1 2	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
3	No. 85782 Electronically File Oct 05 2023 07:2	d 0 PM
5	JUSTIN D. PORTER Elizabeth A. Brow Clerk of Supreme	
6	Appellant,	
7	v.	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA	
9 10	Respondent.	
11 12	Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County The Honorable Jacqueline Bluth, District Court Judge District Court Case No. 01C174954	
13 14 15	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VII	
16	Thomas A. Ericsson, Esq.	
17 18	Nevada Bar No. 4982 Oronoz & Ericsson, LLC	
19	9900 Covington Cross Dr., Suite 290 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	
20	Telephone: (702) 878-2889 Facsimile: (702) 522-1542	
21	tom@oronozlawyers.com  Attorney for Appellant Justin D.	
22	Porter Poster	
23		
24		

## **INDEX**

<u>Vol</u>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Page</b>
I	Amended Information, filed May 2, 2001	AA 0018
II	Decision and Order, filed November 18, 2019	AA 0337
XI	Defendant's Sentencing Memorandum, filed November 2, 2022	AA 2489
VII	Ex Parte Application for Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail, filed September 12, 2022	AA 1617
XI	Fifth Amended Information, filed December 27, 2022	AA 2533
I	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed February 14, 2014	AA 0153
I	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed June 11, 2012	AA 0146
I	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed March 14, 2016	AA 0171
II	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order, filed May 28, 2020	AA 0343
II	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order, filed July 13, 2022	AA 0379
IV	Fourth Amended Information, filed September 6, 2022	AA 0753
I	Information, filed April 26, 2001	AA 0001
XI	Judgment of Conviction, filed February 15, 2023	AA 2546
I	Judgment of Conviction, filed October 13, 2009	AA 0136
I	Motion to Sever Counts XXX, XXXI, XXXII Charging Murder and Related Crimes Against Gyaltso Lungtok, filed May 15, 2008	AA 0107
XI	Notice of Appeal, filed February 16, 2023	AA 2558

I	Notice of Appeal, filed October 29, 2009	AA 0138
I	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed February 24, 2014	AA 0161
I	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed March 22, 2016	AA 0178
П	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed June 4, 2020	AA 0359
П	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed July 19, 2022	AA 0389
I	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty for Violation of International Treaty and Customary Law, filed January 2, 2003	AA 0046
I	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Remand to Juvenile Court, filed November 6, 2008	AA 0123
XI	Order Directing Entry and Transmission of Written Judgment of Conviction, filed January 24, 2023	AA 2544
I	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed February 14, 2012	AA 0145
I	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed November 4, 2015	AA 0170
I	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed September 10, 2013	AA 0152
I	Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Sever Counts XXX, XXXI, XXXII Charging Murder and Related Crimes Against Gyaltso Lungtok, filed July 3, 2008	AA 0121
VII	Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail or Be Committed to Custody, filed September 12, 2022	AA 1625
П	Pro Per Motion for Dismiss of Information, filed November 15, 2019	AA 0319

Ι	Pro Per Motion to Dismiss and Substitute Counsel and Motion to Dismiss, filed May 31, 2017	AA 0186
XI	Pro Per Notice of Appeal, filed December 1, 2022	AA 2531
II	Pro Per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed August 12, 2019	AA 0255
I	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Severe Counts - Per Order Filed on July 3, 2008, filed June 26, 2019	AA 0213
Ι	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Status Check Trial Setting Acknowledgment, filed November 22, 2010	AA 0140
II	Recorders Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 1, filed August 31, 2022	AA 0400
III	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 2, filed September 1, 2022	AA 0551
IV	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 3, filed September 6, 2022	AA 0764
IV	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 4, filed September 7, 2022	AA 0868
V	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 5, filed September 8, 2022	AA 1102
VI	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 6, filed September 9, 2022	AA 1366
VII	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 7, filed September 12, 2022	AA 1628
VIII	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 8, filed September 13, 2022	AA 1799
IX	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 9, filed September 14, 2022	AA 2013
IX	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 10, filed September 15, 2022	AA 2155

X	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 11, filed September 19, 2022	AA 2323
XI	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial - Day 12, filed September 20, 2022	AA 2461
II	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re All Pending Motions, filed September 18, 2019	AA 0264
I	Reporter's Transcript of Status Check Negotiations, filed September 19, 2007	AA 0094
I	Second Amended Information, filed October 11, 2001	AA 0035
II	Stipulation and Order to Reset Trial Date, filed April 20, 2022	AA 0376
I	Third Amended Information, filed April 30, 2009	AA 0125
XI	Transcript from Sentencing Hearing, filed November 3, 2022	AA 2497
I	Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, filed February 8, 2005	AA 0048
I	Transcript of Proceedings Sentencing, filed September 30, 2009	AA 0129
I	Verdict, filed May 8, 2009	AA 0127
XI	Verdict, filed September 20, 2022	AA 2478

1	Q	And what about the screen door?
2	А	Because momma was going to try to close it, but she
3	didn't get	there in time.
4	Q	Your wife was going to try to close the front door?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Okay.
7	А	When he was working with the screen.
8	Q	Oh, I see. Okay. Before he actually made his way
9	into your	
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	apartment?
12	А	Right.
13	Q	Okay. Once he got into the apartment and got
14	through th	ne screen door and came in, um, did the front door
15	remain ope	en while he was in there?
16	A	I don't recall. I think it was left open.
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	But I'm not sure.
19	Q	You said he had got a knife from
20	A	Yes, from the kitchen, um, the shelving.
21	Q	And once he got the knife, what did he do with it?
22	A	He cut the phone line, first thing.
23	Q	And then after he cut the phone line?
24	A	Well, he just brandished it around, threatening us

1	with it.	
2	Q	And he threatened you with the knife?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And he threatened your wife with the knife?
5	A	Yeah.
6	Q	Did he ask you for anything?
7	A	Yes, he wanted our money and a gun.
8	Q	Okay. And was he able to get any money?
9	A	Yes, he got \$80 from me and a bunch of coins that I
10	had that I	I was couldn't say I was collecting 'em but when I
11	had change	e, I just threw 'em into a casino cup.
12	Q	Did
13	A	On the dresser in in the bedroom.
14	Q	The
15	A	And I had pennies and nickels and quarters and he
16	picked up	the one with the pennies, said, "Bah, there's
17	nothing th	nere." So he took the nickels and quarters.
18	Q	And what did he do with them?
19	A	Stuck them in his pocket.
20	Q	Did he leave the cup?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	How was it that he got the \$80 from you?
23	A	I was too scared to keep it.
24	Q	Okay. Where where was the \$80?

1	A	In my hip pocket.
2	Q	Okay. And was there anything else in your hip
3	pocket?	
4	A	No, just that and the wallet.
5	Q	Okay. What else do you keep in your wallet?
6	А	Well, I had my cards, social security card and
7	Q	Did you have any credit cards?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Okay.
10	А	I had a bank card but no credit cards.
11	Q	Okay. Did the man ask you for your bank card?
12	А	No.
13	Q	How did the money get out of your wallet?
14	А	Well, I took it out.
15	Q	And where were you where were you when all of
16	that happe	ened, where he got the money?
17	А	I was laying on the floor.
18	Q	In the living area?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And after he got the money, then what happened?
21	A	Well, he just went around and used the knife to open
22	drawers a	nd things, looking for whatever.
23	Q	And you said that he, uh earlier you said he
24	wanted guns and money?	

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Now, did you own a gun?
3	А	No.
4	Q	Did you tell him that?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And what did he say?
7	А	Well, he didn't believe it. He looked.
8	Q	So after he was done looking and you were in the
9	living r	room, then what happened?
10	А	Well, he told us to stay where we were and don't
11	come to	the door until after he was gone.
12	Q	Did he ever have you move from the living room to
13	another	room in the house?
14	А	Well, as I recall, we went to the bedroom, but I'm
15	sorry.	
16	Q	Where were the the cups with the coins in them?
17	А	They were in the bedroom on the desk.
18	Q	And you said you saw him go through those cups?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	So were you in the bedroom with him?
21	А	Uh, the entryway.
22	Q	Did he go through anything else in the bedroom?
23	A	I don't recall anything else. No.
24	Q	Do you recall any other things that he said to you

1	or your w	rife while he was in your home?
2	А	No, I don't.
3	Q	Did he make any sexual comments or say things that
4	were vulg	gar or foul?
5	А	Just sorta halfway, yes.
6	Q	And and what was that?
7	A	Well, uh, I told him I couldn't get up and he said I
8	was too o	old.
9	Q	Anything else that he said that you recall?
10	А	I don't recall anything else.
11	Q	Once he took the coins out of out of the cups,
12	then wher	re did he go?
13	А	He told us to stay back in the corner and he left.
14	Q	And do you recall which corner you were in?
15	А	Well, it was in the west side of the living room.
16	Q	And did you do as he said?
17	А	Oh, yes.
18	Q	When he was in the bedroom going through the coins,
19	was it hi	s idea for you and your wife to be in there with him
20	or was it	your idea?
21	А	It was it was just sort of a mutual thing.
22	Q	And in what way?
23	А	Well, that he [sic] wanted to protect our things in
24	case he w	vent wild or anything.

1	Q	And how were you going to protect your things?	
2	A	Well, that, I had no idea. But we were going to do	
3	our damnedest.		
4	Q	Did you, at any point, physically resist him?	
5	A	No.	
6	Q	So it's he when he left, tell us about how he	
7	left?		
8	A	I actually don't know because he we stayed inside	
9	and he sh	ut the door and left.	
10	Q	Okay. And, um, so you stayed where you were until	
11	you heard	the door shut?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	And after you heard the door shut, then what did you	
14	do?		
15	А	Well, we waited probably 5, 10 minutes and we ran	
16	over to o	ur daughter's house to call 9-1-1 since our phone was	
17	disabled.		
18	Q	Did he take the knife with him?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	Had you ever seen the individual before that, had	
21	come into	your house?	
22	A	I wouldn't know that. He was pretty well covered.	
23	The only	thing that showed was his forehead, his eyes. I did	
24	notice tha	at he was about, oh, 5-10, 5-11 and about 180 pounds	

1	and seemed to	be quite young.
2	Q And	did you give him consent to come into your home?
3	A No.	
4	Q Than	k you, Mr. Rumbaugh.
5	MS.	KOLLINS: Pass the witness.
6	THE	COURT: Mr. Abood.
7	MR.	GILL: Good
8	MR.	GOODWIN: Good afternoon, Mr. Rumbaugh.
9	[TRA	NSCRIPT READING END]
10	MR.	GILL: I got this one.
11	MR.	GOODWIN: Oh, you got this one?
12	MR.	GILL: Yeah.
13	MR.	GOODWIN: Oh, okay. (Indiscernible)
14	MR.	GILL: It's okay.
15	[TRA	NSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
16		CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. GILL:	
18	Q Good	afternoon, Mr. Rumbaugh. I have some questions
19	I'd like to as	k you as well.
20	A Okay	•
21	Q I'm	sorry?
22	A Have	at it.
23	Q Can	you hear me, sir?
24	A Yes.	

1	Q Mr. Rumbaugh, I heard you testify a little earlier
2	that you thought he was about six-foot tall. And you
3	testified to that today and then you told the police that you
4	thought he was about 6-foot-1; is that right?
5	A That could be, very well be, yes.
6	Q Okay. And of course the reason I ask is because
7	just now you said that you thought he was between 5-10 and
8	5-11.
9	A Well, that's what I am. And I could look directly
LO	into his eyes. So I was only guessing.
L1	Q Okay. Well, is it fair to say that, as we sit here
L2	today, your best estimate that is that he's between 5-10
L3	and 6-1?
L4	A Yep.
L5	Q Okay. Fair enough. Also, you mentioned that you
L6	thought he weighed about 180 pounds; is that right?
L7	A That was a guess.
L8	Q Okay. And again, you recall that you told the
L9	police that you thought this person weighed about 170 pounds.
20	A Okay. I have no idea.
21	Q That's fine, sir. I just want to clear those things
22	up.
23	So in terms of the weight, you really don't know how much
24	this person weighed either.

1		
1	А	No.
2	Q	Correct?
3	А	No.
4		[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
5		THE COURT: Oh, or
6		MR. HALLQUIST: Oh
7		THE COURT: ha, ha.
8		MR. HALLQUIST: I apologize.
9		[TRANSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
10		THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
11	BY MR. GI	LL:
12	Q	Okay. Now, you're 5-9, is that so?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Now, when the State when the
15	District	Attorney asked you earlier whether or not anybody
16	else was	living in that house with you, other than you and
17	your wife	, you stated that no one else lived there at the
18	time; is	that right?
19	А	Right.
20	Q	Was there a time when somebody else did live in that
21	house?	
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Who was that?
24		MS. KOLLINS: Objection.

1	THE COURT: Uh, what is the relevance?
2	MR. GILL: I'll let that question go, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Withdrawn. Okay.
4	MR. GILL: It's not that important. Yes, judge.
5	BY MR. GILL:
6	Q Suffice it to say that, at that point in time,
7	nobody else lived there.
8	A Right.
9	Q Okay. Now, you also stated that you felt that this
LO	person was in his, either, late teens or young 20s, in your
L1	own words
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q correct?
L4	A That was an impression.
L5	Q Okay. And one of the first things that this person
L6	apparently did when they entered into your house is they took
L7	a pairing knife from the kitchen?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q And this is the same pairing knife, apparently, that
20	your I guess, your wife was using to cut a cake with that
21	you were eating?
22	A Right.
23	Q Do you recall whether or not this pairing knife
24	actually had any cake on it or anything like that?

1	A	No, I don't.
2	Q	Okay. And you're and you are not able to
3	describe	this person's face, obviously, because
4	А	Right.
5	Q	there was a red bandana covering most of the
6	face; tha	at's correct?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. These cups, the cups from the El Cortez,
9	those cup	os were in the bedroom; correct?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	And when this individual that was in your house
12	started v	walking towards the bedroom, did you and your wife
13	follow hi	Lm?
14	A	To the doorway.
15	Q	To the doorway?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	All right. So you followed him as far as the
18	doorway.	He entered all the way into the bedroom; is that
19	correct?	
20	A	That is that is correct.
21	Q	Okay. And when you follow when you followed
22	when you	followed this person into the bedroom, is it fair to
23	say that	you were behind him as he was walking?
24	A	Oh, absolutely.

1	Q	And you were there primarily because you wanted to
2	see what	this person was doing with your possessions; correct?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. This the money that was in those cups, the
5	El Cortez	cups, is it fair to say that that money belonged to
6	you?	
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Did your wife have any interest in it or was it just
9	your	
10	А	No.
11	Q	money?
12	А	That was all mine.
13	Q	Okay. And, obviously, the money in your wallet,
14	that was	your money as well.
15	А	Right.
16	Q	Okay. Now, do you know for a fact that there was
17	\$80 in yo	ur wallet?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Was there anything more than 80?
20	А	Nope.
21	Q	So if somebody said there was 81, would that be
22	correct?	
23	А	No.
24	Q	Okay. Just four 20s is all it was

1		[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
2		MR. GILL: Oop. Sorry.
3		[TRANSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
4	BY MR. GI	LL:
5	Q	Okay.
6	A	Just four 20s is all it was.
7	Q	Okay. And you, yourself, took the money out of that
8	wallet.	
9	A	Yes.
LO	Q	Nobody else ever touched your wallet?
L1	А	Right.
L2	Q	Okay. Sir, there's a couple issues I want to clear
L3	up with y	ou if I could.
L4	А	Okay.
L5	Q	Can you describe for us how this person was holding
L6	that band	ana to their face?
L7	A	He had his hand flat across his face.
L8	Q	And other underneath his hand was a red bandana?
L9	A	That is right.
20	Q	Okay. So one hand was continuously covering his
21	face whil	e you
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	he was in your place.
24	A	Right.

_	
Q	Okay. You also described, somewhat, the shoes that
this pers	son was wearing. You claim that they were white
shoes?	
A	They were white tennis shoes.
Q	Any markings on them that you recognized?
А	No.
Q	Okay. Do you know if they were high top or low?
А	High top.
Q	They were high top tennis shoes?
А	Shoes.
Q	Very well.
А	Not slippers.
Q	Okay. And, finally, sir, the clothing that this
person wa	as wearing?
А	I don't recall what it was now.
Q	Okay. You don't recall whether he was wearing a
long-slee	eved shirt
А	Month.
Q	or a short-sleeved shirt?
А	No, I don't.
Q	You don't recall the color of the shirt?
A	No. You don't recall whether he was wearing short
pants or	long pants.
	[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
	shoes?  A Q A Q A Q A Q Person wa A Q long-slee A Q A

1	MR. GILL: And just for the record, Your Honor,
2	the the witness did read "A" correctly, but I believe that
3	that was a question asked by Mr. Abood.
4	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Yeah. So the question was,
5	"You don't recall whether he was wearing short pants or long
6	pants."
7	And then the answer from the witness would be, "No."
8	MR. HALLQUIST: "No."
9	THE COURT: Okay. Question.
10	[TRANSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
11	BY MR. GILL:
12	Q Okay. When you were looking at this person that was
13	in your house, you didn't recognize or you didn't see any kind
14	of scars; is that correct?
15	A No.
16	Q You didn't see any tattoos.
17	A No.
18	Q Very well. Thank you, sir.
19	THE COURT: Any additional questions?
20	MS. KOLLINS: No.
21	THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony.
22	[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
23	THE COURT: Court exhibit. Thank you.
24	State?

1	MS. LUZAICH: State calls Rick Workman.
2	THE MARSHAL: If you could just step up there,
3	remain standing and raise your right hand so the clerk can
4	swear you in.
5	RICKEY WORKMAN,
6	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly
7	sworn testified as follows:]
8	THE WITNESS: I do.
9	THE CLERK: Please be seated, stating your full
10	name, spelling your first and last name for the record.
11	THE WITNESS: Rickey, "rice," K-E-Y, J., Workman,
12	W-O-R-K-M-A-N.
13	THE COURT: Whenever you're ready, State.
14	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. LUZAICH:
17	Q Good morning, sir.
18	A Morning.
19	Q Have you recently retired from a long-term
20	profession?
21	A Yes, two professions long.
22	Q What did you retire from?
23	A First from the military after 20 years, and then
24	I I worked 26 years at the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

1	Department in the forensic lab (indiscernible) area.
2	Q And thank you for your service.
3	In the military, what did you do?
4	A I was a nickel weapons technician, manager and
5	commander, and I also was a stealth fighter and maintenance
6	commander.
7	Q Okay. When you came to the police department, when
8	did you start at the police department?
9	A Started in October of 1993, actually concurrent,
LO	three-year overlap with the Air Force.
L1	Q Okay. And in 1993, when you started, what did you
L2	do?
L3	A I started as a crime scene analyst. If I may, I did
L4	not finish. One thing I also
L5	Q Oh, I apologize.
L6	A I I worked at the Henderson Police Department
L7	for the last 20 year for 20 years, subsequent to Metro.
L8	Q I'm sorry. I thought I did that.
L9	A I worked for Metro for approximately six years and
20	then at the Henderson Police Department for 20.
21	Q Okay. So when you were at Metro, can you tell us,
22	what did you do?
23	A I started as a crime scene analyst. I left there as
24	a crime crime scene analyst and my jobs, primarily, were to

1	go to the scenes of crime (indiscernible) preserve and collect
2	evidence of all types information and other physical
3	evidence as well and to present that evidence. Also to
4	attend autopsies and to present that information, evidence,
5	and otherwise, in court or whenever otherwise I needed.
6	Q And then you went, after that, to the
7	Henderson Police Department?
8	A I did.
9	Q What year did you go to the Henderson Police
LO	Department?
L1	A 2000. April of 2000.
L2	Q For how long were you there?
L3	A Until May of two years ago.
L4	Q When you retired?
L5	A Yes, when I retired.
L6	Q Okay. And when you were with the Henderson Police
L7	Department, what did you do?
L8	A I actually was hired to begin development of the
L9	crime scene analyst or Crime Scene Investigation Section, as
20	well as the forensic laboratory. And so I oversaw I built
21	up and oversaw those portions for 16 of the 20 years that I
22	was there. And for four other years, part of that time, I
23	was I had some other duties assigned for accreditation.
24	Q As a crime scene analyst, you talked about what your

duties were. Did you have any training and education that qualified you to do that?

A Yes, very extensive, lengthy and frequent training. Hundreds of hours -- several hundred hours. And initially, Las Vegas Metro PD had a crime scene investigation training portion which were -- that was a full-time event that lasted for several months.

And then we -- I attended a lot of advanced -intermediate and advance bloodstain pattern and
(indiscernible) courses, crime scene investigation courses,
forensic photography, pretty much anything that is generally
required in a crime scene investigation role, minus the
physical forensic laboratory, we had significant training.

I also had to -- so that I fully answer your question. I also worked part-time as a police officer in California, while I was in the Air Force. And I had significant amount of experience during that time as a -- as a part-time police officer. And I worked my own crime scenes. I did investigations and testified as well. And during that time I also had a lot of significant training.

Q Okay. Thank you.

I'm going to direct your attention all the way back to March of 2000. On March 7th of 2000, were you working as a crime scene analyst with Metro?

1	A I was.
2	Q And did you respond to several different well,
3	multiple locations in furtherance of your duties?
4	A I did.
5	Q What was the first and did you come to learn that
6	the investigation was pertaining to a lady named Leona Case?
7	A Then early in the morning, it was
8	approximately if I may refer to my notes for the time?
9	THE COURT: If that would help refresh your
LO	recollection, feel
L1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
L2	THE COURT: free to do so, sir.
L3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
L4	I I arrived at approximately 2:53 in the morning, oh
L5	dark thirty hours. And my arrival was actually first at the
L6	hospital, the best best of my recollection and and to my
L7	written documentation.
L8	I went to the hospital where I conducted some activities
L9	with the for the victim with the help of a nurse. And then
20	I subsequently went to the scene of of the to document
21	the arson and (indiscernible) of that crime.
22	MS. LUZAICH: Okay. I have what's been marked as
23	State's Proposed Exhibits 322 through sorry 336.
24	Photographs that I believe will be moved in by stipulation.

1	MR. GILL: Correct, Your Honor. I've seen them.
2	THE COURT: Okay. That'll be admitted.
3	-
	[STATE'S EXHIBITS 322-336 ADMITTED.]
4	MS. LUZAICH: I also have 289 through 321 that also
5	moved in by stipulation.
6	MR. GILL: That is correct, Your Honor. They're all
7	of the crime scene.
8	THE COURT: That'll be admitted.
9	[STATE'S EXHIBITS 289-321 ADMITTED.]
10	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. Permission to publish?
11	THE COURT: Yep.
12	BY MS. LUZAICH:
13	Q Mr. Workman, I'm gonna show you, first, State's
14	Exhibit 322. Let me unzoom.
15	When you went to the hospital, is this how you saw
16	Leona Case?
17	A Yes, I took numerous photos of the victim at the
18	hospital, and this represents one of the photos I took.
19	Q And when you took the photos, were you attempting to
20	depict the injuries that she sustained as a result of what
21	sent her to the hospital?
22	A To the best of our my ability, without further
23	injuring the victim, yes.
24	Q Okay. Now, when you're doing this, are you touching

her at all or are you just photographing her?

A In this particular case, the -- the acronym's the SANE, but there was a registered nurse that assisted me. And she is the person who basically held my scale in some of the photographs and also any -- anything that had to be handled or touched to assist the victim.

- Q It was the nurse who did it?
- A Generally, yes.
- Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- A In this case it would have been the nurse.
- 11 Q Okay. Thank you. And just for the record, it's 12 Exhibit 322.

Can you tell me specifically what we're looking at?

A This is a photograph of the face and our -- one of our initial photographs is -- is all -- is generally -- we call it an identification photograph so that we know who we are -- who, in this case, the victim is and who the subsequent photos belong to.

- Q Thank you. Okay. Exhibit 323.
- 20 A Another --
  - Q What are we looking at?
  - A Another view of the victim, showing injuries and her condition.
    - Q But specifically.

1	A Specifically to show the victim and her I
2	wouldn't call this an ID shot, but it's just additional
3	information to show her condition.
4	Q Okay. Are we looking at the left side of her face?
5	A Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. This would be the left side of
6	her face.
7	Q And what injury can we see on the left side?
8	A Well, from here I can see a mark. I couldn't
9	testify, now, what that particular mark is. But she
10	apparently has blood and and other other injuries,
11	apparent bruises and other injuries to her face and head.
12	Q Can you see kind of red marks on the left side of
13	her nose?
14	A I can see on her nose and and then something on
15	her left ear as well. Also, there's a mark on her cheek and
16	then a bruise below that mark, closer to her chin.
17	Q Okay. And when you say her ear, can you see blood
18	dripping down her ear?
19	A It from what I can see, it appears to be blood.
20	And it certainly is consistent with the other information that
21	I saw that night.
22	Q Okay. Consistent with, I should have said, blood.
23	State's Exhibit 324, what is this depicting?
24	A This is a photograph, again, of the victim, shown

primarily from -- this would be me standing at her right side, 1 down, showing additional condition of her -- her head and 2 face. Again, primarily the right side of her face. 3 Okay. And you can see -- or can you see injury to 4 her nose? 5 Α I can see what looks like a -- it's a deep red, dark 6 7 red and what appears to be an injury and also other things that are, again, consistent with -- with apparent blood. 8 Okay. And can you also see kind of a mark to the 9 left side -- right side -- sorry, I got to learn my left --10 11 right side of her face at the cheekbone area? 12 I can see -- I can see a mark, a red mark that may be an injury. It -- it's kind of the lower -- just around 13 the -- the tip, but on the right-hand side of -- if that's 14 what you're referring to. 15 Okay. On her cheek (indicating)? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 And do you also see a red mark on her chin? 19 Oh, I'm sorry. I misspoke. I said -- I said cheek -- answer the cheek, I meant her -- her chin, the tip of 20 21 her chin. 22 Okay. So you meant her chin. Do you also see a mark on her cheek? 23 Yes, and that line, which I believe is the tube that 24

1	also was helping her breathe. There's a lot of red redness
2	and possible bruising. I say "possible," I can't tell from
3	this
4	Q Okay.
5	A view.
6	Q Here. Let me approach
7	MS. LUZAICH: May I approach? Sorry.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	BY MS. LUZAICH:
10	Q Showing you, just in person, State's Exhibit 324,
11	would you agree that when you look at the actual photo, you
12	can see more clearly than you can on our, like, really
13	expensive equipment here?
14	A It's it's much more clear clearer that she has
15	various bruising and injuries and redness to her face,
16	particularly in (indiscernible) cheeks she's got apparent
17	blood. And I say "apparent," but in this case, it's very
18	obvious that she did bleed in various from various
19	locations. And she has injuries on her up around her
20	(indicating) hair line and other places.
21	Q Okay. But the photographs that you look at, that
22	they'll take back in the deliberation room with them, more
23	clearly depict what you're discussing than when we're looking
24	at it on the screen. Would you agree with that?

1	A I agree, much better.
2	Q Okay. And then State's Exhibit 325. What are we
3	looking at?
4	A Again, this is another photograph that I took of the
5	victim, showing her primarily her for forehead. You can
6	see kind of the top portion of her nose. I can see what is,
7	again, consistent with injuries, redness, injuries, bruise
8	potential bruising, and also I can see some of the same red
9	markings or potential injuries on her hair line, which
10	Q And
11	A and those are primarily on the left side. Her
12	left side.
13	Q State's Exhibit 326, what specifically are we
14	looking at?
15	A Those are apparent stab wounds of the not a
16	doctor, so I did not examine her for that. But apparently
17	stab wounds. And also you can see the some fluids that
18	have been used in the treatment, for medical treatment and
19	some apparent blood on her stomach area as well.
20	Q How many stab wounds do you see?
21	A I see two.
22	Q And I'm gonna assume that that hand with red nail
23	polish would not be yours.
24	A That is not mine. The scale is mine. It has my P

- It's -- with my initials is R4597 (indiscernible). 1 number. And a P number, is that personnel number? 2 0 That -- I'm sorry. That's my personnel number. 3 Α And that's how you can always tell that it's you who 4 take -- took the photos; correct? 5 That's generally the case, yes. And in this case, Α 6 7 it is. And I (indiscernible) one -- one that was not. Okay. Showing you State's Exhibit 329. What are we 8 9 looking at? That is her -- I believe it's her right leq. And 10 Α 11 it, again, shows a -- a lot of substantial -- I'll use the term "apparently" 'cause I'm not a medical professional --12 bruising and injuries to her right side of her calf and -- and 13 apparently the front of her knee. 14 Showing you 331. 15 More bruising of -- of her -- and injuries that --16 17 it's actually a better -- shows a greater extent of injuries 18 to basically around her knee. Also, you can see some redness, 19 injury in -- at the right hand -- bottom right hand in the 20 photograph, portion of her calf. And apparently on the front,
  - Q Okay. State's Exhibit 333.

in her case, front portion of her thigh.

21

22

A Same victim. Again, this shows injuries of, I believe, from looking at that, that's her right leg that we

- can see on the top portion of the photograph. Additionally,
  we can see injuries -- potential injuries to -- towards the
  bottom where her leg side meets the -- is -- is near that
  white sheet. And --
  - Q That would be kind of the inner portion of her thigh; correct?
    - A Yes. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q State's Exhibit 334.
- A That's one of her feet. And looking at her toes, I would -- this looks like her right foot. She has substantial bruising and injuries -- apparent injuries to her toes and the front of her foot and then up near her ankle.
  - O What else can we --
- A There's also some -- apparently -- I think it was soot, apparent soot on a lot of portions of her body.
  - Q For example, soot from a fire?
  - A Yes (indiscernible) example.
- O And then Exhibit 336.
  - A This is the victim's left foot. Another photograph that I took. And it indicates -- also shows some -- little bit less injury to her toes, but it's an injury to the inside portion of her right foot, a little bit of apparent bruising on her ankle and redness. When I say "bruising," it may -- may be redness or -- and/or bruising.

1	Q And soot again; correct?	
2	A Pardon me?	
3	Q And soot again?	
4	A I can see something that looks like that from here.	at from here.
5	Q Okay.	
6	A It's consistent with injury.	
7	Q After you photographed Ms. Case at the hospital,	e hospital,
8	where did you go?	
9	A I went to the scene and I met with a fire	ire
10	investigator.	
11	Q And the scene was located at 2900 East Charleston;	Charleston;
12	is that correct?	
13	A That's correct.	
14	Q And when you say you met with the fire investigator,	e investigator,
15	specifically why?	
16	A When we investigate arson, cases, crimes that	es that
17	involve arson, in any case, the arson investigators are the	ors are the
18	primary persons as far as because they're unique and	.que and
19	extensive training on identifying potential evidence of the	lence of the
20	arson and and helping assist with the crime scene analyst	cene analyst
21	collection of other evidence within the arson.	
22	And in most cases, the arson investigator would collect	ould collect
23	anything that is flammable or that was directly tied to the	tied to the
24	fire. Also they are the ones that generally would make the	ald make the

initial, after the fire department clears the scene and some 1 of the smoke clears, they -- they make some of the initial 2 assessment. Because they're trained to better see things that 3 we -- much more extensively than we as crime scene analysts 4 were. And they would assist us as we tried to identify 5 6 relative, potential evidence at the scene. 7 Okay. So you spoke with the fire investigator? 8 Α Yes. 9 They were already on scene before you; correct? 0 Yes. I -- my -- I believe so. 10 Α 11 Did you --0 Now --12 Α 13 Sorry? Q Yeah, I believe so. 14 Α Did you also walk through the crime scene? 15 0 I did. And I took photographs. 16 Α 17 That was my next question. And you took photos. 18 I'm just -- real quick, I would like you to look at -sorry -- Exhibits 289 through 302, just real quickly. And 19 20 tell me, are those depictions of the interior of Leona Case's 21 apartment on March 7th, when you arrived? Yes, these are consistent -- they correctly and 22 Α 23 accurate -- accurately represent the scene as it was when I 24 photographed it.

1	Q Okay. And just like, briefly, overall, what was the
2	state of the scene?
3	A This was a I don't know what scale I would even
4	be using, but it's a very significant, complete, completely
5	destroyed area in the scene. And all the everything is
6	burned. Burned. And I won't go any father than that 'cause
7	I'm not trained to arson
8	Q Okay. I'm gonna show you State's Exhibit 302.
9	Oopsy. Let's go back to what are we looking at?
10	A It's hard for me to see from here.
11	THE COURT: There's a is the monitor on in front
12	of you, sir?
13	THE WITNESS: I wasn't smart enough to look that
14	way. And (indiscernible) yep. It's
15	BY MS. LUZAICH:
16	Q Is this the interior of her apartment?
17	A This is this is appears to be the interior of
18	the scene. I can see because I can also see the interior
19	of the electrical box, well, from one wall. But it is part of
20	the scene that I documented, significant damage to walls and
21	all the contents that are beyond that doorway.
22	Q Okay. And would you agree that pretty much
23	everything in here is burned almost beyond recognition, except
24	for maybe a big gulp cup and a trash can?

- A I -- I would use the word "burned to a crisp."
  - Q Fair enough. State's exhibit --
- A I will add those photographs are still better than this but --
  - Q Oh, 30 -- oops. 289?

- A This is more of the scene. I'm not -- it's not quite clear. There's couple of pictures where I can actually see the frame of the bed, the springs. And I -- it -- without looking (indiscernible) picture, I'm not certain. But it's same type of significant damage. There's nothing that's untouched by the fire in this particular scene. And it's burnt very well.
  - Q Okay. So that used to be a room.
  - A Oh, yes. It's the interior of the room.
  - O And State's 2 -- Exhibit 290.
- A Another interior of the room. Same type of significant fire damage.
- Q Can you kind of see -- oops. I went the wrong way.

  Can you see a bed frame and bedding?
  - A Yeah, I can -- yes. I can see -- looks like the spring -- springs from the mattress, something like that, or consistent with that. And I wouldn't have known -- there at the scene, I obviously would have known that it does -- it is consistent with portion of bedding that's been significantly

1	burned.
2	Q Okay. So does that appear that that used to be the
3	bedroom?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Or a bedroom.
6	A Yes, a bedroom.
7	Q Exhibit 291.
8	A This is another photograph that depicts an interior
9	room with significant damage. I believe what I see on the
LO	right-hand side of the picture is the edge of the springs on a
L1	bed, what used to be a bed. Everything is, again, same type
L2	of significant damage, destroyed.
L3	Q State's Exhibit 293. And I hate to say, I can't
L4	tell if I'm upside down or right side up or if it should be
L5	sideways. I don't know if you can tell.
L6	A I don't recall either. Some of the photographs I
L7	took
L8	Q There.
L9	A were from the exterior portion. But and I
20	can't
21	Q Does this look like it used to be a closet?
22	A Well, I look apparently, that's con that would
23	be consistent. It has a what looks like it used to be a
2.4	shelf cord toward the top portion of that. There's also

some sort of metal framing nearby. 1 And then like a bar that could you hang clothing on? 2 Your better eyes than me. But that's consistent --3 Α that -- I can see, actually, the hooks for what would --4 5 appears to be a bar for hanging clothes. Now I can see it much better. 6 7 Sorry? Now I can see it much better. It's got the supports 8 Α 9 holding what appears to be a bar that you would use typically 10 to hang clothes with a hanger. 11 (Indiscernible) Exhibit 294. 12 Α This is, again, a bedroom scene. I can see things 13 that are overlapped from the previous photograph that shows 14 the rack on the bottom. Some of that metal framing-type And I think I mentioned bed springs. 15 Maybe clothing that used to be on the floor, or on 16 17 what used to be the floor to the left? 18 Α Oh, on the bottom of the closet area --19  $\bigcirc$ Yes. 20 -- that appears to be burned clothing, yes. Α State's Exhibit 295. That appear --21 0 22 Α Significant damage ---- used to be a dresser? 23 0 -- it appears to be a bathroom, or a portion of a 24 Α

bathtub with a rod of some sort laying on that. There's a --1 potential -- a piece of material, potentially, since we're 2 speaking of bedrooms, potentially a sheet or something --3 something similar to that. 4 On the bottom corner? 5 In the bottom left, yes. 6 Α 7 State's Exhibit 297, can you tell? Uh, I can't -- this, again, I know it is among the 8 9 photographs that I took. I can't identify this particular location. 10 11 It's (indiscernible) you can't tell where it is? It looks like a -- maybe, possibly, a can on top of 12 some object -- oh, that's -- that's -- if you can push it up a 13 little as well? Unless that's the extent of it. 14 And how about State's Exhibit 220 -- oops -- 299. 15 16 That, as appears and is consistent with a -- a 17 portion of a kitchen. It was -- looks like a sink, faucet. 18 So it was a sink. The thing on -- item on the left, kind of 19 the center -- of the left of the center portion, appears to be 20 consistent with a refrigerator with two portions in it, two 21 doors. There's a -- I don't know the type of cabinetry or object 22 23 on the left. Appears to be what I might drink out of, use as

a coffee cup on the left side and some sort of container

24

1	nearby. And then on the right side by the on just the
2	right of the center, towards the bottom, there's a red object
3	on what appears to be the remains of the sink. I don't know
4	what that object is. There's also some items in the cupboard
5	above what I say appears to be the kitchen sink.
6	Q State's Exhibit 300.
7	A This, again, is another photograph of an interior
8	room. And to it it's burned to the point where whether
9	it was burned or done by the action of the the respond fire
10	and other personnel, you can see the the framing or
11	joints I don't know what the proper term the studs in
12	the wall.
13	Q Went all the way through to the insulation, huh?
14	A Either that I do know that in in fire fighting
15	and arson investigation
16	MR. GILL: Your Honor, I'm gonna object as to that
16 17	MR. GILL: Your Honor, I'm gonna object as to that question.
17	question.
17 18	question.  THE WITNESS: I I
17 18 19	question.  THE WITNESS: I I  THE COURT: I'm sorry
17 18 19 20	question.  THE WITNESS: I I  THE COURT: I'm sorry  MR. GILL: Calls for speculation and outside of
17 18 19 20 21	question.  THE WITNESS: I I  THE COURT: I'm sorry  MR. GILL: Calls for speculation and outside of  his

1	Ms. Luzaich, your response in regards to that?
2	MS. LUZAICH: How does it call for speculation? You
3	can see the pink stuff.
4	THE COURT: So you well, when you were present,
5	you observed
6	THE WITNESS: I observed this when I was present.
7	Yes. Yes, Your Honor. And I didn't observe it being done,
8	but I've been in many scenes, more like hundreds
9	THE COURT: Okay.
LO	THE WITNESS: hundreds of scenes, but dozens
L1	where I've actually been in there where and participated
L2	with the arson investigators as they was or as they were
L3	looking for source of the fire. And this is consistent with
L4	that.
L5	THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. His previous answer
L6	will stand.
L7	MR. GILL: Thank you, Your Honor.
L8	THE COURT: Yeah.
L9	BY MS. LUZAICH:
20	Q And then, finally, State's Exhibit 30 oops
21	301. Can you tell what we're looking at?
22	A Yeah. Without knowing and without knowing the
23	size, it may be appears it would be consistent with
24	well, I can't tell.

1	Q	Okay.
2	А	I can see the inner side inner portion of that
3	that wall	, but I I'd be speculating if I said anything else
4	about tha	t one.
5	Q	Okay. Is it still the interior of this apartment?
6	А	It it appears to be, and it shows the black, and
7	the black	walls as well.
8	Q	Okay.
9	А	That are consistent with the other photographs.
10	Q	And is it similar, like you said earlier, burnt to a
11	crisp?	
12	А	It's such a dark picture, it's it appears to be.
13	Q	Okay. Thank you.
14	Once	you were finished photographing the residence, did
15	you colle	ct some evidence or did you walk around and try to
16	find some	there?
17	A	Was the arson investigator and I and this is
18	the absol	ute routine that we do and I also have documented,
19	that arso	n investigator pointed out a green a lighter that
20	had a gre	en plastic body to it, like a cylinder, like a little
21	BIC light	er. And I recovered that. I
22	Q	Showing you
23	A	recovered that from the scene.
24	Q	State's Exhibit 303.

1	A That was outside. Yes, and I should have mentioned
2	I, earlier, recovered a a an electrical wire from inside
3	the bedroom, near the bed, that I recovered and packaged as
4	evidence.
5	Q And when you say "electrical wire," what wind of
6	electrical wire?
7	A It's the type of two strand electrical wire that you
8	would see plugged into into any wall typical wall
9	socket. It's got two prongs. But the wire has been cut on
10	one end. The opposite apparently cut. On the opposite
11	side of the end, it's a male plug and it is bent. One one
12	of the two protrusions of the metal is bent towards the
13	inside.
14	Q Showing you State's Exhibit sorry 307. Is
15	that what you're talking about?
16	A Yes, that's it.
17	Q And then did you take numerous, I mean, photos of
18	it, up close and even closer?
19	A I took several photographs. And one of 'em is
20	there's at least one that's up closer.
21	Q Did you also take a photo you mention that the
22	end was cut?
23	A Yes. There
24	Q State's Exhibit 312. Is that what you're talking

1	about?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And then you're talking about the two 313.
4	A That's and that also is one that has my P number
5	and initials as well. And that documents the condition of the
6	cord, including what I what I what apparently was the
7	end that had been cut off.
8	Q Okay. And I probably should have shown you,
9	first do you always take or do you generally take an
10	overview of outside, like the building where you're going to?
11	A This particular view was taken to help identify the
12	location of of that particular unit.
13	Q Okay. So she was in building 2
14	A This indicates it's building 2 and then her
15	apartment, which I believe was 51, is indicated in in one
16	of the sequences of numbers on this photograph.
17	Q Okay. And then State's Exhibit 305. What is that?
18	A This this appears to be stairs stairs. And
19	quite frankly, I don't have an independent recollection of the
20	significance of this, other than demonstrating the fire
21	extent of the fire.
22	Q And the front like I said, does that appear to be
23	the front door?
24	A It's consistent with that, particularly when in

1	conjunction with the stairs, yes.
2	Q I should say, let me does it appear to be
3	exterior
4	A Yes.
5	Q as opposed to interior?
6	And then, finally, State's Exhibit 304, what are we
7	looking at?
8	A I took several pictures from the outside. And that
9	appears to be one of the ones from outside on facing the
LO	the unit that was burned.
L1	Q Demonstrating the extent of the burning.
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q Did you collect anything else besides the wire and
L4	the lighter?
L5	A With the assistance of the nurse at the hospital, I
L6	collected her I collected the victim's shirt and underwear.
L7	It was a black T-shirt with a logo that had something to do
L8	with a a fight with Tyson.
L9	Q Showing you State's Exhibit 317. Is that the
20	clothing that you collected?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And you said with the assistance of the nurse?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Thank you.

1	A Now, I I did photography on this later at the
2	lab. But I collected but the nurse actually recovers those
3	items from the victim and then hands 'em to me, basically.
4	And then I document and preserve.
5	Q Okay. And when you say the nurse, were you dealing,
6	at this point, with the sexual assault nurse?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that would be at the time, Marianne Adams
9	(phonetic)?
LO	A I may I refer to my notes?
L1	Q Sure.
L2	A This is from Cathy Oldber (phonetic). It says RN.
L3	Q Oh, okay.
L4	A In in many cases it's some of the nurses were
L5	trained highly trained to do the SANE nurse work. SANE
L6	where the SANE nurses were more of a little bit unique.
L7	We didn't always have one. I can't testify whether or not
L8	this person is actually a SANE nurse, but she's the one that
L9	would have assisted the victim and me.
20	Q Let me ask it a different way. When you saw
21	Leona Case in the hospital and you took the photographs and
22	you retrieved the clothing, where in the hospital was she?
23	A UMC trauma.
24	Q So she was in the trauma area as opposed to the

sexual assault area? 1 2 Α Yes. MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. I have no further 3 questions. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Goodwin? 5 MR. GOODWIN: Just quickly, Your Honor. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOODWIN: 8 9 0 Sir. Afternoon. 10 Α 11 So in your work, you would agree that what you do is document; correct? 12 Yeah, that's one of the things that we do. Yes. 13 Α A -- a lot of other things, but that's a good --14 MR. GILL: Yeah, I'm having trouble hearing him, 15 16 Your Honor. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes --18 THE COURT: Just a little bit louder, sir. THE WITNESS: -- doc -- documentation is one of 19 20 the -- one of the main things that we do. Yes. 21 BY MR. GOODWIN: 22 Sure. And would you agree that, in these two scenes 0 you went to, you were just documenting; correct? 23 24 Α Searching, documenting, recovering --

1	Q And
2	A observing.
3	Q And let's talk about the searching and recovering.
4	So somebody was on scene let's first talk about the
5	location where the fire occurred. The investigator was there?
6	A I don't have any independent recollection or
7	documentation about that.
8	Q You did speak about him in your prior testimony,
9	though; right? The arson investigator, I believe, or the fire
10	investigator.
11	A The arson investigator. Oh, when you say
12	"investigator," to me, I'm generally thinking of the police
13	investigator, the the detective on the case. But, yes,
14	there was a
15	Q Apologize for that.
16	A I did work with an arson investigator.
17	Q Okay. And he was pointing these things out to you;
18	correct?
19	A I don't know exactly how that happens. But we
20	generally, when that happens, we look together. We're a team.
21	We become a team.
22	Q Sure. And so as it pertains I believe you
23	referenced, specifically, the lighter. He pointed that one
24	out to you; correct?

Τ.	A finat that s my fet that s my fetoffettion
2	based on the notes that I have, yes.
3	Q Okay. And he was the one that walked the scene with
4	you and was looking for certain things; right?
5	A He would have been one person, yes.
6	Q Okay. So in terms of that, you're not really
7	investigating that incident, you're just looking; correct?
8	A Well, it's kind of overlap. I'm still
9	investigating. I don't know what your term of investigating
LO	is, but and that's why, in many places we call ourselves
L1	"crime scene investigators." So it's there's a lot of
L2	overlap. I don't want to get mixed-up with words either. But
L3	we're looking, investigating, collecting, recovering,
L4	identifying, those all those sorts of thing.
L5	Q Sure. What I mean is this: Based on your training
L6	and and those situations, if you were placed into seven
L7	rooms and fires had occurred in each one, or in this instance,
L8	some kind of crime had occurred in each one, or maybe no crime
L9	occurred in each one, and you went in to go in, it's not
20	really your job to determine what crime was [sic] occurred.
21	Your job is to look for that evidence; correct?
22	A Well, it's not our overall job to determine the
23	specific crime occurred. But with our education, training,
24	experience, we actually work hand-in-hand with even the police

1	investigators to help determine what crimes may or may not
2	have happened. We're not just a bump on the wall just
3	documenting things. That's not that's not the way it
4	operates in this valley.
5	Q Right. And okay. Going to Ms. Case. When you
6	were there documenting those pictures, there was a nurse
7	there; right?
8	A At the scene?
9	Q No, at the hospital. When you were documenting
LO	Ms. Case's injuries.
L1	A Yes.
L2	Q And I'm sorry. We're at the hospital now. So
L3	A Okay.
L4	Q When you're at the hospital, there was a nurse
L5	there; right?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q And she was the one who examined or the doctor
L8	examined Ms. Case and they did the whole
L9	look-through-everything with her; correct?
20	A Well, I can't testify to what they did. All I know
21	is that I recovered those items from those two items from
22	that particular nurse. And it's consistent that she would
23	would or may have been the one that whose fingernails
24	appear in those photographs.

1	Q Sure.
2	A But
3	Q What I
4	A Again again, it's a team effort. So people may
5	come and go. But she is the person that I received the
6	evidence directly from.
7	Q Sure. And all I mean by this is that they were
8	saying "here are the injuries" and you were taking pictures of
9	all of them; correct?
10	A Well, again, not not to understate what we do or
11	overstate, we've seen a lot of injuries. And we we can
12	look at a lot of things that we believe are injuries. But
13	until a doctor or someone in medical profession says so,
14	then it's it's an injury or apparent injury.
15	Q And that was just the point that I was making.
16	A Yeah.
17	Q Okay.
18	MR. GOODWIN: No further questions.
19	THE COURT: Redirect?
20	MS. LUZAICH: No, Judge.
21	THE COURT: Anything for this witness?
22	Sir, thank you so much for your testimony. Please don't
23	share it with anyone else involved in the case, as it is
24	ongoing still.

1 THE WITNESS: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused. 2 Is that it before lunch, State? 3 MS. KOLLINS: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 All right, guys. We are going to take our lunch. We'll 6 7 be back at 1:30. Please, during this recess, remember not to discuss or 8 9 communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way 10 regard the case or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail, 11 text, internet, or other means of communication or social 12 media. Please do not read, watch, or listen to any news, 13 media accounts, or comments about the case; do any research, 14 such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using 15 reference materials. Please do not make any investigation, test a theory of 16 17 the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way 18 attempt to learn or investigate the case on your own. And 19 please do not form or express any opinion regarding the case 20 until it's formally submitted to you. 2.1 We'll see you at 1:30. THE MARSHAL: All rise. 22 23 [RECESS AT 12:26 P.M.; PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 24 1:43 P.M.1

[OUTSIDE	THE	PRESENCE	OF	THE	JURY1

THE COURT: -- record State of Nevada versus

Justin Porter, C174954. Supplement to motion to use recorded testimony is the motion that we are hearing.

Like I said, we're outside the presence of the jury.

Mr. Porter is present, in custody. Mr. Gill and Mr. Goodwin

present on his behalf. Ms. Kollins, Ms. Luzaich present on

behalf of the State.

All right. This is the supplement to motion -- to the motion to use reported testimony. However, this is different to then previous individuals. So this is for fingerprint examiner Fred Boyd.

MS. LUZAICH: Oh, no. Not Fred Boyd. Sorry about that. I meant to take him out. Just Dave Welch and Joni Hall.

THE COURT: Just Dave Welch and Joni Hall. Okay. So, Ms. Luzaich.

MS. LUZAICH: As far as Dave Welch, he is in very poor health. He's been in the hospital for the last five weeks. His wife has no idea when she's [sic] coming home. My investigator spoke to her personally. So he is unable, physically, to testify.

THE COURT: And the motion states that Mrs. Welch, which is Dave Welsh's wife, informed the investigator that

1 he's actually been in the hospital for five weeks. 2 MS. LUZAICH: Yeah. Didn't I just say that? THE COURT: No, you just said he's been in poor -- I 3 thought -- I don't know. I thought you said he's been just in 4 5 poor health. I'm not sure. But just so I -- just so we're clear --6 7 MS. LUZAICH: And she doesn't know when he's getting 8 out. 9 THE COURT: Yeah. Five weeks. He's had two past back surgeries, a surgery for kidney stones, pneumonia, and 10 11 multiple U -- UTIs. So there's multiple issues there with him that prevents him from being available. Even though this is a 12 longer trial, she -- he's been there for five weeks. She 13 doesn't know when he's getting out. 14 Let's move to Joni Hall. 15 MR. GILL: Judge, just for the record, note my 16 17 objection, please. I understand his condition --18 THE COURT: Yeah. No, I'm gonna give you an op -- I 19 want you to be heard --20 MR. GILL: Okay. 21 THE COURT: -- in full. I'm just gonna do them first and then --22 23 MR. GILL: My apologies. 24 THE COURT: -- and then Mr. Gill.

Ms. Luzaich, in regards to Joni.

MS. LUZAICH: And Joni Hall, I mean, I waited this long to file it because we were still thinking that we were going to get her back. So my investigator did speak with her and they talked about the trial -- she's in Reno. Sorry. She's in Reno. She has been since this event. Her life has spiraled. She went to drugs.

She, in fact, has a felony conviction, which I understand would be admissible for credibility purposes. She was on probation for it. I believe she may have -- well, there's an issue between who you talk to, whether it was probation or parole, has she been to prison. Before she read her -- I would figure out so that they have the ability, you know, that comes in.

So my investigator spoke to her on the phone. She's in Reno. She had been living, at the time my investigator spoke with her, at a transitional kind of place. It was weekly. She was sobbing the whole time that my investigator, Cristen Carnell -- sorry -- spoke to her.

But she did agree that she would come down. And she wanted to know when she had to come and for how long. Told Cristen to tell her that I was gonna have her fly in Sunday, the day before Labor Day. We were gonna pretrial her on Monday, Labor Day, so she could testify Tuesday, first day of

trial.

So Cristen had e -- had texted her to get her e-mail address. She got the e-mail address and they sent texts and e-mails back and forth about "we're gonna set up travel." And then when Cristen finally sent her the e-mail that says "this is what we need to do for travel," there was no response.

So Cristen, again, called her, texted her. No response. Sent another e-mail. No response. And that was, I believe, on the 30th. And then Cristen went out of town. So investigator Jerome Rebels (phonetic) took over.

He went through all of the databases -- SCOP, NCIC, CLEAR, everything that the DA investigators have available to them -- to see if he could find a current address for Joni. He even went and found an old police report where she was a Defendant.

Her son was a victim. He tried to contact the son, Kyle, I think his last name is Richards. He was not able to find him. He did find four of her relatives that live here in Las Vegas. He spoke to the four relatives. Three of them have no contact with her whatsoever because of her change in lifestyle. The fourth one had had contact, but not in the last -- anywhere close in time to now and has no idea what her number is, what her e-mail address might be, or where she might be living, other than in the Reno area.

1 So he contacted DA investigators in Washoe County to get their assistance. They found -- between him and they, they 2 found two residences. One was a weekly and one was a home 3 that she was at least had some association to. 4 The investigators went to both of those locations. 5 was not there at the weekly. They didn't have her registered, 6 7 but they left their contact information, "if anybody sees or hear from her, please contact us." At the home, they left 8 9 their contact information. Again, nobody was home. They left 10 cards. And as of today -- that was on September 6th. And as 11 of today, they have had no response back. 12 So I believe that we have done all that we can to get her here and we have not been able to. If she were local, it 13 would be one thing. But she's out of state. So we did what 14 15 we could. And we would be asking to read her preliminary 16 hearing transcript. 17 THE COURT: Wait. Sorry. I thought she's in Reno, 18 no? 19 MS. LUZAICH: That's what I said. Reno. 20 THE COURT: Oh, well --MS. LUZAICH: I said if she --21 22 THE COURT: -- that's in the same state. 23 MS. LUZAICH: -- were here --THE COURT: But she's out the state, you said. 24

1 MS. LUZAICH: Oh, well, out of jurisdiction. Sorry 2 about that. THE COURT: Yeah, but --3 MS. LUZAICH: I mean, if she were here, Jerome would 4 drive all over town. But because she's in Reno, she's [sic] 5 6 not driving all over town. He had them helping us. 7 THE COURT: Adam? MR. GILL: Judge, I -- I'll deal with Mr. Welch 8 9 While I sympathize -- similar objection to the others. 10 I know this is an old case, Judge. But without -- I mean, 11 I -- I'll actually submit on Welch. I do want to talk more about Hall. I think the efforts 12 13 have been, you know, what they are. But there are questions I 14 want to ask her live, Judge. And -- and it's a somewhat short preliminary hearing transcript for her. And I appreciate 15 Ms. Luzaich's offer to kind of put her felony on the record. 16 17 I think there's something we can do there if Your Honor does 18 grant it. But I would like the opportunity, because she is 19 still with us -- the others, Your Honor, as you know, that you 20 granted were -- were deceased. 21 Right. THE COURT: 22 MR. GILL: So there's only --THE COURT: Not much we can do. 23 MR. GILL: -- so much we can do. 24

But as for Ms. Hall, with her being alive, there are questions I'd like to ask her regarding the incidents from 2000. So --

THE COURT: So in regards to -- I mean, in regards to Welch, here's the deal. He's been in, you know, in the hospital for five weeks with multiple, multiple issues. So let's say even -- I spoke to the parties last week. They think we may be -- even be able to close next week. So even if he does get out, there's no way we're gonna get him to be able to get in -- get on a plane and get here in that type of health.

So as far as Joni, though, I think that -- so if we were closer to the end of the trial, then I would be more inclined to grant it. But I think, at this point in time, I mean, I do think you can apply for -- because it's within the state, a material witness warrant. I do think that Reno will help.

MS. LUZAICH: Oh, they will. But I have to know where she is in order for them to serve it. And we have been unable --

THE COURT: No, you don't have to have -- I mean,

I've gotten tons of material witness warrants for people that

I didn't know where the hell they were because they were

hiding; right? So I get the material witness warrant. It

goes in the system. They get popped 'cause they get picked up

1 for jaywalking or DUI or something like that. And then they arrest her. 2 I'm not saying by the end of next week I'm not gonna 3 grant this. I'm just saying with --4 MS. LUZAICH: It's premature? 5 THE COURT: It's premature at this point in time. 6 7 That's all I'm saying. 8 MS. LUZAICH: Okay. 9 THE COURT: With a, you know, multiple week trial, right now, you still have a lot of time to do things that you 10 11 That's all I'm saying in regards to Joni. MS. LUZAICH: Okay. I understand that. I just 12 13 think that, based on her communications with my investigator and bringing this up, that she's gone back to drugs and she's 14 spiraled and she's not gonna be located. 15 THE COURT: But people who get wrapped back up on 16 17 drugs and spiral, sometimes they get arrested; right? Like --18 MS. LUZAICH: That's true. 19 THE COURT: -- I'm just saying, if this, for some reason, gets looked at later, the record is much cleaner if 20 21 the DA has exhausted all absolute --22 MS. LUZAICH: Okay. THE COURT: -- remedies up to that point. So on 23 24 Hill [sic] I think it's premature.

1	MS. LUZAICH: Hall.
2	THE COURT: So or Hall. At this point it's
3	denied. So
4	MS. LUZAICH: Can I orally request the warrant now
5	and then I will send the paperwork through when you sign it?
6	THE COURT: Yeah. No, I do think that I do think
7	you have enough to for the material witness warrant for
8	sure.
9	Okay. So what where does that leave us with today?
10	MS. KOLLINS: That leaves us with two witnesses for
11	today: Detective Castaneda and a read-in for David Welch.
12	THE COURT: You guys?
13	MR. GILL: Probably takes us to about 4:00 o'clock,
14	Your Honor. 'Cause it's already 2:00. And I had requested a
15	4:30.
16	THE COURT: I know. Every day next week we are
17	going to be completely full and you're going to have everyone
18	lined up or I'm gonna lose it. Lose it. Everybody, pack
19	them pack the entire day every day. We better get to 4:00.
20	'Cause they're gonna start getting pissed.
21	One of 'em got pissed the other day when I let them off
22	early. He was like (indicating) and shook his head and
23	whispered something. So I want to fill it up.
24	Other thing I need to talk to you guys about is, I cannot

```
1
     get Juror Number 5 to stay awake.
               MS. LUZAICH: Oh, the guy up there? Yeah.
 2
               THE COURT: I've done everything. I've had Chris go
 3
     up to him multiple, multiple, multiple times. I watch him.
 4
     He knows I'm watching him. Because I, like, lift my eyebrows
 5
     at him.
             I don't know what else to do at this point.
 6
 7
               MR. GILL: Do we want to stipulate that he's an
     alternate? I mean, is that a remedy that we can even --
 8
 9
               MS. LUZAICH: I would just say replace him.
10
               THE COURT: I -- I think he needs to go.
               MR. GILL: It's been -- I -- and --
11
12
               MS. LUZAICH:
                             Oh, blatant, yeah.
               MS. KOLLINS: You mean kick him out?
13
               THE COURT: And I -- yeah. I mean, release him.
14
     know that Chris, my marshal, went up to him this morning and
15
     is like, "You have got to stay awake."
16
17
          And he said something about Mrs. Porter, like -- I think
     what he -- like "she's ridiculous" or --
18
19
               THE MARSHAL: Or "she's killing me" or something --
20
               THE COURT: Yeah, that's what it was. "She's
21
    killing me." And then he just shook his head and kind of went
22
    back to sleep.
               MS. KOLLINS: So, today, when he first got here, I
23
24
     did notice --
```

1	MR. GOODWIN: I'm sorry. I'm not laughing
2	THE COURT: No. No. It's okay.
3	MR. GOODWIN: He's killing me and goes right back to
4	sleep in front of everyone. It's kind of impressive.
5	THE COURT: Yeah. So at this point, just because of
6	how many times my marshal has had to approach him and ask him
7	to stay awake, the fact that my marshal approached him this
8	morning, during a witness testimony you know, sometimes I
9	understand. Like, crime scene analysts, they they get dry;
10	right? And you fall asleep.
11	Ms. Porter was not a dry witness. She was it was not
12	a dry combination between her and Ms. Luzaich, and he just
13	flat out was like "she's killing me," shook his head and then
14	closed his eyes again.
15	So at this point in time I am releasing Juror 5, [JUROR
16	NO. 5].
17	So, Chris, when you pull them in, just ask him to step
18	outside. And if you could explain the situation on that, we
19	just he need
20	THE MARSHAL: You got it.
21	THE COURT: Yeah. Thank you.
22	THE MARSHAL: We lining them up, Judge?
23	THE COURT: Yes, please. And bring them in.
24	[DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD]

1	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
2	[IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY]
3	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, everybody.
4	Thank you. Please be seated.
5	We're on the record in State of Nevada versus
6	Justin Porter, C174954-1. Mr. Porter is present with Mr. Gill
7	as well as Mr. Goodwin. Both Chief Deputy District Attorneys,
8	Ms. Luzaich as well as Ms. Kollins, are present on behalf of
9	the State.
10	Ms. Kollins, what witness are we calling next?
11	MS. KOLLINS: The State would call retired Detective
12	Mike Castaneda.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	THE MARSHAL: If you could just step up there,
15	remain standing, and raise your right hand so the clerk can
16	swear you in.
17	MICHAEL CASTANEDA,
18	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly
19	<pre>sworn testified as follows:]</pre>
20	THE WITNESS: I do.
21	THE CLERK: Please be seated, stating your full
22	name, spelling your first and last name for the record.
23	THE WITNESS: Michael A. Castaneda,
24	C-A-S-T-A-N-E-D-A.

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. KO	LLINS:
3	Q	Good afternoon, sir. Can you tell us from what
4	professio	n you are retired?
5	А	I am retired detective with the Las Vegas
6	Metropoli	tan Police Department.
7	Q	And when did you retire?
8	А	September 2016.
9	Q	And how many years at Metro?
LO	А	Twenty-four years.
L1	Q	Did you retire as a detective?
L2	А	Yes, I did.
L3	Q	And what assignments as a detective did you cover
L4	while at	Metro?
L5	А	During my 18 years as a detective, I worked various
L6	things:	Burglaries, robberies, domestic violence, sex crimes,
L7	and child	internet crimes.
L8	Q	During the year 2000, what were you assigned to?
L9	А	I was assigned to the Sexual Assault Detail.
20	Q	Did you have a partner at that time?
21	А	Yes, my partner was Detective Debbie Love.
22	Q	Also retired from Metro?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. Tell us a little bit about how detectives

were assigned to calls back in 2000.

A Back in 2000, when we were notified of a call, depending on the location, either both of us would go to the crime scene or both of us would go to the specific hospital where the sexual assault exam was being conducted. It just depends on the time. Sometimes we would also split. One would go to the crime scene and one would go to the hospital.

- Q And back in 2000, did you become aware of a series of sexual assaults and robberies that were believed to be connected?
  - A Yes.

- Q And how is it that you became aware of that series, or connected series of events?
- A That was based on the time, the location. 'Cause if you looked at -- started looking at everything, it was roughly a two-mile radius, as far as location. The similarity of the suspects, similarity -- I shouldn't say suspects, suspect. Similarity as far as the method of operandi, the MO. His features, weapon being used, the type of attack that was being perpetrated against the victims.
  - Q When you say "type of attack," what do you mean?
- A So as far as the female victims, all of them were sexually assaulted. Sometimes they were instructed to perform fellatio on the suspect. One other similarity, as far as with

1	the sexual assault, he was using makeshift condoms during
2	these attacks. Anything that he could find within the house.
3	Q And were some of the people being restrained?
4	A Yes. There you know, at one point after the
5	attack, there would be restrained, usually with an electrical
6	cord of some type, whether it be a power cord from a lamp,
7	phone cord, handkerchief, scarf, something.
8	Q Was there any suspicion that the person was arriving
9	on foot?
10	A Yes. And two of the incidents that I remember, the
11	victim's vehicle was taken and then later recovered.
12	Q And then another one was a baby carriage used to
13	transport out of the residence?
14	A Yes. And the last sexual assault, in that victim,
15	her name was Joni Hall. And in that victim, a TV was taken, a
16	portable CD player, Lennox CD player and then the stroller.
17	And then, later, that portable CD player was turned over to us
18	by an acquaintance of the Defendant who we later learned was
19	Justin Porter.
20	Q Okay.
21	MR. GILL: Your Honor, can we approach?
22	THE COURT: Sure.
23	[BENCH CONFERENCE BEGIN]
24	MS. KOLLINS: I know, nonresponsive.

```
1
               MR. GILL: What's that?
               MS. KOLLINS: Go ahead.
 2
                         No. No. No. No. If -- if we're
 3
               MR. GILL:
     kind of delaying the ruling on Joni Hall, are we just talking
 4
     about what he --
 5
 6
               MS. KOLLINS: We already had the crime scene.
 7
               MR. GILL: Fair.
               MS. KOLLINS: We already had --
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Yeah, I think -- I don't think she's
     gone into anything here that would be hearsay that would
10
11
     violate that as of yet.
12
               MR. GILL: Okay.
13
               THE COURT: I mean, I agree you can't, you know, get
     into Joni, the entire --
14
15
               MR. GILL: No, I got it.
               THE COURT: -- Joni. But, no, I think, right now,
16
17
     we're good.
18
               MR. GILL: Okay.
               MS. KOLLINS: Yeah, I wasn't --
19
20
               MR. GILL: I know.
21
               MS. KOLLINS: -- intending to --
               MR. GILL: I know.
22
               MS. KOLLINS: -- have him --
23
24
               MR. GILL: No, I just wanted to be careful.
```

1	MS. KOLLINS: do that.
2	MR. GILL: That's all. Thank you.
3	MS. KOLLINS: His answer (indiscernible).
4	[BENCH CONFERENCE END]
5	BY MS. KOLLINS:
6	Q So this group of sexual assaults, did was there
7	some opinion within Metro detectives, that being sex assault
8	detectives and robbery detectives, whether there was an
9	associated grouping of robberies as well?
10	A Yes, once we started looking at that location,
11	again, the time of day, these incidents were occurring I
12	think the earliest was at 8:00 in the evening. And the latest
13	that I remember was around 3:45 in the morning.
14	Q So suspect description, MO, those types of things?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And you did say that, usually, the females
17	were sexually assaulted, but that wasn't necessarily the case
18	in the robbery
19	A Correct.
20	Q series; correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. So were some briefings given in Downtown Area
23	Command to kind of notify the troops of what was going on in
24	that area?

A Yes. So, basically, as far as for the Downtown Area Command, any time an incident like this was occurring, the command, they would be notified or they should be made aware as far as what's occurring within the area. They would tell every day for their briefings, the patrol officers, say this is a major incident that happened.

And then when we started having suspect descriptions, similarities, begin, they were told to be aware of the suspect, this person fitting this description.

Q Okay. So once sex assault and robbery kind of come to -- or get this suspicion that there's some commonality in the series, is a task force set up?

A Yes, so detectives from the Robbery Detail and the Sexual Assault Detail, we start -- we started the task force and started looking at the similarities, again, with the description, and then also looking as far as items that may have been taken from the victims in these. So if there was ever a point when somebody was identified, we'd have items to look for in a search warrant.

Q So is it fair to say that part of the goal of that task force was to make contact with individuals that fit that description, were in that area during the relevant time frame, either the early summer of 2000?

A Yes. So at that time, my partner, Detective Love,

1	along with other members, along with what they call a Problem
2	Solving Unit from DTAC, were out saturating the area. Again,
3	during that time that we I just mentioned earlier, from
4	8:00 to 4:00, roughly. And looking for people that may match
5	that description. And identifying them and seeing if they
6	would submit to what's called a buccal swab or DNA, since we
7	did have DNA from some of our incidents.
8	Q So you said 8:00 to 4:00. Are you referring to
9	8:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m.?
LO	A Correct.
L1	Q And when that task force started, were you in town
L2	to work with your partner, Debbie Love, or were you somewhere
L3	else?
L4	A No, I was at a class in Quantico, Virginia, the FBI
L5	academy. And then after that class, I was on vacation for two
L6	weeks.
L7	Q Now, that task force was kind of an ongoing thing
L8	for several days, commencing June 13th of 2000; correct?
L9	A Correct.
20	Q And just to just kind of describe that a little bit
21	more, any contact that was had was somebody that fit the
22	description of of the perpetrator of this series, that
23	contact was voluntary, and any evidence you received from them

24

was consensual; correct?

1	A Correct. And that's why, had the person who they
2	ever made contact acknowledge that with a consent to search
3	card. It was them giving us permission to obtain that. If
4	somebody refused to provide that consent, then we just walk
5	away. There was no reason to try to obtain a search warrant.
6	Q So fair to say those efforts were exclusionary as
7	well as inclusive? In other words, you were excluding people
8	and attempting to find a suspect.
9	A Correct. So any DNA that would have been obtained
10	would have been compared with the DNA that we had from the
11	scenes and then, obviously, if it was excluded, it just, you
12	know we wouldn't pursue that person, you know, any further.
13	Q Now, did there come a time just before that task
14	force was initiated that detectives learned there was a DNA
15	match between a couple of the (indiscernible)?
16	A Yes. And so Detective Love, since it was her
17	case or several of the cases were hers, she was the lead
18	detective on this. And she did become aware that DNA from
19	Teresa Tyler's event and Ramona Leva's event matched.
20	Q Okay. And, again, to no known suspect; correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Did you learn that on June 13th well, let me ask
23	it this way: Did you review, like, the people that the task
24	force made contact with? Like, a list of names like, oh,

1	(indiscernible) contacted this person, this person, this
2	person, got DNA. Did you ever review that?
3	A No.
4	Q Okay. Did was there a time that you became aware
5	that Justin Porter was contacted by Debbie Love?
6	A Yes, when I returned from vacation, she had
7	mentioned that she made contact with with an individual on
8	June 13th.
9	Q Okay. And did she and you did you learn that
10	she got a buccal swab of that individual?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Now, when after that buccal swab of Justin Porter
13	was obtained, just to be clear, that task force continued on
14	making consensual contacts with individuals; correct?
15	A Right.
16	Q Okay. And was there a particular piece of
17	information that came to you that caused the case to kind of
18	start to move forward very quickly?
19	A Yes. Well, on July I believe it was 11th or so,
20	Debbie Love gets notification that the DNA or the buccal swab
21	that had been entered that had been collected, I should put
22	it this way, is now available to be analyzed.
23	So what happened in the process, any evidence that was
24	collected goes to our evidence vault. And it gets inputted

1	into a database or to the system. And then once it's		
2	available to be examined, then she would receive that		
3	notification, as was in this case.		
4	So she put a request in to have that DNA, along with any		
5	other buccal swab that may have been collected around that		
6	time, to be examined.		
7	Q And when you say "buccal swabs collected around that		
8	time," you're referring to buccal swabs collected during that		
9	task force; correct?		
10	A Correct.		
11	Q So as those swabs came in and became available for		
12	testing, profiles were requested from the lab.		
13	A Correct.		
14	Q So we didn't just do that for Justin Porter's, we		
15	didn't just pull his out and say, "Oh, we're gonna test this		
16	guy."		
17	A Correct.		
18	Q And so after that testing of Mr. Porter's buccal		
19	swab was done, did you receive some information that caused		
20	everything, again, to move forward rather quickly?		
21	A Yes, on August 10th we received Detective Love		
22	received a call from Dave Welch, from the crime lab, stating		
23	that he had a match from the D DNA from Justin Porter to		
24	the two crime scenes that DNA collected from Teresa Tyler and		

- Q So as her partner, once you both received this information, what's the very next thing that you do?
- A Start preparing for a search warrant. So I drove down to the residence that we were able to identify for Justin Porter, and I got a physical description so I could obtain a search warrant.
  - Q And --
- A And then I started authoring -- authoring a search warrant, collecting all the information from all the different cases that had the similar MOs and, obviously, with the two matching DNA profiles.
- Q And we're talking about the residence of 208 North 13th Street, apartment 3, Las Vegas 89101?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And this collection of information and drafting of the search warrant, is that a quick process or does that take a while?
- A No, that was a lengthy process. Again, reviewing every case that we had and then having the robbery detectives come over and provide the information for their case, or cases, I should say. So that was Detective Andersen that was helping with that.
  - So as I typed that out, I prepared all that. And then

1 getting a DA, District Attorney to review it and then trying to locate a judge to approve and sign the search warrant. 2 And so that probably takes the better part of a 3 business day; correct? 4 Α 5 Yes. Okay. And did you accomplish all those steps in 6 7 this case? 8 Δ Yes. Okay. And so once the judge signs off on a search 9 warrant, how -- how do you serve that search warrant? 10 11 Α Well, we have --Or how did you serve it in this case, I guess I 12 should ask? 13 So in this case we had couple detectives that were 14 Α over -- went over to that area because of a traffic stop that 15 16 had been conducted. And that traffic stop was on Justin Porter's mother, Angela, and stepdad, Mr. Prevost. 17 18 that traffic stop was because of a traffic violation. 19 wasn't like they were looking for those people. They just ran 20 a red light or whatever it may have been. 21 Patrol stopped 'em, identified 'em. Once they're identified, we, the detectives, went over there. I still 22 23 conducted a -- typed out the search warrant, finished that. 24 And they'd obtained -- Detective Jensen was one of the

detectives. He had obtained -- after explaining to them what was going on and that they believed Justin Porter, their son, was the possible suspect or the suspect in this investigation, he -- they gave him consent to search.

But we didn't search on that consent. We waited for the search warrant. Once I had the search warrant signed, I con -- I called Detective Jensen, told him the search warrant was signed. They began the search of the residence at that time and then I arrived later with the search warrant, the sealing order, and then helped with the search.

- Q And that search warrant, when it was served, was that served -- was anybody home when it was served?
- A Angela Porter would -- would have been home and -- and her husband, Mr. Prevost.
- Q So when you served the search warrant, was it matter of simply knocking on the door, showing them the search warrant, and entering or was it executed in any different fashion?
- A It was executed differently because, again, they were there. The parents had shown back up. And they spoke with Detective Jensen. He told 'em that they could enter the residence 'cause we're still waiting on the search warrant but not to remove anything. And I'm sure he would have had 'em leave the front door open, just so he could see what was going

1	on inside the residence while they, you know
2	MR. GILL: Your Honor, objection as to speculation
3	as so what
4	THE COURT: So
5	MS. KOLLINS: I
6	MR. GILL: as to what Detective Jensen did.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MS. KOLLINS:
9	Q The execution of this warrant, it did not involve
10	SWAT or breaking down doors or anything like that; right?
11	A No.
12	Q Okay. Have you reviewed any of the photographs from
13	the execution of the warrant?
14	A I briefly reviewed some of 'em.
15	Q Okay. I am going to show you I'm gonna show you
16	up there for a second, let you flip through 'em, State's
17	stipulated 337 through 358.
18	MS. KOLLINS: If I may approach the witness,
19	Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. GILL: And that's correct, Your Honor. I
22	reviewed these previously. No objection.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	[STATE'S EXHIBITS 337-358 ADMITTED.]

1	BY MS. KOLLINS:	
2	Q	You can just look at these to yourself and if you
3	can keep t	them in order and I'll come back and ask you some
4	questions	about it.
5	Look	familiar?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Thank you. So what's the date of the execution of
8	that warra	ant?
9	А	That would have been on the 11th of August.
10	Q	August 11th? Showing you admitted State's 338, do
11	you recogn	nize what's depicted there?
12	А	Yes, that's the apartment for Justin Porter and the
13	front door	r and the windows on both sides as described in the
14	search war	rrant.
15	Q	Okay. And State's Admitted 339?
16	A	Yes, that's showing the apartment number with the
17	208. And	it's a single-story apartment complex.
18	Q	Believe that's 340. Do you recognize that?
19	A	Yes, and that's the number that's on the apartment
20	for Justin	n Porter, number 3.
21	Q	And no damage or forced entry of the front door;
22	correct?	
23	A	No.
24	Q	And none was again, none was used to gain entry

1	in this r	esidence; right?
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	Okay. Had you been to that residence before that
4	day, befo	re you went and did the visual and got the
5	informati	on for the warrant?
6	А	No.
7	Q	Had your partner, Debbie Love, been there?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And what did she do there?
LO	А	That's when she went there and spoke with
L1	Angela Po	rter and Justin Porter and got the consent to search
L2	for the b	uccal swab.
L3	Q	Okay. Showing you State's Admitted 341, what's
L4	depicted	there?
L5	А	Looks like it's a little closet. Can't really tell
L6	what's on	the ground there, but
L7	Q	Did you recover some items of significance from that
L8	closet?	
L9	A	Yes, we recovered some red shorts that had the brand
20	name "BOS	S" on 'em. We had we recovered white tennis shoes
21	and a bla	ck T-shirt.
22	Q	And 342, just a different angle of that closet as
23	well as 3	43; correct?
24	А	Yes.

0	And then you said you obtained some red shorts, some
	pes and black I'm sorry. What was that?
	A black T-shirt.
	Showing you what's been admitted as State's 344, do
you see t	the T-shirt, shorts, and tennis shoes in that picture?
A	Yes, they're by the doorway. It looks like it's to
the bathroom.	
Q	Okay. Are those the red shorts?
А	Yes.
Q	Okay. They don't look very red up on the screen;
right?	
А	No. But but there's another picture.
Q	And white tennis shoes?
А	Yes.
Q	And then the black clothing behind that, is that the
black T-shirt?	
A	Yes.
Q	Did you find anything of significance in the
bathroom?	
A	Not that I remember.
Q	Okay. Did you find a jacket, a BOSS jacket that you
found of	significance?
A	If we found a BOSS jacket, it would have been on the
	-
	A Q you see to A the bathr Q A Q right? A Q black T-s A Q bathroom? A Q

1	Q Okay. Showing you State's 346, do you see the
2	jacket?
3	A Doesn't look like that's looks like the red
4	shorts.
5	Q Okay. I apologize, detective. I have some
6	directional things on my notes confused. I'm gonna show you
7	348. That's a close-up of the red shorts; fair?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And what I showed you early what I show last
10	showed you in 346, that was incorrect. That was my mistake.
11	Sorry about that.
12	And a close-up, 350, of the white shoes.
13	A Yes.
14	Q And State's Admitted 351, the black T-shirt?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And there are 352, the BOSS shorts unfolded; fair
17	enough?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And why did you collect these particular items of
20	evidence?
21	A Those were described by some of the victims, as far
22	as footwear that was described by some of the victims or the
23	clothing that the suspect was wearing.
24	Q Is it your practice to document everything you

1	retrieve from a premises pursuant to a search warrant?
2	A Yes, that's required.
3	Q Okay. And what's that called?
4	A That's a search warrant return.
5	Q And showing you State's Admitted 353, what are we
6	looking at there?
7	A So that's the search warrant return. That's the
8	document that would have been left, in this case, with the
9	sealing order, describing what was taken from this location.
10	And it states the white tennis shoes, the red shorts, and then
11	the black shirt or yeah, black shirt.
12	Q Okay. And just for the initial entry into the
13	residence, do you recognize what's depicted in State's
14	Admitted 354?
15	A Yeah, that would have been walking into the living
16	room area.
17	Q And same in 355?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And 356?
20	A Yes, obviously you can see you can see the front
21	door there.
22	Q Okay. And what about 358?
23	A Yes, same.
24	Q And the condition of of this apartment, as I

1	showed you, did Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department leave
2	it as they found it, save and except those items they took
3	with 'em?
4	A Yes.
5	Q So nothing was destroyed? knocked around? turned
6	over? broken?
7	A No.
8	Q Did you also learn that on August 10th, by the time
9	this warrant was executed, that Justin Porter was no longer in
LO	Las Vegas?
L1	A Yes, we had learned that from Mr. Prevost and
L2	Angela Porter.
L3	Q Okay. And did you know where he went in Chicago,
L4	like who he was staying with?
L5	A Mr. Prevost had provided a phone number stating that
L6	he was with his natural father there in Chicago.
L7	Q At some point was someone provided a bus ticket that
L8	showed Justin Porter's travel?
L9	A Yes, but I don't remember who that was.
20	Q Okay. That wasn't you?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. And because you learned that Justin was in
23	Chicago, did you make some efforts to contact Chicago law
24	enforcement?

1	А	Yes. As I stated earlier, I attended a class in the
2	FBI acader	my of Virginia. And one of those attendees was a
3	detective	from Chicago. And so I reached out to him and
4	provided h	nim with information.
5	Q	Okay. Now, did you also, as part of your
6	investigat	tion, have contact with a Kris Delaney (phonetic)?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. And who was that in relation to
9	Justin Por	rter?
10	А	Kris or Kris De
11	Q	Christian
12	А	Or
13	Q	Go ahead. Sorry.
14	А	Kris Delaney was a friend of Justin Porter.
15	Q	And physically, as compared to Justin, do you recall
16	what he lo	poked like?
17	А	Not off the top of my head, no.
18	Q	Did you obtain a buccal swab of Christian Delaney?
19	A	Uh
20	Q	Or did someone at some point obtain a buccal swab of
21	Christian	Delaney?
22	A	Yes, that would have been my partner,
23	Detective	Love.
24	Q	Okay. Was he ever, Mr. Delaney, ever linked to any

of these incidents that we've talked about today that were 1 part of the series? 2 3 Α No. Okay. 4 Q MS. KOLLINS: I will pass the witness, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Gill? 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GILL: 8 9 Detective, I'm gonna follow up real quick with that last question. Ms. Kollins asked you if Kris Deloney had been 10 11 connected to any of these you [sic] incident -- incidents that you were investigating. Do you recall that guestion? 12 13 Α Correct. And when you said "no," did you mean DNA-wise or --14 or what did you mean exactly? 15 Yeah, DNA-wise. 16 Α 17 So the investigation didn't pull up any Kris Deloney 18 DNA; correct? 19 Α Correct. Or, like, hair samples --20 0 21 Α Correct. -- or fingerprints; is that all correct? 22 0 Yeah, I don't remember any fingerprints being 23 Α recovered at any of the scenes that I was aware of. 24

1	Q	And, again, of of Kris Deloney?
2	А	Right.
3	Q	And, detective, you were part of the task force;
4	right?	
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Or or did you help set it up? What was your
7	role?	
8	А	No, I was just another detective assigned to the
9	task forc	e.
LO	Q	And do you remember how many detectives were part of
L1	that task	force, roughly?
L2	А	Roughly, probably six to eight.
L3	Q	Okay. And and you are the detective who drafted
L4	the searc	h warrant.
L5	А	Correct.
L6	Q	And when I say "drafted," like you said, it takes a
L7	while. Y	ou got to put all these things in and talk to all
L8	these dif	ferent detectives; correct?
L9	A	Correct.
20	Q	And you drafted that up.
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	You were present correct? when the search was
23	conducted	?
24	А	Towards the end, yes.

1	Q	And and then so explain that a little bit to
2	the jury.	
3	А	Well, as as I stated earlier, since I was
4	authoring	the search warrant, I had go get it signed. Once
5	the searc	h warrant was signed, since we already had detectives
6	at the sc	ene for the execution of the search warrant, they
7	began the	search.
8	Q	So and and I just want to kind of go in with
9	that, the	workings of that. You you're drafting it, and
10	you said,	earlier, you're waiting for a signature; correct?
11	A	Right.
12	Q	Whose signature?
13	A	Well, it would be the judge's signature for
14	approving	the search warrant.
15	Q	Okay. So the search warrant goes to a judge for
16	approval 1	before you can do the search.
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	Or conduct the search?
19	А	Correct.
20	Q	And when that started, the the start of the
21	conducting	g of the search, you weren't present.
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	You came later.
24	А	Correct.

1 Q And you -- Ms. Kollins had shown you that property return. 2 3 Α Right. That's your handwriting; correct? O 4 Uh --Α 5 You want me to show it to you again? 6 0 7 Show it to me again. The --Α 8 Q Thank you. 9 MR. GILL: Court's indulgence. THE COURT: Yes. 10 11 MS. KOLLINS: It's in the stack. 12 MR. GILL: Is it on the bottom? Oh, got it. BY MR. GILL: 13 And I'm showing you 353, State's 353. You want me 14 Q to blow up that a little bit? 15 All right. So that is not my handwriting. 16 17 Detective Jensen's handwriting and Sergeant Lori Crickett's 18 handwriting. 19 Q Okay. And you can tell that how? 20 Α Based on the bottom -- the signature and the P 21 number. Okay. And --22 0 23 And, plus, that -- that's a lot neater than mine would be. 24

1	Q	The handwriting is neater?
2	A	Yeah.
3	Q	Okay. But you can see a "Barry" there.
4	A	Right.
5	Q	And then
6	A	The "L. Crickett" would be for Lori
7	Sergeant	Lori Crickett.
8	Q	Okay. But were you present when those items were
9	recovered	?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	So when when you testified earlier you actually
12	saw the s	horts in the apartment?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	The the black shirt?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And what was the other item?
17	A	The white
18	Q	The shoes?
19	A	White shoes.
20	Q	And there was only those three items recovered.
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	Now, you also testified that your partner at the
23	time, Det	ective Love and I'm skipping back a little bit
24	got a con	sent to search for a buccal swab.

1	A Correct.
2	Q And were you present for any of that?
3	A No, I was out of town.
4	Q Okay. And but you were made aware.
5	A Correct.
6	Q And did she mention "she" being Detective Love.
7	Did she mention anything out outstand outrageous or
8	anything like that about the obtaining of that buccal swab?
9	A No.
10	Q She did not mention it.
11	A (Witness shakes head)
12	Q And do you recall when in time that buccal was
13	taken, as it relates to the search of the apartment? Was it
14	same day? Day after that?
15	A Buccal swab would have been done on June 10th. And
16	the search warrant wasn't executed until August 11th.
17	Start began typing it up on August 10th.
18	Q Okay. And strike that.
19	So the buccal was done two months prior, approximately?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Give or take a few days.
22	Now, as far as other scenes, was the apartment search the
23	only scene that you responded to in this investigation?
24	A No, I went to other other crime scenes. I would

```
have went to Teresa Tyler's crime scene because it was --
 1
               And --
 2
          0
               -- Debbie Love was, again, assigned to that. So as
 3
          Α
     a partner --
 4
 5
          0
               And --
               -- and then --
          Α
 6
 7
               And you mention Hill [sic]?
          0
               Yeah. Joni Hill's [sic], yeah.
 8
          Α
 9
               Okay. Were those two?
          0
10
          Α
               Well, I went to another one. Leona Case.
11
               Okay.
          Q
               I went to that crime scene.
12
          Α
13
               So you were active pretty much throughout the
          Q
     investigation, as soon as it started.
14
          Α
15
               Yes.
               And I -- I believe Ms. Kollins and -- sorry if I'm
16
17
     asking a question she asked. As far as a physical description
18
     of Mr. Deloney, you could not give one?
19
          Α
               No, I can't -- that's 22 years ago.
20
               Understood. Understood.
          0
21
               MR. GILL: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.
22
               THE COURT: State?
23
               MS. KOLLINS: A couple questions, Your Honor.
     ///
24
```

## 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. KOLLINS: Detective -- former Detective Castaneda, was that 3 buccal swab taken on June 13th or June 10th? Because you said 4 5 June 10th just now. Yeah. I'd have to re -- remember -- refresh my 6 Α 7 memory. But it -- it was June 13th or June 10th. It was one of those two days. 8 9 Okay. Prior to the buccal swab being taken, was 10 there a match between the Leva and Tyler cases? 11 Α Yes, it was DNA -- there was a DNA match between 12 those two cases. 13 As to the date of the buccal swab, would it refresh 0 your recollection to look at your report? 14 15 Α Yes. Okay. 16 0 17 MS. KOLLINS: May I approach the witness, 18 Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: Yeah. BY MS. KOLLINS: 20 21 Can you just read that quietly to yourself and then 22 I'll ask you --23 Does that help you remember? Yes, it does. 24 Α

1	Q And what's the date of the buccal swab?
2	A June 13th.
3	Q Okay. And I I think Mr. Gill asked you, was
4	there anything unusual noted about the retrieval of that
5	buccal swab by Debbie Love?
6	A No, nothing unusual.
7	Q Okay.
8	MS. KOLLINS: No more questions, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Gill?
10	MR. GILL: Just very quickly, Your Honor.
11	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. GILL:
13	Q Detective, this you describe the area as, like, a
14	two-mile radius. Do you remember that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And that being the the victims' homes?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Did you patrol excuse me. You weren't patrolling
19	at the time. But investigating at the time, was that a high
20	crime area? Would you classify it as a high crime area?
21	A During my career, there are several areas I would
22	say were high crime areas, but that I'm not the chief of
23	police or whatever, so
24	Q Not not privy to those statistics.

1	A Right.
2	MR. GILL: Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.
3	Thank you.
4	MS. KOLLINS: Nothing else, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Anything based on that?
6	Anything for this witness?
7	Sir, thank you so much for coming in today. Please
8	don't please do not share your testimony with anyone else
9	involved in the case as it's ongoing. But we appreciate you
10	and you are excused.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor the State is going to read
13	in testimony of Forensic Scientist David Welch. That will be
14	conducted by Brandon Albright, who I have just notified to
15	come in the courtroom. I will get the Court their copy.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MS. KOLLINS: May I approach?
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	MS. KOLLINS: Yes, ma'am.
20	MR. ALBRIGHT: Your Honor, I apologize. I'm gonna
21	be reading. May I have a cup of water, though? My water
22	bottle ran out.
23	THE COURT: Yeah. Sure.
24	MR. ALBRIGHT: I don't have a water.

1	THE COURT: We have some water up here. We'll get
2	it for ya.
3	MS. KOLLINS: Mr. Albright, they're gonna swear you
4	in as yourself and then (indiscernible).
5	MR. ALBRIGHT: Okay.
6	BRANDON ALBRIGHT,
7	Was first duly sworn to read the answers in the transcript
8	to the best of his ability:
9	MR. ALBRIGHT: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Please be seated, stating your full
11	name, spelling your first and last name for the record.
12	MR. ALBRIGHT: My name is Brandon Albright,
13	B-R-A-N-D-O-N. Albright, A-L-B-R-I-G-H-T.
14	THE COURT: All right, guys. And for your notes, it
15	looks like Mr. Welch testified on November 29th of 2000. And
16	as with the others, he was sworn in just like Mr. Albright was
17	sworn in.
18	And the direct examination will be by Ms. Kollins, or
19	District Attorney Herndon at the time.
20	[TRANSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. KOLLINS:
23	Q Mr. Welch, could you please tell the Court what your
24	profession is?

1	A I'm employed as a criminalist with the Las Vegas
2	Metropolitan Police Department. I am currently assigned to
3	the DNA biology laboratory.
4	Q How long have you been employed with the
5	Metropolitan Police Department?
6	A Approximately 23 years.
7	Q And has that entire employment period been as a
8	criminalist?
9	A Yes, it has been.
10	Q And how long have you specifically been involved in
11	the DNA biology section?
12	A I've been working in the DNA section for
13	approximately four or five years.
14	Q Have you had occasion in the past, pursuant to your
15	employment and work and experience within the DNA biology
16	section of the Metropolitan Police Department to give
17	testimony as an expert witness in courts of law?
18	A Yes, I have.
19	Q Would that include the justice courts and district
20	courts of Clark County, Nevada?
21	A Yes.
22	MS. KOLLINS: Judge, previously in speaking with
23	Counsel, I think they agreed to stipulate to qualifications
24	for purposes of this hearing.

1 THE COURT: Is that correct? An expert in the area of DNA analysis? 2 MS. KOLLINS: Yes, Judge. 3 MR. GOODWIN: That's correct. THE COURT: Okay. I do believe that Mr. Welch is an 4 expert in that area. 5 6 Thank you. MS. KOLLINS: 7 Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MS. KOLLINS: 8 9 Mr. Welch, did you have occasion to become involved in doing some work in relation to a series of crimes here in 10 11 Las Vegas known as the Downtown Area Command series? 12 Yes, I did. Α 13 And could you tell us how it was that you first became involved in doing some work on those crimes. 14 Well, originally, requests are received from the 15 Α laboratory from various details from the Metropolitan Police 16 17 Department. Going back to approximately a year, we started 18 receiving some requests from our Sexual Assault Detail that 19 indicated that there was a series of sexual assaults that they 20 thought were tied into one another. And they began submitting 21 their sexual assault kits from a variety of victims. And at that time they didn't have any suspects. 22 23 Um, subsequently, they thought that perhaps more sexual assaults were being committed by the same suspect and they 24

slowly began developing some suspects. So over a period of time, over a period of months, several sexual assault kits were submitted to the laboratory and numerous buccal swab or -- or standards from suspects were submitted.

It's generally our policy that if a case is determined to be a series case, which this was, that one criminalist is assigned the case. And that one criminalist would analyze all the sexual assault kits and buccal swabs that they thought were associated with this case. So that's exactly what happened in this case.

Q Now, can you give us just a brief overview, in terms of DNA analysis, what is involved in doing an examination against items and against known people trying to establish a DNA link.

A Well, in the case of -- of sexual assault kits, basically the kits are received from -- kits are taken at the hospital. They are then submitted to our laboratory. We begin the examination of the kit. In the case of a sexual assault kit, the kit contains a variety of different pieces of physical evidence: Blood samples, vaginal swabs, saliva samples, whole blood from the victim.

So we begin by processing all the evidence within the kit. In a case of a sexual assault, some of the most important pieces of evidence are the vaginal swabs, if the

victim claimed vaginal penetration.

So we would then examine the vaginal swab, determine if there's any semen present. If there was any semen present, determine if any spermatozoa are present. If that's spermatozoa, which we get the profile, the DNA profile of the suspect from and then begin processing that swab by trying to separate out the vaginal swabs from the spermatozoa. And then profiling both the vaginal epithelial cells and the sperm.

Q How do you go about separating vaginal epithelial cells off the vaginal swab from the sperm cells or spermatozoas that may be on a vaginal swab?

A It's basically a chemical step -- what we call a chemical separation. In other words, it's not a physical separation, if you could imagine that. There isn't a little screen where larger female cells are separated from smaller spermatozoa. In fact, what we to is we treat those two cells individually, in different ways.

And the idea is that we know that the vaginal epithelial cells are, per se, weaker cells then the sperm cells. So we can treat those cells with chemicals in a certain way, break apart those cells and then collect that DNA which comes from the female. Once that DNA is collected, we process the -- that extract in a different way where we treat it with a different set of chemicals and then we break apart the sperm

cells and then collect that DNA. And that would be the profile of the suspect.

- Q Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier that, in this investigation, you had been submit -- submitted to you a variety of sexual assault kits involved in the series. And over the course of time, also a number of buccal swabs from suspects?
  - A Yes.

- Q What exactly is a buccal swab?
- A A buccal swab is a source of DNA that we use for a reference standard from the suspect. And a buccal swab is basically a cotton-type swab where one rubs the inside of your cheek, sort of roughly, and removes those cells. Those are called buccal cells. And those are a source of DNA, just as all other cells in our -- in your body are a source of DNA for a profile.
- Q So when -- so when you're submitted a buccal swab on a certain individual, what do you do to determine a -- a DNA profile of that individual?
- A Basically we do the same process. The beginning process is that we have this buccal swab from the suspect. We take that buccal swab. We extract the cells from the swab itself, digest them or break up those cells, collect the DNA, and then process that DNA. The end result being the profile

of the suspect.

- Q Okay. And once you have a DNA profile of the suspect from his buccal swab, you could then match it to a DNA profile developed off sperm cells located on the vaginal swab?
  - A That's correct.
- Q And what are we doing in making the DNA profile? What exactly is that? Could you explain that to us?
- A Well, a DNA profile basically -- a DNA -- what I would call DNA typing. What we're doing is we're target, um, sites on chromosomes that are -- vary from individual to individual. So the DNA's packaged within these chromosomes within the cells. And they are -- we know there are sections of this DNA that vary from individual to individual. So when we look at those sections and determine what DNA type, one particular sample of DNA is and compare it to another.
- Q How do you come up in terms of -- well, my understanding is that when you compare a DNA profile from a suspect to a DNA profile developed, let's say, off a -- from spermatozoa from a vaginal swab, you're also able to make determinations on how frequently that would occur within the human population.
  - A Yes.
  - Q And how do you arrive at those results?
  - A There's a statistical number associated with these

DNA types. Just like there's a statistical number associated with ABO types. In other words, we know that -- you talk about ABO, that -- let's just say 40 percent of the population are type A. We just know that from studies that have been over, you know, hundreds of years. And we know if we talk about a type B that that's approximately ten percent of the population.

Well, all these DNA types can be looked at in a certain way. We know that if you look at these variance at the different loci and -- or locations that we're looking at, there is a statistical number, a percentage of people that fall into one group. Another percentage of people fall into this group -- this other group. Other percentage of people fall into this group.

So from the knowledge of that, we can come up with the statistical number that gives a probability.

- Q Okay. As part of your work in this case, did you have occasion to examine a sexual assault kit collected under event number 0003252971 involved -- involving a victim named Ramona Leva?
  - A Yes, I did.

Q And did sexual assault kit include the normal things that you would see in a sexual assault, mainly vaginal swabs that were taken from Ms. Leva at the hospital?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And were you able to determine whether or not there
3	were spermatozoa located on the vaginal swab?
4	A Yes. Yes, I did.
5	Q The chart that's sitting up to your right there, do
6	you recognize what's depicted on that chart?
7	A Yes, that is a blowup of a DNA summary chart that
8	was produced by myself in the forensic laboratory.
9	Q Would referring to that chart during your testimony
10	be helpful to you in explaining the things you did in regard
11	to examining the sexual assault kit of Ramona Leva and later
12	on comparing it to a buccal swab sample collected from
13	Justin Porter?
14	A Yes, I think it would.
15	Q Could you explain, if you would, the examination of
16	the vaginal swab of Ramona Leva and how and how you reached
17	the results you reached that are depicted on that chart?
18	A Well, on the summary chart here is the results of
19	the vaginal swab of Ramona Leva. It's identified on the chart
20	as the sperm fraction. We also refer to that sometimes as the
21	E2 fraction. And underneath that was the original event
22	number that that particular sexual assault came into the
23	laboratory under.
	rabolatoly andel.

1	these series of blocks here, they are DNA STR results. Simply
2	speaking, these these refer to different sites on the
3	chromosome. For example, the first one is D3D, refers to a
4	chromosome, chromosome number 3; S1358 refers to a site on
5	that chromosome. That is sort of a more modern, common
6	terminology for identifying the chromosome site.
7	You can see that the majority have a "D" with a site
8	number. There are also some letters there, VWA and THO1.
9	Some of the older technology doesn't use this new terminology,
LO	but they refer to also a specific site on a specific
L1	chromosome.
L2	So THO1 is actually on chromosome number 11, and VWA is a
L3	chromosome number 2. So
L4	Q So with regard I'm sorry. With regard to that
L5	row that we're referring to, vaginal swab Ramona Leva sperm
L6	fraction D2, those 14 blocks to the right of that are all
L7	referring to specific sites on one chromosome.
L8	A Right. They are specific sites on different
L9	chromosomes.
20	Q But you can think of each one of those blocks as
21	being a type.
22	A Right. And instead of using different designations
23	like we do in the ABO system, where somebody would be type A,

B, AB, or O, in the DNA typing system it's just more

1	convenient and easier to understand if we use numbers.
2	So within the DNA typing system that we incorporate in
3	our laboratory, we just use a numbering system, okay? So if
4	you look at the first block under Ramona Leva, vaginal swab,
5	sperm fraction E2, under D3 you see that we would just call
6	her her DNA type in D3S1358 is A1516. That would be
7	similar to someone being ABO type and saying they're just
8	they're type A or type AB.
9	And if we go down the line, you can see that each
10	under each one of these DNA sites we have a type. So in VWA,
11	Ramona Leva's type would be 15, 16. That FGA site.
12	Q Now, I'm sorry. Is that Ramona Leva's type or the
13	sperm fraction?
14	A Oh, excuse me. I'm sorry. That is a sperm fraction
15	type. Excuse me.
16	Q Uh, Ramona Leva, we always do
17	[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
18	MS. KOLLINS: Oh, I'm sorry.
19	[TRANSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
20	BY MS. KOLLINS:
21	Q Okay.
22	A Ramona Leva. We always do standards from the victim
23	and use them as a reference standard to see if there's any

mixture in with the two fractions. So, again, I'm sorry I

1	misspoke.	But Ramona Leva
2	Q	That's okay. You can stand up if you need to refer
3	to anythi	ng.
4	А	Okay.
5	Q	But just for edification of the record, the top
6	column ac	ross here is labeled "The Bloodstain Card of
7	Ramona Le	va." So that would be the known DNA profile of
8	Ramona Leva?	
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	And then the second row across refers to the female
11	cells that were located on that vaginal swab.	
12	А	Right.
13	Q	And would we expect that to correlate as well to
14	Ramona Le	va's DNA profile?
15	А	Exactly.
16	Q	And then on the third row in the vaginal swab with
17	the sperm	fraction, that, we would expect to relate to the DNA
18	profile o	f the perpetrator of the sexual assault.
19	А	Exactly.
20	Q	Okay. Gotcha.
21	А	Okay. So, again, if we look at her standard, per
22	se, each	one of these refers to a type at the certain location
23	on a part	icular chromosome. So we can see, if we go across
24	here, she	would be called 15, 16 and 16, 18 and so on. As you

said, we would expect to find the same type on her vaginal swabs because every cell in your body should have the same DNA. And if you look at the second row of types here, you can see that they do match. 15, 16; 15, 16, 18, so on and so forth.

There's a site that we look at that's called a melungeon. And that determines sex. So in this case, a double X means a female. And we would expect her to be a female. In the case of the sperm fractions, we look down here. Before we had a suspect swab on this case, we just generated a profile of the suspect in this particular rape case.

We didn't have a suspect at the time and this was the profile that was generated. Of course, since it's a sperm fraction, it's a male. And this is the types of the suspect at that particular time.

Later on, I believe it was sometime in August, we received buccal swabs from a variety of suspects. One of them happened to be Mr. Porter, and I generated a profile on his buccal swab. And as you can see, the same types match up: 15, 16; 15, 16; 23, 26, right down the line. So in this case, it's a perfect profile match.

Q So Mr. Porter is a perfect profile match of the sperm cells that were found, the spermatozoa that was found on the vaginal swab taken from Ramona Leva?

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Um, were you able
3		THE COURT: Which Mr. Porter are we talking about?
4		MS. KOLLINS: Pardon?
5		THE COURT: Mr. Porter? Mr. Porter who?
6		MS. KOLLINS: Justin Porter.
7		THE COURT: Middle of the name first. Need
8	something	more than "Mr. Porter" I think is necessary.
9	BY MS. KOL	LINS:
10	Q	Did you understand the buccal swab came from an
11	individual	at least known to
12	A	Named Justin Porter.
13	Q	Justin Porter. Okay.
14	Now,	with regard to the DNA profile of Mr. Porter, in
15	comparing	it to the spermatozoa found on the vaginal swab of
16	Ramona Lev	a, were you able to prepare it in such a way to come
17	up with a	population estimate, if you will, as to matching him
18	either clo	sely or not closely?
19	A	Yes, we did. We did.
20	Q	And what conclusion did you reach in that regard?
21	A	Our analysis indicated that less than 1 in 600
22	billion pe	ople have this profile.
23	Q	Okay. And is there is DNA science, in your
24	opinion, t	o a point with the population genetics that you can

1	say that the identity of somebody is now presumed based upon
2	the number of the frequency?
3	A Yes, in our laboratory we have decided that the
4	number is 1 in 600 billion. If the profile exceed that
5	number, we would say it's an identity.
6	Q Okay. Would that be your opinion in regards to
7	Justin Porter?
8	A Yes, uh-huh.
9	MS. KOLLINS: Court's indulgence.
10	Judge, I don't have any more questions for Mr. Welch.
11	Thank you, Mr. Welch.
12	I'll pass the witness.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. GOODWIN:
16	Q Good day, sir.
17	A Hello.
18	Q I'm looking at the same chart that you were just
19	talking about, the DNA summary chart.
20	A Yes.
21	Q I note that the numbers all the way across
22	concerning the bloodstain card of Ramona Leva and the vaginal
23	swab of Ramona Leva are the same?
24	A Yes, they are.

1	Q Yeah, but I look at the vaginal swab, all of a
2	sudden some of the numbers that are generated are somewhat
3	different. Are you aware of that?
4	A Are you are you referring to these? These two
5	instances here?
6	Q Sir, if you don't mind
7	MR. GOODWIN: Judge, I'll approach
8	THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.
9	MR. GOODWIN: and I'll point out to him what I'm
LO	talking about.
11	Thank you, Judge.
L2	THE COURT: Okay.
L3	BY MR. GOODWIN:
L4	Q Mr. Welch, apparently the exhibit that you have in
L5	front of you there has "Justin Porter" on the bottom.
L6	A Yes, it does.
L7	Q And that wasn't the way that you generated the
L8	original report.
L9	A No, I'm looking at my original. I think they did
20	this for the convenience of the presentation.
21	Q Okay. Thank you.
22	A Oh
23	Q Let me let me just discuss with you a few areas
24	concerning the DNA and let me see whether or not you agree or

don't agree to some of these assertions. 1 2 Α Okay. Can we say that from the moment the biological 3 material is out of the body, it's in a foreign environment and 4 5 certain changes begin to take place? I would say that's correct. Α 6 7 And would that include saliva that's extracted by use of a buccal swab? 8 9 Well, certain biological changes start to occur. You're correct. In other words, the minute a biological 10 11 sample is removed from the body, it's exposed to an environment, where the example of the buccal swabs would be, 12 13 over a period of time it's going to start to dry out. So 14 that's different than it would be, you know, cells different than the cells that would be inside the mouth. 15 If the buccal swab was left over a long period of time, 16 things would start to degrade, bacteria would start to affect 17 18 it. 19 0 And, in fact, one of the changes that you just brought up is called degradation, isn't it? 20 21 Yes, and generally speaking, all of those things are referred to as degradation. Now, those changes do occur. 22 23 And that would be the breaking down the chromosomes? 0 Yes, it does. But in this case I would say it 24

1	doesn't affect the DNA type. In other words, if the if we
2	didn't examine that buccal swab for two years, given that it
3	was properly stored, we could expect to get the same DNA type
4	and results. If we stored that buccal swab for ten years and
5	it was properly stored, we would still get the same DNA type
6	and results.
7	Q So one of those factors leading to degradation is,
8	as I'm sure you're aware, time?
9	A Time. Correct.
10	Q But there are other ones, including temperature,
11	humidity, light, a lot of other factors?
12	A Of course. And, um, and a buccal swab is a very
13	pure type of example that we get. A lot of the problems with
14	degradation are, let's just say blood samples that are
15	deposited from the scene on in the desert and we don't find
16	them for two years. Then lots of things can happen to degrade
17	DNA.
18	Q Other than the temperature, the humidity, the light,
19	the time
20	A All those things.
21	Q all those things. But we're also looking at
22	chemical and biological contamination in some cases; is that
23	right?
24	A Sure.

1	Q Okay.
2	A You're correct.
3	Q And obviously you don't I mean, you weren't there
4	when the buccal swab was collected?
5	A No, I was not.
6	Q Okay. Now, these environmental changes that we're
7	talking about, they they're not going to change the DNA
8	type from one to another, are they?
9	A No, they will not. What what generally occurs,
LO	if there is degradation, is that, in typing results, some of
L1	the loci or locations that are that you target give you no
L2	results in DNA typing. So generally speaking, if a DNA is
L3	that degraded, where it starts to affect the DNA typing, what
L4	you'll see happen is in some of these blocks there will be no
L5	results.
L6	Q So you'll just have no results at all?
L7	A Or or sometimes you can get no results in all of
L8	them at all of the locations.
L9	Q Now, also, the crime scene samples like blood,
20	semen, et cetera these things provide a fertile environment
21	for the growth of certain bacterias and certain fungi; is that
22	correct?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And as these things grow, bacteria or fungi, they

1	excrete their own biochemicals that also degrade the DNA
2	sample?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Now, partially degraded DNA gives the possibility
5	that part of the pattern has been obscured in a way; is that
6	correct?
7	A Part of the pattern, um, is not recognized.
8	Q So when it's obscured in a way, it's just something
9	that you can't recognize. It will not be recognized by
10	A Basically, in the type of analysis we do in our
11	laboratory, if a loci certain loci or location is if the
12	sample is degraded, we would look at and we would just see no
13	results.
14	Q And if that's the case, then your result ends up
15	being inconclusive?
16	A Or no results.
17	Q Or no results. Okay.
18	Sir, do you use the PRC type testing or the RFLP type
19	testing?
20	A PCR.
21	Q Beg your pardon? PCR?
22	Now is PCR as accurate as the RFLP?
23	A PCR, now, I think is more accurate than the RFLP.
24	You're basically talking about two different technologies.

They are both, um, good technologies for DNA typing. RFLP is an older technology that is sort of finding its way out of the forensic community, although some laboratories still do RFL -- RFLP typing.

PCR is the newer technology that came on board several years ago. And because of certain aspects that the forensic laboratory looks at when they do typing, like quantity of sample. In other words, PCR is a much better system for a forensic laboratory as opposed to RFLP because you need much lesser sample. And a lot of times we're only talking about one drop of blood or a tenth of a drop of blood.

The other thing is it's -- it's less -- it's less time consuming. RFLP is a very labor-intensive, long process and you -- many labs that do RFLP also do radioactive tags, which is another problem.

So they're both good technologies. RFLP is the older technology. PCR is the new technology. There seems to be a crossing of the technologies now where RFLP is sort of on its way out. Most laboratories are picking up PCR.

And as far as the numbers go now, when we look at the type of results that we get, PCR is -- is equal to RFLP, if not exceeding it now.

Q Would you agree, obviously, this technology, DNA technology does keep it fancy?

1	A Oh, yes.	
2	Q Really, year by year; is that correct?	
3	A Yes, every couple years things that were	
4	state-of-the-art a few years ago are now obsolete.	
5	Q And how long do you think it's going to be before we	
6	start calling the PCR technology obsolete?	
7	A I don't know.	
8	Q Could it be in the next year? Couple years?	
9	A Several years, perhaps.	
10	Q Okay. Now, in Mr. Porter's case, the DNA typing	
11	consisted of comparing the evidence samples. Those were	
12	biological fluids with some reference. In this case it was	
13	his saliva samples; is that right?	
14	A His buccal swabs.	
15	Q And the buccal swab would be a technique where	
16	you're actually analyzing a saliva sample?	
17	A No, it's I think the saliva sample is a little	
18	bit different. I think of the saliva sample as taking a piece	
19	of paper and spitting on the paper and you have saliva there.	
20	Now, granted there are some cells in the saliva. But the	
21	buccal technique, you're getting more of the solid cells from	
22	the inside of the cheek and some of that saliva. So in the	
23	buccal swab technique you get more cells and that's what we're	
24	interested in.	

1	Q And regardless of whether it's one or the other, you	
2	would expect the same DNA profile out of either; right?	
3	A Yes, I would.	
4	Q Now, my understanding is that there's three possible	
5	conclusions. Let me go through each one individually and see	
6	if you agree.	
7	A Okay.	
8	Q The first conclusion that you might make in making	
9	those comparison is that the types are different and must have	
10	originated from a different source. That would be exclusion;	
11	is that right?	
12	A Correct.	
13	Q And the second possible conclusion is that it's not	
14	possible to be sure whether the samples have similar DNA	
15	types. That would lead to a inconclusive result?	
16	A Would you	
17	Q I'll repeat that for you.	
18	A Yeah. Repeat that. I'm not quite following what	
19	you're saying there.	
20	Q Sure. The second possible conclusion in comparing	
21	the biological fluid and then some reference, in this case the	
22	buccal swabs	
23	A Okay.	
24	Q the second possible conclusion is it's not	

possible to be sure whether the samples have similar DNA types and that would reach -- give us an inconclusive result?

A Well, I'm not sure if it would be inconclusive. I would say an example of what I think you're getting at is just say that I did -- um, I did a DNA -- DNA profile on this sperm fraction. And for whatever reason, degradation, I didn't get any typing results, or maybe I got one typing result.

So as an example, if we look at this, let's just say degradation had occurred to this swab for whatever reason. I tried typing it. I was successful, but perhaps all I got was two types. Maybe 15, 16 and 15, 16, but the rest of the blocks would -- would show NR, no results, or INC, inconclusive. Does -- does that give me some information?

Um, yes.

But, statistically, I would not say it came from this individual. Statistically, I would have to say there could be many, many other individuals that have those two types. We don't have enough information to conclusively identify somebody. So the final result would be -- if you ask me, "Do you think it's this particular person?"

I would say, "Well, it's inconclusive. I don't know."

All I can tell you is look at the statistics and you make up your own mind.

Q And then the third possible conclusion that you

1	might reach are that types are similar and could have
2	originated from the same person?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. Now, the word "match" apparently is used to
5	describe the genetic similarity between the evidence and the
6	reference sample?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And even the scientists use the word "match," it's
9	still possible even when scientists use the word "match,"
10	it's still possible that two samples may be different?
11	A Well, I think you'd have to look at the numbers and
12	make up your own mind regarding that. In other words, going
13	back to the example we were just talking about. If I only had
14	two types there and you would ask me, "Does this match the
15	vaginal swabs? The sperm fraction match the suspect?" Well,
16	those two types match, but it doesn't really indicate that it
17	is that person. You'd have to look at the statistical number.
18	So I think you can use "match" sort of however you want to use
19	it.
20	Q So right now, when we compare the evidence sample
21	with the reference sample, in any hypothetical case, the
22	samples can show a genetic similarity under three
23	circumstances. Let me just go over each one with you.

24

A Okay.

The first -- first circumstance would be that the 1 0 samples actually come from a common source. 2 Yes. Okay. I agree with that. 3 Secondly, there's a similarity but it's 4 5 coincidental. And I could expand upon that if you'd like me to. 6 7 Yeah, I'm not really sure where you're going with Α that. 8 9 Well, the evidence sample comes from someone other than the person who provided the reference sample. And I 10 11 quess the point is that two individuals may share the same genetic profile from the particular markers that were 12 13 examined, just those particular markers. Α Well, it's -- it's possible that if -- for example, 14 it's possible to find an individual that has, perhaps, like 15 Mr. Justin Porter, a 15, 16. As a matter of fact, if you look 16 17 up at Ramona Leva, she's also a 15, 16. 18 0 Right. 19 So we couldn't just look at that particular loci and include or exclude or included anybody. And it's possible, 20 21 you know, to have two or three of these different loci or types in common with other people. It's possible if you have 22 a close relative, like a brother, there could be more loci 23

24

where they share alleles.

1	Q And the third conclusion that we might reach is that
2	these similarities is an accident. And what I mean by that
3	is, that the evidence sample comes from someone other than the
4	reference donor, but that some collection or analytical or
5	clerical error has occurred. Does that make some sense?
6	A Well
7	Q I mean, you don't have
8	A I know I know what you're saying. You're saying
9	if this's a mix up. I mean, can an error occur in collection
10	or mislabeling. Is that what you're saying?
11	Q Right.
12	A And what I'm and what I would say is, if that
13	occurred, we would exclude the person. In other words
14	Q But you wouldn't necessarily know. In other words,
15	you
16	A I don't know. I I look at this blindly.
17	Q Right.
18	A I don't know if the sample is, in fact, you know,
19	Justin Porters' or, you know, if it's somebody else's.
20	Q Right?
21	A And if there is a mix up, but in this case if there
22	was a mix-up of samples, it's my opinion that, I mean, you'd
23	be able to tell that it wasn't Justin Porter. He would have
24	been excluded as the suspect.

1	Q You brought up siblings and relatives a minute ago.
2	Let me talk to you just very quickly about that. Is it fair
3	to say that siblings share the most genetic material and
4	identical twins have exactly the same genetic material?
5	A Identical twins have exactly the same material.
6	Meaning that if Mr. Justin Porter had an identical twin, this
7	DNA profile for the identical twin would be exactly the same.
8	If it was a brother, sister, sibling, or whatever, there would
9	be some similarities but there would still be plenty of
10	differences.
11	Q And other than just brother, sisters, et cetera, we
12	can even talk about cousins and
13	A Correct.
14	Q other relatives like that?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Okay. Now, depending on the genetic profile that's
17	being detected, genetic material from one relative may be
18	similar to genetic material from another relative; is that
19	right?
20	A Similar, but not exact.
21	Q But, again, just depending on the loci or the
22	profile that you're looking at?
23	A Well, I think I can maybe I can answer this. In
24	my opinion, using this DNA STR technology, I don't care how

1	close the relatives you would bring me. If you had a hundred
2	relatives or a thousand relatives, mother, father, 40
3	children, 500 cousins, we would be able to distinguish each
4	and every one of them. There may be some similarities, but we
5	would be able to distinguish them. However, not in the case
6	of an identical twin.
7	Q And you're confident in what you're saying?
8	A Yes.
9	Q About being able to distinguish these things based
LO	on a good sample and a sample that has enough points of
L1	reference; is that right?
L2	A Based yes, based on this technology and assuming
L3	we get a good profile.
L4	Q Excuse me for just one second.
L5	A Sure.
L6	Q Mr. Welch, you just one second.
L7	A Sure.
L8	Q You testified on direct a little earlier that you
L9	were provided a large number of buccal swabs, weren't you?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And each one of these buccal swabs that you were
22	provided had something to do or some connection with that DTAC
23	crime series; is that right?
24	A I would say most of the buccal swabs that I examined

1	were possible suspects in the DTAC series.
2	Q And do you have any recollections as to how many
3	buccal swabs you were asked to evaluate as possible suspects?
4	A Um, my guess would be at least 15 to 20, perhaps.
5	Q And, again, when you get these buccal swabs for
6	evaluation, they're already labeled, they already have a name
7	on them. And you have no reason to question, one way or the
8	other, whether or not that was done accurately; is that right?
9	A Yes, I I don't know.
10	Q Okay. Is it common practice I mean, has this
11	happened before, where you've been asked to evaluate, my guess
12	is over a dozen different buccal swabs as possible suspects?
13	A Yes.
14	Q It has happened?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you remember how exactly how many specific
17	buccal swabs you evaluated in this specific series?
18	A Are you asking me exactly how many in this
19	particular
20	Q If you have a recollection.
21	A in this specific series?
22	Q This series. The DTAC series?
23	A I could tell you exactly, but I'm guessing it was
24	probably, you know, 15 to 20.

1	Q But going back to your other question
2	[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
3	MR. ALBRIGHT: Oh, that
4	MR. GOODWIN: I'm sorry.
5	[TRANSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
6	THE WITNESS: But going back to your other question,
7	we have we've had other series of sexual assaults in the
8	community where I've probably done 40 or 50 buccal swabs. So
9	it's not an uncommon practice to do a series of buccal swabs.
10	Because during the investigation, they produce what they think
11	is a list of suspects, possible suspects.
12	BY MR. GOODWIN:
13	Q Right. And if there's going to be any possible
14	error in terms of maybe mislabeling or things along those
15	lines, it's not going to happen when these things get to the
16	lab, it's going to happen before these samples are even ever
17	provided to you; is that right?
18	A We believe so, yes.
19	Q You believe so. Okay.
20	Sir, thank you very much. I appreciate hold on just
21	one second.
22	Sir, when you get a hit is it on the buccal swabs,
23	when you get a hit, does not normally not your practice to
24	ask the detectives to get an actual blood sample or something

along those lines?
A Um
Q I mean, for more complete analysis?
A Getting an individual getting an additional blood
sample would not make it a more complete analysis. The
profile of the blood or the profile of a person's buccal swabs
or blood, blood cells or sperm is all identical. It's the
same profile. One is not more accurate or precise than the
other. They're the same.
Q So the blood sample is not going to be more accurate
for identity than the buccal swabs?
A No.
Q If you get a hit and you have a strong suspicion and
you ask for a blood sample, can we say that the act of
actually going to a specific person and getting one blood
sample for evaluation is probably more clerically accurate
than dumping the 15 or 16 samples of the buccal swabs on you?
A Um
Q I mean, is that a safeguard that
A Well, what you're suggesting is that we we run
one sample through DNA analysis at a time and that you're
indicating is that I take one sample and run it through the
whole process, then come back and get another sample and run

it through the whole process. We just feasibly can't do that.

I mean, generally speaking, we're running a number of
samples, you know, on a particular case. I think in the case
of buccal, in the case of the particular buccal swab, I I'm
counting up, I think I ran eight buccal swabs at the same
time. That's not unusual. For me to look at each individual
buccal swab and run it through the whole process individually,
we'd never get anything done. That's not unusual.

Q Could the chance of an error be greatly lessened if, after evaluating the buccal swab and getting a strong suspicion or a hit, the detectives actually did go back out and get a blood sample from one specific person as opposed to the 15 or 16 that were all delivered at the same time or over a period of time?

MS. KOLLINS: Judge, I'm going to kind of object to that question. I think -- I think it calls for speculation on the part of the witness. I think it's assuming facts not in evidence that were -- that there was some type of error. And I don't really think it's relevant since there hasn't been an establishment that there is any type of error or suspected error.

THE COURT: I think that Mr. Welch is an expert and he can explain. I think he's already answered that question but it's worded differently a minute ago.

24 ///

## BY MR. GOODWIN:

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Sir, do you understand where I'm going?
- A Yeah. I -- I know where you're going. In other words, as a double-check.
  - Q Right.
  - A To make sure there was no mix-up in the packaging or the labeling.
    - Q Because we have a large number of 'em.
  - A Because we have a large number. Um, perhaps one -as you're suggesting, one could go back to the same suspect
    and get another buccal swab or blood sample, doesn't matter -actually, buccal swabs are better for DNA analysis than
    blood -- label that again and the analysis could be performed
    again. I mean, that's something that's possible. But that's
    something that's out of my control.
  - Like, I can tell you that what we do in our laboratory in a case like this. And, in fact, in this case, when there's a hit, which there was in this case, another analyst in the laboratory takes that particular buccal swab by itself and reanalyzes it.
    - Q And, again, you're analyzing the same buccal swab?
    - A The same buccal swab.
- Q If there were clerical errors, just multiplying
- 24 itself?

1	A You're correct. That's correct.
2	Q All right. Sir, thank you again so much.
3	MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. KOLLINS:
6	Q Mr. Welch, are there sufficient samples remaining
7	when you do these tests that if anybody wants to retest
8	things, they can?
9	A Yes.
LO	Q The buccal swab. I'll tell you that there was
L1	testimony earlier that the buccal swab was collected on
L2	June 13th of 2000 from Justin Porter. Do you recall when it
L3	was you did the comparison in this case of that buccal swab?
L4	A Um, I believe it was in August some time.
L5	Q Okay. So two months? When you first came into
L6	contact with that buccal swab, I'm assuming you got it from
L7	the evidence vault.
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q In examining it did in examining it, did it look
20	to you like it had been preserved properly?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Was there any sign of any type of degradation or any
23	form to that buccal swab or any form to that buccal swab
24	during your examination?

1	A No.
2	Q The vaginal swab that was collected from
3	Ramona Leva, there was a report introduced that it was
4	collected on March 25th of 2000. When you examined that
5	sexual assault kit from which the from which that vaginal
6	swab came, I'm assuming you got that from evidence as well?
7	A Yes, and I believe that examination was done in
8	April some time.
9	Q Okay. So about a month after?
10	A About a month after.
11	Q The vaginal swab looked to have been preserved
12	properly?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And was there any sign of degradation of any form to
15	that vaginal swab during any of your examination?
16	A No.
17	Q Okay. If I understand your testimony, there are
18	people who could have maybe the same, one of these, I don't
19	I'm just going to use layman terms. And if you have a profile
20	of all 14 of those blocks and you find a sample from a crime
21	scene and that has that same profile in all 14 blocks, you are
22	now presuming identity.
23	A Yes, that's correct.
24	Q Okay. Now, two people may have the same numbers

within one block.

- A Right. In fact, it's indicated right here.
- Q Ms. Leva and Mr. Boarder -- and Mr. Porter both have the same number in that first block there.
  - A In that particular type, yes. Right.
  - Q Okay. And relatives, cousins, brothers of non-identical twin nature may have a couple or several of those blocks that are the same.
    - A Yes, a few.
    - Q But they're not going to have anywhere near all 14.
- 11 A No.
  - Q Okay. One last thing. Mr. Abood asked you a question and referred to three options that you could reach in your conclusions on the comparison. And I think that the highest option he referred to was the reference sample of a buccal swab could have been the source of the evidence located at the crime scene. To me, "identity presumed" kind of seems like a fourth option, or a higher option than could have [sic], am I right?
  - A Well, whatever you want to call it, "match"

    "identity," you know, to me, the bottom line is, I look at the probabilities. And in this case, if we just look at the probabilities, what we're saying is less than 1 in 600 billion people. So you would have to take a hundred times the world's

1	population, you'd only find one person with this profile.
2	Q And, in your opinion, is a buccal swab just as good
3	as a blood sample to be able to make a DNA profile of a
4	person?
5	A I think it's better.
6	Q Okay. Sir, if you had a good buccal swab, you don't
7	see any need to go back and get a blood sample from somebody.
8	A No.
9	Q Okay.
10	A Not for a DNA typing.
11	MS. KOLLINS: Okay. I don't have anything further,
12	Judge. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Abood?
14	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. GOODWIN:
16	Q Sir, concerning this series
17	A Yes.
18	Q the DTAC series, the items collected in the
19	Teresa Tyler case now, do I have to give you a case number
20	on that or are you aware of that case?
21	A Um
22	[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
23	THE COURT: Ms. Kollins.
24	MS. KOLLINS: Oh, I'm sorry.

1	[TRANSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
2	MS. KOLLINS: You know, I think if you just refer
3	to just refer it as the one that Kathy did, he'll know what
4	you're talking about.
5	MR. GOODWIN: The one that Kathy did?
6	MS. KOLLINS: Yeah.
7	THE WITNESS: Oh, excuse me. That was a case that I
8	did not work on personally.
9	BY MR. GOODWIN:
10	Q Okay.
11	A And the reason that we have two analysts working
12	that was that she had worked on the case prior to my working
13	on the first of these DTAC series. We didn't know until we
14	did profires profiles later on that it was actually tied
15	in. So I really don't know anything about that case.
16	Q Let's see, if you know this then
17	A Other than she one of the other analysts in the
18	laboratory worked on a case that was tied into the DTAC
19	series.
20	Q And it's tied in the DTAC series just like the case
21	that you worked on is concerning worked on is concerning
22	Ramona Leva; is that correct?
23	A Correct. It's a sexual assault kit that was
24	analyzed. There was a sperm fraction. A profile to sperm

1	fraction was generated and then it was tied into this case.
2	Q All right. And other than those two cases that
3	we're talking about, out of this whole DTAC series, there's no
4	other DNA ties that need to be a concern to anyone; is that
5	correct?
6	A Um, not that I'm aware of.
7	Q Okay. Thank you again, sir.
8	MS. KOLLINS: Judge, I probably ought to clear
9	something in light of that last question.
10	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. GOODWIN:
12	Q Um, the testimony that we've had in the in
13	regards to the DTAC series, we've had testimony about five
14	separate sexual assaults. Now, it's not your understanding
15	that somebody else's DNA was tied to the the other sexual
16	assaults, is it? Or is it your understanding that there
17	wasn't any DNA profileable evidence at those other three?
18	A Um, again
19	Q Does that question make sense?
20	A It's a there's a lot of event numbers and a lot
21	of people. And my understanding is, I don't think so, but
22	I you know, I'd have to review everything to be absolutely
23	sure.
24	Q Do you have a copy of the initial report that you

1	generated	in this case?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Let me refer you to that.
4	А	Okay.
5	Q	Did that
6	A	Maybe maybe I'm not understanding your question.
7	Q	I think my question was rather inartful.
8		[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
9		MS. KOLLINS: Court's indulgence for just a moment.
10	Can we jus	st pause for just a moment?
11		THE COURT: Sure. Yeah.
12		MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.
13	We're	e back at the top of 111. I'm sorry.
14		THE COURT: Okay.
15		MR. ALBRIGHT: Okay.
16		MS. KOLLINS: (Indiscernible) and, Mr. Albright,
17	apologies	for that.
18		[TRANSCRIPT READING BEGIN]
19	BY MS. KO	LLINS:
20	Q	Reference reference you examining a sexual
21	assault k	it from Leona Case under 0003070141?
22	A	Yes, I did.
23	Q	Was there any suspect-type evidence obtained that
24	could have	e been compared to a DNA profile?

1	A No, on that particular sexual assault kit again,
2	I'm referring to my notes semen was not detected on oral,
3	rectal, or vaginal swabs of Leona Case. No foreign DNA was
4	detected on a swab collected around the mouth. Human blood
5	was detected on a black T-shirt. Leona Case cannot be
6	excluded. So what we're basically we're saying is that's
7	her blood on the T-shirt.
8	Q Okay.
9	A And that's the end of my analysis.
10	Q Okay.
11	A Now, in this case there was nothing to do a DNA
12	profile on.
13	Q Okay. Did your initial report also involve you
14	examining a sexual assault kit from Marlene Livingston under
15	event number 0004040324?
16	A Do you have a DW reference number for me?
17	Q Oh, you know what? I do. Let me get that. DW3?
18	A DW3. Oh, Marlene Livingston. I'm sorry. Yes, I do
19	see that.
20	Q So did you look at the sexual assault kit from her
21	as well?
22	A Yes. And in this case, according to my notes, there
23	were no vaginal swabs or, say, rectal swabs taken. There was
24	a the kit wasn't complete. However, there were oral swabs

there, and I did look at the oral swabs and semen was not 1 detected on the oral swabs. 2 MS. KOLLINS: Okay. Court's indulgence. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 BY MS. KOLLINS: 5 So my understanding would be that Ms. Leva's case 6 7 and then the case that Ms. Guenther examined, the other sexual assault, those are the only two sexual assaults where a 8 9 perpetrator-type evidence was located that a DNA profile could be compared to. 10 11 Α I believe so. THE COURT: That's on Marlene Livingston; right? 12 13 MS. KOLLINS: Pardon? THE COURT: That's on Marlene Livingston? 14 MS. KOLLINS: No, that's Ms. Guenther did the 15 examination on the Teresa Tyler case. 16 17 THE COURT: There's Teresa Tyler also. Nothing to 18 be compared? 19 THE WITNESS: Teresa Tyler. BY MS. KOLLINS: 20 21 Teresa Tyler and Ramona Leva are the only two cases that evidence was found to do a DNA profile. 22 23 Teresa Tyler was analyzed by another analyst in our Α 24 laboratory.

1 THE COURT: Okay. I don't have anything further, Judge. 2 MS. KOLLINS: THE COURT: Mr. Abood, anything else? 3 MR. GOODWIN: No. Thank you very much, Judge. 4 THE COURT: Okay. I just have one question. How 5 long did it take to run the sample? You explained how you 6 7 wait until, like, you know, you get a number of people, like eight suspects? 8 9 THE WITNESS: IJh-huh. Then you run one, say, like one test --10 THE COURT: 11 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. THE COURT: -- how long does the test take? 12 THE WITNESS: Well, we try to group them to be more 13 efficient. The answer is the actual analysis can probably be 14 performed in, let's just say, less than a week. But in our 15 laboratory we have to follow DNA quidelines, which means that 16 17 then the case has to be reviewed. Before we send out the 18 case, it has to be reviewed by another analyst in the 19 laboratory. Then it has to be administered --20 administratively reviewed by the lab manager. 21 And the bottom line is, by the time you go there, that -this whole process, generally speaking, on a case, a two-week 22 turn around time is probably average. Could we do it a little 23 24 faster? Yeah. But, you know -- now, what I'm saying is if I

1	did whether I did one sample or eight samples, it would
2	still take the same period of time.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And the other question, I'm a
4	little confused about the identical twin issue. Are you
5	saying that if Justin Porter had an identical twin, he would
6	have all 14 things exactly the same too? So you wouldn't be
7	able to tell who did the alleged sexual assault?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yep. Identical twins have the
9	same DNA. Different fingerprints, but the same DNA.
10	THE COURT: I didn't know that. Okay. Did my
11	questions of this witness elicit any additional questions by
12	either side?
13	MS. KOLLINS: Not from the State, Judge. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Abood?
15	MR. GOODWIN: No, thank you very much.
16	[TRANSCRIPT READING END]
17	THE COURT: All right. And that concluded the
18	testimony.
19	Thank you, Mr. Albright.
20	MR. ALBRIGHT: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: (Indiscernible). Parties approach.
22	[BENCH CONFERENCE BEGIN]
23	MS. LUZAICH: Bless you.
24	MS. KOLLINS: (Indiscernible)

1	THE COURT: What were you saying that it was gonna
2	be 4:00 o'clock?
3	MR. GILL: No comment for the record.
4	MR. GOODWIN: One of 'em's pointing at a four.
5	THE COURT: What?
6	MR. GOODWIN: One of 'em's pointing at a four.
7	THE COURT: What are we doing on Monday?
8	MS. LUZAICH: I have four already lined up.
9	THE COURT: Yeah.
10	MS. LUZAICH: I'm trying to get more. I have some
11	lined up for Tuesday, Barry for Wednesday. Monday, Tuesday,
12	Wednesday are all 11:00, 11:30 to 5:00; correct?
13	THE COURT: Well, I mean, Monday, I can start
14	whenever.
15	MS. LUZAICH: No, because we have people coming in
16	from out of state. Remember, (indiscernible) that's why we
17	asked for
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	MS. LUZAICH: And the problem we're having is that
20	all of these witnesses are saying, "Oh, no. I'm too busy.
21	Oh, no, I'm too busy. I can't come." Like all the retired
22	crime scene people. Except for Brotherson. So that's what
23	I'm dealing with. I'm e-mailing people
24	THE COURT: I mean, if you

1	MS. LUZAICH: call, call, call.
2	THE COURT: need to, I would just tell like,
3	just blame it on me. Like, listen, she's being crazy and
4	she'll issue arrest warrants. But like
5	MS. LUZAICH: You already have one.
6	THE COURT: They can't be too busy for a trial.
7	MS. LUZAICH: Uh, yeah. James O'Donnell, he's
8	remodeling his house in, I don't know, Tennessee or Arkansas
9	or something. Yeah, right. And and the issue, like I
10	said, is that so many of them are out of state. So Jerome
11	could just drive down the street and grab 'em if they were in
12	Vegas, but, like, literally, everybody's giving me hard time.
13	I'm working on it.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So for Monday, you have four
15	witnesses.
16	MS. LUZAICH: I have four, for sure, lined up.
17	THE COURT: Is that gonna fill up 11:30 to 5:00?
18	MS. LUZAICH: It should. Laura Andersen. So she's
19	the detective who is robbery and search warrants and
20	Angela Porter interview. Fowler, Leroy Fowler, one of the
21	victims. Hevel, the fire investigator.
22	MS. KOLLINS: Diaz.
23	MS. LUZAICH: And Rich Diaz, the paramedic from
24	Leona Case.

1	THE COURT: (Indiscernible)
2	MR. GILL: I'm not gonna make any estimations on how
3	long that'll take.
4	THE COURT: No. Yeah.
5	MS. LUZAICH: Well, if you had talked slower
6	MR. GOODWIN: I'm sorry.
7	MR. GILL: At the reading?
8	MS. LUZAICH: [Descriptive noise] you sound like
9	her.
10	MR. GOODWIN: I have a problem.
11	THE COURT: All right. So 11:30, then, on Monday.
12	MR. GILL: If I stood here and talked for 35 more
13	minutes, we would get to 4:00 o'clock, just for the record.
14	MS. LUZAICH: Almost 4:00.
15	MR. GILL: Almost 4:00.
16	MS. LUZAICH: I would want you to sing and dance,
17	though.
18	MR. GILL: I'll do that too.
19	MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So yeah. So Monday will be
21	11:30 to 5:00. Tuesday will be 11:30 to 5:00 or I can
22	start I can start as early as 11:00 on Tuesday.
23	MS. LUZAICH: That's fine.
24	THE COURT: Wednesday, 11:00 to 5:00. Thursday,
	<u>,</u>

1	11:00 to 3:00 to 5:00 and then Friday yeah, I have I
2	can't remember when I have my special settings. Friday will
3	be 9:30. So we'll have a full day Friday. So make sure you
4	have enough (indiscernible). Okay.
5	MS. KOLLINS: And when will we know if he's
6	testifying? He's still planning on it; right?
7	MR. GILL: I'll talk to him.
8	THE COURT: Do you want me to ask him? Do you
9	MR. GILL: No.
10	THE COURT: Sorry. Not ask him. Do you want me to
11	advise him so he can think about it over the weekend?
12	MR. GILL: No, I've given him homework to do over
13	the weekend regarding that. So that's
14	MS. LUZAICH: Oh, that's so cute.
15	MR. GILL: I don't have an answer yet, but
16	THE COURT: Got it.
17	MR. GOODWIN: I'm gonna go see him too.
18	MS. LUZAICH: Homework.
19	MS. KOLLINS: What? Like a coloring book? Like
20	a (indiscernible) sorry.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	MR. GILL: Thank you.
23	[BENCH CONFERENCE END]
24	THE COURT: All right. So that is it for witnesses

1 for the day. But the parties do assure me that we are running on time, even a little bit ahead of time. So I don't want you 2 to worry about the timing. So we're going to start on Monday 3 at 11:30. I have court pretty much every morning that next 4 5 week. So most of our start times will either be at 11:00 or 6 7 11:30, except for, most likely, Friday we'll have a full day at 9:30. So just in case you want to plan ahead of time or do 8 any work or school or anything like that. But for Monday 9 it'll be 11:30. Okay? So have a great weekend and please 10 11 remember --JUROR NO. 10: (Indiscernible) 12 13 THE COURT: Yes, sir? JUROR NO. 10: I just had a question. If we had --14 the witnesses that you brought in the scientific part, if we 15 had a question about something we missed when they were here, 16 17 can we ask it later on? 18 THE COURT: Why don't you do this -- so, like, the 19 analyst who just testified? Or -- you mean like the DNA 20 analyst who just testified right now through the reading? 21 JUROR NO. 10: Well, that -- that investigating on 22 the last case --23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 JUROR NO. 10: -- when the guy jumped through the

1	window?
2	THE COURT: Detective Castaneda?
3	JUROR NO. 10: Yeah. I forgot to ask about if they
4	had recovered blood samples from the glass when the guy jumped
5	through it. I mean
6	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. So the the parties now
7	know about that question and so they can answer it. I'm sure
8	they'll be able to try to get through it through another
9	witness.
10	JUROR NO. 10: Yeah. Because, I mean, that guy that
11	tried to grab the person cut his hands
12	THE COURT: Right.
13	JUROR NO. 10: and the guy jumped through a
14	window, there should be blood evidence from that; right?
15	THE COURT: So I I'm not answering anything. But
16	now that you've stated your question, I'm sure that the
17	parties will find a way, if they can, in order. But it also
18	would be helpful if you would write it out for me so I can
19	make it a Court's exhibit so they can know specifically. That
20	would help me.
21	Yes, ma'am.
22	JUROR NO. 11: I was just wondering why they weren't
23	showing us that chart they did so much referring to so we
24	could follow along

1 THE COURT: Yeah. JUROR NO. 11: -- 'cause it's not --2 THE COURT: So -- understood. That's actually -- so 3 whenever there's a hearing, you notice that the parties have 4 5 put things up on what we refer to as the Elmo so you guys can follow along or you can see. 6 7 At that previous hearing, that graph wasn't admitted into evidence, which means it didn't go into a packet for -- to 8 9 come up to my court. And so I believe the parties are trying to get a copy of it from the lab in order to give it to you 10 11 quys. But, yeah, we -- we did recognize that. And we tried to 12 13 remedy it. But because it was just referred to as a demonstrative aid instead of a formal exhibit. So 14 demonstrative aids are just things that we can show the jury 15 but they don't come in as evidence. Exhibits actually come in 16 17 evidence and they become part of the case file. 18

So because it was a demonstrative aid, the previous judge didn't -- didn't take it. So we don't have possession of it, which has made things quite difficult. But we recognize that you would probably want to do it and we're doing our best. That's all I can say about that.

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right. So 11:00. Please remember during this recess do not --

1	[Inaudible talking]
2	THE COURT: 30. 11:30.
3	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: (Indiscernible) every day but
4	Friday?
5	THE COURT: Yeah. Thank you for doing that. So
6	please remember during this recess do not discuss or
7	communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way
8	regard the case or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail,
9	text, internet, or other means of communication or social
10	media. Please do not read, watch, or listen to any news,
11	media accounts, or comments about the case; do any research,
12	such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using
13	reference materials.
14	Please do not make any investigation, test a theory of
15	the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way
16	attempt to learn or investigate the case on your own. And
17	please do not form or express any opinion regarding the case
18	until it's formally submitted to you.
19	11:30. Have a great weekend. Thank you.
20	THE MARSHAL: All rise.
21	JUROR NO. Ten: Judge, (indiscernible).
22	THE COURT: Oh, yeah. You can just hand it to me.
23	Thank you.
24	JUROR NO. Ten: I'm running out of hands. There you

1	go.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
3	JUROR NO. Ten: You can read my chicken scratch.
4	I'm nervous, I write fast.
5	THE COURT: No, I can totally read it.
6	JUROR NO. Ten: Okay.
7	THE COURT: I got it. Thank you.
8	[OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY]
9	THE COURT: All right, you guys. So number 10 put
10	his question in writing. His handwriting is very difficult to
11	read but it says, "When a suspect on the layer," that's what
12	it says, "case Laura Zabith (phonetic) jumped out window, was
13	any blood and/or DNA collected and any results?" So
14	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
15	MS. KOLLINS: So we already did the crime scene
16	analyst that processed that scene. So
17	THE COURT: Was there a detective, though, coming
18	still on this?
19	MS. LUZAICH: Yeah. Laura Laura Andersen can
20	talk about but you tell you ask them, any questions
21	THE COURT: I know.
22	MS. LUZAICH: and they didn't ask the question.
23	[Hearing concluding at 3:27 p.m.]
24	****

**Electronically Filed** 9/12/2022 2:33 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **EPAP** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 LISA LUZAICH Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #005056 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff

> DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

8 9

7

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

10 11

-vs-

12

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25 26

27 28

Plaintiff,

JUSTIN PORTER, #1682627

Defendant.

01C174954 CASE NO:

DEPT NO: VI

## EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER REQUIRING MATERIAL WITNESS TO POST BAIL

COMES NOW, STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, by and through LISA LUZAICH, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and makes application to the above-entitled Court that an Order be entered herein requiring JONI HALL be taken into immediate custody as a material witness for the purpose of posting bail for her appearance in the jury trial of the above-entitled matter for the said reason of attempting to avoid testifying before the Eighth Judicial District Court.

Further application is made that the Court set bail in the amount of \$10,000.00 and if the said witness fails to post bail in the amount of \$10,000.00 for her appearance as a witness in this matter that the Court further direct and order that said witness be delivered into the custody of the Sheriff of Clark County, pending final disposition of the jury trial in the above entitled matter on or until further Order of this Court.

\\clarkcountyda.net\crmcase2\2000\410\71\200041071C-ORDR-(JUSTIN PORTER MAT WIT)-001.doex

1	1
1	
2	This application is made pursuant to the provision of NRS 178.494 and is based upon
3	Affidavits attached hereto which are incorporated herein by this reference.
4	DATED this 12th day of September, 2022.
5	STEVEN B. WOLFSON
6	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
7	Alater
8	BY UTOS LUZAICH
9	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005056
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	hjc/SVU
	2
	\\clarkcountyda.net\crmcase2\2000\410\71\200041071C-ORDR-(JUSTIN PORTER MAT WIT)-001.docx

## **DECLARATION**

STATE OF NEVADA ) ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK )
LISA LUZAICH, declairs and states:

That she is employed in the Office of the Clark County District Attorney, State of Nevada and is engaged in the prosecution of criminal matters and has been so employed for the period of 28 years.

This matter has been set for jury trial, said hearing to commence at or about 9:00 a.m. on the 12th day of SEPTEMBER, 2022 in said Court.

Your affiant will advise the Court that one JONI HALL, ID#1237935 of Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, is in fact a material witness in the above-captioned matter.

Your affiant will further advise the Court on information and belief that said witness is avoiding testifying before the Eighth Judicial District Court in which she is a material and essential witness.

SEE DECLARATION OF JEROME REVELS.

THEREFORE, your affiant would respectfully pray that this Honorable Court under the authority of NRS 178.494 issue an Order directing that any police officer of this State shall forthwith take the said JONI HALL, ID#1237935 into custody and forthwith convey to the jail of the County of Clark, State of Nevada, for incarceration to insure her presence before the Eighth Judicial District Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 09/12/2022 (Date)

(Signature)

//

COUNTY OF CLARK ) ss:

JEROME REVELS, declairs and states:

- 1. That August 23, 2022- Received subpoena, obtained birth date/SS# info and instructions from Lisa to start locating victims in case C174954. Started working to locate victim Joni Hall.
  - 2. Called last known phone numbers which were all not working
- 3. Looked through all DA Investigator data bases for updated information and called all numbers associated with victim, no return calls
- 4. Found information that Joni Hall was on Probation. Called Washoe County Probation Department who advised me that she was no longer on Probation and at the time she was terminated was living in a transitional house in Reno.
- 5. August 24, 2022-searched social media and found Joni Hall on Facebook with recent activity. Sent her a facebook message. Sent several friends of hers messages on Facebook.
- 6. Received message back on Facebook from friend who then called me. She explained that she hasn't spoken to Joni in some years as she is a recovering addict and can't be around that lifestyle. She also informed me that Joni lives in Reno and she lives in Las Vegas. She gave me the last number for Joni Hall.
  - 7. Left message on new number for Joni Hall.
- 9. August 25, 2022-Received a voice message from Joni Hall. Called back and spoke to her. Explained to her the trial that was starting and she would be needed to testify. Joni cried the whole conversation, asked why this took so long, said she thought she was forgot about, she had a man with her in what sounded like driving in a vehicle. I told her I would find out the exact days she was needed and call back to update her.

- 10. Sent her a text message so she had my cell number. Asked her for an email address which she provided. Emailed her a copy of her Subpoena and she thanked me. Several other text messages exchanged regarding how long she has been living in Reno and if she still had contact with her friend Stacey Foote. She stated she has not spoken to her since she moved to Reno in 2003.
- 11. August 29, 2022-Sent text message to Joni and left a voice message to set up travel. No responds.
- 12. August 30, 2022- Left voice message, sent text message and emailed Joni to contact me so travel could be arranged. No responds.
- 13. All information updated in JW for Joni Hall, sent email to Lisa, Stacey, Jerome as I was out of the office August 31-September 6th.
- 14. That on or about Aug 31st, 2022, I began to search for victim Joni Hall, for District Court Case number 01174954X. Initial contact with Hall was made via telephone by another Investigator in SVU. However, all follow up phone calls and text messages made to Hall were not returned.
- 15. This Investigator started to search for Hall through Clark County databases. A search in SCOPE, provided no current entries. Las Vegas Metro ON BASE, and Premier One provides arrest reports, property reports, etc., but no entries provided current contact information for Hall or any useful information. There was on case which I cross referenced to Las Vegas Justice case number 01F02730X, Child Endangerment, the victim was her biological son, Kyle Thomas.
- 16. In addition, a search for Hall was made through NICI/III for past or current arrests through all states, including federal charges.
- 17. Hall had no current or pending charges. She expired from Nevada state prison in June of 2021, however no longer on any parole supervision. This investigator followed up with the Nevada Department Parole and Probation, and they did not have any current information for Hall. Per the Department of Public Safety her file was either sent to Records division in Carson City or purged.

28 //

//

- 18. Inmate searches in Washoe County were made daily, however there was no inmates in custody under the name of Joni Hall.
- 19. A Nevada DMV check for Hall provided an address from March of 2017. That address was connected to a weekly rental where that property currently did not have anyone by that name staying there.
- 20. \*CLEAR- is a database that acquires information from Experian, Trans Union, Banks accounts, utilities, government reports, relatives etc.\*
- 21. A complete search for Hall was made through CLEAR database. One local address from 2022 was provided, however it was another weekly rental where they had no record of Hall nor recognized her through a DMV photo. The bulk of other addresses were located in Reno, Nevada.
- 23. There were four relatives listed for Hall in the Clark County area. All possible family members were contacted in person, however three of them had no direct relationship with Hall and could not provide any useable information. One possible relative was Kyle Thomas, the victim in case 01F02730X. Three different trips were made to his current residence. Each time no one was home, and contact information was left at the door. I have not received a call back from Kyle Thomas yet.
- 24. On Facebook Joni Hall has an active account with posts as recent of September 2nd, 2022. The photos on the site match Hall's DMV photo. I sent a message to Hall identifying myself as an Investigator with the Clark County District Attorney Office asking her to contact me. As of now, she still has not contacted me back.
- 25. Since most of the information I was receiving placed Hall in the Reno area I reached out to Washoe County District Attorney office for assistance.
- 26. Per Washoe County District Attorney Office Investigation Unit, In April of 2022, Hall was a witness for them in felony battery case. They were able to provide some information on Hall from her voluntary statement.

//

27 | // 28 | // 27. I went ahead and provided Washoe County District Attorney Office with a Clark County District Court subpoena for Hall, with my contact information directing her to contact me once received.

- 28. On September 6th, 2022, Washoe County District Attorney Office had investigators visit two different addresses that we felt were potential residences. One was another weekly rental that had no current record of Hall, and the second was a house where no one was home. The investigators left contact information at the residence however as of September 8th, 2022, no one from that residence has contacted them.
- 29. On September 9th, 2022, Washoe County District Attorney Office updated me stating that they would make another attempt to locate Hall at that residence.
- 30. Also, according to the felony battery report from Washoe County, the victim Amber Ransford described Joni Hall as a "friend". I then began a search for Amber Ransford mostly through the same databases in the hopes of contacting her and she would put in touch with Hall. All the information for Ransford was not current, including cell phone numbers that were out of service, an email address in which I sent an email to Amber, however, have not heard back and one possible address through an old boyfriend.
- 31. I was able to find Amber though Facebook and sent her a message, however she has not reply to me yet.
  - 32. As of September 9, 2022, these are attempts I have made to find Joni Hall.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 9, 2022 /s/ Jerome Revels JEROME REVELS Investigator
Clark County District Attorney 

AA 1624

Electronically Filed 09/12/2022 2:54 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

			CLERK OF THE COURT
1 2 3 4 5 6	ORDR STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 LISA LUZAICH Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #005056 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	DISTRICT (	COURT	
8	CLARK COUNT	Y, NEVADA	
9 10 11	THE STATE OF NEVADA,  Plaintiff,  -vs-	CASE NO:	01C174954
13	JUSTIN PORTER, #1682627	DEPT NO:	VI
14 15 16	Defendant.  ORDER REQUIRING MATER	RIAL WITNES	S TO POST
7	BAIL OR BE COMMIT		
18	STATE OF NEVADA ) ss:		
20 21	TO: Any Sheriff, Constable, Marshal, Policeman or Peace Officer in the State of Nevada		
22	An ex parte application upon declaration h	aving been pre	sented to this Court pursuant
23	to NRS 178.494, wherein it appears that the testim	ony of JONI HA	ALL, ID#1237935 is material
24	to the jury trial in the above-entitled matter, and	it further appea	ring to the Court by the way
25	of affidavit that the attendance of said witness in	the jury trial o	of this matter by subpoena is
26	impracticable;		
27			
28	//		

 $\verb|\c|| ark county da.net \verb|\c|| constraint | constraint$ 

YOU ARE THEREFORE commanded forthwith to place said witness in your immediate custody for the purpose of said witness posting bail with the above entitled court in the amount of \$10,000.00 in order to secure the attendance of said witness JONI HALL, ID#1237935 before the Court on the 12th day of SEPTEMBER, 2022, at 9:00 a.m., in the jury trial of the above entitled matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED and directed that if said witness JONI HALL, ID#1237935 fails to post bail in the sum of \$10,000.00 to secure her attendance as a witness in the jury trial in the above-stated matter as above provided, then you are further commanded to deliver said witness into the custody of the Sheriff of Clark County pending final disposition of the jury trial in the above-entitled matter or until further Order of this Court.

YOU ARE FURTHER ORDERED to direct the Sheriff of the County of Clark, State of Nevada, to make the said JONI HALL, ID#1237935 available in custody in the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark at 9:00 a.m. on the 12th day of SEPTEMBER, 2022, for the testimony in the captioned matter and further disposition by this Court.

You are further ordered that if the said JONI HALL is incarcerated pursuant to this order, she shall be brought before me or in my absence another Judge of the Eighth Judicial District Court within seventy-two (72) hours after the beginning of her detention for the purpose of determining whether the bail previously set should be modified and whether the detention of the material witness should continue and in addition so that a schedule for the periodic review of whether the amount of bail required should be modified and whether detention should continue.

Dated this 12th day of September, 2022

23B A6D 2EB1 3305 Jacqueline M. Bluth District Court Judge

hjc/SVU

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 The State of Nevada vs Justin D CASE NO: 01C174954 6 Porter DEPT. NO. Department 6 7 8 9 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 10 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all 11 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 12 Service Date: 9/12/2022 13 Carrie Connolly. connolcm@ClarkCountyNV.gov 14 JACKIE Mosley. Jaclyn.mosley@clarkcountyda.com 15 16 Law Clerk. Dept06LC@clarkcountycourts.us 17 Delene Fennell dfennell@doc.nv.gov 18 DeAwna takas TakasD@clarkcountycourts.us 19 ADAM ESQ. adam@aisengill.com 20 LISA CHEF DEPUTY DA lisa.luzaich@clarkcountyda.com 21 STACEY Chief Deputy DA stacey.kollins@clarkcountyda.com 22 23 24 25 26 27

Electronically Filed 3/27/2023 1:17 PM Steven D. Grierson

1 RTRAN 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff(s), 6 ) CASE NO. C174954-1 7 vs. ) DEPT. NO. VI PORTER, JUSTIN D., 8 9 Defendant(s). 10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, 11 12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2022 13 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 14 JURY TRIAL - DAY 7 15 16 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** 19 For the Plaintiffs: STACY L. KOLLINS 20 ELISSA LUZAICH 21 For the Defendants: ADAM L. GILL CHARLES R. GOODWIN 2.2 23 RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: ALLISON SWANSON, CSR No. 13377 24

> Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

1	INDEX OF WITNESSES			
2				
3	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES:	DAY	PAGE	
4	RICHARD DIAZ			
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LUZAICH	7	5	
6	ROBERT HEVEL	,	J	
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KOLLINS	7	20	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOODWIN	7	42	
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KOLLINS	7	56	
10	LEROY FOWLER			
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KOLLINS	7	63	
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GILL	7	73	
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KOLLINS	7	84	
14	LAURA ANDERSON			
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LUZAICH	7	87	
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GILL	7	131	
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LUZAICH	7	147	
18 19	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. LUZAICH	7	159	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

				$\overline{}$
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS			
2				
3	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS:	DAY	PAGE	
4	Exhibits 359-373	7	25	
5	Exhibits 374-388	7	67	
6	Exhibits 391-422	7	93	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Monday, September 12, 2022
2	[Case called at 11:44 a.m.]
3	****
4	[OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY]
5	[DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD]
6	[IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY]
7	THE COURT: All right. We are on the record.
8	State of Nevada versus Justin Porter. Thank you,
9	everyone. C174954. Mr. Porter is present with Mr. Gill as
10	well as Mr. Goodwin. Both Ms. Luzaich as well as Ms. Kollins
11	are present on behalf of State.
12	Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?
13	MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
14	MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. State, next witness, please.
16	MS. LUZAICH: State calls Richard Diaz.
17	THE MARSHAL: Please step up there. Remain standing
18	and raise your right hand so the clerk can swear you in.
19	RICHARD DIAZ,
20	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly
21	sworn testified as follows:]
22	THE WITNESS: I do.
23	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
24	Will you please state your name and spell it for the

1	record.	
2		THE WITNESS: Richard Diaz, D-I-A-Z.
3		THE CLERK: Thank you.
4		THE COURT: Ms. Luzaich.
5		MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. LUZ	ZAICH:
8	Q	Good morning, sir. Have you fairly recently retired
9	from a lor	ng-term profession?
LO	А	I did.
L1	Q	What did you retire from?
L2	А	I was a firefighter/paramedic/engineer for City of
L3	Las Vegas	Fire and Rescue.
L4	Q	How long did you do that?
L5	А	Twenty-five years.
L6	Q	Can you briefly describe for us what training and
L7	education	you had that qualified you to do that.
L8	А	We go through an extensive rookie academy. And if
L9	you go to	paramedic school, you end up furthering your
20	education	through a long course and on-the-job training and
21	Q	Did you, in fact, go through the paramedic school?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	What was the extent of the paramedic school?
24	А	Well, we it's like a six-month course where you

1	do all the skills and tests required to be a paramedic and
2	then you have to challenge or take the state test for
3	protocols.
4	Q And did you ultimately pass that test?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And at what point did you finish with school and
7	pass the test to be a paramedic? When, about?
8	A Oh, I would I want to say '99, 1999.
9	Q Okay. And when did you start working for the fire
LO	department?
L1	A 1994.
L2	Q What were the duties that you did on a daily basis?
L3	A Anything from fire, responding to fires or
L4	responding to medical, assisting the public, doing public
L5	safety programs, and pretty much responding to any emergency
L6	medical calls required by, you know, by the fire department
L7	and then where we need to respond.
L8	Q So how would you particularly get to a location?
L9	Like who would send you there?
20	A So we'd get a 9-1-1
21	Q Does that make sense?
22	A call into the dispatch. They would dispatch
23	appropriate units for that area, based on the level of the
24	call. So if it's medical, fire, hazmat response, or anything.

1	Q Were there some times that dispatch would send
2	particular units and then sometimes those units would realize
3	more was needed?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And did that happen quite often?
6	A Yes.
7	Q I'm gonna direct your attention to March 7th of
8	2000. Were you working as a fire department paramedic at that
9	time?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q Did how did your shifts go?
L2	A Twenty-four-hour shifts. Twenty-four on twenty-four
L3	on twenty-four off for three days. And then after the last
L4	24-hour shift, we'd have four days off.
L5	Q Okay. So on March 7th, would you have come to work
L6	on March 6th in the morning into the 7th of the morning?
L7	A Depending on if that was after midnight, I would
L8	have came in the night day before.
L9	Q What time would your shift start?
20	A 7:00 a.m.
21	Q Okay. So if you responded to a call at 1:30 in the
22	morning on March 7th, would your shift have started at 7:00 in
23	the morning on March 6th?
24	A No. Uh, I would have yes, it would have started

1	on March	6th at 7:00 a.m.
2	Q	Okay.
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	So did you respond to a call around 1:30 in the
5	morning o	n March 7th to the area of 2900 East Charleston?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	What was the nature of the call that sent you there?
8	А	We were called out for a medical there was a fire
9	at a buil	ding, and the responding units to that fire had a
10	patient t	o be transported. So we got called to transport that
11	patient.	
12	Q	Okay. And when you say there was a fire at a
13	building,	do you recall what type of building it was?
14	А	Apartment complex.
15	Q	When you arrived at the scene, did you find a woman
16	that became known to you as Leona Case?	
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Showing you State's exhibit oops. Sorry 322,
19	is this Leona oops. Is this Leona Case?	
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And when you responded to the scene, where was
22	Ms. Case?	
23	А	She was in the on the asphalt in the parking lot
24	of the ap	artment complex.
J		

1	Q Was anybody with her there?
2	A Was some fire unit someone from the fire serve
3	department was with her.
4	Q And do you know, at the time you responded, did you
5	know the nature of any injuries she might have had?
6	A Not at the time of the response. I didn't know
7	until I got on the scene.
8	Q Okay. So when you got on the scene, you said that
9	she was in a parking lot on the asphalt. What did you to?
10	A Once we got on get on scene, we'll make contact
11	with the personnel on scene. They stated that she was in a
12	fire and had been assaulted. It was obvious from her the
13	state that she was in that she'd been beat up or assaulted.
14	So we put her on the gurney, noted some stab wounds on
15	her abdomen. And because I wasn't sure how deep those stab
16	wounds were, we went ahead and did a rapid transport to the
17	hospital.
18	Q What does that mean "rapid transport to the
19	hospital"?
20	A We'll load a patient load 'em on the gurney and
21	take 'em right away to the hospital. We won't sit on scene
22	and treat them or do any significant medical procedures at the

scene. We'll take 'em right to the hospital and we'll perform

those duties en route to the hospital.

23

1	Q Okay. So when you responded to the scene, how many
2	of you responded?
3	A It would be me and my partner, a firefighter.
4	Q And if you were in the back with her, would he have
5	been driving?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. So while you are in the back of the ambulance
8	with Ms. Case you said she's on a gurney. And are you
9	communicating with her?
10	A Yes.
11	Q How are you communicating with her? Like, what are
12	you attempting to do?
13	A Well, trying to get a history of her medical
14	history, for one. I want to know what her injuries are, if
15	she's where she's hurt or injured. Allergies to medicine,
16	stuff like that.
17	Q And what is the purpose for, like, medical history
18	and allergies to medicine?
19	A Well, I want to make sure I know what she's taking
20	so I don't give her something that might interact with what
21	she's already taking. Also, it's significant for the
22	hospitals to know what they are you know, what we're
23	bringing in.
24	Q Okay.

1	A	And what allergies that patient might have.
2	Q	And did you learn of any medication she was taking
3	or allerg	ries that she had?
4	А	I from the report, there's some medication and
5	allergies	to medication, I believe.
6	Q	Okay. Have you responded to numerous scenes since
7	2000 M	larch of 2000?
8	A	Absolutely.
9	Q	Thousands, maybe?
10	A	Yes. Thousands.
11	Q	And would it you can't remember every one, can
12	you?	
13	A	No.
14	Q	Would it refresh your recollection to refer to your
15	report?	
16	А	Yes.
17		MS. LUZAICH: May I approach?
18		THE COURT: Yep.
19	BY MS. LUZAICH:	
20	Q	Can you look at that and tell me if it refreshes
21	your memory as to medications she was taking and what she	
22	might be	allergic to?
23	A	Yes, I wrote down she was had a history of
24	depressio	on. She had medications. Two medications: Rameron

1	and Chomel (phonetic). And she's allergic to fedda
2	Feldene. Feldene.
3	Q Okay. So you made note of that so you could inform
4	the hospital when you got there.
5	A Yes.
6	Q Did you also determine what, if any, pain she was
7	having?
8	A Yeah, she was complaining that she had been stabbed,
9	raped, and had pain to her head and neck area.
10	Q Okay. Stabbed, raped. Anything else?
11	A From my report, reading my report, it
12	strangulation, beaten, and from what she told me, "a suspect
13	set patient's apartment on fire."
14	Q Okay. So when she said that she had injuries to her
15	head or I should say her head hurt, showing you State's
16	Exhibit 323, did you note any of these injuries?
17	A Yes, she had dry blood all over her face, and she
18	had a laceration on on her head.
19	Q When you say laceration on her head, showing you
20	State's Exhibit 325, is that what you're talking about?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And then to go back to 323 for a second, in addition
23	to dry blood, do you see other injury here?
24	A Just marks around her chin and neck area.

1		ן
1	Q	And cheek?
2	А	And cheek.
3	Q	And is that the left side of her face? Sorry.
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Just to orient.
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Showing you State's Exhibit 324. Is that a little
8	bit more	of the right side of her face?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And can you see injuries there?
11	А	Some reddening reddening and swelling.
12	Q	Reddening and swelling where?
13	А	Around the eye area.
14	Q	Anything on her chin?
15	А	Some abrasions.
16	Q	And her right cheek?
17	А	Dry blood.
18	Q	A little bit of reddening?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And her nose?
21	А	Yes, she had dry blood and and
22	Q	And some reddening?
23	А	blood around her nose.
24	Q	Okay. You said that you she said she was

1	stabbed. How did you attempt to ascertain whether or not she
2	had actually been stabbed?
3	A We actually cut her shirt cut I cut her shirt.
4	And was able to see two puncture wounds.
5	Q Showing you State's Exhibit 319, does that look
6	familiar?
7	A I don't remember the exact writing on the shirt, but
8	it looks familiar.
9	Q Okay. How about let me make this a little bit
10	bigger. Can you does it appear that or you can see any
11	evidence of the fact that a shirt was cut for you for medical
12	reasons?
13	A It looks like it was cut from the bottom at the
14	bottom all the way to the top. We'd normally expose the
15	the body to make sure that there's no other injuries that
16	we're not seeing.
17	Q Okay. And is that what you would always do, cut
18	from the bottom up?
19	A Mm-hmm.
20	Q Is that a "yes"?
21	A Yes. I'm sorry.
22	Q As opposed to, you know, pull the shirt just over
23	her head?
24	A Right.

1	Q Do you note anything else on the T-shirt?	
2	A I notice some puncture wound some holes in the	
3	shirt as well.	
4	Q There is a mouse in front of you. I think. If you	
5	kind of move it around okay. So can you show	
6	A So right here you'll see a hole (indicating). Right	
7	there (indicating).	
8	Q You're pointing right there. And is that under	
9	the	
10	A (Indiscernible) where it says "oxing."	
11	Q "Oxing"? Maybe if the shirt were together it would	
12	have said "boxing"?	
13	And is there an others that you see?	
14	A It looks like above that there's some puncture marks	
15	right above the lettering as well.	
16	Q Kind of under where the end of "January" is?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q And then showing you State's Exhibit 328, are these	
19	the stab wounds that you observed?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q Now, as you observed the stab wounds, you said you	
22	couldn't tell how deep they were; correct?	
23	A Correct.	
24	Q Is there a worry that you have when you see stab	

wounds lil	ke that?
------------	----------

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

- A Absolutely. There could me internal bleeding. They could have punctured it -- some sort of organ or something and then, you know, becomes critical to get them to the hospital as soon as possible.
- Q Okay. Do you know about how long it was from the time you arrived on scene till the time you arrived at the hospital?
  - A I would have to look at my report --
  - Q Would it refresh your memory?
- A -- (indiscernible) looks like my arrival time on scene was 1:52 and at the hospital at 1:56. So it was a rapid transport. We could have loaded that patient up and took 'em to the hospital.
- Q Okay. And when you say "the hospital," that would be UMC?
- 17 A Trauma. UMC trauma.
- Q What did you do to or with Ms. Case as you were transporting her?
  - A As -- after finishing an assessment, I did provided oxygen, started an IV on her and come -- comfort care, basically. Did a telemetry to the hospital, let 'em know what I was coming in for.
    - Q What's a telemetry?

1	A	Telemetry's when you radio the hospital and let them
2	know what	patient you're bring in to their facility, what type
3	of patien	t.
4	Q	And
5	А	And then they can raise the level of who they need
6	to call fo	or depending on the call that we're bringing in.
7	Q	So you're explaining to them what you know and what
8	you've se	en.
9	А	Correct.
LO	Q	As you were speaking to her, was she able to
L1	converse	with you?
L2	А	She was ANO times four. So she'd talk she was
L3	alert and	oriented.
L4	Q	I was gonna say, in people term, she was alert and
L5	oriented.	
L6	A	She was alert and oriented times four
L7	Q	What is "times four"?
L8	A	which times four, that means all faculty
L9	she's got	all her faculties.
20	Q	Okay. So she was able to converse in a manner that
21	was reason	nable.
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And able to answer your questions
24	A	Yes.

1 Q -- appropriately. Α Mm-hmm. 2 Is that a "yes"? 3 Α Yes. 4 So four minutes later you get to the hospital and 5 6 then what happens? 7 Once I get to the hospital, I transfer the patient over to the nurses or the doctors who -- who's ever there to 8 receive the patient. And then I give them a report of what I 9 saw, what I did, and what I treated for the patient. 10 Okay. And then it's the -- and where, specifically, 11 did you drop her at the hospital? 12 13 Α UMC trauma ER. The emergency. I guess "drop" is a bad word. Where did you 14 exchange possession of her? 15 Inside the trauma bay, inside one of the beds. 16 17 Okay. So it was the trauma area as opposed to 18 anywhere else? 19 Α Yes. 20 0 Thank you. 21 MS. LUZAICH: I pass the witness. 22 MR. GILL: No questions for this witness, Your Honor. 23 24 THE COURT: Any questions from the jury?

1	Sir, thank you so much for coming in today. Please
2	don't
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: share your testimony with anyone else
5	involved in the case since it's still an ongoing trial. But
6	we appreciate you being here.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: You are excused. Thank you.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: State?
11	MS. KOLLINS: State calls Robert Hevel.
12	THE MARSHAL: Please step up there. Remain standing
13	and raise your right hand so the clerk can swear you in.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	ROBERT HEVEL,
16	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly
17	<pre>sworn testified as follows:]</pre>
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
19	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
20	Will you please state your name and spell it for the
21	record.
22	THE WITNESS: Robert Hevel. Last name Hevel, H-E-V,
23	like in "Victor," E-L. First name Robert, common spelling.
24	THE CLERK: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

2	PV	MC	KOLLINS	
_	DI	MD.	VOTITINO	١

1

3

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Sir, what is your profession?
- A I'm retired now. I used to be a fire investigator
  and a bomb technician for the City of Las Vegas Fire
  Department.
  - Q And how long were you employed in that capacity?
  - A In the capacity of fire investigator and bomb technician? For 21 years.
- 10 Q And prior to that?
- 11 A Firefighter, firefighter/paramedic for the Las Vegas
  12 Fire Department for ten years.
  - Q What types of training or classes did you have to attend to become a fire investigator for the city?
  - A It's required by the fire department that you're on the fire department for a minimum of five years before you can test for the position. After you test for the position and you're awarded the position, you have to go through POST training, peace officer standard training, like every policeman that you see in a vehicle. Same kind of training 'cause I'm change from a fire department to a peace officer because we can do arrest secondary to our (indiscernible) fires.
    - And there's continuing education to keep up your POST

1	standards. Interviewing techniques, almost anything you can
2	think of that relates to police work. And in particular, for
3	fire investigations, I belong to the IAAI, International
4	Association of Arson Investigators. I'm now a CFI with them.
5	But to get that certification, you have to do classes,
6	seminars, have hours trained, and then take a comprehensive
7	test and pass that test.
8	Q And have you kept up with all of your certification
9	now that you're retired?
LO	A Now that I'm retired, no.
L1	Q Were you operating as a fire investigator in the
L2	year 2000?
L3	A Yes, I was.
L4	Q Calling your attention my notes. There we go.
L5	Were you working on March 7th of 2000?
L6	A Yes, I was.
L7	Q How is it that a fire investigator versus a fire
L8	squad, I guess, fireman squad gets called to a scene?
L9	A The squad, you mean if the fire crew, like that's
20	Q The fire crew.
21	A on the engine or the ladder apparatus or a rescue
22	unit get called to a scene if they get a 9-1-1 call stating
23	there's a fire.
24	Q Does a fire investigator go to every scene where

1	there's a fire?
2	A No.
3	Q What prompts the request of your services back then?
4	What would prompt them to call a fire investigator?
5	A There's several benchmarks set up by the department
6	that would require us to come to the scene shortly or
7	basically it's a fire that any civilian or firefighter got
8	severely injured or died. Any fire that causes more at
9	that time, any fire that caused more than \$10,000 worth of
LO	damage. Any fire that a fire captain or an incident
L1	commander, like a battalion chief, could not determine the
L2	cause of a fire, they would call us out.
L3	Q So on March 7, 2000, at about 1:30 in the morning,
L4	were you called to 2900 East Charleston?
L5	A Yes, ma'am.
L6	Q And was that an apartment building?
L7	A Yes, it was an apartment complex. There were
L8	several buildings.
L9	Q Okay. Describe the scene for me, in general, when
20	you arrived.
21	A Down on East Charleston, below five point several
22	I believe three-story apartments, if I remember right, in an
23	apartment complex, basically the apartment buildings are in

the middle. The driveway, if you will, that services the

apartment complex and all the parking lot area makes a big U around the buildings.

The fire that evening was in building number 2, apartment 50. When I arrived on scene, there were several fire units still on scene, including the incident commander, in this case, was the battalion chief. And several Metro patrol cars.

Q Did you learn whether or not -- upon your arrival, whether someone had been injured in that fire?

A Yes, it's common practice to do a face-to-face update with the incident commander. So in this case it was battalion chief. So on each and every fire, basically you walk up and it's like, "Why was I called? What's going on? What can you tell me about this?"

You know, they'll (indiscernible) "I don't know,"

"somebody got hurt," "somebody died." Go from there. So

that's why you always do a face-to-face and try to understand
why you're there.

- Q Did you learn that the person injured had already been transferred to UMC?
  - A Yes, I was told that by several people.
- Q So when you commence a fire investigation, I assume you gather some information from the people that are already on scene?
  - A Yes.

	Q	Okay.	And	then	what	is	the	first	thi	ng	you	do	when
vou	actua	llv bed	ain v	our pl	hvsica	al	inves	stigati	ion	of	the	SC	ene?

A I don my personal tech equipment. I get the tools that I need: Shovels, rakes, camera, evidence kit. And depending on the building, depending on the fire, usually do a global view of the scene.

This one, the global view is kind of like walking between the buildings. You see the fronts of the building. I did look at the back of the building to see if there's any back -- damage to the back of it. There wasn't. And then I proceed into the fire trying to go from the area -- I conduct my fire investigation by going to the area of least damage, examining that, taking pictures of that, documenting that and progressing to the areas of the most damaged in the building.

Q When you arrived on this particular scene, was the fire contained or out?

A It wasn't completely out. The firefighters were still conducting their firefighter activities, ventilating the building, and putting out what they call "hot spots," areas that weren't completely extinguished. Areas that a lot of debris falls in, you have hot embers still burning. And they usually break open drywall to look for any hidden fires behind the drywall to make sure they've fully extinguished it.

MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, at this time the State has

a stipulation from State's 360 to 373, inclusive, as well as 1 2 359. THE COURT: Mr. Gill; is that correct? 3 MR. GILL: That's correct, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Pursuant to stipulation, 5 those will be -- those will be admitted and you can publish. 6 7 MS. KOLLINS: Thank you. [STATE'S EXHIBITS 359-373 ADMITTED.] 8 9 BY MS. KOLLINS: Just showing you the outside of that building, sir. 10 Generally, what was the damage level to that apartment? 11 Moderate to severe. 12 Α Apologies, sir. I thought I had the outside 13 photograph for you here. Give me just a moment, please. 14 This is stuck together. 15 Huh, apparently I do not. Give me just a moment and 16 17 we'll get back to that. 18 What was the size of the layout of the apartment? 19 I can't really remember. I think the apartment's 20 somewhere around 700 square feet. It's a -- I quess a lot of 21 people would consider it a studio apartment. It's a one-room -- a one-room apartment, bathroom being separated by 22 the door, some sheet rock. The bedroom area is separated by a 23 small wall, like a pony wall similar to that. It doesn't go 24

1 all the way to the top that separates the bedroom area from 2 the living room area. Did you, in fact, take occasion to diagram that 3 apartment? 4 Α Yes, I did. 5 Showing you admitted State's 373, can you see that 6 7 on your screen right there? Yes, ma'am. 8 Α Trying to get your legend in there as well. Can you 9 0 see the legend? I -- I see (indiscernible) up there. 10 11 Α Yeah, I can see the legend perfectly. 12 Okay. Great. 0 13 Yes, ma'am. Α So walk us through there and tell us kind of what 14 Q kind of damage you saw, where you saw it, and where your 15 investigation took you. 16 17 First off, this -- the better part of this, the "kitchen" writing and toilet and everything else is a -- off 18 19 the brochure of the apartments. I got that, copied that, and 20 then I hand drew in all the objects, 1 through 13. 21 Okay. Q 22 So the front door of this building, over adjacent to Α what says "AC" and number "7," faces north. That's the 23 24 entrance to the apartment.

1 Q There's a mouse next to you if you want to use it to 2 click on what you're talking about. THE COURT: Ms. Kollins, did you want him to use the 3 red pencil or you just want him to click? What would be best? 4 5 MS. KOLLINS: I think he can just point. THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 Go ahead, sir, whenever you're ready. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah. You're -- the task bar 8 9 kind of covers it up. Number seven, right there (indicating), 10 adjacent to -- that's a front door. 11 THE COURT: If you want the task -- okay. We can do 12 it that way too. 13 MS. KOLLINS: Sorry. Ouick. Quick. Oh, so then all these 14 THE WITNESS: different numbers are different items in the apartment that 15 wouldn't be in the -- I mean, they just give you the basic 16 The bed's not drawn in, the electrical cord, all 17 footprint. 18 those different things aren't drawn in. The kitchen area is. 19 That's drawn in. The sink and the toilet and the tub are 20 The closet are drawn in. drawn in. That's part of that 21 footprint that I used from them. One through thirteen indicates all the furnishing are all the items in there that 22 23 are significant in this case. 24 ///

## BY MS. KOLLINS:

Q Now, when you respond to a scene like this, is one of the things you do is exclude a mechanical cause for that fire or something that's like intrinsic to the apartment, like an electrical outlet or something like that?

A Yeah, when you conduct your fire investigation, I'm looking for a cause. I don't know the cause. Nobody's told me the cause of this fire as yet. So I go in and try to rule out possible causes. As we all know, gas appliances and electrical appliances are big causes of fires. We all load our apartments, our houses with electrical appliances.

So I'll go in and look for any of those things being a possible cause of the fire, whether it be a TV, a computer, gas stove, whatever. I ruled out the electrical appliances, the TV and such, just by the damage or how undamaged they were.

Usually -- like, for instance, if a fire starts on a T -- in a TV, and the TV's sitting on top of the table number 8, there would be -- the TV would be very, very damaged. There wouldn't be much left of it because that's where the fire started. Where the fire starts is -- usually the stuff around it is the most consumed by the heat and the fire and the direct flame impingement of the fire.

On conducting this investigation, I identified the TV. I

didn't believe it was damaged. The AC that is part of the building components up front -- I'm sorry -- right here (indicating), wasn't damaged enough that I believe that was the fire start. I -- off the top of my head, I address the TV in my report, but I can't remember where the TV was. Most likely somewhere around 4 and 3. It wasn't damaged enough either.

On my report, I indicated and I did do on this fire, I look at all the electrical outlets of the apartment, thinking that sometimes there are system failures within buildings and they can start the fires. One of the things you do is look at the wall socket itself. They're usually covered with plastic covers.

On this small apartment, with the intensity of the fire, and I believe it went in to flashover, which means it got really hot and a lot of fire in there, so much it was blowing out the window. And that's what I was told by the firefighters.

Most of the plastic covers you see over the electrical are damaged, if not completely gone. So you strip back the drywall was the wires come down the wall and service that electrical plug. You take out the drywall around it, you look at that. You're looking for any signs of electrical arcing, which is going to cause a fire or a fire start, and you look

for excessive heat.

And the way you do that is by what they call "sleeving."

All electrical wires have a plastic coating over them. So

when they touch each other they don't arc or start fires.

When they're exposed to extreme amount of heat, that -- that

plastic comes off. It's called sleeving, it gets stripped.

None of the wires that I found in the walls were like that, indicating the wire -- the fire did not start in the wall or at that socket. The drywall did its job by protecting the wires, protecting the plastic. It was still in good enough shape that I could understand that the fire did not start behind that wall socket or that wall socket was not the cause of the fire.

Q What, if anything, did you notice about the damage pattern from the fire in that apartment?

A Well, it's one-room apartment. Like I said, you enter the door. So you're kind of walking through everything. I walked toward the back to what appeared to me -- the back of the apartment, the south side of the apartment, back here where the bathroom is, the closet is, the foot of the bed is (indicating). This area appeared, to me, to be less damaged than this living room area. And a lot of that is due to be -- because of the fire load in there and what I -- and how I believe the fire was started and progressed.

Q Okay. So based on your experience and your review of this particular scene, how do you believe -- how do you believe the fire was started and where do you believe it was started?

A As indicated in this, the two red fire plumes that I have indicate that -- the fire starts that I know of. So as I said, this south side of this building, back here, wasn't that heavily damaged. At the foot of the bed, the bed was damaged.

If -- my experience, being a firefighter for all the years and being a fire investigator, once a bed starts -- if you guys have ever torn into a bed and see like the -- almost like the hay material, the grass material that they use in the box springs and the mattress, it -- once you -- starts on fire, because it's very compact and pressed, it continues on usually until the whole mattress is gone.

Mattress fires are very hard to put out before they're completely consumed. However, this fire, the mattress wasn't completely consumed. It was well consumed up to about the middle of it. I noticed about the middle of it, that there were still bed linen in place and it was all wadded it up.

And I noticed that the damage to the -- the foot of the bed, if you will, the occupant was using this pony wall, like, for the head board.

This was -- this area right here was the head of the bed

(indicating). This area down by 13 was the foot of the bed (indicating). There was pillows up here and there were still some linen up here (indicating). But, yet, back here, at the 3 foot of the bed, where this fire start was, there was nothing 4 5 but box springs left because the metal's going to stay until 6 it gets really super hot. 7 All the bedding material that's around the box springs and the mattress was gone and a lot of that stuffing or hay 8

material make the mattress feel soft was gone. As you progress towards the head of the bed, some of the material started to appear and the pillows and the linen was all intact up in this area (indicating), as was most of the bed. And I'd have pictures of that.

- So showing you what's been admitted 366, are we seeing that bed in that photograph?
- Yes. So if you -- could you remove that just one Α second?
  - 0 Could I what?
- Α Could you remove that just one second?
- 20 0 Sure.

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Go back to the drawing. Sorry. Α
- 22 So you see, this is a closet right here (indicating).
- And I'm saying that's the foot of the bed. 23
- 24 Now, if you put that back on, please.

Here is that closet (indicating). Here's what's left of the door, just -- just these metal aluminum frames, the wooden portion is gone. This is the base of the bed that was at -- by the closet (indicating). This material right here is that, like, straw, hay material I'm telling you about that's on the bed (indicating). Foot of the bed here, nothing here (indicating). You can see the springs here (indicating). You can see all this material's consumed.

As you progress from the foot toward the top of the bed, you can see what I was explaining earlier. This right here is a pillow and this is some of the linen (indicating). I think there's a better picture. This is a sheet of drywall that fell on top of the bed, which probably saved some of the sheets and linen also (indicating).

- Q Showing you -- I'm just gonna try to put it in the corner. That's not gonna work.
  - A So --
- 18 0 359.

A Yeah. This picture indicate -- this is the same bed. This is a close-up. You can see the box springs right here that are exposed (indicating). Excuse me. You can still see a piece of drywall here (indicating). This is the bed linen and this is some of the pillow (indicating). This is where that piece of sheet rock was laying (indicating).

That's why you can still see all these colors vividly.

If you're to see some of the other pictures of the apartment, everything kind of looks like a gray scale. You get black, kind of white, hundred different shades of gray in between, depending on the material consumed and the soot deposited on it.

- Q So when you -- oops. Is there kind of -- well, first of all, how -- how does fire travel?
  - A Fire travels up and out.

- Q Okay. So looking at that mattress, what made you think that the fire started at that particular end and not somewhere else?
- A Looking at the entire scene -- bless you. Looking at the entire scene, I see that the fire at the foot of the bed, because of the damage to the bed, especially at the foot and not so much at the top of the bed, and then in the living room, looking at the damage the fire caused in there to that number 3, which was an ottoman, number 4, which was a -- just a standard, like, chair with big arms on it, and number 5 being an end table. Most of that was consumed.

I think there's a picture -- I have a picture of the chair in the corner. There's not much left of that except for the feet and part of the bed. Or the feet or part of the seat area. But that whole top area, the big arm rest, it's all

gone.

So looking at the bed, looking at the damage to the foot of the bed, looking at the damage in the living room area, I know, by the fire behavior, that the fire, by number 13 there, the fire at the foot of the bed did not progress over the top of that -- I'm sorry. This fire right here (indicating) did not progress over the top of this bed, over this pony wall and ignite this furniture on fire.

These two are separate fires just by the amount of material left here (indicating). I know this fire did not progress and start this on fire and continue to consume everything else.

- Q So my words, not yours, there's kind of a -- a sparing or a gap in the damage; is that fair?
  - A Yes.
  - O There was a fire here and a fire here?
- A Yes, but the gap in the damage would be indicated by the bed. This material left, the linen on top of it, how well that is.
  - Q And how about the pony wall, did it stay --
  - A Well, the pony wall is basically made out of drywall. So it act -- does what it does. It protects the wood frame from being damaged. There was quite a bit of damage to that one, mainly by firemen, the hose -- hose

strings.

Q Showing you 361, you were talking about, again, the chair in the corner, number 3 and number 5. Is that what's depicted in 361?

A Yeah. The ottoman would have been in this area (indicating). As I said in my report, there's not much left of the ottoman. It was pretty much consumed. The -- I see a -- a deposit of material down there.

I had interviewed the occupant of the apartment, the next night. I had -- I had observed the whole apartment. I kind of drew that crude sketch. I put all the furniture where I thought it was. I put the furniture, what I thought it was, what -- ottoman, bed, dining room table, whatever, by the stuff that had been left behind.

So I confirmed with her all the things that were there. So the ottoman's in this area (indicating). It's almost all gone. There's hardly nothing left of it. And then this is that chair I was talking about (indicating). Those big arms are gone. Here's part of the back (indicating); here's part of the back (indicating), but it fell in 'cause there's no structure to hold it. Here's part of the arm, but there's no arm back to the back of it.

So you can see this is mostly all consumed. This is that pony wall (indicating). You see all the heavy char on it. It

was a little bit damaged by the fire hose streams. And then firemen punched this hole and this hole because they were trying to make sure the fire isn't in the wall because this pony wall right here is just basically a cavity with wood in it.

So the firemen usually, typically will knock holes in the wall like this to make sure the fire's not in there, they don't have a fire behind the wall.

Q So based on what we just were talking about in 361 and your notes on the chart, that is number 373, do you have an opinion about that area of the fire starting?

A Well, I -- like I said, I looked at the TV. I'm -- can't really remember where it was. But I remember addressing it. Was in my report. It didn't cause the fire. The fire didn't start there. I looked at the sockets around there and know that they didn't fire -- start -- the fire didn't start in those either and come from the wall sockets or the building system.

O Do --

A I could see remain -- remnants of books, magazines, and some papers and they were all over the house. Number 10, right here (indicating), just a whole bunch of paperback books stored right there. She had some magazines below this, like -- so this is like an open bar, if you will. If you're

standing here, looking here (indicating), you can look into the kitchen because this is kind of like a pony wall with some cabinets up above it.

She had some magazines stacked on top of this, paperback books over here, a lot of books and magazines in what -- this was a chest of drawers (indicating). She had taken out the drawers and she's using it for a bookcase.

So looking at the fire, looking at the intensity, looking at the fire load in here, I surmised that the person that started the fire collected ordinary combustibles and ignited the fire in order to get it started and in order to propagate the fire.

- Q So is the term that you would use to characterize this fire "incendiary"? Is that --
- A Yes, this fire was caused by human hands, a willfully and malicious act. So it makes it incendiary and not an accident.
- Q And I did gather my photos from in my file. So I am going to show you just a couple of those. Particularly 371.

  Do you recognize 371?
- A Yes, this is the outside of apartment number 50 -- building 2, number 50. You can see over here the air conditioning unit. This is that front door. The only means in and out of the apartment. This -- when I interviewed the

firefighters, they said the fire was, as they put it, blowing out the adjacent window and out the door (indicating). Like forcefully rolling out that. That usually tells me that a flashover had occurred within the build -- within that apartment.

O And what's a flashover?

A So when you have an apartment -- when you have a building like we have, and we furnish it with wood furniture and plastics and you have (indiscernible) and all the other man-made materials, all that puts out a lot of smoke, especially when it's not a really good fire. It's kind of incomplete combustion. All the smoke that comes off all this furniture, especially the plastics, is also flammable.

Everything that catches on fire has to get to its ignition point. Wood has a different ignition point than, say, like a piece of paper. Wood has a different ignition point than a hunk of coal. Obviously a hunk of coal would take a lot more energy, heat, and have a higher ignition point for it to burst into flames.

All this puts out smoke. At some point that smoke just catches on fire because it gets to its ignition point. That fire goes from the furniture, on the ground, the combustibles in the building, to just basically all the airspace in that apartment because all that smoke is combustible.

So all that smoke is rolling out the building. It catches on fire somewhere in the building, where it's a lot hotter, and it just follows the openings out.

The firemen say when they get there, fire is shooting out of the door and the window. And that's evident by this (indicating). Right here is a walkway that services the upstairs apartments. This is heavily fire damaged by all that fire that came out of that door and that window.

- Q And just for completeness, do you recognize 360?
- A Yeah, that's the kitchen area. This in the corner would be the refrigerator. These are the cabinets. This cabinet right here, door (indicating), is mostly consumed by the fire. This is closest to the opening of the kitchen (indicating). This door is actually propped open, but it's severely warped (indicating). It's fairly thin wood. The heat of the fire and probably the (indiscernible) water in the coolness warped it like that. The refrigerator, which is insulated, is pretty damaged on the outside, fairly damaged on the inside (indicating).
- Q And showing you admitted 365, kind of a different angle of that same kitchen?
- A Yes, ma'am. That's just -- this is the side of the refrigerator right here (indicating). This is that cabinet door on the first one that's mostly consumed -- no, this is

the second one that's mostly consumed and kind of warped (indicating). And this is just a piece of the insulation of the building that has dropped down out of the ceiling (indicating).

- Q And I meant to show you this photograph in conjunction with your diagram, but I did not. So pardon me. This is State's 363. And you were talking about the portion of the bed that was not damaged by the fire path. Do you see it in that photograph?
  - A Yes.

- Q And could you just use your mouse on that for me?
- A This is the bed linen (indicating). And this is still a piece of sheet rock that's sitting on that stuff that we looked at earlier (indicating). You can see this bed linen back here, the flower design on it. Isn't quite as vivid and you don't have all the other colors around it, plus all the stuff that fell on it. This is that piece of sheet rock (indicating). If you remember that one photo she showed us, that bed linen had more, like, vivid colors to it. Red, the green leaves and everything else.
- Q And just, lastly, for the record, your opinion as to the cause of this fire?
  - A Cause of the fire was caused by human hands.
  - Q Okay.

1	A Flame source, whether it be light or match, was
2	introduced to common combustibles. The combustibles were
3	arranged in order to ignite the fire and in order to propagate
4	the fire.
5	Q Thank you, Mr. Hevel. I am going to turn you over
6	to Defense counsel.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Goodwin.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. GOODWIN:
10	Q Afternoon, sir. How are you?
11	A Good, sir. How you doing?
12	Q Good. Thank you.
13	Just want to talk about your report first. So
14	A Okay.
15	Q part of conducting this report is interviewing
16	Ms. Case; correct?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q And you discussed certain things in the house?
19	A The arrangement of the furniture and to confirm what
20	I saw 'cause I didn't know what the ottoman was. I just
21	knew it was like her a pile you know, a mass of
22	material.
23	Q And
24	A It was pretty well consumed. She's the one that

1	told me, "That was my foot rest."
2	Q Sure. And the books, she told you about those as
3	well?
4	A I could see a lot of the books even before I talked
5	to her. She had a lot of books, magazines, and even some
6	papers in her room. And she confirmed that.
7	Q She was confirming what you did see in that scene?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And she also discussed with you she was also
10	when you were interviewing her for this purpose, she told you
11	who did this; correct?
12	MS. KOLLINS: Objection. Hearsay.
13	THE COURT: What's your response to that,
14	Mr. Goodwin?
15	MR. GOODWIN: I would say that we're doing it more
16	for I mean, he presented this report that he was
17	submitting. It's more for his investigation; correct?
18	THE COURT: No. Overruled or sustained. I
19	apologize, in regards to the objection. So
20	MR. GOODWIN: Okay. I'll rephrase. That's fine.
21	THE COURT: he's gonna ask you differently.
22	BY MR. GOODWIN:
23	Q Was was (indiscernible)
24	MR. GILL: Well, Judge, can we approach on that

1	MS. KOLLINS: Objection.
2	MR. GILL: actually?
3	THE COURT: Sure.
4	[BENCH CONFERENCE BEGIN]
5	MR. GILL: Everything he's testified to today has
6	been from the report. We can't cross Leona Case
7	MS. LUZAICH: (Indiscernible)
8	MR. GOODWIN: Sorry. I'm sorry.
9	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
10	MR. GILL: as to giving him the name
11	"Sean Carter," which is what he says started the fire. So how
12	do we get into
13	THE COURT: Well, he said (indiscernible).
14	MR. GILL: Leona told him.
15	MS. KOLLINS: Leona says.
16	MR. GILL: How do we I mean, without a
17	MS. KOLLINS: Leona gives a name to somebody and it
18	somehow gets relayed to him and
19	THE COURT: So it's double hearsay.
20	MR. GILL: His conclusion is that Sean Carter
21	started the fire, along with all the other conclusions that
22	he's drawn. So if we can't get in through him, how do we get
23	into it? 'Cause Ms. Case is unavailable.
24	THE COURT: So I guess a couple different things.

So if -- if Defense's position is he shouldn't be able to be 1 getting into this (indiscernible) I mean, the correct thing 2 would have been, you know, objection. You could have dealt 3 with it up here at the bench, which there wasn't an objection. 4 MR. GILL: Well, the State didn't ask him about who, 5 just how. 6 7 THE COURT: Oh, no, but I mean, what your point was is a lot of the stuff -- everything testified to was from his 8 9 report; right? 10 THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes. 11 MS. KOLLINS: Well --THE COURT: And so I'm saying, (indiscernible) there 12 was no objection (indiscernible). So it came in, whereas if 13 there had been an objection (indiscernible) we would have had 14 to come up here and do this for analysis. But just because 15 they got into his stuff -- stuff in his report doesn't 16 necessarily mean that the other side gets to go into his 17 18 report. 19 But, secondly, is what is the hearsay exception for that coming in? Because now it seems like it's hearsay within 20 21 hearsay. And why didn't -- secondly, and then I'll let you talk. Secondly, I mean, that's really previous Defense 22 counsel's problematic previous hearing that they -- was he an 23

individual that was previously --

```
1
               MS. KOLLINS: He was.
               THE COURT: -- testified?
 2
               MS. KOLLINS: He was. And I quess I just disagree
 3
     that everything he testified to is hearsay. That's not true.
 4
     He testified to his investigation. So to say that I've
 5
 6
     somehow opened the door by --
 7
               THE COURT: I don't think --
               MR. GILL: No.
 8
 9
               MS. KOLLINS: Do you see what I'm --
               THE COURT: Yeah.
10
                         Yeah.
11
               MR. GILL:
               THE COURT: I don't think that that -- yeah, I agree
12
     with what you're saying, but I don't think that that argument
13
14
     was --
15
               MR. GILL: No, that's not --
               THE COURT: -- made.
16
17
               MS. KOLLINS: Well, he said he testified from his
18
     report.
               MR. GILL: This is -- well, it's 22 years old.
19
20
     investigator did reach out to this gentleman who had no
2.1
     recollection until he reviewed his report. Obviously, we
     can't get into that on the record. But that's why I made that
22
23
     statement. And it's 22 years.
24
               THE COURT: Yeah.
```

```
1
               MR. GILL: He was -- he was a third year --
               THE COURT: So clearly he's relying on it.
 2
                        -- at that time and --
 3
               MR. GILL:
               THE COURT: But I think that, unlike other reports
 4
     that we have, I think when witnesses have pictures to go back
 5
 6
     and then crosscheck with their report, I do think it's -- it
 7
     aids them a lot in having a memory of the scene. But I'm just
     trying to think of an exception --
 8
 9
               MR. GOODWIN:
                             Well, if we just --
               MS. KOLLINS:
                             Well --
10
11
               MR. GOODWIN: -- phrase it as, do you -- did you
     have a conclusion as to who started this fire? Without
12
13
     jumping into where he got that conclusion from?
               THE COURT: But the objection is then foundation;
14
            Like, how did you get that information? Well, the
15
     information came from Leona Carter [sic] who gave you the
16
17
     name. And that's hearsay. So I'm just trying to go -- so he
18
     testified at prelim and that information never came out.
19
     wasn't crossed.
               MR. GILL: I believe it was -- he was crossed.
20
                                                                Ι
2.1
     can double-check.
22
               MS. KOLLINS: On Sean Carter?
23
               MR. GILL: On -- I can double-check it.
24
               MS. KOLLINS:
                             Maybe he was.
```

1	MR. GILL: 'Cause it
2	THE COURT: What's that?
3	MS. KOLLINS: Maybe he was. I I just don't
4	recall it right now. I mean, just for right
5	MS. LUZAICH: But, so what if he was? I mean, it's
6	still hearsay whether he was asked it before or not. Today,
7	it's hearsay.
8	MR. GILL: But you see where we're pinned into a
9	corner, Judge? We can't ask anybody about that.
10	MS. KOLLINS: Well, you can ask Barry Jensen that.
11	You can ask Barry Jensen, did you have all three suspects?
12	Did you have an alternate suspect in Leona Case for the arson?
13	MR. GILL: But he talked to Leona Case. Leona Case
14	is now dead.
15	THE COURT: But
15 16	THE COURT: But MR. GILL: So why is that different with Barry?
16	MR. GILL: So why is that different with Barry?
16 17	MR. GILL: So why is that different with Barry?  THE COURT: Yeah, I don't see why that one's
16 17 18	MR. GILL: So why is that different with Barry?  THE COURT: Yeah, I don't see why that one's  different, though. If we're gonna get it in through Barry,
16 17 18 19	MR. GILL: So why is that different with Barry?  THE COURT: Yeah, I don't see why that one's  different, though. If we're gonna get it in through Barry,  then might as well get it through in through the source,
16 17 18 19 20	MR. GILL: So why is that different with Barry?  THE COURT: Yeah, I don't see why that one's  different, though. If we're gonna get it in through Barry,  then might as well get it through in through the source,  you know what I mean? 'Cause I don't I'm not seeing the
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GILL: So why is that different with Barry?  THE COURT: Yeah, I don't see why that one's  different, though. If we're gonna get it in through Barry,  then might as well get it through in through the source,  you know what I mean? 'Cause I don't I'm not seeing the  difference there.

```
1
               MR. GILL: -- if the ruling is the ruling --
               THE COURT: And just -- yeah. No, I know.
 2
          So Lisa's point is well made that, okay. Well, even if
 3
     he testified to it before -- I think, actually, I was going
 4
 5
     the wrong direction. Leona -- Leona should have been asked
     that (indiscernible) and then it would have come in, but she
 6
 7
     wasn't asked that, apparently.
               MR. GILL: She was not. Don't remember any -- and,
 8
 9
     again, I can double-check these.
               THE COURT: No, because we had her read --
10
               MR. GILL: Right. And he --
11
               THE COURT: -- we read it.
12
13
               MR. GOODWIN: Sean Carter was mentioned in Leona's
     testimony.
14
               THE COURT: But that she said he set the fire.
15
               MR. GILL: No, that was not --
16
17
               MR. GOODWIN:
                             No. But he said remember -- like,
18
     Sean Carter --
19
               MS. LUZAICH: "Remember my name."
20
               MR. GOODWIN:
                             Yeah, "Remember my name, Sean Carter
21
     is" --
22
               THE COURT: What? Yeah. No. No.
                                                   No.
                                                       But I mean,
     the direct testimony --
23
24
               MR. GILL: But that he started the fire.
```

1 THE COURT: -- that he --MR. GOODWIN: Oh, right. 2 THE COURT: -- started the fire. 'Cause then it 3 would have come in. Because they would have heard that 4 testimony -- you know what I mean? -- through (indiscernible) 5 6 testimony. If Leona would have said that (indiscernible) then 7 they would have done that. But as of right now, I think it's hearsay. And I think 8 9 the only way it comes in is, A, if Leona was here; right? 10 She's not. And then he -- if her testimony at preliminary 11 hearing, if she had been crossed on that and it had come in. Other than that, I -- then it would have obviously been 12 13 (indiscernible) her prior statement. But I'm not seeing any 14 other --15 MR. GILL: So --16 THE COURT: -- hearsay exception. 17 MR. GILL: So I guess my question is, why is it admissible that Leona told him about the books, Leona told him 18 19 about the magazines, Leona told him about the position of 20 everything else in the room, that's all fine, but Leona told 21 him who started the fire, that's not. Like, that -- that's --22 MS. KOLLINS: 'Cause it's sworn testimony. I mean, it's prelim transcript. It's sworn. This is --23 24 THE COURT: Did she --

```
MR. GILL: No, I'm talking about -- I'm talking
 1
     about now.
 2
               THE COURT: Well, that's kind of the same to you is
 3
     that if you have objected to that -- or sorry. If you would
 4
     have objected to that, then we would have done this analysis
 5
     and then I would have had to do analysis, said, okay. What
 6
 7
     was -- for the effect on the listener in regards to his
     investigation. Now, did he -- does he do any investigation
 8
 9
     once that name is given or he's just like "that's the person
     who did it."
10
11
               MS. KOLLINS:
                             That's --
12
               MR. GILL: I believe --
               MS. KOLLINS: -- the -- just goes to --
13
               MR. GOODWIN: That's --
14
               MS. KOLLINS: -- that's the person that did it.
15
     And, I mean, I didn't ask him, "What did she tell you?" He
16
17
     took himself there about, you know, the directions of the
18
     furniture. It wasn't anything --
               MR. GILL: No. No.
19
                                    No.
               MS. KOLLINS: -- regarding a suspect. Well, I don't
20
21
    know. I'm just making a record.
               THE COURT: Yeah. That's fine.
22
23
          I -- I don't believe that by the State asking that
24
     question, that opened the door. I also don't think that
```

that's what Defense is arguing right now. Defense is saying, what's the difference between him saying, "Well, Leona told me this and then I saw this," versus, "Leona telling me that Sean Carter did this."

And my response to that is, is had Defense objected, then I probably would have made a ruling that some of this was relevant and can come in through effect on listener and investigative purposes and some of it couldn't. But there was no objection made, so I didn't have to do that analysis.

The last thing I'm going to say is, how could this -- had he said "Leona told me that Sean Carter did it and based on that information I then went and did the search warrant," or something, "on Sean Carter's house and saw if there was any combustibles this and that." Then I'm letting it in every day of the week.

But if you're just telling me that he says,

"Sean Carter's the person that did the fire." And then he

just puts that in his report and doesn't do anything, then

it's not coming in for effect on the listener.

MR. GILL: Okay.

THE COURT: So I'm sustaining the objection.

MR. GILL: All right. Thank you.

[BENCH CONFERENCE END]

THE COURT: Mr. Goodwin, whenever you're ready, sir.

1 MR. GOODWIN: Brief Court's indulgence. I'm sorry. 2 BY MR. GOODWIN: Okay. I apologize, sir. 3 Now, you are a fire investigator; correct? 4 Yes, sir. 5 Α And when did you retire? I'm sorry. 6 7 April of 2019. Α Okay. 8 Q 9 Α About three years ago. And in -- are you familiar with a piece that was put 10 0 11 out by at National Academy of Science, it's called "Strengthening Forensic Science in United States, A Path 12 Forward"? 13 Α 14 No. 15 You've never heard of that report? 0 16 Α No, I haven't. 17 THE COURT: May I just have the date for that, 18 please? I'm sorry. That is "Strengthening 19 MR. GOODWIN: Forensic Science in United States, A Path Forward." That 20 21 would have been in 2008. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. GOODWIN: Released by the National Academy of 24 Sciences.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 BY MR. GOODWIN: So when you're discussing the fire investigation, 3 though, you're part of a -- you get certain training. Who was 4 5 the training from again? Several documents, several people, several, like, 6 Α 7 professional agencies. MR. GOODWIN: I -- I apologize, Your Honor. 8 I lost 9 something (indiscernible). THE COURT: That's okay. 10 11 THE WITNESS: May I ask you a question? MR. GOODWIN: Of course. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Are you familiar with NFPA 921? MR. GILL: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to --14 I -- I know Mr. Goodwin said "sure," but I'm objecting to the 15 witness asking any questions. That's absolutely 16 17 inappropriate. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 MR. GOODWIN: Okay. 20 BY MR. GOODWIN: 21 Okay. So I apologize, sir. So when you did this, Q you concluded that the fire started in two places; correct? 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 Now, in your report, you also, in the conclusion, 24

1 mention that it could have potentially been three; correct? Α Yes, sir. 2 So when you're looking at those two, are -- do you 3 have any -- as to which one you think, are -- is it two or do 4 5 you believe it was three locations for this fire? Α Personally, I believe it was three because of the 6 7 fuel load and availability of the fuel in there. I can't articulate the fire -- on number 8, the dining room table, 8 9 being a separate and a distinct fire from the fire that was on the ottoman, but I can make distinction between the fire at 10 11 the foot of the bed and the fire in the living room area. 12 I believe the ottoman over the dining room because of the 13 nature of the fuel package and the remnants that are there. And based on that, you're looking at the remnants 14 0 that were just on the floor -- correct? -- or that were left 15 over from that --16 17 Α Remnants that are in the room, on the floor and 18 still standing, like the chair that was still partially there. 19 MR. GOODWIN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Kollins, any further 20 21 questions, ma'am? 22 MS. KOLLINS: Just very quickly, Judge. /// 23 24 ///

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

D 77	N/ICI	TOT TIME	
BY	MP .	KOLLINS	

- Q Showing you 373 again. Sir, you're confident in your assessment of locations, we'll just call 'em 3 and 13; is that correct?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And, potentially, there could have been another ignition point somewhere near the dining table.
  - A Yes.
- Q Is there -- why didn't you include that as a for sure instead of a maybe? Can you tell us that?
- A Yeah. Several factors. One, as we discussed before, an intense fuel load. The paper, the magazines, the books, everything else, all in itself is a good fuel load.

I'm gonna talk about a concept of surface area versus mass. If you have a two-by-four, it's hard to ignite. If you have that same two-by-four cut up into saw dust, same amount of material, with a lot more surface area, it ignites a lot easier.

So given that concept, looking at this, number 8, a flat table made out of wood with metal trim around it with dining room chairs around it, with stuff -- stuffing for the cushions and everything else is a good fuel load and a good fuel package. You had a huge surface area with not a lot of mass.

Going over to 3 and 4, you have good fuel packages. The chair has wood in it, has all the stuffing in it, but it's not as good of a fuel load, if you will, as the table because it's more condensed. It's sitting on top of each other; you got the converging walls. It's not like a flat piece of wood sitting out there. It's contained, like, in this box with a bunch of other stuff around it.

The fact that the ottoman was completely destroyed, the chair was so destroyed, the ottoman was gone. It wasn't really even identified until she told me what it was. The chair's almost completely gone. And that little end table is too. But, yet, there's nothing of the dining room table. But that's a good surface-to-mass ratio.

I can't say that this fire didn't progress over to here and consume this as it went out -- because another factor is when this door's open, and the firefighter said they saw the door open, this window falls out, which the firefighter said the window fell out. And I took pictures of glass sitting on the sidewalk. This is another factor of fire investigations. This ends up being a ventilation effect too.

You have an intense fire here. There's plenty of fuel load. There's books, papers, magazines all behind it.

Sitting on top of the table also, magazines, books, which are a good fuel load. You got a piece of paper sitting out, it's

not sitting on top of other ones, then this window breaches. Well, the fire's gonna want to start coming out here.

Fire's very abrasive also. Fire destroys a lot of things. The fire's gonna want to come out here. You got a bunch of oxygen rushing in or rushing in the door. It's almost like a whirlwind. So I can't say that the fire was started here, absolutely positively by itself.

I think it because of the -- everything's consumed. But then again, I have this great fuel load over here and a great fuel package. I can't say that this fire (indicating) didn't progress over to here, start the paper on fire, and then when this window breached, it just didn't -- like I said, just kind of that whirlwind of abrasion -- abrasive fire, just like consuming everything as it went out. I can't say that number 8 was started all by itself.

- Q So for those reasons, you decided upon 13 and, we'll call it 3 as ignition points; right?
  - A Yes, ma'am.

- Q I did forget to ask you something. In 13 up there (indicating), you have an electrical cord drawn. What is that?
- A It was a -- I think we addressed it in the picture, looked at the picture. It was -- at the foot of the bed, laying on top of the stuff, as I kind of indicate here,

1 crudely (indicating) -- I'm sorry about my drawing as I'm not 2 an artist. This indicates the prongs that go into the wall. 3 indicates the wire. That there's no, like, female end of 4 this, if you will, that something else would plug in to. 5 got severed. So this was an incomplete extension cord. 6 Which 7 is just kind of odd that it was laying there. So it --8 9 I had the luxury of doing this the night after I talked to her. She actually told me she was choked by an 10 electronic --11 THE COURT: Just so --12 13 MR. GILL: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 14 MR. GILL: Unless --15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 17 BY MS. KOLLINS: 18 So that cord was detached from an appliance or a 19 wall or an outlet or anything, it was just on its own; 20 correct? 21 Α It was detached and broken. 22 Okay. Thank you. 0 It wasn't complete. 23 Α Thank you. 24 Q

1	MS. KOLLINS: Nothing else, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Goodwin, is there a follow-up in
3	those areas?
4	MR. GILL: Your Honor, can we approach, Judge?
5	THE COURT: Sure.
6	[BENCH CONFERENCE BEGIN]
7	MR. GILL: He's gonna talk about her being choked
8	and and strangled, does that not open the door for us to
9	talk about Sean Carter?
10	THE COURT: So (indiscernible).
11	MS. KOLLINS: Well, I didn't ask him I just asked
12	him about the item on the diagram. I didn't ask him about
13	what he was told about it.
14	THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I definitely think it
15	(indiscernible) surprise when that came out. I don't think
16	the State purposely opened the door. I am happy I mean,
17	here's the thing: They already (indiscernible) Leona Case;
18	right? And she already testified to that, well, through
19	reading her testimony. I'm happy to give them a caution
20	instruction that they can't take that into
21	MR. GILL: It's okay. Just (indiscernible).
22	THE COURT: Okay. The objection's noted.
23	Overruled.
24	MR. GILL: Thank you.

1	[BENCH CONFERENCE END]
2	THE COURT: Mr. Goodwin, is there follow up in those
3	areas, sir?
4	MR. GOODWIN: No, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Any questions from the jurors?
6	Sir
7	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: (Indiscernible) sorry.
8	THE COURT: Oh, okay. No. Just take your time.
9	Let me know when you're ready.
10	Parties approach, please.
11	[BENCH CONFERENCE BEGIN]
12	MS. LUZAICH: This is Adam. Oh.
13	MR. GILL: Hiding.
14	Can I see it (indiscernible)?
15	MS. LUZAICH: This is like
16	THE COURT: For future reference.
17	MS. LUZAICH: Right. This is like OJ going "if I
18	had a shot"
19	THE COURT: It's like what?
20	MS. LUZAICH: OJ "if I had killed my wife."
21	MR. GILL: Yeah (indiscernible).
22	THE COURT: (Indiscernible)
23	[BENCH CONFERENCE END]
24	THE COURT: Thank you, sir, for your testimony.

1	Please don't share with anyone else involved in the case. But
2	you are excused at this time. We appreciate you being here.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: State?
5	MS. KOLLINS: State calls Leroy Fowler.
6	Nope, one more.
7	THE COURT: Good morning, Mister
8	THE MARSHAL: Right up here, sir.
9	MS. KOLLINS: That's mine. Thank you.
10	THE MARSHAL: Sorry.
11	THE WITNESS: Oh, here?
12	THE COURT: You get to
13	THE MARSHAL: Yes.
14	THE COURT: sit down. Be more comfortable.
15	THE MARSHAL: If you could just step up there,
16	remain standing and raise your right hand so the clerk can
17	swear you in.
18	THE CLERK: Right over here, sir.
19	LEROY FOWLER,
20	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly
21	sworn testified as follows:]
22	THE WITNESS: I do.
23	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
24	Will you please state your name and spell it for the

1	record.	
2		THE WITNESS: Leroy Fowler.
3		THE CLERK: And spell it, please.
4		THE WITNESS: L-E-R-O-Y. Leroy Fowler, F-O-W-L-E-R.
5		THE CLERK: Thank you.
6		THE COURT: Ms. Kollins?
7		MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.
8		DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. KO	LLINS:
10	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Fowler, how are you?
11	A	I'm good.
12	Q	Good. Where did you live back in 2000?
13	A	Um, I lived on Ogden, 1121 Ogden.
14	Q	Okay. And was that a house or an apartment?
15	A	It's an apartment.
16	Q	And what was your apartment number? Do you
17	remember?	
18	A	Number 9.
19	Q	Okay. Is that here in Las Vegas, Clark County,
20	Nevada?	
21	A	Yes, it is.
22	Q	And on June 6th of 2000, how long had you been
23	living the	ere?
24	А	Due to 2000, I've been there a little while. I'm

1	not exactly sure how long, but it was a while. I was pretty
2	close to some of the neighbors.
3	Q Okay. There's a microphone right in front of you
4	and I would just ask that you
5	A Okay.
6	Q (indiscernible) over a little bit so everybody
7	can hear ya.
8	I'm sorry. How long did you live there?
9	A I don't remember exactly how long I was there, but I
LO	was there for a little while because I know I made friend with
L1	some of the neighbors.
L2	Q With some of your neighbors?
L3	When you lived in Apartment 9 in 2000, do you recall who
L4	lived next door to you on either side?
L5	A Yeah, I had two on both side, I had an elderly
L6	an elderly lady living there. I don't remember their name. I
L7	know I had gotten pretty close to the one on in 10.
L8	Q The early morning hours of June 6th of 2000, were
L9	you home?
20	A Yes, ma'am.
21	Q Was anybody there with you?
22	A No.
23	Q Okay. I'm going to ask you a small favor. Can you
24	take your hands down so we can hear ya?
ļ	

1 All right. Thank you. Were you home by yourself? 2 Yes, ma'am. 3 About 2:00 o'clock in the morning, were you 4 5 sleeping? Were you awake? Something different? 6 Α I was sleeping. 7 Okay. Did something wake ya up? 0 Yes, ma'am. 8 Α 9 0 What woke ya up? A loud bang. 10 Α A bang like something outside? A bang like a car 11 Q What kind of bang? 12 crash? 13 Α Like someone -- someone kicked my door in. Okay. Showing -- after you heard that bang, what 14 Q 15 happened? I saw someone coming down the hall towards me and I 16 17 just jumped out (indiscernible) I just jumped up. 18 THE COURT: I'm so sorry. What did you say? THE WITNESS: After I heard the bang. I woke up. 19 Ι 20 jumped up and I looked and I saw someone coming down the 21 hallway. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Got it. BY MS. KOLLINS: 23 Was that one person by themselves or more than one 24

person?	
А	One person.
Q	Okay. And male? Female?
А	It was male.
Q	Okay. Could you see what they were wearing?
А	Yeah. Yeah. Pretty much. What they were wearing,
yeah. He	had a bandana on his face. Wearing, I remember
correctly	, I know he had on shorts, red shorts, white socks,
white T-s	hirt, I believe.
Q	So red shorts, white socks, white T-shirt. Anything
else? Red	d bandana?
А	He had bandana I believe was blue.
Q	The bandana was blue?
А	Think it was blue.
Q	Okay. Showing you admitted 389, do you recognize
that pict	ure?
А	Yes, ma'am. That's my front door, back then it was.
Q	Okay. And showing you State's 388, what about that?
А	That's the hallway. And that's the bed down there
at the end	d. That's where I was sleeping when I heard the bang
and I jum	ped up and I saw him coming directly towards me.
Q	Okay. Is that the front door of your apartment?
Q A	Okay. Is that the front door of your apartment? Yes, it is.
	A Q A Q A yeah. He correctly white T-si Q else? Re A Q that pict A Q at the end

1	A	Yes, ma'am.
2	Q	And this area, from the front door back to the
3	bed is	that correct? sir, what you call the hallway?
4	А	Yes, that's the hallway.
5	Q	Okay. Now, when the person was coming down the
6	hallway, w	what did you do?
7	A	I jumped up and I picked up the kitchen one of
8	the chairs	s sitting at the kitchen table.
9	Q	Picked up a chair from your kitchen table?
10	A	Yeah. Yes.
11	Q	Showing you
12		THE COURT: Ms. Kollins, I don't think those ones
13	have been	admitted yet. I didn't know
14		MS. KOLLINS: I'm I'm sorry. We have a
15	stipulation	on to these.
16		THE COURT: That's okay.
17		MS. KOLLINS: I apologize. To 374 to 388.
18		MR. GILL: And I have reviewed those. And she's
19	correct.	We are stipulating to their admission.
20		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Having the been
21	stipulated	d in, those are admitted, and Ms. Kollins can publish
22	on the Elr	mo.
23		[STATE'S EXHIBITS 374-388 ADMITTED.]
24		MS. KOLLINS: Just getting way ahead of myself.

1	THE COURT: That's okay.
2	MS. KOLLINS: Monday.
3	BY MS. KOLLINS:
4	Q Okay. So showing you 380, what do we see there?
5	A That's the kitchen.
6	Q Okay. And showing you 384, what do we see there?
7	A That's the front front hallway. Little little
8	messed up, you know, from the altercation but, yeah, that's
9	that's basically the whole apartment. It's three it was
10	really small.
11	Q Okay. So you said when the person came in, you
12	picked up a chair from the kitchen. Is that what we're
13	looking at in that photo, is that the chair from the kitchen
14	you picked up?
15	A That's the chair I had, yeah.
16	Q Okay.
17	A It was at the dining room table, if you want to call
18	it a dining room. It's only one room.
19	Q What did you do with the chair when you picked it
20	up?
21	A I used it to defend myself.
22	Q Okay. Well, did you poke him with it? Did you
23	swing it at him?
24	A I started swinging at him, yeah.

1	Q Okay. Did you make contact with him?
2	A I don't think so, no.
3	Q Was he saying anything to you while you're swinging
4	the chair at him?
5	A He told me to shut up.
6	Q Okay.
7	A He told me to shut up in a hush tone like like
8	trying to quiet someone. "Shut up. Shut up. Shut up."
9	Like
10	Q You said he told you to shut up in a hush tone and
11	you just kind of described it?
12	A Yeah.
13	Q When you started swinging the chair at him, what did
14	he do?
15	A He stood there and told me to shut up and
16	Q Did he stay there for a long time or a little bit of
17	time or
18	A No. No. It hap it was all very fast. I guess
19	when he realized he was gonna be encounter more resistance
20	than he expected, I guess he he left. 'Cause he just turn
21	around and ran. Just like, within the first two to three
22	minutes of this, he turn around and took off out the
23	apartment.
24	Q And showing you 386, do you recognize that?

1 Α Yeah, that's the front door that got kicked in. That's the damage from when he kicked it in. 2 And same for 387, also the front door? 3 Α Yes, ma'am. 4 Now, after you started swinging this chair and he 5 0 left, what did you do? 6 7 Α I ran after him. You ran after him? 8 0 9 Α Yes, ma'am. Is that a "yes"? 10 0 Yes, ma'am. Yes, it is. 11 Α And where did you run to? 12 0 I chased him down the street a little bit. He ran Α 13 across the street. I followed him. Then he hit a dark alley 14 and that's when I got off the chase. I figured if I did catch 15 him -- he had a knife, so it's not a good idea to try to 16 17 confront him in a dark alley. 18 Basically, I just was hoping to run into some law 19 enforcement or somebody around to help me. That's why I 20 chased him. I didn't chase him to try and catch him and beat 21 him up or nothing like that. 22 You just chased him to try and get law enforcement to come? 23 24 Α Yeah.

1	Q	Okay. And did that work out that night?
2	A	No, it was very dark. It was very late. Nobody was
3	around.	
4	Q	So I want to back ya up. When you heard the bang
5	and you s	aw him come in, did he have anything with him?
6	А	Yes, ma'am.
7	Q	What did he have?
8	А	He had a knife.
9	Q	What kind of knife?
10	A	It was a big knife. Thinking back, it was more like
11	a really	large hunting hunting knife maybe or a a
12	cleave	not a cleaver, but like I would liken to a small-ish
13	machete t	ype of knife. Long handle (indicating). Long knife.
14	It was a	long knife, about eight to ten inches long
15	(indicati	ng).
16	Q	About eight to ten inches long?
17	A	[Witness nods head.]
18	Q	Is that a "yes"?
19	A	Yeah. Yes.
20	Q	And did he have that out when he came in?
21	A	Yes, ma'am.
22	Q	And that's when you picked up the chair?
23	A	That's why I picked up the chair. Yeah.
24	Q	When you chased him down the street, did you chase

him down Ogden?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

24

- A It started on Ogden. It (indiscernible) Ogden. He crossed the street going east, I believe it was going east towards Stewart. And then he made a right down the alleyway, in the middle of that block. When you come out -- Ogden's right here (indicating) and (indiscernible) parkway, Stewart's a block up. So he went this way and then down that alley (indicating). And I mirrored him up straight and mirrored him on -- on that street.
- Q So when you -- you say you married [sic] on the next street --
- A Mirrored him on the next street. Yeah, once he crossed the street and ran down the alley, I didn't chase him down the alley. I went straight down the street (indicating).
  - Q And what -- do you know what street that was?
- 16 A That was Ogden, in between Marilyn Parkway and 13th,
  17 I believe.
  - Q Between Marilyn Parkway and 13th?
- 19 A Or 15th. Marilyn Parkway's 13. It was -- I believe
- 20 | it's Ogden and Marilyn and 15th -- no, not 15th. Ogden,
- 21 Marilyn Parkway, going this way (indicating) is
- 22 (indiscernible) parkway, 13th Street's -- yeah. 13th, I
- 23 | believe. I'm not exactly sure.
  - Q In that vicinity --

```
1
          Α
               Yeah.
               -- though, of Ogden and 13th?
 2
          Α
               Yeah.
 3
               Okay. And he didn't run to a car that you saw;
 4
          Q
 5
     right?
               No, that's --
 6
          Α
 7
               MS. KOLLINS: And we'll pass the witness,
     Your Honor.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Mr. Gill.
               MR. GILL: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
11
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. GILL:
12
               Mr. Fowler, how are you today?
13
               I'm good.
14
          Α
               Now, just real quick -- and I'll -- I'll get a
15
     State's exhibit out of your apartment.
16
17
               MR. GILL: Court's indulgence.
18
               THE COURT: Sure.
     BY MR. GILL:
19
20
               This is 388, State's 388. Can you see that okay
21
     there?
               Yes, sir.
22
          Α
23
               And that is, again, the front door which has the "9"
24
     on it; correct?
```

1 Α Yes, sir. And then that kind of shows all the way back to the 2 bed; right? 3 Α Yes, ma'am -- yes, sir. I'm sorry. 4 That wall that has the AC unit -- unit on it, is 5 0 that the end of the apartment, if you will? 6 7 Α Yes. Yes. So that's as far back as you can go; correct? 8 9 Yes, ma'am -- yes, sir. Α And then the individual who came into your house 10 0 that evening, how far in did he get? If you could maybe kind 11 of describe it or indicate it on that picture. 12 You see the end --13 Α Well, sir, there's a mouse right there. 14 0 it'll --15 Oh, okay. 16 Α 17 See that? Okay. Q 18 Α This -- this wall right here (indicating), right 19 behind that wall is a 'frigerator. He got about that far. Okay. So -- and if you will, that's kind of a 20 0 21 corner? Yeah. 22 Α 23 And around that corner to -- to your left, if you're 24 walking in, is a refrigerator?

1	A	A refrigerator, the dining room table.
2	Q	It's all right in that area with the bed?
3	A	Oh, yeah. Yeah.
4	Q	Okay. So it is a studio, as you described; right?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And then he got in as far as maybe that threshold of
7	where tha	t corner wall is?
8	A	Yeah.
9	Q	And that's when you grabbed the chair; correct?
10	A	Yeah. By that time I was standing up by the dining
11	room tabl	e with the chair (indiscernible) at that point, yeah.
12	Q	Okay. And he you described to Ms. Kollins that
13	he had a	knife in his hand; correct?
14	А	Yes, sir.
15	Q	And do you recall giving a written statement?
16	А	Yes, sir.
17	Q	Talking to police?
18	А	I talked to police, yeah.
19	Q	And what did you tell 'em at the time about the
20	length of	that knife? Do you remember?
21	А	That it was a big knife, sort of like a cleaver,
22	like a bu	tcher's cleaver, I believe I said. But not not
23	exactly a	cleaver, just a big long knife. Probably at least
24	eight to	eight inches?

Okay. But let -- let's talk about that cleaver. 1 Q You were asked if it was a cleaver; correct? 2 Um, I don't know if was asked, but that's what I --3 Α Okay. 4 Q -- likened it to. 5 Α It was brought up. 6 7 Α Yeah. And you decided, even then, that it was not a 8 9 cleaver; right? It was not a cleaver. 10 Α Okay. And that was your testimony today --11 0 12 Α Yeah. 13 -- correct, that it's not a cleaver. Q Mm-hmm. 14 Α It's -- I think you said -- is that a "yes"? Sorry. 15 Q Like a large -- long knife. Just a long knife. 16 Α 17 And when --You know, a small machete or a long hunter's knife 18 Α 19 or a long -- I think it was longer than a kitchen knife you 20 would have in your kitchen. 21 Okay. And -- and -- and you recall this incident; 22 correct? Vividly. 23 Α Because even though it was 22 years ago, it's 24

something that doesn't happen every day; right? 1 Yeah. I pushed that dresser up against my door for Α 2 two months after that happened. 3 Okay. So your memory is still fairly good; is that Q 4 5 fair to say? Α Yeah. 6 7 And when you describe -- when you described, earlier, the eight to ten inches, are you including the handle 8 9 or are you just talking about the blade? I'm just talking about the blade. 10 Α 11 Okay. Was there a handle on the knife, if you Q 12 recall? He had it in his hand, so I couldn't see it very 13 Α But I'm sure there was. 14 Okay. You -- you would assume that there was. 15 Q Yeah. 16 Α 17 O Yes? 18 Α Mm-hmm. 19 Q And, again, she's writing everything down. 20 reason --21 Α Yes. Yes. Yes. ---- I keep asking you --22 0 23 Α -- yes. Yes. Sorry about that. 24 Q

So you're -- he either had it in his hand or there was 1 some sort of handle and then above that --2 Was a long --3 Α -- was eight to ten inches. 4 Q Yeah, I think so. Yeah. 5 Α Okay. And that's your estimation as you sit here 6 0 7 today. My estimate, yeah. 8 Α 9 And, again, more of a hunting-type knife, not a cleaver or a butcher knife; fair? 10 11 Α Yeah, long -- long, slim blade. Not a -- a cleaver would be a bigger block, like a square-like blade; right? 12 13 Right. Q Yeah. It's just long -- long blade. 14 Can you -- and I'm sorry. I didn't mean to 15 interrupt you there. Can you give a description, maybe, on 16 17 the depth of the blade? And by that, you know, you talk about 18 a cleaver being a little bit wider or -- or deeper? 19 Α Yeah. So the -- the blade portion, can you give an 20 21 estimation on that or no? It started out a little bit -- like a machete. 22 Α It's like a machete with -- (indiscernible) a little bit small and 23 24 then it just gets a little wider as it gets longer

(indicating). 1 Toward the end? 2 Α Yeah. 3 Q Towards the tip? 4 Α Yeah. 5 MR. GILL: Okay. So, Judge, I'm going to try -- and 6 7 for the record he -- it was about an inch and a half or so at the base and then grew from there to about three inches? 8 9 that fair or am I --THE WITNESS: I'm talking about, like, the width. 10 11 BY MR. GILL: 12 Yes. 0 Okay. Yeah, it kind of grew out (indicating). 13 Α Like, you know, skinny and then goes (indicating) and then --14 Okay. And -- and -- and I'm trying to get an 15 16 accurate --I'm picturing a machete when I think of the 17 Α 18 description. Okay. And as far as the width goes, did it go out 19 as far as you put your hands, which was about five to six 20 21 inches wide? I can't say it was that -- that wide, but --22 Α Okay. You -- you can't say; is that fair? 23 0 I can't say, no. 24 Α

Okay. Now, after -- and the chair there in 388 is 1 0 2 the chair that you swung at him? That's the chair, yeah. 3 Okay. And then did you, at some point, drop it or 4 throw it at him? 5 When he took off out the apartment, I took off after 6 Α 7 him. I just -- I threw the chair down and --Okay. So you used the chair to protect yourself. 8 He then turned around and ran back out of the apartment; 9 correct? 10 Yes? 11 12 Α Mm-hmm. Yes, it is. 13 And you dropped the chair. 0 Yeah. 14 Α And then you ran back out after him, outside. 15 0 Yes, sir. 16 Α 17 Okay. And you chased him down an alley I think --Q 18 Α He ran down an alley. I didn't go down that alley, 19 no. 20 He went down it, you did not. 0 21 Α Yes. 22 And how far is that alley from your front door, 0 approximately, if you --23 24 When you come out -- my apartment complex is right

there on that corner. So he crossed the street (indicating), 1 half a block down --2 Okay. Half a block --3 Α Yeah. 4 -- you followed him? 5 He ran half a block to the alley. It's half a block 6 Α 7 up Marilyn Parkway. But he made a right down that alley. I continued straight down Ogden. 8 9 Okay. Now, last few questions, Mr. Fowler. amount of time this perpetrator spent in your apartment, how 10 11 long was that? Two to three minutes. 12 А Two to three minutes? 13 0 And the whole time he was telling you, essentially in a 14 hushed tone to -- to shut up. 15 No, just three quick "shut up. Shut up. Shut up." 16 17 And when I wouldn't shut up, he turned around and ran. 18 And what were you saying? Do you recall? 19 I probably was incoherent but I was -- I was -- I was screaming. I was yelling. I was like -- in my mind I was 20 21 saying, "What are you doing? What the F are you doing in my apartment?" But I believe it was just -- I was more like 22 23 hyperventilating. I was like [descriptive noise] just, like, 24 panicked.

Just shocked and panicked --1 0 Α Yeah. 2 -- is that fair? 3 Yes? 4 Α Yeah. 5 Now, you also described some of the clothing that he 6 7 was wearing. You said blue bandana; correct? Α Yeah. 8 9 And red shorts? Mm-hmm. 10 Α 11 Is that a "yes"? 0 12 Α Yes. And then describe those shorts for me, if you 13 14 remember. Α I can't. Two to three minutes. It happened real 15 fast. I just noticed the color was red. 16 17 Okay. And white socks; correct? 18 As far as I could -- that was a quesstimation. Ι 19 would say, yeah, when you -- when you see your field of vision 20 and everything, yeah, I would say the socks were white. Yeah. 21 Q Okay. When you look at someone in the field of vision, if 22 something happening that fast but, yeah, I would say my first 23 24 glance, I would say the socks were white. Yeah.

1 Q Okay. So -- and -- and I want to just kind of clarify that. You're not focused, necessarily on --2 3 Α Exactly. Q -- every detail; correct? 4 Exactly. That's what I'm saying. 5 Α You are shocked. 6 0 7 Α Yeah. And you see somebody burst into your apartment --8 Q 9 Α Yes, sir. -- with a knife. 10 0 11 Yes, sir. Α And you're not necessarily scanning this person --12 0 13 Α Exactly. To see what he's wearing or --Okay. But --14 Q -- anything like that. Yeah. 15 Α But you believe the socks were white. 16 0 17 Α Yeah. Or -- or when you see 'em, your overall 18 vision tells you, okay. Yeah. His shirt was white, his shorts were red. Basically, I wasn't looking down and see --19 20 check out his socks (indiscernible). 21 Okay. And did you notice anything about shoes? Q 22 No. No. Nothing at all. Α 23 Okay. And then I think the -- the shirt you said 0 24 was white?

1	A It was a T-shirt, white T-shirt.		
2	Q Okay. Anything else clothing-wise?		
3	A No.		
4	Q And what about this person's hair?		
5	A It was short cut. It was nowhere near an afro, but		
6	it wasn't like bald like me, but maybe a half an inch		
7	(indiscernible) half an inch.		
8	Q Okay. What color?		
9	A It was black.		
10	Q Okay.		
11	MR. GILL: Court's brief indulgence.		
12	Nothing further for this witness, Your Honor. Thank you.		
13	THE COURT: Ms. Kollins.		
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
15	BY MS. KOLLINS:		
16	Q And I don't know if I asked you, so I just want to		
17	make sure I did. Did you tell me what the race was of the		
18	person that came in your house?		
19	A The what?		
20	Q Did you tell me what the race was of the person that		
21	came in your house?		
22	A He was a black man.		
23	Q He was a black man. All right. Thank you.		
24	MS. KOLLINS: Nothing further.		

MR. GILL: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything for this witness?

Sir, thank you so much for testifying today. Please don't share with anyone else involved in the case since it's an ongoing trial. But we appreciate you being here. And you're excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen. We're going to take a 15-minute recess at this point in time.

During this recess please remember not to discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way regard the case or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media. Please do not read, watch, or listen to any news, media accounts, or comments about the case; do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using reference materials.

Please do not make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way attempt to learn or investigate the case on your own. And please do not form or express any opinion regarding the case until it's formally submitted to you.

It is 1:20 I'll see you at 1:35 please.

THE MARSHAL: All rise.

1	[RECESS AT 1:19 P.M.; PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT				
2	1:42 P.M.]				
3	[IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY]				
4	THE MARSHAL: All rise.				
5	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, everybody.				
6	We are on the record in State of Nevada versus				
7	Justin Porter, C174954. Mr. Porter is present with Mr. Gill				
8	as well as Mr. Goodwin. Both Chief Deputy District Attorneys,				
9	Ms. Luzaich as well as Ms. Kollins, are present on behalf of				
10	State.				
11	Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury?				
12	MS. KOLLINS: Yes, ma'am.				
13	MS. LUZAICH: Yes.				
14	MR. GILL: Yes, Your Honor.				
15	THE COURT: All right. State.				
16	MS. LUZAICH: State calls Laura Andersen.				
17	THE MARSHAL: You can just step up there, remain				
18	standing, raise your right hand so the clerk can swear you in.				
19	LAURA ANDERSEN,				
20	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly				
21	sworn testified as follows:]				
22	THE WITNESS: I do.				
23	THE CLERK: Please be seated.				
24	Will you please state your name and spell it for the				

1	record.			
2	THE WITNESS: Laura Andersen, A-N-D-E-R-S-E-N, last			
3	name. First name Laura, L-A-U-R-A.			
4	THE CLERK: Thank you.			
5	THE COURT: Okay.			
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
7	BY MS. LUZAICH:			
8	Q Good afternoon, ma'am.			
9	A Good afternoon.			
10	Q Have you sort of recently retired from the police			
11	department?			
12	A Yes.			
13	Q When was that?			
14	A 2012.			
15	Q And for how long were you a police officer?			
16	A Twenty-eight years.			
17	Q Can you tell me when you started and when you			
18	retired?			
19	A 1984 and I retired in 2012.			
20	Q And in the 28 years that you were there, can you			
21	describe for us the things that you did?			
22	A So I started out, after going through the police			
23	academy, in patrol. I was uniform patrol officer until 1994.			
24	I tested for the Detective Bureau. I went to the			

1	Detective Bureau in 1994 and spent the rest of my career			
2	there. So I was a detective in Domestic Violence, Sexual			
3	Assault, Robbery, and Homicide.			
4	Q	Do you recall around when it was that you went to		
5	the bureau?			
6	А	1994.		
7	Q	And you said that you were in Domestic Violence,		
8	Sexual Ass	sault, Robbery, and then Homicide.		
9	А	Correct.		
10	Q	Approximately how long did you spend in Domestic		
11	Violence?			
12	A	Three years.		
13	Q	Approximately how long in Sexual Assault?		
14	A	Same time, about three years.		
15	Q	Approximately how long in Robbery?		
16	A	Four or five years.		
17	Q	And approximately how long in Homicide?		
18	A	Eight years.		
19	Q	So you retired from Homicide.		
20	A	Correct.		
21	Q	As a detective, do your duties differ depending on		
22	what area	of the bureau you're in?		
23	A	Yes.		
24	Q	How?		