IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INSTITUTE, INC., A NEVADA DOMESTIC NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, Appellant, VS. BRITTNEY MILLER, AN INDIVIDUAL ENGAGING IN DUAL EMPLOYMENT WITH THE NEVADA STATE ASSEMBLY AND CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT: DINA NEAL, AN INDIVIDUAL ENGAGING IN DUAL EMPLOYMENT WITH THE NEVADA STATE SENATE AND NEVADA STATE COLLEGE AND COLLEGE OF SOUTHERN NEVADA; JAMES OHRENSCHALL, AN INDIVIDUAL ENGAGING IN DUAL EMPLOYMENT WITH THE NEVADA STATE SENATE AND CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER; AND SELENA TORRES, AN INDIVIDUAL ENGAGING IN DUAL EMPLOYMENT WITH THE NEVADA STATE ASSEMBLY AND A CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL: AND THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondents.

NEVADA POLICY RESEARCH



23-76574

No. 85935

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS

Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time filed by respondent Legislature of the State of Nevada (Legislature) on August 12, 2023, is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3). In addition, the Legislature's motion for leave to file an answering brief in excess of the type-volume limitation is

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA granted. NRAP 32(a)(7)(A)(ii), (D). The answering brief and appendix were filed on August 12, 2023.

Appellant shall have 30 days from service of the last-filed answering brief to file a reply brief, if deemed necessary. *See* NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Alight C.J.

cc: Fox Rothschild, LLP/Las Vegas Berna L. Rhodes-Ford Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP/Las Vegas Wiley Petersen Legislative Counsel Bureau Legal Division

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA