

From: Leslie Shaw <ljs@ljslawoffice.com>
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2023 3:50 PM
To: Supreme Court Clerk
Subject: Comment on ADKT 0608 (Public Hearing of March 21, 2023)

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
BY: 

The pending Petition of the State Bar seeks to amend existing and applicable rules to replace a Letter of Reprimand with an Admonition, but only to be available to a Screening Panel and not thereafter. The Screening Panel acts only upon evidence, relevant or otherwise, admissible or not, presented to it solely by Bar Counsel. This proposal denies a Hearing Panel the same opportunity to issue an Admonition after hearing all relevant and admissible evidence from both the Bar and the Respondent.

Understanding that it might be a more expansive undertaking, why shouldn't existing rules be amended to provide an Admonition as a potential resolution available to the Hearing Panel, and why don't the same public policy considerations for an Admonition not compel such further rule changes?

Leslie Shaw
SBN 1512