In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

No. 85884

Electronically Filed Apr 17 2023 07:39 AM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Appeal from Denial of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 1 OF 3 TO OPENING BRIEF

DIANE C. LOWE, ESQ. Lowe Law, L.L.C.

7350 West Centennial Pkwy #3085 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 (725) 212-2451

Attorney for Appellant

STEVE B. WOLFSON, ESQ. CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT

ATTORNEY

200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

(702) 455-4711

AARON D. FORD Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 684-1265

Attorneys for Respondent

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR CRAWLEY OPENING BRIEF

Chronological List of Appendices (followed by Alphabetical)

1	June 17 2019 to	Justice Court Case 19F11843X Register of	
	July 11 2019	Actions	
1	July 11, 2019	Transcript of Waiver of Preliminary Hearing	3-8
1	July 11, 2019	Bindover and Order to Appear	9
1	June 17, 2019	Criminal Complaint	10-11
1	June 17, 2019	Court Minutes	12
1	June 25, 2019	Court Minutes	13
1	June 28, 2019	Court Minutes	14
1	July 11, 2019	Court Minutes	15
1	July 13, 2019	Court Minutes	16
1	June 2019	House Arrest Release and Hearing Notice	17
1	June 25 2019	Bench Warrant	18-19
1	July 12, 2019	Information	20-21
1	July 15, 2019	Guilty Plea Agreement	22-29
1	July 15, 2019	Transcript of Initial Arraignment and Plea Hearing	g 30-36
1	October 28, 2019	Motion to Dismiss Counsel -Appoint	37-41
		Alternate Counsel	
1	November 13, 2019	Transcript of Hearing on All Pending Motion	42-51
1	November 19, 2019	State's Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment	52-53
		as a Habitual Criminal	
1	November 20, 2019	Transcript of All Pending Motions	54-57
1	January 15, 2020	Transcript of All Pending Motions Hearing	58-59
1	January 29, 2020	Transcript of All Pending Motions Hearing	60-62
1	January 31, 2020	Attorney Arnold Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea	63-68
1	February 14, 2020	State's Opposition to Motion to Withdraw	69-74
		Guilty Plea	
1	February 14, 2020	Declaration of Arrest Report Attachment	73-74
1	February 19, 2020	Transcript of Argument on Motion to	75-82
		Withdraw Guilty Plea	
1	March 3, 2020	State's Sentencing Memorandum	83-85
1	March 4, 2020	Transcript of Sentencing Hearing	86-103
1	April 1, 2020	Transcript of Sentencing Hearing	104-125
1	April 6, 2020	Pro per Notice of Appeal	126-129
1	April 7, 2020	Judgment of Conviction JOC (Plea of Guilty)	130-131
1	April 13, 2020	Case Appeal Statement	132-133

1	June 4, 2020	Pro Per Post-Conviction Petition for	134-149
1	1 0 2020	Writ of Habeas Corpus	1.50
1	June 9, 2020	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	150
1	June 12, 2020	Pro Per Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Postconviction	151-167
1	July 21 2020	State's Response to Defendant's Petitions for	168-175
	J	Writ of Habeas Corpus Post-Conviction	
1	August 19, 2020	Transcript of Hearing on Petition for Writ	176-177
1	August 26, 2020	Transcript of Status Check on Appointment of Counsel	178-180
1	September 30, 2020	Motion for Appointment of Counsel	181-183
1	October 12, 2020	Opening Brief by Attorney Arnold	184-192
1	November 12, 2020	Respondent's Answering Brief	193-205
1	November 12, 2020	Respondent's Appendix	206-207
1	December 28, 2020	Notice of Motion and Motion to Withdraw counsel and Appoint new Counsel	208-212
1	March 18 2021	Pro per Petition for	213-237
		Writ of Habeas Corpus Postconviction	
		Pro per Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Part 1	238-241
2	March 18 2021	Continued Pro per Supplement part 2	242-263
2	March 18 2021	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	264-265
2	March 19, 2021	Court of Appeals Order of Affirmance 81011	266-267
2	April 13 2021	Remittitur	268
2	April 14, 2021	Clerk's Certificate No 81011 Judgment Affirmed	269
2	May 6, 2021	State's Response	272-278
2	June 3, 2021	Pro per Motion for Production of Response to Writ of Habeas Corpus	279-282
2	June 8, 2021	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Counsel and Appoint New Counsel	283-285
2	June 24 2021	Pro Per appeal cover	286
2	June 24 2021	Proper Appeal labeled: Petition for Writ of Habeas	287-341

2	June 25 2021	Motion to withdraw counsel to file appeal from 8th Judicial District Court Post-	342
		Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus	
2	June 28 2021	Case Appeal Statement	343-344
2	July 8, 2021	Motion to Withdraw of Counsel	345-349
2	July 22 2021	Findings of Fact Conclusions of Law and Order	350-356
2	January 10, 2022	Transcript of Status Check State's Response	357-359
2	February 3, 2022	Court of Appeals Reversal & Remand	360-363
2	March 1, 2022	Supreme Court No 83136 Clerk's	364
_	Waren 1, 2022	Certificate Reversed and Remand	501
2	March 1 2022	Remittitur	365
_	111011 1 2022	Crawley Certificates of Achievement	366-371
2	March 29, 2022	Order appointing Diane Lowe Counsel	372-377
2	August 26, 2022	Supplemental Brief to Post-Conviction	378-408
_	1148451 20, 2022	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	570 100
2	Attachments to	Declaration of Daine Crawley	409-427
_	Supplemental	Beclaration of Bame Staviley	109 127
	Brief August 26		
	2022		
2		Nevada Behavioral Health Records of Daine	428-484
		Crawley part 1 Supplement Attachment	
3		Nevada Behavioral Health Records of Daine	485-517
		Crawley part 2 Supplement Attachment	
3		Community Orthopedic Medical Letter re	519-520
		Treatment of Mr. Crawley	
3		Declaration of Program Director of CrossRoads	521-523
		of Southern Nevada re Daine Crawley & their	
		Operation James June	
3		Medical Records of Daine Crawley from	524-641
		Crossroads Treatment	
3		Clark County Detention Center Inquiry and	642-643
		Response re Release time of Daine Crawley	
3		Completion of Program Letter Dated March 25,	644-645
		2022 from Life Coach at Body, Mind, Soul,	366-371
		Support Solutions from Sharon Bachman	
3		Daine Crawley Certificate of Achievement for	646
		Substance Abuse Counseling March 15 2020	
3	October 20,	State's Response to Defendant's	647-666
	2022	Supplemental Brief	

3	November 28, 2022	Transcript Argument Hearing	667-677
3	December 21, 2022	Minute Order Denying Relief	678
3	December 21, 2022	Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	679-699
3	February 17, 2023 Minutes	Amended Judgment of Conviction July 15 2019703	700-703
		November 12 2019704 November 13 2019705 November 20 2019706 January 15 2020707 January 29 2020708 February 19 2020710 April 1 2020711 May 11 2020712 August 19 2020713 August 26 2020714 January 19 2021715 May 25 2021 Minute Order716-717 January 10 2022718 March 28 2022719 April 11 2022720 January 9 2023721 February 13 2023722	
3	December 21, 2022	Notice of Appeal December 21, 2022	723-725
Alı	ohabetical List of A	Appendices	
3	December 21, 2022	Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	679-699
2 2	June 24 2021 June 24 2021	Appeal cover pro per Appeal pro per labeled: Petition for Writ of Habeas	286 287-341

1	June 25 2019	Bench Warrant	18-19
1	July 11, 2019	Bindover and Order to Appear	9
1	April 13, 2020	Case Appeal Statement	132-133
2	June 28 2021	Case Appeal Statement	343-344
		Certificates of Achievement Crawley	366-371
3		Clark County Detention Center Inquiry and	642-643
		Response re Release time of Daine Crawley	
3		Certificate of Achievement for Substance Abuse	646
		Counseling March 15 2020 Daine Crawley	
2	April 14, 2021	Clerk's Certificate No 81011 Judgment	269
		Affirmed	
3		Community Orthopedic Medical Letter re	519-520
		Treatment of Mr. Crawley	
3		Completion of Program Letter Dated March 25,	644-645
		2022 from Life Coach at Body, Mind, Soul,	366-371
		Support Solutions from Sharon Bachman	
1	June 17, 2019	Court Minutes	12
1	June 25, 2019	Court Minutes	13
1	June 28, 2019	Court Minutes	14
1	July 11, 2019	Court Minutes	15
1	July 13, 2019	Court Minutes	16
2	February 3, 2022	Court of Appeals Reversal & Remand	360-363
1	June 17, 2019	Criminal Complaint	10-11
1	February 14, 2020	Declaration of Arrest Report Attachment	73-74
2	Attachments to	Declaration of Daine Crawley	409-427
	Supplemental		
	Brief August 26		
2	2022		501 500
3		Declaration of Program Director of CrossRoads	521-523
		of Southern Nevada re Daine Crawley & their	
2	Index 22 2021	Operation James June	250 256
2	•	Findings of Fact Conclusions of Law and Order	350-356 22-29
1	July 15, 2019 June 2019	Guilty Plea Agreement	
1		House Arrest Release and Hearing Notice Information	17 20-21
1	July 12, 2019		130-131
1	April 7, 2020 June 17 2019 to	Judgment of Conviction JOC (Plea of Guilty) Justice Court Case 19F11843X Register of	1-2
1	July 11 2019 to	Actions	1-4
3	July 11 2019	Medical Records of Daine Crawley from	524-641
J		Crossroads Treatment	<i>52</i> i 071
		CICCOLOMO II COMMINICIA	

3	December 21, 2022	Minute Order Denying Relief	678
	Minutes	July 15 2019703	
		November 12 2019704	
		November 13 2019705	
		November 20 2019706	
		January 15 2020707	
		January 29 2020708	
		February 19 2020709	
		March 4 2020710	
		April 1 2020711	
		May 11 2020712	
		August 19 2020713	
		August 26 2020714	
		January 19 2021715	
		May 25 2021 Minute Order716-717	
		January 10 2022718	
		March 28 2022719	
		April 11 2022720	
		January 9 2023721	
		February 13 2023722	
1	October 28, 2019	Motion to Dismiss Counsel -Appoint	37-41
		Alternate Counsel	
1	September 30, 2020	Motion for Appointment of Counsel	181-183
2	June 3, 2021	Motion for Production of Response	279-282
		to Writ of Habeas Corpus Pro Per	
2	June 25 2021	Motion to withdraw counsel to file an appeal	342
		July 1 filed with supreme Court and court	
		stamped with District court re Post-	
		ConvictionWrit of Habeas Corpus	
2	July 8, 2021	Motion to Withdraw of Counsel	345-349
1	January 31, 2020	Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea Attorney Arnold	63-68
2		Nevada Behavioral Health Records of Daine	428-484
_		Crawley part 1 Supplement Attachment	
3		Nevada Behavioral Health Records of Daine	484-517
		Crawley part 2 Supplement Attachment	106 156
1	April 6, 2020	Notice of Appeal Pro per	126-129
3	December 21, 2022	Notice of Appeal December 21, 2022	723-725

1	December 28, 2020	Notice of Motion and Motion to Withdraw counsel and Appoint new Counsel	
1	October 12,	Opening Brief by Attorney Arnold	184-192
_	2020		252 255
2	March 29, 2022	Order appointing Diane Lowe Counsel	372-377
2	June 8, 2021	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to	283-285
		Withdraw Counsel and Appoint New Counsel	
1	June 9, 2020	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	150
2	March 18 2021	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	264-265
2	March 19, 2021	Order of Affirmance 81011 Court of Appeals	266-267
1	June 4, 2020	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pro Per Post-Conviction	134-149
1	June 12, 2020	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	151-167
	,	Postconviction Pro Per Post-Conviction	
1	March 18 2021	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	213-237
		Postconviction	
2	April 13 2021	Remittitur	268
2	March 1 2022	Remittitur	365
1	November 12, 2020	Respondent's Answering Brief	193-205
1	November 12, 2020	Respondent's Appendix	206-207
1	November 19, 2019	State's Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment	52-53
•	,	as a Habitual Criminal	02 00
1	February 14, 2020	State's Opposition to Motion to Withdraw	69-74
•		Guilty Plea	0, , .
1	July 21 2020	State's Response to Defendant's Petitions for	168-175
•	vary 21 2020	Writ of Habeas Corpus Post-Conviction	100 175
2	May 6, 2021	State's Response	272-278
3	October 20,	State's Response to Defendant's	647-666
,	2022	Supplemental Brief	017 000
1	March 3, 2020	State's Sentencing Memorandum	83-85
2	August 26, 2022	Supplemental Brief to Post-Conviction	378-408
_	1148451 20, 2022	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	370 100
1	March 18 2021	Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas	238-241
•	11141011 10 2021	Corpus Pro per Part 1	230 211
2	March 18 2021	Supplement Continued Pro per Supplement 2	242-263
2	March 1, 2022	Supreme Court No 83136 Clerk's	364
_	1,141011 1, 2022	Certificate Reversed and Remand	501
1	July 11, 2019	Transcript of Waiver of Preliminary Hearing	3-8
1	UMIY 11, 4017	Transcript or warver or recommunity freating	20

1	November 13, 2019	Transcript of Hearing on All Pending Motion	42-51
1	November 20, 2019	Transcript of All Pending Motions	54-57
1	January 15, 2020	Transcript of All Pending Motions Hearing	58-59
1	January 29, 2020	Transcript of All Pending Motions Hearing	60-62
3	November 28,	Transcript Argument Hearing	667-677
	2022		
1	February 19, 2020	Transcript of Argument on Motion to	75-82
		Withdraw Guilty Plea	
1	August 19, 2020	Transcript of Hearing on Petition for Writ	176-177
1	July 15, 2019	Transcript of Initial Arraignment and Plea Hearin	g 30-36
1	March 4, 2020	Transcript of Sentencing Hearing	86-103
1	April 1, 2020	Transcript of Sentencing Hearing	104-125
1	August 26, 2020	Transcript of Status Check on Appointment	178-180
		of Counsel	
2	January 10, 2022	Transcript of Status Check State's Response	357-359

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Diane C. Lowe

DIANE C. LOWE ESQ. Nevada Bar #14573

Size to Main Content Logical My Account Search Mona New Constaintes to Roma Seatch, Bath

incidence in state Const. Help.

REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. 19F11843X

State of Nevada vs. CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

തതതതത

Case Type: Felony
Date Filed: 06/17/2019
Location: JC Department 7

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant

CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Lead Attorneys Public Defender Public Defender 702-455-4685(W)

State of Nevada State of Nevada

Charges: CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

1. Assault, w/DW [50201]

2. Carry conceal expl/gun/dang weap w/o prmt [51459]

Charge Information

Statute
200.471.2b

202.350.1d1

Level Felony Felony Date 06/12/2019 06/12/2019

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

DISPOSITIONS

07/11/2019 Disposition (Judicial Officer: Bennett-Haron, Karen P.)

1. Assault, w/DW [50201]

Waiver of Preliminary Hearing - Bound Over to District Court

2. Carry conceal expl/gun/dang weap w/o prmt [51459]

Waiver of Preliminary Hearing - Bound Over to District Court

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

06/13/2019 Standard Bail Set

Ct1: \$5000 Cash/\$5000 Surety

06/13/2019 CTRACK Track Assignment JC07

06/13/2019 Initial Appearance Justice Court (PC Review) (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officers Senior/Visiting, Judge, Oesterle, Nancy)

Result: Matter Heard

06/13/2019 Nevada Risk Assessment Tool

06/13/2019 Not Released NPR

06/13/2019 Probable Cause Review Packet - Initial Appearance Court

06/13/2019 Probable Cause Review Packet - Initial Appearance Court

06/13/2019 CTRACK Case Modified

Judge/BEN;

06/13/2019 Defendant not Transported

not processed

06/13/2019 Probable Cause Found

06/13/2019 Counsel Provisionally Appointed

Tracy Ip, Esq. Public Defender provisionally appointed for limited purposes of first appearance hearing.

06/13/2019 Bail Argument Heard

The Court has heard arguments from the prosecution and defense counsel regarding custody of the Defendant

06/13/2019 Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Counts: 001 - \$5,000.00/\$5,000.00 Total Bail

06/13/2019 Continued for Status Check on filing of Criminal Complaint

06/13/2019 Minute Order - Initial Appearance

06/14/2019 CTRACK Case Modified

06/17/2019 72 Hour Hearing (7:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Bennett-Haron, Karen P.)

in custody Result: Matter Heard

06/17/2019 Criminal Complaint

Filed in open Court

06/17/2019 Initial Appearance Completed

Advised of Charges on Criminal Complaint, Waives Reading of Criminal Complaint

06/17/2019 Public Defender Appointed

06/17/2019 Motion by Defense for an O.R. Release

and stay away order. Objection to said motion by State. State requests bail to stand. Motion denied for own recognizance release.

06/17/2019 Release Order - Electronic Monitoring - Low Level (Judicial Officer: Bennett-Haron, Karen P.)

06/17/2019 Minute Order - Department 07

06/20/2019 Official Court Date Slip

06/25/2019 House Arrest Review (7:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Bennett-Haron, Karen P.)

Low Level Electronic Monitoring

Result: Bench Warrant Issued

06/25/2019 Custody Comment

1

Per House Arrest memorandum, Defendant failed to maintain charge on low level electronic monitoring device and cannot be tracked. 06/25/2019 Bench Warrant Ordered Issued - Defendant Failed to Appear \$5,000/5,000 total 06/25/2019 Future Court Date Vacated 7/1/19 at 9 am 06/25/2019 Minute Order - Department 07 06/25/2019 Warrant Issued 06/25/2019 Not in custody Counts: 001; 002 06/25/2019 Bench Warrant - Face Sheet 06/25/2019 Bench Warrant Confidential 06/26/2019 Warrant Arrest Documents 06/26/2019 Warrant Executed 06/28/2019 Bench Warrant Return Hearing (7:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Bennett-Haron, Karen P.) In Custody Result: Matter Heard 06/28/2019 Defendant not Transported Refusal Court reviews history of case 06/28/2019 06/28/2019 Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Counts: 001; 002 - \$5,000.00/\$5,000.00 Total Bail 06/28/2019 Release Order - from Electronic Monitoring (Judicial Officer: Bennett-Haron, Karen P.) Low level 06/28/2019 Minute Order - Department 07 07/01/2019 CANCELED Preliminary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Bennett-Haron, Karen P.) Vacated Low level electronic monitoring 07/11/2019 Preliminary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Bennett-Haron, Karen P.) In custody Result: Matter Heard 07/11/2019 Defendant to be released OR at entry of plea in District Court 07/11/2019 Unconditional Bind Over to District Court Defendant unconditionally waives right to Preliminary Hearing. Defendant Bound Over to District Court as Charged. Defendant to Appear in the Lower Level Arraignment Courtroom A. 07/11/2019 District Court Appearance Date Set Jul 15 2019 10:00AM: In custody 07/11/2019 Bail Stands - Cash or Surety Counts: 001; 002 - \$5,000.00/\$5,000.00 Total Bail 07/11/2019 Case Closed - Bound Over 07/11/2019 Minute Order - Department 07 07/11/2019 Certificate, Bindover and Order to Appear

2/2

	CLERK OF THE CO
1	CASE NO.: C-19-341735-1
2	
3	IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP
4	COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA
5	-000-
6	STATE OF NEVADA,)
7	Plaintiff,)
8	vs.) Case No. 19F11843X
9	DAINE CRAWLEY,)
10	Defendant.)
11	/
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF
14	UNCONDITIONAL WAIVER OF PRELIMINARY HEARING
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KAREN BENNETT-HARON JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
16	JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
17	THURSDAY, JULY 11, 2019
18	ADDEADANCEC
19	APPEARANCES For the State: DAVID STANTON, ESQ.
20	Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	
22	For the Defendant: ERIKA BALLOU, ESQ.
23	
24	
25	Reported By: Jennifer O'Neill, CCR No. 763

- 1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; JULY 11, 2019; 9:00 A.M.
- 2 -000-

- 4 THE COURT: Daine Crawley, 19F11843X.
- 5 MS. BALLOU: Your Honor, this matter has been
- 6 negotiated.
- 7 This morning Mr. Crawley is prepared to
- 8 unconditionally waive his right to a preliminary
- 9 hearing.
- 10 At the District Court level, he's going to be
- 11 pleading to carrying a concealed weapon, a category C
- 12 felony.
- 13 The State will retain the right to argue.
- 14 Mr. Crawley will receive an OR release at entry of
- 15 plea.
- MR. STANTON: Correct statement.
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Crawley, good morning. Did
- 18 you understand the terms of the negotiation?
- 19 THE DEFENDANT: For the most part, yes.
- 20 The -- yes, I do. Sorry.
- 21 THE COURT: No. Don't be sorry. If you have
- 22 a question, you're entitled to ask it. You can talk to
- 23 your lawyer.
- 24 THE DEFENDANT: It's a category C felony,
- 25 right?

- 1 THE COURT: I don't know. You can ask your
- 2 lawyer about it. Would you like to talk to her?
- 3 THE DEFENDANT: No. That's all right. It's
- 4 understood. Thank you.
- 5 THE COURT: Are you sure?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. So you understood the
- 8 negotiations.
- 9 Did you also understand that one of the
- 10 things you're being asked to do this morning is to
- 11 unconditionally waive your right to a preliminary
- 12 hearing, which means if you get to District Court and
- 13 you change your mind about the negotiations, you won't
- 14 be able to come back to this court to have a
- 15 preliminary hearing on these charges.
- 16 Do you understand that?
- 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
- 18 THE COURT: And understanding that, is it
- 19 still your intention to unconditionally waive your
- 20 right to a preliminary hearing?
- 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Under the
- 22 conditions she just said, yes, ma'am.
- 23 THE COURT: Then it appearing to this Court
- 24 from the criminal complaint on file herein that the
- 25 crimes of assault with a deadly weapon and carrying a

- 1 concealed firearm or other deadly weapon has been
- 2 committed; and the defendant, Daine Crawley, having
- 3 unconditionally waived his right to a preliminary
- 4 hearing on said charges, shall be held to answer said
- 5 charges in the Eighth Judicial District Court, lower
- 6 level, on:
- 7 THE CLERK: July 15, 10:00 a.m.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have a
- 9 question?
- 10 THE DEFENDANT: It's going to be only one
- 11 felony, though, at that level, right?
- 12 THE COURT: Well, the entire case is being
- 13 transferred to District Court. When you get there,
- 14 then that's where you'll put your actual negotiations
- 15 on the record.
- 16 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
- 17 THE COURT: You're welcome.
- 18 (The proceedings concluded.)
- 19
- 20 * * * * *
- 21 ATTEST: Full, true, and accurate
- transcript of proceedings.
- 23
- 24
- 25 /S/ Jennifer O'Neill JENNIFER O'NEILL, CCR No. 763

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
3	COUNTY OF CLARK)
4	
5	I, Jennifer O'Neill, a certified court reporter
6	in and for the State of Nevada, hereby certify that
7	pursuant to NRS 239B.030 I have not included the Social
8	Security number of any person within this document.
9	I further certify that I am not a relative or
10	employee of any party involved in said action, nor a
11	person financially interested in the action.
12	Dated in Las Vegas, Nevada this 25th day of
13	July, 2019.
14	/S/ Jennifer O'Neill JENNIFER O'NEILL, CCR No. 763
15	OHNHILIK O NEIEL, COK NO. 700
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CLERK OF THE COURT

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

District Court Case No.: C-19-341735-1

Dept.: VI

Plaintiff,

Justice Court Case No.: 19F11843X

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY,

Defendant

VS.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the proceedings as the same appear in the above case.

Dated this 11th day of July, 2019

Justice of the Peace, Las Vegas Township

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

District Court Case No.:

Plaintiff,

vs.

Justice Court Case No.: 19F11843X

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY

Defendant

BINDOVER and ORDER TO APPEAR

An Order having been made this day by me that **DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY** be held to answer before the Eighth Judicial District Court, upon the charge(s) of **Assault**, w/DW [50201]; Carry conceal expl/gun/dang weap w/o prmt [51459] committed in said Township and County, on or about June 12, 2019.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said defendant is commanded to appear in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Regional Justice Center, Lower Level Arraignment Courtroom "A", Las Vegas, Nevada on July 15, 2019 at 10:00 AM for arraignment and further proceedings on the within charge(s).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of the County of Clark is hereby commanded to receive the above named defendant(s) into custody, and detain said defendant(s) until he/she can be legally discharged, and be committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said County, until bail is given in the sum of \$5,000/5,000 total.

Dated this 11th day of July, 2019

Justice of the Peace, Las Vegas Township

SpreiDuned Haron

ORIGINAL

LAS VEGAS JUSTICE COURT FILED IN OPEN COURT

1 2	JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA BY_		IP JUN 172 BY RSP	019
3	THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
4	Plaintiff,	CASE NO:	10F11843Y	

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY #7031173.

-VS-

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

DEPT NO: 7

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The Defendant above named having committed the crimes of ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 50201) and CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON (Category C Felony - NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3) - NOC 51459), in the manner following, to wit: That the said Defendant, on or about the 12th day of June, 2019, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, COUNT 1 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to wit: MATTHEW HAWKINS, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a knife, by Defendant threatening the said MATTHEW HAWKINS with the said knife.

COUNT 2 - CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his person, a firearm or other deadly weapon, to wit: a knife.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

19F11843X/ew LVMPD EV# 190600056903

(TK7)

19F11843X CRM Criminal Complaint 11062611



W:\2019\2019F\118\43\19F11843-COMP-001.DOCX

LAS VEGAS JUSTICE COURT FILED IN OPEN COURT

JUN 17 2018

. १८५

Department: 07

Court Minutes



19F11843X

State of Nevada vs. CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Lead Atty: Public Defender Result: Matter Heard

6/17/2019 7:30:00 AM 72 Hour Hearing (in custody)

PARTIES PRESENT:

State Of Nevada

Getier, Stephanie

Attorney **Attorney** **Public Defender**

Defendant

Schmidt, Robert Jennings CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Judge:

Bennett-Haron, Karen P.

Court Reporter:

Ott, Shawn

Court Clerk:

Powers, Rissa

PROCEEDINGS

Attorneys:

Public Defender

CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Added

Schmidt, Robert **Jennings**

CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Added

7/1/2019 9:00:00 AM: Preliminary Hearing

Added

Hearings: **Events:**

Criminal Complaint

Filed in open Court

Initial Appearance Completed

Advised of Charges on Criminal Complaint, Waives Reading of Criminal Complaint

Public Defender Appointed

Motion by Defense for an O.R. Release

and stay away order. Objection to said motion by State. State requests bail to stand. Motion denied for own

recognizance release.

Release Order - Electronic Monitoring - Low Level

Court Minutes

Department: 07



L011101339

19F11843X

State of Nevada vs. CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Lead Atty: Public Defender

Result: Bench Warrant Issued

6/25/2019 7:30:00 AM House Arrest Review (Low

Level Electronic Monitoring)

PARTIES PRESENT:

State Of Nevada

Attorney

Stanton, David
Public Defender

Attorney

Schmidt, Robert Jennings

Judge:

Bennett-Haron, Karen P.

Court Reporter:

O'Neill, Jennifer

Court Clerk:

Powers, Rissa

PROCEEDINGS

Hearings:

7/1/2019 9:00:00 AM: Preliminary Hearing

Canceled

Events:

Custody Comment

Per House Arrest memorandum, Defendant failed to maintain charge on low level electronic monitoring

device and cannot be tracked.

Bench Warrant Ordered Issued - Defendant Falled to

Appear

\$5,000/5,000 total

Future Court Date Vacated

7/1/19 at 9 am

Not in custody

Counts: 001; 002

Court Minutes

Department: 07



L011119512

19F11843X

State of Nevada vs. CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Lead Atty: Public Defender Result: Matter Heard

6/28/2019 7:30:00 AM Bench Warrant Return

Hearing (In Custody)

PRESENT:

State Of Nevada

Attorney

Clowers, Shanon Ross, Katrina

Attorney

Public Defender

Judge:

Bennett-Haron, Karen P.

Court Reporter:

O'Neill, Jennifer

Court Clerk:

Powers, Rissa

PROCEEDINGS

Attorneys:

Ross, Katrina

CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Added

Hearings:

7/11/2019 9:00:00 AM: Preliminary Hearing

Added

Events:

Defendant not Transported

Refusal

Court reviews history of case

Ball Stands - Cash or Surety

Amount: \$5,000.00

Counts: 001; 002 - \$5,000.00/\$5,000.00 Total Bail

Release Order - from Electronic Monitoring

Low level

Department: 07

Court Minutes



19F11843X

State of Nevada vs. CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Lead Atty: Public Defender Result: Matter Heard

custody)

7/11/2019 9:00:00 AM Preliminary Hearing (In

PARTIES

PRESENT:

State Of Nevada

Stanton, David Attorney Ballou, Erika D.

Attorney

Public Defender

Defendant

CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Judge:

Bennett-Haron, Karen P.

Court Reporter:

O'Neill, Jennifer

Court Clerk:

Powers, Rissa

PROCEEDINGS

Attorneys:

Ballou, Erika D.

CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

Added

Events:

Defendant to be released OR at entry of plea

in District Court

Unconditional Bind Over to District Court

Review Date: 7/12/2019

Defendant unconditionally waives right to Preliminary Hearing. Defendant Bound Over to District Court as

Charged. Defendant to Appear in the Lower Level Arraignment Courtroom A.

District Court Appearance Date Set

Jul 15 2019 10:00AM: In custody

Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Amount: \$5,000.00

Counts: 001; 002 - \$5,000.00/\$5,000.00 Total Bail

Case Closed - Bound Over

Plea/Disp:

001: Assault, w/DW [50201]

Disposition: Waiver of Preliminary Hearing - Bound Over to District Court

002: Carry conceal expl/gun/dang weap w/o prmt [51459]

Disposition: Waiver of Preliminary Hearing - Bound Over to District Court

Las Vegas Justice Court: Department 07 LVJC RW_Criminal_MinuteOrderByEventCode

Case 19F11843X Prepared By: sorir 7/11/2019 11:06 AM

Court Minutes

Department: PC



Result: Matter Heard

PC19F11843X State of Nevada vs. CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON

6/13/2019 1:30:00 PM Initial Appearance Justice

Court (PC Review)

PARTIES PRESENT:

State Of Nevada

Walsh, Jessica

Judge:

Senior/Visiting, Judge

Court Reporter: Senior/Visiting Murray, Loree Oesterle, Nancy

Judge:

Court Clerk:

Montrone, Lauren

PROCEEDINGS

Hearings:

6/17/2019 7:30:00 AM: 72 Hour Hearing

Added

Events:

Defendant not Transported

not processed

Probable Cause Found

Counsel Provisionally Appointed

Tracy Ip, Esq. Public Defender provisionally appointed for limited purposes of first appearance hearing.

Bail Argument Heard

The Court has heard arguments from the prosecution and defense counsel regarding custody of the Defendant

Bail Stands - Cash or Surety

Amount: \$5,000.00

Counts: 001 - \$5,000.00/\$5,000.00 Total Bail

Continued for Status Check on filing of Criminal

Complaint

OFFICIAL COURT DATE

JUSTICE COURT LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER

200 LEWIS AVE

LAS VEGAS, NV 89155

19F11843X Official Court Date Slip

www.LasVegasJusticeCourt.us

Date Released:	6-20-2019		(Case #: <u>19F118</u>	13x
ID#: <u>70311</u>	73				
Defendant:	(Last Name)	AINE	(First	Name)	
USUN Address	mideow w	gton W State	Zip		102-349-9435 hone # Home/Cell
	d that your court o	ate is set for 7-1-2010	-	Department #:	7
Time:	7:30 a.m. 7:45 a.m. 8:00 a.m. 8:30 a.m. 9:00 a.m. 10:00 a.m. 11:00 a.m. 1:00 p.m. 1:30 p.m. Other:				
Release Type:	Cash I C.C.D Court D.A.R House	ur Delay Bond Release .C. O.R. Ordered Release F. Release Arrest Lelease		NCF Release No PC No Criminal Con O.R. Release SCRAM Sentenced/Fined Treatment Progra	•

APPROPRIATE COURTROOM ATTIRE REQUIRED

NO SHORTS, HALTER TOPS OR TANK TOPS

(NO FOOD OR DRINK PERMITTED)

JC-11 (PreTrial Services)

Distribution

White-Court Canary-Jail Pink-Defendant

Rev. 3/19



WARRANT ELECTRONICALLY GENERATED AND ENTERED INTO NCJIS *** DO NOT MANUALLY ENTER INTO NCJIS ***

)

THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

) CASE NO: 19F11843X

PLAINTIFF VS.	DEPT. NO: 7					
CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON ID# 07031173	AGENCY: METRO)					
DEFENDANT)	BENCH WARRANT)					
THE STATE OF NEVADA,						
TO: ANY SHERIFF, CONSTABLE, MARSHALL, POLICEMAN, OR PEACE OFFICER IN THIS STATE:						
THE DEFENDANT, CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON, HAVING BEEN ORDERED TO APPEAR BEFORE THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT ON THE 25TH DAY OF JUNE, 2019, ON THE FOLLOWING CHARGES:						
COUNTS CHARGE 1 ASSAULT, W/DW 1 CARRY CONCEAL WEAPON W	BAIL: CASH SURETY PROPERTY 5,000.00 5,000.00					
AND THE DEFENDANT HAVING FAILED TO APPEAR AT SAID TIME AND PLACE;						
YOU ARE, THEREFORE, COMMANDED FORTHWITH TO ARREST THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT, CRAWLEY, DAINE ANTON, AND BRING HIM BEFORE THIS COURT, OR IF THE COURT HAS ADJOURNED, THAT YOU DELIVER HIM INTO THE CUSTODY OF THE SHERIFF OF THE COUNTY OF CLARK.						
THIS WARRANT MAY BE SERVED AT ANY HOUR OF THE DAY OR NIGHT.						
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND THIS 25TH DAY OF JUNE, 2019. Will Hard JUSTICE OF THE PEACE IN AND FOR SAID TOWNSHIP KAREN BENNETT-HARON						
SHEI 	RIFF'S RETURN					
ON THE DAY OF	ED THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING BENCH WARRANT ,, AND SERVED THE SAME BY NT,, INTO COU					
1843X	LOMBARDO, SHERIFF, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA					
Ch Wattack	, DEPUTY					
MATANTANIAN TAN	18					

2. Criminal Bindover Confidential Placeholder

Electronically Filed
7/12/2019 10:11 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

1	INFM		Dimp. som				
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney						
3	Nevada Bar #001565 DAVID STANTON						
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003202						
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212	•					
	(702) 671-2500						
6	Attorney for Plaintiff	OT GOIMT					
7	10:00 A.M. CLARK COU	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA					
8	PD BALLOU						
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO:	C-19-341735-1				
10	Plaintiff,	CABE NO.	C-17-541755-1				
11	-vs-	DEPT NO:	VI				
12	DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY,						
13	#7031173	INFO	RMATION				
14	Defendant.		KWII I I O I				
15	STATE OF NEVADA)						
16	COUNTY OF CLARK) ss.						
17	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State						
18	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority	of the State of Nevac	la, informs the Court:				
19	That DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed						
20	the crime of CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON						
21	(Category C Felony - NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3) - NOC 51459), on or about the 12th day of June,						
22	2019, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of						
23	statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of						
24	Nevada, did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his						
25	<i>#</i> .						
26							

W:\2019\2019F\118\43\19F11843-INFM-(CRAWLEY__DAINE)-001.DOCX

28

person, a firearm or other deadly weapon, to wit: a knife. STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 BYDAVID STANTON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003202 19F11843X/rmj LVMPD EV#190600056903 (TK7)



1 **GPA** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 DAVID STANTON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003202 4 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 11 -VS-12

FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

JUIL 1 5 2019

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

C-19-341735-1 **Guilty Plea Agreement** 4849207

Plaintiff.

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY. #7031173

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

CASE NO:

C-19-341735-1

DEPT NO:

VI

GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

I hereby agree to plead guilty to: CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON (Category C Felony - NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3) - NOC 51459), as more fully alleged in the charging document attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

My decision to plead guilty is based upon the plea agreement in this case which is as follows:

The State retains the right to argue at sentencing. The State will not oppose Defendant's own recognizance release at entry of plea.

I agree to the forfeiture of any and all weapons or any interest in any weapons seized and/or impounded in connection with the instant case and/or any other case negotiated in whole or in part in conjunction with this plea agreement.

I understand and agree that, if I fail to interview with the Department of Parole and Probation, fail to appear at any subsequent hearings in this case, or an independent magistrate, by affidavit review, confirms probable cause against me for new criminal charges including

reckless driving or DUI, but excluding minor traffic violations, the State will have the unqualified right to argue for any legal sentence and term of confinement allowable for the crime(s) to which I am pleading guilty, including the use of any prior convictions I may have to increase my sentence as an habitual criminal to five (5) to twenty (20) years, life without the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years, or a definite twenty-five (25) year term with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years.

Otherwise I am entitled to receive the benefits of these negotiations as stated in this plea agreement.

CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLEA

I understand that by pleading guilty I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offense(s) to which I now plead as set forth in Exhibit "1".

I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty The Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of not less than ONE (1) year and a maximum term of not more than FIVE (5) years. The minimum term of imprisonment may not exceed forty percent (40%) of the maximum term of imprisonment. I understand that I may also be fined up to \$10,000.00. I understand that the law requires me to pay an Administrative Assessment Fee.

I understand that, if appropriate, I will be ordered to make restitution to the victim of the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty and to the victim of any related offense which is being dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement. I will also be ordered to reimburse the State of Nevada for any expenses related to my extradition, if any.

I understand that I am eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty. I understand that, except as otherwise provided by statute, the question of whether I receive probation is in the discretion of the sentencing judge.

I understand that I must submit to blood and/or saliva tests under the Direction of the Division of Parole and Probation to determine genetic markers and/or secretor status.

I understand that if I am pleading guilty to charges of Burglary, Invasion of the Home, Possession of a Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell, Sale of a Controlled Substance, or

Gaming Crimes, for which I have prior felony conviction(s), I will not be eligible for probation and may receive a higher sentencing range.

I understand that if more than one sentence of imprisonment is imposed and I am eligible to serve the sentences concurrently, the sentencing judge has the discretion to order the sentences served concurrently or consecutively.

I understand that information regarding charges not filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement may be considered by the judge at sentencing.

I have not been promised or guaranteed any particular sentence by anyone. I know that my sentence is to be determined by the Court within the limits prescribed by statute.

I understand that if my attorney or the State of Nevada or both recommend any specific punishment to the Court, the Court is not obligated to accept the recommendation.

I understand that if the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty was committed while I was incarcerated on another charge or while I was on probation or parole that I am not eligible for credit for time served toward the instant offense(s).

I understand that if I am not a United States citizen, any criminal conviction will likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:

- 1. The removal from the United States through deportation:
- 2. An inability to reenter the United States;
- 3. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;
- 4. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or
- 5. An indeterminate term of confinement, with the United States Federal Government based on my conviction and immigration status.

Regardless of what I have been told by any attorney, no one can promise me that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact my ability to become a United States citizen and/or a legal resident.

I understand that the Division of Parole and Probation will prepare a report for the sentencing judge prior to sentencing. This report will include matters relevant to the issue of sentencing, including my criminal history. This report may contain hearsay information

regarding my background and criminal history. My attorney and I will each have the opportunity to comment on the information contained in the report at the time of sentencing. Unless the District Attorney has specifically agreed otherwise, the District Attorney may also comment on this report.

WAIVER OF RIGHTS

By entering my plea of guilty, I understand that I am waiving and forever giving up the following rights and privileges:

- 1. The constitutional privilege against self-incrimination, including the right to refuse to testify at trial, in which event the prosecution would not be allowed to comment to the jury about my refusal to testify.
- 2. The constitutional right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury, free of excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial to the defense, at which trial I would be entitled to the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained. At trial the State would bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the offense(s) charged.
- 3. The constitutional right to confront and cross-examine any witnesses who would testify against me.
- 4. The constitutional right to subpoena witnesses to testify on my behalf.
- 5. The constitutional right to testify in my own defense.
- 6. The right to appeal the conviction with the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained, unless specifically reserved in writing and agreed upon as provided in NRS 174.035(3). I understand this means I am unconditionally waiving my right to a direct appeal of this conviction, including any challenge based upon reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings as stated in NRS 177.015(4). However, I remain free to challenge my conviction through other post-conviction remedies including a habeas corpus petition pursuant to NRS Chapter 34.

VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

I have discussed the elements of all of the original charge(s) against me with my attorney and I understand the nature of the charge(s) against me.

I understand that the State would have to prove each element of the charge(s) against me at trial.

I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in my favor.

All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my attorney.

I believe that pleading guilty and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and that a trial would be contrary to my best interest.

I am signing this agreement voluntarily, after consultation with my attorney, and I am not acting under duress or coercion or by virtue of any promises of leniency, except for those set forth in this agreement.

I am not now under the influence of any intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance or other drug which would in any manner impair my ability to comprehend or understand this agreement or the proceedings surrounding my entry of this plea.

My attorney has answered all my questions regarding this guilty plea agreement and its consequences to my satisfaction and I am satisfied with the services provided by my attorney.

DATED this l.5 day of July, 2019.

Defendant

AGREED TO BY:

Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003202

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I, the undersigned, as the attorney for the Defendant named herein and as an officer of the court hereby certify that:

- 1. I have fully explained to the Defendant the allegations contained in the charge(s) to which guilty pleas are being entered.
- 2. I have advised the Defendant of the penalties for each charge and the restitution that the Defendant may be ordered to pay.
- 3. I have inquired of Defendant facts concerning Defendant's immigration status and explained to Defendant that if Defendant is not a United States citizen any criminal conviction will most likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:
 - a. The removal from the United States through deportation;
 - b. An inability to reenter the United States;
 - c. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;
 - d. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or
 - e. An indeterminate term of confinement, by with United States Federal Government based on the conviction and immigration status.

Moreover, I have explained that regardless of what Defendant may have been told by any attorney, no one can promise Defendant that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact Defendant's ability to become a United States citizen and/or legal resident.

- 4. All pleas of guilty offered by the Defendant pursuant to this agreement are consistent with the facts known to me and are made with my advice to the Defendant.
- 5. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the Defendant:
 - a. Is competent and understands the charges and the consequences of pleading guilty as provided in this agreement,
 - b. Executed this agreement and will enter all guilty pleas pursuant hereto voluntarily, and
 - c. Was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance or other drug at the time I consulted with the Defendant as certified in paragraphs 1 and 2 above.

Dated: This 15th day of July, 2019.

DEFENSE ATTY. NAME

rmj/L3

Electronically Filed
7/12/2019 10:11 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

1	INFM		Otemp. Line
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney		
3	Nevada Bar #001565 DAVID STANTON		
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003202	_	
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212		
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7 8	I.A. 7/15/19 DISTRIC 10:00 A.M. CLARK COU PD BALLOU	CT COURT NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	1	
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO:	C-19-341735-1
11	-VS-	DEPT NO:	VI
12	DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY,		
13	#7031173	INFO	RMATION
14	Defendant.		
15	STATE OF NEVADA		
16	COUNTY OF CLARK ss.		
17	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Att	orney within and for	r the County of Clark, State
18	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of	of the State of Nevad	la, informs the Court:
19	That DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY, th	e Defendant(s) abov	e named, having committed
20	the crime of CARRYING CONCEALED	FIREARM OR OT	HER DEADLY WEAPON
21	(Category C Felony - NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3) - NOC 51459), on or about the 12th day of June,		
22	2019, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of		
23	statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of		
24	Nevada, did then and there willfully, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his		
25	// .		

EXHIBIT "1"

26

27

28

W:\2019\2019F\\118\43\\19F1\\843-INFM-(CRAWLEY_DAINE)-001.DOCX

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

DAVID STANTON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003202

Electronically Filed
7/28/2022 8:39 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

VS.

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

19

20

21

23

24 25 DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C-19-341735-1

Companion Case: A-20-816041-W

DEPT. NO. VI

Arraignment held in LLA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SHANNON WITTENBERGER,

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

MONDAY, JULY 15, 2019

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING:

INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

JOHN TORRE

Deputized Law Clerk

For the Defendant:

ERIKA D. BALLOU, ESQ., Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: SHARON NICHOLS, COURT RECORDER

Page 1

24

25

Las Vegas, Nevada; Monday, July 15, 2019 [Proceeding commenced at 10:24 a.m.]

THE COURT: Page 14, State of Nevada versus Daine Crawley, C341753. Good morning.

MS. BALLOU: Good morning, Your Honor. Erika Ballou with the Clark County Public Defender's Office, bar number 8365 on Mr. Crawley's behalf. He's making his way to the microphone.

THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

THE COURT: I have a guilty plea agreement which indicates that Mr. Crawley will plead guilty to carrying concealed firearm or other deadly weapon, a C felony.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Thank you. The State retains the right to argue at sentencing and the State will not oppose an O.R. release after entry of plea. Counsels, is that accurate?

MS. BALLOU: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. TORRE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Crawley, is that your understanding of the negotiations?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: What is your true full name please?

THE DEENDANT: Daine Anton Crawley.

THE COURT: And how old are you?

maximum of five years in the Nevada Department of Corrections and

1	MS. BALLOU: Okay. I was like she
2	THE COURT CLERK: I'm going off of their own her old
3	time.
4	MS. BALLOU: Okay, I was like she never started at 8:30.
5	THE COURT CLERK: Yeah. It's now it's going to be 9
6	o'clock though in November.
7	MS. BALLOU: Oh, crap. Okay. Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Thanks.
9	MS. BALLOU: I think I wrote 9:30 anyways.
10	[Proceeding concluded at 10:27 a.m.]
11	* * * * *
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed
21	the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	
23	Chause Ward
24	Charisse Ward Court Recorder/Transcriber
25	

T

Q	K	
- (62	

The

Address: 330 S. (asine Center BLVD.). City/State/Zip: Las Vegas, NV B9101 Phone: N/t	MDC Daine Anton Crawley 703117
Phone: N/K	Address: 330 S. (asine Center BLVD)
	Phone: N/A DEFENDANT IN PROPER PERSON

FILED 0CT 2 8 2019

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

	Vevada
	Plaintiff,
vs.	
Daine	Anton (rawley 7031173
	Defendant

State of

Case No.: ______

MOTION TO DISMISS COUNSEL AND APPOINT ALTERNATE COUNSEL

COMES NOW, the Defendant Daine Anton Crawley ... and moves this Honorable Court to dismiss Defendant's counsel, Erika Ballow, and appoint alternate counsel to represent Defendant.

This Motion is based upon all papers, pleadings, and documents on file.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

It is respectfully requested of this court to grant this Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel for the reasons listed below:

OCT 28 2019
CLERK OF THE COURT

C - 19 - 341735 - 1 MDC Motion to Dismiss Counsel



1 I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND AND FACTUAL SUMMARY Since Erika Ballov was appointed as counsel on Jone 17,2019 Defendant 2 has been prejudiced and suffered manifest injustice based on counsel's refusal or failure to: 3 Daine Anton Crawky, am filing a motion to dismiss Coursel 4 and appoint alternate counsel due to impropriate representation. The 5 defendant believes that his best interests were not expressed at his 6 preliminary hearing (s) in Justice Court. The preliminary hearing was continued 7 multiple times to the District Attorneys advantage without the defendants presence on June 28th 2019, July 1st 2019; and also would have been continued on July 11th 2019; until the defendant reluctantly agreed to a plea agreement that was supposed to allow him to enter "The Crossroads inpatient treatment center on July 16th, 2019. It is the defendants belief that this said program "Crossronds along with his need for surgery of a Fractured right wrist Scaphoid) both used as leverage against him to sign a plea agreement Since the surgery was scheduled for August 19, 2019 and the program he had been waiting for was the following tuesday after much anticipation. The defendant only had about 10 minutes to go over the details of the plea agreement and this being the reason the Consequences of the plea agreement were not explained in its entirely at 20 or before the District Court arraignment on July 15th 2019. The 21 defendants choice to enter the Cross roads program was of the deterdants 22 own accord prior to Said charge, however as part of the plea 23 agreement the defendant was told he would be released prior to 24 the said entry date of July 16th 2019. The date of signing 25 was July 15th 2019, though the own recognizance release did 26 not take place until after 8pm July 16" 2019 wel ter bed date. Mrs. Erika Ballov has still not ordered or requested an application for "Drug court, or responded via mail or telephone Continued

Gonzales Vs. State Nev. 2016

II. ARGUMENT

Sawlysserts that he/she is being denied his/her right Defendant, Daine Anton

to effective representation due to wholly inadequate actions of his/her court-appointed counsel.

Further, counsel's actions constitute a violation of the Defendant's due process rights under the

following cases, statutes, and/or rules of professional conduct:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Public Defender Erika Ballov declined to review documents regarding the defendants mental Health Substance Abuse issues during the July 11th, 2019 pretiminary hearing indicated the lack of representation in requesting Body Cam footage The lack of) evidence and for witnesses that may have made statements resulting in the probable cause and for illegal search and seizure on the night in question of June 12th, 2019 It is the request to have Mrs. Erika Ballow dismissed as counsel as it appears she may be in canoots with the District Attorney in not having the motion to withdraw quilty plen evidentary Hearing Lated october 1st, 2019 Filed in District Court before sentencing date. This motion is being filed without presidice and factual in all aspects. It is the defendants request that motion be granted with noperudice shown by DA Buffalo vs. State, Nev. 1995, Young vs. State, Nev. 2004 Rule 4.1 Rule 4,2 Rule 6.4 Mitchell vs. State Nev. 1993 Mayerus State

WHEREFORE, the undersigned prays that the court grant Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel.

DATED THIS 22nd day of October. 2019

Respectfully submitted,

39

Daine Clambey #7031173 CCDC 330 S. Casin to Center BLVD Las Vegas, NV E9101

the true for the time to the 下下 · 四层的 云三明日



SENT FROM CODE

Clerk of The District Court 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89155-1160

The state of the s

HIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT

© USPS 2013

"LEGAL MAIL"



10/30/2019 10:50 AM Steven D. Grierson **DISTRICT COURT** 1 CLERK OF THE COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2 3 State of Nevada Case No.: C-19-341735-1 4 Department 6 Daine Crawley 5 6 **NOTICE OF HEARING** 7 Please be advised that the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint 8 Alternate Counsel in the above-entitled matter is set for hearing as follows: 9 November 18, 2019 Date: 10 9:30 AM Time: 11 Location: **RJC Courtroom 10C** Regional Justice Center 12 200 Lewis Ave. 13 Las Vegas, NV 89101 14 NOTE: Under NEFCR 9(d), if a party is not receiving electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System, the movant requesting a 15 hearing must serve this notice on the party by traditional means. 16 17 STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court 18 19 By: /s/ Michelle McCarthy Deputy Clerk of the Court 20 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 21 I hereby certify that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversion 22 Rules a copy of this Notice of Hearing was electronically served to all registered users on 23 this case in the Eighth Judicial District Court Electronic Filing System. 24 By: /s/ Michelle McCarthy 25 Deputy Clerk of the Court 26 27

Electronically Filed

Electronically Filed 5/5/2022 8:47 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY,

Defendant.

CASE NO: C-19-341735-1

DEPT. NO. VI

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE M. BLUTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE,

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2019

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE:
ALL PENDING MOTIONS

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

SHANON L. CLOWERS, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

ERIKA D. BALLOU, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: PATTI SLATTERY, COURT RECORDER

1

Case Number: C-19-341735-1

1	Las Vegas, Nevada; Wednesday, November 13, 2019
2	[Proceeding commenced at 11:52 a.m.]
3	
4	THE COURT: Page 40, C341735, State versus Daine
5	Crawley. Defendant in custody. Ms. Ballou on his behalf. Ms. Clowers
6	on behalf of the State.
7	So this was the time and date set for sentencing, but then I
8	saw a motion filed on behalf of the Defendant set for November 18 th . So
9	I
10	MS. BALLOU: I just got a motion to dismiss counsel. I didn't
11	have a date for it.
12	THE COURT: I think it's set for November 18 th .
13	THE DEFENDANT: I have documents, ma'am, if I can
14	forward them to you.
15	THE COURT: What are they?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Documents from the court stating about
17	this hearing in on the 18 th .
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MS. BALLOU: Can I take a look?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, just forward everything.
21	MS. BALLOU: I'm just going to show them to the State as
22	well.
23	THE DEFENDANT: That was for you, ma'am.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Anything that you hand over have to be
25	has to be shown to the State.

1	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. As long as it gets to you. Thank
2	you.
3	THE COURT: Yeah, it'll it'll get here.
4	[Colloquy between counsel]
5	MS. BALLOU: And, Your Honor, this is a motion to withdraw
6	a guilty plea evidentiary hearing and a motion that neither Ms. Clowers
7	nor myself have ever seen before and then a notice of hearing for
8	November 18 th and then a letter from him as well
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MS. BALLOU: that I've also never seen. Do you want me
11	to approach with these?
12	THE COURT: Yes, please.
13	MS. BALLOU: Okay. And, again, I've seen I'm seeing
14	these for the first time right now
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. BALLOU: so I haven't had an opportunity to go through
17	them. The motion that I've got is a motion to dismiss counsel and
18	appoint alternate counsel that didn't have a date on it, but I got a call
19	from your law clerk saying that that was going to be heard this morning,
20	so that's what I was prepared for.
21	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you are seeking to
22	withdraw your guilty plea; right?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Just a quick overlay of
24	what's going on. Since August I've been trying to make contact with the

Public Defender's Office by phone and -- phone and mail. Nobody's

responded. Just like this morning, she still hasn't spoken to me about anything.

October 1st one was sent to the Court for the motion to withdraw a plea. The Court sent her a copy and I also sent her a copy on October 1st. That's what that said it was received on October 8th, I believe is on that letter.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: And just the main concern was mostly too is the PSI had some incorrect information. I would hope to try to have another evaluation done.

THE COURT: So what is it that you -- why do you want to withdraw your guilty plea, though?

THE DEFENDANT: I wrote the motion. I just submitted it.

There's -- I believe there's evidence that that would prove otherwise that I'm not guilty. There is, you know, body camera evidence.

THE COURT: Well, so -- but there has to be legal basis of why --

THE DEFENDANT: It's in the motion.

THE COURT: -- why you feel like you can withdraw your guilty plea. So what happens is I have to appoint another attorney --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: -- to see if there's a valid reason for you to withdraw your guilty plea.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. I would just like for you to read the motion. I took time to write it myself.

THE COURT: No. I understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: I cited different cases and also, you know --

THE COURT: So what will happen though, see you're not -- you're not your own attorney --

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: So I have to appoint an attorney who will get a copy of this and will be able -- if he believes or she believes that you have a valid basis to withdraw your guilty plea, they then file a motion.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. I'd just been trying since August, you know. And I've gotten nowhere with any -- you know, anybody in the Public Defender's Office whatsoever.

THE COURT: Sure. So what we're going to do is we're going to set this for next week for confirmation of counsel in regards to the limited motion of whether or not the Defendant has a basis to withdraw his plea. So we'll have this stapled and filed on behalf of the Defendant as a left side filing, so that the defense attorney who's appointed -- you could just staple it all together if you want.

THE COURT CLERK: Sure.

MS. BALLOU: And, Your Honor, who are you going to appoint because I could send them the discovery?

THE COURT CLERK: Next in line will be Carl Arnold.

THE DEFENDANT: One last thing. I've been trying to get an evaluation for drug court program. How do I go about doing that the correct way? I wrote a letter to Specialty Court Coordinator, but I

haven't received any response. I just want to know how to go about it correctly.

MS. BALLOU: And, Your Honor, just for the record, I haven't had any contact with Mr. Crawley since the — the entry of plea on July 15th. He was supposed to have received an OR. I haven't had any phone calls from him. I didn't know he was in custody. I came in this morning and said — and started calling his name over here and then Ms. Sisolak told me he was in custody.

THE COURT: Oh.

MS. BALLOU: So I had literally no idea. I've never received anything other --

THE COURT: Did you pick up a new case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. There's an underlying issue on something further.

THE COURT: So you have another case in the system?

THE DEFENDANT: It's -- it's already being trailed with this. It's an already concurrent sentence with this one.

THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. Just wait. Did you ever get out on my case? Were you OR'd and got out?

THE DEFENDANT: This is the issue at hand.

THE COURT: Okay, no. I need you to answer yes or no. Did you get out on my case?

THE DEFENDANT: I was released --

THE COURT: I am not playing this game. I just need you to say yes or no.

THE DEFENDANT: -- over 24 hours after I was supposed to do the sign in, yes, ma'am. And I did -- I was supposed to go into a program that they did not allow me to enter.

THE COURT: What program?

THE DEFENDANT: It's all in the outlined information right there, ma'am.

MS. BALLOU: So -- so just for the record, Your Honor, again, my social --

THE DEFENDANT: She's playing the district attorney right here, you know, what I mean?

THE COURT: No, she's not. She's trying to help you.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. I apologize, but I need a real attorney.

MS. BALLOU: My social workers were trying to get in contact with him. We tried to contact him through the information that he told us. We had — I had literally no idea he was in custody. If I had known that, I would have had some additional information at the time prepared for the sentencing. But I didn't receive any phone calls. I didn't receive any letters or anything, so I didn't know he was in custody. The only thing I received was this from the Court which is the motion to dismiss counsel and appoint alternate counsel, and again I was anticipating him being out of custody, so I didn't know that he was trying to reach me.

You can call the Public Defender's Office. I've told everybody that. If you can't reach me because I'm not sitting at my desk if you call the front desk, you can -- you can get through and leave a message. So

I don't know -- I didn't know he was custody and I didn't have any other information.

THE COURT: All right. So here's the -- the thing. We're talking about two different things, right. So you want to withdraw your guilty plea, that's -- we've taken care of that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You're getting a new attorney to look at that.

Drug Court only happens is if you have a plea, right? So you're trying to get out of this guilty plea and -- but you want to get into Drug Court?

You can't get into Drug Court until you've entered a plea guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand. I was just trying to have that as an option as I have been --

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: -- since April even before all these cases, you know.

THE COURT: Right.

THE DEFENDANT: I was trying to address these issues a long time ago.

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: But she is aware of that part.

THE COURT: So we can only deal with B until we figure out

A. So if you have a valid basis to withdraw your guilty plea, then we
wouldn't be considering any treatment options, right, because you want
to back out of your deal. Which is fine, that's your right.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: So we got to deal with one thing at a time. So Mr. Arnold has been appointed.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: He's going to confirm next week. He's going to look through your file and see if there's a valid basis to withdraw your guilty plea. If there is, he'll file a motion. If he finds that there is not, then we'll go forward with sentencing. And Ms. Ballou or whoever is representing you can look into Drug Court options.

MS. CLOWERS: And, Judge, I will note that he did --

THE DEFENDANT: Well --

MS. CLOWERS: -- he was arrested on -- on August 9th, 2019 for grand larceny in 19F16376X. I don't have the status of that though.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: It's scheduled for tomorrow.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So let's come back next week for confirmation of counsel.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you and I apologize, ma'am.

THE COURT CLERK: Confirmation of counsel November 20th, 9:30, Carl Arnold. So PD staying in the case at this point or do you want me to withdraw him?

THE COURT: So if Carl will be appointed for the, yeah, sole basis of the motion to withdraw, but the PD will remain on the case outside of that.

THE COURT CLERK: Thank you.

MS. BALLOU: And, Your Honor, just for the record again, I'm in trial next week. I'm going to email Mr. Arnold the discovery, so he can make sure he doesn't have a conflict. If we are back on it for sentencing, I'd ask somebody to just let me know so that I can apply for Drug Court and some other programming for him.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BALLOU: Thank you.

[Proceeding concluded at 12:00 p.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Deloris Scott

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 11/19/2019 2:04 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 NOTC

STEVEN B. WOLFSON

Clark County District Attorney

Nevada Bar #001565 SHANON CLOWERS

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #010008 200 Lewis Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

7 8

9

10

11

2

3

4

5

6

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

-VS-

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY. #7031173

Plaintiff,

CASE NO: C-19-341735-1

DEPT NO: VI

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK PUNISHMENT AS A HABITUAL CRIMINAL

TO: DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY, Defendant; and

TO: PUBLIC DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER, Counsel of Record:

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to NRS 207.010, the STATE OF NEVADA will seek punishment of Defendant DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY, as a habitual criminal in the event of a felony conviction in the above-entitled action.

That in the event of a felony conviction in the above-entitled action, the STATE OF NEVADA will ask the court to sentence Defendant DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY as a habitual criminal based upon the following felony convictions, to-wit:

- That on or about 2010, the Defendant was convicted in the State of 1. Virginia, for the crime of Conspiracy to Commit Felony (felony) in CR10F01924.
- 2. That on or about 2010, the Defendant was convicted in the State of Virginia, for the crime of Grand Larceny (felony) in CR10F01926.

19F11843X/rmj/L3

Electronically Filed 5/5/2022 8:47 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE NO. C-19-341735-1

DEPT NO. VI

RTRAN

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES THOMPSON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2019

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:
ALL PENDING MOTIONS

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

SARAH OVERLY, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

ERIKA D. BALLOU, ESQ., Deputy Public Defender

CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER

Page 1

Case Number: C-19-341735-1

MS. OVERLY: Oh, is that what it is?

THE COURT: Yeah. He wants to withdraw --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. BALLOU: Yes. And that's what -THE COURT: -- his plea?

MS. OVERLY: In this case?

MS. BALLOU: Yes.

MS. OVERLY: But not the other case.

MS. BALLOU: I have no idea about the other case.

MS. OVERLY: Well, subsequently pled in that case and he's got a sentencing date. So, I wasn't aware he sought to withdraw his plea in this case.

THE COURT: I didn't understand that he wanted to withdraw his plea in this case. I thought he just was unhappy with Ms. Ballou and the Court permitted the --

MS. BALLOU: No. She made it clear at the last hearing that Mr. Arnold is only on for the purposes of withdrawing his plea --

THE COURT: Oh.

MS. BALLOU: And that if he's -- there is no reason to withdraw his plea then he comes back to me for sentencing.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you discussed this with your client?

MR. ARNOLD: No. Your Honor, I haven't been appointed. I'm confirming today. I wouldn't be able to look at it until, you know, after the holidays in January. So I would just ask the Court for that at least on January 15th to set it for a status in regards to whether he can withdraw his plea or not.

THE COURT: All right.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	ĺ
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	İ
15	
16	
17	
18	ا
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

THE COURT CLERK: Status check withdrawal of plea January 15th, 9:30.

THE COURT: And put it on for sentencing too because --

THE COURT CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- if he doesn't withdraw his plea then we'll -- we'll go ahead with sentencing.

THE COURT CLERK: Status check withdrawal

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT CLERK: -- of plea sentencing January 15th,

9:30.

MS. OVERLY: I'm sorry. What was that date again?

THE COURT CLERK: January 15th, 9:30.

[Proceedings concluded at 10:08 a.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Charisse Ward

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Charles Ward

Electronically Filed 5/5/2022 8:47 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE NO. C-19-341735-1

DEPT NO. VI

RTRAN

2

1

3

5

7

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 15, 2020

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:

ALL PENDING MOTIONS

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

ELISE CONLIN, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER

Page 1

Las Vegas, Nevada; Wednesday, January 15, 2020 [Proceeding commenced at 10:06 a.m.]

THE COURT: C341735, State of Nevada versus Daine Anton Crawley. He's present in custody. Mr. Arnold on his behalf. This is -- where are we at with this?

MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor, I'm just going to ask for two more weeks. He gave me a letter. I just went over it this morning and I do believe he does have a basis for withdrawal of the guilty plea and so I'll probably file it in the next week or so.

THE COURT: All right. So let's do a status check. Let's just pass this over for two weeks please.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, ma'am.

THE COURT CLERK: January 29th, 9:30.

MR. ARNOLD: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Proceedings concluded at 10:07 a.m.]

* * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Charisse Ward

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 5/5/2022 8:47 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE NO. C-19-341735-1

DEPT NO. VI

RTRAN

2

1

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 29, 2020

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:

ALL PENDING MOTIONS

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

ELISE CONLIN, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER

Page 1
Case Number: C-19-341735-1

THE COURT CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. Defendant's motion will be due January 31st, State's response will be due February 7th and we'll place that for argument Wednesday, December -- excuse me -- Wednesday, February 19th 9:30.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:53 a.m.]

* * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Charisse Ward

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 1/31/2020 10:10 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

MOT CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 8358 LAW OFFICE OF CARL E.G. ARNOLD 1428 South Jones Boulevard 5 Las Vegas, NV 89146 LVCEGA1@yahoo.com 7 P:(702) 358-1138 F: (702) 253-6997 Attorneys for Defendant 11 13 DISTRICT COURT 15 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 17 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Case No.: C-19-341735-1 19 Plaintiff, DEPT.: 6 21 VS. MOTION TO WITHDRAW 23 **GUILTY PLEA** DAINE CRAWLEY, 25 Defendant 27 29 COMES NOW, Defendant Daine Crawley, by and through his attorney of record, CARL 31 E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ., and hereby submits the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities 33 in support of his motion to withdraw his guilty plea. Mr. Crawley's motion to withdraw guilty 35 plea is made and based upon all papers on file for this case, the attached memorandum of points 37 and authorities, and any oral argument allowed by this Honorable Court. 39 Dated this 31st day of January, 2020. 41 43 45 CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ. 1428 S. Jones Blvd. 47 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 (702) 358-1138 49

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned will bring the above and foregoing
Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea for DAINE CRAWLEY for hearing before the Court at the
courtroom of the above-entitled Court on the day of, 2020, at
m. in Department 6.
DATED this 31st day of January, 2020.
Cail E. H. amdd
CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8358
1428 South Jones Boulevard

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Las Vegas, NV 89146 (702) 358-1138

On July 15, 2019, Mr. Crawley pled guilty to one count of Carrying a Concealed Firearm or Deadly Weapon. As part of the guilty plea, Mr. Crawley was to receive an own recognizance release so he could go to the Crossroads Residential Treatment Center for in patient drug treatment on the same day. Mr. Crawley was not released until July 16, 2019 at 8 p.m. and a bed was no longer available for Mr. Crawley. Accordingly, Mr. Crawley did not receive the benefit of the guilty plea agreement that he was most interested in, which was a timely release so he could be accepted to the in patient treatment program.

Moreover, Mr. Crawley learned when he was placed back into custody after committing a new crime on August 9, 2019, that he was subject to illegal search and seizure relating to his arrest for the crime on June 12, 2019. Specifically, Mr. Crawley believes that Body Camera

footage, in the State's possession, will demonstrate that the police never had probable cause to commence the stop and search of Mr. Crawley.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

District courts may grant a motion to withdraw a guilty plea prior to sentencing for any substantial, fair and just reason. Crawford v. State, 30 P.3d 1123, 1125 (Nev. 2001). Accordingly, Nevada trial and appellate courts must apply a more relaxed standard to presentence motions to withdraw guilty pleas than to post-sentencing motions. Molina v. State, 87 P.3d 533, 537 (Nev. 2004), NRS 176.165. To determine whether the defendant advanced a substantial, fair and just reason to withdraw a plea, the district court must consider the totality of the circumstances to determine whether the defendant entered the plea voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently. State v. Freese, 116 Nev. 1097 (2000).

Mr. Crawley did not receive the benefit of the bargain by being immediately released on his own recognizance after the entry of his guilty plea. Consequently, he lost his placement at the in patient treatment program. Moreover, Mr. Crawley learned that the police did not have probable cause to stop and search him in the first instant and that his prior counsel did not review the Body Camera footage to argue the illegal search by motion to this Court. Accordingly, Mr. Crawley's plea was not completely knowing and voluntary since he did not obtain all the information necessary to make an informed decision regarding his guilty plea and the benefits of the bargain.

41

1

3

5

7

9

11

13

15

17

19

21

23

25

27

29

31

33

35

37

39

43

45 47

49

footage, in the State's possession, will demonstrate that the police never had probable cause to commence the stop and search of Mr. Crawley.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

District courts may grant a motion to withdraw a guilty plea prior to sentencing for any substantial, fair and just reason. Crawford v. State, 30 P.3d 1123, 1125 (Nev. 2001).

Accordingly, Nevada trial and appellate courts must apply a more relaxed standard to presentence motions to withdraw guilty pleas than to post-sentencing motions. Molina v. State, 87 P.3d 533, 537 (Nev. 2004), NRS 176.165. To determine whether the defendant advanced a substantial, fair, and just reason to withdraw a plea, the district court must consider the totality of the circumstances to determine whether the defendant entered the plea voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently. State v. Freese, 116 Nev. 1097 (2000).

Mr. Crawley did not receive the benefit of the bargain by being immediately released on his own recognizance after the entry of his guilty plea. Consequently, he lost his placement at the in patient treatment program. Moreover, Mr. Crawley learned that the police did not have probable cause to stop and search him in the first instant and that his prior counsel did not review the Body Camera footage to argue the illegal search by motion to this Court. Accordingly, Mr. Crawley's plea was not completely knowing and voluntary since he did not obtain all the information necessary to make an informed decision regarding his guilty plea and the benefits of the bargain.

CONCLUSION Based on the foregoing arguments, Mr. Crawley prays that this Honorable Court grant the Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea. Dated this 31st of January, 2020. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY: Nevada Bar No. 008358 LVCEGA1@yahoo.com 1428 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** This certifies that this office has forwarded electronically a copy of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea on January 31, 2020, by sending the attached to motions@clarkcountyda.com Carl E. H. amds

Electronically Filed	
1/31/2020 11:23 AM	
Steven D. Grierson	
CLERK OF THE COURT	
~ 1 24	l
Columb, Marie	-
	l .

1				T COURT		Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR
2				NTY, NEVADA ***	L	Alemah.
3	State of Nevada	a	I	Case No.: C	:-19-34173	35-1
4	vs Daine Crawley			Department 6		
5						
6			NOTICE O	F HEARING		
7						
8				Motion to Withd	raw Guilty	Plea in the above-
9		is set for hearing	_			
10	Date:	February 12, 2	2020			
11	Time:	9:30 AM				
12	Location:	RJC Courtroo Regional Justi	ice Center			
13		200 Lewis Av Las Vegas, N				
14	NOTE: Under	NEFCR 9(d),	if a party is	not receiving el	ectronic s	ervice through the
15	Eighth Judici	al District Co	urt Electronic	Filing System	n, the mo	vant requesting a
16	hearing must s	serve this notic	e on the party	by traditional	means.	
17			STEVEN D	GRIERSON, CE	EO/Clerk o	of the Court
18				011111111111111111111111111111111111111	20, C10AR 0	
19		By:	/s/ Marie Kra	mer		
20			Deputy Clerk	of the Court		
21		C	CERTIFICAT	E OF SERVICE	E	
22	I hereby certify	that pursuant t	to Rule 9(b) of	the Nevada Ele	ctronic Fil	ing and Conversion
23				electronically se Electronic Filing		registered users on
24						
25		By:	/s/ Marie Kra			
26			Deputy Clerk	of the Court		
	J					

2/14/2020 2:27 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT** 1 **OPPS** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 DAVID STANTON Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #003202 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff, 11 -VS-CASE NO: C-19-341735-1 12 DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY. DEPT NO: VI #7031173 13 Defendant. 14 15 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY **PLEA** 16 DATE OF HEARING: FEBRUARY 19, 2020 17 TIME OF HEARING: 9:30 A.M. 18 COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County 19 District Attorney, through DAVID STANTON, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and hereby 20 submits the attached Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's Motion To Withdraw 21 Guilty Plea. 22 This Opposition is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if 23 24 deemed necessary by this Honorable Court. 25 // 26 // 27 28

Electronically Filed

///

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

There are two fatal defects in the instant Motion. First, CRAWLEY fails to present evidence to this Court to support that there was a failure to "receive the benefit of the guilty plea." Second, these allegations, even if true, does not meet the legal standard to support granting the relief requested, to wit, withdrawal of his voluntary plea of guilt.

CRAWLEY complains that he was released one date after his guilty plea and that tardiness prevented him from being accepted into a treatment program. CRAWLEY offers no evidence to support such an unusual claim. Proof of that claim is required before this Court should even entertain the next component of CRAWLEY's argument. Thus, assuming arguendo, that such a minor delay did in fact prevent his entry into a particular treatment program, there is no relevant argument made how that would satisfy the legal requirements of Stevenson v. State, 131 Nev. 598, 603, 354 P.3d 1277, 1281 (2015).

Next, the State did not violate the guilty plea agreement and therefore that is not a basis to have the guilty plea withdrawn. No "delay" occurred in this case and as previously stated supra there is no evidence to support the claim that any "delay" caused CRAWLEY to not be admitted to a treatment program.

Consistent with a complete failure to present this Court with <u>any</u> evidence to support his claim, CRAWLEY claims that his plea is involuntary because his prior counsel failed to review the bodycam footage to establish that the search of his person and effects had been violated by police. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is the police report in this case. The search of CRAWLEY was based upon numerous citizen/witnesses describing to officers that CRAWLEY confronted people in the Excalibur parking lot with a knife. Further, police were told that CRAWLEY attempted to carjack an SUV with 3 women in the car. They were successful in speeding away from CRAWLEY after he had ripped open the door to the SUV.

There is no issue legal/factual basis of the detention, arrest or search of CRAWLEY.

Finally, the words of the Nevada Supreme Court ring true in this case with the warning the Court gave at the conclusion of their opinion in *Stevenson*:

1	Considering the totality of the circumstances, we have no difficulty in
2	Considering the totality of the circumstances, we have no difficulty in concluding that Stevenson failed to present a sufficient reason to permit withdrawal of his plea. Permitting him to withdraw his plea under the circumstances would allow the solemn entry of a guilty plea to "become a mere gesture, a temporary and meaningless formality reversible at the defendant's whim." Barker, 514 F.2d at 221. This we cannot allow.
3	gesture, a temporary and meaningless formality reversible at the defendant's whim." Barker, 514 F.2d at 221. This we cannot allow.
4	
5	Stevenson v. State, 354 P.3d 1277, 1281-82 (Nev. 2015).
6	DATED this day of February, 2020.
7	Respectfully submitted,
8	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney
9	Nevada Bar #001565
11	BY
12	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003202
13	1107udu Dui #003202
14	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING
15	I hereby certify that service of State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Withdraw
16	Guilty Plea, was made this 140 day of February, 2020, by Electronic Filing to:
17	CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.
18	CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ. lvcegal@yahoo.com
19	
20	A Master
21	Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
/ I	

19F11843X/DS/rmj/L3

EXHIBIT "1"

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARMENT DECLARATION OF ARREST REPORT

TCR1026989 County Jeil		City Jail	City Jail			عمدا	nile	Bureau: C		CAC		
(D#		EVENT #		ARRESTEE	NAME (LAS	5T)		(FIRST)	4)	4DDLE)	SSN#	-
7031173 LLV1906000569		56903	CRAWLEY				DAINE	A	NTON	610-07-0540		
RACE	SEX	DOB		HGT WOT F			R	EYES	POB			-
W	M	3/21/1986		5'08"	130	BU	K	BRO	RIVERS	SIDE		
ARRESTE	ES ADDRES	S STREE	1			<u> </u>		CITY		STATE	ZIP CODE	-
TRANS	ENT							LAS VEG/	as	NV	89109	
	OCCUR	EΟ		ARRES	1		LOCATI	ON OF ARRES	T (NUMBER,	STREET, CITY	, STATE, ZP CODE)	-
DATE: 6/13	2/2019	119 -TIME: 21:01 DATE: 8/12/2019 TIME: 21:01 3850 LAS VEGAS BLVD S LAS VEGAS NEVADA 891					NEVADA 891					
LOCATION	OFCRIME	(NUMBEA, STREE	T, CITY, 5	TATE, 2P CO	ĎE)							_
3850 L	IS VEGAS	BLVD'S LAS	VEGAS I	NEVADA 89	9109							•
CHARGES	10FFENSE	\$							· · · · ·			_
PC - JC	- 50201 -	F - ASSAULT, 1	WOW									
CONNECT	NG REPOR	TS (TYPE OR EVE	NT NUMBER	3R)					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
FELON	ARREST	PACKET										

The undersigned makes the following declarations subject to the penalty of perjury and says: That I am a peace officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Clark County, Nevada, being so employed for a period of approximately 1 year(s).

That I learned the following facts and circumstances which lead me to believe that the above named subject committed or was committing the offenses above at the location of 3850 LAS VEGAS BLVD S LAS VEGAS NEVADA 89109 and that the offense(s) occurred at approximately 21:01 hours on the 12th day of June, 2019.

Details for Probable Cause:

On 6/12/19 at approximately 2101 hours I, Officer J. Curry P#17371 while operating as marked patrol unit 3M16 and Officer D. Westhead P#14467 while operating as marked patrol 9M32, were dispatched to 3850 S Las Vegas Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89109 in reference to a person with a knife between the Excalibur and Luxor threatening pedestrians.

I made contact with Matthew Hawkins DOB 01/19/1983 in between the Excatibur and Luxor who made the following statements. Hawkins and his friend, Travis Duun DOB 06/18/85, were walking through the Excatibur hotel parking lot and were approached by a unknown male who was later identified as Daine Crawley DOB 03/21/86. Hawkins stated that Crawley got in his face and started uttering something to him that could not be made out. Crawley reached for something from his back pack while still in the face of Hawkins and as he looked down he saw that Crawley had retrieved a knife in his right hand with the blade exposed. Hawkins stepped away from Crawley to get to a safe distance and felt threatened and in fear. Crawley then approached a silver Mercedes SUV occupied with three females in the parking lot and attempted to open the door and the car was seen accelerating to get away. Crawley then walked up to a another vehicle in the parking lot and hit the window. Hawkins notified police and security, who later took Crawley into custody.

I made contact with Travis Duun who stated that himself and Hawkins were walking through the Excatibur parking lot and Crawley approached him mumbling something that could not be made out. Duun avoided Crawley and walked passed him, while doing so he looked back and saw Crawley stop Hawkins by getting in his face. Duun observed Crawley reach for something and Hawkins jumped back stating he's got a knife. Duun called the police and observed Crawley walk lowards a silver Mercades SUV in the parking lot and attempted to get into the vehicle by opening the door, failing to do so because the vehicle accelerated to get away from Crawley. Crawley then approached another unknown vehicle and hit the window.

Officer's took Crawley into custody and while conducting a pat down a pink knife with a assisted blade was found in his right rear pocket after Crawely made statements that he did not have a knife.

Wherefore, Declarant prays that a finding be made by a magistrate that probable cause exists to hold said person for preliminary hearing (if charges are a felony or grass misdemeanar) or far trial (if charges are misdemeanar).

Atresting Officer: 3 CORRY

P4: 17371

LVMPD 802 (Rev 02/18) Wood 2013

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT CONTINUATION REPORT

SCOPE ID: 7031173 EVENT #: LLV190600056903 Page 2 of 2

Based on the facts and circumstances of Crawley being in the face of Hawkins while holding a knife with the blade exposed causing Hawkins to jump back in fear that he was going to cause bodily harm, Crawley was charged with Assault, W/Dw(F)-NRS 200.471.2B and booked into CCDC accordingly.

****** End ******

Wherefore, Deciarant prays that a finding be made by a magistrate that probable cause exists to hold said person for preliminary hearing (if charges are a felony or grass misdemeanor) or for trial (if charges are misdemeanor).

Arresting Officer: J CURRY

P4: 17371

LYMPD 802 (Rev 02/18) Word 2013

Electronically Filed
5/5/2022 8:47 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE NO. C-19-341735-1

DEPT. NO. VI

RTRAN

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE BLUTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2020

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:

ARGUMENT: DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW
GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT...SENTENCING

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

ROBERT B. TURNER, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; FEBRUARY 19, 2020 [Proceeding commenced at 9:57 a.m.]

THE COURT: All right. Page 5 is C341735-1, State of Nevada versus Daine Anton Crawley. He's present in custody. Mr. Arnold on his behalf. Mr. Turner on behalf of the State. This is the defendant's motion to withdraw guilty plea agreement. Mr. Arnold, go ahead.

MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor, you read the reasons. I just finished reading the State's opposition. We just submit it on the reasonings. I mean, his main reason is that he didn't get out on the day of -- he entered into the plea agreement. He said that was his whole reason for entering into that plea agreement because, you know, that outpatient treatment program was set up for him to be seen on the 15th. And then he gets out on the 16th and there is no bed.

He also brought up the contention. In regards to that it was a illegal search. I just got a copy of the police report and discussed that with him. So I think our first basis is sufficient enough to do his motion to withdraw the guilty plea.

THE COURT: Mr. Turner, in regards to the first grounds.

MR. TURNER: Judge, I think the State's opposition sets forth our position that that's not a valid reason to withdraw the plea. We didn't oppose an O.R., but it's not like that was ever promised to him and obviously the Court canvassed him on that fact.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. TURNER: It's not a lawful basis for him to withdraw his plea for something that occurred after the fact.

THE COURT: All right. So, I mean, I think part of the reason why I do the canvass that I do is to discuss, you know, if there are any promises, any promises for leniency, any type of special treatment and that's why I do that thorough canvass is that so defendants understand that there aren't any promises in -- in place. And so, I don't think that it is a valid reason to withdraw the plea. So I am denying defendant's motion to withdraw the guilty plea agreement.

MR. CRAWLEY: Can I speak, please?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. CRAWLEY: I guess it's too late. You already denied it, but that was the entire issue and that's why I put in a withdrawal of counsel. And then I had to do -- I've been trying to get rid of this plea agreement since August and I had to get rid -- go through all the motions to get rid of a Public Defender to finally get -- Carl Arnold to help me.

You know, the whole entire reason was to go into in-patient treatment at Crossroads. That's the entire reason I took that plea agreement and it wasn't -- they -- they didn't release me for over 36 hours after the signing of that plea agreement. That's what was told to me. That's what's in the plea agreement.

THE COURT: Right. But you remember when I did the canvass and I discussed you -- you're not promised any special treatment, you can't -- there are no promises that are given, it's completely up to the discretion of the judge. There's no -- you're not

promised any specific treatment so if something falls through, it's not necessarily a reason to withdraw your plea.

MR. CRAWLEY: Well, why did they tell me that I would be out that day on the 15th and I wasn't going to be?

THE COURT: Who?

MR. CRAWLEY: Public Defender which she's in here right now. You can ask her yourself. She's here. The -- the whole agreement says it in there that you'll be released upon signing the plea agreement. That's what it says in the plea agreement.

THE COURT: Right. You mean O.R. after entry of plea?

MR. CRAWLEY: Right.

THE COURT: Right. Yeah. I mean, that doesn't mean you go back to court and you go back to jail and you get released right away.

MR. CRAWLEY: One -- one other thing that I found later is -- is they used the second charge that was actually -- it's over 72. Don't you have a 72-hour window of when you're supposed to be brought before a judge for an arraignment?

THE COURT: So, sir --

MR. CRAWLEY: I have that information here also. Under the -- the case law *Gonzales versus State*, it says that you are entitled to an evidentiary hearing if we're not released at a specific time that we were told.

THE COURT: All right. So those issues are not in front of me today. The issue --

MR. CRAWLEY: I got it over here if you would like to read it.

THE COURT: No. I know the case. I'm talking about the motions today that are in front of me are the motion to withdraw your guilty plea. I have found that there is not sufficient basis in order to do so. So that motion is denied. So the sentencing -- yes.

MR. TURNER: Judge, I know in checking the calendar, that it was also on for sentencing in the event the Court were deny -- to deny the motion. Mr. Stanton is the D.A. that was handling this matter. He would like leave of the court to pass this --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TURNER: -- for a week to do a sentencing memorandum. The defendant is habitual eligible. I informed Mr. Arnold of that fact before court started that we would request time to prepare that.

THE COURT: So my understanding, though, is Mr. Arnold, and correct me if I'm wrong, you were just appointed for the purpose of looking at the withdrawal of the plea, correct?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor, but I'll assist him with the sentencing. He prefers that I do it as opposed to going back to a Public Defender. I have no problem with that. The only thing in regards to the sentencing, we just checked the file. I don't see a PSI report for this case. And he's already advised me that there's --

MR. CRAWLEY: There is one. However, there's issues that I would like to have clarified. It says that I've been in prison six times. I've only been in prison once out here and once in Virginia.

THE COURT: All right. So --

MR. CRAWLEY: And there's also the -- the details of the case as far as using certain coinage is taking the sentencing structure from 18 to 60 to 12 to 36 which --

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Arnold, I'm going to set this for two weeks for sentencing. If you -- if there are errors in the PSI that need to be corrected after speaking with your client, would you mind emailing the Court and the State so everybody doesn't prepare for sentencing?

MR. ARNOLD: I will, Your Honor. I'll -- I'll probably just do a sentencing memorandum in regards to the errors.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ARNOLD: And have that filed before the --

MR. CRAWLEY: Excuse me, I have one last question also. I'm sorry. If -- if -- if they're not allowing me to withdraw the guilty plea, how can they extend and go beyond what the guilty plea agreement was of one to five? Is it still one to five or am I wrong?

THE COURT: I -- sir, you're -- it was just on for a motion to withdraw guilty plea. I have 42 people in here. I have no idea what the specifics are of your case. If you're habitual eligible, yeah, it can be way over the one to five.

MR. CRAWLEY: Well, I don't have it. That's what I'm saying. In *Gonzales versus State*, the whole case law doesn't pertain to my case?

THE COURT: I don't know because, again, this is on for a motion to withdraw guilty plea.

1	MR. CRAWLEY: Right. That's it pertains to the guilty plea
2	agreement.
3	THE COURT: Did you put that in your motion?
4	MR. CRAWLEY: You did, right? Gonzales versus State?
5	MR. ARNOLD: No. No.
6	MR. CRAWLEY: What well, it's in your file right there. I put
7	it I sent you one. Can you please look in your file?
8	THE COURT: What file? What file do I don't have any file.
9	Sir, you have an attorney. He files the motions.
10	MR. CRAWLEY: Ma'am, that
11	THE COURT: No. It's my turn.
12	MR. CRAWLEY: Back back in November
13	THE COURT: He filed the motion. It wasn't in there. Your
14	motion is denied.
15	MR. CRAWLEY: It's in the file right there.
16	THE COURT: Next case.
17	THE COURT MARSHAL: Take a seat.
18	THE COURT: Two weeks.
19	MR. CRAWLEY: Man, this is crazy, man.
20	THE COURT MARSHAL: Sit down.
21	<i>"</i>
22	
23	
24	
25	<i>"</i>

Electronically Filed 3/3/2020 10:01 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **MEMO** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 DAVID STANTON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003202 4 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 5 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff, 11 -VS-CASE NO: C-19-341735-1 12 DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY, DEPT NO: VI #7031173 13 Defendant. 14 15 SENTENCING MEMORANDUM 16 DATE OF HEARING: MARCH 4, 2020 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 A.M. 17 18 COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County 19 District Attorney, through DAVID STANTON, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and hereby submits this Memorandum for the Court's consideration. 20 21 STATEMENT OF THE CASE 22 "Defendant has ten (10) prior felony convictions – he is 33 years old. His felony criminal resume spans three (3) state and almost twenty (20) years. More careful analysis of 23 his prior convictions reveals that many also involve the use of or threat to use force. As such, 24 25 he represents a significant and ongoing threat to this, or any other community that he resides. 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

NEVADA CASES

STATEMENT OF FACTS

In April 2016 CRAWLEY stole a woman's purse while using a diversionary technique. The adult female victim ran after CRAWLEY and notified security. CRAWLEY stated a series of elaborate lies to both security personnel and Metro officers upon their arrival. CRAWLEY claimed that the victim's purse "looked like his girlfriends" and he took it to find the ID inside of it to confirm his belief.

Additionally, CRAWLEY insisted that his true and correct name was "William James Thomas Harris." Ultimately CCDC personnel were able to correctly identify CRAWLEY via fingerprint identification. Ultimately, CRAWLEY was convicted of Attempted Grand Larceny for this offense before Judge Villani in September 2016. It should be noted that the PSI was an FTA-PSI since CRAWLEY failed to interview with Parole & Probation.

In June 2019 police responded to the Excalibur and Luxor casinos in reference to CRAWLEY threatening pedestrians with a knife. Witnesses described to police that CRAWLEY began verbally threatening several pedestrians. Soon after those verbal threats, CRAWLEY pulled out a knife from his backpack and then threatened to kill/injure them. CRAWLEY then approached a vehicle with three (3) female occupants. CRAWLEY attempted to rip open the door while holding a knife. The occupants were so afraid that they sped off. CRAWLEY then walked up to another vehicle and repeatedly struck the car's window in an attempt to gain entry.

When police arrived, CRAWLEY denied having a knife on his person, however, police found a knife on his person during a search incident to his arrest. CRAWLEY, prior to his sentencing, committed several misdemeanor offense but also another felony (C19-342881 – Grand Larceny).

In that case, committed on August 9, 2019, CRAWLEY stole in excess of \$3,500.00 at Neiman Marcus. It took 3 loss prevention officers to take CRAWLEY into custody based upon his physical resistance to being placed under arrest.

ARGUMENT 1 2 CRAWLEY has had his probation revoked four (4) times under felony sentences. He has repeatedly been arrested for criminal acts while felony charges are pending. CRAWLEY 3 has also been revoked from parole on several occasions. CRAWLEY has served four (4) 4 5 distinct and separate felony prison terms. He has eight (8) misdemeanor convictions for theft and violence related crimes. 6 7 CONCLUSION For the reasons set forth above, the State is asking this Court to adjudicate CRAWLEY 8 9 as a habitual offender and sentence him accordingly. DATED this 2 day of March, 2020. 10 11 Respectfully submitted, 12 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 13 Nevada Bar #001565 14 BY 15 Chief Deputy District Attorney 16 Nevada Bar #003202 17 CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING 18 19 I hereby certify that service of Enter document, was made this 3rd day of March 2020, 20 by Electronic Filing to: 21 CARL ARNOLD, ESO. Lvcegal@yahoo.com 22 23 24 Secretary for the District Attorney's Office 25 26 27

28

DS/rmj/L3

Electronically Filed 5/5/2022 8:47 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RTRAN	Cellina
2		
3		
4		
5	DISTRIC	COURT
6	CLARK COUN	ITY, NEVADA
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C-19-341735-1
8	Plaintiff,	DEPT. XI
9	VS.)
10	DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY,))
11	Defendant.)
12		,)
13	BEFORE THE HONORABL	·
14	DISTRICT CO WEDNESDAY, N	
15		
16	RECORDER'S TRANS	SCRIPT OF HEARING: NCING
17		
18		
19	APPEARANCES:	
20	Fandle Districtiff	DAVID M. OTANITON, EQQ.
21	For the Plaintiff:	DAVID M. STANTON, ESQ.
22	For the Defendant:	CARL E. ARNOLD, ESQ.
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS , C	OURT RECORDER

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 04, 2020
2	*****
3	[Hearing commenced at 9:33 a.m.]
4	THE COURT: C-341735-1 State of Nevada versus Daine Anton
5	Crawley. I think Mr. Arnold stepped out.
6	MR. ARNOLD: Yeah, I haven't spoken to him.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. ARNOLD: Thank you.
9	[Hearing trailed at 9:33 a.m.]
10	[Hearing resumed at 10:04 a.m.]
11	THE COURT: Nevada versus Daine Anton Crawley. He is present in
12	custody. Mr. Arnold on his behalf. Mr. Stanton on behalf of the State. This is
13	the time and date for sentencing. The State does retain the right to argue. I did
14	receive the sentencing memo on behalf of the State today.
15	MR. STANTON: I'm sorry Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: I was just putting everything on the record.
17	MR. STANTON: Oh.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me, Ma'am.
19	THE COURT: Yeah.
20	THE DEFENDANT: Do you mind if I speak to my attorney?
21	THE COURT: No, we can trail it. Mr. Arnold, can you speak to him?
22	THE DEFENDANT: I don't mean to waste time. I just want to speak
23	to him.
24	THE COURT: No, that's fine. So we'll – I'll just trail this until Mr.
25	Arnold has an opportunity to speak with you.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you Ma'am.

[Hearing trailed at 10:04 a.m.]

[Hearing resumed at 10:40 a.m.]

THE COURT: ...dash 1, State of Nevada versus Daine Anton
Crawley, present in custody. Mr. Arnold on his behalf and Mr. Stanton on behalf
of the State. This is the time and date set for sentencing. I did receive the
Sentencing Memo filed by the State.

MR. STANTON: Judge, I'm handing your clerk certified copies of various felony convictions to support the State's argument to this Court this morning that the Defendant should: A, be adjudicated as a habitual offender.

And B, the sentence – to argue the underlying sentence within the ranges based upon the Court's finding in that regard.

THE COURT: All right. So for the record, I am looking at several exhibits. The PSI shows that the Defendant has convictions of nine felonies. The State has marked for, looks like identification, State's proposed 1C341375 [sic] which is a Certified Judgment of Conviction for Possession of Heroin. Mr. Arnold, any objection to the certified judgements of conviction?

MR. ARNOLD: The only one -- Mr. Stanton's provided that one. I told him I had an objection to the one from Virginia. The one from Virginia, there's no certification that that was a true and correct copy. The other ones, at first I though the California one did, in fact -- we found the certification. The one that was certified and submitted that one to the Court. But all the rest of them have the seal, are from Nevada which self authenticate themselves.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton, your position in regards to State's proposed one.

MR. STANTON: That it is – or authorized or noted by the Clerk of Court, I believe on page 2. And also it is reflected in the three different PSIs that have been submitted in his felony cases here in Nevada. So corroboration of that conviction, I think is also important to gain its admissibility at a sentencing proceeding.

THE COURT: All right. So I do note Mr. Arnold's objection for the record; however, I do see on page 2 it says, "A copy testify, Edward F. Juitt [phonetic], Clerk, Court Clerk," who I assume is a court clerk and says, "By J. Juitt DC." So that in corroboration with the conviction that I see in the PSI. I'm going to admit State's 1.

So then State's Proposed 2 is case number: 16003589 which is a Certified Judgment of Conviction, and that does have the seal on it in regards to that, which is a felony for Smuggling Controlled Substance into a Correctional Facility. And then State's Proposed 3, C-34137 – sorry for C-314889 Judgment of Conviction for Attempt Grand Larceny, which was sentenced as to the D felony. That is also certified. That will be admitted.

And also, I should state that I do have the State's Notice to Seek

Punishment as a Habitual Criminal; however, only – there were seven listed,

however. Only – you're only proceeding on three of those today; is that correct?

MR. STANTON: Actually a total of – with Virginia, the California and two Nevada warrants outside of this one.

THE COURT: All right. So that would be four. This one would be five?

MR. STANTON: Correct.

THE COURT: All right. State argument.

 MR. STANTON: Judge, first let me begin by kind of going through the GP – or the PSI beginning on page 4. And I know this Court and certain courts here in the Eighth Judicial District Court are much more qualified at reading not only the black and white PSIs, but reading also what it means and what it can tell you, and I think that's very important in this case.

Because ultimately, as the Court indicated, the State is going to ask that you find him an habitual offender. So his, his crime resume spans almost three decades in three different states; it begins in the State of Virginia. And of note, his first felony conviction is after several in the adult system in February of 2010 out of Chesterfield County.

But what I think is of significant import is the length of time that he's sentenced in that case. It is by the nature of the offense a non-violent offense, but it is certainly serious enough that they impose a substantial underlying – and I'll get to some of the aspects of his performance after being convicted. But it is a large substantial underlying.

He violates probation. At the time he's just over 20 years of age. I think he's either 22 or 23, which I'm sure, based upon the nature of the offense at his age may have, in this serious case still gone the probation route, which he violates and he's revoked to his – the substantial underlying term. And they – in the column that says, "20 years unsupervised probation."

And then they go to warrant, and that's a pending Nevada extradition off that, because he's still under 20 years of probation. So once again, all of those facts I think tell somebody reading this document that there's more to just what it says in black and white. So now we have probably in the next felony what violates him off the first one.

He or – this is occurring during the same period. It's a separate event. This is July of 2010. He suffers here another felony conviction. So this was his criminal conduct that he was caught in conjunction with the February offense. And once again, we had a substantial underlying sentence. The charges are now more serious, because now we're going not just from a pure theft; we're going to, this is from a person.

Then we have once again Chesterfield County responding to July of two then -- 2010, another offense, this dealing with theft from another person.

And then just look at the Defendant's performance while he's there. Because I'm sure what you're going to hear either from Mr. Arnold or the Defendant himself, that he's got a problem with drugs or he's got some problem.

But look at the amount of time that he's offered and is suspended for his conduct and the serious nature of his conduct just in the State of Virginia alone. Once again, that leads to not only a probation violation being revoked, but he's currently a fugitive from the 21 – 20 year supervised probation in the State of Virginia.

So now we have him being arrested in March of 2004 in Virginina for another felony. He's convicted of that. In May of 2014 two counts now of Grand Larceny from a Person. And this I would submit is probably the triggering conduct that causes the revocation of his probation from his 2010. So now we are, you know, heavily into five felonies in the State of Virginia.

Next is 2016. Now he's in the State of California. And I'm addressing now at the top of page 6 of the PSI. Here he's convicted of bringing in controlled substance into prison. He's granted probation. Now he moves from California to the State of Nevada. In 2016 with the record that he has, he's sentenced to

 prison straight out of the box first case catching in Nevada, once again, April 2016.

Now we're still not dealing with pure theft cases but theft from people. He's paroled. He violates parole. His parole is revoked on May 4th of 2018. So he's in prison in May. If you go down to the next areas of his offenses, in June of 2019, right after he gets out of prison is when he catches this case or the first felony case in Nevada.

And what are the charges now? Assault with a Deadly Weapon and Carrying a Concealed Weapon Without a Permit. Then we have misdemeanors and then I guess that's the instant case. And then he has a Grand Larceny case on August 9th 2019 which is in another department.

And so he's caught three felony cases in the State of Nevada, virtually if he's not in prison or incarcerated, he's committing felony acts of violence. And the one in front – in front of you that you're sentencing him here today. As I outlined in my sentencing memorandum, what he's charged with or what's he's convicted is just, you know, as frequently only part of the story.

The underlying facts of this case is that not only did he approach just innocent people in the strip corridor, which is most of the areas of where he goes to commit felonies here in the State of Nevada. Is that he confronts people just walking between – on the strip corridor, and begins to threaten them with a knife verbally and then puts – pulls out his backpack and pulls out a knife.

And not only does he threaten them, and they don't originally call the police by that. They're nervous, they're watching him. But then he goes to a car that's right near by them occupied three times by females, and he begins to bang on the window of that car with a knife. They see that and they, as the witnesses

 describe, take off accelerating rapidly to get away from the situation.

Undeterred, the Defendant continues to make threats of other individuals around the area, once again armed with a knife. So what you have is a person that's escalating not only in the severity of the crimes but the use of weapons, the use of violence on individuals that aren't real or imagined or hypothetical; they actually occur.

And so, from the State's perspective is, the only way to protect any number of individuals is to incarcerate, because it's unrepentant as far as when he's out of custody and what he does. The number of times that I think is also interesting is, while he's on probation in earlier case, that didn't affect his ability to commit new crimes. So the probationary aspect of this, and the interjection into the criminal justice system didn't act as a deterrent at all, and neither did prison.

So just as a protectionary measure, I think the adjudication of him as a habitual offender: A, is appropriate under the facts and the law and B, in this case would be appropriate just simply to protect the community. And then, I mean, I wish there was some alternative where there was a lower sentence which would have a lifetail, but I would say a small habitual offender status is appropriate in this case. Because I don't think there's anything that's going to deter this individual unless he starts getting hammered.

THE COURT: It's a small with a 5 to 20; right?

MR. STANTON: Yes. And I'll submit it with that Judge.

THE COURT: Sir. In a moment I'm going to hear from Mr. Arnold on your behalf. Is there anything that you'd like to add?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. I have prepared a letter I wanted to

read because I'm not good at, you know, publically speaking. But, however, the PSI has many, many errors in it and I've noticed – I've tried to get another one done, because the amount of prison terms on there and the amount of felonies are also incorrect.

THE COURT: Okay. Where is it -

THE DEFENDANT: In Virginia, all those cases happened at one time. The four felonies at one time and the other two that they're -- that he's saying happened in 2014 is actually probation violations. In the State of Virginia, there is no parole, so what they do is they give a large amount of time and they suspend it to be on probation after your sentence. You do 85 percent in that State. There is no parole.

However, there is other areas in the PSI that are wrong but, you know, I took the plea and I can't, you know, I can't take it back. I took it for a 1 to 5, you know. And the second one they said they will not seek habitual if I took that plea. And now they're going back and saying they will, so I didn't know that this was going to happen, you know.

But I would like to read my letter. I don't want to make up any excuses, but there is errors in the PSI and I would like to have —

THE COURT: Okay. We'll -

THE DEFENDANT: -- another one done.

THE COURT: -- so we need to address that Mr. Arnold.

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor. I mean, again, we'll start at the criminal record. He's saying he wasn't convicted of five felonies. That he only did two terms of incarceration as opposed to six. He just finished explaining what Virginia does in regards to probation --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ARNOLD: -- and parole. And so it says indefinite probation and unsupervised probation. That's explained.

THE COURT: So I definitely see in Virginia the three cases in 2010 were probably all the same time period, because the dates match up.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. I was incarcerated from July 2nd, 2010 to February 11th, 2013 for all four of those felonies.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: And the other two were probation violations.

MR. ARNOLD: And that would -

THE DEFENDANT: That was for 2014.

MR. ARNOLD: Those would be 3/27/2014 and 5/16/2000.

THE DEFENDANT: I did amount of time and it was in a county jail, a city jail and a regional jail as of like a global deal. So the other two felonies come up later as a probation violation. That was my only violation. The only time I ever been on probation.

THE COURT: But is the number of felonies wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, because two of them are being counted as extra felonies when they're just violations on the previous.

MR. ARNOLD: The 3/24/14 Your Honor he's stating that that was a misdemeanor as opposed to a felony. That's the Drugs Possessions Schedule 1 or Schedule 2.

THE DEFENDANT: Also in the State of California Prop 47, Your

Honor, states that there is no felony conviction for drugs under the amount of 28 grams. So that felony also shouldn't be counted as a felony; it should be a

misdemeanor. [Cough heard].

And in Virginia I'd also like to say, they have what you call a class U or a category U which is similar to gross misdemeanors. That's what all of those felonies are; they're no –

THE COURT: So, here's the thing though, I'm a little uncomfortable going forward on a habitual sentencing when he's stating half of these are – well, I mean not necessarily half of them, but a third of them aren't even felonies on his record. So, I mean, I would – if, if these are in fact errors, we're going to have to get it corrected or we're going to come back here on, you know –

MR. ARNOLD: On a habeas.

THE COURT: -- on Stockmeier issues. Yeah.

MR. ARNOLD: Right.

THE COURT: So -

THE DEFENDANT: I noted this. I'm sorry to interrupt you. I noted this back in like October when I first got a copy of this, and I told, you know, my public defender at the time, which I had to have her removed and I had Carl Arnold now look over it and he's trying to help me with the whole issue.

THE COURT: All right, so -

THE DEFENDANT: And so, a lot, a lot of errors. See there was one done in 2016 that's a little bit more accurate than this one. And it states there's only two prison terms on there, which I thought that was wrong too, but I, I would accept that as, you know, accounted for the violation I guess as an [cough heard].

THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Arnold I, I have notated Mr. Stanton's argument and where I was mentally after his argument. I'm going to need to

pass this 30 days for -

THE DEFENDANT: Do you mind if I read my letter though to the Court?

THE COURT: I – if I were you I would read it on the day that you're going to be sentenced –

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Ma'am.

THE COURT: -- because we need 30 days. Mr. Arnold, I need you to notate each of those.

MR. ARNOLD: Yeah, I have them Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, so -

MR. STANTON: And Judge, if there's going to be a letter read; I would like a copy of the letter --

THE DEFENDANT: I can submit -

MR. STANTON: -- in anticipation of the sentencing.

THE COURT: All right. So if you wouldn't mind, if I could have that letter so I can have –

THE DEFENDANT: Should I – should I read it Mr. Arnold or should I just give it –

THE COURT: No, we're just going to take. So what we're going to do, I'm just going to make a copy --

MR. ARNOLD: Give them a copy.

THE COURT: -- for Mr. Arnold, and then you can read it on the day of -- no the letter.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. And these are the self-help texts I've been doing in here.

THE COURT: Just keep those till next time.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you. I'm sorry about the inconvenience.

THE COURT: That's all right. And I'll give it to you right, a copy to Mr. Stanton.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you Mr. Arnold, appreciate it.

THE COURT: All right. So 30 days for corrections of the PSI. Mr. Crawley, make sure that Mr. Arnold knows each and every correction, because I need the PSI writers to know so we can go forward.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

MR. ARNOLD: We have them now Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: I - I've tried to, you know, best I could.

MR. STANTON: And there's one other thing based upon the Defendant's statement to you Judge outside of the – well, actually there's two things. Number one, he's pending sentencing on another Nevada felony operating from – I have three PSIs including the one for your Court on this case for today. He has one that he was sentenced on. I think he just referenced that, that according to him, it's the closest thing to being accurate out of, I guess all three.

That other case is being -- pending. I believe it's Ms. Holthus' case.

But just – I would just ask the Court, if your staff can contact that department.

Because whatever's the issue on the *Stockmeier* issues on the PSI in this case.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. STANTON: It's going to parallel the problems there. So I think we could be more efficient in clarifying both of these –

THE COURT: Sure. 1 MR. STANTON: -- at the same time. 2 THE COURT: I'll let them know. 3 THE DEFENDANT: I would just like to note -MR. STANTON: And -5 THE DEFENDANT: -- also Ma'am. I'm sorry for cutting you off, Sir. I 6 was approved for, for drug court on the other case, and that's kind of like why I, I 7 accepted, you know. I don't know, it's not no excuse, but I thought I was under 8 the impression that they weren't going to seek habitual and let me do drug court 9 and then -10 MR. STANTON: And that's my concern about – the second point I 11 was going to make beyond the Stockmeier issues. 12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. STANTON: His claim -14 THE COURT: That he didn't know. 15 MR. STANTON: -- that he's standing here unaware that the State's 16 going to seek habitual and that he -17 THE DEFENDANT: I have a plea agreement. 18 MR. STANTON: -- that he is, is concerning to me, because – and it's 19 belied by the record in any number of different ways. I'm not sure how Mr. 20 Arnold wants to address this, but -21 THE COURT: Sure. 22 MR. STANTON: -- what happened was, he had a series of 23 negotiations involved in each one of his three cases here in Nevada. He, in this 24 case, as well in the other case that's pending, violated the terms and conditions 25

by committing additional criminal conduct. That's why the State has, today, an unfettered right to argue.

And part of that is based upon his record to seek the Habitual Offender Status. But I think that needs to be cleared up the next time we come in the – and once again, it's not my problem; it's not my issue, but I think the record needs to be clear.

MR. ARNOLD: And Your Honor I think we made a clear record on it, because we did our Motion to Withdraw with the basis of why it should have been withdrawn, and you ruled that we didn't need a hearing in order to go forward with the sentencing.

THE COURT: So I'm sorry, it's because there are so many cases.

And I do remember –

MR. ARNOLD: Right.

THE COURT: -- that this was argued off – there was a Motion to Withdraw. I'm just trying to remember if one of the bases in the motion was, he didn't know that he could be sentenced on habitual. I --

MR. ARNOLD: That's correct Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- I just wanted to make sure if I'm remembering the case right; did we argue that?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. STANTON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. So yes -

MR. ARNOLD: And -

THE COURT: -- that has already been argued and briefed.

MR. ARNOLD: Right.

THE COURT: And I did make a decision. I'm just trying to remember all the facts of the specifics.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, because – I'm sorry, I just – I didn't understand, because on the second one it said it was run concurrent, and they will not seek habitual. Now they're using it as leverage on the first – on this one, which I understand that the right to argue and everything.

THE COURT: Right.

THE DEFENDANT: But I'm trying to, you know, address the problem and not, not make it worse.

THE COURT: Right. But Mr. Stanton, I'm sorry, can you say it again in regards to –

MR. STANTON: Sure.

THE COURT: -- originally there was --

MR. STANTON: The agreement not to seek the habitual, but he violated any number of different ways –

THE COURT: Right.

MR. STANTON: -- by committing new criminal -

THE COURT: Right.

MR. STANTON: -- felonly acts.

THE COURT: So what happens is, if you violate a Guilty Plea

Agreement for any reason, the State then retains the full right to argue including
for habitual. So when you violate it becomes a full right to argue.

THE DEFENDANT: So there's no 1 to 5 anymore?

THE COURT: Well, I mean I guess you could -

1	MR. ARNOLD: We could still argue it.	
2	THE COURT: you can always make the pitch. You can always	
3	make the pitch.	
4	THE DEFENDANT: I, I just – I'm sorry for – I just didn't understand	
5	that, because it said on here, you know, they will not on the second one.	
6	THE COURT: Right.	
7	THE DEFENDANT: Not just the first one in here; it's on the second	
8	one that said, "No habitual."	
9	THE COURT: Right.	
10	THE DEFENDANT: So I wouldn't have took that deal thinking, oh	
11	yeah, they're going to come back on this one and give it to me, you know.	
12	THE COURT: Yeah.	
13	THE DEFENDANT: If you understand.	
14	THE COURT: But the problem is is, you violated it.	
15	THE DEFENDANT: I understand Ma'am.	
16	THE COURT: And so then it gives them the full right to argue.	
17	MR. ARNOLD: That don't –	
18	THE DEFENDANT: And I just – I just want to, you know, correct my	
19	wrongs and, and you know –	
20	MR. ARNOLD: Time to wrap it.	
21	THE DEFENDANT: move forward.	
22	THE COURT: All rightee. Okay, so –	
23	MR. ARNOLD: And for the record, that's not my case, Your Honor	
24	THE COURT: 1 know.	
25	MR. ARNOLD: because I would have wrapped up the entire	

1	negotiations.	
2	THE DEFENDANT: Right.	
3	THE COURT: Yeah.	
4	THE DEFENDANT: I, I was ill advised, you know, but Mr. Carl is	
5	helping me and I appreciate it.	
6	THE COURT: All right.	
7	MR. STANTON: And what day are we coming back on this Judge; I'm	
8	sorry.	
9	MR. ARNOLD: April 1 st , 9:30.	
10	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.	
11	MR. STANTON: I'll be here.	
12	THE DEFENDANT: I appreciate it.	
13	THE MARSHAL: C-1, whatever one you want Ma'am.	
14	THE COURT: All right. I was just letting the other department know	
15	about the errors in the PSI.	
16	[Proceedings concluded at 11:04 a.m.]	
17	* * * *	
18		
19		
20		
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the	
22	audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
23	Kerry Esparza	
24	Kerry Esparza Court Recorder/Transcriber	

Electronically Filed 5/5/2022 8:47 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA. CASE NO. C-19-341735-1 8 Plaintiff, DEPT. VI 9 VS. 10 DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY, 11 Defendant. 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, 13 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 01, 2020 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 16 **SENTENCING** 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 20 For the Plaintiff: DAVID M. STANTON, ESQ. 21 22 For the Defendant: ROGER C. BAILEY, ESQ. 23 24 RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER 25

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; WEDNESDAY, APRIL 01,	2020

[Hearing commenced at 11:25 a.m.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Daine Anton Crawley. He is present, in custody. Mr. Bailey on his behalf. Mr. Stanton on behalf of the State. This is the time and date for sentencing. We had turned it – we had continued it after Mr. Stanton's argument to see if – Mr. Crawley had represented that there was some issue with the PSI.

Mr. Crawley, did you speak to Mr. Arnold about your issues with the PSI?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am. I would like to speak to him if possible.

THE COURT: Well, what the heck. What did you guys do from the – that was today was for is for – that to have happened.

THE DEFENDANT: And I know, I talked to him briefly before court when we were there that date, and that's when we brought up the issue, but I haven't seen him since then or heard from him since then.

THE COURT: All right. Are you still – are there still issues?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am; there was never a new PSI done at all.

THE COURT: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, there was.

THE COURT: There was a new PSI?

MR. STANTON: There was a supplemental PSI where the Division addressed the concerns –

THE COURT: Oh yeah, I have it here.

MR. STANTON: -- and also indicates that the - I, I talked to - I put a note in the file for the author of the PSI to contact me. I advised him of what occurred in the court at our last appearance. And his response about the claims is set forth in the highlighted section of the supplemental. And it talks about his attempts to - it's on page 7.

THE COURT: Yeah. I see it now. Yup.

MR. STANTON: And so, what the Defendant claims about his Virginia record isn't borne out by the Division's investigation. In fact, it confirms exactly what the PSI had previously stated. And the author goes on to say,

"Therefore, there's nothing about the Virginia cases that needs or could be modified from the Division's perspective."

It also confirms, through direct contact with the – Bridgett – Virginia Department of Corrections about when the Defendant was in custody. That's reflected at the bottom half of page 7 of the Supplemental PSI. Once again, it's interesting to note that those times of incarceration correspond directly with the dates and times of the Virginia convictions.

So once again, not only does the Division see it as accurate information, so does the State corroborate what's in the PSI.

THE COURT: All right. So what we're going to do. You – have you seen a copy of the Supplmental?

THE DEFENDANT: I haven't Ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Bailey, if you could put a copy of the Supplemental underneath the door for him to review, and I'm going to call this in a moment. Yes, Mr. Bailey.

MR. BAILEY: That I do not have.

THE DEFENDANT: Ma'am, is there anyway I could wait till Mr. Arnold is available so I can have him as my attorney please, not to any offense to him. I just – he's familiar with my case.

THE COURT: No, I know but Mr. Bailey is Mr. Arnold's partner, and they have spoken about today and going forward with the sentencing. So we're going to go forward today.

THE DEFENDANT: Can -

THE COURT: But you are going to be able to review this.

THE DEFENDANT: Can I give him some documents also?

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, so we'll recall that in a moment after he's had the opportunity.

Mr. Crawley, all of the corrections are on page 7 and 8.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

[Hearing trailed at 11:28 a.m.]

[Hearing resumed at 11:29 a.m.]

MR. BAILEY: Have Carl come back, because Carl's going to be in the building at noon anyway --

THE COURT: So I only -

MR. BAILEY: -- for another matter.

THE COURT: -- I only have this until 11:45. I'm not allowed to --

MR. BAILEY: Okay.

THE COURT: -- go over. I'm a rule follower. All right.

[Hearing trailed at 11:29 a.m.]

[Hearing resumed at 12:01 p.m.]

THE COURT: C-341735-1. State of Nevada versus Daine Anton Crawley. Mr. C-O, how are we doing on time? Do you guys need to get out of here?

THE CORRECTION OFFICER: We're fine. I'm sorry. Yeah, you're good. You're okay.

THE COURT: That we're good?

THE CORRECTION OFFICER: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. He is present in custody, Mr. Bailey on his behalf; Mr. Stanton on behalf of the State. Have you had the opportunity to look through your PSI now?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. I still had a few errors. Paragraph 1 of the supplemental information and paragraph 4. The main concern is, is the number of prison terms that it says on there, 6; however, in 2016 it said 2. And I've been in custody 40 months since 2016. It's not possible to go to prison 4 additional times.

And it said that they never had a response from my defense attorney to clarify this issue; however, it said that it spoke to me or said, "via email" on the first paragraph. And I never spoke to them again to – at P&P at all. The first paragraph.

And then paragraph 4 I believe it is – oh no, I'm sorry. It says:

"If there's any issues with these records to challenge them that

you would contact appropriate repository and request the procedure for modifying the records in question."

Now, I don't have my attorney here to be able to discuss this with. That's my main concern.

THE COURT: All right. Parties, what would you like me to do?

MR. BAILEY: Your Honor, if you're inclined to continue this matter for

additional two weeks or 30 days, then that would be our request.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton, your position?

MR. STANTON: I'm not sure what we would be continuing it for.

THE COURT: Well, so – in regards to the prison been a 6 times versus 2 times. I, I could be wrong, but I've seen this before where right, you go to prison, you get paroled, you get revoked, and then you go back and they count it multiple times.

MR. BAILEY: Correct. That's what I'm thinking as well.

THE COURT: So I'm, that – but it states that they, they reached out, you know, to Mr. Arnold multiple times and didn't get anything back to work with them on this information. And then that they spoke with – they're saying that they emailed with him, but they wouldn't have been able to email with him.

MR. STANTON: Yeah, but, but all that being said. The question becomes is as the Defendant now asserts:

"I'd like to talk to my attorney about getting – as the Division comments about the repository from the records out of the State of Virginia. You know, it's a distinct thing to say:

I'm challenging whether or not those are felony convictions – THE COURT: Sure.

MR. STANTON: -- which you can't collaterally attack a felony conviction just by claiming they're invalid. There has to be some sort of tangible proof to that fact. And there was nothing in here that would suggest that the Virginia convictions are invalid in any way, shape, or form.

THE COURT: No. I, I don't have any – I don't think anyone – I agree.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

THE COURT: I don't think anybody's doing that.

MR. STANTON: So that claim I think is not only belied by the record, but inappropriate for purposes –

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, because I, I need to show -

MR. STANTON: -- of today's sentencing or to continuing to conduct whatever investigation. The first part of when he says the two versus four. I'm not sure what paragraph on what page. He said paragraph 4, but I'm not sure of what case --

THE DEFENDANT: I'm, I'm sorry that's paragraph 1 and 2.

MR. STANTON: Of what page?

THE COURT: On the supplemental so --

THE DEFENDANT: The supplemental is all I have.

THE COURT: But page 7, on page 7?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry, page 7, Ma'am. And I have a page 7 from my – well, I know it's a different PSI for a different case which they inclined to give me drug court on which follows this one, that it says,

"Minimal information could be obtained from the pro – Virginia probation office.

And then it said again,

"Richmond Circuit Court. No information was available regarding this case. Orange County California. No further information could be obtained.

So I -

THE COURT: Yeah. No, I mean that's – that is common, those types of things. But what we were able to go in and look at the convictions, and they double checked all of that, looked at the convictions and put those all straight. You see that; right? That's what all that supplemental information is in bold?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Yeah, I don't mean to waste your time or anything Ma'am.

THE COURT: No, you're not wasting my time.

THE DEFENDANT: It's just the, the mere fact that if I was in prison in 2016, I've done 40 months since then, and it was only two times. How could it have been now 6 times that they have it on here now? You get what I mean? I haven't been out not long enough to be going back to prison 4 times.

THE COURT: He, he has my PSI so I don't – I, I can't see what you guys are showing up.

MR. STANTON: 1, I can't – I'll give you mine. It makes no sense. [Hands document to Court].

THE DEFENDANT: August 23rd, 2016 an original PSI was done.

MR. STANTON: Okay, but the problem is not to calculate it by dates that someone claims or asserts that you're in prison. The question is: Do you contest the felonly convictions that are depicted in the original PSI or the Supplemental PSI?

THE COURT: So what, what we're asking is it – it says you have 9

felony convictions, are you contesting those 9, or you're just contesting the amount of times you've been to prison?

THE DEFENDANT: Both the amount of times, and it's not 9. I think it's more along the lines of like 5 if you include these two now. 'Cause all, all of those were in same, like same case, you know, from –

THE COURT: Right, but those count. So even if --

THE DEFENDANT: I – that's why I kind of wanted to speak to my attorney about this, because I don't really understand. That's why I don't – I – I don't mean to waste any time and I apologize; I just don't understand like 'cause I – we haven't gone over anything, me and this attorney here.

THE COURT: No, that's not true. Because I watched you guy -- you guys went through the PSI to go --

THE DEFENDANT: Not - I know -

THE COURT: -- this attorney.

THE DEFENDANT: Not this one though, not this attorney here.

MR. BAILEY: But I understand the same facts though, that's the issue. The Carlisle Apartments. I know everything that's going on in the case. What, what the DA is trying to say here is that when it comes to arguing for habitual treatment, which happened because of what happened at the first PSI, it's the number of convictions.

If you're not challenging the number of convictions there's nothing that that the judge has to hold over right now. You're challenging the amount of prison time, that has nothing to do with whether or not she's going to determine what the sentencing's going to be today. But there are certain amount of convictions; right?

THE DEFENDANT: Right.

MR. BAILEY: Once you get a certain amount of convictions, felony convictions and then you have additional ones; that gives them the right to argue for habitual treatment. We would not have had that, you know [indiscernible].

THE DEFENDANT: And this is my question 'cause I, I was stood here before, but I even pled to the other case to make sure on that case it said,

"We will not seek habitual sentence," and, and now we are.

MR. STANTON: Yeah, well, Judge, look. I mean, this is a perfect example –

THE DEFENDANT: I don't - I just don't understand --

MR. STANTON: -- this -

THE DEFENDANT: -- you know. I'm sorry, Ma'am.

THE COURT: One second, go ahead.

MR. STANTON: This is a perfect example of what I outlined in my Sentencing Memorandum –

THE COURT: I know.

MR. STANTON: -- that there is nothing of his interaction with the criminal justice system where he tells the truth. And that's exhibited here yet again here today for the second time that we've been here.

He made the same argument. I know it was couched – you see a lot of cases everyday, and his last argument of – that he made when we were here is the exact things that just came out of his mouth. I didn't know that the State was going to seek the habitual offender treatment. In fact, I thought that they were. That's all predicated upon the previous negotiations that were violated –

THE COURT: Right.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STANTON: -- because he caught a new felony case --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. STANTON: -- of which this is one of his 10 prior felony convictions. So to make that claim again, yet again today is evidence that he doesn't tell the truth under any circumstance that he's involved.

THE COURT: We talked about at length last time that you did have that as an agreement, but then you picked up a new case. That gives them the full right to argue. We talked about that at length last time with your attorney and with you.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I just didn't understand. I still don't understand how I signed for a deal and it – and it gets retracted despite the other one. I understand – I'm sorry for wasting time.

THE COURT: No, you don't have to apologize, but we talked about it - remember I - in your first deal you had a specific negotiation, but you violated that deal. Which means when you violate - let's say that the deal was no opposition to probation. When you violate that and you pick up a new case, that means that gives them the full right to argue for the full gamut, prison, habitual, et cetera.

So when you violate that then that's where we're at.

THE DEFENDANT: Despite the – this plea agreement on the other case that said, "Not to."

THE COURT: Correct.

THE DEFENDANT: And Drug Court approval and all that -

THE COURT: Correct.

THE DEFENDANT: -- doesn't matter.

THE COURT: Correct. Correct. All right.

MR. BAILEY: Once -

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

MR. BAILEY: -- once you pick up a new case - I'm sorry Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's okay.

MR. BAILEY: When you pick up a new case what happens is, if you can hear me. When you pick a new case that – the entire deal is off the table, and then they can come back with the full amount – they – the full right to argue for habitual and everything. That's what happened.

You hadn't picked a new case up and yes, that deal was 100 percent in play.

THE DEFENDANT: And, and -- And that's what I understand but, you know, I didn't - I, I wouldn't have pled guilty to that other case had I had known this, but that's fine, you know, I, I don't want to make up excuses. That's not my intentions. I just want to be, you know, given whatever the sentence structure was, you know what I mean?

MR. BAILEY: I understand. But you do give it to – when you picked the new case it violated that; correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, because a part of the deal, yes, Ma'am – yes, Sir, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right. So we're going to go forward with sentencing today. Mr. Crawley, is there anything that you'd like to say?

THE DEFENDANT: I, I would still like to talk to Mr. Arnold since he knows more about my case if that's possible.

THE COURT: No, I understand that but Mr. Bailey and Mr. Arnold

1	have discussed the case. Mr. Bailey, are you up to speed on this case? Are you	
2	– do you feel comfortable arguing the proper sentence? Have you gone over	
3	everything with Mr. Arnold?	
4	MR. BAILEY: I, I have Your Honor.	
5	THE COURT: Go ahead Sir.	
6	THE DEFENDANT: What, what am I –	
7	THE COURT: Anything that you'd like to say to me.	
8	THE DEFENDANT: Oh yes, Ma'am; I have a letter I would like to	
9	read.	
10	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.	
11	THE DEFENDANT: Did I submit the certificate of completion of the	
12	programs to you?	
13	THE COURT: I -	
14	MR. BAILEY: Substance Abuse Program.	
15	THE COURT: Oh yes, yes, I had bench on those, yes.	
16	THE DEFENDANT: Did I – I gave you the –	
17	THE COURT: The Substance Abuse Programs?	
18	THE DEFENDANT: The Substance Abuse Programs, and I've been	
19	doing self-help package since August 2019, Ma'am.	
20	THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Go ahead, Sir.	
21	THE DEFENDANT: I – I'm sorry, I'm not good at public speaking, but	
22	I did write a letter, so –	
23	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and read it.	
24	THE DEFENDANT: if you don't mind; I'm sorry.	
25	"Good morning, first and foremost I would like to apologize for	

any inconvenience I may have imposed on the courts in my attempt to seek substance abuse treatment in relation to my mental health issues. I have been battling these issues for the past 20 years, and over the last 10 years my criminal history is a reflection to what these issues in combination with homelessness have become for me.

There is no justification for my actions. Although through this time of incarceration, I have reached out to many programs. The programs to which I have reached out to are as follows: The Drug Court Program, Crossroads, Westcare, and my family wanted me to go to the Healing Place in Louisville, Kentucky."

My mom was actually going to come out here. This is not a part of the letter, but my mom was going to come out here with my little brother but, you know, the situation that's going on she couldn't be here.

"But I also had the Hero House representative come to my other two court cases for District 18. His name is Stella. I'm sorry, he was going to be the advocate to reside at the Silver Living House. As it stands right now, I have been participating in substance abuse classes for the past four months and completed my final class on March 11th, 2020.

There is also a series of self-help books, workbooks that I have completed since August of 2019 ranging from substance abuse, life skills, successful release and financial planning for reintegration.

Beyond doing these programs here at CCDC, my greatest hope is to finally be able to complete the Drug Court Program here in Las Vegas, as I – I've been -- I previously wrote the Specialty Court Coordinator

Wanda Negron." I'm sorry if I mispronounced – "on my own behalf last November 2019 and was deemed appropriate through an evaluation shortly thereafter.

My criminal record is indeliable [sic] and one in which I must live with for the rest of my life. It is apparent to me now that my bouts with PTSD and social anxiety disorders have influenced much of my substance about over the years.

These issues have been left untreated for most of my life up until recently throught the Nevada Behavioral Health. Prior to this, recognizing the change in myself has been futile.

My purpose in writing this letter is not to bring levity to the situation, but more of a plea for help and to also shed light on my attempts to seek it. Since April 24th 2019, well before this most recent blunder in judgment, I attempted to address my problems by arranging placement into the Crossroads Residential Program.

My schedule date that I arranged through Mr. Riley at the Nevada Behavioral Health was July 16th, 2019. Due to various complications, including the timing of my own recognizance release, among other things in regards to this case; I was unable to do so. I had high expectations of the Crossroads Program and really wished things would have worked out so I could have completed the program?

But after admitting and completing the three day detox part of the program on July 20th of 2019, I was still unable to regain a residential spot at the program at which time, you know, I, I went

Back."

This is not part of the letter. I went back to, to being homless again in the streets and.

"Judge Holthus is willing to allow me to enter Drug Court for the District 18th case, but it ultimately depends on this present matter.

I need the court to intervene and make recovery a possibility for me.

My life has truly become unmanageable."

And, you know, there's nothing I can really do about that at this time other than what I've tried.

"If this program is an option for me, please let me be the next success story. In addition to recently being granted my disability benefits, I am still in need of a bone graft medical procedure for my right wrist that I have since had postponed at the Hand Center of Nevada.

For the first time in 10 years I have a stable residence and income. I have been putting my best foot forward in trying to correct my wrongs during the last 261 days of incarceration. My father is getting older and my daughter is – will be turning 10 in his care this upcoming July 4th. It's time for me to become the father he was for me and relieve him of the burden of raising mine.

The Drug Court Program I believe is the answer, and I will succeed. I can assure you that I will never come before you under these circumstances ever again. It has been said that an ounce of prevention is better than a pound of cure. And although it has been my past actions that have led to this present set of circumstances, it is

my hope that the Court will provide the cure to the disease of addiction that has plagued my ilfe for the last 20 years.

Emotional impoverishment comes to those who neglect to work on themselves."

And just before I close out I just wanted to say, you know, Ma'am that I'm sorry for wasting your time once again. But, you know, my biggest fear is, is getting out of here, whenever it may be – however many years and repeating the same process again.

And that's probably what he's going to say also. But, you know, at what point do I – can I change? I've tried to attempt to do this on my own and I can't. I need the court to help me, please.

THE COURT: Okay. For the record, Mr. Stanton. I was looking at my notes from last time, and I know that you had provided the – we already have on the record all of the JOCs. Your recommendation though was –

MR. STANTON: Well, Judge, can I just address something set forth in this letter.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. STANTON: It – because it's addressed in the supplemental, and I know you didn't have that, and it's listed in the bold on either page 7 or 8. So this claim that the Defendant is now in this and his three other cases here in Nevada asserting that this is a drug problem and that he's seeking help and counseling.

The Division documents thoroughly the number of times in three different states on seven different occasions he's been provided counseling and the ability to get out from underneath of the criminal acts that he was standing

 before the courts looking at prison time. So the – it should fall on deaf ears or give little to marginal weight to any claim that he's seeking now to rehabilitate himself.

Number one, and the opportunities that were provided by the court systems as he's professing now. The second thing that I think is important, once again with the theme as I put in the Sentencing Memorandum. There's virtually nothing that this individual says to authorities that's truthful. And once again, that has been -- occurred at the last time we were here for sentencing, and yet again here today.

Within two minutes he says completely and opposite things.

"I'm homeless, I don't have any income."

But then he says,

"I have a place to live and I'm fully employed."

So if you go to -

THE DEFENDANT: I didn't say that.

MR. STANTON: So if you go to the PSI and of the three PSIs prepared recently in Nevada, it's interesting what he asserts, because he asserts about employment, mental health and substance abuse, kind of what is best suited as the situation that he's in front of, even though they're completely inconsistent with one another.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm on disability, Sir.

MR. STANTON: So if you look at page 2, it says in the employment status -- and I'm looking from page 2 of the PSI, the original, but it should be the same, because they didn't do any modifications in the Supplemental PSI, that he was, according to his own self-reporting --

THE DEFENDANT: Disabled.

MR. STANTON: -- that none of this is verified that he has 14 years of experience as a HVAC technician and was employed as such. So, and the PTSD is generated from, not military service, but the breaking of his wrist. And once again, that's reflected in the PSA page 3 under the health and medical history. So what the Defendant claims and asserts isn't even consistent with what he has asserted and claimed in other cases.

Once again, evidencing his modification of what he's telling courts as it suits him. What's clear from uncontroverted facts is that this is his 10th felony conviction. That as I mentioned in the Sentencing Memorandum, the concern for this Court in determining whether to adjudicate him as a habitual offender is the escalation of violence in weapons culminating in this event, which I think speaks directly to – you can't prevent him from committing new offenses. Three states and three decades of evidence confirm that.

I'd ask that you adjudicate him as habitual offender to the small habitual.

THE COURT: Mr. Bailey.

MR. BAILEY: Your Honor, I mean you can see his history, yes. He does have extensive criminal history. But if you go back almost everything is — involves drugs. It's a drug related Your Honor. He does have some document issues as far as his mental health, that's why he's under substance abuse. He's tried to go these different ways.

I'm not saying that Your Honor's inclined to send him to Drug Court.

But Your Honor, I don't think habitual treatment is necessary at this point,

because it – the underlying offense was Possession of a Deadly Weapon, which

basically was more less like a, a tool, a utility tool in the first place. It wasn't so much just a flat out blade that he's going to attack these, these people with – the alleged victim in this case, Your Honor.

More less, they startled him. He was nervous. He was scared. Like I said he's living on the street. He's, you know, he's – everything – his whole life is in chaos, Your Honor. I think obviously he needs some kind of structure. I'm not saying that that could – let him go free. But I think something in the – more in the lines of either 24 to 60 or even 36 to 96 months would be more than in line with the sentencing I think that my client deserves, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BAILEY: And with that I'll submit it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: Ma'am, I may not be able to speak again and I apologize, but I am on disability. I never said I had a job, you know. I was waiting for that to actually kick in.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: And I apologize for everything.

MR. BAILEY: Correct. I'm sorry.

THE DEFENDANT: The day in question I attempted – I don't like to talk about it, but I ate a large substantial amount of Xanax alprazolam and alcohol, and my attempt was not to wake up from it, and here we are. And I, I don't mean to make that an excuse or anything like that but, you know, I, I gave up, and I didn't know, you know, the right way to do that, you know.

And I even failed at that attempt, you know. And I just need some help, you know, and I – maybe it's not, you know, Drug Court's maybe not for me

or whatever the case may be. I turn that over to my higher power and you, of course, but I just fear that getting out and, you know, my dad being gone, my mom being gone or something like that with all that time, you know what I mean?

And I apologize Ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. In accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada, you are hereby adjudged guilty of the crime of Carrying Concealed Firearm or Other Deadly Weapon. In addition to the \$25 administrative assessment fee, the \$150 dna fee, is it waived? As it was taken on April 26th of 2016. There is a \$3 dna collection fee and a \$250 defense fee.

You are sentenced under the small habitual statute, NRS 207.010(a). The State has provided the Court with Certified Judgments of Convictions. This is a category B felony. You are sentenced to a minimum of 84 months and a maximum of 240 months.

I am showing that there is, for today, 38 days credit for time served?

No –

THE DEFENDANT: No. I have 261.

THE COURT: Well no, I think some of that went – no, I don't think so. Hold on. 67 – so if you look at page 8 of the PSI you guys. You see how it says – yeah, it breaks it all down for this case. It's 67 days credit for time served. And if there's a problem with that, Mr. Bailey put it on back on calendar, but the PSI did a breakdown of each of the times he was in custody on this case.

MR. BAILEY: I will Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Ma'am.

MR. BAILEY: Thank Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

- 13		
1	THE DEFENDANT: Ma'am, how, how many – how long?	
2	THE COURT: 7, minimum of 7 years, a maximum of 20.	
3	THE DEFENDANT: 20 years?	
4	MR. BAILEY: 7, 7's on the lower.	
5	THE DEFENDANT: Well, 20 years – they never give me parole out	
6	here anymore. 20 years. Sir, sir can I talk to you real quick before you leave?	
7	MR. BAILEY: I can't – I can't do that.	
8	THE DEFENDANT: Can I speak to you though. I, I need you to put –	
9	I need you to talk to Carl Arnold put in for sentence modification.	
10	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?	
11	MR. BAILEY: I'll talk.	
12	THE DEFENDANT: Please man.	
13	[Proceedings concluded at 12:25 p.m.]	
14	* * * *	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the	
22	audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
23	Kerry Esparza	
24	Kerry Esparza Court Recorder/Transcriber	

1	In The Eighth Judicial District (ourt of The	
2	State of Nevada In	
3	AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK	
4	FILE	
5	API	R 0 6 2020
6	The State of Nevada	A CONTRACTOR
7	Plaintiff, Case No.: (-19-341735-1	
8	vs. Dept. No.: VI	
9	Daine Anton Crawley 7031173 Docker No.:	
10	Macon Classed 1036112	
11	Defendant	
12		
13	Notice of Appeal	
14		}
15	Notice is hereby given that Daine A. Crawley, Defendant above	
	named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from	-
- 1	The Honorable Jacqueline M. Bluth Department VI, Clark	-
	Country Eighth Judicial District Court Case number C341735	1
- 1	Carrying Concealed Firearm or other Deadly Weapon, to wit	+
20	Multi-purpose tool Knife: Addudicated as a small habitual Category B E4-240 months.	-
21		<u></u>
23	Dor Tt No.	
24	would like to note that Communication with Coursel has been	1
25	Strained due to the COVID 19 Corona Virus Pandenic	7
26	The Sentencing hearing was Continued from March 4th 2020	1
27	to April 1 2020 in order to have new PSI ordered. As	
28	Stated in Supplementary "PSI" provided April 1st 2020 Allorge	1
	The state of the s	# -
ł	AFF (1.6.202) CLERK OF THE COL	
	TELLY OF THE COL	Ri 140

(arl Arnold was never reached to give response according to "Page 7" of Supplemental Information in PSI dated March 24th 2020. The information also states on Page 7 that The Defendant "responded Via Email with a "Statement regarding errors. The Defendant was and still is in Custody for the past "DGC days" and could not of done so. A new PSI was never clarified, and Since neither Defendant Crawley nor Attorney (as I Asnold were Contacted the "PSI was never clarified in any respect. Convictions inconceration 10 Mental Health Substance Abuse Etc. The Defendants probation Success Probability Score (PSP) used at Sentencing failed to properly account for Mental Health and or physical handicap as previously noted in the transcripts from all district Court hearings Starting in November 2019. Blankenship VS. State Supreme Court of Novada July 21, 2016. In addition to these most prevalent errors there are various Complaints noted by defendant for ineffective coursed withdraw guilty plea motions filed in October November 2019. The Defendant is requesting that the sentence be Challenged due to these errors but not limited to the lack of Contact with Substitute Attorney amid the alternate Counsel regarding these errors Will Please Submit this Notice of Appeal and note a request of procedure for modifying the records in question; as directed by PNP. It is a known fact that PNP for Said wrisdutions have failed to respond regarding Convictions used to obtain Habitual Sentence. Please note

22

23

27

1	that Defendant Crawley Signed a 1-105 year probationable Sentence
2	that Defendant Crawley Signed a 1+05 year probationable Sentence and was told that the "State would not seek habitual Sentence" at
	Signing, Court records will show. There was inadequate time to
4	discuss errors before April 1st DCDC with substitute attorney.
- 1	Before rendition of sentencing; a continuance was derived even
6	though appointed Coursel Carl And was not present. In
7	addition to these issues previously noted; a violation
8	of Die Process rights has been disclosed according to
9	NRS MI. 174 regarding June 12th 2019 grest, and the
10	dday of 72 hour hearing until June 17th 2019. July
11	12 2019 preliminary Heaving was continued multiple times without
12	Defendant being present, leading Defendant to feel Plea like
13	agreement only viable option perspectively.
14	agreement only viable option pespectively. DATED THIS 1st day or April . 2026.
15	1 Daine Anton Crawley do
16	solemnly swear, under the penalty of perjury, that
17	the above Notice of Appeal is accurate.
18	correct, and true to the best of my knowledge.
19	NRS 171.102 and NRS 208.165.
20	Respectfully submitted.
21	Competition of the competition o
22	Defendant
23 24	Defendant
	NRS 208.165 A prisoner may execute any instrument by signing his name immediately
25	following a declaration "under penalty of perjury" with the same legal effect as if he had

following a declaration "under penalty of perjury" with the same legal effect as if he had acknowledged it or sworn to its truth before a person authorized to administer oaths. As used in this section, "prisoner" means a person confined in any jail or prison, or any facility for the detention of juvenile offenders in this state.



AND CROSS PARS L

FSC C13713

Confidential (LEGAL MATL

THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT

89101-630000

Las Vegas, NV 89155 - 1160

Daine (rawley#7031173 330 S. Ce Sinc Center BLYD. Las Vegas, NV Equel

Electronically Filed 4/7/2020 7:49 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JOCP

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Plaintiff.

-VS-

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY #7031173

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Defendant.

CASE NO. C-19-341735-1

DEPT. NO. VI

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)

The Defendant previously appeared before the Court with counsel and entered a plea of guilty to the crime of CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON (Category C Felony) in violation of NRS 202.350(1)(d)(3); thereafter, on the 1st day of April, 2020, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with counsel ROGER BAILEY, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offense under the SMALL HABITUAL Criminal Statute and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee and \$250.00 Indigent Defense Civil Assessment Fee plus \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee, the Defendant is sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: a MAXIMUM of TWO HUNDRED FORTY (240)

ı	C Nolle Prosequi (before trial)
	Dismissed (after diversion)
ı	Dismissed (before trial)

☐ Dismissed (during trial) () Acquitte [[Guilty Plee with Sent (before that) | Guilty Plee with Sent (during trial

Bench (Non-Jury) Triel

Transferred (before/during trial) Other Manner of Disposition

MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of EIGHTY-FOUR (84) MONTHS; with SIXTY-SEVEN (67) DAYS credit for time served. As the \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee and Genetic Testing have been previously imposed, the Fee and Testing in the current case are WAIVED.

DATED this _____ day of April, 2020.

JACQUELINE M. BLUTH DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Electronically Filed 4/13/2020 10:07 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ASTA

2

1

4

5

7

9

-

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26 27

28

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY,

Defendant(s),

Case No: C-19-341735-1

Dept No: VI

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

- 1. Appellant(s): Daine Crawley
- 2. Judge: Jacqueline M. Bluth
- 3. Appellant(s): Daine Crawley

Counsel:

Daine Crawley #7031173 330 S. Casino Center Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89101

4. Respondent: The State of Nevada

Counsel:

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89101

C-19-341735-1

-1-

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
	H

(702) 671-2700

5. Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A Permission Granted: N/A

Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A

- 6. Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: Yes
- 7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A
- 8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: N/A
- 9. Date Commenced in District Court: July 11, 2019
- 10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Criminal

Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Judgment of Conviction

11. Previous Appeal: No

Supreme Court Docket Number(s): N/A

12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A

Dated This 13 day of April 2020.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

/s/ Amanda Hampton

Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk 200 Lewis Ave PO Box 551601 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601 (702) 671-0512

cc: Daine Crawley

2627

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FILED

JUN 0 4 2020

CLERK OF COURT

Case No.: <u>C341735</u>

Dept. No.: District VI

IN THE 8th JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Clark

Daine Anton Crawley # 1167447

Petitioner,

Warden Williams High Desert state Prison

Respondent.

A-20-816041-W Dept. VI

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

(Post-conviction)

(NRS 34.720 et seq.)

INSTRUCTIONS:

- (1) This petition must be legibly handwritten or typewritten, signed by the petitioner and verified.
- (2) Additional pages are not permitted except where noted or with respect to the facts which you rely upon to support your grounds for relief. No citation of authorities need be furnished. If briefs or arguments are submitted, they should be submitted in the form of a separate memorandum.
- (3) If you want an attorney appointed, you must complete the Affidavit in Support of Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. You must have an authorized officer at the prison complete the certificate as to the amount of money and securities on deposit to your credit in any account in the institution.
- (4) You must name as respondent the person by whom you are confined or restrained. If you are in a specific institution of the Department of Corrections, name the warden or head of the institution. If you are not in a specific institution of the Department but within its custody, name the Director of the Department of Corrections.

- (5) You must include all grounds or claims for relief which you may have regarding your conviction or sentence. Failure to raise all grounds in this petition may preclude you from filing future petitions challenging your conviction and sentence.
- (6) You must allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition you file seeking relief from any conviction or sentence. Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed. If your petition contains a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, that claim will operate to waive the attorney-client privilege for the proceeding in which you claim your counsel was ineffective.
- (7) When the petition is fully completed, the original and one copy must be filed with the clerk of the state district court for the county in which you were convicted. One copy must be mailed to the respondent, one copy to the Attorney General's Office, and one copy to the district attorney of the county in which you were convicted or to the original prosecutor if you are challenging your original conviction or sentence. Copies must conform in all particulars to the original submitted for filing.

PETITION

you are presently restrained of your liberty:
High Desert State Prison Indian Springs, Nevada Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack:
Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, Clark County
3. Date of judgment of conviction: April 1st 2020
4. Case number: <u>C-19-341735-1</u>
5. (a) Length of sentence: 84 to 240 months
b) If sentence is death, state any date upon which execution is scheduled:
6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack
6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in this motion? Yes <u>X</u> No
6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in this motion? Yes X No
6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in this motion? Yes X No

8.	What v	vas your plea? (check one)
	(a)	Not guilty
	(b)	Guilty X
	(c)	Guilty but mentally ill
	(d)	Guilty but mentally ill Nolo contendere
info	ormation	entered a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill to one count of an indictment or a, and a plea of not guilty to another count of an indictment or information, or if a plea guilty but mentally ill was negotiated, give details: of auilty was plead for a negotiated I to Syear probationable for Case C341735 and a negotiated plea for I to Syear ble sentence to run concurrently for case C48881 in which e agreed to not seek habital Criminal treatment.
10.	If you	were found guilty or guilty but mentally ill after a plea of not guilty, was the finding theck one)
	-	
	(b)	Jury Judge without a jury
	Did y If you (a) Na (b) Ca (c) Re A N or Cla	ofice of appeal was filed to the Eighth Judicial District ink county to be appealed to the Supreme court of it, still pending
		te of result:
14.	If you	did not appeal, explain briefly why you did not:
	viously	than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you filed any petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, eral? Yes No

16. (a)	If your answer to No. 15 was "yes," give the following information: (1) Name of court:
(2)	Nature of proceeding:
(3)	Grounds raised:
	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes:No:No:
	Result: Date of result:
(0)	Dute of found.
(7)	If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to such result:
	(b) As to any second petition, application or motion, give the same information: (1) Name of court:
	(2) Nature of proceeding:
	(3) Grounds raised:
_	
	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No
	(6) Date of result:
	(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to such result:
inf	(c) As to any third or subsequent additional applications or motions, give the same formation as above, list them on a separate sheet and attach.
	Did you appeal to the highest state or federal court having jurisdiction, the result or action ten on any petition, application or motion?
lan	(1) First petition, application or motion? Yes No
	Citation or date of decision: Still pending
	Citation or date of decision: Still pending (2) Second petition, application or motion? Yes No
	Citation or date of decision: (3) Third or subsequent petitions, applications or motions? Yes No
	(3) Third or subsequent petitions, applications or motions? Yes No
	L MANGELLE MAIC DE RECINERE

(e) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.):
17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been previously presented to this or any other court by way of petition for habeas corpus, motion, application or any other postconviction proceeding? If so, identify: (a) Which of the grounds is the same:
A notice of appeal was filed only
(b) The proceedings in which these grounds were raised:
(c) Briefly explain why you are again raising these grounds. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)

you have attached, were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, list briefly what grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)	
19. Are you filing this petition more than 1 year following the filing of the judgment of conviction or the filing of a decision on direct appeal? If so, state briefly the reasons for the	
delay. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)	
delay. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not	(eurl
delay. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.) 20. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the judgment under attack? Yes X No	.ourt

- 23. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same.
- (a) Ground one: Violation of 14th Amendment, Equal Protection Clause Due process of Law Violation of NRS 171.174, NRS 171.104, NRS 171.196 Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):

On June 12th, 2019. The Defendant Daine A. Crawley was unlawfully detained for possible involvement in Assault w Deadly weapon case. Despite numerous requests for Body cam footage and/or other related exculpatory evidence including Discovery I filing of motion to inspect all evidence favorable to defense none was provided by District Attorney David Stanton or public Defender Erika Ballow. Defendant Crawley was stopped between the Luxor and Excalibur parking lots adjacent to the Luxor Hotel and Casino. A multi purpose tool with Razor blade attached was retrieved from Daine A. Crawley belt body came footage will show. Upon seizure Mr. Crawley was booked for Assault with Deadly Weapon on June 12th 2019 at 21:01 hours. The property inventory sheet at Clark County Detention Center Shows discrepencies in description of clothing in police report Center Shows discrepencies in description of clothing in police report and lack of weapon other than said tool I tools listed. On June 17th Jolq Mr. Crawley was brought before the Judge for an initial arraignment. This now being "Sdays" after arrest violating 14th amendment right and NRS 171.174 exceeding To hour hearing. After court the morning On June 17th 2019 Mr. Crawley was formally booked at 1600 14:00pm according to Temperary Custody Record on June 17th 2019 by an officer Joshua D. Ferry despite being hours after initial court appearance Violating NRS. 171.104. In addition to these due process rights violations the preliminary hearing for both charges were scheduled to be on July The preliminary hearing for both charges were scheduled to be on July 18th 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, but were continued until July 18th, 2019 for unknown 1st 2019, and federal Rules for criminal procedure. It was NRS 171.196 and federal Rules for criminal procedure. It was NRS 171.196 and federal Rules for criminal procedure. It was later used as a factic by District Atturney David Stanton and later used as a factic by District Atturney David Stanton and Public Defender Erika Ballou to entice a Guilty Plea agreement of a 1 to 5 year probationable sentence which in turn was used to a 1 to 5 year probationable sentence which in furn was used to a 1 to 5 year probationable sentence which in furn was used to a 1 to 5 year probationable sentence which in furn was used to a 1 to 5 year probationable sentence which in furn was used to a 1 to 5 year probationable sentence which in furn was used to a 1 to 5 year probationable sentence which is offender, and sentence adjudicate Mr. crawley as an habitual offender, and sentence of 84 to 240 months.

(b) Ground two: Violation of Amendment 6 Amendment 7 Amendment 5 Blankenship vs. state, supreme court of Neveda July 21, 2016.

Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law:

Prosecutorial misconduct was exercised at both March 4th, 2020 and April 1st 2020 sentencing hearings regarding Mr. Crawleys Mental Health / physical Handicap. Mr. Crawleys attempts to seek adequate counsel in dismissing Public Defender Erika Ballov for inpropriate representation involving violation of De process rights and withdrawl of guilty plea in November of 2019 resulted in malicious vindictive prosecution. Mr. Crawley was unable to speak on his own behalf during the hearing related to the withdrawal of builty flea prior to the withdrawal being denied in January 2020. An evidentiary hearing was never held to weigh exculpatory evidence and for mental health issues. Mr. Crawley has suffered from bouts of PTSD/social Anxiety disorders Since 2001 these mental Health issues were the basis of the withdrawal of guilty plea. The stability of his mental wealth health during the signing of the guilty plea in July 2019 are in question during that timedue to incurrenting due to not being on his medication during that timedue to incurrenting Mr. Crawley believed he was signing a 1 to 5 year probationable

Mr. Crawley believed he was signing a 1 to 5 year probationable

Sentence with 18 to 60 months recommended by PNP, This misslend

plea agreement led to an 84 to 240 month sentence. I ssues With PSI were addressed through multiple hearings from November 2019 through April 1st 2020 sentencing. The most notable discrepencies are with the PSP scoring sheet regarding Mental health and physical Handicap. The pre sentence adjustment section is in error and can be seen in differe with PSI for case numbers 211 onal A supplemental PSF report was brought into our entire 13 In error and can be seen in differce with PSI for case number. C342001. A supplemental PSI report was brought into avestion in that the same issues remained unclarified. On the 7th page 11 states issue with contacting Attorney Carl Arnold, and not being able to receive response. A response from Defendant is stated via Email although Defendant was incustedly 260 days at this point and could not of responded in this manner.

(c) Ground three: Violation of Administrative order 20-06 filed March 18th, 2020

Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):

de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la

Communication with Coursel has been futile due to the Covid 19
Corona Virus producic. The Administrative order: 20-06 In
the administrative matter of court operations of Criminal matters
in response to Covid-19 Clearly states from lines 8-12
dated March 18th ,2020, that atterneys are cautioned that it
Will be absolutely necessary to prepare Clients for guilty picas
Sentencings, and probation revocations prior to Court, This lack
of contact due to social distancing with Attorney Cail Arnold in
turn led to extreme Sentencing, Mr. crawley asked for continuance to
address issues in probation success probability score page 7 but was
denied right to Consult with coursel due to Coronavirus/covid19 pandemic.
The Defendant is requesting that the sentence be challenged due to
Carl Arnold amid the CovID 19/corona Virus pandemic. Mr. Crawley
signed a Itosyear probationable sentence, and the above errors
were used to adjudicate Mr. Crawley to Habitual offender Status
by District Attorney David Stanton and Judge Jacqueline Bluth
despite Various attempts to withdrawal guilty plen and for dismiss
Counsel.

(d) Ground four: 8th Amendment cruel and unusual punishment, 7th amendment Right to Juny trial.

Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):

It is the prosecutorial misconduct in previous years known by District Attorney David Stanton and the impropriate representation throughout the duration of Case C341735 that led to guilty plea coercion through fear of life imprisonment due to false folice report that was witheld by Public Defenders office. Court transcripts of both Case C341735 and c342881 show the malice and vindictive presecution by David Stanton due to the Defendants attempt and eventual acceptance into The Brug court program. It is a well known fact according to the website Appeal. Org, That Mr. David Stanton's anger and Vindictive prosecution tactics have led him to be fired from Reno, Nevada's DA's office in 1999. He was arrested for resisting arrest and has taken Anger management courseling related to his Career. Mr. Stanton has been involved in a related to his Career. Mr. Stanton has been involved in a tradition in clark County of paying witnesses for tertimony from a secret checking account. In light of this information Mr. Crawley attempted to enlighten appointed Attorney Carl Arnold of these facts but was told to not disclose them in upon of these facts but was told to not disclose them in upon or these must be the withdrawal of guilty plea. It is court in relation to the withdrawal of guilty plea. It is the defendants belief that this is why Mr. Arnold did not attend April 1st 2020 rendition of sentencing and Mr. Crawley was denied a continuance to consult with Substitute counsel or Mr. Arnold in relation to PSI/PSP Scoring issues. The result being 84 to 240 months for carry concealed weapon To wit multipurpose tool Knife.

The website is as follows https://theappear.org/lessos-and-legal-pads-the-cowbey-culture-of-the-clark-county-nevada.

WHEREFORE, petitioner praymay be entitled in this proceeding.	ys that the court grant petitioner relief to which petitioner
EXECUTED at 5:00pm on the 29th	day of the month of Afrilof the year 2020
	Signature of petitioner
	HDSP P.O Box L50 Indian Springs NV 87070 Address
Signature of attorney (if any)	
Attorney for petitioner	
Address	<u> </u>
	VERIFICATION
named in the foregoing petition and k	ndersigned declares that the undersigned is the petitioner knows the contents thereof; that the pleading is true of the as to those matters stated on information and belief, and leves them to be true. Petitioner
	Attorney for petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

hereby certify, pursuant to N.R.C.P. 5(b), that on this 29th day of the month of April of the year 2000. I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS addressed to:
Warden Williams High Desert State Prison
Respondent prison or jail official
P.O Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89070 Address
Address
Aaron Ford
Attorney General
100 Neith Carson Street Carson City, NU 89701 Address
Steven B. Weifson District Attorney of County of Conviction
200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212 Address

Re:	State of Nevada v. Daine Crawley	
	Case No. <u>C341735</u>	
Dear l	Mr./Ms:	

Nev. Rev. Stat. 7.055, provides that:

An attorney who has been discharged by his client shall, upon demand...Immediately deliver to the client all papers, documents, pleadings and items of tangible personal property which belong to or were prepared for that client.

See also Nev. Sup. Ct. Rule 166(4):

Upon termination of representation, a lawyer shall take steps to the extentreasonably practicable to protect a client's interest, such as ... surrendering papers and property to which the client is entitled..."

I hereby formally make demand that you provide my entire file, including, but not limited to all papers, documents, pleading and items of tangible personal property which belong to or were prepared on my behalf to me at the address set forth on this letter.

As you know pursuit of post-conviction claims are governed by strict deadlines. Therefore, I cannot stress enough the importance of your providing of your providing my file to me as soon as possible. Your prompt attention to this very important matter is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely.

Daine (rawley # 1167447)
Po Box 650
Indian Springs, NV 89070

Diskied Court Clerk Pleas 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155

MACHINABLE MAIL

դրենկակակարգություրերերերիկակարերիկ

BEAUTIMESON COTS

"LEGAL MATL

UNIT 7 C/D APR 29 2020

HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON

2. Confidential Sealed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis

Electronically Filed 6/9/2020 8:47 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

PPOW

11

3

1

2

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Daine Crawley,

Petitioner,

vs.

Warden Williams, HDSP,

Respondent,

Case No: A-20-816041-W Department 6

ORDER FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction Relief) on June 04, 2020. The Court has reviewed the Petition and has determined that a response would assist the Court in determining whether Petitioner is illegally imprisoned and restrained of his/her liberty, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent shall, within 45 days after the date of this Order, answer or otherwise respond to the Petition and file a return in accordance with the provisions of NRS 34.360 to 34.830, inclusive.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this matter shall be placed on this Court's

Calendar on the 19th day of Angust, 20, 20, at the hour o

0:15^a M. o'clock for further proceedings.

District Court Judge

FILED

JUN 1 2 2020

CLERK OF COURT

Daine Anton Crawley

v.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POSTCONVICTION)

A-20-816041-W Dept. 6

Warden Williams, HDSP
Respondent.

.......

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

.27

28

INSTRUCTIONS:

(1) This petition must be legibly handwritten or typewritten, signed by the petitioner and verified.

(2) Additional pages are not permitted except where noted or with respect to the facts which you rely upon to support your grounds for relief. No citation of authorities need be furnished. If briefs or arguments are submitted, they should be submitted in the form of a separate memorandum.

STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF.

(3) If you want an attorney appointed, you must complete the Affidavit in Support of Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. You must have an authorized officer at the prison complete the certificate as to the amount of money and securities on deposit to your credit in any account in the institution.

(4) You must name as respondent the person by whom you are confined or restrained. If you are in a specific institution of the Department of Corrections, name the warden or head of the institution. If you are not in a specific institution of the Department but within its custody, name the Director of the Department of Corrections.

(5) You must include all grounds or claims for relief which you may have regarding your conviction or sentence. Failure to raise all grounds in this petition may preclude you from filing future petitions challenging your conviction and sentence.

(6) You must allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition you file seeking relief from any conviction or sentence. Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed. If your petition contains a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, that claim will operate to waive the attorney-client privilege for the proceeding in which you claim your counsel was ineffective.

(7) When the petition is fully completed, the original and one copy must be filed with the clerk of the state district court for the county in which you were convicted. One copy must be mailed to the respondent, one copy to the Attorney General's Office, and one copy to the district attorney of the county in which you were convicted or to the original prosecutor if you are challenging your original conviction or sentence. Copies must conform in all particulars to the original submitted for filing.

PETITION

1. Name of institution and county in which you are presently imprisoned or where and how you are present	ıtl
restrained of your liberty: High Desert State Prison Indian Spring, NV	
	•
2. Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack:	
Clark County District Court 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas NV 8915	5
	••
3. Date of judgment of conviction: April 15th 2020	
4. Case number: C341735	
5. (a) Length of sentence: 84 to 240 months	

-1-

1	(b) If sentence is death, state any date upon which execution is scheduled:
2	6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in this motion?
3	Yes .X No
4	If "yes," list crime, case number and sentence being served at this time: Grand Larceny C342681
5	12+030 months to run-concurrently with Case C341735
6	
7	7. Nature of offense involved in conviction being challenged: Carrying Concealed Fires ray
8	or other Dendly Weapon To wit: Knike or multipurpose tool
9	8. What was your plea? (check one)
0	(a) Not guilty
1	(b) GuiltyX
2	(c) Guilty but mentally ill
3	(d) Nolo contendere
4	9. If you entered a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill to one count of an indictment or information, and a
5	plea of not guilty to another count of an indictment or information, or if a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill was
6	negotiated, give details: A plea regotiation was agreed to a 1 to 5 year probationalle sentence
7 6	for case (341735, and a 1405 year probutionable sentence for case (34288) to run- Concurrently With case (341735 and the State would not seek hebitual of C34081) is 10. If you were found guilty or guilty but mentally ill after a plea of not guilty, was the finding made by: (check one)
9	(a) Jury
0	(b) Judge without a jury
1	11. Did you testify at the trial? Yes No
	12. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? YesX No
2	
3	13. If you did appeal, answer the following:
4	(a) Name of court: Clark Courty District Court
5	(b) Case number or citation: C341735
6	(c) Result: No reply by Courts
7	(d) Date of result:
8	(Attach copy of order or decision, if available.)

1	14. If you did not appeal, explain briefly why you did not:
2	
3	
4	15. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any
5	petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal? YesX No
6	16. If your answer to No. 15 was "yes," give the following information:
7	(a) (1) Name of court: Clark County District Court
8	(2) Nature of proceeding: A notice of appeal was filed and a Habeas
9	corpus but no response on either or notice of receipt of Habas Copus
10	(3) Grounds raised: Due frocess rights violations incorrect PST/PSP
11	information
12	
13	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No X
14	(5) Result:
15	(6) Date of result:
16	(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to such result:
17.	
18	(b) As to any second petition, application or motion, give the same information:
19	(1) Name of court:
20	(2) Nature of proceeding:
21	(3) Grounds raised:
22	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No
23	(5) Result:
24	(6) Date of result:
25	(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to such result:
26	
27	(c) As to any third or subsequent additional applications or motions, give the same information as above, list
28	them on a comparts sheet and attack

1	(d) Did you appeal to the highest state or federal court having jurisdiction, the result or action taken on any
2	petition, application or motion?
3	(1) First petition, application or motion? Yes No
4	Citation or date of decision: Do response
5	(2) Second petition, application or motion? Yes No
6	Citation or date of decision:
7	(3) Third or subsequent petitions, applications or motions? Yes No
8	Citation or date of decision:
9	(e) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you
LO	did not. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which
1	is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in
L2	length.)
.3	
4	17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been previously presented to this or any other court by way of
5	petition for habeas corpus, motion, application or any other postconviction proceeding? If so, identify:
.6	(a) Which of the grounds is the same: The court has not notified receipt of
L 7	Holeas Corpus, the grounds 1 to 3 are similar
.8	(b) The proceedings in which these grounds were raised:
9	
20	(c) Briefly explain why you are again raising these grounds. (You must relate specific facts in response to this
1	question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your
2	response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)
23	
4	18. If any of the grounds listed in Nos. 23(a), (b), (c) and (d), or listed on any additional pages you have attached,
25	were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, list briefly what grounds were not so presented,
6	and give your reasons for not presenting them. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your
7	response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not
8	exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)
	A k

19. Are you filing this petition more than 1 year following the filing of the judgment of conviction or the filing
of a decision on direct appeal? If so, state briefly the reasons for the delay. (You must relate specific facts in
response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the
petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)
20. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the judgment
under attack? Yes No
If yes, state what court and the case number: Hopefully, an appeal and Habers Corpus
for clark low-ty District Lourt Case # C341735 if property filed
21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on
direct appeal: District Court Sentencing Attorney Carl Arnold, and loger
Bailey has been appointed 5/27/20
22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under
attack? Yes No
If yes, specify where and when it is to be served, if you know:
23. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly the
facts supporting each ground. If necessary you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts
supporting same.

(a) Ground ONE: Violation of 14th Amendment - equal protection clause Due process of Law, Violation of NRS 171.174, NRS 171.104, and NRS 171.196, Violation of 4th Amendment and 6th Amendment - The accused has the right to hear and question all witnesses and call witnesses Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): On June 12th, 2019, An arrest was made detaining Daine Anton Crawley for possible involvement in an assault wideadly weapon on Las Vegas BLVD, between the Luxor and Excalibr addicerst parking lots. Body Cam footage will show that a multi purpose tool /w razor blade attached was retrieved from Mr. Crawley belt. Upon Seizure Mr. Crawley was booked for Assault wildeadly weapon on June 12th, 2019 at 21:0 Thours without proper explanation or discovery given until PSI was provided for this case. On The 17th 2019 Mr. Crawley was brought before the court for an initial arrangement and notified of additional charge "five days after arrest exceeding 72 hour hearing It wasn't until later that day of June 17th 2019 that Mr. Crawley was formally given the rebooking charge at 16:00 14:00 pm according to the temporary Custody record from June 17th, 2019 by an 17 officer John D. Ferry this being hours after the initial court 18 appearance. This new rebook charge for larry Concreded Weapon was used as leverage to obtain guilty plea agreement eventhough the Assault W/Deadlywarpon Charge held no merit. Mr. Crawley was never 21 positively identified by any witnesses, no witnesses were ever brought before the Govet. The incorrect facts of the police report were used to obtain a habitual Seatence of By to 240 months. No additional fingerprints, mugshots, etc. were taken which in turn also led to the miscalculation of Credit Time Served at time of April 12,2020 sentencing. The circlited time at sentencing should have 27 been 261 days. The preliminary hearing for both charges were

ł	lack the state of the state of the The
	Scheduled to be on July 1st, 2019, but were continued until July
	11th, 2019 without the Defendant Mr. Crawley being brought before
3	the court despite being incustody at CCDC during that
4	time. It is also noted on "PSI that a June 28th 2019
	appearance or Failure To Appear "is now on Defendants
6	record that he was never brought before the court for
	nor did he initially even have a June 28th, 2019 Court
	date. This is a procedural factic used by District Attorney
9	David Stanton to obtain the desired Guilty Men agreement
	or finance the "prosecution Witnesses Vacation expenses
11	for their appearance or testimonies in many cases he
12	has prosecuted over the years according to the appealorg
13	
14	DATED THIS 2nd day of Jone . 20 De
15	1 Daine Anton Crawley do
16	solemnly swear. under the penalty of perjury, that
17	the above Ground Holens Corpus is accurate.
18	,
19	correct, and true to the best of my knowledge.
20	NRS 171.102 and NRS 208.165.
21	Respectfully submitted.
22	Dae Co
23	Defendant
24	

NRS 208.165 A prisoner may execute any instrument by signing his name immediately following a declaration "under penalty of perjury" with the same legal effect as if he had acknowledged it or sworn to its truth before a person authorized to administer oaths. As used in this section, "prisoner" means a person confined in any jail or prison, or any facility for the detention of juvenile offenders in this state.

(b) Ground TWO: Violation of Amendment 5 and 6, Violation of 8th Amendment, Violation of 7th Amendment in relation to evidentiary hearing to Amend errors within PST IPSP score, Violation of NRS 176.145, NRS 213.10988, Blankership Vs. State July 21st, 2016 Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): The Detendents Protection Success Probability form used at sentencing for Carrying Concealed Firearm or other Deadly weapon: To wif Knife multipurpose tool failed to properly account for defendants mental Health physical handicap in scoring his ability to be employed under the psych or medical impact Section of the present offense Section of the PSP score, and Financial Section of Social History. The Defendants sentence was predudiced because the District Court did not correct the errors in the PSP prior to sentercing despite defendants objections, and lack of contact with court appointed counsel due to restrictions amid the COVID 19 / Corona Virus pandemic. The difference in score raised the sentencing recommendations significantly. Mr. Crawleys mental disability affected his behavior and was relevant when weighing recidivism probability in reference to Habitual Criminality recommendations. Sentencing forms were required to include considerations for legitimate mental disabilities and physical handicap. The Current PSP Categories improperly penalized defendant as a result of a disability. In addition to Mr. Crawleys attempts to correct these errors, the dismissal of Public Defender Erika Ballov for impropriate representation involving the initial violation of Due process rights and Withdrawal of Guilty Plea from August 2019 though

November 2019 resulted in malicious presecutions. No evidentiary Mr. Crawley has suffered from box social Anxiety disorders since 200 rendant believed he was signing to Syear probationable sentence. The Supplementa report was brought into question on April remain unaddressed page it states issue with Contacting Attorney Arnold and not being able to receive a response response from Defendant is stated Via email althou fendant was in custody" 260 days" the detendant or Attorney were contacted who then possibly given a response never corrected or properly As you may know, PSP's are seperated Categories. Prior Criminal History Present History, and Community Impact Categories include a tota independent considerations. The 33 considerations independently scored in the PSP. Using a seperate form to guide the division when assigning points (the scoring sheet). The points assigned to the 35 Considerations are then added to arrive

an offender overall score or "PSP". When an overall PSP Score warrants a recommendation of prison, a raw score is computed consisting of the scores from the considerations in the prior crimina history and present offense lategories. The law Score is translated into a sentencing range using the Sentencing Scale. NAC 213.600. In this instance Crawley was interviewed for case C341735 C342881 by the PNP office, only a matter of weeks apart while in custody- However, the social History Varies Substantially between the two, as well as the pre Sentence adjustment section. Most notably under the Attitude Supervision, Attitude Offense, Honesty/cooperation categories of the presentence adjustment. Also most importantly the psych or medical impact and weapon Categories of the present offense section. The Charge is concealed weapon, though - 2 points are deducted for brandished on a victimless come, for example. It is believed that these errors would have put Mr. rawley in the borderline Candidate recommendation range it a new PSI would have been ordered was intended on March 4th 2020 to be used at April 1,2020 rendition of sentencing. It is stated that a

Ground 2 Continuation page 2

+ Defendant has the right to object to factual or methodological errors in sentencing forms, so long as he or she objects before sentencing and allows the District (our to Strike information that is based on impalpable or highly suspect evidence. It is clear that any obsections that the defendant has must be resolved prior to sentencing. In this case havever this remains an issue in that the defendant was not given a new PSI interview, or ample time to review the supplement PSI dated March 24" 2020 prior to April 1st, 2020 Sentencing. The Supplemental PSI was never reviewed with the defendant by the defense Attorney. Under NKS 207 .Ollo Procedure, trial of primary offense, prior Convictions; it clearly states that if such a supplement or amendment is filed the sentence must not be imposed , or the hearing required by subsection 3 held, until 15 days after the seperate filing. Mr. rawley was not given time to review the upplemental PSI with counsel prior to April .O sentencing due to restrict OVID 19/Corona Virus pandemic. Although, prosecution Ground 2 Continuation page 3

1	retains the right to argue for prison versus probation, the
	additional 5 to 8 points that Mr. Grawley was penalized
	would have projected a lower sentencing
	recommendation. The errors also affect classification
	and parole eligibility in the Department of Corrections.
i	In Conclusion Mr. Crawkys PSI was fainted as a
	result of the error, and the division tailed to
8	contact Attorney Carl Arnold prior to April 15th
9	2020 sentencing to clarify issue, or give new
10	PSI interview for the deterdant. Therefore, the
11	Sentencing forms constituted impalpable or highly
12	Suspect evidence.
13	
14	DATED THIS 2nd day of June . 2020
15	1 Daine Anton Crawley do
16	solemnly swear, under the penalty of perjury, that
17	the above Ground A Habers Corpus is accurate.
18	correct, and true to the best of my knowledge.
19	NRS 171.102 and NRS 208.165.
20	
21	Respectfully submitted.
22	1 mo Ch
23	Defendant
24	
25	NRS 208.165 A prisoner may execute any instrument by signing his name immediately
26	following a declaration "under penalty of perjury" with the same legal effect as if he had acknowledged it or sworn to its truth before a person authorized to administer oaths. As used in
	acad konsistentivita de di Amerika da discribita decidie a destado abbinostizen da administratoriales AS 11860 IIII

detention of juvenile offenders in this state.

this section, "prisoner" means a person confined in any jail or prison, or any facility for the

(c) Ground THREE: Violation of Administrative order 20-06 filed March 18th 2020 titled in the Administrative matter of court operations Of Criminal matters in response to COVID 19, Sto Amendment Violation Moperson shall be deprived of du process law, or be witness against himself Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): Adminis

3

10

13

18

19

20

23

25

restriction; Due to the CONTA 19/Corona Virus pandania the defendants acceptance to Drug court was not granted by Judge Bluth despite being approved for the program for District 18 case number (in which a plea agreement was reached to run that Case concurrent with case C341735 and not seek habitual treatment. Entry into the Dug Court program Were previously an option or consideration prior to sentencing, as court records will show. It is believed that District Attorney David Stanton never intended to acknowledge the Itosyear probationable plea agreement to begin with atall travecutorial misconduct has been an issue with Mr. David Stanton in past and his anger has led him to be fired from the Reno, Nevada DAS office in 1999. Mr. Stanton has been involved in paying witnesses for testimony from a secret checking account, and has also been arrested for resisting arrest himself. The PSI reflects that the crime is victimless under the PSP present offense section yet Mr. Stanton used the incorrect police report to obtain agailty

Ground 3 Continuation page 1

This in turn led Mr. Crawley to a probationable sentence or a recommend solemnly swear, under the penalty of perjury, that the above Grand 3 Mabons Corpus is accurate. correct, and true to the best of my knowledge. NRS 171.102 and NRS 208.165. Respectfully submitted. Defendant NRS 208.165 A prisoner may execute any instrument by signing his name immediately following a declaration "under penalty of perjury" with the same legal effect as if he had acknowledged it or sworn to its truth before a person authorized to administer oaths. As used in

this section, "prisoner" means a person confined in any jail or prison, or any facility for the

Ground 3 Continuation

detention of juvenile offenders in this state.

EFORE, petitioner prays that the court grant petitioner relief to which petitioner may be entitled in this proceeding. day of the month of June. 2020 E-CUTED at High Desert State Prison on the High Desert State Prison Post Office Box 650 Indian Springs, Nevada 89070 Petitioner in Proper Person VERIFICATION Under penalty of perjury, the undersigned declares that the undersigned is the petitioner named in the foregoing petition and knows the contents thereof; that the pleading is true of the undersigned's own knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to such matters the undersigned believes them to be true. 11. High Desert State Prison Post Office Box 650 Indian Springs, Nevada 89070 Petitioner in Proper Person **AFFIRMATION (Pursuant to NRS 239B.030)** Highli Post Car The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceeding PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS filed in District Court Case Number Does not contain the social security number of any person. is a many signer High Desert State Prison and states on Post Office Box 650 Indian Springs, Nevada 89070 Petitioner in Proper Person CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL hereby certify pursuant to N.R.C.P. 5(b), that on this 2 day of the month of I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS addressed to: Warden High Desert State Prison Attorney General of Nevada Post Office Box 650 100 North Carson Street Indian Springs, Nevada 89070 Carson City, Nevada 89701 Clark County District Attorney's Office 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 High Desert State Prison Post Office Box 650 Indian Springs, Nevada 89070 Petitioner in Proper Person * Print your name and NDOC back number and sign Daine Anton Crawley 166

Daine Crawley of 1167447
P.O BOX 650
P.O BOX 650
NU Bablo

HICH DESERT STATE PRISON

Cha

86-011

Clark County District Court Clerk
200 Lewis Amenue
Las Vegas, NV 89155

SACO COCUMATOTES

UNIT 1 C/D

Jun 64 2020

HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON

HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON

On a o NOT

UNIT 1 C/D

Electronically Filed 7/21/2020 11:06 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **RSPN** STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 JOHN NIMAN Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #14408 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

-VS-

DAINE ANTON CRAWLEY, #7031173

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

CASE NO:

A-20-816041-W

C-19-341735-1

DEPT NO:

VI

STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

> DATE OF HEARING: AUGUST 19, 2020 TIME OF HEARING: 9:30 AM

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, through JOHN NIMAN, Deputy District Attorney, and hereby submits the attached Points and Authorities in Response to Defendant's Petitions for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction).

This Response is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On July 12, 2019, Daine Crawley (hereinafter ("Crawley" and/or "Defendant") was charged by way of Information for having committed the crime of Carrying Concealed Firearm or Other Deadly Weapon (Category C Felony- NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3)- NOC 51459).

On July 15, 2019, Crawley entered a plea of guilty to the crime as listed in the Information at Initial Arraignment. The Guilty Plea Agreement ("GPA") was filed the same day in open court.

On October 28, 2019, Crawley filed a Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel. On November 13, 2019, defense counsel moved for the withdrawal of the GPA and advised there was incorrect information in the Presentence Investigation Report ("PSI") and that another evaluation has to be done. The Court ordered Carl Arnold, Esq., to be appointed as counsel for the limited basis of the Motion to Withdraw Plea. On November 19, 2019, the State filed its Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal

On January 31, 2020, Crawley filed a Motion to Withdraw Plea. The State filed its Opposition on February 14, 2020. On February 19, 2020, the District Court heard oral arguments on the motion. The Court concluded that there was an insufficient basis to withdraw the plea and denied the motion.

On March 4, 2020, Crawley's sentencing hearing took place. At the hearing, the State argued in support of Habitual Treatment since he violated his agreement. Defense counsel provided that there were errors within Crawley's PSI. The Court ordered that the sentencing proceedings be continued to correct the PSI. On April 1, 2020, Crawley was sentenced pursuant to the Small Habitual Criminal Statute. Crawley was sentenced to a minimum of eighty-four (84) months and a maximum of two hundred-forty (240) months in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC). Defendant stated he had two hundred sixty-one (261) days credit. The District Court ordered sixty-seven (67) days credit for time served.

On April 6, 2020, Crawley filed a Notice of Appeal. The Judgment of Conviction ("JOC") was filed on April 7, 2020. Crawley's Case Appeal Statement was filed on April 13,

2
 3

5

2020. On May 11, 2020, Carl Arnold was appointed as appellate counsel. Crawley's appeal is currently pending under Nevada Supreme Court case number 81011, but no Opening Brief has yet been filed.

On June 4, 2020, Crawley field a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) (First Petition) and on June 12, 2020, Crawley filed another Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) (Second Petition). The State responds as follows.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

This Court relied on the following factual summary in sentencing Defendant:

On June 12, 2019, officers were dispatched to a location between the Excalibur and the Luxor in reference to a person threatening pedestrians with a knife. Upon arrival, contact was made with a witness who stated he was walking with his friend through the hotel parking lot when they were approached by a male, later identified as defendant Daine Anton Crawley, who got in his face and made unintelligible comments while retrieving a knife from his backpack. The witness felt threatened by the defendant who held the knife in his hand with the blade exposed. He stepped away from the defendant who then approached a vehicle with three occupants and attempted to open the door before the car drove away. As the defendant walked to another vehicle and hit the window, the witness notified police and security.

Officers also spoke to witness' friend who relayed the same events as described by the witness. While the defendant was being detained, he stated that he did not have a knife; however, officers located a knife in his pocket.

Based on the above facts, Mr. Crawley was arrested, transported to the Clark County Detention Center, and booked accordingly.

Presentence Investigation Report, August 27, 2019, at 7-8.

///

///

/// ///

///

ARGUMENT

I. DEFENDANT'S CLAIMS ARE PROCEDURALLY BARRED

NRS 34.810(1) reads:

The court shall dismiss a petition if the court determines that:

(a) The petitioner's conviction was upon a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill and the petition is not based upon an allegation that the plea was involuntarily or unknowingly or that the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel.

(b) The petitioner's conviction was the result of a trial and the grounds for the petition could have been:

(2) Raised in a direct appeal or a prior petition for a writ of habeas corpus or postconviction relief.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that "challenges to the validity of a guilty plea and claims of ineffective assistance of trial and appellate counsel must first be pursued in post-conviction proceedings.... [A]ll other claims that are appropriate for a direct appeal must be pursued on direct appeal, or they will be *considered waived in subsequent proceedings*." Franklin v. State, 110 Nev. 750, 752, 877 P.2d 1058, 1059 (1994) (emphasis added) (disapproved on other grounds by Thomas v. State, 115 Nev. 148, 979 P.2d 222 (1999)). "A court must dismiss a habeas petition if it presents claims that either were or could have been presented in an earlier proceeding, unless the court finds both cause for failing to present the claims earlier or for raising them again and actual prejudice to the petitioner." Evans v. State, 117 Nev. 609, 646-47, 29 P.3d 498, 523 (2001).

Further, substantive claims are beyond the scope of habeas and waived. NRS 34.724(2)(a); Evans v. State, 117 Nev. 609, 646–47, 29 P.3d 498, 523 (2001); Franklin v. State, 110 Nev. 750, 752, 877 P.2d 1058, 1059 (1994), disapproved on other grounds, Thomas v. State, 115 Nev. 148, 979 P.2d 222 (1999).

A defendant may only escape these procedural bars if they meet the burden of establishing good cause and prejudice:

- 3. Pursuant to subsections 1 and 2, the petitioner has the burden of pleading and proving specific facts that demonstrate:
- (a) Good cause for the petitioner's failure to present the claim or for presenting the claim again; and

(b) Actual prejudice to the petitioner.

NRS 34.810(3). Where a defendant does not show good cause for failure to raise claims of error upon direct appeal, the district court is not obliged to consider them in post-conviction proceedings. Jones v. State, 91 Nev. 416, 536 P.2d 1025 (1975).

Here, the grounds Defendant raises in his First Petition are proper only for a direct appeal, and thereby, waived. Specifically, Defendant presents four (4) grounds to this Court: (1) violation of his due process rights; (2) claims of prosecutorial misconduct; (3) violation of a court administrative order; and (4) allegations of cruel and unusual punishment. <u>First Petition</u>, at 8-11. Defendant does not challenge the validity of a guilty plea and/or raise claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. <u>See generally</u>, <u>Id.</u> Indeed, the issues Defendant does raise in this First Petition are improperly brought before this Court. As such, these substantive claims are proper only on direct appeal and are barred in this Petition.

Even still, Defendant does not attempt to demonstrate good cause or prejudice for raising these claims for the first time in the instant proceedings. See First Petition. Thus, such claims should be denied.

II. DEFENDANT'S SECOND PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS IS SUCCESSIVE AND/OR AN ABUSE OF THE WRIT

The Second Petition was filed eight (8) days after his First Petition is procedurally barred because it is successive. NRS 34.810(2) reads:

A second or successive petition *must* be dismissed if the judge or justice determines that it fails to allege new or different grounds for relief and that the prior determination was on the merits or, if new and different grounds are alleged, the judge or justice finds that the failure of the petitioner to assert those grounds in a prior petition constituted an abuse of the writ.

(emphasis added). Second or successive petitions are petitions that either fail to allege new or different grounds for relief and the grounds have already been decided on the merits or that allege new or different grounds but a judge or justice finds that the petitioner's failure to assert those grounds in a prior petition would constitute an abuse of the writ. Second or successive petitions will only be decided on the merits if the petitioner can show good cause and

prejudice. NRS 34.810(3); Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 358, 871 P.2d 944, 950 (1994).

The Nevada Supreme Court has stated: "Without such limitations on the availability of post-conviction remedies, prisoners could petition for relief in perpetuity and thus abuse post-conviction remedies. In addition, meritless, successive and untimely petitions clog the court system and undermine the finality of convictions." Lozada, 110 Nev. at 358, 871 P.2d at 950. The Nevada Supreme Court recognizes that "[u]nlike initial petitions which certainly require a careful review of the record, successive petitions may be dismissed based solely on the face of the petition." Ford v. Warden, 111 Nev. 872, 882, 901 P.2d 123, 129 (1995). In other words, if the claim or allegation was previously available with reasonable diligence, it is an abuse of the writ to wait to assert it in a later petition. McClesky v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 497-498 (1991). Application of NRS 34.810(2) is mandatory. See Riker, 121 Nev. at 231, 112 P.3d at 1074.

Here, Defendant acknowledges that this is his Second Petition, and it is therefore successive and an abuse of the writ under NRS 34.810(2) and should be denied. Further, Defendant attempts to assert substantive claims that cannot be raised in a petition. Therefore, Defendant's pleadings are successive and subject to dismissal absent a showing of good cause and prejudice. NRS 34.810(2). Defendant does not argue good cause nor prejudice. See generally, Second Petition. Thus, pursuant to statute, Defendant's pleadings "must be dismissed." NRS 34.810(2) (emphasis added).

III. DEFENDANT'S FAILURE TO RAISE CLAIMS ON DIRECT APPEAL CONSTITUTE WAIVER

Defendant's Second Petition additionally presents three (3) issues: (1) violation of his Equal Protect and/or Due Process rights; (2) allegations of errors within his PSI; and, (3) violation of this Court's Administrative Order. Second Petition, at 6-15. None of the claims raised in this Second Petition challenge the voluntariness of Defendant's guilty plea, nor does it allege ineffective assistance of counsel. Therefore, this claim should have been pursued on direct appeal, rather than in a petition. NRS 34.810(1); Franklin, 110 Nev. at 752, 977 P.2d at 1059.

Defendant does not attempt to argue good cause or prejudice for raising these claims in 1 the instant proceedings. Such an argument would be meritless, as Defendant specifically and 2 unconditionally waived any protentional constitutional defect by entering his guilty plea. 3 Warden, Nevada State Prison v. Lyons, 100 Nev. 430, 431, 683 P.2d 504, 505. 4 Because Defendant waived all constitutional issues prior to the entry of his plea, and 5 because his claim does not challenge the voluntariness of Defendant's plea, these claims must 6 be summarily denied.1 . 7 **CONCLUSION** 8 Based on the foregoing the State respectfully requests that Defendant's Petitions for 9 Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) be DENIED. 10 DATED this 16th day of July, 2020. 11 Respectfully submitted, 12 13 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 14 15 BY /s/JOHN NIMAN 16 JOHN NIMAN Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #14408 17 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 25 /// /// 26 /// 27 28

¹ The State asserts that Defendant's claims are waived as to his Petitions. If he raises these claims on direct appeal, we will respond in our Answering Brief to the appellate court.

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that service of STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION), was made this day of July, 2020, by Electronic Filing to:

ROGER BAILEY, ESQ. roger@lvaccident.com

C. Jimenez Secretary for the District Attorney's Office

JH/cmj/L3

Electronically Filed 5/5/2022 8:39 AM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COUR**

RTRAN

2

1

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Plaintiff.

VS.

WARDEN WILLIAMS, HDSP,

Defendant.

CASE NO. A-20-816041-W

DEPT. NO. VI

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE BLUTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 2020

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

No appearance

For the Defendant:

No appearance

176

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
3 4 5 6 7 8	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	١

24

25

Las Vegas, Nevada; Wednesday August 19, 2020 [Proceeding commenced at 12:11 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. Now let's call page 2, A816041-W, Daine Crawley versus Warden Williams;

[Pause in the proceedings]

All right. So I am going to appoint counsel in this case. There are some ineffective assistance of counsel issues that were brought up in regards to the defendant having mental disabilities and not being able to discuss errors in his PSI with counsel. So I would like counsel to be appointed to look over these issues. So I'm going to pass this for one week to have Mr. Christensen have someone appointed who will look over this.

THE COURT CLERK: That'd be August 26th at 10:15 a.m.

THE COURT: That should be it.

[Proceeding concluded at 12:12 p.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Aimee Curameng

Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 5/5/2022 8:39 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE NO. A-20-816041-W

DEPT. NO. VI

RTRAN

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Plaintiff.

VS.

WARDEN WILLIAMS, HDSP.

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE BLUTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 2020

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:

STATUS CHECK: APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

ROBERT BRAD TURNER, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: ROGER BAILEY, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER

Page 1

Las Vegas, Nevada; Wednesday, August 26, 2020 [Proceeding commenced at 10:41]

THE COURT: Warden Williams versus Daine Crawley,
A816041-W. This is just status check for appointment of counsel. Mr.
Crawley is not present, in custody of the Nevada Department of
Corrections. Mr. Christensen sent us notification that Mr. Arnold was
appointed on one of Mr. Crawley's other cases and so that Mr. Bailey
will be accepting appointment today; is that correct, Mr. Bailey?

MR. BAILEY: That's my understanding, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. That will be it then and that's all I have for you today; is that right?

MR. BAILEY: That's correct, Your Honor. I -- for some reason, I thought we had, Darien Ventus, but I saw that it was canceled. There's a -- I know there's a trial readiness conference this afternoon in front of Judge Barker so.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Great. All right. Thank you.

MR. BAILEY: Thank you. Have a good day.

THE COURT: You too.

MR. BAILEY: Thank you.

[Proceeding concluded at 10:42 a.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Aimee Curameng Court Recorder/Transcriber

Ex-Parte Motion For Appointment of Counse (Evidentiary Acairs	
Appointment of Counse Evidentiary Acairs	
In the 8th Judicial District Court of The State of Nevada IN and FOR The	
County of Clark	
(00117y 07 C1917	
The State of Nevada	
(ase N): (34113)	
DEPT NO: VI	
Daine Anton Crawley #1167447 HDSP	
P.O BOX 650	
Irdian Springs, NV 89070	
The Defendant Daine Anton Crawley now Comes	
forth to file the entitled motion to request the	
appointment of Alternate Counsel to assist with	
the filing of the Post Conviction Relief Habeas	
locals and Motion to Modify and loc correct	
the Clerk of Clark County District Court	
Illegal Sentence that were both received by the Clerk of Clark County District Court in June 2020. Under Rule 3.70 it said that	
a Defendant Cannot file these clocuments on	
his ther own behalt if coursel has been appointed.	
An attorney by the name of Roger C. Bailey was	
RECEIVED	

SEP 3 0 2020

CLERK OF THE COURT

181

CORPUS Detendan 1167447

> POBOX 650 Indian Spring Niverage Daine (rawley #1167447 Defendent In _____

Pro Box 2000 Endian Springs, NV 89070 Daine A. Country # 116744

LEGAL MAII

DEC-1200 Clerk of District Court
CLERK OF THE COURT 200 Lewis Avenue, 35th Floor
Las Vegas, NN 89155 RECEIVED

5200 00E9810168

Confidential LEGAL Correspondence 11

183

480863 363

CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 008358 Carl@cegalawgroup.com 1428 S. Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 (702) 358-1138

Attorney for Appellant

Electronically Filed Oct 12 2020 11:47 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAINE CRAWLEY,	}
Appellant,	Docket No. 81011
vs.	}
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	}
Respondent.	}
)

APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF

ATTORNEY FOR THE APPELLANT

ATTORNEY FOR THE RESPONDENT

CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 008358 1428 S. Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89146 Vox: (702) 358-1138 LVCEGA1@yahoo.com STEVE WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTY Nevada Bar No. 1565 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89145 Vox: (702) 671-2500 CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 008358 LVCEGA1@yahoo.com 1428 S. Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 (702) 358-1138

Attorney for Appellant

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAINE CRAWLEY,	}
Appellant,	Docket No. 81011
vs.	}
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	}
Respondent.	}
)

NRAP 26.1 DISCLOSURE

The undersigned counsel of record hereby certifies that he is not employed by a parent corporation and no such corporation exists that owns 10% or more of the party's stock.

CARL E.G. ARNOLD
ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR APPELLANT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	3
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION	4
ROUTING STATEMENT	4
STATEMENT OF ISSUES	4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5
STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS	5
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	5
ARGUMENT	6
CONCLUSION	7
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Crawford v. State, 30 P.3d 1123, 1125 (Nev. 2001)	.6
Molina v. State, 87 P.3d 533, 537 (Nev. 2004)	. 6
State v. Freese, 116 Nev. 1097 (2000)	6
NRS 176.165	. 6

iii

187

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

The Nevada Supreme Court has appellate jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to NRS 177.015 (3) which states, "the defendant only may appeal from a final judgment or verdict in a criminal case."

The Judgment of Conviction was filed on April 7, 2020, and the Notice of Appeal was filed on April 6, 2020.

ROUTING STATEMENT

The Court of Appeals shall hear and decide only those matters assigned to it by the Supreme Court. The following case categories are presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals:

(1) All postconviction appeals except those in death penalty cases and cases that involve a conviction for any offenses that are a category A felony; any direct appeal from a judgment of conviction based on a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill, or nolo contendere (Alford); direct appeals from a judgment of conviction that challenges only the sentence imposed or the sufficiency of the evidence; and any direct appeal from a judgment of conviction based on a jury verdict that does not involve a conviction for any offenses that are category A or category B felonies;...

The Court of Appeals retains jurisdiction of this appeal because it is a direct appeal from a judgment of conviction based on a plea of guilty.

STATEMENT OF ISSUE

Whether the Defendant should be allowed to withdraw his guilty plea.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On April 1, 2020, Mr. Crawley was sentenced as to a maximum of two hundred forty-one (241) months with a minimum of eighty-four (84) months with sixty-seven (67) days credit time served.

STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

On July 15, 2019, Mr. Crawley pled guilty to one count of Carrying a Concealed Firearm or Deadly Weapon. As part of the guilty plea, Mr. Crawley was to receive an own recognizance release so he could go to the Crossroads Residential Treatment Center for in patient drug treatment on the same day. Mr. Crawley was not released until July 16, 2019 at 8 p.m. and a bed was no longer available for Mr. Crawley. Accordingly, Mr. Crawley did not receive the benefit of the guilty plea agreement that he was most interested in, which was a timely release so he could be accepted to the in-patient treatment program. Unfortunately, Mr. Crawley was placed back into custody after committing a new crime on August 9, 2019.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Mr. Crawley should be permitted to withdraw his guilty plea because the benefit of the bargain was not received.

ARGUMENT

District courts may grant a motion to withdraw a guilty plea prior to sentencing for any substantial, fair and just reason. Crawford v. State, 30 P.3d 1123, 1125 (Nev. 2001). Accordingly, Nevada trial and appellate courts must apply a more relaxed standard to presentence motions to withdraw guilty pleas than to post-sentencing motions. Molina v. State, 87 P.3d 533, 537 (Nev. 2004), NRS 176.165. To determine whether the defendant advanced a substantial, fair, and just reason to withdraw a plea, the district court must consider the totality of the circumstances to determine whether the defendant entered the plea voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently. State v. Freese, 116 Nev. 1097 (2000).

In the matter before this Court, Mr. Crawley did not receive the benefit of the bargain by being immediately released on his own recognizance after the entry of his guilty plea. Consequently, he lost his placement at the in-patient treatment program. Mr. Crawley was well aware of his drug problem and aware of his proclivity to not abide by the laws. Accordingly, Mr. Crawley undertook substantial measures that he would go to a safe environment upon his release and combat his addiction. Nevertheless, the State of Nevada failed Mr. Crawley without giving him a timely own recognizance release. Mr. Crawley was returned to the streets and committed a new crime, which precluded him from receiving a possible grant of probation at the time of sentencing. The State was granted

permission to argue for prison time since the terms of the plea agreement had been violated. Mr. Crawley was given a very significant sentence and the system failed Mr. Crawley in his attempt to be a law-abiding citizen.

CONCLUSION

Mr. Crawley ask that the District Court be ordered to permit withdrawal of his guilty plea and that a new trial be set in this matter.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP § 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP § 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP § 32(a)(6). The typeface and the type style that was used is Times New Roman, size 14 point.

I further certify that this brief complies with the page limitations of NRAP § 32(a)(7), because it does not exceed 30 pages; excluding the parts of the brief exempted by NRAP § 32(a)(7)(C).

Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP § 28(e)(1), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript or appendix where the

matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Dated this October 10, 2020.

CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ

Nevada Bar No. 008358

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Nov 12 2020 01:56 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Appellant,

v.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Case No. 81011

RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF

Appeal From Judgment of Conviction after a Guilty Plea Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County

CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ. Nevada Bar #008358 1428 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 (702) 358-1138

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar #007704 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

L'APPELLATE\WPDOCS\SECRETARY\BRIEFS\ANSWER & FASTRACK\2020 ANSWER\CRAWLEY, DAINE, 81011, RESP'S ANS.

BRIEF.DOCX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
ROUTING STATEMENT	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE(S)	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	1
STATEMENT OF THE FACTS	3
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	4
ARGUMENT	5
I. APPELLANT'S CLAIM IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED	5
CONCLUSION	8
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	9
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	n

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page Number:

Cases

Foster v. Dingwall,	
126 Nev. 49, 53, 228 P.3d 453, 455 (2010)	3
Gonzales v. State,	
136 Nev. Adv. Op. 60 (Nev. App. 2020)	6
Hargrove v. State,	
100 Nev. 498, 502, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984)	7
Harris v. State,	
130 Nev. 435, 329 P.3d 619 (2014)	5
Kirksey v. State,	
112 Nev. 980, 999, 923 P.2d 1102, 1114 (1996)	6
Maresca v. State,	
103 Nev. 669, 673, 748 P.2d 3, 6 (1987)	7
State v. Haberstroh,	
119 Nev. 173, 187, 69 P.3d 676, 685-86 (2003)	7
Warden, Nevada State Prison v. State,	
100 Nev. 430, 432, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984)	6
<u>Statutes</u>	
NRS 34.810	6, 7
NRS 34.810(1)	6

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAINE CRAWLEY,
Appellant,

v.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

Case No. 81011

RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF

Appeal from Judgment of Conviction after a Guilty Plea Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County

ROUTING STATEMENT

This case is presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals because it is an appeal from a judgment of conviction based on a plea of guilty. NRAP 17(b)(1).

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE(S)

1. Whether Appellant is entitled to withdraw his guilty plea.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On July 12, 2019, Daine Crawley (hereinafter ("Appellant") was charged by way of Information for having committed the crime of Carrying Concealed Firearm or Other Deadly Weapon (Category C Felony-NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3)-NOC 51459). Appellant's Appendix ("AA") at 1.

On July 15, 2019, Appellant entered a plea of guilty to the crime as listed in the Information at Initial Arraignment. Respondent's Appendix ("RA") 000001. The Guilty Plea Agreement ("GPA") was filed the same day in open court. AA 3.

On October 28, 2019, Appellant filed a Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel. RA 000002. On November 19, 2019, the State filed its Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal. RA 000006.

On January 31, 2020, Appellant filed a Motion to Withdraw Plea. RA 000008. The State filed its Opposition on February 14, 2020. RA 000012. On February 19, 2020, the District Court heard oral arguments on the motion. RA 000018. The Court concluded that there was an insufficient basis to withdraw the plea and denied the motion. Id.

On March 4, 2020, Appellant's sentencing hearing took place. At the hearing, the State argued in support of Habitual Treatment since he violated his agreement. Defense counsel provided that there were errors within Crawley's PSI. The Court ordered that the sentencing proceedings be continued to correct the PSI. On April 1, 2020, Appellant was sentenced pursuant to the Small Habitual Criminal Statute. Appellant was sentenced to a minimum of eighty-four (84) months and a maximum of two hundred-forty (240) months in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC). Defendant stated he had two hundred sixty-one (261) days credit. The District Court ordered sixty-seven (67) days credit for time served. AA 19.

On April 6, 2020, Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal. AA 16. The Judgment of Conviction ("JOC") was filed on April 7, 2020. AA 19. Appellant's Case Appeal Statement was filed on April 13, 2020. RA 19. During this period, Appellant's appeal was pending under Nevada Supreme Court case number 81011, but no Opening Brief had yet been filed.

On June 4, 2020, Appellant filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) (First Petition) and on June 12, 2020, Appellant filed another Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) (Second Petition). RA 000020; 000036. The State responded to both petitions on July 21, 2020. RA 000053. The Court Minutes from August 19, 2020, seem to indicate that the district court granted Appellant's Petition while this appeal was pending, but as of the date of this Answering Brief no Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law or Order have been filed disposing of the Petition, nor did the District Court certify its intent to grant the Petition or seek remand. *See* Foster v. Dingwall, 126 Nev. 49, 53, 228 P.3d 453, 455 (2010); RA 000061.

Appellant filed his Opening Brief on October 12, 2020. The State's response now follows.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

The district court relied on the following factual summary in sentencing Appellant:

On June 12, 2019, officers were dispatched to a location between the Excalibur and the Luxor in reference to a person threatening pedestrians with a knife. Upon arrival, contact was made with a witness who stated he was walking with his friend through the hotel parking lot when they were approached by a male, later identified as defendant Daine Anton Crawley, who got in his face and made unintelligible comments while retrieving a knife from his backpack. The witness felt threatened by the defendant who held the knife in his hand with the blade exposed. He stepped away from the defendant who then approached a vehicle with three occupants and attempted to open the door before the car drove away. As the defendant walked to another vehicle and hit the window, the witness notified police and security.

Officers also spoke to witness' friend who relayed the same events as described by the witness. While the defendant was being detained, he stated that he did not have a knife; however, officers located a knife in his pocket.

Based on the above facts, Mr. Crawley was arrested, transported to the Clark County Detention Center, and booked accordingly.

Presentence Investigation Report, August 27, 2019, at 7-8.

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

Appellant claims that he should be permitted to withdraw his guilty plea because the benefit of the bargain was not received. Appellant's claim is barred as the proper vehicle for requesting the withdrawal of a guilty plea is by Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction). Appellant does not argue that the district court erred in denying his pre-sentence Motion to Withdraw Plea. Instead, Appellant alleges that he did not receive the benefit of the bargain, which was not available as,

not the basis of, the pre-sentence motion. Instead, Appellant appears to request that this Court determine that he may withdraw his plea in the first instance. The claims brought to this Court by Appellant are unsupported and without merit.

ARGUMENT

I. APPELLANT'S CLAIM IS PROCEDURALLY BARRED

Appellant seeks to withdraw his plea in the instant appeal. Appellant's Opening Brief ("AOB") at 3. In Harris v. State, 130 Nev. 435, 329 P.3d 619 (2014), the Nevada Supreme Court reversed a district court order that decided a motion to withdraw a plea on the merits. In reversing and remanding, the Supreme Court determined that challenges to the plea are collateral attacks and thus may *only* be made via a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. In that vein, the Supreme Court instructed lower courts on what they are required to do when a defendant seeks to withdraw his plea via written motion: "In the case of future filings and for any currently pending post-sentence motion to withdraw a guilty plea, the district court should construe the motion to be a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus and require the defendant to cure any defects (filings not in compliance with the procedural requirements of NRS Chapter 34) within a reasonable time period selected by the district court." Id. at 628.

It is well-settled law that when a defendant pleads guilty, the only claims that may be raised thereafter are those involving the voluntariness of the plea itself, or

that the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel. NRS 34.810(1); <u>Kirksey v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 980, 999, 923 P.2d 1102, 1114 (1996), *citing* <u>Warden</u>, <u>Nevada State Prison v. State</u>, 100 Nev. 430, 432, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984).

In the instant case, Appellant claims he "did not receive the benefit of the bargain" after the entry of his guilty plea. AOB at 3. Specifically, Appellant argues that his Own Recognizance ("OR") release at the entry of his plea was untimely, therefore, precluding him placement in the in-patient treatment program. Id. Appellant's claim is improperly raised on appeal before this Court. First, Appellant may only move to withdraw his plea via a post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court in the first instance. Second, even if this direct appeal were treated as a post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus, Appellant's claims fail. [B]oth the plain language of the statute and the legislative and statutory history of NRS 34.810(1)(a) demonstrate that the scope of claims that may be raised in a postconviction petition challenging a conviction entered as a result of a guilty plea are limited to claims that challenge the validity of the guilty plea. These claims may be raised either directly, i.e., a claim asserting the plea was not voluntarily or knowingly entered, or indirectly, i.e., a claim asserting the plea was entered without the effective assistance of counsel. Gonzales v. State, 136 Nev. Adv. Op. 60 (Nev. App. 2020).

Even if this were a Petition, the Court of Appeals clarified the scope of NRS 34.810, and the grounds presented in the instant appeal exceed the scope of that statute. Appellant does not argue that his plea was not knowingly and/or voluntarily entered into, but that Appellant did not receive any benefit by pleading guilty since his OR release was allegedly untimely. As such, this Court should affirm the Judgment of Conviction.

To the extent Appellant argues he received an untimely OR release, such allegation is bare, naked, and meritless. "Bare" and "naked" allegations are not sufficient to warrant post-conviction relief, nor are those belied and repelled by the record. Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 502, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984). Appellant offers no evidence to support such an unusual claim. Appellant simply provides the blanket statement that Appellant's OR release was allegedly delayed, impeding his admission into in-patient drug treatment.

There is no support for Appellant's claim that such delay of his OR release even occurred, nor for the proposition that that the alleged delay somehow violated the terms of his GPA. See generally Maresca v. State, 103 Nev. 669, 673, 748 P.2d 3, 6 (1987) ("It is appellant's responsibility to present relevant authority and cogent argument; issues not so presented need not be addressed by this court)." State v. Haberstroh, 119 Nev. 173, 187, 69 P.3d 676, 685-86 (2003) (This Court has stated that "[c]ontentions unsupported by specific argument or authority should be

summarily rejected on appeal.") (internal citations omitted). As such, Appellant's claim should be denied

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should affirm the Judgment of Conviction.

Dated this 12th day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ John T. Niman

JOHN T. NIMAN
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #014408
Office of the Clark County District Attorney
Regional Justice Center
200 Lewis Avenue
Post Office Box 552212
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

- 1. I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2003 in 14 point font of the Times New Roman style.
- 2. I further certify that this brief complies with the page or type-volume limitations of NRAP 32(a)(7) because, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by NRAP 32(a)(7)(C), it is either proportionately spaced, has a typeface of 14 points of more, contains 1,556 words and 8 pages.
- 3. Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e)(1), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Dated this 12th day of November, 2020.

Respectfully submitted

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ John T. Niman

JOHN T. NIMAN
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #014408
Office of the Clark County District Attorney
Regional Justice Center
200 Lewis Avenue
Post Office Box 552212
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on 12th day of November, 2020. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General

CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ. Counsel for Appellant

JOHN T. NIMAN Deputy District Attorney

/s/ J. Garcia

Employee, Clark County District Attorney's Office

JTN/Maggie Christiansen/jg

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAINE CRAWLEY,

Appellant,

Electronically Filed Nov 12 2020 02:00 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

v.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Case No. 81011

RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX

CARL E.G. ARNOLD, ESQ. Nevada Bar #008358 1428 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 (702) 358-1138 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar # 007704 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

INDEX

Document	Page No.
Case Appeal Statement, filed 04/13/20	19-20
District Court Minutes of 07/15/19 (Initial Arraignment)	1
District Court Minutes of 02/19/20 (All Pending Motions)	18
District Court Minutes of 08/19/20 (Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus).	61
Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel, filed 10/28/1	92-5
Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, filed 01/31/20	8-11
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed 06/04/20	21-35
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed 06/12/20	36-52
State's Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal, filed 11/19/20	6-7
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, filed 02/14/20	12-17
State's Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corp. Conviction), filed 07/21/20	ous (Post- 53-60

l		
1	Daine Craulcy 1167447 FILED	
2	Post Office Box 650 [HDSP] Indian Springs, Nevada 89018	
3	midian Springs, Nevada 69016	
4	CLERGS	
5	DISTRICT COURT	ĺ
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
7	January 20, 2021	
8	State of Nevada,	
9		
10	vs. Case No. <u>C34173</u> 5	
11	Daine Anten Crawley Dept No. VI	ļ
12		
13		
14	NOTICE OF MOTION	
15	YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Drive Anton Cruly	
16	100 WILLIAM TOTAL STATE OF THE	
17	will come on for hearing before the above-entitled Court on the day of, 20,	
18	at the hour of o'clock M. In Department, of said Court.	
19		
20	CC:FILE	
21		
22	DATED: this day of December, 2020	
23		
24	BY: Drine Anton Crawley	
25	/In Propria Personam	-
26		
27		
28		

FILED Daine Crawley ID NO. 1167447 DEC 2 8 2020 SOUTHERN DESERT CORRECTIONAL CTN. 20825 COLD CREEK RD. P.O. BOX 208 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89076 Clark County District Court January 20, 2021 Eighth Idicial District 10:15 AM State of Nevada 8 CASE NO .: (341735 9 DEPT. NO.: VI 10 DOCKET: 11 12 13 Motion to Withdraw Counsel Appoint new rouncel - file June 2010 Hubers Corpus and Motion to Modify or 14 15 Correct illegal Sentence (Note: Postconviction Habers Corpus Supplement 16 17 COMES NOW, Defendant Daine A. Crawley , herein above respectfully 18 moves this Honorable Court for an Evidentiary Hearing to Appoint Alternate 19 Course and for allow Post conviction Hobers Corpos to be filed inaddition to Motion to Modify Correct illegal sentence, enclosed 20 21 This Motion is made and based upon the accompanying Memorandum of Points and 22 Authorities, DATED: this day of December. 2020 23 24 RECEIVED 25 Defendant In Proper Personam CEC - 8 2020 26 CLERK OF THE COURT 27

he Defendant Daine Anton Crawley previously filed 1 an exparte motion to appoint lansel and request evidenting hearing that was granted on May 27th 2020, An Attorney - Roger Bailey was appointed various attempts to contact Mr. Railer re and Roger Clo Roger C. Bailey 10 11 ley during the original Case and sentencing 17 of Court operations of criming 18 lleal Sentence imposed ease review this motion and 22 an the CEGA hu group to Corpus or file the enclosed motionis pursuant Respectfully Submittee 26 27 Page 210

AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding experte
Motion Withdraw appoint alternate Counse (Title of Document)
(Title of Document)
filed in District Court Case number <u>C341735</u>
Does not contain the social security number of any person.
-OR-
☐ Contains the social security number of a person as required by:
A. A specific state or federal law, to wit:
(State specific law)
-or~
 B. For the administration of a public program or for an application for a federal or state grant.
Signature 126/20 Date
Daire Anton Crawley Print Name
Motion to withdraw Consel

1	CERTFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAILING
2	I, Jaine Anton Cauly, hereby certify, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), that on this
3	day of December, 2000, I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing, "
4	Motion to Withdraw Coursel appoint alternate. "
5	by placing document in a sealed pre-postage paid envelope and deposited said envelope in the
6	United State Mail addressed to the following:
. 7	
8	200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor
9	Las vegas, 100 87133
10	
11	
12	
13	
-14	
15	
16	
17	CC:FILE
18	194- 3
19	DATED: this \(\tag{day of December, 2020}
20	T = () # 111 701 10
21	Daine (rawley 1167447
22	/In Propria Personam Post Office Box 208,S.D.C.C.
23	Indian Springs, Nevada 89018 IN FORMA PAUPERIS:
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Petitioner/In Propia Persona
Post Office Box 208, SDCC
Indian Springs, Nevada 89070

FILED
MAR 1 8 2021

IN THE _____ JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF Clack

Daine Anton (away 167447) Petitioner,	}		
VS.	Case I	Yo.	A-20-816041-W
Director Charles Daniels,	Dept. ?	Vo.	Dept. 6
Navada Department of Correction, NOCC,)) Docket		
Respondent(s).	} .		

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

INSTRUCTIONS:

- (1) This petition must be legibly handwritten or typewritten signed by the petitioner and verified.
- (2) Additional pages are not permitted except where noted or with respect to the facts which you rely upon to support your grounds for relief. No citation of authorities need be furnished. If briefs or arguments are submitted, they should be submitted in the form of a separate memorandum.
- (3) If you want an attorney appointed, you must complete the Affidavit in Support of Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. You must have an authorized officer at the prison complete the certificate as to the amount of money and securities on deposit to your credit in any account in the institution.
- (4) You must name as respondent the person by whom you are confined or restrained. If you are in a specific institution of the department of corrections, name the warden or head of the institution. If you are not in a specific institution of the department within its custody, name the director of the department of corrections.
- (5) You must include all grounds or claims for relief which you may have regarding your conviction and sentence.

Failure to raise all grounds I this petition may preclude you from filing future petitions challenging your conviction and sentence.

- (6) You must allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition you file seeking relief from any conviction or sentence. Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed. If your petition contains a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, that claim will operate to waive the attorney-client privilege for the proceeding in which you claim your counsel was ineffective.
- (7) If your petition challenges the validity of your conviction or sentence, the original and one copy must be filed with the clerk of the district court for the county in which the conviction occurred. Petitions raising any other claim must be filed with the clerk of the district court for the county in which you are incarcerated. One copy must be mailed to the respondent, one copy to the attorney general's office, and one copy to the district attorney of the county in which you were convicted or to the original prosecutor if you are challenging your original conviction or sentence. Copies must conform in all particulars to the original submitted for filing.

PETITION

1. Name of institution and county in which you are presently imprisoned or where and who you
are presently restrained of your liberty:
2. Name the location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack: Clark Conty District Court 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155 3. Date of judgment of conviction: April 1st, 2020 4. Case number: C341735
5. (a) Length of sentence: 84 to 240 months.
(b) If sentence is death, state any date upon which execution is scheduled:
6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in
this motion:
Yes X No If "Yes", list crime, case number and sentence being served at this time:
Grand Larcery Case Number (34288) 12 to 30 months Concurrently
7. Nature of offense involved in conviction being challenged: (arrying Conrealed Weapon: Towit: Razor Knife or multi purpose tool
2

Ī	8. What was your plea? (Check one)
2	(a) Not guilty
3	(b) Guilty X
4	(c) Nolo contendere
5	9. If you entered a guilty plea to one count of an indictment or information, and a not guilty plea
6	to another count of an indictment or information, or if a guilty plea was negotiated, give details: The
7	
8	for Case (341735 state would not seek habitual sentence when (34288) Case signed,
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	(a) Jury
11	(b) Judge without a jury
12	11. Did you testify at trial? YesNo
13	12. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?
14	Yes <u>X</u> No
15	13. If you did appeal, answer the following:
16	(a) Name of court: District Court Direct Appeal to Nevada Supreme Court (b) Case number or citation:
17	(b) Case number or citation:
18	(c) Result: Still pending
19	(d) Date of appeal: April 6th, 2020
20	(Attach copy of order or decision, if available).
21	14.) If you did not appeal, explain briefly why you did not:
22	
23	
24	15. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously
25	filed any petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or
26	federal? Yes X No
27	
28	3

	16. If your answer to No 15 was "Yes", give the following information:
2	(a) (1) Name of court: District Court (Clark Courty)
3	(2) Nature of proceedings: Post conviction Habers Corpus, Direct Appen,
4	
5	
6	171.196 NRS 116.145 NAC 213.10780 Blankership VS. State Badvia
7	
8	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
9	Yes No X
10	(5) Result:
11	(6) Date of result:
12	(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to each
13	result:
14	(b) As to any second petition, application or motion, give the same information:
15	(1) Name of Court:
16	(2) Nature of proceeding:
17	(3) Grounds raised:
18	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
19	Yes No
20	(5) Result:
21	(6) Date of result:
22	(7) If known, citations or any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to each
23	result:
24	(c) As to any third or subsequent additional application or motions, give the same
25	information as above, list them on a separate sheet and attach.
26	
27	
28	4

. 1	(d) Did you appeal to the highest state or federal court having jurisdiction, the result or action
2	taken on any petition, application or motion?
3	(1) First petition, application or motion?
4	Yes <u>X</u> No
5	Citation or date of decision: Still pending No response duto La Amendment Victoria
6	(2) Second petition, application or motion?
7	Yes No
8	Citation or date of decision:
9	(e) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion,
10	explain briefly why you did not. (You may relate specific facts in response to this question. Your
11	response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response
12	may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length).
13	
14	
15	17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been previously presented to this or any other
16	court by way of petition for habeas corpus, motion or application or any other post-conviction
17	proceeding? If so, identify:
18	(a) Which of the grounds is the same: Grands 1 thragh 3 are Similar, I would
19	akolike to Cite Section 54 AB Z36 NRS 202,3652 and Boardvirg VS, State
20	(b) The proceedings in which these grounds were raised.
21	·
22	(c) Briefly explain why you are again raising these grounds. (You must relate specific facts
23	in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches
24	attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in
25	length)
26	
27	
28	5

٠	18. If any of the grounds listed in Nos. 23(a), (b), (c), and (d), or listed on any additional pages	
	2 you have attached, were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, list briefly wh	at
	grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them. (You must relate	
	4 specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 $\frac{1}{2}$?	(
	It inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten	
. (pages in length).	
•	7	
8	19. Are you filing this petition more than one (1) year following the filing of the judgment of	
ç	conviction or the filing of a decision on direct appeal? If so, state briefly the reasons for the delay.	
10	(You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on	
11	paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five	
12	handwritten or typewritten pages in length).	
13		
14		-
15	20. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the	
16	judgment under attack?	
17		ı
18		
19	Clark Goody District Court Case Number 1341735	
20	The second secon	
21	conviction and on direct appeal: District (curt Sentencing Attorneys)	-
22	Carl Arnold and Roger Bailey from (EGA Law grap.	
23		
24	22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the	
25	judgment under attack?	
26	Yes No X If "Yes", specify where and when it is to be served, if you know: Not	
27	in Navada, though possible violations in the Commonwealth of Virginia	
28	6	
- 11		1

(a) Ground ONE: Violation of 14th Amendment - equal projection clause Due process of Law, Violation of NRS 171.174, NRS 171.104, and NRS 17). 196, Violation of 4th Amendment and 6th Amendment - The accused has the right to hear and question all witnesses and call witnesses Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): On Jone 12th, 2019 An arrest was made detaining Daine Anton Crawley for possible involvement in an assault wideadly weapon on Las Vegas BLVD, between the Luxor and Excalibur advacent parking lots. Body Cam footage will show that a multi purpose too /w razor blade attached was retrieved from Mr. Crawky belt. Upon Seizure Mr. Crawley was booked for Assault wildeadly weapon on June 12th, 2019 at 21:01 hours without proper explanation or discovery given until PSI was provided for this case. On June 17th 2019 Mr. Crawky was brought before the court for an initial arraignment and notified of additional charge "Five days after armest exceeding 72 hour hearing It wasn't until later that day of I'me 17th, 2019 that Mr. Crawley was formally given the rebooking charge at 16:00 14:00pm according to the temporary Custody record from June 17th, 2019 by an officer John D. Ferry this being hours after the initial court appearance. This new rebook charge for Carry Concreted Weapon was used as leverage to obtain guilty plea agreement eventhough the Assault w/Deadlyweapon Charge held no merit. Mr. Crawley was never positively identified by any witnesses, no witnesses were ever brought before the Govet. The incorrect facts of the police report were used to obtain a habitual Seatence of BY to 240 months. No additional fingerprints, mugshots, etc. were taken which in turn also led to the miscolaration of Credit Time Served at time of April 1st 2020 sentercing. The credited time at sentencing should have been 261 days. The preliminary hearing for both charges were

Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same. (a) GROUND ONE Continued Bradvica VS. State (a) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law):

Supporting FACTS: and fair hearing then the state Courts' decision was based on an unreasonable determination of the facts. The sentencing Court was not operating in the Same capacity, and The Sentencing Judge has discretion to adjudicate an individual NRS 207.010 as long as the record the sentencing Cour conception of law regarding. adlidication, an habitual Criminal fourt exercised its discretion. A Brady Violation occured in that the evidence was not disclosed evidence would have provided grounds for the defen -was witheld by the Statelsuch as reither intentionally or inadvertently ie. the evidence was materia 1 Sentencing memorandum, thus an illegal 1 to 240 months under NRS. 207.010 P 202,350 doe not provide a method by which a person Can obtain a lancealed Weapons permit for a Rozar Knife most Conmonly used in the HVAC trade that the Defendant has been known to work insince 2004

(b) Ground TWO: Violation of Amendment 5 and 6, Violation of 8th Amendment, Violation of 7th Amendment in relation to evidentiary hearing to Amend errors within PSI IPSP score, Violation of NRS 176.145, NRS 213.10988, Blankenship VS. State July 21st, 2016 Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): The Detendants Protection Success Probability form used at sentencing for Carrying Concealed Firearm or other Deadly weapon: To wif Knife [multipurpose too] failed to properly account for defendants mental Health physical handicap in scoring his ability to be employed under the psych or medical impact Section of the present offense Section of the PSP score, and Financial Section of Social History. The Defendants Sentence was predudiced because the District Court did not correct the errors in the PSP prior to sentercing despite defendants objections, and lack of contact with court appointed counsel due to restrictions amid the COVID 19 /corona Virus pandemic. The difference in score raised the sentencing recommendations significantly. Mr. Crawkys mental disability affected his behavior and was relevant when weighing recidivism probability in reference to Habitual Criminality recommendations. Sentencing forms were required to include considerations for legitimate mental disabilities and physical handicap. The current PSP Categories impropedy penalized as a result of a disability. In addition to Mr. Crawleys attempts to correct these errors, the dismissal Defender Erika Ballow for impropriate representation involving the initial violation of Due process rights and Withdrawal of Guilty Plea from August 2019 though

November 2019 resulted in malicious presecution. No evidentiary hearing was ever conducted in relat of quilty plea. Mr. Crawley has suffered from Social Anxiety disorders since 2004 to Syear probationable sentence. The Supplemental report was brought into question on April 2020, the same issues remain unaddressed page it states issue with Contacting Attorney Arnold and not being able to receive a response Defendant was in custody 260 days at that point the detendant or Attorney were contacted who then of possibly given a response. errors were never corrected or properly addressed As you may know, PSP'S are seperated into four broad Categories. Prior Criminal History, Present History and Community Impact These tour Categories include a tota independently scored in the PSP, Using a seperate form to guide the division when assigning points (the scoring sheet). The points assigned to the 35 Considerations are then added to arrive a

an offender overall score or PSP. When an overall PSP Score warrants a recommendation of prison, a raw score is computed consisting of the scores from the considerations in the prior criminal history and present offense lategories. The raw Score is translated into a sentencing range using the Sentencing Scale. NAC 213-600. In this instance Mr. Crawley was interviewed for case C341735 and Gove C342881 by the PNP office, only a matter of weeks apart while in custody- However, the social History Varies Substantially between the two, as well as the pre Sentence adjustment section. Most notably under the Attitude Supervision, Attitude offense Honesty/Icoperation Categories of the presentence adjustment. Also most importantly the psych or medical impact and weapon Categories of the present offense section. The Charge is concealed weapon, though - 2 points are deducted for brandished on a victimless crime, for example. It is believed that these errors would have put Mr. rawley in the borderline Candidate recommendation range if a new PSI would have been ordered was intended on March 4th 2020 to be used at April 1,2020 rendition of Sentencing. It is stated that a

Ground 2 Continuation page 2

Defendant has the right to object to factual or methodological errors in sentencing forms, so long as he or she objects before sentencing and allows the District Court to Strike information that is based on impalpable or highly suspect evidence. It is clear that any obsections that the defendant has must be resolved prior to sentencing. In this case hower, this remains an issue in that the defendant was not given a new PSI interview, or ample time to review the supplement PSI dated March 24th, 2020 prior to April 1st, 2020 Sentencing. The Supplemental PSI was never reviewed with the defendant by the defense Attorney. Under NKS 207 .Ollo Procedure, trial of primary offense, prior Convictions; it clearly states that if such a supplement or amendment is filed the sentence must not be imposed, or the hearing required by subsection 5 held until 15 days after the seperate filing. Mr. rawley was not given time to review the Supplemental PSI with counsel prior to April 2020 Sentencing due to restrictions COVID 19/corona Virus pandemic. Although, posecution

Ground 2 Continuation page 3

23. (b) GROUND TWO: NRS 202.350 Bradvica VS. State
AB 236 section 90 section 105
23. (b) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law):
setains the right to argue for prison versus probation, the
additional 5to 8 points that Mr. (rawley was penalized
would have prejected a much lower recommendation. The
errors atso affects classification, and parole eligibility in
the department of Corrections under AB 236 Section 90
and section 105. Section 54 of AB 236 NRS 202.3652
does not list any specific method by which a person
Could apply for a permit to carry a razor Knife multi
Durpose tool Commonly used in the Construction HVAC
trade the Mr. (rawley has been known to work in. In
Conclusion Mr. Crawleys PSI was tainted as a result of
the above error(s), and the division failed to contact
Attorney Carl Amold prior to April 1st 2020 senterch
to chaify and discrepancies or give new PSI interview
for the defendant. Therefore the sentencing forms
Constituted impalpable or highly suspect evidence.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8
0

(c) Ground THREE: Violation of Administrative order 20-06 filed rch 18th, 2020 titled in the Administrative matter of court operations of criminal matters in response to COVIDIA, Str Amendment Moperson shall be deprived of due process law, or be witness against himself Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): Adminis

restriction; Due to the COVED 19/Corona Virus prindering the defendants acceptance to Drug (our t was not granted by Judge Bluth despite being approved for the program for District 18 case number (34288) in which a plea agreement was reached to run that Case concurrent with case C341735 and not seek habitual treatment. Entry into the Drug Court program Were previously an option or consideration prior to sentencing, as court records will show. It is believed that District Attorney David Stanton never intended to acknowledge the Itosyear probationable plea agreement to begin with atall Prosecutorial misconduct has been an issue with Mr. David Stanton in past and his anger has led him Hobe fired from the Reno, Nevada DAS office in 1999. Mr. Stanton has been involved in paying witnesses for testimony from a secret checking account, and has also been arrested for resisting arrest himself. The PSI reflects that the crime is victimless under the PSP present offense section yet Mr. Stanton used the incorrect police report to obtain agailty

Grand 3 Continuation

(c) GROUND THREE: Bradvica VS. State Violation of Amendment 6, NRS 176:145 176.153 relation to the procedure for NRS 207.010 A) NAC 53138 (c) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law):_ Plea agreement. This to 5 year probationable Sentence. for carrying a concea e on the defendants bel led to 84 to 240 months Case number is stated the department of Cornections. Statutes any Changes in the presentence investigation as Section B states that information Concerning behavior Condition has keen verities financial the violation of the Administrative order. directly contradict the Administrative ace Concerning the tamation prior the substitute Counsel There is a reasonable probability that but the Course's unprofessional Impropriate errors: roceeding would have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Supporting Facts: Furthermore, on the preludice prong, the facts alleged "show that there is a regsonable probability that if Counsel had provided effective assistance The Commonwealth of Virginia Under and REZIN Vs. state Being that those convictions Drug Court Violation under Al lurisdiction and court as well as those 200 dollar grand Larreny charges are petit Larceny Charges if prosecuted und 6 Felony Convictions. not be counted as Judge did not have the authority to conduct a sentencing hearing without Attainey (arl Arnold present in open court in order to establish the validity of a foreign prior Conviction The state must also advise the district Court that such charges will be filed in the event of a Conviction in order to enable the court to fully apprise a defendant of potential Consequences of Self-Trepresentation or in the present matter with this case the withdrawal of course and request for an evidentiary hearing in order to withdraw defendants quilty Diea. Scott vs. State Because the maintenance of confidentiality in afterney Client Communications is vital to the ability of an Attorney to effectively Consel her his client interference with this confidentiality impedes the Clients First Amendment [sic] right to obtain legal advice" Denius vc.

GROUND H: Carter VS. State, A Foreign Conviction must be a felony if it happened in the State of Nevada. Murray VS. State, Rezin VS. State, Sanchez Vs. State

A Felony Committed by the Defendant under The Commonwealth of Virginia Law for Grand Larceny and Conspiracy to Commit Grand Larceny Counted as "6 felony Counts" on the incorrect PSI/ been a petit Larceny bross misdemeanor had it occurred in the State or Nevada and thus Chesterfield Convictions for I CR10F01926 that arose from the same "Drug Cour Criminal under this section and neither could the Violations For Chesterfield Case Numb ince the District Attorney Stanton e Conception and perception of nor in Jurisdiction for lase Number - is in error in that all the above cases are under Chesterfield Carry Vinginia Honorable Frederick G. Rockwell Richmond City, VA as stated in the Supolemental provided on April 15, 2020, prepared on March 24th, Furthermore, if exemplified copies of the prior from Convictions and certified fingerprint Cards from incarcerated or the probation office would have been Contacted this error would not of occurred

Supportive Facts: would have been no threat of habitual Criminal proceedings whatsoever. The States initial burden satisfied it the State presents Prima Facile evidence the prior felony Convictions in Virginia. The state must present evidence remains (unforced) that the prior conviction was constitutionally obtained Dressler vs. State Case this preponderance remains unfounded in that from The Commonwealth of Virginia are clearly from the same act Drug (ourt Violentian) fransaction or occurrence and are prosecuted in the Same Court and County of Chest City as incorrectly stated by Mr. Stanton does not raise a presumption of constitutional leferdant is none the less free to present evidence the presumption of regularity aftorded onviction. The defendant was not granted of a rebuttal with the assistance of Court appointed of said evidence due to CoVID-19 restrictions Prior to tiling of withdrawal Concerning afformey-client privileges. defendant affempted to address errors Perore Consistant with Case law for Vs. State 1918 as there were also errors within the synopsis of arrest as Well as prior Convictions regarding Constitutionally that were within the PSP. Nevada requires a sentencing court to exercise it's discretion tactors for

Supporting Facts: before adjudicating a person the error sentencing hearing Mr. Crawley as an un a single Prior Conviction Conviction for Case er the habitual offender endant should not exceeding 18 to 60 months or 60 months expiration in the Novada

AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 2398.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding
Post Conviction Habers Corpus (Title of Document)
filed in District Court Case number <u>C341735</u>
Does not contain the social security number of any person.
-OR-
Contains the social security number of a person as required by:
A. A specific state or federal law, to wit:
(State specific law)
-or-
B. For the administration of a public program or for an application for a federal or state grant.
Signature Date
Daine Crawley Print Name
Post Connection Habers Corpus

1	WHEREFORE, David Claudly, prays that the court grant Post Consider Habers
2	relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.
3	EXECUTED as Southern Desert Correctional Center
4	on the 25 day or February, 20 21
5	
6	- Jew 1
7	Signature of Petitioner
8	<u>VERIFICATION</u>
9	Under penalty of perjury, pursuant to N.R.S. 208.165 et seq., the undersigned declares that he is
10	the Petitioner named in the foregoing petition and knows the contents thereof; that the pleading is
11	true and correct of his own personal knowledge, except as to those matters based on information and
12	belief, and to those matters, he believes them to be true.
13	
14	
15	Signature of Petitioner
16	
17	Attorney for Petitioner
18	Automey for remoner
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	11
26	
27	
28	

	CERTFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAILING
	I, Jaine (ratile), hereby certify, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), that on this 25
3	day of Horni, 2021, I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing, "
4	Post-Conviction Habers Corpus
5	by placing document in a sealed pre-postage paid envelope and deposited said envelope in the
6	United State Mail addressed to the following:
7	
. 8	District Attorneys office NDOC Directors office
. 9	(AS VEGGS, NV B9155 (975CA City, NV 39762
. 10	
11	iller a de corle office
12	Attorney benerals office District Court 100 North Carson Street 200 Lewis Avenue
13 14	Carson City, NV 89701 Lis Vegas, NV 89155
15	
16	
17	CC:FILE
18	
19	DATED: this 25th day of February, 2021.
20	
21	Daine Cawley 1161447
22	/In Propria Personam Post Office Box 208,S.D.C.C.
23	Indian Springs, Nevada 89018 IN FORMA PAUPERIS:
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	12

Jane Comby # 116-114-17

Thomas Springs M83010

THEALTH MANNET SPRINGS MARKET

Clark County District Court 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89155

POINTSIP

E 237

•	-11	
		raine Cauley 10 No. 1167447 FILED
1		SOUTHERN DESERT CORRECTIONAL CTN. MAR 1 8 2021
2		20825 COLD CREEK RD. P.O. BOX 208 THRIAN SPRINGS NV 890769
3		INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 8907.5
4		Clark County District Court
5	.	200 Lawis Avenue 3rd Floor
6		Las Vegas, NV 89155
7		
8	$\ 1$	The State of Nevada CASE NO.: A-20-816041-W
9		Dent 6
10		V. DEPT. NO
11		Daine (rawley # 116/447) DOCKET:
12	5	DCC POBOX 208 Indian Springs NV 89070
13	ر برا	OR CHEST DESCENT CALL CALL (/2. C)
14	7	PPLEMENT: PETITION for Writ of Habers Corpus (Post Conviction)
15		This Petition Shall Supersede any pravious Patition, as contact with Court appointed Consel remains tutile.
16		with our appointed consellemains totlle
17	. .	A Contract of the Contract of
18		COMES NOW, Defendant Daine A. Crawley herein above respectfully
. 19		entitled Detition of Habeas Corous Trelief)
20		entitled petition of Habeas corpus (1916+)
21		This Motion is made and based upon the accompanying Memorandum of Points and
22		Authorities,
23		DATED: this 25 day of February , 2021
24		BY: Daine (Pawley 1167447
25		SOCC PO BOX 2005 Indian Spangs, NV 89070
í	70	Defendant In Proper Personam ' J'
26 27 27	303	
29	IVED	
49	٥	

Petitioner/In Propia Persona
Post Office Box 208, SDCC
Indian Springs, Nevada 89070

FILED
MAR 1 8 2021

KOK.

IN	THE	87	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF
	THE	STAT	E OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE
		· C	OUNTY OF Clark

Daine Anton (rawley 1167447) Petitioner,	}	
VS.	Case No.	A-20-816041-W Dept. 6
Director Charles Daniels, Navada Department of) Dept. No.	
Correction None	Docket _	
Respondent(s).	}	

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

INSTRUCTIONS:

- (1) This petition must be legibly handwritten or typewritten signed by the petitioner and verified.
- (2) Additional pages are not permitted except where noted or with respect to the facts which you rely upon to support your grounds for relief. No citation of authorities need be furnished. If briefs or arguments are submitted, they should be submitted in the form of a separate memorandum.
- (3) If you want an attorney appointed, you must complete the Affidavit in Support of Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. You must have an authorized officer at the prison complete the certificate as to the amount of money and securities on deposit to your credit in any account in the institution.
- (4) You must name as respondent the person by whom you are confined or restrained. If you are in a specific institution of the department of corrections, name the warden or head of the institution. If you are not in a specific institution of the department within its custody, name the director of the department of corrections.
- (5) You must include all grounds or claims for relief which you may have regarding your conviction and sentence.

Failure to raise all grounds I this petition may preclude you from filing future petitions challenging your conviction and sentence.

2

3

4

5

6

10

- (6) You must allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition you file seeking relief from any conviction or sentence. Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed. If your petition contains a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, that claim will operate to waive the attorney-client privilege for the proceeding in which you claim your counsel was ineffective.
- (7) If your petition challenges the validity of your conviction or sentence, the original and one copy must be filed with the clerk of the district court for the county in which the conviction occurred. Petitions raising any other claim must be filed with the clerk of the district court for the county in which you are incarcerated. One copy must be mailed to the respondent, one copy to the attorney general's office, and one copy to the district attorney of the county in which you were convicted or to the original prosecutor if you are challenging your original conviction or sentence. Copies must conform in all particulars to the original submitted for filing.

PETITION

11	1. Name of institution and county in which you are presently imprisoned or where and who you
12	are presently restrained of your liberty:
13	2. Name the location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack:
14	Clark County District Court 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155
15	3. Date of judgment of conviction: April 2020
16	4. Case number: <u>C341735</u>
17	5. (a) Length of sentence: 84 to 240 months.
18	(b) If sentence is death, state any date upon which execution is scheduled:
19	6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in
20	this motion:
21	Yes X No If "Yes", list crime, case number and sentence being served at this time:
22	Grand Largery Case Number (34288) 12 to 30 months Concurrently
23	7. Nature of offense involved in conviction being challenged:
24	Carrying Conrealed Weapon: Towit: Razor Knife or multi purpose
25	tool
26	
27	
28	2

1	8. What was your plea? (Check one)					
2	(a) Not guilty					
3	(b) Guilty X					
4	(c) Nolo contendere					
5	9. If you entered a guilty plea to one count of an indictment or information, and a not guilty plea					
6	to another count of an indictment or information, or if a guilty plea was negotiated, give details: The					
7	Defendant agriced to a 1 to 5 year probationable recommended 18 to 60 months sentence					
8	(Con Coultage and Country of the Cou					
9	10. If you were found guilty after a plea of not guilty, was the finding made by: (check one)					
10	(a) Jury					
11	(b) Judge without a jury					
12	11. Did you testify at trial? Yes No					
13	12. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?					
14	Yes X No					
15	13. If you did appeal, answer the following:					
16	(a) Name of court: District Court Direct Appeal to Nevada Supreme Court					
17	(b) Case number or citation:					
18	(c) Result: Still pending					
19	(d) Date of appeal: April 6th, 2020					
20	(Attach copy of order or decision, if available).					
21	14.) If you did not appeal, explain briefly why you did not:					
22						
23						
24	15. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously					
25	filed any petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or					
26	federal? Yes X No					
27						
28	3					