IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Dec 07 2022 11:50 AM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA, Appellant(s),

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent(s),

Case No: C-16-315580-1

Docket No: 85694

RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME 5

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT CEASAR VALENCIA # 94307, PROPER PERSON P.O. BOX 208 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070 ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-2212

VOLUME:	PAGE NUMBER:
1	1 - 240
2	241 - 480
3	481 - 720
4	721 - 960
5	961 - 1200
6	1201 - 1441
7	1442 - 1586

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
2	3/22/2018	Appellant's Request for Rough Draft Transcripts	312 - 314
6	8/25/2022	Application to Proceed Informa Pauperis (Confidential)	1358 - 1370
2	3/1/2018	Case Appeal Statement	303 - 306
2	3/5/2018	Case Appeal Statement	310 - 311
6	11/15/2022	Case Appeal Statement	1433 - 1434
1	7/18/2016	Certificate of Mailing	92 - 92
1	9/26/2016	Certificate of Mailing	93 - 93
1	10/11/2016	Certificate of Mailing	167 - 167
1	10/11/2016	Certificate of Mailing	168 - 169
1	12/28/2016	Certificate of Mailing	170 - 170
1	12/28/2016	Certificate of Mailing	171 - 171
1	12/28/2016	Certificate of Mailing	172 - 172
1	2/3/2017	Certificate of Mailing	207 - 210
1	2/3/2017	Certificate of Mailing	211 - 211
2	3/2/2018	Certificate of Mailing	309 - 309
7	12/7/2022	Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record	
1	6/8/2016	Criminal Bindover (Confidential)	1 - 26
6	6/28/2018	Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case	1219 - 1219
1	6/28/2016	Defendant's Discovery Motion	30 - 40
1	9/26/2016	Defendant's Discovery Motion	94 - 128
7	12/7/2022	District Court Minutes	1546 - 1586
7	12/7/2022	Documentary Exhibits (Unfiled)	1447 - 1516

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
7	12/7/2022	Documentary Exhibits (Unfiled) Confidential	1517 - 1545
6	8/25/2022	Ex Parte Motion for Appointment of Counsel and Request for Evidentiary Hearing	1292 - 1296
7	12/1/2022	Ex Parte Motion for Order to Transport Prisoner	1442 - 1446
6	11/28/2022	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Amended Order	1435 - 1441
1	6/9/2016	Information	27 - 29
1	12/1/2017	Instructions to the Jury (Continued)	235 - 240
2	12/1/2017	Instructions to the Jury (Continuation)	241 - 264
2	12/1/2017	Instructions to the Jury	265 - 281
2	2/6/2018	Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial)	298 - 300
1	11/28/2017	Jury List	230 - 230
6	9/14/2022	Motion for Order to Show Cause	1380 - 1418
6	2/6/2020	Motion for Production of Documents, Papers, Pleadings and Tangible Property of Defendant (Expeditious Action Required)	1271 - 1275
6	8/12/2019	Motion for Withdrawal of Attorney of Record or in the Alternative, Request for Records/Court Case Documents	1228 - 1232
1	7/18/2016	Motion of Defendant to Inspect All Evidence Favorable to Him	86 - 91
1	6/14/2017	Motion to Continue Trial	221 - 224
1	12/28/2016	Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel	173 - 187

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> NUMBER:
6	11/14/2019	Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel; Request for Evidentiary Hearing	1248 - 1256
1	7/11/2016	Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appointment of Alternate Counsel	58 - 65
6	7/13/2020	Motion to Hold, Gregory E Coyer, Attorney of Record in Contempt for Failing to Forward a Copy of Case File	1277 - 1288
1	7/11/2016	Motion to Suppress Evidence and Return the Property to Defendant.	66 - 67
6	1/9/2020	Motion to Withdraw Counsel (Expeditious Action Requested)	1257 - 1262
6	5/13/2019	Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Affirmed	1220 - 1227
2	3/1/2018	Notice of Appeal	301 - 302
2	3/2/2018	Notice of Appeal	307 - 308
6	11/10/2022	Notice of Appeal	1431 - 1432
6	11/9/2022	Notice of Change of Hearing	1429 - 1430
1	7/1/2016	Notice of Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234(2)]	41 - 55
1	2/3/2017	Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal	218 - 220
1	9/26/2016	Notice of Motion	129 - 129
1	10/11/2016	Notice of Motion	150 - 150
1	12/28/2016	Notice of Motion	188 - 188
1	12/28/2016	Notice of Motion	189 - 189
1	12/28/2016	Notice of Motion	190 - 190
1	2/3/2017	Notice of Motion	212 - 212

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> NUMBER:
1	2/3/2017	Notice of Motion	213 - 213
6	8/12/2019	Notice of Motion	1233 - 1233
6	11/14/2019	Notice of Motion	1247 - 1247
6	1/9/2020	Notice of Motion	1263 - 1263
6	2/6/2020	Notice of Motion	1276 - 1276
6	7/13/2020	Notice of Motion	1289 - 1289
6	10/12/2020	Notice of Motion	1290 - 1291
6	9/14/2022	Notice of Motion	1379 - 1379
6	8/25/2022	Notice of Motion and Motion for Correction of an Illegal Sentence Pursuant to NRS 176.555	1297 - 1357
6	11/8/2022	Notice of Motion and Motion to Correction of an Illegal Sentence Pursuant to NRS 176.555; Request for Evidentiary Hearing	1425 - 1428
1	1/10/2017	Notice of Witnesses [NRS 174.234(1)(a)]	191 - 194
6	10/19/2022	Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Attorney and Request for Evidentiary Hearing and Motion for Correction of Illegal Sentence	1419 - 1421
6	10/19/2022	Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Order to Show Cause	1422 - 1424
1	6/16/2017	Order; Order to Clark County Detention Center to Reimburse Charges Incurred During Defendant's Self-Representation	225 - 226
2	12/28/2017	Presentence Investigation Report (Unfiled) Confidential	283 - 297
1	7/11/2016	Production of all Papers Documents and Items of Tangible Property	68 - 71

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> NUMBER:
1	10/11/2016	Right of Access to the Courts	151 - 166
1	11/27/2017	Second Amended Information	227 - 229
6	9/14/2022	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Correct an Illegal Sentence, Motion to Appoint Counsel and Motion to Request an Evidentiary Hearing	1371 - 1378
1	7/18/2016	State's Response to Defendant's Discovery Motion	72 - 85
1	10/5/2016	State's Response to Defendant's Second Discovery Motion	130 - 149
1	2/3/2017	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses [NRS 174.234(1)(a)]	214 - 217
1	12/1/2017	Third Amended Information	231 - 232
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on August 23, 2016	335 - 341
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on August 25, 2016	342 - 364
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on August 9, 2016	332 - 334
5	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on December 1, 2017	1080 - 1189
5	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on December 1, 2017 (Continued)	1190 - 1200
6	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on December 1, 2017 (Continuation)	1201 - 1218
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on February 28, 2017	405 - 414
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on February 7, 2017	399 - 404

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on January 19, 2017	393 - 398
2	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on January 25, 2018	415 - 428
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on July 19, 2016	315 - 319
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on July 26, 2016	320 - 331
1	7/3/2016	Transcript of Hearing Held on June 8, 2016	56 - 57
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 1, 2016	374 - 379
2	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 27, 2017 (Continued)	429 - 480
3	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 27, 2017 (Continuation)	481 - 625
3	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 28, 2017 (Continued)	626 - 720
4	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 28, 2017 (Continuation)	721 - 786
4	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 29, 2017	787 - 952
4	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 30, 2017 (Continued)	953 - 960
5	4/19/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 30, 2017 (Continuation)	961 - 1079
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on November 8, 2016	380 - 392
2	3/27/2018	Transcript of Hearing Held on October 18, 2016	365 - 373
6	11/5/2019	Unfiled Document(s) - Attorney Letter w/Copy of Unfiled Ex Parte Motion for the	1234 - 1245

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> NUMBER:
		Appointment of Alternate Counsel Request for Evidentiary Hearing and w/Copy of Unsigned Order Appointing Counsel	
6	1/10/2020	Unfiled Document(s) - Attorney Letter w/Copy of Unfiled Motion for Production of Documents, Papers, Pleadings and Tangible Property of Defendant (Expeditious Action Required) and w/Copy of Unfiled Notice of Motion	1264 - 1270
1	1/26/2017	Unfiled Document(s) - Attorney Letter w/Copy of Unfiled Subpoena for Production of Documentary Evidence and of Objects., w/Copy of Unfiled Notice of Motion and w/Copy of Unfiled Certificate of Mailing	195 - 206
6	11/14/2019	Unsigned Document(s) - Order Appointing Counsel	1246 - 1246
1	12/1/2017	Verdict	233 - 234
2	12/1/2017	Verdict	282 - 282

because we had determined that we were going to take the vehicle down. So we notified the air unit, at which time while the vehicle was stationary, the air unit was set up a distance away, so it wasn't to be identified, and waited for radio traffic once the car got mobile again, which then proceeded back south on H Street. We notified the air unit. And the vehicle then continued south and east on Washington.

- Q Okay. And what did the team decide to do next?
- A We decided to identify a good spot to stop the vehicle, which was determined in a area of Washington and Main Street.
 - Q Why that location?

A Because just west of that location is an area where the -where the road dips down and there's walls on all side, which would
eliminate an area of escape for anyone, occupants of the vehicle.

- Q Was the felony car stop successful?
- A Yes.
- Q And explain to me what a felony car stop is.

A Felony car stop is conducted in high-risk situations, especially with anything involved with crimes that we're investigating the use of a weapon, where we -- the officers stay at a safe vantage point back at the vehicle and stop the vehicle and call the persons of the vehicle out one at a time and then draw them back to us with their hands up.

- Q Does the surveillance team conduct the felony car stop or do marked patrol units?
- A No. So the surveillance team will radio to marked patrol units and uniform officers that are in the area standing by for us to do it. We

1	advise t	hem where we wanted it done. Then they came in, they got
2	behind t	he vehicle and and the marked patrol units conduct the stop.
3	Q	Okay. Is that what happened in this case?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	And is that also for officer safety, why you would have a
6	marked	patrol unit stop that vehicle?
7	A	Officer safety, suspect safety, any any everyone's safety
8	in it, bed	cause you want to make sure they're not confused about who's,
9	you kno	w, stopping them.
10	Q	And at the time this felony car stop, as well, you said the air
11	was inve	olved?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Was the defendant successfully taken into custody?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	And your role that day, were you taking an active role that day
16	or a ligh	t-duty role?
17	A	I was an I was in light duty.
18	Q	And why was that?
19	A	I had broke my leg in February, so I was not able to have any
20	suspect	contact until they're taken into custody.
21	Q	Okay. So you didn't take any physical-type activity during the
22	takedow	n of the defendant?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	Okay. Did you eventually make your way over to the
25	defenda	int?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And who was there?
3	Α	Officer Lefebvre and Officer Milewski.
4	Q	And what did you observe?
5	А	At that point, they had the occupants of the vehicle back at the
6	patrol ca	r. Officer Lefebvre and Milewski were Officer Lefebvre was
7	conducti	ng a search after he was placed the defendant was placed in
8	handcuff	s, and Milewski was in a role of a contact.
9	Q	Were the drugs out of the out already by the time you got
10	there?	
11	Α	Yes. There was the drugs were on the hood of the car, and
12	some mo	oney.
13	Q	Did you take custody at that point of the narcotics?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	Why?
16	Α	Because in my capacity at that time, being light duty, I was
17	tasked w	rith doing the things that doesn't take an officer a full-duty thing,
18	so the fu	II-duty officers in capacity could get back on the streets or have
19	suspect	contact.
20	Q	Was the money that was retrieved from the defendant also
21	out?	
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	And did you take custody of that as well?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Did you place the narcotics in a evidence bag?
		11

1	A	Yes, I did.
2		MR. LEXIS: And may I approach, Your Honor?
3		THE COURT: Counsel approach. Mr. Coyer?
4		[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
5		THE COURT: Have you reviewed all the evidence bags and
6	make sur	e there's nothing on the front of any of the evidence bags
7	before the	ey're
8		MR. COYER: I've reviewed this one.
9		THE COURT: Okay. I just want to be sure.
10		MR. COYER: Yeah. And there's a reference to a warrants
11	on	
12		THE COURT: Huh?
13		MR. COYER: There's a reference to warrants on it. I think
14	we're goi	ng to leave the bag out
15		MR. LEXIS: I'm going to lead him through this.
16		THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to do go through it
17		MR. LEXIS: No, sir. No, sir.
18		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Okay. So
19		MR. LEXIS: Just just solidifying the chain of custody,
20	Judge.	
21		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
22		[End of bench conference.]
23		MR. LEXIS: May I approach, Judge?
24		THE COURT: Yes.
25	BY MR. L	EXIS:
	I	1 ^

1	Q	Sir, I'm showing you what has already been admitted as
2	State's E	Exhibit 4; do you recognize this bag?
3	A	Yes, I do.
4	Q	Is it the bag that you impounded on that night?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Do you see your initials on that bag?
7	A	I do.
8	Q	Can you please open this bag? I'll give you a scissors. Hold
9	on. The	bag's open? Okay.
10		Appears the bag's already been opened. So will you please
11	take wha	at's out of the bag. Appear to be three baggies inside that bag,
12	sir?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Sir, I'm showing you State's Exhibit 4D; is that one of the bags
15	that you	saw on the hood standing next to Officer Lefebvre, Officer
16	Milewski	i, and the defendant on the night in question?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And is that a crystal-like substance?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Showing you State's Exhibit 4C; do you recognize that, sir?
21	A	Yes, I do.
22	Q	Is that also one of the baggies that was found next to the
23	defenda	nt, Officer Lefebvre, and Officer Milewski on the night in
24	question	1?
25	A	Yes.

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Do you remember how much?
3	A	The total amount was \$946.
4	Q	Do you remember the breakdown, sir?
5	A	It was multiple denominations. I don't remember the exact.
6	Q	Sir, as part of your duties as an officer in this case, did you
7	conduct	a property report, since you were the impound officer?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Will it refresh your memory if I showed you your property
10	report?	
11	A	Yes.
12		MR. LEXIS: Your Honor, may I approach?
13		THE COURT: Yes.
14	BY MR.	LEXIS:
15	Q	Please read that to yourself, sir. Don't say anything. Let me
16	know wh	en you're done.
17	A	[Witness complies.] All right.
18	Q	Did that refresh your memory, sir?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	What was the breakdown?
21	A	There was 41 twenties, 19 fives
22		MS. PLUNKETT: Object to relevance.
23		THE COURT: Restate the question, please.
24		MR. LEXIS: What was the breakdown?
25		THE COURT: Counsel, approach.
		15

[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]

THE COURT: A relevance instruction requires -- requires a speaking objection. That's why I've asked you to approach. Why isn't it relevant?

MS. PLUNKETT: Object to the relevance of the denomination of the money, because we've already established how much he had on him. And any breakdown is going to lead to a prejudicial insinuation that he's some type of drug dealer, that he had just done these cash transactions. And I believe that the relevance is the total amount of money as opposed to the breakdown.

THE COURT: State, response?

MR. LEXIS: We've got to prove that he had possession of it and that -- and one of the charges is trafficking. It's -- it's highly relevant with this type of --

MS. PLUNKETT: One of the charges is not --

THE COURT: Let -- let him finish.

MS. PLUNKETT: Sorry.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. LEXIS: It's highly relevant, Judge, that someone who just is not going to have -- these drugs just miraculously appeared in my pocket. No. When you have drugs and that type of money and those denominations, that all absolutely is relevant to the fact that this man moved these drugs.

THE COURT: Okay. Response?

MS. PLUNKETT: And one of the charges is not any kind of

1	possession	on with intent to sell.
2		THE COURT: Okay.
3		MS. PLUNKETT: It's a simple trafficking charge.
4		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
5		[End of bench conference.]
6		THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may answer.
7		THE WITNESS: Honestly, I'd have to refresh my memory
8	again.	
9		MR. LEXIS: May I approach?
10		THE WITNESS: Yes.
11		THE COURT: Yeah.
12	BY MR. LEXIS:	
13	Q	Please read this to yourself, sir. Don't say anything. Let me
14	know whe	en you're done.
15	Α	[Witness complies.] All right.
16	Q	What denominations, sir?
17	Α	There's 31 one-dollar bills, 19 five-dollar bills, and 41
18	twenty-do	llar bills.
19		MR. LEXIS: Court's indulgence.
20		Nothing further, Your Honor.
21		THE COURT: Cross-examination by the defense?
22		MS. PLUNKETT: Yes, Judge.
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. P	PLUNKETT:
25	Q	Good morning, Officer.
		17

1	Α	Good morning.
2	Q	Mr. Lexis refreshed your recollection of the property impound
3	report rig	ght now, correct?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Do you you should be refreshed on the fact that you took a
6	DNA bud	ccal swab of Mr. Valencia's DNA, correct?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And for what purpose would that DNA swab be taken?
9	A	For comparison to any other evidence that may have been in
10	contact v	with the defendant.
11	Q	And you, yourself, impounded that DNA?
12	A	I did.
13	Q	To be provided to another officer for DNA comparison?
14	A	To the forensic lab.
15	Q	Thank you.
16		MS. PLUNKETT: The court's indulgence.
17		That's all, Judge.
18		THE COURT: Any
19		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR.	LEXIS:
21	Q	Detective, is that standard procedure when you have
22	recovere	ed a gun in this situation?
23	Α	Yes.
24		MR. LEXIS: Thank you.
25		THE COURT: Any recross?
	1	18

1		MS. PLUNKETT: No, Judge.
2		THE COURT: Can this witness be excused?
3		MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor.
4		THE COURT: Thank you, Detective.
5		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6		THE COURT: You're excused.
7		State, call your next witness.
8		MR. DICKERSON: State's next witness is Crystal May.
9		CRYSTAL MAY
10	[hav	ing been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
11		follows:]
12		THE CLERK: Please be seated. Can you please state and
13	spell you	ur name for the record?
14		THE WITNESS: My name is Crystal May, C-R-Y-S-T-A-L
15	M-A-Y.	
16		MR. DICKERSON: May I proceed, Your Honor?
17		THE COURT: Yes.
18		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
19		DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
21	Q	What do you do for a living, ma'am?
22	А	I am a forensic scientist within the Biology DNA Detail of the
23	Las Veg	as Metropolitan Police Department Forensic Laboratory.
24	Q	What are your general duties in that capacity?
25	Α	As a forensic scientist in the DNA Detail, I examine evidence
		19

for the absence or presence of DNA evidence. I take those samples on through a chemical laboratory process to isolate the DNA and develop a DNA profile. Once I develop a profile from the evidence, I can then develop a profile from reference standards also submitted in the same case for comparison. I write a report with my conclusions and comparisons, as well as statistics, issue the report, and help review other people's cases as well.

Q What sort of training and experience do you have that got you to this position that you hold today?

A I currently hold a Bachelor of Science in Biology from St. Mary of The Woods College in Terre Haute, Indiana. I also hold a Master a Science in Forensic Science from the University of New Haven in Connecticut.

Upon being hired on as a forensic scientist within the LVMPD Forensic Lab, I was put forth into an 18-month extensive training program that covered tons of topics, including written articles, practical samples, practical tests, and evidence sample -- or samples that closely mimicked those that we would find in evidence. I was also put through a moot court. We are regularly proficiency tested. Once I got out of the training program, I've been doing this for 16 -- or 16 -- 6 years.

Q And just enlighten us a little bit; what is DNA?

A DNA stands for deoxyribonucleic acid. And it's the basic building block of all living things. You get half of your DNA from your mother, half from your father. We like it in forensic testing, because the DNA in your hair is the same as the DNA in your toes. It doesn't change

1	from birth to death.
2	THE COURT: Counsel, approach please.
3	MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
4	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
5	THE COURT: Are you having this witness testify as an expert
6	witness?
7	MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. So what do you want take a while
9	and voir dire as to her qualifications?
0	MR. COYER: No. We'll stipulate to her expertise.
1	THE COURT: You're going to stipulate? In what area of
2	expertise is she going to testify in?
3	MR. DICKERSON: It's noticed. We've noticed her as a
4	THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to accept her as an expert.
5	I'm going to ask you if you have any opposition. You can say you
6	stipulate.
7	MR. COYER: We'll stipulate to her qualifications, yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. So do I have to notify the jury that she's
9	testifying because you're going to have a jury instruction on expert
20	witnesses. I
21	MR. DICKERSON: No. I I don't think it's necessary, Your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: I usually have the jury know that she's
24	testifying as an expert.
25	MR. DICKERSON: We can

1	MR. LEXIS: If something that's
2	MR. DICKERSON: Sure.
3	MR. LEXIS: we don't care either way.
4	MR. DICKERSON: Sure.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. LEXIS: But if you want it, Judge
7	THE COURT: No, no. I'm just trying to make a clean record
8	here.
9	MR. LEXIS: Okay.
10	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: So I just so I I'll just announce I'm going to
12	accept her as an expert.
13	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
14	THE COURT: And what what is her area of expertise? Do
15	you remember?
16	MR. DICKERSON: It's DNA analysis.
17	THE COURT: Huh?
18	MR. DICKERSON: DNA analysis. I have the I would have
19	to get the language from the notice.
20	THE COURT: I'll just accept her as an expert
21	MR. DICKERSON: DNA testing.
22	THE COURT: in DNA.
23	MR. LEXIS: I I don't think we need to announce it.
24	MR. DICKERSON: I
25	THE COURT: Just announce
	22

1	MR. DICKERSON: I don't think we need to announce that.
2	THE COURT: Just accept her as an expert?
3	MR. DICKERSON: I think that I think that we can just go
4	forward and just let the qualifications
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. DICKERSON: stand with the jury.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	MR. LEXIS: Yeah. And, quite frankly
9	THE COURT: So you don't want me to
0	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. I don't want to risk any any
1	prejudice that we may be borderline on by I mean, that's why in this
2	jurisdiction we don't ask you to qualify
3	THE COURT: Because you've offered a jury instruction
4	MR. DICKERSON: Right.
5	THE COURT: about expert
6	MR. DICKERSON: I think that
7	THE COURT: testimony. You're going to withdraw that jury
8	instruction?
9	MR. DICKERSON: And I think we can still no. We can still
20	say, hey, she's testified as as an expert, obviously, by her giving that
21	opinion. Because if you read the jury instruction, we'll say anybody who
22	testifies in this capacity
23	THE COURT: Okay. So I am going to accept her based or
24	the stipulation of the parties, I am going to accept her as an expert, as
25	noticed.

1		MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
2		THE COURT: Okay?
3		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
4		THE COURT: Thank you.
5		[End of bench conference.]
6	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
7	Q	So, ma'am, you're a forensic scientist with the forensic lab?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	And it your specific role is for DNA?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Are there other outlets in the forensic lab, other areas of
12	study?	
13	A	There are. Our particular laboratory also does controlled
14	substan	ces, toxicology, firearms, and latent print examinations.
15	Q	But your specialty is DNA?
16	A	It is.
17	Q	So we're getting there, tell me about DNA. Everybody has
18	DNA; do	es everybody have different DNA?
19	A	Yes. 99.9 percent of our DNA is identical. It's that .1 percent
20	that actu	ally makes you different than the person sitting next to you. It's
21	what giv	es you your brown eyes versus your blue eyes. It's what gives
22	you the	blonde hair versus the red hair. We only look at a fraction of
23	that .1 p	ercent that makes you different. But in that .1 percent, using 21
24	different	markers, we can distinguish between two individuals.
25	Q	So how is it that you look for DNA?

A Again, through my extensive training, we've learned how to examine items of evidence. In particular, you know, if we're examining a case and we're asked to look for blood, blood is pretty easy to spot, right? You've seen blood. You've bled. If I have a white t-shirt and I'm looking for blood, that's going to be pretty easy to identify.

We then have some follow-up chemical tests that we can use to help indicate whether or not it could be blood, or it could just be ketchup. In some cases, we just know that there is DNA going to be on that sample. If you have a water bottle, think about how you drink a water bottle. Your lips are going to be on the ridges of the water bottle, your tongue is going to be on it. We know that there's DNA inherent in your mouth so we can actually just take a swabbing of it.

And by swabbing, I mean, just take a really long Q-tip, the same ones you use to clean out your ears, just a little bit longer, moisten the swab, and just get up there and swab the ridges.

Once we identify those samples, like I said, we can take them through a laboratory procedure in which we develop a DNA profile that gives us a graphical representation of what your DNA looks like that we can use then for comparisons to those reference standards we obtain.

- Q So you mentioned, basically, saliva from the mouth; is that correct?
 - A I did, yes.
 - Q Blood on a t-shirt --
 - A Yeah.
 - Q -- or anywhere?

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	These are both bodily fluids; is that right?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	So are bodily fluids the generally the best place to find
5	DNA?	
6	A	There are lots of places to find DNA. But yes, those are going
7	to be mo	re rich in DNA.
8	Q	More rich. So better chance of getting a good sample?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	So is there another DNA that you can do just by not having
11	bodily fluid?	
12	A	There there is one type of DNA that we call touch DNA. As
13	far as touch DNA is concerned, it's just the DNA that's actually sloughed	
14	off from	your hands, from your skin cells. The more you handle an item,
15	the more	you're prone to leaving behind skin cells that contain your DNA
16	on that it	em.
17	Q	Okay. So how is it that you do an analysis for DNA?
18	A	As far as the laboratory procedure?
19	Q	Yeah. As far as the laboratory procedure for a comparison
20	from one	e item to known reference sample?
21	A	Uh-huh. So let's start with, again, for a touch DNA sample,
22	like I me	ntioned, the water bottle, I will swab the water bottle, I'll cut the
23	little cotte	on tip off the end of it, I'll shove it down into a little tube, I'll add
24	the chem	nicals to it that help to to isolate the DNA and get rid of the
25	rest of th	e other junk. If you think back to your high school biology, you
	I	

don't need the mitochondria and the ribosomes and none of that matters. We're focusing on the DNA.

So once we get rid of the other junk, we can actually put it onto a genetic Xeroxing machine that makes millions upon millions of copies of it. Once we have those millions of copies, we can then put it onto an instrument that I mentioned makes a graphical representation of the DNA profile. It looks like just a bunch of peaks. But where those peaks lie tells us a -- a lot of information about your particular profile.

I will do the same with a reference standard. So you're asking what a reference standard is. I take that same swab, not the one I took from the water bottle, but we'll take a swab and swab the inside of your cheek. Okay. The inside of your cheek contains the same types of cells that you have on your hand. So I will then do the same thing. Cut the little cotton swab, put it in a tube, isolate the DNA, get rid of the rest of the junk, and make millions of copies of it.

Now, I have a profile that I can definitely say is your profile and compare it back to the one I got from the evidence. I can either make an inclusion or an exclusion.

Q So an inclusion or an exclusion; is there any other result that you might get?

A We do have an inconclusive, which means I can never make any conclusions on it, ever. We have internal thresholds that we have to meet. And if the profile doesn't meet those thresholds, it's just, basically, a bunch of information that's either too complex or too limited for us to be able to call.

1	Q	Okay. But can you do anything with those three minor	
2	profiles?		
3	Α	Never.	
4	Q	Okay. So can you tell me whether one of those minor profiles	
5	was Cea	sar Valencia?	
6	A	I cannot.	
7	Q	You can't tell me whether it is?	
8	Α	I cannot.	
9	Q	You can't tell me whether it isn't?	
10	A	Correct.	
11	Q	Can you tell me whether one of those minor profiles was	
12	Officer Je	Officer Jeremy Jacobitz?	
13	A	I cannot.	
14	Q	You can't tell me whether it is?	
15	A	I cannot.	
16	Q	You can't tell me	
17	A	Correct.	
18	Q	whether it isn't? Can you tell me if one of those profiles is	
19	Donald T	rump?	
20	Α	I cannot.	
21	Q	You can't tell whether it is?	
22	Α	I cannot.	
23	Q	You can't tell me whether it isn't?	
24	A	Correct.	
25	Q	Okay. I I get it. Is there something called the donor effect	
		31	

1

2

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Α There is a lot of research around that, yes. And what that means is there's a theory that there are some people who are prone to shedding and leaving behind more DNA than others. The person sitting next to you may just be sloughing off cells at a greater rate than you are. There are a lot of things that come into effect when this happens though.

It depends on -- you know, things can change. The environment can have an effect on this. Right now, I'm a little warm, right. So I'm sweating a little bit more. So I'm going to tend to probably shed a few more cells, you -- you know, under the sweat. It depends on how much pressure is applied to an item. So if you're talking about touch DNA, if I handle it for a long time versus a short time, that's going to depend on how much DNA is left behind. Again, pressure, if I just push on this, depending on how humid it is, how dry it is, if I've just washed my hands, there are a lot of facts that can contribute to this. So one person may be sloughing off cells at a higher rate, but depending on the environment the other person is in, they may also have variability.

- Q So at this point in time, you're the only female that we've heard about in this case.
 - Α Correct.
 - Q Is that your DNA?
 - Α It is not.
 - Q How do you know?
- Α Every time we obtain an unknown profile, we check. Every time we obtain an unknown profile in regards to evidence, we actually

maintain a staff database, which is just a database of the staff internally. Because we know it is possible to leave ours behind. We're all up in the samples and we're in -- we're in the evidence.

As a condition of employment for everyone in the criminalistics bureau, which includes our crime scene, our forensic scientists and the evidence vault, so the people that transport our evidence back and forth for us, they are required to give us a reference standard to populate the database so in the event that we find an unknown, we check against that database to ensure that those of us that handle it on a regular basis aren't actually contaminating it.

- Q Isn't it true that you generally find this mixture issue with guns?
 - A It is true, yes.
 - Q Very common?
- A It's common. We either see no DNA, because the -- just the nature of the beast. Maybe it's been cleaned, because you're supposed to clean it, right, for proper firearm handling. Or they get passed around a lot. So either don't have enough information or too much information.
- Q So with what we have on this particular -- these results on this Taurus revolver, are you able to tell me whether only four people touched that gun or could it have been more than that?
- A It could have potentially been more than that. The absence of DNA doesn't mean that they didn't handle it. It just means that there wasn't enough left behind for me to detect. A few of the peaks that I got in this profile were so low that there could potentially be some a little bit

1	lower that	at our instrumentation just can't detect. And again, a reason
2	why thos	se three smaller peaks are peaks associated with the three
3	smaller	people, we just don't make conclusions on.
4	Q	Thank you.
5		MR. DICKERSON: State will pass the witness.
6		THE COURT: Defense, any cross-examination?
7		MS. PLUNKETT: Yes, Judge. May I approach your clerk?
8		THE COURT: Yes.
9		CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MS.	PLUNKETT:
11	Q	Good afternoon, ma'am.
12	A	Good afternoon.
13	Q	You determined that there were at least there were four
14	individua	al DNA profiles on that weapon, correct?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	If there were more than four, you would also be able to
17	determin	ne that as well?
18	A	No. We our our limit of validation is for four individuals.
19	His ques	stion was potential. And like I said, there were lots of little peaks
20	that I co	uldn't make any conclusion on, so there could be some that I
21	can't eve	en see.
22	Q	So you have no ability to determine if there are more than four
23	DNA pro	files?
24	Α	I just I reported out that that is mixture of four individuals.
25	Q	Is there a time when you cannot determine a partial major

1	DNA?		
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	But	
4	Α	Quite often.	
5	Q	But here you did determine a partial DNA?	
6	A	Yes, I did.	
7	Q	And you excluded Ceasar Valencia as being the donor of that	
8	partial Di	NA?	
9	A	I did.	
10	Q	Did you compare the DNA profile to a sample from Officer	
11	Jeremy .	Jacobitz?	
12	A	I did not.	
13	Q	You mentioned touch DNA?	
14	A	I did.	
15	Q	Is touch DNA different than sweat DNA?	
16	A	No. The DNA is the same. It's just a different transport for the	
17	cells and	cells and how they got there.	
18	Q	And you mentioned that more sweat would lead to more DNA	
19	being lef	t on an object?	
20	A	Could potentially, yes, depending on the individual. And	
21	again, if	I've just washed my hands, there's going to be less cells for me	
22	to slough	off, because I just washed them away. But if you haven't	
23	washed ;	your hands in a couple hours and you're sweating, you could	
24	potential	ly leave more of those cells when the sweat drains off.	
25	Q	I'm going to reintroduce State's Exhibit Number 32; is this the	

1	weapon	that you swabbed for DNA?
2	A	Yes, it is.
3	Q	As you are looking at that weapon, particularly the handle
4	appears	to have a lot of various grooves on it; is that correct?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	Would those grooves increase the probability of finding a
7	useable	DNA profile?
8	A	Yes, they would. Which is why that was one of the areas that
9	I chose t	to swab in order to get the DNA profile.
10		MS. PLUNKETT: Court's indulgence.
11	Q	Is this weapon the only item that you swabbed for DNA?
12	Α	This was the only one requested, yes.
13	Q	You were never provided with a moped to swab for DNA?
14	А	I was not.
15	Q	You were never provided with the moped handles to swab for
16	DNA?	
17	А	I was not.
18	Q	Would you agree that you could obtain DNA from the handles
19	of a mor	ped or bicycle?
20	A	It's potential.
21		MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you.
22		THE COURT: Any redirect?
23		MR. DICKERSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
24		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
	I	

1	Q	Would it have mattered if you had a reference sample that you
2	compare	ed to Officer Jeremy Jacobitz?
3	Α	It would not, because the partial major profile obtained in this
4	case is a	a unknown female.
5	Q	Okay. And the profile that you had for Ceasar Valencia shows
6	he's a m	ale?
7	A	Correct.
8		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
9		THE COURT: Any recross?
10		MS. PLUNKETT: No, Judge.
11		THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
12		MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
13		THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You are excused.
14		THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
15		THE COURT: State's next witness, please?
16		MR. DICKERSON: Can I have a just a few minutes, Your
17	Honor?	I just need to see if they're out there.
18		THE COURT: We'll be in recess
19		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
20		THE COURT: for five minutes. I'm going to request that the
21	jury rem	ain in the box. We're just going to take a real short recess.
22	Thank y	ou.
23		[Court recessed at 12:18 p.m. until 12:20 p.m.]
24		[In the presence of the jury.]
25		MR. DICKERSON: May we approach briefly, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
3	MR. DICKERSON: I apologize. The time that I had set up is
4	thought we were going to take a 12:30 break. So I when you
5	announced at the start of the day that we are going to be going till 1:30,
6	called them in. The next witness left the forensic lab at at noon, so he
7	should be here just shortly. We just ask for a short short recess.
8	THE COURT: How long, 10 minutes?
9	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. 10, 15.
10	THE COURT: 15
11	MR. DICKERSON: 15 would be great.
12	THE COURT: Let's see I've still got to take my staff is
13	going to have to have a lunch break
14	MR. DICKERSON: Absolutely.
15	THE COURT: so I'm going to take it at 1:30.
16	MR. DICKERSON: And and we're fine with that. We plan
17	on
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. DICKERSON: only putting on one witness after that
20	anyway.
21	THE COURT: All right. So a 15-minute break?
22	MR. COYER: Is that Sahota?
23	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, Sahota.
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MR. COYER: We can Judge, while we're here
	38

1	THE COURT: Huh?
2	MR. COYER: While we're here, we can probably short circuit
3	and save some time. We're willing to stipulate to Mr. Sahota's
4	credentials as well as an expert. The next witness that that
5	Mr. Dickerson is talking about is Eric Sahota, who is a fingerprint expert.
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	MR. COYER: And we are willing to stipulate to his expertise
8	as well.
9	THE COURT: So if they're willing to stipulate, you don't have
10	to call that witness.
11	MR. DICKERSON: No. I think they're just trying to get past
12	the the point where we're going to come and have a bench conference
13	where we announce that he's an expert or not.
14	THE COURT: Oh, I see what you're saying
15	MR. DICKERSON: Or challenge it
16	THE COURT: So you're stipulating I'm sorry, I
17	misunderstood.
18	MR. COYER: That's yeah. That's okay.
19	THE COURT: You're stipulating to his qualifications?
20	MR. COYER: Like we did with Ms. May.
21	THE COURT: Okay. No. I I
22	MR. COYER: We're happy to stipulate
23	THE COURT: appreciate that.
24	MR. COYER: Yeah.
25	MR. DICKERSON: And I'll still get into them for the edification

1	of the jury, but yeah.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to what's the
3	gentleman's name?
4	MR. DICKERSON: Eric Sahota, S-A-H-O-T-A.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to based on the stipulation
6	of the parties, I'm going to accept Mr. Sahota as an expert, a fingerprint
7	expert and let him testify, that is, to his opinion.
8	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Case
10	MR. LEXIS: We might as well take of the other guy too.
11	MR. DICKERSON: Jason Altnether?
12	MR. LEXIS: He's here, and you guys have the
13	MR. DICKERSON: He's the chemist.
14	MR. LEXIS: [Indiscernible.]
15	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.
16	MS. PLUNKETT: Oh, yeah.
17	MR. COYER: Yeah. We'll stipulate as well.
18	THE COURT: And what's his name?
19	MR. DICKERSON: Jason Altnether.
20	THE COURT: Say it again.
21	MR. DICKERSON: A-L-T-N-E-T-H-E-R, I believe.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And what's his expertise?
23	MR. DICKERSON: His expertise in controlled substance
24	analysis.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Based on the stipulation of the parties,

23

24

25

I'll accept this officer --

MR. DICKERSON: Forensic Scientist Jason Altnether.

THE COURT: Forensic Scientist Jason Halnetter --

MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, I know.

MR. COYER: It's tough.

MR. DICKERSON: Mr. Lexis had a tough time with it too.

THE COURT: -- as a expert --

MR. COYER: It's not as hard as Lefebvre.

THE COURT: -- and let -- and allow him to give an opinion.

MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. COYER: Very good.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. DICKERSON: Very much appreciate it.

MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you.

[End of bench conference.]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a 15-minute recess. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report or -- or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, the social media, text, newspapers, television, the Internet, and radio; do not visit the scene of any of the events mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation; do not do any posting or communications on any social networking sites or do any independent research, including Internet

1	searches, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with
2	the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
3	We're in a 15-minute recess.
4	[Court recessed at 12:23 p.m. until 12:41 p.m.]
5	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
6	THE COURT: This is continuation of the trial of State of
7	Nevada vs. Ceasar Valencia. Let the record reflect the presence of
8	counsel for the State, counsel for the defense, and the defendant.
9	Bring the jury in, please.
10	[Jury reconvened at 12:42 p.m.]
11	THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the jury is present in
12	the jury box.
13	State, call your next witness, please.
14	MR. DICKERSON: State's next witness will be Eric Sahota.
15	ERIC SAHOTA
16	[having been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
17	follows:]
18	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20	THE CLERK: Will you please state and spell your name for
21	the record?
22	THE WITNESS: Eric Sahota, E-R-I-C S-A-H-O-T-A.
23	MR. DICKERSON: May I proceed, Your Honor?
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

•

BY MR. DICKERSON:

Q How are you employed, sir?

A I'm a forensic scientist employed by the Las Vegas

Metropolitan Police Department assigned to the Forensic Laboratory

Section, Latent Print Detail.

Q And how long have you been employed in that capacity?

A Approximately 10 and a half years.

Q What training experience do you have that allows you to hold this position?

A I have bachelor of arts degrees -- excuse me, bachelor of arts degree in the natural sciences from the Johns Hopkins University.

Following my degree, I worked for the Department of Neuroscience at the Kennedy Krieger Institute as a research assistant, where I did bench work in cell biology and biochemistry.

Following that, I spent 18 months with the Baltimore City

Police Department in their crime laboratory as a crime lab technician.

That's equivalent to a crime scene analyst or a CSI here in Las Vegas.

My duties -- my training and duties included responding to crime scenes, documenting evidence, taking photographs. And that included the development and recovery of latent prints.

And then in 2007, I came to Las Vegas as a forensic scientist trainee where I started an 18-month intensive training program in latent print recovery analysis and comparison. And, basically, that -- that training program consisted of both academic and -- and practical exercises. There was book work and papers I had to write, tests I had to

 take. And then practical -- practical training and practical tests in the development and recovery analysis of latent prints.

Following the -- the completion of my training program, I took a competency test and began doing case work. And then we're tested -- we're proficiency tested on an ongoing basis in minimum once per year.

Q And what is a latent print?

A So the easiest way to understand what a latent print is, is to -is to look at the palm of your hand. And if you look at the skin on your
hand, you'll notice that it's not smooth. There's some different features
on there. You'll see some dark lines around the knuckles of your fingers
and in various places on your palm. We call those flexion creases. And
if we look a little bit more closely, and we look at the skin in between
those flexion creases, we'll notice that skin isn't smooth either, but
consists of a series of ridges.

And these ridges aren't straight, continuous lines. They exhibit different features, different -- different patterns. So if we take one ridge and we start tracing it, we'll notice that it might split into two ridges or it might -- might abruptly end, or you might have some very -- some small ridges where you can see both ends in different places.

These ridges then form what we call ridge arrangements. So we look at these ridges in sequence and we look at the -- we look at the shapes and the features in sequence, in a -- in a series of ridges. And we use that for what we call its -- its discriminating power, meaning that the variability in these ridge arrangements allow us to distinguish between skin impressions from two different people.

Q And does everyone have fingerprints?

A Everyone who's medically -- otherwise normal, and medically healthy has -- has fingerprints, yes.

Q Okay. And how is that people leave fingerprints?

A So, basically, the way a -- the way a fingerprint or a latent impression -- and we call it latent, because it's not visible to the naked eye. We have to use some sort of chemical or physical process to make it visible. But the way you make a latent impression is -- best way to think about that is to think of an ink stamp.

So basically, an ink stamp, you have the -- you have the template. You have that rubber template. You have the transfer medium, which is typically ink. And then you have the surface that you're -- that you're transferring that template onto. And so by taking the -- taking the stamp with the medium, with the ink or whatever it is, and then you place that on a sheet or paper or some other surface, when you take it away, you've now left behind an impression of -- of what -- whatever was on that stamp.

The friction ridge skin, or the ridge skin on your -- on your hands and your fingers behaves in much the same way. But in this case, the template are those ridge arrangements that are on your skin. The medium is typically going to be something like sweat or some other skin residue, but it can be other things. It can be oil from the, you know, greasy hamburger you had from lunch, or it could be blood or ink or paint, or -- or anything.

And then when you have those residues on your skin and you

touch a surface or you touch an item, you could potentially leave behind an impression of those ridge arrangements on your skin.

Q So what factors go into there being latent prints on an object?

A So again, if we think about that -- that analogy of the ink stamp, that when you're making an impression, it's the interaction of three materials; it's the template, it's the transfer medium, and it's -- it's the receiving surface. So if a have a problem in any -- any one or all three of those areas, that can affect the ability to leave -- leave an impression at all. It could also affect the ability to leave an impression that can be -- can be recovered.

So number one, the skin -- your skin can be damaged. One of the things we found, you know, certain -- certain individuals that do a lot of manual work, they don't leave -- they don't leave fingerprints as well as people that have normal, healthy skin. It depends on what kind of residue or that transfer medium that's on the skin.

So there's couple of different types of skin secretions that we can find in latent prints. One is what we call eccrine, eccrine secretions. But, basically, that just means that the eccrine secretions have a high water content. They're mostly water and they don't tend to be persistent over time. Basically, the water just evaporates and then that -- that impression is gone.

And then there's another type of skin residue that we call sebaceous, which is quite a bit more oily or more fats and oils. And those sebaceous secretions tend to be a little bit more persistent. Now, what -- what secretions you have on your skin and in what combination

can be highly variable between -- between different individuals.

And then, of course, there's the surface that we're trying to leave the impression on. So we have what we call ideal surfaces and nonideal surfaces. Ideal surfaces are going to be things that are going to be smooth and shiny. So clean, undamaged glass, clean -- clean painted surfaces, whether it be wood or metallic. And then the nonideal surfaces are going to be the -- the opposite things that are going to be rough and textured, not -- not smooth and shiny.

So maybe an easy example to -- to provide is something like sandpaper. Sandpaper would be highly undesirable or unproductive surface for us to try to recover latent prints off. And then, of course, you have the entire spectrum in between.

Q So is it safe to say by that, that not everybody leaves the same type of latent print --

A It --

Q -- or the same quality?

A It -- it would be. There's -- there's something that we -- we refer to as the -- as the donor effect. So they -- they discover this first in DNA. They found that some individuals are good DNA donors and some just aren't. And -- and our own internal study, we found, is that the same seems to be true for latent prints, is that some people are good fingerprint donors. Some people are good at leaving latent prints behind, and some aren't. Even under -- even under ideal conditions, there are some individuals that just either don't leave latent -- latent prints or they don't leave latent prints than we can -- we can recover and

then use for comparison purposes.

Q How does timing of the print factor into -- or multiple prints, I should say, possibly, factor into your analysis and your ability to recover prints?

A Can I clarify? Are you talking about -- are you just talking about the period of time or are you talking about the number of touches on a -- on a surface?

Q I'm talking about the number of touches on a surface over time.

A Yes. That can definitely have an impact. So if you think about -- if you just think about a muddy field, you're walking through a muddy field and you turn around and you look behind you, and you'll see perhaps an impression of your -- the sole of your shoe. Turn that around and now say, okay, now there's 150 people behind you that now walk through that same muddy field. And then what you end up with is a big jumbled mess of mostly unrecognizable shoe impressions.

So both the -- the length of time and the number of touches over that period of time can -- can have an impact. Where time can become a contributing factor, again, has to do with the persistency of the latent print residue. So depending on the composition of the -- of the sweat or the skin secretions, they may or may not be persistent over time. So if we're looking at a -- at an item or piece of evidence after significant period of time has elapsed, then that potentially could inhibit our ability to recover latent prints.

Q And so when you get a latent print from an object along those

same lines of what you just discussed, would you expect to find a latent print more likely of good quality from the person who last touched it as opposed to the people who touched it before that person?

A That would be my expectation. But that may or may not actually be what happens.

Q Okay. And how would contact -- outside contact -- with the surface that you're analyzing possibly affect those prints?

A So when we're -- we're talking about developing and recovering latent prints, again, we're talking about -- we're talking about some sort of residue that's on the -- on the surface of an item. I mean, it's -- three dimensionally, it's very, very small. I mean, it doesn't -- doesn't look three dimensional, but you're actually talking about a physical residue on top of another -- on top of another surface. So anything that comes into contact with that -- with that residue, whether it's another individual, an animal, another -- another item or object, any kind of contact has the potential for disturbing -- disturbing those -- disturbing or removing those residues from the surface.

Q Say shoving that item into the waistband, what expect would you -- what would you expect that to do to the prints?

A I would say that would -- that would qualify as that surface coming into contact with another -- another object or surface. And there's the potential that it could disturb any residues that are on there.

- Q In this particular case, you were asked to do an analysis?
- A I was -- yes, I was.
- Q And the examination specifically had to do with a Taurus

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

I was, yes.

1	Q	And what were your results and conclusions?
2	A	If I recall correctly may I refer to my report to refresh my
3	recollect	tion?
4	Q	Yes, if that would refresh your recollection.
5	A	Thank you. So that impression, I identified, to the right thumb
6	of Office	er Jacobitz.
7	Q	Officer Jeremy Jacobitz?
8	A	Jacobitz, yes.
9	Q	And, specifically, where was it that you found that latent print?
10	A	That would be on the cylinder. That would be this area that I
11	circled in	n blue on the monitor.
12	Q	Go ahead and just indicate again. The cylinder is
13	Α	Cylinder is that part there.
14	Q	Okay. No other latent prints on that firearm?
15	A	No. I didn't find any any other any other latent
16	impress	ions, not even a little bit, any other part of the gun.
17	Q	Okay. And on this particular gun, is it surprising to you that
18	you only	found one?
19	A	No, I'm not surprised.
20	Q	The size of it and the texture of that grip isn't very conducive
21	to prints	?
22	A	No. Actually, I was surprised that I developed anything.
23	Q	Oh. So you're surprised that you even had one print?
24	A	Generally, the our experience is with firearms evidence in
25	general,	not even necessarily specifically handguns, is that we're

1	recoverir	g latent prints less than 14 percent of the time.
2	Q	Just so I'm clear, that's less than 14 percent of the time you
3	look at ha	andguns do you recover latent prints from them?
4	A	Correct. Yeah. And from based on based on our
5	cumulativ	ve experience as a laboratory, the processing firearms is
6	generally	so unproductive that we don't even we don't even routinely
7	look at fir	earms anymore. We won't process firearms unless it's by
8	special re	equest. Have to be special circumstances for us to even look at
9	those ite	ms anymore.
10	Q	Okay. Sir, let's say 10 people walk into a room. They all
11	touch so	mething in that room. Is it possible to recover prints from all 10
12	people?	
13	Α	It's possible.
14	Q	Say those same 10 people walk into same room another time,
15	room is o	lean, they all touch something in that room; is it just as possible
16	not to red	cover any prints?
17	Α	That's also possible.
18	Q	Also possible?
19		MR. DICKERSON: State will pass the witness.
20		THE COURT: Any cross-examination by the defense?
21		MS. PLUNKETT: May I approach your clerk, Judge?
22		THE COURT: Yes.
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. F	PLUNKETT:
25	Q	Good afternoon, sir.

1	Α	Good afternoon.
2	Q	I just want to clear this up. When when we are describing
3	prints, it'	s most common to think of fingerprints, correct?
4	A	Most commonly.
5	Q	But prints, like you said, can be obtained from the middle of
6	the finge	er, the palm, the entire hand, basically?
7	A	They can.
8	Q	And you stated that anyone who is medically normal will have
9	fingerpri	nts?
10	Α	Correct.
11	Q	And I just want to make it clear that Ceasar Valencia is
12	medicall	y normal
13		MR. DICKERSON: Objection. Calls for speculation.
14		THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer the question.
15		MS. PLUNKETT: I I wasn't done yet, but
16		THE COURT: Okay. State your question.
17	BY MS.	PLUNKETT:
18	Q	He had fingerprints that you could compare to what you
19	obtained	I from the weapon, correct?
20	Α	Actually, I can't at the whatever I actually can't really
21	say anyt	hing about the about the the skin of Mr. Valencia. I mean, I
22	haven't	examined his skin. I I couldn't tell you.
23	Q	When you performed this analysis, you had a sample from
24	Mr. Vale	ncia in which to compare what you obtained from the gun?
25	A	That's correct. I can give you the date on that, if you if that's

1	helpful.	
2		THE COURT: No.
3		MS. PLUNKETT: No.
4		THE COURT: Just listen to the question
5		THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
6		THE COURT: and answer the question. Thank you.
7		THE WITNESS: Apologies.
8		MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you.
9	BY MS. I	PLUNKETT:
10	Q	You said that an ideal surface to obtain fingerprints from is
11	one that	is smooth and shiny, correct?
12	Α	That's correct.
13	Q	I'm going to show and publish republish State's Exhibit 38.
14	When loo	oking at this photo, do you see anything else in the photo that
15	you woul	ld describe as smooth and shiny?
16	A	I would describe the cartridges as smooth, and they do reflect
17	light, alth	nough they're they're not quite as there are degrees of
18	shininess	s. So they have a degree of shininess to them, yes.
19	Q	The cartridges are something from which you could obtain
20	fingerprir	nts?
21	A	Possibly.
22	Q	But those cartridges were not tested for fingerprints, correct?
23	A	No. That's against our policy now actually.
24	Q	At the when you say now, when did that policy go into
25	effect?	
	1	

 A Well, that was a few years ago. I don't recall the exact date, but it's -- it's been some time. So that, again, because we found that processing cartridges, that meaning the -- these bullets and bullet casings maybe you might be more familiar as referring to them, again, we just found it to be so unproductive that we just -- we stopped processing cartridges, again, except under special circumstances. And then later we expanded that to all firearms and -- completely.

- Q You stated that processing cartridges for fingerprints is unproductive, correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q How does that comport with the fact that an ideal surface is smooth and shiny?

A Ideal surface, even -- even on ideal surfaces, that doesn't necessarily mean that you're always going to find prints on ideal surfaces. So the firearms are a little bit of a -- little bit of a different animal, partly because of the way -- partly because of they're handled, partly because of their -- they're stored. You know, you can put -- you can take cartridges out of a gun, but those cartridges could have been there for months, years, or -- or even longer. I can tell you that in, you know, my personal firearm, I don't think -- I don't think those cartridges have been touched in probably 18 to 24 months. I mean, there's just no reason to go in and handle -- handle those areas.

So is that a potential explanation for why we're not finding very many prints on cartridges? It could be. I can't tell you why we don't find more latent prints on cartridges. I can just tell you that as we've studied

the actual output of processing hundreds and thousands of cartridges, we're recovering prints in a extremely small percentage of those cases. So those -- that's the real-world reality of how that works.

And you stated that placing a firearm into someone's waistband could possibly corrupt potential fingerprints, correct?

Sure. Any -- any contact -- any contact with the surface can -could disrupt or remove residues that are on there.

Doing that same action would not corrupt the surface of the

And in only 14 percent of cases you're able to obtain useable

We were -- we were recovering latent prints in less than 14 percent of the cases and -- but not all of those that we recovered were -were suitable for comparison. Meaning we recovered something and then when we got back to the lab bench and we did our more detail analysis and we determined that the latent print wasn't suitable for comparison, meaning I -- I couldn't render a conclusion using that

Can you give me a percentage of the times that you would find latent prints on cartridges in the same way that you gave the 14 percent

Specifically -- specifically, no. I just know that the -- the stat I remember from that retrospective case study was that looking -- looking at all firearms evidence together, the overall recovery rate was less

than 14 percent. I can assure you that if the recovery rates were significantly higher for -- for cartridges, then we would still be doing them. I mean, we're a data-driven organization.

- Q You -- as part of your job, you do still perform fingerprint testing on firearms, correct?
 - A Under special circumstances, yes.
- Q In those cases, if it is requested, is the firearm along with the cartridges requested?

A So if I may -- if I may explain briefly the -- the way we actually get around to doing examinations is that some party, whether it's a detective or it's an attorney, they send us, basically, a -- basically, a work order. They gave a -- they send over some documentation that says we want you to perform these tests on these items of evidence. And so what happens is, sometimes the cartridges are packaged with the firearm. Sometimes they're packaged separately. Regardless, whatever -- whatever evidence we receive in the package, we test what's specified in the work order.

So there could be 10 items in a -- in an evidence package. If they only request testing on one item, we just test the one item. That's -- that's how our laboratory system works. That's how our accreditation system works. That -- we do the scope of work that's put in by the requester.

- Q And here, the requester did not ask for the cartridges to be tested for fingerprints?
 - A I don't specifically recall. So what would happen is with our

1	sample limits policy, if someone requested an examination of something	3
2	that we normally wouldn't do, say for example, cartridges and cartridge	
3	cases, then my manager or someone higher up in my chain of comman	d
4	will have that conversation with the person that's requesting to	
5	determine whether it's needed or not. I don't see any of that part of the	
6	conversation. I just see the output, which is I'm either told to process	
7	cartridge cases or I'm or I'm not. And I don't know how those	
8	decisions get made.	
9	Q And the only person that you can determine touched this	
10	weapon is Officer Jeremy Jacobitz?	
11	A That's correct.	
12	MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you. That's all.	
13	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
14	MR. DICKERSON: No, Your Honor.	
15	THE COURT: Can this witness be excused?	
16	MR. DICKERSON: Yes.	
17	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You're excused.	
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: State, call your next witness.	
20	MR. LEXIS: Next one will be here at 2:15, Judge.	
21	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, at this	I
22	time we're going to take our lunch recess.	
23	During this recess you you are admonished not to talk or	
24	converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject	
25	connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or	

commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, to social media, text, newspapers, television, the Internet, and radio; do not visit the scene of any of the events mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation; do not do any posting or communications or any social networking sites or do any independent research, including Internet searches, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess to 2:15?

MR. LEXIS: Yes.

MR. DICKERSON: That would be great, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll be in recess to 2:15. Thank you.

[Jury recessed at 1:09 p.m.]

THE COURT: Mr. Dickerson, when do you believe the State's going to rest?

MR. DICKERSON: I believe that we may request to rest tomorrow morning. And whether or not we -- we call somebody I think will be to be determined. But we would ask that we rest tomorrow morning, and ultimately expect to close tomorrow, absent a lengthy case by the defense.

THE COURT: I just wanted to notify defense counsel, be prepared to present witnesses sometime tomorrow.

MR. COYER: Certainly.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, counsel.
2	MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you, Judge.
3	THE COURT: We'll be in recess.
4	[Court recessed at 1:10 p.m. until 2:19 p.m.]
5	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. This is
7	continuation of the trial of State of Nevada vs. Ceasar Valencia. Let the
8	record reflect counsel for the State, counsel for the defense, and the
9	defendant are present.
10	Are we ready to bring the jury in?
11	MR. DICKERSON: We are, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Please bring the jury in, please.
13	[Jury reconvened at 2:20 p.m.]
14	THE COURT: Let the record reflect the presence of the jury.
15	State, are you prepared to call your next witness?
16	MR. LEXIS: State calls Jason Altnether.
17	JASON ALTNETHER
18	[having been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
19	follows:]
20	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Will you please state and
21	spell your name for the record?
22	THE WITNESS: Jason Altnether, J-A-S-O-N
23	A-L-T-N-E-T-H-E-R.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. LEXIS:
	61

1	Q	Where do you work, sir?
2	A	I work for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
3	Forensi	c Laboratory.
4	Q	How long have you worked there?
5	A	Approximately eight years now.
6	Q	Talk about your education and training and experience.
7	A	Well, I graduated from Arizona State University with a degree
8	in chem	istry. After I graduated, I was hired by the Mesa Police
9	Department Forensic Laboratory in Mesa, Arizona, where I began	
10	training	in the analysis of controlled and noncontrolled substances. I
11	worked	was in training for approximately six months there, working
12	with senior members of the staff and receiving additional outside training	
13	from agencies like the FBI and the DEA in such analysis.	
14		I worked for the Mesa Police Department for approximately
15	five yea	rs. I've been in Las Vegas for approximately eight years where
16	I've bee	n doing pretty much the same job, analyzing evidence for the
17	presence of controlled and noncontrolled substances.	
18	Q	So you pretty much analyze controlled substances?
19	A	That's the short answer, yes.
20	Q	Is heroin a controlled substance?
21	Α	Yes, it is.
22	Q	Is methamphetamine a controlled substance?
23	A	Yes, it is.
24	Q	Is cocaine a controlled substance?
25	A	Yes, it is.

 Q How do you test such substances?

A Through our analytical scheme, what I use is a series of chemical color tests, just to sort of give me an idea of what I'm working with, usually followed up -- and in this case, I did so, instrumental analysis which gives me a confirmatory data as to the identity of what that substance is.

Q Talk about some of the general acceptable methods in testing controlled substances.

A The -- the methods of analysis that I mentioned, controlled -- color test, instrumental analysis. In this particular instance, I used a gas chromatograph mass spectrometer. These are all analytical routes that are approved methods that are used across nations -- across the county.

- Q They're generally accepted in the scientific community?
- A Yes, they are.

Q Okay. What type of safeguards do you guys use to ensure the accuracy of these tests?

A Well, the -- the color test, what we do is we do -- once we prepare these reagents in the laboratory, they undergo an initial quality control check, which is with both a positive and negative control on it. They're then followed up if they are a -- every month thereafter they are checked. And then before they're disposed of, they are checked once more.

For the instrumental, I ensure that every week, at least every week, that the instrument that I'm using is what we call tuned, meaning it is testing itself against a known standard to make sure that it is in proper

working order.

I also run what is known as a column test check, which means it is a known concentration with known substances in the sample that I run on this instrument, and that they come out at the appropriate retention time and have the appropriate fragmentation that I'm looking for.

MR. LEXIS: May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. LEXIS:

- Q Sir, I'm showing you State's Exhibit 4; do you recognize this bag?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q Does it have your initials on it?
- A It does have my initials. It has my signature, along with my employee number, or P number, along with the date I sealed it, the time I sealed it, and an additional seal where I cut into the bag, which is marked both again with my employee number, and the date on which I sealed it.
- Q Is that essentially to preserve the evidence for chain of custody purposes?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q What is chain of custody?
- A Chain of custody is, again, just to maintain the integrity of the evidence to show who it's been transferred along the way. Our official chain of custody is held within a software program known as WinAce or

1	Windows Active Control Evidence. This chain of custody on the front of
2	the package
3	THE COURT: Sir.
4	Has that been admitted yet? Has the bag been admitted into
5	evidence?
6	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
8	THE WITNESS: This chain of custody is just to show who's
9	actually been inside the package. And in this case, it's been me.
10	BY MR. LEXIS:
11	Q Can you open well, it's already been opened. Sir, can you
12	pull out what's inside?
13	A Okay.
14	Q Do you see three purported controlled substances there?
15	A I see three packages that have what we call the event
16	number, along with the lab lab-assigned case number, and a
17	lab-assigned item numbered on each of these, and each of these appea
18	to bear my initials and the date on which I prepared them.
19	Q Signifying that you tested each one of those baggies?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And what's inside of it?
22	A Yes.
23	Q I see what you have in front of you right there is a black
24	tar-type substance?
25	A Yes. This one is marked as No. 4.

1	Q	Will you please hold that up for the jury? Thank you.
2		Will you pick up one of the other baggies?
3	A	Would you like me to take it outside this bag
4	Q	And that baggie, for the record, is marked State's Proposed
5	Exhibit 4	C.
6		Does that appear to be a white, powdery type substance?
7	A	Yes, it does.
8	Q	Will you please up hold that up for the jury? Thank you.
9		Baggie 4D, can you please hold that up to the jury as well.
10	Does tha	at appear to be a white, crystal-like substance?
11	A	Yes, it does.
12	Q	You stated that you tested each one of those items, sir?
13	A	Yes, I did.
14	Q	Following the general accepted principles through your
15	training a	and experience with Metro?
16	A	Yes, I did.
17	Q	And that are accepted in scientific community?
18	A	Yes, they are.
19	Q	Okay. What were your results?
20	A	For the item that is marked 4D, identified methamphetamine.
21		If I remember if I may refer to my my report, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: Will that refresh your recollection?
23		THE WITNESS: Yes, it would, Your Honor.
24		THE COURT: That's fine.
25		THE WITNESS: It was 2.67 grams. The next bag, which is
1		

1	marked -	-
2	BY MR. I	_EXIS:
3	Q	Let's go back hold on, sir, before you stick that
4	A	Sure.
5	Q	You the bag that you say marked 4D
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	can you hold that up again to the ladies and gentlemen of
8	the jury.	And you say that that came back as 2.67 grams?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	Of methamphetamine?
11	Α	Identified methamphetamine in the sample, yes.
12	Q	The crystal-like substance?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Next baggie?
15	A	This one is marked 4C.
16	Q	All right. And is that the white, powdery substance?
17	A	Yes, it is.
18	Q	All right. And what did that come back as?
19	Α	That was 2.400 grams, in which identified cocaine in this
20	sample.	
21	Q	The last baggie, sir.
22	A	This one is marked 4B. And again, if I may refer to my notes?
23	Q	And is that the black tar-like substance
24	A	Yes, it is.
25	Q	that you held up earlier?
	I	(7

1	Α	This is it.
2	Q	All right.
3	A	And this sample identified heroin at 11.60 grams.
4	Q	As part of your conclusions, do you generate a business
5	record w	ith your findings?
6	A	We generate a report. Yes, we do.
7	Q	Okay. Does it also have on there the verified event number,
8	the subject impound number, and the results?	
9	Α	It does contain those those things, yes.
10		MR. LEXIS: May I approach, Your Honor?
11		THE COURT: Yes.
12	BY MR. LEXIS:	
13	Q	Sir, I'm showing you what has been marked State's Proposed
14	Exhibit 54; do you recognize that?	
15	A	I do.
16	Q	Does that event number match up with the event number that
17	is on State's Exhibit 4?	
18	A	Yes, it does.
19	Q	And does it comport with what you testified earlier with
20	regards	to each one of those baggies, the weight, and the result?
21	A	Yes, it does.
22	Q	True and accurate representation?
23	A	It appears so, yes.
24		MR. LEXIS: Your Honor, I'd move to admit State's Exhibit
25	Propose	d Exhibit 54.
	1	

1	THE COURT: Could I see it, counsel.
2	Any objection by the defense?
3	MR. COYER: No objection, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: This will be admitted.
5	[State's Exhibit No. 54 was admitted.]
6	MR. LEXIS: Court's indulgence.
7	Nothing further, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Any cross-examination by the defense?
9	MS. PLUNKETT: No, Judge.
10	THE COURT: Can this witness be excused?
11	MS. PLUNKETT: Yes, Judge.
12	THE COURT: Sir, thank you. And you are excused.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Is State prepared to call their next witness?
15	MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor. State's next witness is
16	Keith Bryant.
17	KEITH BRYANT
18	[having been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
19	follows:]
20	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Will you please state and
21	spell your name for the record?
22	THE WITNESS: It's Keith Bryant, K-E-I-T-H B-R-Y-A-N-T.
23	MR. DICKERSON: May I proceed, Your Honor?
24	THE COURT: Yes, please.
25	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. DICKERSON: 2 3 Q Sir, how are you employed? Α I am a detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. 5 Q Do you have a specific assignment as a detective? 6 7 Α Basically, investigate area crime from simple misdemeanors 8 all the way up to homicide, prior to Homicide taking over. Q Okay. And where are you working -- what unit are you 9 working out of right now? 10 Α I am currently assigned to the Convention Center Area 11 Command. 12 Q Where is Convention Center Area Command? 13 Α It's -- it incorporates all of the major Strip properties on the Las 14 Vegas strip. 15 16 Q Okay. And where were you between May 19th and May 21st, 2016? 17 Α I was actually assigned as a detective at the Downtown Area 18 Command. 19 20 O. And what area does the Downtown Area Command cover? Α It incorporates a lot of the downtown, like Fremont Street area, 21 the -- kind of Las Vegas Boulevard, Fremont Street. 22 Q And does it also have residential neighborhoods? 23

A lot of residential neighborhoods, yes. It spans quite a good

Α

distance.

24

25

1	Q	Quite a good distance? Do you know generally the
2	paramet	ters of Downtown Area Command?
3	А	I know, I want to say, like, the 15 is to the west. And I think it
4	goes as	far as I want to say Eastern to the to the east.
5	Q	Okay.
6	Α	I believe the north is Las Vegas Boulevard and Sahara. And
7	then the	south go I'm sorry, I got that backwards. The south is is
8	Sahara.	North is going to be, I want to say, past just say Washington
9	Q	Okay.
10	Α	Not 100 percent.
11	Q	So pretty big area?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	The area of 10th Street between Wilson and Bonanza, that's
14	just a ve	ery small area of Downtown Area Command's jurisdiction?
15	Α	Correct.
16	Q	Okay. And so May 19th, 2016, you were working as a
17	detectiv	e?
18	Α	Yes, I was.
19	Q	And do you respond to a call there in the area we just talked
20	about or	n 10th Street?
21	Α	I did.
22	Q	What was the purpose of your responding to that call?
23	Α	An officer, Officer Jacobitz, had broadcast an incident where
24	they had	d him and another officer, Officer Houston, had attempted to
25	stop a s	ubject on a moped. The subject fled. They got in a foot pursuit

11

21

23

25

believe their sergeant was there, and then my squad was there as well,

1	which	my sergeant and a couple other detectives.
2	Q	Okay. So no more perimeter going on?
3	A	No.
4	Q	No K-9 searching around?
5	A	They'd all been cleared.
6	Q	Okay. What do you do when you first arrive?
7	A	I make contact with Officer Jacobitz, kind of get a briefing
8	about ex	cactly what happened, as much details as I can about the
9	incident,	, who was involved and things of that nature.
10	Q	Do you request for any sort of crime scene analysis?
11	A	We eventually, because of everything that happened, we
12	ended u	p calling ID to come out, crime scene analysis to come out and
13	do their	kind of analysis of the scene and and the situation.
14	Q	So we heard a couple terms there, ID, crime scene analysis.
15	Explain	that for us.
16	A	They're the same. It's just CSI, crime scene analysis. We
17	also call	them ID. They it's same it's just different ways to call the
18	same	
19	Q	Okay. And what is their job?
20	A	They come out and they basically look at the evidence. They
21	take pho	otographs. They document distances, things like that. They end
22	up usi	ually, if there's a weapon involved, for the most part, they'll
23	couple o	out and impound it. They any kind of any kind of evidence at
24	the scer	ne that's going to be connected to the crime, they'll come out and
25	impound	d it and take custody of that and document it.

1	Q	And that crime scene analyst that arrived that night was a
2	crime sc	ene analyst by the name of Olivia Klosterman?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	She arrived sometime just before 11:00; is that right?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	And approximately 10:55 p.m.; is that right?
7	Α	Correct.
8	Q	And she didn't leave that scene until just before
9	midnight	:, 11:42 p.m.?
10	Α	Correct.
11	Q	When she arrived on scene, what, if anything, did you do?
12	Α	I went with her and also Officer Jacobitz so he could walk
13	walk us	through the location where the firearm was pointed at him and
14	kind of g	et an idea exactly where it was at and what took place.
15	Q	Okay. So where do you do this walk through?
16	Α	It was down there at the 610 10th Street, I believe it was, if my
17	recollect	ion is correct. It's an alleyway between a building and a wall
18	and som	e stairs, just to the west of that alley.
19	Q	I'm going to show you here what's been admitted as State's
20	Exhibit 1	8; do you recognize this to be that alleyway?
21	Α	Correct.
22	Q	And so you actually do a walkthrough with Officer Jacobitz?
23	Α	Correct.
24	Q	And CSA Klosterman?
25	Α	Correct.
	I	

1	gets you back out or	nto 10th Street. The the stairwell was the area
2	that Officer Jacobitz	advised he was at when the subject turned around
3	and pointed the firea	ırm at him.
4	Q Okay. An	d
5	MR. COY	ER: Counsel, will you identify the exhibit?
6	MR. DICK	ERSON: I'm sorry, yeah. Absolutely. That's State's
7	Exhibit 23. I think I d	did. I just
8	BY MR. DICKERSO	N:
9	Q I'm going	to further publish for you State's Exhibit 26; do you
10	recognize this image	e, sir?
11	A Correct. 1	That's going to be if you just walked up those steps
12	we just looked at, the	at's going to be up in the alleyway continuing to
13	head back west towa	ards 10th Street.
14	Q So this wo	ould have been right at the top of the steps,
15	basically?	
16	A Correct.	
17	Q And I wan	t to here in the center of the photo, do you see a
18	an orange cone ove	r there?
19	A I do.	
20	Q Was this p	part of your walkthrough?
21	A Correct.	
22	Q And what	was that?
23	A That was	to document the actual metal pole where the subject
24	had turned around a	and hit his elbow before the gun was discharged from
25	his or dislodged fr	om his arm.

1	Q	Okay. And so in your capacity, you're you're working as the
2	investiga	ator, officer Jacobitz is a witness?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	A victim?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And the crime scene analyst, Olivia Klosterman, is kind of
7	assisting	g you with your investigation?
8	A	Yeah. She's there to document the location so that she can
9	put it into her report.	
10	Q	Okay. And is this what you remember being documented at
11	that time?	
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Did you have then the opportunity to go back to that point
14	showing	you here State's Exhibit 20 and look back at the area where
15	Officer J	acobitz was standing
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	when this gun was pointed at him?
18	A	Yes, I did.
19	Q	And you also had the opportunity to stand where Officer
20	Jacobitz	was standing?
21	A	Yes, I did.
22	Q	What was your assessment of the size of this area?
23	A	It was very close, very easily if a firearm would have been
24	discharg	jed, very easily would have struck Officer Jacobitz.
25		MR. COYER: Objection. Speculation. Foundation.

1		THE COURT: Sustained.
2	BY MR. I	DICKERSON:
3	Q	You have
4		THE COURT: Disregard that last answer shucks.
5	BY MR. I	DICKERSON:
6	Q	Do you have
7		THE COURT: Disregard that last answer by the witness.
8	BY MR. I	DICKERSON:
9	Q	Do you have training in firearms?
10	A	Yes, I do.
11	Q	And do you have training in dangerous situations as a police
12	officer?	
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	And when you see this situation right here, specifically what
15	we're loo	king at in State's Exhibit 20, what do you see?
16	A	What I have here is what we call a fatal funnel. Basically, the
17	best way	to describe that
18		MR. COYER: Object to the relevance of this testimony,
19	Judge.	
20		MR. DICKERSON: It's absolutely relevant, Your Honor.
21		THE COURT: Wait, counsel. Approach.
22		[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
23		THE COURT: Okay. What is your objection, relevancy?
24		MR. COYER: Relevance.
25		THE COURT: Why isn't it relevant?
1		

MR. COYER: Because it has no purpose other than to attempt to increase the danger level that is portrayed in this incident. This officer was not a part of the incident. And so if there is any relevance whatsoever, the unfair prejudice would outweigh any potential relevance, Judge. So my objection is twofold.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, why -- why is it relevant?

MR. DICKERSON: It's -- it's relevant to the fact of the entire incident. This is the lead investigator. It's giving his personal assessment of what he saw at the crime scene from the ground level. The assessment of the crime scene is 100 percent relevant to how this act occurred, where the parties were standing, what he sees at that area. He is there and able to get first-hand knowledge, outside of what the witness testified to, of what this area looks like, which is incredibly relevant.

THE COURT: Okay. He's not offering lay opinion testimony. So the only way it could come in is expert opinion. So at this time, I'm going to sustain the objection.

MR. DICKERSON: But that wasn't the objection.

MR. COYER: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, that's my ruling.

MR. DICKERSON: Well, I don't -- I think it is lay opinion testimony, given the fact that this area -- his -- his observation, what he sees the area is just a lay opinion. I mean, he's just --

THE COURT: Okay. But you're --

MR. DICKERSON: -- telling us --

1	THE COURT: asking him to given the I mean, he can
2	describe the area. And what is the opinion you want to elicit from this
3	witness?
4	MR. DICKERSON: And he did describe the area
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. DICKERSON: but then
7	THE COURT: What is the opinion that the lay opinion that
8	you want to elicit from this witness?
9	MR. DICKERSON: What he observed from the area when he
10	saw it.
11	THE COURT: But that's not what you're asking him. He
12	you're asking for an opinion. What's the actual opinion that you're
13	asking him to give?
14	MR. DICKERSON: What I what he I'm not asking an
15	opinion. I'm asking what he saw of this area, what was his assessment.
16	THE COURT: Okay. I thought I heard him say something
17	like something funnel, he views it
18	MS. PLUNKETT: Fatal funnel.
19	THE COURT: What was it?
20	MS. PLUNKETT: Fatal funnel. Which is a
21	THE COURT: Huh?
22	MS. PLUNKETT: A fatal funnel, which is
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MS. PLUNKETT: highly prejudicial.
25	MR. COYER: Right. It's an improper characterization.

1	THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to let him say fatal
2	funnel.
3	MR. DICKERSON: So we can agree it's relevant?
4	THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to let him give an
5	opinion
6	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
7	THE COURT: that this is a fatal funnel.
8	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
9	THE COURT: You can this goes to the issue of Count 1
10	Assault with upon a police peace officer with a deadly weapon,
11	correct? And you want him the relevancy is that you want to give his
12	assessment of the alleyway. Okay. I'll let him give his assessment of
13	the alleyway.
14	What I'm having problems with this is an assault. He's using
15	the term fatal funnel. Okay. If this was a different charge, I might have
16	let it in. But that's the I apologize, counsel. I know it better as
17	Rule 403. I think it's 48.035 or 48.045 in State court. But that's the
18	prejudicial effect that outweighs let's see, the prejudicial effect
19	outweighs its
20	MR. DICKERSON: Probative value.
21	THE COURT: probative value. Okay. So that's the
22	concern I have with the phrase fatal funnel. So let him give testimony.
23	You're right. He did not object
24	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
25	THE COURT: as far as opinions. So I'm

1	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: not going to consider that. I just consider the
3	relevancy. And so if he can do it without that type of terminology, I'll let it
4	in.
5	MR. DICKERSON: Absolutely. Thank you, Your Honor.
6	MR. COYER: Should should the court instruct the witness
7	not to use that term?
8	THE COURT: That's a good point.
9	MR. DICKERSON: I'll I'll try to avoid that.
10	THE COURT: Huh?
11	MR. DICKERSON: I'll try to avoid that. I'll I'll make a
12	concerted
13	THE COURT: Yeah. But my concern is that's how he's going
14	to term
15	MR. DICKERSON: I'll say I'll I'll start off my question,
16	without
17	THE COURT: Wait, wait. Let me
18	MR. DICKERSON: Without using any any explicit
19	terminology. That's
20	THE COURT: I need to can see, I'm used to have a
21	sidebar, and I don't have a sidebar here.
22	MR. DICKERSON: I think I can get him through this.
23	THE COURT: Can I instruct him can I bring him around
24	here and instruct him
25	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. Sure.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	Sir, could you come up in the front, please.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: I'm going to allow your testimony to question
5	this line of questioning. But I don't this is an assault upon a peace
6	officer with a deadly weapon. I don't want you to use a phrase like fatal
7	funnel
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	THE COURT: or things of that nature. I don't want I know
10	that's probably the jargon that's used
11	THE WITNESS: Right.
12	THE COURT: but you can you can answer his questions,
13	but don't use that type of language
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: if you would.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
21	MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you, Judge.
22	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
24	[End of bench conference.]
25	MR. DICKERSON: May I proceed?
- 1	

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you very much.
3	BY MR. DICKERSON:
4	Q Detective Bryant, having stood in this alley and looking at it
5	here today, what, if anything, did you take note of as being significant to
6	your assessment of the scene?
7	A Just how close the pole where the subject was when he
8	turned around towards Officer Jacobitz, how close he was to Officer
9	Jacobitz. And then just how close everything was in you know, usually
10	when you have, say, like, an open field, it's going to take a little bit
11	longer to to pick up your your target. In a situation like this, it's such
12	a close closed environment, that it's going to be all he has to do is
13	basically aim point the gun and aim and he's going to get a good shot
14	off on the
15	MS. PLUNKETT: Objection
16	THE WITNESS: officer.
17	MR. COYER: Object
18	MS. PLUNKETT: speculation.
19	MR. COYER: Relevance, and prejudicial effect.
20	THE COURT: What's your objection, counsel? Speculation?
21	MR. COYER: Speculation, relevance, and prejudice, Judge.
22	MR. DICKERSON: And this would just be a lay opinion, Your
23	Honor, that he's
24	THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. It's overruled.
25	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
1	

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

1	A	Yes, we did.
2	Q	That was May 20th?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	2016?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	A Friday?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	Specifically that evening, did you take any investigative steps?
9	A	Yes, I did. I responded to City Jail and conducted an interview
10	with Eric	Gilbert.
11	Q	Eric Gilbert was one of the individuals that was arrested by
12	Officer J	acobitz?
13	A	Correct.
14		THE COURT: Counsel approach, please.
15		[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
16		THE COURT: We're not going to get in here there's not
17	going to	be any testimony about anything dealing with this person having
18	any type	of criminal background or how Eric Gilbert knew him or
19	anything	of that nature, correct?
20		MR. DICKERSON: No.
21		THE COURT: I mean, no insinuation of
22		MR. DICKERSON: Just his investigative steps.
23		THE COURT: Huh?
24		MR. DICKERSON: Just his investigative steps. That's it.
25		THE COURT: Okay. But
		86

1	MR. DICKERSON: We're not talking about hearsay, anything
2	like that.
3	THE COURT: No, no. But what I'm saying is, first of all, I
4	don't where is this testimony going?
5	MR. DICKERSON: We're just talking about his investigation
6	here, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay. I understand. But where is it going?
8	Why why is the interview with Eric Gilbert relevant to this
9	MR. DICKERSON: Just to show
10	THE COURT: prosecution?
11	MR. DICKERSON: what he's done in his investigation. And
12	it's probative of the thoroughness that he's gone through and where
13	we're at now and how
14	THE COURT: Okay. You
15	MR. DICKERSON: he gets there.
16	THE COURT: can't just jump over up to his investigation
17	led to
18	MR. DICKERSON: Sure.
19	THE COURT: Something like that?
20	MR. DICKERSON: Absolutely.
21	THE COURT: I mean, that would be my preference.
22	MR. DICKERSON: Okay. Absolutely, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I mean, you can say you investigated this,
24	there come a time whatever. But just jump over to where I assume
25	we're talking talk the how they arrested Mr
1	07

1		MR. DICKERSON: Absolutely.
2		THE COURT: Okay. All right. That will be my preference.
3		MR. DICKERSON: Great. Thank you, Your Honor.
4		THE COURT: Thank you.
5		[End of bench conference.]
6	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
7	Q	That was approximately 9:20 p.m.; is that right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Two hours later, you decide there's another step in your
10	investigation; is that right?	
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	What's the next step of your investigation?
13	A	Well, we had the individual identified as Ceasar Valencia. So
14	we knew where his address was, 625 North 10th Street. So we decide	
15	to set up a surveillance on the residence to see if we can get him either	
16	coming or going.	
17	Q	It you'd actually learned that the night before?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	And Officer Jacobitz confirmed it to you?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	And so approximately 11:18 p.m. on May 20th, 2016, do you
22	start tha	at surveillance?
23	A	We did.
24	Q	How is it that you start the surveillance?
25	A	We had some other detectives at our area command had
	I	0.0

1	helped u	s. And they set up perimeters around 625 North 10th Street.
2	And mys	self and my sergeant actually got on the balcony at 620
3	North 9tl	Street, which was basically just one house to the west of the
4	alley righ	nt behind 625 North 10th Street.
5	Q	I'm going to show you here, just so you can show us, State's
6	Exhibit 1	0; do you see the address 625 North 10th Street here?
7	A	I do.
8	Q	If you can just it's right there in the middle of the screen; is
9	that fair?	
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	So can you describe for the members of the jury how you set
12	up this surveillance operation?	
13	A	Myself and my sergeant, if you look just to the house that's
14	just west	t of that location, there is off a little bit, that where the white
15	dot is	
16	Q	We've been hearing that all week.
17	A	Yeah. There's a
18	Q	I'll I'll clear it for you.
19	A	Okay. It's 620 North 9th Street. This house, there's a balcony
20	on the n	orth side of that street that myself and my sergeant actually set
21	up on wi	th binoculars and we actually had a good eye visual of the
22	backyard	d of 629 625 North 10th. And then we had we had other
23	officers a	and detectives that were set up in different locations there was
24	one that	was, I believe, at Wilson and 10th to keep a visual on 10th
25	Street.	And then we also had some set up on Bonanza and and 10th,

just south of Bonanza. And then there were other officers actually in the parking lot of Downtown Area Command as well, just kind of keep, what we call, a perimeter around the area, so if he ends up getting mobile, we have some way -- somebody set up at different locations to be able to pick them up, so we're not trying to backtrack and locate him at that point in time.

Q So the surveillance operation starts in the late evening hours of Friday, May 20th. What do you do when you're on this surveillance operation? What's your role?

A We basically -- what we call having the eye on the residence.

We -- me and my sergeant had visual of the backyard with binoculars.

So we're the ones that are communicating with the rest of the detectives that are out on the outside of perimeter, letting them know if we have any movement, anybody coming or going or anything of that nature.

- Q And just so we're clear, you said you're on the north side.

 North would be up towards the top of this photograph --
 - A Correct.
 - Q -- is where we're looking at?
- A Back where the 625 is, that just -- right in that area, that little gray -- my -- my finger is all messed up. Kind of that area there.
 - Q Okay. And how good was your view from there?
 - A It was perfect. We had a direct shot right into the backyard.
 - Q So how long do you wait before you see anything?
 - A I would probably say a couple hours.
 - Q Was it approximately 1:31 a.m. the next morning?

1	A	The next morning, correct.
2	Q	That what happened?
3	A	As I was looking through the binoculars, I actually saw
4	there's a	shed in the backyard of 625 North 10th Street. And we had
5	some int	el that that's where he was actually staying at. As I was
6	Q	He being who?
7	A	Mr. Valencia.
8	Q	And you indicated with the slight point just now, are you
9	identifyir	ng someone here in the courtroom today?
10	А	Yes, I am.
11	Q	If you could please identify that person by pointing and
12	identifying a piece of clothing that you see them wearing here?	
13	A	He's the gentleman sitting at the desk right there and he's got
14	a long	long-sleeved blue shirt on.
15		MR. DICKERSON: If the record could reflect that the witness
16	has identified the defendant, Ceasar Valencia.	
17		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
18	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
19	Q	So, specifically, the defendant is the individual that you were
20	looking 1	or this night?
21	Α	Correct.
22	Q	Tell me about that, 1:31 a.m.
23	Α	I was looking through the binoculars. I observed a subject
24	exit it	was actually a male and a female exit from the shed in the
25	backyar	d of 625 North 10th Street. Fit the same description, Hispanic

male, bald, around 5'6", 5'7". I broadcast -- actually, my sergeant broadcast on the radio that we had movement in the backyard, kind of notified the rest of the detectives in the area that, hey, we could possibly have somebody leaving the house that -- that's going to match the description of our subject.

Q Then what happens?

A The female and -- end up being Valencia, ends up walking back towards North 10th Street through, like, a gate. And then we lost visual at that time. There were other detectives that were setting up on the perimeter on 10th, had visual. They actually updated that there was a black Mustang that was parked in the driveway of 625 North 10th Street, and that they saw the male and the female get into that vehicle.

Q Okay. And then what do you do?

A At that time, my sergeant and I had actually climbed back down the roof and down the balcony and tried to make it back over to his vehicle, which was parked over at Downtown Area Command, front parking lot.

- Q And that's there --
- A That's -- yeah.
- Q -- to the left of the screen?
- A Yeah. It's just right in there. Yes, sir.
- Q So what happens from this point in time after you get that vehicle?

A We -- on radio traffic, we're getting updates that the -- the vehicle is mobile. It's taken off. And it's actually leaving the 625

1	North 10	th Street and heading south on 10th Street towards Bonanza.
2	Q	Okay. I'm going to approach, with the court permission, and
3	show you	u a couple items.
4		THE COURT: You can approach.
5	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
6	Q	I have in my hand State's Proposed Exhibits 12, 13, and 14. I
7	just want	t you take a look at these three exhibits, tell me if you recognize
8	them and if they're fairly fair and accurate depictions of the areas that	
9	you traveled that evening during your surveillance operation?	
10	A	Get my bearings here. That's where we ended up. That's
11	correct.	
12	Q	All three of these exhibits fairly and accurately depict those
13	areas?	
14	A	Correct.
15		MR. DICKERSON: State moves for the admission of 12
16	through 14.	
17		THE COURT: Any objection from the defense?
18		MR. COYER: Not to 12 through 14, Judge.
19		THE COURT: Counsel, let me see them for just one second.
20		MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
21	BY MR. DICKERSON:	
22	Q	So where did you go
23		THE COURT: I'm sorry, counsel. Exhibits 12, 13 and 14 are
24	admitted	
25		[State's Exhibit Nos. 12 through 14 admitted.]

1		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
2		THE WITNESS: We get in the my sergeant's vehicle and
3	we pull or	ut onto 9th Street. We approach Bonanza and 9th Street. At
4	that mom	ent, we got updated that the vehicle initially was going east on
5	Bonanza	. So we turned left and started heading east on Bonanza.
6		MR. DICKERSON: Okay. May I publish, Your Honor?
7		THE COURT: Yes.
8		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
9	BY MR. 0	DICKERSON:
10	Q	I'm going to show you here State's Exhibit 12; have your
11	bearings	on this one?
12	A	I'd have to let's see it. Yes.
13	Q	Okay. If you could indicate as we go through this generally
14	where we	e're where we're going, what we're looking at.
15	A	Okay.
16	Q	So you head east on Bonanza?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	And then what happens?
19	A	As soon as we started heading east, another detective gets on
20	the radio	and and broadcasts the
21		MR. COYER: Objection. Hearsay.
22		MR. DICKERSON: It would be a present-sense impression,
23	Your Hon	or.
24		THE COURT: Are you offering for the truth of the matter
25	asserted	
- 1	1	

1		MR. DICKERSON: I
2		THE COURT: or the effect on the listener?
3		MR. DICKERSON: I'm offering for the truth of the matter
4	asserted	d as a present-sense impression, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, what's your position on
6	present-	-sense impression?
7		MR. COYER: I think that the best evidence of that would be
8	whateve	er was actually broadcast or reflected in the CAD, not necessarily
9	this witness's recollection, Judge.	
10		MR. DICKERSON: I'm not having him testify to what the CAD
11	says. T	hat would be a best evidence rule objection. Here, I'm just
12	having h	nim testify to what he heard, Your Honor, which would be a
13	statement from somebody else that was a present-sense impression of	
14	what that individual was seeing at the time.	
15		THE COURT: Did you lay the foundation.
16		MR. DICKERSON: Absolutely, Your Honor.
17	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
18	Q	You guys have radios?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	How do those work?
21	A	We have vehicle radios and pack sets that we communicate
22	back an	d forth with.
23	Q	Who's involved in this operation that you're communicating
24	with?	
25	A	It was our detective section at DTAC and then we had some
	1	

1	what we	call problem solving unit, detectives who are also assigned to	
2	us.		
3	Q	How many detectives and officers in total?	
4	А	I'd probably say total maybe, like, eight to 10 on that	
5	Q	Are you guys working off a a normal dispatch radio	
6	channel1	?	
7	Α	In the cars, some of them are are to the radio station or	
8	the actua	al DTAC station. But we also have what we call detective	
9	channels that just interact with detectives.		
10	Q	So	
11	Α	And yeah, nobody else hears that except for the if you're	
12	actually logged into that channel.		
13	Q	Okay. No dispatch	
14	А	No.	
15	Q	or just a couple of you guys?	
16	А	Yeah. It's almost like a walkie-talkie kind of situation.	
17	Q	And is that what you were using to communicate this night?	
18	Α	Correct.	
19	Q	During this surveillance operation?	
20	Α	Correct.	
21	Q	And specifically what you were just about to testify to, is that	
22	how you	were receiving this information?	
23	Α	Correct.	
24	Q	Is this from officers who were on scene?	
25	Α	It was detectives that were on the scene that were following	
		۵۸	

1	the vehicle. Yes, sir.	
2	Q Okay. And you're and what was it	
3	THE COURT: Go ahead, counsel.	
4	BY MR. DICKERSON:	
5	Q that those officers told you or those de	etectives told you over
6	the radio as you turned east onto Bonanza?	
7	A They said the Mustang had actually did	a U-turn and was now
8	heading back west on Bonanza.	
9	Q Okay. And do you see Bonanza here o	n the map that we
10	have in front of us?	
11	A I do.	
12	Q If you could just please indicate just a si	mple point of which
13	street it is.	
14	A Just right	
15	Q I see.	
16	A Just just above the arrow the arrow	right there.
17	Q I'm going to change the color. White's a	a little hard. So that's
18	Bonanza that you've indicated on the map?	
19	A Correct.	
20	Q And what happens when you get that in	formation that the
21	vehicle has now made a U-turn?	
22	A The vehicle actually passes us. We're I	neading east, it's
23	heading west. It drives right past us.	
24	Q What does the vehicle look like?	
25	A It's a black Mustang.	

1	Q	And what do you do then?	
2	A	We immediately turn around and get right behind the vehicle.	
3	Q	Do you notice what kind of plates that vehicle had?	
4	А	It had a Florida plate.	
5		MR. DICKERSON: May I approach, Your Honor?	
6		THE COURT: Yes.	
7	BY MR.	DICKERSON:	
8	Q	I'm showing you here State's proposed 46 through 52. If you'l	
9	just take	a look at these photographs and tell me if you recognize them,	
10	number one?		
11	A	Yes. This is the Mustang.	
12	Q	How is it that you recognize these photos?	
13	A	That was the vehicle we were behind.	
14	Q	Okay. Do these photos all all relate to this surveillance	
15	operatio	n that you were conducting	
16	А	Correct.	
17	Q	on May 20th, 2016?	
18	A	Correct.	
19	Q	Okay. And these are all fair and accurate depictions of those	
20	events a	s depicted that day?	
21	А	Yes.	
22		MR. DICKERSON: State moves for the admission of State's	
23	Propose	d 46 through 52, Your Honor.	
24		THE COURT: Counsel, let me see the exhibits, please.	
25	Thank y	ou.	
	I		

1	Counsel approach.
2	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
3	THE COURT: It's my understanding you have no objection to
4	any of these exhibits?
5	MR. COYER: No. That's not accurate.
6	THE COURT: Okay. You do have objections?
7	MR. COYER: I do to at least one of them.
8	THE COURT: This one?
9	MR. COYER: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. COYER: 52, I think I think that's 52.
12	THE COURT: I'm not State, what's your position on
13	Exhibit 52?
14	MR. DICKERSON: I don't know what the objection is.
15	THE COURT: What's the objection?
16	MR. COYER: The objection is is twofold. One is the
17	relevance of the picture, because it's just of an individual that's not
18	related to this case we're here on.
19	THE COURT: Yeah.
20	MR. COYER: And secondly, the fact that he's holding search
21	warrant paperwork
22	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
23	MR. COYER: indicates, obviously, that a search warrant
24	was done. My problem with that is it's unfair to the defense because this
25	officer this officer did not apply for the warrant, so I can't cross this

officer on whether the warrant was valid. And there's some misrepresentations in the warrant that I would like to point out, if we're going to talk about a warrant.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. COYER: So I don't think it's fair. It's prejudicial. Those are the reasons.

THE COURT: Hold on a second.

MR. DICKERSON: Nothing -- first of all, as to the warrant, nothing that we're introducing was found during the warrant search. The relevance or the validity of the warrant is something that should have been challenged pretrial, if that was the concern of defense counsel and not here. It has nothing to do with this exhibit. This picture of this individual is absolutely relevant, Your Honor, given that this was the driver of the vehicle, Nicholas Harris.

It's relevant to show the identification by the officers of the defendant as they're driving, identifying Nicholas Harris as the driver, and identifying the defendant as the passenger, so as not to conflate the two saying, hey, maybe it was Nicholas Harris who actually had the gun, because they look alike. It's going to be absolutely probative to my identification of the defendant for me to show Nicholas Harris to the jury and say, yeah, you guys can seem him for yourself. This is him.

MR. COYER: As an offer of proof, I don't intend to make that argument.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. COYER: As an offer of proof, I don't intend to make that

1	argument that counsel is concerned about.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. DICKERSON: I don't think that should
4	THE COURT: Without further foundation, I'm not going to let
5	Exhibit 52 in. The remainder of the exhibits will be admitted.
6	MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you, Judge.
7	MR. DICKERSON: And it's because foundation?
8	THE COURT: It's because I don't also, at this point, see the
9	relevancy. This is a picture of unidentified individual holding paperwork
10	which has been represented to be a search warrant. Defense counsel
11	has argued that he is not going to make the argument that you're
12	concerned about.
13	MR. DICKERSON: But that shouldn't preclude
14	THE COURT: I am not going to let him make that argument.
15	So
16	MR. DICKERSON: That shouldn't preclude me from admitting
17	the matter.
18	THE COURT: I'm not letting it in, counsel.
19	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Judge.
22	MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: So exhibits we can go back on the record.
24	[End of bench conference.]
25	THE COURT: Exhibit Exhibits 47 through 51 will be
	101

1	admitted	•
2		[State's Exhibit Nos. 47 through 51 admitted.]
3		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	BY MR. I	DICKERSON:
5	Q	Detective Bryant, did you have the opportunity to see these
6	two indiv	iduals that were in the vehicle?
7	A	I saw the front-seat passenger, yes.
8	Q	Did you also see the driver?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Did these two people look anything alike?
11	A	No.
12	Q	No? Who was the front seat passenger?
13	A	Hispanic male, shorter shaved head.
14	Q	Did you later identify that individual?
15	A	I did.
16	Q	And who was it?
17	Α	Ceasar Valencia.
18	Q	Who was the driver?
19	Α	He what
20		MR. COYER: Objection. Relevance.
21		MR. DICKERSON: Same thing that we just discussed, Your
22	Honor.	
23		THE COURT: He can identify overruled. He can identify
24	the drive	r.
25		THE WITNESS: I didn't make any contact with him, so I I

1	honestly can't remember, without looking at my record report, his		
2	name.		
3	BY MR. DICKERSON:		
4	Q	What did he look lie?	
5	A	I believe he was, like, a white male, dark hair, kind of thick	
6	hair.		
7	Q	Nothing like Ceasar Valencia?	
8	A	No.	
9	Q	And did you prepare a report in this case?	
10	A	I did.	
11	Q	Would the report that you prepared in this case have the	
12	identification and name of that driver?		
13	A	It would.	
14	Q	Would looking at that report help refresh your recollection?	
15	А	Yes, it would.	
16		THE COURT: You may approach the witness.	
17		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
18	BY MR. DICKERSON:		
19	Q	I have in my hand a declaration of arrest	
20	Event No. 1605193387; is this your report, sir?		
21	A	Yes, it is.	
22	Q	If you could please take a look at it, just see if that happens to	
23	refresh your recollection.		
24		THE COURT: Read it to yourself, please.	
25		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	
		103	

1	BY MR. DICKERSON:		
2	Q	And when you're done, just flip it over and look up at me.	
3	А	[Witness complies.]	
4	Q	Did that refresh your recollection?	
5	А	Yes, it did.	
6	Q	And were you able to identify that driver of the vehicle?	
7	A	Yes, I was.	
8	Q	Who was the driver of that vehicle?	
9	А	Nicholas Harris.	
10	Q	Okay. So as you get behind the vehicle, you see that it's a	
11	black Mustang?		
12	A	Correct.	
13	Q	Florida plates?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	Specifically showing you	
16		MR. DICKERSON: May I publish, Your Honor?	
17		THE COURT: Yes.	
18	BY MR. DICKERSON:		
19	Q	State's Exhibit 47; these are the plates that you see when you	
20	pull up behind it?		
21	А	Correct.	
22	Q	And this was at Bonanza and Las Vegas Boulevard?	
23	A	Correct.	
24	Q	More specifically, State's Exhibit 49, is this the vehicle you	
25	see?		
1			

1	A	Yes, it is.	
2	Q	So what do you do from this point in time at Las Vegas	
3	Boulevard and Bonanza?		
4	A	We broadcast that we had a a vehicle with a potential in the	
5	side in the passenger side. We started doing what we call rolling		
6	surveillance, kind of watching the vehicle, waiting to try to get a		
7	black-and-white marked unit there to to do a car stop.		
8	Q	And so from showing you here State's Exhibit 21; still have	
9	your bearings with this one?		
10	A	Yes, I do.	
11	Q	Where is it that you go from there, following this black	
12	Mustang?		
13	Α	We continue to head west on Bonanza. We pass Las Vegas	
14	Boulevard and approach the the 215 underpass.		
15	Q	The 15?	
16	A	I'm sorry, the 15. I apologize.	
17	Q	And is that depicted here in this photograph?	
18	A	Yes, it is. Right there.	
19	Q	And then what happens?	
20	A	As we're following the vehicle, it takes a quick right-hand turn,	
21	I believe it was on H Street, and started heading north. And we didn't		
22	want to burn our vehicle, so we kept going west and let another vehicle		
23	try to pick him up from behind us.		
24	Q	Okay. H Street, is that located right here on the map?	
25	Α	Correct.	

1	Q	It's one of the topmost streets?
2	A	Yes. It's a major thoroughfare.
3	Q	At this point in time, what happens?
4	A	Other units in the area that were behind us were broadcasting
5	that they	had a vehicle continuing north on H. Myself, and I was with my
6	sergeant at the time, he was driving, we continued to go up another	
7	street fu	rther west and try to backtrack to see if we can pick him back up
8	again.	
9	Q	Did you ultimately locate that vehicle?
10	A	We did not, but other officers and other detectives that were
11	there located it in an alleyway by, like, H and West Monroe.	
12	Q	We see a marking over here, West Monroe and H, is that that
13	intersection right here?	
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	And is that vehicle occupied when it's located up there?
16	A	No, it's not.
17	Q	Specifically showing you here State's Exhibit 14; does that
18	appear to be that general area?	
19	A	Yes, it does.
20	Q	And then what happens?
21	A	The detectives that saw the vehicle unoccupied sat this is
22	an alleyway between Monroe and Madison in this area. They kind of set	
23	up on the vehicle, kept again, keeping an eye on the vehicle to see if it	
24	got occu	pied. We just we had no idea where the occupants went to at
25	that moment.	

1	Q	Then it's some time, approximately an hour, before this		
2	vehicle is moving again?			
3	A	Correct.		
4	Q	What happens then?		
5	A	We actually called air support in to help us with this. When		
6	they arrived, they updated and advised us that the vehicle was now			
7	occupied and was now heading back south on H Street.			
8	Q	And H Street, depicted here in State's Exhibit 12, as we saw		
9	before?			
0	A	Correct.		
1	Q	Near the top of the screen?		
2	A	Correct.		
3	Q	That side at the left side of the screen. Where does the		
4	vehicle go then?			
5	A	It goes down H Street and then makes a left-hand turn and		
6	starts he	starts heading back east on Washington.		
7	Q	What happens from that point in time?		
8	Α	We had already set up our black-and-white marked units in		
9	the area	the area of Washington and the 15. So when they approached that		
20	area, the	area, they if it what they did is they conducted what we call a car		
21	stop, and	stop, and they they pulled the vehicle over and detained the subject		
22	inside the vehicle.			
23	Q	You had marked patrol units do that?		
24	A	Correct.		
25	Q	That's for a specific purpose?		

1	A	Yeah. Just for officer safety and so there's no confusion or
2	anything	like that, so they know that it's actually the police stopping
3	them.	
4	Q	Okay. Showing you here State's Exhibit 13; does that appear
5	to be the	e intersection of Main and Washington?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And how is this the intersection where that car stop took
8	place?	
9	A	I want to say it was a little bit further up, possibly closer to this
10	location	up here. I know there was a big wall, like a barrier wall, where
11	we stop	ped them.
12	Q	And that location that you indicated there on the screen, are
13	there big	g walls on both sides?
14	А	I know there's a big wall on which would be the south side. I
15	know tha	at for a fact.
16	Q	Okay.
17	А	That's where the vehicle was stopped.
18	Q	I'm showing you here State's Exhibit 48; is that that vehicle at
19	that stop?	
20	Α	Correct.
21	Q	At that point in time, did you make contact with with the
22	occupar	its of that vehicle?
23	A	Patrol had already stopped the vehicle, so by the time my
24	sergean	t and I got there, they were already bringing the passenger back
25	to in fr	ont of the patrol vehicle.

1	Q	And you've already testified as to who those individuals were?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	That was Ceasar Valencia was the passenger?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Nicholas Harris is the driver?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And so at that point in time, was Mr. Valencia taken into
8	custody?	
9	A	He was.
10	Q	Now, there was a moped involved in this case; is that right?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	Did you request testing on that moped?
13	A	No, I did not.
14	Q	Is there any reason why you didn't request testing on at that
15	moped?	
16	A	One of main reasons was we had the Officer Jacobitz, who
17	actually witnessed Valencia driving the the moped that night and	
18	actually dumping the moped before he took off on foot. And then right	
19	after that, within seconds, two other individuals had already picked up	
20	the moped and started pushing it away. So in my opinion, just wasn't	
21	necessary to to go through the DNA process when we had a good	
22	eyewitness being the officer who actually saw Valencia driving the	
23	moped.	
24	Q	Are mopeds, at least then in May 2016, registered vehicles?
25	A	They weren't

1	MR. COYER: Objection. It's outside the
2	THE WITNESS: required to be registered.
3	MR. COYER: scope of this witness's
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	MR. COYER: Thank you.
6	MR. DICKERSON: I don't believe it's outside the scope, if I
7	may be heard, Your Honor. Can we have a bench conference?
8	THE COURT: Sure.
9	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
10	MR. DICKERSON: Not going to get into the vehicle being
11	stolen, simply to show that, hey, look at that investigative step, whether
12	he could ID the person who was driving it by it being registered to that
13	person. In fact, they weren't registered at that time. And there's no
14	mopeds registered.
15	THE COURT: Does he know was this moped, this
16	particular your question was as
17	MR. DICKERSON: There will be.
18	THE COURT: to all mopeds. Does he know if this moped
19	was registered?
20	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: I mean, if he
22	MR. DICKERSON: He will.
23	THE COURT: If it wasn't registered, he can testify to that,
24	whether
25	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.
	110

1	THE COURT: But your question was are mopeds registered,
2	general generally. So you need
3	MR. DICKERSON: As a rule.
4	THE COURT: Right. If you if you want to ask him, was this
5	moped registered? And he says no, and then
6	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
7	THE COURT: you can say, so wouldn't have been do you
8	any good type of thing.
9	MR. DICKERSON: Okay. Absolutely.
10	THE COURT: It's as to this specific moped, you can ask him.
11	MR. COYER: Judge, I hope I'm getting picked up. As as an
12	offer of proof, where this is headed is they're going to get into the fact
13	that the VIN is shaved off the moped and I think that's
14	THE COURT: Huh?
15	MR. COYER: They're going to get into the fact that the VIN is
16	shaved off the moped. And I think that's irrelevant and prejudicial.
17	THE COURT: Are you going to get the fact that the VIN is
18	shaved off the moped?
19	MR. DICKERSON: Absolutely. It's absolutely relevant to the
20	identification of the driver.
21	THE COURT: Why is it relevant to the if it's
22	MR. DICKERSON: Because
23	THE COURT: Is he going to testify it was registered to
24	somebody?
25	MR. DICKERSON: No. It's

111

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. DICKERSON: not registered to anybody.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Then why would the VIN being shaved
4	off because the implication is that the moped itself is stolen
5	MR. DICKERSON: Right.
6	MS. PLUNKETT: Right.
7	THE COURT: because the VIN is shaved off. I am not
8	going to let you get into it that he's not on trial for the moped.
9	MR. DICKERSON: Right.
10	THE COURT: I don't know if
11	MR. LEXIS: Judge, this case is all about, on the front end, it's
12	all about identification. It's going to look like he is not doing his job as
13	an as a detective.
14	THE COURT: No, no, no. That's not what we're talking
15	about.
16	MR. DICKERSON: Absolutely, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a brief recess. I've
18	got to leave at 3:30. So are we going to finish this witness or not?
19	MR. DICKERSON: I'll be very brief.
20	MS. PLUNKETT: And he
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MS. PLUNKETT: he has already stated that Officer
23	Jacobitz saw Ceasar Valencia on the moped. So I don't
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
25	MS. PLUNKETT: He already stated that Officer Jacobitz saw
- 1	

1	Ceasar Valencia on the moped, got a good ID.
2	THE COURT: Right.
3	MS. PLUNKETT: So I don't think we need to go into the VIN
4	being shaved off, as it's
5	THE COURT: I am not
6	MS. PLUNKETT: part of
7	THE COURT: going to let you go into that the
8	MR. DICKERSON: And it's already in evidence, by the way,
9	You Honor, in the audio that they admitted.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to let you have him testify
11	that the VIN was shaved off the moped.
12	MR. DICKERSON: Okay. All right.
13	THE COURT: Wait, is there any other do you understand
14	my ruling?
15	MR. DICKERSON: I do.
16	THE COURT: You can ask this officer was this moped
17	registered? It's not registered, that whole line of questioning,
18	establishing whatever he needs to establish as far as the investigative
19	procedures. But he you cannot ask him and he cannot testify to that
20	the VIN was shaved off the moped or anything about the VIN.
21	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, counsel.
23	MR. DICKERSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
24	MR. LEXIS: Thank you.
25	[End of bench conference.]

1	BY MR. [DICKERSON:
2	Q	Detective Bryant, this moped was not registered; is that right?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And in 2016, there was no DMV registration for mopeds?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	So there was no way for you to track the owner of this moped?
7	Α	Correct.
8		MR. COYER: Objection. Speculation. Foundation.
9		THE COURT: Overruled.
10		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
11		State will pass the witness.
12		THE COURT: Any cross-examination by the defense?
13		MR. COYER: Your Honor, we do have cross-examination, but
14	obviously	none that can be completed in 10 minutes. Would the court
15	prefer if I	start or defer till our next session?
16		THE COURT: Okay. Counsel approach, please.
17		[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
18		THE COURT: How long do you we've got about 10
19	minutes.	So you think it's going take longer than 10 minutes?
20		MR. COYER: I do.
21		THE COURT: Then you're probably going to I mean, he's
22	going to I	have to come back tomorrow. I'm not going to be able to
23		MR. DICKERSON: We might as well start.
24		THE COURT: All right. Well, what what we'll do is go for 10
25	minutes.	

1		MR. COYER: Okay.
2		THE COURT: Go as far as you can go. He's going to have to
3	come I	was hoping to get him out today, but
4		MR. COYER: Understood.
5		THE COURT: he took longer than anticipated. So let's go
6	for 10 mi	nutes and then we'll start tomorrow at 10:00.
7		MR. COYER: Understood.
8		THE COURT: All right.
9		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
10		THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
11		MR. COYER: Very good.
12		[End of bench conference.]
13		MR. COYER: May I approach the clerk to set up some
14		THE COURT: Yes.
15		MR. COYER: exhibits?
16		CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. (COYER:
18	Q	Good afternoon, Detective.
19	A	Good afternoon.
20	Q	I want to start here by going back to your testimony about the
21	walkthrou	ugh.
22	A	Okay.
23	Q	Okay. You testified that you and Officer Jacobitz and a CSA,
24	crime sce	ene analyst, did a walkthrough of the crime scene, correct?
25	A	Correct.
	I	7 7 5

1	Q	Your 100 percent sure it was the three of you?
2	A	As far as I can recollect, yes.
3	Q	Okay. And the CSA was Olivia Klosterman?
4	A	I believe so, yes.
5	Q	Okay. Do you you understand what a CAD is, right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. And you understand how to read one of those, right?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. We've we've previously heard testimony that on the
10	evening	of May 19th, 2016, that Officer Jacobitz was operating
11	as 3DP4	0 with an Adam, or A, designation because his partner was B; is
12	that right?	
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	Okay. And you understand what that means, right?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	What was your identifying designation, as it would as it
17	would ap	ppear on the CAD that evening?
18	A	I was 199PD.
19	Q	199P
20	A	PD199, yes.
21	Q	Sorry, 1
22	A	It's either 199 or PD199.
23	Q	Okay. I just don't want to talk over each other
24	A	Yeah.
25	Q	so that the the recorder picks up everything.
		116

1		And would somebody, like a crime scene analyst like
2	Ms. Klosterman, also have a an identifying, you know, call sign, for	
3	lack of a better term?	
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	What would hers be?
6	A	It would start with a C.
7	Q	Okay. And then beyond that, do you know how she was
8	identifie	d on that evening?
9	A	I I will maybe say 23.
10	Q	Okay. Now, when we're looking at a CAD, okay, the printout,
11	the pap	erwork I'm talking about here, there are some letters that indicate
12	some in	formation about the officer, correct?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	And the letters can indicate several things, like when they're
15	en route	e or when they've arrived on a scene, right?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Okay. So would you agree that, theoretically, the CAD would
18	show w	hen you arrived on the scene?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	And theoretically, it should show when Ms. Klosterman or I
21	don't kn	ow if it's officer or Ms. Klosterman, arrived on the scene?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	Okay. If I show you the CAD, would you be able to recognize
24	those de	esignations on on this exhibit?
25	A	I would.

1	Q	Okay.
2		MR. COYER: May I approach, Your Honor?
3		THE COURT: Yes.
4		MR. COYER: Okay.
5		THE WITNESS: [Witness reads document.] Okay.
6	BY MR. (COYER:
7	Q	That that information in that CAD looks familiar to you, looks
8	like a reg	ular CAD, right?
9	Α	Correct.
10		MR. DICKERSON: Okay. Can I get the ELMO? Or is it on
11	already?	
12		THE CLERK: Uh-huh.
13		MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
14	BY MR. I	DICKERSON:
15	Q	Can you see that, sir?
16	Α	Yes, I can.
17	Q	Going to zoom in here so that the jury can see it with us. All
18	right. No	w, you discussed previously that the CSA and again, I'm
19	sorry, is i	t should I refer to her as Ms. Klosterman or is she Officer
20	Klosterm	an?
21	Α	Ms. Klosterman is fine.
22	Q	Okay. She's C C23, right?
23	Α	Correct.
24	Q	Okay. And is where my pen tip is, is that the first entry of C23
25	that we s	ee on this CAD?
1	I	

1	Α	I believe so, yes.
2	Q	The letters out here to the left say USAS, correct?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	What does that mean?
5	A	The AS stands for assigned.
6	Q	Okay. So that doesn't mean that she's on scene, correct?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	Okay. Let me move this up so it's still on the screen. Okay.
9	So this is	USAS C23, correct?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	And then if we go down a little further, we see C23 again,
12	correct?	
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	Yes?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. Just have to answer audibly. Okay?
17	A	Yeah. I did.
18	Q	And then out there to the left, we see the the letters USAR,
19	right?	
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Now, AR is shorthand for arrived?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	So this would indicate that she, Ms. Klosterman, arrived at this
24	time out I	here, which is 2255, correct?
25	A	Correct.
		119

1	Q	And that's	
2	A	10:55.	
3	Q	10:25	
4	A	In the evening.	
5	Q	10:25 p.m., correct?	
6	Α	Correct.	
7	Q	Or 10	
8	Α	10:55.	
9	Q	10:55, excuse me. And then we've got an address here	
0	of 625 North 10th Street, correct?		
1	Α	Correct.	
2	Q	Okay. Now, that is not the area where the purported assault	
3	on an officer occurred, correct?		
4	Α	Correct.	
5	Q	Okay. Were you present at 625 North 10th Street when	
6	Ms. Klosterman arrived?		
7	A	Correct.	
8	Q	Were you the question is were you present?	
9	A	Yes, I was.	
20	Q	Okay. So she shows up and you met with her there at 625	
21	North 10	th?	
22	Α	Correct.	
23	Q	Okay. Continue on down the page a little bit here and you'll	
24	see whe	re my pen tip is, okay, we're at what time is that?	
25	A	2336.	
	1		

1	Q	And in regular people time?	
2	A	It's 11:36 p.m.	
3	Q	Okay. And we've got another USAR, right?	
4	A	Correct.	
5	Q	Which is arrived, and we've got C23 again, right?	
6	A	Correct.	
7	Q	Same address, 625 North 10th Street?	
8	A	Correct.	
9	Q	Can you explain those discrepancies?	
0	A	A lot of times with the the computer system we have, it's a	
1	touch-based computer system. We have arrived and en route buttons		
2	on our computers. And sometimes if you and I've done it myself		
3	hundreds of times if you go to push one, like, to pull up to query		
4	something, I've hit arrived, I've hit cleared before on CAD several times		
5	So it's	it's easily a mistake that happens quite often, honestly.	
6	Q	So you are presuming that this entry of 2336 is perhaps a	
7	mistake?		
8	A	Correct.	
9	Q	Okay. And your testimony on direct was that she, in fact,	
20	arrived a	t 10:55, right?	
21	A	Correct.	
22	Q	On direct, okay? Not the CAD. On direct examination when	
23	you said	she arrived at 10:55, did you mean 625 North 10th Street	
24	or 610 N	orth 10th Street?	
25	A	We all arrived at 625. That's where we were holding kind of	

1	our briefi	ng at, at that location.
2	Q	Okay. So just going to take you back to the CAD here and go
3	one entry	down
4	A	Uh-huh.
5	Q	to 2342. Can you see that?
6	A	Yes, I can.
7	Q	And we see USCL and C23, right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	What is CL?
10	A	That stands for clear.
11	Q	Okay. So when does an officer normally clear themselves
12	or or	
13	A	When we're done with the scene.
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	When we're leaving.
16	Q	So would this entry suggest that Ms. Klosterman was done
17	with her v	work at 2342, or left the scene, rather?
18	A	I would say left the scene, yes.
19	Q	Okay. That's it.
20		THE COURT: Is there anything else you're going to ask about
21	this CAD	?
22		MR. COYER: Yes.
23		THE COURT: How much longer?
24		MR. COYER: Probably five minutes or so.
25		THE COURT: All right.
		122

1		MR. COYER: Okay.
2		THE COURT: Go ahead.
3	BY MR.	COYER:
4	Q	I'm just going to take you back up the page. Are you following
5	my my	pen tip?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. You see this entry here, 3DP40, right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	2310, right?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Okay. Now, the over here it says City Jail?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Okay. What's what is that? What's that going to indicate to
14	you?	
15	A	That he's transporting somebody to the city jail.
16	Q	That this person
17	A	The TO stands for to other.
18	Q	Okay. 3DP40 is is transporting to jail?
19	Α	Correct.
20	Q	Now, is that going to be Officer Jacobitz or Officer Houston?
21	Α	They were together, so it was both of them.
22	Q	They both transported the two prisoners to jail together?
23	Α	They're they should have been both in the same vehicle.
24	That's w	hy the A and the B.
25	Q	Right.
		123

1	A	They were assigned the same vehicle.
2	Q	Okay. So if we assume Ms. Klosterman arrived at 625
3	North 10	th at, what is that, 10:37, correct?
4	Α	Correct.
5	Q	No, no. I'm sorry, that's assigned. We assume she arrived
6	at 10:55	, correct?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	And this would indicate that Officer Jacobitz left to take the
9	prisoners	s to the city jail at 2310, right?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	Okay. So why is there no indication in this CAD of anybody
12	doing the	e walkthrough down at 610 North 10th, where the crime scene
13	was?	
14	A	We wouldn't necessarily document it on radio. It's something
15	we do or	scene. We just walk down there and kind of go over what
16	what har	opened.
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	It's nothing that really needs needs to be documented on
19	CAD.	
20	Q	Okay. Did the CSA's work with you continue after Officer
21	Jacobitz	left to transport the prisoners?
22	A	They were finishing up and we usually try to stay on scene
23	with ther	m, just for safety issues.
24	Q	Okay. You were not present during the alleged assault on
25	on Office	er Jacobitz, correct?

Α	No.	l was	not.

Q Okay. So any information you would have about that crime, including the details of the crime scene, would have come from Officer Jacobitz, correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. All right.

MR. COYER: Judge, I don't have anything further on the CAD, but I do have still have additional cross-examination.

THE COURT: No, I understand.

MR. COYER: Okay.

THE COURT: We're going to take our evening recess at this time.

During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, the social media, text, newspapers, television, the Internet, and radio; do not visit the scene of any of the events mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation; do not do any posting or communications on any social networking sites or do any -- do any independent research, including Internet searches, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

At this time, the jury is excused. We will reconvene tomorrow at 10:00.

1	[Jury recessed at 3:31 p.m.]
2	THE COURT: And it's my understanding the State expects to
3	rest sometime tomorrow?
4	MR. DICKERSON: We do, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So I
6	MR. LEXIS: Your Honor, can we meet in your chambers
7	at 9:00 to finalize jury instructions?
8	THE COURT: Well, I don't want to finalize them yet. I want to
9	see what
10	MR. LEXIS: There's a lot we could even not finalizing,
11	there's
12	THE COURT: Okay. We're not going to do jury instructions
13	until after the defense presents their case.
14	MR. COYER: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Because some of the instructions are theory of
16	defense instructions. So that's why I'm so, counsel, the defense
17	should be prepared to go forward sometime tomorrow.
18	MR. COYER: Sure. I'll prepare Mr. Valencia for the canvass
19	about whether he wants to testify as well.
20	THE COURT: Very good, counsel. So we'll be in recess until
21	tomorrow 10:00. Thank you.
22	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you very much.
23	MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you, Judge.
24	MR. COYER: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	[Proceedings concluded at 3:32 p.m. until December 1, 2017,

1	at 10:00	a.m.]
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	ATTEST: I do hereby certify	that I have truly and correctly
7	transcribed the audio/video proceeding	s in the above-entitled case to the
8	best of my ability.	
9		")
10		Sha w. Coto, a
11	Sha	awna Ortega, CET*562
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	127	

Electronically Filed 4/19/2018 9:17 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

RTRAN 1 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Plaintiff, 8 Case No. C-16-315580-1 VS. 9 DEPT. XVIII CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA, 10 Defendant. 11 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARK B. BAILUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 14 FRIDAY, DECEMBER 1, 2017 15 16 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: **JURY TRIAL - PHASE I - DAY 5** 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the Plaintiff: MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ. 20 (Deputy District Attorney) CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ. 21 (Deputy District Attorney) 22 For the Defendant: GREGORY E. COYER, ESQ. 23 ALEXIS ANNE PLUNKETT, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: ROBIN PAGE, COURT RECORDER

24

25

1

1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2		<u>Page No.</u>
3	<u>WITNESSES</u>	
4	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
5	KEITH BRYANT	
6	Cross-Examination (cont.) Redirect Examination	4 22
7	Recross-Examination Further Redirect Examination	32 34
8		
9	Closing Argument by Mr. Lexis Rebuttal Closing Argument by Mr. Coyer	63 79
10	Surrebuttal Closing Argument by Mr. Dickerson Verdict	90 106
11		
12		
13	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
14	DESCRIPTION	ADMITTED
15	No exhibits offered.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, DECEMBER 1ST, 2017
2	[Proceedings commenced at 10:04 a.m.]
3	
4	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
5	THE COURT: Please be seated. This is the continuation of
6	the trial in Case No. C-16-315580, State of Nevada vs. Ceasar Sanchaz
7	Valencia. Let the record reflect the presence of counsel for the State,
8	counsel for the defense, and Mr. Valencia.
9	Are the parties ready to proceed this morning?
0	MR. DICKERSON: We are, Your Honor, on behalf of the
1	State.
2	MR. COYER: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: I'm going to bring the jury in at this time.
4	[Jury reconvened at 10:05 a.m.]
5	THE COURT: Is the State ready to proceed?
6	MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor. We would begin back
7	up with the defense cross-examination of witness Keith Bryant.
8	KEITH BRYANT
9	[having been recalled as a witness and previously sworn, testified as
20	follows:]
21	THE COURT: Sir, I remind you that you're still under oath.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat.
24	You may proceed.
25	MR. COYER: May we proceed? Thank you, Judge.
	1

1	Apprecia	ate it.
2		CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT.)
3	BY MR.	COYER:
4	Q	Morning, Detective Bryant.
5	А	Good morning.
6	Q	If you will recall, we had started the cross-examination
7	process	yesterday evening before we adjourned at about 5:00
8	yesterda	ay, right?
9	Α	About 3:30.
10	Q	Three oh, you're right. That was early early day
11	yesterday	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	3:30. And as the judge or the clerk instructed, you're still
14	under o	ath; you understand that, right?
15	A	Yes, I do.
16	Q	Did you discuss your testimony with anybody from 3:30
17	yesterday until this morning?	
18	A	No.
19	Q	Okay. So just to kind of recap briefly, yesterday we were
20	talking a	a little bit about the the CAD, the paper log that shows the
21	times ar	nd the entries that come out over the radio, right?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	And we had established that you were assigned at
24	approxim	nately 9:12 p.m., right?
25	Α	Correct.
	1	

1	Q	Okay. And that you had arrived at 625 North 10th at	
2	around 9:47 p.m., right?		
3	A	Correct.	
4	Q	And you pretty quickly, almost immediately, requested ID, or	
5	what we	call the CSA, crime scene analyst, correct?	
6	A	Correct.	
7	Q	And that person was Crime Scene Analyst, or CSA, Olivia	
8	Klostern	nan, right?	
9	A	Correct.	
10	Q	Okay. And we established that she arrived, excuse me, at	
11	approximately 10:55 p.m.?		
12	A	Correct.	
13	Q	And that was at 625 North 10th Street where she arrived?	
14	A	Correct.	
15	Q	Okay. Officer Jacobitz left that scene at	
16	approxir	nately 11:10 p.m. to transport prisoners to City Jail, right?	
17	A	Correct.	
18	Q	So there's about 15 minutes there where presumably	
19	Ms. Klos	sterman would have had opportunity to discuss the incident with	
20	Officer J	acobitz, correct?	
21	A	That's correct.	
22	Q	Okay. And you talked about the walkthrough that the three of	
23	you did	together?	
24	A	Correct.	
25	Q	Okay. At some point later that evening, did you leave the	
	1	5	

1	scene and go back to the Downtown Area Command?	
2	A	I did.
3	Q	Do you recall when that was?
4	A	With the CAD, but it was probably after 11:30.
5	Q	Okay. Does 11:34 sound right?
6	A	That sounds about right, yeah.
7	Q	That's good that was a good estimate. Now, during that
8	time whe	en you were not at the scene, were you being made aware of
9	what wa	s happening at the scene?
10	А	No. Because my recollection, everything was pretty much
11	wrappeo	l up at that point.
12	Q	Okay. So I want I want to go back and sort of clarify the
13	timeline.	Okay?
14	A	Okay.
15	Q	Because you had given some testimony on direct examination
16	about some surveillance being conducted on the residence at 625	
17	North 10	th Street, correct?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	Okay. So my question to you is, I know you didn't personally
20	stay in visual contact with that residence, because you went back to to	
21	DTAC, b	out was the residence under surveillance continually from the
22	time of t	ne late evening of May 19th till the early morning hours of
23	May 21s	t when we talked about that Mustang, that black Mustang,
24	appearing at the residence?	
25	A	Once we cleared from the the residence on the 19th, as far

6

1	as my recollection, there was no other kind of surveillance done on the	
2	residence until we set it back up again later that night.	
3	Q	Later which night?
4	A	On the 20th.
5	Q	Okay. So because we're talking about the late night hours of
6	May 19th?	
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	At some point, officers go back to 620 the the residence
9	area of 625 North 10th Street on the 20th, the following day?	
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	What time of day was that surveillance set up?
12	Α	That would have been later that night, around 11:18, I believe,
13	is when we set up the initial event.	
14	Q	So
15	Α	11:12.
16	Q	Excuse me, I just didn't I didn't want to speak over you.
17	Α	Yeah. So I think like 11:12 I think is what the CAD had, or
18	something like that.	
19	Q	So approximately, give or take, about 24 hours, almost a full
20	day after you left the the scene?	
21	Α	Correct.
22	Q	Okay. Are you aware of whether or not any K-9 officers had
23	ever come to the scene on May 19th in the late hours when you were	
24	actually	there?
25	A	The original event when the initial foot pursuit ensued, a K-9

1	anything.	•
2	Q	Okay. What about the following night?
3	A	We did not have K-9 with us the the next night during
4	surveillar	nce.
5	Q	Okay. So the surveillance that's set up about 24 hours later,
6	this is wh	nen you described it as being, like, up on the balcony of the
7	house ac	cross the way, right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	And you guys had a good line of sight down into the backyard
10	of 625 No	orth 10th Street, right?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	Okay. Mr. Valencia is arrested on the actual date of May 21st,
13	right?	
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	But it's, like, the early morning hours, like 2:00, 3:00 a.m.?
16	A	It was, like, 2:52.
17	Q	Okay. So from the the initial assault on Officer Jacobitz is
18	about, w	hat, 7:45 p.m.?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	Till Mr. Valencia's arrest, we're talking about, like, 30 hours,
21	give or take?	
22	A	Roughly, yes.
23	Q	Okay. So I want to talk to you now about the surveillance of
24	that black	k Mustang we talked about. Were you part of the surveillance
25	team tha	t was up on that balcony looking down into the backyard of the

1	residence	e at 625?
2	A	Yes, I was. Myself and my sergeant.
3	Q	Okay. Did you see a black Mustang arrive at that residence or
4	was it alv	vays there from the time you started surveilling?
5	Α	It was not there when we started it. We were advised by the
6	units that	were on the other side of the surveillance on on 10th Street
7	that the N	Mustang had arrived.
8	Q	So you're looking at the back of the residence?
9	Α	Correct.
10	Q	Another officer tells you that a Mustang has just arrived at the
11	front of the residence?	
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	You can't see the front the front of the residence where
14	you're at'	?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	Okay. So you don't see who gets into that Mustang, correct?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	You only see people leave the area where you understand to
19	be Mr. Va	alencia's dwelling?
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	Okay. And that's a male and a female?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	Okay. Does anybody ever see male and a female get into the
24	Mustang ⁴	?
25	A	It was updated they saw subjects getting in the vehicle,

1	correct.	
2	Q	Now, by the time Mr. Valencia is arrested, there's not a male
3	and a fer	nale in the in the Mustang, right?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Two males, right?
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	No female, right?
8	Α	Correct.
9	Q	Okay. So between the time when the Mustang leaves the
10	residence	e and when it's ultimately pulled over in that area we discussed
11	surveillar	nce is lost on the Mustang?
12	A	For a very short period of time, yes.
13	Q	About how long?
14	A	I'd say a matter of seconds.
15	Q	Okay. And when I say lost, I mean, nobody involved in the
16	surveillance operation is able to see the Mustang at some point?	
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	Okay. And then sometime later, you said a few seconds I
19	guess, th	e vehicle is relocated, right?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	But now it's unoccupied?
22	A	That's correct.
23	Q	Okay. And that's over in that area closer to where the arrest
24	occurred	, right?
25	A	Correct.
1	1	

1	Q	Okay. You were the lead detective on this?
2	A	I was.
3	Q	I say incident; it's really kind of two incidents, right? There's
4	the assa	ult incident and then there's the arrest incident, right?
5	A	Yeah. Usually when you have a case like that, it kind of
6	carries o	ver. It all becomes kind of wrapped up into one case.
7	Q	But as far as the totality of all that, you're eventually assigned
8	as the lead detective?	
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Okay. And so you would have drafted a some kind of
11	report, like an declaration or an arrest report?	
12	A	Correct. Actually both.
13	Q	Okay. Now, you didn't personally the witness assault, right?
14	A	No, I did not.
15	Q	You weren't even there, right?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Okay. So any information you would have put in your report
18	would have come from, presumably, either Officers Jacobitz or Houston	
19	correct?	
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	Okay. Because they were the only ones on the scene when
22	the origin	nal gun-pulling incident happened, right?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	Okay. And in your report, you reiterated the description of that
25	suspect a	as it was called out contemporaneously in realtime when it
	1	

1	actually happened, right?		
2	A	Correct.	
3	Q	And that description that you put in your report was Hispanic	
4	male ad	lult?	
5	A	Correct.	
6	Q	Dark hat?	
7	A	Correct.	
8	Q	Red striped shirt?	
9	A	Correct.	
10	Q	Dark pants?	
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	Approximately 5'7"?	
13	A	Correct.	
14	Q	Approximately 160 pounds?	
15	A	Correct.	
16	Q	Okay. That's exactly what you put in your report?	
17	A	Correct.	
18	Q	You would have also incorporated into your report some of the	
19	data tha	t Ms. Klosterman would have put together through her	
20	photogra	aphs and measurements and things, correct?	
21	A	That's correct.	
22	Q	Okay. And the distance from the suspect with the gun and	
23	Officer of	Jacobitz ended up being about 64 feet; do you recall that?	
24	A	That sounds about right.	
25	Q	Okay. And does that sound about right based on your	
		13	

1	nersona	I visualization of the actual scene after the fact?
	'	
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. You also put in your report that the subject that was
4	witnesse	ed leaving, what was believed to be Ceasar's residence, was
5	bald; do	you recall that?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And fit the description of our target subject, Valencia, right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Okay. Now, the description that went out was never that this
0	person was bald, correct?	
1	A	He had a hat on.
2	Q	Right?
3	A	Right.
4	Q	So you wouldn't even be able to tell if somebody was bald or
5	not if the	ey had a hat on presumably, right?
6	A	Yes, correct.
7	Q	Okay. And certainly if this person was bald and Officer
8	Jacobitz	had witnessed that, that's something you would have expected
9	him to c	onvey or at least tell you after the fact so that you could put it in
20	your report, right?	
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	Hair style, that's the kind of detail you want in a description of
23	a suspe	ct, right?
24	Α	Of course, yes.
25	Q	So by the time that the what we're referring to as the
		14

1	take-down operation, the arrest of Mr. Valencia, pictures had been		
2	circulate	circulated at this point, right?	
3	A	Correct.	
4	Q	Everybody involved knows what Ceasar Valencia looks like	
5	now, rigi	ht?	
6	A	Correct.	
7	Q	Right. And turns out, Ceasar Valencia has a shaved head or	
8	is bald, ı	right?	
9	A	Correct.	
10	Q	Okay. And there's another reference in your report to	
11	someon	e seeing the passenger of the black Mustang, right?	
12	A	Correct.	
13	Q	And again, the reference is, oh, that person is bald, he fits the	
14	descripti	on of our target, correct?	
15	A	Correct.	
16	Q	Okay. And the target is Ceasar Valencia, right?	
17	A	Correct.	
18	Q	But bald doesn't necessarily fit the description of an HMA with	
19	dark hat	, does it?	
20	A	He's wearing a hat. So, yeah, no.	
21	Q	Okay.	
22		MR. COYER: May I have the court's indulgence to acquire	
23	some ex	chibits?	
24		THE COURT: Yes.	
25	BY MR.	COYER:	
	1		

1	Q	I'm going to show you what's been pre-admitted as State's 15.
2	Zoom o	ut so we can actually see the whole thing, if that's all right. Can
3	you see	that, sir?
4	A	Yes, I can.
5	Q	Okay. Got it all on your screen there?
6	A	Yes, I do.
7	Q	Okay. You recall seeing this photograph from yesterday,
8	right?	
9	Α	Correct.
10	Q	Okay. This depicts sort of the opening of the area that is the
11	walkway	on the south side of 610 North 10th Street, correct?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Okay. And, obviously, the gate is pushed half closed in this
14	picture.	But my question to you is, this area right here in front of this
15	gate, in	front of these steps, is the area where you believed Officer
16	Jacobitz to be standing when he saw a firearm, correct?	
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	Okay. And would you agree that from this point, there is both
19	a little pony wall right here beside the stairs?	
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	And there's the backside of what appears to be a structure
22	right here, correct?	
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	So reasonable to infer that Officer Jacobitz could have, if he
25	needed	to, have taken cover in either one of these positions, correct?

1	MR. DICKERSON: Speculation, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Restate the question, counsel.
3	BY MR. COYER:
4	Q So it's reasonable to infer, based on where Officer Jacobitz
5	was in this picture, that he could have taken cover behind either of these
6	two locations if he needed to, correct?
7	MR. DICKERSON: Speculation, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Did you finish your question, counsel?
9	MR. COYER: did.
10	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
11	MR. COYER: Okay.
12	BY MR. COYER:
13	Q During the approximately 30 hours or so
14	THE COURT: I apologize, counsel. Approach.
15	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
16	THE COURT: I just want to verify something. Have we
17	allowed this witness to give lay opinion testimony or either or to give
18	opinion regarding either as a lay witness or an expert witness
19	previously?
20	MR. COYER: Not as
21	MR. DICKERSON: Not as an expert.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. LEXIS: Plus, he's not even giving a lay opinion. He's just
24	trying to [indiscernible] of what somebody else
25	THE COURT: Would have been an opinion based on his
	17

1	many yea	ars as a police officer if but he hasn't been qualified as an
2	expert, th	erefore
3		MR. DICKERSON: Correct.
4		THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
5		MR. DICKERSON: Sure.
6		MR. COYER: I'll move on.
7		THE COURT: Okay.
8		MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: Thank you.
10		[End of bench conference.]
11	BY MR. (COYER:
12	Q	All right. Officer, you excuse me, Detective, you were here
13	in this are	ea in the hours following the incident with Officer Jacobitz,
14	correct?	
15	A	Yes, I was.
16	Q	Okay. So you actually personally laid eyes on this area,
17	correct?	
18	Α	I did, yes.
19	Q	Even though you didn't necessarily take these photographs,
20	correct?	
21	Α	Correct.
22	Q	Okay. While we can't see, obviously, what's beyond the
23	photogra	ph here, naturally, as you recall personally seeing this area,
24	were the	re any obstructions between where these steps are and the
25	area behi	ind this wall or behind this wall?

1	A	No.
2	Q	Okay. During the approximately 30 or so hours between the
3	time of t	he incident and the time the surveillance began, did you request
4	for CSA	or any ID to go back to the scene and try to get additional
5	photogra	aphs at about the same time, so that we could actually see what
6	the light	ing looked like, given that it was kind of a dusky kind of not quite
7	day, not	quite night situation?
8	A	No, I did not.
9	Q	Okay. Do you think that would have useful evidence to have?
10	A	Possible, but I mean, we felt like we had a good identification
11	of the su	ubject and that was our follow-up there, just trying to locate him,
12	because	e of the incident itself.
13	Q	Right. By then you already had a target in mind, right?
14	A	We knew who it was, yes.
15	Q	Okay. You've seen this photograph before, correct?
16	A	Yes, I have.
17	Q	Okay. And this is it your understanding that this is the
18	booking	photograph of when Mr. Valencia was arrested on
19	May 21s	st, 2016?
20	A	I believe so, yes.
21	Q	Okay. When you saw Mr. Valencia well, let me ask you a
22	previous	s question. Was May 21st, the day he was arrested, the first day
23	you had	seen him?
24	A	Yes, it was.
25	Q	Okay.
	1	

1	A	In person.
2	Q	Right. When you saw him, wasn't wearing a hat, right?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Okay. So he looked more like this, right?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Okay. Did you, at that time, have any concerns that Officer
7	Jacobitz	had mistakenly identified Mr. Valencia?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Did you have any concerns that not only Officer Jacobitz, but
10	Officer H	louston had omitted the detail that Mr. Valencia has facial hair?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Okay. Do you agree that a show-up is a suggestive
13	identification procedure?	
14	Α	When you're dealing with a regular victim, but police work, we
15	don't usu	ally do that with another officer, no.
16	Q	Okay. Police officers can't make mistakes?
17	A	They can.
18	Q	Okay. If you had had concerns whether or not we had the
19	right sus	pect, would you have done additional follow-up investigation?
20	A	Yes, I would have.
21	Q	And what would that have consisted of?
22	Α	Speaking with both officers again, just to reconfirm that they
23	that they	saw and believed who it was, be able to to identify him
24	correctly	
25	Q	Maybe order some additional forensic work?
	1	20

1	A	Possible.
2	Q	Okay. And we had talked a little bit about the black Mustang.
3	I'm going	g to publish what's been admitted State's 49. Does that vehicle
4	look fam	iliar to you?
5	A	Yes, it does.
6	Q	And is that the black Mustang that was eventually pulled over
7	by a mai	rked patrol unit, in which Ceasar Valencia was riding as a
8	passeng	er?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	Okay. When Mr. Valencia was arrested, your report indicates
11	he was t	aken into custody "without incident"?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Okay. Without incident is a term of art that's commonly used
14	in arrest	reports and declarations of arrest, correct?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	And that term, without incident, is used to describe a
17	by-the-b	ook, smooth arrest procedure, correct?
18	A	What the subject didn't fight or run or anything like that, yes.
19	Q	Mr. Valencia made no attempt to resist arrest?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Mr. Valencia made no attempt to flee?
22	A	No.
23	Q	No attempt to run away?
24	Α	No.
25	Q	Mr. Valencia made no attempt to assault anyone?
		21

1	A	No.
2	Q	If any of those things had happened, you would have
3	documer	nted those in your report?
4	Α	Correct.
5		MR. COYER: We'll pass, Judge.
6		THE COURT: Any redirect by the State?
7		MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
8		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
10	Q	Detective Bryant, you said that if you were dealing with regular
11	victims, l	ike citizens
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	you you might do a show-up?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	What's the difference here?
16	A	It an officer, we're we're trained a little bit more from day
17	one to be	e able to pick up certain descriptors, certain height, weight,
18	things lik	te that, that maybe a normal citizen who isn't dealing with this on
19	a daily b	asis wouldn't even think about to to look at. So an officer
20	it's kind o	of normal practice to sit there, and if we have a photo, and show
21	them rea	altime, hey, is this who you saw running? And they can identify it
22	right awa	ay. Whereas a citizen usually needs a little bit more time and a
23	little bit o	of understanding of what they're looking for.
24	Q	Because an everyday part of your job as police officers is
25	identifyir	ng people you've never seen before?

1	sides of his face?	
2	Α	Correct.
3	Q	And his mustache is very wispy?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	But he does have some undergrowth down here?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Now, as far as your description that defense counsel touched
8	on in yo	ur report, it's the exact same description that was in the details
9	of the ca	all?
0	A	Correct.
1	Q	Where did you get that description from?
2	А	The from the calls from the officer on scene that actually
3	witnessed the subject running from eye eyewitness account of	
4	what v	what he looked like and height and weight and everything.
5	Q	And that was specific was that taken specifically from the
6	details o	f the call?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	So the CAD that we have?
9	A	Correct.
20	Q	So you just looked at the CAD on your computer?
21	A	Yeah. We'll do that, just to make sure we get the correct
22	informat	ion that was broadcast at the time of the incident.
23	Q	Okay. And so that's what you were documenting at that time?
24	Α	Correct.
25	Q	That evening on the 19th when you arrived on scene and you
		2.4

1	spoke to Officer Jacobitz and Officer Houston, had Ceasar Valencia	
2	been ide	entified?
3	A	Yes, he had.
4	Q	As 100 percent being the suspect?
5	A	100 percent from Officer Jacobitz, yes.
6	Q	And when he was subsequently taken into custody on
7	the 21st	, was that by way of a felony stop?
8	Α	Yes. We call it, like, a high-profile car stop.
9	Q	Is there more than one officer out there?
10	Α	Yeah. We usually have multiple officers out there, try to have
11	the air u	nit available.
12	Q	This night in particular, how many officers were out there?
13	A	With all of our detectives and then with the marked patrol,
14	maybe 1	2 to 15, if I was if I had to guess. There was quite a few out
15	there.	
16	Q	12 or 15 officers?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	Are guns out during a felony stop?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Most officers have guns out?
21	Α	Usually the officers that are up on the front line actually
22	making	contact with the vehicles and the occupants in the vehicle, they
23	usually I	nave their their guns drawn just in case, yes.
24	Q	Okay. And again, the driver of this vehicle was not
25	Mr. Vale	encia?

1		MR. COYER: Objection. Leading.
2		MR. DICKERSON: What
3		THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
5	Q	What was the area
6		THE COURT: Restate your question.
7	BY DICK	(ERSON:
8	Q	where this vehicle was stopped
9	A	Yeah.
10	Q	west
11	A	Yeah. It had the Main Street. Had the wall off Washington.
12	Had the	big wall on the right-hand side.
13	Q	I'm going to show you for reference State's Exhibit 13. Is this
14	the area	right here that we're talking about?
15	A	Correct. It's going to be up just a little bit past the let me get
16	by just	up past that right there, in that location a little bit further up.
17	Q	Okay. There was also previously some testimony on
18	cross-ex	amination about the computer aided dispatch report and the
19	arrival tir	me of the crime scene analyst?
20	Α	Correct.
21	Q	No doubt she arrived at about 10:55 p.m.?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	And the second arrival time right before her clear time
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	approximately was that approximately six minutes before
1	I	

1	her clear time?	
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	Is it possible for her to complete the work that she did in six
4	minutes?	
5		MR. COYER: Objection. Speculation.
6		THE COURT: Sustained.
7	BY MR. [DICKERSON:
8	Q	Did you see her complete the work that she did in six
9	minutes?	
10	Α	She didn't complete in six minutes, no.
11	Q	How long did you see her out there?
12	A	She's out there for the full time we were, so it was about
13	over an h	nour, hour and a half.
14	Q	And she took the photos out there at that scene?
15	A	Correct, she did.
16	Q	Including what's depicted here in State's Exhibit 31, the
17	firearm?	
18	Α	Correct.
19	Q	And all the other photos of that firearm?
20	Α	Correct.
21	Q	What's depicted here in State's Exhibit 17, the scene?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	And all those exhibits of the scene?
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	As well as what's depicted here in State's Exhibit 45?
- 1	I	

1	A	That's a photo of Officer Jacobitz's name badge.
2	Q	And all the photos of Officer Jacobitz?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	That CSA took?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	One piece of testimony that was touched on on
7	cross-ex	amination was, was it possible that there was if there was
8	some un	certainty about Ceasar Valencia being the suspect, you might
9	conduct	other forensic testing?
0	A	Correct.
1	Q	Is there any other forensic testing that you think would be
2	viable to	conduct in this case?
3	A	Not of this
4		MR. COYER: Objection. Calls for an expert opinion.
5		THE COURT: Sustained.
6		MR. DICKERSON: I may I be heard, Your Honor?
7		THE COURT: Approach.
8		[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
9		THE COURT: Speak low.
20		MR. DICKERSON: He's opened the door on this, because he
21	asked th	at specific question, would you conduct any other forensic
22	testing.	So he's actually entitled to clear that up, what other forensic
23	testing w	ould you conduct.
24		MR. COYER: The question that's been objected to is
25	regarding	the viability of any forensic testing, and that's not a proper

1	opinion from this witness.
2	THE COURT: Okay. What I restate the question
3	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
4	THE COURT: restating his question, and then asking is
5	there any other forensic
6	MR. DICKERSON: Great.
7	THE COURT: In other words, you're you're following up on
8	his question. You need to follow up with a question. Restate his
9	question and then what was what was the do you remember the
0	question he asked?
1	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. I'll I'll restate it, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. But don't ask about viability
3	MR. DICKERSON: Great.
4	THE COURT: things like that.
5	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	[End of bench conference.]
8	BY MR. DICKERSON:
9	Q Detective Bryant, having considered that, is there any other
20	forensic testing that you would have ordered in this case?
21	A No.
22	Q And May 19th, 2016, you knew exactly who the suspect was
23	you were looking for?
24	A Correct.
25	Q Ceasar Valencia?
	20

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	See that individual here in the courtroom today?
3	A	I do.
4	Q	Could you please point to him and identify a piece of clothing
5	he's wea	aring?
6	A	He's over
7		MR. COYER: Objection. Asked and answered.
8	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
9	Q	The defendant?
10	A	Correct.
11		THE COURT: If
12	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
13	Q	Thank you.
14		THE COURT: Wait a minute. Has you previously identified
15	the defe	ndant before
16		THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
17		THE COURT: in your testimony? And you identified him as
18	Ceasar '	Valencia?
19		THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
20		THE COURT: I mean sustained.
21	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
22	Q	The defendant?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Thank you.
25		MR. DICKERSON: State will pass the witness.
	1	

1		RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR.	COYER:
3	Q	Officer, you testified just now on redirect that police officers,
4	unlike civ	vilians, receive training, to use your words, to identify
5	descripto	ors
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	correct? Descriptors would include someone's race?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Perhaps their build?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	In some cases, clothing?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	And identifiable traits, physical traits, right?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	Like tattoos
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	right? Scars?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	Facial hair
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	right? Hair in general, right?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	Okay. These are all things that officers receive training on, so
24	that whe	n they see these things in the field, they mention them, because

25

they're important, right?

1	A	Sometimes, yes.
2	Q	They're sometimes important and sometimes not important?
3	A	Sometimes they mention them, sometimes they don't.
4	Q	Okay. In a situation like the one we have here, where 30 or so
5	hours ha	as gone by between incident and arrest, clothing is not that
6	importar	nt anymore, is it?
7	Α	Correct.
8	Q	Because clothing can be changed, right?
9	Α	Correct.
10	Q	Okay. So in a situation like that, where clothing becomes
11	irrelevar	t, physical descriptors become the most important features,
12	right?	
13	Α	Correct.
14	Q	Okay. Now, I want to clarify the timeframe of
15	Ms. Klos	sterman's involvement, okay.
16	А	Okay.
17	Q	Because you said it was about an hour, hour and a half?
18	Α	Roughly, yes.
19	Q	Okay. Would you agree that the CAD indicates she was there
20	for 47 m	inutes?
21	A	That's close to an hour, yeah. Correct.
22	Q	You say that's close to an hour?
23	A	Yeah. I'd say it's close to an hour.
24	Q	Okay. But it's 47 minutes, right?
25	A	Okay. Yes.
		33

1	Q	Do you have any reason to disagree with that?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Would you defer to the CAD on that?
4	A	I'm fine.
5	Q	Sorry?
6	А	I'm fine. CAD says it's 47 minutes, I just I was just
7	estimatir	ng.
8	Q	Okay.
9		MR. COYER: Pass, Judge.
10		THE COURT: Mr do you have any
11		MR. DICKERSON: May I briefly, Your Honor?
12		THE COURT: Briefly.
13		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR.	DICKERSON:
15	Q	Some talk about your the descriptors?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	You ended up actually booking Ceasar Valencia into jail on
18	the 21st;	is that right?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	As part of that, you have to determine a couple things,
21	identifier	s about the individual?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	How tall is Ceasar Valencia?
24	A	5'7".
25	Q	How much does he weigh?
		34

1	A	185, I believe.
2	Q	Thank you.
3		THE COURT: Counsel, can this witness be excused?
4		MR. COYER: Yes.
5		THE COURT: The State?
6		MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
7		THE COURT: Sir, thank you.
8		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: You are excused.
10		And before and I've had my bailiff watching to make sure
11	nobody v	vanted to ask any questions of any of the witnesses. So again,
12	I want to	remind you that if there you do want to ask any witnesses
13	question,	please write it out on a full-length piece of paper before the
14	witness is	s excused, make sure you provide it to my bailiff and we'll
15	review it	and make a determination whether it's a question that should or
16	not be as	sked. Okay? Thank you.
17		State?
18		MR. DICKERSON: Can we have a brief recess, Your Honor?
19		THE COURT: How long would you like, counsel?
20		MR. DICKERSON: 5, 10 minutes.
21		THE COURT: At this time we're going to take a 10-minute
22	residence	e, ladies and gentlemen.
23		During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse
24	among ye	ourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with
25	this trial,	or read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary on the tria

1	or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information,
2	including without limitation, the social media, text, newspapers,
3	television, the Internet, and radio; do not visit the scene of any of the
4	events mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation; do not
5	do any posting or communications on any social networking sites or do
6	any independent research, including Internet searches, or form or
7	express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the
8	case is finally submitted to you.
9	We'll be in recess for 10 minutes.
10	[Jury recessed at 10:45 a.m.]
11	THE COURT: Counsel?
12	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Is there anything you need to bring to my
14	attention?
15	MR. DICKERSON: Not at this moment. I just need to speak
16	with my co-counsel and we'll work it out here.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you very much.
19	THE COURT: We'll be in recess.
20	[Court recessed at 10:45 a.m. until 10:54 a.m.]
21	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
22	THE COURT: This is continuation of the trial of State vs.
23	Ceasar Valencia.
24	State, how many more witnesses do you have?
25	MR. DICKERSON: State will rest, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Defense, are you planning on presenting any witnesses?

MR. COYER: Your Honor, as of yesterday afternoon I had discussed with Mr. Valencia whether he wanted to testify. If he does, he would be our only witness. So I don't believe we have any, but I know the court has to go through the process with Mr. Valencia --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. COYER: -- personally.

THE COURT: Did you want me to admonish him -- give him the admonishment now or -- and take some time to discuss it with him? Or when do you want me to give Mr. Valencia the admonishment?

MR. COYER: It -- court's pleasure. I -- I spoke with him at length yesterday. I assume his mind hasn't changed. So we can do it now, and that's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So what -- we're going to bring the jury back in. The State is going to rest. And then I'm going to ask you are you going to present any witnesses, and you're going to rest?

Depending on what -- if Mr. Valencia testifies, then that will be your only witness. If not, you're going to rest; is that correct?

MR. COYER: That's correct. But I think -- I think the court should probably admonish Mr. Valencia before bringing the jury in.

THE COURT: No. I'm going to admonish him now.

MR. COYER: Okay. Okay.

THE COURT: I'm trying to --

MR. COYER: I didn't know.

1	THE COURT: tell you procedure.
2	MR. COYER: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: So if you rest, if Mr. Valencia decides not to
4	testify, then I was going to excuse the jurors and we'll we'll go over the
5	jury instructions.
6	MR. COYER: Very good.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. LEXIS: Just so the court's aware, we pretty much have
9	agreed on most everything. There's only going to be argument really as
10	to one jury instruction.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. LEXIS: So we should be able to
13	THE COURT: Well, we'll take a little bit of time and then we'll
14	put it on the record.
15	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, counsel.
17	All right. So at this time, I'm going to bring the jury oh, no,
18	I'm sorry. At this time I'm going to admonish Mr Mr. Valencia
19	regarding his right to testify.
20	Mr. Valencia, under the Constitution of the United States and
21	under the Constitution of the State of Nevada, you cannot be compelled
22	to testify in this case; do you understand that, sir?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: You may, at your own request, give up this
25	right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you will be

subject to cross-examination by the Deputy District Attorney and anything that you may say, be it on direct or cross-examination, will be the subject of fair comment when the Deputy District Attorney speaks to the jury in his final argument; do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, the court will not permit the Deputy District Attorney to make any comments to the jury because you have not testified; do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I understand.

THE COURT: If you elect not to testify, the court will instruct the jury, but only if your attorney specifically requests, as follows:

The law does not compel a defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify, and no presumption may be raised and no inference of any kind may be drawn from the failure of a defendant -- of a defendant to testify.

Do you have any questions about these rights, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: No. None at all.

THE COURT: You're further advised that if you have a felony conviction and more than 1- years has not elapsed from the date you have been convicted or discharged from prison, parole, or probation, whichever is later, and the defense has not sought to preclude that coming before the jury, and you elect to take the stand and testify, the Deputy District Attorney in the presence of the jury will be permitted to ask the following questions:

1. Have you been convicted of a felony?

1	2. What was the felony? And
2	3. When did it happen?
3	However, no details may be gone into before no details may
4	be gone into; do you understand that, sir?
5	THE DEFENDANT: understand.
6	THE COURT: Do you have any questions of the court
7	regarding anything I just regarding anything I have just advised you
8	of?
9	THE DEFENDANT: None.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to take a very short recess
11	that will allow you confer with your attorney. And then I'm going to come
12	back on the bench and but I want you to take I know you've already
13	talked to him previously. But now that I have admonished you, I want to
14	give you the opportunity to speak with him in private. Okay?
15	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
17	We'll be in short recess.
18	Mr. Coyer, let me know when you want to reconvene.
19	MR. COYER: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you, Judge.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	[Court recessed from 10:59 a.m. until 11:02 a.m.]
23	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
24	THE COURT: Mr. Valencia, have you had sufficient time to
25	discuss with your counsel regarding the admonishment I just gave you?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: And what is your decision?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Not to testify.
4	THE COURT: I'm sorry, sir, I didn't
5	THE DEFENDANT: Not to testify.
6	THE COURT: Not to testify?
7	Do you concur, Mr. Coyer?
8	MR. COYER: I concur.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. That he had I mean, concur that
10	he had sufficient time to speak with you and you answered all his
11	questions?
12	MR. COYER: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. COYER: And we discussed the possible jury instruction
15	that you mentioned as well, Judge.
16	THE COURT: And are you requesting that the instruction be
17	given?
18	MR. COYER: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20	Is that correct, Mr. Valencia, you're requesting that instruction
21	be given to the jury?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. That's correct.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
24	What I'll do then is I'll bring the jury in, ask the State is there
25	any additional witnesses, the State will then rest. I'll ask Mr. Coyer is he

1	going to call a witness. He'll rest. Then we'll probably have them come
2	back at 1:00. Okay?
3	MR. COYER: Great.
4	THE COURT: And then we'll settle jury instructions over the
5	lunch break. Is that satisfactory to counsel?
6	MR. DICKERSON: It is, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Coyer?
8	MR. COYER: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Bring the jury back in, please.
10	[Jury reconvened at 11:04 a.m.]
11	THE COURT: Let the record reflect the presence of the jury.
12	Mr. Dickerson, is there any additional witnesses the State are
13	going to call?
14	MR. DICKERSON: No additional witnesses at this time, Your
15	Honor. I would just like to confirm with Your Honor and your clerk that
16	State's Exhibits 1 through 51 have been admitted, and 53 and 54 have
17	been admitted, as well as Defense Exhibit 1.
18	THE CLERK: That's correct.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. DICKERSON: At this time, Your Honor, the State rests.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Coyer, is the defense going to call any
22	witnesses?
23	MR. COYER: Your Honor, no additional witnesses from the
24	defense. And at this time, the defense would rest as well.
25	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

23

24

25

Ladies and gentlemen, there's some housekeeping matters that counsel and myself have to take care of. We're going to take our lunch break at this time. Please return at 1:00. Yes. Wait, I have to give you my admonishment.

During this recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject matter connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, the social media, text, newspapers, television, the Internet, and radio; do not visit the scene of any of the events mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation; do not do any posting or communications on social media networking sites or do independent research, including Internet searches, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

> We'll be on our lunch recess at this time. Thank you. [Jury recessed at 11:07 a.m.]

THE COURT: Counsel, we'll settle jury instructions in the jury room, and then whatever the results are we'll on the record afterwards.

MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Court recessed at 11:08 a.m. until 1:07 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: This is a continuation of the trial of State of Nevada vs. Ceasar Sanchaz Valencia, Case No. 16 C -- I'm sorry, Case No. C-16-315580. Let the record reflect counsel for the State.

1	counsel for the defense, and Mr. Valencia are present.
2	This is time set for settling of jury instructions. Are the parties
3	prepared to go forward at this time?
4	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
5	MR. COYER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. The State has submitted a proposed se
7	of jury instructions and the defense has also submitted a proposed set o
8	special instructions. It's my understanding that as to many of these
9	instructions, that they're agreed to; is that correct?
10	MR. LEXIS: Correct.
11	MR. COYER: Correct.
12	THE COURT: As to Instruction No. 1, are are the parties in
13	agreement that that should be given?
14	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
15	MR. COYER: Yes.
16	THE COURT: As to Instruction No. 2?
17	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Coyer?
19	MR. COYER: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Instruction No. 3, are the parties in
21	agreement that that should be given?
22	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
23	MR. COYER: Yes.
24	THE COURT: And these are the State's proposed
25	instructions.
1	1

1	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: As to Instruction No. 4, are the parties in
3	agreement that should be given?
4	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
5	MR. COYER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: As to Instruction No. 5, are the parties
7	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: in agreement that it should be given?
9	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
10	MR. COYER: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Coyer?
12	MR. COYER: Yes.
13	THE COURT: As to Instruction No. 6, are the parties in
14	agreement it should be given?
15	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
16	MR. COYER: Yes.
17	THE COURT: As to Instruction State's Proposed
18	Instruction No. 7, are the parties in agreement it should be given?
19	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
20	MR. COYER: Yes.
21	THE COURT: As to State's Proposed Instruction No. 8, are
22	the parties in agreement it should be given?
23	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
24	MR. COYER: Yes.
25	THE COURT: As to State's Proposed Instruction No. 9, are
	4 5

1	the parties in agreement it should be given?
2	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
3	MR. COYER: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. As to Instruction Proposed State's
5	Proposed Instruction No. 10, are the parties in agreement it should be
6	given?
7	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: I thought
9	MR. COYER: Yes.
0	THE COURT: this one was going to modified.
1	MR. LEXIS: Not that's not the one that's modified.
2	THE COURT: Okay. What about hold on, counsel. Let me
3	just look at my notes.
4	MR. LEXIS: Oh, I apologize, Judge. I I was thinking about
5	the lesser included. Yes, that's the one that we're going to incorporate
6	the the assault definition.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And this is the one that Defendant's
8	proposed jury instructions is going to be modified to include a portion of
9	Defendant's Proposed Instruction No. 1; is that correct?
20	MR. COYER: Correct.
21	MR. LEXIS: And that was over the State's objection, but due
22	to due to we believing that does not apply to this particular statute.
23	THE COURT: Okay. But in any event, I'm going to give the
24	a modified version of Instruction No. 10. It's my understanding that it's
25	going to read:

1	A person who knowingly intends to use physical force against
2	the person of another person of another
3	After the word another, we're going to modify that sentence to
4	include:
5	Mere menace is not enough, there must be an effort to carry
6	the intention the execution.
7	And then the sentence will continue:
8	Or intentionally place another person in reasonable
9	apprehension of immediate bodily harm is guilty of assault.
10	Is that correct, counsel?
11	MR. LEXIS: That's my understanding of the court's ruling over
12	the State's objection.
13	MR. COYER: Correct.
14	THE COURT: Is that correct, Defense?
15	MR. COYER: Correct, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. As to State's Proposed Jury
17	Instruction No. 11, are the parties in agreement it should be given?
18	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
19	MR. COYER: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And as to State's Proposed Jury
21	Instruction No. 12, are the parties in agreement it should be given?
22	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
23	MR. COYER: Yes.
24	THE COURT: State's Proposed Instruction No. 13, are the
25	parties in agreement it should be given?

1	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
2	MR. COYER: Yes.
3	THE COURT: And on State's Proposed Instruction No. 14, it's
4	my understanding that that instruction is going to be modified; is that
5	correct?
6	MR. LEXIS: Correct.
7	MR. COYER: Correct.
8	THE COURT: And the modification is that the offense of
9	traffic essentially, will be adding that the offense of trafficking in a
10	controlled substance is a lesser offense
11	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
12	THE COURT: of possession I'm sorry.
13	MR. LEXIS: I believe the wording that we were going to use
14	was possession of controlled substance is a lesser-included offense of
15	trafficking.
16	THE COURT: That's correct, counsel. And with that
17	modification, the parties are in agreement that State's Proposed
18	Instruction No. 11 should be given?
19	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. COYER: We're talking about 14, but yes.
22	THE COURT: Did I say 12? I apologize. It's State Proposed
23	Instruction No. 14 with that modification should be given?
24	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
25	MR. COYER: Yes.
- 1	1

1	THE COURT: Thank you. State's Proposed
2	Instruction No. 15, this is the one that I believe we were going to take
3	out hold on.
4	MR. LEXIS: I believe that fourth paragraph was going to be
5	taken out.
6	THE COURT: That's my understanding, the paragraph that
7	begins with the word knowingly.
8	MR. LEXIS: That's correct.
9	THE COURT: And it's covered by another instruction; is that
10	correct?
11	MR. LEXIS: Correct.
12	MR. COYER: Correct.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So with that modification, that the
14	paragraph beginning with the words, knowingly imports a knowledge,
15	that paragraph will be deleted. Is there any do the parties agree with
16	that modification, State's Proposed Instruction No. 15 should be given?
17	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
18	MR. COYER: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Instruction State's Proposed
20	Instruction No. 16, the parties in agreement it should be given?
21	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
22	MR. COYER: Yes.
23	THE COURT: And then on State's Proposed
24	Instruction No. 17, it's my understanding that the State is withdrawing
25	that instruction?

1	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: And then on State's Proposed
3	Instruction No. 18, are the parties in agreement it should be given? And
4	this is State's Proposed Instruction No. 18.
5	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
6	MR. COYER: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. And then are the parties
8	in agreement that State's Instruction No. 19 should be given?
9	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
10	MR. COYER: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. And on State's Instruction No. 20,
12	are the parties in agreement that that instruction should be given?
13	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor. And it looks like
14	Instructions 21 through all right. Never mind, my mistake. Keep
15	going, Judge. Sorry.
16	MR. COYER: We'll get through it.
17	THE COURT: So as to Proposed Jury Instructions 21
18	through 23, are the parties in agreement that those should be given?
19	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
20	MR. COYER: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Okay. As to Jury Instruction No. 24, are the
22	parties in agreement that instruction should be given?
23	MR. COYER: Yes, Judge. And for the record, this is the
24	instruction we requested about the defendant not being required to
25	testify.

1	THE COURT: Correct.
2	MR. COYER: Yes.
3	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And so the defense is specifically requesting
5	that we that that instruction be given, correct?
6	MR. COYER: Correct.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And is it is the State's Proposed
8	Instruction No. 25, are they requesting that be withdrawn?
9	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
0	THE COURT: That will be withdrawn and not given.
1	And then as to State's Proposed Instructions 26 through 29,
2	are the parties in agreement that those instructions should be given?
3	MR. COYER: Yes.
4	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And then as to the defendant's
6	proposed jury instructions, I have numbered them. So the first one is
7	Defendant's Proposed Instruction No. 1. Counsel, do you have those in
8	front of you?
9	MR. COYER: I don't, but I know what it is. It's the Wilkerson
20	instruction.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	To constitute the crime of assault, mere menace is not
23	enough, there must be an effort to carry the intention to execution.
24	We have included mere menace is not enough. There must
25	be an effort to carry the intention to execution as part of the instruction

1	regarding the definition of assault.
2	MR. COYER: Correct.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So because of it's covered by other
4	instructions, I'm not going to give Defendant's Proposed
5	Instruction No. 1, as it's already covered by another instruction.
6	MR. COYER: Agreed.
7	THE COURT: And then as to Defendant's Proposed
8	Instruction No. 2, this is one that states:
9	If you find the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable
10	doubt any one element of a charged offense, you must find
11	Defendant not guilty of that offense.
12	What's the defense position on giving that instruction?
13	MR. COYER: I believe Your Honor had decided to
14	incorporate that language into the reasonable doubt instruction.
15	THE COURT: Well, I believe the State opposed that and I
16	was going to give it as separate instruction
17	MR. LEXIS: Correct.
18	MR. DICKERSON: Oh.
19	THE COURT: following the reasonable doubt instruction.
20	So I will give that and it will follow it'll be the next instruction after the
21	reasonable doubt instruction.
22	And then as to the Defense Proposed Jury Instruction No. 3:
23	An act is not a crime if the act was committed through
24	misfortune or by accident, when it appears that there was no evil
25	design, intention, or culpable negligence.
1	1

1	What's the defense position on that instruction?
2	MR. COYER: I stand by it. I thought it was going to be given
3	by the court.
4	THE COURT: State?
5	MR. LEXIS: It was duplicative of a version that we already
6	had in there.
7	THE COURT: And I was and I am going to give that
8	instruction. And I was going to give it after Jury Instruction No. 20 that
9	deals with intent. Okay. All right.
10	As to Defendant's Proposed Jury Instruction No. 4, it's my
11	understanding that you're withdrawing that instruction, counsel?
12	MR. COYER: Correct.
13	THE COURT: Okay. That instruction will not be given and is
14	withdrawn.
15	And as to Defense Proposed Jury Instruction No. 5?
16	MR. COYER: That language was incorporated into a sort of
17	an omnibus lesser-included instruction.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And so you're satisfied by the
19	modification of the lesser included; are you withdrawing this instruction?
20	MR. COYER: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. This instruction will not be given.
22	And then as to the Defense Proposed Jury Instruction No. 6?
23	MR. COYER: Same same issue. It's a lesser included.
24	THE COURT: Right. So are you withdrawing Defense
25	Proposed Instruction No. 6?
	[

1	MR. COYER: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Then it will not be given.
3	What I'll do, counsel, is I'm going to attach as a court exhibit
4	the Mr. Coyer.
5	MR. COYER: Judge, I just wanted to verify one thing real
6	quick
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. COYER: so that we can finalize the
9	MR. LEXIS: Because we sent Mr. Dickerson up to do a right
10	to testify instruction. I'm believing it's not in here, but I believe it actually
11	is in here
12	MR. COYER: There was a 20 Number 24.
13	MR. LEXIS: Number 24.
14	THE COURT: I thought it was in here too.
15	MR. LEXIS: It's in No. 24. I was we were just verifying that
16	THE COURT: Okay. In any event, what I was advising
17	counsel is I was going to attach as a court exhibit your proposed the
18	defense and the State's proposed jury instructions with citations. I I
19	wasn't going to I wasn't going to attach the ones without the citation.
20	Is that agreeable to the parties?
21	MR. LEXIS: Sounds good.
22	MR. COYER: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we'll be in a short recess.
24	When the modifications have been made, provide them to defense
25	counsel. If the parties are in agreement, that will be the jury instructions

1	I will give to the to the jury. And then it's my understanding that we're
2	going to bring the jury in. The State is going to rest. The defense is
3	going to rest. I then am going to give the jury instructions and we'll do
4	closing arguments; is that correct?
5	MR. COYER: Both parties have already rested, but
6	everything else
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did they?
8	MR. COYER: Everything else is correct.
9	THE COURT: I apologize.
10	MR. COYER: That's all right.
11	THE COURT: All right. So all we have to do, once the jury
12	instructions are finalized is, I will read the instructions to the jury and
13	then we'll do closing arguments, correct?
14	MR. COYER: Very good.
15	MR. LEXIS: Correct.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	[Court recessed at 1:22 p.m. until 1:26 p.m.]
18	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
19	THE COURT: Again, this is continuation of State vs. Valencia
20	Let the record reflect that counsel for the State, counsel for the defense,
21	and Mr. Valencia are present.
22	I just wanted to go over a few housekeeping matters with
23	counsel.
24	First, I want to verify, State, is there any additional proposed
25	jury instructions at this time.

1	MR. LEXIS: No, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Defense is there any additional proposed jury
3	instructions at this time?
4	MR. COYER: No.
5	THE COURT: And then the other housekeeping matter is I
6	want to verify with counsel that we weren't going to send the evidence
7	envelopes back to the jury, because of the issue that came out earlier in
8	the trial. And that I was just going to send pictures of the gun back and
9	advise them if they want to actually look at the gun itself, that my
10	marshal would bring it back and they could inspect it. Is that correct,
11	counsel?
12	MR. LEXIS: The State stipulated to that at the request of the
13	defense and the court.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And is that correct, counsel?
15	MR. COYER: That's all all fine, yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. LEXIS: And just so you know too, Judge, the even
18	though the whole thing was admitted, the bag containing the drugs,
19	there was also a bag of I believe the ODBs are in there as well. They
20	don't need that. So, I mean, if you just want to send back the baggies
21	with the drugs, that's all the State really is
22	THE COURT: Is that agreeable to the defense?
23	MR. COYER: To remove the ODB sheets? Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. COYER: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Clerk, you heard the -- what we're going to do? Okay. Thank you.

MR. LEXIS: Just the three baggies of drugs.

THE CLERK: Just the three baggies.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I just -- we'll wait until we have the -- we'll be in a short recess. Once we have the finalized jury instructions, if counsel can review them and if they are what we -- what we -- were agreed upon, then -- do we have them? All right. I'm going to be in short recess.

[Court recessed at 1:29 p.m. until 1:45 p.m.]
[Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: This is the continuation of the trial in *State vs. Valencia*, Case No. C-16-315580.

Have the parties had an opportunity to review the proposed jury instructions?

MR. DICKERSON: We have, Your Honor.

MR. COYER: Yes.

THE COURT: Is -- I did notice one thing. I think I misspoke at the -- when we were settling instructions. On Jury Instruction No. 11, I thought I said when -- that we were going to add the phrase, mere menace is not enough. There must be an effort to carry the intention into execution, I put it in the first sentence. Apparently, it's in the -- it's been added to the third -- on line 6 and 7. And it reads:

To constitute an unlawful -- an unlawful attempt to use physical force against a person of another, mere menace -- mere

1	menace is not enough. There must be an effort to carry the intention
2	into execution.
3	Is that agreeable to the parties where it's in the instruction,
4	counsel?
5	MR. DICKERSON: That's yes. State
6	MR. COYER: It's agreeable to the defense.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry, counsel?
8	MR. COYER: It's agreeable to the defense.
9	THE COURT: What about to the State?
10	MR. DICKERSON: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So the parties have a copy of the
12	instructions?
13	MR. COYER: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	So Instruction No. 1 begins: It is now my duty to judge or
16	instruct you.
17	Instruction No. 2 will be begins with: If in these instructions
18	any rule, direction, or idea.
19	Instruction No. 3: An information is but a formal method.
20	Instruction No. 4 begins: To constitute the crime charged.
21	Instruction No. 5 begins: The State has the State the
22	defendant is presumed innocent.
23	Instruction No. 6 begins: If you find that the State has failed to
24	prove.
25	Instruction No. 7 begins: You are here to determine the guilt

- 1	
1	or innocence of the defendant.
2	Instruction No. 8 begins: The evidence which you are to
3	consider in this case.
4	Instruction No. 9 begins: The credibility or believability of a
5	witness.
6	Instruction No. 10 begins with: A witness who has special
7	knowledge, skill, and experience.
8	Instruction No. 11 begins with: A person who unlawfully
9	attempts to use physical force.
10	Instruction No. 12 begins: As used in the instructions, a
11	deadly weapon means.
12	Instruction No. 13 in begins with: In order to use a deadly
13	weapon.
14	Instruction No. 14 begins: The State is not required to have
15	recovered the deadly weapon.
16	Instruction No. 15 begins with: When it is impossible to
17	commit a particular crime.
18	Instruction No. 16 begins with: Any person who knowingly or
19	intentionally.
20	Instruction No. 17 begins with: A person who knowingly,
21	intentionally possesses a controlled substance.
22	Instruction No. 18, in order begins with: In order to prove
23	the commission of trafficking in controlled substance.
24	Instruction No. 19 begins with: A person is a person repeat.
25	A person is in possession of an article or object.

1	Instruction No. 16 shucks. I apologize, counsel.
2	Instruction No. 20 begins with the phrase: The intent of a
3	person or the knowledge that a person.
4	Instruction No. 21 begins with: An act is not a crime.
5	Instruction No. 22 begins with the phrase: The flight of a
6	person with after the commission of a crime.
7	Instruction No. 23 begins with: Although you are to consider
8	only the evidence.
9	Instruction No. 24 begins with: In your deliberation you may
10	not discuss.
11	Instruction No. 25 begins with the phrase: It is the
12	Constitutional right Constitutional right of a defendant.
13	Instruction No. 26 begins with: When you retire to consider
14	your verdict.
15	Instruction No. 27: During the course of this trial.
16	Instruction 28 begins with: If during your deliberation you
17	should desire.
18	Instruction No. 29 begins with the phrase: Now you will listen
19	to the arguments of counsel.
20	And the verdict form is attached to to the back. And it's my
21	understanding that there's no objection to the verdict form from defense
22	is that correct?
23	MR. COYER: Correct.
24	THE COURT: As to the State, no objection?
25	MR. DICKERSON: No objection, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: All right. So that will be the jury instructions.
2	So and also my my bailiff my marshal has advised me that
3	counsel has agreed as to the actual drugs themselves, we will only send
4	those back if they're requested by the jury also
5	MR. LEXIS: Correct.
6	THE COURT: as the same as with the gun with the
7	weapon; is that correct, counsel?
8	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor.
9	MR. COYER: Correct.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Are we ready to bring
11	MR. DICKERSON: And you're just going to advise the jury of
12	that, Your Honor, orally?
13	THE COURT: At the end, yeah.
14	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.
15	THE COURT: If I don't, kind of
16	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. I'll let you
17	THE COURT: let me know.
18	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah. Definitely.
19	THE COURT: And it's also, Mr. Dickerson, it was also my
20	understanding we weren't going to send the evidence bags back also?
21	MR. DICKERSON: We would request those don't
22	THE COURT: Yeah.
23	MR. DICKERSON: be sent back.
24	THE COURT: And that and that was my understanding
25	also.

MR. DICKERSON: And if they request those bags, if you could just contact counsel, as, like, a jury question, and we could talk about it at that time?

THE COURT: Yeah. I --

MR. COYER: That's agreeable.

THE COURT: -- agree. All right. So I'm going to bring the jury in. I'm going to read them the instructions. And then we're going to do closing arguments, correct?

MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. COYER: Correct.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, counsel.

[Jury reconvened at 1:53 p.m.]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your patience in this matter. The State and defense have rested and -- and I am about to instruct you upon the laws that applies in this case. I would like to instruct you orally without reading to you. However, these instructions are of such importance, it is necessary for me to read to you these carefully prepared written instructions.

The instructions are long and some are quite complicated. If they not -- are not especially clear when I read them to you, please keep in mind that when you go back to the jury room, you'll be able to take these -- you will be able to take these carefully prepared written instructions with you so you can read them and consider them carefully.

[Jury instructions read.]

THE COURT: Counsel are you prepared to -- for closing

arguments?

MR. LEXIS: Can you switch us over, Judge?

MR. DICKERSON: We are, Your Honor. We would just request the -- to have the monitor up.

Thank you very much, ma'am.

MR. LEXIS: Folks, what's the state of mind of a man who's willing to flee from the police on a moped with two patrol officers after him in a marked patrol unit in uniform? Or better yet, take off on foot? Or turn around with a gun in his hand and point at an officer placing that officer in danger, him in danger, and everyone else around in a residential area? Or better yet, someone with three of the most potent narcotics on the street when he's eventually caught? That's the type of man you're dealing with, a man who has zero regard for this community or for the safety of others.

Folks, you have a jury instruction, and this is straight from the jury instructions that says use your common sense. This case was over two days ago. Although you are to consider only the evidence in this case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of that -- of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. What's that telling you? Follow the law and use your common sense.

You also have a jury instruction that the judge mentioned, and one of the only ones that he mentioned prior to this trial starting and at the end. And it's regarding direct and circumstantial evidence.

At the beginning of this case I told you, and the law allows, if

we just had one witness and that witness has a host of baggage, gang member, drug addict, you name it, and that person takes the stand, if you judge their credibility to be credible and believe them beyond a reasonable doubt, the State's entitled to a guilty verdict.

In addition, sometimes we're left with just circumstantial evidence, which we'll talk about in a second. Let's say somebody breaks in somebody's home. They hear somebody. They call the cops. And a -- some witness sees that somebody -- running down the street. The only description they could give is a white male wearing all black. The cops show up, comb the neighborhood, and eventually see somebody hiding in the corner.

Sure enough, this person is wearing all black, a white male. They go back to the scene and they see that a window is broken and there's -- the spigot's busted and water spewing out of it. Must have tried to jump up on the spigot in order to get into the house. And this suspect that they caught also, you know, has some shreds of glass on him. And lo and behold, their right leg is soaked.

That's all we have. No viable prints left behind. No viable DNA left behind. They bring it back to the person and the person can't make a positive identification, other than he was weighing black clothes and white male.

Do we have those cases? Absolutely. Do we prosecute those cases? Absolutely. Are we entitled to a guilty verdict if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed that crime?

Absolutely.

Now, on the far other side of the spectrum, you have cases like this where you have both direct and circumstantial evidence, where your witnesses are cops. Folks, your instructions states there are two types of evidence, direct and circumstantial evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including circumstantial evidence should be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

What is that telling you? You don't look at each event in isolation. You don't look at each particular statement by every particular witness on this stand in isolation. Or each event, the one on the 19th and the one on the 21st, in isolation. No. The law instructs you to use everything combined to come to your conclusions on each and every charge.

What's the direct evidence in this case? Well, you have

Officer Jacobitz telling you 100 percent that's the man. That's the man.

Also, giving you a detailed account with his demonstration right here on how that man pulled that gun, brought it out, pointed it at him, and then it flew out of his hand when he hit the pole. That is direct evidence.

You have any other direct evidence to support his account?

Yeah. Officer Houston also tells you 100 percent that's the man. Does he support Jacobitz's account? Absolutely. When they stop, he says Jacobitz runs after him. Houston gets out of the car, has to run around. As he's going around the car, he hears Jacobitz yell, Gun. He has an obstructed view of the defendant so he can't see the defendant at that

 point in time, but he sees Jacobitz has now stopped. Runs over.

Jacobitz is now with the gun as he continues to proceed. Keep in mind too he was also there the 21st when he told you, again, that man 100 percent was the man both on the 21st and on the 19th.

Any other direct evidence? Yeah. There's a firearm. You think we're not going to proceed on this case if there wasn't a firearm? No. And there's as jury instruction on that too. We don't need to recover the firearm. It's common sense tells you why. Crooks take off with the firearm. However, there was a firearm in further support of Jacobitz's accounts of the events.

Is there other direct evidence? Obviously, on the 21st, multiple officers observed the takedown of the defendant where those drugs were right in his pocket, that large amount of cash right in his pocket.

Again, the law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. The State can convict somebody 100 percent on direct evidence or 100 percent on circumstantial evidence.

What circumstantial evidence do you have in this case? What a coincidence, the location of the incident compared to where he lives, right next to him. What a coincidence, that's exactly where he fled. What a coincidence -- and we'll talk more about this later -- he's caught on the 21st with three of the most potent street drugs there are.

And the fact that he ran from the police. You actually have a separate jury instruction on this, which tells you the flight of a person

 immediately after the commission of a crime or after he's accused of a crime is not sufficient in itself to establish his guilt, but is a fact which, if proved, may be considered by you in light of all the other proof, facts in deciding the question of his guilt or innocence. Essentially, more circumstantial evidence against this man.

When you combine the two, folks, when you combine the two, there is a mountain of evidence against this man where he is boxed into a corner where there's no way out, period. So what does the defense do? There is no surprise what happened, no surprise whatever.

When you're dealing with amount -- this amount of evidence, this is what goes on. Blame the cops. Blame other people. Blame everybody other than the defendant. Really? Well, why take out the gun in the first place? We know for a fact the man's gun is laying on the ground. Why take it out in the first place? What's your common sense tell you about that?

Think he just run away from the cop and oh, he's -- he's just going to stop, pull his gun out and hand it to him? No. He already fled on his moped. Now, he's fleeing from them on foot. That's further circumstantial evidence of this man pulling his gun out and pointing it at that cop.

Motive, drugs, firearm. Further circumstantial evidence. Further circumstantial evidence. You have a jury instruction and this is it, verbatim. You're not mind readers, folks. We're not tasking you to be mind readers. Motive is not an element of the crime charged and the State is not required to prove motive. We don't need to prove motive on

the part of the defendant in order to convict. However, you may consider the evidence of motive or lack of motive as circumstance in this case, more circumstantial evidence.

And ask yourself, this man who's caught two days later on the 21st, a man you know, number one, carries a firearm, and number two, carries with him large amounts of cash along with heroin, meth, and cocaine. The police department doesn't take that lightly and neither does the District Attorney's office. There is no surprise to nobody that this man is running from the cops. No surprise. And willing to do an extreme measure, as pulling a firearm, putting everyone in danger including himself, the officer, and the public.

Monday night quarterback. What do I mean by that? You know what I mean by that, folks. It's easy the next day to say, you know what, they shouldn't have -- on that fourth down they should have punted it, they shouldn't have went for it. On the eighth inning when they pulled out the pitcher, ah, dumb move the next day. He should have just kept with it. That's easy.

When you're in the heart of the moment, it's a totally different ball game. And you don't get anymore of the heart of the moment. Your common sense tells you what's going on here. This officer is going after a suspect in a neighborhood where he was at, and then in an alley having a firearm pulled on him, and had to react. So what -- what do we do, right? What do we do? Attack the police, right?

First, we're going to go and Metro in general. First, the forensic evidence, right? Well, as those people came up here and told

 you, it's no surprise to them. Sometimes they do get hits, sometimes they don't get hits, whether it's a viable print or viable DNA. Right?

Do you have other direct evidence in this case? Absolutely. We just went over it. So when that doesn't work, what do you do? You keep doing it, right? What's next?

They stated -- the cops stated that he dropped the gun, crime is drop the gun, right? Folks, you heard the significance of that. You heard these officers tell you the primary purpose of CAD is to give location -- their location and a description of the suspect. You have the CAD. Listen to me, this is not a full account of every detail that happened now. It's no police report. It's no submission to the District Attorney's office.

What was the significance of that, of he dropped the gun?

Because he stayed still. That's what his updated location was, as his partner continued to flee after the man. Not to mention the fact, folks, this -- the CAD started at 1946. When things finally calmed down a little bit, at 2002, he actually makes a statement then. That's when he gives a more detailed report that the man pointed a gun at me.

Oh, God forbid this man in this type of situation waits until he's dealing with one crime, then sees two other people commit another crime and has to take care of that situation and then gives the -- another description over the CAD about what particularly happened.

When that doesn't work, what's next? They attack the description, right? Again, God forbid this man who has just experienced a situation where he almost had to be involved in an officer-involved

shooting, right, he just had a gun pointed at him, he gives a description of the suspect. What's your common sense tells you that officers are going to give a description of? Their general clothing, what they're wearing, whether they're black, Hispanic, white, Asian, exactly what he did.

What does the defense want to harp on? Oh, well, he didn't mention the facial hair at the bottom. Folks, you'll have the CAD. Again, it started at 1946. At 1958, less than 20 minutes later, they know Ceasar Valencia's name. They know who they're looking for, that man right there. Again, when that's doesn't work -- what -- what do we continue to do?

The cones. Attack the cones all you want. We spent probably an hour combined on cones. Jacobitz told you, I'm not positive who I particularly was with, who I told exactly where to place those cones, but yeah, those look like a true representations of where it occurred, where I first saw that man and where he was placed. Initially testimony, he's about 20 feet away. And at the time of the actual encounter, the -- the measurement was about 60 feet.

Attack these people all you want that are in the heart of the moment, all you want. It doesn't change the fact that that man pointed a firearm at that officer. And there we go, right, it's more and more and more.

You going to attack him. The defense wants you to believe, well, Officer Jacobitz, you should have kept running after the suspect. You were willing to go to the -- the moped, but you should have kept

running. First of all, what does that have to do with anyone -- anything?

Folks, you know what, in hindsight, who knows, right? Officer Jacobitz had no idea there was going to be two more bozos trying to steal the evidence that he was also trying to secure, the moped, along with the gun. Who knows? Monday night quarterbacking. Maybe he would have done something different and picked -- if he knew he was going to have to pick up the gun, maybe he would have picked up the gun and ran after him. Doesn't change the fact that that man pointed a firearm at Jacobitz.

And then another good one that we spent time on with both the detective and Jacobitz, okay, this -- this man has a full uniform on and you saw a picture of him in full gear. And they're telling you that he's in a full sprint after this man. The only reason he didn't shoot him, states he's wobbly. He's not stable. Why? Because you see the cone where he tells you he was standing where he first saw him. And then he's proceeding to run up these stairs after him.

Defense counsel, well, you should have jumped out of the way. Even if he should have jumped out of the way, it doesn't matter.

He -- that doesn't change the fact that that man pointed a firearm at him.

And that's not what they're trained to do. Ridiculous.

Fabricate. Folks, ask yourself when you're judging the credibility, what motivation does this man have to come in here and fabricate what he's telling you? This is not a situation in a trial where it's a business contract gone bad, domestic disturbance gone bad where you have child custody issues at play and maybe somebody is

overexaggerating something else. No.

You have officers trying to do their job and apprehend a suspect. This case is not about who did it or whether or not he pointed a firearm at that man. It's not. It's about what crimes did that man commit.

Assault. What is assault? A person who unlawfully attempts to use physical force against the person of another, or intentionally places another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm is guilty of assault. Folks, pay attention to the or language. Okay.

Let me first focus on the top part. A person who unlawfully attempts to use physical force against the person of another. If I get mad at Mike right now and Mike starts walking out that door, and I take this clicker and I chuck it at him, I try to hit him, and I miss, the first part applies. I unlawfully attempted to use physical force against him. Now, if I hit him that would be a battery, totally separate thing. But as assault, I intentionally try to use physical force against him.

Also, for the first point to apply, this applies, to constitute an unlawful attempt, and it only applies to the first part, to use physical force against another person, mere menace is not enough. There must be an effort to carry out the intention into execution. Okay.

Are we trying to tell you that this man shot at Officer Jacobitz and missed? No. No. What applies, folks, is the second part: Intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm is guilty of an assault. I just bolded it here. It's the same definition, intentionally placing another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm.

Go up to Mike, I'm mad at him. And I act like I'm going to hit him, placing him in reasonable apprehension of bodily harm. Guilty of assault. If I actually hit him, it's a battery. That's the difference.

When a weapon is involved, go up to some old lady at a mailbox and pull out a knife, and go ahead and give me your mail, ma'am, placing her in fear of bodily harm. It's assault with a deadly weapon. There is no better example of an assault with a deadly weapon than what you just heard on the stand. A firearm is the ultimate.

Officer Jacobitz told you how he felt. He thought his life was in danger. He thought he was going to have to shoot this man. He described it as divine intervention.

In addition, to constitute an assault, it is not necessary that any actual injury been inflicted. We'll not see that again when we talk about deadly weapon. If he would have shot and hit that man, Jacobitz, we'd be dealing with a whole host of other charges. All we're dealing with is an assault with a deadly weapon, him pulling out that gun, placing Jacobitz in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm. That is an understatement. He placed that man in extreme apprehension of immediate bodily harm. He told you his finger was on the trigger.

A person who commits an assault upon a police officer during the performance of his duties as a police officer is guilty of assault on a protected person, period. You know that these men were acting as police officers, hence the charge.

The person who commits an assault upon a police officer by or through the use of a deadly weapon is guilty of assault upon a

protected person with use of a deadly weapon. Now, the common sense tells you a firearm is a deadly weapon, but let's go look at the statutes, just to show you how broad it is.

As used in these instructions, a deadly weapon means any instrument which, if used in the ordinary manner contemplated by its design or construction, will or is likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death. A hammer, a rock, a gun, a knife, a crowbar, and we can go on and on and on. Just to show you how broad this statute is, it has an or. Any weapon, device, instrument, material or substance, which under the circumstances of which it's used, attempted to be used, or threatened to be used is capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death. I grab this pen and I go up to Mike and, Give me your stuff or I'm going to stab you in the neck. Assault with a deadly weapon. A pen can be a deadly weapon. A string can be a deadly weapon. We can go on and on.

You are instructed, flat out in this case, that a firearm is a deadly weapon, period. Firearm is a deadly weapon whether loaded or unloaded, operable or inoperable. In order to use a deadly weapon, there may not be conduct which actually produces harm, but only conduct which produces a fear of harm or force by means of display of the deadly weapon in aiding the commission of the crime. Again, just to show you how broad this statute is, you do not need to use the weapon. Simply conduct which produces a fear of harm.

The State is not required to have recovered the deadly weapon used in the alleged crime, or to produce the deadly weapon in court to establish that the deadly weapon was used in the commission of

 the crime. You have the luxury of having it in this case. There are a lot of cases where the State does not have it. And your common sense tells you why.

Possession. Folks, it's obvious. There's no better example of possession than when stuff is in somebody's pocket, period. Just to show you how broad possession is though, I want to go over the law with you. Obviously, we're talking about the trafficking charge and the possession of a controlled substance.

The law recognizes two types of possession. Actual possession and constructive possession. You don't need both. You can only need one. A person who has -- knowingly has direct physical control over a thing at any given time is in actual possession of it. Again, no better example than when you're carrying around a bag, a suitcase, a backpack, drugs in your pocket.

A person who, although not in actual possession, not only has both the power and the intention in any given time to exercise dominion and control over a thing either directly or through another person is in constructive possession. That just goes to show you how broad this statute is. A cop pulls you over and you don't have any drugs on you but they're in your glove compartment, they're in your middle console, they're in your trunk. So defense come in here and say, hey, ain't on me, you can't convict me. No.

Constructive possession. It can go even broader than that.

We got a line of cocaine on your kitchen table at home and you're at
work. The cops execute a search warrant on the house, constructive

possession.

A law -- the law recognizes also that possession maybe sole or joint. If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is sole, two or more persons show actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is joint. Again, just to show you how broad it is.

Two people have a -- share an apartment. They're roommates. And again, line of cocaine on the kitchen sink. Cops come in. They're not home. People can't come in here and say, hey, not, on me, I'm not even -- I'm not even in the state. No. Constructive possession. Again, there's no better example of possession in this case, when pulled straight from the man's pocket.

Trafficking. A -- any person who knowingly or intentionally is in actual or constructive possession of a scheduled or controlled substance or any mixture of -- any mixture which contains a scheduled or controlled substance, the quantity of which weighs or is represented by that person to weigh four or more grams is guilty of trafficking a controlled substance.

You heard from the expert. You actually have her sheet telling you that these substances were positive for cocaine, meth, and heroin. Officer Vallad actually told you that that -- in his years and years on the street, that's the most he's even seen from a suspect. You're flat out instructed that heroin is a Schedule 1 controlled substance. And again, it was over 11 grams, almost three times that amount. All that -- all that's needed is four.

Again, you have that. That was the -- not only the testimony from the experts, you have the sheet telling you how many grams it was. In addition, the phrase four grams or more refers to the aggregate weight of the entire mixture, rather than the weight of the controlled substance that is contained in the mixture. It is not necessary for the State to prove that the defendant was aware of the amount of the controlled substance he possessed.

What's that tell you? Obviously, he can't get caught and say, oh man, I -- I only thought I had just a possession of controlled substance amount, less than four. No. It's the actual weight you have on you.

Possession of controlled substance. Any person who knowingly or intentionally possesses a controlled substance unless the substance was obtained directly from or pursuant -- through some legal means. Yeah, no. Folks, what other two controlled substances did this man have on him? And you're flat out instructed, and your common sense tells you, cocaine and methamphetamine are controlled substances.

The amount of evidence in this case, folks, is overwhelming. Blame the cops all you want. The evidence came from right here. It's no question. That's your man. And the facts demonstrate, he pointed a firearm at that man, causing him a reasonable apprehension of bodily harm, extreme apprehension of bodily harm. And then was caught two days later with three types of controlled substances on his person.

With that, the State asks you find the defendant guilty of

1	assault on a protected person with use of a deadly weapon, trafficking a
2	controlled substance, and two counts of possession of a controlled
3	substance. Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Defense?
5	MR. COYER: Your Honor, I don't recall exactly how long
6	we've been going, but I wasn't sure if the court wanted to take a break?
7	I'm happy to go either way.
8	THE COURT: Counsel approach.
9	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
10	MR. COYER: I know you told them every 90 minutes, but I'm
11	not sure if we've been going
12	THE COURT: Yeah. I think
13	MR. LEXIS: They want us to keep going.
14	THE COURT: Let me ask counsel.
15	How long do you think it's going to be?
16	MR. COYER: Probably 15 minutes.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MR. COYER: It's pretty short.
19	THE COURT: We'll probably do your closing and then we'll
20	take a break. Do Mr I assume you're doing rebuttal? Unless you
21	think it's going we we came back on the bench about a quarter
22	till 2:00, if I recall. Closer
23	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, if they
24	THE COURT: Between quarter till 2:00.
25	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.

1	MR. COYER: Yeah.
2	MR. DICKERSON: I think we can keep going
3	THE COURT: So I was huh?
4	MR. DICKERSON: I think we can keep going, unless
5	anyone's asking for a break.
6	THE COURT: You want to go straight through?
7	MR. LEXIS: Yes. I want let's keep going.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. COYER: Do you want to
10	THE MARSHAL: The jury would like to go.
11	MR. COYER: Okay. Perfect.
12	MS. PLUNKETT: Well, that answers that.
13	MR. COYER: That settles it.
14	[End of bench conference.]
15	THE COURT: Mr. Coyer?
16	MR. COYER: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the clerk to
17	gather some exhibits?
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	MR. COYER: Can everybody hear me okay? Ladies and
20	gentlemen, thank you for your patience in this matter. We do appreciate
21	your time and your service.
22	The representative from the State just came out here and
23	made his first argument to the jury here about their case. I tried to count
24	the number of times that he described his case as circumstantial, but I
25	lost count after about five or six.

The State accused us, the defense, of doing one of these, and then proceeded to spend 15 minutes explaining to you what possession means. And he spent about 30 seconds talking about the forensic evidence in this case, which exonerates our client.

State likes to argue that they have to prove two things in a criminal case. That, one, that a crime was committed, and two, that the defendant committed the crime. For some of you, you may not even have to look passed the first part. Some of you may be more of the cynical type, like my esteemed colleague who said that we were suggesting that things were fabricated.

Some of you may think that I'm going to come out here and say that Officer Jacobitz planted that gun, because he's the only one whose fingerprint's on it. I'm not going to do that. I'm not going to attack the police.

Officer Jacobitz admitted that he doesn't remember all the details from that evening. It's not an attack to point out the truth. Officer Jacobitz admitted that he didn't provide any details about seeing facial hair. It's not attacking the police to point out the truth. Officer Jacobitz admitted that he doesn't remember even meeting with the CSA, Ms. Klosterman, the crime scene analyst. We know he did. She took pictures of him. It's not attacking the police to point out a fact.

Officer Jacobitz testified to what each and every one of you heard in the audio, which is that the first thing he sent out to his fellow officers was, "Be advised the crime is he dropped a firearm." Not he assaulted me, not he tried to shoot me. He dropped a firearm. Is it

possible that from 64 feet away maybe he didn't see what he later thought he saw? That's for you to answer.

There's about 100 pictures up there and they all look like this, dark sky. They're not very useful. Nobody went back and took a picture of what the scene should have looked like in the right light.

I'll tell you what, I will assume half of the State's case for you.

I will assume that Officer Jacobitz was assaulted on May 19th, 2016. I am willing to do that. I am willing to assume that a gun was pulled out from a fleeing perpetrator and pointed at Officer Jacobitz.

So let's simplify this. I will concede all of that, because I'm not here to defend whoever committed that crime on May 19th, 2016. I'm not here to defend the Hispanic male adult that was driving the moped. I'm not here to defend the man who ran from the police. And I'm sure as hell not here to defend the man who pulled a gun on Officer Jacobitz.

I'm here to defend Ceasar Valencia. Ceasar Valencia is the guy who just happens to live about two houses down from where this occurred. This case is not about whether Officer Jacobitz was assaulted or not. This case is about whether or not the State has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that Ceasar Valencia assaulted Officer Jacobitz. This case is about what happens when a rush to judgment takes the place of a neutral careful investigation.

Let's talk about the evidence. You heard the judge instruct you already that the things that I say, the things that Mr. Lexis says, things that Mr. Dickerson says, things that Ms. Plunkett says, these things are not evidence. This is argument. I'm arguing. Evidence

comes from up here. Okay? The witness stand.

So let's talk about the evidence. Again, tons of photographs. Tell me how useful most of them are. Does looking down this alley tell you that Officer Jacobitz was assaulted or not? No. We're -- we're not even sure if the CSA put the cones in the right place because she only spent 15 minutes with Officer Jacobitz. Who cares about the cones? The point is, the State put in dozens and dozens of these photographs and they're useless. But we're accused of doing this.

By the time these photos were taken, every single officer on that scene knew who their suspect was. Every single officer was convinced, Ceasar Valencia. Ceasar Valencia is the guy. These photos don't show Ceasar Valencia is the guy. These are just photographs. You've got in -- in the evidence for you what we keep calling the CAD, okay, computer aided dispatch, the printout of the things that get inputted into the computer system, and you've even got the audio. You've got the audio of what the officers said back and forth to one another while this event was unfolding.

These pieces of evidence, ladies and gentlemen, are like a crystal ball. You can look back and see exactly what happened. Don't take my word for it. Look at the evidence. Because you'll hear it in the audio, and you'll see it in the CAD. At 7:47 p.m. the description goes out, right? HMA, Hispanic male adult, 5'7", 160 pounds, dark hat, red-striped shirt. That's it. HMA, 5'7", 160, dark shirt -- dark hat, excuse me, red-striped shirt. That's it.

One minute later, at 7:48, one minute, Officer Jacobitz is

distracted by these two clowns trying to steal this moped from a crime scene. You know how that works in your own life experiences. You're focused on something, you're trying to remember something, and then you're distracted and it's out of your mind. Everybody's been there.

Does that make Officer Jacobitz a bad guy? Does that make him a liar? No. Makes him human. You got to see Officer Jacobitz as a human being before you see him as a police officer. That's why we spent so much time in jury selection asking about those questions, over and over, about whether you might have an implicit bias toward a law enforcement officer. Because Officer Jacobitz is a human being before he is a law enforcement officer.

At 7:51 p.m. -- don't take my word for it, look at the CAD, listen to the audio -- at 7:51 p.m., four minutes after the description has gone out, Officer Perez, who Officer Jacobitz identified his call sign as 3DP41, you'll see it in the CAD, he says over the radio, there's an HMA matching that description that has a residence in that area on 10th Street. Right then and there, at that moment, the investigation shifted from neutral toward Ceasar Valencia.

At 7:58 p.m., 11 minutes after the description first went out, HMA, 5'7", 160 pounds, 11 minutes later we hear the name Ceasar Valencia come across the radio. And from that moment on, there are no other suspects to this crime. There are no other leads. There is nothing else that anybody is interested in doing, except getting Ceasar Valencia. 11 minutes it took.

By 8:02 p.m., 15 minutes after the description went out, Officer

 Jacobitz comes over the radio. He's now using the name Ceasar Valencia to describe the crime that had occurred. When Ceasar pulled a gun on me. Now the victim has become convinced that the suspect is Ceasar Valencia.

At 8:04 p.m., two minutes later, Officer Jacobitz gets texted a picture of Ceasar Valencia. Jacobitz is already using Ceasar Valencia's name to describe the crime.

And try to imagine what the scene looks like at this point, 15 minutes in. Okay? We've got a perimeter set up. Suspect has got away, right? There's a perimeter. There's countless officers involved. There's an air unit. There's dogs coming in. This is a big scene. Officers are being pulled away from the barbecue. They're being pulled away from the memorial. This is a big deal happening down in the DTAC right now. Okay. Because Officer Jacobitz has been assaulted.

And his fellow officers say, hey, Ceasar Valencia lives in that area. He's an HMA. He's about 5'7". He's about 160. Must be Ceasar Valencia. Then Officer Jacobitz gets a picture, hey, Officer, here's Ceasar Valencia. What's Jacobitz going to say at that point? Honestly, what's he going to say? Is he going to say no, no guys, no, call it off, call off the perimeter, no, go back to what you were doing. That's -- that's not him, that's not our guy. Does anybody really think that's going to happen at that point?

He's using Ceasar Valencia's name before he ever even sees a picture of him, ladies and gentlemen. One picture. One. Okay. This is what we call a positive feedback loop. Hey, the suspect is Ceasar

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 Valencia. Ceasar Valencia is an HMA. Here's a picture of Ceasar Valencia. Is that the suspect? Yeah. The suspect is Ceasar Valencia. Okay. That's what's happening here.

By 8:08 p.m., these officers are so focused on Ceasar Valencia that an individual is spotted in the area walking down the street wearing a red-striped shirt and a dark hat, but it's a BMA, so that can't be the guy. Can't be the guy. It can't be the guy because the guy is Ceasar Valencia. It always has been. It has been from the first time they heard that name. They all became convinced that that was the guy. Can't be the BMA that meets the clothing description, nope. Got to be Ceasar Valencia.

Look at the CAD. You know who says the BMA is not your guy? It's Officer Perez, the one who suggested that maybe Ceasar Valencia was a suspect in the first place. This rush to judgment leads to the police ruling out someone who is wearing the same clothes as the suspect within 21 minutes. 21 minutes. That's how fast the investigation focused on Ceasar Valencia.

Is that reasonable doubt? You better believe it. Is tunnel vision reasonable doubt? Is a poor investigation reasonable doubt? You better believe it. That doesn't mean that we're attacking the police. That means we're doing our job as citizens, as jurors, and we're holding the State to their burden to make sure that they prove a case against someone beyond a reasonable doubt.

Let's talk about the forensics. Fingerprints, we heard about fingerprints. They get about 14 percent hit rate on fingerprints. That's

not really very useful, is it? You know, but Jacobitz's fingerprint was on that gun. That's a little bit of a head scratcher, right? We know he picked it up. Right? We know he put it in his pants and eventually secured it. His fingerprint's still on the gun. And they know their target is Ceasar Valencia, but they don't bother to test any of the cartridges. And you'll remember there were five. You'll remember the fingerprint guy said smooth, metal surfaces are generally the best for finding fingerprints. And there you have five smooth, metal surfaces that weren't tested. That is five reasonable doubts, ladies and gentlemen, right there.

Let's talk about the DNA. This gun that's located at the scene, it goes on a little bit of a wild ride that night, right? It's in the suspect's hand, eventually goes flying out of the suspect's hand, lands in the dirt. Lays in the dirt for a little bit. Eventually gets picked up by Officer Jacobitz, goes in Officer Jacobitz's waistband is what he said. From there, Officer Jacobitz goes and arrests two idiots stealing a moped from a crime scene, and then eventually the firearm goes into Jacobitz's vehicle, somewhere in the vehicle, and is eventually secured and impounded.

And after going through all of that, a forensic scientist is still able to pull at least four individuals' DNA off that gun. A mixture profile is what they call that. And there's a major profile and there's a minor profile. And the major profile -- the major profile DNA is a female. And Ceasar Valencia is excluded -- excluded -- as a contributor to the major profile. Ladies and gentlemen, this is not evidence that can be ignored.

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 That DNA evidence is way more than reasonable doubt.

Let's talk about the burden of proof a little bit. Because we asked a lot about that during jury selection. And I wondered in jury selection, was there anybody here who thought Cesar was guilty the moment we heard -- they heard the charges read? What about now? Now that you've heard the evidence, do you feel more convinced that he's guilty? Do you feel less convinced? Because we were supposed to start from zero, remember?

Refer to the reasonable doubt jury instruction. It's No. 5. If there's questions in your mind, if you have unanswered questions, okay, whether or not those are reasonable doubt questions, you look at that jury instruction. It will guide you. Okay.

Does anyone here still think Ceasar has to prove his innocence now that you've heard the evidence? We know he doesn't. We know the State carries the burden of proof. State said it countless times in jury selection and opening statements. What would he do on these facts? What do you think he would do to prove his innocence? Take the stand? What's he going to -- what's he going to say? I wasn't there. I was somewhere else.

MR. DICKERSON: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel approach.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]

THE COURT: What's the basis of your objection?

MR. DICKERSON: Basis of the objection is that he's telling them what his client would say if he testified. He can't do that.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. LEXIS: Absolutely. He absolutely can't do it
3	THE COURT: I heard okay.
4	Mr. Coyer, what is your response to the objection?
5	MR. COYER: That I'm demonstrating to them the impossibility
6	of proving a negative, which is part of the burden of proof.
7	THE COURT: But you're saying what he would have said if
8	he had testified.
9	MR. COYER: Well
10	THE COURT: So I'm going to sustain the objection, ask the
11	jury to disregard your last comment.
12	MR. COYER: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	[End of bench conference.]
16	THE COURT: The objection is sustained. The last comment
17	by counsel is to be disregarded by the jury.
18	MR. COYER: How does Ceasar Valencia prove his
19	innocence? He lives two houses away from where this happened. Of
20	course he's an HMA in the area with a residence. He lives right up the
21	street. How would he possibly prove his innocence? That is why the
22	State carries the burden of proof, ladies and gentlemen. That is why, in
23	our system, we require the State to prove their case beyond a
24	reasonable doubt. This case is a great example of why that's so
25	important, because you cannot prove a negative, no matter what you do
	1

or don't do. You cannot prove that you weren't somewhere. It can't be done.

You know, you heard some questions from the State directed at the forensic experts, right? Like, is it possible that you maybe don't get some DNA off of a firearm? Or is it possible that you don't get some fingerprints off of it? Sure. It's -- all that stuff is possible. Right? Is it possible that Ceasar Valencia is the guy? Sure. I'll concede that. But that's not the question. Okay. That's not the question.

But if you're going to ask those questions, you need to direct yourself to the reasonable doubt jury instruction. Okay. Because speculation, fanciful things, okay, those are not proper areas of inquiry for reasonable doubt.

Is it probable that this face is not the face that Officer Jacobitz saw on May 19th, 2016? You better believe it. Because Officer Jacobitz, just like Officer Houston, has training. He has experience. He's been through the police academy. And on that evening, he was very clear. Both officers could not have been clearer in their testimony, that they were alert, they were attentive, they were paying attention to details.

And you look at this face and you're not even going to mention that he's got that patch of hair under his chin? Are you kidding me? The State can stand up here and say that that's ridiculous all day. You guys get to decide what matters in this case.

Has the State proved beyond a reasonable doubt that that face is the face that was seen by Officers Jacobitz and Houston on

May 19th, 2016? No way. They saw the suspect's face at a different location, followed him, then they got split up. Okay. Remember? Split up. They're in different areas, so they didn't have time to, like, you know, pow-wow with each other. And not one of them, neither one of those officers says, oh, by the way, guy's got a big, huge goatee under his skin. Not one of them at any point ever says that. That is significant.

They specifically testified that they're trained to recognize characteristics, physical traits, clothing, height, weight, all those things. But what gets pointed out? HMA, 5'7", 160 pounds.

What about the drugs? Ceasar had drugs in his pocket. On May 21st, Ceasar had drugs in his pocket. But did the State prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that he had drugs in his pocket on May 19th? Not even close. Look at Jury Instruction No. 3. The charge is for May 19th.

I'm not going to tell you how to fill out a verdict form. I know you guys can figure that out on your own. I'm going to ask you for a favor though. Because the State carries that burden of proof, they get to come back up here and argue some more. And I would just like you to ask yourselves, whatever argument you're about to hear, is he talking about argument or is he talking about evidence? Did I hear that evidence come from this chair? And I ask you to keep that in mind now, and when you're deliberating. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: Mr. Dickerson, are you ready to go forward? MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

Bottom line, ladies and gentlemen, when we talk about

 Instruction No. 3 and the drugs, obviously, they're conceding the drugs, that yeah, he had drugs on him, heroin, cocaine, meth, those are all his. Instruction No. 3 in that argument is not proper. What -- what we have here, Instruction No. 3, is the information. And here in the State of Nevada, it's notice pleading. So notice pleading is on or about May 19th, 2016, he had drugs on him. On or about May 19th, 2016, the defendant had drugs on him. He's guilty of that, no doubt about it. May 21st, 2016. This event started on May 19th, 2016. Here we are.

May 19th, 2016, do we need cartridges to tell us who pointed the gun? Do we need the gun itself to tell us who pointed the gun? No way. Ladies and gentlemen, we have two officers up here, Officer Houston and Officer Jacobitz. They both told you, yeah, that guy who I saw running from us there at 11th and Wilson, that was the defendant. Ceasar Valencia, yeah, that's his face. I know that face.

Well, then the guy gets off the moped running. Who's that guy? That's Ceasar Valencia. That's him. I know that face. They confirm it that night, less than half an hour into this perimeter being set up in an area of downtown being cordoned off. 100 percent confirmed looking at a photo, that's the guy that just ran from us. When it's freshest in their mind right there on scene, right after this guy runs from them, yeah, that's the guy who run -- who just ran from us.

Think about their motive, here and then. Who wants to catch the right guy more than the guy who was just victimized by Ceasar Valencia? Officer Jacobitz and Officer Houston. Do you think that they want to look at a picture of a guy that's not him and say, yeah, that's him,

knowing that the guy who just pointed the gun at him got away? Come on, these guys are police officers. Their job is law enforcement. They knew what they saw.

Bottom line, ladies and gentlemen, is if you believe the witnesses, you find the defendant guilty. You need nothing else. Do we have it? Yeah. We know there is a gun out there. That's circumstantial evidence that corroborates everything they're saying. The gun was on the ground. We have the gun.

In addition, we have the forensic testing that was completed showing the thoroughness of the investigation. That's why you guys heard about it, to hear that, hey, the buck doesn't just stop, right? It doesn't just stop with breakdown the perimeter, go find the guy. We present that to you so you see the full scope of everything. We have nothing to hide. Nothing. Because it's clear, 100 percent, Ceasar Valencia is the person who pointed a gun at Officer Jacobitz in that back alley of 610 North 10th Street.

What you see from the DNA evidence is pretty interesting information, actually. I think that most people don't realize the scope and breadth of what we get from DNA evidence and how it can be applied. But you heard from Crystal May that that evidence can only go so far. And what we have is a solid identification to a female. We don't know who the female is, so we can't say which female it is. We know it's not her, because she tested that. But we could only tell who that female was if we compared it to anybody else.

The other folks on there, males, at least three of them. She

told you she can't do more than three, right? Three is the maximum that their standards will let them -- or I'm sorry, four is the maximum that their -- their standards at the lab will allow them to do. After -- could it be 40? Could be. All she can do is four.

Why is that? She told you that too. Guns are notoriously bad for that reason for DNA analysis, because, obviously, as we've seen with this gun and the amount of DNA on it, they pass through multiple hands.

The fingerprints, exactly what we'd expect to find, without a doubt. Exactly what you'd expect to find on that gun is after that small .38 revolver, the only metal part is shoved into the waistband of Officer Jacobitz's fairly tight duty belt, we would have damage to the prints on there. And so that's ultimately pulled out of his pants with gloves -- or without gloves and secured in his car before it's impounded into that bag and kept just like that. The last person that touched it was Officer Jacobitz. And the fact that we got a fingerprint off of it is surprising in the first place, but of course it's his.

None of that says that Ceasar Valencia didn't have that gun.

None of that evidence says that. And you're instructed here -- I really want you to look at this. The reasonable doubt instruction that says, specifically, reason --

MR. COYER: Objection. I'm objecting to presenting highlighted portions of the jury instructions to the jury.

MR. DICKERSON: That's not an objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Wait, counsel. Approach.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]

1	THE COURT: Okay. What's your objection, counsel?	
2	MR. COYER: It's improper argument to to present	
3	highlighted	
4	THE COURT: And why is it	
5	MR. COYER: portions of the jury instructions	
6	THE COURT: improper argument?	
7	MR. COYER: Because the jury has already been instructed to	
8	review them as a whole and not draw	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	
10	MR. COYER: any special inferences from one particular	
11	THE COURT: Stop.	
12	MR. COYER: instruction or another.	
13	THE COURT: Okay. What's your response, counsel?	
14	MR. DICKERSON: It's argument, Your Honor. And I can	
15	point to any areas of the jury instructions I want to.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. All right.	
17	MR. LEXIS: That's why I just did it	
18	THE COURT: All right. Just stop.	
19	All right, counsel. He's reviewing the jury instructions with the	
20	jury. The jury instructions have been read. He's allowed to make	
21	argument based on the jury instructions. Your objection is overruled.	
22	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
23	[End of bench conference.]	
24	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.	
25	So the part is specifically here. Doubt to the reasonable must	
1	٠ ٨	

 be actual, not mere possibility or speculation. Possibility or speculation. That's all defense counsel's argument leaves us with. Is it possible that it's not him? No. We know it's him. And whatever they're asking you to consider, whatever questions they're asking you to answer in your mind, what do those questions call for? Speculation, possibility, things that you can't consider when considering reasonable doubt.

It's, frankly, without a doubt that Ceasar Valencia is guilty of the crime of assault with a deadly weapon on a protected person. The only thing that they're arguing is not that Officer Jacobitz didn't get a gun pointed at him, not that Officer Jacobitz isn't a police officer. They're saying just wasn't Ceasar Valencia. Well, of course it was Ceasar Valencia.

Regardless of everything we've talked about, about him 100 percent being ID'd by both police officers, experienced police officers at that, the night of, what other facts do we know? Well, we know Ceasar Valencia is a neighbor to Downtown Area Command. He may live closer than almost anybody. And when these officers are coming out of their home command post, a place where they spend a lot of time, and that day just finishing up eating, where do they see the moped first? The alley right behind Ceasar Valencia's house. Is that a coincidence? No. No doubt, that's not a coincidence.

Where is it coming from? Well, it's coming from that alleyway right behind his house. As that moped takes off going to opposite direction away from the house and officers finally get behind it, pulls into that area at 11th and Wilson. There, they try to conduct the traffic stop.

He looks back at them. They absolutely see it's him, for the first time seeing the face and being able to recognize it. But that's not what I'm talking about right now.

What I'm talking about is where does he go? He doesn't try to go back down away, the same direction that he was originally heading. Where do people go when they're scared? They go to a place that they know, a place of safety. And where does Ceasar Valencia go? He goes back towards his house, right? Right away. He turns up Wilson. He comes down that alley. He lives in the neighborhood. Reasonable inference, he knows that area. And where does he go? Right into the back alley of 610 North 10th Street, an area that just so conveniently has open access right to 10th Street. Who knows that area? Ceasar Valencia knows that area.

And where does he go? He goes through that alley after pointing the -- pointing the gun at Officer Jacobitz, continues. At that time end of that alley, does he go left away from 625 North 10th Street, his home? No. He goes right towards it, right towards his area of safety, his home because that's where people flee when they're scared and they want to get away.

Judging by Ceasar Valencia's actions that night, that's exactly what he wanted to do. He did not want those officers to stop him and he was willing to stop at nothing. Where does he end up? Right there, right at his house. It's right where officers lose track of him, surprising enough.

Once again, ladies and gentlemen, who knows that area?

 Ceasar Valencia. In a neighborhood that you're familiar with, that a person is familiar with, who knows it better than that person themselves. And that's circumstantial evidence to support and corroborate the overwhelming direct evidence that establishes that Ceasar Valencia is the person that committed assault with a deadly weapon upon a protected person, that being Officer Jacobitz.

But ladies and gentlemen, we don't have to stop there. We don't have to stop there, because, I think very important to understanding what we have in front of us is we have a clear opportunity for Ceasar Valencia to get away; and he does. And when do we see him reemerge?

Well, we have the surveillance operation started. It's several hours in until we see him in the late evening hours, or the -- I guess the early morning of Saturday, a time when, hopefully, no one is around. What does that vehicle do as it's driving down the street? You heard that it tail checked. We know that -- that Ceasar Valencia wasn't driving. But it tail checked the surveillance team. Consider it. It's evidence for you to consider.

Where does it go? They lose it for a brief second. They pick it up unoccupied. Ultimately, later on, or an hour later, they get Ceasar Valencia. This time he's not driving. He's not operating the vehicle so he can't run. There's a whole slew of police officers, approximately 15 or so, with guns in an area that's enclosed on Washington and Main Street. There's nowhere to go.

He was caught, at least right then. And that's why he tells

MR. DICKERSON: Ladies and gentlemen, I don't have to tell you anything. I don't have to tell you what it was, how scary that situation was for Officer Jacobitz. He stood here and he told you. And just the idea of an old fence post being the thing that stopped him from getting shot, and God forbid, having to shoot somebody else that night, that's what stopped this. That's what gets us here today, an assault with a deadly weapon on a protected person, not something much worse.

Officer Houston and Officer Jacobitz, they more than anybody else want to catch the right man. We're not here on a misidentification. We're here on a 100 percent positive identification of both officers. They know what they saw. There's no doubt about it.

When you consider the fact that these officers aren't telling us a line of baloney to try bolster each other's stories up. If that was the case, Officer Houston would have been telling us a story about how he saw. He was -- he was standing right next to Officer Jacobitz when this happened. No. They're just telling you what they saw. That's it.

Take it all into consideration, ladies and gentlemen. I submit to you, if you believe the witnesses, that's all you need. Ceasar Valencia is guilty. Please find him guilty of all counts. Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel approach, please.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]

THE COURT: Okay. At this point, I'm going to have the officers sworn in. I'm just going to have the marshal take the jurors back to the deliberation room, advise them that they're going to get the exhibits, the jury instructions, save and except the weapon, the

25

ammunition, and the -- the drugs. And if they want to inspect it, request the marshal to bring it back.

I was going to have my JEA, Ms. Fagin, take the alternates to my chambers. I'm not going to let them leave the building in light of --

MR. COYER: Because they have a --

THE COURT: -- the bifurcation issue.

MR. COYER: Yes.

THE COURT: So I'm not going to let them just -- I don't want to take the chance that -- so I'm just going to have Ms. Fagin keep them either in a separate isolated area. And then, you know, we'll be in

MR. DICKERSON: Okay.

MS. PLUNKETT: Okay.

MR. COYER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

[End of bench conference.]

THE COURT: The clerk will now swear in the officers to take charge of the jurors and the alternates -- alternate jurors. There's two of

[Officers sworn.]

THE COURT: At this time, ladies and gentlemen, the -- the jurors -- and the jurors are the first 12 seats in the jury box, the marshal is going to take you to -- don't leave yet. The marshal is going to take you to the jury room for deliberation. You also will be brought the exhibits, which have been introduced into evidence, and the jury

24

25

instructions.

By agreement of the parties, we're not going to send back the weapon, the ammunition, or the drugs. However, if you want to inspect the weapon, the ammunition, or drugs, please inform the marshal and he will bring them to you so you could inspect them.

At this time, the marshal is going to take the jurors to the jury room for deliberation.

THE COURT: If the alternate jurors would just remain seated for one second.

[Jury recessed for deliberation at 3:38 p.m.]

THE COURT: While the jury is deliberation, my judicial executive assistant, Ms. Fagin, is going to take you to my chambers and you're going to remain at my chambers while the jury deliberates. Once the jury returns with a verdict, then you'll be brought back to the courtroom. All right. Thank you.

Ms. Fagin? Ms. Fagin.

THE JEA: Yes.

THE COURT: Please?

THE JEA: Sure.

THE COURT: Where do you want to put them? I mean --

THE JEA: I was going to put them in the room.

THE COURT: But you have to stay there with them.

THE JEA: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So right now let's just put them in the courtroom, we'll make -- we'll put up a spot in chambers. All right. So

1	stay	
2	THE JEA: Do they need a break?	
3	THE COURT: They can go to the bathroom. And I mean, yo	
4	just got to make sure nobody talks to them. Okay. Thank you. Thank	
5	you.	
6	THE JEA: You're welcome.	
7	THE COURT: All right. Before counsel leave the	
8	courtroom, please provide my clerk with a telephone number where you	
9	can be reached once the jury returns a verdict. Please do not please	
10	be within 30 minutes of the courthouse.	
11	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
12	MS. PLUNKETT: Thank you, Judge.	
13	THE COURT: Court is in recess.	
14	[Court recessed at 3:40 p.m. until 4:26 p.m.]	
15	[Outside the presence of the jury.]	
16	THE COURT: Please be seated. This is continuation of the	
17	trial of State of Nevada vs. Ceasar Sanchaz Valencia, Case No.	
18	C-16-315580.	
19	Counsel, I've been advised that the jury has reached a verdict	
20	They're bringing the jury in with the alternates. And then what I'm going	
21	to do is excuse them for today, advise them that this was a bifurcated	
22	trial, there's an additional charge that needs to be tried.	
23	MR. DICKERSON: I think it will probably take about 15	
24	minutes, Your Honor, if we could just try to get it in.	
25	THE COURT: Well, you told me I read to read the preliminary	
	102	

1	instructions, correct?	
2	MR. LEXIS: That's correct.	
3	THE COURT: Have the parties agreed on the jury instruction?	
4	MR. DICKERSON: Yes.	
5	MR. LEXIS: Yes.	
6	THE COURT: Is that correct, counsel?	
7	MR. COYER: Yes.	
8	THE COURT: All right. Where are they? Where are the	
9	preliminary so as to the jury Proposed Jury Instruction No. 2,	
10	regarding the have you filed an amended	
11	MR. DICKERSON: We have it prepared, Your Honor. It's up	
12	there with your clerk.	
13	THE COURT: Do you have it, Clerk? Because you're going	
14	to have to read it.	
15	THE CLERK: Yes.	
16	THE COURT: So hopefully my glasses were not going to fog	
17	up.	
18	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.	
19	THE COURT: I'm making no promises though.	
20	MR. DICKERSON: Okay. We'll try.	
21	THE COURT: And so I'm going to read them the preliminary	
22	instructions, correct? It's the same ones we had before; is that correct?	
23	MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor.	
24	THE COURT: And then you're going to present whatever	
25	evidence you're going to present, whatever cross, this and that. And	
1	1	

1	you're going to do then I'm going to read the jury instructions to them?		
2	MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor.		
3	THE COURT: And then you're going to do closing arguments		
4	again?		
5	MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor. It should be very quick.		
6	THE COURT: Okay.		
7	MR. DICKERSON: I'm I'll tell you what we're going to do is		
8	we're going to just admit the certified judgments of conviction as		
9	evidence of his prior felonies. And then we'll have the opportunity for		
10	closing arguments where I'll probably just say hey, here's the certified		
11	judgments		
12	THE COURT: All right. Well, here's		
13	MR. DICKERSON: of convictions.		
14	THE COURT: the deal, it's 4:30. I'm not going to keep		
15	them keep them much past 5:00.		
16	MR. DICKERSON: Okay.		
17	THE COURT: I'm going to let you know that right now.		
18	MR. LEXIS: I I		
19	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.		
20	MR. LEXIS: It's obvious the court's call, but I I have a		
21	feeling if you poll them, they're going to want to get this over with,		
22	especially if they know that they're not going to have to come back, but		
23	court's		
24	THE COURT: Do you want me		
25	MR. LEXIS: whatever court's		

1	THE COURT: to do that, poll them, see if they want to stay?		
2	And why don't I do that. If they want or do they want to come back		
3	Monday. I'll tell them it's been advised it's the presentation is going to		
4	take approximately an hour.		
5	MR. DICKERSON: Presentation should take approximately		
6	THE COURT: All right. Well, let's get a		
7	MR. DICKERSON: 15 to 30 minutes, at most.		
8	THE COURT: All right. If they want to stay, I'll stay, but I'm		
9	going to keep them much past 5:00. I'm going to		
10	MR. LEXIS: Thank you.		
11	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.		
12	THE COURT: Is that agreeable to the let's go through the		
13	jury instructions. All right. As to Proposed Jury Instruction No. 1, do the		
14	parties agree it can be given?		
15	MR. COYER: Yes.		
16	THE COURT: State?		
17	MR. DICKERSON: State submits on all of them.		
18	THE COURT: Okay. So let's do this then.		
19	MR. COYER: Defense will submit on all as well.		
20	THE COURT: Okay. As to Proposed Jury as to the State's		
21	Proposed Jury Instructions 1 through 17, do the parties agree that		
22	State's Proposed Jury Instructions 1 through 17 can be given?		
23	MR. DICKERSON: State agrees, Your Honor.		
24	MR. COYER: Agreed.		
25	THE COURT: And as to the verdict form that's attached to the		

1	back, this is parties agree that that verdict form can be used by the		
2	jurors?		
3	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor.		
4	MR. COYER: Agreed.		
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Why don't you bring the jurors		
6	in.		
7	[Jury reconvened at 4:31 p.m.]		
8	THE COURT: Let the record reflect counsel for the State,		
9	counsel for the defense, Mr. Valencia, and the jury is present. I've been		
10	advised that the jury has returned a verdict; is that correct?		
11	Who is your foreperson?		
12	Could you please stand?		
13	Is that correct, sir?		
14	JUROR NO. 5: Yes, sir.		
15	THE COURT: And what is your name?		
16	JUROR NO. 5: My name is Xavier Antheaume.		
17	THE COURT: Okay. And you've been selected as the		
18	foreperson?		
19	JUROR NO. 5: Yes, sir.		
20	THE COURT: And can you hand the verdict form to my to		
21	my marshal, please?		
22	If the clerk could read the verdict, please.		
23	THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No.		
24	C-16-315580-1, State of Nevada, Plaintiff, Ceasar Sanchaz Valencia,		
25	Defendant. Verdict:		
	106		

1	We, the jury, in the above-entitled case find the defendant as			
2	follows:			
3	Count 1, assault on a protected person with use of a deadly			
4	weapon: Guilty of assault on a protected person with use of a deadly			
5	weapon.			
6	Count 2, trafficking in controlled substance, heroin: Guilty of			
7	trafficking in controlled substance.			
8	Count 3, possession of controlled substance, cocaine: Guilty			
9	of possession of controlled substance.			
10	Count 4, possession of controlled substance: Guilty of			
11	possession of controlled substance.			
12	Dated this 1st day of December, 2017, Xavier Antheaume,			
13	Foreperson.			
14	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, are these your verdicts as			
15	read, so say you one, so say you all?			
16	COLLECTIVE JURY: Yes.			
17	THE COURT: Does either counsel want the jury polled?			
18	MR. DICKERSON: State does not wish to.			
19	MR. COYER: Defense would ask that they be polled.			
20	THE COURT: Mr. Clerk, please poll the jurors.			
21	THE CLERK: Juror No. 1, is this your verdict as read?			
22	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.			
23	THE CLERK: Juror No. 2, is this your verdict as read?			
24	JUROR NO. 2: Yes.			
25	THE CLERK: Juror No. 3, is this your verdict as read?			

1	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Juror No. 4, is this your verdict as read?
3	JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Juror No. 5, is this your verdict as read?
5	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Juror No. 6, is this your verdict as read?
7	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Juror No. 7, is this your verdict as read?
9	JUROR NO. 7: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Juror No. 8, is this your verdict as read?
11	JUROR NO. 8: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Juror No. 9, is this your verdict as read?
13	JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: Juror No. 10, is this your verdict as read?
15	JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
16	THE CLERK: Juror No. 11, is this your verdict as read?
17	JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
18	THE CLERK: Juror No. 12, is this your verdict as read?
19	JUROR NO. 12: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, this was a bifurcated trial.
22	There's an additional charge that needs to be tried. I've been informed
23	by counsel that the actual presentation of evidence probably will take
24	less than a half hour. However, it is the the same as the trial that we
25	just completed. I have to do the preliminary instructions, there is a

presentation of evidence, I have to read you the jury instructions, and then you would have to deliberate. The parties have left it up to you whether you want to start it today or come back on Monday at 10:00 to hear the testimony on the additional charge. It's late in the afternoon, so I will go for a while.

So if you could advise -- Mr. Foreperson, if you could discuss with your jurors if they want to continue or come back on -- on Monday?

Oh, counsel?

MR. DICKERSON: Just -- and just for the record, Your Honor, we don't expect any additional testimony, just two pieces of evidence to be admitted.

THE COURT: Okay. But we still -- is that correct, counsel?

We still have to do the preliminary instructions --

MR. DICKERSON: Yes.

THE COURT: -- presentation of evidence, instruct the jury on the law, and then closing arguments?

MR. DICKERSON: Yes. And I believe, it -- the State's side will be very brief.

THE COURT: So we can come -- yes, sir?

JUROR NO. 5: Like to know the approximate time this will take so that we can all come up with a quality decision for you for this evening.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I would suspect it's going to take, to do everything, at least -- based on the representation of counsel, at least 45 minutes to an hour.

1	[Pause in proceedings.]			
2	JUROR NO. 5: Sir, we will stay.			
3	THE COURT: Okay. The problem I will advise you, I have			
4	a court staff and I need to			
5	Can we go past 5:00? How much past?			
6	[Phase I proceedings concluded at 4:36 p.m.]			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly			
16	transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the			
17	best of my ability.			
18				
19	Stransvi Cotog			
20	Shawna Ortega, CET*562			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	110			

Electronically Filed 4/19/2018 9:17 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

RTRAN 1 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Plaintiff, 8 Case No. C-16-315580-1 VS. 9 DEPT. XVIII CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA, 10 Defendant. 11 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARK B. BAILUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 14 FRIDAY, DECEMBER 1, 2017 15 16 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: JURY TRIAL - PHASE II 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 20 For the Plaintiff: MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ. (Deputy District Attorney) 21 CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ. (Deputy District Attorney) 22 For the Defendant: GREGORY E. COYER, ESQ. 23 ALEXIS ANNE PLUNKETT, ESQ. 24 25

RECORDED BY: ROBIN PAGE, COURT RECORDER

_

1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2		<u>Page No.</u>
3	Opening Statement by Mr. Dickerson	14
4	Closing Argument by Mr. Lexis Verdict	22 24
5		
6	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
7	DESCRIPTION	ADMITTED
8	State's Exhibit Nos. 55 and 56	16
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	2	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, DECEMBER 1ST, 2017

[Proceedings commenced at 4:36 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, at your request we will now try the bifurcated count -- bifurcated charge, I'm sorry.

MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor. We've provided your clerk with, I believe, third amended information with the charge. We would ask that that be filed at this time.

THE COURT: That's fine.

Any objection, counsel?

MR. COYER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We will now do the preliminary admonishments to the jury.

Ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished that no juror may declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to the case after -- this case of his knowledge. And if any juror discovers during the trial or after the trial has retired that he or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact of controversy in this case, he shall disclose that situation to me in the absence of other -- of the other jurors.

This means that if you learn during the course of the trial that you are acquainted with the facts of this case or the witnesses or have not previously told us of that relationship, you must declare that fact to me.

The way you communicate with the court throughout the trial is through my marshal. My marshal is Randy Stevenson. He is present at all times while we are in session.

During the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides, court personnel, other than the marshal, are not permitted to talk with you. If that's not -- it's not that we are antisocial, it's simply that we are all bound by ethics and the law not to speak with you, because to do so might contaminate your verdict. We are not even allowed to say hi to you if we should pass you in the hall or be in the elevator together.

If you should recognize a witness or be familiar with the facts of the case when the witness is testifying, please make a little note on your jury pad that you recognize such-and-such a witness, and how it is that you recognize that witness. At the next break in the trial, please hand that note to the marshal and he will present it to the court and counsel.

Frequently people do not recognize witnesses by names, but may recognize them by when they come into the courtroom to testify. If that should happen in this case, please make a note of that as the witness is testifying, and then -- and at the next break, give that note to the bailiff.

You're admonished additionally that you are not to visit the scene of any of the acts or occurrences made mention of during the trial unless specifically directed to do so by the court. The reason that we do not want you going to any particular scene or location referenced during the trial is not because we do not want you to know everything that there

 is to know about the location, but simply there is no guarantee that the intersection, street, apartment complex, the restaurant, or whatever looks the same today as it did at the time of the incident. Usually photos are taken at the time of the incident or shortly thereafter and we will use those photos during the trial, rather than going to the site to look at first hand.

This case is a criminal case commenced by the State of Nevada. I may refer to as *State vs. Ceasar Sanchaz Valencia*. The case is based upon -- is it a third amended -- based upon a third amended information. The clerk will now read that third amended information to you and state the plea of the defendant.

[Information read.]

THE COURT: This case is based upon the -- that third amended information which has just been read to you by the clerk. You should distinctly understand that a third amended information is simply a charge and that it is not in any sense evidence of the allegations that it contains.

The defendant has pled not guilty to the charge. The State therefore has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the charge in the third amended information beyond a reasonable doubt. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden.

It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony

you hear and the other evidence, including exhibits, introduced in trial. It is up to you to determine the inference which you -- which you feel may properly draw from the evidence.

If during the examination of witnesses some questions occur to you, be patient. The answers will probably be given before the witness is excused. If not, write your questions on a slip of paper, hand it to the marshal. He will then give it to me and if the question is a proper one under the law, I will see that it is answered. Any questions must be factual in nature and designed to clarify information already presented. If your question is asked, you may not place undue weight on the answer to the question.

The parties may sometimes present objections to some of the testimony or other evidence. At times I may sustain those objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony and exhibits. You must not consider evidence to be -- to which an objection has been sustained or which I have instructed you to disregard.

It is the duty of the lawyer to object to evidence which he believes may not properly offered. And you should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes the objection on behalf of the party which he represents.

I may also find it necessary to admonish the lawyers. If I do, you should not show prejudice towards the lawyer or his clients because I found it necessary to admonish him.

Throughout the trial, if you cannot hear a question asked by the attorney or the answer given by a witness, please raise your hand as

an indication. If I don't see your hand, please say excuse me, I don't -- I didn't hear that, and we will ask that the question be repeated or that the answer be repeated.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what any witness has said. If you do take notes, please keep those notes to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note taking distract you so that while you are writing down the answer to one question, three or four questions are asked and answered and go right past you and have no reflection -- recollection of those answers. You should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not to be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors when you go back to deliberate.

This case will proceed in the following order: First, the State will make an opening statement outlining the case. The opening statement is like roadmap or outline. During the opening statement, the State will be telling you what they expect the evidence to show -- will be. After the State opens, the defendant has a right to make an opening statement if he or she wishes to. Neither party is required to make an opening statement.

After the opening statements, the State will first introduce evidence. At the conclusion of State's evidence, the defendant has a right to introduce evidence. However, please remember, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence or to prove this -- to prove his evidence. The law never imposes upon the defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence. The

 defendant and his attorneys can sit through -- through the trial and do nothing, not ask any questions, not call any witnesses, do nothing at all, because the defendant has no burden of proof in a criminal trial.

As we already discussed, the State has to prove two things to you. First, the State has to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that a crime occurred. And second, the State has to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant did it.

At the close of the defense -- defendant's case, if any, the State may introduce rebuttal evidence. At the conclusion of all the evidence, I will instruct you on the law. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions or the instructions which I will read to you after the evidence is in. Regardless of any opinion you have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given to you by the court. Now, please understand, ladies and gentlemen, the court does not make the law. The law in each state is created by the state legislature -- legislature.

After the instructions on the law are read to you, each party has the opportunity to argue orally to -- in support of his case. This is called closing arguments or summation. While -- what is said in closing is not evidence, the arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence for you and show you how the evidence and the law relate to one another. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant beyond the -- guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to both open and close the arguments, which means at the end of the

trial, the State gets to argue to you twice and the defendant gets to argue to you once.

After the attorneys have presented their argument, you will retire to select a foreperson to deliberate and arrive at your verdict.

Faithful performance by you of your duties is vital to the administration of justice. It is your duty to determine the facts and determine them from the evidence and the reasonable inferences arising from such evidence. And in so doing, you must not indulge in guess -- guesswork or speculation.

The evidence which you are to consider consists of the testimony of witnesses and the exhibits admitted in evidence. The term witness means anyone who testifies in person or by way of deposition and may include the parties to the lawsuit. A deposition is simply an examination of the witnesses at a prior date under oath with the attorney present where the testimony is taken down in written form and those written questions and answers will be read to you during the trial.

Admission of evidence -- evidence in court is governed by rules of law. From time to time, it may be the duty of the attorneys to make an objection. And any objection as -- to make an objection and my duty as the judge to rule on those objections and decide whether a certain question may be answered and whether certain evidence may be admitted. You must not concern yourself with the objections made by the attorneys or with a court's reasons for its rulings.

You may -- you must not consider testimony or exhibits to which an objection has been sustained or which has been ordered

stricken. Further, you must not consider anything which you may have seen or heard when the court is not in session, even if you see or hear it -- see or hear it said or done by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.

While you are here in the courtroom, please always wear the badge the marshal gave you or you will -- or gave you. When you come in in the morning and during the breaks, during the daytime or during the noon recess, when you are in the elevator or walking around in the hallway, I always tell my jury panels to please only chit-chat with people wearing a badge which indicates they are jurors.

When you came in through the metal detector this morning -- I want to read this part. I always delete it, but -- when you came in through the metal detector this morning, the guards down there did not have orange cans of paint and did not paint -- spray paint a big W on people who are going to be witnesses, so the witnesses in the and all the rest -- and all the rest of the trials that are going on today in the building are not identified in any way. You will not know if you are carrying on a conversation with a witness in -- in this trial until it's too late and they see -- and they come and take the witness stand and are sworn. Therefore, I would urge you not to talk to anyone in the building unless they are identified as a juror, because if they are identified as a juror, you know that they are not going to have anything to do with this case.

In -- in every case there are two types of evidence, direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is testimony by

witness without the -- without -- about what that witness saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which, if that fact is proven, you can infer the existence of a second fact. You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case.

The law permits you to give equal weight to both types of evidence, but it is up to you to decide how much weight to give to any particular piece of evidence. Opening statements and closing arguments are intended to help you in understanding the evidence and in applying the law. But please understand the attorneys will -- what the attorneys tell you is not evidence. They are not witnesses, they have no firsthand information, and therefore, what they tell you is not evidence.

You are not to concern yourself in any way with the sentence which a defendant might receive if you should find him guilty. Your function is solely to decide whether the State has proven to you beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant is guilty of the crime charged. If, and only if, you find him guilty, then it becomes the duty of the court at a later date to pronounce sentence.

You must not be influenced in any degree by any personal feeling of sympathy for or prejudice against any party to the lawsuit. Each party is entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration. No statement, ruling, remark, or facial expression which I may make during the course of the trial is intended to indicate my opinion as to what the facts are. I don't get to decide the facts. You are the ones who determine the facts.

PLEADING CONTINUES IN NEXT VOLUME