IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 * * * 2 3 COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE Supreme Court No. 85668 FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS **Electronically Filed** 4 Jul 21 2023 09:47 AM RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK Elizabeth A. Brown 5 LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., APPELL CHOIK OF RUPIE MET CHUIT 6 LEAVE TO FILE A RESPONSE Appellant, 7 TO RESPONDENT'S REPLY REGARDING ORDER VS. 8 AMENDING CAPTION AND TO 9 **SHOW CAUSE** ROBERT CHUR, STEVE FOGG, MARK GARBER, CAROL HARTER, 10 ROBERT HURLBUT, BARBARA 11 LUMPKIN, JEFF MARSHALL, ERIC STICKELS, UNI-TER 12 UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT 13 CORP., UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP., and U.S. RE CORPORATION, 14 15 Respondents. 16 ROBERT CHUR, STEVE FOGG, Supreme Court No. 85728 17 MARK GARBER, CAROL HARTER, ROBERT HURLBUT, BARBARA 18 LUMPKIN, JEFF MARSHALL, AND 19 ERIC STICKELS. 20 Appellants, 21 VS. 22 23 COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS 24 RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK 25 LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., 26 Respondents.

27

28

COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., Appellant, vs. ROBERT CHUR; STEVE FOGG; MARK GARBER; CAROL HARTER; ROBERT HURLBUT; BARBARA LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	1	THE STATE OF NEVADA Su	preme Court No. 85907
RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., Appellant, vs. ROBERT CHUR; STEVE FOGG; MARK GARBER; CAROL HARTER; ROBERT HURLBUT; BARBARA LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	2		
Appellant, S. ROBERT CHUR; STEVE FOGG; MARK GARBER; CAROL HARTER; ROBERT HURLBUT; BARBARA LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	3		
ROBERT CHUR; STEVE FOGG; MARK GARBER; CAROL HARTER; ROBERT HURLBUT; BARBARA LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	4	LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC.,	
ROBERT CHUR; STEVE FOGG; MARK GARBER; CAROL HARTER; ROBERT HURLBUT; BARBARA LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	5	Appellant,	
ROBERT CHUR; STEVE FOGG; MARK GARBER; CAROL HARTER; ROBERT HURLBUT; BARBARA LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	6	VS	
MARK GARBER; CAROL HARTER; ROBERT HURLBUT; BARBARA LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	7		
ROBERT HURLBUT; BARBARA LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	8		
LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND ERIC STICKELS; UNI-TER UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	9	1	
UNDERWRITING MANAGEMENT CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	10	LUMPKIN; JEFF MARSHALL; AND	
CORP.; UNI-TER CLAIMS SERVICES CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	11	1	
CORP.; AND U.S. RE CORPORATION, Respondents. Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the			
Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the			
Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the		Respondents	
Appellant COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	14	- Respondents.	
NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	15		
GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC, hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	16		
hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	17	NEVADA AS RECEIVER OF LEWIS AND CLARK LTC RISK RETENTION	
hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	18	GROUP, INC., ("Appellant"), by and through counsel, Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC,	
Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	19		
to Show Cause ("Reply"). This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	20	hereby files this motion for leave to file a response ("Response") to Respondent U.S.	
This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	21	Re Corporation's Reply to Appellant's Response to Order Amending Caption and	
This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	22	to Show Cause ("Reply")	
This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	23	to show cause (Reply).	
25 10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the		This motion concerns the Order to Show Cause issued by the court on May	
uns appear should not be distinssed for lack of jurisdiction regarding the		10, 2023, which directed Appellant to "show cause why the identified portions of	
	26	this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction" regarding the	
		I this appeal should not be dismissed for I	ack of jurisulction regarding the
interlocutory orders related to the defendants Uni-Ter Underwriting Management	27		

Corp., Uni-Ter Claims Services Corp., and U.S. Re Corporation. Appellant filed his response on July 23, 2023. On July 7, 2023, Respondent U.S. Re Corporation filed its Reply. Appellant believes it important to provide a response to U.S. Re Corporation's Reply to correct important factual inaccuracies and misleading statements that are contained in the Reply. Furthermore, Appellant also believes it critical that Appellant update the court regarding new developments in the underlying district court action (A-711535-C) that have occurred since Respondent's Reply was filed on July 7, 2023 for the court's full consideration of this matter.

For all these reasons, Appellant respectfully submits that good cause exists to grant this motion, and Appellant asks the Court to grant such other and further relief as it deems appropriate.

Dated this 21st day of July, 2023.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC

/s/Brenoch Wirthlin Mark A. Hutchison, Esq. (4639) Brenoch R. Wirthlin, Esq. (10282) Traci L. Cassity, Esq. (9648) 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Appellant

28