03/09/22	53	13,168–13,178
115	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 2	08/09/21	18	4403–4413

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order and Overruling Objection			
116	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time and Overruling Objection	08/09/21	18	4414–4424
127	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Questions and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19	4709–4726
128	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Request for Production of Documents and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19	4727–4747
129	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed No to Answer and Overruling Objection	09/16/21	19 20	4748–4750 4751–4769
200	Notice of Entry of Order Affirming and Adopting Report and Recommendation No. 11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified	11/03/21	32	7853–7874

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
340	Notice of Entry of Order Approving Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	08/02/22	71	17,707–17,725
351	Notice of Entry of Order Approving Supplemental Attorney's Fee Award	10/12/22	73	18,005–18,015
357	Notice of Entry of Order Denying "Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript"	10/13/22	75	18,599–18,608
40	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' (1) Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint; and (2) Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	06/24/20	6 7	1472–1500 1501–1516
274	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Judgement as a Matter of Law	01/06/22	51	12,718–12,738
352	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for New Trial	10/12/22	73	18,016–18,086
154	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should not be Held in Contempt for Violating Protective Order	10/14/21	22	5309–5322
161	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	10/25/21	25	6116–6126
338	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	07/19/22	71	17,689–17,699
171	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1 Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	11/01/21	29	7040–7051

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
172	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2: Motion Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 1, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence Relating to Defendants' Agreements with Other Market Players and Related Negotiations	11/01/21	29	7052–7063
173	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 3 to Allow Reference to Plaintiffs' Decision Making Processes Regarding Setting Billed Charges	11/01/21	29	7064–7075
174	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 4 to Preclude References to Defendants' Decision Making Processes and Reasonableness of Billed Charges if Motion in Limine No. 3 is Denied	11/01/21	29	7076–7087
175	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 12, Paired with Motion in Limine No. 11, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Discussing Defendants' Approach to Reimbursement	11/01/21	29	7088–7099
176	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 5 Regarding Argument or Evidence that Amounts TeamHealth Plaintiffs Billed for Services are Reasonable [An Alternative Motion to Motion in Limine No. 6]	11/01/21	29	7100–7111
177	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 7 to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence of the Costs of the Services that Plaintiffs Provided	11/01/21	29	7112–7123
178	Notice of Entry of Order Denying	11/01/21	29	7124–7135

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 8, Offered in the Alternative to MIL No. 7, to Preclude Plaintiffs from Offering Evidence as to the Qualitative Value, Relative Value, Societal Value, or Difficulty of the Services they Provided			
179	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 10 to Exclude Evidence of Defendants' Corporate Structure (Alternative Motion to be Considered Only if Court Denies Defendants' Counterpart Motion in Limine No. 9)	11/01/21	29	7136–7147
180	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 11, Paired with Motion in Limine No. 12, to Authorize Defendants to Discuss Plaintiffs' Conduct and Deliberations in Negotiating Reimbursement	11/01/21	29	7148–7159
181	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 13 Motion to Authorize Defendants to Offer Evidence Relating to Plaintiffs' Collection Practices for Healthcare Claims	11/01/21	29	7160–7171
182	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 14: Motion Offered in the Alternative MIL No. 13 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Contesting Defendants' Defenses Relating to Claims that were Subject to a Settlement Agreement Between CollectRx and Data iSight; and Defendants' Adoption of Specific Negotiation Thresholds for Reimbursement Claims Appealed or Contested by Plaintiffs	11/01/21	29	7172–7183
183	Notice of Entry of Order Denying	11/01/21	29	7184–7195

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 15 to Preclude Reference and Testimony Regarding the TeamHealth Plaintiffs Policy not to Balance Bill			
184	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 18 to Preclude Testimony of Plaintiffs' Non- Retained Expert Joseph Crane, M.D.	11/01/21	29	7196–7207
185	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 20 to Exclude Defendants' Lobbying Efforts	11/01/21	29	7208–7219
186	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	11/01/21	29	7220–7231
187	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 27 to Preclude Evidence of Complaints Regarding Defendants' Out-Of-Network Rates or Payments	11/01/21	29	7232–7243
188	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 29 to Preclude Evidence Only Relating to Defendants' Evaluation and Development of a Company that Would Offer a Service Similar to Multiplan and Data iSight	11/01/21	29 30	7244–7250 7251–7255
189	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 32 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Relating to Materials, Events, or Conduct that Occurred on or After January 1, 2020	11/01/21	30	7256–7267
191	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 38 to Exclude Evidence or Argument Relating to	11/01/21	30	7280–7291

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Defendants' use of MultiPlan and the Data iSight Service, Including Any Alleged Conspiracy or Fraud Relating to the use of Those Services			
190	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude Certain Expert Testimony and Fact Witness Testimony by Plaintiffs' Non-Retained Expert Robert Frantz, M.D.	11/01/21	30	7268–7279
293	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	03/09/22	53	13,179–13,197
62	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on Order Shortening Time	10/27/20	11	2671–2683
78	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	02/04/21	15	3703–3713
193	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Strike Supplement Report of David Leathers	11/01/21	30	7355–7366
353	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	10/12/22	73	18,087–18,114
97	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration of Court's Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	04/26/21	17	4096–4108

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
77	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Appointment of Special Master	02/02/21	15	3693–3702
269	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Defendants' Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Only Documents Used at Trial Under Seal	12/27/21	50	12,312–12,322
202	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 17	11/04/21	33	8092–8103
203	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 25	11/04/21	33	8104–8115
204	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 37	11/04/21	33	8116–8127
205	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 9	11/04/21	33	8128–8140
206	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 21	11/04/21	33	8141–8153
207	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 22	11/04/21	33	8154-8165
341	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	08/02/22	71	17,726–17,739
358	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits	10/18/22	75 76	18,609–18,750 18,751–18,755
215	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the	11/12/21	37	9162–9173

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Court's Discovery Orders			
147	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time	10/07/21	21	5235–5245
242	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	11/19/21	44	10,954–10,963
192	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony And-Or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiff have Dismissed Certain Claims	11/01/21	30	7292–7354
63	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	10/27/20	11	2684–2695
335	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	06/29/22	71	17,594–17,609
281	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Proposed Schedule for Submission of Final Redactions	01/31/22	52	12,969–12,979
114	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	08/03/21	18	4383–4402
53	Notice of Entry of Order Granting, in Part Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants'	09/28/20	9	2184–2195

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Production of Claims for At-Issue Claims, Or, in The Alternative, Motion in Limine			
102	Notice of Entry of Order of Report and Recommendation #6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Question	05/26/21	17	4157–4165
22	Notice of Entry of Order Re: Remand	02/27/20	3	543-552
142	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Defendants' Objection to Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents about which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time	09/29/21	21	5104–5114
66	Notice of Entry of Order Setting Defendants' Production & Response Schedule Re: Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time	11/09/20	12	2775–2785
285	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time for Hearing Re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Unlock Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits	02/14/22	53	13,029–13,046
354	Notice of Entry of Order Unsealing Trial Transcripts and Restoring Public Access to Docket	10/12/22	73	18,115–18,125
86	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #1	03/16/21	16	3887–3894
120	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #11 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs'	08/11/21	18	4487–4497

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Witnesses Testified			
91	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order	03/29/21	16	3971–3980
95	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	04/15/21	17	4080–4091
104	Notice of Entry of Report and Recommendation #7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Amended Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents	06/03/21	17	4173–4184
41	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Confidentiality and Protective Order	06/24/20	7	1517–1540
69	Notice of Entry of Stipulated Electronically Stored Information Protocol Order	01/08/21	12	2860–2874
289	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Certain Admitted Trial Exhibits	02/17/22	53	13,074–13,097
360	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Expiration of Temporary Stay for Sealed Redacted Transcripts	10/25/22	76	18,759–18,769
282	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Schedule for Submission of Redactions	02/08/22	52	12,980–12,996
111	Notice of Entry Report and Recommendations #9 Regarding Pending Motions	07/01/21	18	4313–4325

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
490	Notice of Filing of Expert Report of Bruce Deal, Revised on November 14, 2021 (Filed Under Seal)	04/18/23	144	35,714–35,812
361	Notice of Filing of Writ Petition	11/17/22	76	18,770–18855
24	Notice of Intent to Take Default as to: (1) Defendant UnitedHealth Group, Inc. on All Claims; and (2) All Defendants on the First Amended Complaint's Eighth Claim for Relief	03/13/20	3 4	699–750 751
324	Notice of Posting Supersedeas Bond	04/29/22	69	17,114–17,121
10	Notice of Removal to Federal Court	05/14/19	1	42–100
333	Notice of Supplemental Attorneys Fees Incurred After Submission of Health Care Providers' Motion for Attorneys Fees	06/24/22	70 71	17,470–17,500 17,501–17,578
291	Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Judgment and Order Denying Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages	03/04/22	53	13,161–13,167
345	Objection to Plaintiffs' Proposed Orders Denying Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law and Motion for New Trial	09/13/22	72	17,941–17,950
377	Objection to R&R #11 Regarding United's (Filed Under Seal)Motion to Compel Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified (Filed Under Seal)	08/25/21	84 85	20,864–20,893 20,894–20,898
320	Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Retax Costs	04/13/22	68	16,856–16,864
153	Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Regarding the Fact that Plaintiffs have Dismissed Certain Claims and Parties on Order Shortening Time	10/12/21	22	5301–5308

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
20	Order	02/20/20	3	519-524
21	Order	02/24/20	3	525-542
337	Order Amending Oral Ruling Granting Defendants' Motion to Retax	07/01/22	71	17,682–17,688
2	Peremptory Challenge of Judge	04/17/19	1	18–19
415	Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to Defendants Motions in Limine 1, 7, 9, 11 & 13 (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	104	25,786–25,850
416	Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to Defendants' Motions in Limine No. 2, 8, 10, 12 & 14 (Filed Under Seal)	09/29/21	104	25,851–25,868
145	Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time	10/04/21	21	5170–5201
422	Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/17/21	108	26,664-26,673
378	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence Subject to the Court's Discovery Orders (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	85	20,899–20,916
380	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and/or Argument Relating to (1) Increase in Insurance Premiums (2) Increase in Costs and (3) Decrease in Employee Wages/Benefits Arising from Payment of Billed Charges (Filed Under Seal)	09/21/21	85	21,077–21,089
149	Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence, Testimony and-or Argument	10/08/21	22	5265–5279

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Regarding the Fact that Plaintiffs Have Dismissed Certain Claims and Parties on Order Shortening Time			
363	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Answers to Interrogatories on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	09/28/20	78	19,144–19,156
49	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Claims File for At-Issue Claims, or, in the Alternative, Motion in Limine on Order Shortening Time	08/28/20	7 8	1685–1700 1701–1845
250	Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify Joint Pretrial Memorandum Re: Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	11/22/21	47	11,594–11,608
194	Plaintiffs' Notice of Amended Exhibit List	11/01/21	30	7367–7392
208	Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition Designations	11/04/21	33 34	8166–8250 8251–8342
152	Plaintiffs' Objections to Defendants' Pretrial Disclosures	10/08/21	22	5295–5300
328	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for New Trial	05/04/22	69 70	17,179–17,250 17,251–17,335
420	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/05/21	107	26,498–26,605
327	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	05/04/22	69	17,165–17,178
144	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 24 to Preclude Plaintiffs from Referring to Themselves as Healthcare Professionals	09/29/21	21	5155–5169
143	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion	09/29/21	21	5115–5154

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	in Limine Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6 Regarding Billed Charges			
279	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Apply Statutory Cap on Punitive Damages and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	01/20/22	52	12,773–12,790
374	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	07/06/21	84	20,699–20,742
25	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	03/26/20	4	752–783
34	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint	05/29/20	5 6	1188–1250 1251–1293
349	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Redact Portions of Trial Transcript	10/07/22	72	17,990–17,993
278	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Seal Courtroom During January 12, 2022 Hearing	01/12/22	52	12,769–12,772
369	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Supplement the Record Supporting Objections to Reports and Recommendations #2 and #3 on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	06/01/21	81 82	20,066–20,143 20,144–20,151
329	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	05/05/22	70	17,336–17,373
317	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay	04/07/22	68	16,826–16,831
35	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended	05/29/20	6	1294–1309

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief			
83	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	03/04/21	16	3833–3862
55	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At- Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures on an Order Shortening Time	09/29/20	9-10	2224–2292
72	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time	01/12/21	14	3420–3438
122	Plaintiffs' Opposition to United's Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Held in Contempt and Sanctioned for Allegedly Violating Protective Order	08/24/21	19	4528–4609
270	Plaintiffs' Opposition to United's Motion to Seal	12/29/21	50	12,323–12,341
222	Plaintiffs' Proposed Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/15/21	38 39	9496–9500 9501–9513
260	Plaintiffs' Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions and Verdict Form	12/06/21	49	12,064–12,072
243	Plaintiffs' Proposed Special Verdict Form	11/19/21	44	10,964–10,973
227	Plaintiffs' Proposed Verdict Form	11/16/21	40	9810–9819
84	Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions	03/08/21	16	3863–3883

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
287	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Cross Motion for Entry of Judgment	02/15/22	5 3	13,054–13,062
364	Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Renewed Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt and for Sanctions (Filed Under Seal)	04/01/21	78	19,157–19,176
366	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 2 Regarding Plaintiffs' Objection to Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena Duces Tecum to TeamHealth Holdings, Inc. and Collect Rx, Inc. Without Deposition and Motion for Protective Order (Filed Under Seal)	04/19/21	78 79	19,389–19,393 19,394–19,532
195	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	11/01/21	30	7393–7403
371	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Report and Recommendation #6 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed Not to Answer Questions (Filed Under Seal)	06/16/21	82	20,212–20,265
376	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Special Master Report and Recommendation No. 9 Regarding Defendants' Renewed Motion to Compel Further Testimony from Deponents Instructed not to Answer Questions (Filed Under Seal)	07/22/21	84	20,751–20,863
110	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to Special Master's Report and Recommendation #7 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Amended	06/24/21	18	4281–4312

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Third Set of Request for Production of Documents			
367	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	05/05/21	79	19,533–19,581
426	Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-of-State Harms to Non- Parties (Filed Under Seal)	11/08/21	109	26,965–26,997
246	Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/20/21	46	11,255–11,261
261	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Proposed Second Phase Jury Instructions	12/06/21	49	12,072–12,077
236	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Jury Instruction (Contested)	11/17/21	42	10,308–10,313
248	Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental Jury Instructions (Contested)	11/21/21	46	11,267–11,272
216	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Defendants' Prompt Payment Act Jury Instruction Re: Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies	11/12/21	37	9174–9184
223	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Punitive Damages for Unjust Enrichment Claim	11/15/21	39	9514–9521
218	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Specific Price Term	11/14/21	38	9417–9425
428	Preliminary Motion to Seal Attorneys' Eyes Documents Used at Trial (Filed Under Seal)	11/11/21	109	27,004–27,055
211	Recorder's Amended Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	35	8515–8723

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
73	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Unsealed Portion Only)	01/13/21	14	3439–3448
125	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	09/09/21	19	4667–4680
126	Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Via Blue Jeans)	09/15/21	19	4681–4708
31	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	05/15/20	5	1022–1026
88	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	03/18/21	16	3910–3915
90	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	03/25/21	16	3967–3970
96	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing All Pending Motions	04/21/21	17	4092–4095
82	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Defendants' Motion to Extend All Case Management Deadlines and Continue Trial Setting on Order Shortening Time (Second Request)	03/03/21	16	3824–3832
101	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion for Leave to File Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Second Set of Requests for Production on Order Shortening Time in Redacted and Partially Sealed Form	05/12/21	17	4155–4156
107	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Objection to the Special Master's Report and Recommendation No. 3 Regarding Defendants' Second Set of Request for Production on Order Shortening Time in Redacted and Partially Sealed Form	06/09/21	17	4224–4226
92	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Motion to Associate Counsel on OST	04/01/21	16	3981–3986

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
483	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing re Hearing (Filed Under Seal)	10/13/22	142	35,259–35,263
346	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Hearing	09/22/22	72	17,951–17,972
359	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Status Check	10/20/22	76	18,756–18,758
162	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 1	10/25/21	25 26	6127–6250 6251–6279
213	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 10	11/10/21	36 37	8933–9000 9001–9152
217	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 11	11/12/21	37 38	9185–9250 9251–9416
224	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 12	11/15/21	39 40	9522–9750 9751–9798
228	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 13	11/16/21	40 41	9820–10,000 10,001–10,115
237	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 14	11/17/21	42 43	10,314–10,500 10,501–10,617
239	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 15	11/18/21	43 44	10,624–10,750 10,751–10,946
244	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 16	11/19/21	44 45	10,974–11,000 11,001–11,241
249	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 17	11/22/21	46 47	11,273–11,500 11.501–11,593
253	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 18	11/23/21	47 48	11,633–11,750 11,751–11,907
254	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 19	11/24/21	48	11,908–11,956
163	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 2	10/26/21	26	6280-6485
256	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 20	11/29/21	48 49	12,000 12,001–12,034

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
262	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 21	12/06/21	49	12,078-,12,135
266	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 22	12/07/21	49 50	12,153–12,250 12,251–12,293
165	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 3	10/27/21	27 28	6568–6750 6751–6774
166	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 4	10/28/21	28	6775–6991
196	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 5	11/01/21	30 31	7404–7500 7501–7605
197	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 6	11/02/21	31 32	7606–7750 7751–7777
201	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 7	11/03/21	32 33	7875–8000 8001–8091
210	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 8	11/08/21	34 35	8344–8500 8501–8514
212	Recorder's Transcript of Jury Trial – Day 9	11/09/21	35 36	8724–8750 8751–8932
27	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	04/03/20	4	909–918
76	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	01/21/21	15	3659–3692
80	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	02/22/21	16	3757–3769
81	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	02/25/21	16	3770–3823
93	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	04/09/21	16 17	3987–4000 4001–4058
103	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	05/28/21	17	4166–4172
43	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	07/09/20	7	1591–1605

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
45	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	07/23/20	7	1628–1643
58	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	10/08/20	10	2363–2446
59	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	10/22/20	10	2447–2481
65	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	11/04/20	11 12	2745–2750 2751–2774
67	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	12/23/20	12	2786–2838
68	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (via Blue Jeans)	12/30/20	12	2839–2859
105	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/03/21	17	4185–4209
106	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/04/21	17	4210–4223
109	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/23/21	17 18	4240–4250 4251–4280
113	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	07/29/21	18	4341–4382
123	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	09/02/21	19	4610–4633
121	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Unsealed Portion Only)	08/17/21	18 19	4498–4500 4501–4527
29	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	05/14/20	4	949-972
51	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	09/09/20	8	1933–1997
15	Rely in Support of Motion to Remand	06/28/19	2	276–308
124	Reply Brief on "Motion for Order to Show	09/08/21	19	4634–4666

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Cause Why Plaintiffs Should Not Be Hold in Contempt and Sanctioned for Violating Protective Order"			
19	Reply in Support of Amended Motion to Remand	02/05/20	2 3	486–500 501–518
330	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion for Remittitur and to Alter or Amend the Judgment	06/22/22	70	17,374–17,385
57	Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Clinical Documents for the At-Issue Claims and Defenses and to Compel Plaintiff to Supplement Their NRCP 16.1 Initial Disclosures	10/07/20	10	2337–2362
331	Reply in Support of Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law	06/22/22	70	17,386–17,411
332	Reply in Support of Motion for New Trial	06/22/22	70	17,412–17,469
87	Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration of Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiffs Responses to Defendants' First and Second Requests for Production	03/16/21	16	3895–3909
344	Reply in Support of Supplemental Attorney's Fees Request	08/22/22	72	17,935–17,940
229	Reply in Support of Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-Of- State Harms to Non-Parties	11/16/21	41	10,116–10,152
318	Reply on "Defendants' Rule 62(b) Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Post-Trial Motions" (on Order Shortening Time)	04/07/22	68	16,832–16,836
245	Response to Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Punitive Damages for Unjust Enrichment Claim	11/19/21	45 46	11,242–11,250 11,251–11,254

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
230	Response to Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Specific Price Term	11/16/21	41	10,153–10,169
424	Response to Sur-Reply Arguments in Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Record in Opposition to Arguments Raised for the First Time in Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Filed Under Seal)	10/21/21	109	26,931–26,952
148	Second Amended Complaint	10/07/21	$\begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	5246 - 5250 $5251 - 5264$
458	Second Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed Under Seal)	01/05/22	126 127	31,309–31,393 31,394–31,500
231	Special Verdict Form	11/16/21	41	10,169–10,197
257	Special Verdict Form	11/29/21	49	12,035–12,046
265	Special Verdict Form	12/07/21	49	12,150–12,152
6	Summons – Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.	04/30/19	1	29–31
9	Summons – Oxford Health Plans, Inc.	05/06/19	1	38–41
8	Summons – Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc.	04/30/19	1	35–37
7	Summons – Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc.	04/30/19	1	32–34
3	Summons - UMR, Inc. dba United Medical Resources	04/25/19	1	20–22
4	Summons – United Health Care Services Inc. dba UnitedHealthcare	04/25/19	1	23–25
5	Summons – United Healthcare Insurance Company	04/25/19	1	26–28
433	Supplement to Defendants' Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits (Filed	12/08/21	110 111	27,383–27,393 27,394–27,400

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Under Seal)			
170	Supplement to Defendants' Objection to Media Requests	10/31/21	29	7019–7039
439	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 1 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	114	28,189–28,290
440	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 2 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	114 115	28,291–28,393 28,394–28,484
441	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 3 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	115 116	28,485–28,643 28,644–28,742
442	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 4 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	116 117	28,743–28,893 28,894–28,938
443	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 5 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	117	28,939–29,084
444	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 6 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	117 118	29,085–29,143 29,144–29,219
445	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 7 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	118	29,220–29,384
446	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 8 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	118 119	29,385–29,393 29,394–29,527
447	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 9 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	119 120	29,528–29,643 29,644–29,727
448	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial	12/24/21	120 121	29,728–29,893 29,894–29,907

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Exhibits – Volume 10 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)			
449	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 11 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	121	29,908–30,051
450	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 12 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	121 122	30,052–30,143 30,144–30,297
451	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 13 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	122 123	30,298–30,393 30,394–30,516
452	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 14 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	123 124	30,517–30,643 30,644–30,677
453	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 15 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	124	30,678–30,835
454	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 16 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	124 125	30,836–30,893 30,894–30,952
455	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 17 of 18 (Filed Under Seal)	12/24/21	125	30,953–31,122
456	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Seal Certain Confidential Trial Exhibits – Volume 18 of 18 (Filed Under	12/24/21	125 126	30,123–31,143 31,144–31,258

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	Seal)			
466	Transcript of Proceedings re Hearing Regarding Unsealing Record (Filed Under Seal)	10/05/22	129	31,923–31,943
350	Transcript of Proceedings re Status Check	10/10/22	72 73	17,994–18,000 18,001–18,004
467	Transcript of Proceedings re Status Check (Filed Under Seal)	10/06/22	129	31,944–31,953
157	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/19/21	22 23	5339–5500 5501–5561
160	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions	10/22/21	24 25	5908–6000 6001–6115
459	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Filed Under Seal)	01/12/22	127	31,501–31,596
460	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Filed Under Seal)	01/20/22	127 128	31,597–31,643 31,644–31,650
461	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Filed Under Seal)	01/27/22	128	31,651–31,661
146	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions (Via Blue Jeans)	10/06/21	21	5202-5234
290	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	02/17/22	53	13,098–13,160
319	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	04/07/22	68	16,837–16,855
323	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	04/21/22	69	17,102–17,113
336	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing	06/29/22	71	17,610–17,681
463	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Filed Under Seal)	02/10/22	128	31,673–31,793

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
464	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions Hearing (Filed Under Seal)	02/16/22	128	31,794–31,887
38	Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions	06/05/20	6	1350–1384
39	Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions	06/09/20	6	1385–1471
46	Transcript of Proceedings, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Production of Unredacted MultiPlan, Inc. Agreement	07/29/20	7	1644–1663
482	Transcript of Status Check (Filed Under Seal)	10/10/22	142	35,248–35,258
492	Transcript Re: Proposed Jury Instructions	11/21/21	146	36,086–36,250
425	Trial Brief Regarding Evidence and Argument Relating to Out-of-State Harms to Non-Parties (Filed Under Seal)	10/31/21	109	26,953–26,964
232	Trial Brief Regarding Jury Instructions on Formation of an Implied-In-Fact Contract	11/16/21	41	10,198–10,231
233	Trial Brief Regarding Jury Instructions on Unjust Enrichment	11/16/21	41	10,232–10,248
484	Trial Exhibit D5499 (Filed Under Seal)		142 143	35,264–35,393 35,394–35,445
362	Trial Exhibit D5502		76 77	18,856–19,000 19,001–19,143
485	Trial Exhibit D5506 (Filed Under Seal)		143	35,446
372	United's Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified on Order Shortening Time (Filed Under Seal)	06/24/21	82	20,266–20,290
112	United's Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Plaintiffs' Production of Documents About Which Plaintiffs' Witnesses Testified	07/12/21	18	4326–4340

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
	on Order Shortening Time			
258	Verdict(s) Submitted to Jury but Returned Unsigned	11/29/21	49	12,047–12,048

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 18, 2023, I submitted the foregoing appendix for filing via the Court's eFlex electronic filing system.

Electronic notification will be sent to the following:

Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest

(case no. 85656)

Pat Lundvall	Dennis L. Kennedy
Kristen T. Gallagher	Sarah E. Harmon
Amanda M. Perach	BAILEY KENNEDY
McDonald Carano llp	8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1	.200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	Attorneys for Respondents (case no.
Attorneys for Respondents (case no	. 85525)
85525)/Real Parties in Interest (ca	se
no. 85656)	Constance. L. Akridge
	Sydney R. Gambee
Richard I. Dreitzer	HOLLAND & HART LLP
FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC	9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor
9275 W. Russell Road, Suite 240	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148	
	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae (case no.

85656)

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage prepaid, at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as follows:

The Honorable Nancy L. Allf DISTRICT COURT JUDGE – DEPT. 27 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Respondent (case no. 85656)

Joseph Y. Ahmad
John Zavitsanos
Jason S. McManis
Michael Killingsworth
Louis Liao
Jane L. Robinson
Patrick K. Leyendecker
AHMAD, ZAVITSANOS, & MENSING, PLLC
1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2500
Houston, Texas 77010

Justin C. Fineberg
Martin B. Goldberg
Rachel H. LeBlanc
Jonathan E. Feuer
Jonathan E. Siegelaub
David R. Ruffner
Emily L. Pincow
Ashley Singrossi
LASH & GOLDBERG LLP
Weston Corporate Centre I
2500 Weston Road Suite 220
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33331

Attorneys for Respondents (case no. 85525)/Real Parties in Interest (case no. 85656)

/s/ Jessie M. Helm
An Employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP

1	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
2	Q	Okay. Is this
3		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, let's pull out let's pull out
4	from here	to here.
5		THE WITNESS: What page are you on?
6	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
7	Q	I'm on the first page.
8	А	Okay.
9	Q	Okay.
10	А	And what are you highlighting?
11	Q	So I've just pulled out everything from the top of the page to
12	the bottor	n of the box that says claim summary, right?
13	А	Okay.
14	Q	Okay. So this one, we see that
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Over here, Michelle.
16	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
17	Q	The group name is AT&T Mobility. Whoops. Okay.
18		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right there, Michelle.
19	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
20	Q	Okay. So that means this is an ASO claim, right?
21	А	It doesn't say that specifically, but I believe AT&T is a
22	self-funde	d employer group.
23	Q	Okay. So the charge here was \$1,187, right?
24	А	I'm sorry. What page are you on?
25	Q	I'm on the first page.

1	А	For 444?	
2	Q	For correct. For 444. It's the shaded box.	
3	А	I have 1148 as the amount billed.	
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I think you have an old one. Can we get	
5	a copy, ple	ease current copy of that? He has the old one. This is the	
6	one we sw	vitched out.	
7		MR. LEYENDECKER: 444?	
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.	
9	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
10	Q	Do you have an earlier version? The one that is in evidence	
11	is the one	that's up on the screen. Anyway, it doesn't matter. Let me	
12	just go thr	ough these now.	
13	А	Well	
14	Q	Would you like to look at it?	
15	А	Yeah. Because it does make a difference because the benefit	
16	plans		
17	Q	Sure.	
18	Α	are different.	
19	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
20	Q	While he's getting that, let me ask you a few kind of	
21	preliminar	y questions before we get into this. The explanation of	
22	benefits w	ill, among other things, show the amount of the discount,	
23	right, the reduction off a billed charge, right?		
24	А	Yes.	
25	Q	Okay. And okay.	

0
\approx
8
വ്
7:

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, take that down. We'll get back
2	to it. And	let's go now to Exhibit 120.
3	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
4	Q	So we saw that this EOB was for AT&T Mobility, right? The
5	one we jus	st looked at.
6	А	The one in my binder is for AT&T. I don't know if it's the
7	same one	you have here.
8	Q	Yes, it is. It is. It's 120. And what we're going to do, Mr.
9	Haben, is v	we're going to go through the plan document to see how this
10	EOB was p	processed, okay? So we're at 120 and let's go to page 2.
11	А	Okay. Let me get there.
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, back up, Michelle, one page. Okay.
13	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
14	Q	Okay. So there it is. That's the summary plan description.
15	Α	Can I just take a
16	Q	Sure.
17	А	quick peek. Page 2?
18	Q	Yes, sir.
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Do we have it Michael?
20		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: It's being printed.
21	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
22	Q	All right. So this is the summary plan description for AT&T,
23	right?	
24	А	Yeah, just to clarify though, they're a very large company as
25	everybody	knows. They could have multiple benefit plans inside of the

1	company.	
2	Q	That's all right.
3	А	Okay.
4	Q	And they're an ASO client of United, right?
5	А	Yes, they are.
6	Q	All right. And as we said a couple of days ago, on these ASO
7	arrangeme	ents, there's a summary plan description, there's a certificate
8	of coverag	e, and there's an administrative service agreement, right?
9	А	I'm not sure if I remember you saying certificate of
10	coverage.	I'm not when I hear COC, sorry, certificate of coverage I
11	think of fu	lly insured. So I
12	Q	We're going to look. We're going to look.
13	А	Okay.
14	Q	Okay. All right. So at the very least, there's a summary plan
15	description	n, and an administrative service agreement, right?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And the administrative service agreement is the one that
18	identifies h	now much United will charge?
19	А	It identifies to the client what they've agreed to for programs.
20	Q	Okay. So now, we're on into the 120, and in order to run this
21	program fo	or AT&T, United charges a PMPM fee per member per
22	month, rig	ht?
23	А	I don't know what AT&T has.
24	Q	But that's a typical arrangement, right?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	Okay. All right. And so this is 120. Now let's look at 290.
2		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And let's go to page 2, Michelle.
3	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
4	Q	So we just looked at the summary the cover of the
5	summary	plan description. I'll wait for you, Mr. Haben.
6	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
7	Q	Now we're looking at the certificate of coverage for AT&T
8	with Unite	dHealthcare. Let me know when you have that. Okay. All
9	right. Nov	v
10	А	Can I just take a quick peek at this?
11	Q	Sure.
12	А	Okay.
13	Q	Let's go to page 31. And the certificate of coverage
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, pull out from here to here.
15	Actually, f	rom here to here.
16		THE WITNESS: What are you pulling up?
17		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm pulling up the top third of this
18	document	. Sorry, Mr. Haben. Michelle and I both had a lot of coffee this
19	morning,	so okay. Now I need you to go a little further down, Michelle.
20	I need the	whole section. Is that the whole section? Right above this.
21	There we	go. Perfect.
22		THE WITNESS: Where did you end?
23	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
24	Q	Okay. It's the section about what is the certificate of
25	coverage.	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

25

- Q And the part I want to ask you about, it says, this certificate of coverage is part of the policy and is the legal document -- and she'll highlight -- between UnitedHealthcare and the group. And the group is AT&T, right?
 - A Yes.
- O Okay. This is the working agreement between United, and it's ASO client, in this case AT&T, right?
 - A This is the certificate of coverage.
- Q Okay. And again, I know we covered this yesterday, neither the summary plan description nor this document do the providers sign off on this?
- A No. Unless they're an employer group that has United as insurance, yes.
- O Now, if we go back to the summary plan description, the companion document to this, Exhibit 120, page 86, we will see -- oh, by the way, the summary plan description -- just for reference, Mr. Haben, the summary plan description is dated September 2017, and the COC is dated, I believe, in 2019. You with me?
 - A Yes, I see those two dates.
- Q Okay. Now, here's what I want to do. We're looking at page 86 of Exhibit 120, and there is a section called emergency services.
- MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, down to here. Good. BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:
 - Q And this is the telling the member how emergency room

1	charges a	re going to be handled, right?
2	А	Can you so I see the ER services. Where did you stop
3	when you	highlighted?
4	Q	I stopped right above the paragraph immediately under the
5	last bullet	point.
6	А	Got it. Can I just read this real quick?
7	Q	Yes, sir.
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, while he's doing that, let's
9	highlight	this, please. Start here right here. No, no, no. Right here.
10	Go all the	way across, please, across here. And can you circle the word
11	highest?	Okay.
12		THE WITNESS: Now where are you highlighting?
13		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Hold on. I need you to highlight this.
14	Okay.	
15	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
16	Q	So the AT&T document which is what you're telling the
17	member y	ou're going to cover says in the second paragraph, last
18	sentence,	"The allowable charge for covered non-network emergency
19	services."	Now that's us, right? That's us?
20	А	If you're the provider for that service, it could be.
21	Q	Right. "Will no less than the highest of the following three
22	things," ri	ght?
23	А	That's what that says.

24

25

Q

claims for AT&T for emergency services at whichever of these three

So UnitedHealthcare is obligated to process out-of-network

23

24

25

1	bullet poi	nts is the highest, right?
2	А	If they're non-participating, and if it's underneath this benefit
3	plan, we'l	I follow the benefit plan.
4	Q	Yes, sir. And so the second one is the reasonable and
5	customary	y amount, right?
6	А	Yes, that's what that says.
7	Q	Okay. And I don't want to go back, but we've had a bunch
8	of discuss	sions about what that means, right? You and I have had a
9	bunch of	discussion about what the means?
10	А	You can keep going. I don't we've talked about reasonable
11	and custo	mary.
12	Q	Yes, sir. That's what I'm
13	А	Yeah.
14	Q	Okay. Now but United you didn't do that, right?
15	А	I disagree.
16	Q	You ignored what you were obligated to do, and you put it in
17	this so yo	u could make money?
18	А	l don't agree.
19	Q	Okay. Well, let's go back to Exhibit 444. Oh, I have it right
20	here, sir.	I have the new one. Here you go. This is the correct one. And
21	if you wo	uld be so kind as to would you do me a favor, Mr. Haben,
22	would you	u replace that in the book? Remove the old one and replace it

with the one I just handed you?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: He has, Your Honor, the one -- I believe

THE COURT: Does he have the Court's version?

1	the Court's	
2		THE COURT: We can't just change out evidence in the
3	Court's	
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: That's the witness copy, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: All right. That's the witness copy; that's not the
6	Court's	
7		THE COURT: We both stipulate that's the witness copy.
8		MR. BLALACK: That makes sense to me, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: Good enough.
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
11		THE COURT: Thank you.
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you.
13	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
14	Q	Okay. Now okay. So let's first of all, we just looked at the
15	AT&T plan	n. Let's pull this out right here.
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here, Michelle.
17	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
18	Q	Okay. So this is AT&T. You see that?
19	А	I don't know where you're pointing to.
20	Q	I'm pointing
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, Michelle, you had it.
22	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
23	Q	at the very top of the page when it says member patient
24	information, we see that AT&T is the group, right?	
25	А	Yeah. I see that.

1	Q	All right.
2		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And close that out, Michelle.
3	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
4	Q	And there's you have a shadow box identifying a charged
5	amount	of \$1187.
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Give me one second here. Okay.
7	Michelle	, want to pull up the shadow box. I need the whole thing,
8	Michelle	. Okay. All right. That's fine. That's good. Can we get rid of
9	that first	box? Okay. This is fine.
10	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
11	Q	So the amount billed was 1187, right?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Right?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And United discounted by a little over \$425
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: You don't need to pull that out, Michelle.
17	Okay.	
18		THE WITNESS: The plan discount according to the benefit
19	plan.	
20	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
21	Q	Yeah. So now let's go to the next page of this EOB. Page 2.
22		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And, Michelle, let's pull up from here to
23	here. Good.	
24	BY MR. 2	ZAVITSANOS:
25	Q	Okay. That's going to be a little hard to read but here's what

0
0
∞
7
တ

I	it says. "I	viember this is what United is telling the member, right?
2	А	It's on the EOB. It's a member of EOB.
3	Q	Okay. "Member, this service was provided by an out-of-
4	network p	provider. We paid the provider according to your benefits and
5	data provided by Data iSight. Do you see that?	
6	А	I do.
7	Q	Data iSight is not used with reasonable and customary,
8	right?	
9	А	I don't think so.
10	Q	So you just took it upon yourself to ignore the plan language
11	and apply a deeper discount than what the plan allows, right, sir?	
12		MR. BLALACK: Object.
13		MR. ZAVITSANOS: That's what this says?
14		MR. BLALACK: Object to the form. Misstates the record.
15		THE COURT: Overruled.
16	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
17	Q	You took this claim, and you applied one of your alleged
18	programs	to it when the plan says you're supposed to use reasonable
19	and custo	mary so that you could make a fee, right?
20	А	That's incorrect. I don't know if this plan document goes
21	with this I	EOB. AT&T has got multiple policy numbers. So if you can
22	show me	the SPD for that group number, I can see if it's the same one.
23	Q	Well, sir, let's go to page 5.
24		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, when are we going to take a
25	break? I r	nean, I can finish this document.
	I	

1		THE COURT: Well, it hasn't been 45 minutes
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay	
3		THE COURT: since we started.
4	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
5	Q	All right. So now we're on the provider remittance advice.
6	That's the section that's the one that goes to the doctor, right, for the	
7	same claim?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And here we see from Crum, Stefanko, and Jones,
10	right? That's, us, right?	
11	А	I don't know if that's you, but I see those names.
12	Q	Okay.
13		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, close that out, and I'd like you
14	to pull out	t the bottom the whole bottom half of the document
15	beginning	with the second row of boxes. Okay.
16	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
17	Q	So this is a 99285. That's the most serious code, right?
18	А	That is the highest level code.
19	Q	The charge was 862, right?
20	А	It's hard to read, but yes, I think so.
21	Q	Okay. And this is an AT&T claim. And once again, this
22	service was provided by an out of network provider. We paid the	
23	provider according to your benefits and data provided by Data iSight.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	Δ	l do

C	>
	Ō
C	ρ
2	7
7	ກ

1	Q	Okay. So the plan we looked at says reasonable and
2	customary,	right?
3	А	The plan you showed me said reason yes, reasonable and
4	customary.	I don't know if that plan goes with this EOB, though.
5	Q	Okay. So if it does, this is wrong? You all made a mistake?
6	А	I don't think it does. AT&T, like I said, has multiple policies.
7	Q	Okay.
8	А	And the dates are different.
9	Q	Do you know whether all the AT&T claims at issue in this
10	case were processed using something less than reasonable and	
11	customary?	
12	А	I don't know.
13		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, you can take that down.
14	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
15	Q	Now, we there's an appeal mechanism within you all's little
16	system there, right? You the provider they felt they got short-	
17	changed, they could appeal, right?	
18	А	Providers can appeal. Members can appeal.
19	Q	Okay. Look at Exhibit 470.
20	А	I need to put a few of these away.
21	Q	Sure. Let me know when you're there, sir, okay? And I won't
22	want to say the patient's name out loud, but does this Exhibit 470 relate	
23	to the same patient we just looked at?	
24	А	Can I I don't know off the top of my head. Can I go look at
25	it? What no	umber was that again?

24

1	Q	That was 444. And you can just compare the name that
2	appears on 444 with the name that appears on 470.	
3	А	And 444 was the new one that you gave me, right?
4	Q	Yes, sir.
5	А	I see the names are the same.
6	Q	Yes, sir. Okay. Now, 470, does that appear to be a United
7	document concerning this claim?	
8	А	I am not sure what this is. I've never seen these before.
9	Q	Well, do you see that it says it has a Defendants' Bates
10	number on it? That would be United.	
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay.
13	А	Okay.
14	Q	Any reason to dispute that this document produced by
15	United for the same patient for the EOB we just looked at is not what i	
16	purports to be?	
17	А	I don't know.
18	Q	Well, is there anything on there that indicates that it's
19	fraudulent or that it's	
20	А	I wouldn't think so.
21	Q	Okay.
22	Α	I don't know, though.

25 A What do you mean?

Q

programs, right?

And you're familiar with this appeal process within these

_
ب
0
∞
7
တ
Ġ

1	Q	And that's the process of appealing is part of these
2	programs, right? Like OCM?	
3	А	It's not unique to the programs. There's a process you can
4	appeal for	insurance, self-funded, any type of claim.
5	Q	Okay.
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, we move for the admission
7	of 470.	
8		MR. BLALACK: Object to the foundation, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: A sufficient foundation was laid. The exhibit
10	will be admitted.	
11		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 470 admitted into evidence]
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
13	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
14	Q	So now we're going
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Pull that down, Michelle.
16	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
17	Q	we're talking about the same patient involving that claim
18	that applied Data iSight	
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Pull it all the way down all the way up.
20	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
21	Q	And what we have here it's a little hard to read
22		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, what I'd like you to do is first
23	of all, I'm not going to say the name out loud, but let's highlight right	
24	here, so that when the jury goes back, they can compare the names right	
25	there. Then on the bottom part, Michelle, can you highlight the entire	

part within the two lines at the bottom. And I'd like you to underline the
third line all the way across and up to right here, Michelle, in the next
line.

THE WITNESS: Can you talk me through what you're highlighting?

BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

- Q Yes, sir. Let me just tell you what it says. It says, "This appears to be an appeal by Ruby Crest for this patient out of network pursuant to the AT&T plan, your ASO client. We appealed and you said negotiation was not attempted. This claim has been reviewed and reimbursed, using Data iSight," right?
 - A I see that it says that.
 - Q And this is an online routing system, right?
 - A Yes, that's what that says.
- Q I mean, it looks like we appealed, and you all wouldn't even talk to us.

MR. BLALACK: Object to the foundation of the question.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: According --

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

- Q We appealed, and it just went into your little computer program applying a methodology that seems to be inconsistent with this claim and you all didn't even talk to us, right?
- A I disagree and I don't know if that's associated with that plan document.

	_
C	⊃
C	⊃
C	α
-	V
(מכ
-	N۱

- 1			
	Q	And you understand, Mr. Haben, that in terms of fairness,	
	having the jury evaluate whether you all followed this plan or not and		
	whether you all reimbursed this at reasonable rates is going to be more		
	fair than h	aving United decide that, right?	
		MR. BLALACK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.	
		THE COURT: Overruled.	
	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:	
	Q	Right, sir?	
	А	I disagree.	
	Q	Okay. United is obligated we're not a party to the plan, so	
	we don't h	ave to follow the plan, right? Because we're not a party to it,	
	right?		
	А	You can bill whatever you want.	
	Q	But United a contract between itself and its ASO client, you	
	haven't fol	lowed the plan.	
	А	We follow the benefit plan.	
	Q	Okay.	
		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Take that down, Michelle.	
	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
	Q	By the way, when you cut that bill by the 400 bucks or so	
	using Data iSight, Data iSight get a cut MultiPlan gets a cut of that		
	reduction, right? They get a percentage of that reduction.		
	А	We pay MultiPlan for the program.	
	Q	And you pay yourself, too. You get a percentage of that	
	reduction?		

1	А	If the clients bought the program, we charge them the fee.
2	Q	Well, if you apply Data iSight, which is part of OCM, you get
3	a cut, right	?
4	А	Yeah. The client signs up for the program and they know
5	there's a fe	ee associated with it.
6	Q	Well, we looked at the AT&T plans, which are as late as 2019.
7	This claim	was in 2019. I mean, you didn't follow the plan, right?
8	А	I don't know if that plan is associated with that claim.
9	Q	Okay.
10	А	That SPD is from 2014.
11	Q	Oh. And by the way, one of the paternalistic reasons that
12	employers	put that out-of-network emergency charges be included in
13	their sumn	nary plan documents is because they want to protect the
14	member fr	om being balanced billed, right?
15	Α	I don't know the motive of the employers, but the Affordable
16	Care Act o	utlines very specific rules that you have to follow and that's
17	what that I	anguage is trying to emulate.
18	Q	Sorry. I'm asking remember when we looked yesterday,
19	when we v	vere talking about migrating clients off of reasonable and
20	necessary	and one of the phrases you all used was that some clients are
21	paternalist	ic, because they want to protect their members, right?
22	Α	Understood.
23	Q	What we just saw cheated this member out this protection.
24	А	I would disagree. I can explain.
25		MR. BLALACK: Object to form. Argumentative.
l	1	

1		THE COURT: Objection sustained. Rephrase.	
2	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
3	Q	What we just saw denied this member the protection called	
4	for under	that plan?	
5	А	I would disagree.	
6	Q	Okay.	
7	А	I can explain. It's a very complicated process.	
8	Q	So here's the thing. I want to get finished with you today	
9	and I knov	v you keep saying you want to explain, okay. And I'm look, I	
10	promise y	ou're going to get a full opportunity, okay? And I just gotta get	
11	through th	nese materials, okay?	
12	А	I know your	
13	Q	Listen, I'm getting sick of the sound of my own voice up here.	
14		THE COURT: Please don't interrupt.	
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.	
16	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
17	Q	So we're going to I'm just going to try to get through this,	
18	okay?		
19	А	I understand. It's a complicated process. These are	
20	complicate	ed plans I'm trying to explain, so people can understand.	
21	Q	Okay. Now, let's go to okay. Let's go to 175. We've talked	
22	about this	document a little bit. All right. So page 3	
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Or excuse me, Michelle. Page 2. And	
24	will you p	lease pay up the first paragraph with the heading? Landscape	
25	and the fir	est paragraph.	

1		THE WITNESS: Can I just take a quick peek?
2	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
3	Q	Sure. So let me know when you're ready.
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you scroll up just a tiny bit
5	within tha	t box no, within the box, just scroll up just a little bit so we
6	can make	sure we got all the words. Thank you, Michelle.
7	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
8	Q	Let me know when you're ready.
9	А	Yeah, just you're just looking at page 2 for now?
10	Q	Yes, sir. Okay. So again and we covered this, but this is
11	talking ab	out
12	А	Okay, I'm ready.
13	Q	Yeah. So this is talking about ASO clients like United and it
14	says that	those clients typically have established a cap on eligible or
15	allowed.	Now, eligible or allowed means the amount that's being
16	reimburse	ed to them, right?
17	А	Yeah. I use allowed amount.
18	Q	Okay. A cap on eligible or allowed
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Hold on, Michelle. Not going to highlight
20	yet.	
21	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
22	Q	that is tied to a
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here, Michelle.
24	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
25	Q	that is tied to a usual and customary out-of-network

	_
C	⊃
(\supset
C	α
-	7
-	٧
_	_

1	reimburse	ment methodology, right?
2	А	That's what that says.
3	Q	Okay. So when it says typically
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Circle the word typically.
5	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
6	Q	typically means usually, most of the time, right?
7	А	I don't know how you would use it, but
8	Q	That's a typical thing, right?
9	А	Understood.
10	Q	Okay. So this is a charge-based methodology that often
11	exceeds 3	00 percent of Medicare, right?
12	А	UNC is what the providers submit for their charges.
13	Q	Okay. Now all right. Let's now move to page 4.
14		UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Page what?
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Page 4.
16		THE WITNESS: Can I just take a quick peek?
17	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
18	Q	Sure. Okay. Now
19	А	Hold on.
20	Q	Sure.
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you please pull up the
22	bottom se	cond down here and we're going to go through this chart here.
23		THE WITNESS: Okay.
24	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
25	Q	Okay. So again, you're try it looks like, according to this

document	you are trying to migrate your clients, your ASO clients, away
from reaso	nable and customary to these deeper cuts, right?
Α	We were uncompetitive with our competitors. They're doing

A We were uncompetitive with our competitors. They're doing something more significant, so we're trying to educate that we can do this, too.

- O Is that a yes? You all are trying to --
- A It doesn't say migrate here.
 - Okay. So what more can we do? SSPE -- that's this, right?
 - A Yes.
 - O The one that we're talking about.
 - A Yes.
- On out of network benefits. Okay. This potential opportunity would apply the Data iSight market rates, which are generally deeper than reasonable and customary. If a provider pushes back and wants to balance fill the members, we would adjust to the appropriate reasonable and customary amounts for the claims, right?
 - A That's what that says, yes.
 - Q Are you a poker player?
- A I am not.
 - Q Okay.
 - A I'm terrible.
 - Q Do you know what bluffing is?
- 23 A Yeah, I know what bluffing is.
 - Q So if a -- if you bluff and the other player calls you, you're going to fold, right? That's kind of what that says.

C)
C	χ)
-	•	1
-		1
C	J	٥

1	А	No, that's not what that says. These are services where the
2	member h	as a choice.
3	Q	Okay.
4	Α	These are not ER physician services.
5	Q	Well, it looks like, according to this document, the way that a
6	provider c	an be assured of getting the reasonable and customary
7	amount is	to balance bill the member, according to that, right?
8	А	That's not what that says. And these are for non-ER
9	services, b	by the way.
10	Q	SSPE is for non-emergency?
11	А	You're pointing to out of network benefit level in that chart.
12	Q	That's my question, sir is does SSPE apply to emergency
13	room charges?	
14	А	I can't see what you're highlighting. Were you highlighting
15	the	
16	Q	Forget it. Forget about it.
17	А	Okay.
18	Q	Does SSPE apply to emergency room charges?
19	А	It depends on the benefit plan.
20	Q	Okay. Now all right, so once again if a provider pushes
21	back and v	vants to balance bill the member, United would adjust for the
22	reasonable	e and customary amount, right?
23	А	We will do what the benefit plan tells us to do for the
24	choice	
25	Q	I'm just asking, that's what that says, right?

1	А	That's what that says.
2	Q	Now what if Ruby Crest for the claims at issue in this case,

would have to be looked at.

member?	What are their options to get the reasonable and customary
amount?	
Α	I'm not sure if I understand your question. The benefit plan

Ruby Crest and the other two provider groups do not balance bill the

O No, sir, I'm just going by what's up on the screen. I'm looking at what's up on the screen. And this seems to say if a provider pushes back and wants to balance bill the member, United would adjust to the appropriate, reasonable and customary amount of their claim, right?

A Yeah, but you're looking under a column that's not applicable to ER services.

Q Sir, once again, are you telling us that SSPE does not apply to ER plans?

A I've continuously tried to explain to you it depends on the benefit plan.

Q Well, hold on for a second. An emergency room provider that's out-of-network, if you apply a deep discount like 80 or 85 percent, in the absence of some legal prohibition, they could balance bill the member, right?

A A provider can bill whatever they want. We can't regulate that. They can go after the member, but if we -- if they bill a reasonable amount, there's no reason not to pay that. If they bill a very high amount

22

23

24

25

1	then that's	something that we have to help them the member in the
2	employer (group with.
3	Q	My question is a little different. Okay. My question is, an
4	emergency	room provider whose bill has been cut by 80 or 85 percent, in
5	the absenc	e of a law prohibiting that during this relevant time period,
6	they could	balance bill the member, right?
7	А	Well, I think to be clear, the provider typically doesn't do the
8	bill, it's the	e staffing company.
9	Q	That's fine.
10	А	And if it's that deep of a reduction, it's a very high billed
11	amount. A	and yes, they have the right to take the member to collections
12	and the en	aployer group to collections.
13	Q	Okay. And if they have a policy of not balance billing, then
14	the only re	course is what we're doing here.
15	А	No, I believe they also do collection efforts. And I've seen
16	Q	Listen to my question, sir. If
17	А	Well, it's not the only recourse.
18	Q	If the claims at issue in this case, these three provider groups
19	have a pol	icy of not balance billing during this time period, their only
20	recourse is	s what we're doing here.

- A I disagree.
- O Okay. Now let's look at --
- MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, close out the pull out, please, and let's look at this chart.
- BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

1	Q	Are you telling the jury that this chart does not apply to
2	emergenc	y room claims?
3	А	Which chart? The whole chart?
4	Q	Yeah.
5	А	ER services are applied at the in-network benefit level. So
6	that's not	the out of network benefit level, it's the in-network benefit
7	level.	
8	Q	Okay. So that's the third column?
9	А	Where it says INN benefit level, yes.
10	Q	Okay. So let's see how this SSPE works. Okay? So the claim
11	comes in.	The claim is received, right?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	That's that claim is filed electronically with United, right?
14	А	Most likely, yes.
15	Q	Okay. And we're going to talk about how this how this
16	SSPE wor	ks. So the claim comes in. And if it's at the 80th percentile of
17	Fair Health	n, and it's over 500 percent of Medicare, then it's going to go
18	into this fl	ow chart, right?
19	А	Again, as I've said many times, first of all, you've got to look
20	at the ben	efit plan and see what they have.
21	Q	I'm talking about this one. Forget the benefit plan. I'm
22	talking ab	out what is on this document, which you all are proposing.
23	The claim	is received. The VMP of 500 percent of Medicare ceiling,
24	starting 4/	1/18, right? Right?
25	А	Yes, that's what that says.

	Q	Okay. So now we go into our decision tree here. First we
look	at the	wrap network to see if that's a deep enough cut according to
that,	right?	

A It's like a waterfall. If the providers agree to a reasonable rate, and it's less than five times Medicare, the largest payer in the country, then we would take that. If the benefit plan has the [indiscernible - Mr. Zavitsanos speaking over the witness]

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, the last response, we have a limine issue.

THE COURT: So counsel please approach.

[Sidebar at 10:38 a.m., ending at 10:40 a.m., not transcribed]

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. We're going to take our morning recess. So during this recess don't talk with each other or anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Don't read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, radio, internet, cellphones or texting.

Don't conduct any research on your own relating to the case.

Don't consult dictionaries use internet or use reference materials. Don't post on social media, don't talk, text Tweet, Google, or conduct any other type of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in the case.

Most importantly, do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the matter is submitted to you.

We'll go to 10:55, and we'll take a light lunch today. Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Haben, I'll ask you to step out during the argument.

THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.

[Jury out at 10:40 a.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: So the room is clear, Mr. Zavitsanos.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. I will -- I'll get right to the point. I mean I'll spare how we got here. But the Court -- the Court has devoted a considerable amount of time to this interplay between Medicare and the out-of-network rates that we are talking about in this case. And ultimately where the Court came down was issuing the limine ruling following very extensive briefing and arguments. The Court entered its order.

Subsequent to that order, which prohibited the mention of Medicare, I visited with Mr. Blalack. And he and I both recognized that because of the number of times that CMS is mentioned on the documents and the amount of redactions that would need to happen, I would agree to move back a little bit on that by agreement, provided explicitly that two things were not mentioned either explicitly or subtly.

And that is, number one that Medicare is the largest payor in the country, which, of course, conveys the image that this is the way that most doctors receive payment. And therefore if it's good -- if it's good when they receive Medicare, it must be good if they receive just a little

bit above Medicare. That was the first -- and Mr. Blalack agreed that he would avoid that. And frankly, he has been -- he has been a gentleman. He has not violated that. And in opening he did not violate that.

Second, I said that the other thing I said that the other thing we would want to avoid, which is a very close correlator to the first one, is a suggestion, either explicitly or implicitly, that Medicare, itself, is an appropriate rate. And again, Mr. Blalack agreed and again he honored that and did not violate it during the opening statements.

Now Mr. Haben, I asked him a question. The answer that he gave, which violated the limine, respectfully I believe was not responsive. It was a canned speech that he had in his pocket. He pulled it out, and he explicitly, explicitly violated, I believe both of the agreements that I reached with Mr. Blalack and the Court's ruling on the limine.

And so at this point, Your Honor, the only thing I'm going to ask -- I like Mr. Blalack, okay. The only thing that I'm going to ask is that Mr. Blalack admonish Mr. Haben to not do that again. And that he -- that he not mention that it's the largest system in the country, or that it's reasonable, or whatever. I mean for the most part everything else is fair game. But I'd just ask that he be admonished to do that. Because I can't respond to that without opening the door to my agreement. And again his answer, I believe, was not responsive. So it's not like well, I asked him about it and therefore he gave me -- he gave me the answer. I didn't ask him about who the largest payor was in the country.

THE COURT: And your response, please?

- 56 -

MR. BLALACK: Yes, Your Honor. Let me -- let me do the easy part first and then I'll make the record I need to make for the more complex part.

Part one, Mr. Haben has been advised that what he should stay away from is any discussion of Medicare as, you know, the largest payor in the country and all that sort of thing, as well as anything suggesting that Medicare's specific rate, the fee schedule, is the reasonable proper payment for these services. So that has been given. I will absolutely embrace the obligation that has been requested by counsel to advise him of that and reaffirm to him that he just -- he should stay away from that in terms of responding to any other question from counsel. So with that, that part is easy, and I will take it upon myself to do that as soon as we break.

I want to make a broader record, though, on something, Your Honor. One, the order on the motion in limine has not yet been entered. I think we have a proposed order with competing language. But the reason for that, Your Honor, is our view was Medicare -- and this has to come into this case. It's impossible to try the case without it. My client's state of mind, he's been attacked for three days, he's inextricably tied to their view that the rates they pay are reasonable, because they're a multiple of Medicare. And that's the foundation of everything we do.

Our opponents disagree with us, and that's fine. That's an issue of fact for the jury to sort out. But the ability of our clients to defend in good faith and state mind is a function of being able to explain that.

So during the course of this trial, document after document, after document, testimony after testimony comes in discussing Medicare in many different ways. And in fairness to Mr. Haber, given how his entire business operation is set around a multiple of Medicare, I think it's just sometimes hard for him to figure out as a lay person where that line is. I will reaffirm where that line is, so that he knows.

With respect to, you know, going forward, I will make sure that those two areas, he understands are not appropriate. I will make offers of proof on those at a separate time. I do think that the areas that I covered in opening, it sounds like we don't have an agreement. That's the only thing with respect to Medicare that's not just referring to a document that's important to us, which is to be able to at least show what the charges are, and the allowed amounts are, as a reference to Medicare, which we did in opening, and have the Defendant be able to say that the rate that was paid, they believe is a reasonable rate at 160 or whatever it was percent of Medicare, but will not testify that the Medicare rate is the reasonable rate or describe the breadth and scope of the Medicare program. So with that record, Your Honor, I'll be glad to advise Mr. Haber.

THE COURT: Thank you. And briefly?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: No response, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So there was a request for admonishment. That's not necessary given that fact that Mr. Blalack is willing to counsel with the client during the recess.

So that's the ruling. It's 10:47. See you at 10:55.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, Your Honor, just to be clear. Just to
be clear. I did not ask the Court to admonish him.
THE COURT: That's how I heard it.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Maybe I misspoke it. I'm sorry, Your
Honor. I asked Mr. Blalack to admonish the witness.
THE COURT: But Mr. Blalack need not admonish him. He
merely needs to counsel with him.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you both.
MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: See you at 10:55.
[Recess taken from 10:47 a.m. to 10:57 a.m.]
THE MARSHAL: Courtroom 27 is back in session.
THE COURT: Please remain seated. Let's bring in the jury.
MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor. And Lee Roberts
here for the Defendant's, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We did notice you were missing for a while this
morning.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: We had an APB on him.
MR. ROBERTS: I had mediation with Judge Gonzalez this
morning, and I got it started before I headed back since Mr. Blalack had
this witness, but I appreciate the Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: That's some multitasking.
MR. ZAVITSANOS: The room did feel a little out of balance.
Oh, and Your Honor, what just so for budgeting purposes, what time

1	are you go	ing to break for lunch?
2		THE COURT: Somewhere between 12:10 and 12:15.
3		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Got it.
4		THE COURT: They seem to tolerate the 75-minute pretty
5	well.	
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
7		MR. BLALACK: I agree.
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Great.
9		[Pause]
10		THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
11		[Jury in at 10:59 a.m.]
12		THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Plaintiff, please
13	continue.	
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please
15	the Court,	counsel?
16	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
17	Q	Before we get back to this waterfall that you mentioned, do
18	you know	where AT&T is based?
19	А	I don't.
20	Q	Okay. If I told you, it's in Dallas, Texas, any reason to dispute
21	that?	
22	А	No. That would kind of refresh my memory.
23	Q	Okay, fair enough. Now back to the waterfall. Okay. So
24	А	Sorry, what page are we on again?
25	Q	Oh, I'm sorry.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

	А	3?
	Q	Mr. Haben, I'm on page 4. So we're looking at we're
	talking abo	ut the SSPE program. So the claim comes in. Now this wrap
	network th	at's in the waterfall, that's a wrap network that United had
	signed with	n MultiPlan, right?
	А	United has a contract with MultiPlan to access their wrap
	network.	
	Q	And separate and apart from that, providers sign agreements
	with MultiF	Plan for this wrap arrangement, right?
	А	If they're in the wrap, either MultiPlan has the agreement or
	MultiPlan I	eases an agreement from another
	Q	Okay.
	А	entity.
	Q	But even though you have signed an agreement with
	MultiPlan,	if it's more than 500 percent of Medicare at this point then
	even thoug	th you signed it, you're going to go to this next step would be
	the fee neg	otiation if the wrap network is above 500 percent, right?
	According	to this waterfall.
	А	If the client's benefit plan has wrap network and they had
ı		

A If the client's benefit plan has wrap network and they had benchmark pricing in their benefit plan, then yes. We would go to the next step if it's greater than 500 percent of Medicare.

- Q And if this fee negotiation, which is with the provider, right?
- A Yes.
- Q And MultiPlan does that negotiation as we said, right?
- A Yes.

1	Q	If that negotiation does not yield an acceptable outcome,
2	then you're just going to apply this OCM rate using Data iSight, right?	
3	А	The OCM would kick in, yes.
4	Q	Data iSight, right?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And these are the charges that were previously paid at 100
7	percent	of billed charges, right?
8	А	Depends on the benefit plan.
9	Q	I'm just saying what's on the screen.
10	А	That's what that says on the screen, yes.
11	Q	Okay. And going into this OCM, did United know that there
12	were ma	any scenarios where this would be worse for the employer?
13	А	I'm sorry; can you ask that a different way?
14	Q	Sure. This document is called, "Enhancing out of network
15	competi	tive position", right?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Did you do financial projections on what kind of
18	impact this would have on employers?	
19	А	I don't know if there was financial impact, but I'm assuming
20	so.	
21	Q	Let's look at page 6. Okay. Now there's a lot going on here
22	and let's	see if we can break it down.
23	А	Can I just take a quick peek?
24	Q	Yes, sir.
25		MR. ZAVITSANOS: So let's start, Michelle, right here. And

-	_	
۶	≺	ľ
ò	_	ľ
٦	۲	'
2	2	ı
Ç	\mathbf{x})
c	ככ	١

1	we go from here to here.		
2	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
3	Q	Okay. So according to this document	
4	А	I just need a few more seconds here.	
5	Q	Sure.	
6	А	It's really small.	
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: It's the assumptions part that's showing.	
8	That box.	Well, just that box, the assumptions box.	
9		THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll ask questions as we go.	
10	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:	
11	Q	Yes, sir. So for purposes of these financial projections we're	
12	assuming a	a bill charge of \$1,000, right?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	We're assuming that the member deductible has been	
15	satisfied, b	out the co-insurance is 60/40, right?	
16	Α	That's what that says, yes.	
17	Q	Meaning that the plan will pay 60 percent, the member pays	
18	40?		
19	Α	Yes. I believe that's kind of the ratio	
20	Q	Okay.	
21	А	we were assuming.	
22	Q	And the fee that you all are going to charge on this	
23	assumptio	n is 35 percent, right?	
24	А	Yes. That's what that says.	
25	Q	Okay. So the other assumption you're making here is that	

)
	Ξ)
C	X)
-	_	J
C	χ	Š
-		ì

1	the assumption is, this bill charge that the reasonable and customary		
2	amount is \$600, right?		
3	А	Yes. That's an assumption on that benefit plan.	
4	Q	But if you apply this Data iSight methodology it's \$300, right?	
5	А	That is the assumption, yes.	
6	Q	Okay. So these assumptions are going to apply to the	
7	scenarios	we're going to look at.	
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, close that out. And Michelle,	
9	here's wha	at I want you to do now. I want you pull up from here to here.	
10	Just this area here.		
11	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
12	Q	Okay. And here's what we're doing. We're looking at the	
13	effect now	on the employer. We looked at earlier on the effect on the	
14	employee.	Now we're looking at the effect on the employer. So these	
15	are the ass	sumptions we just talked about here, right?	
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you make that a little	
17	bigger?		
18	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
19	Q	Okay. All right. So now the column on the left or excuse	
20	me, on the	right, current, that's under the old regime using reasonable	
21	and custor	mary, right?	
22	А	That's underneath the old program as the example.	
23	Q	Okay. So the allowed amount was \$600. That's the	
24	reasonable and customary, right?		
25	А	Yes.	

Α	V
	Yes.
Q	They're going to pay \$360, right?
Α	That is what that says.
Q	There's the member part, but the client cost is \$360 under the
old regime	e, right?
Α	That would be the client responsibility.
Q	And these are projections that looks like you all were making
going into	this program for clients that had not switched over yet, right?
Α	It was a simplified example so people can understand it.
Q	Okay. Now let's go to the next one. Let's now look at
proposed	here.
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, I need you to pull from here to
here. See	if you can capture all of this.
BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
Q	Okay. So all right. So under the Data iSight methodology
where the	allowed amount is \$300 rather than \$600, do you see that?
Α	I do.
Q	So the allowed amount is \$300, right?
Α	Yes.
Q	Same assumption?
Α	Yeah.
Q	The client now instead of 360 is paying 180, right?
Α	Correct.
Q	But they've also got to pay the fee, the 35 percent fee, which
(i	A Q old regime A Q going into A Q proposed here. See BY MR. ZA Q where the A Q A Q A Q A

Q

1	is \$245, rig	ght?
2	А	In that example it is, yes.
3	Q	And so when we get to it the total cost to the employer
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here, Michelle.
5	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
6	Q	ls \$425, right?
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: You had it, Michelle.
8		THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what that says.
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So Michelle, highlight 425.
10	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
11	Q	So under the new plan designed to save money, the
12	employer'	s obligation is actually higher than the old plan according to
13	this?	
14	А	They save on medical costs, but they do pay a fee. If they
15	agree	
16	Q	That's not my question. My question is, this OC this is
17	your docu	ment, this is United doing these calculations, right?
18	А	As an example, yes.
19	Q	And so United knows going into this before converting
20	employers	s over, running these assumptions, the employer's going to be
21	worse off	than under reasonable and customary, right?
22	А	I think what they're trying to reflect I didn't write this.
23	They're tr	ying to reflect that they probably are going to have to negotiate
24	with the c	lient on a lower fee.

Sir, I'm not asking you to speculate for someone. I just want

'	LO KITOW W	mat's off this document. Doing into this, this chart, the	
2	employer cost number is higher with SSP than without, correct?		
3	А	Let me just get my bearings please. Yes. That's the math.	
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: You can close it out, Michelle.	
5	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
6	Q	All right. Now I thought you said a couple of times oh, I	
7	thought y	ou said a couple of times, Mr. Haben, please correct me if I	
8	misheard you, okay? I thought you said you were trying to catch up with		
9	your competitors.		
10	А	Yes.	
11	Q	Or words to that effect.	
12	Α	That was the understanding through consultants, that we	
13	were uncompetitive. Our clients are paying more for services than they		
14	should.		
15	Q	Through consultants?	
16	Α	Yes.	
17	Q	Who what consultants?	
18	А	There's consultants that work with employer groups, Willis	
19	Towers W	atson, Aon, other entities.	
20	Q	Aon, that's an insurance company too, right?	
21	Α	I do not know. I know there's Aon Consulting.	
22	Q	So did the consultants help you come up with these names?	
23	А	No. They did not. They provided us feedback that we would	
24	lose clients if we're not competitive.		
25	Q	Okay, fair enough. Wait a minute, they said what?	

1	А	If we're not competitive on medical cost savings we're at risk
2	of losing a	client.
3	Q	And so you did this to let me finish the point first before we
4	get there.	Okay. So my question I think started before I veered off, my
5	apologies.	The question is, you feel like you all were behind your
6	competitors, right?	
7	А	That was the feedback we were getting.
8	Q	Let's go to Exhibit 66, page 1. Now we've seen this
9	document before.	
10	А	Can I
11	Q	This
12	А	go get it please?
13	Q	Sure. This is the 2017 business plan which I think was put
14	together in	2016. So this would be at the beginning of the program,
15	right?	
16	А	We've had programs in place since 2003.
17	Q	Yeah. The five excuse me. This would be the beginning
18	closer to the beginning of this five-year period that we've talked about,	
19	right?	
20	А	The five-year period you are referring to, yes.
21	Q	Okay. So let's look at page 21 please. "Maintaining our
22	lead."	
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you pull this out and pull
24	out "seizing the opportunity", those three bullets.	
25		THE WITNESS: Can I read for a second?

1	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
2	Q	Sure.	
3	А	Is it "seizing the opportunity" is the section?	
4	Q	Yes.	
5	А	Okay.	
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight the part that says,	
7	"shared sa	avings".	
8	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
9	Q	Let me know when you're ready.	
10	А	I am ready.	
11	Q	So you told us you were behind your competitors, but	
12	internally you were leading the pack, right? "Maintaining our lead",		
13	that's what that says.		
14	А	That's just in reference probably not related to how	
15	competitiv	ve we are with our network.	
16	Q	I'm sorry. Sir, it just says, "Maintaining our lead", right?	
17	А	That's what that says, yes.	
18	Q	Lead means you're ahead, right?	
19	А	Yes, it does.	
20	Q	Okay. All right. And if we go to page 2. This business plan I	
21	think we agreed was written in 2016, right? Because it's a '17 business		
22	plan.		
23	А	I believe so.	
24	Q	Okay. All right.	
25		MR. ZAVITSANOS: So Michelle, will you please pull up the	

1	third paragraph where it says, "We are in a third year", that whole		
2	paragraph, please? Great.		
3	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
4	Q	Okay. "We are in a third year of unprecedented organic	
5	growth wi	th almost one million new fully insured group members added	
6	to our ranks since 2015." Here's the part I want to ask you about.		
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight the next sentence.	
8	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
9	Q	"We will continue this growth by advancing our already	
10	industry-le	eading gross margins by \$5 PMPM while continuing" blah blah	
11	Do you see that?		
12	А	I do.	
13	Q	Okay. So in 2016, industry-leading margins, and exceeding	
14	you exceeded your budget budgetary expectation by \$5 PMPM.		
15	А	I didn't write this, so I don't know what he means.	
16	Q	You don't know what it means, "That we will continue this	
17	growth by advancing our already industry-leading gross margins by \$5		
18	PMPM"?		
19	А	I can read what you read, but I don't	
20	Q	Let me just write it the way it is. Okay?	
21	А	Yep.	
22	Q	All right. That was in '16?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Okay. Now, the PMPM, that's the base fee that you charge	

an ASO client. We talked about that, right?

1	А	Um
2	Q	Per member per month.
3	А	When we talked about it, yes. I don't know what he's
4	referring to	o. I didn't write it.
5	Q	Okay. And typically, the PMPM fee does not include 35
6	percent?	
7	А	Typically, no.
8	Q	Okay. All right, now
9		[Counsel confer]
10	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
11	Q	Okay. Now, as you began implementing this SSPE program,
12	with the H	ealth and MultiPlan. Let's go to 343. As you were doing that
13		[Counsel confer]
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: 342, Michelle.
15	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
16	Q	As you were doing that, what happened was because of the
17	amount of	money that you were making, you started losing clients. So
18	instead of	well, let me know, I'm going to keep on going. Is it correct
19	that as SSI	PE was getting implemented, you began losing clients?
20	А	I don't know for sure.
21	Q	Okay. So this is something called Project Airstream MVP
22	Overview.	And by the way, since we're on this, we're going to get to this
23	a little bit l	ater, but let me just for clarification, see if we can agree. So
24	remember	, we talked about that NewCo, New Company?
25	А	Yeah.

1	Q	That is also referred to as Project Airstream sometimes,
2	right?	
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And Project Airstream today, is now the government?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	All right. All right. Now, we'll get to that later.
7		But let's go we're on this, Exhibit 342. Let's go to page 26.
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And Michelle, can you pull up that wrap?
9	The whole	e the whole thing, please.
10	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
11	Q	All right. The green line is the number of ASO clients, right?
12	А	No, it's membership.
13	Q	Membership, excuse me. It's the number of membership,
14	right?	
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	And that's dropping pretty significantly, right?
17	А	That's what that looks like, yes.
18	Q	And it's dropping at the same time as your shared savings
19	revenue is	going up, right?
20	А	That is correct.
21	Q	So you're getting a 27 percent increase in shared savings
22	revenue, v	vhich includes SSPE, from 647 million to 819 million, but
23	members	are dropping off along the way, right?
24	А	That's what that reflects, yes.
25	Q	But members wanted or clients wanted SSPE, that's your
	1	

1	testimony,	, right?	
2	А	Clients were looking for us to help address high medical	
3	expense.		
4	Q	Yeah. Was it these clients, the ones on the graph going	
5	down? Wa	as it those clients?	
6	А	I don't know who's in that count.	
7	Q	All right. Now	
8		[Counsel confer]	
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, may I ask counsel if he has	
10	any object	ion to Exhibit 96? And I would direct counsel to page 1, at the	
11	top.		
12		MR. BLALACK: If I could counsel, did you plan to provide	
13	the attachment too or just the exhibit?		
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, we did.	
15		MR. BLALACK: Okay. No objection if both are coming in.	
16		THE COURT: Exhibit 96 will be admitted.	
17		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 95 is admitted in evidence]	
18	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
19	Q	Before I do that, Mr. Haben, I just I'm sorry, I just need to	
20	button up	one last point. On Exhibit	
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Is 126 in, Michael?	
22		[Counsel confer]	
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right. Let's Michelle, please pull up	
24	96. Okay.	So first of all, let's see who this is from and who this is to. All	
25	the way do	own, Michelle. Let's get the whole email, please. All right.	

1	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
2	Q	Okay. So it's from John Haben to a bunch of folks here,
3	right?	
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And the subject is OCM, that's outlier cost management,
6	right?	
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And that's the same as SSPE, right?
9	А	It's a component inside SSPE.
10	Q	Yes, sir. And okay. And it looks like basically, it looks
11	like you all	were getting together with MultiPlan to talk about to
12	discuss potential opportunity to improve planned outlier cost	
13	manageme	ent by 900 million dollars, right?
14	А	Can I just read this for a second?
15	Q	Okay. So you were going to
16		THE COURT: Well, he just asked to
17		THE WITNESS: Can
18		THE COURT: for a chance to read it.
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor. My
20	apologies.	I didn't hear him.
21		THE WITNESS: Yeah. You can keep going. I'll apology if
22	any questions.	
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, sir.
24	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
25	Q	So according to this email, UnitedHealthcare and MultiPlan

1	were going to meet at some conference so that they could coordinate		
2	how this program could cause even deeper cuts, right, by \$900 million,		
3	right?		
4		MR. BLALACK: Object to form.	
5		THE COURT: Overruled.	
6		THE WITNESS: That's not what that says.	
7	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
8	Q	Okay. We want to get together with Dale from MultiPlan this	
9	morning.	What is HEAC?	
10	А	HEAC, hospital executive advisory committee.	
11	Q	To discuss potential opportunity to improve planned outlier	
12	cost mana	agement by \$900 million. Do you see that?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	Is that does that cover emergency room physicians or is	
15	this just th	ne facilities?	
16	А	I don't know for sure.	
17	Q	Okay. All right. Fair enough. Let's go to let's now go to	
18	and you p	repared this PowerPoint, right, page 2?	
19	А	On page 2, I believe so.	
20	Q	Okay. Now, this is page 2, please. Okay. April 2017?	
21	А	Correct.	
22	Q	Right?	
23	А	Correct.	
24	Q	Okay. And we're going to go now to, please, page 3. And	
25	okay. So	this is a document you prepared.	

0	
0	
ω	
7	
ဖွ	

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And Michelle, can we pull this part up,
2	please?	
3	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
4	Q	And it looks like what you are doing is you're making a
5	recommer	ndation on something called layering benchmark pricing over
6	the shared	d savings programs when OCM is implemented in July of 2017,
7	right?	
8	А	Correct. That's what that says.
9	Q	All right. And so here, step 1, the waterfall, is the wrap
10	network ra	ate will only be accepted if the threshold is achieved. Meaning
11	less than 3	350 percent of Medicare, right?
12	А	Or 350 percent, 350 or less.
13	Q	Or less, right. And then, fee negotiation. The negotiated
14	amount w	ill be accepted only if the threshold is achieved, the 350
15	percent, ri	ght?
16	А	Correct. That's what that says.
17	Q	Third-party network, is that the wrap agreement?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Oh. Okay. Never mind. All right. Let's go to the bottom
20	one, Data iSight. If the solutions above do not meet the threshold, the	
21	plan will reprice using Data iSight, i.e., which stands for in other words,	
22	350 percent of CMS, right?	
23	А	Yes, that's what that says.
24	Q	All right. So if the bill comes in and it's above the wrap
25	agreemen	t you agreed to, you're going to cut it down to 350 percent of

CMS using Data iSight?

A That's not exactly what that says, and I could -- I'm glad to walk through the waterfall, how it works.

Q Well, no. I'm just talking about what's written on the screen. I mean, the final step is if the solutions above, meaning if the doctor doesn't agree to accept less, then you're just going to pay him 350 percent of Medicare, right?

A Yeah. We're looking for reimbursement amounts that are accepted to keep the member out of the middle, to hold them harmless.

- Q Yeah. My question is --
- A If it doesn't achieve that, then yes, we will pay 350.
- Q That's my question. Okay. So --
- A That's for benefit plans, if the client adopts it, yes.
- Q Right. And by the way, on the fully insured side, the same thing would be true, right?
 - A Not 100 percent, no.
- Q Mostly. I mean, you'd have this 350 percent benchmark applied on the fully insured side as well, right?
- A It all depends on the benefit plan of what's filed and approved in the state.
 - Q Sir --
 - A It's not a general comment.
- Q -- on the fully insured side, were there plans that are not ASO plans, where United is the -- taking the risk, were you applying this 350 percent?

	Ξ	
(Ξ)
(χ	כ
	X	
C)
_	,	`

А	There's different mechanisms for the 350, so there's an	
egregious	biller mechanism, there's a benchmark pricing mechanism.	
And there's	s kind of a cratered out floor. So that you're I if you want	
to show m	e something specific, I can look at it and tell you. But there	
the plans	-	
Q	You don't remember if the 350 percent applied to any part of	
the fully in	sured side of your business?	
А	You would have to show me a benefit plan, and I could look	
at it.		
Q	But you don't remember, sitting there as the head of the	
bottom net	twork, the guy who wrote this memo with the	
recommen	dation, you don't remember if the fully insured side included	
350 percen	t?	
А	It depends on the type of program. It could have. There's	
multiple		
Q	And then and then, that 350 percent was later cut to 250	
percent, riç	ght?	
А	Explain what you're asking.	
Q	Did the 350 percent that you were talking about in this	
document here, did that subsequently get cut to 250 percent?		
А	In terms of the benchmarking?	
Q	Yeah.	
А	Yeah. We make a recommendation to reduce that to 250.	
Q	You made a recommendation to who?	
Α	If the clients wanted to adopt that, they could.	

C	=)
C	=)
C	X)
C	X)
C	$\overline{}$)
١	Ī	١

1	Q	How about on the fully insured side, did you reduce that to	
2	250 percei	nt on any benefit plan on the fully insured side?	
3	А	A I don't remember.	
4	Q	Okay. Okay. Page 4 of Exhibit 96. Now, this is your	
5	PowerPoir	nt, and right? This is your PowerPoint, right?	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	And our competition and the next steps. And remember, I	
8	know I've	asked you this before. But remember, you told me you all	
9	were behi	nd the competition, right?	
10	А	Correct.	
11		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight the last bullet point.	
12	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
13	Q	It looks like, according to the document you prepared, United	
14	would be leading the pack by cutting the threshold to 350 percent?		
15	А	We would be in line with another competitor, yes.	
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Hold it.	
17	Michelle, I	nold on to that.	
18	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
19	Q	No. My question was	
20		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Just highlight the whole page, Michelle.	
21	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
22	Q	United would be leading the pack, right?	
23	А	Along with another competitor. That's what that says.	
24	Q	Along with another competitor. Okay. All right. So there's	
25	two of the	main insurance companies in the country are doing this,	
	1		

1	right?	
2	А	There could be more. I'm not aware of exactly who else is
3	out there.	
4	Q	And United is a public company, right?
5	А	Yes, we are.
6	Q	Meaning you're you have quantitative financials that are
7	available t	o the public, right?
8	А	Yes. Unlike a private entity, yes.
9	Q	Sure. And if a competitor sees what you are doing and
10	you're lead	ding the pack, well, the pack is going to move with the leader,
11	right?	
12	А	In the audited financials, the competitors don't see what your
13	proprietar	y programs are.
14	Q	I know we talked about this. Well, first of all, you it looks
15	like that United, you know what the other insurance companies are doing	
16	because y	ou mention it in your bullet point there, right?
17	А	Yes. Consultants have informed us we're uncompetitive.
18	Q	Yeah. And you're not the only company that uses
19	consultants, right?	
20	А	No.
21	Q	Okay. And so again, my question is if you cut the benchmark
22	and you say we're never going to pay above 350, the competition is	
23	going to fo	ollow right behind, right?
24	А	Depends on what the employer groups want.
25		MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right. So Michelle, you can take that

1	down, please. Michael, is 126 in?		
2	MR. KILLINGSWORTH: I think it's in.		
3		MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right.	
4	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
5	Q	Mr. Haben, would you be so kind as to be at Exhibit 126?	
6	А	I don't know what this is. Can I just take a peek?	
7	Q	Yes, sir. And I would like you to look at specifically pages 3	
8	and page	36.	
9	А	Three and thirty-six?	
10	Q	Yes.	
11	А	Give me just a minute.	
12	Q	Sure.	
13		[Witness reviews document]	
14	А	Sorry I'm not familiar, but I need just a little bit more time.	
15	Q	Okay.	
16		[Witness reviews document]	
17	А	Okay. You can start, and I'll tell you if I have questions.	
18	Q	Okay. Does page 36 deal with shared savings?	
19	Α	Can I have a I didn't write this. I'm not part of this group.	
20	Q	Actually, let me do this. You can put that up. Let me do it	
21	this way.	Would you agree with me that as you were rolling out SSPD,	
22	there was	concern from a lot of the ASO clients and there was a lot of	
23	pressure f	rom those clients to reduce your fee revenue from these out of	
24	network p	rograms, sir?	
25	Δ	There's always pressure on fees for clients	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Q	Well, that's not my question. As you were rolling out SSPD,
2	at that tim	e, was there a concern within the company that there was a lo
3	of pressur	e from clients about your fee revenue from these out of
4	network p	rograms, like SSPD?
5	А	Yes. There's always pressure on those fee programs.
6	Q	And so initially, you let's go to Exhibit 67, please.
7	А	May I put this one away?
8	Q	Yes, sir. So if we go to page 3 of Exhibit 67.
9	А	Okay. Let me get that up here.
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. And Michelle, pull this off, please
11	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:

- Q Okay. So as you're rolling out SSPD, we've looked at this once before, you came up with the talking points that notwithstanding these additional fees, why it was good for these ASO clients to adopt SSPD, right?
 - Can -- I'm sorry, I couldn't see where you're pointing. Α
- Q Well, just these paragraphs here that we talked about before. I'm not going to bother repeating it again. So for example --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Highlight the last paragraph, Michelle. BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

- Q When you were trying to sell SSPD to your clients, right?
- Α Yes. The clients are asking for help on their medical costs.
- Q Did you share with any of your clients the scenario that if they implemented SSPD, there would be situations where it would actually cost them more, like you did internally?

1	Α	I don't know.
2		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Counsel may I ask Counsel if he has an
3	objection t	to Exhibit 8?
4		MR. BLALACK: We have no objection, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: Exhibit 8 will be admitted.
6		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 8 admitted into evidence]
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Michelle, go to page 2.
8	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
9	Q	All right. So this is the agreement between United and
10	MultiPlan,	right?
11	А	Yeah. I believe so.
12	Q	Yeah.
13		MR. BLALACK: Your Honor, can we approach real
14		THE COURT: You may.
15		MR. BLALACK: for just a moment.
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Take that down, Michelle.
17	[3	Sidebar at 11:42 a.m., ending at 11:44 a.m., not transcribed]
18		THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead, please.
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. May I proceed, Your Honor?
20		THE COURT: Please.
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you. Okay. So Michelle, will you
22	please pul	l up Exhibit 8, page 2, first paragraph.
23	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
24	Q	Okay. This is a really long document, and I'm only going to
25	cover one	tiny little part of it. This is the agreement between
1	I	

C	Ξ)
Ç	Ξ	-
C	χ)
C	χ)
C)
-	•	1

1	UnitedHealthcare and MultiPlan, right?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And I think if the jury goes through this, they'll see that it was
4	amended	a bunch of times, right? I mean, this one is in 2013, right?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	You see that?
7	А	I believe it's it has been amended quite a bit.
8	Q	Yeah. And Mr. Haben, I believe
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Ah, yes. Page 6, Michelle.
10	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
11	Q	And it looks like let's pull this all up. It looks like the person
12	who signe	ed on behalf of United was you.
13	А	That's correct.
14	Q	Minnetonka, I love the name of that town. All right. That's
15	А	Tonka Toys.
16	Q	That's right. Okay. So you signed on behalf of United, right?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	Okay. And then, like we said, this was amended a number of
19	times, right?	
20	А	I believe so.
21	Q	Okay. Now okay. And MultiPlan let's just very briefly
22	remind ourselves who MultiPlan is. They are the objective third party	
23	that evaluates what a fair reimbursement rate is, right?	
24	А	They're a vendor that provides us tools and resources to
25	pre-price	claims.

1	Q	And you consider that to be objective, not biased?	
2	А	Yes.	
3	O.	Let's go to Exhibit 239, page 13.	
4	А	Can I get that, please?	
5	Q	Yes, sir. And while you're doing that, I'm going to have	
6	Michelle p	ull up this part of it.	
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you do you have those	
8	little arrow	s that you can do? Can you do an arrow on the second bullet	
9	point, plea	se?	
10		THE WITNESS: Can I just take a quick peek?	
11		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir. No, the other way. I'm going to	
12	have to stand on my head, you keep doing that. All right. There we go.		
13	Can you m	ove that a little bit? Not that one; the one above it. This one	
14	right here.	Number two. That's good. Don't worry about it. It's fine.	
15		THE WITNESS: Which page number?	
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Number two, Michelle. You got it at	
17	number three. I'm on page 13, sir.		
18		THE WITNESS: Okay. Let me read this, please.	
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir. There you go. Great.	
20		[Witness reviews document]	
21		THE WITNESS: Okay.	
22	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:	
23	Q	Okay. So this is a UnitedHealthcare document, right?	
24	А	Yes. I believe it's a draft.	
25	Q	Yeah. And I think the title of this document is Project	

1	Airstream.	right? Which is
2	Α	No, it's not.
3	Q	Project Airstream MVP oh, I'm sorry. You're right, sir. My
4	apologies.	Excuse me. Out-of-network, change the narrative, change
5	performano	
6	A	That's what that says, yes.
7	Q	Yes, sir. Okay. Now, let's first of all, let's look at what
8	 MultiPlan is	s. Internally, you all knew that one out of every five dollars
9	that MultiP	lan received came from United, right?
10	А	That's what we understood.
11	Q	Okay. That is a huge component for MultiPlan, right, 20
12	percent?	
13	А	You would ask need to ask them.
14	Q	Well, does United have any single client that represents 20
15	percent of t	their revenue?
16	А	I don't know.
17	Q	Okay. Out of any of them.
18	А	I don't know.
19	Q	Twenty percent is a very significant amount, would you
20	agree?	
21	А	I you would need to ask MultiPlan.
22	Q	You don't know if 20 percent is a significant amount?
23	А	Depends on the situation.
24	Q	If 20 percent of their revenue is coming from United
25	Healthcare	do you still think they're unbiased and objective?

C	\supset
(\supset
C	α
Ć	α
_	_
C	\supset

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And by the way, we heard a lot in opening statement
3	about priva	ate equity. Is MultiPlan owned by private equity?
4	А	No, they're not.
5	Q	Well, were they at this time? Look at the last bullet.
6	А	Yes, they were.
7	Q	Okay. Okay. Now, looking at this document, sir, first page,
8	the reason	that that discussion about MultiPlan was in there is because
9	by 2018, as	s these programs were underway, you all started discussing
10	creating th	is new co, Project Airstream, that would replace MultiPlan,
11	right?	
12	А	That's not a hundred percent true.
13	Q	Let's look on the second page.
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, pull out the executive summary
15	for the yea	r. Okay.
16	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
17	Q	So we see that the primary out of network vendor, MultiPlan,
18	has a \$300	million a year vendor fee, right?
19	А	That's what we pay MultiPlan, yes.
20	Q	Right. And so action with urgency and acceleration
21	А	I'm sorry. Where were you pointing?
22	Q	Action with urgency and acceleration, same
23	А	I see it.
24	Q	Same box.
25	А	Yeah.
	I	

\subset)
\subset)
α	2
α	2
_	^

1	Q	"Improve out of network program reimbursement levels to 80
2	percent of	par rates." Do you see that?
3	А	Yes, I do.
4	Q	We're talking about now even deeper cuts, right?
5	А	l would disagree.
6	Q	Okay. Let's keep going. Next page, please. And what you al
7	did is beca	ause you were losing clients on this ASO model, what you
8	were goin	g to do was you were just going to change the name and
9	repackage	the fee as something else, right?
10	А	I disagree. We were building
11	Q	You were going I'm sorry. Sorry. I didn't mean to cut you
12	off.	
13	А	We were building a member advocacy program. Something
14	that Multil	Plan does not do.
15	Q	You were going to redesign shared savings and call it
16	something	g else, right?
17	А	We were adding a new enhancement to the out-of-network
18	programs	to help advocacy.
19	Q	You were going to redesign shared savings and call it
20	something	g else because of the pressure you were getting from these
21	clients, rig	jht?
22	А	That's incorrect. We were building an advocacy program for
23	the	
24		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight this, redesign shared
25	savings re	evenue model to TCOC focus.

1	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
2	Q	It doesn't say eliminate shared savings revenue. It says
3	redesign.	
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Can we highlight this, Michelle, right
5	here?	
6	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
7	Q	Right?
8	А	Yes, it does.
9	Q	Okay. So the 35 percent is now called total cost of care. And
10	you're goi	ng to come up with some fancy sounding new terms to make it
11	sound differently, right?	
12	А	That's incorrect. I can explain.
13	Q	No, sir. You were going to redesign this program to this,
14	right?	
15	Α	We were
16		MR. BLALACK: Object to form. Asked and answered.
17		THE COURT: Overruled.
18	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
19	Q	Just last last question. You were going to redesign shared
20	savings, th	ne percentage, for what you call a TCOC focus
21	А	That
22	Q	total cost of care focus?
23	Α	That's not what that says.
24	Q	That's not what that says?
25	А	You said the percentage. It doesn't say the percentage.
	I	

1	Q	Then, we go on to page 5, moving from strategy to
2	execution.	
3		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, follow me right here. Thank
4	you.	
5	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: What exhibit, Michael?
9		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: It's 266, page 4.
10	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
11	Q	Let's pull up Exhibit 266.
12	А	I need to go get it.
13	Q	Yes, sir.
14	А	What page, please?
15	Q	Okay. So we are going to page first of all, let's identify
16	what this	is. Okay. This is the 2019 business plan, right?
17	А	Yeah. That's what that says.
18	Q	And if we go to page give me one second, sir.
19	А	Uh-huh. Take your time.
20	Q	Okay. Let's go to when did you start doing Total Cost of
21	Care, sir, v	when you started using that concept
22	А	I don't know that
23	Q	with your clients?
24	А	I don't know. That was part of the clinical team. Not my
25	team.	

0
0
∞
œ
<u>``</u>
S

1	Q	Okay. Well, let's go to page 17, please.	
2		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here, Michelle. Pull that up.	
3	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
4	Q	Gross margin in 2019, is higher than it's ever been, right?	
5	А	I didn't write this, so I don't know what that means.	
6	Q	You don't know?	
7	Α	It says, "historically high."	
8	Q	You didn't write this?	
9	А	I did not.	
10	Q	Okay. So let's look at page 24, please. Okay. So we see that	
11	even though the ASO growth is shrinking, the gross margin on the		
12	PMPM is in	ncreasing, right? Less members, more money, right?	
13	А	That's not what that says.	
14	Q	"We stayed at gross margin, PMPM, \$93.85 by 2019, right?	
15	А	Yes. That's what that says.	
16	Q	All right.	
17	А	I think that's the projection.	
18	Q	Yes. And that's because of this we design, where you were	
19	going to pay someone for pinning up cat fees so the clients wouldn't be		
20	starting a 35 percent, right?		
21	А	That's incorrect. The PMPM is driven by many other	
22	administra	tive services other than the out-of-network programs.	
23	Q	Okay. Now, let's go back to Exhibit 8. And we started talking	
24	about that	this morning. And we're going to go to page 121. And Mr.	
25	Haben, I'm just going to show this. Let's not		

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Actually, page 120, please, Michelle.	
2	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
3	Q	Okay. So form the slots in the headings, you see what we're	
4	talking ab	out? This is	
5	А	What page? 120?	
6	Q	Yes. This is the agreement between MultiPlan and United.	
7	And these	e are the fees that United will pay to MultiPlan, right?	
8	А	All right. Let me just take a quick peek.	
9	Q	Yes, sir.	
10	А	Yes. Those are the fees for the programs.	
11		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, let's go to page 121, which is	
12	the very n	ext page. And Michelle, pull out this box here, just the box,	
13	please. And Michelle, will you highlight this part here? Highlight and		
14	circle this	, please. Okay. And then circle the bottom one, please.	
15	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
16	Q	Okay. So those are the percentages up on the screen, Mr.	
17	Haben, or	page 121, that MultiPlan would receive when using Data	
18	iSight to p	ore-price the claims, right?	
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	And you maintain to this jury, MultiPlan is objective, right?	
21	А	Yes, of course.	
22		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Thank you, sir. Okay. Take that	
23	down, Mi	chelle. May I ask counsel if they have an objection to 34, Your	
24	Honor?		
25		THE WITNESS: What was that number again, Your Honor?	

()	
()	
C	χ)	
C	χ)	
-		_	
-	•	1	

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry, 34. Three-four.
2		MR. BLALACK: Object to the foundation of this document,
3	Your Honor	·.
4		THE COURT: There is no stipulation.
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. Okay.
6	BY MR. ZAV	VITSANOS:
7	Q	Mr. Haben, would you describe as best as you can if you
8	want to che	eat so you can refresh your memory, you're you are free to
9	look at Exhi	ibit 24, if you'd like. But you don't have to do them all,
10	correct? Ar	nd my question is, can you describe to the jury generally,
11	what is Dat	a iSight? What's your understanding of it?
12	А	At a high level, without looking at the document?
13	Q	Yeah. A high level.
14	А	Data iSight is a tool that MultiPlan has that's available to do
15	what they c	all a cost plus a reasonable margin application. So they get
16	to a reason	able reimbursement rate for the providers. It also will
17	incorporate	other components that a payor like United would want. So
18	it's an engi	ne that reprices claims and will send back to United.
19	Q	Let's pull up 471. Now
20	А	Can I get it?
21	Q	Actually, hold on. Let's measure it to stay awesome. Okay.
22	So what \	when you say it's a tool, what does that mean?
23	А	United, when they get an out-of-network claim, they send the
24	claim out to	MultiPlan if that claim's eligible for the out-of-network

program. It will go in -- if the client has outlier cost management, it goes

	\neg
è	ゔ
ò	$\overline{\alpha}$
Č	ã
_	_
C	α

1	into Data	iSight. Data iSight has pricing methodology, along with our		
2	criteria, if we define that. They'll reprice that claim using their logic, and			
3	they will s	they will send it back to United. So you can think about it as a claims		
4	repricing	engine. I call it a tool just to be simple.		
5	Q	Okay. So you've seen the Wizard of Oz?		
6	А	I love it. My mom's favorite movie.		
7	Q	Yes, sir. My kid's favorite movie, as well. Okay. So in		
8	Wizard of	Oz, the impression is that there's this almost deity kind of		
9	figure called Wizard of Oz, who employs this kind of black fox magic to			
10	grant peo	ple's wishes, right?		
11	А	I understand. Yup.		
12	Q	But it ends up being this kind of insecure little man who		
13	really is th	ne opposite of what people thought the Wizard of Oz was,		
14	right?			
15	А	Understood.		
16	Q	Okay. And Data iSight is the Wizard of Oz, would you agree?		
17		MR. BLALACK: Object to form. Argumentative.		
18		THE COURT: Sustained.		
19	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:		
20	Q	Data iSight is just a front for United to have an independent		
21	source to	use this sophisticated title to reprice claims at whatever		
22	amount U	nited says, would you agree?		
23	А	I disagree. I can explain it and walk through how the		

No. We're going to move on.

programs work.

Q

24

25

23

24

25

1	А	Okay.
2		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, what would be a good time
3	to start?	
4		THE COURT: Let's go through it right after lunch.
5		All right. So everybody, let's take our lunch break. During
6	the recess,	don't talk with each other or anyone else on any subject or
7	issue conn	ected with the trial. Don't read, watch, or listen to any report
8	of or comn	nentary on the trial. Don't discuss this case with anyone
9	connected	to it or by any medium of information, including without
10	limitation,	newspapers, television, radio, internet, cell phones, or texting.
11		Do not conduct any research on your own relating to the
12	case. You	can't consult dictionaries, use the internet, or use reference
13	materials.	Don't talk, do social media, text, Tweet, Google issues, or
14	conduct an	y other type of book or computer research with regard to any
15	issue, party	y, witness, or attorney involved in the case.
16		Most importantly, do not form or express any opinion on any
17	subject cor	nnected with the trial until the matter is submitted to you.
18	Thank you	for another great morning. Have a good lunch. And be back,
19	please, at 1	2:45.
20		THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
21		[Jury out at 12:09 p.m.]

- 96 -

[Recess taken from 12:09 p.m. to 12:51 p.m.]

THE COURT: Mr. Haber, you may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1		THE COURT: Thanks, everyone. Please be seated. All right.
2	I am told t	hat we have 156 people on Blue Jeans. So if anyone is joining
3	us remote	ly, please be sure to mute yourself.
4		Calling the case of Freemont v. United. Note the presence of
5	counsel ar	nd their clients. Are we ready to bring in the jury?
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor, from the Plaintiff.
7		MR. BLALACK: We are, Your Honor. And I can bring Mr.
8	Haben in i	f you'd like.
9		THE COURT: Please.
10		[Pause]
11		THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
12		[Jury in at 12:53 p.m.]
13		THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seat. All right. The
14	Court is in	order. We have a number of people who are watching the TV
15	remotely (during this trial. And unfortunately, right now the video is not
16	working fo	or you. We're working to get that fixed.
17		Plaintiff, please continue.
18		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. And may it
19	please the	Court, counsel.
20	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
21	Q	Okay, Mr. Haben. I think we left off on the Wizard of Oz,
22	right?	
23	А	I believe so.
24	Q	Okay. So Data iSight. First of all, Data iSight, that sounds
25	like an op	pressive sounding name. Do you agree?

C)	
C)	
C	χ	2	
Ċ	χ	2	
r	•	٥	
_		^	

ı	A	i ili not a marketing guy. I wouldn't know.
2	Q	Okay. Give me one second. Let's go back to Exhibit 43.
3	[indiscerni	ible] this Data iSight.
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: You got it, Michelle? Is the TV off?
5		[Pause]
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So please pull out the background
7	section.	
8	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
9	Q	Okay. So this is in July 2016. We looked at it a little while
10	ago. And	in describing Data iSight internally within United, you all said
11	that it is a	legally sound process. See that?
12	А	I do see that.
13	Q	Okay. As opposed to this random calculated amounts or
14	excuse me	e. As opposed to our random calculated amounts, right?
15	А	I see that.
16	Q	Okay. You remember reviewing with Mr. Fineberg, in your
17	deposition	n, some materials on Data iSight?
18	А	You'd have to refresh my memory.
19	Q	Would you please get the binder with Exhibit 413?
20	Α	Just a minute.
21	Q	And while you're getting that, Mr. Haben, before United
22	started us	ing Data iSight, it received materials from MultiPlan about the
23	benefits of	f Data iSight, correct?
24	А	Yes, I believe so.
25	Q	And you were one of the people that participated in the
	1	

1	decision to	o use Data iSight?
2	А	Yes, I was.
3	Q	Okay. And this Exhibit 413 is one of the type or materials
4	that Data i	Sight would provide about how it works, right?
5	А	I don't recognize this.
6	Q	Do you remember discussing this at your deposition?
7	А	Are we looking at the same document?
8	Q	Yes, sir. There's a Data iSight logo on the front page.
9	А	Okay. I was getting confused as to what was on the screen. I
10	don't rem	ember looking at this document, but you can refresh my
11	memory.	
12	Q	Well, look on page 3, please. Do you remember Mr. Fineberg
13	going thro	ough this with you?
14	А	I don't remember. I'm not trying to be difficult. I just don't
15	remember	·.
16	Q	So and do you have your deposition up there?
17	А	Yes, I do.
18	Q	Are the exhibits attached to your deposition?
19	А	I don't know how this works. So
20	Q	Here you go.
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: May I approach, Your Honor?
22		THE COURT: You may.
23	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
24	Q	The part there that the tab 5. And just see if that refreshes
25	your recol	lection about this document.

C	\supset
C	\supset
C	α
C	α
١	S
C	Ŋ

1	А	I see that it's in there. I don't did he ask me a question
2	about it?	
3	Q	I believe he did. But I just wanted to see if it would refresh
4	your recol	lection that this was addressed during the deposition.
5	А	If there's a question in there, I'd be glad to look at it. Sorry. I
6	don't rem	ember that far back.
7	Q	Right. Okay. And take a look for a second. Does this appear
8	to be cons	sistent, this document, with the kind of statement that United
9	was discu	ssing internally about how Data iSight works? Specifically,
10	look on pa	ages 2 and 3, please.
11	А	Can I read it?
12	Q	Sure.
13		[Witness reviews document]
14	Q	Let me know when you're ready.
15	А	I'm ready.
16	Q	Okay. So does this appear to contain the same type of
17	general di	scussion regarding what how Data iSight operates and
18	works?	
19	А	It create it contains a description of how they determine the
20	reimburse	ment amount.
21	Q	And this is the tool that United used to administer some of
22	the claims	at issue in this case, right?
23	А	I believe so.
24	Q	Okay.
25		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, we move for the admission

of Plaintiffs' 413.

MR. BLALACK: Your Honor, object to the foundation. The witness didn't write it and did not create it. And it was not produced by any Defendant in this case.

THE COURT: Any response, please?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, this is the tool that they used, and he said that the representations in here are consistent with what United was discussing internally.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled. Exhibit 413 will be admitted.

[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 413 admitted into evidence]
BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

Q Okay. So now in fairness to you, Mr. Haben, this is a Data iSight document. You see the logo up there?

A I do.

Q All right. Now let's go through this. Page 2. This is what Data iSight is telling the public about what it does. It says to determine the Data iSight reimbursement amount, the first step is to gather some information about your client. The healthcare provider sends the information on a bill to your health plan. Data iSight uses it to build a comparison group or a benchmark group of claims data. This enables us to compare the charges on your claim against other claims in a manner the provider would find to be fair. You see that?

A I do.

Q And the provider's name here is Ruby Crest Emergency

1	Medicine, right?		
2	А	I see that.	
3	Q	And this whole thing that I just read is fiction because the	
4	Data iSigh	nt amount always works out, always, always, always, always	
5	works out	to the amount that United wants to pay.	
6		MR. BLALACK: Object to the form of the question.	
7	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
8	Q	Right?	
9		MR. BLALACK: Argumentative.	
10		THE COURT: Objection sustained.	
11		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me rephrase.	
12	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
13	Q	Is it correct that the Data iSight amount always works out to	
14	either 350	percent when that was in effect or when you dropped it to 250	
15	percent it	works out to 250 percent every single time?	
16	А	I don't know if that's true or not.	
17	Q	Okay. Let's go to the first page. Determining the Data iSight	
18	reimburse	ement amount. And this is a representation of how a how	
19	Data iSigh	nt does this, right?	
20	А	I don't know this document. So I don't know what it's	
21	representi	ng.	
22	Q	Well, it says the Data iSight reimbursement amount	
23	determine	ed for your claim was \$609.28. You see that?	
24	А	I do.	
25	Q	Now this column in the far right-hand side, how much is	

Α

1	that?	
2	А	It says \$174.08.
3	Q	Okay. Now hold on now. Let's take a look at what's going
4	on here.	So this is a claim.
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Close this out, Michelle, that one page,
6	page 2. P	ull this out.
7	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
8	Q	This is a claim for Ruby Crest during the relevant time period,
9	one of the	e claims in this case, right?
10	А	I don't know if it's a claim for this case or not.
11	Q	Okay. Let me rephrase. It's during the claim period of this
12	case, righ	t?
13	А	I believe so.
14	Q	Okay. Let's go to the next page. And the way the waterfall
15	worked w	as the 350 percent you get, according to you, the greater of 350
16	percent o	r the Data iSight amount, right?
17	А	It would be either the 350 percent of Medicare or the Data
18	iSight am	ount, whichever is greater.
19	Q	Okay. So according to this claim form, which is for Ruby
20	Crest, Dat	a the Data iSight reimbursement amount determined for
21	your clain	n was 609.28. You see that?
22	А	I do.
23	Q	So Data iSight ran its methodology, what's laid here on the
24	second pa	aragraph, and came up with the 609.28, right?

If that's what you're representing they did. They repriced

C)
C)
C	X)
C	X)
r	Ċ)
-	•	1

1	that claim	at 609.
2	Q	Well, the this amount was determined by and then it lists
3	a bunch of thing, right, which is their little methodology, right?	
4	А	Hold on. Yes.
5	Q	Okay. So according to this Exhibit 413, what's the Medicare
6	rate?	
7	А	174.08.
8	Q	If we multiple that by 350 percent the 350 percent, that
9	benchmai	k, comes from United not from Data iSight, right?
10	А	Yes. It's guidance, and I can explain why that is.
11	Q	That's okay. If we multiply 174.08 by the amount that you
12	want to pa	ay, what does it work out to?
13	А	I don't have my calculator. I don't know.
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michael, you got your calculator.
15	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
16	Q	Will you take his word for it? He's got a trusting face.
17		MR. BLALACK: I'll check it.
18		MR. ZAVITSANOS: He's got an even more trusting face.
19	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
20	Q	So let's multiply
21		MR. BLALACK: Don't worry about it. Go with it, Mr. Haben.
22	Okay.	
23	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
24	Q	Okay. Let's multiply 174.08 times 350 percent. What does
25	that work	out to?

_
0
0
∞
∞
Ň
∞

1	Α	Is that what the number is?	
2	Q	Hold on.	
3		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: Yeah, 609.28.	
4	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
5	Q	609.28. Wow. What a coincidence, right, Mr. Haben? It just	
6	happens t	o be exactly what the Wizard of Oz says it comes out to.	
7		MR. BLALACK: Object to the form. Argumentative.	
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me rephrase.	
9	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
10	Q	It just happens to be exactly what United wants to pay, right?	
11	А	It paid per the 350 percent floor.	
12	Q	Well, sir, internally, you all decided you don't want to pay	
13	more than 350. But to be fair, you're going to let Data iSight run these		
14	sophisticated calculations and whichever is higher, 350 or Data iSight,		
15	that's wha	t you're going to go with, right?	
16	А	Yeah. There's I can explain why.	
17	Q	No, sir. Is it a coincidence that every single claim in this case	
18	that Data i	Sight has run works out to exactly 350 or 250?	
19		MR. BLALACK: Object to the foundation of the question. The	
20	witness do	pesn't know what claims are at issue in the case.	
21		THE COURT: Overruled.	
22	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
23	Q	Is it a coincidence, sir, that every single claim in this case that	
24	Data iSigh	t used this proprietary methodology comes out to exactly what	
25	United wa	nted to pay?	
	i		

0
0
∞
œ
Ñ
Õ

1	А	I don't know all the claims. I haven't looked at all of them, so	
2	I don't know.		
3	Q	Well, this one works out to exactly what United wanted to	
4	pay, right?		
5	А	It works out to 350 percent of Medicare.	
6	Q	And you don't have an explanation of why, I mean, down to	
7	the penny	it works out to exactly 350 percent? You don't	
8	Α	I	
9	Q	You don't have an explanation for that?	
10	Α	I think I can try to explain.	
11	Q	No, sir. Do you have any documents that we can look at	
12	about why this coincidence took place?		
13	А	I wouldn't characterize it as a coincidence. And I can explain	
14	why.		
15	Q	By the way, if we go to page 5 of this same document oh,	
16	and by the way, on that discount from the bill charge down to this		
17	patented, o	computerized, proprietary methodology, Data iSight, MultiPlan	
18	got somew	here between 7 to 10 percent of that differential, right?	
19	А	We have to pay them a fee for repricing the claim.	
20	Q	Okay. So here, part of the same document, Ruby Crest	
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right down here, Michelle. Will you	
22	highlight tl	hat column across the way?	
23	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:	
24	Q	Remember we started your examination by saying these CPT	
25	codes for e	emergency room doctors, there's only five of them and the	

most sorious	ono io	0030E	riah+2
most serious	one is	99285,	riant?

- A There's only five codes there, yes.
- Q Yeah. And this one, using this patented methodology for 99285, the most serious code, Data iSight, MultiPlan, when they get 20 percent or 20 percent of the revenues you guys get, they cut it to exactly what you wanted to pay, right?
 - A They repriced it at 350 percent of Medicare.
- Q Running their sophisticated technology, it just coincidentally matched what you wanted to pay?
 - A It's not a coincidence. I can explain why.
- Q No, sir. And by the way, in your deposition when you were asked whether you had an explanation for this, your answer was no, you did not, right? But now you have an explanation?
- A Well, you asked me -- I don't know the specific claim, but you asked me in general why I thought it paid 350, and I can try to explain that.
- Q No, sir. Okay. Now, the whole reason we were doing this -let's go to 471, please. I think one of the places we started, Mr. Haben, is
 allegedly the whole impetus for United doing this is so that you could
 control premiums --
 - A Can I get --
 - Q -- and bring them in check?
 - A Can I get the document?
 - Q Yes, sir. I'm sorry. My fault.
- 25 A Okay.

	_
C	\supset
C	\supset
C	α
C	α
Ć	w
Ĺ	_

1	Q	Okay. This document is something called commercial
2	competito	r financial review, right?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay.
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Go to page 3, please. And let's pull out
6	the top paragraph. Michelle, right here. From here to here.	
7		THE WITNESS: Can I just take a peek?
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Sure.
9		THE WITNESS: Page 8?
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight here to here.
11		THE WITNESS: Is that page 3 or page 8?
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Excuse me. I'm sorry, sir. It's page 3.
13		THE WITNESS: Let me take a look. Okay.
14	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
15	Q	So while United is leading the pack to try and control
16	healthcare costs by eliminating egregious charges like the one we just	
17	saw for 99285, at the same time it is cutting rates by significant amounts.	
18	Premiums	are going up at a high rate increase, right?
19	А	I didn't write this document. I don't know the foundation of
20	it. So I don't know if it's correlated.	
21	Q	You're charging more, and you're paying less. You're
22	benefiting on both ends, right?	
23	А	I would disagree.
24	Q	Mr. Haben, wouldn't you agree with me that the impetus for
25	this was th	ne concern for members who were paying premiums that were

1	too high?	That was one of the alleged concerns that United had, right?	
2	А	I'm not sure if I'm following your question.	
3	Q	At the beginning of this five year period, Mr. Haben, I	
4	thought yo	ou told us that healthcare costs with these egregious billers	
5	were caus	ing premiums to go up, right?	
6	А	Healthcare costs affect premiums.	
7	Q	So you started substantially cutting reimbursements for out-	
8	of-networl	k providers, right?	
9	А	We started offering programs to our clients to address high	
10	medical costs.		
11	Q	And at the same time you're doing that, and you're paying	
12	less and reigning in healthcare costs, you're jacking up premiums, right?		
13	А	I don't think it says that.	
14	Q	Okay. Will you please look at Exhibit 273, please? Take a	
15	moment to	o review it, please.	
16	А	There's 190 pages. Is there a page you want me to look at?	
17	Q	Is this a presentation for the ENI, employer and individual	
18	part of the	company?	
19	А	I'm not familiar with this document, so I don't know what it	
20	is.		
21	Q	Does it have the United logo on it?	
22	А	It does.	
23	Q	If you will please turn to page 56?	
24	А	Can I take a peek?	
25	Q	Sure. Let me know when you're ready, sir.	

C	\supset
Ç	\supset
	$\tilde{\infty}$
-	α
-	ະ
•	,-

1	Α	Okay.	
2	Q	Does that page deal with the time period we have been	
3	discussing	, this 2014 through part of 2019?	
4	А	Again, I don't know what the document is. I didn't write it. It	
5	does have	a range in the upper left of 2014 to 2018.	
6	Q	Does this address premiums during that time?	
7	А	I don't know if it does.	
8	Q	Does it have a percentage increase of premiums during that	
9	time?		
10	А	It does have a percentage of increase in the premiums.	
11	Q	And premiums are one of the things that you and I discussed	
12	during your testimony a few days ago, correct, sir?		
13	А	I said that premiums are affected by medical costs.	
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, we move for the admission	
15	of Plaintiff	s' 273.	
16		MR. BLALACK: Object to the foundation, Your Honor. The	
17	witness di	dn't write it, receive it, and is not involved in its preparation.	
18		THE COURT: And did you say 271?	
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Excuse me, Your Honor. 273 and it is to	
20	rebut the s	tatement that Mr. Haben offered while under oath.	
21		THE COURT: Objection is overruled. Exhibit 273 will be	
22	admitted.		
23		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 273 admitted into evidence]	
24	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:	
25	Q	Okay. So Mr. Haben, 273 page 56.	
	ĺ		

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here, Michelle. Just this. Keep		
2	going. No	o, no, no. Up. I want the whole thing right here. No, no, just		
3	the premi	the premium increase.		
4	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:		
5	Q	Mr. Haben, while you're cutting us by up to 85 percent during		
6	this time,	you're charging members 62 percent higher during that time.		
7	You're goi	ng up and we're going down, right?		
8	А	I didn't write the document. I don't know what this means.		
9	Q	In your opinion, Mr. Haben, which one is more egregious,		
10	this or this	s?		
11	А	I don't think they're related.		
12	Q	Which one is more egregious, sir?		
13		MR. BLALACK: Objection. Asked and answered.		
14		THE COURT: Sustained.		
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: You can close it, Michelle.		
16	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:			
17	Q	By the first quarter of 2019, Mr. Haben, the rate for		
18	emergenc	y room doctors under this proprietary methodology, Data		
19	iSight, it h	ad dropped to 250 percent, right, sir?		
20	А	Sorry. Can you ask it again, please?		
21	Q	By the first quarter of 2019, the rate for emergency room		
22	doctors, u	nder this OCM, Data iSight, SSPE, shared savings, benchmark,		
23	yada yada	, it had dropped to 250 percent of Medicare, right, which is a		
24	60 to 70 pe	ercent reduction off of our billed charges, right?		
25	А	You're confusing me a little bit with all the programs you		

1	threw in there.	
2	Q	You got your deposition there?
3	Α	Yeah, which page?
4	Q	Go to page 121. Line 19.
5	А	Okay. Let me get there.
6	Q	Yes, sir. 121, line 19.
7	"Q	Does that refresh your recollection that in the Q1 of 2019 that
8	United red	uced the OCM emergency room rate to 250 percent of
9	Medicare?	
10	"A	Yes, it does."
11	Did I	read that correctly?
12	А	You did.
13	Q	Why did you do that?
14	А	Why did we drop it down to 250, the benchmark?
15	Q	Yeah. Yeah, why did you do that?
16	А	Because that was still a premium above Medicare rate. It
17	was more in line with the market and what our clients wanted.	
18	Q	And remember we looked at Exhibit 43
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Pull that up, please. First paragraph.
20	Right there	e, Michelle.
21	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
22	Q	We talked about this, Mr. Haben?
23	А	Yeah, can I
24	Q	Yeah, yeah. Sure. Absolutely. Please take your time.
25		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yep. And Michelle, you got a line thing

1	going on. Okay. All right.
2	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:
3	Q So before Data iSight, the cuts were random, populated
4	amounts, right?
5	A That's incorrect.
6	Q But if Data iSight is doing whatever you want to do, and it's
7	coming out to exactly 350 or later, 250, does that tell you, Mr. Haben,
8	that you're really not doing this, the legally sound process, you're still
9	doing this random, calculated amounts just because you can?
10	A I would disagree, and I can explain why.
11	Q No, sir. Now, let's please go to Exhibit 229 and take a
12	moment to look at this, please?
13	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Is that in, please?
14	MR. KILLINGSWORTH: It's in.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. That's in. Let's go to page 3.
16	Okay. She's going to pull it up while you're getting there. Right here,
17	Michelle, circle a lot faster right here to here. And this is oh, I'm
18	sorry, Michelle, I need the date. Can we get the top in? Okay. Right
19	there. A little further down. Perfect. And Michelle, right here, can you
20	highlight this one fully?
21	THE WITNESS: Which page, please?
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: It's page 3.
23	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:
24	O Okay. So here you are, here you all are, internally saying
25	you're going to drop it from 350 to 250. And it's going to be 250 of

1	Medicare or Data iSight, whichever is greater, right?	
2	А	That's correct. It's the floor.
3	Q	Mr. Haben, are you aware of even one document anywhere
4	where the	Data iSight rate exceeded these two numbers? Did that ever
5	happen?	
6	А	The
7	Q	For the claims at issue in this case, or otherwise?
8	А	I don't know if I can answer that question.
9	Q	Well, we looked at one example, where it worked out to
10	exactly 350, right?	
11	А	The example you had was 350.
12	Q	Yeah. Okay. So if we go to page 8, of the same document,
13	right here.	Who's Jacqueline, is it Buccini?
14	А	I believe that's how you pronounce her name.
15	Q	Okay. Out-of-network strategy and affordability, right, she's
16	the manager of that?	
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	Well, okay, "Hi Mark, we're thinking we would like the lower
19	OCM paid amounts for professional emergency services from 350 to	
20	250," right?	?
21	Α	That's what that says.
22	Q	Who is she writing to?
23	Α	l believe it's Mark Edwards at MultiPlan.
24	Q	But, I thought MultiPlan is the umpire. I thought they were
25	supposed to be objective? Say what? They're supposed to be objective	

1	right?	
2		MR. BLALACK: Object to the form. Argumentative.
3		THE COURT: Overruled.
4	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
5	Q	They're supposed to be objective, right?
6	А	MultiPlan is the administrator of the repricing engine for us
7	Q	Yeah. So this, Mr. Haben, is a wink-wink. We set your Data
8	iSight eng	ine to come out to 250. That's what this is, right?
9	А	I disagree, and I can explain why.
10	Q	No, sir. You all are writing to MultiPlan, and you're telling
11	them that	you want to lower the amount, right?
12	А	We're asking them to adjust the repricing engine.
13	Q	To what?
14	А	Instead of the 250
15	Q	Adjust the repricing engine? Is that what you just said?
16	А	Yeah, and I can explain why.
17	Q	The engine is Data iSight?
18	Α	No, because we have criteria we need to meet for the
19	Affordable	e Care Act.
20	Q	Data iSight is sometimes referred to as the Data Pricing
21	Engine, ri	ght?
22	А	It's a machine.
23	Q	Do you know what a Freudian slip is?
24	А	I believe so, yeah.
25	Q	Did you just do one of those, a Freudian slip?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1	А	No, it's a Data iSight is a repricing engine.
2	Q	Okay. And by the way, when you did this, dropping it from
3	350 to 250,	you as the head of out-of-network, you have no idea what
4	l analysis Uı	nited did before using this out-of-network rate for emergency

Α I don't know what you're asking.

room doctors under OCM to 250 percent, correct?

- \mathbf{O} You have no idea what type of analysis, if there was any, United did, before doing what we're looking at up on the screen? They just did it, right?
 - Α That's a mischaracterization.
 - Open your deposition, page 138. 138, line 17. Q
- "Q Okay. So let's talk about that change. What analysis did United conduct prior to reducing the OCM rate from 350 percent of Medicare to 250 percent of Medicare?
- "A I don't know specifically, but I believe we looked at the par median rates and determined the par median and the aggregate, which was less than 200. So we could safely move from 350 to 250 and still be in compliance with PPAC.
- "Q Did you conduct any other analysis, other than looking at the par median rates?
 - "A I don't know if we did."
- Did I read that right?
- 23 Α Yes, you did.
 - Q Okay. You began the answer with you don't know specifically what was done, right?

1	А	Right. I did not conduct the analytics.	
2	Q	But this was your program. OCM was your program.	
3	А	OCM was an out-of-network program, yes. And I didn't do	
4	the analyti	cs.	
5	Q	And I mean you don't even know if there was a written	
6	analysis do	one, right?	
7	А	I don't know.	
8	Q	Yeah, you don't know specifically if there was or was not a	
9	written analysis done, even though you were the guy in charge, right?		
10	Α	Correct. I trust my group.	
11	Q	Thank you, sir. And you don't know if a written analysis was	
12	done, but if we go to page is 418 in?		
13		THE COURT: I show that it is.	
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.	
15		THE COURT: Defendant do you show 418 is admitted?	
16		MR. BLALACK: Your Honor, I think that's a conditional, I	
17	thought.		
18		MR. ZAVITSANOS: No, I thought it was	
19		MR. BLALACK: Oh, it's in. My apologies. It is in, Your	
20	Honor.		
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: 418.	
22		THE COURT: Just a minute.	
23		THE WITNESS: Can I get it?	
24		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Sure. And Michelle while Mr. Haben is	
25	doing that,	can we from here to here, please? And Michelle, follow me	
	I		

1	here.	High	light this, and highlight this, and then highlight attachments.
2	Okay.		
3			THE WITNESS: Can I just
4	BY M	R. ZA	VITSANOS:
5		Q	So this is
6		Α	Can I take a look please?
7		Q	Sure. Take your time.
8			[Witness reviews document]
9		Α	Okay. I can I'll ask questions if I need to.
10		Q	So this is Ms. Paradise writing to you with an attachment,
11	right?	•	
12		Α	I believe so.
13		Q	And go to the go to page 3, please. Who prepared this
14	attachment?		
15		Α	I believe I probably did.
16		Q	Yeah, page 3, Exhibit 1 418, page 3.
17			MR. ZAVITSANOS: Pull out the whole thing, Michelle. And
18	please highlight the name.		nlight the name.
19	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
20		Q	Is that you?
21		Α	That's my name.
22		Q	Okay. So this is a privileged and confidential report. Let's go
23	to the	next	page, page 4. Okay. This is a little hard to read, Mr. Haben.
24		Α	Yeah, I'm not able to read it.
25		Q	Okay. Here's what I'm going to

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you close this out? And I
2	want you t	to pull out this part right here. See if we can read it a little
3	easier. Th	e report that Mr. Haben prepared. Okay.
4	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
5	Q	So this is your report, right?
6	А	Yes. My team helped me put it together.
7	Q	Thank you. Okay. Okay. So effective March of '19, ASO
8	Profession	al now, that's ER doctors that includes ER. doctors, right?
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Hold on, Michelle.
10	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
11	Q	That includes ER doctors, professional, right?
12	А	I am not always ERs are not always in the professional
13	services.	
14	Q	The doctors, sir. Not the facilities.
15	А	I'm sorry, but I've got to look at the context.
16	Q	Anyway, well, let me keep going.
17	А	Okay.
18	Q	ASO professional and facility ER reimbursement reduced
19	from 350 t	o 250 of CMS or Data iSight, whichever is greater, right?
20	А	Yes, I do see that.
21	Q	So you prepared the report identifying what's going to
22	happen, b	ut you don't know what documentation there is to justify that?
23	А	My team put the report together, and I presented it.
24	Q	It's got your name on it, sir.
25	А	Yeah, but I don't write all my documents.

1	Q Q	Okay. I mean Mr. Haben, you all just kind of reached in the
2	pocket and	just pulled out a number, right?
3		MR. BLALACK: I object to form. Argumentative.
4		THE COURT: Sustained.
5	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
6	Q	Mr. Haben, you just picked whatever number you wanted,
7	right?	
8	А	I disagree.
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michael is 273 in?
10		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: Yes.
11	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
12	Q	All right. Let's go back to 273, page 2.
13	А	Okay. Let me get it.
14	Q	Yes, sir. And this is November of '19. Towards the latter part
15	of the time	line. Okay. So please go to page 8. Now we've seen similar
16	language i	n other documents. In '16, in '17, in '18. Now we're in '19.
17	And what y	you all are telling yourselves internally is there's an
18	opportunit	y every second to achieve high margins, right?
19	А	I don't know this document. I didn't write it.
20	Q	That's what it says, right?
21	А	Where does it say that?
22	Q	At the top.
23	Α	Oh, I'm sorry.
24	Q	In big letters.
25	Α	Yep, I see it.

Yes, sir.

Q

1	Q	Okay. And the other thing it says is ASO profitability is
2	driven hea	vily by making the customer buy the extended warranty of the
3	rustproofi	ng.
4		MR. BLALACK: Object to form. Argumentative.
5		THE COURT: Overruled.
6		THE WITNESS: Where does it say that?
7	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
8	Q	ASO profitability is driven heavily by add-on sales and
9	shared sav	vings pricing. Mechanisms deployed by nationals, right?
10	А	That's what that says.
11	Q	Yeah. So the PMPM, that's the one fee. But then the add-on
12	fees, like t	he percentages for this new PCOC mechanism, that's going to
13	help achie	ve high margins, right?
14	А	I don't agree with your statement.
15	Q	So Mr. Haben, if you are charging more in premiums, and
16	you're pay	ring way less, and you're constantly cutting, premiums are
17	going up a	and reimbursements are going down, profits are going to be at
18	record lev	els, right?
19	А	I would disagree.
20	Q	The profits United had in '19 were at record levels, right?
21	А	I don't know that.
22	Q	Exhibit 220. And let's please oh, hold on. Exhibit 220,
23	page 1.	
24	Α	Okay. I need to get that.

C	\supset
C	\supset
C	α
C	α
4	4
(ת

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, will you pull up the title and the
2	date, please?	
3	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
4	Q	Okay.
5	А	Can I get there, please?
6	Q	Yes, sir.
7	А	Okay.
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And let me ask counsel first, Your Honor,
9	if he has a	n objection to 220, and also if he has an objection to 380.
10		MR. BLALACK: I believe 220 has been conditionally
11	admitted,	Your Honor.
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah, I'm asking for unconditional
13	admission of 220 and the admission of 380. And I just want to ask	
14	counsel fi	rst, before I go through this.
15		MR. BLALACK: No objection to 380. And on 220, no
16	objection	to 220.
17		THE COURT: Exhibits 220 and 380 will be admitted.
18		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 220 and 380 admitted into evidence]
19	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
20	Q	220, page 8. SSPE utilizes a vendor that prices the claims.
21	Now there	e's some proprietary pricing logic. You see that?
22	А	I do.
23	Q	That sounds official. Would you agree?
24	А	It sounds like it's proprietary.
25	Q	Okay. Proprietary means we're going to that there's a
	I	

1	secret way	that we do this, and I can't really tell you. Like the formula to
2	Coke, that's	s proprietary, right?
3	А	Which question are you asking me?
4	Q	The formula to Coke is proprietary. That's what people
5	typically sa	ay, right?
6	А	I would assume so.
7	Q	Okay. Propriety means you're not going to get to go behind
8	the curtain	and see what the reserve officer is doing, right?
9	Α	I would disagree with that characterization.
10	Q	Let's now go to Exhibit 380 and see if we can get to the
11	bottom of	this Data iSight issue. 380. Out
12	Α	Can I get it?
13	Q	Yes, sir, please.
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And Michelle, while he's doing that, let's
15	go to page	10, please. Okay.
16		THE WITNESS: Can I just
17		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Further down, Michelle.
18		THE WITNESS: Can I just take a quick peek, please?
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I just need the part on Data iSight. All
20	the way down. Okay. And Michelle follow me here. Right here,	
21	Michelle. I	Highlight this last sentence.
22		THE WITNESS: Can I just
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Sure.
24		THE WITNESS: take a look, please. What page are you on?
25	What page	?

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm on page 10.
2		[Witness reviews document]
3		THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
5	Q	Mr. Haben, that sounded pretty darn official.
6	А	What does?
7	Q	That definition. I mean it's a bunch of big words, and
8	acronyms	, and sources, right?
9	А	It's very complex.
10	Q	It's very
11		MR. BLALACK: Objection. Compound.
12		THE COURT: Objection sustained.
13	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
14	Q	This is very complex?
15	А	It's complex.
16	Q	Yeah. Have you ever seen has it ever been demonstrated
17	to you exa	actly how this proprietary method works, sir? Have you ever
18	seen it op	erate?
19	А	No, I have not seen the mechanics of it.
20	Q	What does that last sentence mean? A median conversion
21	factor is applied. What does that mean?	
22	А	I don't know. You will have to ask Data iSight.
23	Q	Well, this is a United document.
24		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Page 1, please, Michelle.
25	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:

	_	
Ç	\supset	
(\supset	
C	α	
C	α	
4	4	
(∞	

I	l Q	inis is a United document concerning the out of network
2	cost mana	gement programs, right, while you were in charge?
3	А	I don't know the date of this. Does it have a date on it?
4	Q	I don't know, sir. This is your document. Do you know when
5	this docun	nent was created?
6	А	I'm not super-familiar with it.
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, back to page 10, Michelle. Close
8	that out. I	just want the Data iSight form. I want to point out one other
9	thing.	
10	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
11	Q	Sometimes you bury the truth in a bunch of fancy words,
12	right, Mr. I	Haben?
13		MR. BLALACK: Object to form. Argumentative.
14		THE COURT: Sustained.
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight this. Claims are
16	edited and	priced using widely recognized something.
17	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
18	Q	Do you see that? And other and other CMS guidelines.
19	А	I see that.
20	Q	Like for example, whatever United says 350 percent, that's
21	the guideli	ne. That's what we're going to do, right?
22	А	I disagree with that characterization.
23	Q	What does that mean, other CMS guidelines?
24	А	I don't know. You'll have to ask MultiPlan. I believe this is
25	their langu	iage.

C	\supset
C	\supset
C	α
C	α
4	_
Ċ	0

I	Q	wir. Haben, we are nere in trial, and you understand that we
2	are saying	that this Data iSight is garbage? You understand that, right?
3	А	I disagree with you.
4	Q	Did you think about maybe looking into before you came into
5	Court and	explaining to the jury why this really is objective or
6	proprietar	y, or how they do this?
7		MR. BLALACK: Object to the form. Foundation. Witnesses
8	are on the	list. He knows that. That's an improper question.
9		THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
11	Q	Mr. Haben, did you personally look into what any of this stuff
12	means in t	this definition that was put out in your department while you
13	were in ch	arge?
14	А	No, I didn't need to.
15	Q	Well, let's look at
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michael is 444 in?
17		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: Yes.
18	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
19	Q	Okay, let's look at another Data iSight calculation.
20	А	Can I go get it? Can I go get it?
21	Q	Yes, sir. That's the one we were talking about earlier. That
22	same issu	e. Let's just remember what we're talking about.
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Up here, Michael or Michelle.
24	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
25	Q	That's that AT&T document we went through earlier, right?

1	А	Just let me get there, please.
2	Q	Sure. The same one, right?
3	А	This is the current one? This is a different binder?
4	Q	Yes, sir. The one that I handed you, sir.
5	А	Okay. The binders look different than what they were before
6	so I'm ass	uming it's' the same one.
7	Q	Okay. So this is the one we discussed earlier, right?
8	А	I'm assuming so. Yeah.
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let's go to page 2, Michelle. And let's
10	see how tl	nis proprietary thing works. From here to here, Michelle.
11	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
12	Q	This is an this is an EOB for Ruby Crest on an ASO claim
13	for AT&T,	right?
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Highlight the first sentence, Michelle.
15		THE WITNESS: Does this go with the Ruby Crest
16	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
17	Q	Yes, sir.
18	А	provider or [indiscernible] device? Okay.
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: This keep going. Right there.
20	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
21	Q	This service was provided by an out-of-network provider.
22	You paid t	the provider according to your benefits and data provided by
23	Data iSigh	t. Do you see that?
24	А	I do.
25	Q	Okay. Now let's take a look at what Data iSight did here. If

c	\supset
Č	5
-	α
- 7	Σ
Ç	ν
-	_

1	we go to page 5, all right. Now do you remember we looked at that Data						
2	iSight document and the Medicare break was 174.08? Remember that?						
3	А	Yes.					
4	Q	Now okay. 99285, \$862. Allowed amount is 435, right, sir?					
5	А	Yes. It's hard to read, but, yes.					
6	Q	435.20.					
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Circle that, Michelle. Actually, can you					
8	circle the	one above it? It's a little easier to read. 435.20. Okay.					
9	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:					
10	Q	Now what is 174.08 times 250 percent?					
11	А	Can Michael do the math?					
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michael?					
13		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: 435.20.					
14	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:					
15	Q	435.20. Wow. What a coincidence? Right, sir?					
16	А	I					
17	Q	That is some coincidence that this sophisticated propriety					
18	logic computer engine works out to exactly what you want to pay. 250						
19	percent af	ter you drop the rate, right?					
20	А	I disagree on the characterization it's a coincidence, and I can					
21	explain w	hy.					
22	Q	174.08 I don't want to put Mr. Killingsworth on the stand,					
23	so please	say yes. 174.08 times 250 percent equals exactly what Data					
24	iSight objectively determined using all that fancy language is the						
25	appropriate rate_right?						

1	А	I'm sorry, I'm trying to do the math in my head. So ask the				
2	question again.					
3	Q	You don't take issue with his math; do you?				
4	А	You asked me to accept it, otherwise you're going to put him				
5	on the star	nd.				
6	Q	Would you like his calculator?				
7	А	No.				
8	Q	It's got some texts there with his girlfriend, don't read those,				
9	okay.					
10	А	No.				
11	Q	Okay. All right. So ,Mr. Haben, come on, what's going on				
12	here? What's going on here?					
13	А	On his texting?				
14	Q	No. You got me on that one.				
15	А	All right. You opened the door. What was your question				
16	again?					
17	Q	What's going on here? How come all these how come all				
18	the Data iSight things we've looked at, work out to exactly what you					
19	want to pay?					
20	А	I can explain why.				
21	Q	Let's move on, sir. Will you please get Exhibit 230 and just				
22	take a mor	ment				
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me ask counsel if he's opposed to the				
24	first one.					
25		MR BLALACK: No objection Your Honor				

1		THE COURT: 230 will be admitted.			
2		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 230 admitted into evidence]			
3	BY MR. Z	'AVITSANOS:			
4	Q	Okay. So			
5	А	Can I just get there, please?			
6	Q	Sure.			
7	А	Okay.			
8	Q	By the way, Mr. Haben, I know that I've been asking you			
9	question	s about UnitedHealthcare, all these shared savings programs,			
10	those do	not relate to the Health Plan of Nevada or Sierra, correct?			
11	А	No, they do not.			
12	Q	Okay. We're going to talk to someone else about that and			
13	talk to the	em about why they were doing what they were doing. You			
14	understand?				
15	А	Understood.			
16	Q	Okay. Our questions are about the programs you were in			
17	charge o	f, right?			
18	А	Understood.			
19	Q	Thank you, sir. Okay. So now we're at 230. And this			
20	appears 1	to be a comparison between UMR and UNET. Just I know I've			
21	asked you this earlier, but just refresh our recollection on what that is.				
22	UNET an	d UMR.			
23	А	UNET is the claims processing platform in the programs I			
24	manage.	UMR is the third-party administrator that I do not have			
25	responsil	oility for.			

1	Q	Okay. So			
2	А	I'm sorry, and they're part of UnitedHealthcare.			
3	Q	so UMR is under the United umbrella, right?			
4	А	UnitedHealthcare.			
5	Q	UnitedHealthcare. And UnitedHealthcare itself is a third-			
6	party admi	inistrator, right?			
7	А	For self-employed groups, you could characterize it that way.			
8	Q	And in addition to UnitedHealthcare, UMR is also a third-			
9	party admi	inistrator?			
10	А	Yes, they are.			
11	Q	So you've got kind of two parts of United, among others,			
12	acting as third-party administrators?				
13	А	Generally, yes.			
14	Q	Right. Okay. And I'm not going to ask why.			
15	А	Yeah.			
16	Q	And UNET is a platform, right?			
17	А	It is a claims platform.			
18	Q	Yes. Okay, so let's go to page 2. And if we could, if we can			
19	pull up the bottom part, the very bottom part. UHC and UMR				
20	opportunities. All right. First of all, it uses the word opportunity like				
21	we've beer	n talking about, right?			
22	А	It does have the word opportunity.			
23	Q	And it says we're going to continue the strategy of reducing			
24	dollar and	Medicare thresholds, right?			
25	Α	Yes, it does say that.			

_	_	
۶	=	•
۶	_	•
2	X	7
3	Ÿ	,
Ċ	2	1
C	5	1

1	Q	We're just going to keep that snowball is going to keep			
2	going downhill, right?				
3	А	I disagree with that characterization.			
4		MR. BLALACK: Objection. Argumentative.			
5		THE COURT: Overruled.			
6	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:			
7	Q	That snowball is going to keep going downhill, right?			
8	А	I disagree with that characterization.			
9	Q	Okay, continuing. It says you're going to redesign for both			
10	both sides, redesign shared savings revenue model to transition into				
11	total cost of care model. Do you see that?				
12	А	I do see that.			
13	Q	Okay. So you're going to keep making the same amount of			
14	money, y	ou're just going to call it something else, right?			
15	А	I disagree with that characterization.			
16	Q	And you're doing it all in the name of egregious billing			
17	practices,	right?			
18	А	It's not just that.			
19	Q	What does it mean redesign shared savings revenue model			
20	to transition into total cost of care model? I'm going to give you the				
21	floor, Mr.	Haben, and you explain all you want.			
22	А	I did not write this document. I believe finance did. So I			
23	don't kno	w if I can answer their question on what they mean by total			
24	cost of ca	re in the revenue model.			
25	Q	So you know some of the casinos here in town, I'll get fliers			

from them periodically that say, hey, come out to Las Vegas, we'll give
you a discounted room rate. And when I show up, I see they're charging
me a resort fee. When you add those two together, it's what the old
room rate used to be. You follow me?

A Yeah.

- O That's what you're doing here, right?
- A I disagree.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, how long have we been going?

THE COURT: It's a good time for a break. It's 2:06, and we started back at 12:52. So let me give you the admonition.

This will be our afternoon recess, or after lunch recess.

During recess don't talk with each other or anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Don't read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, internet, cellphones or texting.

Don't conduct any research on your own relating to the case.

Don't consult dictionaries, use the internet or use reference materials.

Don't do any social media. Don't talk, text Tweet, Google issues, or conduct any other type of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in the case.

Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the jury deliberates. It is now 2:07. Let's be back at 2:20 sharp.

1	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.				
2	THE COURT: Sir, you may step down.				
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.				
4	[Jury out at 2:07 p.m.]				
5	[Outside the presence of the jury]				
6	THE COURT: So a couple of things. Brendon has to reboot				
7	the system to get the audio to work. And you now have 189 people on				
8	the phone. So I'll see you at 2:20.				
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you.				
10	[Recess taken from 2:07 p.m. to 2:20 p.m.]				
11	THE COURT: Please remain seated. Can we bring the jury?				
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: From the Plaintiffs, Your Honor, yes.				
13	MR. BLALACK: Can we bring Mr. Haben, in, Your Honor?				
14	THE COURT: Thank you.				
15	[Pause]				
16	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.				
17	[Jury in at 2:22 p.m.]				
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Plaintiff, please				
19	continue.				
20	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. If it may please				
21	the Court, counsel. Michelle, will you please pull up Exhibit 376?				
22	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:				
23	Q Okay, Mr. Haben. In the movie, The Wizard of Oz, when they				
24	complete the tasks that the Grand Wizard tells them to complete, they				
25	come back to the Great Hall. And Toto goes up to the curtain, pulls the				

BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

1	curtain.	And the wizard says, ignore the man behind the curtain. Don't				
2	look behind the curtain? Remember that?					
3	А	l do.				
4	Q	Okay. This Data iSight tool. It's correct that before this trial				
5	started,	TeamHealth before all this stuff was assembled and we got all				
6	these do	ocuments, TeamHealth attempted to try to understand how it				
7	works, r	ight?				
8	А	I don't know if that's true or not.				
9	Q	Well, let's look at 376.				
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, there's an email that straddles				
11	pages 2 and 3. Can we pull that up, please? The front two, down to the					
12	bottom. Right there. Thank you, Michelle. All the way to the bottom.					
13	Keep going. Keep going. Nope oh, yeah. Okay, I see what you're					
14	doing.					
15	BY MR.	ZAVITSANOS:				
16	Q	Okay, so. "Subject: Data iSight TeamHealth"; do you see				
17	that?					
18	А	l do.				
19	Q	Okay. And it looks like this Michael and I may be				
20	mispror	ouncing it, so forgive me if I do McEttrick is with MultiPlan. Do				
21	you see	that signature line at the bottom?				
22	А	l do.				
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you highlight, that please?				

And he's a vice president like you, right? With MultiPlan?

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

25

1

Α	He	is a	vice	president.

- Q Okay. And this Mike Bandomer and Susan Dominey; they're with United, right? Oh, excuse me. No. They're with -- they're also with MultiPlan Data iSight, right?
 - A I don't know who they are.
- Q Okay. We're going to catch up in just a minute, but let's see what this email says. From Mr. McEttrick to these two people.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Hold on, Michelle. Don't highlight anything -- actually, let's get rid of all the highlighting. Okay.

BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

- Q "Good afternoon, Susan and Mike. Have you ever met with a provider to provide some general education on Data iSight? Bruce's contact at TeamHealth, Kent Bristow, has requested a meeting with someone from our organization knowledgeable about Data iSight to learn more about the pricing methodology. It would mainly be just HCFA" -- what does that stand for, sir?
 - A I'm not sure the acronym. It's what we call [hic-fa].
 - O Okay, whatever.

"It would mainly be just HCFA pricing that impacts

TeamHealth. Bruce was planning on including me in a meeting with

Kent, but I let him know that neither Sean Crandell nor I have ever

discussed this with a provider. We are typically involved on the client side". Do you see that?

A I do.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So let's stop right there. Michelle,

	right here.	So if	render from	here to	here.
--	-------------	-------	-------------	---------	-------

BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

Q So it looks like what that's saying is because the umpire is on team United, they don't talk about this with doctors, right?

A No --

MR. BLALACK: Objection to form and also foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

Q This is -- this literally says these two people have never discussed this, never, with a provider. "We are typically involved on the client side", right?

A They said they have -- nor they have ever discussed, so I'm assuming that means never.

Q Yeah. That, "neither Sean nor I have ever discussed this with a provider. We are typically involved on the client side. I am okay with handling the call if needed, but figured I would check in case this is something either of you have more experience handling. It is obviously a fine line to walk with providing enough information to satisfy the provider without going too much in detail about a proprietary pricing methodology that impacts their claims," right?

- A That's what that says, yes.
- Okay. So let's see what happened.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let's go -- and this is July 8. And we can go to page 1. At the bottom. From here to here. All the way down.

BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

_	_
	\supset
C	\supset
C	α
Č	\sim
	ົ
1	٠.

1	Q	Okay. July 10th, 2019. "Mike, if Sean is available this	
2	morning,	can he join us on the call? Gail really wants you or Sean, and	
3	he knows	Sean has done this with customers." Customers are you,	
4	right? You	u're the customer? The insurer is the customer?	
5	А	I didn't write this. I don't know what he means.	
6	Q	Well, the customers of MultiPlan. I mean, we saw it in the	
7	email. They're normally on the insurer side. The customer is the		
8	insurance company, right?		
9	А	So it could be us, Aetna, Cigna, Blue	
10	Q	Fair enough.	
11		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight this.	
12	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
13	Q	"We're trying to keep it high-level with TeamHealth," right?	
14	А	That's what that says.	
15	Q	Meaning we're going to talk in generalities and use those big	
16	fancy terms, but we're not going to look behind the curtain to get a look		
17	at who the Wizard of Oz really is, right?		
18	А	I disagree. You'd have to ask Bruce.	
19	Q	Well, what we know is you don't fault TeamHealth before we	
20	go to trial here. We're trying to understand this because he maybe		
21	maybe if v	ve're getting it wrong, and maybe there is some substitute.	
22	You don't fault us for that, do you?		
23	А	I don't know what Kent's intent was.	
24	Q	If that was his intent, Mr. Haben, would you agree with me	
25	that you d	on't fault us for trying to figure out before we tie up a court	

1	and jurors	for a long period of time maybe we got it wrong; let's try to
2	get to the	bottom of this?
3	А	I have no issue with that.
4	Q	Okay. And when we did it, we didn't have Toto to go behind
5	the curtair	ı, right?
6		MR. BLALACK: Objection to form.
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me rephrase.
8		THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
9	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
10	Q	When we did that, Mr. Haben, according to this, all we got
11	was the ki	nd of high-level stuff we looked at on that description, which
12	all circulat	ed internally, right?
13	А	I don't know what the discussion was. You'd have to ask
14	Bruce.	
15	Q	Okay. Now
16		Okay. Okay, Mr. Haben. Let's go to Exhibit
17		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michael, there's [indiscernible] please.
18	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
19	Q	While he's looking for that, let's go to 246, page 3. Okay.
20	А	I need I need to go get that.
21	Q	Sure. Okay. Mr. Haben
22	А	Hold on. Hold on.
23	Q	Yes, sir. All right. Now, let me see if we can catch up to
24	where we	are here. So we've talked about the wrap networks. We've
25	talked abo	ut reasonable and customary. We've talked about OCM. Now

1	we're at ENRP, right? That's the latest alleged program, right? ENRP,	
2	right, sir?	
3	А	It's a program that we have, yes.
4	Q	And this is the one with the single highest discount, right?
5	А	ENRP prices at a par median rate.
6	Q	This is the one with the highest discount. 70 to 79 percent,
7	right?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And in fact and Mr. Killingsworth is looking for it there is
10	a later version that says discounts up to 85 percent, right?	
11	А	The more the providers charge, the deeper the reduction
12	based on the par median.	
13	Q	There is a later version that says up to 85 percent reduction
14	under this ENRP, right?	
15	Α	I would have to see it. And I'll take a look at it if you find it.
16	Q	Now, one thing you told the jury was that ENRP is free, right?
17	А	We did not charge for ENRP at that time.
18	Q	Right. And so what you did was we looked at the
19	documents that talked about repackaging or redesigning. Remember the	
20	redesigning documents?	
21	А	I believe so.
22	Q	Now, let's go to Exhibit 354.
23	А	It's going to take me a little bit. I got to fold these back.
24	Q	Yes, sir.
25	А	354?

1	Q	Yes, sir.
2		MR. BLALACK: Hey, John?
3		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah.
4		MR. BLALACK: May we approach, Your Honor?
5		THE COURT: You may.
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, take that down, please.
7		[Sidebar at 2:37 p.m., ending at 2:37 p.m., not transcribed]
8		THE COURT: Thank you, both.
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: May I proceed, Your Honor?
10		THE COURT: Yes.
11		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. Michelle, pull that back up.
12	What exh	ibit was that?
13		MS. RIVERS: 354.
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?
15		MS. RIVERS: 3-5-4.
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: 3-5-4. Thank you, Michelle.
17	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
18	Q	Okay, so. Okay. So now, we're in 2019 midyear, end of May,
19	right?	
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And this is written to the CEO of United, Dan Schumacher,
22	right?	
23	А	It's Dan Schumacher is on there, yes.
24	Q	Dan Schumacher is CEO of UnitedHealthcare?
25	А	I believe Steve Nelson was the CEO of UnitedHealthcare.

1	Q	What was Mr. Schumacher's title at this time?	
2	А	I believe he was the I could be wrong. I thought he was	
3	just the co	mmercial book of business CEO.	
4	Q	Fair enough. The commercial book of business CEO, which	
5	covers the	area we're talking about, right?	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	Okay. And it looks like after you all launched this ENRP, and	
8	after you -	- after the documents we saw that said, we're going to	
9	redesign to take Shared Savings and go to Total Cost of Care. After that		
10	date, this	gentleman is writing to the CEO and says, whatever this Project	
11	Airstream	is which we're going to talk about in a minute. This is one	
12	play to replace the Shared Savings earnings stream over time. You see		
13	that?		
14	А	I do.	
15	Q	So you're migrating over to Total Cost of Care, which is	
16	going to raise the PMPM fee. But like the movie, The Blob, you want		
17	more and	now, you're coming up with something to replace the Shared	
18	Savings earnings stream, right?		
19		MR. BLALACK: Objection to form. Compound.	
20		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me rephrase.	
21	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
22	Q	The highest levels of the company are looking at a play to	
23	replace th	is Shared Savings earnings stream, right?	
24	А	That's what Saurabh has put in there.	
25	Q	And that is Project Airstream. Naviguard, right?	

1	А	Project Airstream is a member advocacy program.
2	Q	Would you please look at Exhibit 477 before we get into
3	Naviguard	d? Just so we can button this up?
4	А	I got to go get that.
5	Q	What's the standard tip on a restaurant bill, Mr. Haben?
6	А	I don't know what people's standard is. I give 20 percent.
7	Q	I'm sorry?
8	А	I don't know what the standard is. I give 20 percent.
9	Q	Okay. Now, let's go to 477. And this is, I believe, the latest
10	version of	the out-of-network programs before you left.
11	А	I don't know the date of this.
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, actually, don't pull it up yet,
13	Michelle.	Can I ask Counsel if he has an objection to it, Your Honor?
14		MR. BLALACK: I have no objection to this exhibit.
15		THE COURT: Okay. And the number again?
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: 477.
17		THE COURT: 477 will be admitted.
18		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 477 admitted into evidence]
19	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
20	Q	Okay. So out-of-network programs; do you see that?
21	А	I do.
22	Q	Let's go to page 2. And so if the jury wanted to get where
23	this five-y	ear period, we're talking about ends up, they should go to
24	Exhibit 47	7, page 2 because that lays out all the programs, the latest and
25	greatest, r	ight?

1	А	I don't know what you mean by "ends up".	
2	Q	Well, let me move on.	
3		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Now, Michelle, highlight this last one	
4	here. "ENI	RP".	
5	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
6	Q	By this time, the discount off of our bill charge is 85 percent,	
7	right?		
8	А	That's what that says. Yes.	
9	Q	That means we're getting 15 percent of our bill charge, right?	
10	А	That also could mean that your bill charges are going up	
11	compared to par median.		
12	Q	That means we're getting 15 percent of our bill charge, right?	
13	А	To the staffing companies, yes.	
14	Q	Okay. Now, everybody in our society serves a valuable	
15	function, right?		
16	Α	Of course.	
17	Q	Okay. Would you agree with me that doctors are at least as	
18	important as waiters?		
19	А	Everybody's important.	
20	Q	Would you agree with me that emergency room doctors are	
21	at least as important as waiters?		
22	Α	Extremely.	
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Now, Michelle, what was the last	
24	exhibit we	pulled up? Project Airstream?	
25		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: 354.	

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: 354.
2	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
3	Q	Let's go back to 354.
4	А	Can I put this one away?
5	Q	Sure.
6	А	354?
7	Q	Yes, sir.
8		THE COURT: There's someone on the phone who needs to
9	mute ther	nself. Hello? This is the judge. There's someone who needs to
10	mute ther	nselves. Someone's on the phone. I think we're better? Thank
11	you. Plea	se proceed.
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
14	Q	Okay, Mr. Haben.
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: So Michelle, pull up the top email just so
16	we can or	ient ourselves again.
17	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
18	Q	Now, this is kind of a business term. But when somebody
19	says, this	is the play, that's a vehicle to make money, right?
20	А	I disagree. You'd have to ask Saurabh what he meant.
21	Q	Well, during your how many accounting courses did you
22	take to get your degree?	
23	А	I have no idea. I don't remember.
24	Q	Well, if typically, it's about 12 to 14 classes over 4 years;
25	does that	sound about right?

1	Α	It felt like that. Yeah.
2	Q	So during those 12 to 14 classes in accounting reading
3		eets and debits and credits, you never heard about what this
4		siness term; an investment play, a merger play? You never
5	heard that	
6	A	No, not in accounting, no.
7	Q	Okay. Well, let's look at what the play is. Let's go to the next
8		the play is Project Airstream.
9	page. 30 t	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And let's pull out the date.
10		VITSANOS:
11	Q	
		So this is around the time that you all had gotten to this, the
12		, right? This is the end of the journey for purposes of our
13	five-year d	iscussion, right, Mr. Haben?
14	A	I'm sorry. What are you asking me?
15	Q	Remember we talked about this five-year time period?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	This is at the end of that five-year time period, right?
18	А	Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah. Because what the time period ends
19	Q	Well, the time period ends in January 2020.
20	А	2020.
21	Q	This is towards the backend of it, right?
22	А	Yes.
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Let's go to the next page,
24	Michelle.	All right. Pull that out. Let's see what's going on here.
25		MR. BLALACK: Counsel? Just, Counsel. Your Honor, just so

_		
C)
C)
C	χ)
C	χ)
-	-	1
C	Ξ)

1	you knov	w may I approach Counsel, Your Honor?
2		THE COURT: You may. Take that down for him. Take it
3	down.	
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Ma'am? Take it down, Michelle.
5		[Sidebar at 2:48 p.m., ending at 2:48 p.m., not transcribed]
6	BY MR. 2	ZAVITSANOS:
7	Q	Okay. Mr. Haben. All right. So here's what we're going to
8	do. So	the part I'm going to ask you about, is Multiplan went from
9	being a v	valuable partner
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here. Highlight that, all the way.
11	BY MR. 2	ZAVITSANOS:
12	Q	Multiplan is not a problem, right?
13	А	It does not say that Multiplan is a problem.
14	Q	Wrap networks, i.e. "I.E." means it's some Latin thing, in
15	other words, right?	
16	А	I don't know what I.E. means, but yeah, I think it means other
17	words.	
18	Q	Okay. Wrap networks, in other words, Multiplan, perpetuate
19	the out-c	of-network problem, right?
20	А	I didn't write this, but I can try to explain what she's tried to
21	say.	
22	Q	No, sir. I'm sorry. Oh, wait a minute, so let me get this
23	straight.	So even though you don't know what "the play" means, and
24	even tho	ugh I gave you the opportunity earlier to explain why premiums
25	were goi	ng up, and you couldn't, now you want to explain this, right?
	I	

C	\supset
C	\supset
C	α
C	α
-	7
	`

1	А	Well, I know why the networks are an issue, and I can explain
2	that.	
3	Q	Let's keep going, sir. Shared savings fees are making United
4	Healthcare	uncompetitive, right?
5	А	Yes. That's what that says.
6	Q	I mean, if you want to be competitive, why wouldn't you just
7	cut the fee	, right?
8	А	I think in some cases they did.
9	Q	Well, okay. Solution? Oh, boy, draining the funding supply,
10	that sound	I familiar. Draining the funding supply from egregious billers,
11	by pairing,	•
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Circle pairing.
13	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
14	Q	Referenced-based pricing, ENRP.
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Circle that, Michelle.
16	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
17	Q	With a consumer protection New Co. removing the risk for
18	members,	and here's the informed part, and generating a high return on
19	investmen	t revenue migration strategy, right?
20	А	Yes. I do see that.
21	Q	Okay. So Multiplan was the problem, and what you're going
22	to do is yo	u're going to go to 15 percent, and you're going to start a new
23	company,	and you're going to charge for that new company, and you're
24	going to p	air it, and that's going to generate a high return on investment,
25	right?	

1	Α	There are some pieces in there that are incorrect, of what you	
2	said.		
3	Q	Is there anything on this page that you know to be wrong?	
4	А	I didn't write this document.	
5	Q	But you can explain?	
6	А	Because you said something that was incorrect.	
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Let's go to the next page.	
8	Actually, h	old on Michelle. Okay, page 6. Okay, so we're still on the	
9	play, move	e this up.	
10	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
11	Q	And this NewCo which is Project Airstream, which is which	
12	became Naviguard, in connection with ENRP. And by the way, MultiPlan		
13	is not invo	lved with the ENRP, right?	
14	А	No, they are not.	
15	Q	Right. So right off the bat, not only are you paying even less,	
16	you're saving \$300 million a year by not paying Multiplan, right?		
17	А	If everybody converts over to ENRP, we would not have to	
18	use a vend	dor.	
19	Q	Yeah. So we're not talking about this ENRP, this new thing,	
20	and pairin	g it with this NewCo, and the purpose of it is a solution; what	
21	do you list first, in the list of benefits.		
22		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight that.	
23	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
24	Q	What's first?	
25	А	I'm sorry, what's oh, retains revenue?	

C	\supset
	\supset
ç	χ
Ć	ည
Ċ	ソ

1	l u	reall.
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Okay.
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: So let's now go to page 21. Right there,
5	Michelle.	The box, the whole box.
6	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
7	Q	Okay. There is a path to building a \$200 million business in
8	five years	•
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, right here, please.
10		MR. BLALACK: John, what's on the document.
11		THE COURT: Take that down
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm not going to mention the
13		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Pull that back up, Michelle? Thank
15	you, coun	sel. Pull that back up, please, it's page 21. Okay. Pull it up.
16	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
17	Q	And I'm not going to read the numbers, Mr. Haben, I'm just
18	going to h	nave Michelle highlight
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, follow me, right here, the third
20	right, all t	he way across, all the way across. And if you will circle this
21	last line.	Okay. And Michelle, will you please highlight this.
22	BY MR. B	LALACK:
23	Q	So I'm not going to mention the number, but that's up on the
24	screen, ri	ght, sir, in a circle?
25	А	Which number?
	ii .	

1	Q	The number of what you are projecting this NewCo	
2		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, I need you to keep those	
3	highlights	on this. And circle that number, please. Thank you, Michelle.	
4	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:	
5	Q	Okay. So this Naviguard the project that became	
6	Naviguard	, this is the projection now, right, of what where you want to	
7	end up by	2024, right?	
8	А	That's from the Ventures Group.	
9	Q	Right. And this is in addition to this migration to the TCOC	
10	fee that we	e've talked about, right?	
11	А	I disagree.	
12	Q	Okay.	
13		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Take that down, Michelle. Okay. Let's	
14	go to page	26.	
15	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
16	Q	Okay. Problem Gap solution detail. Do you see that?	
17	Α	I do.	
18	Q	All right. So	
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Highlight, Michelle.	
20	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:	
21	Q	What does Gap mean, do you know?	
22	А	I don't know. You'll have to ask who wrote the document.	
23	Q	Is that a [indiscernible] store. It's a joke. Okay. MultiPlan or	
24	other wrap	networks perpetuate the problem, right?	
25	Α	That's what that says.	

C)	
C)	
C	χ)	
Ċ	χ)	
-		J	
C	5	i	

1	Q	And it looks like this, this NewCo
2		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here Michelle. Right here, not that,
3	the next ri	ght, beside it.
4	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
5	Q	This NewCo is designed to replace shared savings. What is
6	that IOI?	
7	А	Internal operating income.
8	Q	Okay. So this new play, that was sent to your CEO, is
9	designed t	o replace
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Circle "replace" Michelle.
11	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
12	Q	The shared savings internal operating income, right?
13	А	That is not what that says.
14	Q	Oh, the Gap is no revenue model to replace shared savings
15	internal op	perating income, right?
16	А	That's what that does say.
17	Q	Okay. Well, let 's go down here. The last bullet point, on the
18	right-hand	side. And this NewCo is Naviguard, you're going to hold
19	them out a	as being a third party. In other words, they're not going to
20	have "Unit	ed" in their name, so that people don't associate them with
21	United, so	that you could tell clients you're going to a third party, just
22	like you w	ent with Multiplan. But instead of Multiplan getting the
23	money, you're getting it, right?	
24	А	If you were to ask them who wrote that.
25	Q	Well, positioning entity as a third party enables United

	_
(⊇
(\supset
C	α
C	α
-	7
C	\mathbf{c}

Healthcare BNI, revenue retention, and growth potential. MultiPlan,			
Naviguard, they both do the same thing, let's use Naviguard, it's a third			
party. Right? Just like MultiPlan, we're going to replace one empire with			
another one, right?			
A I disagree with how you characterize that.			
Q Mr. Haben, let me just ask you. So what we've been looking			
at over the last few days, do you think this shows unchecked greed?			
MR. BLALACK: Argumentative, Your Honor.			
THE COURT: Overruled.			
BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:			
O Do you think everything we've looked at, over the last four			
days, demonstrates uncontrolled, unchecked greed?			
A I disagree.			
Q But you do agree this demonstrates greed?			
A I disagree.			
Q It's egregious.			
A Oh, there's context that has to be put around it.			
Q Well, your lawyer, in opening statement said something like,			
these are egregious charges that we're seeking in this case. Now do you			
agree they're egregious, or not?			
A I don't know if he was referring to that, or not.			
MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Take it down, Michelle. So let's			
go back. Hold it. 236, is that in Michael?			
MR. KILLINGSWORTH: Yes.			
BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:			

c		5
	Ξ	
(Ż	כ
(χ	כ
-	•	1
-	•	J

1	Q	Have you ever given blood?
2	А	Can I go and get it?
3	Q	Yeah. And by the way
4	А	Okay.
5	Q	Are you telling the jury that it was 12 to 14 accounting
6	classes tha	at you took the term enterprise value never came up?
7	А	I don't remember, that was 30 something years ago.
8	Q	All right. Enterprise value. TCOC, total cost of care, right?
9	Right?	
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. That's the new model, that we looked at, right? That's
12	the new model that we looked at earlier, that we're going to move away	
13	from short savings, into total cost of care?	
14	А	No, I disagree.
15	Q	Okay. Well, I want to go back and cover it; so let's move on.
16	Have you ever given blood?	
17	А	Yes, I have.
18		MR. BLALACK: Your Honor, objection. Relevance?
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, I was going to get to it.
20		THE COURT: No. It's foundational. Overruled.
21	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
22	Q	And when they stick that needle in your arm, and they pull
23	blood out	of it, do they extract it; is that extracting blood?
24	Α	I think so.
25	Q	Okay. So let's look at 236, and let's go to page 2.
1	ii a	

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right there, the top of that box.	
2	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
3	Q	Okay. So we're going to ask Ms. Paradise this, but do you	
4	know whe	ether Ms one of Ms. Paradise's favorite words is this word	
5	glidepath	[phonetic]?	
6	А	I don't know; you'd have to ask her.	
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, okay. Michelle, highlight that.	
8	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
9	Q	It looks like a short term view from 2019, is reduce non-par	
10	spending	while creating a glidepath away from ASO shared savings	
11	action pla	n in place. Do you see that?	
12	А	l do.	
13	Q	Let's see what the action plan is.	
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: So let's go to Exhibit it's the same	
15	exhibit, w	e'll get the page number, please. Let's go to page 11.	
16	Michelle,	let's pull this whole thing off the shelf. All the way down, all	
17	the way d	own. Keep going. Perfect.	
18	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
19	Q	All right.	
20	MR.	ZAVITSANOS: First of all, where is it? There it is, right here.	
21	Highlight	Haben.	
22	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
23	Q	Planning. You're on this planning document, right?	
24	А	Yes. I see my name.	
25	Q	Current shared savings revenue for ASO. And what is it in	

2019? What is this?	
А	It says 1.1 billion.
Q	And what's the objective; read it out loud.
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Highlight that, Michelle.
BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
Q	Will you please read it out loud? Read it out loud.
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Come on, highlight it again.
BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
Q	Spit all out.
А	Object
Q	Come on, I know you can.
	MR. BLALACK: Objection, Your Honor.
	THE WITNESS: I can do it, if you don't interrupt me.
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry.
	THE COURT: Objection sustained. Disregard the last
comment,	please.
BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
Q	My apology. Go ahead, Mr. Haben.
А	Are you ready?
Q	Yes, sir.
Α	"Objective: And created a UHC as ACO model, to contract
with client	s on total cost of care, and extract"
Q	Oh, stop.
Α	Can I did you want me to read the whole thing?
Q	No. I want you to stop right there.
	A Q BY MR. ZA Q BY MR. ZA Q A Q A Q A Q A with client Q A

A	Okay.
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, circle the word "extract." Keep
going.	
BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
Q	Go ahead, Mr. Haben.
А	l'Il start over.
Q	Yes, sir.
А	"Objective: Created a UHC as a ACO model, to contract with
clients on	a total cost of care, and extract economics through an
administra	ative fee. "
Q	And that was you all's plan, right? You'd play in the race, for
the total c	ost of care, and extract the economics through an
administra	ative fee, right?
А	You would have to ask Sarah, Randy Weinstock, and CBC.
Q	No. I'm asking the guy who's on the planning committee?
А	I'm not on that column.
Q	Did you look at this document, before it went up?
А	I don't recall the document.
Q	Well, you see the column that you are part of?
А	Yes.
Q	You're going to shift to the total cost of care story?
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Highlight that whole thing, Michelle.
BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
Q	OCM driven approach with reduction in shared savings
charge fro	om 35 percent, to be determined, and opportunity to run
	going. BY MR. ZZ Q A Clients on administra Q the total of administra A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A

0	
0	
∞	
œ	
œ	
- :	

1	additional	revenue based on total cost of care. Do you see that?
2	А	I do.
3	Q	Okay. So we're going we're going to charge a higher fee,
4	total cost c	of care, and we're now going to charge for this New Co, that's
5	positioned	as a third party. More, more, more. Right, Mr. Haben?
6	А	It doesn't say the position of New Co is a new third party.
7	a	Sir, this is the part that you're in charge of; do you see that?
8	This appli	ied fully insured rates and policies to the ASO clients, right?
9	А	Yeah. We felt that our ASO clients should have the benefit of
10	what fully	insured clients have.
11	Q	In other words, on the fully-insured side of the business, by
12	2019 you v	vere already doing this, right?
13	А	Clients were asking for a competitive medical cost reduction,
14	yes.	
15	Q	That's not my question, sir. By 2019, on the fully-insured
16	side, you v	vere already doing this, this total cost of care story, right?
17	А	I disagree, that's not applicable to fully insured.
18		MR. ZAVITSANOS: May I ask counsel if there is an objection
19	to 472? Ar	nd Your Honor, I believe this is also on Defendant's exhibit list.
20		THE COURT: They have initially objected based on
21	foundation	, according to my notes.
22		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yeah. This is also on their list.
23		MR. BLALACK: No, Your Honor. We're not going to object to
24	this one.	
25		THE COURT: Oh.
l	I	

1		MR. BLALACK: 472, you said?	
2		MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. It's already been admitted.	
3		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, it has?	
4		MR. ROBERTS: Yes.	
5		MR. BLALACK: So no objection.	
6		THE COURT: 472 is admitted.	
7		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 472 is admitted in evidence]	
8	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
9	Q	Okay. So	
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, highlight the three bullet	
11	points.		
12	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
13	Q	Mr. Haben, is this where United is headed?	
14	А	Which part?	
15	Q	All right. I thought I mean, this is this is where you're	
16	headed, ri	ght, 140 percent of Medicare, suggesting to your reasonable	
17	and custor	mary customers when they do review, and if they would insist	
18	of fair health, cap it at 50 percent, right? That's where we're headed,		
19	right, sir?		
20	А	I disagree with your characterization.	
21	Q	Okay. Well, you see where it says recommendations	
22	findings a	nd recommendations?	
23	А	I do.	
24	Q	Okay. I mean, there's talk about 140 percent of Medicare, 110	
25	percent of	Medicare, right?	
	ĺ		

1	A	I see those.	
2	Q	Down, down, down, while your profits go up, up, up, right,	
3	sir?		
4	А	I disagree.	
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, may I ask counsel if he has	
6	an object	ion to 270, please?	
7		MR. BLALACK: One second. No objection.	
8		THE COURT: All right. 270 will be admitted.	
9		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 270 admitted into evidence]	
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, pull up page 1. All right.	
11	Michelle, let's pull up this section here.		
12		THE WITNESS: Could I just take a quick peak, please?	
13		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir. Just let me know when you're	
14	ready.		
15		THE WITNESS: I will. Okay.	
16	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
17	Q	Okay. Go to the last page of this document.	
18		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Close that out, Michelle. Let's go to 270,	
19	page 12.	No, I need the whole org chart, please. Okay.	
20	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
21	Q	Who's at the top?	
22	А	Myself.	
23	Q	Okay. So now let's go back to page 1, the same document,	
24	Exhibit 27	70. And I'm jumping around a little bit. But this is this third	
25	bullet poi	nt is not the ASO business, it's the fully insured business,	

		,
2	А	That is correct.
3	Q	And this new program, this ENRB, where you're paying 15
4	percent of	the bill charge, has no member protection, right?
5	А	In this case, it's a reduction of we're paying between 30
6	and 20 per	cent. And the program has been in place for almost ten years
7	at that poi	nt.
8	Q	Well, you see where it says, "Minimal member noise?" You
9	see that?	
10	А	I do.
11	Q	Okay. Now, did you did you under your direction, did
12	you send a	a letter to all United members that were on the fully insured
13	plans to te	ell them that if you get balance billed, we'll pay it? Did you do
14	that?	
15	А	They get an EOB that says they can call if they have
16	questions	on the reimbursement.
17	Q	Sir, I'm not talking about this fine print that says, call the
18	office if yo	u have a question. My question is when you cut these rights
19	the way yo	ou did, did you notify the membership that if you get balance
20	billed, Uni	ted will pay for it?
21	А	There's a message on the EOB.
22	Q	That says that? That says what I just said?
23	А	I don't know specifically what it says. But to that point.
24	Q	Well, the jury can look at the EOB and see if that's on there.

meaning where you're acting as the insurer, right?

My question to you is separate and apart from the EOB. While you were

C)	
C)	
C	χ)	
Ċ	χ)	
Č	χ	Ò	
Č	5	٦	
	-	•	

1	ın charge,	the head man that we saw, you were at the top	
2	А	Uh-huh.	
3	Q	did you issue a directive to the members that a letter needs	
4	to go out	that clearly and simply says, if you get balance billed, United	
5	will pay fo	or it?	
6	А	No. There's no letter that goes out.	
7	Q	And so because there's minimal member noise, maybe	
8	people pa	y for it thinking they have to pay for it, what are you going to	
9	do?		
10	А	The State's approve these and allow us to do this.	
11	Q	The State of Nevada? Are you telling me the State of Nevada	
12	approved the CNPRP program?		
13	А	I'm talking in general. I don't operate the State of Nevada.	
14	Q	You don't know, do you, whether the State of Nevada has	
15	anything t	to do with the NRP?	
16	А	I do not. I thought you were asking me in general.	
17		MR. ZAVITSANOS: If there's long pauses of silence, it's	
18	because I	m skipping stuff, okay, that I think we've already covered.	
19		[Pause]	
20	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
21	Q	Pull up 344, please. So Mr. Haben	
22	А	Can I get it, please?	
23	Q	Yes, sir. Please.	
24		[Pause]	
25		MR. ZAVITSANOS: So Michelle, close this out. And let's pull	

1	out right h	nere, the bottom.
2	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
3	Q	Now, you seem to and if this is unfair, Mr. Haben, please
4	let me kno	ow. But I thought you gave me a number of I don't knows
5	when I wa	ns asking you for details or on project air streak. Do you know
6	how that	delays the show? It seems that according to this document,
7	you were	one of the ones with charges, right?
8	А	I think when you asked me, you asked me what that
9	individual	intended in that document, that word or word.
10		MR. BLALACK: I don't know what they attempted.
11	BY MR. B	LALACK:
12	Q	Yeah. I mean, according to this, John Haben was one of the
13	two peop	e tasked with this five-year roadmap critical initiative on
14	project aii	strength, right?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Page 2. And on page 2, again April 2019, right here.
17	You're go	ing to reduce the out-of-network restroom to part or below, do
18	you see th	nat? You see that?
19	А	I do. And emergency room physicians are the first movers.
20	Q	Oh, that's incorrect. I haven't
21	А	Excuse me, lab DME, and emergency room physicians are
22	the first m	novers, right?
23	А	That's what that says. Yes.
24	Q	Okay. That's the part of me that but
25		MR. BLALACK: They were the first movers.

25

Α

1	Q	Yes, sir. Okay. Let's parse that out with Horn? And this
2	sounds a li	ttle redundant. But it's from a different medic.
3	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
4	Q	And this sounds a little redundant, but it's a different
5	document.	Let's see. Owe affirmed it, page 5. Very quickly.
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here, Michelle. Problem gap
7	solution.	
8	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
9	Q	And it looks like one of the problems with the NRP and the
10	reason you	couldn't deploy it full scale is the member balance billing
11	risk. You see that?	
12	А	Yes, I do see that.
13	Q	Yes. And this NewCo, this Project Airstream, this what is
14	it? Anyway	, this NewCo is going to drive customer and revenue
15	retention, r	ight?
16	А	That's what that says.
17	Q	All right.
18	А	And NPS.
19	Q	Yeah. So by creating so you've cut you've cut the
20	reimburser	nent rates under ENRP. The member is now exposed to
21	balance bil	ling. And now these employers are going to have to pay a
22	surcharge f	or Naviguard to act as a supplement because of these deep
23	discounts,	to help avoid balance billing. That's what that says?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Okay. 478, is that in, Michael?

I would disagree with how you characterize that.

1	THE WITNESS: Which one?
2	THE COURT: 478.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me ask counsel first, Your Honor.
4	And if there is
5	THE COURT: Go ahead.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Well, let me let me look.
7	MR. BLALACK: Your Honor, I think we have a foundation and
8	authentication
9	THE COURT: You know, it's 3:25. Let's take our last break for
10	the afternoon.
11	To the members of the jury, do not talk with each other or
12	anyone else on any subject connected with the trial during this recess.
13	Don't read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial.
14	Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it or by any medium of
15	information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, radio,
16	internet, cell phones, or texting.
17	Do not conduct any research on your own relating to the
18	case. Don't consult dictionaries, use the internet, or use reference
19	materials. Don't post on social media, don't talk, text, Tweet, Google
20	issues, or conduct any other type of research with regard to any issue,
21	party, witness, or attorney involved in the case.
22	Most importantly, do not form or express any opinion on any
23	subject connected with the trial until the matter is submitted to you.
24	Let's be back sharp at 3:40. And then we'll be

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

C)	
()	
(χ)	
(χ)	
(χ	2	
(۷)	

2	[Jury out at 3:25 p.m.]
3	[Outside the presence of the jury]
4	THE COURT: The room is clear. Plaintiff, do you have
5	anything for the record?
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I do, Your Honor. And this 478 merits a
7	special discussion. And if I could where's Mr. Fineberg?
8	So Your Honor, this document was not produced by
9	UnitedHealthcare. We found this by accident. And I'm going to let Mr.
10	Fineberg respond to this or address this further. I will note, the request
11	for production's number 6, 7, 18, and 32, would make this document
12	responsive. And I do not think it is appropriate for them to lodge any
13	objection to this document in light of the fact that this was never
14	produced, and it is a very material document. I'm going to let Mr.
15	Fineberg explain how we found it.
16	MR. FINEBERG: Our clients found it in the ordinary course of
17	business through communications with payors. They were looking up,
18	researching, trying to understand how recent claims were being paid.
19	They were directed to Naviguard. Went on the internet, found the
20	website, located the document. That link is no longer active. That link
21	was found within the last week.
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And the link was taken down during the
23	course of this case.
24	MR. FINEBERG: We found the document. We have now
25	produced it to the other side and added it to our exhibit list

THE COURT: -- we'll go to 4:45 today.

1	MR. BLALACK: Okay. Your Honor
2	THE COURT: Are you prepared to respond? Because if you
3	want a chance to
4	MR. BLALACK: Well, I can respond with what I know, Your
5	Honor, which is the document that's listed on this exhibit list is Plaintiffs'
6	Exhibit 478, is I have no idea what it is. But it's labeled Naviguard sub-
7	funded internal talking points. And then at the bottom, it's dated
8	January 2021, internal only use. So I don't know who created it, where it
9	came from, whose possession it was. And it appears to be some kind of
10	internal document. But it wasn't something that we have. So I'm not
11	able to address authentication of it or foundation for it.
12	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And can we reply, Your Honor? Your
13	Honor, the very first can I approach?
14	THE COURT: Only if you show it in here.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: At the very top, Your Honor
16	THE COURT: Hang on. You're talking over each other.
17	MR. BLALACK: I believe I referenced January 2021. And
18	what I referenced is listed up there.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, no, no, no. That the rest of the
20	sentence about January 2021 is important. And here's what it says. This
21	is on the very first page, first sentence. "The latest updates are
22	highlighted in yellow as of January 4, 2021." There are very few
23	highlights on this document, meaning there was an earlier version.
24	Now, I do not intend to ask all of the highlights. But Your

Honor, this -- this is a document that unequivocally should have been

1	produced in response to the request for production.
2	THE COURT: Well, let's see where we get with the witness.
3	And we'll deal with it from there.
4	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
5	THE COURT: So it's 3:29. Please be back in 11 minutes.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor.
7	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: And we'll go to 4:45.
9	[Recess taken from 3:29 p.m. to 3:40 p.m.]
10	[Outside the presence of the jury]
11	THE COURT: Thanks, everyone. Please remain seated.
12	What I wanted to bring up off the record is that we are going four days
13	with Mr. Haben. It was represented it would be three. I'm not going to
14	let you and you're asking him questions in a repetitive way. I'm not
15	going to let you jam them up that the Defendant doesn't get to put their
16	case on.
17	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. I yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Let's bring in the jury.
19	MR. BLALACK: I think we need Mr. Haben, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Thanks, everybody, for being ready right at
21	3:40.
22	[Jury in at 3:42 p.m.]

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Plaintiff, please proceed.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please

1	the Court.	Okay, Mr. Haben, we're almost done. I think I have probably
2	30 to 40 minutes left.	
3	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
4	Q	All right. Okay. Can you please pull out Exhibit 478, please,
5	and take a	moment to look at that for yourself?
6	А	Okay.
7	Q	While you're looking at that, we just looked at a document
8	that identi	fied you as one of the people in charge of Project Naviguard,
9	right?	
10	А	The prior
11	Q	You said about this crew?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. Does this document appear to be an update of talking
14	points aro	und Naviguard?
15	А	I did not create this. I don't know who did. So I don't know if
16	I could ans	swer that question.
17	Q	Well, just read it to yourself. Does it appear to be an update
18	involving t	alking points about Naviguard?
19	А	It's a document that has talking points about Naviguard.
20	Q	Which is the project that you were in charge of, right?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And in looking at this, do you see anything in here
23	that seems	out of order or inconsistent with what you understood the
24	purpose of	f Naviguard was?
25	А	I have not read this whole document. I'm not familiar with it.

25

Α

Q

1	So I don't	you're asking me about the entire document?
2	Q	Yeah. So I'm just trying to finish on time, but if you need
3	time to loc	ok at it, my question is whether you see anything in there that
4	jumps out	at you that seems inconsistent with what you understood the
5	purpose o	f Naviguard was.
6	А	I haven't read it. It's a 15-page document with a lot of detail,
7	so I couldr	n't answer that question.
8	Q	Look at the section about why you all are developing
9	Naviguard	and just read that to yourself and tell me if that seems
10	consistent	with what the purposes of Naviguard were.
11	А	What's your question again about it?
12	Q	Yes, sir. My question is in looking at that section I just
13	directed ye	ou to, does that seem consistent with what you understood the
14	purpose o	f Naviguard was?
15	А	Yes.
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Your Honor, we move for the
17	admission	of 478.
18		MR. BLALACK: I object on authentication and foundation
19	grounds.	
20		THE COURT: You've got to lay a more thorough foundation.
21	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
22	Q	In looking at the description of what Naviguard is, Mr. Haben,
23	does that	seem consistent with what you understood Naviguard to be?

Is that the section above, What is Naviguard?

Yes. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

Α	Okay. Let me read it.
Q	Yes, sir.
	[Witness reviews document]
А	Okay. Can you ask your question again?
Q	Yes, sir. Does the section about what is Naviguard, does that
seem cons	sistent with what you understood Naviguard was going to be
while you	were in charge of it?
А	Yes.
	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I move for the admission of 478, Your
Honor.	
	THE COURT: You need to authenticate it, as well.
BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
Q	Does this identify that it is a Naviguard document, sir?
А	I don't know.
Q	Well, does it say up at the top, Naviguard ASO?
А	I'm sorry. It says Description of Naviguard ASO.
Q	Yes, sir.
Α	That's the header.
Q	Yes, sir. And look at page three. Are those folks that work
with Unite	d Healthcare?
Α	Which section? The SMEs and contacts?
Q	Yes, sir.
А	The first four, I believe, or three, are Naviguard individuals.
Q	All right.
А	The next two are United Healthcare E&I individuals.
	A Q seem cons while you A Honor. BY MR. ZA Q A Q A Q with Unite A Q A Q

ı	U	Okay.	
2	А	And the bottom three are UnitedHealthcare underwriting. Al	
3	of them are not part of my group.		
4	Q	All of them are?	
5	А	Are not part of my team.	
6	Q	Okay. But they appear to be under the United umbrella?	
7	А	Not all of them.	
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Your Honor, I move for the	
9	admission	n of 478.	
10		MR. BLALACK: I haven't changed our position, Your Honor.	
11		THE COURT: Good enough. Objection is overruled. He was	
12	in charge of the program. He's testified that it was not inconsistent, that		
13	it explained why Naviguard was developed, and at least most of the		
14	people listed on there were associated with the program. I find that		
15	there's a foundation and it's been authenticated.		
16		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 478 admitted into evidence]	
17	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
18	Q	Okay, Mr. Haben. I'll just try to this quickly as I can.	
19	А	Okay.	
20	Q	Okay. So this is a talking points memo and later in time.	
21	And it looks like at this point		
22		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you pull out this third	
23	bullet poi	nt?	
24	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
25	Q	Now, is it fair to say, Mr. Haben, that as of the time you left,	

1	which I think you said was August of this year?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	There were still a bunch of clients that had reasonable and
4	customary	y in their plans, right?
5	А	I don't know how many had.
6	Q	Well, there were some. Will you agree with me on that?
7	There was	S
8	А	There was more there was more than one.
9	Q	More than okay. Fair enough, sir. Okay. So one of the
10	things you were doing is the key account and by the way, key accoun	
11	is sometir	nes abbreviated KA, right?
12	А	That's correct.
13	Q	Okay. So if the jury sees KA in the documents, they'll that
14	means key account, right?	
15	А	Yeah, most likely.
16	Q	And NA means national account, right?
17	А	Yes, another abbreviation.
18	Q	Okay. So the key account and national account sales
19	strategy for Naviguard was to roll out and support E&I sales strategies	
20	by providing a better option for clients who have remained on	
21	reasonable and customary, right?	
22	А	I see that.
23	Q	Okay. So for those clients, at least one, in the ASO contacts
24	that remained on reasonable and customary, you were trying to sell	
25	Naviguard to basically do what MultiPlan used to do, right?	

c		5
Ć	Ξ	
(χ)
(χ)
(۷)
•	_	1

1	Α	That's incorrect.
2	Q	Okay. Well, let me just move on and I'm not going to go
3	through th	ne whole document, at least. Let me see if there's anything
4	else we ne	eed to cover here. Okay. Let's move on. All right. And like I
5	said, Mr. H	laben, if I'm quiet, that means I'm skipping stuff, all right?
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michael, is 360 in?
7		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: No.
8	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
9	Q	Okay. Mr. Haben, will you look at Exhibit 360, please?
10	А	Three six zero?
11	Q	Yes.
12		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And while you're doing that, let me ask
13	Counsel Your Honor, may I ask Counsel if there's an objection to 360?	
14		THE COURT: Yes.
15		MR. BLALACK: No objection, Your Honor.
16		THE COURT: All right, 360 will be admitted.
17		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 360 admitted into evidence]
18	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
19	Q	Okay. Mr. Haben, I'll just go through. I have a few loose
20	ends before we get to what's happening in the State of Nevada, okay?	
21	So 360 is an email. Let's pull up the top, please. And this is an email to	
22	you, amor	ng other people, right? And Ms. Paradise.
23	А	Yes, I believe so.
24	Q	Okay. So this appears to be a revenue
25		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Pull up the this section here, Michelle.
	I	

1	I want to see what the subject matter is.		
2	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
3	Q	The subject matter are national accounts, SSP. Is that shared	
4	savings p	rograms?	
5	А	I believe so.	
6	Q	Okay. And I think we said this earlier, but when we see SSP,	
7	sometime	es that means all the programs, right?	
8	А	It actually depends on the author of	
9	Q	Yes, sir. I understand.	
10	А	Because people get confused.	
11	Q	Yes, sir. Okay. And here, this says even though there is an	
12	SSP prog	ram, this is talking about all of them, right?	
13	А	I don't know what Craig's is actually covering.	
14	Q	Well, let's take a look real quick.	
15		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Close this off, Michelle. And from here	
16	to here, please.		
17	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
18	Q	And it looks like on these, on the plans that you still had as o	
19	this time in 2019, there were still some plans where you were earning a		
20	percentage of the shared savings, right? You still had some OCM client		
21	at this time?		
22	А	I believe so, yeah.	
23	Q	And what's going on here is that the revenues were down	
24	because there was a decrease in billed charges, right?		
25	А	Yes, caused by many factors.	

	Q	Okay. So billed charges are coming down and that's
affec	ting y	our revenue on the shared savings plans where you're getting
a pei	centa	ge of the savings, right?

A Yes.

- Q Let's go to page two. And one of the things that happened to cause the revenue to go down is that one of these out of network groups went in network, right?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And you lost \$42 million of revenue as a result.
 - A That is correct. And I was the negotiator on that contract.
 - Q Okay. So let's close that out and let's go to page four.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Michelle, let's -- simpler if you just pull out the whole thing. We'll just do this quickly. All the way up. Thank you.

BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:

- Q Okay. So let's do this quickly. So this is a memo going through all the factors why revenue is down from shared savings, right?
 - A I don't remember the context of all of this.
- Q Well, this is number 11, and the jury can go through the others. But I just want to point out a couple of things. You with me?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. So if we go to C, it says, "based on the data we have received from the out of network team, it appears that billed charges through April of 2019 are actually down seven percent versus the assumed eight percent increase. I believe this gap is driving a large

c	_	>
()
(χ)
(2)
()
)

1	percenta	ge of the projected miss." Do you see that?
2	А	l do.
3	Q	The "projected miss" being you had assumed you were
4	going to	make so much from these percentage of reduction, but because
5	the billed	I charge dropped, you were going to make less, right?
6	А	Yeah. I didn't make those assumptions. I believe Finance
7	made the	ose assumptions.
8	Q	Fair enough. But whoever did, that's kind of what it's saying
9	there, rig	ht?
10	А	I think so. I'm not sure.
11	Q	Okay. So and all I'm not going to go through it and read
12	all this. The jury can do this on their own time. It's Exhibit 360. But all	
13	of this stuff that's going on here	
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Right here, Michelle.
15	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
16	Q	is causing a significant drop. Right here. This is causing a
17	significar	nt drop in SSP revenue projection, right?
18	Α	Yes, that's what that says.
19	Q	Okay. So Mr. Haben, do you agree that while you are telling
20	the outsi	de world that the problem is that billed charges are too high,
21	internally	v, United is saying the problem is that billed charges are too
22	low?	
23	Α	I disagree. I can explain. There is
24	Q	No. No, sir. That's it's all right. You'll get a chance, I
25	promiso	Let's mayo an Okay

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michael, 378 is in, right?
2	MR. KILLINGSWORTH: It is not.
3	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, okay. Let me ask Counsel real quick
4	if he has Your Honor, may I ask Counsel if he has a
5	THE COURT: Yes. Sure.
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: objection?
7	THE COURT: Yes, please.
8	MR. BLALACK: One moment, Your Honor.
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?
10	MR. BLALACK: One moment.
11	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
12	MR. BLALACK: No objection, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Two I'm sorry, 378 will be admitted.
14	[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 378 admitted into evidence]
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Mr. Haben, I just needed to admit
16	it. We're not going to look through it. I think it's a little repetitive of what
17	we've talked about. Your Honor, may I ask Counsel if he has an
18	objection to 421, please?
19	THE COURT: You may.
20	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thanks, Your Honor.
21	MR. BLALACK: Court's indulgence. One second. No
22	objection, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: 421 will be admitted.
24	[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 421 admitted into evidence]
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Mr. Haben, likewise, this one is a

1	little repeti	tive. But I just want to identify one thing just so we get a little
2	clarity. Mi	chelle, will you pull out the bottom fourth. "And the following
3	benefits pr	ogram adoption."
4	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
5	Q	Okay. So this is a document entitled Medical Cost Reduction
6	Best Practi	ces. Do you see that?
7	А	I do.
8	Q	Okay. So I'm not going to go through it. I just want to ask
9	one thing.	There's a sentence here that says, "Perform continuous
10	evaluation	and reduction of out of network reimbursement levels for SG
11	and KA-FI.	" Do you see that?
12	А	I do.
13	Q	SG stands for what?
14	А	Small group.
15	Q	Small group.
16	А	That's fully insured, by the way.
17	Q	And right. Hold on. Small group. And KA is key accounts?
18	А	Yes. Key accounts says fully insured and ASO. This is the
19	fully insure	ed side.
20	Q	Okay. So let me just make sure we're clear here. SG is small
21	groups, KA	A is key accounts, FI is fully insured, right?
22	Α	Yes. They're both fully insured.
23	Q	All right. Okay.
24		MR. ZAVITSANOS: You can take that down, Michelle.
25	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:

C	>
Ç	>
~	Ω
-	9
۲	$\frac{3}{2}$
·	~

1	Q	Do you all have an ink budget in United? Is that why you use	
2	all these acronyms? Okay. Let me move on.		
3	А	It is a science of its own.	
4	Q	Yes, sir. Okay.	
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michael, is 379 in? It is, right? Yes.	
6		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: Yes, it's in.	
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: It is. Okay.	
8	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
9	Q	Okay. Now, Mr. Haben, will you please let me	
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Let me ask	
11	Counsel, if I may, on 478.		
12		THE COURT: Yeah. It's in.	
13		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Oh, that's in. That's in.	
14		MR. BLALACK: That's in. Are we on 379, Your Honor? I'm	
15	lost.		
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm on I just need to get a bunch of	
17	documents admitted. So 478 I think has been admitted.		
18		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: Yes.	
19		THE COURT: 478 is admitted.	
20		MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right. So here's what I'd like to do.	
21	Let's put ι	ıp all right. So here's what I'd like to do. I want to put up	
22	Exhibit 46	4, which we looked at a little while ago.	
23		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: 464 has not been admitted.	
24		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry?	
25		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: 464 has not been admitted.	
	ı		

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, may I ask Counsel if he has
2	an objection	on to 464?
3		THE COURT: You may. Yes.
4		MR. BLALACK: No objection, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: 464 will be admitted.
6		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 464 admitted into evidence]
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
8		MR. BLALACK: Counsel, this is an AEO document, so
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes. I'm not going to get opinion on this.
10		MR. BLALACK: Okay.
11		MR. ZAVITSANOS: All right. Let's put up, Michelle, 464,
12	page 1 nex	xt to 478, page 14.
13		THE WITNESS: Page what?
14		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Page 14.
15		MR. BLALACK: Counsel Your Honor, could I provide
16	counsel th	is version?
17		THE COURT: Yes.
18		MR. BLALACK: This just may help capture it, if you need it.
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. I'm not going to need that.
20		MR. BLALACK: Fair enough.
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. So Mr. Haben Michelle, let's pull
22	this part o	ut here, talking about OCM. Just this column here.
23	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
24	Q	I think everybody's got it, but OCM is Data iSight, right?
25	Α	Yes. I believe so.

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, will you please highlight this,	
2	"member proprietary reference-based pricing".		
3	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
4	Q	And that's what we talked about before the break, right? The	
5	Data iSigh	nt is a vendor proprietary reference-based pricing, right?	
6	А	That is correct.	
7	Q	And when you set up Naviguard	
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, pull up this number two. And	
9	let's put it	I want to compare number two, which is the Naviguard	
10	system, c	ompared to the Data iSight system. Can you move it down,	
11	please?		
12		THE WITNESS: Which document is this one in?	
13	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:	
14	Q	This is 478. Okay. So let's see if we can figure this out. So	
15	when it co	omes to Data iSight, the way you were pitching it was that it	
16	was a pro	prietary reference-based pricing, right?	
17	А	Data iSight is a proprietary reference-based pricing.	
18	Q	And then, when you created Naviguard to replace Data	
19	iSight, wh	at you called it was that the pricing is based on proprietary	
20	reimburse	ement logic, situation factors, industry benchmarks, and	
21	geograph	ically adjusted. Highlight that whole sentence there. Right?	
22	That soun	ds identical to what we saw about Data iSight, right?	
23	А	It is not, though.	
24	Q	It sounds similar? Will you agree with that?	
25	А	No. I don't agree with that.	

1	Q	Is what happened here that you replaced one Wizard of Oz	
2	with another?		
3		MR. BLALACK: Object to form. Argumentative.	
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me rephrase, Your Honor.	
5		THE COURT: Objection sustained.	
6		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me rephrase.	
7	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
8	Q	Is what you did, Mr. Haben, when you figured out that Data	
9	iSight was	just pulling out a number and doing what you wanted, you	
10	just used t	he same language to promote Naviguard?	
11	Α	I disagree. I can explain it.	
12	Q	No, sir. Okay. Almost done, Mr. Haben. Let me just put this	
13	back, pleas	se.	
14	Α	Are you done with those documents?	
15	Q	We're done with this document. Yes, sir. Okay.	
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, can I ask Counsel if he has	
17	an objection	on to 467?	
18		MR. BLALACK: Yes, we do, Your Honor. Foundation.	
19		THE COURT: Okay.	
20		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And Your Honor	
21		MR. BLALACK: And also, Your Honor, may we approach on	
22	this one, Y	our Honor?	
23		THE COURT: You may.	
24		MR. BLALACK: Very good. Okay.	
25		[Sidebar at 4:07 p.m., ending at 4:08 p.m., not transcribed]	

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, may I confer with Mr.
2	Leyendecl	ker for one second, so I don't forget.
3		THE COURT: You may.
4		[Counsel confer]
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: May I proceed, Your Honor?
6		THE COURT: Yes.
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	BY MR. Z	AVITSANOS:
9	Q	Okay. Let's talk about Nevada now and how this state fits
10	into every	thing we've just talked about, okay?
11	А	Okay.
12	Q	All right. And this is this is my final point. All right. So
13	Exhibit 66	we looked at it some time ago.
14	А	Can I go get it, please?
15	Q	Yes, sir.
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And Your Honor, while Mr. Haben is
17	reviewing	that, may I ask counsel, please, if he's opposed to the
18	admissior	n of 462 and 426, which are
19		MR. BLALACK: 462 and 4
20		THE COURT: 26.
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: 426.
22		MR. BLALACK: 26. Yes, Your Honor, foundation on both of
23	those.	
24		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.
25		THE COURT: Okay.

008908	

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'll get to it, Your Honor.
2	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
3	Q	All right. Let's start here. So this we've looked at this
4	earlier. Le	et's go to page 2.
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And, Michelle, please pull out the first
6	few parag	raphs. Okay.
7	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:
8	Q	This is the document, Mr. Haben, that talked about we will
9	continue t	his growth by advancing our already industry leading gross
10	margins b	y \$5 PMPM, while continuing well, do you see that?
11	А	I do.
12	Q	And that's what you wrote down here in 2016, right?
13	А	I see that.
14	Q	Okay. And this is a 2017 business plan. It was prepared in
15	2016.	
16	А	I believe
17	Q	Right?
18	А	I believe it was.
19	Q	All right. Now let's go to Exhibit 462. And remember we
20	looked at	documents talking about the West Region that you were
21	involved i	n?
22	А	I was involved with?
23	Q	Well, remember the document that had your name talking
24	about a m	eeting involving the West Region?
25	А	You're going to have to refresh my memory.

_		
C)
C)
C	χ)
C	C)
C)
r	r	`

1	Q	I think it was yesterday. Let me ask it this way. The West
2	Region inc	ludes the State of Nevada, right?
3	А	Yes, it does.
4	Q	Okay. And this document here, 462, if we does it talk about
5	financial pe	erformance in the West Region, which includes Nevada?
6	Α	I am not familiar with this document, nor did I write it.
7	Q	I understand, Mr. Haben. My question is in looking at this
8	document,	which was produced by United in this case, if you will look at
9	in fact, le	t's do this, sir. Let's go to Exhibit 423. Is 423 in, Michael?
10		THE COURT: I don't think so.
11	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
12	Q	I don't need to admit it. I just need you to go to 423. Look at
13	the cover.	
14	А	Let me get it, please.
15	Q	Yes.
16		MR. KILLINGSWORTH: 423 is not in.
17		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.
18		THE CLERK: 423 is not in.
19		THE COURT: 423.
20		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm just going to use it to refresh his
21	recollection	n, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: Thank you.
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I don't need to admit it.
24	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
25	Q	Do you see that Ms. Paradise -
	1	

1	Α	Hold on.
2	Q	Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Paradise reported to you?
3	А	Yes, she did.
4	Q	And do you see that Ms. Paradise was involved in this non-
5	par out-of-	network meeting on October 17, 2019, involving the West
6	Region, w	hich includes the State of Nevada?
7	А	I see that she's in this meeting.
8	Q	And she reported to you during this time?
9	А	Yes, she did.
10	Q	Okay. Now let's go back to 462, which is the document I was
11	asking you	about. That's the same West Region that Ms. Paradise was
12	involved in	n that meeting, that we just looked at right?
13	А	The West Region?
14	Q	Yes, same West Region, right?
15	А	Yes, Becky was asked to come with me.
16	Q	Okay. Which again includes the State of Nevada, right?
17	А	West Region includes the State of Nevada.
18	Q	And if you turn please to page 23, and page 26, on the right
19	hand side	of the page, do you see this is talking about the financial
20	performan	ce in the State of Nevada?
21	А	Which part on 26?
22	Q	Okay. Let's go I gave you two pages. That wasn't fair. Let
23	me do it this way. Let's go to page 23 first. And do you see there's a	
24	heading?	I'm not going to read it, up at the top. Do you see the State of
25	Nevada m	entioned?

_
0
0
∞
Ó
$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$
\rightarrow

1	Α	The abbreviation for Nevada is at the top.	
2	Q	Yes, sir, NV, right?	
3	А	A Yes.	
4	Q	Okay. And then we go to page 26. And do you see that the	
5	fourth bull	et point on that document in the text on the side of the page	
6	А	In the orange or in the blue?	
7	Q	In the I'm a little colorblind, Mr. Haben. I think that's	
8	orange.		
9	А	Upper right?	
10	Q	Yes, sir. Do you see that mentions the State of Nevada and	
11	the financial performance for the State of Nevada?		
12	А	I'm sorry, which bullet, the fourth?	
13	Q	The I'm sorry, sir, the fourth bullet, yes, sir, from the top.	
14	The first line.		
15	А	It's got referenced Nevada in the fourth bullet.	
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Your Honor, we move for the	
17	admission	of 462.	
18		MR. BLALACK: Object to the foundation, Your Honor. The	
19	witness ha	s never seen it, didn't write it, didn't participate in developing	
20	it. The fact that it references the State of Nevada doesn't establish a		
21	foundation	1.	
22		THE COURT: Lay more foundation.	
23	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:	
24	Q	Yes. The people on the front page are employees of	
25	UnitedHea	Ithcare; is that correct?	

1	Α	On 462, I believe so.
2	Q	And one of the things UnitedHealthcare was doing not only
3	in 2019, bu	ut every year, is measuring the financial performance of a
4	number of	f programs, including the out-of-network programs for the
5	different r	egions, right?
6	А	I don't know if they were measuring the out-of-network
7	programs	by region.
8	Q	Well, you were measuring performance, region by region,
9	right?	
10	А	I was not.
11	Q	Well, your company was, sir.
12	А	The company was.
13	Q	Yes, sir. And this document that we're looking at right now
14	is talking a	about the financial performance in the West Region, which
15	includes tl	ne State of Nevada, right?
16	А	I believe it does.
17	Q	Yes. And all four of these people on the front are United
18	employees	s, right?
19	А	I don't know Tammy, and I don't know I don't know
20	Tammy.	
21	Q	Do you know the other three? Derrick Daniels, Sachin Shah.
22	А	Sachin Shah. I know
23	Q	Sachin Shah.
24	А	I know who they are.
25	Ω	Okav.

1	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, we move for the admission	
2	of 462.	
3	MR. BLALACK: Same position, Your Honor. The fact that the	
4	witness knows some people who work for United that are referenced in	
5	the document doesn't lay a foundation in our opinion.	
6	THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 462 will be	
7	admitted.	
8	[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 462 admitted into evidence]	
9	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
10	Q Okay. Now I need to do this in two stages. This is the first	
11	stage is this document, and then we're going to talk about one other	
12	document, and then I think I'm done. Okay. All right. That's the West	
13	Region, right?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q And there's the great State of Nevada?	
16	A Yep. Yes.	
17	O Okay. And if we go to page 23 excuse me, let's go to page	
18	26. And let's pull out	
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, will you please pull out, you	
20	see those bullets on the side in orange? Pull out the bottom, fourth the	
21	fourth one from the top, please.	
22	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:	
23	O Okay. Primary year over year internal operating income	
24	pressure. Status from our top two outperforming markets in '19,	
25	California and Nevada, right?	

0
Ō
Ω
Ó
$\overline{}$
4

1	Α	That's what that says.
2	Q	Okay. So the best financial performers, the most margin in
3	the west w	as California and Nevada, according to this, right?
4	А	I am not a finance person. I did not write it. I don't know
5	what they r	mean.
6	Q	Well, let's go to page 23, please.
7		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, please pull out the top
8	paragraph	only, with the heading.
9	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
10	Q	Our 2019 performance delivering on commitments what
11	went right.	Do you see that?
12	А	I do.
13	Q	Okay. In 2019, internal operating income 99 million favorable
14	to budget.	Meaning you made 99 million more than you had budgeted,
15	right?	
16	Α	Again, I'm not a finance person, I did not write it. I don't
17	know what they mean.	
18	Q	Sir, 99 million favorable to budget, does that not mean to
19	you 99 million more than you expected?	
20	А	I'm assuming that's what it means to them, but I don't know.
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And Michelle, highlight the stuff inside
22	the parenth	nesis. And what states drove that windfall?
23		MR. BLALACK: Objection to that characterization.
24		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me rephrase.
25	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
	1	

0
0
∞
9
\rightarrow
5

1	Q	What states drove this \$99 million favorable to budget?	
2	А	It says driven by California, Nevada, Colorado.	
3	Q	All right. Now let's look at one last document. Let's go	
4	please to -	- can you please get 426? And take a moment to look at that to	
5	yourself, p	lease. And if you could, please turn to page 5, and tell me if	
6	this again	deals with the West Region.	
7	А	It says the West Region.	
8	Q	And is that Dan is Dan Rosenthal mentioned on this	
9	document?		
10	А	Yes, he is.	
11	Q	Was he your boss?	
12	А	Not at that time, no.	
13	Q	Was he the CEO according to this document?	
14	А	Of the West Region, yes.	
15	Q	Okay. And this is another financial performance report for	
16	2019, just like the one we saw?		
17	А	I don't know what it is. I've never seen it before.	
18	Q	Well, look at the first page, sir. Excuse me, the second page.	
19	Does it appear to be a Summit of the West Region that took place in		
20	October 2019?		
21	А	That's what it says.	
22	Q	And if you will turn, please, to and the West Region	
23	obviously	includes Nevada, right?	
24	А	Yes.	
25	Q	And this is the same year this is the same year in the	

0
0
õ
Ó
9

1	document we just looked at about California, Nevada, and I think		
2	Colorado exceeding the budget		
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	right?	
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	Okay. Would you please turn to page 12? Does that have	
7	financial r	esults for the West Region, which includes Nevada for 2019, up	
8	until that p	point?	
9	А	That says West Region financial results. Again	
10		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, I move for the admission of	
11	426, please.		
12		MR. BLALACK: Object to the foundation, Your Honor. The	
13	witness didn't write it, hasn't seen I, wasn't part of the West Region,		
14	didn't work for this business. That's enough.		
15		THE COURT: You'll have to lay a little bit more additional	
16	foundation.		
17		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
18	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
19	Q	Okay. Would you please turn to page 8, sir? Are you there?	
20	Okay. I do	on't know how many this is, but it's about somewhere between	
21	20 to 30 individuals. Are all of those individuals United employees?		
22	А	I don't know for a fact that they are or not.	
23	Q	Are some of them United employees?	
24	А	Yes.	
25	Q	Okay. The next page, page 9. Mr help me with this	
	I		

0
0
∞
Ö
ュ
~ I

1	pronunciation again.	
2	А	Sachin Shah.
3	Q	Sachin Shah. Is he a United employee?
4	А	Yes, he is.
5	Q	Was he the Chief Financial Officer for the West Region?
6	А	Yes, he was.
7	Q	Does this appear to be a report by Sachin Shah and Dan
8	Rosenthal regarding the financial performance of the West Region for	
9	2019?	
10	А	I don't know. I have never seen this before.
11	Q	Does it well, do you see the page we just left off, on page
12	12, does this appear to be the West Region Financial Result for 2019?	
13	А	That's what it says.
14	Q	Okay. Any reason to doubt that this is a United document?
15	А	No.
16	Q	Okay.
17		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, I move for the admission
18	of excuse me, Your Honor, 426.	
19		MR. BLALACK: Stand on our objection, Your Honor.
20		THE COURT: Objection is overruled. 426 will be admitted.
21		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 426 admitted into evidence]
22	BY MR. ZA	VITSANOS:
23	Q	Okay. Now
24		MR. BLALACK: Counsel Your Honor, I just wanted to ask
25	counsel th	is also, is one of the AEO documents. So depending on what
	I	

1	you want to do, I wanted to		
2	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay, let me I'll do it the way we've		
3	done it, okay.		
4		MR. BLALACK: Okay. Just let me know, and I can help you.	
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, sir, okay.	
6	BY MR. ZA	AVITSANOS:	
7	Q	All right. So let's orient ourselves here. We just looked at a	
8	document	that said that the top performers in the West Region were	
9	California, Nevada and Colorado, right?		
10	А	I believe	
11	Q	For 2019?	
12	А	I believe so, yes.	
13	Q	2019 was at the tail end of the five year period that I began	
14	your exam	nination with, right?	
15	А	I believe so, yes.	
16	Q	So let's see what the result was of this five year timetable	
17	we've been talking about in the West Region that includes the State of		
18	Nevada, okay?		
19	А	Okay.	
20	Q	Let's go to page 12, please.	
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you flip that? Okay.	
22	Michelle, I need you to pull out this part here.		
23	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
24	Q	Okay. So in terms of expansion in the West Region, in 2019,	
25	the budge	t was negative 36 cents per member per member, right?	

	\supset
	⊃
C	α
C	0
_	_
	_

1		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Michelle, can you highlight that at the	
2	bottom?		
3	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
4	Q	Right, sir?	
5	А	That's what that says.	
6	Q	Okay, that's what	
7	А	It's per member per month. You said per member per	
8	member.		
9	Q	I'm sorry. Thank you, sir. Per member per month. The 2020	
10	budget. In 2019, the growth exceeded by \$23.93 per member per		
11	month, right?		
12	Α	I don't know what that means. So I can't answer that	
13	question.		
14	Q	Right, sir?	
15	Α	I don't know what that means. I didn't write this.	
16	Q	Well, what it says is, I mean you blew the doors off what you	
17	did in '16, in the West Region, right?		
18		MR. BLALACK: Objection. Foundation.	
19		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Let me rephrase, Your Honor.	
20	BY MR. ZAVITSANOS:		
21	Q	You exceeded, and you were ahead of everybody by \$5	
22	nationally	in '16. But in '19, almost five times as much, led by what you	
23	all were do	oing in this state, right, Mr. Haben?	
24	Α	I have no context. You all will have to ask Dan.	
25		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Pass the witness, Your Honor.	

THE COURT: All right. So do you wish to begin cross examination or are you going to wait for your case-in-chief?

MR. BLALACK: Your Honor, I'll take guidance from you. We can get started now. We'll need to clean up the obstacle course before we start. But in addition to that, I think we probably have a handful of housekeeping measures. So if you want to let the jurors go, Your Honor, and then we can start fresh.

THE COURT: All right. So it sounds like we're going to let you guys go a little early tonight, which is a treat, given the fact that we're now driving home in the dark.

So during this recess don't talk with anyone else or each other about any subject connected with the trial. Don't read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, internet, cellphones or texting.

Don't conduct any research on your own relating to the case. You can't consult dictionaries, use internet or use reference materials. Don't post on social media, don't talk, text Tweet, Google, or conduct any other type of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in the case.

Most importantly, do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the matter is submitted to you.

Thank you for your attention all day. Have a great day. See you tomorrow. I'll bring the lawyers in at 9:15, so we can be prompt at 9:30.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Jury out at 4:30 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLALACK: Two things, Your Honor. I wanted to -- oh, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: The room is clear.

MR. BLALACK: The first item I want to raise, Your Honor, in relation to deposition transcripts that will be presented during the case. And I'm going to give you a really trial level summary, and then I'm going to ask Mr. Levine to explain it in more detail, so the Defense can respond. I mean, to cut to the chase of what I'm going to ask for, and then he can explain why.

It is my expectation that all the work we've been doing to designate, counter-designate, objections, that process has been going on for a [indiscernible]. It is going to result in a substantial revision of all of that work.

THE COURT: Substantial what?

MR. BLALACK: Revision because it is inconceivable that all that evidence is going to be offered. I think Mr. Levine will explain why. And what's going to happen is that my colleagues on the other side are going to substantially reduce what it is they previously designated to the Court that have been offered down to something dramatically less. I expect if they did not, we've got a different conversation that I'm going to talk about in a minute.

Assuming that happens, we're going to then be faced with the prospect of responding to a new set of designations and reevaluating our prior objections and what we had planned for this. So, in other words, we're going to have to go back and kind of redo some of that work. If that's unavoidable, we're going to do it. What I object to is any situation in the course of the trial where that happens, I want 24 hour notice, the night before the transcript has to be read because once we get their final final designations, we have to then do our work to decide what's our final position is going to be on objections and counterdesignations, and that's got to be presented to Your Honor, and Your Honor has to rule, and here any argument, and then there has to be cutting of the tape.

That's going to be a process that's going to require a couple three days from the time we get the final word when something is going to be played. And what I don't want to have happen is get jammed with something that's presented to us at 5:00 on the night before they want to play it, and we have to scramble to get something done by the next morning, and then we're trying to resolve objections and cutting in order to meet the schedule for the trial.

So to avoid that outcome, I'm going to give you the punch line now, and then I'll ask Mr. Levine to explain more in detail. What we're asking is give us three days before any -- the final designation before they're going to be played, three days in advance so we have a chance to review the new target, respond, and then we can present everything to Your Honor in time for you to rule. But we still have time

to orderly cut the videos when it needs to be played, but not have things
be done overnight. And with that introduction, I'll ask Mr. Levine to give
you just a little more context.

THE COURT: Well, and tell me, how many people do you have working on your team?

MR. BLALACK: We have six --

THE COURT: I'm going to be there are 20 on each side --

MR. BLALACK: -- six or seven -

THE COURT: -- full time.

MR. BLALACK: -- to eight, something like that.

THE COURT: You don't have others working remotely full time on the case?

MR. BLALACK: Not full time. I mean, I'm calling on other lawyers too for sure, Your Honor, but they're not all doing deposition designations. And, in fact, most of those lawyers spent a good deal of time in the last month to get to the point we are now, which is to designate and review the designations. And what I'm worried about is we're about to redo a lot of the work. So I'll Mr. Levine explain.

MR. LEVINE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. And just to give you a sense of the scope, last Wednesday -- it was actually Thursday, after midnight on Wednesday, when we broke for the four day weekend, Plaintiffs filed their designations. We also filed earlier on Wednesday our designations, including objections to the affirmative designations and counters. Plaintiffs' designations were from 32 different transcripts, 24 different witnesses. We spent substantial time objecting to those

transcripts -- to do those designations, excuse me, and the sort of counter-designations. Then Plaintiffs had objections to those counters.

That was the process we laid out. We agreed that we would file those things last Wednesday. Those were supposed to be the final designations, and then at some point we were going to schedule time with Your Honor to address the objections. And after that, if they were addressed, then the video can be cut.

THE COURT: What I normally do is just take your objections and give you rulings. We don't argue it.

MR. LEVINE: That would be fine as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. LEVINE: That would be fine as well. But we cannot cut the video --

THE COURT: I got it.

MR. LEVINE: -- until Your Honor rules on those things -- on those objections. And, as we understood Your Honor wanted to have the Plaintiffs' play their appropriate designations at one point, then the counters be played afterwards at another point. So assuming those are the final designations and Your Honor rules on the objections, I think would be fine.

Last night we received as to one of those 32 transcripts what we'll call the final designations, which deleted 166 line items from the table for that one witness and added 23 line items of designations for that one witness. And I don't mean lines of the transcript, I mean excerpts that were on a table of excerpts. And while one is not a big

deal, if that's all it is. If it's one, we can adjust, and I think they wanted to play that on Friday, and we can, in that time period look at what they've done, determine whether based on what they've deleted if we need to counter with anything they deleted. Based on what they've added, determine whether we want to object to anything they added, submit it Your Honor to rule, and then I think we will have time to cut the video as the process calls for.

That's fine. If it's going to be happening on a broader scale, we need a protocol in place giving some order, and efficiency, and fairness to this, frankly, so we can proceed accordingly. So that's the issue and that's why we're raising it to Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. And the spokesperson.

MR. MCMANIS: Yes, Your Honor. Jason McManis. Let me start first with the 32 witness issue. You heard counsel say that these designations have been going on for over a month now, I think. The reason we had 32 witnesses is at the time the subpoena issue was unresolved. We had to preserve our ability to be able to call witnesses if they're not going to be here. Obviously, Your Honor, if we could have live witnesses here, that's our preference. And so that's the reason for the initial broad scope of those designations.

As to the designations that were sent last night, I think you just heard the numbers, 166 of the revisions were deletions from the original designations. And the reason for that is we have a tight time schedule. They were up here yesterday telling Your Honor that we needed to move things along more quickly, we needed to streamline

things down so that they had an opportunity to present their case.

We want to avoid repetition. We want to cut down any depositions to only that which needs to be played and not simply the same things that have been covered by a live witness here on the stand. So absolutely, we're going to continue to cut down and streamline those designations. And I don't think cutting out substantial portions really adds a significant workload to either side.

THE COURT: So you have 32 witnesses designated. How many are actually going to testify?

MR. MCMANIS: I think we're probably looking somewhere between 3 to 5 max.

MR. LEYENDECKER: Yeah, less than half a dozen, Your Honor.

MR. MCMANIS: Yeah. Three to five.

MR. LEYENDECKER: In light of the way things are going and our colleague who loves to hear his own voice, we're cutting. So my guess is half a dozen or less.

THE COURT: All right. Can you give us a definitive answer tomorrow of what -- that preference? You know, the most important witnesses and down, and when you can get them knew designations.

MR. MCMANISL Yes, we can. So if I could -- yes, we can, Your Honor. And if I could address the new designations issue. The, quote/unquote, "new designations that were added they really fall into two primary categories. One is an example of there was already a Q and A designated about an exhibit, but the line saying here's the document,

you know, marked as Exhibit 13, wasn't part of the original admissions.
And so there was cleanup to make sure that you can actually understand
what's going on.

And then the other category is really specific to the witness that we sent last night, Mr. Rosenthal. And that had to do with the argument that Your Honor heard yesterday with respect to the Yale study, the foundation objections, and our ability to prove up those documents through Mr. Rosenthal. They are directly in response to that argument, Your Honor.

And so those are the -- that's the only reason that we had any new designations. I think, otherwise, everything that was in there it was significantly turned down and no new subjects are being added to any of the designations.

THE COURT: Okay. So you'll have an update for us tomorrow.

MR. MCMANIS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. And in reply please, Mr. Levine.

MR. LEVINE: That should work well. I mean what we're looking for is a fair and efficient process, and give advance notice, and we're able to see --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LEVINE: -- the five or six witnesses who they intend to designate tomorrow. And if they're going to revise the designations, let us know that --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LEVINE: -- so that we can adjust accordingly and --

THE COURT: You've got a crack [phonetic] tech team -- you have a crack tech team. I don't see why it would take three days, but we do have Thursday as a holiday. So when you get the report tomorrow, that should give you a chance Thursday to pare things down or redesignate, if necessary.

Now to give you guys an update from the Chief Judge, I get texts on my Fitbit, so she's not crazy about us working on a Saturday, because there just isn't enough security in the building, and she likes the idea of having a schedule to work overtime in the evenings. So I'm going to ask you guys to talk about that, give me some ideas tomorrow. Because my staff -- I have one person on vacation. So I just have to make sure that we can do that without too much inconvenience to the court staff across the board. Okay.

MR. BLALACK: Well, thank you, Your Honor. And we would -- we'll confer on that. We would certainly welcome more time in the day --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. BLALACK: -- if it's available.

THE COURT: Well, you know, I can try to get my calendars covered next week. I've already done a preliminary review of them because with Business Court things can't go just anywhere. So I'm working on my end as well.

MR. BLALACK: Well, thank you, Your Honor. And I just -- I do think I need to make my record if I can.

1	THE COURT: Of course.
2	MR. BLALACK: And I do think notwithstanding Mr.
3	Zavitsanos' valiant efforts to get done as fast as possible, in light of how
4	things have played out, I think and I haven't broken the news to Mr.
5	Haben yet, but I think it's unlikely he finishes tomorrow, assuming
6	there's going to be redirect.
7	So, you know, I just think we're in a situation where unless
8	something dramatic happens, and I don't see how unless they're
9	going to have to really pare down their case for us to be able to put on
10	our defense in the time we have. So I'm going to, again, state my
11	concern about the pace. I'll come back and revisit the question of a clock
12	on Friday or at the end of the day, Wednesday after we finish or make
13	progress with Mr. Haben.
14	THE COURT: Right.
15	MR. BLALACK: But if we're if it's Friday morning, and we
16	haven't gotten to our second witness yet, after two weeks of trial, I think
17	we're going to be in a difficult spot.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Brief response, Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: Yeah.
21	MR. ZAVITSANOS: So, Your Honor, last night we eliminated
22	three witnesses we were going to call based on
23	THE COURT: Have you notified them?
24	MR. ZAVITSANOS: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ZAVITSANOS:	No, this is our internal
THE COURT: Okav.	

MR. ZAVITSANOS: -- secret list. So we've cut three off.

And, Your Honor, I know Your Honor has heard me say this now a
number of times, I just -- I beg the Court's indulgence to wait until the
second witness gets on and --

THE COURT: Who will be your second witness?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Rebecca Paradise. And, Your Honor, I think you're going to see a dramatic change of pace. And so it's going to be real fast. And I recommit to Your Honor, that we're going to finish by the time that we set with enough time for the Defendants to put on their full defense. I'm very confident. I mean, we, I think, got from Mr. Haben a substantial amount of the information that we need for the UnitedHealthcare side.

Now we have the witness that's going to be for Health Plan of Nevada and Sierra, Your Honor, you can put me on the clock on that. I'm not going to take more than two hours.

THE COURT: I'm going to hold you to it.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And where are you on jury instructions, because I have both sets. At some point, and it should be early next week, you should tell me the agreed version, and we'll set a time to argue the --

MR. BLALACK: Your Honor, I believe we have met and conferred on some group of instructions, which there is a joint

agreement -
-

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLALACK: -- and a vast majority of the substantive ones that are in dispute. But I think we have some [indiscernible].

THE COURT: We're going to tackle that early next week.

MR. BLALACK: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MCMANIS: Your Honor, can I clarify one thing?

THE COURT: Yes, of course.

MR. MCMANIS: On the depositions, we should have -- we should be able to give them notice to get through next Monday at least by tomorrow, and we'll continue to work on that for whoever those [indiscernible].

THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. What else.

MS. LUNDVALL: Your Honor, one last point as far as our jury instructions. Opposing is counsel is correct, there has been efforts of meeting and conferring to try to agree to a set that we can present that would be agreed upon, but also the disputed. And there are also supplemental instructions then that are going to be tendered to the Court based upon the evidence that been admitted thus far.

THE COURT: Good enough.

MS. LUNDVALL: Which is standard and everything.

MR. BLALACK: And I would expect that would happen with us as well, Your Honor.

- 208 -

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

1	MR. BLALACK: Not from us, Your Honor. Thank you.						
2	THE COURT: Then everybody stay safe and healthy. See						
3	you tomorrow. Lawyers be here at 9:15.						
4	MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.						
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.						
6	THE COURT: Thanks.						
7	[Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.]						
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the						
22	best of my ability.						
23	Xinia B. Cahill						
24	Maukele Transcribers, LLC						
25	Jessica B. Cahill, Transcriber, CER/CET-708						

008933	
--------	--

Electronically Filed 11/12/2021 9:57 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

_

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

. •

19

20

21

22

23

2425

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DISTRICT COURT

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIS) LTD., ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL.,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE NANCY ALLF DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2021

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - DAY 10

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs:

JOHN ZAVITSANOS, ESQ. JASON S. MCMANIS, ESQ. JOSEPH Y. AHMAD, ESQ. KEVIN LEYENDECKER, ESQ.

PATRICIA K. LUNDVALL, ESQ.

For the Defendants:

D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ. K. LEE BLALACK, ESQ.

JEFFREY E. GORDON, ESQ. DANIEL F. POLSENBERG, ESQ.

CASE#: A-19-792978-B

DEPT. XXVII

RECORDED BY: BRYNN WHITE, COURT RECORDER

					008935				
	1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	DEX OF EXHIBITS					
	2								
	3								
	4	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS	<u>MARKED</u>	RECEIVED					
	5	154		45					
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10	FOR THE DEFENDANT	MARKED	RECEIVED					
	11	5502		105					
00	12	5503		119	35				
008935	13	5499		126	008935				
	14	4569		139					
	15	4570		147					
	16								
	17								
	18								
	19								
	20								
	21								
	22								
	23								
	24								
	25								

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Wednesday, November 10, 2021					
2						
3	[Case called at 9:19 a.m.]					
4	[Outside the presence of the Jury]					
5	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.					
6	MR. ROBERTS: Good morning.					
7	THE COURT: Calling the case of Fremont Emergency versus					
8	UnitedHealthcare. State appearances for the record.					
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning. John					
10	Zavitsanos for the healthcare providers.					
11	MR. MCMANIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Jason					
12	McManis for the healthcare providers.					
13	MR. LEYENDECKER: Good morning, Your Honor. Kevin					
14	Leyendecker for the healthcare providers. Mr. Ahmad and Dr. Scherr are					
15	here, but they may have just stepped out.					
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And Ms. Lundvall I think is running a few					
17	minutes late, Your Honor.					
18	THE COURT: Thank you. For the defense, please.					
19	MR. BLALACK: Good morning, Your Honor. Lee Blalack on					
20	behalf of the Defendants.					
21	MR. GORDON: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeff Gordon on					
22	behalf of the Defendants.					
23	MR. POLSENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Dan					
24	Polsenberg also for the Defendants.					
25	MR. BLALACK: And Mr. Roberts will join us later,					

_	
\equiv	?
$\ddot{\alpha}$	΄.
ĉ	Ś

Υ	\cap	ш	r	Н	\cap	n	\cap	r

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. So let's have an update from the Plaintiff.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, I don't think we have any updates other than we made additional progress last night and cutting additional -- both time with witnesses and witnesses. So I remain optimistic that we're going to meet the deadline that we represented to the Court.

MR. LEYENDECKER: One other point, Your Honor. At this point we think we're just going to play two tapes in our case; Mr. Rosenthal and Mr. Schumacher. And they've got some objections to Rosenthal, but they haven't yet reduced them to the specific objection for page and line. I've talked to Mr. Gordon and the -- and the goal would be to get you today, by day's end, the list of those clips with the page and line objections, and a highlighted transcript so that you can go through and break a tie however you see it.

THE COURT: Good enough. Thank you.

MR. BLALACK: So I -- that's how I see it. No comment on the progress of the trial. I'll address that -- we'll see how we do here, and I'll address that later, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Good enough.

Do we have anything else to take up before we bring in the jury? It's --

MR. BLALACK: Not from us.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, could I just ask a question

on the again, with this with the scheduling issue, because I know
Your Honor is working very hard to try and fit additional time in where
we can. I don't know what the Court's appetite would be for this, but in
connection with the jury charge, I don't know if Your Honor is if your
preference is to do it here in the court, but obviously we have offices
downtown that would that are near the courthouse, and certainly from
our standpoint, we have no objection to everybody meeting in one of the
offices. We can even do it at their office. I have no objection to that.

THE COURT: Well, it has to be on the record. The settling of the jury instructions --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes.

THE COURT: -- has to be on the record.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. I'm --

THE COURT: So --

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm just raising that and so I --

THE COURT: Yeah. We worked remotely for like eight months last year. So it can be done remotely, but it does have to be on the record.

MR. BLALACK: Yeah. Your Honor --

THE COURT: Response?

MR. BLALACK: -- we're -- we are also open to some sort of mechanism, whether that's somewhere off site with a court reporter or remote to have the jury charge conference in a way that doesn't occupy time for proof.

THE COURT: I'm going to see --

1	MR. BLALACK: So we're open to
2	THE COURT: how it goes
3	MR. BLALACK: those as well.
4	THE COURT: And pardon my interruption.
5	MR. BLALACK: No. I'm sorry, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: I want to see how it goes today and Friday, but
7	I think we should consider longer days next week, whether we start at
8	8:30 or 9. And then we can work for an hour afterwards.
9	MR. BLALACK: All right.
10	THE COURT: I can get approval for that overtime.
11	MR. BLALACK: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Let the jury go at 4:45.
13	MR. BLALACK: That that would
14	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And
15	MR. BLALACK: be good.
16	MR. ZAVITSANOS: one additional follow-up, Your Honor,
17	on that. And this is just to kind of chew on it, for lack of a better term, to
18	the extent that the court reporter's unavailable and if we do meet off site,
19	we would have no problem in splitting the cost that the other side to
20	range for another court reporter to transcribe that portion of it. I'm just
21	offering it. I mean
22	THE COURT: You could
23	MR. ZAVITSANOS: our preference would be
24	THE COURT: Yeah.
25	MR. ZAVITSANOS: to have obviously, though our

1	preference would be to have the current court reporter. But I'm just		
2	throwing out options. That's all.		
3	THE COURT: Why don't you guys talk about it and let me		
4	know Friday?		
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.		
6	MR. BLALACK: We're glad to do that, Your Honor.		
7	THE COURT: Thank you.		
8	Anything else? Any other housekeeping matters?		
9	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Not from the Plaintiff, Your Honor.		
10	MR. BLALACK: Not from us, Your Honor.		
11	THE COURT: Okay.		
12	MR. BLALACK: Would you like me to bring Mr. Haben in and		
13	get him seated or would you		
14	THE COURT: That would be great.		
15	THE MARSHAL: Well, we're still missing some jurors,		
16	Your Honor.		
17	THE COURT: We're still missing a few jurors.		
18	MR. BLALACK: Okay.		
19	THE COURT: So why don't you guys just it's 9:23. At ease		
20	until 9:30.		
21	MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.		
22	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
23	THE COURT: And I'll be back.		
24	MR. BLALACK: Thank you.		
25	[Recess taken from 9:23 a.m. to 9:31 a.m.]		

1	THE MARSHAL: We're back in session.	
2	THE COURT: Please remain seated.	
3	And can we bring in the jury? Everybody ready?	
4	MR. BLALACK: I believe we are. Would you like me to bring	
5	in Mr. Haben, Your Honor?	
6	THE COURT: Yes, please.	
7	MR. ZAVITSANOS: And, yes, Your Honor, we're ready.	
8	[Pause]	
9	THE COURT: And just a polite reminder to everyone. We	
10	have to stop at 11:50. I have a meeting in this courtroom from 12 to 1.	
11	MR. BLALACK: Your Honor, just for planning, is there a	
12	particular target I should hit for trying to break for a break?	
13	THE COURT: You know	
14	THE MARSHAL: All rise	
15	THE COURT: when you get	
16	THE MARSHAL: for the jury.	
17	THE COURT: to a natural breaking point, let me know.	
18	MR. BLALACK: Will do. Thank you, Your Honor.	
19	[Jury in at 9:32 a.m.]	
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Good morning,	
21	everyone.	
22	GROUP RESPONSE: Good morning.	
23	THE COURT: Welcome to Wednesday. We're going to have	
24	to take a longer lunch today from 11:50 to about ten after 1 p.m. So we'll	
25	just have one short break in the morning.	

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

And, with that, cross-examination, please.				
MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.				
	JOHN HABEN, PLAINTIFFS; WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN			
		CROSS-EXAMINATION		
BY M	IR. BL	ALACK:		
	Q Good morning, Mr. Haben.			
	Α	Good morning.		
	Q	I wasn't sure I was going to get to speak with you.		
	Α	Thank you.		
	Q	But I want to promise you that I am not going to take three		
days	to cor	mplete your examination. And I'm going to promise the jury		
l'm n	ot goi	ng to do it. And I'm promising counsel I'm not going to do it.		
	So le	t me start by this, Mr. Haben, do you recall Mr. Zavitsanos		
telling you last week that in another life I was in the Marine Corps?				
Α	Yes,	l do.		
	Q	Well, when I was in boot camp at Parris Island, South		
Carolina my drill instructor would frequently ask my platoon a question,				
and then before we could give an answer, he would shout out the				
answ	ver to	us in front of us. And I always had the impression that my		
drill i	instrud	ctor didn't really care what the answer to the question was and		
he w	as rea	lly just doing it for show.		
	Have	you ever had an experience like that?		
	Α	Yes, I have.		
	Q	I don't think that sort of process is a very useful process for		

learning facts. So I want to make sure the jury gets to hear your answers

1	to key questions and not just what the lawyers have to say. Is that okay		
2	with you?		
3	А	Yes, sir.	
4	Q	Now, Mr. Zavitsanos and I are not witnesses, and we are not	
5	under oath, but you are. Do you take that obligation seriously, sir?		
6	А	Very seriously.	
7	Q	I hope to do my examination what I hope to do in this	
8	examination is to cover some basic questions about UnitedHealthcare's		
9	out-of-network program. And then I'm going to give you an opportunity		
10	to respond to each of the allegations that my colleague, Mr. Zavitsanos,		
11	made against you during the questioning. But first I'd like to start with		
12	your background; is that okay?		
13	А	Yes, sir.	
14	Q	Let's start, sir, where you live.	
15	А	I live in Edina, Minnesota.	
16	Q	Are you married?	
17	А	I am.	
18	Q	How long have you been married?	
19	А	Over 30 years.	
20	Q	Do you have any children?	
21	А	We have two sons.	
22	Q	How old are your boys?	
23	А	The oldest is 23 and the youngest is 21.	
24	Q	Did you attend college?	
25	Α	I did.	

1	Q	Did you receive a college degree?
2	А	I did. That was a bachelor's of business administration and
3	major in accounting at the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire.	
4	Q	And after graduating from college, did you go on to any
5	other stud	ies or did you go immediately into the workforce?
6	А	I think as I alluded either yesterday or the day before, I tried
7	to take the CPA twice and failed that. No, but nothing beyond that.	
8	Q	Where did you start working after graduating?
9	Α	Right after college I actually went into UnitedHealthcare.
10	Q	What year was that?
11	Α	1989.
12	Q	Now, you testified last week that you retired from
13	UnitedHealthcare sometime this year?	
14	Α	I did.
15	Q	Okay. So were you employed with UnitedHealthcare
16	continuously from 1989 until when you retired?	
17	Α	No. I spent two years at a third-party administrator, and then
18	came back to United.	
19	Q	What years were those?
20	Α	1999 to 2001.
21	Q	So excluding the time you were aware, 1999 to 2001, how
22	many tota	years were you employed with UnitedHealthcare?
23	А	Just over 30 years.
24	Q	What was your first position at UnitedHealthcare?
25	Α	It was what's called billing and reconciliation. We received
· ·	•	

C	Ξ)
C)
(χ)
C	2)
4	Ρ	_
Ć	5	٦

1	premiums from employer groups, and we would have to reconcile to the		
2	membership on the actually, it was a green bar of paper, and we'd		
3	have to physically account for who they're paying for and who they're		
4	terminating, who they're adding on, et cetera.		
5	Q	Okay. And what was your job position when you retired	
6	earlier this year?		
7	А	I was Vice President of the out of network programs.	
8	Q	When did you first take on responsibility for out of network	
9	program	s at UnitedHealthcare?	
10	А	Probably in about 2003.	
11	Q	Did you maintain a leadership role for out of network	
12	programs continually between 2003 and your retirement this year?		
13	А	Yes, I did.	
14	Q	Okay. Now, Mr. Haben, I want to explain a little more to the	
15	jury about the five Defendants in this case and how you do, or you don't		
16	relate to those Defendants, okay?		
17	А	Okay.	
18	Q	So I believe you testified last week that you were employed	
19	by UnitedHealth Networks; is that right?		
20	А	That's correct.	
21	Q	Okay. Now, the five Defendants in this case are	
22	UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company, UnitedHealth Services, United		
23	Medical Resources, or UMR, Sierra Health and Life, and Health Plan of		
24	Nevada.	Do you understand that?	
25	А	Yes.	

1	Q	Okay. Are you an employee of any of these five Defendants?	
2	А	I am.	
3	Q	Which one?	
4	А	UnitedHealthcare Services. I was. I am no longer.	
5	Q	That's a fair question. Thank you.	
6	А	Yeah.	
7	Q	Have you ever worked for Sierra Health and Life Insurance	
8	Co?		
9	А	I have not.	
10	Q	Have you ever worked for Health Plan of Nevada?	
11	А	I have not.	
12	Q	Have you worked for UMR?	
13	А	I did not.	
14	Q	Okay. Now, Mr. Haben, you testified last week that you	
15	signed a severance agreement when you retired. Do you remember that		
16	testimony?		
17	А	Yes, I do.	
18	Q	I believe you said that that agreement required you to	
19	cooperate with any lawsuits filed against UnitedHealthcare?		
20	А	Yes, it does.	
21	Q	What does that agreement require you to do?	
22	А	To provide truthful testimony and information.	
23	Q	Does that agreement condition your severance payments as	
24	a retiree o	n giving testimony helpful to my clients in this case or any	
25	other case	?	

	1
	-
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

- Q Now, last week Mr. Zavitsanos mentioned that the time period at issue in this case ran from July 1, 2017 through January 31st, 2020. And I take it you were the Vice President of Out of Network Programs for UnitedHealth Networks during that entire time?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q Okay. What were your responsibilities as Vice President of Out of Network Programs during that period?
- A At a high level, it was to help our clients achieve competitive medical cost reimbursement. So we would meet with the sales organizations, the healthcare economics folks, the finance teams to get information about, you know, hey -- our satisfied clients, they demand more. You know, what could we do to help address medical expend?
- Q You mentioned a couple of entities there. You said healthcare economics. What is healthcare economics?
- A At a high level, I mean they're actuaries or individuals that know claims economics. They can look at the claims data. They understand the industry. And they'll provide actuary analytics.
 - Q And you mentioned a salesforce; is that correct?
 - A Yeah.
- Q Okay. And there's a separate sales organization in UnitedHealthcare?
 - A Yes, there is.
- O So when you mentioned healthcare economics and the sails force, are those groups within your group of out of network programs

\overline{C}
ŏ
ŏ
õ
4
ĊΟ

1	personnel?		
2	А	No, they're not.	
3	Q	Now, how many people did you supervise during the period	
4	at issue in	this case, just generally, in the out of network program crew?	
5	А	Just about 80 to 90 people.	
6	Q	Did anyone report directly to you?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	Who was that?	
9	А	In that time period, Becky Paradise and Sarah Peterson, and	
10	then Sarah retired.		
11	Q	Now, had you ever had responsibility, you personally, for the	
12	out of network programs for Sierra Health?		
13	А	No.	
14	Q	Have you ever had responsibility for the out of network	
15	programs for Health Plan of Nevada?		
16	А	No.	
17	Q	Have you ever had responsibility for the out of network	
18	programs for UMR?		
19	А	I have not.	
20	Q	Do you know if UMR, Sierra, and Health Plan of Nevada use	
21	out of netv	vork programs that were different from the programs you	
22	managed for UnitedHealthcare?		
23	А	There was some similarities.	
24	Q	Okay. Are there differences?	
25	А	Yes, there are.	
	I		

1	Q	Okay. Now, you were asked a few questions by	
2	Mr. Zavits	anos about UMR yesterday. Do you recall those questions?	
3	А	Yes, I do.	
4	Q	Do you know if UMR has any out of network programs that	
5	are simila	r to those of UnitedHealthcare?	
6	А	There are some similarities, yes.	
7	Q	Are there any that are different?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	And have you ever supervised any out of network programs	
10	operated by United Medical Resources, or UMR?		
11	А	No, I have not.	
12	Q	So if the jury wanted to understand what the out of network	
13	programs were for Sierra, Health Plan of Nevada, or UMR, you would not		
14	be the per	son to speak to?	
15	А	I would not be.	
16	Q	Okay. Now, you testified for three days in response to	
17	questions from Mr. Zavitsanos. Did any of your testimony regarding out		
18	of network	k programs relate to Sierra or Health Plan of Nevada?	
19	А	No, they did not.	
20	Q	Now, where did your organization, UnitedHealthcare	
21	Networks	sit in the broader UnitedHealth corporate structure?	
22	А	So we were if you're saying UnitedHealthcare	
23	UnitedHea	alth Group corporate structure, there's Optum, there's	
24	UnitedHealthcare. Inside UnitedHealthcare, there's many organizations.		
25	UnitedHea	alth Networks is an organization inside the UHC arm. I believe	

25

Α

Q

1	the legal e	entity is UnitedHealth Services.
2	Q	And you mentioned there's a number of entities. Is one of
3	the entitie	s within United within UnitedHealth be what's called the E&
4	group, or	Employer & Individual group?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	What does that group what is the function of that group?
7	А	As we talked before, they're the commercial book of
8	business.	E&I stands for Employer & Individual. And I think we covered
9	some indi	vidual business yesterday.
10	Q	Are you were you ever an employee of E&I?
11	А	No.
12	Q	And is there a separate group that's responsible for
13	governme	nt programs, benefit programs, that UnitedHealthcare
14	participate	es in?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And what's that called?
17	А	There's a Medicare and a Medicaid group.
18	Q	Were you ever employed by any of those groups?
19	А	No. I would have not been.
20	Q	Now, in July 2017, in the beginning of this period, to whom
21	did you re	port?
22	А	July of 2017, I reported to Dan Rosenthal.
22		What was his title?

And just, again, to make sure the jury's clear, when you say

He was the President of UnitedHealth Networks.

1	President	of UnitedHealth Networks, you don't mean president of all of	
2	United?		
3	А	No.	
4	Q	And you don't mean president of the commercial	
5	businesse	s?	
6	А	No. That was a separate individual.	
7	Q	So he was president of the group known as UnitedHealth	
8	Networks	within which you participated?	
9	А	That is correct.	
10	Q	Okay. Now, did it did you report to Mr. Rosenthal	
11	continuously until January of 2020, which is the end of the period at		
12	issue in this case?		
13	А	No, I did not.	
14	Q	To whom did you report after Mr. Rosenthal?	
15	А	Vicki Miller. Her name's Katherine V. Miller. And that was	
16	around 20	18.	
17	Q	Now, Mr. Haben, I'm now going to ask you to define for the	
18	jury some	key healthcare terms and concepts. We've talked about some	
19	of these, but I want to see if you just can do it in a more straightforward		
20	way than you were able to do before. So let's walk through a couple of		
21	basic terms to make sure we all agree what they are before we start		
22	looking at	some [indiscernible].	
23	Hav	e you heard the terms fully-insured health plan and self-	
24	insured he	ealth plan?	
25	Δ	l have	

_	_		_
Q	What is a fully-insured	haalth	nlan?
Ų.	vviiat is a ruliv-liisureu	HEALLI	Diaii:

A A fully-insured health plan or business is a health plan that's responsible for the medical cost risk.

- Q And what is a self-funded health plan?
- A Is self-funded health plan employer groups that are assuming the risk of the medical cost payments. They fund the claims payment.
- Q What are principal differences between a self-funded plan and a fully-insured plan?

A As I kind of touched on, so fully insured, there's the risk, the medical loss risk, associated with that. That's part of the health plan, part of UnitedHealthcare. For self-funded or ASO they have the medical cost risk. And they look to third-party administrators like us to do the administration of not only claims but many other services.

Q Sir, I'll represent to you that in this case one of the plans for when claims were submitted and are in dispute relates to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. And I'll represent to you that it's an ASO plan or self-funded plan.

A Okay.

Q If that employer is a self-funded plan and one of its employee seeks medical care in the emergency room, is the administrator of that plan responsible for paying those medical costs or is the money used to pay those claims coming from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?

A The funds are coming from the Las Vegas Police Department.

25

1	Q	Okay. Now, if you have a different situation, let's say an
2	employer	that has a fully-insured plan, their if one of their employees
3	goes to th	e emergency room and incurs medical costs, the funds are
4	coming fro	om where?
5	А	They're coming from the UnitedHealthcare health plan.
6	Q	Not the employer?
7	А	Not the employer group.
8	Q	Now, have you ever heard the term third-party administrator
9	or TPA?	
10	А	Yes, I have.
11	Q	And what is a TPA?
12	А	As I indicated before, they're the administrator for self-
13	funded en	nployer groups. So the employer groups look to that
14	administra	ator to do services, which include claims payment and
15	enrollmen	t, billing, directory, many other things.
16	Q	And does the TPA have any responsible for assembling and
17	providing	a network of providers for the employers who hire you?
18	А	Yes, they do.
19	Q	Okay. How is a TPA different, if at all, from a health
20	insurance	company?
21	Α	The TPA does not incur the medical cost risk. We're like a
22	health ins	urance company. That's one of the primary components.
23	Q	Okay. Now, Mr. Haben, I'd like to show you a few slides that

I shared with jury in the opening that illustrates the differences in these

plans, to help make sure we can agree on what it is -- how these two

types of models work, okay?

A Yeah.

O The first one I'm going to show you -- I'm going to ask Shane to bring up -- is slide 9. Can you see it? It will come up. Can you see it on your screen when it comes up?

A Yes. I can see it. Thank you.

Q All right. Now, sir, I've depicted here what's labeled as a "fully insured health plan arrangement." Can you describe for the jury what -- who the parties are and how the funds are transferring between those parties?

A So -- and thank you for fixing the screen, whoever did that, because I can actually see things.

Q Well, I can assure you it wasn't me.

A Thank you. On the left-hand side there are three entities, the insurance company at the top, the employer group who buys the health plan from the insurance company, and the employee, in cases of medical treatment, the patient is the third entity at the bottom.

Q And do you see dollars associated with arrows, flowing toward the staffing company, in that slide?

A I do.

Q Can you describe what those two different groups of payments are?

A So there's the claims payment that comes from the insurance company. I think we might have touched on that before, that could -- that's the allowed amount, and I can explain that later. And then there's

the patient cost share.	So again, like we	talked on,	earlier,	that's the
co-pay, the co-insuran	ce, any deductible			

THE COURT: I just want to interrupt for a second. Everyone can see him okay? Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BLALACK: If I need to move that, Your Honor, just let me know.

THE WITNESS: No, I --

THE COURT: I just need to make sure that Ms. Ross has a line of sight. Thank you.

BY MR. BLALACK:

O All right. So when the employee of this employer seeks care from the emergency room, that is affiliated with Team Health, there would be payments flowing to the staffing company from two sources, one from the insurance company, and the other from the employer/patient; is that fair?

A Yes, that's correct. And both of those together is what's called the "allowed amount."

O Okay. And I want to cover those terms and define those in a moment. I just make sure the jury understands the flow of funds here. All right. I'm showing the next line, which is line 10. This one is labeled "self-funded health plan." So in this model, please explain the parties that are in the flow of funds.

A So similar on the left-hand side you have the claims

1	administra	ator at the top, which would be the third-party administrator,
2	you have t	the employer group, and then you have the employee/patient.
3	Q	And you still have the same kind of payments being made to
4	the staffing	g company?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Except this time, that one of the payments is coming from
7	the emplo	yer instead of the insurer?
8	А	Yeah. The employer will fund the claims, the administrator
9	will releas	e those funds to the provider.
10	Q	Does UnitedHealthcare offer a fully insured health plan?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Does UnitedHealthcare offer administrative services, to
13	sponsor a	self-funded health plan?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Does UnitedHealthcare sometimes operate both as an
16	insurance	company and as a dba?
17	А	Yes, they do.
18	Q	Now in responses to questions from Mr. Zavitsanos you
19	referred to	the bill charge in the allowed amount. I think you just alluded
20	to that; is t	that right?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	All right. Let's define those terms again, before we get into
23	the docum	nent, to make sure everyone's clear, what is a bill charge?
24	А	The bill charge is the charge amount that is set by the

provider, or the staffing company.

1	Q	And what is the allowed amount?
2	А	The allowed amount is what is allowed in that claim in total,
3	per the b	penefit plan.
4	Q	Okay. In your 30 some odd years in the industry, in your
5	experien	ce, is the provider's bill charge typically different from the
6	allowed	amount?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And why is that?
9	А	Typically, the provider rarely ever gets the bill charge
10	amount.	That's typically what's not expected.
11	Q	And is that because the bill charge is typically much greater
12	than the	allowed amount?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Now what is patient responsibility, Mr. Haben?
15	А	As I touched on before, patient responsibility is your co-pay,
16	which is	usually a flat amount, your co-insurance, which is a percentage
17	of the all	owed amount, and then a deductible.
18	Q	How is the patient responsibility calculated?
19	А	Co-pay is a flat amount, typically defined everything is
20	defined i	n the benefit plan. The co-insurance is calculated off of the
21	allowed,	and then the deductible is an accumulation of both.
22	Q	When you say that the co-insurance is calculated off of the
23	"allowed	" what do you mean by that?
24	А	So there's the, as we talked before, there's the bill charge

	Q	And is that because the bill charge is typically much greater
han the allowed amount?		
	Α	Yes.
	Q	Okay. Now what is patient responsibility, Mr. Haben?
	Α	As I touched on before, patient responsibility is your co-pay,
vhic	h is us	sually a flat amount, your co-insurance, which is a percentage
of the	e allov	ved amount, and then a deductible.
	Q	How is the patient responsibility calculated?
	Α	Co-pay is a flat amount, typically defined everything is
defin	ed in	the benefit plan. The co-insurance is calculated off of the
allowed, and then the deductible is an accumulation of both.		
	Q	When you say that the co-insurance is calculated off of the
allowed" what do you mean by that?		
	Α	So there's the, as we talked before, there's the bill charge
ımoı	unt, th	ere's an allowed amount, which is defined by the benefit plan
		- 25 -

That is th	That is the amount that is allowed for that payment in total, and the		
percentag	percentage, let's say you have a 10 percent co-insurance, it's calculated		
off of that allowed amount.			
Q	Not the bill charges?		

- A Not the bill charges.
- Q All right. Mr. Haben, I'd like to show you a few slides that illustrate the differences between the bill charge, an allowed amount, and patient responsibility, okay?
 - A Yes.
- Q All right. This first one -- oh, by the way, I should have, I skipped this, I should have mentioned this. Let's go back. On the fully insured self-funded health plan, how is the payment for the risk of paying for medical costs borne in a fully insured plan?
 - A The risk is borne by the insurance company.
 - Q And does the employer pay for it?
 - A They pay a premium for that --
- 17 Q So they pay --
 - A -- insurance.
 - Q -- an insurance premium?
 - A Yes, they do.
 - O So in this slide what's depicted under the fully insured plan?
 - A So I think as you have here, the employer group is on the left-hand side, they pay a premium, they send those premiums over to the insurance company, the insurance company has the risk of paying those -- paying the medical claims out of the premiums that were

received.

Q And then in a self-funded plan, what is the nature of the assumption of risk and the payment of --

A So the employer group is over on the left-hand side. They pay an administrative fee to do all the functions that I have kind of highlighted, but they have the risk, much like the insurance company did above, of the medical cost.

Q Thank you. Now let's go on to slide 13.MR. BLALACK: Bring that up, Shane.

BY MR. BLALACK:

Q And again, as I was saying, let's just make sure we understand how these terms work in combination with each other, and you calculated patient responsibility.

So first of all I'm showing you, in a hypothetical, a claim for a CPT code 99285, which is an emergency room evaluation and management code, and I'm assuming in this example, an allowed amount of \$200. Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q Now here in the next image, I'm showing you an assumption of a bill charged for that service of \$1,000. Do you see that?

A I do.

Q Okay. Now, I want you to walk the jury through, if you can, how the calculation of patient responsibility occurs when you have a bill charge of a 1,000, and the benefit plan has an allowed amount of 200, can you walk them through that for me?

A Yes, I can. So you'll see on the left-hand side, sorry, bill
charges of a \$1,000. The benefit plan will define what's allowed for
those that type of service. The allowed amount in this situation is
\$200. Your co-insurance is calculated off of that 200, so 30 percent of
200 is \$60.

- Q So in a situation where this emergency room encounter occurred and the charge was a 1,000, under this benefit plan the patient responsibility would be \$60?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Okay. Now are you aware, sir, that in this case, I think Mr. Zavitsanos mentioned it to you, that the female plaintiffs in this case allege that the allowed amounts for the disputed claims in this case, should have been bill charges; are you aware of that?
 - A I am.
- O Okay. Now let's -- what I'd like you to do, is I'm going to change the assumption here so that the bill charge and the allowed amount are the same, so that the allowed amount was a 1,000, not 200 of this claim, okay? If you could walk the jury through how to calculate patient responsibility if the allowed amount was the full charged?
- A Sure. So in this situation bill charge is a 1,000, the allowed amount, based on the benefit plan, would be \$1,000, if you paid that and if that's what the benefit plan said, the co-insurance is 30 percent, 30 percent calculated off the 1,000 is \$300.
- Q So now the -- on a charge of a 1,000 for that account, the patient would be responsible for 300, or 30 percent of the total?

1	А	That's correct.		
2	Q	Now you may and I think you said this. Did you testify a		
3	moment a	go that what determines the allowed amount is the benefit		
4	plan?			
5	А	Yes.		
6	Q	When you refer to the benefit plan, are you referring to the		
7	actual doc	uments, the health plan documents?		
8	А	Yes, I am.		
9	Q	Okay. What types of documents do you mean?		
10	А	For a fully insured, it's the it's commonly known as the		
11	certificate	of coverage, and for the self and employee group, it's the		
12	summary	plan description, or SPD.		
13	Q	And then is there some sort of document called an		
14	administra	ative services agreement?		
15	А	Yes, there is.		
16	Q	And what does an administrative service agreement say?		
17	А	So the ASA is the document that is the agreement between		
18	the emplo	yer group, with the third-party administrator to perform		
19	services o	n their behalf.		
20	Q	Okay. I just want to make sure I heard you correctly, and that		
21	the jury understands this. Did you say that a certificate of coverage is			
22	associated	d with a fully insured plan?		
23	А	Yes.		
24	Q	And did you say a summary plan description would be		
25	associated	I with a self-insured or ASO plan?		

ı
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Α	Yes
Α	Yes

- Q Okay. So if the jury sees a COC, or a certificate of coverage, they should interpret that as being associated with a fully insured plan?
 - A That's correct.
- Q And if they see a summary plan description, they should associate it with a self-insured plan?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q What about an ASA, does that apply to just one, or both?
- A The ASA is typically -- it's an administrative service agreement, it's typically a self-funded employer group.
- O Now precisely what is a certificate of coverage, what does that mean?
- A It's the contract between the insurance company and the employee of the employer group, and so that is the promise of what is going to be covered for services and other components.
- O Okay. And in your experience aren't COCs typically filed with any regulatory authority?
- A Yeah. COCs are filed in the states, in terms of where you're doing business. The states are very different in how you approach it.
- Q All right. And then it's -- what type of information is typically contained in an administrative services agreement?
- A The administrative services agreement covers the responsibilities that the third-party administrator would perform on behalf of the employer group, that could be, obviously, the benefit -- you know, the type of programs they've adopted, requirements for provider

1	directory.	It might	be clinical,	member	services,	many	other	things.
2	Q	Okay. I	s it fair to o	call it an a	administra	ative se	ervice	agreen

Q Okay. Is it fair to call it an administrative service agreement contract?

A Yes.

Q And the parties to the administrative service agreement are who?

A It's between the employer group and the third-party administrator.

Q In your experience, sir, are health plan documents usually identical for all UnitedHealthcare's clients?

A No.

Q Okay. And why do health plan documents typically differ, in your experience, by client?

A You could ask me to get into details, but I'll give you at a general high level --

Q That's fine.

A -- that self-funded employer groups have different needs, they're different sizes in what they want to have performed. Some of them bring their own benefit plan in, and say, I want you to do this, and some will ask for some type of recommendation. For fully insured, like I said before, there are state rules you have to follow, and so the states will approve or deny a benefit plan, and you have to follow those, and there could be a variety inside a state.

Q And then the clients can choose among those options that are in the state --

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

1

А	Yes.			
Q	that are offered? But it sounds like clients of employers			
who pu	chase health insurance for their employees, seek to tailor their			
plans to the needs of their particular workforce; is that fair?				
Α	Very much so.			
Q	And is it what's the relationship between the size and			

A The bigger the employer group, the greater variety, and you can deal with unions, bargain groups, municipalities. They're very different.

sophistication of the employer to come, once that occurred?

Q All right. Let's talk about some other e-concepts, employers in the health insurance and healthcare market. What is a "participating provider"?

A A participating provider has a contract with the health plan to be in their network, we commonly call that "par."

Q Okay. And does that contract typically include some rate, or amount of reimbursement for services rendered to the members of the health plan?

A Yes, it does.

Q And in those contracts do they typically indicate that if services are rendered to a member of the plan, covered services, and reimbursements are made at the agreed rates, that the participating provider will accept that payment in full satisfaction of the insured?

A Yes. Along with the patient cost share.

Q And would a participating provider agreement, or network

agreement,	would th	nat typically	include i	a term	in the	contract,	like a	lien
of time, in v	which the	contract w	ould be i	n place	?			

- A Yeah. There's a period of time where that contract -- it would say, here's the start date, here's the end date, and there's options for renewals, depending on the contract.
 - Q What is a non-participating provider?
- A A non-participating provider is a provider that does not have a contract with the insurance company to be in their network.
- Q I think you just said that participating providers are called "par providers," that's a shorthand phrase?
 - A Correct.
 - Q And are non-participating providers often called non-par?
 - A Correct.
- Q When a healthcare provider is out-of-network, does that provider ever have a direct contract with UnitedHealthcare that specifies the reimbursement that UnitedHealthcare will pay for the services the provider vendors to a United member?
 - A No.
- Q Is the very definition of a non-network provider, a provider who has no contract?
 - A That they're not in our broad network.
- O Now when you were at the company did UnitedHealthcare receive a claim coming out of that work provider, that's what I'm asking about, if you were there and a claim came in from an out-of-network provider, how did the company -- how did you all decide how much to

- allow on that out-of-network claim?
 - A It's all based on what's defined in the benefit plan.
 - Q What do you mean by that?

A Well, you have to look at the summary plan description, where the certificate of coverage, depending on what that patient has for coverage, and then you would look at what's the allowable amount for that type of service, and then you would reimburse that amount.

- Q In response to questions from Mr. Zavitsanos, you frequently referred to the term "balance billing," do you recall that?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q What is balance billing?

A That is when the provider will bill the patient, and if I can explain a little bit further, is there's two components, the cost share, the co-pay, co-insurance, and deductible, and then there's anything that's above the allowed amount to the bill of charges.

- Q So this would be the provider or the staffing company seeking reimbursement for amounts above the allowed amount?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Is a provider that participates in UnitedHealthcare's network, can that provider legally balance bill the United member under their contract?
 - A I'm sorry, say that again.
- Q I'm sorry. Let me -- that was a poor question. If a provider is in UnitedHealthcare's network, is a par provider --
 - A Yes.

1	Q	or the network provider; that's the assumption, can that		
2	network p	rovider, legally, under its agreement, balance bill the patient		
3	who is the	United member.		
4		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I don't mean to		
5	interrupt h	nere, but that opinion on an ultimate issue, it also calls for legal		
6	conclusio	n. If counsel would rephrase		
7		MR. BLALACK: Sure		
8		MR. ZAVITSANOS: I would have no objection.		
9		THE COURT: Rephrase.		
10	BY MR. BI	_ALACK:		
11	Q	In your understanding of the relationship between		
12	UnitedHealthcare and its participating or network provider, that's the fact			
13	[indiscern	ible].		
14	А	Yeah.		
15	Q	In your understanding are the network providers who signed		
16	up with U	nitedHealthcare permitted to balance bill members who are in		
17	the netwo	rk?		
18	А	No. Only for their co-pay, co-insurance and deductible.		
19	Q	Now during the period at issue in this case, if a provider was		
20	out-of-net	work, and I'm not talking about in the network, out-of-network,		
21	and was lo	ocated in the State of Nevada, could the provider legally		
22	balance b	Il the patient, to your understanding?		
23	А	Yes.		
24	Q	Now what is United Healthcare's position on whether out-of-		

network providers should balance bill under United's terms? I'm not

whether out-of-

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	asking whether they can, but whether they should?
2	A We believe that the reimbursement amount is reasonable,
3	based on the allowed amount, and they should not bill the member.

MR. BLALACK: Can we approach with counsel, real quick, before I move into my next section?

THE COURT: You may.

[Sidebar at 10:05:43 a.m., ending at 10:06 a.m., not transcribed] BY MR. BLALACK:

 \mathbf{O} Sir, I'd now like to ask you -- we were talking about whether United believed it was appropriate for out-of-network providers to balance bill United's members for an amount above the amounts allowed for an out-of-network service. Do you remember me asking you that question?

Yes, I do. Α

 \mathbf{O} Okay. What is United Healthcare's position about the reasonable value of out-of-network service? I'm just asking for your corporate general view on that question.

Α Yeah, we try to set the value for out-of-network services at a reasonable rate, typically what providers accept in the market.

Q And is one of the criteria you use the Medicare rate plus the small market?

Α Yes.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Objection. Leading.

MR. BLALACK: Okay. Let me ask it this way, sir. What is --

THE COURT: Re-ask.

1		MR. BLALACK: I'll rephrase, Your Honor.
2	BY MR. B	_ALACK:
3	Q	What is United's view about how to determine a reasonable
4	value of a	n out-of-network service?
5	А	We will look at Medicare and we will pay above that with a
6	reasonabl	e premium above that.
7	Q	And you said what providers accept in the market, you've
8	reference	d several times during the course of your responses to Mr.
9	Zavitsano	s, I think you referred to some of the documents to a term
10	called "pa	r medium"; do you remember saying that?
11	А	Yes, I do.
12	Q	What do you mean when you say I'm not sure the jury
13	knows wh	at par medium is. What is par medium?
14	Α	It's a I'm trying not to get, like, too detailed, but it's
15	basically v	what is accepted in the market, so there's
16		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, can we approach for a
17	second?	
18		THE COURT: You may.
19		MR. BLALACK: Yup.
20		Sidebar at 10:08 a.m., ending at 10:10 a.m., not transcribed]
21		THE COURT: Okay. There was an objection. Counsel's
22	going to r	nove on, and we'll take it outside your presence later. Thank
23	you. Plea	se go ahead.
24	BY MR. BI	_ALACK:
25	Q	So now, Mr. Haben, I'd like to make sure the jury

1	understands about some information about the claims in dispute in this			
2	case and how this relates. I want to show you a slide that I showed the			
3	jury in opening statement, okay? And ask you about it, okay?			
4	А	Understood.		
5		MR. BLALACK: This will be slide 23, Shane.		
6	BY MR. BL	ALACK:		
7	Q	Now, sir, in this case the Plaintiffs have alleged that they are		
8	entitled to	reimbursement of billed charge equaling \$13,242,789; do you		
9	see that?			
10	А	I do.		
11	Q	And you've described for the jury what bill charges are		
12	already, correct?			
13	А	I have.		
14	Q	Okay. And then they identified and the parties have agreed		
15	that the al	lowed amounts on those claims were \$2,843,448. Do you see		
16	that?			
17	А	I do.		
18	Q	And you described what allowed amount is, right?		
19	А	I have.		
20	Q	In that middle column you'll see that the average amount of		
21	charge at issue in those two claims is referenced there along with the			
22	average aı	mount of the allowed, it's 1,145 was billed, 246 in allowed. Do		
23	you see th	at?		
24	А	I do.		
25	Q	Okay. In the far-hand column, far right-hand column, sir, I'm		

expressing those same numbers a	is a percentage of the Medicare rate
Do you see that?	

A I do.

- Q And what is the amount of the plaintiffs' bill charges when expressed as a percentage of the Medicare rate?
 - A 763 of Medicare.
- Q And then what is the amount, of the allowed amount for the amount that United pay for these disputed claims when expressed as a percentage amount here?
 - A 164 percent of Medicare.
- Q And sir, as a former executive in UnitedHealthcare, in your opinion, is the bill charged at issue here a reasonable amount for the value of these services?
 - A No, it is not.
- Q And in your experience based on running out-of-network programs for many years, and the valuation of what is a reasonable rate in healthcare, do you believe that the 164 percent or \$246 on average or 2.8 million dollars in allowed, it represents reasonable value in your experience?
 - A Yes, it is.
- O Now for three days Mr. Zavitsanos took this jury through kind of all over the place on various out-of-network programs, and I think the jury probably understands what those programs are from that, that questioning, but it was not linear, and I want to try to make sure the jury understands clearly what all the out-of-network programs are that

touched claims for out-of-network services, how they worked, when they were in place, and how they related to each other.

So I'm going to devote this portion of the examination to just clearly getting that out so the jury can have confidence they understand when they get to deliberations how each of these programs work and what they related to.

So let me start first, Mr. Haben, by saying when you refer to an out-of-network program, what do you mean? What does that mean?

A Out-of-network program is a program that was designed to be able to address medical expense, medical cost, and developed for the need to have the market, so the employer groups or the fully insured plans.

Q Why does UnitedHealthcare even offer out-of-network programs? What's -- why would you do that?

A It's to help manage medical expenses and address high bill charges.

O And is one of the needs that employer groups find that need to be addressed by out-of-network programs the issues with respect to collections activities directed at their employees?

A Yes.

Q Why doesn't UnitedHealthcare simply pay the full bill charge, whatever that is, on every claim submitted by an out-of-network physician?

A Providers set the bill charges, and they can set it whatever they want to set it at, so we're trying to base it on a reasonable

ı	reimbursement to the market.		
2	Q	Okay. And in your experience over the last ten years, have	
3	provider charges risen and gone up over that period?		
4	А	The provider, what we call charge masters, the individual	
5	provider charges, in some cases have gone up.		
6	Q	Okay. What about hospital-based physicians, particularly	
7	physicians like emergency room, anesthesia; what about them?		
8	А	Yes, those have increased.	
9		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Objection, Your Honor. Best evidence	
10	rule, foundation, and also yeah, those two, Your Honor.		
11		THE COURT: You can lay a little more foundation.	
12	BY MR. BLALACK:		
13	Q	Sir, in your role as head of the out-of-network programs have	
14	you over the last ten years reviewed data within UnitedHealthcare		
15	regarding the charges that are being reported by providers on an out-of-		
16	network basis for members of the United Healthcare benefit plan?		
17	А	Yes, Healthcare Economics has provided me data based on	
18	that.		
19	Q	Okay. And in fact, were you shown charts and reports and	
20	emails over the last three last week, discussing some of that data and		
21	what it did and didn't show regarding the charges?		
22	А	Yes.	
23		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Can we approach, Your Honor? I'm	
24	sorry.		

THE COURT: You may.

()	
()	
	α	2	
(C)	
•	•	1	
-	4	_	

[Sidebar at 10:16 a.m., ending at 10:20 a.m., not transcribed]
THE COURT: Okay. I've tentatively overruled the objection,
but we'll hash it out on the next break. Thank you for your professional
courtesy.

BY MR. BLALACK:

- Q All right. Sir, I think we were talking about why didn't UnitedHealthcare simply pay the full billed charges on every claim. I think you answered that question earlier?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. Does UnitedHealthcare ever pay providers the bill charged ever?

A Yeah, if it's a reasonable bill charge amount or in some rare circumstances, if the employer group says please take the member out of the middle, they're getting harassed, they're getting sent to collections, they're -- you know, they're in a touch spot, they will tell us to pay billed charges, but it's very rare.

- Q When you say take the member out of the middle, what's that mean?
- A The member, like we were talking about, getting balance bill between the billed, the what's allowed, and the bill charge amount, and so the member gets a collection notice or a bill from the staffing company, the billing entity, saying you owe us, and they will pursue them.
- Q And in those kind of circumstances you're saying someone from the employer group might contact you; how does that work?

A So we'll -- we call that member in the middle, we've talked about member advocacy, so the employer group might get a complaint from the employee and say, you know, I'm getting harassed, please help me. The employer group will contact member services, or the employee will contact member services or a vendor, and then they will be asked if they want us to pay bill charges, they have to put that in writing.

All right. So at this point, Your Honor, or excuse me, Mr. Haben, I want to transition to discussing what those programs are and make sure me and the jury understand what they are. So I'm going to utilize one of the exhibits you were shown by Mr. Zavitsanos last week, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 154, which is already in evidence. I'm going to ask Shane to bring that up, please.

- A Do I have that here or are you just going to --
- Q It should be in one of those binders on the right probably, and try to find it right there and see if you see a Plaintiffs' Exhibit 154. And if you'd like to work off the hard copy or the screen, whatever works best for you.
 - A I'm tactile.
- Q I'm aware that you like the paper, so we'll work off that. All right. Sir, do you recognize this document, Plaintiff's Exhibit 154, which again is a notice entitled "Core Essentials Out-Of-Network Program Overview?"
 - A Yes.
 - Q This was a document you were shown last week?
 - A I believe so.

0	
0	
∞	
9	
7	
0	

1	Q	All right Can you tell from the document, sir, what the date
2	of it is?	
3	А	February of 2018.
4	Q	And were you the leader of the United Healthcare's out-of-
5	network pi	rogram for that time?
6	А	Yes, I was.
7	Q	I'll direct you to page 6 of this document, if you go to page 6,
8	and you'll	see a title that reads "Out-Of-Network Landscape"; do you see
9	that?	
10	А	I do.
11	Q	And then down below it there's a header underneath that
12	icon with a	a what looks like a dollar sign on the left.
13		MR. BLALACK: Shane, could you bring that up?
14	BY MR. BL	ALACK:
15	Q	And you'll see there, it says, "typically 90-95 percent of
16	claims are	captured in the United Healthcare contracted provider
17	network, a	bout 5-10 percent of claims are out-of-network"; do you see
18	that?	
19	А	I do.
20	Q	What does that mean?
21		MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, may I visit with Mr. Blalack
22	briefly for	one second?
23		THE COURT: Yes, you may.
24		[Counsel confer]
25		MR. BLALACK: My colleague kindly reminded me this was
	I	

24

25

1	condition	ally admitted, Your Honor, so I think we need to do the honors
2	and form	ally move it for admitting.
3		THE COURT: And give me the number again.
4		MR. BLALACK: It's 154, I believe, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 154.
5		MR. ZAVITSANOS: And obviously, no objection, Your
6	Honor.	
7		THE COURT: All right. Exhibit one-fifty
8		MR. BLALACK: It would still be conditionally admitted if he
9	hadn't re	minded me. That's okay.
10		THE COURT: All right. 154 will be admitted.
11		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 154 admitted into evidence]
12	BY MR. E	BLALACK:
13	Q	All right. Sir, we were looking at that highlighted phrase, 59-
14	95 percer	nt of claims are captured in the UnitedHealthcare contracted
15	provider	network, about 5-10 percent of claims are out-of-network; do
16	you see t	hat?
17	А	I do.
18	Q	What does that mean?
19	А	So that means, and just kind of rounding, 95 percent of the
20	claims th	at are received through UnitedHealthcare for either fully insured
21	or ASO b	usiness are performed by providers that are inside the United
22	network.	That means they're a par provider, they're a contracted
23	provider,	they have agreed-upon rates.

reimbursements already specified?

And so those would be providers where the rate of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

- Q And then what's the five to ten percent that's referenced?
- A So that five percent is the percent of claims that are received and administered for providers that are non-par, not in the network, no agreed upon rate.
- Q Okay. And just -- I think everyone understands, but you understand, sir, that the claims at issue in this case are limited to out-of-network claims, correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q So that's in that five to ten percent, approximately?
 - A Correct.
- Q Now if you look at the next column under the clipboard there it says, "Out-of-network programs are in place to help control costs when non-contracted providers are chosen, or when a member gets treated by a non-network provider within a network facility." Do you see that?
 - A I do.
 - Q What does that mean?
- A So basically, at a high level it means that there are programs in place to address non-par billed charges, there's two avenues that a member could typically see a non-par provider. One is by choice, so if you bump up knee, you don't have to go right away, and you're like schedule surgery, that's your choice if you went to a non-par provider.

And then there is a non-par provider that could be a -- in a par facility. So large, national hospital systems typically use staffing companies to support their emergency rooms, anesthesiologists. You

would never know when you're getting treated that they're non-par, and
so you would, you know, that is another circumstance where you would
step into that.

- Q So in that last pause there, when a member is treated by a non-network provider within their facility, so just so I'm clear, that would be a scenario where you went to a hospital. Say you looked in your provider handbook, that hospital would be in your network at your participating hospital; is that what I understand that to be?
- A Yes. If you had the luxury of doing that and not being taken by ambulance or emergency, yes.
- O But you arrived at that facility thinking and knowing the facility was in the network, but you might find out that one of the professionals that works in the hospital is not a member there?
 - A That's is correct.
- Q Now if you look at the next column, right-hand side. And below that is says quote, efforts to control cutting costs, ongoing management and application of existing out-of-network programs, continual evaluation of program performance and new ideas around OON cost containment. Do you see that?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q What does that mean?
- A We continuously look at our out-of-network programs to make sure we're paying a fair and reasonable rate, and we're addressing costs.
 - Okay. And so, it sounds like there's two functions here.

There's one that's managing the existing programs and then cost	at
looking to improve; is that fair?	

- A That's correct.
- Q Now let me show you another page, page 11, of this document.

MR. BLALACK: Shane, if you could move to that.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

BY MR. BLALACK:

- Q David, and if you could turn to page 11. And do you see a header there, it says none contracted providers?
 - A Yes, they do.
- Q Okay. Now there's two columns. One says paid at network benefit level. One says paid at out-of-network benefit level. Do you see that?
 - A I do.
- O This is going to be a little tricky, so I just want to make sure the jury has clarity about what we need when we refer to a benefit level. So first, why don't you describe for the jury what is the network or in-network benefit level?

A The way -- there's a lot of nuances, but the way I kind of explained it to you is claims that are paid at the in-network benefit level are claims to the providers first that are participating in the network. So you get the highest benefit. The lowest co-insurance. The lowest co-pay. And services where you didn't have the choice and if you were going non par. So think about it as I described before. If you go to the

hospital and you were admitted to the ER, there might be providers that are not participating, but you would never know anesthesiologists, ER physicians, you didn't physically make that choice to go see that provider.

On the out-of-network benefit level, those are always the providers that are not in the provider network for United Healthcare. And also, circumstance -- so they're non-par. All of them are non-par. And then we also call that kind of the choice. So my example of let's just say you tweaked your knee. You wanted to go get it scoped. You schedule something two weeks down the road to get it done, but you'd make a decision. I'm going to go to a provider that I've known before but they're not in my network. That's a no choice or that's a choice. You made a conscious choice to go that way.

Those services you usually have a higher out-of-pocket expense. A higher co-insurance deductible, et cetera.

- Q Okay. So just see if I can summarize. Is it the case that all out-of-network benefit level services are out-of-network provider claims?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. For in-network benefit level however, can there be out-of-network claim for services under the in-network benefit?
 - A Yes, there can.
 - Q Give me an example on that.
- A As I was talking before, we call it the no choice. You didn't make the choice to go see that doctor. They came in and treated you. It could be an ER physician, anesthesiologist. Those are two common

examp	les
 07101110	

- Q Okay. And in this case, it's stipulated that all of the disputed services are out-of-network emergency room services. Do you understand that, Mr. Haben?
 - A Yes, I do.
- Q On which of these two benefit levels, network benefit level, in-network benefit level or out-of-network benefit level are emergency room claims, out-of-network emergency room claims process?
- A At the highest benefit level, which is the in-network benefit level.
- Q So out-of-network emergency room claim is an example of an out-of-network claim that's paid on an in-network benefit level?
 - A That is correct.
- Q All right. Now sir, I'm going to show you -- and in fact, if you look under that left hand column page of in-network, you'll see service categories there. Would you describe for the jury this service category is describing under that benefit level?

A Yeah. As I've talked before, the examples in here are emergency hospital-based physicians, so ER physicians. And they could also be radio -- what we called last -- the other day RAPL, radiology, anesthesiology, pathology, lab, emergency room. And under the service categories, could you describe us some examples there?

A Yeah. So like again, where I've talked before, they're not emergent. You made a decision. It could be ambulatory surgery centers, so that could be where you're going to go get your knee scoped

or something replaced. There could be other specialist facilities, et cetera.

- Q Now I'd like to talk about some of these programs. And if you would Shane, go to page 12 of this document. Mr. Haben, if you could turn to page 12. And you'll see a big header that reads out-of-network programs. Do you see that?
 - A I do.
- Q Okay. Can you please just tell the jury what is being described on this page of this document?
- A At a high level, those are the programs that we have for our out-of-network program.
- Q And you talked about these programs off and on over the last few weeks; is that right?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Now I want to go to discuss the first of these that I want to talk about is on page 15 of this document. So can you go to page 15? So do you see a title there for maximum reimbursement program?
 - A Yes. Maximum out-of-network reimbursement program.
- Q And look to the right, in the upper right-hand corner. Read to the jury, sir, what that first bullet says.
- A The first bullet says, provide more predictable costs using CMS reimbursement methodology. Typically, 110 percent of CMS are fully insured, and 140 percent of the CMS are self-funded or ASL.
 - Q Thank you. When you say CMS, what is that referring to?
 - A That's Medicare.

Q	Okay.	All right.	Now, sir,	we're	going t	o go	page	16.	And
Mr. Haben,	can yo	u tell the	jury what	this sli	ide desc	cribe	s?		

A This is what we call ENRP. It's the extended out-of-network reimbursement program.

Q And if you go the right-hand column and pull up that first bullet. It says lowers costs of noncontracted provider claims, helps to lower member coinsurance deductible if members receive care outside of the United Healthcare Network, open paren, or in an emergency situation where member receive such services, close paren.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, I have an unrelated issue. If I could approach please?

THE COURT: You may. But it's probably a good time to give everyone a recess.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay.

THE COURT: So would you rather take the recess now? It's about time -- is this a good stopping point?

MR. BLALACK: I'm ready whenever. Yeah, whatever's best for the jury.

THE COURT: Yeah. We have just been an hour. It's 10:35. We'll go ahead and take a 15-minute recess.

During the recess, you're instructed to not talk with each other or anyone else on any subject connected to the trial. Don't read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Don't discuss this case with anyone connected to it by any medium of information. Including without limitation, newspapers, television, radio,

internet, cells phones or texting.

Don't conduct any research on your own or get -- relating to the case. Don't consult dictionaries, use the internet, or use reference materials. Don't talk, text, tweet, Google issues, or post any social media or conduct any other type of book or computer research with regard to any issue, party, witness, or attorney involved in this case. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the matter is submitted to you.

Please be ready at 10:50.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Jury out at 10:36 a.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. The room is clear. Mr. Zavitsanos?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Yes, Your Honor. And by standing when I did, I do not mean to waive or open the door to the Court's in limine ruling. I did not stand and object when this came up, because I did not want to draw attention to it. If I may approach, Your Honor, and I can show counsel.

THE COURT: You may.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: This is the slide that he had up. And can I hand this to the Court, Your Honor?

So one of the -- this is the slide that was up immediately before the break. And it concerns -- I'm just going to use the acronym, Your Honor. It concerns the MNRP program. This is something that has been limined [sic] out. This is something that is not part of this case. It

expressly says on that document that the Court -- I just handed the Court, that it only applies to non-emergent claims.

The reason, I believe, that that was put up there, was to suggest that this program for non-emergent claims, which is something that the patient elects to do is the appropriate out-of-network rate. Now that is in the Court's order in limine. In fact, it was their motion in limine that raised this, which I understand to be mutual. And I understand it's in the document, but I did not ask any questions about the MNRP program. And the reason I didn't ask about that, is because of the Court's order in limine.

Now I had, believe it or not, I had even more questions for Mr. Haben on this program and why the rates are so low. But because it was off limits, I didn't ask it. So the only thing I would ask is that -- and I understand this is kind of a work in progress and we're -- and I do not believe counsel intentionally violated the Court's order in limine. I would simply ask that counsel please avoid any explicit reference to MNRP going forward because that has no application here.

MR. BLALACK: Okay. All right. Your Honor, I mean, I'm confused. Because they must have discussed MNRP 20 times over the last week during the course of questioning back and forth. Documents and emails with MNRP all over it. The document I'm using right now, is a document they introduced and offered into evidence and is in evidence. So I'm permitted to question the witness about the document in evidence. The slides that has the chart on it, that has the listing, MNRP is liable, and I think we've shown that question about them in the

3

5

4

7

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

case.

Now, I'm doing my best to observe in limine and agreements and rulings, but we've got so many tripwires here where you can't talk about Medicare and then you talk about it a lot and then you talk about it a little. It's impossible to try this case when we don't have clear rulings. And I want to obviously respect the Court's authority, and I want to abide by it vigorously, but we've got to have some rules where it applies to both sides. And if the Court's ruling is neither party will reference the term MNRP a single more time in the case, I'm fine with that. But it can't be a world where documents are shown to the witness. It's got MNRP all over it and then the witness mentions in testimony and the jury hears it and then I can't ask anything about it.

So I just got to have some bright lines that I can follow and adhere to and if they will follow and adhere to it, I'm fine.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: And brief reply, Your Honor. I did not ask one guestion, not one, about MNRP, not one. I asked about ENRP, and I know the mask sometimes makes it difficult to hear. I did not ask any questions about MNRP. And, Your Honor, I invite counsel to go through the transcript and find where I did. I did not.

I understand it's on the document. This was their limine point, not ours, okay. And I deliberately avoided asking any questions. And frankly, to counsel's comment that the rulings are confusing, they're not. I think we are very clear about what the Court -- the Medicare line is. We're very clear about the rulings. I've not heard any confusion about what we should avoid and what we should not. But this MNRP

issue is clear as day. I mean, this was the subject of one of their limine points. And so --

THE COURT: So I'm going to sustain the objection. But if you open the door, you open the door.

MR. BLALACK: Of course. Okay. And I'm fine with it, Your Honor. As long as both sides stay away from it, I'm fine. No documents showing it. No writing it on the boards or anything like that then I'm fine.

THE COURT: Good enough. Do we have other issues that we don't want at the bench? Let's take those up --

MR. BLALACK: Not from me, Your Honor.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: From us, Your Honor, very briefly. So while we -- during the course of the examination, counsel asked questions about -- and counsel will correct me if I misstate this, but it was a question around charges and elevated charges over a period of time. And the question was whether he had looked at data to support the opinion the charges were escalating.

We have a couple of objections to that. Number one, it's hearsay. Number two, none of those, none of that information was produced. Counsel should be limited to what is in evidence. Number three, this gentleman was not designated as a non-retained expert. And if the Court will remember, there was a pretty vigorous back and forth about a couple of doctors that we designated as non-retained experts that they took issue with. We gave them fair notice that we designated them.

This is brand new. This is getting sprung on us for the first time. He was not designated. And finally, the only evidence in the case -- now this is a weight, not an admissibility issue, but the only evidence in the case is the opposite. The charges were going down. And -- which underscores why I would need the data to be able to decipher it to be able to impact that. Because there's no question that in terms of what would make the most impact on a jury. You know, we all tend to think of how is this going to affect me?

So if the jury's thinking the charges are going up and my premiums are going up, that's going to make more of an impact. And I can't contradict that. I can't challenge it. I can't question that without the underlying data to which he's referring to.

THE COURT: Any response please?

MR. BLALACK: Got a couple. Your Honor, we're not offering any opinion testimony here. He's testifying to his own personal knowledge as an executive in healthcare based on his own experience, working in the company, what he observed and saw. They are free to impeach him by showing that he -- the documents in evidence, I mean, both parties did a list, don't support his understanding or to argue that he has no basis for that. Those are both fair game for cross-examination. But there's not an opinion about this. That's point one.

Well two, their own data that they're relying on, the Fair
Health Data, plus their own charges that they produced in this case for
themselves are indisputable that the charges are going up. So we're not
going to have to debate about who knew what when, because their own

evidence that they are paid and produced from their own files, shows that the charges were going up. And that will be explored in depth with their witnesses and with the expert.

So just to respond, there is no opinion testimony. He's giving personal knowledge testimony based on his own role and time in Humana Healthcare. There are regions of documents on both party's exhibit list that discuss charges going up. And in fact, he was cross-examined about some of them over the last week. And there is raw data that has been produced showing what the charges for the Plaintiffs were and what the charges in this state are during the period in question, which is only in evidence and will be the subject of a substantial factor in expert testimony.

So I think this is a grounds for impeachment, but it's not a basis for arguing about the admissibility of the testimony or impropriety of the claim. So I'll leave that. And unless the Court has anything else for me, I do want to come back to the MNRP point just before we close.

THE COURT: We will.

MR. BLALACK: I got one final point on that.

THE COURT: That's fine. And you reply?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Brief reply, Your Honor. The question was not about our charges, which again, he was designated as a non-retained expert on that. He's welcome, if he's reviewed our charges, and we welcome that, to go through what our charges were. The question was really more kind of much broader nation why and kind of what's going on in the medical community in general and what they're looking

at.	And that	data,	Your	Honor,	has never	been	produced	, never
-----	----------	-------	------	--------	-----------	------	----------	---------

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule your objection.

You can take it up -- you can test his credibility when you redirect him?

MR. ZAVITSANOS: Okay. May I approach, Your Honor? I can take my --

THE COURT: Yes, of course.

MR. BLALACK: The last piece, Your Honor, before we close out and get a little break is Mr. Roberts was kind enough to bring up the transcript's day seven of Mr. Zavitsanos' examination.

THE COURT: Let me -- I can pull it up too.

MR. BLALACK: This is page 48 of 217 on the transcript.

There's a question at line 11. It starts with ASO for Mr. Haben. Mr.

Zavitsanos asks, "Available options for clients instead of MNRP. We'll talk about that later. Majority of ASO clients still use this out-of-network reimbursement methodology, right?" Answer on line 14, "Yes. That's what that says."

So that's an example of this other thing I was remembering.

And not to mention documents and everything else. So that's what my view is.

MR. ZAVITSANOS: I -- when we -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. I didn't mean to go on. Brief response? I was reading from a document from an email. I never asked any questions about what MNRP is. What the program is. What the reimbursement is. It was something from an email that I was reading, okay. And I never -- I did not ask any questions about what MNRP is.

1	THE COURT: So thank you for the clarification. The ruling
2	still stands.
3	MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: What else do we need to do
5	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Nothing else from me, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: before you got a few minutes.
7	MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: See you in a few minutes.
9	MR. BLALACK: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	[Recess taken from 10:47 a.m. to 10:54 a.m.)
11	THE MARSHAL: Court is back in session.
12	THE COURT: Are we ready to bring in the jury?
13	MR. BLALACK: We're ready, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: And please remain seated for me.
15	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, Your Honor and I checked
16	with counsel. He doesn't have an objection. With the Court's
17	permission, I neglected to introduce two other client reps today. May I
18	do that five seconds before counsel starts?
19	THE COURT: No objection?
20	MR. BLALACK: Absolutely. That'll be fine.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	MR. BLALACK: And Your Honor, you indicated we'll go
23	until
24	THE COURT: 11:50.
25	MR. BLALACK: 11:50? Yeah. Because some of the judges

1	will come into this courtroom for the meeting.								
2	MR. BLALACK: That'll work perfect, Your Honor. Thank you.								
3	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.								
4	[Jury in at 10:56 a.m.]								
5	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.								
6	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Your Honor, Mr. Zavitsanos wanted to								
7	have the opportunity to introduce one of his clients. He can do that								
8	before I proceed.								
9	THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead, please.								
10	MR. ZAVITSANOS: Thank you, Your Honor. I neglected to								
11	do this earlier. My apologies. With us today, two additional								
12	representatives. Dr. Crystal Sturgis. Can you please stand? And Dr.								
13	Cole Soundrup, who was here previously. Thank you, Your Honor.								
14	THE COURT: Thank you, and thank you for your professiona								
15	courtesy.								
16	MR. BLALACK: Of course.								
17	BY MR. BLALACK:								
18	Q All right. We were about to dig into the next								
19	MR. BLALACK: Pull that off the screen, please.								
20	BY MR. BLALACK:								
21	Q We were about to dig into the next program that was being								
22	described in Exhibit Plaintiffs' Exhibit 154. I believe that was the								
23	Extended Out-Of-Network Reimbursement Program, ENRP. And what I								
24	was going to start with, Mr. Haben, is that upper right-hand bullet. You								
25	see where it save "Lowers seet of percentrasted provider claims, helps								

lower coinsurance and deductibles when members receive care outside of the UnitedHealthcare Network or in emergency situations where the member receive such care."

What does that mean?

A So ENRP applies to noncontracted providers to address their cost shares. We've kind of gone through some of those examples. For non-par providers, when they've gone outside the UnitedHealthcare network and you seek services or you have services in an ER situation, like a no choice situation.

Q Now, it says underneath that bullet -- you'll see a bullet that reads, "Applies to non-par emergent or non-emergent claims at inbenefit level preferred benefit."

What is that referring to?

A So when we were looking at one of the charts before, kind of on the right -- or left-hand side. Sorry. I'm looking in a mirror. Left-hand side is in-network. No choice. Right. Inside is out-of-network benefit level choice. This would be the in-network benefit level. The left-hand side of that chart, where it's paid at the highest benefit level, there's no choice.

- O Does ENRP apply to out-of-network ER services?
- A Yes, it does.
- Q Now, is the ENRP program -- you see that bullet, where it says -- next bullet, the third bullet, standard for fully insured plan?
 - A Yes, I see that.
 - Q What does that refer to?

	А	As I've explained before, benefit plans outline what	
	programs apply. It's a standard benefit inside the fully insured benefi		
	plans we a	re allowed and approved by the state.	
	Q	Okay. Does ENRP provide protections for members from	
	balance bi	lling typically?	
A The program does not.			
		MR. BLALACK: Now, if you pull out of that, Shane, and go	
back and look in the blue box down at the bottom.			
BY MR. BLALACK:			
	Q	You'll see a little word, the sentence or phrase that says no	
	client fee.	You see that?	
	А	I see that.	
	Q	Is that correct? There is no fee to charge to clients for the	
	ENRP prog	ıram?	
	А	Correct. If the client adopts it, we do not charge them the fee	
for that program.			
	Q	Okay. When did UnitedHealthcare first begin offering ENRP	
as an out of network program to its clients?			
	А	ENRP is in place been in place for probably about ten	
	years.		
	Q	Is ENRP still in use today?	
	Α	Yes, it is.	
	Q	And was ENRP offered continually through that period from	
when it was introduced up 'til today?			
	Α	Yes.	

1	Q	All right. Let's talk about another program. Pull out of this		
2	one and go	o to page 13 of exhibit Plaintiffs' Exhibit 154. And you'll see		
3	a reference	e to a shared savings program. Do you see that, sir?		
4	А	I do.		
5	Q	If you would just review that slide very quickly and tell me if		
6	you think i	t accurate describes the program?		
7	А	Could I just take a minute?		
8		[Witness reviews document]		
9	Α	Okay.		
10	Q	And does that slide accurately describe the shared savings		
11	program as	s you understand it?		
12	А	Yes, it does.		
13	Q	Do you see in the upper right-hand corner, there's a bullet		
14		MR. BLALACK: And Shane, can you bubble that out?		
15	BY MR. BL	ALACK:		
16	Q	It says "UHC contracts with MultiPlan access third-party		
17	networks a	s well as fee negotiation services to obtain discounts on out-		
18	of-network claims." Do you see that?			
19	А	I do.		
20	Q	What are you referring to when you refer to a third party		
21	network?			
22	А	So that's not a network that United has contracted, so		
23	MultiPlan o	could be a third party network. There are other third party		
24	networks o	out there we have used in the past. First Health Group, which		
25	is part of Aetna now. What we call non-logged small discount networks			

\sim	\	١I	ı
α	WE	: .	

- Q So a third party network is not a network that is directed by or controlled by United?
 - A It is not.
- Q And what were the names of the various vendors you've used over the years?
- A So we've used multiple vendors, MultiPlan is one of the biggest. First Health Group, so FHG, which is now owned by Aetna. There's other entities called TC3. I couldn't tell you the purpose of the acronym. And other third party networks that are out there.
- Q Okay. The jury's heard several times references to something called a wrap network and that's spelled w-r-a-p?
 - A Yes. That's correct.
 - Q Is a wrap network a third party network, as described here?
 - A Yes. It could be viewed that way.
- Q And just so the jury's clear, would you describe for them how does a wrap network operate? Who are the participants in a wrap network and how does it function?
- A So first of all, I don't know why -- who invented the word wrap with a capital W, but think about it wrapping around your par network. Okay. So it's used in what you would call an out-of-network setting, so provider is not in the primary network. So for United's case, it's not in United. Almost kind of like gap fill. It's to help mitigate costs. It wraps around your primary network. It's used for, as I described before, in or out-of-network benefit level. I don't remember the rest of

your	quest	tion
	_	

Q Okay. Well, let me ask it this way. In a wrap network, who are the parties to the wrap contract or network wrap?

A Well, the -- there's the contract between the contracting entity, like a MultiPlan or First Health Group and the provider. And then they have agreed upon rates. And inside those, those typically say -- those can be used by other entities with some requirements.

Q And then what is the relationship between the health insurer or administrator and the vendor, who enters the wrap network with provider?

A So entities like United, or Aetna, or Cigna, or the Blues will go contract with a vendor like your MultiPlan or a First Health group to access those networks. Typically they have, at least United does, the right to not use certain wrap networks if we deem so.

Q And I was going to ask you that. When you say access the network, what does that mean?

A So we can access the discount arrangements with those third party providers or third party vendors that have contracts with providers.

- Q Are you obligated to access them?
- A No, we're not.
- Q Now, in that sentence describing the shared savings program, in -- excuse me -- in addition to referring to accessing third party networks, it refers to fee negotiation services. Do you see that?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q Please describe to the jury what that means.

A So those are what we call like a prospective fee negotiation,
so usually done before the claim is finally paid. So again, think about it
as another layer, almost like a waterfall. You got your primary network
of United Healthcare. That's not this. The provider's non-participating in
UnitedHealth network. If there's a wrap network agreement, we choose
to use that, we'll use that. If that's not available, then in this situation,
there's prospective fee negotiation. So then the vendor, you know, like a
MultiPlan, or former Concentra, or other entities. There's Zelis, there's
entities out there that will go reach out to the provider and say hey,
would you take this discount arrangement. They might have a standing
agreement with those providers in writing or they might actually
physically call and say for this service, we believe this reasonable
amount is this. Would you accept that and promise not to balance bill
the patient. If they do that, the claim gets priced and sent back.

O That was my next question. For both -- well, let me back up. For the shared savings program, what are the components of the program? When someone says what are the components of the shared savings program, what would you say?

A The components of the shared savings program are the wrap network and the fee negotiation, prospective fee negotiations.

- Q Two pieces.
- A Two pieces.
- Q And you've been focusing on prospective negotiation. Is there another kind of negotiation that's different than prospective?
 - A There could be. This -- there could be, just like with outlier

cost management, where we'll pay what we feel is a reasonable amount
We'll put a statement in the EOB saying, "Hey, call us if you don't agree
with that amount," and then there's kind of a retrospective negotiation.
There might be situations where the provider says, hey, I think I deserve
this and this is why, and they will negotiate.

- Q Okay. We'll talk about outlier cost management in a moment, but for shared shavings, the negotiation service is a prospective negotiation?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Meaning there hasn't been any payment on the claim at the time MultiPlan engages with a provider?
 - A Right.
- O For both components of this program, fee negotiation and wrap network, if a payment is made under this program and the provider accepts the prospectively negotiated payment for his pay pursuant to the wrap third party network agreement, is there any balance billing permitted of the members?
 - A No, there's not.
- Q So with that, those two scenarios, is the provider agreeing to accept that payment as paid in full?
 - A Yes, they are.
- O Now when would you ever have this fee -- prospective fee negotiation, if you've also got a wrap network in place? Why would you ever get to the fee negotiation with [indiscernible]?
 - A If the provider's not the wrap network.