IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Jan 17 2023 02:06 PM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

JAYSHAWN D. BAILEY, Appellant(s),

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent(s),

Case No: C-20-347887-1 Related Case A-22-857574-W

Docket No: 85808

RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME 8

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT JAYSHAWN BAILEY # 1256551, PROPER PERSON P.O. BOX 650 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-2212

VOLUME :	PAGE NUMBER:
1	1 - 240
2	241 - 480
3	481 - 720
4	721 - 960
5	961 - 1200
6	1201 - 1440
7	1441 - 1680
8	1681 - 1902

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
7	9/28/2022	3-Day Expedited Order for Transcript	1658 - 1659
1	4/3/2020	Amended Criminal Bindover	143 - 176
1	4/3/2020	Amended Criminal Bindover (Confidential)	88 - 142
7	9/8/2021	Amended Information	1492 - 1493
7	9/14/2022	Application to Proceed Informa Pauperis (Confidential)	1647 - 1650
7	7/13/2022	Case Appeal Statement	1641 - 1642
8	1/17/2023	Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record	
1	4/2/2020	Criminal Bindover	1 - 32
1	4/2/2020	Criminal Bindover (Confidential)	33 - 85
6	9/7/2021	Defendant's Motion for Supplemental Discovery Related to Expert Witness Dr. Christina Di Loreto (Continued)	1380 - 1440
7	9/7/2021	Defendant's Motion for Supplemental Discovery Related to Expert Witness Dr. Christina Di Loreto (Continuation)	1441 - 1476
6	8/30/2021	Defendant's Motion for Supplemental Discovery Related to Expert Witness Dr. Christina Di Loreto (Sealed per Minute Order 009/03/2021)	1224 - 1334
7	12/8/2021	Defendant's Motion to Appoint Alternate Counsel for Motion to Withdraw Plea	1525 - 1527
4	3/8/2021	Defendant's Motion to Exclude Statements and Request For Evidentiary Hearing Pursuant to Jackson v. Denno (Continued)	730 - 960
5	3/8/2021	Defendant's Motion to Exclude Statements and Request For Evidentiary Hearing	961 - 1043

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
		Pursuant to Jackson v. Denno (Continuation)	
3	1/11/2021	Defendant's Motion to Stay District Court Proceedings; Hearing Date Requested	621 - 624
3	3/1/2021	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) (Continued)	660 - 720
4	3/1/2021	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) (Continuation)	721 - 728
7	9/7/2021	Defendant's Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Supplemental Discovery Related to Expert Witness Dr. Diloreto	1487 - 1490
5	3/25/2021	Defendant's Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Exclude Statements	1119 - 1122
7	9/7/2021	Defendant's Reply to States Opposition to Motion to Preclude Admission of Internet Search	1483 - 1486
7	9/7/2021	Defendant's Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Preclude Expert Opinion Testimony That the Manner of Death is Homicide	1478 - 1482
2	6/8/2020	Defendant's Reply to State's Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus	354 - 357
8	1/17/2023	District Court Minutes	1869 - 1902
8	1/17/2023	Documentary Exhibits (Unfiled) Confidential	1810 - 1868
8	1/17/2023	Documentary Exhibits (Unfiled) Sealed	1801 - 1809
2	8/12/2020	Ex Parte Order	472 - 473
5	3/18/2021	Ex Parte Order for Transcript	1045 - 1047

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
7	4/5/2022	Exhibits A - D to Defendant's Sentencing Memorandum (Filed Under Seal)	1541 - 1631
7	9/8/2021	Guilty Plea Agreement	1494 - 1501
1	4/2/2020	Information	86 - 87
7	4/27/2022	Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty)	1632 - 1634
2	12/14/2020	Motion for Own Recognizance or Setting of Bail (Continued)	474 - 480
3	12/14/2020	Motion for Own Recognizance or Setting of Bail (Continuation)	481 - 543
1	4/20/2020	Motion for Own Recognizance Release	178 - 185
7	11/2/2021	Motion for Own Recognizance Release or Setting of Reasonable Bail	1502 - 1507
5	8/30/2021	Motion for Specific Disclosure and Identification of Electronic Evidence (Continued)	1199 - 1200
6	8/30/2021	Motion for Specific Disclosure and Identification of Electronic Evidence (Continuation)	1201 - 1203
6	9/7/2021	Motion in Limine to Preclude Admission of Irrelevant and Prejudicial Internet Search	1374 - 1379
6	8/30/2021	Motion in Limine to Preclude Admission of Irrelevant and Prejudicial Internet Search (Sealed per Minute Order 09/03/2021)	1204 - 1223
5	8/30/2021	Motion in Limine to Preclude Expert Opinion Testimony that the Manner of Death in this Case is Homicide	1132 - 1198
2	7/6/2020	Motion to Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Material	363 - 397

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
7	9/29/2022	Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record; Hearing Date Requested	1660 - 1662
7	9/6/2022	Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Dismissed	1643 - 1646
7	7/12/2022	Notice of Appeal	1635 - 1640
1	4/17/2020	Notice of Department Reassignment	177 - 177
1	4/21/2020	Notice of Hearing	186 - 186
1	4/21/2020	Notice of Hearing	187 - 188
2	5/18/2020	Notice of Hearing	341 - 341
2	7/7/2020	Notice of Hearing	398 - 398
3	12/14/2020	Notice of Hearing	544 - 544
3	1/12/2021	Notice of Hearing	625 - 625
5	3/9/2021	Notice of Hearing	1044 - 1044
6	8/30/2021	Notice of Hearing	1335 - 1335
6	8/30/2021	Notice of Hearing	1336 - 1336
6	8/31/2021	Notice of Hearing	1369 - 1369
7	9/7/2021	Notice of Hearing	1477 - 1477
7	9/7/2021	Notice of Hearing	1491 - 1491
7	11/3/2021	Notice of Hearing	1508 - 1508
7	12/9/2021	Notice of Hearing	1528 - 1528
7	10/1/2022	Notice of Hearing	1663 - 1663
2	8/12/2020	Order	460 - 471
7	11/15/2021	Order	1516 - 1517
8	10/24/2022	Order	1799 - 1800

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
3	12/28/2020	Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release or Setting of Bail	618 - 620
2	6/17/2020	Order Denying Defendant's Pretrial Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	358 - 360
2	5/18/2020	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus; Hearing Date Requested	273 - 340
7	11/24/2021	Presentence Investigation Report (Unfiled) Confidential	1518 - 1524
2	6/29/2020	Receipt of Copy	361 - 361
2	6/29/2020	Receipt of Copy	362 - 362
4	3/4/2021	Receipt of Copy	729 - 729
7	4/5/2022	Sentencing Memorandum	1529 - 1540
5	8/9/2021	Settlement Conference Acknowledgment	1128 - 1131
5	3/23/2021	State's Amended Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Statements	1074 - 1118
6	8/31/2021	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Present Testimony of Expert Witness Dr. Christina Diloreto Through Audio Visual Transmission at Trial	1356 - 1360
2	7/14/2020	State's Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234]	399 - 428
1	4/22/2020	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release	189 - 213
3	12/16/2020	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release or Setting of Bail	545 - 617
7	11/4/2021	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Recognizance Release or Setting of Bail	1509 - 1515

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
6	8/31/2021	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Specific Disclosure and Identification of Electronic Evidence	1337 - 1340
6	9/1/2021	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Supplemental Discovery Related to Expert Witness, Dr. Diloreto	1370 - 1373
6	8/31/2021	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Admission of Internet Search	1341 - 1347
6	8/31/2021	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Expert Opinion Testimony that the Manner of Death is Homicide	1348 - 1355
5	3/23/2021	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Statements	1048 - 1073
3	1/19/2021	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Stay District Court Proceedings	626 - 628
2	7/15/2020	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material	429 - 459
2	6/2/2020	State's Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus	342 - 353
6	8/31/2021	State's Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234]	1361 - 1368
3	2/24/2021	State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234]	629 - 659
1	4/27/2020	Transcript of Hearing Held on April 1, 2020 (Continued)	214 - 240
2	4/27/2020	Transcript of Hearing Held on April 1, 2020 (Continuation)	241 - 272

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
5	3/30/2021	Transcript of Hearing Held on June 11, 2020	1123 - 1127
8	10/5/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on June 16, 2021	1753 - 1798
7	10/5/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on May 12, 2021 (Continued)	1664 - 1680
8	10/5/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on May 12, 2021 (Continuation)	1681 - 1752
7	9/14/2022	Unfiled Document(s) - Attorney Letter w/Copy of Unfiled Motion for the Appointment of Counsel; Request for Evidentiary Hearing	1651 - 1657

1	Q	What did you do?
2	A	I took him home.
3	Q	And it's not did you set up the polygraph for that same day? Or was it
4	set up for a	a couple days later?
5	A	I was trying to set it up for the following Wednesday because I didn't
6	think the p	olygraph people would be able to get him in any sooner. We were able to
7	get it sche	duled for Tuesday.
8	Q	Okay. So a couple days later?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Were you were your questions, as a detective, focused on
11	determinin	g were you focused on him being the suspect at that time?
12	A	No. We were just focusing on his actions and then at some point we
13	started asl	king about potential trouble houses, party houses, anyone in the
14	neighborh	ood he felt who might be involved.
15	Q	You were just trying to get a lead?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Additionally, did you also ask him further questions about the two
18	people he	claimed to see dump the body?
19	A	We did.
20	Q	And, in fact, did you keep asking him about those people?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And what's the reasoning for that?
23	A	He
24	Q	I know it's self-explanatory, but what's the reasoning for that?
25	A	We were trying to get a better description of those two people. I, at one

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF BUDDY EMBREY 2 BY MS. CLARK: 3 Q So, Detective Embrey, you responded to that scene, that suspicious death? 4 5 Yes, I did. Α 6 Q Okay. And you knew the brief details from the 9-1-1 call but not much else, right? 7 8 Α That's correct. 9 Okay. And then obviously the scene when you found it? Q 10 Α Yes. 11 Q Okay. So you went over to Mr. Bailey, you introduced yourself with 12 your partner? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Presumably you told him you wanted to talk to him, right? 15 Α Yes. 16 Q Okay. So at that time you said that there was a crowd forming and they 17 were getting kind of angry; is that fair? 18 Α There was a crowd and you could tell they weren't pleased. 19 Okay. So a crowd of civilians? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 Q People in the neighborhood? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q And they were gathering to watch? Just to, sort of, be nosey? Find out

There was -- probably all of the above. We had a large amount of

what was going on? What was what was your take on that?

24

25

Α

1	Q	Okay. Yourself and Detective Ravelo in the same vehicle?	
2	Α	Yes.	
3	Q	And Jayshawn, excuse me, Mr. Bailey in the backseat of the vehicle?	
4	Α	No. He was sitting in the front passenger seat.	
5	Q	Front seat? And so you were his ride to the station and presumably his	
6	ride back fro	om the station?	
7	Α	Yes.	
8	Q	Okay. Now, you said you took him to the suspect interview room?	
9	Α	Yes.	
10	Q	I think you said you came in from the rear of building?	
11	A	It's the there's only two entrances. The most common one that we	
12	use is the re	ear because it's you key your way in. You're right into the interview	
13	suite versus	s if you go in through the front door you have to go through numerous	
14	doors to get	t to where we're going.	
15	Q	Okay. So you didn't go in the front doors?	
16	Α	No, we didn't.	
17	Q	Where the public would enter, so to speak?	
18	Α	Nope.	
19	Q	Okay. So you take him in the back, you put him in the suspect	
20	interview ro	om, are there windows in that room?	
21	А	There's only just a small window on the door.	
22	Q	On the door? Okay. One door?	
23	Α	One door.	
24	Q	One door in and out. I presume that door was closed when you were	
25	talking to him?		

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And besides yourself and Detective Ravelo is just Mr. Bailey in the
3	room?	
4	A	That's correct.
5	Q	And you said it was audio and video recorded?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And you told him it was audio and video recorded?
8	A	I believe I just told him it was recorded. I don't know if I specified that
9	there was a	ectual video or not.
10	Q	Now, you said that he was free to leave the interview room, did you tell
11	him he was	free to leave the interview room?
12	A	I don't recall. I know it's not on tape. But I do not recall if I told him
13	beforehand	or not.
14	Q	Okay. Now, you said that you didn't read him any Miranda warnings at
15	this time?	
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	And you mentioned that you were concerned about a couple of his
18	answers, hi	s story; I mean, would that be fair to say?
19	A	Not really his story, the fact of him touching the body was basically my
20	main conce	rn.
21	Q	Okay. And forgive me, that I would consider that part of his story.
22	A	Okay.
23	Q	Okay. So when he told you his story about seeing two people put
24	something	in the sewer.
25	A	Yes.
	1	

1	Q	Right? And then going in at some point later and touching the body.
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. That struck you as suspicious?
4	A	I don't know if I would call it suspicious more than just weird.
5	Q	So weird
6	A	At that point.
7	Q	Weird enough you didn't want to leave it alone?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. So you asked him to do a buccal swab?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	But you did tell him if he didn't want to do it you were going to get a
12	search warr	rant to do it?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And that was while you were that room, right?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. And you also brought up the idea of the polygraph while you
17	were in that	room?
18	A	I did. Yes.
19	Q	Okay. And again that was because of his, sort of, weird answer about
20	that?	
21	A	Yeah. Pretty much, yes.
22	Q	Going into the sewer?
23		Okay. And you mentioned after you talked about the polygraph that
24	Jayshawn a	sked if that was something that went off brain waves?
25	A	That's correct.
	1	

1	Q	Now, Detective Embrey, you were also present a few days later when
2	he did his p	polygraph examination, correct?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And I think the same situation, right, you picked him up for that,
5	took him to	the headquarters?
6	Α	Yes. He during the initial interview he said he would need a ride and
7	l agreed to	bring him down.
8	Q	Okay. And presumably you escorted him into the interview room the
9	second tim	e as well?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. And during that time, that was a room that had no windows in it?
12	Α	The polygraph, yes, they do not.
13	Q	Okay. And you had your partner with you at that time? Jaeger?
14	Detective J	laeger?
15	Α	When we brought him into the room and, basically, I call it handing it off
16	to the polys	grapher, I don't recall if I believe Detective Jaeger was with us.
17	Q	Okay. And he was placed in a chair in that room?
18		
	Α	Yes.
19	A Q	Yes. Back against the wall?
19 20		
	Q	Back against the wall?
20	Q A	Back against the wall? Yes.
20 21	Q A Q	Back against the wall? Yes. And there was one door in and out of there?
20 21 22	Q A Q A	Back against the wall? Yes. And there was one door in and out of there? That's correct.
20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q	Pack against the wall? Yes. And there was one door in and out of there? That's correct. And he was asked to turn his cell phone off when he went in that room?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And that one door in and out was closed?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Obviously.
5		Now, were you present at that time when Miranda warnings were given
6	to Mr. Baile	y?
7	A	l was.
8	Q	But you were not the one who provided those rights?
9	A	No, I was not.
10	Q	Who did that? Provide warning?
11	A	Detective Rivera, I believe, is his last name, the polygrapher.
12	Q	Okay. So he's a detective as well?
13	A	He might be a civilian employee. I'm not sure.
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	I call him a detective.
16	Q	Okay. So you didn't drop him off at the polygraphy examination or the
17	polygraph a	and then leave?
18	A	No. No.
19	Q	Okay. Did you observe the polygraph examination while it was
20	happening?	
21	A	I observed a portion of it, yes.
22	Q	Okay. Was that via close circuit television, like, sort of a live feed
23	happening?	
24	A	Much like this, how we have it set up, we're able to monitor the
25	cameras.	
I	1	

1	Q	So you're in one room
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	watching what's happening in another room?
4		Okay. Now, after the polygraph examination you don't go back into that
5	room right	away?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Right?
8		In fact the polygraph examiner talks to Jayshawn for quite a while?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Do you recall that?
11		Okay. By my review, about 25 minutes or so?
12	A	I'll have to take your word for it because I wasn't paying attention.
13	Q	Sounds about right? Okay.
14		And then at some point you and your partner come in?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And the polygraph examiner leaves and you and Detective Jaeger take
17	over, sort c	of, the ongoing interrogation after that?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And so you had watched enough of the polygraph to know, sort of, how
20	that happer	ned, right?
21	A	That and we have had received the results that, obviously, he, in their
22	words, faile	ed.
23	Q	Okay. And you had watched at least some of the interview between the
24	polygraph e	examiner and Mr. Bailey?
25	A	The post-polygraph?
I	1	

1	Q	Yes.
2	A	Yes, I had.
3	Q	Okay. So when you and Detective Jaeger went into the room, there's
4	two of you,	smallish room, and Mr. Bailey?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And one of you is sitting and one of you is standing; do your
7	remember t	that?
8	Α	I'm sitting and Detective Jaeger is standing. Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And you sort of take over, right, the interrogation?
10	A	Because I had had the previous interview with him, so, yes.
11	Q	Okay. And so you knew you knew what he had already told you in
12	the previou	s interview?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Now, at this point he's a suspect, right?
15	Α	At that point, yes.
16	Q	Now, during the interrogation you're not just asking him questions, you
17	talk at him	a lot, right, you're trying to get people to say things back to you; is that
18	fair?	
19	A	We're just trying to get them to basically be honest with us about what
20	occurred.	
21	Q	Okay. And do you recall in this case you sort of suggested a version of
22	the story in	which Mr. Bailey was involved but not necessarily very culpable; does
23	that sound	right?
24	A	Yes. I at that time I believed it was a overdose and that either he
25	observed h	is two friends or he helped his friends place the body in the sewer to, in

	1	
1	essence, get rid of it.	
2	Q	And you, sort of, made that suggestion as to that's probably what
3	happened,	right, to Bailey? To Mr. Bailey?
4	Α	Oh, yes.
5	Q	Multiple times?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Probably five times at least?
8	Α	Yeah, probably at least five.
9	Q	Do you remember telling him that if that's what he told you that he
10	would get t	to go home?
11	A	Uh-huh. Yes.
12	Q	Again, multiple times?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. You tell us this, you get to go home?
15	A	Yep. Yes.
16	Q	I'm not going to arrest you, you say this?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And Mr. Bailey continued to deny having anything to do at that
19	point with t	he body in the sewer?
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	And he probably issues the same number of denials, you know, five,
22	ten, how e	ver many
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	to your suggestions?
25		Okay. And that goes on, I would say, and your memory might be better

1	Α	l do.
2	Q	Okay. And that's a room that's, again, set up for audio and video?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	Okay. And is that part of the reason why you have you interview
5	witnesses t	here?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. And you said you went through the back, correct?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Is the reason you went why did you go through the back versus the
10	front public	area?
11	A	Simple access, it's through one door instead of multiple doors. I could
12	just park rig	tht outside the door. We could walk straight in.
13	Q	Is the front lobby open on Sundays?
14	Α	No.
15	Q	Okay. So while it's the front entrance is a public entrance, it wasn't
16	open that d	ay?
17	Α	That's correct.
18	Q	Okay. And then you, like you said, there is a limited amount there is
19	less doors	going through the back way than the front way?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. When you were talking to Mr. Bailey during that first interview
22	did he also	express concern about being labeled a, quote, unquote, snitch?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Is that another reason why it's important to get these get potential
25	witnesses a	away from a crowd?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And, specifically in this case, Mr. Bailey who seemed to be afraid of
3	being label	ed as such?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Now, we talked a lot Ms. Clark went into that second interview
6	which now	I think we should go into a little bit more now, you picked him up that day
7	on Tuesday	y, is that correct, on January 21 st ?
8	A	Yes, that's correct.
9	Q	Okay. And you did that if you did that why? Why did you pick him
10	up versus l	naving him come to you?
11	A	He asked and said he needed a ride.
12	Q	Okay. He didn't have a vehicle to get your location?
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	Okay. When you drove him to the to this interview do you recall if he
15	was in the	front seat or the backseat?
16	A	Front seat.
17	Q	Okay. And the front seat, again, is that like a normal vehicle front seat
18	where you	can just open the door?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Like, the you can't, like, lock the door and he can't get out?
21	A	It's not a police vehicle.
22	Q	Okay.
23	A	It is, but it's not secured like a police vehicle.
24	Q	Okay. And he wasn't in handcuffs or anything like that?
25	A	No, he was not.

1	Q	Okay. When he gets into gets to the the police station, do you take
2	him to the	polygraph area?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Now, the polygraph area, specifically where the polygraph people are,
5	there's no	windows in that room, correct?
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	And the room is fairly small? As we'll see in the video pretty soon.
8	Α	The actual polygraph room, yes.
9	Q	Okay. Now, do when people are applying for jobs at Metro do they
10	have to go	through the polygraph process as well?
11	A	Yes, they do.
12	Q	Do they go in those same rooms?
13	A	Yes, they do.
14	Q	The same windowless small rooms?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	So it's not just reserved for people that may or may not be suspects, it's
17	for anyone	who goes through a polygraph examination?
18	A	That's correct.
19	Q	Okay. And in order to be employed by Metro you have to take a
20	polygraph	examination?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. So every employee who's been hired since the new
23	headquarte	ers was formed has had to go through that into a room like that?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. In the very beginning Miranda rights is read to Mr. Bailey?

1	A That was done by Mr. Rivera. I'll call him Mister.
2	Q Okay. Now, I'm just going to go I'm going to start playing the video a
3	little bit here. Bear with me, detective.
4	A My glasses are getting fogged up.
5	THE COURT: Oh, I know. Isn't that awful?
6	THE WITNESS: It's horrible.
7	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So it's not allowing me to sign in.
8	May I approach your clerk?
9	THE COURT: Sure.
10	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
11	THE CLERK: Judge, just so you know, this is not admitted either.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry, this is what?
13	THE CLERK: Not admitted.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: I'll move to admit it.
16	THE RECORDER: Haly, can you help us?
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, we did this right before court
18	started and it worked.
19	THE CLERK: Let me see. Can I take your laptop? See up here, up top
20	where it says share screen?
21	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. Cool.
22	THE CLERK: Should be able to do it that way. Let me know if that works.
23	Okay.
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
25	<i>///</i>

1	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
2	Q And, detective, do you recognize this? What this image is showing?
3	MS. HAMERS: And I'm sorry to interrupt you, I think you said we think that
4	Jayshawn can see this too?
5	THE COURT: Mr. Bailey, can you see this?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
8	MS. HAMERS: Okay. Thank you.
9	Sorry to interrupt you. Go ahead.
10	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
11	Q Do you recognize what's shown in this screen?
12	A That's the polygraph room.
13	Q Okay. And do you see the person that who has the back toward us to
14	the camera?
15	A That's Mr. Bailey.
16	Q And does this and this is taken on January 21st, of 2020, when
17	Mr. Bailey was taken to polygraph examination; is that correct?
18	A January 21 st , yes.
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Your Honor, we have I mean, both parties
20	have attached this video as part of our motions. I would ask to be able to use it for
21	the purpose of this hearing alone.
22	MS. CLARK: We have no objection.
23	THE COURT: Pardon?
24	MS. CLARK: No objection.
25	MS. HAMERS: No objection.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to move to admit it?
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: I'll move to admit it. I think I marked it as Exhibit 2.
3	THE CLERK: For video-audio? Yeah.
4	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: It's admitted.
6	[STATE'S EXHIBIT 2 ADMITTED]
7	MR. SCHWARTZER: That's not good.
8	THE CLERK: Make sure you mute your BlueJeans. There you go. You
9	should be good now.
10	MR. SCHWARTZER: I don't know it's going to work this way.
11	THE RECORDER: Can I try and mute the jail?
12	THE CLERK: Judge, is it okay if she mutes the jail to try it that way?
13	THE COURT: Sure. He'll be able to hear us, we just won't be able to hear
14	Mr. Bailey.
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: So I'm not sure to play the video hear while I'm
16	present in the courtroom, that wouldn't show over
17	THE RECORDER: Try it again because they muted. There's just a delay.
18	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
19	So I guess
20	THE COURT: Oh, geez.
21	MR. SCHWARTZER: All right. So
22	THE CLERK: Let me try something really quick, Judge.
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: And there is no way to use MirrorOp while using
24	BlueJeans?
25	THE CLERK: I might be able to download your video to my BlueJeans and do

1	read, which is I believe at 12:40, in that in that area, and then the next clip we	
2	were going to play well, I'll start it from there and then I'll mention in my next	
3	examination the other time stamp.	
4		So may I proceed with this witness?
5	THE	COURT: You may.
6	BY MR. SO	CHWARTZER:
7	Q	Okay. So, to be clear, when Mr. Bailey was first placed in that room no
8	one's talkir	ng to him at first; is that correct?
9	А	That's correct.
10	Q	And no one's actually in the room as well?
11	А	That's correct.
12	Q	And we can see from the video, or now we can't see but when the video
13	was up, Mı	Bailey's there, he has no handcuffs, he's not restrained in any way?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Additionally, he bring with him a Powerade?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	So he has something to drink as well?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Is it do you recall at any point during the interview where he asks for
20	any food o	r anything to drink or anything like that?
21	А	No, he didn't.
22	Q	Okay. So he had all he had that Powerade with him during during
23	the course	of the interview and could have used that at any point?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	If he asked to use the bathroom at all, he would have been able to use

1	the bathroom?		
2	A	Absolutely.	
3	Q	Okay. But before any questions occur Miranda is read by Mr. Rivera?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	With you being either in the room or watching remotely?	
6	A	I was actually physically present when he did that.	
7	Q	And, in fact, we can actually well, you can't see the video I'm seeing	
8	right now but we can see, kind of, like, the top of your head while the while the		
9	Miranda is being read?		
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	And in this case Miranda was read, every specific right that's read and	
12	then Mr. Bailey answers "yes"?		
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	So Mr. Rivera doesn't read the whole thing and says, Do you	
15	understand your rights, you know, like we've seen countless times, but in fact goes		
16	through every specific part and makes sure that Mr. Bailey responds affirmatively; is		
17	that fair?		
18	A	That's correct.	
19	Q	Okay. After that's done then they go into the special polygraph portion	
20	of it?		
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	Okay. And then after that you and Detective Jaeger leave; is that fair?	
23	A	That's correct.	
24	Q	Okay. You say you observe a portion of it remotely watching a video as	
25	the polygra	ph is occurring?	
	1		

1	A	That is.	
2	Q	Again, this is stuff the Judge will be able to see, I'll get maybe into it	
3	with the other detective, but that whole thing is still just because you're not there,		
4	all that stuff is still being recorded audio and video?		
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	So the demeanor of both Mr. Bailey and Mr. Rivera is all seen	
7	throughout the video?		
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	Okay. At some point after he's informed that he's failed the polygraph,	
10	specifically regarding the question whether he placed the body in the in the sewe		
11	Mr. Rivera then asked him some follow-up questions, right?		
12	Α	Yes.	
13	Q	And that goes on for about 20 minutes?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	After that do you and Detective Jaeger come in and talk to him?	
16	A	Yes. He summonses Mr. Rivera did summon us to the room.	
17	Q	Okay. And Ms. Clark pointed out multiple that you've offered him	
18	certain outs; is that right?		
19	A	I don't know if I would classify that as an out because at that time I truly	
20	believe that this was an overdose and this was just the case of them trying to get ri		
21	of a body.	I wouldn't describe that as an out.	
22	Q	Okay. So what you were what you believe the version of events you	
23	were, kind of, telling Mr. Bailey?		
24	Α	Yes.	
25	Q	Okay. And Mr. Bailey denies that's what occurred at some times?	

1	trying to talk to him about before then?		
2	A	No.	
3	Q	Does he provide you with details that you didn't know during the course	
4	of the investigation?		
5	Α	Yes.	
6	Q	Okay. Is that were there some of the details that you were able to	
7	later find out turned out to be correct?		
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	Specifically, do you recall when about where he met Ms. Trotter?	
10	Α	At McDonald's.	
11	Q	Did you were you aware of that before Mr. Bailey told you that?	
12	Α	l was not.	
13	Q	Okay. But there was subsequent follow up and you were able to	
14	actually observe the video surveillance from the McDonald's?		
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	Okay. Additionally, he actually told you who the victim was?	
17	A	That's correct.	
18	Q	At no point during this interview did you ever say her name?	
19	A	No.	
20	Q	Okay. It was Mr. Bailey who brought it up first?	
21	A	That's correct.	
22	Q	At that additionally, does he tells you he tells you and Detective	
23	Jaeger afte	r he puts her in a headlock and she passes out and is nonresponsive,	
24	does he tell you what he does with the body, like where does he put it?		
25	A	He said he attempted to do C.P.R. for at least two hours. Once he	

the cases they bring up, I believe it's <u>Preston</u>, the Ninth Circuit case, they bring up the fact that during the -- in that Ninth Circuit case the defendant in that case, the suspect in that case made claims that were demonstratively false and that was part of the way that they were able to show that the detectives overcome the will of this individual -- of this individual, that he started making statements that were demonstratively false. Here I'm saying it's the opposite.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Mr. Bailey's not giving -- he's giving statements that we can show that is demonstratively true.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: And that's just what I'm establishing. I'll move on right after this question.

MS. CLARK: I mean, I think that the point that Mr. Schwartzer is trying to make has been made, which is that he wasn't parenting the suggested story that came from Detective Embrey. And I think what he's trying to get into is that these statements that he made were true or, you know, maybe they were, but whether they're true or not is not relevant --

THE COURT: Or corroborated.

MS. CLARK: -- actually to whether or not his will was overborne at the time that he made them. So I don't think it goes at all to this question.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Well, I disagree because I --

THE COURT: I'll allow you to ask the question.

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

Q Okay. Eventually did DNA support that Ms. Trotter was in the closet and on the floor?

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Okay. During your questioning of Mr. Bailey did any of the statements
3	he made, d	id it indicate to you that he didn't understand what was occurring?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Did it seem to you that he that those statement were inappropriate for
6	the question	ns being asked?
7	A	All his answers were appropriate to the questioning by both myself and
8	Detective J	aeger.
9	Q	Did he seem to understand the seriousness of of making such
10	statements	?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Did he seem to know that such statements would lead him to going to
13	prison?	
14	A	That's correct.
15	Q	In fact, did that seem to be a fear of his?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. When you suggested the version of disposing of the body to
18	help a frien	d, you mentioned that he wouldn't be on the hook for any type of crime; is
19	that is tha	at right?
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	Okay. Did Mr. Bailey bring up the fact of being an accessory?
22	A	He did mention that, yes.
23	Q	Did he understand to you so he didn't just take your word for it, he
24	actually que	estioned you on whether he would be liable or not?
25	A	Yes.
ı	1	

1	Q	Did he seem to understand what "accessory" meant?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Did he use it in an appropriate fashion?
4	Α	Yes, he did.
5	Q	Okay. To be clear, this whole interview did not last six hours?
6	Α	No.
7	Q	Okay. And from the time you talked to him after the polygraph
8	examination	n to the time he makes incriminating statements would that be less than
9	30 minutes?	? From your talking to him not the polygraph.
10	Α	From the time that Detective Jaeger and I
11	Q	Yes.
12	Α	approached him the second time?
13	Q	Yes.
14	Α	Yes, that's correct.
15	Q	Okay.
16	THE	CLERK: Just let me know if you want me to
17	THE	COURT: Okay. We have the video. I guess, I don't know if you want to
18	go back.	
19	MR. S	SCHWARTZER: I'll keep going, Your Honor.
20	THE	COURT: Okay.
21	MR. S	SCHWARTZER: I know we're on, like, a time restraint.
22	THE	COURT: Yeah, no problem.
23	MR. S	SCHWARTZER: So, again, I would urge Your Honor to watch before
24	you make a	ny ruling on this case.
25		Court's indulgence.

1	I'll pass, Your Honor.		
2	MS. CLARK: I just have real brief follow up.		
3	THE COURT: Absolutely.		
4	RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF BUDDY EMBREY		
5	BY MS. CLARK:		
6	Q Jayshawn expressed some concern about being labeled a snitch, right?		
7	A That's correct.		
8	Q And some concern about the people in the neighborhood?		
9	A Yes.		
10	Q And you observed some of that yourself obviously?		
11	A I did.		
12	MS. CLARK: Court's indulgence.		
13	Actually, I have no further questions, Your Honor.		
14	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from		
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: Nothing further. Thank you, Your Honor.		
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your testimony.		
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
18	THE COURT: You may step down.		
19	Are you going to want the witness to stay?		
20	MR. SCHWARTZER: No, Detective Embrey can can leave.		
21	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.		
22	And you may call your next witness.		
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: Detective Jaeger.		
24	THE MARSHAL: I'm sorry?		
25	MR. SCHWARTZER: Detective Jaeger.		

1	THE	CLERK: Please raise your right hand.	
2	RYAN JAEGER,		
3	[having	been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:]	
4	THE	CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and spell your first and last	
5	name for th	ne record.	
6	THE	WITNESS: My first name is Ryan, R-Y-A-N; last name of Jaeger,	
7	J-A-E-G-E-	·R.	
8		DIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN JAEGER	
9	BY MR. SC	CHWARTZER:	
10	Q	Detective, tell the Court how you're employed.	
11	Α	Currently I'm employed with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police	
12	Department assigned as a detective with the Homicide Section.		
13	Q	And how long have you been in Homicide?	
14	Α	I've been with the police department for almost 23 years now and I've	
15	been with Homicide for the last four.		
16	Q	And how long have you been a detective?	
17	Α	15 years.	
18	Q	I'm sorry. I can't see you. There we go.	
19		Detective, I want to direct your attention to January 19th of 2020,	
20	Fred Brown	n Drive were you working as a Homicide detective at the time?	
21	Α	I was.	
22	Q	And were you up, for the lack of a better word, for the next suspicious	
23	death case	?	
24	Α	Yeah. I was next in line for rotation to be case agent, yes.	
25	Q	Okay. And by being case agent that means, for the lack of a better	

1	word, you v	would be the lead detective?
2	A	That's correct.
3	Q	Okay. At the time who was your partner?
4	A	At the time my partner was Detective Embrey.
5	Q	Okay. And that would be the detective who just left this courtroom?
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	Okay. When you respond to Detective Embrey already told us a little
8	bit about th	e background, but I did you actually respond to January 19th, 2020, to
9	that locatio	n on Fred Brown?
10	A	l did.
11	Q	As the case agent what was your role at that scene?
12	Α	So as a case agent you're basically the project manager of the
13	investigation. You direct everything that is done on the case. You're mainly, as the	
14	case agents, your main focus is on the physical evidence and the crime scene itself	
15	and then you would direct either your partner or other members of your squad to	
16	interview witnesses.	
17	Q	And in this case did you assign anyone to talk to witnesses?
18	Α	l did.
19	Q	And who was that?
20	Α	Detective Embrey.
21	Q	Okay. Now, the nature of the call, was there a 9-1-1 caller that you
22	wanted to I	nave interviewed?
23	Α	There was.
24	Q	And did the 9-1-1 caller give his name on the on the recording?
25	A	He did.
	I	

1	Q	And did he give a couple give a statement to the dispatcher?	
2	A	He did.	
3	Q	And do you recall who that person was?	
4	Α	His name was Jayshawn Bailey.	
5	Q	Do you see him?	
6	A	I believe that's him on the monitor.	
7	Q	Okay. And you just pointed to a monitor behind Ms. Overly here, and	
8	that's the in	ndividual that did you eventually meet Mr. Bailey?	
9	A	Yes, I did.	
10	Q	Okay. And so is that individual the person that you met as	
11	Jayshawn Bailey?		
12	A	Yes.	
13	MR.	SCHWARTZER: Okay. Let the record reflect the identification of the	
14	defendant,	Your Honor?	
15	THE COURT: So reflected.		
16	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:		
17	Q	Now, since you were covering the scene, did you did you have you	
18	said you h	ad Detective Embrey talk to witnesses?	
19	A	That's correct.	
20	Q	And so specifically you wanted him to talk to Mr. Bailey?	
21	A	Yes. Mr. Bailey was person reporting.	
22	Q	And why did you want him to talk to the person reporting?	
23	A	They're the ones that the person reporting called 9-1-1 and alerted	
24	police, we	need to interview them to see why they called and what they found.	
25	Q	And were you aware of the contents of that 9-1-1 call?	

1	А	I was.
2	Q	Based on that 9-1-1 call did you believe Mr. Bailey had some additional
3	information	to provide besides what he provided 9-1-1?
4	Α	l did.
5	Q	Okay. Now, did you attend that first interview with Mr. Bailey?
6	A	I did not. When that interview was taking place I was actually part way
7	in the sewe	r or right around the sewer removing the body.
8	Q	Okay. And was part of your job as a case agent to, kind of, document
9	where the b	oody was located and the condition of the body?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	And so you were doing that while Detective Embrey was interviewing
12	Mr. Bailey?	
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	Were you in on a decision to remove Mr. Bailey from that area to go to
15	LVMPD headquarters or was that	
16	A	l'm
17	Q	Go ahead.
18	А	I was not.
19	Q	Okay. So that was Detective Embrey?
20	Α	That's correct.
21	Q	Okay. After he interviewed Mr. Bailey were you around when
22	Mr. Bailey r	returned to his residence, if you recall?
23	А	I don't remember.
24	Q	Okay. But to be fair the investigation was still going on past
25	January 19	th of 2020?
	1	

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Okay. At that point were you aware if this was even a homicide?
3	A	I was not.
4	Q	Okay. But based on the location of the body it would be it would
5	clearly be a	suspicious death?
6	A	For sure.
7	Q	Okay. So at some point were you informed that Mr. Bailey agreed to
8	come back	for a polygraph examination?
9	Α	Yes, I was.
10	Q	Who informed you of that?
11	Α	Detective Embrey.
12	Q	Were you on board for that?
13	Α	I was.
14	Q	Okay. Were you involved in the transportation of Mr. Bailey to the
15	polygraph r	room?
16	A	I was.
17	Q	Okay. And so it would be you, Detective Embrey, and who else?
18	Α	And then Jayshawn Bailey in the car.
19	Q	Okay. Was Mr. Bailey in handcuffs or anything like that when you were
20	transporting	g him?
21	А	He was not in handcuffs. He was sitting in the front passenger seat
22	next to Det	ective Embrey.
23	Q	Okay. At that point had you had any conversation with Mr. Bailey?
24	Α	I had not.
25	Q	Okay. You took him to LVMPD headquarters?
ı	1	

1	Α	That's correct.
2	Q	And that's where the polygraph examination room is?
3	Α	That's correct. In the
4	Q	And that polygraph examination room, we've already heard a little bit,
5	but it's a sm	nall room that doesn't have a window?
6	Α	That's correct.
7	Q	Okay. But that's where even Metro employees would be polygraphed?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Were you around when Mr. Bailey was Mirandized?
10	A	l was.
11	Q	Did Mr. Bailey appear to understand the rights being read to him?
12	A	Yes, he did.
13	Q	Now, did you read him the rights or did someone else read him the
14	rights?	
15	A	I did not. The polygraph technician advised him of his rights.
16	Q	Did he did Mr. Bailey respond in the affirmative after every right was
17	read to him?	
18	A	He did.
19	Q	Okay. In fact, did he ask whether he was a suspect at the time?
20	A	He did.
21	Q	Okay. So he seemed to understand that the Miranda rights were being
22	read to him?	
23	A	That's correct and then he signed a form acknowledging that he
24	understood	the rights.
25	Q	Okay. And there was also a polygraph examination thing as well?

1	A	That's correct.	
2	Q	And that was read to him as well?	
3	A	That's correct.	
4	Q	Okay. And he signed that as well?	
5	A	He did.	
6	Q	At that point did you and Detective Embrey leave the room?	
7	A	We did.	
8	Q	And was the polygraph examination conducted?	
9	A	It was.	
10	Q	Now, again, the Judge will be able to review the video. We tried to play	
11	the video, just so you're aware, and it did not work out well, so we're not going to		
12	play the video for you today. But to be fair there was there was the polygraph		
13	examination occurred and then at some point Mr. Bailey is informed that he failed it;		
14	is that correct?		
15	A	That's correct.	
16	Q	And there was some post-questions asked by the polygraph examiner?	
17	A	That's correct.	
18	Q	And then it would go on for, and the video will speak for itself, but	
19	roughly abo	out 20 minutes?	
20	A	That's correct.	
21	Q	Okay. At that point do you and Detective Embrey re-enter the room?	
22	A	We do.	
23	Q	And did the polygraph examiner leave the room?	
24	A	He did.	
25	Q	Do you recall how you and Detective Embrey were positioned?	

1	A	I believe Detective Embrey was sitting down in a chair, eye level with
2	Jayshawn,	Jayshawn was in another chair, and I was standing off to Jayshawn's left.
3	Q	Okay. Based on the fact that he failed the polygraph examination did
4	you believe	he had more information regarding what occurred in this case?
5	A	We did.
6	Q	Okay. Did Detective Embrey do most of the talking at this point?
7	A	He did.
8	Q	And why was was that something that was predetermined or was that
9	something	that kind of flowed naturally?
10	A	It just flowed naturally. Detective Embrey had talked to him in the past
11	and had de	eveloped some form of rapport with him prior.
12	Q	Okay. Did he just go along with everything that Detective Embrey told
13	him?	
14	A	He did not.
15	Q	Okay. Did he question some of the things that Detective Embrey asked
16	him?	
17	Α	He did.
18	Q	When Detective Embrey said I wouldn't arrest you, you know, if this was
19	an overdos	e and you just helped, you know, dispose of the body, did he talk about
20	being, well	, what about an accessory?
21	A	Yes, he did.
22	Q	Okay. Did he use that word appropriately?
23	A	Yes, he did.
24	Q	Okay. Did you did you stay quiet for most of that time?
25	Α	l did.
I		

ا ہا			
1	Q	Okay. At some point did you actually enter into the conversation a little	
2	bit?		
3	A	l did.	
4	Q	Were you as nice as Detective Embrey?	
5	A	l was not.	
6	Q	And why is that?	
7	A	It's an interview technique. I was more stern. I just explained to him	
8	that I belie	ve he wasn't telling the truth, that he was way more involved.	
9	Q	Okay. And this is all something that we can watch on the video, right?	
10	A	Yes, oh, yes.	
11	Q	Did you raise your voice?	
12	A	l did not.	
13	Q	Did you point at him?	
14	A	l did not.	
15	Q	Did you get in his face?	
16	A	l did not.	
17	Q	Did you move so how you're positioned in the video, correct me if I'm	
18	wrong, is y	ou're leaning against a table; is that fair?	
19	A	The area that we're talking to him in, it's a pretty small room. So there's	
20	not there	s's only room for three chairs. So I would have been positioned off to his	
21	left kind of leaning on a table two or three feet away from him.		
22	Q	So I was about to describe, so for the record, I'm going to lean against	
23	the counse	l table, were you kind of like kind of leaning against a table, arms	
24	crossed?		
25	A	Exactly how you're demonstrating.	

1	Q	Okay. And for the record I'm leaning against the plaintiff's table, arms
2	crossed, looking straight.	
3		Did you when you were questioning him, did you move from, kind of,
4	that locatio	n at all?
5	А	I did not.
6	Q	You kind of you stayed right there by the table, leaning against that
7	table?	
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And during that period of time was it a long period of time
10	where you	asked him, kind of, more or was more direct with him than
11	Detective Embrey?	
12	Α	I would say maybe a minute or two that I was speaking.
13	Q	And the rest of the time was basically Detective Embrey talking?
14	Α	That's correct.
15	Q	Okay. Now, as soon as you come off more sternly did Mr. Bailey just
16	give up and	d tell you everything?
17	Α	His demeanor did change.
18	Q	Uh-huh.
19	Α	And he focused his attention more on speaking with Detective Embrey.
20	Q	Okay.
21	Α	And he you could tell from his facial expressions that he was
22	re-evaluating the conversation.	
23	MS.	CLARK: And, Your Honor, I'm just going to object as to the speculation
24	as to what his facial expressions were.	
25	THE	COURT: Sustained.

1	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.		
2	MS. CLARK: Thank you.		
3	BY MR. SC	HWARTZER:	
4	Q	But Detective Embrey talked to him some more?	
5	A	That's correct.	
6	Q	And then at some point does Mr. Bailey say, "You keep pressuring me	
7	for the truth	, I'm going to tell you"?	
8	Α	Yes, he does.	
9	Q	He doesn't say anything like, You're pressuring me to say something I	
10	didn't do or	anything like that?	
11	A	No. He does not.	
12	Q	Okay. Does he then give a statement that incriminates him?	
13	Α	He does.	
14	Q	And again the Judge will be able to review the video when he does so,	
15	but it's roughly around 2:47 p.m. on the polygraph record; is that is that fair?		
16	Detective?		
17	Α	Yes.	
18	Q	Okay. And so it would be between 20 and 25 minutes after you guys	
19	entered the room the second time?		
20	A	That's correct.	
21	Q	Okay. Was there any questions that you observed being asked by	
22	either Dete	ctive Embrey; Mr. Rivera, the polygraph examiner; or yourself that	
23	Mr. Bailey r	responded in a inappropriate manner?	
24	A	There was none.	
25	Q	Did it steam like he couldn't didn't comprehend any of the questions	

1	being asked of him?	
2	A	Not at all.
3	Q	Did he seem to respond appropriately to those questions?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Did he seem, for the lack of a better word, troubled during the
6	interview?	Like something was weighing on him?
7	A	He did.
8	Q	Okay. And in the beginning he even talks about possibly being a
9	suspect?	
10	A	He does.
11	Q	And kind of reiterates stuff like that throughout the interview?
12	A	Yes.
13	MR.	SCHWARTZER: Court's indulgence.
14	BY MR. SO	CHWARTZER:
15	Q	Detective Jaeger, you did a analysis of Mr. Bailey's phone? You had
16	one done?	
17	A	Afterwards, yes.
18	Q	Okay. And that was an iPhone 11?
19	A	I believe so, yes.
20	Q	Okay. And I don't want to get into the contents too much for the
21	purposes o	f this hearing, but the searches
22	MS.	CLARK: Your Honor, I'm just going to object on a couple of grounds.
23	One, I don'	t think this person can testify about the cell phone data. And, second, I
24	don't see how it's relevant in any way, shape, or form to today's hearing.	
25	MR.	SCHWARTZER: They have a psychologist that says he has the social

functioning of a six-year-old for one. And then, number two, this is the lead detective, he would have got --

THE COURT: Okay. But you, so, I mean, you got in that obviously he had an iPhone 11.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

THE COURT: Is the data going to be able to, I mean, how -- how would whatever came out in the phone dump, how is that going to be relevant?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Again it goes to the sophistication of Mr. Bailey.

They're saying that he hasn't -- his sophistication is so low that he was over -- his will was overborne by these detectives showing that he did age appropriate searches. My next question was essentially was did he do age-appropriate searches and text messages. And then go from there. I think counters whatever they're --

THE COURT: Okay. I'll allow that.

MS. CLARK: And, Your Honor, I would just lodge an objection to that question at all. Age-appropriate, first of all, I think requires a level of speculation on the part of this detective and this is unadmitted things he's talking about, evidence he's talking about.

THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, that's -- that's actually --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

THE COURT: That's actually a really good point. So why don't you just move on.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

THE COURT: I mean, we know it's an iPhone 11. I mean, here's the deal, my grandson can probably work my iPhone better than me and he's 7, so.

1	MR.	SCHWARTZER: Right. There was some contents I wanted to get into.	
2	But I understand, Your Honor.		
3	BY MR. SC	HWARTZER:	
4	Q	Detective, during the interview	
5	MR.	SCHWARTZER: I'll move on, Your Honor. Thank you.	
6	BY MR. SC	HWARTZER:	
7	Q	Detective, during your interview, did Mr. Bailey talk about being	
8	employed?		
9	A	He did.	
10	Q	Okay. Was he employed at one point was he employed at Wendy's,	
11	correct?		
12	Α	Yes, he was.	
13	Q	And he said he had prior employment as well?	
14	Α	That's correct.	
15	Q	Okay. Did he also talk about going to college?	
16	Α	He did.	
17	Q	Which is something he would have had to figure out how to pay for?	
18	Α	Yes.	
19	Q	Okay. And without being able to and were you aware whether he had	
20	a vehicle or not that he owned?		
21	Α	l don't believe he owned a vehicle.	
22	Q	So in order to go to his job and to go to college, he would have had to	
23	use a bus route; is that fair?		
24	Α	That's correct.	
25	MS. 0	CLARK: Your Honor, I think again we're speculating again.	

1	THE	COURT: Right. The objection is sustained.
2	MS. 0	CLARK: Thank you.
3	MR. S	SCHWARTZER: Okay.
4		I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
5	THE	COURT: Cross.
6	MS. 0	CLARK: Thank you, Your Honor.
7		CROSS-EXAMINATION OF RYAN JAEGER
8	BY MS. CL	ARK:
9	Q	Now, Detective Embrey, you were asked a moment ago that Mr. Bailey
10	talked about being employed, right?	
11	A	I'm Detective Jaeger, actually.
12	Q	I'm so sorry. You I know that.
13		Detective Jaeger
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	you were asked a moment ago about Jayshawn telling you he was
16	employed?	
17	A	That's correct.
18	Q	Okay. You didn't go out and verify his employment records I assume?
19	A	l did not.
20	Q	And same thing with when he said he was going to college, right?
21	A	l did not.
22	Q	You didn't e-mail his professors and found out if he was enrolled?
23	A	There would be no need, no.
24	Q	Right. So that was all just information he told to you?
25	A	That's correct.

1	Q	Okay. You have no idea if that's true or not?
2	A	l do not.
3	Q	Now, you talked about being the case agent on this case, so you're sort
4	of in charge	of the investigation?
5	Α	That's correct.
6	Q	So you were not present when Detective Embrey, your partner, did that
7	initial interv	iew with Mr. Bailey?
8	Α	I was not.
9	Q	But you were present for the January 21st, interview?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	And it sounds like you escorted him to the station?
12	A	I was with when we drove him there, yes.
13	Q	Right. And you were there when he was put in the polygraph
14	examination room?	
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And then you left?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	You didn't leave the building?
19	Α	No.
20	Q	Did you continue to watch the live feed television of what was
21	happening i	in that room while you were outside of that room?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Did you pay close attention to that?
24	Α	l did.
25	Q	So the polygraph examiner didn't just jump into the polygraph exam as

when you're lying?	
Α	I'm not a polygraph examiner.
Q	Just from watching this one.
Α	But from having a working knowledge, we'll say of it, they do that
calibrate the	e machine.
Q	Right.
Α	And to verify that the machine is going to work with that individual.
Q	Okay. So they tell the person to say "no" to questions, numbers 1
through 7?	
Α	Yes.
Q	And that's what was done here?
A	Yes.
Q	And did Mr. Bailey follow those instructions?
Α	He did.
Q	He didn't let me be more specific, did he answer "yes" to any of the
numbers?	
Α	I don't believe so.
Q	Okay. Now, you recall watching that happen, right?
Α	l do. But it's been a while.
Q	Okay. But you don't recall him making an obvious error in his how
the test was	calibrated?
Α	Not that I can remember.
Q	And if you don't mind, if I can just show you the transcript of
Α	It's in the transcript. If he did it, it would be there. It would also be
video and a	udio recording.
	A Q A calibrate the Q A Q through 7? A Q A Q numbers? A Q the test was A Q A

1	Q	I agree with you. Okay. And that's a fairly simple thing to do, right,
2	saying "no"	after 1 through 7 numbers?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Okay. And just for the sake of the clarity of the record, I know
5	that we hav	ve on video and stuff. I am going to show you the transcript of that
6	portion of the	ne beginning of the polygraph exam that you were watching.
7	A	Okay.
8	Q	If that's okay?
9	MS.	CLARK: Your Honor, may I approach?
10	THE	COURT: Of course.
11	BY MS. CLARK:	
12	Q	And if you can just go through and read, sort of, from the top to the
13	bottom.	
14	Α	Okay. Now that the transcript has refreshed my memory, he was
15	asked	
16		Can I read it verbatim, Your Honor?
17	THE	COURT: That's up to Ms. Clark.
18	MS.	CLARK: That's fine.
19	THE WITNESS: He was asked, Did you read the number 5, and his answer	
20	was "yes."	
21	BY MS. CL	ARK:
22	Q	Okay. And he was given instructions, specific instructions to answer
23	"no" to eve	ry question, right?
24	A	That's correct.
25	Q	So answer "no" to number 1; answer "no" to number 2; right?

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Okay. And yet when the examiner got to number 5 he wrote he said
3	"yes"?	
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. So based on not answering "no" to numbers 1 through 7 as he
6	was instruc	cted to do, you would agree with me that he didn't follow those
7	instructions	s, right?
8	A	That's correct.
9	Q	Now, do you remember him telling the polygrapher examiner that he
10	thought he had followed the instructions?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. But he didn't?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Okay. Now, after the polygraph exam, the examiner leaves the room
15	for 30 seco	ends or so?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	To go get the results of the polygraph?
18	A	To get the charts, I think is what they're called, yes.
19	Q	Okay. And then it's the examiner who comes back in and talks to him
20	about the re	esults?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Not yourself and not Detective Embrey at this point?
23	A	No.
24	Q	And the polygraph examiner questions him for about 25 minutes or so?
25	Α	That's correct.
	1	

1	Q	And you're watching that happen?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. And the polygraph examiner is telling him that he knows he was
4	involved?	
5	A	That's correct.
6	Q	And Mr. Bailey is continually saying "no"?
7	A	I think the words that the polygraph examiner uses, he was deceptive in
8	one of those	e answers.
9	Q	Those aren't the words that the polygraph examiner used with
10	Mr. Bailey.	
11	A	Yeah. Because it's on their chart. He showed
12	Q	I believe that's probably what's on the chart. But what the examiner
13	said to Mr. Bailey was not you were deceptive in one of the answers.	
14	Α	Okay. Yeah, I wasn't in that conversation.
15	Q	Okay. Just based on, you know, what you watched and obviously
16	reviewed pr	rior to today.
17	A	Okay.
18	Q	Would a fair representation of that conversation between Mr. Bailey and
19	the polygra	ph examiner, Mr. Rivera, was Mr. Rivera saying, I know you did; and
20	Mr. Bailey s	saying, No, I didn't?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And that happened a lot?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Over and over again in that 25 minute period?
25	Α	Yes.

1	Q	And I think when you testified at the preliminary hearing you said
2	Mr. Rivera wasn't getting anywhere with him at all so we entered?	
3	Α	That's correct.
4	Q	Now, I think we talked about when you came in the room, sort of, how
5	you were le	aning, Mr
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Detective Embrey was sitting, and Detective Embrey sometimes
8	moved his	chair closer to Mr. Bailey and sometimes had it farther away; is that fair?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And for that matter, same thing with Mr. Rivera when he's talking to
11	him?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And you're watching. Sometimes he's moving really close to him?
14	Sometimes he's not?	
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And at some point when Mr. Rivera is speaking to him and he's really
17	close to hin	n in the chair, Mr. Bailey says he's uncomfortable and is under pressure
18	and Mr. Riv	rera backs up; do you recall that?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Now, you mentioned Detective Embrey being the one who
21	spoke first?	
22	Α	That's correct.
23	Q	And sort of offered a version of the story that he wanted Mr. Bailey to,
24	kind of, get	to?
25	Α	That's correct.

1	Q	Did that multiple times?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And Mr. Bailey denied that multiple times?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And you were obviously present when Detective Embrey said, If this is
6	what happe	ned, I'd take you home; right?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. Whatever you tell me along these lines, I'm taking you home.
9		Now, when you talk to him you jump in the conversation?
10	A	l did.
11	Q	And you already said you're not as nice.
12	A	l did.
13	Q	And you tell him that what's coming next is you, that you're coming for
14	him?	
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	And I think that you used the phrase, I've got the full weight of the
17	Metropolita	n Police Department behind me?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	The full budget of the police force?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And you used the words "the community"?
22	A	That's correct.
23	Q	I have the community behind me?
24	A	That's correct.
25	Q	And I think you talk his neighborhood, the neighborhood he lives in?

1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	Okay. And you talk about how you have the neighborhood on your	
3	side?		
4	A	l did.	
5	Q	Do you remember using the phrase, Right now I'm thinking you're a	
6	 psychopath	?	
7	Α	Yes.	
8	Q	And do you remember using the phrase, You've got some Dexter shit	
9	going on right now?		
10	А	Yes.	
11	MS.	CLARK: Court's indulgence.	
12		Just Court's indulgence while I find the part of the transcript I'm trying to	
13	get to.		
14	BY MS. CLARK:		
15	Q	Okay. Just to be very clear and specific, Detective Jaeger, when	
16	you're you're in the room with Detective Embrey, yourself, and Mr. Bailey, yeah?		
17	А	That's correct.	
18	Q	Okay. And it's a small interview room, right?	
19	Α	Yes.	
20	Q	No windows, one door?	
21	A	That's correct.	
22	Q	Door is closed, obviously, while you're in there?	
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	Okay. And I think that Mr. Schwartzer did it earlier, but you're leaning	
25	on the table	e like this, arms crossed, right?	
ı	I		

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Now, obviously, you're not as close as or you're much closer
3	than you a	nd I are right now, right?
4	A	Yeah.
5	Q	Okay. And so when you're leaning against the table like this, Mr. Bailey
6	is sitting in	a chair maybe two feet away from you?
7	A	About two feet, yeah.
8	Q	Okay. So you're standing up?
9	A	Yep.
10	Q	He's sitting down?
11	A	Yep.
12	Q	His back is against a wall?
13	A	Yep.
14	Q	Okay. And Detective Embrey is between you and the door; is that fair
15	to say?	
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	Sitting in a chair?
18	A	lf if
19	Q	And he is
20	A	If he was to get up, the door would be, like, he could touch the door with
21	his right hand.	
22	Q	Detective Embrey could?
23	A	Or Jayshawn. Like, the way the room is set up, so they were both right
24	by the doo	r. I was opposite. I was further into the room than the door.
25	Q	Okay. And Detective Embrey is sitting physically, directly in front of

1	Jayshawn?		
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	Okay. And you were leaning to the other side?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Okay. Both of you in close proximity to him?	
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	And the door is shut to the side over by Detective Embrey?	
8	Α	Yes.	
9	Q	And you said you weren't being very nice to him?	
10	A	No, I I	
11	Q	So in reality, you told him that you and Buddy, and I assume you mean	
12	Detective Embrey by that, are partners on the case but you're on totally opposite		
13	sides of the spectrum on this one?		
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	Okay. And that you told Jayshawn that you had spent the better part of	
16	eight hours standing ankle deep in human feces, human shit?		
17	A	Oh, that's correct, because I did pulling a body out.	
18	Q	And you told him there is a 17-year-old girl who had been thrown away	
19	like a piece of trash?		
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	And that was by an absolute animal?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	And that the only reason he had given him the benefit of the doubt was	
24	for Buddy n	ot for him?	
25	Α	Yes.	

1	Q	And then you proceeded to tell him that I'm coming after you?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	With the largest budget that Nevada has for a police department?	
4	A	Yes. With the support of the community.	
5	Q	With the support of community?	
6	Α	And his neighborhood, I believe.	
7	Q	And I think, specifically, the neighborhood he lives in?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	And the family that lives four houses down from him?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	And at some point you tell him, I am bringing the noise?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	Would you agree with me that after you make those statements, the	
14	I'm coming	after you; I'm bringing the noise, I've got the support of the community,	
15	that Jayshawn says, I didn't do anything?		
16	A	I'd have to check the transcripts, but if	
17	Q	Okay.	
18	A	if you have it in front of you, I believe you.	
19	Q	I think I do.	
20	MS. CLARK: Page 118.		
21	MS. I	HAMERS: 118.	
22	MS. 0	CLARK: Thanks.	
23		Judge, may I approach?	
24	THE	COURT: Yes.	
25	MS. 0	CLARK: Thank you.	

1	Mr. Schwartzer, it's page 118.	
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: What was the question?	
3	MS. CLARK: What was my question? My question was about Mr. Bailey	
4	denying that he had anything to do with this after	
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.	
6	MS. CLARK: the statements by Detective Jaeger. Thank you.	
7	THE WITNESS: His exact words are, "I respect that, sir, but I didn't have	
8	nothing to do with that."	
9	And my reply was, "You did."	
10	BY MS. CLARK:	
11	Q So after he says, I didn't have anything to do with that; you said, you	
12	did, right, you don't accept his denial?	
13	A That's correct.	
14	Q And would it be fair to say that was a common theme in your talk with	
15	him? In this interrogation?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q He says I didn't have anything to do with it and you said, I don't accept	
18	that, I don't believe you?	
19	A I mean	
20	Q Correct.	
21	MS. CLARK: Your Honor, I'll pass the witness back.	
22	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor.	
24	///	
25		

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN JAEGER 1 BY MR. SCHWARTZER: 2 3 Q When a suspect tells you he didn't do anything, do you just stop your interviews? 4 5 No. Α As practice? Q 6 7 Α No. 8 Q In your experience as a homicide detective, do people right away come 9 and confess to you? 10 Α No. 11 Q Usually it takes a little work on your part? 12 Α That's correct. 13 Q Okay. So the fact that he denied after you said those statements on 14 page 118, based on other factors, you decide, you and your partner continue to 15 interview Mr. Bailey, correct? 16 Α That's correct. 17 Okay. And that's something that wasn't done specifically just in this Q 18 case, right? 19 Α No. 20 Q In other homicide investigations do you -- when someone denies they 21 did something but you have you have some evidence they did, you continue to 22 question them? 23 Α That's correct. 24 Q And to be clear, we talked about on direct, that was about you saying

I'm going to bring the noise and, you know, I have the community behind me, that

25

1	lasted abo	ut a minute in this whole interview?
2	Α	Probably. Right about there, yeah.
3	Q	Okay. Now, when Mr. Bailey eventually does come forward with his
4	incriminatir	ng statements does he say it was because you were mean to him?
5	А	No.
6	Q	Does he mention the fact that he had a guilty conscience?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	Does he mention that it's been weighing on him?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And that he was finally ready to tell you what happened?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	So, and when he eventually does give his incriminating statement does
13	it include details that you or Detective Embrey fed to him or told him?	
14	А	Well, it includes details that he would only have known if he was
15	involved.	
16	Q	Right. But not details specifically, he didn't regurgitate what you and
17	Detective 6	Embrey were telling him?
18	Α	That's correct.
19	Q	Okay. And we got into it with Detective Embrey a little bit, but it's stuff
20	that you were able to confirm later on with additional evidence?	
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. So in fact when this was all over and he finally gives an
23	incriminatir	ng statement which is backed up by the evidence, did Detective Embrey
24	even ask s	pecifically why did you eventually decide to come forward with that?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	And page 148, does he specifically say, "So I just couldn't hold it in no
2	more, I got	that guilty conscience"?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. In the beginning of Ms. Clark's questioning of you she asked you
5	if you ever	followed up whether Mr. Bailey did in fact enroll in college; do you
6	remember	that line of questioning?
7	A	l do.
8	Q	As the lead detective in this case, we've talked about this a little bit, but
9	you were able to his phone was downloaded and you were provided information	
10	from his phone download; is that correct?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And in that phone phone download was there in fact a grant of
13	acceptance from FAFSA for community college for college education?	
14	A	Yes, there was.
15	MR.	SCHWARTZER: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
16	THE	COURT: Any recross?
17	MS.	CLARK: Court's indulgence.
18	MR.	SCHWARTZER: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
19	THE	COURT: Any recross?
20	MS.	CLARK: Court's indulgence.
21		RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF RYAN JAEGER
22	BY MS. CL	
23	Q	Detective Jaeger, what's the FAFSA?
24	A	It's an application for a grant for college.
25	Q	Okay. Does that mean that he's done well in college?

1	A I don't know.	
2	Q Does it mean that he's enrolled in college?	
3	A I don't know.	
4	Q Okay. And does it mean that he's a great student?	
5	A There would be no way to tell.	
6	Q Right. Okay. Thank you.	
7	MS. CLARK: No further questions, Your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?	
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: Not by the State, Your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your testimony here today.	
11	You may step down.	
12	Does the State have any further witnesses?	
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: No, Your Honor. The State will again, with the	
14	knowledge that Your Honor will review	
15	THE COURT: Sure.	
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: the video the State will rest.	
17	THE COURT: Any witnesses?	
18	MS. HAMERS: Yes. I am just texting to get our expert back on. She was	
19	kicked off for the exclusionary rule. But I also am really concerned about the time.	
20	THE COURT: You're concerned what?	
21	MS. HAMERS: About our time.	
22	THE COURT: I know. We have well, we can start. We have	
23	THE CLERK: We have 12 minutes and we can reschedule another special	
24	setting.	
25	MS. HAMERS: So she should be back on. I just sent a text message and	

1	she will get back on. We can start her.	
2	THE COURT: What's her name?	
3	MS. CLARK: Brown, Dr. Brown.	
4	THE COURT: Okay. So we'll see when she signs in.	
5	MS. HAMERS: It looks like she's there. If you don't mind me asking?	
6	Dr. Brown, are you on there with us?	
7	THE WITNESS: I am.	
8	THE COURT: Okay.	
9	MS. HAMERS: Okay. The defense would call Dr. Natalie Brown.	
10	THE COURT: All right. Dr. Brown, if you'll raise your right hand so you can	
11	be sworn.	
12	THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you see me?	
13	THE CLERK: Yes.	
14	NATALIE NOVICK BROWN	
15	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:]	
16	THE CLERK: Can you please state and spell your first and last name for the	
17	record.	
18	THE WITNESS: Sure. Natalie Novick Brown. Novick is spelled N-O-V-I-C-	
19	Brown, B-R-O-W-N.	
20	THE CLERK: And can you spell your first name please?	
21	THE WITNESS: N-A-T-A-L-I-E.	
22	THE CLERK: Thank you.	
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF NATALIE NOVICK BROWN	
24	BY MS. HAMERS:	
25	Q Thank you, Dr. Brown. Can you tell us about what you do for a living,	

please?

- A Yes. I'm a clinical and forensic psychologist, have been for the past 25 or so years, mostly doing forensic psychology these days.
- Q And I don't know if it was the reception or just me, you said for how many years?
 - A Approximately 25 years, I became licensed in 1995.
 - Q And do you have specialties within that field?
- A Yes. I specialize in several things. But FASD, fetal alcohol spectrum disorder, is one of my specialties. And I also specialize in evaluating developmental disabilities beyond FASD.
 - Q And what in your educational background qualifies you to do that?
- A I have, in addition to a Ph.D. in clinical psychology which included an emphasis on developmental psychology, I also completed a post-doctoral fellowship in fetal alcohol spectrum disorders and other developmental disabilities with Dr. Ann Streissguth with the University of Washington. She's a pioneer researcher in FASD. In fact, she was the first psychologist who did research on the behavioral effects of FASD.
- Q All right. And if it's fair to classify it different than the educational background, what is your experience that qualifies you in that area?
- A I did initially a lot of clinical work treating individuals and families with children who had fetal alcohol disorders. And I have done hundreds of forensic as well as clinical assessments and evaluations over the years of adolescents, sometimes children but mostly adolescents and adults, with fetal alcohol spectrum disorders, probably around 500 or so at this point in time.
 - Q Thank you. And you were contacted by us, by Jayshawn Bailey's

some psychological testing; is that right?

tendency to report in an overly positive way.

 It measures if there's is over-reporting of psychotic symptoms or memory problems. Those are some of the things it measures. And in this case his score indicated he reported very few symptoms and so his score was considered positive, positive indication that he was not over-reporting symptoms of any kind of mental health impairment.

- Q Is it fair to say not malingering? Am I using that terminology correctly?
- A Yes. Although the way I would report it is there was no evidence of malingering.
 - Q Excellent.
- A I don't just look at test results to determine that. But these two tests, the Paulhus and the SIMS in conjunction, as well as a self-report from Mr. Bailey was quiet consistent with contemporaneous records from other individuals indicated to me that there was very little likelihood of malingering. I would rule it out, essentially.
- Q All right. And then the next questionnaire we talked about with was the Gudjonsson Suggestibility Scale also referred to as the GSS 2. Can you tell us what that is?
- A Gudjonsson is a -- is a cognitive test. But it's administered verbally. So the person doesn't have to do any hands' on work. And this consists of a very short story that is read to the person and it's purported to be, the person is told that it's a memory test and they are asked to repeat as many elements of the story as they can remember after the story is read and about 30 to 50 minutes later they are asked again after intervening tasks to report back what they can remember of the story. After those two elements, then the individual is asked a series of 20 questions, 15 of which are leading. Some of those are yes-no and the others are

leading questions and they are scored on how likely or how many questions they endorse or give in to and the leading questions, of course, contain erroneous, false information and they are told at the end of those 20 questions that they did very poorly and the questions are going to be asked again and the second time they are urged to be as accurate as possible. So this --

Q Doctor, I appreciate that, I have to interrupt you for one second. I'm sorry, we have a timing problem that is not your fault. So let me see how we're going to address that.

THE COURT: Right. We're going to have to continue her testimony.

MS. HAMERS: Okay. Can we leave her on for availability purposes?

THE COURT: Well, I can't give a date right now.

MS. HAMERS: Okay.

THE COURT: Because we have to -- we have to set that up with the Chief Judge. Sorry.

THE CLERK: I, actually, do want to ask if -- while I can, while they're on, I sent an e-mail for next week, are the parties available for next week if I get it approved from DC VII.

MS. HAMERS: What day next week?

THE CLERK: I'm looking, our best option is probably May 19th, next Wednesday, because our court is available any time that day. But I also sent options for other days.

MS. HAMERS: Doctor, would you be available next week on the --

THE WITNESS: I am not. I'm going to be -- I'm traveling to Texas for a case next Wednesday. So I'll be traveling all day.

MS. HAMERS: Okay.

THE COURT: So May 21st.

1	THE CLERK: May 21st at 1:30.
2	THE COURT: Do we just have to get that approved?
3	THE CLERK: It's already approved.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll just set it.
5	MS. HAMERS: We can actually set it.
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: May 21st.
7	THE COURT: What time?
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: So that's a Friday?
9	THE CLERK: 1:30. Yes.
10	MS. HAMERS: And then should I e-mail
11	THE CLERK: Do you want me to tell her that you're cancelling your
12	settlement conference date?
13	MS. HAMERS: Yes. That's what I was going to say. But we do want a new
14	one, but I'll tell her that.
15	THE CLERK: Yes.
16	MS. HAMERS: And, doctor, I'm sorry to do that to you. That's just sort of a
17	COVID limit that we have in the jail that they only give us so much time right now
18	and that's why we have to continue this later. So I'm sorry to leave you hanging on
19	this afternoon just to let you go.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. No problem. Will you be sending me a new link?
21	MS. HAMERS: Yes, I will.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. All right. Thank you.
23	MS. HAMERS: Thank you, doctor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	THE CLERK: And we'll set trial that week too.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bailey, we continued the hearing 'til May 21st to
3	finish her testimony.
4	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
6	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 3:35 P.M.
7	* * * * * * *
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio- video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.
23	Leva Richardon
24	SARA RICHARDSON
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 10/5/2022 1:34 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1

2

3

5

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

V\$.

JAYSHAWN D. BAILEY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C-20-347887-1

DEPT. NO. XII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 16, 2021

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
JACKSON V. DENNO HEARING AND STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING

APPEARANCES:

For the State: MICHAEL J. SCHWARTZER

Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: KATHLEEN M. HAMERS

ANNA C. CLARK

Deputy Public Defenders

RECORDED BY: SARA RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER

INDEX OF WITNESSES

2		
3	STATE'S WITNESSES:	<u>PAGE</u>
4	NONE	
5		
6		
7	DEFENSE'S WITNESSES:	<u>PAGE</u>
8	NATALIE NOVICK BROWN (via teleconference) Continued Direct Examination by Ms. Hamers	5
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Schwartzer	11
10	Redirect Examination by Ms. Hamers	27
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		LIST OF EVHIDITS
2		LIST OF EXHIBITS
3	STATES EVAIDITS	DACE
4	STATE'S EXHIBITS	<u>PAGE</u>
5	None	
6		
7	DEFENDANT'S EVIUDITS	DACE.
8	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS	PAGE
9	Exhibit A	31
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 16, 2021, 1:36 P.M.
2	* * * * *
3	THE COURT: Okay. It's nice to see everybody.
4	MS. HAMERS: Nice to see you, Judge.
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Nice to see you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: State versus Jayshawn Bailey, case C347887, he's present
7	and he's in custody and he is joining us over BlueJeans.
8	Do you want to make your appearances?
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: Michael Schwartzer for the State, Your Honor.
10	MS. HAMERS: Kathleen Hamers and Anna Clark for the defendant.
11	Do you need bar numbers?
12	THE CLERK: No.
13	MS. HAMERS: Okay. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: All right. Let's see, where were we?
15	MS. HAMERS: We had called Dr. Natalie Brown and began
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MS. HAMERS: her questioning. She is on now. So with the Court's
18	permission I will just continue with that if there's nothing else.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Dr. Brown.
20	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
21	THE COURT: Are you ready?
22	THE WITNESS: I'm ready.
23	THE COURT: Okay. If you'll just raise your right hand so you can be sworn
24	by the clerk.
25	III

A Mr. Bailey was highly suggestible, almost two standard deviations above the mean, and that suggestibility was comprised of a tendency to easily acquiesce or go along with whatever might be suggested to him.

Q In addition to that, you completed what I'm going to call standardized behavior assessments with Jayshawn and I'm going to ask you about some of those. Is that -- is that term workable for you that you did some standardized behavior assessments?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay.
- A And standardized means they're norm [inaudible] --
- 1 | THE COURT: Sorry, it's the jail. Sorry.
 - MS. HAMERS: Okay. We're just listening to some of the jail there. Sorry about that.

\parallel BY MS. HAMERS:

- Q Okay. So some of this you do by the way of collateral interviewing; is that right?
- A Yes. And I interview individuals who know the person very well and can rate their behavior on various measures and one of the primary measures I administered was Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale and the Vineland was administered to Mr. Bailey's caregiver, Wealthy McNair. There were two other measures I administered to her, the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning and -- the BRIEF for short, and the Fetal Alcohol Behavior Scale which is a screen -- a screening measure for fetal -- possibility of FASD or Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder.
 - Q I'm going to ask you a little bit about each of those, the Vineland

Adaptive Behavior Scale, what is that?

A That is the most widely used adaptive measure and adaptive behavior is everyday behavior. The Vineland happens to measure communication skills, daily living skills or practical skills, and socialization or social skills.

Q And what did you learn through that assessment with regard to Jayshawn?

A According to the behavior ratings which were confirmed with another measure, the BRIEF, in terms of reliability, Mr. Bailey's adaptive functioning is significantly deficient, below the first percentile and -- that's three or more standard deviation below the mean and he had low scores in all three categories:

Communication skills, daily living skills, and socialization skills.

Q And the BRIEF, the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning or of Executive Function, what is that?

A That's a measure that I administer. It's a standardized measure, again, and it has -- the benefit of this measure and the reason why I use it in conjunction with the Vineland is the Vineland doesn't have any embedded reliability [inaudible] scales and, in fact, no adaptive measure has that kind of capacity. So the BRIEF does have validity scales. It's administered in exactly the same way and in conjunction with the Vineland.

In fact, the behavior items are very similar and the way that the -- the informant or respondent is supposed to respond is exactly the same as the Vineland. So I administer the BRIEF back to back with the Vineland in order to get the validity scales in terms of the respondent's presentation whether they're overly positive, overly negative, so forth. And on the BRIEF Wealthy McNair's scores were all valid. There were three validity measures or there are on the -- on the BRIEF.

One is negativity, one is infrequency, and another is inconsistency and her -- her scores were valid in all three categories and interpretive in terms of the Vineland, this means that her -- her ability to rate Mr. Bailey's behavior is valid, is straightforward.

Q Okay. And when we're looking at the BRIEF is it fair to say that that somewhat is then corroborating the Vineland results or findings?

A Yes. That's one way I -- one of many ways I use to corroborate Vineland results. In this case I used a multiple list of ways to corroborate the Vineland results but that was one of them.

Q Okay. And then the Fetal Alcohol Behavior Scale, what is that?

A That's the FABS for short. That was -- that's a measure that was developed a couple decades ago with the University of Washington and it measures the behavioral characteristics or profile of individuals with Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders and it's used as a screening measure. It's one of the very few validated screening measures for FASD and in this case it was administered to Wealthy McNair again and results on that measure fell solidly within the FASD range.

In other words, she measured or she rated Mr. Bailey's behaviors in several categories: Communication and speech, personal manner, emotions, his motor skills, his academic work, and his social skills and his physiological functioning, all of those categories were within the FASD range.

Q And if you don't recall, we talked last time about the things that you reviewed in Jayshawn's background, his birth records, education records, we had been through some of those. When you did these assessments and considered them in conjunction with all of that background did you have some opinions about

Jayshawn's functioning?

A Yes, I did. You requested opinions in several areas and the records were useful in my ability to review his behavior across his entire lifespan and analyze that in conjunction with your referral questions and the results of the analysis or the evaluation that I did, first of all, indicated that he was exposed in utero to alcohol in a pattern that is consistent with FASD, pattern known to produce FASD conditions; and he was also exposed in utero to cocaine, marijuana, and nicotine in large quantities and those additional substances would have an additive and cumulative effect on whatever effects alcohol produced.

And I might add that the evidence in the contemporaneous records of the prenatal exposure was substantial, more than I almost ever see in FASD evaluations. It was abundant and -- in medical records and in school records and child [indiscernible] records and in collateral reports, contemporaneous collateral reports as well. So abundant evidence of prenatal exposure.

Another conclusion I reached is that the lifelong behavioral functioning, Mr. Bailey's lifelong behavior was and is consistent with a Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder. In particular, there is a D.S.M.-5 mental health diagnosis for the central nervous system sequelae of FASD and that is -- it's a mouthful, it's called Neurodevelopmental Disorder Associated with Prenatal Alcohol Exposure or ND-PAE for short. And Mr. Bailey's lifelong functioning is consistent, without exception, with ND-PAE which constitutes a mental defect. And as I indicated, convergent data from multiple areas support that diagnosis.

And a third conclusion I reached was that Mr. Bailey's cognitive and adaptive functioning are also consistent with intellectual disability. And you asked me to quantify that functioning and in terms of the Vineland assessment, his social

functioning is equivalent to that of a six-year-old.

And final conclusion I reached per your request was that Mr. Bailey's suggestibility, his impaired coping skills capacity, and his tendency, his lifelong tendency to acquiesce are consistent with ND-PAE. And according to my evaluation and records he is going to be most inclined in highly stressful situations to be very compliant.

And there was another test that I administered along those lines, a standardized assessment, that indicated that as well.

Q And when you talked about highly stressful situations are there circumstances then that can sort of exacerbate the suggestibility as Jayshawn may experience it?

A Yes. Any situation that would involve stress is going to further impair his baseline capacity. And, for example, police interrogation is highly stressful for everyone. I've never seen an exception in my 25 years of practice. So Mr. Bailey is likely in that kind of a situation to be highly stressed and fearful and that's going to increase or further impair his deficits.

Q And is it fair to say that that can happen when or maybe because of in that circumstance you're around people of great authority?

A Yes. Well, in the testing that I gave him, the Gudjonsson, [inaudible] like Mr. Bailey see me as -- as a person with authority. But it's, in my opinion, low-key authority as opposed to interrogative questioning in a police situation. I would think that would be more stressful. Although, undoubtedly, a situation involving a psychologist who is evaluating is also stressful.

Q Sure. If he's experiencing fear could that make him even more suggestible?

1	A	Fear would ratchet up the stress, yes.	
2	Q	I'm sorry, that broke up a little for me. What did you	
3	A	Yes, I agreed it would. Fear would ratchet up the stress of a situation to	
4	even highe	r levels.	
5	Q	And what about length of time in an interrogative situation?	
6	Α	Well, the length of time just prolongs the stress and exacerbates or	
7	prolongs th	e the general impairment. The impairment is going to exist without the	
8	stress but g	given a long term period of stress it's going to be maintained at a severely	
9	impaired level for over that period of time. In other words, what I'm saying is it		
10	doesn't dissipate just because it's a lengthy process. It remains high stress.		
11	Q	Okay.	
12	MS.	HAMERS: Court's indulgence.	
13		I don't have any other questions. I'll pass the witness right now.	
14	THE	COURT: Thank you very much.	
15		Cross-examination.	
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.		
17		CROSS-EXAMINATION OF NATALIE NOVICK BROWN	
18	BY MR. SC	HWARTZER:	
19	Q	Good afternoon, doctor.	
20	Α	Good afternoon, counsel.	
21	Q	So my understanding is you were able to do about three hours of	
22	interviews v	with Mr. Bailey; is that correct?	
23	A	In total, yes.	
24	Q	Is that your typical time that would you take in a case like this? Or	
25	would it be more or less?		

1	A	No, that is typical.
2	Q	And is it typically done in person or is it typically done by Zoom like you
3	did in this o	case?
4	A	Well, pre-COVID it would have been done in person.
5	Q	Okay.
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	So before
8	A	[Inaudible] I've just started to do in-person interviews. I've been
9	vaccinated	, so I've just started.
10	Q	Okay. So before the pandemic you would do all these interviews in
11	person; is t	hat correct?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. So this is this would be the pandemic, obviously, special
14	circumstan	ces for everybody, but this would be unique in the way you would actually
15	do the inter	view; is that fair?
16	A	Yes. But I didn't feel like it affected the results.
17	Q	Okay. And then, additionally, there was an individual in at least some
18	of the inter	views, is that correct, the social worker?
19	A	Social worker?
20	Q	There was a Emily Reeder?
21	MS.	HAMERS: Dr. Emily Reeder, mitigation specialist.
22	THE	WITNESS: Oh, she's the mitigation specialist.
23	THE	COURT: Okay. That's your mitigation specialist?
24	MR.	SCHWARTZER: Okay.
25	MS.	HAMERS: That's right.

1	MR.	SCHWARTZER: Dr. Reeder, I apologize. I didn't know. I apologize,
2	counsel.	
3	BY MR. SC	HWARTZER:
4	Q	She was involved she was in some of this interview process as well?
5	A	She facilitated the Zoom connection. She got her she used her
6	computer a	nd got it connected and then she was in the background and Mr. Bailey
7	could not se	ee her. She sat, I think she sat she was in the background. I didn't pag
8	any attentio	on to her as well.
9	Q	But that's atypical as well; is that correct? Like, you don't normally have
10	another per	son in there during these one-on-ones with your patient?
11	Α	That's correct. But his back was to her. That's correct.
12	Q	Okay. Additionally, you were able did you take notes during this
13	during thes	e interviews?
14	A	l did on my laptop, yes.
15	Q	Did you save those notes?
16	A	l do.
17	Q	Could you keep those notes, please?
18	A	I'm sorry?
19	Q	Can you keep those notes, please?
20	Α	l always do. Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And were those notes provided to the defense? To Mr. Bailey's
22	counsel?	
23	A	Defense did not request them.
24	Q	Okay. But you would typically not destroy those notes; is that fair?
25	A	That's typical, yes. And they're saved for years now online.

	1	
1	Q	Okay. And you were also able to review, I just have "discovery"; is that
2	fair?	
3	A	No. I reviewed school records
4	Q	Okay.
5	A	Let's see.
6	Q	Well, let's
7	A	Let me look at my list.
8	Q	Doctor, before we get into the other stuff you talked about, I want to talk
9	about disc	overy and then we can get into your other your other things you
10	reviewed,	okay?
11	A	Yes. I was talking about oh, discovery, yes.
12	Q	Okay. So what specific what specifically do you recall reviewing from
13	the discov	ery?
14	A	Legal records and the
15	Q	What legal records?
16	A	Police records, the information, the charging documents as I recall, this
17	is going ba	ack in time and I have a lot of cases, so I reviewed information about the
18	offense at	length, also investigation records.
19	Q	Did you review any of the statements made by Mr. Bailey?
20	A	l did.
21	Q	Did so you were familiar with all three statements he's provided?
22	A	I am and
23	Q	Okay.
24	A	also there was video.
25	Q	And you review

1	A	At least one video, more than one video, I believe.
2	Q	Okay. So you're aware of everything that was said in those interviews?
3	Α	l am.
4	Q	Okay. Did you review Clark County School District records, University
5	Medical Ce	nter records, MountainView Hospital records, Valley Hospital records,
6	and Silver	State Neurology records; is that correct?
7	А	That is correct.
8	Q	And you do you still have all those records?
9	A	I do.
10	Q	Okay. And would you maintain them?
11	A	I retain them.
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	They're electronically stored.
14	Q	Okay. So I want to get into the one of the things you mentioned is
15	suggestibili	ty, that Mr. Bailey is someone that's highly suggestible based on your
16	diagnosis o	f him during this three-hour interview; is that correct?
17	A	Yes. And my diagnosis is based on several things.
18	Q	Okay. So regarding the suggestibility, tell define suggestibility to me.
19	A	The tendency to be easily led or persuaded by others.
20	Q	Okay. Easily led and easily led and persuaded by others, is that
21	that's how y	ou would define suggestibility?
22	Α	Well, that's that's one of the ways I would define it.
23	Q	Okay. So when you say someone's highly suggestible you're stating
24	that if I tell	Mr. Bailey, you know, the sky is red in a highly stressful situation he
25	might acqu	iesce to the sky is red, right?

and so forth and it was only after the interrogator, the police officer or detective, became more forceful in terms of questioning that Mr. Bailey began to acquiesce.

- Q Okay. And by "acquiesce" you're talking about adopting answers that the interviewer, the police detective was providing in the form of questions or even suggestions maybe?
 - A Yes. Eventually. And that's a -- that's a gradual --
 - Q Okay.
 - A -- process and -- but, yes.
- Q Okay. So that's how you -- that's how you say suggestibility is in play here. So let me go into this, one of the ways that you determine during your interviews if someone's malingering is you go -- you ask -- you go through certain tests, right, to see if an individual might be malingering adaptability functions or intelligence, correct?
 - A That's one -- that's one way, yes.
- Q Okay. What are -- what -- what does that test do? What do you -- how do -- how do you determine if someone is malingering or not? Like, what does that test do?
 - A Are you talking about the malingering tests that I administer?
 - Q Sure.
- A Those -- those tests, well, the Paulhus, for example, determines whether someone is inclined to view himself in an overly positive way unintentionally, just generally see himself in a positive way and it also has another measure that is designed to determine if the individual is deliberately portraying himself in a positive way.
 - Q Well, how do you find out the second -- how do you find out that second

1	part?	
2	A	The Paulhus has two parts to it and the items are designed to address
3	one or both	of those characteristics.
4	Q	Well, I understand how it's designed, but how do you determine so
5	you have a	subjective view which is how someone views themself and then you
6	Α	Right.
7	Q	supposedly have an objective view to see if that view is in line with
8	reality. So	how do you determine that second part?
9	A	Well, what I do is I compare self-report with contemporaneous data.
10	Q	Okay. So you use data
11	A	[Inaudible]
12	Q	Hold on a second, doctor.
13		So you use data that is something that is kind of a data that is
14	indisputable	e, something that is factual and compare it to his subjective way of
15	viewing him	nself?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Okay. So a good way to determine if someone is being truthful is to
18	have this da	ata that you know is factually correct and comparing the answers to that
19	data?	
20	A	I would disagree. I wouldn't use the word "truthful."
21	Q	Okay?
22	A	That's entirely different than the way I view it.
23	Q	What word would you use?
24	A	But this these well, the measure that I was talking about is used to
25	determine	self-presentation.

Q Okay.

A And that's not truthful. A person can be overly positive in the way they see themselves and they're not deliberately lying when they -- when they -- when they a describe themselves in an overly positive way.

Q I get that, but the point of the matter is in order to make the comparison you need some type of anchor, right, some type of data that would -- that you can view objectively?

A Yes. Which -- yes, and I did that in this case.

Q Okay.

A And what I found was although the measure itself indicated he was not inclined to be overly positive, I found some evidence, not a lot, generally his self-report was generally consistent with records but --

Q Okay.

A -- one area, it was not and that's when he was describing his school history.

Q Understand. So, additionally, I would also point out there's several times in the report that it is mentioned that his IQ is close to average level; is that fair?

A Well, it changed in terms of how -- what the measurement indicated over the years.

Q Okay.

A He has not been tested recently and that's my recommendation that he be tested recent -- currently. But his -- his IQ it was -- he was tested when he was a very young child and it was in the ID range.

Q Okay.

1	A	It was tested once when he was in school and that was when he was, I
2	think, [inau	dible] or 6 somewhere in that. He was in kindergarten.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	And he and he he got an average, generally average IQ on that
5	particular n	neasure which is not really a measure used.
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	In the ID setting.
8	Q	So
9	A	He was also tested at age 3.
10	Q	The question was just about IQ. I'm going to go on to another question,
11	doctor.	
12		So regarding at age 9 though he was found ineligible for special
13	education services; that's correct?	
14	A	Yes. Because of there was lack of discrepancy among his scores.
15	Q	So the point of the matter was he wasn't in special education from 9 on
16	out, right?	
17	A	That is correct.
18	Q	Okay. So, additionally, he graduated high school, I understand it was
19	with a low (G.P.A. and it took a couple years, but he did graduate high school, right?
20	A	It took three years and he got a diploma.
21	Q	Okay.
22	THE	COURT: Did he graduate high school? Is there a difference between
23	graduating	and getting a diploma?
24	MR.	SCHWARTZER: I don't know.
25	THE	COURT: I just doctor?
ı	1	

1	THE WITNESS: There is. Yes.			
2	THE COURT: Okay. Because there			
3	THE WITNESS: He was awarded a diploma which is which makes it			
4	comply with the requirements that they provided him but he didn't graduate in the			
5	normal sense.			
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.			
7	THE COURT: Okay. But was he awarded the same diploma that other			
8	students were awarded?			
9	THE WITNESS: That I do not know because			
10	THE COURT: Okay.			
11	THE WITNESS: I did not have records that told me that.			
12	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:			
13	Q	Either way, he has either a diploma or he graduated high school, he		
14	can put on a job application that he is he is a high school graduate; is that fair?			
15	A	Generally that would be an appropriate thing to say.		
16	Q	Okay. He's maintained jobs before, right, at Amazon, McDonald's, and		
17	I noticed you don't have in there, but also Wendy's as well?			
18	A	He had short-term, part-time, entry level jobs, yes.		
19	Q	I mean, Amazon was for six months though; is that short term?		
20	A	That's short term		
21	Q	Okay.		
22	A	in my in my experience with these evaluations, yes.		
23	Q	Okay. Now, you said he has adaptability functionality of a six-year-old?		
24	A	Socially, yes.		
25	Q	What does I mean, as someone who has a six-year-old who would		

1	Q	I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.	
2	Α	I'm looking at my report and, yes, in summer school he got an A in	
3	English 8.		
4	Q	I mean, he got an A in composition in 11th grade?	
5	A	Yes, he did.	
6	Q	He got an A in his prep for the diploma, right?	
7	A	Yes.	
8	Q	Additionally, we mentioned he had jobs at several places. I know	
9	they're entry-level jobs, but they're still jobs, right?		
10	A	Yes, he did.	
11	Q	He's able to read, right? You notice that the way he says he passes	
12	time in jail is he reads and works out?		
13	A	Yes. He is able to read and we don't know currently what his reading	
14	capacity is.		
15	Q	Sure.	
16	A	Because he hasn't been tested for many years.	
17	Q	I mean, he asks books from Lisa Jackson. Do you know who that is?	
18	The author. I mean, that's adult writing.		
19	A	I've heard of her but I don't know beyond that.	
20	Q	Okay. So it's not like he's asking for, you know, coloring books, right?	
21	He's asking for actual novels and literature?		
22	A	Well, the six-year-old's social behavior is not the same thing as reading	
23	skills. It's entirely different.		
24	Q	Okay. Were you able to go through his phone history at all and review	
25	his Google searches or anything like that?		

- A I don't recall that for this case.
- Q Do you remember going through his phone at all regarding text messages he would have with friends that are of contemporary age or even romantic relationships?
- A That sounds more familiar but it's been a while since I read through the discovery so many months and lots of cases, so I didn't read the discovery in preparation for today.
- Q But, obviously, one of the things -- if you're saying someone has a six-year-old social -- social functioning, how that person interacts with social peers would be important, right?
- A Yes. But keep in mind social interaction and writing are two separate kinds of skills and so a person can be more adept at putting his thoughts with time into the written context than he can in speaking and interacting. Interacting requires in-the-moment thinking, thinking on the spot, interacting on the spot, reciprocal communication, and that's where individuals with executive functioning impairments have considerable problems. And --
- Q So the impairment isn't -- the impairment isn't if he has time to think and review a text message and respond, the impairment is if he has to think on the spot?
 - A In the moment.
- Q Okay. So you're not saying he has a six-year-old social functioning when it comes to generally life, it's just a six-year-old social functioning, in your opinion, when he has to think on the spot?
 - A Yeah. Interacting with others, yes.
 - Q Okay.
 - A One thinking in the moment, making decisions in the moment.

Q Not when he's had time to think about it; is that correct?

A Well, it depends on what it is. But if it's an social decision I would have to have specifics, but socially what I'm talking about in terms of six-year-old, the Vineland measures interactive social skills, the ability to interact successfully, age adequately with peers --

Q Okay.

A -- or with others. So that's what the Vineland is measuring and that's all that that particular item on the Vineland is measuring. It is not measuring -- that item, social skills, is not measuring his writing skills. Although the Vineland did assess his written communication skills.

Q So I guess the point of the matter is when you say he has the social functioning of a six-year-old you're not saying he acts like a six-year-old or that he has the IQ of a six-year-old or the education of a six-year-old, it just has to do with specifically when he has to respond to something in a quick fashion?

A Yes.

Q Okay. You said in your report that there are several things that you would want further testing on; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

A His current neuropsychological functioning.

Q I mean, specifically, let me get to it, I mean, there is no, like, you know, I've seen probably your work actually when it comes to Fetal Alcohol Syndrome, but there's, like, photographs and there are different photographs taken from different angles and stuff like that when you do analysis for diagnosing someone with Fetal Alcohol Syndrome, correct?

1		Α	Yes.	
2		Q	And that wasn't done in this case?	
3		Α	That's for the medical doctors.	
4		Q	Correct?	
5		Α	Well, I'm not an M.D. I wasn't doing a medical evaluation. I was doing	
6	a psy	a psychological.		
7		Q	As far as you know, you haven't seen any photographs like that that you	
8	would	would typically see in a Fetal Alcohol Syndrome diagnosis?		
9		THE COURT: Let me just, it's my understanding she has not diagnosed him		
10	with th	nat.		
11		MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.		
12		THE COURT: Am I correct? I don't okay.		
13		THE	WITNESS: [Inaudible] correct.	
14	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:			
15		Q	And would you want to see, obviously, an assessment by a	
16	neuropsychologist as well to make more a further analysis in this case, right?			
17		Α	To make a definitive diagnosis of ND-PAE, yes.	
18		Q	Okay.	
19		MR.	SCHWARTZER: Okay. Your Honor, I have no further questions. I'll	
20	pass.			
21		THE	COURT: Thank you.	
22			Any redirect?	
23		MS. H	HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.	
24	///			
25	///			

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF NATALIE NOVICK BROWN

BY MS. HAMERS:

- Q What's the difference between IQ and adaptive functioning?
- A A world of difference. IQ is intellectual functioning. Intellectual functioning measured in a highly structured environment typically and that's the capacity to solve verbal and nonverbal problems in a highly structured, directed environment. Adaptive functioning is everyday behavior in a -- usually a typically completely unstructured environment where the individual has to think on his feet in terms of every decision he makes and every behavior he engages in.

Executive functioning controls adaptive functioning and if we had testing, current testing, we would know if Mr. Bailey's executive functioning is impaired. Given all the records, they suggest it is significantly impaired which would explain the significantly impaired adaptive functioning in Wealthy McNair's adaptive function ratings on the Vineland.

- Q Adaptive functioning is how one functions in the real world; is that fair to say?
 - A Yes.
- Q When you were talking about Jayshawn's high school graduation, when you looked through that, the records of his last years in high school, his last three years in high school, those were at Desert Rose High School; is that right?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Are you aware that that's an alternative high school?
 - A I am -- I was not aware of that.
- Q And that's actually where he graduated after three years of the 12th grade; is that right?

and highly -- first of all, jobs are usually structured. They require daily living skills and practical skills. And most people with intellectual disability are able to do the kinds of jobs that Mr. Bailey was able to do.

- Q And to whatever level the intellectual disability is when someone has reached their 20s they have more learning and more experience that has taken place than someone who's six years old, right?
 - A Correct.
- Q And I want talk to you a little bit just to clarify where we were as far as diagnosis versus whether or not his functioning and history was consistent with FASD, if I could. We had some limitations on whether or not we could make a diagnosis in this case; is that right?
 - A That is correct.
- Q And your preference would be to have a current neuropsych done in order for that diagnosis to be made?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And that was not something we were able to accommodate last year?
 - A That's correct, due to COVID as I understood it.
- Q And so what do you do as the next best thing? Is it the next best thing -- can you kind of describe how we end up where we are and does that have any reliability without that neuropsych?
- A Well, yes. The next best thing is the evaluation that I conducted and what I -- all I could say is every -- Mr. Bailey's life history was or is consistent with an FASD and that's what -- I couldn't do more than that if I were to have neuropsych functioning except what I could also add is that his current functioning is consistent with substantial impairment and FASD. So I would be able to add that current

its memory as it can, all of the questioning that we had as far as what actually			
happened in these interrogations. I know you've also had the opportunity to watch			
them or read them or anything else.			
THE COURT: Right. I just want to make sure the record was clear, I did have			
an opportunity to watch the video.			
MS. HAMERS: Okay. And oh, and I would just ask also, did we has			
Dr. Brown's report been made part of the record?			
THE CLERK: No.			
MS. HAMERS: I would move to admit that as well.			
THE COURT: Okay. Do you is it marked?			
THE CLERK: It is. Exhibit 1.			
THE COURT: Okay. Any objection?			
MR. SCHWARTZER: No, Your Honor.			
THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 1 is admitted.			
THE CLERK: I mean, I'm sorry, Exhibit A.			
MS. HAMERS: I'm sorry. I was out of order on that.			
THE COURT: I'm sorry. It's Exhibit A. Okay. So Exhibit A is admitted.			
[DEFENSE EXHIBIT A ADMITTED]			
THE COURT: Did you have any other exhibits you wanted to admit?			
MS. HAMERS: No.			
THE COURT: Okay. Are those marked?			
THE CLERK: These are just proposed.			
THE COURT: Okay.			
MS. HAMERS: Those are just the transcript of the interviews I think.			
Okay. So I Jayshawn's statements to the police in this case were not			

voluntarily made. I think it's really, really important to remember through all of this, we're talking about whether or not the confession was voluntary. We have to look at that with complete disregard as to whether or not what he said was truthful or whether or not it appears truthful based on other evidence in the case.

We have to look, first, to all of his individual characteristics. This is something that we're looking at that's individual to him. He's fairly young, he lacks significant experience with law enforcement, and most importantly, he is intellectually disabled. I don't think that there's any question about that going through his history and the evaluations that Dr. Brown went through. His cognitive and adaptive functioning is consistent with intellectual disability. His social functioning is significantly diminished and equivalent to that of a six-year-old and he is highly suggestible. These go to the absolute core of whether or not he can make a voluntary confession under the circumstances of this case.

We also look at the interrogation, specifically in this case, in conjunction with those qualities and that's what renders the statements involuntary here. And I think it's important to remember there, we're not talking about what the detectives knew about his intellectual functioning, whether they intended to have this result, whether or not under other circumstances their tactics would have been acceptable, we're looking at what was the result of that potentially through no one's fault, but does that leave us with an involuntary statement because if it does, we can't admit it and it doesn't matter if the detectives were acting, you know, within -- within all of their rules and policies and otherwise on the up-and-up here.

Here we had a really lengthy interrogation. When the detectives were talking to Jayshawn they relentlessly insist that he tells them a different story. They relentlessly reject the story that he's telling them. And they suggest a story for

Jayshawn to tell. And I know the State finds it really significant that, well, they suggested a particular story to him and he didn't latch on to that exact story, but that's not the end of the game here. It doesn't matter that he didn't take the bait and go with the story they wanted him to go with. What matters is what they suggested him to do, what he was overborne in was that he had to tell a different story, he had to come clean about what happened, and that he couldn't continue to deny this. So just because he didn't -- they didn't say, hey, we think you were just helping your friend; oh, I was just helping my friend then, doesn't mean that the things he said were voluntary.

The way the suggestibility worked here and the way he acquiesced in what the police wanted was he went ahead and told them and that's what he did not voluntarily. It doesn't matter that he didn't pick their story. So I understand the distraction in that, but that's really not the point. The point is whether or not the statement was voluntarily made and it's not even though he didn't, frankly, I'm not sure he knew the difference as to exactly what story they wanted to hear, the fact is that he acquiesces in changing his story and telling these police what happened and that's what was involuntarily made. It doesn't matter if it was true or not, it doesn't matter if it was the story they used or not, what matters for him is that it wasn't voluntarily made, and I think the statement should be suppressed for that reason.

Just briefly, I would note that based on really similar reasons, I also do think the statement was made in violation of <u>Miranda</u>. I think that his intellectual functioning really causes him to be also unable to give a valid waiver. I'd note that when he's advised of <u>Miranda</u> he's told it's only because he's in a law enforcement building. He's told he's not a suspect. Basically saying those things cuts completely against what the point of the <u>Miranda</u> waiver -- the <u>Miranda</u> warnings is to let him

know that what he says can be used against him by telling him he's only getting them because of the building he's in and that he's not a suspect implies the exact opposite. But I do think given his intellectual disability he couldn't understand the consequences of his statement and didn't have a valid waiver.

with the admission of these statements separate and aside from the voluntariness and the Miranda issue and that is the polygraph. There is a polygraph examination in -- embedded in the middle of this statement. Pursuant to N.R.S. 47.120, we should -- if the State wants to admit any portion of his statement, here presumably the statements that Jayshawn made after the polygraph examination, we can't really do that unless we're able to admit the other parts of the statement or explain the context.

Here the context as to what he says when he speaks after the polygraph is absolutely after they have told him, We're rejecting this; you failed the poly; these things aren't right. Without being able to get into that we're really not able to tell the full story and give the context to his statements and, consequently, that would deny him due process. So we're asking that these statements not be admitted at trial.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I mean, Your Honor, the test here is the totality of circumstances and I think it's really important to go over the case law when it comes to overborning a will. Because what you have in those cases, specifically, in the case that is cited to in their brief which is the Ninth Circuit, that <u>U.S. v. Preston</u> case. But also if you just go to <u>Passama v. State</u> which is Nevada -- 103 Nev. 212, a 1987 case, which is something that we always cite to for the standard when it comes to

the totality of the circumstances. If you look at those cases, the overborning of the will has to do not only with the intelligence of the individual, not only to do with the site of where the interview is occurring and promises made, but also to do with the questioning itself and the questioning itself being highly suggestible.

So I understand Ms. Hamers has kind of preempted the fact that this was going to be my argument, but it's -- if you go through the case law when courts have decided against overborning will when someone comes and then confesses after, you know, a variety of reasons and the courts have found it to be overborning will, it's because of the questioning itself, the questioning -- and promises made.

In <u>U.S. v. Preston</u>, obviously, you had the promise made by the federal agents that, you know, no matter what you say no one's going to hear about this, we're going to put this in the secret file in the Department of Justice, it's an apology letter to the victim, and that person was so intellectually disabled that they didn't even graduate high school or even get past the ninth grade, among other things as well was verbally impaired as well.

I mean, that's not what happened here clearly. Here there were no -there were no promises he wouldn't go to jail if he confessed to the murder or
anything like that. There are promises that we'll drive you home if, you know, if you
say, you know, that this was an overdose or what have you. But there is no outright
promises that no one's going to see this confession.

In fact, throughout that interview Mr. Bailey is very concerned about what other people are going to think when he finally tells the truth. And make no qualms about it, he says, You guys are pressuring me to tell the truth. So even Mr. Bailey recognizes the fact that it's not "I want to hear anything," it's to tell the truth.

And then on top that what you see in both <u>Preston</u> and <u>Passama</u> is the questioning is -- leads them to the story, like, they suggested the answers. In <u>Passama</u> specifically and first in -- it had to do with a sexual assault case, a lewdness case on a minor, and they said, well, where did I, you know, where did I touch her. You touched her in the vagina. Why did I do that? Well, some people do that because it creates pleasure for them. They put the answer into their questions. You don't have that here.

I mean, you have the fact that they're trying to lead him down a road which he doesn't eventually go. But the implied answers aren't what Mr. Bailey goes for. What Mr. Bailey goes for in the statement is something that is borne out, by the way, by other evidence, by the DNA, by video surveillance, by the phones, all that other stuff, as a story that's absolutely and, in fact, you can see from when you watch the interview, it almost takes the detectives aback. They didn't realize that was what the story was going to be that Mr. Bailey was going to say.

So clearly when you look at overborning a will, we're looking at how suggestive those questions are and if they just -- they just -- the person just gives up and I'm -- just goes along with what the detectives have to say. In this case that clearly did not happen. In this case what happened was after being questioned for about two and a half hours in the first interview, which is admissible, we have plenty of case law, Chambers, even Passama, Stringer, all of them have over two hours, he -- Mr. Bailey finally gave the version of events that occurred and those version of events is, again, backed up by independent evidence.

So while the -- when you review this in totality of the circumstances, the State would submit that there is no overborning of the will and clearly there can't be that overwhelming suggestibility when Mr. Bailey doesn't even go with what's

suggested.

When it comes to the <u>Miranda</u>, the rights, not only was he read the <u>Miranda</u> rights but he was also read and actually read it himself in the interview, the thing to do with a polygraph examiners which is even more thorough going into that individual rights. Mr. Bailey seems to be well aware of his rights because he knows when he says that he's, you know, You guys are going to have to handcuff me. They're like, No. He goes, Well, what I'm about to tell you, you're going to have to handcuff me. He's aware that what he's going to say is going to lead to him to being arrested.

So throughout the interview you can take apart different parts where Mr. Bailey seems to be aware that he knows that these are being recorded and that eventually this is going to be used against him, and, in fact, that seems to be one of his primary concerns. So there is nothing in the record that suggests he doesn't understand his Miranda rights that were read to him. And, quite frankly, they didn't get into the first interview versus second interview, I would just rely on Seibert on that and I'll submit it on that.

When it comes to the polygraph, Your Honor, there's been a couple cases that I've had where we've had polygraphs and we've been able to take it out. Obviously, the case law here that we cited to, specifically, I think it's <u>Paulette</u>, that was done as well. I mean, whether it was one investigator not believing him or multiple investigators not believing him, we can make it out -- we can sanitize it where the polygraph comes out. It's something that's been deemed acceptable in many cases and we find the law in <u>Paulette</u> that won't be an issue as well, Your Honor.

So at the end of the day, the statement should come in, not necessarily

the State's going to use the statement, quite frankly, but the statement should come in if the State does deem --

THE COURT: What did you say?

MR. SCHWARTZER: The State might not -- I might not use this in my case-in-chief, quite frankly, the statement itself.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: But it should -- it should still be something that's available to the State based on the fact that when you see the totality of the circumstances this wasn't overborning of the will of Mr. Bailey.

MS. HAMERS: It doesn't matter if it was true. It just doesn't matter if it was true. And in the <u>Preston</u> case they thought that that confession was also not true. But it doesn't matter for the analysis. If hours of not accepting his denials, appealing to his suggestibility, if what he was suggested to do was tell the truth and he did and he didn't do it voluntarily, it needs to be suppressed. It doesn't matter if it's true.

And I don't think -- and we keep coming back to this with the State and it just doesn't matter. Obviously, I'm not going to say it's true. But it doesn't matter. For this analysis, if it wasn't -- if he told the truth and he didn't do it voluntarily, it needs to be suppressed and I think that's where we are here today. So I don't think the fact that when he gives up he tells the truth means that the State could use it. It, in fact, means the opposite and so I think I'd ask the Court to suppress it.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MS. HAMERS: No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time the Court's going to deny the motion. As you all know, I mean, this is just the first step. If the statement does come in you'll

be able to ask the jury the same issues and it will be up to the jury whether they will consider it.

Now, I know you guys have a settlement conference for the 10th, I think we should set a trial date now.

MS. HAMERS: Okay.

THE COURT: Just so you at least have a trial date.

MR. SCHWARTZER: That's fine, Your Honor. I think we both agree on that. The one issue, talking with Ms. Hamers, I think we're going to have is unfortunately the settlement conference is the same week as Lance Reberger.

THE COURT: Oh, geez.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Which is -- and I think Ms. Hamers would -- I'm the -- basically I've handled this case from the start. Ms. Overly has been involved here and there, but she doesn't know the case quite as well as I do.

MS. HAMERS: And the settlement conference is just so incredibly important and I don't want to say personality driven, but I think it's important that we have the prosecutor who's been the lead on the case.

MR. SCHWARTZER: That's fair.

MR. HAMERS: I am going to ask you both if you will accommodate it since it's a very short period of time, if we can still do it while you're in that trial. I know that that's not the most fun.

THE COURT: Well, that -- I was just going to ask that question. What time is

MR. SCHWARTZER: That's a good question, Your Honor. I should know that.

MS. HAMERS: I have it -- I have it here somewhere.

1	MR. SCHWARTZER: If I may look at my phone?		
2	MS. HAMERS: 10:30.		
3	THE COURT: Of course.		
4	Do you know what day of the week it is?		
5	MS. HAMERS: Tuesday, August 10 th .		
6	THE COURT: When do we start that trial?		
7	MR. SCHWARTZER: We start on the 9 th picking a jury. Obviously, with		
8	Mr. Reberger representing himself it might be a lengthy process.		
9	THE COURT: It's going to be a lengthy process. We'll still be in jury		
10	selection.		
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: So I don't have a problem taking if with, obviously,		
12	the Court's permission, I don't if the Court is inclined to do so, I can go to that in		
13	the morning. And maybe		
14	THE COURT: Yeah, I probably would keep it.		
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: I can even have Mr. Flinn do some of the jury		
16	selection if it runs past in the afternoon.		
17	THE COURT: Oh, you mean you can just have co-counsel continue with jury		
18	selection?		
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: If he just		
20	THE COURT: That would be great. I thought you just wanted to start later on		
21	that day.		
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: If we're still in jury selection, I don't have a problem with		
23	Mr. Flinn handling it. Obviously, if we got to openings and stuff like that I would		
24	prefer I would need to be here.		
25	THE COURT: Well, yeah, of course.		

1	off if you needed me to but if we're setting a trial date, I'll wait.	
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah, we're	
3	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	
4	MR. SCHWARTZER: So do you want to do two weeks after, I mean, I'll be in	
5	Reberger from August 9 th , I assume that's going to go two weeks. It might	
6	I mean	
7	THE COURT: I think it'll go	
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: with Reberger, who knows how long it's going to go,	
9	so.	
10	THE COURT: I'm going to estimate the longest.	
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: So I guess I would ask for, I mean, I'm booked in	
12	September, but I know this is invoked trial despite all the	
13	THE COURT: No, he waived.	
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: Oh, did he?	
15	MS. HAMERS: He didn't waive.	
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: We had a writ, but I don't think he	
17	THE COURT: Yeah, he waived and we've already handled this issue.	
18	Remember, I said I'm not going to consider the writ unless he	
19	MS. HAMERS: Different case. This one this writ had the same language in	
20	it and we heard the writ and he never waived.	
21	THE COURT: It's not this case?	
22	MS. HAMERS: That's right.	
23	THE COURT: What's the other case?	
24	MS. HAMERS: The other case is Matthew Ayala.	
25	THE COURT: Oh my gosh.	

1	MS. HAMERS: Which was more recent.		
2	THE COURT: Okay.		
3	MS. HAMERS: His writ writ was a year ago. I know exactly why you're		
4	thinking that.		
5	THE COURT: Oh my goodness.		
6	MS. HAMERS: It's a different case.		
7	THE COURT: Okay.		
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: So I guess I would ask for first week of September. I		
9	think there's good cause, based on the fact that I will be in trial from August 9th to, I		
10	mean		
11	MS. HAMERS: Well, we're not I'm not		
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.		
13	MS. HAMERS: I'm not pushing a date that quick. If you want to go further ou		
14	in September, I think that's going to be fine.		
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. I'll just		
16	THE COURT: Right. We can		
17	THE CLERK: September 20 looked better than any other date.		
18	THE COURT: September 20th apparently looks like the best date.		
19	MS. HAMERS: You want to do September 20 th ?		
20	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Sure, I have, like, two trials, who cares?		
21	MS. HAMERS: I only have one other one set that week. That'll be good.		
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: That's fine, Your Honor.		
23	MS. HAMERS: I'm sorry, was that okay with you?		
24	MS. CLARK: Yeah. No, I mean, I just work here, so.		
25	MS. HAMERS: I know.		

1	MS. CLARK: No, I'm fine.	
2	THE COURT: So we'll do September 20 th will be your trial date.	
3	THE CLERK: September 20 is the trial date at 10:30 a.m.; calendar call,	
4	September 9, 8:30 a.m.	
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.	
6	MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
7	THE COURT: Thank you.	
8	MS. HAMERS: Jayshawn, we'll talk again real soon.	
9	THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: So we have September 9th the calendar	
10	call, 8:30; and September 20 for jury trial at what time?	
11	THE CLERK: At 10:30.	
12	THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.	
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, do you want the State	
14	THE COURT: Thank you.	
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: Do you want the State to do the order or	
16	THE COURT: Please.	
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.	
18	THE COURT: I really don't know the exact time .	
19	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 2:43 P.M.	
20	* * * * * * *	
21		
22	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-	
23	video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.	
24	SARA RICHARDSON	
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber	

Electronically Filed 10/24/2022 3:17 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

	ODDD	CLERK OF THE COL	
1 2	ORDR DARIN F. IMLAY, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 5674		
3	KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 9049		
4	PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Telephone: (702) 455-4685		
5			
6	Facsimile: (702) 455-5112 HamersKM@clarkcountynv.gov		
7	Attorneys for Defendant		
8	DIST	RICT COURT	
9	CLARK C	COUNTY, NEVADA	
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
11	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C-20-347887-1	
12	V.	DEPT. NO. XII	
13	JAYSHAWN D. BAILEY,		
14	Defendant,		
15			
16		ORDER	
17	_	ne before the Court on October 18, 2022, and good	
18	cause appearing therefore,		
19		that the Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record	
20	is GRANTED.		
21		Dated this 24th day of October, 2022	
22		Hickory Johnson	
23		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
24		658 0D1 2907 3C37 Michelle Leavitt	
25	Submitted that	District Court Judge	
26	Submitted by:		
27	DARIN F. IMLAY CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER		
28	By <u>/s/Kathleen M. Hamers</u> KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, #9049 Deputy Public Defender		

l	CSERV		
2	DISTRICT COURT		
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4			
5			
6	State of Nevada	CASE NO: C-20-347887-1	
7	vs	DEPT. NO. Department 12	
8	Jayshawn Bailey		
9			
10	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
11	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District		
12	Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:		
13	Service Date: 10/24/2022		
14			
15	PUBLIC DEFENDER	PDClerk@ClarkCountyNV.gov	
16	Kathleen Hamers	HamersKM@clarkcountynv.gov	
17	Sara Ruano	ruanosg@clarkcountynv.gov	
18	DC 12 Law Clerk	Dept12LC@clarkcountycourts.us	
19	Michael Schwartzer	Michael.Schwartzer@clarkcountyda.com	
20	Anna Clark Chief Deputy Public Defe	ender Anna.Clark@clarkcountyNV.gov	
21		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
22	Jennifer Hall	Jennifer.hall@clarkcountyda.com	
23	Alexander Chen	Alexander.chen@clarkcountyda.com	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

THIS SEALED
DOCUMENT,
NUMBERED PAGE(S)
1801 - 1809
WILL FOLLOW VIA
U.S. MAIL

THIS SEALED
DOCUMENT,
NUMBERED PAGE(S)
1810 - 1868
WILL FOLLOW VIA
U.S. MAIL

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

VS

Jayshawn Bailey

April 16, 2020

3:30 PM

Initial Arraignment

HEARD BY: Herndon, Douglas W.

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Kory Schlitz

RECORDER: Stacey Ray

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: BAILEY, JAYSHAWN D Defendant

Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney
Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT BAILEY ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY, and INVOKED the 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, matter set for status check. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Schwartzer indicated the matter is not going before the Death Review Committee. Ms. Hamers stated this case had a Preliminary Hearing and no transcripts have been filed. COURT ORDERED, pursuant to Statute, Counsel has 21 days from today for the filing of any Writs, if the Transcript has not been filed as of today; Counsel has 21 days from the filing of the Transcript. Pursuant to Administrative Order 17-05 this COURT ORDERS the case REASSIGNED to Department 12.

CUSTODY

4/23/2020 12:00 P.M. STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING (DEPT 12)

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 1 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

April 23, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

April 23, 2020

12:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER:

Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: BAILEY, JAYSHAWN D

Defendant Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION FOR OWN RECOGNIZANCE RELEASE ... STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING

All parties appeared by video. Arguments by counsel regarding Defendant's Motion for Release. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Schwartzer advised he is not sure why the Preliminary Hearing Transcript has not been filed. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Release CONTINUED for the Preliminary Hearing Transcript to be reviewed. As to trial setting, Mr. Schwartzer noted the Defendant has invoked. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Status Check regarding trial setting CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 05/07/20 8:30 AM OR 12:00 PM

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 2 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

May 07, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

May 07, 2020

12:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER:

Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: BAILEY, JAYSHAWN D Defendant Attorney

Hamers, Kathleen M. Schwartzer, Michael J.

Attorney

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR OWN RECOGNIZANCE RELEASE STATUS CHECK: TRIAL **SETTING**

Counsel submitted as to the Defendant's Motion. COURT STATED proof is evident and presumption is great; therefore, ORDERED, Defendant Motion for Release DENIED. Colloquy regarding trial dates. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, trial dates SET.

CUSTODY

08/04/20 8:30 AM CALENDAR CALL

08/10/20 10:30 AM JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE: Page 3 of 34 01/17/2023 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES

June 11, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

V\$

Jayshawn Bailey

June 11, 2020

12:00 AM Petition for Writ of Habeas

Corpus

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: BAILEY, JAYSHAWN D Defendant

Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- All parties appeared by video. Following arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus DENIED; State to prepare the Order.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 4 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor **COURT MINUTES** July 15, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

July 15, 2020

2:00 PM

Central Trial Readiness

Conference

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Kimberly Estala

RECORDER: Renee Vincent

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D

Defendant Clark, Anna Attorney Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Hamers announced not ready for August due to outstanding discovery and requested a continuance of the trial without Defendant waiving. State advised no opposition to a continuance. COURT ORDERED, department trial VACATED, central trial RESET.

CUSTODY

09/23/20 2:00 PM CENTRAL CALENDAR CALL (LLA) 09/28/20 8:00 AM CENTRAL JURY TRIAL (LLA)

PRINT DATE: Page 5 of 34 01/17/2023 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

July 21, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

July 21, 2020

12:00 AM

Motion to Compel

Motion To Compel **Production Of**

Discovery & Brady

Material

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER:

Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant

Hamers, Kathleen M. Schwartzer, Michael J.

Attorney Attorney

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED as follows:

1. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.

2. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.

3. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.

4. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.

5. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 6 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1

- 6. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.
- 7. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.
- 8. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.
- 9. MOTION DENIED.
- 10. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.
- 11. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.
- 12. MOTION GRANTED.
- 13. State is to comply with NRS 174.234.
- 14. There are no confidential informants or statutory exception; MOTION DENIED.
- 15. State to comply with Brady obligations.
- 16. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.
- 17. State to comply with Brady obligations.
- 18. State is to disclose anything other than the statutory witness fee.
- 19. MOTION GRANTED to extent it is required by NRS 174.235.
- 20. MOTION DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as there is no showing of any materiality or any basis for them to make the review.
- 21. State is to disclose any prior felonies or crimes of moral turpitude.
- 22 THROUGH 36. MOTION DENIED as those issues are not in this case.
- 37. Court will sign an order that any CPS records are to be provided to the Court for an in camera review.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED, both sides are to make timely disclosures; Defense is to prepare the Order. Statement by Defendant regarding his innocence.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 7 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

September 23, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

VS

Jayshawn Bailey

September 23, 2020

2:00 PM

Central Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Barker, David

COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Kimberly Estala

RECORDER:

Nancy Maldonado

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D

Defendant Attorney Attorney

Schwartzer, Michael J. State of Nevada

Hamers, Kathleen M.

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Hamers announced not ready and requested a trial continuance. Statement by Defendant requesting to proceed with trial. Argument by Ms. Hamers to continue the trial until January for good cause. State advised no opposition to a continuance based on the representations of Ms. Hamers. COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED, matter SET for status check to reset the trial.

CUSTODY

10/06/20 12:00 PM STATUS CHECK: RESET TRIAL (DEPT 12)

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 8 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES

October 06, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

V\$

Jayshawn Bailey

October 06, 2020 13

12:00 AM Status Check: Reset Trial

Date

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant

Clark, Anna Attorney
Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney
Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Hamers advised the Defendant wants to move to dismiss counsel and appoint new counsel; therefore, requested the Defendant make that request outside the presence of the State. Defendant stated he needs more time to think about it. Ms. Hamers requested trial be reset and advised they will speak to the Defendant some more. Defendant confirmed he wants to speak with his attorney. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for Trial and Status Check regarding trial readiness.

CUSTODY

11/18/20 8:30 AM OR 11/20/20 12:00 PM (DEPENDING ON PANDEMIC) STATUS CHECK: TRIAL READINESS

12/29/20 8:30 AM OR 12:00 PM (DEPENDING ON PANDEMIC) CALENDAR CALL

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 9 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1

 $01/04/21\ 10:30\ AM\ JURY\ TRIAL$

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 10 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1 State of Nevada vs Jayshawn Bailey

October 14, 2020 7:30 AM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, Department of Family Services Records SEALED, marked and ADMITTED into evidence. Records provided to the runner from the Public Defender's Office and the secretary for the MVU District Attorney team on 10/13/20, in chambers.

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 11 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor **COURT MINUTES** December 04, 2020

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

December 04, 2020

12:00 AM

Status Check: Trial

Readiness

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

Sara Richardson RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D

Defendant Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Court stated trials will not be proceeding at this time and ORDERED, trial dates VACATED and RESET: matter SET for central trial readiness in lower level.

CUSTODY

01/13/21 11:30 AM CENTRAL TRIAL READINESS (LLA)

01/19/21 8:30 AM OR 12:00 PM (DEPENDING ON PANDEMIC) CALENDAR CALL

01/25/21 10:30 AM JURY TRIAL

Page 12 of 34 PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES December 18, 2020

C-20-347887-1 State of Nevada

V\$

Jayshawn Bailey

December 18, 2020 12:00 AM Motion for Own

Recognizance

Release/Setting Reasonable

Bail

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant

Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney
Overly, Sarah Attorney
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Following arguments by counsel, COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED; State to prepare the Order.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 13 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 19, 2021

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

VS

Jayshawn Bailey

January 19, 2021

11:00 AM

Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant

Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Ms. Hamers advised a Petition was filed to stay the proceedings. Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Hamers advised they are not waiving speedy trial; therefore, will be requesting that this matter be stayed and the Defendant remain in invoked status. Court inquired as to the Defense wanting the Court to vacate this trial date. Ms. Hamers confirmed that is their request. Court inquired as to the Defendant waiving. Ms. Hamers clarified the Defendant is not waiving and requested this matter be stayed with the Defendant remaining invoked. Court stated that does not make sense as there is a trial set next week and the Defense is requesting the Court to vacate this trial, keep him in an invoked status and stay this matter. Ms. Hamner so confirmed. COURT STATED the Defense is requesting the trial date be vacated and ORDERED, trial dates VACATED; matter SET for Status Check regarding trial setting, depending if the Court grants the Defense's request for Stay.

CUSTODY

01/26/21 11:00 AM STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 14 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 26, 2021

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

January 26, 2021

11:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER:

Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D

Defendant Clark, Anna Attorney Overly, Sarah Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDINGS ... STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING (INVOKED)

Counsel submitted. COURT ORDERED, stay DENIED; matter SET for trial. Defendant inquired as to why the Motion was denied. Court directed the Defendant to call his attorney.

CUSTODY

03/16/21 (TIME TO BE DETERMINED) CALENDAR CALL

03/22/21 (TIME TO BE DETERMINED) JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE: Page 15 of 34 01/17/2023 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1 State of Nevada vs Jayshawn Bailey

March 03, 2021 11:30 AM Central Trial Readiness

Conference

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment

COURT CLERK: Kristin Duncan

RECORDER: Victoria Boyd

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant

Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney
Overly, Sarah Attorney
State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- The State present via Blue Jeans.

Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Hamers stated that the defense could be ready for trial on April 5, 2021; however, they intended to file a Motion to Suppress on March 5, 2021. Due to the circumstances, Ms. Hamers requested the case go back to DC12 for the Motion to Suppress, then come back to Central Trial Readiness. Additionally, Ms. Hamers requested the setting of a settlement conference. There being no objection by the State, COURT ORDERED the pending trial dates were hereby VACATED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED the SETTING of a settlement conference. The Court noted that defense counsel would have to file the Motion for Suppress, and receive a date for said Motion from DC12.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 16 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1

4/15/21 10:30 AM (LLA) SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 17 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor **COURT MINUTES** March 30, 2021

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

March 30, 2021

11:00 AM

Motion

Defendant's Motion

To Exclude Statements and Request For

Evidentiary Hearing Pursuant To Jackson

v. Denno

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D

Defendant Clark, Anna Attorney Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT STATED there is an inclination to grant the Jackson v Denno Hearing and ORDERED, Court Clerk to contact the parties and SET hearing.

CLERK'S NOTE: Subsequent to Court, matter SET for Jackson v Denno hearing and parties notified via email.

PRINT DATE: Page 18 of 34 01/17/2023 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

May 12, 2021

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

May 12, 2021

1:30 PM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK:

Haly Pannullo

RECORDER:

Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant Clark, Anna Attorney Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney Overly, Sarah Attorney Schwartzer, Michael J. Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- State INVOKED the exclusionary rule. Defendant stated he wants to speak with his attorney. MATTER TRAILED.

MATTER RECALLED. All parties present as before. Testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets)

COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for continued testimony.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 05/21/21 1:30 PM

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 19 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES

June 16, 2021

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

V\$

Jayshawn Bailey

June 16, 2021

1:30 PM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK:

Carolyn Jackson

RECORDER:

Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Clark, Anna

Attorney Attorney

Hamers, Kathleen M. Schwartzer, Michael J.

Attorney

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- JACKSON V DENNO HEARING . . . STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING

Testimony and Exhibits presented (see worksheets). Closing arguments by Ms. Hammers and Mr. Schwartzer. COURT stated its FINDINGS and ORDERED, Motion DENIED.

Court stated the parties are scheduled for a settlement conference and the matter should be set for trial. Mr. Schwartzer advised that he has trial beginning on the same date as the settlement conference and although Ms. Overly is familiar with this case he would prefer to attend the settlement conference. Colloquy regarding scheduling issues and whether the Defendant is invoked. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for trial.

CUSTODY

09-09-21 08:30 AM CALENDAR CALL

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 20 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1

09-20-21 10:30 AM JURY TRIAL

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 21 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES		August 10, 2021
C-20-347887-1	State of Nevada vs Jayshawn Bailey			
August 10, 2021	10:30 AM	Settlement Conference		
HEARD BY: Barker,	David	COURTROOM:	No Location	
COURT CLERK:				
RECORDER:				
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESENT:				
		JOURNAL ENTRIES		
- Matter not settled.				

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 22 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor **COURT MINUTES** September 03, 2021

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

September 03, 2021

11:00 AM

Pre Trial Conference

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant

Hamers, Kathleen M. Schwartzer, Michael J.

Attorney Attorney

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Parties announced ready for trial and agreed to 14 witnesses total with one week for trial, maybe go into the second week. State noted there may be an issue with a witness due to covid and will requested audio or visual testimony. COURT SO NOTED and ORDERED, trial dates STAND.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 23 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1 State of Nevada vs Jayshawn Bailey

September 03, 2021 1:00 PM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT ORDERED, Motion for supplemental discovery filed on August 30, 2021 at 12:00 pm, and the Motion in Limine to Preclude Admission of Irrelevant and Prejudicial Internet Search filed on August 30, 2021 at 11:49 am are to be SEALED by Order of the Court as they contain personal identifying information that should not be part of the public record.

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 24 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

September 09, 2021

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

September 09, 2021

11:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson.

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant Attorney

Hamers, Kathleen M. Schwartzer, Michael J. State of Nevada

Attorney Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ADMISSION OF IRRELEVANT AND PREJUDICIAL INTERNET SEARCH COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE EXPERT OPINION TESTIMONY THAT THE MANNER OF DEATH IN THIS CASE IS HOMICIDE COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR.

[54] STATE'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO PRESENT TESTIMONY OF EXPERT WITNESS DR. CHRISTINA DILORETO THROUGH AUDIO VISUAL TRANSMISSION AT TRIAL COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY RELATED TO EXPERT WITNESS DR. CHRISTINA DI LORETO COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR.

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 25 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1

MOTION FOR SPECIFIC DISCLOSURE AND IDENTIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR.

CALENDAR CALL

NEGOTIATIONS are as contained in the Guilty Plea Agreement electronically filed by counsel. DEFT. BAILEY ARRAIGNED AND PLED GUILTY TO VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER OF A VULNERABLE PERSON (F). Court ACCEPTED plea, and, ORDERED, matter referred to the Division of Parole and Probation (P & P) and SET for sentencing; trial dates VACATED.

CUSTODY

01/05/22 8:30 AM OR 01/07/22 11:00 AM (DEPENDING ON PANDEMIC) SENTENCING

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 26 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

C-20-347887-1 State of Nevada

Minute Order

Jayshawn Bailey

3:30 PM

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER:

November 08, 2021

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION FOR OWN RECOGNIZANCE RELEASE OR SETTING OF REASONABLE BAIL

The court having reviewed the pleadings submitted herein, does hereby deny Defendant's Motion. The hearing on November 9, 2021 is vacated and Ms. Overly, Esq. is to prepare the order for the court.

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Hamers, Kathleen hamerskm@ClarkCountyNV.gov; Sarah Overly Sarah.Overly@clarkcountyda.com; 'Teresa Slade' Teresa.Slade@clarkcountyda.com hvp/11/8/21

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 27 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 06, 2022

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

 $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{s}$

Jayshawn Bailey

January 06, 2022

11:00 AM

Motion

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Samantha Albrecht

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D

Defendant

Hamers, Kathleen M.

Overly, Sarah

Attorney

Public Defender

State of Nevada

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

 - Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant confirmed he wanted to withdraw his plea. COURT ORDERED, Public Defender WITHDRAWN and status check SET for appointment of new counsel; sentencing VACATED.

CUSTODY

1/13/2022 11:00 AM STATUS CHECK: CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 28 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 13, 2022

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

VS

Jayshawn Bailey

January 13, 2022

11:00 AM

Status Check:

Confirmation of Counsel

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: 5

Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey.

Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant Kocka, Frank Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff Zadrowski, Bernard B. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Kocka confirmed as counsel and noted he has not received anything on this case. COURT SO NOTED and ORDERED, matter SET for Status Check regarding Motion to Withdraw Plea.

CUSTODY

01/27/22 STATUS CHECK: MOTION TO WITHDRAW PLEA

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 29 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

January 27, 2022

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

January 27, 2022

11:00 AM

Status Check

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

Pharan Burchfield

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant

Kocka, Frank

Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendant requested a call with counsel. MATTER TRAILED.

MATTER RECALLED. All parties present as before. Mr. Kocka stated in receipt of file from the Public Defender's and confirmed no conflicts; therefore, needs time with Defendant to go over file. Colloquy regarding Mr. Kocka's request for a contact visit order with the jail. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED; Mr. Kocka to prepare order.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 03/17/22

PRINT DATE: Page 30 of 34 01/17/2023 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

March 17, 2022

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

V\$

Jayshawn Bailey

March 17, 2022

11:00 AM

Status Check

HEARD BY: Cherry, Michael A.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D

Defendant

Kocka, Frank

Overly, Sarah State of Nevada

Attorney Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Following Mr. Kocka's representations, COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED; matter REFERRED back to the Public Defender's Office and SET for Sentencing.

CUSTODY

04/08/22 SENTENCING

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 31 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

April 08, 2022

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

Jayshawn Bailey

April 08, 2022

11:00 AM

Sentencing

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Bailey, Jayshawn D Defendant Hamers, Kathleen M. Attorney Overly, Sarah Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFT. BAILEY ADJUDGED GUILTY of VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER OF A VULNERABLE PERSON (F). Matter argued Ms. Overly and Ms. Hamers. Statement by Defendant. Based upon Defendant's representations, COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 04/21/22

PRINT DATE: Page 32 of 34 01/17/2023 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

April 21, 2022

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

V\$

Jayshawn Bailey

April 21, 2022

11:00 AM

Sentencing

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Bailey, Jayshawn D

Clark, Anna

Overly, Sarah

Defendant
Attorney
Attorney
Attorney
Plaintiff

State of Nevada

Hamers, Kathleen M.

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFT. BAILEY ADJUDGED GUILTY OF VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER OF A VULNERABLE PERSON (F). Argument by counsel and statement by Defendant. Victim speaker Sakari Taylor and Mykiah Trotter SWORN and TESTIFIED. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, a \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee, including testing to determine genetic markers and a \$3.00 DNA Collection fee; Deft. SENTENCED to a MINIMUM of FOUR (4) YEARS and a MAXIMUM of TEN (10) YEARS plus a CONSECUTIVE term of a MINIMUM of FOUR (4) YEARS and a MAXIMUM of TEN (10) YEARS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) for Vulnerable Person Enhancement, for an AGGREGATE SENTENCE of a MINIMUM PAROLE ELIGIBILITY after EIGHT (8) YEARS and a MAXIMUM of TWENTY (20) YEARS with EIGHT HUNDRED TWENTY-TWO (822) DAYS credit for time served.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 33 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

October 18, 2022

C-20-347887-1

State of Nevada

VS

Jayshawn Bailey

October 18, 2022

8:30 AM

Motion to Withdraw as Counsel

Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Haly Pannullo

RECORDER: Sara Richardson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Clark, Anna

Jaramillo, Haley Ann Attorney State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendant not present. COURT ORDERED, Motion to Withdraw Counsel GRANTED; Ms. Clark to prepare the Order.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 01/17/2023 Page 34 of 34 Minutes Date: April 16, 2020

Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record

State of Nevada	7	99
County of Clark	}	SS

Pursuant to the Supreme Court order dated January 11, 2023, I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the complete trial court record for the case referenced below. The record comprises eight volumes with pages numbered 1 through 1902.

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

JAYSHAWN D. BAILEY,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: C-20-347887-1

Related Case A-22-857574-W

Dept. No: XII

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 17 day of January 2023.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk