IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ADRIAN POWELL, Appellant,	Electronically Filed Aug 22 2023 05:43 PM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
v. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.	Case No. 85955

RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX

COLLEEN SAVAGE, ESQ. Nevada Bar #014947 Sgro & Roger 720 S. 7th Street, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 384-9800 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 Office of the Clark County District Attorney Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar #0007704 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

INDEX

<u>Document</u>	Page No.
Exhibit X from Defendant's Exhibits to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habas Corpus, filed 5/27/22	24-35
Recorder's Transcript of 7/31/18 (Jury Trial – Day 2) Filed 11/2/18	1-21
Remittitur, filed 6/5/20	22-23

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on August 22, 2023. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General

COLLEEN SAVAGE, ESQ. Counsel for Appellant

TALEEN PANDUKHT Chief Deputy District Attorney

BY /s/E. Davis
Employee, District Attorney's Office

TP/Lauren Ripandelli/ed

Electronically Filed 11/2/2018 9:16 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RTRAN	Denn A.
2	DISTRIC	T COURT
3	CLARK COUI	NTY, NEVADA
4		
5	STATE OF NEVADA,	
6	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C-17-327767-1, 2
7	vs.	DEPT. XXVIII
9	LARENZO PINKEY, aka Larenzo Pinkney, and ADRIAN POWELL,	
10	Defendants.	
11	Boromadnio.	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RONALD) J. ISRAEL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13		ULY 31, 2018
14	RECORDER'S T	RANSCRIPT OF
15		AL - DAY 2 AGREEMENTS
16	GUILITPLEA	AGREEWENTS
17		
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the Plaintiff:	JOHN L. GIORDANI, III, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
20		MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ.
21		Deputy District Attorney
22	For Defendant Pinkney:	BENJAMIN DURHAM, ESQ.
23 24	For Defendant Powell:	MICHAEL KANE, ESQ.
25	RECORDED BY JUDY CHAPPELL, COU	RT RECORDER

Tuesday, July 31, 2018 - Las Vegas, Nevada
[Proceedings begin at 1: 41 p.m.]
[Out of the presence of the Jury]

THE MARSHAL: Remain seated. Come to order. The trial again is in session.

THE COURT: Okay. Case C327767, State of Nevada versus Larenzo Pinkney and Adrian Powell. Let the record reflect Defendants are present.

Counsel, state your appearance.

MR. DURHAM: Ben Durham on behalf of Mr. Pinkney, Your Honor. He's present in custody.

MR. KANE: Michael Kane on behalf of Mr. Powell.

MR. GIORDANI: John Giordani and Mike Dickerson on behalf of the State.

THE COURT: Okay. It's my understanding that the Defendants have decided to accept a guilty plea agreement?

MR. DURHAM: That's correct, Your Honor. I can state briefly the substance of the negotiations, and I believe they're identical as to both.

MR. GIORDANI: Yes.

MR. DURHAM: Mister -- regarding Mr. Pinkney and Mr. Powell, they'll be entering guilty pleas to the counts as charged in the amended indictment. The State at the time of sentencing retains the full right to argue; however, they agree not to seek a life sentence on any of the counts that the Defendants are pleading guilty to.

The State will agree not to file charges on the ten separate event numbers that are listed on page 2 of the plea agreement, but the State does retain

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: I never got my high school diploma or I never

22

23

24

25

got a GED, but I'm planning on getting that.

THE COURT: Do you have any sort of learning disability of any kind?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, I grew up with a learning disability. I had an IEP, and I grew up with a lot like behavior, like my behavior. I got the information on that, too. Benjamin, he got status on that stuff, stating that type of stuff.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you read, write and understand the English language?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes.

THE COURT: And English your primary language?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Have you been treated recently for any mental illness or addiction of any kind?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: I have in the past, but not recently.

THE COURT: Okay. Has anyone ever suggested to you that be treated for mental illness or an emotional condition?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Well, yeah, but -- and no. I say yeah and no. It's a yeah on the -- on the mental affect, it has been where they wanted me to get treated, but I just hadn't.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you currently under the influence of any drug, medication or alcoholic beverage?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: No, sir.

THE COURT: Have you been on any medication during your time in jail?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: No, sir.

THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the indictment -- or the guilty

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes.

THE COURT: And if you had any questions, did he answer your questions?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, he did.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions of me regarding that at this time?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And as to the charges in the guilty plea agreement that I just discussed, how are you -- or how are you pleading?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Pleading guilty.

THE COURT: And is it because in truth and in fact you committed the charges listed in the guilty plea agreement?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you making this plea freely and voluntarily?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, I am, sir.

THE COURT: Has anyone forced or threatened you or anyone close to you to get you to enter this plea?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: No, sir.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises other than what's stated in the guilty plea agreement to get you to answer this guilty plea agreement?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: No.

THE COURT: And do you understand that as a part of the guilty plea agreement, although you are not admitting to these crimes, that the State will be allowed to argue these crimes as I'm about to list for you at the time of sentencing? And I'll go through these each, so you understand. They're all contained on page 2 of the guilty plea agreement.

That, one, an armed robbery at 7-Eleven located 4800 West
Washington on June 5th; two armed robbery at Roberto's located at 6650
Las [sic] -- in Las Vegas on June 14th; three, an armed robbery at Pepe's Taco,
1401 North Decatur on June 18th; four, an armed robbery at Roberto's located at
2685 Eastern on July 1st; five, an armed robbery at Pizza Bakery located at 6475
West Charleston on August 12th.

Six, an armed robbery at Terrible Herbst's located at 6380 West
Charleston on August 17th; seven, an armed robbery at Rebel located at 6400
West Lake Mead on August 17th; eight, an armed robbery at Roberto's located at
6820 West Flamingo on August 24th; nine, an armed robbery at Roberto's located
at 907 North Rainbow on August 24th; ten, an armed robbery at Pepe's Taco
located at 1401 North Decatur on August 25th.

Do you understand by signing this guilty plea agreement, you're not admitting to these additional ten robberies, however, the State will be allowed to use those at time of sentencing? Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes.

THE COURT: And you're agreeable to the same? You're agreeable to that?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'm showing you a copy -- actually, the original --

THE CLERK: It's a copy. I have the original.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm showing you -- it's the wrong one. All right.

That's the right one, yes. The original of the guilty plea agreement, and on page 7, is this your signature?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Okay. Before you signed it, again, did you read and discuss it with your attorney?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes.

THE COURT: And again, just to be clear, did you understand everything contained in the guilty plea agreement?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, I did, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the constitutional rights you're giving up by -- do you understand that there are constitutional rights you are giving up by entering a guilty plea agreement?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And do you understand that you have a right to appeal on reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What's the range of punishment on this?

MR. GIORDANI: It's different for the different counts.

MR. DURHAM: Do you want me to state that or --

MR. GIORDANI: I can --

THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead and state the range.

MR. DURHAM: Your Honor, on Counts of 1 and 8, the sentencing range is 1 to 6 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections. On the Counts of 2 and 9, burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, the potential sentence is 2 to 15 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections. First degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, the potential sentence as set forth in the plea agreement is 5 to life or 5 to 15, with an equal -- I'm sorry, with a consecutive 1 to 15 for the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

deadly weapon enhancement.

On the robbery counts, the potential sentence is 2 to 15 years, plus a consecutive 1 to 15. And the unlawful taking of a vehicle is a gross misdemeanor, with a sentence of up to 364 days in the Clark County Detention Center.

I've -- for the record, I've explained to my client that the minimum possible

sentence in this case that he could receive is six years on the bottom end.

THE COURT: And the maximum?

MR. DURHAM: The maximum is a lot. We -- we didn't calculate that.

THE COURT: Because of the multiple counts?

MR. DURHAM: Correct.

THE COURT: Do you understand the range of punishment?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. I think we better put that on max range in there.

MR. GIORDANI: If I may, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. GIORDANI: As long as both Mr. Pinkney and Mr. Powell understand the range for each count --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: -- as just described by Mr. Durham as set forth.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: And then also they understand sentencing is completely up to the Court, and if the Court can count -- either run the counts concurrent or run the counts consecutive.

THE COURT: Okay. That's the next sentences of my script, even though I've got all this memorized. So you understand the individual range of punishments

1	on each of the counts?
2	DEFENDANT PINKNEY: I wasn't told the maximum, but I understand.
3	THE COURT: No, the range for each of the counts.
4	DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: And do you understand that sentencing is entirely up to me?
6	DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: I can it's at my discretion. And do you understand that the
8	counts can be run consecutively or concurrently? Once again, that's up to me.
9	DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: And no one is in an position to promise you probation,
11	leniency or any special treatment; do you understand that?
12	DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Oh, yeah, I understand that, sir.
13	THE COURT: And in the do I need to restate the information, what
14	exactly he did?
15	MR. DURHAM: Your Honor, pursuant to the plea agreement, we will be
16	willing to stipulate to the factual basis as set forth in the amended indictment.
17	From our perspective, it wouldn't be necessary.
18	THE COURT: Do you want me to go through it?
19	MR. GIORDANI: Or he could just say it in his own words. I mean, it
20	encompasses two events over the course of like three hours, so
21	THE COURT: Okay. What is it you did on or about where's the date?
22	MR. DURHAM: December 28th, 2017, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. What is it that you did to cause you to plead
24	guilty?
25	DEFENDANT PINKNEY: I committed I went to an establishment, and I

committed two robberies, two more robberies, sir.

THE COURT: What were the establishments?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: It was a Pepe's, and another one was Walgreen's, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions you'd like to ask me or your attorney before I accept this plea?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: No, sir. Not questions, sir, no.

THE COURT: The Court finds the Defendant's plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made, and the Defendant understands the nature of the offenses and the consequences of his plea and, therefore, accepts the guilty plea. The matter is referred to Parole & Probation for a PSI report.

MR. GIORDANI: Your Honor, before you move on, can I ask one more thing of the Court?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GIORDANI: Just with regard to your first few questions of Mr. Pinkney where he indicated he had an IEP, a learning program, learning disabilities growing up, can we just be clear on the record that Mr. Pinkney had sufficient time with his attorney -- it's been a couple hours, I think, since we broke and started really getting into the meat of this -- understood fully both the written words and, you know, the conversations that he had with his attorney.

MR. DURHAM: Your Honor, I signed the certificate of counsel, which indicates that I believe he's fully competent to enter the plea; that I went over it with him.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DURHAM: And so I would just ask the Court to adopt that as part of

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

the plea agreement.

THE COURT: That's fine, and I certainly think I've asked him three times at least now if he had any questions regarding this, and he's advised me that he does not. And you had plenty of time, for the record, to go over this with your attorney since it's now 1:30 and you first met with him approximately 11:00 a.m., correct?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes.

THE COURT: You had plenty of time to discuss this?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And once again, you have no questions regarding the agreement?

DEFENDANT PINKNEY: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. DURHAM: Thank you.

THE COURT: I find it's freely and voluntarily entered into. The Defendant is remanded. Okay. Now --

MR. DURHAM: Sorry, Your Honor, I didn't get the sentencing date.

THE CLERK: Do we want to do it together?

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.

MR. DURHAM: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: Can we have 90?

THE CLERK: You want 90 days?

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.

THE CLERK: Okay. Let me see what we've got here. 90 days would be the end of October. We can do October -- how about October 22nd? It's not quite 90, but --

1	MR. GIORDANI: That works. October 22nd?
2	THE CLERK: 22nd, and sentencings are at 9:30.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Powell. Are you ready, counsel?
4	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
5	[Court and Clerk confer]
6	THE COURT: Is Mr. Powell pleading also to the taking of the
7	THE CLERK: Oh, okay. That's what I they just handed me this, so
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	THE CLERK: I need that on the original, though.
10	MR. DURHAM: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: Yeah.
12	THE CLERK: So we need to
13	MR. KANE: Here are the originals.
14	THE CLERK: Yeah, and initial it.
15	MR. GIORDANI: And Madam Clerk, Mr. Powell has indicated he wants
16	about 50 days for sentencing. We're fine with that. We just
17	THE CLERK: Oh, a different date?
18	MR. GIORDANI: I have a couple days to work around it. If you can get us
19	a 50-day date, and I'll tell you if that works.
20	THE CLERK: Okay.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Same date for both, I guess, if that's okay with Mister
22	THE CLERK: Oh, you want the same day for both?
23	MR. GIORDANI: Yes. So forget what I said about 90 and just give us 50,
24	if you don't mind.

THE CLERK: Okay. Bless you.

1	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
2	THE CLERK: 50 days is going to be we can go to too October 1st,
3	looks like, or does that sound good?
4	MR. GIORDANI: No. September 1st?
5	THE CLERK: No. 50 days, September okay. They have it our further.
6	Okay. So you want September? Let me see what it looks like then. How about
7	September 12th?
8	MR. GIORDANI: That works.
9	MR. DURHAM: Thank you, Madam Clerk.
10	THE CLERK: Is that good?
11	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
12	MR. DURHAM: Yes.
13	THE CLERK: September 12th, and that's 9:30.
14	[Court and Clerk confer]
15	MR. KANE: An, Judge, just for the record, they are identical other than,
16	obviously, the Defendants' names.
17	THE CLERK: The interlineation
18	MR. GIORDANI: That's correct. We struck lines 22, 23, unlawful taking of
19	a vehicle, he was never charged with that. Mr. Powell's never charged with that.
20	MR. DICKERSON: Both Defense counsel and myself have initialed the
21	interlineation on the first page, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Fine. Mr. Powell, will you state and spell your name
23	for the record.
24	DEFENDANT POWELL: Adrian Powell, A-D-R-I-A-N, P-O-W-E-L-L.
25	THE COURT: And

1	MR. KANE: I'll come over here.
2	[Court and Court Recorder confer]
3	THE COURT: Sure. Okay. Mr. Powell, how hold are you?
4	DEFENDANT POWELL: I'm 23 years old. I'll be 24 on Thursday.
5	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
6	DEFENDANT POWELL: I graduated high school.
7	THE COURT: And do you have any learning disability?
8	DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Do you read, write and understand the English language?
10	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: And is English your primary language?
12	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Have you been treated recently for any mental illness or
14	addiction of any kind?
15	DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Has anyone ever suggested you should be treated for
17	mental health?
18	DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Are you currently under the influence of any drug,
20	medication or alcohol?
21	DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Have you been on any medication during your stay in jail?
23	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: What medication?
25	DEFENDANT POWELL: Remeron.

1	THE COURT: What is what type of medication is that?
2	DEFENDANT POWELL: It treats depression.
3	THE COURT: How do you feel today?
4	DEFENDANT POWELL: I feel excellent, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Do you understand what's happening?
6	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Does the medication affect your ability to understand what's
8	going on today?
9	DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Are you under any other effects of the medication?
11	DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the guilty plea agreement?
13	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Did you read the guilty plea agreement?
15	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Did you understand everything in the guilty plea agreement?
17	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Have you discussed this case with your attorney?
19	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the representation and advice given
21	to you by your attorney?
22	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: As to the charges in the guilty plea agreement, how do you
24	plead?
25	DEFENDANT POWELL: I plead guilty, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm making this plea freely and voluntarily?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone forced or threatened you or anyone close to you to get you to enter this plea?

DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises other than what's in the guilty plea agreement to get you to enter the plea?

DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I have before me the guilty plea agreement, and I'm going to hold this up, on page 7, is this your signature?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you understand everything contained in the guilty plea agreement?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that as part of the guilty plea agreement, although you are not pleading guilty to these alleged offenses, the State will be allowed to argue then at the time of sentencing?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I'm going to go through, again, all ten of these because the State will be allowed to discuss them at the time of sentencing. Number one, an armed robbery at 7-Eleven located on 4800 West Washington on June 5th. Number two, an armed robbery at Roberto's located at 6650 Vegas Drive on June 14th; armed robbery at Pepe's Taco located at 1401 North Decatur on June 18th; an armed robbery at Roberto's located at 2685 South Eastern on July 1st; an armed robbery at Pizza Bakery located 6475 West Charleston on August 12th; an

1	armed robbery at Terrible Herbst's located at 6380 West Charleston on
2	August 17th; an armed robbery at Rebel located at 6400 West Lake Mead on
3	August 17; an armed robbery at Roberto's located at 6820 West Flamingo on
4	August 24th; an armed robbery at Roberto's located at 907 North Rainbow on
5	August 24th; an armed robbery at Pepe's Tacos located at 1401 North Decatur on
6	August 25th. All of those were in 2017.
7	Do you understand that all of those may be argued at the time of
8	sentencing, although you're not pleading guilty to those charges?
9	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: So I don't know if I asked you, before you sign this plea
11	agreement, did you read it and discuss it with your attorney?
12	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Do you understand everything contained in this agreement?
14	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: You understand that there are certain constitutional rights
16	that you're giving up by entering the guilty plea agreement?
17	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: You understand that you have a right to appeal on
19	reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality
20	of the proceedings?
21	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: And, again, do you understand the range of punishment?
23	And counsel
24	DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Well, we're going to go through and put these on the record,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so it's clear.

MR. KANE: That's Counts 1 and 8, Your Honor. They carry with it a 1 to 6 range; Counts 2 and 9, 2 to 15. Counts 3 and 13, 5 to life or 5 to 15, plus a consecutive term of 1 to 15 for deadly weapon enhancement. Counts 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 14, they're 2 to 15; a term of 1 to 15 for use of deadly weapon enhancement.

THE COURT: Do you understand the range for each of those counts?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that sentencing is entirely up to me?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you understand that, again, it's up to me as to whether any or whether all of those counts run consecutively or concurrently?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And no one is in a position to promise you leniency or special treatment of any kind?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In the information in the indictment, it says -- or what is it that you did on the 28th of September to cause you to plead guilty?

DEFENDANT POWELL: I went into two establishments, Your Honor, and I committed the armed robbery.

THE COURT: And those establishments a -- is this Roberto's --

MR. KANE: Pepe's -- Pepe's and Walgreen's.

THE COURT: Pepe's and Walgreen's. Thank you. Pepe's and Walgreen's?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You went in those establishments and committed the armed robberies?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you have any questions you'd like to ask me or your attorney before I accept this plea?

DEFENDANT POWELL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything that I left out?

MR. GIORDANI: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And also for the record, you had approximately two hours to discuss all of this -- maybe longer than that now -- with your attorney before accepting this?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And without telling me what they were, your attorney answered all your questions regarding the guilty plea agreement?

DEFENDANT POWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court finds the Defendant's plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and the Defendant understands the nature of the offenses and the consequences of his plea and, therefore, accepts the plea of guilty. The matter is referred to Department of Parole & Probation for a PSI. What's the date for sentencing?

THE CLERK: September 12th at 9:30.

THE COURT: Defendant is remanded into custody. So what I'm going to do after the Defendants leave is bring them in, release them. You guys all want to talk to them, you're free to do so. Anything else?

MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

1	MR. DURHAM: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And counsel and you guys were
3	extremely professional, and I appreciate that, so
4	MR. DICKERSON: Thank Your Honor. Thank you for your patience today.
5	THE COURT: No problem.
6	MR. KANE: Thank you, Judge.
7	[Proceeding concluded at 1:47 a.m.]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
18	audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the best of my ability.
19	
20	Lener Vincent
21	
22	Renee Vincent, Court Recorder/Transcriber
23	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ADRIAN POWELL, Appellant, VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent

Supreme Court No. 79037 District Court Case No C327767

FILED

REMITTITUR

TO: Steven D. Grierson, Eighth District Court Clerk

Pursuant to the rules of this court, enclosed are the following:

Certified copy of Judgment and Opinion/Order. Receipt for Remittitur

DATE: June 05, 2020

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court

By: Danielle Friend Chief Assistant Clerk

cc (without enclosures):

Hon. Ronald J. Israel, District Judge Monique A. McNeill Clark County District Attorney

RECEIPT FOR REMITTITUR

Received of Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, the JUN 16 2020 REMITTITUR issued in the above-entitled cause, on

Deputy District Court Clerk

RECEIVED **APPEALS** JUN 16 2020



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ADRIAN POWELL,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 79037 District Court Case No C327767

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA, ss.

I, Elizabeth A. Brown, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of the Judgment in this matter.

JUDGMENT

The court being fully advised in the premises and the law, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed, as follows:

ORDER the judgment of the district court REVERSED AND REMAND this matter to the district court to conduct an evidentiary hearing on Powell's presentence motion to withdraw his guilty plea."

Judgment, as quoted above, entered this 11 day of May, 2020.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme Court at my Office in Carson City, Nevada this June 05, 2020.

Elizabeth A. Brown, Supreme Court Clerk

By: Danielle Friend Chief Assistant Clerk

EXHIBIT X

REPORT OF BREACH OF DIVERSION PROGRAM CONSENT CONTRACT FILE NOS. OBC19-0982 and OBC20-0266

I. PROBATION MONITOR & DATE OF REPORT

A. Monitor: Louise Watson

B. Original Assistant Bar Counsel: Phillip Pattee

C. Submitted Date: August 24, 2021

II. RESPONDENT INFORMATION:

Roy Nelson III, Esq. Bar No. 7842 8530 W. Charleston, #100 Las Vegas, NV 89117 702-776-7676 rnelsoniii@yahoo.com

III. CHARGING DOCUMENT:

Diversion Program Consent Contract (Exhibit 1)

(Effective December 1, 2019, to November 1, 2021, although not signed until February 12, 2020)

IV. DETAILS OF VIOLATION:

During the period of Diversion, Respondent was to:

- Complete the recovery program at Las Vegas Recovery Center ("LVRC");
- Attend two AA meetings a week whenever possible, but no less than eight per month;
- Attend two one-hour programs per month, such as 2-step/recovery meetings; and
- Submit quarterly reports attesting to his compliance and certifying that he
 had no further incidents involving alcohol and in compliance with any
 treatment recommended by a licensed substance abuse counselor.

In a letter dated January 5, 2020, Respondent provided proof that he had completed the LVRC program on October 21, 2019 (**Exhibit 2**). He also reported that his mother had died at the end of November 2019 after a brief illness, and I subsequently waived his quarterly report due March 1, 2020, as Respondent noted that he had not been able to attend meetings due to his mother's passing.

Thereafter, Respondent has had to be reminded each time a report is due and has not fully complied with his requirements.

Quarterly Report due 6/1/20

On June 12, 2020, I sent an email to Respondent reminding him that his quarterly report was overdue (**Exhibit 3**).

Respondent provided a report on June 20, 2020, that included a letter from his Sponsor and his counselor (**Exhibit 4**).

In an email exchange dated June 19, 2020, I advised Respondent that I would accept the letter from his Sponsor for this quarter but going forward he would need to submit a proper report attesting to his compliance and providing logs of his attendance at meetings (**Exhibit 5**).

Quarterly Report due 9/1/20

On September 11, 2020, I sent an email to Respondent reminding him that his quarterly report was overdue (**Exhibit 6**). I gave Respondent until September 25, 2020, to submit his report.

On October 23, 2020, I sent a follow up letter to Respondent via regular and certified mail advising him that the file would be referred to Bar Counsel if he didn't submit his report within 14 days (**Exhibit 7**).

Respondent provided a report dated November 1, 2020, that again included a letter from his counselor and Sponsor (**Exhibit 8**). Respondent appeared to blame the delay in providing his report over concerns regarding his father's health.

Quarterly Report due 12/1/20

I did not pursue this report due to the lateness of the report for the prior quarter. I did speak with Respondent on November 24, 2020, at what time he appeared to be doing well.

Quarterly Report due 3/1/21

On March 2, 2021, I sent an email to Respondent reminding him that his quarterly report was due on March 1, 2021. Respondent replied that he had been in the hospital over the weekend, but he would send in his report (**Exhibit 9**).

On March 8, 2021, Respondent faxed over a letter indicating that he would be providing letters regarding his compliance (**Exhibit 10**). Respondent faxed over a second letter on March 10, 2021, that included letters from two sponsors, and reported that he had an anxiety attack on February 18, 2021 (**Exhibit 11**).

On April 9, 2021, I sent an email reminding him that I still need a letter from his counselor and proof of attendance at AA meetings. Respondent replied that he had been in the hospital for issues with his heart and then contracted Covid (**Exhibit 12**).

Quarterly Report due 6/1/21

On June 1, 2021, Respondent sent an email to Phil Pattee stating that he had not been in his office since March due to health issues (**Exhibit 13**). Respondent sought additional time to "submit everything to your investigator."

On June 2, 2021, I sent an email to Respondent agreeing to give him an extension until June 16, 2021, to provide his quarterly report. As the hospitalizations he referenced, if true, would have affected his ability to attend AA meetings during the quarter, I asked him to provide medical records to support his hospitalizations (**Exhibit 14**). I also reminded him that he still needed to provide proof of attendance at AA meetings for the prior quarter.

On June 16, 2021, Respondent sent an email suggesting that he was not in compliance with the Contract and had regressed in his recovery, as he planned to attend an in-patient facility in Utah (**Exhibit 15**). I asked Respondent to provide documentation to confirm his admittance into the facility.

On June 19, 2021, Respondent sent a follow up email stating that he didn't go to the facility but was continuing to work with his counselor (**Exhibit 16**). He also noted he wasn't taking any cases.

On July 29, 2021, I sent a letter to Respondent giving him 14 days to provide a written response detailing his compliance with the Contract and proof of his ongoing recovery efforts (**Exhibit 17**).

On August 18, 2021, Respondent claimed that he had attended an inpatient facility in Utah and would provide confirmation that he had completed the program (**Exhibit 18**). As of today, he has not provided documentation confirming that he attended.

Other Relevant Information:

In one letter from March 2021, he referenced a wife, but I understood that his current issues, in part, stemmed from a 2019 divorce. In researching the matter, I discovered that Respondent had re-married on January 2, 2021. His new wife filed a Complaint for Annulment on January 21, 2021, indicating that she was drunk at the time of the marriage and that Respondent is a serious alcoholic (**Exhibit 19**). The annulment has not been finalized.

Conclusion:

We have not received any additional grievances regarding Respondent, but he clearly continues to have problems with alcohol and has violated the terms of his Contract.

Exhibits:

- 1. Executed Diversion Agreement
- 2. January 5, 2020, letter from Respondent
- 3. June 12, 2020, email to Respondent
- 4. June 20, 2020, letter from Respondent
- 5. June 19, 2020, email exchange with Respondent
- 6. September 11, 2020, email to Respondent
- 7. October 23, 2020, letter to Respondent
- 8. November 1, 2020, letter from Respondent
- 9. March 2, 2021, email exchange with Respondent
- 10. March 8, 2021, letter from Respondent
- 11. March 10, 2021, letter from Respondent
- 12. April 9-11, 2021, email exchange with Respondent
- 13. June 1, 2021, email from Respondent
- 14. June 2, 2021, email to Respondent
- 15. June 18, 2021, email exchange with Respondent
- 16. June 19, 2021, email from Respondent
- 17. July 29, 2021, letter to Respondent
- 18. August 19, 2021, email from Respondent
- 19. Complaint for Annulment filed January 21, 2021

EXHIBIT 1

1 In Re: Discipline of 2 Grievance File: OBC19-0982 ROY NELSON, III, ESQ., 3 Bar No. 7842. 4 5 **DIVERSION PROGRAM CONSENT CONTRACT** 6 7 Attorney Roy Nelson, III, ("Respondent") hereby consents to the following contract with the State Bar of Nevada ("State Bar") as an alternative to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to Supreme Court 8 9 Rule ("SCR") 105.5 (Diversion and Mentoring Programs). This contract is in connection with 10 Grievance File No. OBC19-0982. The terms of this contract are as follows: 11 1. The period of the contract shall remain in effect for two (2) years, from December 1, 12 2019, through November 1, 2021. 13 2. Respondent's compliance with the conditions set forth herein shall be monitored by and 14 through the Office of Bar Counsel. 15 3. Respondent is entering this contract as an alternative to disciplinary sanctions. 16 4. The contract is contingent upon the following terms: 17 Respondent shall complete the recovery program, in which he currently is enrolled, (a) 18 through the Las Vegas Recovery Center ("LVRC"); 19 Following successful completion of above-reference program at LVRC, Respondent (b) 20 shall, during the Diversion: 21 a. Attend two (2) AA meetings a week whenever possible, but no less than eight (8) per 22 month; 23 b. Attend two (2) one-hour programs per month, such as a twelve-step/recovery 24 meetings. 25

- (c) Respondent shall submit quarterly reports to the Office of Bar Counsel for two (2) years commencing on December 1, 2019. Quarterly reports must be submitted no later than the first (1st) day of **December, March, June, and September,** and the final report submitted **December 1, 2021** attesting to his compliance with the conditions referenced herein. The quarterly reports submitted by the Respondent shall certify that he has had no further incidents involving alcohol and is in compliance with any treatment recommended by a licensed substance abuse counselor.
- (d) With Respondent's first quarterly report, Respondent must submit an assessment from a licensed substance abuse counselor to determine whether he has any issues regarding alcohol dependency. Respondent shall be responsible for obtaining the assessment. Respondent must complete any treatment program recommended by the licensed substance abuse counselor, if applicable, and provide status updates with his quarterly reports. Respondent shall sign any necessary releases for the State Bar to obtain that information.
- 5. If the Office of Bar Counsel determines that Respondent failed to comply with the terms of this contract, Respondent shall be notified of the alleged breach of the contract and provided fourteen (14) days after receipt of such notice to submit a written response. The Office of Bar Counsel may withdraw the notice of alleged breach based upon Respondent's written response and related communications pursuant to SCR 105.5(6).
- 6. If the notice is not withdrawn, this matter shall be submitted to a Formal Hearing Panel to hear the matter and issue an order, pursuant to the process detailed in SCR 105.5(6). Bar Counsel shall notify Respondent of such request by serving the notice of hearing on Respondent. The hearing panel shall convene within thirty (30) days of the request. In proceedings for alleged breach, Bar Counsel shall have the burden to establish any breach of this agreement by a preponderance of the evidence. Respondent shall have the burden to establish justification for any such breach by a preponderance of the evidence. Bar counsel retains the right to move the Nevada Supreme Court

directly for relief where appropriate. Should the panel determine that the contract was breached, the panel shall terminate the contract and impose the applicable alternative sanctions.

7. The baseline for the alternative sanction to be imposed if the contract is breached and a panel terminates the contract is suspension. Respondent agrees that ABA Standard 4.41 provides the appropriate baseline. ABA Standard 4.41 states that Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly:

Fails to perform services for a client and causes injury or potential injury to a client; or Engages in a pattern of neglect which causes injury or potential injury to a client.

- 8. In aggravation, Respondent:
 - a. Had substantial experience in the practice of law (SCR 102.5(1)(i) (Aggravation and mitigation)).
- 9. In mitigation, Respondent:
 - a. Has no prior professional discipline (SCR 102.5(2)(a));
 - b. Has an absence of a dishonest or selfish motive (SCR 102.5(2)(b));
 - c. Made full and free disclosure to the State Bar and ultimately cooperated with its investigation, and accepted responsibility for his actions (SCR 102.5(2)(e))
 - d. A chemical dependency contributed to the misconduct; and
 - e. Has remorse for his actions (SCR 102.5(m)).
- 10. Given the baseline standard and the aggravating and mitigating standards, the parties agree that the appropriate suspension term is six (6) months of actual suspension if the diversion contract is breached.
- 11. Any other reports or complaints received by the Office of Bar Counsel alleging Respondent's professional misconduct shall be regularly processed in accordance with SCR 105 (Procedure on receipt of complaint).

1	12. While this Contract remains in effect, the above-referenced Grievance File shall remain
2	confidential. However, the Grievant may be advised of Respondent's participation in the Diversion
3	Program, if applicable.
4	13. Respondent must maintain current contact information with the Office of Bar Counsel
5	including a phone number(s) where he can be reached at all times.
6	14. Respondent's successful completion of this contract shall result in the dismissal of
7	Grievance File No. OBC19-0982 and the related records shall be processed in accordance with SCR
8	121.
9	Acknowledgment and Consent
10	Respondent has read the terms and conditions of this contract and understands the contract in its
11	entirety. Respondent understands that this contract is contingent upon acceptance by a Disciplinary
12	Panel and the Nevada Supreme Court. Respondent acknowledges that she can retain an attorney to
13	review this contract and has chosen to sign this contract without counsel.
14	DATED this day of, 2020
15	
16	
17	Roy Nelson, III, Esq., Respondent
18	Bar No. 7842 (702) 204-8158
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	-4-

12. While this Contract remains in effect, the above-referenced Grievance File shall remain confidential. However, the Grievant may be advised of Respondent's participation in the Diversion Program, if applicable.

- 13. Respondent must maintain current contact information with the Office of Bar Counsel including a phone number(s) where he can be reached at all times.
- 14. Respondent's successful completion of this contract shall result in the dismissal of Grievance File No. OBC19-0982 and the related records shall be processed in accordance with SCR 121.

Acknowledgment and Consent

Respondent has read the terms and conditions of this contract and understands the contract in its entirety. Respondent understands that this contract is contingent upon acceptance by a Disciplinary Panel and the Nevada Supreme Court. Respondent acknowledges that she can retain an attorney to review this contract and has chosen to sign this contract without counsel.

DATED this 2th day of FEBruary 2020

Roy Nelson, NI, Esq., Respondent

18 | Bar No. 7842) (702) 204-8158

Approval of Office of Bar Counsel

The Diversion Program Consent Contract regarding Roy Nelson, III, Esq., for Grievance File

No. OBC19-0982 is hereby approved as to its form and content.

DATED this 13 day of TERRUAL, 2020.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA

Phillip J. Pattee, Assistant Bar Counsel

Nevada Bar No. 4012

3100 W. Charleston Blvd. Suite 100

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

(702) 382-2200

-5-