

1  
2 **IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

3 A CAB SERIES, LLC f/k/a A CAB, LLC;  
4 and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

5 Appellant,

6 vs.

7 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
8 RENO, Individually and on behalf of others  
9 similarly situated,

10 Respondents.

Electronically Filed  
Jan 11 2023 05:03 PM  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court No. 85850  
District Court No. A669926

**DOCKETING STATEMENT**  
**CIVIL APPEALS**

11 **GENERAL INFORMATION**

12 All appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP  
13 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in  
14 screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment  
15 to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and  
16 settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to  
17 the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

18  
19 **WARNING**

20 This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The  
21 Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the  
22 information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement  
23 completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of  
sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

24 A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this  
25 docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay  
26 of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

27 This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under  
28 NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they

1 waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions  
2 appropriate. See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220  
3 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

4 1. Judicial District: Eighth, Department IX, Clark County  
5 Judge Maria Gall  
6 District Ct. Docket No: A669926

7 **2. Attorney filing this docket statement:**

8 Attorney: Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
9 Firm: Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.  
10 Address: 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
12 Telephone: (702) 320-8400

13 Client(s): A CAB SERIES, LLC f/k/a A CAB, LLC

14 If this is a joint statement completed on behalf of multiple appellants, add the names  
15 and addresses of other counsel and the names of their clients on an additional sheet  
16 accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

17 **3. Attorney(s) representing respondent(s):**

18  
19 Attorney: Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
20 Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez, Esq.  
21 Firm: Leon Greenberg Profession Corporation  
22 Address: 1811 South Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 210  
23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
24 Telephone (702) 383-6085

24 and

25 Attorney: Christian Gabroy, Esq.  
26 Firm: Gabroy Law Offices  
27 Address: 170 South Green Valley Parkway # 280  
28 Henderson, Nevada 89012  
Telephone: (702) 259-7777

Client(s): MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated

**4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Judgment after bench trial                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Dismissal:                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Judgment after jury verdict                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of jurisdiction                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Summary judgment                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to state a claim                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Default judgment                              | <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to prosecute                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grant/Denial of NRCF 60(b) relief  | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grant/Denial of injunction                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Divorce decree:                                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grant/Denial of declaratory relief | <input type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Modification |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Review of agency determination                | <input type="checkbox"/> Other disposition (specify)                    |

**5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following: No**

- ☐ Child custody
- ☐ Venue
- ☐ Termination of parental rights

**6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court.** List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal:

A Cab, LLC, and Creighton J. Nady, Appellants, vs. Michael Murray and Michael Reno, et al., Respondents. Supreme Court Docket No. 72691.

A Cab, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; and Creighton J Nady, an Individual, Petitioners, vs. The Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark; and the Honorable Kenneth C. Cory, District Judge, Respondents, and Michael Murray; and Michael Reno, Individually and on Behalf of Others Similarly Situated, Real Parties in Interest. Supreme Court Docket No. 73326.

A Cab, LLC, and A Cab Series LLC, Appellants vs. Michael Murray, and Michael Reno, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, Respondents. Supreme Court Docket No. 77050.

Michael Murray, and Michael Reno, Individually and on behalf of others similarly

1 situated, Appellants, vs. A Cab Taxi Service LLC, A Cab, LLC and Creighton J.  
2 Nady, Respondents. Supreme Court Docket No. 81641.

3 Michael Murray, and Michael Reno, Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
4 situated, Appellants, vs. A Cab Taxi Service LLC, A Cab, LLC and Creighton J.  
5 Nady, Respondents. Supreme Court Docket No. 82539.

6 Michael Murray, and Michael Reno, Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
7 situated, Petitioners, vs. The Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in  
8 and for the County of Clark, and The Honorable, District Judge Carli Kierny  
9 Respondents, and A Cab Taxi Service LLC, A Cab Series LLC formerly known as A  
10 Cab, LLC, and Creighton J. Nady, Real Parties in Interest. Supreme Court Docket  
11 No. 84456.

12 Michael Murray, and Michael Reno, Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
13 situated, Appellants, vs. A Cab Taxi Service LLC, A Cab Series LLC, f/k/a A Cab,  
14 LLC, and Creighton J. Nady, Respondents. Supreme Court Docket No. 84888.

15 Michael Murray, Michael Reno, Michael Sargeant, Individually and on behalf of  
16 others similarly situated, et.al, vs. Jasminka Dubric, Individually and on behalf of  
17 others similarly situated, et.al. Supreme Court Docket No. 83492.

18 **7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts.** List the case name, number  
19 and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this  
20 appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of  
21 disposition:

22 Involuntary Petition Against a Non-Individual, Chapter 7, U.S. Bankruptcy Court,  
23 District of Nevada; Case number 19-12252-mkn, filed April 12, 2019; Involuntary  
24 Petition dismissed September 26, 2019.

25 A Cab, Series L.L.C., Chapter 11 Bankruptcy, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of  
26 Nevada; Case number 22-14361-nmc, filed December 12, 2022; pending.

27 A Cab, Series L.L.C., Removal of Michael Murray, et al vs. A Cab Taxi Service LLC,  
28 et al, District Court No. A669926 to U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Nevada; Case  
number 22-01163-nmc, filed December 14, 2022; pending.



1 Jasminka Dubric, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, et al v. A  
2 Cab, LLC; A Cab Series LLC, Employee Leasing Company, CREIGHTON J. NADY,  
3 District Court Case No. A-15-721063-C; judgment entered September 1, 2021.

4 **8. Nature of the action.** Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result  
5 below:

6 The underlying action is a class action suit against taxicab company, A Cab,  
7 LLC for A Cab's alleged failure to pay its employees a sufficient wage to satisfy the  
8 Minimum Wage Act of the Nevada Constitution. Prior to his retirement, this matter  
9 was before Honorable Judge Kenneth Cory. On August 21, 2018, Judge Cory issued  
10 an Order Granting Summary Judgment, Severing Claims, and Directing Entry of Final  
11 Judgment. Defendants appealed this order on September 21, 2018, and subsequently  
12 amended the appeal on January 15, 2019 and March 6, 2019 to include subsequently  
13 issued orders. On December 30, 2021, the Nevada Supreme Court issued 137 Nev.  
14 Advance Opinion 84, which “affirmed in part, reversed in part and remanded” the  
15 District Court’s orders for further determinations by the district court.

16 Upon remand from this court, Defendants as a first step in addressing the issues  
17 on remand moved on February 11, 2022, for a declaratory order to decertify the class  
18 stricken by this court due to statute of limitations expirations as well as to take  
19 evidence on the lack of a class after June 26, 2014 which could not be supported  
20 pursuant to NRCP 23 without any liability or damages. On February 14, 2022,  
21 Plaintiffs moved for entry of a modified judgment as provided for by remittitur, and  
22 on February 16, 2022, for entry of a modified award of pre-judgment attorney’s fees  
23 as provided for by remittitur. On March 9, 2022 a hearing was held; and a stay order  
24 was entered by the district court on May 3, 2022. Said order indicated the decision in  
25 the pending *Dubric* appeal, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492, will affect the  
26 new judgment in this case. This court issued its affirmance of the judgment entered  
27 in the *Dubric* matter on August 11, 2022.

28 Thereafter, the district court on September 19, 2022, entered an order lifting the  
stay and indicated it would address Plaintiffs’ Motion for Entry of a Modified  
Judgment as Provided for By Remittitur, as well as Defendants’ Motion for  
Declaratory Order, before it addressed any other motion. The court further indicated  
that “given the developments in this case, including in the *Dubric* matter, the parties  
may each file and serve one additional, omnibus brief in support of or opposed to any  
pending motion;” and that “no party may file anything else into the record of this case

1 without the permission of this Court. Failure to follow this order will result in  
2 sanctions.” Accordingly, both parties filed additional briefing addressing the two  
3 motions.

4 The court did not take any oral argument on these two motions nor any other  
5 motions that had been filed during the stay of proceedings, but instead proceeded to  
6 issue orders on not only the two motions it said it would address but numerous prior  
7 motions which had been filed during and in violation of the stay.

8 Both Plaintiffs’ motion for entry of a modified judgment as provided for by  
9 remittitur, and for entry of a modified award of pre-judgment attorney’s fees as  
10 provided for by remittitur, were granted on November 14, 2022. Plaintiffs had also  
11 moved during the stay for: an award of attorney’s fees on appeal; and to stay, offset,  
12 or apportion award of costs, which were all granted on November 17, 2022. Plaintiffs  
13 also moved for an award of attorney’s fees on appeal of order denying receiver,  
14 opposing mooted motion for attorney’s fees, and for costs of appeal, which motion  
15 has been continued. Defendants had moved for sanctions under NRCP 11 due to  
16 Plaintiffs repeatedly filing motions during the stay, and for costs on appeal, which  
17 were both denied on November 17, 2022. Defendants’ original motion for  
18 declaratory order was not addressed by the court, but instead an Order Amending the  
19 Class was issued on November 17, 2022 amending portions of the class.

20 Defendants appeal from these orders as the district court has not addressed the  
21 issues as instructed in the remand, and which have arisen in the interim (namely the  
22 release and satisfaction of numerous class members’ claims), before entering a  
23 modified judgment and issuing its subsequent orders stemming therefrom. Under  
24 threat of sanctions, Defendants were prohibited from addressing its issues or  
25 opposing Plaintiffs’ motions improperly filed during the stay.

26 **9. Issues on appeal.** State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal:

27 Whether the District Court engaged in reversible error by failing to address the issues  
28 on remand including but not limited to all issues and evidence which should be  
considered before entering a new judgment against Defendant. These include: the  
court’s prior determination that affirmance of the *Dubric* judgment would affect entry  
of a new judgment in this matter. The court reversed itself and altogether ignored the  
affirmance of a judgment and settlement which was entered into by numerous

1 claimants in this matter; and the issues of double recovery. The district court did not  
2 allow Defendants an opportunity to present evidence as to the release of claims and  
3 payment of the claims to numerous class members. The court refused to consider  
4 evidence demonstrating that class status cannot be supported under NRCP 23; and  
5 issues of decertification which may be raised at any point. The court's orders  
6 awarding fees and costs to Plaintiffs contradict the court's prior orders awarding costs  
7 to Defendants as the prevailing party. The court deprived Defendants of due process  
8 and an opportunity to oppose Plaintiffs' motions including those awarding fees and  
9 costs under threat of sanctions. The issue of Plaintiffs' failure to identify or name a  
proper Defendant was ignored and not addressed by the district court. The remaining  
issues on appeal stem from these matters.

10 **10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues.** If you  
11 are aware of any proceeding presently pending before this court which raises the same  
12 or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket number and  
13 identify the same or similar issues raised:

14 Appellants are not aware of any.

15 **11. Constitutional issues.** If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a  
16 statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a  
17 party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general  
18 in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

19 Not applicable.

20 **12. Other Issues.** Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?

- 21
- 22 ☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
- 23 ☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
- 24 ☒ A substantial issue of first-impression
- 25 ☒ An issue of public policy
- 26 ☐ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this  
court's decisions
- 27 ☐ A ballot question

28 If so, explain:

1 There is a substantial issue of first-impression regarding the overlap of class action  
2 claims and when a claimant resolves his/her claim in one case whether he/she can  
3 proceed to collect for the same claim in a second case. It is also an issue of public  
4 policy as to a Defendant's ability to buy their peace when settling a claim.

5 **13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court.**

6 Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court  
7 or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of  
8 the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court  
9 should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals,  
10 identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and  
11 include an explanation of their importance or significance:

12 This case is arguably assigned to the Nevada Supreme Court pursuant to NRAP  
13 17(a)(12), because it raises issues of statewide public importance regarding the  
14 overlap of class action claims; and a Defendant's ability to settle a claim. Further,  
15 prior decisions in this case by the Nevada Supreme Court have been rendered  
16 meaningless with the district court's complete disregard of this court's affirmance of  
17 the *Dubric* judgment and settlement of claims. Finally, the monetary amounts in  
18 controversy involving the plaintiffs' claims exceed those amounts which are  
19 presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals pursuant to NRAP 17(b)(6).

20 **14. Trial.** If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? Was it  
21 a bench of jury trial? Not applicable.

22 **15. Judicial disqualification.** Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have  
23 a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal. If so, which Justice?

24 Not applicable.

25 **TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL**

26 **16. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from:**

27 Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Judgment as  
28 Provided for by Remittitur, filed on November 11, 2022, notice of entry of which was  
served electronically on November 14, 2022 (Exhibit A);

1 Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Award of Pre-  
2 Judgment Attorney's Fees as Provided for by Remittitur, filed on November 11, 2022,  
3 notice of entry of which was served electronically on November 14, 2022 (Exhibit B);

4 Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees on Appeal,  
5 filed on November 17, 2022, notice of entry of which was served electronically on  
6 November 17, 2022 (Exhibit C);

7 Order Continuing Decision on Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys'  
8 Fees on Appeal of Order Denying Receiver, Opposing Mooted Motion for Attorney's  
9 Fees, and For Costs of Appeal, filed on November 17, 2022, notice of entry of which  
10 was served electronically on November 17, 2022 (Exhibit D);

11 Order Amending the Class, filed on November 17, 2022, notice of entry of  
12 order of which was served electronically and by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, on  
13 January 11, 2023 in US Bankruptcy Court, Case No. 22-01163-nmc (Exhibit E);

14 Order Modifying Final Judgment Entered on August 21, 2018, filed on  
15 November 17, 2022, notice of entry of which was served electronically on November  
16 17, 2022 (Exhibit F);

17 Order Modifying Order of February 6, 2019, Granting Plaintiffs an Award of  
18 Attorneys' Fees and Costs, filed November 17, 2022, notice of entry of which was  
19 served electronically on November 18, 2022 (Exhibit G);

20 Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Sanctions, filed on November 17, 2022,  
21 notice of entry of which was served electronically on November 17, 2022 (Exhibit  
22 H);

23 Order Granting Motion to Stay, Offset, or Apportion Award of Costs, filed  
24 November 17, 2022, notice of entry of order of which was served electronically and  
25 by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, on January 11, 2023 in US Bankruptcy Court, Case  
26 No. 22-01163-nmc (Exhibit I);

27 Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Costs filed November 17, 2022, notice  
28 of entry of which was served electronically on November 17, 2022 (Exhibit J).

If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis  
for seeking appellate review: Not applicable.

**17. Date written notice of entry of judgment or order served.**

Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Judgment as  
Provided for by Remittitur, filed on November 11, 2022, notice of entry of which was  
served electronically on November 14, 2022 (Exhibit A);

1 Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Award of Pre-  
2 Judgment Attorney's Fees as Provided for by Remittitur, filed on November 11, 2022,  
3 notice of entry of which was served electronically on November 14, 2022 (Exhibit B);

4 Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees on Appeal,  
5 filed on November 17, 2022, notice of entry of which was served electronically on  
6 November 17, 2022 (Exhibit C);

7 Order Continuing Decision on Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys'  
8 Fees on Appeal of Order Denying Receiver, Opposing Mooted Motion for Attorney's  
9 Fees, and For Costs of Appeal, filed on November 17, 2022, notice of entry of which  
10 was served electronically on November 17, 2022 (Exhibit D);

11 Order Amending the Class, filed on November 17, 2022, notice of entry of  
12 order of which was served electronically and by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, on  
13 January 11, 2023 in US Bankruptcy Court, Case No. 22-01163-nmc (Exhibit E);

14 Order Modifying Final Judgment Entered on August 21, 2018, filed on  
15 November 17, 2022, notice of entry of which was served electronically on November  
16 17, 2022 (Exhibit F);

17 Order Modifying Order of February 6, 2019, Granting Plaintiffs an Award of  
18 Attorneys' Fees and Costs, filed November 17, 2022, notice of entry of which was  
19 served electronically on November 18, 2022 (Exhibit G);

20 Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Sanctions, filed on November 17, 2022,  
21 notice of entry of which was served electronically on November 17, 2022 (Exhibit  
22 H);

23 Order Granting Motion to Stay, Offset, or Apportion Award of Costs, filed  
24 November 17, 2022, notice of entry of order of which was served electronically and  
25 by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, on January 11, 2023 in US Bankruptcy Court, Case  
26 No. 22-01163-nmc (Exhibit I);

27 Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Costs" filed November 17, 2022, notice  
28 of entry of which was served electronically on November 17, 2022 (Exhibit J).

Was service by:

☐ Delivery

☒ Mail/electronic/fax

**18. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment  
motion (NRCp 50(b), 52(b), or 59) none**

1 (a) Specify the type of motion, and the date and method of service of the  
2 motion, and date of filing.

- 3 ☐ NRCP 50(b) Date of filing  
4 ☐ NRCP 52(b) Date of filing  
5 ☐ NRCP 59 Date of filing

6 **NOTE: Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or**  
7 **reconsideration may toll the time for filing a notice of appeal. *See***  
8 **AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev. \_\_\_, 245 P.3d 1190**  
9 **(2010).**

10 (b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion - n/a

11 (c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving motion served - n/a  
12 Was service by:  
13 ☐ Delivery  
14 ☐ Mail

15 **19. Date notice of appeal filed** December 14, 2022.

16 If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date  
17 each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice  
18 of appeal: Not applicable.

19 **20. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of**  
20 **appeal, e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other.**

21 First and earliest Notice of Entry of Order served November 14, 2022 + 30  
22 days (per NRAP 4(a)(1)) = December 14, 2022.

### 23 SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

24  
25 **21. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to**  
26 **review the judgment or order appealed from:**  
27  
28

1 (a)

2 ☒ NRAP 3A(b)(1)

☐ NRS 38.205

3 ☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)

☐ NRS 233B.150

4 ☐ NRAP 3A(b)(3)

☐ NRS 703.376

☒ Other (specify) NRAP 3A(b)(8)

5 (b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or  
6 order:

7  
8 The district court order granting *Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified*  
9 *Judgment as Provided for by Remittitur* is "final" and appealable as it disposes of all  
10 claims against these defendants. *Lee v. GNLV Corp.*, 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416  
(2000).

11 Other orders appealed from where not governed under NRAP 3A(b)(1), are  
12 special orders entered after final judgment affecting the rights of Defendants growing  
13 out of final judgment.

14 **22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district  
15 court:**

(a) Parties:

16 Appellants: A CAB SERIES, LLC f/k/a A CAB, LLC

17 Respondents: MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and  
18 on behalf of others similarly situated

19  
20 (b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in  
21 detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, *e.g.*, formally  
22 dismissed, not served, or other:

23 A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, although named as a defendant in  
24 the district court's caption, does not exist. There is no such entity,  
25 and no such entity participated in the action in district court. The  
26 matter against CREIGHTON J. NADY has been severed and  
27 stayed.  
28



1 **23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims,**  
2 **counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims, and the date of formal**  
3 **disposition of each claim.**

4 Plaintiffs allege to have claims for unpaid minimum wages against defendants that  
5 were disposed of by the order granting *Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a Modified Judgment as*  
6 *Provided for by Remittitur* filed on November 11, 2022, notice of entry of which was  
7 served electronically on November 14, 2022.

8 **24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims**  
9 **alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action**  
10 **below?**

11 ☐ Yes

12 ☒ No

13 **25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:**

14 (a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

15 Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant Creighton J. Nady remain, as the district court  
16 severed and stayed those claims.

17 (b) Specify the parties remaining below:

18 Defendant Creighton J. Nady.

19 (c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final  
20 judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?

21 ☐ Yes

22 ☒ No

23 (d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP  
24 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the  
25 entry of judgment?

26 ☐ Yes

27 ☒ No

1 **26. If you answered “No” to any part of question 25, explain the basis for**  
2 **seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP**  
3 **3A(b)):**

4 In this Court’s opinion contained at 137 Nev. Advance Opinion 84, this court found  
5 the claims against A Cab LLC’s owner were properly severed.

6 **27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:**

- 7
- 8 • The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party  
claims (see Exhibit K)
- 9 • Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s) - n/a
- 10 • Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim,  
11 counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the  
12 action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal - n/a
- 13 • Any other order challenged on appeal (see Exhibits A-J)
- 14 • Notices of entry for each attached order (see Exhibits A-J)

### 15 VERIFICATION

16 **I declare under penalty that I have read this docketing statement, that the**  
17 **information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best**  
18 **of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required**  
19 **documents to this docketing statement.**

20 DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2023 in Clark County, Nevada.

21  
22 **RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.**

23  
24 /s/ Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
25 Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
26 Nevada Bar No. 6473  
27 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Telephone: 702-320-8400  
*Attorney for Appellants*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Leon Greenberg, Esq. Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez, Esq., Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation 1811 South Rainbow Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89146	Christian Gabroy, Esq. Gabroy Law Offices 170 South Green Valley Parkway # 280 Henderson, Nevada 89012
--	---

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27


Stephen E. Haberfeld  
8224 Blackburn Avenue # 100  
Los Angeles, CA 90048  
Settlement Conference Judge

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## EXHIBIT A

Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for  
Entry of a Modified Judgment as  
Provided for by Remittitur, filed on  
November 11, 2022, notice of entry  
served on November 14, 2022

## EXHIBIT A



1 **NOEO**

2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
7 (702) 383-6085  
8 (702) 385-1827(fax)  
9 [leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
10 [Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)  
11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

12 CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
13 Gabroy Law Offices  
14 170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
15 Henderson Nevada 89012  
16 Tel (702) 259-7777  
17 Fax (702) 259-7704  
18 [christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)  
19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL )  
14 RENO, Individually and on behalf of )  
15 others similarly situated, )

16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, and A )  
19 CAB, LLC, )

20 Defendants. )

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

21  
22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court entered the attached Order on  
23 November 11, 2022.

24 Dated: November 14, 2022

25 LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.

26 */s/ Leon Greenberg*

27 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
28 Nevada Bar No. 8094  
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 383-6085  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on November 14, 2022, she served the within:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

by court electronic service to:  
TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

JAY A. SHAFER, ESQ.  
CORY READE DOWS AND SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

*/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

1 ORDR

2 DISTRICT COURT

3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
5 RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

9 Defendants.

10 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF A MODIFIED  
11 JUDGMENT AS PROVIDED FOR BY REMITTITUR

12 On December 30, 2021, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming  
13 in part, reversing in part, and remanding this case to the Eighth Judicial District  
14 Court for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's opinion. On  
15 February 14, 2022, Plaintiffs Michael Murray and Michael Reno, individually and on  
16 behalf of others similarly situated, filed a motion for entry of a modified judgment as  
17 provided for by the Supreme Court's remittitur. On February 28, 2022, Defendants  
18 filed an opposition to the motion. On August 12, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a reply in  
19 support of the motion.

20 This case had previously been stayed until the Nevada Supreme Court decided  
21 a pending appeal in Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492, referred to in the papers  
22 as *Dubric*. On September 19, 2022, this Court issued an order lifting the stay given  
23 that, at that point, the *Dubric* appeal had been decided, with rehearing denied.  
24 However, the Court indicated that given the developments in this case, including in  
25 the *Dubric* matter, the parties could each file and serve one additional, omnibus brief  
26 in support of or opposed to any pending motion. The parties filed supplemental briefs  
27 on September 30, 2022. Having considered those supplemental briefs, along with the  
28

1 motion and related briefing and all pleadings and papers on file, the Court GRANTS  
2 the motion consistent with the following:

3       The Nevada Supreme Court “affirm[ed] the district court’s summary  
4 judgment”, Opinion p. 20, but “reverse[d] the summary judgment as to damages for  
5 claims outside the two-year statute of limitations,” Opinion p. 32, and “remand[ed] to  
6 the district court to recalculate damages based on the two-year statute of limitations,”  
7 Opinion p. 20. More specifically, the Nevada Supreme Court “conclude[d] that the  
8 drivers’ claims extend backwards only two years before their suit was filed.” Opinion  
9 p. 14. Based on that conclusion, the Nevada Supreme Court “remand[ed] [the case] to  
10 the district court to recalculate damages for this shorter time period.” Opinion p. 14.

11       Plaintiffs filed this case on October 8, 2012. Thus, based on the Nevada  
12 Supreme Court’s remand instructions, this Court must calculate damages from  
13 October 8, 2010 forward. This is a relatively simple task that Defendants try to  
14 complicate by coming forth with a number of arguments that, in this Court’s view, do  
15 not hold water. The Court addresses each of their arguments below.

16       **The Class Certification Issue.** This Court entered its class certification order  
17 on February 10, 2016, finding that “[t]he class shall consist of the claims as alleged in  
18 the Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint of all persons employed by any of  
19 the defendants as taxi drivers in the State of Nevada at any time from July 1, 2007  
20 through December 31, 2015 . . . .” Defendants argue that the class must now be  
21 decertified pursuant to the Nevada Supreme Court opinion to exclude class members  
22 who claims fall prior to October 8, 2010. Defendants also argue that the class must  
23 be decertified for the time period following June 26, 2014, because there are only 4  
24 claimants after June 26, 2014. Defendants effectively seek post-judgment  
25 reconsideration of the Court’s class certification order, which became final and  
26 appealable at the time the Court entered its prior judgment. The Court declines to  
27 reconsider its order, which Defendants could have appealed at the time they appealed  
28 the summary judgment decision.



1       **The Revised Spreadsheet Issue.** Defendants assert that “[a] brief review of the  
2 proposed spreadsheets demonstrate that it is fraught with errors. Even after  
3 reviewing the ‘core group’ of named representative Plaintiffs, the Court can see that  
4 Michael Murry is listed twice for a double recovery. Attached also is a chart of  
5 additional errors contained in the spreadsheets.”

6       The Court has reviewed Defendants’ “chart of additional errors,” which, as an  
7 initial matter, is not helpful to the Court. The chart identifies nine (9) class  
8 members. For two (2) of these class members Defendants’ notes on the chart indicate  
9 “Leon’s<sup>1</sup> had the wrong amount,” but does not identify what the correct amount  
10 should be. For five (5) of these class members Defendants’ notes on the chart indicate  
11 “Pd but not on Leon’s list.” The Court does not know what this means. For the  
12 remaining two (2) of these class members, Defendants’ notes indicate that the  
13 amounts were credited to the wrong individual. Again, the Court does not know what  
14 this means.

15       Perhaps more importantly, the spreadsheet of data and calculations Plaintiffs  
16 provide for the modified judgment is based on the spreadsheet of data and  
17 calculations Plaintiffs previously provided to this Court and on which summary  
18 judgment was granted. Defendants did not appeal the accuracy of the prior  
19 spreadsheet, and the Court will not entertain what is effectively another request for  
20 reconsideration now.

21       But even if the Court reconsidered the data and the calculations, the Court  
22 cannot figure out what is wrong with the data and calculations for the 9 class  
23 members in Defendants’ “chart of additional errors,” and more importantly, what  
24 data and calculations should be used for these 9 class members. At bottom, it is not  
25 the Court’s job to decipher Defendants’ “notes.”

---

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> By “Leon” Defendants presumably refer to Plaintiff’s counsel, Leon Greenberg.  
28

1 That said, in their reply, Plaintiffs do concede that there is a proposed award to  
2 Plaintiff Michael Murray that is listed twice and that the modified judgment should  
3 not grant this award twice. Given the concession, the Court shall not allow this  
4 award to be made twice in the modified judgment.

5 **The Department of Labor Issue.** Defendants claim that they have already paid  
6 out any alleged underpayment for the time period of October 1, 2010 and October 1,  
7 2012, through a settlement with the Department of Labor and that these monies were  
8 paid in full. Defendants ask for an offset for these settlements. Defendants further  
9 advise that the Department of Labor has been unable to locate 243 claimants who  
10 cannot be found or have refused to accept payment. Defendants refer to these  
11 claimants as “ghost” claimants.

12 In response Plaintiffs assert that prior judgment expressly accounted for the  
13 Department of Labor payments to the extent Defendants were able to establish the  
14 existence of the payments and that the data and calculations in Plaintiffs’ proposed  
15 modified judgment carries such information forward. Plaintiffs further assert that  
16 the inability to currently locate the so-called “ghost” claimants has no bearing on the  
17 entry or enforcement of the amended judgment.

18 The Court agrees with Plaintiffs. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed this  
19 Court’s prior judgment with the narrow exception of damages prior to October 8,  
20 2010. This Court has direction from the Nevada Supreme Court to recalculate  
21 damages from October 8, 2010 forward. The Court does not intend to otherwise  
22 modify its prior judgment.

23 **The “Appropriate Defendant” Issue.** Defendants assert that Plaintiffs sued the  
24 wrong entity and that the Nevada Supreme Court stated that this Court “erred  
25 without taking evidence on what corporate entities existed and were actually liable  
26 for the judgment.” Defendants contend that this determination must be made before  
27 issuing an amended judgment. Defendants have either misread or are  
28 misrepresenting the Nevada Supreme Court’s opinion on this issue.

1 As an initial matter, the Nevada Supreme Court's statement does not arise  
2 from the reversal and remand of summary judgment; it arises from the reversal of a  
3 post-judgment order denying a motion to quash. As Plaintiffs point out, the Nevada  
4 Supreme Court only granted Defendants the right to a further hearing upon remand  
5 on whether that judgment execution should be quashed and did not direct any  
6 findings on remand as to A Cab Series LLC's liability—as the “now known as”  
7 entity—for the judgment.

8 **The *Dubric* Issue.** Defendants assert that there is a presently an overlap of  
9 claimants between this case and the *Dubric* case; that the overlapping claimants  
10 released their claims against Defendants through the *Dubric* case; and therefore,  
11 Defendants must be released from such duplicative claims that remain in this case.  
12 The Court disagrees. As Plaintiffs assert, this Court can find no basis that allows the  
13 subsequent proceedings in *Dubric* to release this Court's earlier judgment that was  
14 appealed and affirmed, with the exception of the recalculation of damages from  
15 October 8, 2010 forward.

16 \*\*\*

17 For the foregoing reasons, the motion is GRANTED. Defendants shall  
18 forthwith submit to the Department inbox their proposed “Order Modifying Final  
19 Judgment Entered on August 21, 2018” attached as Exhibit G to their motion, but as  
20 amended to account for the duplicate entry discussed above.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 11th day of November, 2022


22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_

24 1EA E39 BB42 F334  
25 Maria Gall  
26 District Court Judge  
27  
28

## EXHIBIT B

Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Entry of a  
Modified Award of Pre-Judgment Attorney's  
Fees as Provided for by Remittitur, filed  
November 11, 2022, notice of entry served  
November 14, 2022

EXHIBIT B



1 **NOEO**

2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
8 Gabroy Law Offices  
9 170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
10 Henderson Nevada 89012  
11 Tel (702) 259-7777  
12 Fax (702) 259-7704  
13 [christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL )  
14 RENO, Individually and on behalf of )  
15 others similarly situated, )

16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, and A )  
19 CAB, LLC, )

20 Defendants. )

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

21  
22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court entered the attached Order on  
23 November 11, 2022.

24 Dated: November 14, 2022

25 LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.

26 */s/ Leon Greenberg*

27 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
28 Nevada Bar No. 8094  
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 383-6085  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on November 14, 2022, she served the within:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

by court electronic service to:

TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

JAY A. SHAFER, ESQ.  
CORY READE DOWS AND SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

*/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

1 ORDR

2 DISTRICT COURT

3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
5 RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

9 Defendants.

10 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF A MODIFIED  
11 AWARD OF PRE-JUDGMENT ATTORNEY'S FEES AS PROVIDED FOR BY  
REMITTITUR

12 On December 30, 2021, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming  
13 in part, reversing in part, and remanding this case to the Eighth Judicial District  
14 Court for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's opinion. On  
15 February 16, 2022, Plaintiffs Michael Murray and Michael Reno, individually and on  
16 behalf of others similarly situated, filed a motion for entry of a modified award of pre-  
17 judgment attorney's fees as provided for by the Supreme Court's remittitur. On  
18 February 23, 2022, Plaintiffs filed an errata to the motion. On March 3, 2022,  
19 Defendants filed an opposition to the motion. On August 12, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a  
20 reply in support of the motion.

21 This case had previously been stayed until the Nevada Supreme Court decided  
22 a pending appeal in Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492, referred to in the papers  
23 as *Dubric*. On September 19, 2022, this Court issued an order lifting the stay given  
24 that, at that point, the *Dubric* appeal had been decided, with rehearing denied.  
25 However, the Court indicated that given the developments in this case, including in  
26 the *Dubric* matter, the parties could each file and serve one additional, omnibus brief  
27 in support of or opposed to any pending motion. The parties filed supplemental briefs  
28 on September 30, 2022. Having considered those supplemental briefs, along with the

1 motion and related briefing and all pleadings and papers on file, the Court GRANTS  
2 the motion consistent with the following:

3 The Court previously awarded Plaintiffs \$568,071 in attorney's fees. The  
4 Nevada Supreme Court found that:

5 [The district court] supported that award by going through  
6 three possible formulations to calculate hours and fees and  
7 through a consideration of the four *Brunzell* factors. We  
8 conclude that the declaration of counsel [provided in  
9 support of the fees motion] constituted the 'documentation'  
10 required under NRCP 54(d)(2)(B), and A Cab has not shown  
11 that the attorney fees award was unsupported or excessive  
beyond asserting that the drivers did not provide the  
appropriate documentation. However, in light of this  
disposition and the district court's improper tolling of the  
statute of limitations, the amount of the attorney fees must  
be reconsidered for reasonableness, and we therefore  
reverse and remand the award of attorney fees.

12 Opinion p. 24. The Nevada Supreme Court remanded the case to this Court for  
13 further proceedings consistent with this opinion. Opinion p. 33.

14 Based on the foregoing, the Court does not understand how Defendants can  
15 represent that the Nevada Supreme Court "refus[ed] to uphold the prior outrageous  
16 and unsupported award of fees." To the contrary, the Nevada Supreme Court  
17 expressly found that Defendants "has not shown that the attorneys fees award was  
18 unsupported or excessive ...." Accordingly, the Court moves forward as instructed by  
19 the Nevada Supreme Court, that being to reconsider the amount of attorneys' fees for  
20 reasonableness in light of the district court's improper tolling of the statute of  
21 limitations and the requirement that a modified judgment be entered to account for  
22 the proper statute of limitations.

23 In Plaintiffs' motion, Plaintiffs advise that their counsel has reviewed their  
24 time records for the 1,738.5 attorney hours that were considered by this Court in  
25 making its original \$568,071 award. Plaintiffs further advise, as supported by their  
26 counsel's declaration, that a review of those records indicate that all work performed  
27 on the statute of limitations tolling issue was performed by attorney Leon Greenberg  
28



1 and that such work consumed less than 50 hours of his 1,190 attorney hours that  
2 were used to support the prior fee award.

3 The Court has reviewed counsel's declaration. Mr. Greenberg states that he  
4 spent "less than 20" of his total hours engaged in activities exclusively related to the  
5 tolling issue and that he spent "less than 47" of those hours engaged in activities that  
6 partially, or may have partially concerned that issue. Given Mr. Greenberg's  
7 inability to be more precise about his hours, including his partial hours, the Court  
8 gives the benefit of the doubt on the hours to Defendants and uses the totality of the  
9 67 hours to reduce the fee award. It is the Court's understanding that Mr. Greenberg  
10 charged \$400/hour, and thus using 67 total hours the Court would reduce the fee  
11 award by \$26,800. The Court considers this reasonable in light of the result Plaintiffs  
12 achieved. Plaintiffs prevailed on their claims and issues, with the limited exception  
13 concerning the narrow issue of tolling the statute of limitations.

14 Defendants do not oppose Plaintiffs' reduction proposal, at least not  
15 specifically, and thus the Court considers that issue conceded. Instead, Defendants  
16 assert that Plaintiffs' motion is premature and that "[t]here can be no award of  
17 attorney's fees until a final judgment is entered" and "that final judgment cannot be  
18 entered until the numerous unresolved issues are address, as briefed in Defendants'  
19 Opposition to Plaintiffs' request for entry of a modified judgment . . . ." The Court  
20 issued an order granting Plaintiffs' request for entry of a modified judgment earlier  
21 today. Accordingly, the arguments Defendants raise are moot.

22 \*\*\*

23 For the foregoing reasons, the motion is GRANTED. Plaintiffs shall forthwith  
24 submit to the Department inbox a proposed order modifying the award of pre-  
25 judgment attorney's fees, to include pre-judgment interest as calculated pursuant to  
26 the errata. Furthermore, the Court acknowledges that in its order granting  
27 Plaintiffs' motion for entry of a modified judgment, the Court stated that *Defendants*  
28 should submit their proposed "Order Modifying Judgment Entered on August 21,

1 2018.” The Court intended to direct *Plaintiffs* to submit that proposed order given  
2 that the proposed order is attached to Plaintiffs’ motion, not Defendants’ motion.  
3 Plaintiffs shall provide defense counsel an opportunity to review both orders prior to  
4 submission, consistent with the Department guidelines.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated this 11th day of November, 2022


7   
8

9 788 150 7F6B D12E  
10 Maria Gall  
11 District Court Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## EXHIBIT C

Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees on Appeal, filed November 17, 2022, notice of entry served November 17, 2022

EXHIBIT C



1 **NOEO**

2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
8 Gabroy Law Offices  
9 170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
10 Henderson Nevada 89012  
11 Tel (702) 259-7777  
12 Fax (702) 259-7704  
13 [christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL )  
14 RENO, Individually and on behalf of )  
15 others similarly situated, )  
16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, and A )  
19 CAB, LLC, )  
20 Defendants. )

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

21  
22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court entered the attached Order on  
23 November 17, 2022.

24 Dated: November 17, 2022

25 LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.

26 */s/ Leon Greenberg*

27 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
28 Nevada Bar No. 8094  
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 383-6085  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on November 17, 2022, she served the within:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

by court electronic service to:

TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

JAY A. SHAFER, ESQ.  
CORY READE DOWS AND SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

*/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

1 ORDR

2 DISTRICT COURT

3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
5 RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

9 Defendants.

10 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
11 FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES ON APPEAL

12 On February 17, 2022, Plaintiffs Michael Murray and Michael Reno,  
13 individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, filed a motion for an award of  
14 attorney's fees on appeal. On March 3, 2022, Defendants filed an opposition to the  
15 motion. On August 12, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a reply in support of the motion.

16 This case had previously been stayed until the Nevada Supreme Court decided  
17 a pending appeal in Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492, referred to in the papers  
18 as *Dubric*. On September 19, 2022, this Court issued an order lifting the stay given  
19 that, at that point, the *Dubric* appeal had been decided, with rehearing denied.  
20 However, the Court indicated that given the developments in this case, including in  
21 the *Dubric* matter, the parties could each file and serve one additional, omnibus brief  
22 in support of or opposed to any pending motion. The parties filed supplemental briefs  
23 on September 30, 2022. Having considered those supplemental briefs, along with the  
24 motion and related briefing and all pleadings and papers on file, the Court GRANTS  
25 the motion consistent with the following:

26 Plaintiffs filed a motion before the Nevada Supreme Court in connection with  
27 the appeal of the final judgment asking the Supreme Court to award attorney's fees  
28 or direct the district court to award attorney's fees pursuant to Article 15, Section 16

1 of Nevada's Constitution. Article 15, Section 16, Subsection B (the Minimum Wage  
2 Amendment) states that "[a]n employee who prevails in any action to enforce this  
3 section shall be awarded his or her reasonable attorney's fees and costs." In denying  
4 the motion without prejudice, the Supreme Court found that the determination of  
5 reasonableness called for by the Minimum Wage Amendment should be addressed in  
6 the first instance by the district court with greater fact-finding capabilities. Plaintiffs  
7 now ask for \$63,760 for their attorney's work on the final judgment appeal. Plaintiffs  
8 support their request with the Declaration of Leon Greenberg.

9 As an initial matter, the Court finds that the plain language of the Minimum  
10 Wage Amendment allows for an award of attorney's fees incurred on appeal. The  
11 relevant language provides for fees to a prevailing employee "in any action," to  
12 enforce the minimum wage amendment. This necessarily includes the appeal, even if  
13 the appeal could be considered an action separate from the district court case.

14 The fees, however, must be reasonable. In determining what of Plaintiffs' fees  
15 are reasonable, the Court relies upon the *Brunzell* factors: "(1) the qualities of the  
16 advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and  
17 skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its  
18 importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence  
19 and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the  
20 work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the  
21 work; (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were  
22 derived." *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33  
23 (1969). Importantly, in setting forth the *Brunzell* factors, the Nevada Supreme Court  
24 advised that "good judgment would dictate that each of these factors be given  
25 consideration by the trier of fact and that no one element should predominate or be  
26 given undue weight." *Id.*

27 Here, the Court agrees with Mr. Greenberg on his view of the *Brunzell* factors  
28 as set forth in his declaration supporting the motion.

1 With regard to the first factor, Mr. Greenberg—who was the sole attorney for  
2 Plaintiffs on the appeal—is a fine advocate with significant experience litigating in  
3 Nevada courts. Defendants do not dispute this.

4 With regard to the second factor, the final judgment appeal raised a number of  
5 complex issues that were significantly important to the ultimate outcome of this case.  
6 The work took not insignificant time and skill. The Court considers the time  
7 expended, in assessing the work counsel actually performed (the third factor).

8 Thus, in analyzing the second and third factors in tandem, the Court reviews  
9 the declaration Mr. Greenberg submitted with the motion, along with his billing rate.  
10 Although the *Brunzell* factors do not expressly mention billing rates, the Court is of  
11 the position that reasonableness of billing rates is a necessary consideration in  
12 assessing the reasonableness of fees, including through the lens of the second and  
13 third factors.

14 The Court finds that the \$400 hourly rate Mr. Greenberg asks for reasonable  
15 not only consistent with prevailing rates in the Las Vegas area, but also lower than  
16 what the Court would have expected for someone of his experience.

17 The Court now reviews the time spent on the appeal. Mr. Greenberg's  
18 declaration attests that he spent 179.9 hours in connection with the final judgment  
19 appeal, and then goes on to categorize those hours between the various tasks  
20 connected with the appeal (e.g., mediation, preparation of answering brief, reviewing  
21 appellant's appendix, preparation for oral argument).

22 Importantly, including with regard to the fourth factor that looks at the results  
23 and benefits achieved on appeal, Mr. Greenberg excludes from his fee request that  
24 17.3 hours he spent on the unsuccessful statute of limitations issue and 3.2 hours he  
25 spent on issues that were either more administrative in nature or related to his own  
26 confusion concerning the completeness of the record.

27 Defendants assert that Plaintiffs were not successful in the result of the appeal  
28 because “the case has been reversed and remanded on several overriding issues.”



1 Defendants mischaracterize what happened on the appeal of the final judgment.  
2 Plaintiffs largely prevailed on that appeal, with the Nevada Supreme Court reversing  
3 and remanding on only a few narrow issues. Mr. Greenberg has accounted for his  
4 time on such issues.

5 Accordingly, the Court finds that all four *Brunzell* factors weigh in favor of  
6 finding the requested fees reasonable.

7 \*\*\*

8 For the foregoing reasons, the motion is GRANTED. The Court makes an  
9 award of \$63,760 in favor of Plaintiffs and against Defendants in connection with  
10 Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees for the appeal of the final judgment.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022


13   
14

15 7DA ABE 7DA7 CC60  
16 Maria Gall  
17 District Court Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## EXHIBIT D

Order Continuing Decision on Plaintiffs' Motion  
for an Award of Attorneys' Fees on Appeal of  
Order Denying Receiver, Opposing Mooted  
Motion for Attorney's Fees, and For Costs of  
Appeal, filed November 17, 2022, notice of  
entry served November 17, 2022

EXHIBIT D



1 **NOEO**

2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
8 Gabroy Law Offices  
9 170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
10 Henderson Nevada 89012  
11 Tel (702) 259-7777  
12 Fax (702) 259-7704  
13 [christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL )  
14 RENO, Individually and on behalf of )  
15 others similarly situated, )  
16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, and A )  
19 CAB, LLC, )  
20 Defendants. )

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

21  
22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court entered the attached Order on  
23 November 17, 2022.

24 Dated: November 17, 2022

25 LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.

26 */s/ Leon Greenberg*

27 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
28 Nevada Bar No. 8094  
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 383-6085  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on November 17, 2022, she served the within:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

by court electronic service to:  
TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

JAY A. SHAFER, ESQ.  
CORY READE DOWS AND SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

*/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

1 ORDR

2 DISTRICT COURT

3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
5 RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

9 Defendants.

10 ORDER CONTINUING DECISION ON PLAINTIFFS'  
11 MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES ON APPEAL  
12 OF ORDER DENYING RECEIVER, OPPOSING MOOTED MOTION FOR  
13 ATTORNEY'S FEES, AND FOR COSTS OF APPEAL

14 On February 22, 2022, Plaintiffs Michael Murray and Michael Reno,  
15 individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, filed a motion for an award of  
16 attorney's fees in connection with their appeal of this Court's order of February 21,  
17 2021, for opposing Defendants' now mooted motion filed March 15, 2021, seeking  
18 attorney's fees in response to Plaintiffs' motion seeking the appointment of a receiver,  
19 and for costs of the appeal. On March 8, 2022, Defendants filed an opposition to the  
motion. On August 12, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a reply in support of the motion.

20 This case had previously been stayed until the Nevada Supreme Court decided  
21 a pending appeal in Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492, referred to in the papers  
22 as *Dubric*. On September 19, 2022, this Court issued an order lifting the stay given  
23 that, at that point, the *Dubric* appeal had been decided, with rehearing denied.  
24 However, the Court indicated that given the developments in this case, including in  
25 the *Dubric* matter, the parties could each file and serve one additional, omnibus brief  
26 in support of or opposed to any pending motion. The parties filed supplemental briefs  
27 on September 30, 2022. Having considered those supplemental briefs, along with the  
28

1 motion and related briefing and all pleadings and papers on file, the Court  
2 CONTINUES its decision on the motion consistent with the following:

3 Pursuant to the Nevada Supreme Court's order of reversal and remand filed  
4 February 17, 2022, this Court must consider the merits of Plaintiffs' request for the  
5 appointment of a receiver. The Court intends to do so and would like oral argument  
6 on the motion. The Court intends to issue a separate order scheduling oral argument.

7 It is the Court's position that the motion for attorneys' fees as related to  
8 Plaintiffs' efforts to appoint a post-judgment receiver is better decided once the  
9 receiver motion is decided. Accordingly, the Court continues its decision on the  
10 motion until such time as it decides the receiver motion.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022

13   
14

15 498 C1D C7AC 2E02  
16 Maria Gall  
17 District Court Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# EXHIBIT E

Order Amending the Class, filed November 17,  
2022, notice of entry of order served January 11,  
2023 in US Bankruptcy Court,  
Case No. 22-01163-nmc

EXHIBIT E

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
 ZACHARIAH LARSON, ESQ.  
 Nevada Bar No. 7787  
 E-mail: zlarson@lzlawnv.com  
 MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW, ESQ.  
 Nevada Bar No. 7222  
 E-mail: mzirzow@lzlawnv.com  
 850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
 Tel: (702) 382-1170  
 Fax: (702) 382-1169

Proposed Bankruptcy Counsel to  
 Debtor-Defendant, A Cab, Series L.L.C.,  
 f/k/a A Cab, LLC

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

A CAB, SERIES L.L.C.,

Debtor.

Case No. 22-14361-nmc  
 Chapter 11

MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL RENO,  
 Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
 situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

A CAB TAXI SERVICES LLC, A CAB, LLC,  
 and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Adv No. 22-01163-nmc

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDERS**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the following orders (collectively, the “Orders”) were entered in the underlying civil action from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada (the “State Court”), pending as Case No. A-12-669926-C (the “State Court Action”):

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
 850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
 Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169





EXHIBIT "1"

EXHIBIT "1"

**NEOJ**

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 6473  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
702-320-8400  
[info@rodriguezlaw.com](mailto:info@rodriguezlaw.com)

Jay A. Shafer, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 9184  
CORY READE DOWS & SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128  
702-794-4411  
[jshafer@crdslaw.com](mailto:jshafer@crdslaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

**DISTRICT COURT**

**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO,  
Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC and A CAB, LLC,  
and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C  
Dept. No. IX

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**

**AMENDING THE CLASS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Amending the Class was entered by the Court on  
November 17, 2022.

...

...

...

...

1 A copy of the Order is attached hereto.


2 DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2023.

3 **RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P. C.**

4  
5 /s/ Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.

6 Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
7 Nevada State Bar No. 006473  
8 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
10 *Attorneys for Defendants*

**Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.**  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Tel (702) 320-8400  
Fax (702) 320-8401

  
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

## DISTRICT COURT

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

Defendants.

## ORDER AMENDING THE CLASS

On December 30, 2021, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding this case to the Eighth Judicial District Court for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's opinion. The Nevada Supreme Court "affirm[ed] the district court's summary judgment", Opinion p. 20, but "reverse[d] the summary judgment as to damages for claims outside the two-year statute of limitations," Opinion p. 32, and "remand[ed] to the district court to recalculate damages based on the two-year statute of limitations," Opinion p. 20. More specifically, the Nevada Supreme Court "conclude[d] that the drivers' claims extend backwards only two years before their suit was filed." Opinion p. 14. Based on that conclusion, the Nevada Supreme Court "remand[ed] [the case] to the district court to recalculate damages for this shorter time period." Opinion p. 14.

This Court entered its class certification order on February 10, 2016, finding that "[t]he class shall consist of the claims as alleged in the Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint of all persons employed by any of the defendants as taxi drivers in the State of Nevada at any time from July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2015 . . . ." On remand, Defendants argue that the class must now be decertified pursuant to the Nevada Supreme Court opinion to exclude class members who claims fall prior to October 8, 2010. In its November 11, 2022, order granting Plaintiffs'

1 motion for entry of a modified judgment provided for by remittitur, this Court  
2 rejected Defendants' argument to decertify the class.

3 The Court continues to reject Defendants' argument for decertification. That  
4 said, upon further considering the issue of how the Nevada Supreme Court's opinion  
5 impacts the class, the Court is of the position that, out of an abundance of caution, it  
6 must amend the class to consist of the claims as alleged in the Second Amended and  
7 Supplemental Complaint of all persons employed by any of the defendants as taxi  
8 drivers in the State of Nevada at any time from October 8, 2010, through December  
9 31, 2015.

10 The Court would like to be clear. The class amendment does not decertify the  
11 class; the class as amended remains certified. The Court's order only removes from  
12 the originally constituted class any persons employed by any of the defendants as taxi  
13 drivers in the State of Nevada before October 8, 2010. Accordingly, the class does not  
14 need to be re-noticed, including because the removal of drivers from the class who are  
15 not entitled by law to judgment does not prejudice such class members.

16 To the extent this order needs to be construed as an order nunc pro tunc in  
17 connection with the February 10, 2016, class certification order and/or an order  
18 amending the Court's November 11, 2022, order granting Plaintiffs' motion for entry  
19 of a modified judgment provided for by remittitur, it shall be so construed.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022

22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_

24 3FB 759 58D6 4F07  
25 Maria Gall  
26 District Court Judge  
27  
28

1 **CSERV**

2  
3 DISTRICT COURT  
4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5  
6 Michael Murray, Plaintiff(s)

CASE NO: A-12-669926-C

7 vs.

DEPT. NO. Department 9

8 A Cab Taxi Service LLC,  
9 Defendant(s)

10  
11 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

12 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District  
13 Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all  
14 recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

15 Service Date: 11/17/2022

16 "Esther Rodriguez, Esq." .

esther@rodriguezlaw.com

17 Assistant .

info@rodriguezlaw.com

18 Cindy Pittsenbarger .

cpittsenbarger@hutchlegal.com

19 Dana Sniegocki .

dana@overtimelaw.com

20 Esther Rodriguez .

esther@rodriguezlaw.com

21 filings .

susan8th@gmail.com

22 Hilary Daniels .

hdaniels@blgwins.com

23 Hillary Ross .

hross@blgwins.com

24 leon greenberg .

leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com

25 Leon Greenberg .

wagelaw@hotmail.com

26 Michael K. Wall .

mwall@hutchlegal.com

27  
28

1	Susan .	susan@rodriguezlaw.com
2	Susan Dillow .	susan@rodriguezlaw.com
3	Trent Richards .	trichards@blgwins.com
4	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
5	Katie Brooks	assistant@gabroy.com
6	Katie Brooks	assistant@gabroy.com
7	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
8	Elizabeth Aronson	earonson@gabroy.com
9	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
10	Kaine Messer	kmesser@gabroy.com
11	Ali Saad	ASaad@resecon.com
12	Peter Dubowsky, Esq.	peter@dubowskylaw.com
13	Amanda Vogler-Heaton, Esq.	amanda@dubowskylaw.com
14	William Thompson	william@dubowskylaw.com
15	Kaylee Conradi	kconradi@hutchlegal.com
16	Valerie Gray	vgray@blgwins.com
17	Mercedes Ortega	mortega@blgwins.com
18	R. Reade	creade@crdslaw.com
19	Kathrine von Arx	kvonarx@crdslaw.com
20	Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez	ranni@overtimelaw.com
21	Jay Shafer	jshafer@crdslaw.com
22	Trent Compton	tcompton@blgwins.com
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



1 If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail  
2 via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last  
3 known addresses on 11/18/2022

4 Esther Rodriguez Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.  
5 Attn: Esther Rodriguez, Esq.  
6 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
7 Las Vegas, NV, 89145

8 Stephen Hackett Sklar Williams PLLC  
9 Attn: Stephen Hackett  
10 410 South Rampart Blvd. - Suite 350  
11 Las Vegas, NV, 89145

12 Steven Parsons 10091 Park Run DR STE 200  
13 Las Vegas, NV, 89145  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

EXHIBIT “2”

EXHIBIT “2”

**NEOJ**

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 6473  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
702-320-8400  
[info@rodriguezlaw.com](mailto:info@rodriguezlaw.com)

Jay A. Shafer, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 9184  
CORY READE DOWS & SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128  
702-794-4411  
[jshafer@crdslaw.com](mailto:jshafer@crdslaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO,  
Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC and A CAB, LLC,  
and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C  
Dept. No. IX

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY,  
OFFSET, OR APPORTION AWARD OF COSTS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Granting Motion to Stay, Offset, or Apportion  
Award of Costs was entered by the Court on November 17, 2022.

...

...

...

...

1 A copy of the Order is attached hereto.


2 DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2023.

3 **RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P. C.**

4  
5 /s/ Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.

6 Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
7 Nevada State Bar No. 006473  
8 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
10 *Attorneys for Defendants*

**Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.**  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Tel (702) 320-8400  
Fax (702) 320-8401

  
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

## DISTRICT COURT

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

Defendants.

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY, OFFSET, OR APPORTION AWARD OF  
COSTS**

On May 31, 2022, Plaintiffs Michael Murray and Michael Reno, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, filed a motion to stay, offset, or apportion the Court's award of certain appellate costs to Defendants and/or to reconsider the award. On June 14, 2022, Defendants filed an opposition to the motion. On July 1, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a reply in support of the motion. The motion was scheduled for an in-chambers hearing on July 11, 2022. The Court continued its decision on the motion, including because this case had previously been stayed until the Nevada Supreme Court decided a pending appeal in Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492, referred to in the papers as *Dubric*. On September 19, 2022, this Court issued an order lifting the stay given that, at that point, the *Dubric* appeal had been decided, with rehearing denied. However, the Court indicated that given the developments in this case, including in the *Dubric* matter, the parties could each file and serve one additional, omnibus brief in support of or opposed to any pending motion. The parties filed supplemental briefs on September 30, 2022. Having considered the briefing on the offset motion, as well as the supplemental briefs and all pleadings and papers on file, the Court GRANTS the motion consistent with the following:

1 On May 17, 2022, this Court entered an order awarding Defendants their costs  
2 in connection with the appeal of the final judgment order. Plaintiffs ask the Court to  
3 offset or apportion that costs award against the judgment in the Plaintiffs' favor. In  
4 *Aviation Ventures, Inc. v. Joan Morris, Inc.*, the Nevada Supreme Court held that all  
5 that is required for setoff is for each party to have a valid and enforceable debt  
6 against the other party. 121 Nev. 113, 121, 110 P.3d 59, 64 (2005). That exists here.  
7 Accordingly, the request for setoff is GRANTED. The costs award shall be offset  
8 against the total class judgment of \$685,886.60, with the reduction apportioned pro  
9 rata amongst the class members. Plaintiffs shall submit a modified judgment for the  
10 Court, to include an explanation of their calculations.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12  
13 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022

14   
15

16 189 3AC 72EC 9281  
17 Maria Gall  
18 District Court Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CSERV**

DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Michael Murray, Plaintiff(s)

CASE NO: A-12-669926-C

vs.

DEPT. NO. Department 9

A Cab Taxi Service LLC,  
Defendant(s)

**AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 11/17/2022

"Esther Rodriguez, Esq." .

esther@rodriguezlaw.com

Assistant .

info@rodriguezlaw.com

Cindy Pittsenbarger .

cpittsenbarger@hutchlegal.com

Dana Sniegocki .

dana@overtimelaw.com

Esther Rodriguez .

esther@rodriguezlaw.com

filings .

susan8th@gmail.com

Hilary Daniels .

hdaniels@blgwins.com

Hillary Ross .

hross@blgwins.com

leon greenberg .

leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com

Leon Greenberg .

wagelaw@hotmail.com

Michael K. Wall .

mwall@hutchlegal.com

1	Susan .	susan@rodriguezlaw.com
2	Susan Dillow .	susan@rodriguezlaw.com
3	Trent Richards .	trichards@blgwins.com
4	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
5	Katie Brooks	assistant@gabroy.com
6	Katie Brooks	assistant@gabroy.com
7	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
8	Elizabeth Aronson	earonson@gabroy.com
9	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
10	Kaine Messer	kmesser@gabroy.com
11	Ali Saad	ASaad@resecon.com
12	Peter Dubowsky, Esq.	peter@dubowskylaw.com
13	Amanda Vogler-Heaton, Esq.	amanda@dubowskylaw.com
14	William Thompson	william@dubowskylaw.com
15	Kaylee Conradi	kconradi@hutchlegal.com
16	Valerie Gray	vgray@blgwins.com
17	Mercedes Ortega	mortega@blgwins.com
18	R. Reade	creade@crdslaw.com
19	Kathrine von Arx	kvonarx@crdslaw.com
20	Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez	ranni@overtimelaw.com
21	Jay Shafer	jshafer@crdslaw.com
22	Trent Compton	tcompton@blgwins.com
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



1 If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail  
2 via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last  
3 known addresses on 11/18/2022

4 Esther Rodriguez Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.  
5 Attn: Esther Rodriguez, Esq.  
6 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
7 Las Vegas, NV, 89145

8 Stephen Hackett Sklar Williams PLLC  
9 Attn: Stephen Hackett  
10 410 South Rampart Blvd. - Suite 350  
11 Las Vegas, NV, 89145

12 Steven Parsons 10091 Park Run DR STE 200  
13 Las Vegas, NV, 89145  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
ZACHARIAH LARSON, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7787  
E-mail: zlarson@lzlawnv.com  
MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7222  
E-mail: mzirzow@lzlawnv.com  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170  
Fax: (702) 382-1169

Proposed Bankruptcy Counsel to  
Debtor-Defendant, A Cab, Series L.L.C.,  
f/k/a A Cab, LLC

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:	Case No. 22-14361-nmc Chapter 11
A CAB, SERIES L.L.C.,  Debtor.	
MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,	Adv No. 22-01163-nmc
v.	
A CAB TAXI SERVICES LLC, A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,  Defendants.	

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

1. On the 11th day of January, 2023, I served the following documents:

a Notice of Entry of Orders ECF No. 7

2. The above-named documents were served by the following means to the persons as listed below:

☒ **a. ECF System:**

LEON GREENBERG on behalf of Plaintiff MICHAEL MURRAY  
[wagelaw@hotmail.com](mailto:wagelaw@hotmail.com), [wagelaw@hotmail.com](mailto:wagelaw@hotmail.com)

LEON GREENBERG on behalf of Plaintiff MICHAEL RENO  
[wagelaw@hotmail.com](mailto:wagelaw@hotmail.com), [wagelaw@hotmail.com](mailto:wagelaw@hotmail.com)

☒ **b. United States mail, postage fully prepaid:**

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ.  
RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ.  
1811 South Rainbow Boulevard  
Las Vegas, NV 89146

CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ.  
Gabroy Law Offices  
170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
Henderson Nevada 89012

☐ **c. Personal Service:**

I personally delivered the document(s) to the persons at these addresses:

- ☐ For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made by handing the document(s) at the attorney's office with a clerk or other person in charge, or if no one is in charge by leaving the document(s) in a conspicuous place in the office.
- ☐ For a party, delivery was made by handing the document(s) to the party or by leaving the document(s) at the person's dwelling house or usual place of abode with someone of suitable age and discretion residing there.

☐ **d. By direct email (as opposed to through the ECF System):**

Based upon the written agreement of the parties to accept service by email or a court order, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the persons at the email addresses listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

☐ **e. By fax transmission:**

Based upon the written agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission or a court order, I faxed the document(s) to the persons at the fax numbers listed below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of the fax transmission is attached.

☐ **f. By messenger:**

I served the document(s) by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below and providing them to a messenger for service.

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: January 11, 2023.

Carey Shurtliff  
(Name of Declarant)


/s/ Carey Shurtliff  
(Signature of Declarant)

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

## EXHIBIT F

Order Modifying Final Judgment Entered on  
August 21, 2018, filed November 17, 2022,  
notice of entry served November 17, 2022

EXHIBIT F



1 **NOEO**

2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
8 Gabroy Law Offices  
9 170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
10 Henderson Nevada 89012  
11 Tel (702) 259-7777  
12 Fax (702) 259-7704  
13 [christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL )  
14 RENO, Individually and on behalf of )  
15 others similarly situated, )  
16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, and A )  
19 CAB, LLC, )  
20 Defendants. )

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

21  
22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court entered the attached Order on  
23 November 17, 2022.

24 Dated: November 17, 2022

25 LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.

26 */s/ Leon Greenberg*

27 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
28 Nevada Bar No. 8094  
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 383-6085  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on November 17, 2022, she served the within:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

by court electronic service to:

TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

JAY A. SHAFER, ESQ.  
CORY READE DOWS AND SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

*/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

**ORDR**

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)

CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
Gabroy Law Offices  
170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
Henderson Nevada 89012  
Tel (702) 259-7777  
Fax (702) 259-7704  
[christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

MICHAEL MURRAY, and  
MICHAEL RENO, Individually and  
on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A  
CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J.  
NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

**ORDER MODIFYING  
FINAL JUDGMENT ENTERED  
ON AUGUST 21, 2018**



1           The Court entered a final judgment in this case on August 21, 2018, as  
2           subsequently amended by its Order entered October 22, 2018, against defendant A  
3           Cab Series LLC, formerly known as A CAB, LLC. An appeal of that final judgment  
4           and certain post-judgment Orders was taken by such defendant and duly heard by the  
5           Nevada Supreme Court, which issued an Opinion and remittitur received by this Court  
6           on February 4, 2022, wherein it directed a modification of such final judgment to  
7           reduce it by the amount of damages previously awarded to plaintiffs for the time  
8           period prior to the two year statute of limitations applicable to the plaintiffs' claims,  
9           meaning prior to October 8, 2010, this case being commenced on October 8, 2012,  
10          and otherwise affirming such final judgment. Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Entry of  
11          a Modified Judgment pursuant to such remittitur on February 14, 2022, defendant  
12          filed their Response in Opposition on February 28, 2022, and plaintiffs filed their  
13          Reply in Support of their Motion on August 12, 2022, with the parties also having the  
14          opportunity to further address the issues in their supplemental briefs filed on  
15          September 30, 2022. After due and proper deliberation, review of the arguments set  
16          forth in each of the parties' foregoing briefs and by their counsel, the Court entered an  
17          Order on November 11, 2022, granting that motion and directing the entry of an Order  
18          Modifying the Final Judgment in this case entered on August 21, 2018, and the Court  
19          hereby finds and orders:  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Plaintiffs, in their motion, have submitted to the Court the modified amount of  
2 damages to be awarded to the class members, properly reduced from the amounts  
3 specified for 890 class members at Ex. "A" to the judgment entered by the Court on  
4 August 21, 2018; and it is hereby  
5

6  
7  
8 ORDERED that Ex. "A" annexed hereto, the list of the modified amount of  
9 damages to be awarded to the class members presented in plaintiffs' motion, shall be  
10 substituted for the Ex. "A" list annexed to the judgment entered by the Court on  
11 August 21, 2018, with that original Ex. "A" list being stricken, and with the Judgment  
12 modified accordingly; and it is further  
13  
14

15 ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment for each individual  
16 class member in the amount specified in Column "F" in Ex. "A" as annexed hereto  
17 against defendants A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC and A CAB SERIES LLC, formerly  
18 known as A CAB, LLC; in doing so it shall substitute such amounts for the prior  
19 amounts it was directed to enter for each class member pursuant to the judgment  
20 entered by the Court on August 21, 2018, it being further provided that the Clerk of  
21 the Court shall strike from its judgment docket the amount it had previously entered as  
22 a judgment in favor of any class member who does not appear on Ex. "A" of this  
23 Order; and it is further  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 ORDERED the Court's Order and Judgment entered on August 21, 2018, shall  
2 remain in effect in all other respects except as modified herein and shall accrue post-  
3 judgment interest on the amounts specified in Column "F" in Ex. "A" annexed hereto  
4 as of August 21, 2018.  
5

6  
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022.

9 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022

10 

11 Hon. Maria Gall  
12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
13 E39 CAC F3CC 83EE  
14 Maria Gall  
15 District Court Judge

16 Submitted by:

17 By: /s/ Leon Greenberg  
18 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
19 LEON GREENBERG PROF. CORP.  
20 2965 S. Jones Blvd. Ste. E-3  
21 Las Vegas, NV 89146  
22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

23 Approved as to form and content:

24 Not Approved

25 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
26 Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
27 RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
28 10161 Park Run Drive. Ste. 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145  
Attorney for Defendants

# EXHIBIT "A"

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1		<b>Totals for All Class Members</b>		<b>\$597,772.48</b>	<b>\$88,114.12</b>	<b>\$685,886.60</b>	<b>\$669,340.72</b>	<b>(\$71,568.24)</b>
2	<b>Employee</b>			<b>Total Lower Tier Minimum Wages Owed 10/8/2010 - 12/31/2015 After Set Off and Over</b>	<b>Interest from 1/1 2016 through</b>	<b>Total with Interest</b>	<b>Total 10/8/2010 - 12/31/2015 Shortage</b>	<b>Set Off from USDOL Settlement</b>
3	<b>Number</b>	<b>Last Name</b>	<b>First Name</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>6/30/2018</b>	<b>Interest</b>	<b>Shortage</b>	<b>Settlement</b>
4	3861	Abarca	Enrique	\$815.12	\$120.15	\$935.27	\$815.12	\$0.00
5	3638	Abdella	Juhar	\$178.63	\$26.33	\$204.96	\$319.03	(\$140.40)
6	105408	Abdulle	Abdirashid	\$165.36	\$24.38	\$189.74	\$165.36	\$0.00
7	3606	Abebe	Tamrat	\$3,010.66	\$443.78	\$3,454.44	\$3,010.66	\$0.00
8	3302	Abraha	Tesfalem	\$411.83	\$60.70	\$472.53	\$411.83	\$0.00
9	105813	Abt	Daniel	\$891.35	\$131.39	\$1,022.74	\$891.35	\$0.00
10	2640	Abuel	Alan	\$26.99	\$3.98	\$30.97	\$259.30	(\$232.31)
11	3513	Abuhay	Fasil	\$199.88	\$29.46	\$229.34	\$390.89	(\$191.01)
12	100221	Ackman	Charles	\$385.21	\$56.78	\$441.99	\$385.21	\$0.00
13	3853	Acosta	Lorrie	\$135.08	\$19.91	\$154.99	\$135.08	\$0.00
14	3609	Adamian	Robert	\$794.61	\$117.13	\$911.74	\$995.17	(\$200.56)
15	3896	Adams	Michael	\$193.46	\$28.52	\$221.98	\$283.69	(\$90.23)
16	3641	Adamson	Nicole	\$1,012.32	\$149.22	\$1,161.54	\$1,306.43	(\$294.11)
17	25411	Adhanom	Tewoldebrhan	\$124.16	\$18.30	\$142.46	\$124.16	\$0.00
18	3846	Agacevic	Ibnel	\$299.99	\$44.22	\$344.21	\$299.99	\$0.00
19	100821	Agostino	Nicholas	\$1,436.35	\$211.72	\$1,648.07	\$1,436.35	\$0.00
20	3684	Ahmed	Ahmed	\$926.12	\$136.51	\$1,062.63	\$1,290.23	(\$364.11)
21	3678	Alemayehu	Tewodros	\$42.09	\$6.20	\$48.30	\$42.09	\$0.00
22	3692	Alessi	Anthony	\$13.62	\$2.01	\$15.63	\$13.62	\$0.00
23	3712	Alexander	Darvious	\$63.13	\$9.30	\$72.43	\$63.13	\$0.00
24	3869	Alfaro	Joe	\$300.71	\$44.33	\$345.03	\$300.71	\$0.00
25	3661	Ali	Abraham	\$2,224.87	\$327.95	\$2,552.82	\$2,224.87	\$0.00
26	104525	Allegue	Yusnier	\$1,414.77	\$208.54	\$1,623.31	\$1,414.77	\$0.00
27	2903	Allen	Otis	\$6,359.32	\$937.39	\$7,296.71	\$6,359.32	\$0.00
28	25979	Alnaif	Abdul	\$711.15	\$104.83	\$815.98	\$743.50	(\$32.35)
29	3787	Altamura	Vincent	\$503.89	\$74.28	\$578.17	\$503.89	\$0.00
30	103822	Alvarado	Santiago	\$94.08	\$13.87	\$107.95	\$94.08	\$0.00
31	3769	Alves	Mary	\$988.61	\$145.72	\$1,134.33	\$988.61	\$0.00
32	3645	Ameha	Samuale	\$244.82	\$36.09	\$280.91	\$244.82	\$0.00
33	24038	Anantagul	Kamol	\$154.39	\$22.76	\$177.15	\$154.39	\$0.00
34	3564	Anastasio	James	\$111.24	\$16.40	\$127.63	\$111.24	\$0.00
35	29709	Andersen	Jason	\$1,197.51	\$176.52	\$1,374.03	\$1,968.47	(\$770.96)
36	106828	Anderson	Calvin	\$1,353.44	\$199.50	\$1,552.95	\$1,353.44	\$0.00
37	3672	Anderson	Roosevelt	\$2,114.65	\$311.71	\$2,426.36	\$2,787.37	(\$672.72)
38	3943	Anderson	William	\$289.40	\$42.66	\$332.06	\$289.40	\$0.00
39	3650	Anif	Janeid	\$1,406.55	\$207.33	\$1,613.88	\$1,406.55	\$0.00
40	2942	Appel	Howard	\$23.47	\$3.46	\$26.93	\$23.47	\$0.00
41	3614	Applegate	Angela	\$260.97	\$38.47	\$299.44	\$319.42	(\$58.45)
42	3730	Arar	Isam	\$1,726.82	\$254.54	\$1,981.36	\$2,235.96	(\$509.14)
43	104910	Archer	Bert	\$362.37	\$53.41	\$415.78	\$362.37	\$0.00
44	3709	Arell	Roger	\$42.41	\$6.25	\$48.66	\$92.02	(\$49.61)
45	3931	Arena	Francis	\$527.13	\$77.70	\$604.83	\$527.13	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
46	26553	Arnwine	Howard	\$2,020.90	\$297.89	\$2,318.78	\$2,185.05	(\$164.15)
47	3676	Asad	Tassawar	\$28.49	\$4.20	\$32.69	\$28.49	\$0.00
48	31622	Asefa	Wossen	\$456.31	\$67.26	\$523.57	\$456.31	\$0.00
49	3828	Aseffa	Mulubahan	\$1,992.18	\$293.66	\$2,285.84	\$2,431.45	(\$439.27)
50	3741	Assena	Zenebech	\$41.86	\$6.17	\$48.02	\$41.86	\$0.00
51	3873	Atanasov	Nikolay	\$154.17	\$22.73	\$176.90	\$154.17	\$0.00
52	3825	Atterbury	Joseph	\$159.92	\$23.57	\$183.49	\$159.92	\$0.00
53	110476	Auberry Jr.	Glenn	\$309.98	\$45.69	\$355.67	\$309.98	\$0.00
54	3667	Aurich	Juan	\$1,489.26	\$219.52	\$1,708.78	\$2,508.20	(\$1,018.94)
55	2926	Awalom	Alemayehu	\$6,288.28	\$926.92	\$7,215.20	\$6,288.28	\$0.00
56	3707	Azmoudeh	Bobby	\$208.23	\$30.69	\$238.92	\$208.23	\$0.00
57	3605	Azzouay	El	\$135.48	\$19.97	\$155.45	\$135.48	\$0.00
58	20210	Ba	Awa	\$1,270.02	\$187.21	\$1,457.22	\$1,270.02	\$0.00
59	108404	Baca	James	\$105.93	\$15.61	\$121.54	\$105.93	\$0.00
60	27358	Baca-Paez	Sergio	\$2,124.87	\$313.21	\$2,438.08	\$2,501.92	(\$377.05)
61	3838	Baker	Timothy	\$2,135.81	\$314.83	\$2,450.64	\$2,431.20	(\$295.39)
62	27315	Bakhtiari	Marco	\$2,701.33	\$398.19	\$3,099.52	\$3,284.38	(\$583.05)
63	112015	Bambenek	Matthew	\$337.56	\$49.76	\$387.31	\$337.56	\$0.00
64	112193	Bandi	Pedram	\$11.21	\$1.65	\$12.86	\$11.21	\$0.00
65	2523	Banuelos	Ruben	\$150.22	\$22.14	\$172.36	\$150.22	\$0.00
66	3909	Barbu	Ion	\$2,507.70	\$369.64	\$2,877.34	\$2,562.29	(\$54.59)
67	3760	Bardo	Timothy	\$746.65	\$110.06	\$856.71	\$746.65	\$0.00
68	3369	Barich	Edward	\$189.31	\$27.90	\$217.21	\$189.31	\$0.00
69	100158	Barnes	Benjamin	\$5,936.88	\$875.12	\$6,812.00	\$5,936.88	\$0.00
70	2993	Barr	Kenneth	\$574.03	\$84.61	\$658.64	\$615.48	(\$41.45)
71	107792	Barrameda	Danilo	\$56.83	\$8.38	\$65.20	\$56.83	\$0.00
72	3601	Barseghyan	Artur	\$373.48	\$55.05	\$428.54	\$488.18	(\$114.70)
73	3887	Barstow	Lance	\$131.44	\$19.37	\$150.81	\$131.44	\$0.00
74	3829	Bartunek	Johnny	\$19.47	\$2.87	\$22.34	\$19.47	\$0.00
75	3649	Bataineh	Ali	\$218.35	\$32.18	\$250.53	\$218.35	\$0.00
76	2454	Batista	Eugenio	\$49.03	\$7.23	\$56.25	\$49.03	\$0.00
77	3926	Bauer	William	\$217.42	\$32.05	\$249.47	\$217.42	\$0.00
78	25454	Bell	Jeffrey	\$26.45	\$3.90	\$30.34	\$26.45	\$0.00
79	3594	Bellegarde	Josue	\$11.51	\$1.70	\$13.21	\$11.51	\$0.00
80	3622	Benel	Christian	\$1,457.21	\$214.80	\$1,672.01	\$1,589.84	(\$132.63)
81	110687	Berger	James	\$58.09	\$8.56	\$66.65	\$58.09	\$0.00
82	103219	Berichon	Mike	\$947.14	\$139.61	\$1,086.75	\$947.14	\$0.00
83	23373	Bey	Ronald	\$2,724.05	\$401.54	\$3,125.58	\$2,724.05	\$0.00
84	2960	Bialorucki	Richard	\$833.46	\$122.86	\$956.32	\$1,071.81	(\$238.35)
85	2986	Black	Burton	\$174.69	\$25.75	\$200.43	\$174.69	\$0.00
86	29914	Bliss	Valerie	\$124.09	\$18.29	\$142.38	\$124.09	\$0.00
87	112455	Blum III	Arthur	\$47.07	\$6.94	\$54.01	\$47.07	\$0.00
88	2487	Boling	Freddy	\$528.24	\$77.87	\$606.11	\$528.24	\$0.00
89	2802	Borja	Virginia	\$456.50	\$67.29	\$523.79	\$745.82	(\$289.32)
90	3723	Bowen	Christopher	\$674.72	\$99.46	\$774.17	\$674.72	\$0.00
91	3508	Bozic	Nebojsa	\$263.10	\$38.78	\$301.88	\$263.10	\$0.00
92	28324	Bradley	Leroy	\$2,391.80	\$352.56	\$2,744.36	\$2,810.40	(\$418.60)
93	2056	Brauchle	Michael	\$3,344.49	\$492.99	\$3,837.48	\$4,054.05	(\$709.56)
94	3697	Briggs	Andrew	\$52.36	\$7.72	\$60.08	\$52.36	\$0.00
95	3716	Brimhall	Tracy	\$3,804.84	\$560.85	\$4,365.69	\$3,804.84	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
96	3621	Brisco	Allen	\$3,226.36	\$475.58	\$3,701.93	\$3,226.36	\$0.00
97	100299	Briski	Louis	\$226.23	\$33.35	\$259.58	\$414.70	(\$188.47)
98	110579	Brooks	Jose	\$46.30	\$6.83	\$53.13	\$46.30	\$0.00
99	3949	Brown	Daniel	\$730.19	\$107.63	\$837.82	\$730.19	\$0.00
100	3067	Brown	Maurice	\$774.77	\$114.20	\$888.97	\$774.77	\$0.00
101	2704	Buergey	Christopher	\$1,051.28	\$154.96	\$1,206.24	\$1,051.28	\$0.00
102	28249	Bunns	Tommy	\$564.89	\$83.27	\$648.16	\$564.89	\$0.00
103	111670	Burns	Brittany	\$122.95	\$18.12	\$141.08	\$122.95	\$0.00
104	109309	Caldwell Jr.	Paul	\$364.22	\$53.69	\$417.90	\$364.22	\$0.00
105	3892	Calise	Domenic	\$57.13	\$8.42	\$65.55	\$57.13	\$0.00
106	3791	Cancio-Betancou	Rene	\$282.86	\$41.69	\$324.55	\$282.86	\$0.00
107	106463	Capone	Gary	\$1,177.79	\$173.61	\$1,351.40	\$1,177.79	\$0.00
108	3733	Carr	Jamaal	\$127.11	\$18.74	\$145.84	\$127.11	\$0.00
109	2660	Carracedo	Sonny	\$360.54	\$53.15	\$413.69	\$360.54	\$0.00
110	3899	Casiello	Anthony	\$552.19	\$81.39	\$633.58	\$703.35	(\$151.16)
111	102334	Castellanos	Joaquin	\$419.56	\$61.84	\$481.40	\$419.56	\$0.00
112	2531	Catoggio	Alfred	\$143.11	\$21.10	\$164.21	\$143.11	\$0.00
113	3843	Caymite	Luc	\$221.02	\$32.58	\$253.60	\$221.02	\$0.00
114	104310	Chana	Chen	\$658.00	\$96.99	\$754.99	\$658.00	\$0.00
115	3420	Chang	Yun-Yu	\$697.04	\$102.75	\$799.78	\$697.04	\$0.00
116	3831	Charouat	Malek	\$412.11	\$60.75	\$472.86	\$412.11	\$0.00
117	24737	Charov	Ivaylo	\$67.83	\$10.00	\$77.83	\$67.83	\$0.00
118	3663	Chasteen	Jeffery	\$38.80	\$5.72	\$44.52	\$38.80	\$0.00
119	3714	Chatrizeh	Shahin	\$744.82	\$109.79	\$854.61	\$950.52	(\$205.70)
120	112394	Chavez	Rosemarie	\$13.29	\$1.96	\$15.25	\$13.29	\$0.00
121	3249	Chico	David	\$2,251.13	\$331.83	\$2,582.95	\$2,251.13	\$0.00
122	3729	Choudhary	Krishna	\$1,694.88	\$249.83	\$1,944.71	\$1,694.88	\$0.00
123	3588	Christensen	Rosa	\$1,878.35	\$276.88	\$2,155.22	\$1,878.35	\$0.00
124	3881	Christodoulou	Panos	\$584.13	\$86.10	\$670.23	\$584.13	\$0.00
125	26783	Clark	Dennis	\$513.57	\$75.70	\$589.27	\$513.57	\$0.00
126	31467	Clarke	Michael	\$69.42	\$10.23	\$79.65	\$69.42	\$0.00
127	107430	Cobon	Karl	\$1,023.14	\$150.81	\$1,173.95	\$1,023.14	\$0.00
128	3802	Cobos	Aaron	\$258.72	\$38.14	\$296.85	\$258.72	\$0.00
129	3885	Cohoon	Thomas	\$2,087.12	\$307.65	\$2,394.77	\$2,261.53	(\$174.41)
130	3552	Coizeau	Leonardo	\$3,285.52	\$484.30	\$3,769.81	\$3,433.58	(\$148.06)
131	102415	Collier	Ella	\$293.00	\$43.19	\$336.19	\$447.70	(\$154.70)
132	3862	Collins	Lincoln	\$408.91	\$60.27	\$469.18	\$520.42	(\$111.51)
133	108041	Comeau	Brian	\$70.76	\$10.43	\$81.19	\$70.76	\$0.00
134	3596	Conde	Carlos	\$103.01	\$15.18	\$118.19	\$103.01	\$0.00
135	3900	Coney-Cumming	Keisha	\$531.04	\$78.28	\$609.32	\$531.04	\$0.00
136	3738	Conway	James	\$3,480.75	\$513.08	\$3,993.82	\$3,980.61	(\$499.86)
137	112398	Corona	Fernando	\$775.97	\$114.38	\$890.35	\$775.97	\$0.00
138	2051	Costello	Brad	\$1,305.53	\$192.44	\$1,497.97	\$1,696.23	(\$390.70)
139	3550	Craddock	Charles	\$557.35	\$82.16	\$639.51	\$557.35	\$0.00
140	3935	Craffey	Richard	\$672.27	\$99.09	\$771.36	\$672.27	\$0.00
141	23774	Crawford	Darryl	\$141.24	\$20.82	\$162.05	\$224.46	(\$83.22)
142	21457	Crawford	Maximillian	\$156.56	\$23.08	\$179.64	\$156.56	\$0.00
143	30300	Cruz-Decastro	Antonio	\$47.37	\$6.98	\$54.35	\$47.37	\$0.00
144	109796	Curtin	Ronald	\$1,891.68	\$278.84	\$2,170.52	\$1,891.68	\$0.00
145	109130	Dacayanan	Liza	\$515.01	\$75.91	\$590.92	\$515.01	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
146	23948	Daffron	Daniel	\$1,242.13	\$183.10	\$1,425.23	\$1,242.13	\$0.00
147	32238	Daggett Jr.	Rudolph	\$618.68	\$91.20	\$709.87	\$618.68	\$0.00
148	3777	Daniels	Donald	\$3,274.58	\$482.69	\$3,757.26	\$3,274.58	\$0.00
149	110936	Daniels	James	\$57.14	\$8.42	\$65.56	\$57.14	\$0.00
150	3511	Danielsen	Danny	\$377.99	\$55.72	\$433.71	\$377.99	\$0.00
151	3428	D'Arcy	Timothy	\$4,630.45	\$682.55	\$5,313.00	\$4,630.45	\$0.00
152	101103	Davila-Romero	Monica	\$58.85	\$8.67	\$67.52	\$58.85	\$0.00
153	28065	Davis	Bradley	\$2,167.85	\$319.55	\$2,487.40	\$2,167.85	\$0.00
154	2573	Deguzman	Fermin	\$294.22	\$43.37	\$337.59	\$294.22	\$0.00
155	3675	Deguzman	Leloi	\$619.41	\$91.30	\$710.71	\$619.41	\$0.00
156	111137	Dejacto	Giovanna	\$660.42	\$97.35	\$757.77	\$660.42	\$0.00
157	25935	Delgado	Carlos	\$105.26	\$15.52	\$120.78	\$105.26	\$0.00
158	2057	DeMarco	William	\$581.36	\$85.69	\$667.05	\$581.36	\$0.00
159	3566	Deocampo	Michael	\$198.88	\$29.31	\$228.19	\$222.51	(\$23.63)
160	3936	Dial	Donald	\$811.92	\$119.68	\$931.60	\$811.92	\$0.00
161	111062	Diamond	Jeffrey	\$273.19	\$40.27	\$313.46	\$273.19	\$0.00
162	3719	Diaz	Aiser	\$22.90	\$3.38	\$26.28	\$22.90	\$0.00
163	3657	Dibaba	Desta	\$958.68	\$141.31	\$1,099.99	\$958.68	\$0.00
164	3905	Dillard	Corey	\$904.27	\$133.29	\$1,037.56	\$978.27	(\$74.00)
165	2031	Dinok	Ildiko	\$1,530.38	\$225.58	\$1,755.96	\$1,530.38	\$0.00
166	6832	Dionas	John	\$87.73	\$12.93	\$100.66	\$87.73	\$0.00
167	3756	Disbrow	Ronald	\$2,475.64	\$364.92	\$2,840.56	\$2,858.43	(\$382.79)
168	3395	Dixon	Julius	\$669.09	\$98.63	\$767.72	\$669.09	\$0.00
169	2812	Djapa-Ivosevic	Davor	\$295.33	\$43.53	\$338.87	\$295.33	\$0.00
170	3704	Dobszewicz	Gary	\$2,278.69	\$335.89	\$2,614.57	\$3,064.20	(\$785.51)
171	3024	Donahoe	Stephen	\$473.62	\$69.81	\$543.44	\$473.62	\$0.00
172	3478	Dontchev	Nedeltcho	\$2,456.69	\$362.13	\$2,818.81	\$2,562.54	(\$105.85)
173	3830	Dotson	Contessa	\$49.54	\$7.30	\$56.84	\$49.54	\$0.00
174	3378	Dotson	Eugene	\$232.38	\$34.25	\$266.63	\$298.04	(\$65.66)
175	106763	Doyle	William	\$304.91	\$44.94	\$349.85	\$304.91	\$0.00
176	2871	Draper	Ivan	\$885.79	\$130.57	\$1,016.35	\$1,988.56	(\$1,102.77)
177	3754	Dudek	Anthony	\$1,421.81	\$209.58	\$1,631.39	\$1,421.81	\$0.00
178	3916	Duna	Lawrence	\$760.98	\$112.17	\$873.15	\$760.98	\$0.00
179	3617	Durey	Robert	\$795.00	\$117.19	\$912.19	\$1,086.96	(\$291.96)
180	2006	Durtschi	Jeffrey	\$496.97	\$73.26	\$570.23	\$585.98	(\$89.01)
181	100046	Dymond	Ernest	\$62.96	\$9.28	\$72.24	\$62.96	\$0.00
182	3907	Eddik	Muhannad	\$31.60	\$4.66	\$36.26	\$31.60	\$0.00
183	2637	Edwards	Jeffrey	\$823.78	\$121.43	\$945.21	\$1,307.78	(\$484.00)
184	3381	Egan	Joseph	\$3,088.61	\$455.27	\$3,543.88	\$3,088.61	\$0.00
185	3595	Ekoue	Ayi	\$2,813.75	\$414.76	\$3,228.50	\$2,813.75	\$0.00
186	111822	Elgendy	Mohamed	\$96.88	\$14.28	\$111.17	\$96.88	\$0.00
187	18678	Eliades	George	\$272.83	\$40.22	\$313.04	\$272.83	\$0.00
188	3771	Ellis	Charles	\$763.81	\$112.59	\$876.40	\$763.81	\$0.00
189	109641	Emling	Paul	\$146.38	\$21.58	\$167.95	\$470.16	(\$323.78)
190	106698	Emter	Christopher	\$124.52	\$18.36	\$142.88	\$124.52	\$0.00
191	3567	Ernst	William	\$2,071.00	\$305.27	\$2,376.27	\$3,661.62	(\$1,590.62)
192	3937	Esfarjany	Mahmood	\$61.93	\$9.13	\$71.06	\$61.93	\$0.00
193	3689	Eshaghi	Mohammad	\$243.90	\$35.95	\$279.85	\$347.00	(\$103.10)
194	3889	Estrada	Michael	\$217.71	\$32.09	\$249.80	\$217.71	\$0.00
195	3628	Evans	Steven	\$23.51	\$3.46	\$26.97	\$23.51	\$0.00



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
196	3703	Fadlallah	Michel	\$675.34	\$99.55	\$774.88	\$857.18	(\$181.84)
197	29981	Fair	Kirby	\$496.57	\$73.20	\$569.77	\$496.57	\$0.00
198	3795	Farah	Yohannes	\$391.88	\$57.76	\$449.64	\$391.88	\$0.00
199	2682	Fears	Thomas	\$2,605.88	\$384.12	\$2,990.00	\$3,198.92	(\$593.04)
200	3591	Feleke	Melak	\$989.78	\$145.90	\$1,135.67	\$1,190.60	(\$200.82)
201	3549	Fesehazion	Teabe	\$1,306.55	\$192.59	\$1,499.14	\$1,865.61	(\$559.06)
202	111068	Filatov	Andrey	\$20.19	\$2.98	\$23.16	\$20.19	\$0.00
203	3877	Filfel	Kamal	\$3,138.25	\$462.59	\$3,600.84	\$3,138.25	\$0.00
204	109381	Fitzsimmons	Marc	\$327.92	\$48.34	\$376.25	\$327.92	\$0.00
205	111729	Flanders	Mary	\$208.19	\$30.69	\$238.88	\$208.19	\$0.00
206	3705	Fleming	Gary	\$3,227.44	\$475.74	\$3,703.17	\$4,079.24	(\$851.80)
207	3939	Ford	Todd	\$982.51	\$144.83	\$1,127.33	\$982.51	\$0.00
208	3927	Fox	Gordon	\$258.33	\$38.08	\$296.41	\$258.33	\$0.00
209	3860	Frankenberger	Grant	\$625.40	\$92.19	\$717.58	\$625.40	\$0.00
210	2614	Franklin	David	\$530.60	\$78.21	\$608.81	\$530.60	\$0.00
211	3774	Furst III	James	\$48.51	\$7.15	\$55.66	\$48.51	\$0.00
212	107590	Galtieri	Frank	\$269.32	\$39.70	\$309.02	\$269.32	\$0.00
213	2782	Garcia	John	\$5,827.20	\$858.95	\$6,686.15	\$5,985.76	(\$158.56)
214	3652	Garcia	Miguel	\$1,119.02	\$164.95	\$1,283.96	\$1,119.02	\$0.00
215	3522	Gardea	Alfred	\$1,460.80	\$215.33	\$1,676.12	\$1,460.80	\$0.00
216	3694	Gared	Yaekob	\$76.99	\$11.35	\$88.34	\$76.99	\$0.00
217	3793	Garras	Bill	\$160.33	\$23.63	\$183.97	\$160.33	\$0.00
218	26636	Garrett	Kathleen	\$20.07	\$2.96	\$23.03	\$20.07	\$0.00
219	3642	Gaumond	Gerard	\$197.50	\$29.11	\$226.61	\$197.50	\$0.00
220	3503	Gebrayes	Henock	\$360.01	\$53.07	\$413.08	\$360.01	\$0.00
221	3801	Gebremariam	Meley	\$200.99	\$29.63	\$230.61	\$200.99	\$0.00
222	3580	Gebreyes	Fanuel	\$513.28	\$75.66	\$588.93	\$933.43	(\$420.15)
223	3328	Gelane	Samuel	\$4,423.27	\$652.01	\$5,075.28	\$5,569.67	(\$1,146.40)
224	3589	Gessese	Worku	\$81.57	\$12.02	\$93.59	\$81.57	\$0.00
225	3865	Ghori	Azhar	\$205.23	\$30.25	\$235.48	\$205.23	\$0.00
226	3759	Gianopoulos	Samuel	\$1,133.49	\$167.08	\$1,300.57	\$1,406.99	(\$273.50)
227	3696	Gillett	David	\$519.94	\$76.64	\$596.58	\$1,435.64	(\$915.70)
228	3600	Gilmore	Paula	\$16.54	\$2.44	\$18.98	\$82.81	(\$66.27)
229	3924	Gilo	Hobart	\$645.59	\$95.16	\$740.75	\$645.59	\$0.00
230	31076	Glaser	Stephen	\$153.87	\$22.68	\$176.55	\$153.87	\$0.00
231	3121	Gleason	John	\$2,790.18	\$411.28	\$3,201.46	\$4,140.17	(\$1,349.99)
232	3540	Glogovac	Goran	\$603.36	\$88.94	\$692.30	\$1,152.08	(\$548.72)
233	3762	Godsey	Kelly	\$1,233.95	\$181.89	\$1,415.83	\$1,233.95	\$0.00
234	3739	Godsey	Thomas	\$90.55	\$13.35	\$103.89	\$90.55	\$0.00
235	106897	Goettsche	Dale	\$31.60	\$4.66	\$36.26	\$31.60	\$0.00
236	31840	Gokcek	Guney	\$99.83	\$14.72	\$114.55	\$99.83	\$0.00
237	3688	Golden	Theresa	\$686.85	\$101.24	\$788.10	\$686.85	\$0.00
238	3646	Golla	Dawit	\$72.45	\$10.68	\$83.12	\$72.45	\$0.00
239	3848	Gomez-Gomez	Arlene	\$138.32	\$20.39	\$158.70	\$138.32	\$0.00
240	3903	Gonzalez	Luis	\$1,355.04	\$199.74	\$1,554.78	\$1,355.04	\$0.00
241	111390	Gonzalez	Pedro	\$263.79	\$38.88	\$302.67	\$263.79	\$0.00
242	3586	Gonzalez	Ramon	\$503.17	\$74.17	\$577.33	\$503.17	\$0.00
243	3929	Gonzalez-Ruiz	Jose	\$178.96	\$26.38	\$205.34	\$178.96	\$0.00
244	3794	Goolsby	Victor	\$933.19	\$137.56	\$1,070.74	\$933.19	\$0.00
245	3391	Grafton	Natasha	\$1,771.74	\$261.16	\$2,032.90	\$1,771.74	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
246	24757	Granchelle	Andrew	\$700.68	\$103.28	\$803.96	\$700.68	\$0.00
247	19253	Gray	Gary	\$3,124.58	\$460.58	\$3,585.16	\$3,790.84	(\$666.26)
248	3197	Green	Tony	\$1,256.38	\$185.19	\$1,441.57	\$2,445.41	(\$1,189.03)
249	2971	Gross	Timothy	\$866.18	\$127.68	\$993.85	\$866.18	\$0.00
250	18964	Guerrero	Daniel	\$1,211.23	\$178.54	\$1,389.76	\$1,211.23	\$0.00
251	3655	Guinan	William	\$318.19	\$46.90	\$365.09	\$552.49	(\$234.30)
252	3895	Gyuro	John	\$343.12	\$50.58	\$393.70	\$343.12	\$0.00
253	3636	Habtom	Ermias	\$663.42	\$97.79	\$761.21	\$663.42	\$0.00
254	3799	Hadley	Aaron	\$221.75	\$32.69	\$254.44	\$333.64	(\$111.89)
255	3827	Haigh III	Walter	\$202.61	\$29.87	\$232.48	\$202.61	\$0.00
256	111568	Hammoud	Wissam	\$618.64	\$91.19	\$709.83	\$618.64	\$0.00
257	21446	Handlon	Michael	\$649.91	\$95.80	\$745.71	\$649.91	\$0.00
258	3734	Hanna	Christopher	\$353.39	\$52.09	\$405.48	\$353.39	\$0.00
259	3402	Hansen	Jordan	\$1,238.67	\$182.59	\$1,421.26	\$1,410.40	(\$171.73)
260	29609	Haralambov	Valko	\$260.48	\$38.40	\$298.88	\$260.48	\$0.00
261	3519	Harms	Michael	\$728.33	\$107.36	\$835.69	\$728.33	\$0.00
262	3761	Harrell	Mark	\$1,070.06	\$157.73	\$1,227.79	\$1,484.83	(\$414.77)
263	3855	Harris	Dennis	\$2,455.84	\$362.00	\$2,817.84	\$2,846.89	(\$391.05)
264	2564	Harris	Jay	\$996.17	\$146.84	\$1,143.01	\$1,155.16	(\$158.99)
265	3811	Harris III	Reggie	\$19.13	\$2.82	\$21.95	\$19.13	\$0.00
266	3941	Harrison	Andrew	\$297.76	\$43.89	\$341.65	\$297.76	\$0.00
267	24039	Hart	Brandi	\$162.45	\$23.95	\$186.40	\$162.45	\$0.00
268	3656	Harun	Idris	\$114.58	\$16.89	\$131.47	\$114.58	\$0.00
269	3515	Hasen	Akmel	\$114.78	\$16.92	\$131.69	\$188.59	(\$73.81)
270	3742	Haskell	William	\$3,803.40	\$560.64	\$4,364.03	\$4,896.30	(\$1,092.90)
271	3808	Hays	Larry	\$2,054.93	\$302.91	\$2,357.84	\$2,293.24	(\$238.31)
272	109457	Hearne	Stephen	\$188.99	\$27.86	\$216.85	\$188.99	\$0.00
273	110194	Henderson	Lloyd	\$467.13	\$68.86	\$535.98	\$467.13	\$0.00
274	3933	Hendricks	Mark	\$352.95	\$52.03	\$404.97	\$352.95	\$0.00
275	3634	Herbert	Christopher	\$1,177.50	\$173.57	\$1,351.06	\$1,177.50	\$0.00
276	3763	Herga	Ryan	\$299.22	\$44.11	\$343.32	\$408.57	(\$109.35)
277	101555	Hernandez	Rene	\$272.18	\$40.12	\$312.30	\$272.18	\$0.00
278	107072	Hernandez-Ocan	Amilcar	\$219.91	\$32.42	\$252.33	\$219.91	\$0.00
279	112038	Hill	Douglas	\$294.63	\$43.43	\$338.06	\$294.63	\$0.00
280	109792	Hinds	Monroe	\$304.22	\$44.84	\$349.06	\$304.22	\$0.00
281	2097	Hinks	Dana	\$778.37	\$114.73	\$893.10	\$927.59	(\$149.22)
282	3765	Hirsi	Kamal	\$533.66	\$78.66	\$612.33	\$533.66	\$0.00
283	2464	Hodge	Lee	\$1,173.17	\$172.93	\$1,346.10	\$1,173.17	\$0.00
284	2490	Hoffman	Gery	\$30.38	\$4.48	\$34.86	\$30.38	\$0.00
285	2017	Holcomb	Dalton	\$1,162.76	\$171.40	\$1,334.16	\$1,162.76	\$0.00
286	3864	Holler	Alfonso	\$491.70	\$72.48	\$564.18	\$586.05	(\$94.35)
287	3809	Hollis	James	\$92.91	\$13.70	\$106.61	\$252.73	(\$159.82)
288	3822	Holt	John	\$2,920.16	\$430.44	\$3,350.60	\$2,920.16	\$0.00
289	3653	Hooper	Donald	\$528.58	\$77.92	\$606.50	\$709.80	(\$181.22)
290	3607	Hoschouer	Christina	\$1,321.54	\$194.80	\$1,516.33	\$1,321.54	\$0.00
291	109584	Hosley	Tracie	\$185.20	\$27.30	\$212.50	\$185.20	\$0.00
292	31648	Hu	Karl	\$137.49	\$20.27	\$157.76	\$137.49	\$0.00
293	3849	Huerena	Samuel	\$51.18	\$7.54	\$58.72	\$51.18	\$0.00
294	2400	Hughes	Jerry	\$570.41	\$84.08	\$654.49	\$1,906.43	(\$1,336.02)
295	3780	Hunter	James	\$320.69	\$47.27	\$367.96	\$320.69	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
296	3120	Huntington	Walter	\$311.96	\$45.98	\$357.94	\$311.96	\$0.00
297	27788	Hurd	Donald	\$1,527.27	\$225.13	\$1,752.39	\$1,786.78	(\$259.51)
298	3782	Hurley	Robert	\$246.55	\$36.34	\$282.89	\$246.55	\$0.00
299	2751	Hurtado	Hubert	\$2,544.05	\$375.00	\$2,919.05	\$2,544.05	\$0.00
300	3835	Hussien	Leykun	\$568.36	\$83.78	\$652.14	\$568.36	\$0.00
301	17189	Imran	Muhammad	\$104.12	\$15.35	\$119.46	\$104.12	\$0.00
302	3187	Isaac	Edsel	\$263.62	\$38.86	\$302.48	\$263.62	\$0.00
303	108273	Isanan	Claro	\$199.02	\$29.34	\$228.35	\$199.02	\$0.00
304	107191	Ivanov	Yordan	\$74.55	\$10.99	\$85.54	\$74.55	\$0.00
305	2114	Ivey	Timothy	\$1,046.55	\$154.27	\$1,200.82	\$1,505.32	(\$458.77)
306	3928	Jackson	Anthony	\$495.57	\$73.05	\$568.62	\$495.57	\$0.00
307	108839	Jackson	Frederick	\$2,776.86	\$409.32	\$3,186.18	\$3,154.65	(\$377.79)
308	3701	Jackson	Willie	\$2,678.80	\$394.87	\$3,073.67	\$3,577.43	(\$898.63)
309	107992	Jacobi	Donald	\$1,157.97	\$170.69	\$1,328.66	\$1,157.97	\$0.00
310	20466	Jafarian	Moharram	\$13.55	\$2.00	\$15.55	\$13.55	\$0.00
311	2412	Jelancic	Vladko	\$1,366.25	\$201.39	\$1,567.64	\$1,773.01	(\$406.76)
312	3851	Jellison	Charles	\$327.35	\$48.25	\$375.60	\$513.14	(\$185.79)
313	3315	Jimenez	Michael	\$814.06	\$120.00	\$934.05	\$1,010.10	(\$196.04)
314	3539	Johnson	Brian	\$62.39	\$9.20	\$71.59	\$62.39	\$0.00
315	3898	Johnson	Cary	\$91.90	\$13.55	\$105.44	\$91.90	\$0.00
316	3151	Johnson	Kennard	\$778.01	\$114.68	\$892.69	\$1,770.30	(\$992.29)
317	3844	Johnson	Richard	\$162.40	\$23.94	\$186.34	\$162.40	\$0.00
318	2127	Johnson	Rodney	\$44.73	\$6.59	\$51.32	\$206.39	(\$161.66)
319	3602	Johnson	Tony	\$377.73	\$55.68	\$433.41	\$377.73	\$0.00
320	2253	Jones	Glenn	\$1,337.83	\$197.20	\$1,535.03	\$1,731.80	(\$393.97)
321	3784	Joseph	Leroy	\$2,440.47	\$359.74	\$2,800.21	\$2,570.69	(\$130.22)
322	3919	Kabbaz	David	\$76.92	\$11.34	\$88.26	\$76.92	\$0.00
323	111813	Kadir	Tura	\$23.88	\$3.52	\$27.39	\$23.88	\$0.00
324	106642	Kadri	Abdelkrim	\$10.24	\$1.51	\$11.75	\$10.24	\$0.00
325	3772	Kaiyoorawongs	Chaipan	\$3,065.66	\$451.89	\$3,517.55	\$3,065.66	\$0.00
326	101942	Kalimba	Gaston	\$530.48	\$78.19	\$608.67	\$530.48	\$0.00
327	29542	Kang	Chong	\$101.83	\$15.01	\$116.84	\$101.83	\$0.00
328	3631	Karner	Adam	\$873.51	\$128.76	\$1,002.27	\$1,141.88	(\$268.37)
329	3819	Keba	Woldmarim	\$569.14	\$83.89	\$653.03	\$998.90	(\$429.76)
330	106153	Keller	Roger	\$390.90	\$57.62	\$448.52	\$390.90	\$0.00
331	2736	Kenary	Brian	\$352.09	\$51.90	\$403.99	\$1,706.10	(\$1,354.01)
332	3484	Kern	Gary	\$8,416.88	\$1,240.68	\$9,657.56	\$9,357.54	(\$940.66)
333	3637	Key	Roy	\$174.71	\$25.75	\$200.46	\$174.71	\$0.00
334	3651	Khan	Zaka	\$53.04	\$7.82	\$60.86	\$53.04	\$0.00
335	105794	Kimler	Ryan	\$198.87	\$29.31	\$228.19	\$198.87	\$0.00
336	3798	King Jr.	John	\$115.51	\$17.03	\$132.54	\$179.87	(\$64.36)
337	2901	Kingsley	David	\$49.73	\$7.33	\$57.06	\$49.73	\$0.00
338	111283	Kissel	Sean	\$51.23	\$7.55	\$58.78	\$51.23	\$0.00
339	3893	Klein	Phillip	\$3,633.02	\$535.52	\$4,168.54	\$3,633.02	\$0.00
340	3837	Knight	Tyree	\$262.37	\$38.67	\$301.04	\$262.37	\$0.00
341	3630	Kogan	Martin	\$6,773.74	\$998.48	\$7,772.22	\$7,609.17	(\$835.43)
342	2789	Krouse	Stephen	\$85.40	\$12.59	\$97.99	\$366.44	(\$281.04)
343	103826	Kull Jr.	William	\$135.94	\$20.04	\$155.98	\$135.94	\$0.00
344	3662	Kunik	Robert	\$301.44	\$44.43	\$345.87	\$301.44	\$0.00
345	3878	Laico	Paul	\$102.52	\$15.11	\$117.63	\$102.52	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
346	111231	Lant	Mark	\$694.00	\$102.30	\$796.29	\$694.00	\$0.00
347	3535	Lantis	Glen	\$427.48	\$63.01	\$490.49	\$427.48	\$0.00
348	25362	Lathan	Joseph	\$269.57	\$39.73	\$309.30	\$269.57	\$0.00
349	111290	Lay	Gilbert	\$139.80	\$20.61	\$160.40	\$139.80	\$0.00
350	1053	Leacock	Brian	\$1,191.71	\$175.66	\$1,367.37	\$2,396.09	(\$1,204.38)
351	3685	Leal	Jill	\$2,181.82	\$321.61	\$2,503.43	\$2,592.70	(\$410.88)
352	18960	Lee	Melvin	\$469.33	\$69.18	\$538.51	\$469.33	\$0.00
353	3702	Lee	Thomas	\$2,952.81	\$435.26	\$3,388.06	\$2,952.81	\$0.00
354	3666	Legesse	Dereje	\$555.76	\$81.92	\$637.68	\$776.75	(\$220.99)
355	3816	Ligus	Thomas	\$219.63	\$32.37	\$252.01	\$219.63	\$0.00
356	25522	Link	Peter	\$1,062.97	\$156.69	\$1,219.66	\$1,366.79	(\$303.82)
357	3681	Linzer	Steven	\$42.56	\$6.27	\$48.83	\$42.56	\$0.00
358	15804	Little	Dennis	\$742.99	\$109.52	\$852.50	\$1,016.34	(\$273.35)
359	3945	Lombana	Francisco	\$51.80	\$7.63	\$59.43	\$51.80	\$0.00
360	3858	Lonbani	Khosro	\$607.51	\$89.55	\$697.06	\$829.71	(\$222.20)
361	111405	Lopez-Silvero	Fidel	\$81.02	\$11.94	\$92.96	\$81.02	\$0.00
362	3752	Lorenz	Dierdra	\$866.03	\$127.66	\$993.69	\$866.03	\$0.00
363	3813	Lovelady	Warren	\$11.90	\$1.75	\$13.65	\$11.90	\$0.00
364	1065	Lovin	Charles	\$247.32	\$36.46	\$283.77	\$422.42	(\$175.10)
365	3778	Macato	Jaime	\$2,456.61	\$362.11	\$2,818.73	\$2,859.72	(\$403.11)
366	20936	Madi	Adam	\$137.47	\$20.26	\$157.74	\$137.47	\$0.00
367	24918	Magana	Luis	\$565.73	\$83.39	\$649.12	\$749.60	(\$183.87)
368	107940	Maharit	Khamkhung	\$63.98	\$9.43	\$73.41	\$63.98	\$0.00
369	2738	Mahoney	Kevin	\$431.90	\$63.66	\$495.56	\$431.90	\$0.00
370	3096	Mainwaring	David	\$3,079.08	\$453.87	\$3,532.95	\$3,079.08	\$0.00
371	2757	Majors	John	\$6,888.13	\$1,015.34	\$7,903.46	\$6,888.13	\$0.00
372	22809	Manitien	Ted	\$13.83	\$2.04	\$15.87	\$13.83	\$0.00
373	3890	Manor	Quincy	\$1,366.55	\$201.44	\$1,567.99	\$1,544.98	(\$178.43)
374	3583	Maras	Maria	\$2,195.44	\$323.62	\$2,519.05	\$2,614.23	(\$418.79)
375	106666	Martinez	Arturo	\$63.48	\$9.36	\$72.83	\$63.48	\$0.00
376	110053	Martinez	Francisco	\$1,713.26	\$252.54	\$1,965.80	\$1,713.26	\$0.00
377	3866	Martinez-Ramire	Eduardo	\$757.35	\$111.64	\$868.98	\$1,043.05	(\$285.70)
378	100287	Martins	Julio	\$298.27	\$43.97	\$342.24	\$298.27	\$0.00
379	3698	Mastrio	Angelo	\$287.39	\$42.36	\$329.75	\$287.39	\$0.00
380	110618	Mastrio	Pamela	\$234.23	\$34.53	\$268.76	\$234.23	\$0.00
381	110108	Mathis	George	\$297.42	\$43.84	\$341.26	\$297.42	\$0.00
382	3669	Maza	Inez	\$349.93	\$51.58	\$401.51	\$349.93	\$0.00
383	111284	McCall	Melvin	\$169.85	\$25.04	\$194.88	\$169.85	\$0.00
384	111199	McCarroll-Jones	Claudia	\$17.52	\$2.58	\$20.11	\$17.52	\$0.00
385	2587	McCarter	Patrick	\$2,149.19	\$316.80	\$2,465.99	\$2,268.60	(\$119.41)
386	3690	McCarthy	John	\$3,474.77	\$512.20	\$3,986.97	\$4,182.28	(\$707.51)
387	3654	McConnell	Therral	\$873.55	\$128.77	\$1,002.32	\$873.55	\$0.00
388	3743	McCoubrey	Earl	\$1,347.94	\$198.69	\$1,546.63	\$1,347.94	\$0.00
389	107427	McDougle	Jeffrey	\$124.87	\$18.41	\$143.27	\$124.87	\$0.00
390	3111	McGarry	James	\$178.50	\$26.31	\$204.81	\$178.50	\$0.00
391	3745	McGowan	Sean	\$228.69	\$33.71	\$262.40	\$228.69	\$0.00
392	3547	McGregor	Matthew	\$857.64	\$126.42	\$984.05	\$857.64	\$0.00
393	3722	McNeece	James	\$147.35	\$21.72	\$169.07	\$147.35	\$0.00
394	25641	McSkimming	John	\$901.92	\$132.95	\$1,034.87	\$901.92	\$0.00
395	3345	Mekonen	Solomon	\$383.94	\$56.59	\$440.54	\$383.94	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
396	3066	Melesse	Abebe	\$32.85	\$4.84	\$37.69	\$32.85	\$0.00
397	3665	Melka	Tariku	\$27.31	\$4.03	\$31.34	\$27.31	\$0.00
398	2596	Meloro	Paul	\$3,253.76	\$479.62	\$3,733.38	\$3,503.79	(\$250.03)
399	3262	Mengesha	Alemayehu	\$521.70	\$76.90	\$598.60	\$861.06	(\$339.36)
400	3568	Menocal	Pedro	\$1,029.70	\$151.78	\$1,181.48	\$1,029.70	\$0.00
401	102328	Meyer	Ronald	\$53.72	\$7.92	\$61.64	\$53.72	\$0.00
402	26609	Mezzenasco	Pedro	\$1,317.06	\$194.14	\$1,511.19	\$1,523.84	(\$206.78)
403	110334	Michilena	Luis	\$66.26	\$9.77	\$76.03	\$66.26	\$0.00
404	30196	Miller	Jason	\$983.37	\$144.95	\$1,128.32	\$983.37	\$0.00
405	17855	Milliron	Darrol	\$1,696.99	\$250.14	\$1,947.13	\$3,469.18	(\$1,772.19)
406	3620	Mindyas	James	\$579.57	\$85.43	\$665.00	\$855.65	(\$276.08)
407	3904	Mirkulovski	Danny	\$550.09	\$81.09	\$631.18	\$550.09	\$0.00
408	31966	Mitrikov	Ilko	\$2,230.42	\$328.77	\$2,559.19	\$2,414.03	(\$183.61)
409	104887	Miyazaki	Nisaburo	\$912.41	\$134.49	\$1,046.90	\$912.41	\$0.00
410	3317	Mogeeth	Ehab	\$323.43	\$47.67	\$371.10	\$323.43	\$0.00
411	105284	Monforte II	Peter	\$5,074.87	\$748.06	\$5,822.92	\$5,074.87	\$0.00
412	3882	Monteagudo	Oscar	\$937.81	\$138.24	\$1,076.04	\$937.81	\$0.00
413	3735	Montoya Villa	Francisco	\$551.62	\$81.31	\$632.93	\$1,112.68	(\$561.06)
414	3913	Moore	Aileen-Louise	\$328.57	\$48.43	\$377.01	\$328.57	\$0.00
415	3664	Moreno	James	\$4,373.10	\$644.61	\$5,017.71	\$5,220.56	(\$847.46)
416	3626	Moretti	Bryan	\$1,422.89	\$209.74	\$1,632.63	\$1,422.89	\$0.00
417	3411	Morley	David	\$514.74	\$75.87	\$590.61	\$718.67	(\$203.93)
418	2162	Morris	Robert	\$1,446.92	\$213.28	\$1,660.20	\$1,446.92	\$0.00
419	8321	Morris	Thomas	\$4,599.67	\$678.01	\$5,277.68	\$4,599.67	\$0.00
420	106703	Mosely	David	\$1,143.38	\$168.54	\$1,311.92	\$1,143.38	\$0.00
421	3785	Mostafa	Ahmed	\$500.20	\$73.73	\$573.93	\$500.20	\$0.00
422	28917	Motazedi	Kamran	\$181.66	\$26.78	\$208.44	\$181.66	\$0.00
423	27059	Mottaghian	Joseph	\$30.98	\$4.57	\$35.54	\$30.98	\$0.00
424	107704	Muhtari	Abdulrahman	\$615.74	\$90.76	\$706.50	\$615.74	\$0.00
425	3847	Murawski	Richard	\$1,593.10	\$234.83	\$1,827.93	\$1,593.10	\$0.00
426	3856	Murray	Mark	\$23.74	\$3.50	\$27.24	\$23.74	\$0.00
427	2018	Murray	Michael P.	\$770.33	\$113.55	\$883.88	\$770.33	\$0.00
428	107440	Nantista	Peter	\$212.28	\$31.29	\$243.57	\$212.28	\$0.00
429	3859	Nazarov	Mikael	\$2,455.84	\$362.00	\$2,817.84	\$2,736.49	(\$280.65)
430	3804	Ndichu	Simon	\$366.18	\$53.98	\$420.16	\$366.18	\$0.00
431	102656	Nedyalkov	Atanas	\$321.59	\$47.40	\$369.00	\$321.59	\$0.00
432	3530	Negashe	Legesse	\$502.82	\$74.12	\$576.93	\$838.75	(\$335.93)
433	111494	Nemeth	Zoltan	\$353.54	\$52.11	\$405.65	\$353.54	\$0.00
434	25190	Ngo	Tuan	\$1,607.52	\$236.95	\$1,844.47	\$1,607.52	\$0.00
435	3545	Nichols	Keith	\$336.29	\$49.57	\$385.86	\$336.29	\$0.00
436	3823	Nigussie	Gulilat	\$480.17	\$70.78	\$550.95	\$620.79	(\$140.62)
437	28989	Nolan	Eamonn	\$107.87	\$15.90	\$123.77	\$107.87	\$0.00
438	3639	Norberg	Christopher	\$919.23	\$135.50	\$1,054.73	\$996.85	(\$77.62)
439	3876	Norvell	Chris	\$4,691.89	\$691.60	\$5,383.49	\$4,691.89	\$0.00
440	3841	Ocampo	Leonardo	\$882.56	\$130.09	\$1,012.66	\$967.99	(\$85.43)
441	30295	Ogbazghi	Dawit	\$489.50	\$72.15	\$561.65	\$1,075.06	(\$585.56)
442	109172	O'Grady	Francis	\$404.46	\$59.62	\$464.08	\$404.46	\$0.00
443	3836	Ohlson	Ryan	\$752.25	\$110.89	\$863.14	\$924.94	(\$172.69)
444	3753	Olen	Virginia	\$2,224.07	\$327.84	\$2,551.91	\$2,224.07	\$0.00
445	3748	Oliveros	Mario	\$671.02	\$98.91	\$769.93	\$671.02	\$0.00



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
446	3868	Olson	Eric	\$514.53	\$75.84	\$590.38	\$514.53	\$0.00
447	3644	Ontura	Tesfalem	\$259.20	\$38.21	\$297.41	\$259.20	\$0.00
448	3934	Orr	Mark	\$147.62	\$21.76	\$169.38	\$147.62	\$0.00
449	104938	Ortega	Paul	\$47.24	\$6.96	\$54.20	\$47.24	\$0.00
450	3863	Ortega	Saul	\$439.49	\$64.78	\$504.27	\$439.49	\$0.00
451	3894	O'Shea	Kevin	\$163.81	\$24.15	\$187.96	\$163.81	\$0.00
452	25832	Osterman	Victor	\$209.00	\$30.81	\$239.81	\$683.24	(\$474.24)
453	3783	Overson	Michael	\$636.00	\$93.75	\$729.74	\$636.00	\$0.00
454	3789	Oyebade	Vincent	\$116.31	\$17.14	\$133.45	\$116.31	\$0.00
455	3717	Ozgulgec	Tunc	\$1,477.21	\$217.75	\$1,694.95	\$1,626.46	(\$149.25)
456	3618	Pak	Kon	\$374.87	\$55.26	\$430.13	\$374.87	\$0.00
457	106025	Paone	Chris	\$1,093.84	\$161.24	\$1,255.08	\$1,093.84	\$0.00
458	3597	Pariso	David	\$4,792.27	\$706.40	\$5,498.67	\$5,508.79	(\$716.52)
459	109637	Park	Danny	\$38.85	\$5.73	\$44.58	\$38.85	\$0.00
460	16676	Parker	Gary	\$1,387.79	\$204.57	\$1,592.35	\$1,387.79	\$0.00
461	3750	Parker	Shawnette	\$481.18	\$70.93	\$552.10	\$713.53	(\$232.35)
462	3884	Parmenter	William	\$1,713.94	\$252.64	\$1,966.58	\$1,713.94	\$0.00
463	3659	Paros	Nicholas	\$14.71	\$2.17	\$16.88	\$14.71	\$0.00
464	19858	Passera	Charles	\$65.93	\$9.72	\$75.64	\$65.93	\$0.00
465	3624	Patry	Michael	\$2,186.37	\$322.28	\$2,508.64	\$2,583.67	(\$397.30)
466	3932	Patton	Dorothy	\$43.03	\$6.34	\$49.37	\$43.03	\$0.00
467	112811	Peace	Kimberly	\$241.57	\$35.61	\$277.18	\$241.57	\$0.00
468	29536	Peacock	Paula	\$118.57	\$17.48	\$136.04	\$118.57	\$0.00
469	3806	Pearson	Jon	\$988.94	\$145.77	\$1,134.71	\$1,150.94	(\$162.00)
470	31112	Peer	Yuda	\$82.53	\$12.16	\$94.69	\$82.53	\$0.00
471	3396	Penera	Eric	\$124.81	\$18.40	\$143.21	\$279.36	(\$154.55)
472	3834	Perrotti	Dominic	\$343.23	\$50.59	\$393.82	\$421.61	(\$78.38)
473	111257	Petculescu	Ciprian	\$28.97	\$4.27	\$33.24	\$28.97	\$0.00
474	15968	Peterson	Kenneth	\$732.68	\$108.00	\$840.68	\$732.68	\$0.00
475	1076	Peterson	Steven	\$3,201.15	\$471.86	\$3,673.01	\$3,201.15	\$0.00
476	3736	Petrie	Theodore	\$49.32	\$7.27	\$56.59	\$49.32	\$0.00
477	3740	Petrossian	Robert	\$678.86	\$100.07	\$778.92	\$678.86	\$0.00
478	106089	Phillips	Larry	\$881.80	\$129.98	\$1,011.78	\$881.80	\$0.00
479	3281	Phonesavanh	Paul	\$742.40	\$109.43	\$851.84	\$742.40	\$0.00
480	3523	Pilkington	Margaret	\$1,706.19	\$251.50	\$1,957.69	\$2,529.94	(\$823.75)
481	107617	Pineda	Carlos	\$2,994.17	\$441.35	\$3,435.52	\$2,994.17	\$0.00
482	2826	Pitts	Amir	\$649.35	\$95.72	\$745.07	\$884.48	(\$235.13)
483	2407	Platania	John	\$556.69	\$82.06	\$638.75	\$1,038.00	(\$481.31)
484	3265	Pletz	David	\$2,188.91	\$322.65	\$2,511.56	\$3,207.86	(\$1,018.95)
485	3647	Pohl	Daniel	\$186.19	\$27.45	\$213.64	\$186.19	\$0.00
486	26679	Polchinski	Paul	\$111.37	\$16.42	\$127.78	\$111.37	\$0.00
487	31149	Pony	David	\$51.52	\$7.59	\$59.11	\$51.52	\$0.00
488	3563	Portillo	Mario	\$593.50	\$87.48	\$680.98	\$593.50	\$0.00
489	3201	Presnall	Darryl	\$379.09	\$55.88	\$434.97	\$508.92	(\$129.83)
490	3800	Price	Allen	\$630.95	\$93.00	\$723.95	\$630.95	\$0.00
491	2568	Price	James	\$1,491.52	\$219.86	\$1,711.38	\$2,971.90	(\$1,480.38)
492	3449	Prifti	Ilia	\$418.70	\$61.72	\$480.42	\$418.70	\$0.00
493	26363	Punzalan	Luciano	\$236.08	\$34.80	\$270.87	\$236.08	\$0.00
494	3687	Purdue	Robert	\$210.21	\$30.99	\$241.20	\$312.22	(\$102.01)
495	3556	Pyles	Joseph	\$682.49	\$100.60	\$783.09	\$682.49	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
496	107548	Rainey	James	\$219.28	\$32.32	\$251.60	\$219.28	\$0.00
497	3883	Ramirez	Erney	\$760.59	\$112.11	\$872.70	\$760.59	\$0.00
498	3525	Rasheed	Willie	\$4,016.07	\$591.98	\$4,608.05	\$4,016.07	\$0.00
499	3812	Ray	William	\$12.61	\$1.86	\$14.47	\$12.61	\$0.00
500	108758	Regans	Mark	\$379.98	\$56.01	\$435.99	\$379.98	\$0.00
501	2237	Relopez	Craig	\$1,606.09	\$236.74	\$1,842.84	\$2,373.26	(\$767.17)
502	3544	Reno	Michael	\$3,828.40	\$564.32	\$4,392.72	\$3,828.40	\$0.00
503	14261	Riipi	Karl	\$126.47	\$18.64	\$145.11	\$126.47	\$0.00
504	109502	Rios-Lopez	Oscar	\$189.76	\$27.97	\$217.73	\$189.76	\$0.00
505	107701	Risby	Clifford	\$1,060.42	\$156.31	\$1,216.73	\$1,060.42	\$0.00
506	111756	Risco	Pedro	\$554.56	\$81.74	\$636.30	\$554.56	\$0.00
507	3191	Rivas	Victor	\$1,260.33	\$185.78	\$1,446.11	\$1,260.33	\$0.00
508	104109	Rivero-Vera	Raul	\$288.88	\$42.58	\$331.46	\$288.88	\$0.00
509	101317	Rivers	Willie	\$642.53	\$94.71	\$737.24	\$642.53	\$0.00
510	3575	Roach	Jayson	\$665.36	\$98.08	\$763.44	\$665.36	\$0.00
511	3305	Roberson	Ronnie	\$101.24	\$14.92	\$116.16	\$101.24	\$0.00
512	2842	Roberts	James	\$765.95	\$112.90	\$878.85	\$765.95	\$0.00
513	104171	Robinson	Mikalani	\$398.94	\$58.81	\$457.75	\$398.94	\$0.00
514	3629	Robles	Mark	\$49.78	\$7.34	\$57.11	\$49.78	\$0.00
515	3744	Rockett Jr.	Roosevelt	\$81.28	\$11.98	\$93.26	\$81.28	\$0.00
516	31847	Rodriguez	Armando	\$30.79	\$4.54	\$35.33	\$30.79	\$0.00
517	3814	Rohlas	Polly	\$2,985.34	\$440.05	\$3,425.39	\$3,615.12	(\$629.78)
518	3874	Romano	Anthony	\$1,169.52	\$172.39	\$1,341.91	\$1,306.60	(\$137.08)
519	3587	Romero	Ruben	\$687.24	\$101.30	\$788.54	\$687.24	\$0.00
520	3225	Ross	Larry	\$74.22	\$10.94	\$85.15	\$74.22	\$0.00
521	108742	Ross	Lee	\$174.37	\$25.70	\$200.07	\$174.37	\$0.00
522	3850	Rothenberg	Edward	\$239.11	\$35.25	\$274.36	\$239.11	\$0.00
523	3504	Rotich	Emertha	\$1,336.67	\$197.03	\$1,533.69	\$1,336.67	\$0.00
524	3912	Rousseau	James	\$657.44	\$96.91	\$754.35	\$657.44	\$0.00
525	3693	Ruby	Melissa	\$265.99	\$39.21	\$305.20	\$265.99	\$0.00
526	3477	Ruiz	Travis	\$586.19	\$86.41	\$672.60	\$586.19	\$0.00
527	3875	Russell	Darrell	\$657.42	\$96.91	\$754.33	\$657.42	\$0.00
528	3944	Sadler	James	\$82.91	\$12.22	\$95.13	\$82.91	\$0.00
529	3323	Saevitz	Neil	\$278.09	\$40.99	\$319.08	\$278.09	\$0.00
530	3169	Salameh	George	\$1,081.12	\$159.36	\$1,240.48	\$1,641.37	(\$560.25)
531	3042	Saleh	Jemal	\$4,948.30	\$729.40	\$5,677.69	\$4,948.30	\$0.00
532	103096	Sam	Phea	\$625.84	\$92.25	\$718.09	\$625.84	\$0.00
533	21811	Sameli	Sabino	\$921.22	\$135.79	\$1,057.01	\$921.22	\$0.00
534	100128	Sampson	James	\$644.31	\$94.97	\$739.28	\$644.31	\$0.00
535	109349	Sanchez-Ramos	Natasha	\$288.44	\$42.52	\$330.96	\$288.44	\$0.00
536	3570	Sanders	Acy	\$737.61	\$108.73	\$846.33	\$737.61	\$0.00
537	29769	Sans	Thomas	\$769.01	\$113.35	\$882.36	\$769.01	\$0.00
538	3915	Sapienza	Gino	\$261.74	\$38.58	\$300.32	\$261.74	\$0.00
539	3648	Saravanos	John	\$5,143.32	\$758.15	\$5,901.46	\$5,143.32	\$0.00
540	26687	Sargeant	Michael	\$164.64	\$24.27	\$188.91	\$164.64	\$0.00
541	105273	Sayed	Jamil	\$645.44	\$95.14	\$740.58	\$904.94	(\$259.50)
542	106913	Schraeder	Scott	\$569.96	\$84.01	\$653.98	\$569.96	\$0.00
543	25981	Schroeder	William	\$2,110.35	\$311.07	\$2,421.42	\$2,110.35	\$0.00
544	29172	Schwartz	George	\$601.41	\$88.65	\$690.06	\$601.41	\$0.00
545	3313	Schwartz	Steven	\$2,316.43	\$341.45	\$2,657.88	\$2,316.43	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
546	109028	Secondo	Muridi	\$391.43	\$57.70	\$449.12	\$391.43	\$0.00
547	3536	Sedgwick	Anthony	\$129.38	\$19.07	\$148.45	\$129.38	\$0.00
548	3134	Serio	John	\$766.46	\$112.98	\$879.43	\$1,119.04	(\$352.58)
549	3057	Serrano	Hector	\$1,692.22	\$249.44	\$1,941.65	\$2,188.03	(\$495.81)
550	3359	Sevillet	Otto	\$136.93	\$20.18	\$157.11	\$390.65	(\$253.72)
551	3879	Sexner	Alexis	\$955.88	\$140.90	\$1,096.77	\$1,075.72	(\$119.84)
552	19451	Shafiei	Abdolreza	\$552.17	\$81.39	\$633.56	\$552.17	\$0.00
553	2899	Shallufa	Azmy	\$5,575.23	\$821.81	\$6,397.04	\$6,060.24	(\$485.01)
554	3619	Shein	Efraim	\$304.28	\$44.85	\$349.13	\$304.28	\$0.00
555	103821	Sherman	Jason	\$214.72	\$31.65	\$246.37	\$214.72	\$0.00
556	3724	Shinn	Kevin	\$463.14	\$68.27	\$531.41	\$463.14	\$0.00
557	3790	Shoyombo	Rilwan	\$1,426.49	\$210.27	\$1,636.76	\$1,833.70	(\$407.21)
558	3803	Siasat	Manuel	\$32.38	\$4.77	\$37.15	\$32.38	\$0.00
559	112766	Sibre	Christopher	\$294.20	\$43.37	\$337.56	\$294.20	\$0.00
560	3758	Siegel	Jeffrey	\$91.32	\$13.46	\$104.78	\$91.32	\$0.00
561	105863	Siljkovic	Becir	\$1,854.68	\$273.39	\$2,128.06	\$2,017.09	(\$162.41)
562	23388	Simmons	John	\$202.71	\$29.88	\$232.59	\$1,215.13	(\$1,012.42)
563	3524	Sinay	Abraham	\$234.31	\$34.54	\$268.85	\$234.31	\$0.00
564	3677	Singh	Baldev	\$180.81	\$26.65	\$207.47	\$180.81	\$0.00
565	3683	Sitotaw	Haileab	\$118.59	\$17.48	\$136.06	\$118.59	\$0.00
566	2630	Smale	Charles	\$935.99	\$137.97	\$1,073.96	\$935.99	\$0.00
567	3870	Smith	Jepthy	\$284.41	\$41.92	\$326.33	\$484.69	(\$200.28)
568	3041	Smith	Lottie	\$3,051.10	\$449.74	\$3,500.84	\$3,051.10	\$0.00
569	3610	Smith Jr.	Willie	\$1,287.44	\$189.77	\$1,477.21	\$2,123.86	(\$836.42)
570	2667	Solares	John	\$453.45	\$66.84	\$520.29	\$453.45	\$0.00
571	3643	Solis	Brigido	\$174.25	\$25.69	\$199.94	\$174.25	\$0.00
572	22804	Solymar	Istvan	\$303.84	\$44.79	\$348.63	\$303.84	\$0.00
573	3854	Soree	Mladen	\$1,445.54	\$213.08	\$1,658.62	\$1,445.54	\$0.00
574	105304	Sorkin	Jack	\$336.28	\$49.57	\$385.85	\$336.28	\$0.00
575	3770	Sorrosa	Juan	\$1,888.94	\$278.44	\$2,167.38	\$2,214.82	(\$325.88)
576	2638	Soto	Jacob	\$118.06	\$17.40	\$135.46	\$403.15	(\$285.09)
577	3797	Soto	Johnny	\$196.46	\$28.96	\$225.41	\$352.89	(\$156.43)
578	3727	Sparks	Cody	\$19.56	\$2.88	\$22.45	\$19.56	\$0.00
579	3845	Spaulding	Ross	\$244.25	\$36.00	\$280.25	\$244.25	\$0.00
580	3055	Spilmon	Mark	\$4,644.48	\$684.62	\$5,329.10	\$5,281.80	(\$637.32)
581	3481	Springer	Marvin	\$852.53	\$125.67	\$978.20	\$852.53	\$0.00
582	111364	Stanley	John	\$286.26	\$42.20	\$328.46	\$286.26	\$0.00
583	3821	Stauff	John	\$113.93	\$16.79	\$130.72	\$113.93	\$0.00
584	3737	Stayton	William	\$119.03	\$17.55	\$136.57	\$119.03	\$0.00
585	109013	Stearns	Thomas	\$528.37	\$77.88	\$606.25	\$528.37	\$0.00
586	3757	Steck	Gregory	\$5,829.47	\$859.29	\$6,688.75	\$6,511.90	(\$682.43)
587	3625	Stephanov	Liuben	\$219.81	\$32.40	\$252.21	\$398.92	(\$179.11)
588	3695	Stern	Robert	\$292.29	\$43.08	\$335.37	\$292.29	\$0.00
589	3165	Stevenson	John	\$1,702.39	\$250.94	\$1,953.33	\$1,702.39	\$0.00
590	3872	Stockton	Clarence	\$1,336.84	\$197.06	\$1,533.89	\$1,336.84	\$0.00
591	3713	Stonebreaker	Dawn	\$1,992.26	\$293.67	\$2,285.92	\$2,489.85	(\$497.59)
592	102400	Talley	George	\$301.76	\$44.48	\$346.24	\$301.76	\$0.00
593	112063	Tapia-Vergara	Agustin	\$587.64	\$86.62	\$674.26	\$587.64	\$0.00
594	3338	Tarragano	Stephen	\$675.03	\$99.50	\$774.54	\$675.03	\$0.00
595	111807	Taylor	Brent	\$632.29	\$93.20	\$725.49	\$632.29	\$0.00




	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
596	109745	Taylor	David	\$324.21	\$47.79	\$372.00	\$324.21	\$0.00
597	31977	Taylor	Marvin	\$714.56	\$105.33	\$819.89	\$714.56	\$0.00
598	3728	Tedros	Biserat	\$405.38	\$59.75	\$465.13	\$588.25	(\$182.87)
599	3720	Terry	James	\$937.23	\$138.15	\$1,075.38	\$937.23	\$0.00
600	31400	Thomas	Cator	\$427.93	\$63.08	\$491.01	\$427.93	\$0.00
601	104732	Thomas	Hasan	\$247.81	\$36.53	\$284.34	\$247.81	\$0.00
602	3726	Thomas	Scott	\$2,673.14	\$394.03	\$3,067.17	\$2,673.14	\$0.00
603	3867	Thompson	Glen	\$2,921.34	\$430.62	\$3,351.95	\$2,921.34	\$0.00
604	27963	Thompson	Michael	\$6,744.25	\$994.13	\$7,738.38	\$7,044.25	(\$300.00)
605	29040	Timko	Robert	\$224.07	\$33.03	\$257.09	\$224.07	\$0.00
606	110796	Toka	Tamas	\$445.88	\$65.72	\$511.60	\$445.88	\$0.00
607	22120	Travis	Brian	\$753.92	\$111.13	\$865.05	\$1,472.90	(\$718.98)
608	104747	Trumpf	Robert	\$211.10	\$31.12	\$242.22	\$211.10	\$0.00
609	103413	Tsegaye	Miheret	\$51.23	\$7.55	\$58.78	\$51.23	\$0.00
610	3207	Tucker	Kenlon	\$2,786.14	\$410.69	\$3,196.83	\$2,786.14	\$0.00
611	3679	Tullao	Isaac	\$411.83	\$60.71	\$472.54	\$411.83	\$0.00
612	3880	Turner	Michael	\$39.72	\$5.86	\$45.58	\$39.72	\$0.00
613	3686	Tyler	Christopher	\$267.85	\$39.48	\$307.33	\$267.85	\$0.00
614	110836	Uba	Chima	\$201.50	\$29.70	\$231.20	\$201.50	\$0.00
615	3612	Ullah	Mohammad	\$90.03	\$13.27	\$103.30	\$90.03	\$0.00
616	3073	Urban	David	\$102.49	\$15.11	\$117.60	\$102.49	\$0.00
617	3792	Urbanski	Anthony	\$1,411.23	\$208.02	\$1,619.25	\$1,411.23	\$0.00
618	3668	Valdes	Lazaro	\$162.21	\$23.91	\$186.12	\$162.21	\$0.00
619	3640	Vanluven	RJ	\$1,726.16	\$254.44	\$1,980.60	\$1,726.16	\$0.00
620	3710	Vences	Alfredo	\$839.90	\$123.81	\$963.71	\$839.90	\$0.00
621	3721	Viado	Ramon	\$2,051.73	\$302.43	\$2,354.16	\$2,369.87	(\$318.14)
622	3682	VonEngel	Stephen	\$29.89	\$4.41	\$34.30	\$29.89	\$0.00
623	3796	Vongthep	Christopher	\$2,710.64	\$399.56	\$3,110.20	\$2,710.64	\$0.00
624	109475	Vonkageler	Mark	\$130.27	\$19.20	\$149.48	\$130.27	\$0.00
625	3842	Wagg	John	\$221.46	\$32.64	\$254.10	\$221.46	\$0.00
626	3776	Wakeel	Daud	\$679.94	\$100.23	\$780.16	\$679.94	\$0.00
627	28448	Walker	Arthur	\$114.57	\$16.89	\$131.46	\$114.57	\$0.00
628	3820	Wallace	Roy	\$3,681.35	\$542.65	\$4,224.00	\$3,681.35	\$0.00
629	3766	Warner	Terrance	\$1,694.50	\$249.78	\$1,944.27	\$2,356.86	(\$662.36)
630	3496	Weaver	Gerie	\$3,791.56	\$558.89	\$4,350.45	\$5,428.88	(\$1,637.32)
631	3826	Webb	Ricky	\$624.58	\$92.07	\$716.64	\$923.04	(\$298.46)
632	109066	Webster	Brock	\$254.41	\$37.50	\$291.91	\$254.41	\$0.00
633	3578	Weiss	Matthew	\$60.25	\$8.88	\$69.13	\$60.25	\$0.00
634	2785	Welborn	Paul	\$849.94	\$125.28	\$975.22	\$972.84	(\$122.90)
635	3632	Weldu	Berhane	\$266.45	\$39.28	\$305.73	\$266.45	\$0.00
636	3616	Welzbacher	Daniel	\$2,367.50	\$348.98	\$2,716.47	\$2,789.72	(\$422.22)
637	111878	White II	Prinest	\$153.22	\$22.59	\$175.81	\$153.22	\$0.00
638	3611	Williams	Danny	\$273.88	\$40.37	\$314.25	\$273.88	\$0.00
639	3608	Wilson Jr.	Mose	\$3,332.43	\$491.21	\$3,823.64	\$3,332.43	\$0.00
640	3947	Wing	Roland	\$81.95	\$12.08	\$94.04	\$81.95	\$0.00
641	107624	Witte	Daniel	\$228.39	\$33.67	\$262.05	\$228.39	\$0.00
642	3623	Wolde	Hailemariam	\$385.93	\$56.89	\$442.81	\$385.93	\$0.00
643	3603	Woldeghebriel	Berhane	\$1,037.22	\$152.89	\$1,190.11	\$1,037.22	\$0.00
644	110866	Wolfe	Thomas	\$726.91	\$107.15	\$834.06	\$726.91	\$0.00
645	3840	Wondired	Eshetu	\$423.24	\$62.39	\$485.63	\$423.24	\$0.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
646	3910	Wong	Jorge	\$2,325.07	\$342.72	\$2,667.79	\$2,325.07	\$0.00
647	28160	Wong	Wanjin	\$1,115.61	\$164.45	\$1,280.06	\$1,115.61	\$0.00
648	3706	Woodall	Charles	\$610.19	\$89.94	\$700.13	\$610.19	\$0.00
649	3582	Workneh	Abent	\$36.29	\$5.35	\$41.63	\$36.29	\$0.00
650	3573	Worku	Abiye	\$253.73	\$37.40	\$291.13	\$253.73	\$0.00
651	108239	Wright	Edward	\$744.31	\$109.71	\$854.02	\$744.31	\$0.00
652	3092	Yabut	Gerry	\$3,163.13	\$466.26	\$3,629.39	\$3,284.17	(\$121.04)
653	108389	Yamaguchi	Alicia	\$3,089.15	\$455.35	\$3,544.50	\$3,089.15	\$0.00
654	3852	Yepiz-Patron	Ubaldo	\$18.78	\$2.77	\$21.54	\$18.78	\$0.00
655	3472	Yesayan	Razmik	\$23.30	\$3.43	\$26.73	\$23.30	\$0.00
656	3691	Yihdego	Abdulkadir	\$642.61	\$94.72	\$737.33	\$642.61	\$0.00
657	3633	Yimer	Yidersal	\$643.72	\$94.89	\$738.61	\$643.72	\$0.00
658	2081	Younes	Ahmed	\$228.31	\$33.65	\$261.96	\$228.31	\$0.00
659	17259	Yurckonis	Hilbert	\$2,395.57	\$353.12	\$2,748.69	\$2,395.57	\$0.00
660	3824	Zabadneh	Randa	\$167.13	\$24.64	\$191.77	\$167.13	\$0.00
661	30374	Zafar	John	\$605.99	\$89.33	\$695.32	\$605.99	\$0.00
662	2273	Zawoudie	Masfen	\$1,254.40	\$184.90	\$1,439.30	\$1,254.40	\$0.00
663	17936	Zekichev	Nick	\$324.17	\$47.78	\$371.95	\$324.17	\$0.00
664	3235	Zelege	Abraham	\$412.94	\$60.87	\$473.81	\$1,003.66	(\$590.72)

# EXHIBIT G

Order Modifying Order of February 6, 2019,  
Granting Plaintiffs an Award of Attorneys' Fees  
and Costs, filed November 17, 2022, notice of  
entry served November 18, 2022

EXHIBIT G



1 **NOEO**

2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
8 Gabroy Law Offices  
9 170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
10 Henderson Nevada 89012  
11 Tel (702) 259-7777  
12 Fax (702) 259-7704  
13 [christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL )  
14 RENO, Individually and on behalf of )  
15 others similarly situated, )

16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, and A )  
19 CAB, LLC, )

20 Defendants. )

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

21  
22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court entered the attached Order on  
23 November 17, 2022.

24 Dated: November 17, 2022

25 LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.

26 /s/ *Leon Greenberg*

27 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
28 Nevada Bar No. 8094  
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 383-6085  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on November 18, 2022, she served the within:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

by court electronic service to:  
TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

JAY A. SHAFER, ESQ.  
CORY READE DOWS AND SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

*/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

**ORDR**

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)

CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
Gabroy Law Offices  
170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
Henderson Nevada 89012  
Tel (702) 259-7777  
Fax (702) 259-7704  
[christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

MICHAEL MURRAY, and  
MICHAEL RENO, Individually and  
on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A  
CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J.  
NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

**ORDER MODIFYING  
ORDER OF FEBRUARY 6, 2019,  
GRANTING PLAINTIFFS AN AWARD  
OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS**

1           On December 30, 2021, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an opinion  
2 affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding this case to the Eighth Judicial  
3 District Court for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's opinion.  
4 On February 16, 2022, Plaintiffs Michael Murray and Michael Reno, individually and  
5 on behalf of others similarly situated, filed a motion for entry of a modified award of  
6 pre-judgment attorney's fees as provided for by the Supreme Court's remittitur. On  
7 February 23, 2022, Plaintiffs filed an errata to the motion. On March 3, 2022,  
8 Defendants filed an opposition to the motion. On August 12, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a  
9 reply in support of the motion. Both parties filed supplemental briefs on September  
10 30, 2022, wherein the Court afforded them a chance to further address the issues  
11 raised by the plaintiffs' motion. After due and proper deliberation, review of the  
12 arguments set forth in each of the parties' foregoing briefs and by their counsel, the  
13 Court entered an Order on November 11, 2022, granting that motion and directing the  
14 plaintiffs submit, after consultation with the defendants, a proposed order consistent  
15 with the findings made by the Court in its Order of November 11, 2022, granting such  
16 motion, and the Court hereby finds:  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22           IT IS ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion for entry of a modified award of pre-  
23 judgment attorney's fees, as provided for by the Supreme Court's remittitur, is  
24 granted, and consistent with the findings made by this Court in its Order of November  
25 11, 2022, in connection with such motion that award is reduced by \$26,800 from its  
26  
27  
28

1 prior amount of \$568,071. Accordingly, the portion of the Court's Order of February  
2 6, 2019, awarding \$568,071 in attorney's fees to plaintiffs' counsel is modified and  
3 that award shall now be \$541,271; and it is further  
4

5 ORDERED, that such award of \$541,271 in attorney's fees shall accrue interest  
6 from August 21, 2018, the date of entry of the final judgment in favor of the plaintiffs;  
7 and it is further  
8

9 ORDERED, that the portion of the Court's Order of February 6, 2019, awarding  
10 \$46,528.07 in costs to plaintiffs is still subject to modification consistent with the  
11 Nevada Supreme Court's remittitur and plaintiffs are granted leave to seek  
12 modification of the same by a properly filed motion.  
13

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022.

16  
17 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022

18 

19 Hon. Maria Gall  
20 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
FEA BD1 A2D3 74C8  
Maria Gall  
District Court Judge

21 Submitted by:

22 By: /s/ Leon Greenberg  
23 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
24 LEON GREENBERG PROF. CORP.  
25 2965 S. Jones Blvd. Ste. E-3  
26 Las Vegas, NV 89146  
27 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
28



1 Approved as to form and content:

2 Not Approved


3 By: \_\_\_\_\_

4 Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
5 RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
6 10161 Park Run Drive. Ste. 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145  
Attorney for Defendants

# EXHIBIT H

Order Denying Defendants' Motion for  
Sanctions, filed November 17, 2022, notice of  
entry served November 17, 2022

EXHIBIT H



1 **NOEO**

2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
8 Gabroy Law Offices  
9 170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
10 Henderson Nevada 89012  
11 Tel (702) 259-7777  
12 Fax (702) 259-7704  
13 [christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL )  
14 RENO, Individually and on behalf of )  
15 others similarly situated, )  
16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, and A )  
19 CAB, LLC, )  
20 Defendants. )

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

21  
22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court entered the attached Order on  
23 November 17, 2022.

24 Dated: November 17, 2022

25 LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.

26 */s/ Leon Greenberg*

27 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
28 Nevada Bar No. 8094  
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 383-6085  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on November 17, 2022, she served the within:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

by court electronic service to:

TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

JAY A. SHAFER, ESQ.  
CORY READE DOWS AND SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez

1 ORDR

2 DISTRICT COURT

3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
5 RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

9 Defendants.

10 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR SANCTIONS

11 Defendants have filed three motions for Rule 11 sanctions. On June 28, 2022,  
12 Defendants filed a sanctions motion based on Plaintiff's filing of a turnover motion  
13 while this case was stayed. On June 29, 2022, Defendants filed a second sanctions  
14 motion based on Plaintiffs' filing of a motion to stay, offset, or apportion the Court's  
15 award of costs, again while this case was stayed. On July 11, 2022, Defendants filed  
16 a third sanctions motion based on Plaintiffs' motion to reconsider the Court's award  
17 of costs, again while this case was stayed.

18 If not apparent from the foregoing paragraph, this case had previously been  
19 stayed until the Nevada Supreme Court decided a pending appeal in Nevada  
20 Supreme Court Case No. 83492, referred to in the papers as *Dubric*. On September  
21 19, 2022, this Court issued an order lifting the stay given that, at that point, the  
22 *Dubric* appeal had been decided, with rehearing denied. However, the Court  
23 indicated that given the developments in this case, including in the *Dubric* matter,  
24 the parties could each file and serve one additional, omnibus brief in support of or  
25 opposed to any pending motion. The parties filed supplemental briefs on September  
26 30, 2022. Having considered the briefing on the sanctions motions, as well as the  
27 supplemental briefs and papers on file, the Court DENIES the sanctions motions  
28 consistent with the following:

1 Defendants make their sanctions motions under NRCP 11. NRCP 11(b)  
2 provides as follows:

3 By presenting to the court a pleading, written motion, or  
4 other paper—whether by signing, filing, submitting, or  
5 later advocating it—an attorney or unrepresented party  
6 certifies that to the best of the person’s knowledge,  
information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable  
under the circumstances:

7 (1) it is not being presented for any improper  
purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or  
8 needlessly increase the cost of litigation;

9 (2) the claims, defenses, and other legal  
contentions are warranted by existing law or by a  
10 nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or  
reversing existing law or for establishing new law;

11 (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary  
support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have  
12 evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for  
further investigation or discovery; and

13 (4) the denials of factual contentions are warranted  
14 on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are  
reasonably based on belief or a lack of information.  
15

16 The Court’s May 3, 2022, order staying this case pending the *Dubric* appeal  
17 stays these proceedings, which means the totality of the proceedings. Accordingly,  
18 the Court agrees that Plaintiffs violated that stay order by filing the turnover motion,  
19 the motion to stay and offset, and the motion for reconsideration. That said, the  
20 Court finds the violations harmless, as Plaintiffs presumably would have filed those  
21 motions after the lifting of the stay, to which Defendants would have had to respond.  
22 In any event, the Court’s decisions on those motions (to the extent the Court has  
23 decided the motions) have not prejudiced Defendants as a result of the motions  
24 having been filed after the stay but before the lifting of the stay. Moreover, the  
25 Court finds that Plaintiffs did not file their motions frivolously or for an improper  
26 purpose, and thus for that reason alone, Rule 11 sanctions are not warranted.

27 ///

28 ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

For the foregoing reasons, the motions are DENIED.  
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 17th day of November, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_

E99 00D B597 60D5  
Maria Gall  
District Court Judge

# EXHIBIT I

Order Granting Motion to Stay, Offset, or  
Apportion Award of Costs, filed November 17,  
2022, notice of entry of order served January 11,  
2023 in US Bankruptcy Court,  
Case No. 22-01163-nmc

EXHIBIT I



LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
ZACHARIAH LARSON, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7787  
E-mail: zlarson@lzlawnv.com  
MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7222  
E-mail: mzirzow@lzlawnv.com  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170  
Fax: (702) 382-1169

Proposed Bankruptcy Counsel to  
Debtor-Defendant, A Cab, Series L.L.C.,  
f/k/a A Cab, LLC

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

A CAB, SERIES L.L.C.,

Debtor.

Case No. 22-14361-nmc  
Chapter 11

MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL RENO,  
Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

A CAB TAXI SERVICES LLC, A CAB, LLC,  
and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Adv No. 22-01163-nmc

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDERS**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the following orders (collectively, the “Orders”) were entered in the underlying civil action from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada (the “State Court”), pending as Case No. A-12-669926-C (the “State Court Action”):

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169



EXHIBIT "1"

EXHIBIT "1"

**NEOJ**

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 6473  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
702-320-8400  
[info@rodriguezlaw.com](mailto:info@rodriguezlaw.com)

Jay A. Shafer, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 9184  
CORY READE DOWS & SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128  
702-794-4411  
[jshafer@crdslaw.com](mailto:jshafer@crdslaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

**DISTRICT COURT**

**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO,  
Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC and A CAB, LLC,  
and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C  
Dept. No. IX

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**

**AMENDING THE CLASS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Amending the Class was entered by the Court on  
November 17, 2022.

...

...

...

...

1 A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

2 DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2023.

3 **RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P. C.**

4  
5 /s/ Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.

6 Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.


7 Nevada State Bar No. 006473

8 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150

9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

10 *Attorneys for Defendants*

Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Tel (702) 320-8400  
Fax (702) 320-8401



CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

## DISTRICT COURT

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

Defendants.

## ORDER AMENDING THE CLASS

On December 30, 2021, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding this case to the Eighth Judicial District Court for further proceedings consistent with the Supreme Court's opinion. The Nevada Supreme Court "affirm[ed] the district court's summary judgment", Opinion p. 20, but "reverse[d] the summary judgment as to damages for claims outside the two-year statute of limitations," Opinion p. 32, and "remand[ed] to the district court to recalculate damages based on the two-year statute of limitations," Opinion p. 20. More specifically, the Nevada Supreme Court "conclude[d] that the drivers' claims extend backwards only two years before their suit was filed." Opinion p. 14. Based on that conclusion, the Nevada Supreme Court "remand[ed] [the case] to the district court to recalculate damages for this shorter time period." Opinion p. 14.

This Court entered its class certification order on February 10, 2016, finding that "[t]he class shall consist of the claims as alleged in the Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint of all persons employed by any of the defendants as taxi drivers in the State of Nevada at any time from July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2015 . . . ." On remand, Defendants argue that the class must now be decertified pursuant to the Nevada Supreme Court opinion to exclude class members who claims fall prior to October 8, 2010. In its November 11, 2022, order granting Plaintiffs'

1 motion for entry of a modified judgment provided for by remittitur, this Court  
2 rejected Defendants' argument to decertify the class.

3 The Court continues to reject Defendants' argument for decertification. That  
4 said, upon further considering the issue of how the Nevada Supreme Court's opinion  
5 impacts the class, the Court is of the position that, out of an abundance of caution, it  
6 must amend the class to consist of the claims as alleged in the Second Amended and  
7 Supplemental Complaint of all persons employed by any of the defendants as taxi  
8 drivers in the State of Nevada at any time from October 8, 2010, through December  
9 31, 2015.

10 The Court would like to be clear. The class amendment does not decertify the  
11 class; the class as amended remains certified. The Court's order only removes from  
12 the originally constituted class any persons employed by any of the defendants as taxi  
13 drivers in the State of Nevada before October 8, 2010. Accordingly, the class does not  
14 need to be re-noticed, including because the removal of drivers from the class who are  
15 not entitled by law to judgment does not prejudice such class members.

16 To the extent this order needs to be construed as an order nunc pro tunc in  
17 connection with the February 10, 2016, class certification order and/or an order  
18 amending the Court's November 11, 2022, order granting Plaintiffs' motion for entry  
19 of a modified judgment provided for by remittitur, it shall be so construed.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022

22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_

24 3FB 759 58D6 4F07  
25 Maria Gall  
26 District Court Judge  
27  
28

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Michael Murray, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-12-669926-C
vs.	DEPT. NO. Department 9
A Cab Taxi Service LLC, Defendant(s)	

**AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 11/17/2022

"Esther Rodriguez, Esq." .	esther@rodriguezlaw.com
Assistant .	info@rodriguezlaw.com
Cindy Pittsenbarger .	cpittsenbarger@hutchlegal.com
Dana Sniegocki .	dana@overtimelaw.com
Esther Rodriguez .	esther@rodriguezlaw.com
filings .	susan8th@gmail.com
Hilary Daniels .	hdaniels@blgwins.com
Hillary Ross .	hross@blgwins.com
leon greenberg .	leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com
Leon Greenberg .	wagelaw@hotmail.com
Michael K. Wall .	mwall@hutchlegal.com



1	Susan .	susan@rodriguezlaw.com
2	Susan Dillow .	susan@rodriguezlaw.com
3	Trent Richards .	trichards@blgwins.com
4	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
5	Katie Brooks	assistant@gabroy.com
6	Katie Brooks	assistant@gabroy.com
7	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
8	Elizabeth Aronson	earonson@gabroy.com
9	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
10	Kaine Messer	kmesser@gabroy.com
11	Ali Saad	ASaad@resecon.com
12	Peter Dubowsky, Esq.	peter@dubowskylaw.com
13	Amanda Vogler-Heaton, Esq.	amanda@dubowskylaw.com
14	William Thompson	william@dubowskylaw.com
15	Kaylee Conradi	kconradi@hutchlegal.com
16	Valerie Gray	vgray@blgwins.com
17	Mercedes Ortega	mortega@blgwins.com
18	R. Reade	creade@crdslaw.com
19	Kathrine von Arx	kvonarx@crdslaw.com
20	Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez	ranni@overtimelaw.com
21	Jay Shafer	jshafer@crdslaw.com
22	Trent Compton	tcompton@blgwins.com
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail  
2 via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last  
3 known addresses on 11/18/2022

4 Esther Rodriguez Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.  
5 Attn: Esther Rodriguez, Esq.  
6 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
7 Las Vegas, NV, 89145

8 Stephen Hackett Sklar Williams PLLC  
9 Attn: Stephen Hackett  
10 410 South Rampart Blvd. - Suite 350  
11 Las Vegas, NV, 89145

12 Steven Parsons 10091 Park Run DR STE 200  
13 Las Vegas, NV, 89145  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

EXHIBIT “2”

EXHIBIT “2”

**NEOJ**

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 6473  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
702-320-8400  
[info@rodriguezlaw.com](mailto:info@rodriguezlaw.com)

Jay A. Shafer, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 9184  
CORY READE DOWS & SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128  
702-794-4411  
[jshafer@crdslaw.com](mailto:jshafer@crdslaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

**DISTRICT COURT**

**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO,  
Individually and on behalf of others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC and A CAB, LLC,  
and CREIGHTON J. NADY,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C  
Dept. No. IX

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY,  
OFFSET, OR APPORTION AWARD OF COSTS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Granting Motion to Stay, Offset, or Apportion  
Award of Costs was entered by the Court on November 17, 2022.

...

...

...

...

1 A copy of the Order is attached hereto.

2 DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2023.

3 **RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P. C.**

4  
5 /s/ Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.

6 Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.


7 Nevada State Bar No. 006473

8 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150

9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

10 *Attorneys for Defendants*

Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Tel (702) 320-8400  
Fax (702) 320-8401

  
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 ORDR

## 2 DISTRICT COURT

## 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
5 RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

9 Defendants.

10 ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY, OFFSET, OR APPORTION AWARD OF  
11 COSTS

12 On May 31, 2022, Plaintiffs Michael Murray and Michael Reno, individually  
13 and on behalf of others similarly situated, filed a motion to stay, offset, or apportion  
14 the Court's award of certain appellate costs to Defendants and/or to reconsider the  
15 award. On June 14, 2022, Defendants filed an opposition to the motion. On July 1,  
16 2022, Plaintiffs filed a reply in support of the motion. The motion was scheduled for  
17 an in-chambers hearing on July 11, 2022. The Court continued its decision on the  
18 motion, including because this case had previously been stayed until the Nevada  
19 Supreme Court decided a pending appeal in Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 83492,  
20 referred to in the papers as *Dubric*. On September 19, 2022, this Court issued an  
21 order lifting the stay given that, at that point, the *Dubric* appeal had been decided,  
22 with rehearing denied. However, the Court indicated that given the developments in  
23 this case, including in the *Dubric* matter, the parties could each file and serve one  
24 additional, omnibus brief in support of or opposed to any pending motion. The parties  
25 filed supplemental briefs on September 30, 2022. Having considered the briefing on  
26 the offset motion, as well as the supplemental briefs and all pleadings and papers on  
27 file, the Court GRANTS the motion consistent with the following:  
28

1 On May 17, 2022, this Court entered an order awarding Defendants their costs  
2 in connection with the appeal of the final judgment order. Plaintiffs ask the Court to  
3 offset or apportion that costs award against the judgment in the Plaintiffs' favor. In  
4 *Aviation Ventures, Inc. v. Joan Morris, Inc.*, the Nevada Supreme Court held that all  
5 that is required for setoff is for each party to have a valid and enforceable debt  
6 against the other party. 121 Nev. 113, 121, 110 P.3d 59, 64 (2005). That exists here.  
7 Accordingly, the request for setoff is GRANTED. The costs award shall be offset  
8 against the total class judgment of \$685,886.60, with the reduction apportioned pro  
9 rata amongst the class members. Plaintiffs shall submit a modified judgment for the  
10 Court, to include an explanation of their calculations.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12  
13 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022

14   
15

16 189 3AC 72EC 9281  
17 Maria Gall  
18 District Court Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Michael Murray, Plaintiff(s)

CASE NO: A-12-669926-C

vs.

DEPT. NO. Department 9

A Cab Taxi Service LLC,  
Defendant(s)

**AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 11/17/2022

"Esther Rodriguez, Esq." .

esther@rodriguezlaw.com

Assistant .

info@rodriguezlaw.com

Cindy Pittsenbarger .

cpittsenbarger@hutchlegal.com

Dana Sniegocki .

dana@overtimelaw.com

Esther Rodriguez .

esther@rodriguezlaw.com

filings .

susan8th@gmail.com

Hilary Daniels .

hdaniels@blgwins.com

Hillary Ross .

hross@blgwins.com

leon greenberg .

leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com

Leon Greenberg .

wagelaw@hotmail.com

Michael K. Wall .

mwall@hutchlegal.com



1	Susan .	susan@rodriguezlaw.com
2	Susan Dillow .	susan@rodriguezlaw.com
3	Trent Richards .	trichards@blgwins.com
4	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
5	Katie Brooks	assistant@gabroy.com
6	Katie Brooks	assistant@gabroy.com
7	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
8	Elizabeth Aronson	earonson@gabroy.com
9	Christian Gabroy	christian@gabroy.com
10	Kaine Messer	kmesser@gabroy.com
11	Ali Saad	ASaad@resecon.com
12	Peter Dubowsky, Esq.	peter@dubowskylaw.com
13	Amanda Vogler-Heaton, Esq.	amanda@dubowskylaw.com
14	William Thompson	william@dubowskylaw.com
15	Kaylee Conradi	kconradi@hutchlegal.com
16	Valerie Gray	vgray@blgwins.com
17	Mercedes Ortega	mortega@blgwins.com
18	R. Reade	creade@crdslaw.com
19	Kathrine von Arx	kvonarx@crdslaw.com
20	Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez	ranni@overtimelaw.com
21	Jay Shafer	jshafer@crdslaw.com
22	Trent Compton	tcompton@blgwins.com
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail  
2 via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last  
3 known addresses on 11/18/2022

4 Esther Rodriguez Rodriguez Law Offices, P.C.  
5 Attn: Esther Rodriguez, Esq.  
6 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
7 Las Vegas, NV, 89145

8 Stephen Hackett Sklar Williams PLLC  
9 Attn: Stephen Hackett  
10 410 South Rampart Blvd. - Suite 350  
11 Las Vegas, NV, 89145

12 Steven Parsons 10091 Park Run DR STE 200  
13 Las Vegas, NV, 89145  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
ZACHARIAH LARSON, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7787  
E-mail: zlarson@lzlawnv.com  
MATTHEW C. ZIRZOW, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7222  
E-mail: mzirzow@lzlawnv.com  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170  
Fax: (702) 382-1169

Proposed Bankruptcy Counsel to  
Debtor-Defendant, A Cab, Series L.L.C.,  
f/k/a A Cab, LLC

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:	Case No. 22-14361-nmc Chapter 11
A CAB, SERIES L.L.C.,  Debtor.	
MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  A CAB TAXI SERVICES LLC, A CAB, LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY,  Defendants.	Adv No. 22-01163-nmc

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

1. On the 11th day of January, 2023, I served the following documents:

a Notice of Entry of Orders ECF No. 7

2. The above-named documents were served by the following means to the persons as listed below:

☒ **a. ECF System:**

LEON GREENBERG on behalf of Plaintiff MICHAEL MURRAY  
[wagelaw@hotmail.com](mailto:wagelaw@hotmail.com), [wagelaw@hotmail.com](mailto:wagelaw@hotmail.com)

LEON GREENBERG on behalf of Plaintiff MICHAEL RENO  
[wagelaw@hotmail.com](mailto:wagelaw@hotmail.com), [wagelaw@hotmail.com](mailto:wagelaw@hotmail.com)

☒ **b. United States mail, postage fully prepaid:**

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ.  
RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ.  
1811 South Rainbow Boulevard  
Las Vegas, NV 89146

CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ.  
Gabroy Law Offices  
170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
Henderson Nevada 89012

☐ **c. Personal Service:**

I personally delivered the document(s) to the persons at these addresses:

- ☐ For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made by handing the document(s) at the attorney's office with a clerk or other person in charge, or if no one is in charge by leaving the document(s) in a conspicuous place in the office.
- ☐ For a party, delivery was made by handing the document(s) to the party or by leaving the document(s) at the person's dwelling house or usual place of abode with someone of suitable age and discretion residing there.

☐ **d. By direct email (as opposed to through the ECF System):**

Based upon the written agreement of the parties to accept service by email or a court order, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the persons at the email addresses listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

☐ **e. By fax transmission:**

Based upon the written agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission or a court order, I faxed the document(s) to the persons at the fax numbers listed below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of the fax transmission is attached.

☐ **f. By messenger:**

I served the document(s) by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below and providing them to a messenger for service.

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: January 11, 2023.

Carey Shurtliff  
(Name of Declarant)


/s/ Carey Shurtliff  
(Signature of Declarant)

LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC  
850 E. Bonneville Ave.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Tel: (702) 382-1170 Fax: (702) 382-1169

# EXHIBIT J

Order Denying Defendants' Motion for Costs  
filed November 17, 2022, notice of entry served  
November 17, 2022

EXHIBIT J



1 **NOEO**

2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 RUTHANN DEVEREAUX-GONZALEZ, ESQ., SBN 15904  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E3  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
(702) 383-6085  
(702) 385-1827(fax)  
[leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com](mailto:leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com)  
[Ranni@overtimelaw.com](mailto:Ranni@overtimelaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 CHRISTIAN GABROY, ESQ., SBN 8805  
8 Gabroy Law Offices  
9 170 S. Green Valley Parkway - Suite 280  
10 Henderson Nevada 89012  
11 Tel (702) 259-7777  
12 Fax (702) 259-7704  
13 [christian@gabroy.com](mailto:christian@gabroy.com)  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

13 MICHAEL MURRAY, and MICHAEL )  
14 RENO, Individually and on behalf of )  
15 others similarly situated, )

16 Plaintiffs, )

17 vs. )

18 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, and A )  
19 CAB, LLC, )

20 Defendants. )

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: IX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

21  
22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Court entered the attached Order on  
23 November 17, 2022.

24 Dated: November 17, 2022

25 LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORP.

26 */s/ Leon Greenberg*

27 Leon Greenberg, Esq.  
28 Nevada Bar No. 8094  
2965 S. Jones Boulevard - Ste. E-3  
Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 383-6085  
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on November 17, 2022, she served the within:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

by court electronic service to:  
TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

JAY A. SHAFER, ESQ.  
CORY READE DOWS AND SHAFER  
1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210  
Las Vegas, NV 89128

*/s/ Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ruthann Devereaux-Gonzalez



1 ORDR

2 DISTRICT COURT

3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
5 RENO, individually and behalf of others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept. No. IX

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 A CAB TAXI SERVICE, LLC, et al.

9 Defendants.

10 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR COSTS

11 On October 24, 2022, Defendants A Cab, LLC, A Cab Series, LLC, and  
12 Creighton J. Nady filed a motion pursuant to NRAP 39 and NRS 18.060 for their  
13 costs incurred in defending a writ petition filed by Plaintiffs in connection with this  
14 matter. On November 4, 2022, Plaintiffs Michael Murray and Michael Reno,  
15 individually and behalf of others similarly situated, filed an opposition to the motion.  
16 On November 7, 2022, Defendants filed a reply in support of the motion. Having  
17 considered the briefs and all pleadings and papers on file the Court DENIES the  
18 motion consistent with the following:

19 As an initial matter, the Court agrees with Defendants that the NRAP 39  
20 allows the prevailing party in a writ proceeding to obtain their costs. "Appellate costs  
21 are allowable as of right in the context of the voluntary dismissal of an appeal or  
22 original writ proceeding but only as provided by NRAP 39." *Breeden v. Eighth Jud.*  
23 *Dist. Ct.*, 131 Nev. 96, 99, 343 P.3d 1242, 1244 (2015).

24 That said, the Court does not understand how Defendants could have incurred  
25 copying costs, either for the preparation and transmission of the record or the  
26 preparation of the appendix, when both were transmitted to the Nevada Supreme  
27 Court electronically. Indeed, Plaintiffs do not attach any receipts to their verified  
28 memorandum showing that the copy costs were in fact incurred. Nor do Plaintiffs

1 respond to this issue as raised in the opposition, leaving the Court to wonder what in  
2 fact was copied (on paper) and transmitted to the Nevada Supreme Court when all  
3 filings and submissions were done electronically. For these reasons, the motion is  
4 DENIED.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6  
7 Dated this 17th day of November, 2022


8   
9

10 99A FEB 3120 C7D6  
11 Maria Gall  
12 District Court Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# EXHIBIT K

Second Amended and Supplemental  
Complaint filed August 19, 2015

EXHIBIT K



CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ACOM**  
2 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094  
3 DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ., SBN 11715  
4 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
5 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E4  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
7 (702) 383-6085  
8 (702) 385-1827(fax)  
9 leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com  
10 dana@overtimelaw.com

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

12 **DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

14 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL  
15 RENO, Individually and on behalf of  
16 others similarly situated,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC, A CAB,  
20 LLC, and CREIGHTON J. NADY

21 Defendants.

Case No.: A-12-669926-C

Dept.: I

**SECOND AMENDED AND  
SUPPLEMENTAL  
COMPLAINT**

**ARBITRATION EXEMPTION  
CLAIMED BECAUSE THIS IS  
A CLASS ACTION CASE**

22 MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO, Individually and on behalf of  
23 others similarly situated, by and through their attorney, Leon Greenberg Professional  
24 Corporation, as and for a Complaint against the defendants, state and allege, as  
25 follows:

26 **JURISDICTION, PARTIES AND PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

27 1. The plaintiffs, MICHAEL MURRAY and MICHAEL RENO, (the  
28 “individual plaintiffs” or the “named plaintiffs”) are residents of the State of Nevada  
and during all relevant times were residents of Clark County, Nevada, and all plaintiffs  
are current employees of the defendants.

2. The defendants A CAB TAXI SERVICE LLC and A CAB, LLC, (hereinafter referred to as “A CAB” or “defendants” or “corporate defendants”) are limited liability companies or corporations existing and established pursuant to the laws of the State of Nevada with their principal place of business in the County of Clark, State of Nevada and conduct business in Nevada.

3. The defendant CREIGHTON J. NADY (“NADY”) either directly, or through other entities that he controls and owns, is the sole owner of the corporate defendants.

4. The defendant NADY exercises complete control over the activities of the corporate defendants, in that he is the highest level manager and decision maker of the corporate defendants and there are no other officers, directors, owners, members, managers, principals or other employees of the corporate defendants who can override or modify against his will any decision he makes in respect to the conduct of the corporate defendants.

## CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

5. The plaintiffs bring this action as a class action pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. §23 on behalf of themselves and a class of all similarly situated persons employed by the defendants in the State of Nevada.

6. The class of similarly situated persons consists of all persons employed by defendant in the State of Nevada during the applicable statute of limitations periods prior to the filing of this Complaint continuing until date of judgment, such persons being employed as Taxi Cab Drivers (hereinafter referred to as “cab drivers” or “drivers”) such employment involving the driving of taxi cabs for the defendants in the State of Nevada.

7. The common circumstance of the cab drivers giving rise to this suit is that while they were employed by defendants they were not paid the minimum wage required by Nevada's Constitution, Article 15, Section 16 for many or most of the days that they worked in that their hourly compensation, when calculated pursuant to the

1 requirements of said Nevada Constitutional Provision, did not equal at least the  
2 minimum hourly wage provided for therein.

3       8.     The named plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege  
4 that there are at least 200 putative class action members. The actual number of class  
5 members is readily ascertainable by a review of the defendants' records through  
6 appropriate discovery.

7       9.     There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and  
8 fact affecting the class as a whole.

9       10.    Proof of a common or single set of facts will establish the right of each  
10 member of the class to recover. These common questions of law and fact predominate  
11 over questions that affect only individual class members. The individual plaintiffs'  
12 claims are typical of those of the class.

13       11.    A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and  
14 efficient adjudication of the controversy. Due to the typicality of the class members'  
15 claims, the interests of judicial economy will be best served by adjudication of this  
16 lawsuit as a class action. This type of case is uniquely well-suited for class treatment  
17 since the employers' practices were uniform and the burden is on the employer to  
18 establish that its method for compensating the class members complies with the  
19 requirements of Nevada law.

20       12.    The individual plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent the interests  
21 of the class and have no interests that conflict with or are antagonistic to the interests  
22 of the class and have retained to represent them competent counsel experienced in the  
23 prosecution of class action cases and will thus be able to appropriately prosecute this  
24 case on behalf of the class.

25       13.    The individual plaintiffs and their counsel are aware of their fiduciary  
26 responsibilities to the members of the proposed class and are determined to diligently  
27 discharge those duties by vigorously seeking the maximum possible recovery for all  
28 members of the proposed class.

14. There is no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy other than by maintenance of this class action. The prosecution of individual remedies by members of the class will tend to establish inconsistent standards of conduct for the defendants and result in the impairment of class members' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties. In addition, the class members' individual claims are small in amount and they have no substantial ability to vindicate their rights, and secure the assistance of competent counsel to do so, except by the prosecution of a class action case.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF ON BEHALF OF THE NAMED  
PLAINTIFFS AND ALL PERSONS SIMILARLY SITUATED PURSUANT TO  
NEVADA'S CONSTITUTION**

15. The named plaintiffs repeat all of the allegations previously made and bring this First Claim for Relief pursuant to Article 15, Section 16, of the Nevada Constitution.

16. Pursuant to Article 15, Section 16, of the Nevada Constitution the named plaintiffs and the class members were entitled to an hourly minimum wage for every hour that they worked and the named plaintiffs and the class members were often not paid such required minimum wages.

17. The defendants' violation of Article 15, Section 16, of the Nevada Constitution involved malicious and/or fraudulent and/or oppressive conduct by the defendants sufficient to warrant an award of punitive damages for the following, amongst other reasons:

(a) Defendants despite having, and being aware of, an express obligation under Article 15, Section 16, of the Nevada Constitution, such obligation commencing no later than July 1, 2007, to advise the plaintiff and the class members, in writing, of their entitlement to the minimum hourly wage specified in such constitutional provision, failed to provide such written advisement;

1 (b) Defendants were aware that the highest law enforcement  
2 officer of the State of Nevada, the Nevada Attorney General, had  
3 issued a public opinion in 2005 that Article 15, Section 16, of the  
4 Nevada Constitution, upon its effective date, would require  
5 defendant and other employers of taxi cab drivers to compensate  
6 such employees with the minimum hourly wage specified in such  
7 constitutional provision. Defendants consciously elected to ignore  
8 that opinion and not pay the minimum wage required by Article  
9 15, Section 16, of the Nevada Constitution to its taxi driver  
10 employees in the hope that it would be successful, if legal action  
11 was brought against it, in avoiding paying some or all of such  
12 minimum wages;

13 (c) Defendants, to the extent they believed they had a colorable  
14 basis to legitimately contest the applicability of Article 15, Section  
15 16, of the Nevada Constitution to its taxi driver employees, made  
16 no effort to seek any judicial declaration of its obligation, or lack  
17 of obligation, under such constitutional provision and to pay into  
18 an escrow fund any amounts it disputed were so owed under that  
19 constitutional provision until such a final judicial determination  
20 was made;

21 (d) Defendants were the subject of an investigation by the United  
22 States Department of Labor in respect to defendants' compliance  
23 with the minimum wage requirements of the federal Fair Labor  
24 Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201-219 which investigation was  
25 concluded on April 30, 2009. Such investigation did not  
26 determine if any violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act were  
27 committed by the defendants, and no claim is made in this case  
28 against the defendants under the Fair Labor Standards Act. Such



1 investigation resulted in defendants on April 30, 2009, being  
2 advised by the U.S. Department of Labor that they must keep a  
3 record of the actual hours worked by their taxi driver employees  
4 and that defendants must pay their taxi drivers the minimum  
5 hourly wage, defendants also being told such minimum hourly  
6 wage at that time under Nevada law was \$6.85 an hour. Rather  
7 than follow such advisement, defendants intentionally acted to not  
8 institute any system that would keep an express, confirmed, and  
9 accurate record of the hours worked by such taxi driver employees,  
10 such as a dedicated payroll time clock system. Defendants also  
11 acted to force their taxi driver employees to falsely record their  
12 activities on their daily taxi driver trip sheets so as to make it  
13 appear that the taxi drivers were taking many hours of breaks  
14 during their working days, which was not true and defendants  
15 knew was not true. Defendants fostered such inaccurate and  
16 untrue recording by their taxi drivers of their work activities by  
17 refusing to allow taxi drivers to submit accurate daily taxi driver  
18 trip sheets that did not have such excessive, and untrue, recordings  
19 of break time. Defendants enforced their “break time listings  
20 required” policy on their taxi drivers’ trip sheets with the  
21 intentional goal of making it impossible for those taxi drivers to  
22 collect the minimum wages they were owed and to conceal  
23 defendants’ violations of the Nevada Constitution. Such actions  
24 by the defendants included, among other things, actually reviewing  
25 the “fares booked” per shift on each taxi driver’s trip sheet and  
26 requiring additional break time be listed for those shifts where the  
27 fare bookings were so low that minimum wages would be owed to  
28 the taxi driver if their break times, as listed on their trip sheets,

1                   were not inflated.

2           18. Defendants engaged in the acts and/or omissions and/or fraudulently  
3 conduct detailed in paragraph 17 in an intentional scheme to maliciously, oppressively  
4 and fraudulently deprive its taxi driver employees of the hourly minimum wages that  
5 were guaranteed to those employees by Article 15, Section 16, of the Nevada  
6 Constitution. Defendants so acted in the hope that by the passage of time whatever  
7 rights such taxi driver employees had to such minimum hourly wages owed to them by  
8 the defendants would expire, in whole or in part, by operation of law. Defendant so  
9 acted consciously, willfully, and intentionally to deprive such taxi driver employees of  
10 any knowledge that they might be entitled to such minimum hourly wages, despite the  
11 defendant's obligation under Article 15, Section 16, of the Nevada Constitution to  
12 advise such taxi driver employees of their right to those minimum hourly wages.  
13 Defendants' malicious, oppressive and fraudulent conduct is also demonstrated by its  
14 failure to make any allowance to pay such minimum hourly wages if they were found  
15 to be due, such as through an escrow account, while seeking any judicial determination  
16 of its obligation to make those payments.

17           19. The rights secured to the plaintiffs and the class members under Nevada's  
18 Constitution, Article 15, Section 16, for a minimum level of remuneration for their  
19 labor as defendants' employees, constitute property rights, in that such level of  
20 remuneration constitutes property of the plaintiffs and the class members, to wit, a sum  
21 of money that they have a right to possess for the inalienable value of their labor,  
22 which labor the defendants obtained from them as employers. Defendants have  
23 obtained such property, the minimum wages properly the property of the plaintiffs and  
24 the class members, illegally and defendants still possess the same, the defendants  
25 having also committed a conversion of such property. As a result defendants should  
26 be, and are, subject to all forms of equitable relief and legal sanctions necessary to  
27 return such property to the plaintiffs and the class members and/or make them whole,  
28 including, without limitation, a suitable Court Order directing that the defendants

1 make restitution to the plaintiffs and the class members for the full value of all such  
2 property taken and held by the defendants, with interest and an award of all proper  
3 incidental, consequential and/or punitive damages available under the law or in equity  
4 appropriate to remedy such violations of the plaintiffs' and the class members' rights  
5 under Nevada's Constitution, Article 15, Section 16.

6 20. The named plaintiffs seek all relief available to them and the alleged class  
7 under Nevada's Constitution, Article 15, Section 16 including appropriate injunctive  
8 and equitable relief to make the defendants cease their violations of Nevada's  
9 Constitution and a suitable award of punitive damages.

10 21. The named plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and the proposed plaintiff  
11 class members, seek, on this First Claim for Relief, a judgment against the corporate  
12 defendants for minimum wages and restitution, such sums to be determined based  
13 upon an accounting of the hours worked by, and wages actually paid to, the plaintiffs  
14 and the class members, a suitable injunction and other equitable relief barring the  
15 corporate defendants from continuing to violate Nevada's Constitution, a suitable  
16 award of punitive damages against the corporate defendants, and an award of  
17 attorney's fees, interest and costs, as provided for by Nevada's Constitution and other  
18 applicable laws against the corporate defendants.

19 **AS AND FOR A SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF PURSUANT TO NEVADA**  
20 **REVISED STATUTES § 608.040 ON BEHALF OF THE NAMED PLAINTIFFS**  
21 **AND THE PUTATIVE CLASS**

22 22. Plaintiffs repeat and reiterate each and every allegation previously made  
23 herein.

24 23. The named plaintiffs bring this Second Claim for Relief against the  
25 corporate defendants pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes § 608.040 on behalf of  
26 themselves and those members of the alleged class of all similarly situated employees  
27 of the defendants who have terminated their employment with the defendants.

28 24. The named plaintiffs have been separated from their employment with the

1 defendants and at the time of such separation were owed unpaid wages by the  
2 defendants.

3 25. The defendants have failed and refused to pay the named plaintiffs and  
4 numerous members of the putative plaintiff class who are the defendants' former  
5 employees their earned but unpaid wages, such conduct by such defendants  
6 constituting a violation of Nevada Revised Statutes § 608.020, or § 608.030 and  
7 giving such named plaintiffs and similarly situated members of the putative class of  
8 plaintiffs a claim against the defendants for a continuation after the termination of their  
9 employment with the defendants of the normal daily wages defendants would pay  
10 them, until such earned but unpaid wages are actually paid or for 30 days, whichever is  
11 less, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes § 608.040.

12 26. As a result of the foregoing, the named plaintiffs seek on behalf of  
13 themselves and the similarly situated putative plaintiff class members a judgment  
14 against the corporate defendants for the wages owed to them and such class members  
15 as prescribed by Nevada Revised Statutes § 608.040, to wit, for a sum equal to up to  
16 thirty days wages, along with interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

17 **AS AND FOR A THIRD CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT**  
18 **NADY FOR CIVIL CONSPIRACY, AIDING AND ABETTING,**  
19 **CONCERT OF ACTION AND AS THE ALTER EGO**  
**OF THE CORPORATE DEFENDANTS**

20 27. Plaintiffs repeat and reiterate each and every allegation previously made  
21 herein.

22 28. The named plaintiffs bring this Third Claim for Relief against the  
23 defendant NADY for civil conspiracy, concert of action, aiding or abetting the actions  
24 of the corporate defendants, and/or as the alter ego of the corporate defendants, on  
25 behalf of themselves and the members of the alleged class of all similarly situated  
26 employees of the corporate defendants.

27 29. The corporate defendants, as the employers of the class members, had a  
28 legal duty to abide by all laws imposed upon the corporate defendants by the State of

1 Nevada in respect to their treatment of the class members as such persons' employers,  
2 including abiding by the provisions of Nevada's Constitution, Article 15, Section 16  
3 and paying such persons the minimum wages required therein.

4         30. Defendant NADY exercised his complete control of the corporate  
5 defendants to purposefully direct and have the corporate defendants violate Article 15,  
6 Section 16 of Nevada's Constitution and not pay the class members the minimum  
7 wages they were entitled to receive as employees from the corporate defendants,  
8 NADY commanding such action by the corporate defendants despite knowing that  
9 such actions were illegal and in violation of Nevada's Constitution.

10         31. The corporate defendants, although established as legal entities, had no  
11 ability to resist NADY's directive to them to violate the provisions of Nevada's  
12 Constitution, Article 15, Section 16 and not pay the class members the minimum  
13 wages they were entitled to thereunder, as NADY completely controlled the corporate  
14 defendants which control he could, and did, use to direct such non-payment of  
15 minimum wages by the corporate defendants.

16         32. Defendant NADY intentionally and knowingly directed the aforesaid  
17 violations of Article 15, Section 16 of Nevada's Constitution by the corporate  
18 defendant and by doing so caused injury to the class members who did not receive  
19 their earned and unpaid minimum wages. NADY directed the corporate defendants  
20 commit those violations for the express purpose of enriching NADY, personally, and  
21 not as part of any legitimate duty he had as an agent or officer of the corporate  
22 defendants. NADY was enriched by those violations as he intended because he  
23 received additional distributions, dividends, salary or other earnings and profits from  
24 the corporate defendants that he would not have received, and could not have received,  
25 except for such violations of Article 15, Section 16 of Nevada's Constitution that he  
26 had the corporate defendants commit.

27         33. While it is alleged in this claim for relief that NADY is personally liable  
28 for all unpaid minimum wages owed by the corporate defendants pursuant to Article

1 15, Section 16 of Nevada's Constitution to the class members, it is also alleged that  
2 NADY is liable for those minimum wages so owed for work performed by the class  
3 members after January 17, 2013 because of certain additional circumstances. The  
4 additional circumstances requiring that NADY be held personally liable for those post  
5 January 17, 2013 earned, but unpaid, minimum wages are the following:

- 6
- 7 (a) On January 17, 2013 the Court in this action held that the class  
8 members were entitled to be paid by the corporate defendants the  
9 minimum wages specified in Article 15, Section 16 of Nevada's  
10 Constitution, which removed any uncertainty that NADY may have  
11 had prior to that date as to whether the corporate defendants were  
12 required to pay the class members such minimum wages;
- 13
- 14 (b) Despite such ruling on such date, and NADY's prompt advisement  
15 of the same, NADY directed the corporate defendants to continue  
16 for over one year to not pay the minimum wages specified in  
17 Article 15, Section 16 of Nevada's Constitution to the class  
18 members, and by doing so continued to enrich himself after January  
19 17, 2013 with additional distributions, dividends, salary or other  
20 earnings and profits from the corporate defendants that he would  
21 not have received, and could not have received, except for such  
22 violations of Article 15, Section 16 of Nevada's Constitution that  
23 he had the corporate defendants continue to commit;
- 24
- 25 (c) To the extent NADY believed or hoped that the Court's ruling on  
26 January 17, 2013, would be overturned or reversed, and the  
27 corporate defendants subsequently found to not be legally obligated  
28 to pay the class members the minimum wages specified by Article

1 15, Section 16 of Nevada’s Constitution, he purposefully took no  
2 steps to have the corporate defendants comply with that January 17,  
3 2013 ruling in the interim. Such steps would have been if not to  
4 pay such minimum wages to the class members to at least make  
5 arrangements, subject to this Court’s approval, for those minimum  
6 wage amounts to be paid into an escrow fund and kept secure, and  
7 available for the class members’ ultimate benefit, until it was  
8 determined whether the January 17, 2013 ruling would be  
9 overturned or reversed. NADY intentionally failed to take any  
10 such steps and directed the corporate defendants to violate this  
11 Court’s ruling so that NADY could enrich himself with additional  
12 distributions, dividends, salary or other earnings and profits from  
13 the corporate defendants that he would not have received, and  
14 could not have received, if the corporate defendants had taken such  
15 proper steps to comply with the Court’s January 17, 2013 ruling;  
16

17 (d) NADY by personally enriching himself with additional  
18 distributions, dividends, salary or other earnings and profits from  
19 the corporate defendants that he would not have received, and  
20 could not have received, if the corporate defendants had taken  
21 proper steps to comply with the Court’s January 17, 2013 ruling has  
22 rendered the corporate defendants financially insolvent and unable  
23 to pay the minimum wages owed to the class members for their  
24 work performed after January 17, 2013.  
25

26 34. Defendant NADY has used the corporate defendants as his “alter ego”  
27 and is personally liable for the claims made in this case, at least to the extent he has  
28 personally enriched himself from the violations of the Nevada Constitution alleged

1 herein that he has commanded and directed the corporate defendants to commit. Such  
2 “alter ego” liability is properly imposed upon him, and the separate legal existence of  
3 the corporate defendants as the class members’ employer ignored for the purpose of  
4 such liability, because (a) NADY has completely influenced and governed the  
5 corporate defendants and compelled them to violate the Nevada Constitution and deny  
6 the class members the minimum wages they are owed so that NADY could be  
7 personally enriched in a commensurate amount, NADY using the corporate defendants  
8 as tools for NADY to accomplish such illegal and unconstitutional goals, NADY also  
9 expressly directing, planning and causing such illegal conduct that took place  
10 including the intentional conduct by the defendants alleged in paragraph 17; (b) There  
11 is no actual or effective separation of interests between NADY and the corporate  
12 defendants as NADY completely owns and controls the corporate defendants; and (c)  
13 The continued adherence to the fiction that NADY and the corporate defendants are  
14 separate legal parties, with separate and different liabilities to the class members under  
15 Nevada’s Constitution, would promote a fraud and an injustice, at least to the extent  
16 that NADY has personally enriched himself from the violations of the Nevada  
17 Constitution alleged in this complaint and the corporate defendants are otherwise  
18 insolvent and unable to make sufficient restitution to the class members to remedy  
19 such violations.

20 35. Defendant NADY has conspired with the corporate defendants to  
21 personally enrich himself from the violations of the Nevada Constitution alleged  
22 herein that he has commanded the corporate defendants to perform. Such civil  
23 conspiracy by NADY occurred, and results in liability by NADY to the class members  
24 for such violations, because NADY acted with the corporate defendants to have such  
25 violations performed and personally took affirmative steps to have them so performed;  
26 NADY intended for such activities to violate Nevada’s Constitution, they did in fact  
27 violate Nevada’s Constitution, and NADY intended for the class members to be  
28 deprived of the minimum wages guaranteed to them under Nevada’s Constitution and



1 the class members were so deprived and damaged by their denial of those minimum  
2 wages; and NADY performed such actions not as an agent or officer of the corporate  
3 defendants or in the furtherance of any duty or lawful goal in his official capacity on  
4 behalf of the corporate defendants but solely for his own personal individual  
5 advantage and enrichment as alleged herein.

6         36. That NADY has acted in concert with or aided and abetted the conduct  
7 of the corporate defendants in that he acted in concert with the corporate defendants to  
8 have them violate their duties to the class members as employers under Nevada's  
9 Constitution and NADY knew such actions that he aided and abetted by the corporate  
10 defendants were breaches of those duties. NADY has also personally enriched himself  
11 from the violations of the Nevada Constitution alleged in this complaint that he aided  
12 and abetted the corporate defendants in performing and acted in concert with them to  
13 perform and as a result is personally liable to the class members for the damages  
14 caused to the class members from such violations, to the extent the corporate  
15 defendants are otherwise insolvent and unable to make sufficient restitution to the  
16 class members to remedy such violations.

17         37. That NADY engaged in the forgoing alleged course of conduct with the  
18 express intent of leaving the corporate defendants insolvent, bereft of assets, and  
19 unable to pay the class members the minimum wages they are owed by the corporate  
20 defendants and to enrich NADY, personally, by an equal amount.

21         38. The named plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and the proposed plaintiff  
22 class members, seek, on this Third Claim for Relief, a judgment against the defendant  
23 NADY for minimum wages and restitution, such sums to be determined based upon an  
24 accounting of the hours worked by, and wages actually paid to, the plaintiffs and the  
25 class members, at least to the extent the corporate defendants are unable to pay such  
26 sums to the class members, along with other suitable equitable relief, a suitable award  
27 of punitive damages, and an award of attorney's fees, interest and costs, as provided  
28 for by Nevada's Constitution and other applicable laws.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH CLAIM AGAINST  
DEFENDANT NADY FOR UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

39. Plaintiffs repeat and reiterate each and every allegation previously made herein.

40. The minimum wages that were owed to the class members by the corporate defendants, as alleged herein and in paragraph 19, were the property of the class members and the corporate defendants owed such property, which were sums of money, to the class members when those minimum wages were earned; the corporate defendants actually possessed money sufficient to pay those minimum wages to the class members and could have paid those wages to the class members when they were earned by and due to the class members; and the corporate defendants had no legal right to refuse to pay those minimum wages to the class members when they were earned or pay sums of money equal to those minimum wages to someone else besides the class members who were owed those minimum wages without also paying the class members, at that time, those earned and owed minimum wages.

41. The defendant NADY received sums of money from the corporate defendants that were equal to the minimum wages owed by the corporate defendants to the class members but not paid to the class members by the corporate defendants, NADY receiving those sums of money from the corporate defendants only because he used his complete control over the corporate defendants to have such sums of money paid to him, and not the class members, by the corporate defendants.

42. The aforesaid sums of money in paragraph 41 received by NADY should not have been paid to him but used by the corporate defendants to meet their legal obligation under Nevada's Constitution to pay the class members the minimum wages they were owed and NADY would not have received those monies from the corporate defendants if he had not commanded the corporate defendants to pay those monies to him and if the corporate defendants had acted properly and used those monies to pay the class members such owed, but unpaid, minimum wages.

1           43. Although plaintiffs do not allege it was necessary for NADY to have such  
2 knowledge for them to be granted the relief sought in this fourth claim for relief, they  
3 expressly allege, if the Court finds such knowledge must be established for such relief  
4 to be granted, that NADY commanded the payment by the corporate defendants to him  
5 of the monies discussed in paragraphs 41 and 42 with full knowledge that the  
6 corporate defendants only had such funds available to pay him because the class  
7 members had not been paid an equal amount of minimum wages they were owed by  
8 the corporate defendants.

9           44. NADY'S retention of the monies he received from the corporate  
10 defendants as alleged in paragraphs 41 and 42, such monies that should have been  
11 properly used by the corporate defendants to pay the class members their owed, but  
12 unpaid, minimum wages, such monies also being the *de facto* property of the class  
13 members, would be against fundamental principles of equity, justice and good  
14 conscience, to the extent the corporate defendants, owing to their payment of such  
15 monies to NADY, are now insolvent and unable to pay the class members the  
16 minimum wages they are owed.

17           45. The named plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and the proposed plaintiff  
18 class members, seek, on this Fourth Claim for Relief, a judgment against the defendant  
19 NADY for restitution to the class of the amount of NADY'S unjust enrichment, such  
20 amount to be determined based upon how much the corporate defendants are found to  
21 owe the class members for unpaid minimum wages that the corporate defendants are  
22 unable to pay the class members (the "deficiency amount") and how much NADY has  
23 been unjustly enriched as alleged in this claim for relief up to, but not in excess of, that  
24 deficiency amount, along with other suitable equitable relief and an award of  
25 attorney's fees, interest and costs, as provided for by Nevada's Constitution and other  
26 applicable laws.

27  
28           WHEREFORE, plaintiffs demand the relief on each cause of action as alleged

1 aforesaid.

2 Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

3

4 Dated this 22nd day of June, 2015.

5

6 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation

7

8 By: /s/ Leon Greenberg

9

10 LEON GREENBERG, Esq.  
11 Nevada Bar No.: 8094  
12 2965 South Jones Blvd- Suite E4  
13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146  
14 (702) 383-6085  
15 Attorney for Plaintiff

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned certifies that on August 19, 2015, she served the within:

**SECOND AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT**

by court electronic service to:

TO:

Esther C. Rodriguez, Esq.  
RODRIGUEZ LAW OFFICES, P.C.  
10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  
Las Vegas, NV 89145

*/s/ Dana Sniegocki*

---

Dana Sniegocki