### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Supreme Court Case No. 85804

Electronically Filed

May 01 2023 06:32 PM

Elizabeth A. Brown

Clerk of Supreme Court

STEVE WYNN,

Appellant,

V.

THE ASSOCIATED PRESS; REGINA GARCIA CANO

Respondents.

# STEVE WYNN'S MOTION TO REDACT OPENING BRIEF AND SEAL CONFIDENTIAL VOLUMES OF THE APPELLANT'S APPENDIX THERETO

On appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County The Honorable Ronald J. Israel, Department XXVIII District Court Case No. A-18-772715-C

> Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534 Jordan T. Smith, Esq., Bar No. 12097 Emily A. Buchwald, Esq., Bar No. 13442 Daniel R. Brady, Esq., Bar No. 15508 PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702.214.2100

Attorneys for Appellant Steve Wynn

Pursuant to NRAP 27 and Rule 3(1) of the Supreme Court Rules on Sealing and Redacting Court Records ("SRCR"), Appellant Steve Wynn ("Wynn") moves this Court for an order (1) permitting Wynn to redact portions of his Opening Brief, which is being filed concurrently herewith, and (2) to allow Wynn to file Volume 4 of the Joint Appendix under seal. This Motion is made and based on the record included in the Joint Appendix, the SRCR, and the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

DATED this 1st day of May, 2023.

### PISANELLI BICE PLLC

By: /s/ Todd L. Bice

Todd L. Bice, Esq., #4534 Jordan T. Smith, Esq., #12097 Emily A. Buchwald, Esq., #13442 Daniel R. Brady, Esq., #15508 400 South 7th Street. Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Appellant Steve Wynn

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Under SRCR 3(1), "[a]ny person may request that the court seal or redact court records . . . by filing a written motion." "The court may order the court files and records . . . in a civil action to be sealed or redacted" so long as "the specific sealing or redaction is justified by identified compelling privacy or safety interests that outweigh the public interest in access to the court record." SRCR 3(4). Privacy interests that outweigh the public interest in access to the court records include that (1) "[t]he sealing or redaction is permitted or required by federal or state law," or (2) "[t]he sealing or redaction furthers . . . a protective order entered under NRCP 26(c)." SRCR 3(4)(a)-(b). Finally, on appeal, "[c]ourt records sealed in the trial court shall be sealed from public access in the Nevada Supreme Court subject to further order of that court." SRCR 7.

Here, good cause exists to grant Wynn's motion to redact his Opening Brief and seal Joint Appendix Volume 4. First, the district court granted Wynn's unopposed Motion to Redact Opposition to the Associated Press Defendants' Renewed Special Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRS 41.660 (anti-SLAPP Statute) and Seal Exhibits 3, 4, 6, and 9 Thereto. (Ex. A). Pursuant to the parties' protective order, discussed below, Wynn moved to redact portions of Opposition and seal

Similarly, once a party moves to seal or redact a court record, "the information to be sealed or redacted remains confidential for a reasonable period of time until the court rules on the motion." SRCR 3(3).

certain exhibits because they were designated confidential by the Associated Press (the "AP") and Regina Garcia Cano (collectively the "AP Respondents"). Thus, the unredacted version of Wynn's Opposition, as well as the designated exhibits, are presumptively sealed. *See* SRCR 7. Accordingly, as Wynn's Opening Brief discusses and summarizes the documents that are presumptively sealed, good cause exists to allow Wynn to redact his opening brief as well as seal Joint Appendix 4, which contains the unredacted and unsealed versions.

Second, the parties entered into a Stipulated Protective and Confidentiality Order under NRCP 26. (Ex. B). While AP waived its privilege under NRS 49.275<sup>2</sup> to facilitate discovery, it nonetheless identified the exhibits in Joint Appendix 4 as confidential pursuant to the Stipulated Protective and Confidentiality Order. Because Wynn's Opening Brief discusses, summarizes, and quotes numerous documents designated as Confidential by AP, redaction is necessary as it furthers the parties' protective order. Similarly, sealing Joint Appendix 4 is necessary to further the parties' protective order as allowing that information to be publicly filed would contravene the intent of the parties' protective order.

See NRS 49.275 ("No reporter, former reporter or editorial employee of any newspaper... may be required to disclose any published or unpublished information obtained or prepared by such person in such person's professional capacity."). While AP waived this privilege to a limited extent to comply with discovery, such information was nonetheless deemed confidential under the parties' confidentiality agreement. As such, this redaction and sealing is permitted by Nevada law.

Based on the foregoing and good cause appearing, Wynn respectfully requests that his Court all him to redact portions of his Opening Brief pursuant to SRCR 3(4)(1)-(b), allow Appendix 4 to be filed under seal, and that such information remain redacted and/or sealed for a reasonable time until further order of this Court.

DATED this 1st day of May, 2023.

### PISANELLI BICE PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Todd L. Bice</u>

Todd L. Bice, Esq., #4534 Jordan T. Smith, Esq., #12097 Emily A. Buchwald, Esq., #13442 Daniel R. Brady, Esq., #15508 400 South 7th Street. Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Appellant Steve Wynn

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of Pisanelli Bice, PLLC, and that on this 1st day of May, 2023, I electronically filed and served by electronic mail a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing STEVE WYNN'S MOTION TO REDACT OPENING BRIEF AND SEAL CONFIDENTIAL VOLUMES OF THE APPELLANT'S APPENDIX THERETO properly addressed to the following:

David E. Chavez, Esq. Ballard Spahr LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, NV 89135

Jay Ward Brown, Esq. Chad R. Bowman, Esq. Ballard Spahr LLP 1909 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006

Attorneys for The Associated Press and Regina Garcia Cano

/s/ Kimberly Peets
An employee of Pisanelli Bice PLLC

# **EXHIBIT A**

### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

A-18-772715-C Steve Wynn, Plaintiff(s) vs. Associated Press, Defendant(s)

September 15, 2022 3:00 AM Motion to Seal/Redact

Records

**HEARD BY:** Israel, Ronald J. **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 15C

**COURT CLERK:** Patia Cunningham

**RECORDER:** 

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Plaintiff filed this Motion to Redact Opposition to the Associated Press Defendants' Renewed Special Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRS 41.660 (Anti-SLAPP Statute) and Seal Exhibits 3, 4, 6, and 9 on August 9, 2022. Defendant The Associated Press filed a Non-Opposition to Plaintiff ' Motion on August 23, 2022. Thus, since there is no opposition and good cause appearing, Plaintiff ' Motion is GRANTED.

This Decision sets forth the Court's intended disposition on the subject but anticipates further Order of the Court to make such disposition effective as an Order. Such Order should set forth a synopsis of the supporting reasons proffered to the Court in briefing. Plaintiff's counsel is to prepare the Order and submit to Chambers for consideration in accordance with EDCR 7.21.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve or e-mail. /pc 9/23/22

PRINT DATE: 09/23/2022 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: September 15, 2022

# **EXHIBIT B**

///

Electronically Filed 5/7/2021 4:09 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

# Ballard Spahr LLP One Summerlin, 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, NV 89135-2958

Dated: May 7, 2021

### BALLARD SPAHR LLP

By: <u>/s/ Maria A. Gall</u>
Maria A. Gall, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14200
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

-and-

Jay W. Brown, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Chad R. Bowman, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) BALLARD SPAHR LLP 1909 K Street NW Washington DC 20006

Attorneys for Defendants

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I ce	rtify that o	on the	May 7, 2	2021, I	served a	true	and correct	copy	of the
foregoing	NOTICE	OF	ENTRY	$\mathbf{OF}$	STIPULA	ATED	PROTECT	IVE	AND
CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER on the following parties by filing the same with the									
Court's e-filing system:									

Todd L. Bice, Esq. Debra L. Spinelli, Esq. Emily A. Buchwald, Esq. PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Plaintiff

<u>/s/ Adam Crawford</u>
An Employee of Ballard Spahr LLP

### EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 5/7/2021 2:47 PM

Electronically Filed 05/07/2021 2:47 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

Maria A. Gaii, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14200
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
Telephone: (702) 471-7000
Facsimile: (702) 471-7070
gallm@ballardspsahr.com
Jay W. Brown, Esq.
(admitted pro hac vice)
Chad R. Bowman, Esq.
(admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
1909 K Street NW
Washington DC 20006
5

Attorney for Defendants

M----- A O-11 E---

### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STEVE WYNN, an individual	Case No. A-18-772715-C
Plaintiffs,	Dept. No. XXVIII
V.	
THE ASSOCIATED PRESS, a foreign corporation; REGINA GARCIA CANO, an individual; and HALINA KUTA, an individual; DOES I-X,	
Defendants.	

### STIPULATED PROTECTIVE AND CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER

WHEREAS, pursuant to the stipulation of plaintiff Steve Wynn and defendants The Associated Press ("AP") and Regina Garcia Cano (together, "defendants"), and it appearing to the Court that:

- A. The parties are engaged in limited discovery, which will include, *inter alia*, the production of documents;
- B. In the course of such discovery, certain confidential information has been or may be requested and produced, including, but not limited to unpublished communications and information generated or collected in the course of gathering and reporting the news (hereinafter,

### "CONFIDENTIAL" information);

- C. The parties are interested in permitting discovery to proceed without delay occasioned by possible disputes regarding the confidential nature and uses of such information; and
- D. Good cause exists for the entry of a protective order treating certain discovery materials as confidential.

#### ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:

- 1. All discovery designated CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to this Order shall be used solely for the prosecution or defense of this action and shall not be used or disclosed for any other purpose.
- 2. Information produced by any party in the course of discovery may be designated as CONFIDENTIAL by the party producing the material, provided such material is, in fact, confidential in nature and would not otherwise be available from any source other than the party producing such material in compliance with the discovery request. A party shall not routinely designate material as CONFIDENTIAL, or make such a designation without reasonable inquiry to determine whether it qualifies for such designation.
- 3. For all materials which a party wishes to designate as CONFIDENTIAL, such designation shall be made by marking each page of such material with the word "CONFIDENTIAL." DVDs, recordings or other documents which may be impractical to designate in such a fashion may be labeled CONFIDENTIAL in any manner reasonably sufficient to give notice of their protected nature.
- 4. Counsel for any party may designate that portion of a deposition transcript containing CONFIDENTIAL information as CONFIDENTIAL by advising all counsel in writing within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the written deposition transcript. Until the expiration of this period of time, all deposition transcripts and information contained therein shall temporarily be deemed

CONFIDENTIAL. All deposition transcripts and all copies of deposition transcripts that are designated CONFIDENTIAL, in whole or in part, shall be prominently marked "CONFIDENTIAL" on the cover thereof.

- 5. Depositions, documents and things constituting CONFIDENTIAL information designated as CONFIDENTIAL and generated and/or produced pursuant to this Order; any CONFIDENTIAL information contained therein; any notes, abstracts or summaries of CONFIDENTIAL information made therefrom; and any copies or duplicates, shall not be disclosed in any manner to anyone other than the following:
  - a. The parties to this case, including the officers, directors or employees of defendant AP, who have responsibility for assisting counsel in this action;
  - b. Counsel for the parties, including in-house counsel for defendants, and legal assistants, and clerical and secretarial personnel of the parties' counsel assisting in the conduct of this action;
  - c. Trial or deposition witnesses, including any expert witnesses or consultants retained in connection with this litigation and for the sole purpose of preparing the expert witness or consultant to testify or to consult with the parties' attorneys;
  - d. Stenographic reporters employed by counsel or the Court who are engaged in such proceedings as are necessarily incident to the conduct of this action;
  - e. This Court, and its staff, or any other Court, panel or tribunal, and its staff, that this matter may come before;
  - f. The jury in this case; and
  - g. Such other persons as may be mutually agreed upon in writing by the parties prior to any disclosure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 6. Each person to whom CONFIDENTIAL information is disclosed shall be advised, by counsel for plaintiff or defendants, as the case may be, of this Order. If any party wishes to disclose CONFIDENTIAL information to any expert or witness described in paragraph 5(c), that party must secure the expert's or witness's agreement in writing prior to the time of disclosure to comply with and be bound by the terms of this Order. Such writing shall be retained by counsel obtaining same. A copy of the agreement to abide by this Order is attached hereto.
- 7. Each person to whom CONFIDENTIAL information is disclosed shall not make copies, duplicates, extracts, summaries or descriptions of the material or information or any portion thereof, except as may be necessary in connection with preparation for discovery and prosecution or defense of this action, and any appeals therein. Any such copies, duplicates, extracts, summaries or descriptions shall be marked with the appropriate legend and shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL information subject to all the terms and conditions of this Order.
- 8. The acceptance by a party of discovery material designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" shall not constitute a concession that the disclosure or material is properly designated. The parties specifically reserve the right to object to the designation of any disclosure designated "CONFIDENTIAL." Any party shall challenge the designation of material as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying in writing, including but not limited to via email, counsel for the party who made the original designation. Such notice shall specify with particularity the material which is deemed to have been improperly designated and each reason why such material should not continue to be so designated. The party who designated such material as CONFIDENTIAL shall send a written response, specifying with particularity good cause as to why such material should be so designated, within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of notice challenging the designation. If, after receiving such response, the party challenging the designation still contends that the material is not properly designated, that party may then file a motion with the Court requesting that the

designation be removed. The burden of proving the confidentiality of designated information remains with the party asserting such confidentiality. The disputed material shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL pending resolution of the motion. If the party challenging the designation declines to make such a motion, the material shall remain as designated by the producing party.

- 9. Notwithstanding the designation of any testimony, document, thing, or other information as CONFIDENTIAL, such testimony, document, thing, or other information shall not be deemed CONFIDENTIAL and shall not be subject to this Order if the substance of testimony, document, thing, or other information: (a) is, at the time of disclosure by the producing party, already in the possession of the recipient party and was not acquired from the producing party; (b) has been made available to the recipient party, other than through the discovery process, by a third party who obtained the same without any obligation of confidence to the producing party; and/or (c) is already public information. In addition, nothing in this Order shall be construed to prevent a party from possessing, using, and/or publishing as they see fit any information or materials that they receive, derive or develop from sources independent of CONFIDENTIAL information produced by the other party. Furthermore, nothing in this Order is intended to preclude the producing party from any use of its own CONFIDENTIAL information.
- 10. This Order may be modified by further order of the Court and is entered without prejudice to the rights of any party to move for relief from any of its provisions, or to seek or agree to different or additional protection for any particular material, document or information.
- 11. Each person who obtains material or information designated under this Order shall take reasonable precautions to maintain the confidentiality of such material or information in accordance with the terms of this Order.
- 12. Entering into, agreeing to and/or complying with the terms of this Order shall not: (a) prejudice in any way the rights of any party to object to the production

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of documents or information it considers not properly subject to discovery, or to seek a determination whether particular discovery materials must be produced or disclosed; and/or (b) prevent a party from redacting information upon an assertion of privilege or some other objection from documents or information otherwise produced with a CONFIDENTIAL designation.

- 13. То and/or the documents information extent designated CONFIDENTIAL must be filed under seal and/or under redaction, the filing party shall do so consistent with the procedure for sealing and/or redacting documents, including the Nevada Rules for Sealing and Redacting Court Records (SRCR) 3. The designating party then bears the burden of justifying the proposed seal. The sealing and/or redaction of a CONFIDENTIAL document or information in connection with motion practice does not prejudice a party's right to use that information or document, with notice to the designating party, at any trial, and the burden to seal information at trial, even if previously sealed during motions practice, rests with the designating party. The filing of CONFIDENTIAL information under seal shall be without prejudice to the filing party's rights under this Order to challenge the designation of confidentiality.
- 14. Inadvertent or unintentional production of any CONFIDENTIAL information by a party, regardless of whether the information is so designated at the time of disclosure, will not be deemed a waiver in whole or in part of that party's prior or subsequent claim of confidentiality. Such inadvertent or unintentional production may be rectified by notifying in writing counsel for the party to whom the material was disclosed that the material should have been designated.
- 15. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the termination of the litigation, all material treated as CONFIDENTIAL under this Order and not received in evidence shall be returned by the recipient party to the producing party, or destroyed instead of being returned, provided that counsel for the receiving party certifies in writing as to the destruction. The obligation to return or destroy all designated information

similarly applies to any individual or entity, including without limitation experts or consultants, retained, hired or employed by the recipient party.

- 16. The entry of this Order shall not deprive any party of its right to object to discovery on any permitted ground, nor impair the right of any party to raise or assert any defense, privilege or objection including, without limitation, defenses or objections to the discovery or production of documents, information, or testimony or to the use, relevance or admissibility of any evidence, whether or not that evidence is comprised in whole or in part of information designated pursuant to this Order.
- 17. Nothing in this Order shall be construed as requiring disclosure of documents, information, or any other materials designated "CONFIDENTIAL" that counsel for a party contends are protected by privilege or other legal objection, including but not limited to relevancy or the permissible scope of discovery. The parties specifically reserve the right to raise all appropriate objections to any request for testimony, documents or other evidence, or the admissibility thereof. No party waives any objection it otherwise would have to disclosing or producing any information or item on any recognized ground, whether or not it is addressed in this Order, and the parties agree that they shall not use this Order to support a waiver argument in any discovery motion, or to argue that any party waived its objections to produce any particular documents or information.
- 18. The entry of this Order is without prejudice to any party's right to move at a later time for another protective order for any purpose.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1	19. This Order shall be effect	ive from the date on which it is entered by the
2	Court, and shall apply to all discovery	in this matter.
3		
4	Dated this 6th day of May, 2021.	
5	PISANELLI BICE PLLC	BALLARD SPAHR LLP
6	By: /s/Emily A. Buchwald	By: /s/ Maria A. Gall
7	Todd L. Bice, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4534	Maria A. Gall, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14200
8	Debra L. Spinelli, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9695	1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
9	Emily A. Buchwald, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13442	Jay W. Brown, Esq.
10	400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	(admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Chad R. Bowman, Esq.
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff	(admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 1909 K Street NW
12		Washington DC 20006
13		Attorneys for Defendants
14		
15		<u>ORDER</u>
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17		
10		
18	Dated this day of	, Detect this 7th day of May, 2021
19	Dated this day of	, Detent this 7th day of May, 2021
	Ī	Mondla J. Joran J. J. J. Joran J. J. J. Joran J. J. J. Joran J. J. Joran J.
19	Ī	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE A-18-772715-C D6A F2F 324B 87DD SC
19 20	Ī	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE A-18-772715-C
19 20 21	Ī	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE A-18-772715-C D6A F2F 324B 87DD SC Ronald J. Israel
19 20 21 22	Submitted by: BALLARD SPAHR LLP By: /s/ Maria A. Gall	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE A-18-772715-C D6A F2F 324B 87DD SC Ronald J. Israel
19 20 21 22 23	Submitted by:  BALLARD SPAHR LLP  By: /s/ Maria A. Gall  Maria A. Gall, Esq.  Nevada Bar No. 14200	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE A-18-772715-C D6A F2F 324B 87DD Ronald J. Israel District Court Judge
19 20 21 22 23 24	Submitted by: BALLARD SPAHR LLP By: /s/ Maria A. Gall Maria A. Gall, Esq.	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE A-18-772715-C D6A F2F 324B 87DD Ronald J. Israel District Court Judge
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Submitted by:  BALLARD SPAHR LLP  By: /s/ Maria A. Gall  Maria A. Gall, Esq.  Nevada Bar No. 14200  1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 90	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE A-18-772715-C D6A F2F 324B 87DD Ronald J. Israel District Court Judge

8.

### DISTRICT COURT

2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
3	STEVE WYNN, an individual	Case No. A-18-772715-C		
4	Plaintiffs,	Dept. No. XXVIII		
5 6	v. THE ASSOCIATED PRESS, a foreign corporation; REGINA GARCIA CANO, an individual; and HALINA KUTA, an	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY		
7				
8	Defendants.			
9	I, the undersigned, declare the following:			
10	1. My address is			
11	2. My present employer is	and the address		
12	of my present employment is			
13	3. My present occupation or job description is			
14	4. I have read and understood the provisions of the STIPULATED			
15	PROTECTIVE ORDER (the "Order") in this case entered by the Court, and I will			
16	comply with all provisions of the Order.			
17	5. I will hold in confidence and not disclose to anyone not qualified under			
18	the Order any CONFIDENTIAL information or any words, summaries, abstracts, or			
19	9 indices of such material disclosed to me.			
20	6. I will limit use of CONFIDENTIAL material disclosed to me solely for			
21	purposes of this action.			
22	7. No later than the final conclusion of the case, I will return any			
23	CONFIDENTIAL material and summaries, abstracts, and indices thereof which			
24	come into my possession, and documents or things which I have prepared relating			
25	thereto, to counsel for the party for whom I was employed or retained, or who			
26	otherwise provided such material to me.			

I have been advised that any unauthorized use or disclosure by me of

CONFIDENTIAL documents, testimony and/or information would be a breach of

Ballard Spahr LLP One Summerlin, 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, NV 89135-2958

25

26

27

28

1	the Order for which I may be liable for damages and/or subject to sanction by the
2	Court. I agree to subject myself to the jurisdiction of the Court for the limited
3	purpose of the enforcement of the provisions of the foregoing agreement.
4	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
5	
6	Signature:
7	Name:
8	Date:
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

### Crawford, Adam (LV)

From: Emily A. Buchwald <eab@pisanellibice.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, May 6, 2021 12:21 PM

To: Gall, Maria A. (LV); Bowman, Chad R. (DC); Gassmann, Mara J. (DC); Brown, Jay Ward

(DC)

Cc:Todd Bice; Debra Spinelli; Nikki Baker; Tammy PetersonSubject:RE: Wynn/AP - Stipulated Protective and Confidentiality Order

#### **A EXTERNAL**

Thanks Maria! You can affix my e-signature and submit to the Court. Is it your intent to wait until the order is signed and entered to serve the confidential documents?

Best, Emily

#### Emily A. Buchwald, Esq.

PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: (702) 214-2100

Fax: (702) 214-2101

eab@pisanellibice.com | www.pisanellibice.com

From: Gall, Maria A. <GallM@ballardspahr.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 6, 2021 11:57 AM

To: Emily A. Buchwald <eab@pisanellibice.com>; Bowman, Chad R. <BowmanChad@ballardspahr.com>; Gassmann,

Mara J. <GassmannM@ballardspahr.com>; Brown, Jay Ward <brownjay@ballardspahr.com> Cc: Todd Bice <tlb@pisanellibice.com>; Debra Spinelli <dls@pisanellibice.com>; Nikki Baker <nbaker@petersonbaker.com>; Tammy Peterson <tpeterson@petersonbaker.com>

Subject: RE: Wynn/AP - Stipulated Protective and Confidentiality Order

CAUTION: External Email

Hi Emily.

Thanks for your response. We are fine with your edits. I accepted your changes and then made a few formatting changes and a date update on the signature and order page. Please let us know if we may affix your /s/ signature and submit to the court. We will, of course, cc you. Thanks.

Warm regards,

Maria

From: Emily A. Buchwald < <a href="mailto:eab@pisanellibice.com">eab@pisanellibice.com</a>>

**Sent:** Thursday, May 6, 2021 6:34 AM

To: Bowman, Chad R. (DC) <BowmanChad@ballardspahr.com>; Gassmann, Mara J. (DC)

<<u>GassmannM@ballardspahr.com</u>>; Gall, Maria A. (LV) <<u>GallM@ballardspahr.com</u>>; Brown, Jay Ward (DC)

<brownjay@ballardspahr.com>

**Cc:** Todd Bice < tlb@pisanellibice.com >; Debra Spinelli < dls@pisanellibice.com >; Nikki Baker

<nbaker@petersonbaker.com>; Tammy Peterson <tpeterson@petersonbaker.com>

Subject: Wynn/AP - Stipulated Protective and Confidentiality Order

### **↑** EXTERNAL

Good Morning,

Attached are our limited edits to the proposed Stipulated Protective and Confidentiality Order, with track changes. The edits are solely to Paragraph 13, which deals with the process for sealing/redacting Confidential information in court filings. We agree that there should be a process for sealing/redacting information, but believe that the meet and confer process prior to filing set forth in the initial draft is unnecessarily cumbersome. Our proposed edits are consistent with the Rules for Sealing and Redacting Court Records.

Please let us know if you would like to discuss.

#### Emily A. Buchwald, Esq.

PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Tel: (702) 214-2100 Fax: (702) 214-2101

eab@pisanellibice.com | www.pisanellibice.com

1 **CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Steve Wynn, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-18-772715-C 6 VS. DEPT. NO. Department 28 7 8 Associated Press, Defendant(s) 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Stipulated Protective Order was served via the court's electronic eFile 12 system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 5/7/2021 14 **Todd Bice** tlb@pisanellibice.com 15 Debra Spinelli dls@pisanellibice.com 16 17 Tamara Peterson tpeterson@petersonbaker.com 18 Nikki Baker nbaker@petersonbaker.com 19 Erin Parcells eparcells@petersonbaker.com 20 Las Vegas Docket LVDocket@ballardspahr.com 21 Las Vegas Intake LVCTIntake@ballardspahr.com 22 Joel Tasca tasca@ballardspahr.com 23 lit@pisanellibice.com Kimberly Peets 24 25 Maria Gall gallm@ballardspahr.com 26 Shannon Dinkel sd@pisanellibice.com 27

28

1	Docket Clerk	DocketClerk_LasVegas@ballardspahr.com
2	David Astur	dastur@petersonbaker.com
3 4	Docket Clerk	DocketClerk_LasVegas@ballardspahr.com
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		