IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed May 02 2023 10:11 AM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

GERALD LEE WHATLEY, JR., Appellant(s),

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, Respondent(s), Case No: C-21-357412-1 *Related Case A-22-861330-W* Docket No: 86185

RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT
GERALD LEE WHATLEY, JR. # 48057,
PROPER PERSON
P.O. BOX 208

INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-2212

C-21-357412-1 State of Nevada vs Gerald Whatley, Jr.

INDEX

VOLUME :	PAGE NUMBER:
1	1 - 245
2	246 - 490
3	491 - 580

C-21-357412-1 State of Nevada vs Gerald Whatley, Jr.

INDEX

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	<u>PAGE</u> NUMBER:
1	12/29/2021	Amended Information	94 - 95
1	4/26/2022	Amended Jury List	108 - 108
1	6/20/2022	Amended Transcript Request	159 - 161
1	7/22/2022	Case appeal statement	180 - 180
3	5/2/2023	Certification of Copy and Transmittal of Record	
1	8/1/2022	Court Recorder's Invoice (Unfiled)	183 - 183
1	8/2/2021	Criminal Bindover	49 - 67
1	8/2/2021	Criminal Bindover (Confidential)	3 - 48
1	6/1/2022	Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case	151 - 152
3	5/2/2023	District Court Minutes	567 - 580
3	5/2/2023	Documentary Exhibits (Unfiled)	502 - 566
1	5/5/2022	Fourth Amended Information	138 - 139
1	8/3/2021	Guilty Plea Agreement	68 - 75
1	8/2/2021	Information	1 - 2
1	4/26/2022	Instructions to the Jury	109 - 134
1	6/1/2022	Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial)	153 - 155
1	4/25/2022	Jury List	107 - 107
3	11/15/2022	Motion to Withdraw Attorney of Record and Request for Transcripts inter alia	499 - 501
3	10/19/2022	Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Dismissed	495 - 498
1	7/22/2022	Notice of Appeal	178 - 179
1	7/22/2022	Notice of Appeal	181 - 182
1	9/29/2021	Presentence Investigation Report (Unfiled) Confidential	76 - 86

C-21-357412-1 State of Nevada vs Gerald Whatley, Jr.

INDEX

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
1	4/25/2022	Second Amended Information	105 - 106
1	12/29/2021	State's Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234]	96 - 104
1	5/10/2022	Supplemental Presentence Investigation Report (Unfiled) Confidential	140 - 150
1	5/4/2022	Third Amended Information	136 - 137
1	8/4/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on April 25, 2022 (Continued)	200 - 245
2	8/4/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on April 25, 2022 (Continuation)	246 - 356
2	8/4/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on April 26, 2022 (Continued)	357 - 490
3	8/4/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on April 26, 2022 (Continuation)	491 - 494
1	7/13/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on December 16, 2021	162 - 168
1	8/3/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on December 16, 2021	184 - 190
1	11/11/2021	Transcript of Hearing Held on July 14, 2021	87 - 93
1	7/13/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on May 26, 2022	169 - 177
1	8/3/2022	Transcript of Hearing Held on May 26, 2022	191 - 199
1	6/16/2022	Transcript Request	156 - 158
1	4/26/2022	Verdict	135 - 135

1	of your relation with your son, your father, your cousin, and your uncle,
2	anything about your relation with them and their work that would affect
3	your ability be a fair juror in this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: No.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.
6	Anybody in the next row? Let's go down to Number 11,
7	Ms. Heldt?
8	[Pause]
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 058: My sister-in-law is with a
10	Lieutenant with Metro.
11	THE COURT: Oh, okay. And is she specialized in any
12	particular area?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 058: The top of the strip, up by
14	Bellagio.
15	THE COURT: Okay. How long has she been with Metro?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 058: Close to 20 years.
17	THE COURT: All right. Anything about your relation with her
18	and her work there, that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this
19	case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 058: I don't think so.
21	THE COURT: Again, you'd be able to follow my instructions
22	on the law, and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the
23	evidence admitted?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 058: Yeah.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 058: Who else in the second row. Oh
2	right next door. Number 12, Ms. Lacayo?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: I have a significant other who is
4	an MP in the Navy.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And thank you for his service. Is he
6	where is he stationed?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: Well, he's in the reserves, so he
8	just has his reserve unit once a month.
9	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: And he'll occasionally go
11	overseas for two weeks.
12	THE COURT: All right. And so he retired, I take it then from
13	the Navy or
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: No. He's in with the active
15	reserves, I suppose.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So they'll occasionally call him into
17	active duty.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: All right. Anything about your
19	relation with him and his work that would affect your ability to be a fair
20	juror in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: No, sir.
22	THE COURT: You'd be able to follow my instructions on the
23	law, and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the evidence
24	admitted?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
2	And who else in this second row? All right. Let's go all the
3	way down to number 19, Mr. Elmer?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: Yes, sir. I have a nephew that's
5	currently a police officer in Albuquerque.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And is he specialized in anything, or
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: He's K-9 officer.
8	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Well, that's sort of interesting.
9	Anything about his work and your relation with him that
10	would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: No.
12	THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you'd be able follow my
13	instructions on the law and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions
14	to the evidence submitted?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: Yes.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
17	Anyone else I missed in the second row? And then I think,
18	Mr. Harvey, did you have your hand?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 100: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Let's go to the fourth row. Oh, I'm,
21	sorry. I didn't see you, Ms. Mecham.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 086: I didn't raise my hand until now,
23	so that was my fault. My grandpa, he was a highway patrolman in
24	Wyoming.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 086: But he retired when I was a lot
2	younger.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your relation with him,
4	and his work as a highway patrolman, that would affect your ability to be
5	a fair juror this case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 086: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 086: Uh-huh.
9	THE COURT: All right. Now we'll go down to Mr. Harvey.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 100: I did 28 years with the Eugene
11	Police Department in Oregon. Two of those years I was in the drug
12	enforcement part of a DUI enforcement team, and then my son is a
13	detective here with the Metro Department.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And where's his area of expert
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 100: He's currently in the background
16	THE COURT: All right. Let me ask, in terms of your work
17	with the Eugene, Oregon Police, anything in terms of that work you think
18	that affect your ability to be fair to the parties in this case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 100: No.
20	THE COURT: All right. You feel you'd be able to follow my
21	instructions on the law, fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to
22	the evidence submitted?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 100: Yes, I can.
24	THE COURT: And then as to your son being with Metro,
25	anything about your relationship with your son and his work that you

1	feel would affect your ability to be fair juror in this case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 100: No.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
4	Anyone else, in the third row, either you, a close family
5	member, or a close friend, engaged in law enforcement work, or security
6	work? Anyone I missed? All right. Let the record reflect no other hands
7	Now, as has been explained, this case involves the charge of
8	driving while under the influence. Does anyone feel that they possibly
9	could not be fair, or keep an open mind as to whether the State has met
10	its burden of proof until the end of trial, because of the nature of the
11	charge in this case? All right, let the record reflect no hands.
12	Let me ask, have you, or any close family member, or close
13	friend, been the victim of a driving under the influence crime, or other
14	crime involving allegations of substance abuse? Okay. We've got a few
15	hands.
16	Let's go to Number 9, Mr. Padilla?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: I had a cousin that got in an
18	accident.
19	THE COURT: Okay. How long where was that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Someone saw actually ran
21	and ran into her.
22	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Someone actually ran into her.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Was that here locally or where was it?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: No. It wasn't locally. It was in

1	Fremont.	
2	THE COURT: Fremont, California?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Correct.	
4	THE COURT: All right. And were the police called in?	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: The police were called in.	
6	THE COURT: All right. And was the person who ran into her	
7	apprehended?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Yes. They were.	
9	THE COURT: And were you satisfied or dissatisfied with how	
10	the justice system handled that case?	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Well, I wasn't I don't know the	
12	particulars of the case.	
13	THE COURT: All right.	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: I have no idea either way.	
15	THE COURT: Either way. All right. Anything about your	
16	relation with her and her experience, that you feel would affect your	
17	ability to be a fair juror in this case?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: No.	
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for letting us	
20	know that.	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: And then, Number 10,	
22	Ms. Andrews indicated something?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: My little brother got in a car	
24	accident about ten years ago. He he was the one drunk driving.	
25	THE COURT: Okay. And was he prosecuted for that?	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Were you satisfied, or dissatisfied with how the
3	justice system handled his case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: I guess satisfied. I I didn't
5	really think about it.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's a good answer too. I just
7	you know, people have different experiences or feelings and I'm just
8	trying to find out your feelings, if you felt that he was treated all right by
9	the system?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Uh-huh. I think the system
11	works.
12	THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about your relation
13	with him, and his prior DUI, that would affect your ability to be a fair
14	juror in this case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: I don't think so.
16	THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you'd be able to follow
17	my instructions on the law fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions
18	to the evidence admitted?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Yes.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Ms. Andrews.
21	All right. And then second row, I only oh, we missed a
22	hand, Number 8, Ms. Wong.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: I have a few friends that were
24	arrested for drunk driving.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Close friends?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: Two of them were close.
2	THE COURT: All right. Was that locally?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: No. It was in California
4	THE COURT: And about how long ago was that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: A little less than ten years ago.
6	THE COURT: All right. Were they prosecuted?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: Yes.
8	THE COURT: And were you satisfied, or dissatisfied with
9	how the justice system handled their cases?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: Well, I mean, they were my close
11	friends, so I'm not sure how, if I could be impartial to that.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Do the parties have any problem if I let
13	Juror Number 20, go to the restroom?
14	MR. LEXIS: No objection.
15	MS. PARK: No.
16	THE COURT: All right. Okay.
17	THE MARSHAL: Just follow me.
18	THE COURT: Follow him.
19	All right. I'm sorry. So you didn't really have an opinion one
20	way or the other as to how the system handled them?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: I know, and one of them they
22	ended up getting their license taken away
23	THE COURT: Right.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: for a year. think it I mean,
25	they were my close friends, so I don't I felt like it was unfair, but I'm

1	not necessarily impartial to that.	
2	THE COURT: Okay.	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: Yeah.	
4	THE COURT: All right. Do you feel that you'd be able to put	
5	that aside and be fair to both sides in this case?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: I can try.	
7	THE COURT: Well, do you think you can do that?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 051: I I think so. Yeah.	
9	THE COURT: Yes. All right. All right. Thank you.	
10	Let's hand your mic back to Mr. Padilla.	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: I didn't bring this one up. I	
12	actually got two DUIs myself.	
13	THE COURT: Okay.	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: About 22 years ago.	
15	THE COURT: All right.	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: You know, neither one of them	
17	was involved in an accident. At that time I guess I would feel that they	
18	handled it incorrectly, because I everyone's not guilty, right?	
19	THE COURT: All right. But you felt	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: But I don't think it will affect me	
21	currently.	
22	THE COURT: You didn't feel that they were handled	
23	correctly?	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: At the at that time didn't.	
25	THE COURT: At that time, yeah. Looking back, what do you	
l		

1	think?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Looking back? Yeah, Probably
3	they were.
4	THE COURT: All right. Anything about your personal
5	experiences that affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: I don't think so, no.
7	THE COURT: All right. All right. Thank you. Anybody else I
8	missed in the back row? All right. Let's hand that up to the second row.
9	Anyone in the second row who either you, close family member, or a
10	friend, been a victim of a driving under the influence crime, or other
11	crimes involving allegations of substance abuse?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: Yes, here.
13	Going to Number 19, Mr. Elmer?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: Yes. I just had a, I guess, a
15	former brother-in-law that was arrested for DUI, some years ago.
16	THE COURT: Okay. How long you said, I'm sorry?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: It was probably 15 years ago
18	now.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Was that locally?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: No. That was in the State of
21	Washington.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Was he prosecuted?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: I believe so.
24	THE COURT: Were you satisfied, or dissatisfied with how the
25	system handled his case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: Satisfied.
2	THE COURT: All right. Anything about that experience that
3	would affect your ability to be fair juror in this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: No.
5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
6	Anybody else? Let's go down to Number 14, Ms.
7	Quinto'Ocasio?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: Yes. My husband was convicted
9	of DUI.
10	THE COURT: Was that here, locally?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: Yes.
12	THE COURT: About how long ago?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: Maybe 12 years.
14	THE COURT: All right. Did you feel that the system
15	appropriately or inappropriate how did you feel about how the system
16	handled this case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: I think it was appropriately.
18	THE COURT: All right. Anything about your experience,
19	your relation with your husband, your experience with that incident, that
20	you think would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: No.
22	THE COURT: Do you feel you'd be able to follow my
23	instructions on the law fairly and unbiasedly, to apply those instructions
24	to the evidence submitted?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
2	Anyone else in the second oh, let's go one over to
3	Mr. Maier.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: My son was convicted of a DUI, I
5	guess about 12 years ago. And I had no issues with him being
6	convicted. He he everything was fair, how how it was all handled.
7	THE COURT: All right. Was that locally?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything about that
10	experience that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: No.
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
13	Anyone else in the second row? Not seeing any hands.
14	Anyone in the third row? Okay. Let's go to number 22, Ms. Robinson?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: My son is currently going
16	through DUI, two of them.
17	THE COURT: All right. Here locally?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: Uh-huh.
19	THE COURT: You need to answer yes, or no.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And do you feel the system is handling his
22	cases appropriately, or inappropriately?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: We're just beginning.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: So I mean, he lost his license
I	

so far, but he's still going through court.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you, in terms of your relation with him and his situation with the pending charges, anything about that you feel would affect your ability to be fair juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: No.

THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you'd be able to follow my instructions on the law, and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions to the evidence submitted?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

Anyone else in the third row, anyone else who l've missed at all? All right.

My next question. I think a lot of you've asked, but I -- I sort of try to break this down. So if you've already answered it don't feel that you need to answer it again, but have any of you, or a close family member or friend been charged with driving under the influence? If you've already answered it, don't feel you need to answer it again. All right. Let the record reflect no other hands.

THE COURT: Let me ask, have any of you, or a close family member, or friend, been a victim of a crime, which you believe would impact upon your ability to be fair to both sides in this case? Any crime that either you, or a close family member, or a close friend has been a victim of, which you feel, which would impact in some way on your ability to be fair in this case? Let the record reflect no hands.

Let me ask, and I know a couple of people have mentioned

things that you don't need to re-mention, but let me ask, have any of you ever been convicted -- or excuse me, have any of you ever been accused of a crime, or serious misconduct? Again, if you mentioned something already, don't feel you need to repeat it. Have any of you been accused of a crime or serious misconduct? Let the record reflect no hands.

Let me ask, have any -- if there's anyone of you that feel that either you or someone close to you has been treated unjustly by the police, or a prosecutor? Let the record reflect no hands. Let me ask if there's anyone of you, that you, or you feel someone close to you has been treated unjustly by some State or Government agency; and I'm not talking about the IRS, and taxes, but have any of you feel that you've been treated, you or a close family member or friend, been treated unjustly by some State or Government agency? Let the record reflect no hands.

Now in deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe, and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it. In that regard I will instruct you as to possible circumstances that you may take into account in considering the testimony of any witness; this would include law enforcement officers, or government agents.

These factors include a witness' opportunity and ability to see or hear, and other things testified to, the witness' memory, the witness' manner while testifying, the witness' interest in the outcome of the case, if any, the witness is bias for prejudice, if any.

Whether other evidence contradicted the witness' testimony.

Whether the reasonableness of the witness' testimony, in light of all the evidence, and any other factors that you believe, bear on believability. The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it. What is important is how believable the witnesses are, and how much weight do you think their testimony deserves.

In that regard you must consider the testimony of any law enforcement agent, or officer who testifies here, just like that of any other witnesses. Assessing the officer's opportunity and ability to see, or hear, or know the things he or she testifies to. Their memory, their manner of testifying, their interest in the outcome of the case, their bias or prejudice, whether their testimony is corroborated or contradicted by other testimony, and whether their testimony is reasonable, in light of all the evidence, and any other circumstances that you feel bear on a witness' believability.

Let me ask if a police officer or other government agents testifies, is there anyone who would not be able to follow my instructions and treat the officer or agents as an ordinary witness and evaluate their testimony by the factors I have stated, just like any other witness? Let the record reflect no hands.

Let me just ask, is there anyone who would give more or less credibility to a police officer's testimony simply because they are a police officer, or a government agent, and would not evaluate their testimony by the factors that I've just stated, by any other witness?

All right, let's go to Number 9, Mr. Padilla? All right,

1	Mr. Padilla, are you going to give more credibility or less
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: More.
3	THE COURT: credibility to police officer's testifying.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: It depends on the there's a lot
5	of factors. This is my my big issue is, how long ago did it happen? I
6	mean, most of these are police officers, they they can tell you, this
7	happened, this happened, this happened, but they don't
8	actually remember that particular person, because of all the incidents
9	that they've been put through, there's no possible way.
10	THE COURT: Well
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: [Indiscernible]
12	THE COURT: And that's something that, you know, the
13	parties
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: And me saying exactly what
15	they're telling me is the truth. They probably believe it is, but it's
16	probably not.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, that's what you, as jurors
18	are asked to evaluate, as I went through that list of factors you consider
19	in the testimony. The question I've got is, are you willing to consider
20	those factors in evaluating a police officer's testimony?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Yeah. There's no problem, with
22	that.
23	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Okay, I mean, and do you think that you can be

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	
	8
	9
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	4

fair in evaluating a police officer's testimony; are you going to give it more credibility or less credibility, just because they're a police officer?

I understand what you're saying about --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Not because -- just because they're a police officer, no I would not --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: -- either way,

THE COURT: I know, I understand, you know, how long ago something may have occurred, how good their memory is, how much is corroborated by other things, that all plays into evaluating their testimony? What I'm essentially asking is, just because they're a police officer, are you going to give them more credibility or less credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Neither.

THE COURT: Neither. Okay. All right. Thank you. Anybody else? Let the record reflect no hands.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness, about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, it is proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact.

You're to consider both direct and circumstantial evidence, either can be used to prove any fact. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence, it is for you if you're selected as a juror to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

So let me ask, is there anyone of you who has any issue with, or concern about their ability or willingness to consider both direct evidence and circumstantial evidence? Let the record reflect no hands.

By way of example of circumstantial evidence, if you wake up in the morning and see that the sidewalk is wet, you may find from that fact that it rained during the night. However, other evidence such as a turned on garden hose may provide an explanation for the water on the sidewalk. Therefore, before you decide that a fact has been proved by circumstantial evidence, you must consider all the evidence in the light of reason, experience, and common sense.

Is there anyone who doesn't understand what I'm talking about when I speak about circumstantial evidence? Okay. Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there anyone here who doesn't think that they would be able to wait until all evidence comes in before deciding any facts has been proven by circumstantial evidence? The record will reflect no hands.

Let me ask, is there anyone who believes they would not be able to follow my instructions on the law, if not consistent with what you believe the law should be? Let the record reflect no hands.

Let me give you a very brief rundown of how the trial will proceed. After we select a jury in this case the attorneys will give opening statements. Witnesses will then testify, and exhibits will be admitted. After all the evidence comes in I will give you the law for you to consider in your deliberations, and the attorneys will give closing

arguments.

Your job is to judge or figure out the facts and take the law I give you and see if the facts you find prove the elements of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt, and come up with a verdict. The jury is the judge of questions of fact.

My responsibility is to ensure you are presented with evidence that under the law is appropriate to consider, and to give you the law that you are to use in determining the facts and evaluating the evidence, to determine if the State has proved what it needs to prove as to each charge beyond a reasonable doubt; and to determine if the Defendant is guilty or not guilty of the crime charged.

It would be a violation of your duty to render a judgment based upon something other than the law given by the Court. With that in mind, let me ask again, is there anyone who doesn't believe that they cannot follow the law and apply the law that I give you, if you didn't agree with the law? Let the record reflect no hands.

There are three key principles in our criminal justice system that I want to discuss with you at this point in time and see if everybody's comfortable with those principles. The first one is that the -- oh, I misplaced it. Do you have the information?

THE CLERK: I do.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

The first principle is that the amended information in this case is simply a charging document. It is a notice document and is not evidence. Just because someone's been charged with a crime and an

information or indictment is not evidence of anything. It is simply a document which says to the Defendant that you're being charged with a crime and says to the public, that this person is being accused of a crime, but it is not evidence of anything and does not prove anything and cannot be considered by you as evidence in any way in your deliberations.

Is there anyone who has a problem or concern with being able to follow this important principle of our criminal law? Let the record reflect no hands. The second principle I want to get into is that the Defendant is presumed innocent. The State has the obligation to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, we hear the phrase, the Defense that is presumed in innocent a lot, but I think we don't often think a lot about it. What it means is at this point in time the Defendant is innocent, no ifs, ands, or buts about it. If I was to send you back to the jury room right now and tell you to reach a verdict, your verdict would have to be not guilty, because the Defendant is considered innocent until he is proven by the State, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he is guilty.

And this is a hard concept for some jurors. I've seen some jurors when asked the question, what verdict would you render right now, say that they don't know that they would have to see what the evidence is. But the point that I'm trying to make is that the Defendant is considered innocent right now.

And if you were asked to render a verdict right now, it would have to be not guilty. He remains innocent until the State has proven his

case beyond a reasonable doubt. Is there anyone who has a problem or issue with the idea that the Defendant right now is innocent, and you have to find him not guilty until the State provides evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, of his guilt. Let the record reflect no hands.

The third principle I want to talk about is the State is obligated to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt, and the Defendant has no obligation to prove innocence. This last point is very important. The Defendant does not have to do anything in a criminal trial, his attorney, and he can just sit there and say nothing for the whole trial.

And if at the end of the State's presentation of its evidence, you did not believe that the State had proven beyond a reasonable doubt that a crime had been committed and the Defendant had committed the crime, then you would have an obligation to find the Defendant not guilty, even though the Defendant and his attorney didn't say anything or do anything.

Is there anyone who has a problem or concern with the fact, the Defendant has no obligation to prove his innocence, or to do anything at trial, and the State has the burden of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Let the record reflect no hands.

Also a part of this principle is that the Defendant pursuant to the Fifth Amendment of the United States constitution and the Nevada constitution, has an absolute right, if he chooses, not to testify. And the State cannot use the fact the Defendant did not testify, as part of its case to prove the Defendant's guilt, and you cannot consider it at all in

2

4 5

7

8

6

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

evaluating, if the State has met its burden of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

This is a hard concept for some people, but I will instruct you that you cannot consider if a defendant chooses not to testify in evaluating and making your verdict in this case, and your verdict must be based only on the evidence introduced at trial. Is there anyone who doesn't think that they can follow my instruction on this point? Let the record reflect no hands.

I want to emphasize this last point again, because I've had jurors say that it would bother them, if the Defendant didn't testify, or they would like to hear the Defendant testify; and this, quite simply, is not the law. The Defendant has the right to decide if he testifies, or doesn't testify, and you need to put aside any feelings or preferences you have about the Defendant's action, and in deliberations focus just on the evidence presented in determining if the State has met its burden of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Does anyone have a concern with being able to follow this principle? Let the record reflect no hands.

Let me ask if you'll be able to wait in forming your opinion as to an appropriate verdict until after all the evidence and arguments are presented, the law is given, and you get together as a whole juror to deliberate? Is there anyone who doesn't feel that they would be able to wait in forming their opinion as an appropriate verdict until after all the evidence and arguments are presented, the law is given to you, and you get together as a whole jury to deliberate? Let the record reflect no

|| hands.

In other words, the simple question is, you can't make up your mind now or after the opening statements, or during the middle of the evidence. You need to wait until you get to the end, if you could finish the presentation on the evidence, the law and the closing arguments, before you make up your minds, is there anyone who thinks they wouldn't be able to do that? Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there anyone who has concern with sitting in judgment of another human being, which you think may interfere with your ability to consider the evidence, follow my instructions and be fair to both sides?

Let the record reflect no hands.

Is there anything that I haven't touched on that causes you to be concerned about being fair, that you feel you should tell us? Let the record reflect, no hands.

All right. Do you have the list of personal questions?

THE MARSHAL: I do, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: Do want to double check?

THE COURT: Let me glance at it real quick.

All right. We're going to try to quickly go through this last part before we break, and that is, I want to have each of you give us a little bit of information about you.

The first question. How long have you lived here and where generally in the valley do you live? For example, North Las Vegas, Boulder City, Henderson, Green Valley, Summerlin, Southern Highlands,

et cetera.

Two. If you've lived here less than ten years, where did you live before you moved here?

Three. What is your education, and if you have a university degree, what was the field?

Four. How are you employed? And what is the name of your employer or employing business. If not obvious from your job title, what do you do, generally? If you're retired, how and with what business were you employed when you retired, and how long have you been retired?

Are you married or have a partner, and what is your spouse or partner's job? Do you have any children, and what are the ages of those children still living at home? How are any of your children employed?

And have you ever been a juror? Was it a civil or a criminal trial? And without telling us a verdict, did you reach a verdict and were you the foreperson?

So let's start off with Number 1, Mr. Papay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 002: First question, how long have we lived here? I've lived in Summerlin for the last coming up on four years, but prior to that I lived in Orange County, California, for the previous 15 years. My education level, I hold a Master's, an MBA, and at the University of Duke.

And then let's see; how are you employed? I'm retired at this point in time, and I am married. My --- my partner, my wife, she works

for an event company here in Las Vegas. I do have children, but they're all grown, both, and they live in California.

THE COURT: What do they generally do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 002: My oldest son is a CEO for Angle Point, which is a -- a software company, and my youngest son is a lawyer, as we spoke about before. And he works for Bingham McCutchen, and he's a litigator. And I have never made it to be a juror, I've been, non-selected up until this point. So as far as that, no civil or, or criminal.

THE COURT: When you retired, did you try retire directly from the military, or were you in another business before you retired?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 002: I retired -- 22 years in the Marine Corps, I ended up retiring, moving to California, and then I joined a company, Granite Construction, where I was an executive there, overseeing their -- their supply chain, and their corporate governance.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Please hand that off now to Number 2, Ms. Esposito.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 008: Okay. I have lived in Las Vegas for 12 years, I live in the Centennial Hills, Northwest -- Northwest corner in Centennial Hills, excuse me. I've lived with her for about 12 years. My education level is high school, with a few college courses. I am currently retired.

I worked for a few years out her in the medical field, I worked for the Nevada Orthopedic & Spine office here. Prior to moving here I worked for 13 years with Chemung County Department of Social

Services in Elmira, New York. I am married. My husband is the vice president of sales for a lighting manufacturer, it's called Amberlux, it's out of new -- Oakland, New Jersey.

I do not have any children; however, I do have a stepchild.

He lives in Tennessee, near his Mom. He did attend the Janitorial

University at -- he's autistic. What's the name of the autistic organization
out here? The one that does all the special needs; and I think of what it's
called.

Anyway he attended Janitorial University out here, and -- but lives in Tennessee, he's in his 30s. And I have not been a juror. I have been in the room twice, and just for one reason or another didn't make it all the way through.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Hand that off down to Number 3, Mr. Densley.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 010: I have lived in Las Vegas since 2005, October of 2005, in Centennial Hills. I have a Bachelor of Science in Business Management, with an emphasis in international business. I'm currently employed by a company named General Insulation, they're a wholesale distributor throughout the country. I'm a regional operations coordinator with them and involved in a lot of training and oversight with the operations of our business.

I am married, very happily, and my wife is domestic engineer, and able to be at home with the children. We do have four children, three are teenagers. I have one that's younger than a teenager, and my oldest son is currently employed with three different

jobs. He works for an office building, and does some just administrative type stuff there, he's 17 years old. He does private coaching for tennis, and then he also does some work at Red Rock Country Club, with some of the counseling, related to tennis.

My two daughters, also -- I mean, it's not really I guess a technical job, but they do -- do some instruction in cello and viola, with other students. I have never been a juror, this is the first time that I've ever reached the courtroom, and I've been summoned many, many times, but never reached this far.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Would you hand that now to Number 4, Ms. Castaneda.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 019: I live in Las Vegas since 1998, and I'm living in Spring Valley side of town. And my education level is just high school, and currently I'm employed at Panda Express, I'm a store manager. And I have my other, my spouse, he works as a sale person, he sell chemical for [indiscernible] Company. And I have children. My oldest is working part-time at AMR, just to stock -- stock the -- the truck. And there is -- and still in school.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 019: And this is my first time to reach the Court, as well.

THE COURT: How many children do you have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 019: I have six.

THE COURT: Okay. What are their ages?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 019: My oldest is 20.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 019: 18, 13, 11 so many. Eight and
3	6-years-old.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 019: Yeah.
6	THE COURT: That was a test.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 019: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: You passed with flying colors. All right.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 019: Don't ask me their birthday, oh
10	my God. I try to remember.
11	THE COURT: Number 5, please?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 021: I live on the east side of Las
13	Vegas. I came from Ventura, California. I have some college for
14	culinary. Right now, I'm working for Patriot Environmental. I am
15	married. I have a six-year old daughter. And it's my first time being in
16	the court.
17	THE COURT: All right. Does your spouse have any outside
18	employment?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 021: Yeah, she works at the
20	Cosmopolitan.
21	THE COURT: What does she do there?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 021: She's a cook.
23	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do at the business you
24	work at?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 021: Environmental work.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Generally, what are you talking about?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 021: Biohazard, drug cleanup
3	THE COURT: All right. Very
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 021: sewer.
5	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
6	You can hand that now to number 6, Ms. Thomas.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 024: I've lived in Green Valley since
8	'93. I moved here from Phoenix. My education is high school, some
9	college. I am retired. Had 31 years federal. Four years of it with the Air
10	Force and 31 years with the post office. I'm divorced. I have one child.
11	She's a teacher at CCSD. In her 16th year now. And I've never been a
12	juror.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14	Can you hand that now to number 7, Ms. Sosa Rizo.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: I living here in North Las Vegas.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Six year.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: I'm married. Two I have two
20	children and they're twins. My husband is carpenter.
21	THE COURT: All right. And are you employed
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. And how far did you go in school?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: High school.
25	THE COURT: High school?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Uh-huh.
2	THE COURT: All right. Have you ever been a juror?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: No.
4	THE COURT: No?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right, thank you.
7	Can you hand that now to number 8, Ms. Wong.
8	PROPSECTIVE JUROR 051: I lived in Las Vegas in the
9	Centennial area for seven years. Before that, I lived in California. I have
10	a bachelor's in public administration and psychology. I'm employed by
11	the State of Nevada, compliance investigator. I have a partner who is a
12	teacher for the school district. I do not have children. And I have never
13	been selected for a juror.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15	If you could hand that now to number 9, Mr. Padilla.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: I used to live here back in the
17	'90's when I was in the military. Then I moved back to California. And
18	then I just recently moved here about a year ago.
19	THE COURT: What part of California did you live in?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Chico in Sacramento.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: You know where that's at?
23	I have a doctorate in juris prudence. And I also went to Cal
24	State East Bay, political science, prelaw, and criminal justice. I own a
25	couple of companies. One's a food delivery service. And then I also do
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1	day trading in crypto money. I have three kids. One is 22, in the
2	Marines. And I have two 21-year old daughters, twins. And I am not
3	married. Divorced. And I have never been a juror.
4	THE COURT: Is your daughter still or are they employed
5	outside the home?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: They're both in college.
7	THE COURT: Oh.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: One goes to Arizona State
9	University, and the other one is in Chico State.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 053: Uh-huh.
12	THE COURT: If you can hand that now to number 10, Ms.
13	Andrews.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: Okay. I live in Southern
15	Highlands. I've been here for about five years. I came from the D.C.
16	area. I have a bachelor's in business and have taken some post-graduate
17	courses. I work for a company called EverChain and I'm the chief
18	marketing officer there. I'm responsible for marketing, branding. I am
19	married. My husband owns a few businesses in the area. I don't have
20	children. I have two stepsons. One's 21. One's 23. And I have never
21	been a juror.
22	THE COURT: All right. Your husband, what's his primary
23	business?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: It's a communications and
25	branding firm.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

We'll go down to number 11, Ms. Heldt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 058: I was born and raised here in Las Vegas. I live right now in North Las Vegas. Other than living in Georgia for three years, when my husband was in the military, I've lived here all my life. My education is high school with some college. I'm employed by Smith's Food and Drug. I'm a scan coordinator. I'm married. My husband is a store director for Sprouts. I have two kids. My daughter is a phlebotomist with Quest Diagnostic. And my son is going to school to be -- at an auto mechanic school to become a mechanic. And I've been called for jury duty, but I've never been on a jury.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Go now to number 12, Ms. Lacayo.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: So I've lived here in Vegas for seven years in the Summerlin area. I originally came from Tucson. I have a bachelor's degree in linguistics with a minor in Japanese. I currently work for Take-Two Interactive or 2K Vegas as a QA tester. I am not married, but I do have a significant other who we've already discussed is in the Navy. And he's also a teacher full-time. I don't have any children. And I've never been a juror before.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Can you hand that down to number 13?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: So I live in North -- North Las Vegas. Right. The Centennial Hills -- Centennial Hills two years ago.

Then I move here from San Diego, California. So my educational is in

- 1	
1	Japan. Keio University. Then so so right now I is I'm retired. Then
2	I'm married. Then my husband so, he has his own business in
3	Japan.
4	THE COURT: Doing what?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: Kind of the doctors doctor.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: Yeah. But I don't have children.
8	What did they mean, this one?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Have you ever been to court as a
10	juror?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: Oh, yes. For the first time I came
12	here.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: Yeah.
15	THE COURT: First time you've been here for jury service?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: What did you do at the time you retired?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: Two years ago.
19	THE COURT: Okay. But what were you doing?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: So before export
21	THE COURT: Oh, that's right. We talked about that earlier,
22	yeah.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 068: Thank you.
l	

1	THE COURT: Okay. Can you hand that now to number 14?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: I lived here in Nevada for 21
3	years.
4	THE CLERK: I don't think it's on.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: I'm sorry.
6	THE COURT: Hold on a second.
7	THE CLERK: The mic might be off.
8	THE MARSHAL: Can you press the button? There's a button
9	at the bottom. It's a green button
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: Is that
11	THE MARSHAL: that
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: Or blue? Or yeah, it's red, red.
13	THE MARSHAL: And then just press it. Don't talk into it.
14	Here, let me see. Now, it's working.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: I've been here for 21 years in
16	Nevada. I moved here from New Jersey. I actually live in Green Valley
17	now, but I lived in Nye County for five years. And we moved back here, I
18	guess, 11 or 12 years ago to Green Valley. Education is a bachelor's in
19	early childhood education and psychology. And it was from Kean
20	College in New Jersey, which is now called Kean University. I am
21	employed with a major payroll company called Paychex. And it's almost
22	25 years that I've worked there. And I'm an account manager. I am
23	married. My husband is actually retired, but he was doing air
24	conditioning maintenance and construction when he was working. I do
	•

not have any children. And I was summoned many times for jury duty,

1	and I was actually selected for a jury once, and that was in Nye County.
2	THE COURT: Was that a civil or criminal trial?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: Criminal.
4	THE COURT: And don't tell us the verdict, but were you able
5	to reach a verdict?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: No, it was dismissed prior.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Were you the
8	foreperson?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 070: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11	Can you hand that down to Mr. Maier?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: It is turned turned off again.
13	THE COURT: Turn
14	THE CLERK: I think it's the batteries again, maybe.
15	THE MARSHAL: The bottom. Push the bottom.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: The bottom?
17	THE MARSHAL: There we go.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: I have lived here in Anthem Sun
19	not Sun City, Anthem, but in that Green Valley-Anthem area for 24
20	years. My education is a bachelor's degree in economics from Seattle
21	University. I am semi-employed at this point in time. I sell real estate.
22	And I also manage nine rental homes or Airbnb's that my wife and I own.
23	My long-term career was an executive executive management,
24	nationally, but I was mainly headquartered here. My wife is a stay-at-
25	home mom. I have three grown children who are in their late 20's and

1	30's. Nine grandchildren. And then we recently well, three years ago,
2	we took on a foster child, and we're about to adopt her. So she's at
3	home with my wife right now.
4	THE COURT: How old is she?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: She's three and a half.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: 1
8	THE COURT: And your older children, what do they do?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: My two sons work for United
10	Healthcare, and they're involved in executive positions there. And then
11	my daughter is a housewife. I also volunteer for Foster Kinship in
12	Nevada. It's for the common good. I have been a juror twice before.
13	The first case was dismissed before we just we just convened and
14	then we dismissed a few hours later.
15	THE COURT: Was it a civil or criminal trial?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: That was a criminal trial. The
17	second time it was a civil trial. We went all the way through everything.
18	And just when we were about to be dismissed it settled, so we didn't
19	deliberate. And I was we never broke out, so I wasn't the foreperson.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
21	You can hand that now to number 16.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 077: I've lived in Nevada less than a
23	year. We moved in from Brad [phonetic], California. My education is
24	high school. I am unemployed. I'm a stay-at-home mom. My husband
25	is employed with Momentum Solar. He's a warehouse manager. I have

two children. One is 6. The other one is two. And I have never been a juror before.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Hand that now to number 17.

PROPSECTIVE JUROR 083: I lived here about 13 years. I lived behind Sam's Town. High school education. Let's see, I was a pipefitter at a Local 198 in Louisiana and worked out of 525 here in Nevada. I'm retired. Never been married. No children. And never been a jury.

THE COURT: All right.

You can hand that now to number 18, Ms. Mecham.

PROPSECTIVE JUROR 086: I have lived here for a total of a year and a half. In 2020, I -- we lived here. My husband and I. And then we moved to Idaho so that my husband could go to school. And we actually just moved back last month.

THE COURT: What part of the Valley do you live in?

PROPSECTIVE JUROR 086: We live in Henderson.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROPSECTIVE JUROR 086: I have a bachelor's degree in -- or with an emphasis of graphic design. And I am employed. I work for a political consulting company out on the east coast. But I specifically do graphic design for clients. I am married. And my husband is a student. But he previously was a fillings clerk for a hospital in Idaho. And he's looking for a job. I do not have any children. And this is my first time on a jury.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 1 2 You can hand that now to number 19, Mr. Elmer. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: Yes. I've been here for, let's see, 4 nine years now. Prior, I lived in Portland, Oregon. I live in Henderson 5 now. Education. I have four years of college, but no degree. I work for 6 Nevada State Bank. I'm an executive vice president in charge of credit 7 there. Let's see. I'm married. My wife is now retired. I have three 8 children. They're all adults. A son in Portland, who is a realtor. A 9 daughter in Carlsbad, California, who's a loan processor. And my 10 youngest is a first year medical student at UNLV. 11 THE COURT: Okay, good. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 091: I've never been a juror before. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 14 Can you hand that now to number 20? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 092: I've been here in Nevada for nine 16 years. Currently, I reside in the Summerlin area. Prior to that, I lived in 17 Bakersfield, California. I have a master's degree in family nurse 18 practitioner. Currently work with Optum Care Cancer Center. My 19 husband is also a nurse practitioner and works for pain management, 20 Desert Orthopedics. Don't have any children yet, but currently pregnant 21 with our first one. And I've been selected -- no, I haven't been selected 22 for a jury yet. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 100: I moved to the Aliante area six

Home stretch now. Only one, Mr. Harvey.

24

25

years ago after living my entire life in Eugene, Oregon. Retired after 28 years in law enforcement there. Graduated from the Oregon State University with a sociology degree. Retired for the past six years. My wife retired the same time I did. We have two children. My daughter lives up in Boise. She works for the City of Boise in acquisitions. And my son works as a detective here with Law Vegas Metro. And never been selected as a juror.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Hand that down to number 22, Ms. Robinson.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: I've lived in Las Vegas for 50 years. I live in Summerlin. I have an associate's degree in health sciences, UNLV. I was employed for 35 years at Sunrise Hospital as an X-ray technician in the cardiac cath lab. I'm now retired. My significant other works at Young Electric Sign Company installing signs. I have two children. A daughter who's a nurse. And a son who's a bartender. My daughter's 29 and my son is 28. And I was selected as a juror in an injury case. And it took them two weeks to pick the jury. And then another two weeks for us to listen to all the evidence. And then they settled out of court.

THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you for your service back then. Was that local?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 115: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

Let's hand it to 23, Ms. Calara.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 117: I live here in Northwest Las

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

25

Vegas since 1998. I -- we moved here from California before that. I have an associate degree in nursing. I've been a nurse since 1990. And I'm working right now at MountainView Hospital for 24 years. I have three children. My two kids are registered nurse, and one is dialysis technician. My husband works at the post office for like, 32 years now. I have been summoned many times as a juror, but this is my first time to be selected. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

And let's go to 24.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 136: Let's see. I've been living -living in Summerlin for about six years now. Prior to that, I lived in
Honolulu, Hawaii. Currently, I have graduated high school and I'm a
student at UNLV. I'm currently employed with Einstein Bros. Bagels,
part-time. And I'm, of course, not married. No partner. No children.
And this is the first time I've been summoned.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

All right. Thank you ladies and gentlemen for sharing a little bit about yourselves with us.

We're going to break now. And I know I said we were going to break about 12:30, but we're going to break now for lunch. I'd ask everyone to get back -- it's now 12:10. Ask everyone to be back by 1:10. And we'll keep pushing to try to get this process done today. It's very important that you get back. Go to lunch. Grab a bite. Stretch. Get back by 1:10. We cannot get started again until you all get back. So if one of you decides to go down and ride the Zipline on Fremont Street, we're all

going to be waiting here to hear how great the experience was. So it's critical that you get back.

While you're out there, during this recess, do not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in anyway, regarding this case or its merits either by voice or phone or email or text or internet, or other means of communication or social media.

Do not read, watch, or listen to any news media or media accounts or commentary about the case. Do not do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using reference materials. Do not make any investigation, test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way, investigate or learn about the case on your own. And do not begin to form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is submitted to you.

Thank you for your attention this morning. I know there's a little bit of a process to get you all up here.

We'll see you back at 1:10.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the potential jury.

[Prospective jurors out at 12:11 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]

THE COURT: Okay. Let's deal with -- I guess, let's deal first with the language issue.

Does anybody have a problem if we excuse Ms. Sosa Rizo., number 7?

MS. PARK: I've got no problem with that.

THE COURT: State?

1 MR. LEXIS: Submitted, Judge. 2 THE COURT: All right. I'll go ahead and excuse number 7. 3 Then I think she's on the ball and everything, but I don't think 4 we can leave Ms. Cortes-Leon on with her not being a citizen. I think this 5 is the first time I've gotten someone who's actually answered that question that they're not a citizen. I've had felonies come up before, 6 7 but --8 MS. PARK: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: Any objection to excusing Ms. Cortes-Leon? 10 MR. LEXIS: No objection. 11 MS. PARK: No. 12 THE COURT: All right. As to number 20, Ms. Omandac, 13 who's a physician's assistant, I -- you know, this is inconvenient for 14 everybody who's sitting there on the jury. I can't help to think that they 15 can't make some accommodations for her in the next two days at her office. 16 17 MR. LEXIS: I agree, Judge. 18 THE COURT: How about you? 19 MS. PARK: Lagree. 20 THE COURT: I, maybe, would feel more inclined if when we 21 had jurors who had doctors' appointments that they would tell me that 22 they tried to call and continue it and it's like, two months wait or three 23 months to wait. I don't have a lot of sympathy for people -- the medical 24 professional, and they give so little consideration to the juror service in

terms of scheduling people's -- so we'll leave her on for now.

25

I	
1	MS. PARK: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Is there anyone who feels that they have a
3	motion for cause at this point in time? State?
4	MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Defense?
6	MS. PARK: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I pretty much whoops.
8	Okay. Number 39, who was in the back corner, says he's also not a
9	citizen.
10	Anybody have a problem if I excuse number 39?
11	MS. PARK: No.
12	MR. LEXIS: No.
13	THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead and excuse him. Is he
14	still out there?
15	THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir. He's waiting.
16	THE COURT: You can tell him that he's excused.
17	THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: All right. Did you answer whether you had
19	anybody for cause
20	MS. PARK: I don't
21	THE COURT: at this point?
22	MS. PARK: see anyone for cause at this point, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I thought you did, but I wanted
24	to make sure. All right. I'm pretty much done with my questions. So
25	when we get back, we'll let you guys go in tear into them.

1	MR. LEXIS: I don't have any questions. I'm not going to be
2	long at all.
3	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
4	MS. PARK: Yeah. I may have just a few to a few individuals,
5	but other than, I'm not
6	THE COURT: That
7	MS. PARK: I don't have much either.
8	THE COURT: Okay. We'll get that done. We'll get a jury.
9	And then we'll move in to I have some introductory instructions to the
10	jury that I think will take about 20, 25 minutes for me to read through.
11	And then we'll move in to opening statements.
12	MS. PARK: Okay.
13	THE COURT: All right?
14	MS. PARK: Yep.
15	THE COURT: All right. Anything else before you break for
16	lunch?
17	MS. PARK: No.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Lexis, anything?
19	MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.
20	THE COURT: All right. We'll see you all back at 1:10.
21	MS. PARK: Okay.
22	THE COURT: And keep our fingers crossed that they all get
23	back in a timely fashion.
24	MS. PARK: Thank you.
25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1	[Lunch recess taken from 12:16 p.m. to 1:22 p.m.]
2	[Outside the presence of the prospective jurors]
3	THE COURT: Okay, let's go back into session. All right. I'm
4	showing that when we bring them in, I'm going to be excusing number
5	7, Ms. Sosa Rîzo, and number 16, Ms. Cortes-Leon. Everybody concur
6	with that?
7	MS. PARK: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else before we bring
9	them in?
10	MR. LEXIS: No
11	MS. PARK: No.
12	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
13	[Prospective jurors in at 1:23 p.m.]
14	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the potential jury.
15	THE COURT: All right. Parties stipulate to the presence of
16	the voir dire panel?
17	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge.
18	MS. PARK: Yes, Judge.
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
20	Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for getting back in fairly
21	timely fashion. I really do appreciate it. This is a big group, and it's
22	always difficult to get everybody out here and back from lunch.
23	I did speak with the attorneys during the break, and at this
24	time, I am going to excuse number 16, Ms. Cortes-Leon. We checked,
25	and being a resident alien doesn't allow you to serve on the jury, so l

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 077: I tried.
2	THE COURT: No, I fully I appreciate you coming down
3	here. I do appreciate you being down here
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 077: Okay.
5	THE COURT: but that wasn't one where we could make
6	any exceptions, so I do appreciate you coming down, and meeting your
7	- meeting what your responsibilities would be if you were a citizen. So I
8	do appreciate that. But in any case, you are excused. Thank you.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 077: Thank you. Good luck. Sorry.
10	THE COURT: And then we're also going to excuse number 7
11	Ms. Sosa Rizo. You may be excused, ma'am. Thank you for coming
12	down here and participating.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: All right. That means we're going to need a
15	new Juror Number 7, and that will be Steve Valdez.
16	And we're going to need a new Juror Number 16, and that
17	will be Cleto Arceo.
18	Okay. If we could hand the mic back to Mr. Valdez. How are
19	you doing, sir?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Very good. Thanks for asking.
21	THE COURT: All right. Hey, did you have a chance to hear
22	the questions I asked earlier today?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Yes, I did.
24	THE COURT: Would you have answered any of them?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Yes, I would.

I	
1	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and tell us your answers.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: I'm currently a security armed
3	officer for a property and a casino.
4	THE COURT: Okay. How long you been doing that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Over four years.
6	THE COURT: All right. Okay. What else would you have
7	answered?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: That's the only one.
9	THE COURT: Huh?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: That's the only question.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your work that would
12	affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. You feel you'd be able to follow my
15	instructions on the law and fairly and unbiasedly apply those instructions
16	to the evidence submitted?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. If you would
19	Did you hand him the sheet?
20	THE MARSHAL: Yes, Sir.
21	THE COURT: There are some questions, if you'd go through
22	those real quick.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Okay. I've been in Las Vegas
24	since '92. I currently leave currently live in the southwest area. High
25	school graduate. I am married. Like I said, I am a security officer, armed

1	security officer for a casino property. My wife works for State Farm. We
2	have two kids, a nine and 12-year-old; they're currently in school. And I
3	it's my first time being a jury.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. If you'd hand that down to
5	the second row, to our new number 16, Mr. Arceo.
6	How are you doing this afternoon?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: Very good, thank you, Sir.
8	THE COURT: Good. Did you have an opportunity to hear the
9	questions I asked earlier today?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And would you have answered any of those?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: There's one.
13	THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: My mother-in-law, she was
15	involved in a DUI accident.
16	THE COURT: Was she the victim or was she
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: She was a victim.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Is that locally?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: No, it was in New Mexico.
20	THE COURT: Okay. About how long ago?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: Probably been about 20 years
22	ago.
23	THE COURT: And was that do you remember if it was
24	prosecuted?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: It was prosecuted.

1	THE COURT: Were you satisfied or dissatisfied with how
2	your mother's case was handled?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: I was satisfied, I guess, you
4	know, for the most part, yes.
5	THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about that
6	experience that would affect your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: I don't believe so, no.
8	THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you'd be able to follow
9	my instructions on the law and fairly and unbiasedly apply those
10	instructions to the evidence submitted?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Any other questions you would have
13	answered?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: No. No others.
15	THE COURT: Would you go through that list there and let us
16	know what you have to a little bit about you?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: Okay. Let's see, I've been here
18	since '92. We moved from New Mexico. We live in the north Las Vegas
19	area. Before, you know, we were from New Mexico, Albuquerque.
20	have two associate degrees, and from here, the College of when it
21	was COCN, it was community college. I'm employed by NV Energy.
22	I'm a senior administrator for them. Been with them for 27 years. I am
23	married, and my wife is a stay-at-home mom, although she worked in
24	DUE before, when we were married and stuff. We have seven children,
25	and so we have let's see, I have one in college well, actually, two in

college. My oldest now -- well, my oldest, my first born, she passed away.

THE COURT: Sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: And then we have my second will be -- will graduate as a doctor this next month.

THE COURT: Congratulations.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: Thank you. And then I have another one in college in Florida. And then I have another graduate; she's at home trying to figure things out. And then I have three of our young children, we have 10th grade, and then 8th grade, and 5th grade at the house.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Thank you. Ever been on a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 163: Never been on a jury.

THE COURT: I've never been on a jury, no. Okay. Thank you very much. I think that's the last question. Yeah.

All right. That pretty much finishes off my questions. At this point in time, I'm going to allow the attorneys to ask you questions, if they want to do that. They can ask you questions sort of like I've been asking of you all as a group, or they may want to talk to you individually, based upon maybe some of your answers or just some general interest in something in terms of your background.

In any case, the same rules apply. All we're looking for is an honest answer. There's no right answer or wrong answer, just an honest answer. If you don't understand one of their questions, just say, I don't

MR. LEXIS: Go ahead and --

25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: That day was negative, because I		
2	got arrested for it. It was my fault.		
3	THE COURT: Were these the DUIs you were talking about		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Yeah.		
5	THE COURT: earlier?		
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: Correct.		
7	THE COURT: Okay.		
8	MR. LEXIS: But I understand you stated earlier that		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: It was my fault. It was		
10	MR. LEXIS: Okay.		
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: something I did that was		
12	wrong. I feel that it was negative, because it was against me, but it was		
13	my fault.		
14	MR. LEXIS: Okay. Would it give rise for something for me to		
15	worry about with regards to you taking it out on the cops involved in this		
16	case?		
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 032: No.		
18	MR. LEXIS: Anybody else? Does anybody have any negative		
19	feelings towards law enforcement? Let the record reflect no hands.		
20	Anybody think the criminal justice system is unfair?		
21	Can you please pass the mic, sir, down to Juror Number 12?		
22	That would be Ms. LaCayo.		
23	THE COURT: How would you pronounce your name?		
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: LaCayo.		
25	THE COURT: LaCayo?		

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: LaCayo, yes.

THE COURT: LaCayo? Okay.

MR. LEXIS: So ma'am, the Court give both the Defense and myself a packet. It contains questions that present to all of you -- well, I should say to the majority of you. In your packet, it comes back as you have a question on whether or not the criminal justice system is fair, and whether you have any -- whether you have positive feelings towards law enforcement. And you answered negative to both of those. So let's start with the law enforcement question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: I think over the past couple years, there have been news articles about police acting out of, I don't know, maybe police brutality, I would say. It's more -- I answered those questions mostly informed by news articles that have come out about how police officers tend to conduct themselves in certain situations. There have been wrongful deaths of certain individuals in the past, and so those were kind of the reasons why I answered no to those questions.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. Is there a reason why when I just asked the question earlier and you didn't respond, why you did not respond?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: Just didn't think it would be important enough, or like, I don't know, I didn't feel like it was relevant enough to take the stage and let everybody know.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. Same with the criminal justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: It's more so, like I said, earlier, informed from those articles over the past couple of years about people -- the conduct of the police towards people that they're arresting seems

to be out of line with what the -- what they stand for.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. You understand that there's going to be cops called in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: Yes, sir.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. And why should I not be concerned that you're not going to view them in a negative light, given your prior thoughts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 063: Because it's for this case specifically, and their experience in regards to this case, specifically, so I will be paying close attention to what they're saying and their perspective, but otherwise, I'm not going to hold it against them what other cops in the nation have done.

MR. LEXIS: Okay.

So let me just ask two questions, generally, to everybody again. And it is important for me, because what I'm ultimately trying to do, and just with the Defense, we're looking for a level playing field. There is no right answer. I just want the truth. So does anybody have any negative feelings towards law enforcement? Let the record reflect no hands.

Does anybody believe the criminal justice system is inherently unfair? Let the record reflect no hands.

Can you please pass it down to Juror Number 10? That's number 55. Ms. Andrews? Ma'am, in the packet you have that you believe the criminal justice system is unfair.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: I was kind of sitting over here,

sort of making a face, because I think sometimes it can be unfair. But to, you know, the same thing as this other woman said, this is specific to this case right here, and the facts that we're about to hear.

MR. LEXIS: But you have no idea what is going to transpire in this case, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: No, absolutely not.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. So tell me specifically why you think the criminal justice system's unfair, and how it would not pertain to this case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: I think, in general, I think we, as a society don't do a good enough job rehabilitating people who've maybe had a small infraction, and instead, we choose to incarcerate them and spend our tax resources, and rehabilitation is not really the goal, so I think that's probably my underlying, sort of, challenge with it, I guess.

MR. LEXIS: So sentencing is the main thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 055: I think, unfortunately, some people that are free get convicted -- or some people that are guilty get to go free, and some people who are not guilty could go to jail, so --

MR. LEXIS: Okay.

Let me just ask the group in general. Sentencing is ultimately up to the Judge, as you'll be instructed, and you're not to take that into consideration when you go back and deliberate. Is there anybody here that's going to say, you know what? Even though the Judge is going to instruct me that he is the one that who's supposed - that is going to, if found guilty, sentence the individual and determine

the appropriate sentence, it's not me, it's not Defense Counsel, and it's you, is anyone going to say, you know what, though? That's going to weigh on my mind when I go back to deliberate and it's going to hinder my ability to find either guilty or not guilty? Let the record reflect no hands.

Ma'am, is there a reason why you didn't initially answer when I asked did anybody --

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I -- honestly, that questionnaire was a long time ago. I don't -- I don't think that I remembered writing that. I'm [indiscernible].

MR. LEXIS: Thank you.

Has anybody ever been involved with any protests or rallies?

Pass the mic, sir. Seat number 15.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: Yes, Dan Maier.

MR. LEXIS: Yes, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 075: I've been involved in a lot of rallies for just housing and that sort of thing, nothing having to do with what this case is all about.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. Anybody else?

As instructed by the Court, the State needs to prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt, and that could be proven if you just have one witness take the stand, and sometimes we do. Sometimes we just have one person take the stand. However, for some jurors, you'd say, you know what?

Even though the proof is beyond a reasonable doubt, and if I

find this person credible enough to find beyond a reasonable doubt the crime has been committed, that's not going to be enough for me. I'm going to need something else. I'm going to need some type of video surveillance or corroborating evidence, or some other type of photographs. Is anyone here of the belief that, you know, State just -- State, if you call one witness, even if I find that person beyond a reasonable doubt credible, there's going to be problems? Let the record reflect no hands.

Does anybody have any other beliefs that were -- or dispositions that would hinder their ability to be fair and impartial in a criminal trial?

Go ahead. Hold on, sir. You need the mic.

THE COURT: That's number -- and you know I don't go by badge numbers.

MR. LEXIS: Seat number 17.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 083: Seventeen.

THE COURT: Mr. Lobianco.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 083: If it pertains sentencing someone to the electric chair, you know, I might have questions about that.

MR. LEXIS: Okay. Did you hear what we stated earlier with regard to sentencing? First of all, I'd like to say the electric chair is not -- that's not on the table in this case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 083: That's what -- that's what I'm hoping. Since I'm not familiar with the case. But I would be a little hesitant of sentence somebody to the hanging gallery.

1	THE COURT: No, that's not I mean, obviously, any crimina	
2	charge is a serious charge. In this instance, though, I'll that's not an	
3	issue. You will be instructed that the issue the determination of any	
4	sentence if someone's convicted is up to the Court and is not to be	
5	considered by the jury in reaching a determination whether someone is	
6	guilty or not guilty of a crime. Do you feel you'd be able to follow my	
7	instructions on that?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 083: I'll follow your instructions on	
9	that.	
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
11	MR. LEXIS: One last question. Does anybody have anything	
12	else, either in the past where having grown up with personal beliefs that	
13	you believe would affect your ability to cast judgment upon the	
14	Defendant, whether it's guilty or not guilty? Let the record reflect no	
15	hands.	
16	Thanks, Judge.	
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
18	All right. We'd be pleased to hear any questions from	
19	Defense Counsel.	
20	MS. PARK: I just have one question for one particular	
21	individual. There have been a lot of questions asked.	
22	THE COURT: You can take whatever time you need.	
23	MS. PARK: Okay.	
24	So my question would be for seat number 11, Mary Heldt.	
25	THE COURT: Let's get her the mic.	

- 1		
1	MS. PARK: So Ms. Heldt, I know that you talk about some	
2	issues that are going on with your family and with you. I guess my	
3	question to you would be, are you under a level of stress that would	
4	make you feel that you	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 058: Yes.	
6	MS. PARK: can't participate, maybe	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I'm under	
8	MS. PARK: go along because	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm under medication because the	
10	stress has caused me to start having seizures this last year.	
11	MS. PARK: Okay.	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And the seizures are caused by	
13	stress. Just while we've been sitting here, when we went on break, I had	
14	four phone calls and six texts because the mother I take care of took a	
15	fall, and they couldn't get ahold of anybody so she had to lay on the floor	
16	until they could get somebody to get her up. So, yeah, I'm a little bit	
17	MS. PARK: Okay. I mean, would it would the stress you	
18	feel affect your ability to hold out, if you believed something different	
19	than everyone else? Or would you	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, if my belief or my	
21	understanding of what I'm hearing by whatever testimony I go maybe	
22	a little. I go I'll go be by what I feel and what I believe and how I	
23	understand the testimony.	
24	MS. PARK: Okay. All right. I guess that would be a question	
25	that I should ask all of you. Is if one of you comes to a decision and	

the rest of you are of a different opinion, are any of you willing to just go along because the others have agreed on something, or is -- are there any of you that would do that?

THE COURT: I think she's asking would -- are there -- is there anyone who would give up their position as to guilt not guilt, just because there was a majority of people supporting one side or the other?

MS. PARK: No hands? Okay. All right. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. Can I see counsel at sidebar?

[Sidebar begins at 1:46 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone the State wishes to move for cause?

MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge, and that would be seat number 12.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LEXIS: I specifically asked her -- first of all, I should say the packet provided by the Court, obviously, the Court feels those questions in there are important, as do I, because you're the one that provided it to me. And two of the main questions in there are, do you have any negative feelings towards law enforcement, and -- it's worded, do you have any positive feelings towards law enforcement, and with regards to the criminal justice system.

She -- I asked those point blank to her, no response, and then I basically called her out, as far as why she didn't tell me the truth the first time. And her response was not good. Basically, that, oh, I didn't

feel that they were that important. It's not that she didn't -- she agreed with what I was telling her, and that calling her out on it. She didn't say, oh, no, I don't think that. I don't think the criminal justice system's unfair. I don't have any negative feelings towards law enforcement, but simply, yeah, I agree with you, but I don't think it's that -- it's that relevant. Despite you repeatedly admonishing them that -- how important it is to give us truthful answers and to tell the truth.

THE COURT: Any response?

MS. PARK: Well, I think she responded today with how she felt and why. She didn't feel that it would alter her ability to be fair in this case, because she specifically said that this case would be based on the facts in this case, and the officers' version of the facts in this case, so as not compared to other things she has read in the media about other police officers.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. LEXIS: As I stated, when I was talking to her, she has no idea of what this -- how this case is transpiring and what the cops are going to say.

THE COURT: All right. Well, it does concern the Court when they put one answer down and arguably do a different answer here in court, but she did explain that, basically that she has some general concerns in terms of the justice system. I'm guessing just about everybody does, at one point or another, with some aspect. And she explained the aspects that she was most concerned about, and she didn't feel those necessarily related, in terms of her participation in this case,

which is why she didn't feel that it was something to raise.

You had the opportunity to examine her. She indicated she could be fair, so I'll deny the motion for cause as to number 12.

Anybody else?

MR. LEXIS: Number 10. I mean, if it can get any worse, when you call someone out in front of everybody about, hey, I got a piece of paper here telling me what you previously stated with regards to the criminal justice system. I called Juror Number 12 out on it. I then asked the question again to everybody, no response, and sure enough, Juror Number 10, we're in the same boat. Now what? Calling it out twice, again.

The Court thinks these questions are worthy enough and important enough to provide it, to one, ask them, and then to provide it for both counsel. I don't know what the worth is of those, if they can come in here, flat out not say anything, and then -- and then, lack of a better term, lie about it, and then have to be called out on it yet again. So again, Judge, I think it goes to their ability to be fair and impartial, and not -- definitely their credibility.

MS. PARK: Well, I think she said that she filled it out quite some time ago. She wasn't really sure how long ago that was. She did say she could be fair and impartial, even though she feels, I think, to the point that you brought up, it's more about the sentencing than it is about the system that people should be rehabilitated.

THE COURT: All right. You know, I do believe the questions are important, and for the reason that they were important today, in that

they allow you an opportunity to ask people about their feelings and thoughts, as it relates to this.

In Ms. Andrews' case, she's indicated she didn't -- it's been a while, and she wasn't sure what she had put down. She expressed, you know, these people, when they fill out these things, it's speed plugging in, and -- but it does highlight something to be asked about, and you asked about it, and she explained what her concern was, and again, said she could be fair. So I'll deny the request for cause.

Anybody else?

MS. PARK: No.

THE COURT: So we'll -- you get four each on the first 20, then one each on the last -- 21 through 24. Again, the alternate will come from 21 -- two alternates will come from 21 to 24. We'll start with State making first pick and then back and forth. Number your picks, okay?

MS. PARK: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Thanks.

[Sidebar ends at 1:52 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen. At this point in time, we're going to start a process called peremptory challenges. We allow each side an opportunity to essentially remove some potential jurors from consideration. Doesn't mean you've said anything wrong or done anything wrong. The goal, obviously, in trying to put together a jury, is to get a group of people who both sides feel comfortable with, and so in an effort to do that, we, at this point, allow some -- each side to remove certain people from consideration, for whatever real reason that

1	Alternate Juror 14, Ms. Calara. Does everybody concur with that?		
2	State?		
3	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor. Yes, Judge.		
4	MS. PARK: Yes, Your Honor.		
5	THE COURT: All right. Anybody wish to make any motions		
6	in regard to the peremptory challenge portion? State?		
7	MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.		
8	MS. PARK: No, Judge.		
9	THE COURT: All right. In your openings, I'd try to avoid		
10	letting the alternates know that they're alternates, so I prefer not		
11	referring to a jury of 12 or anything like that. Just refer to them as jurors		
12	We haven't gone that long. I'm going to go ahead and read		
13	them my thank you read them my initial instructions, then we'll take		
14	a break then, and you can set up for opening.		
15	MR. LEXIS: It's going to be one of the shortest I've ever		
16	done, two minutes, so I'd rather just crack it out, Judge, without a break.		
17	MS. PARK: Well		
18	THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll see how where we are		
19	when I get done with reading the jury instructions.		
20	MR. LEXIS: After that, I mean, we'll be done. I have all my		
21	witnesses lined up tomorrow.		
22	THE COURT: All right.		
23	[Sidebar ends at 2:09 p.m.]		
24	THE COURT: All right. I think at this point, we may have a		
25	jury, so what I want to do is ask all of you that are in the box, that's the		

1	first the two rows, the one against the wall and one up, if you would	
2	come out into what we call the well. Don't ask me why we call it the	
3	well, but that's area out in front of my bench. You all could come out	
4	into this area, and I'll see if we'll see if we've got a jury that has we	
5	can agree on.	
6	All right. I'm going to ask Mr. Papay if you'd go back,	
7	actually, to where you were sitting, in seat number 1.	
8	And Ms. Esposito, if you'd go back to where you were sittin	
9	in seat number 2.	
10	Mr. Densley, if you'd go back to seat number 3.	
11	At least you're getting stand minutes standing up.	
12	Seat number 4, Ms. Castaneda, if you'd go back to where you	
13	were sitting.	
14	Seat number 5, Mr. Bojorquez, if you'd go back where you	
15	were sitting.	
16	Number 6, Ms. Thomas, if you'd go back to where you were	
17	sitting.	
18	Number 7, Mr. Valdez, if you'd go back to where you were	
19	sitting.	
20	Mr. Padilla, I'd ask you to go to the second row and sit in	
21	front of Mr. Papay.	
22	And then Ms. Quinto'Ocasio, I'd ask you to sit next to Mr.	
23	Padilla.	
24	Mr. Arceo, if you would sit next to Ms. Quinto'Ocasio.	
25	Mr. Elmer, if you would sit next to Mr. Arceo.	

1	And Ms. Omandac, if you'd sit next to Mr. Elmer.	
2	Ms. Robinson, if you'd sit in the next seat.	
3	And then, Ms. Calaria or Calara, if you'd sit in the seat next	
4	to her. Yeah, go back to next to Ms. Robinson.	
5	Parties concur with this being our jury? State?	
6	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Judge.	
7	THE COURT: Defense?	
8	MS. PARK: Yes, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: All right. We have a jury then.	
10	For those of you down in the well, you weren't selected, but I	
11	appreciate your time and service here today. Those of you out in the	
12	gallery, you were not selected, and you're excused, but I also appreciate	
13	your time and service.	
14	The one thing I can do for those of you who are leaving now	
15	is I can tell you that under our system, the computer won't pull your	
16	names for 18 months, so you're protected from the State's system for	
17	being jurors again for 18 months. Doesn't protect you at all from the	
18	Federal system. You could have a summons for the Federal Court in	
19	your box when you get home today, but from the State, it does.	
20	Thank you very much. I hope you take away	
21	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you, Your	
22	Honor.	
23	THE COURT: something from the	
24	UNIDENTIFED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you, Court.	
25	THE COURT: experience.	

1

[Pause]

THE COURT: Okay. I'd ask that all the jurors rise, if you're able, and be sworn in as jurors for the trial.

[The jury was sworn in by the Clerk]

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and be seated. All right. Let me give you a little bit more in terms of schedule. At this point in time, I'm going to you some initial introductory jury instructions. That'll take probably about 20, 25 minutes. After that, the parties will give their opening statements. I'm told by both sides that they don't intend these statements to go very long, and then we'll break for the evening, and get back together tomorrow at 11:00. I'd ask you to get here at about 10:50. The marshal will give you instructions in regard to that, so we can get started right at 11:00, and we'll get started right away with the presentation of evidence.

The State will present its evidence, because, as I've talked to you about already, the State has the burden of proof in a criminal case, to prove the necessary elements of the crime of driving under the influence, beyond a reasonable doubt.

So the State will get started with its presentation of evidence at 11:00 tomorrow. As I said, the parties feel pretty comfortable that they'll get the case to you on Wednesday, so that, as I mentioned before, this isn't going to be a long case.

So that's the schedule then for right now, so I'll go into my jury instructions; we'll go into opening statements; and then we'll let you guys go for the day, and we'll get back together at 11:00 tomorrow and

|| 9

__

get the case started, presented to you.

All right. You are now the jury in this case, and I want to take a few minutes to tell you something about your duties as jurors and to give you some preliminary instructions. At the end of the trial, I will give you more detailed written instructions that will control your deliberations.

When you deliberate, it will be your duty to weigh and to evaluate all the evidence received in the case, and in that process, to decide the facts. To the facts as you find them, you will apply the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with the law or not. You must decide the case solely on the evidence and the law before you and must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or sympathy.

Please do not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence or what your verdict should be.

That is entirely up to you.

This is a criminal case commenced by the State of Nevada. You may sometimes hear me refer to the case as State versus Gerald Whatley, Jr. The case is based upon what we call an information. It's a charging document. I'm now going to ask Catherine, our clerk, to read that information to you and to state the plea of the Defendant.

THE CLERK: District Court Clark County Nevada, the State of Nevada versus Gerald D. Whatley, Jr., A.K.A. Jerald L. Whatley, Jr. Case number C-21-357412-1, Department 20.

Second amended information, State of Nevada, County of

Clark, Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney, within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court that Gerald D. Whatley, Jr., A.K.A. Gerald L. Whatley, Jr., the Defendant above named, having committed the crime of driving under the influence on or about the 23rd day of November, 2019, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force, and effective statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully drive and/or be in actual physical control of a motor vehicle on a highway or on the premises to which the public has access, at Desert Inn Road and Theme, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, Defendant being responsible in one or more of the following ways, and/or under one or more of the following theories, to wit:

- 1) While under the influence of intoxicating liquor to any degree, however slight, which rendered him incapable of safely driving and/or exercising actual physical control of a vehicle;
- 2) While he had a concentration of alcohol of .08 or more in his blood, and/or;
- 3) When he was found by measurement within two hours after driving and/or being in actual physical control of a vehicle, to have a concentration of alcohol of .08 or more in his blood.

Steven B. Wolfson, Clark County District Attorney, by Chad Lexis, Chief Deputy District Attorney, to wit, the Defendant has pled not guilty.

THE COURT: All right.

As I have said before, the information simply describes the charge the State brings against the Defendant. The information is not evidence and does not prove anything. The Defendant has pleaded not guilty to the charge and is presumed innocent. The State, therefore, has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the information beyond a reasonable doubt. In addition, the Defendant has the right to remain silent, and never has to prove innocence or to present any evidence.

The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden. It is your primary responsibilities as jurors to find and determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear, and the other evidence, including exhibits introduced in Court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence.

You communicate to the Court through the marshal. Our marshal's name is James Trimidol [phonetic]. He's present at all times while we are in session.

During the course of this trial, the attorneys for both sides and court personnel, other than the marshal, generally are not permitted to converse with you. It's not that we are antisocial; it's simply that we are bound by ethics and the law not to speak with you because to do so might contaminate your verdict. We typically will not say hi to you, if we should pass you in the hall or be in the elevator together.

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received

into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence, and the lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered, or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered, or the exhibit cannot be received.

Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question, and must not guess what the answer would have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard. It is the duty of a lawyer to object to the evidence which he or she believes may not properly be offered, and you should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party to which he or she represents.

I may also find it necessary to admonish the lawyers, and if I do, you should not show prejudice toward the lawyer or their client because I found it necessary to admonish them.

Throughout the trial, if you cannot hear a question being asked by the attorney or the answer given by a witness, please raise your hand as an indication. If I don't see your hand up, please just say, excuse me, I didn't hear that, and we will ask that the question be repeated or that the answer be repeated.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the

evidence. If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let notetaking distract you from being attentive. When you leave Court for recesses, your notes should be left in the courtroom. No one will read your notes.

Whether you take notes or not, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or those of your fellow jurors.

You will be given the opportunity to ask written questions of any of the witnesses called to testify in this case. You're not encouraged to ask large numbers of questions, because that is the primary responsibility of counsel. If, after both lawyers have finished questioning a witness, and only at this time, there are additional questions you would like to ask the witness, write your question down with your juror number on a full sheet of clean paper, and raise your hand. All questions from jurors must be factual in nature and designed to clarify information already presented. The marshal will pick up your question and give it to me. All questions must be directed to the witness, and not to the lawyers or the judge.

After consulting with counsel, I will determine if your question is legally proper. If I determine that your question may properly be asked, I will ask it. No adverse inference should be drawn if the Court does not allow a particular question. In addition, jurors must

not place undue weight on the responses to their questions.

The next phase of trial will now begin. First, each side may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence; it is simply an outline to help you understand what that party expects the evidence will show. A party is not required to make an opening statement.

After the opening statements, the State will first introduce evidence. At the conclusion of the State's evidence, the Defendant has the right to introduce evidence, however, please remember that the Defendant is not obligated to present any evidence or to prove his innocence. The law never imposes upon the Defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses. The Defendant may do nothing at all, because the Defendant has no burden of proof in the criminal trial.

As we already discussed, the State has to prove two things to you. First, the State has to prove to you, beyond a reasonable doubt, that a crime occurred, and second, the State has to prove to you, also beyond a reasonable doubt, that the Defendant did it.

At the close of the Defendant's case, if any, the State may introduce rebuttal evidence. At the conclusion of all the evidence, I will instruct you on the law. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions, or the instructions which I will read to you after all the evidence is in. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that

given to you by the Court.

After the instructions on the law are read to you, each party has the opportunity to argue orally in support of his or her case. This is called a closing argument or summation. What is said in closing is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence for you and show you how the evidence and the law relate to one another.

Since the State has the burden of proving the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments, which means at the end of the trial, the State gets to argue to you twice, and the Defense gets to argue to you once.

Opening statements and closing arguments are intended to help you in understanding the evidence and in applying the law, but please understand, what the attorneys tell you is not evidence. They are not witnesses. They have no firsthand information, and therefore, what they tell you is not evidence. You must not be influenced in any degree by any personal feelings of sympathy for or prejudice against any party to the case, for each party is entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration.

After the attorneys have presented their arguments, you will retire to select a foreperson to deliberate and arrive at your verdict.

Faithful performance by you of your duties is vital to the administration of justice.

If, during the course of the trial, you should recognize a witness or realize that you are familiar with the facts of the case when a

witness is testifying, please make a little not on your juror pad that you recognize such and such a witness, and how it is you recognize that witness. At the next break in the trial, please hand that note to the marshal and he'll present it to the Court. Frequently people do not recognize witnesses by name, but may recognize them when they come into the courtroom to testify. The person may be your child's soccer coach, and you may know that person by the name of Coach, or Bob, or Betty, or may be someone who lives three or four houses down from you, and you recognize them by sight, but you don't know them by name, and that happens quite frequently.

So if that should happen in this case, please just make a note of that as the witness is testifying, and at the next break, give the note to the marshal.

It is your duty to determine the facts and to determine them from the evidence and the reasonable inferences arising from such evidence, and in doing so, you must not indulge in guesswork or speculation. The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of (1) the sworn testimony of any witness; (2) the exhibits which are received into evidence; and (3) any facts to which the parties agree.

The term witness means anyone who testifies in person.

You must not consider anything which you may have seen or heard when the Court is not in session, even if what you have seen or heard is said or done by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is

direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence. That is, it is proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact.

You are to consider both direct and circumstantial evidence.

Either can be used to prove any fact. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

By way of example, if you wake up in the morning -- we went over this a little bit earlier -- if you wake up in the morning and see that the sidewalk is wet, you may find from that fact that it rained during the night. However, other evidence, such as a turned-on garden hose, may provide an explanation for the water on the sidewalk. Therefore, before you decide that a fact has been proved by circumstantial evidence, you must consider all the evidence in light of recent experience and common sense.

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it. In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account (1) the witness' opportunity and ability to see, or hear, or know the things testified; (2) the witness' memory; (3) the witness' manner while testifying; (4) the witness' interests in the outcome of the case, if any; (5) the witness' bias or prejudice, if any; (6) whether other evidence contradicted the witness' testimony; (7) the reasonableness of the

witness' testimony in light of all the evidence, and; (8) any other factors that you feel bear on believability.

You must avoid bias, conscious or unconscious, based on the witness' race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender in your determination of credibility.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it. What is important is how believable the witnesses are and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

No statement, ruling, remark, or facial expression which I may make during the course of the trial is intended to indicate my opinion as to what the facts are. I don't get to decide the facts; you are the ones who determine the facts.

I may, during the trial, take notes of what the witnesses are saying. Do not make any inference from this action on my part, because I am required to be prepared for legal arguments during the trial, and for that reason, I may, at times, take notes.

Our system of justice depends on the willingness and ability of judges like me, and jurors like you to make careful and fair decisions. What we are asked to do is sometimes difficult, because everyone, including me, has feelings, assumptions, perceptions, fears, and stereotypes.

We all have biases, including implicit biases of which we may not even be aware. They can influence the evidence we see and

hear, and how we perceive a person or situation. They can affect the evidence we remember, and how we remember it. They can influence the gut feelings and conclusions we form about people and events.

They can affect how we make important decisions.

Fortunately, when we realize we can have implicit biases and take efforts to recognize them, we can often control them and minimize their possible effect on our consideration of the evidence and decisions.

As you listen to the witnesses and consider the evidence, I encourage you to be mindful, and consider whether your perception of the witnesses and the evidence are affected in any way by these hidden biases. For this reason, you are encouraged to thoroughly and carefully examine your decision-making process to ensure the conclusions you draw are a fair reflection of the law and the evidence.

You should resist jumping to conclusions based on personal likes and dislikes, generalizations, gut feelings, prejudices, sympathies, or stereotypes. Consider examining your reasoning for any possible bias by reconsidering your first impressions of the people and evidence in this case.

Is it easier to believe statements or evidence when presented by people who are more like you? If you and the people involved in this case are from different backgrounds, richer or poor, more or less educated, older or younger, or of a different gender, race, religion or sexual orientation, would you still view them and the evidence the same way?

You must not be biased in favor of or against any party or

witness because of his or her disability, gender, race, religion, ethnicity, sexual orientation, age, national origin, or socioeconomic circumstances.

When you are instructed to begin your jury deliberations with your fellow jurors, please also listen to the other jurors during deliberations, who may be from different backgrounds and who will be viewing this case in a light of their own insights, assumptions, and perspectives.

The law demands that you return a just verdict, based solely on the evidence, your individual evaluation of that evidence, your reason and common sense, and the instructions on the law. Our system of justice is counting on you to render a fair decision based on the evidence, not on biases.

I will now say a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, keep an open mind throughout the trial, and do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations at the end of the case.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence received in the case and on my instructions on the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information about the case, or to the issues it involves, during the course of your jury duty. Thus, until the end of the case, or unless I tell you otherwise, do not communicate with anyone in any way, and do not anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case, or anything to do with it.

This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by

phone or electronic means, via email, via text messaging, or any internet chat room, blog, website, or application, including, but not limited to Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, Instagram, LinkedIn, Snapchat, WhatsApp, or other forms of social media.

This applies to communicating with your fellow jurors, until I give you the case for deliberation, and it applies to communicating with everyone else, including your family members, your employer, the media or press, and people involved in the trial, although you may notify your family and employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case, and how long you expect the trial to last.

But if you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter, and to report the contact to the Court.

As a caution, while you are here in the courthouse, please always wear the badge the marshal will give you, or has given you, which identifies yourself as a juror. When you come into the courthouse in the morning, and during breaks during the daytime or other recesses, when you are in the elevators or walking around in the hallways, I encourage you to only chit-chat with people wearing badges which indicate they are jurors.

And the reason for this is that the witnesses in this trial, and all the rest of the trials that will be going on in the building, are not identified in any way. Consequently, if you start chatting with someone, you will not know if you're carrying on a conversation with a witness in

this trial until it's too late, and they come in and take the witness stand and are sworn to testify. Therefore, I would urge you not to talk to anyone in the building, unless they're identified as a juror, because if they are identified as a juror, you know they're not going to have anything to do with this case.

Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may consider to return your verdict, do not read, watch, or listen to any news media, or media accounts, or commentary about the case, or about anything to do with it. Do not do any research, such as consult a dictionary, searching the internet, or using any other reference materials, and do not make any investigation, or in any other way try to learn about the case on your own. Do not visit or view anyplace discussed in this case, and do not use internet programs or other devices to search for or view anyplace discussed during the trial.

Also, do not do any research about this case, or the law, or the people involved, including the parties, the witnesses, or the lawyers, until you have been excused as jurors. If you should happen to read or hear anything touching on this case in the media, turn away, and report it to me as soon as possible.

These rules protect each party's right to have the case decided only on the evidence that's been presented here in Court.

Witnesses here in Court take an oath to tell the truth, and the accuracy of their testimony is tested through the trial process. If you do any research or investigation outside the courtroom, or gain any information through

improper communications, then your verdict may be influenced by inaccurate, incomplete, or misleading information that has not been tested by the trial process.

Each of the parties is entitled to a fair trial by an impartial jury, and if you decide the case based on information not presented in Court, you will have denied the parties a fair trial.

Remember, you have taken an oath to follow the rules, and it is very important that you follow these rules. A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of proceedings, and a mistrial could result that would require the entire trial process to start over. If any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify the Court immediately. After that, you'll go to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict.

From time to time during the trial, it may become necessary for me to take up legal matters with the attorneys privately, either by having a conference at the bench when the jury is present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence, and to avoid confusion and error.

Of course, we will do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the

case or what your verdict should be.

At the end of the trial, you will have to make your decision based upon what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult. Even though we have a court recorder who records the testimony, it's not typed up into a readable format, and it's difficult and time-consuming for the recorder to read back lengthy testimony. Therefore, I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

Okay. That concludes my initial instructions. The parties have indicated that they probably have about 20 minutes of opening statements. Is everybody good, or do we need to take a bathroom break? Want to make sure --

MS. PARK: Bathroom [indiscernible].

THE COURT: Huh?

MR. LEXIS: It's more like five minutes.

THE COURT: Well, in any case, it's -- do we need to take -- I want to make sure that everybody is good, because I always remember I had a trial a long time ago and had a key witness on the stand, and we had worked with him, and he got up there and he was horrible. And I was like, after he came down, it was like, why -- why -- what happened? He said, well, I had to go to the bathroom.

So anyway, it's important to go to the restroom. Is everybody good for about another 20 minutes?

UNIDENTIFED SPEAKER: Twenty minutes, Your Honor? THE COURT: Twenty minutes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, bathroom break, please.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: All of them or --

THE COURT: Does every -- it'd be quicker if we just take her out. Is everybody good with that? And you need to go to the restroom too?

All right. You can follow -- well, all right. Let's -- probably the easier thing is to just go ahead and do a quick ten-minute break.

While you're out there, ladies and gentlemen, just go to the restroom, come back, we'll do the openings and get you on your way for the day.

During this break, do not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, email, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media. Do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or any commentary about the case.

Do not do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using reference materials. Do not make any investigation, test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own, and do not begin to form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is submitted to you.

As soon as we get you all back, we'll do the openings and get you out of here.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury. And leave your notebooks.

to step out, ou guys are all oast the one, I -
ou guys are all
oast the one, I -
pe to bathroom
ten minutes.
ed to, otherwise,
're all back.
o.m.]
m on in.
presence of the
ody get situated,
id, this is the
,

time for opening statements. Opening statements are each side's opportunity to give you, what I like to say, a picture or roadmap of what they see each side's case being. A lot of times during these trials, things don't necessarily come in logical format or chronological, so this sort of helps you to know when somebody testifies that X, or Y, or Z, how that all fits in to each side's view of the picture. So these are very important.

But the one thing I want to emphasize, and I'll emphasize it a number of times during the trial, is what the attorneys say in opening statement is not evidence. They're just telling you what they think the evidence ultimately will be that comes into the case, but what they say, it's not evidence. They are not witnesses to any of the facts of this case, so what they say is not evidence.

So if you hear in an opening statement, or in a closing argument, an attorney say that Witness Y is going to testify to 1, 2, 3, and at the end of the case, your recollection is that Witness Y testified to 8, 9, 10, it's your recollection of what that witness says that controls. So as I want to emphasize, what the attorneys say is not evidence. But these statements are important, because they do provide you that roadmap or picture, so I encourage you to pay close attention to them.

We'd be pleased to hear the opening statement of the Plaintiff.

STATE OPENING STATEMENT

MR. LEXIS: Folks, this case is very straightforward. On the day in question, the evidence will show the Defendant ran his vehicle into a concrete barrier. Two individuals driving by witnessed this and

called 911. You will hear from -- both of those 911 calls will be played in Court.

When the officer got there, the Defendant had already been transported to the hospital, so the primary officer went down to the hospital, and at his direction, had the nurse obtain a blood draw. You will hear from the expert stating that she tested that blood, and it came back above the legal limit, therefore, at the end of this case, we're going to ask you to find the Defendant guilty of driving under the influence. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.

We'd be pleased to hear the opening statement of Defense.

MS. PARK: Thank you.

DEFENDANT OPENING STATEMENT

MS. PARK: Members of the jury, thank you for being here today.

Mr. Gerald Whatley has pled not guilty to the charge of driving under the influence. The only question you're going to have before you is on November 23rd, 2019, did Gerald Whatley drive while under the influence of alcohol? I believe if you listen to the facts carefully, listen to the witness testimony carefully, then I'm confident that you will find Mr. Whatley not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Well, ladies and gentlemen, those were pretty quick opening statements, but they did summarize each side's view of the evidence in this case, and we'll get into the presentation of that evidence first thing

tomorrow, starting at 11:00.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

As I mentioned before, if you could try to get here about 10:50, we'll get going as close to 11:00 as possible, and we'll put in a full day tomorrow, until about 5:00. We'll have some breaks. We won't have a lunch break; we'll have some breaks.

If you need to eat beforehand or bring something to snack on here in the courtroom while we're doing trial or during one of the breaks, feel free to do that. If you need some refrigeration, that's not a problem. Let the marshal know and he'll arrange for anything you want to be refrigerated to be refrigerated.

While you're out there tonight, do not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any regarding the case or its merits, either by voice, phone, email, text, internet or other means of communication or social media. Do not read, watch, or listen to any news, or media accounts, or commentary about the case.

Do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet or using reference materials. Do not make any investigations, test a theory of the case, or recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own, and do not begin to form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is submitted to you.

I know it's been a long day. I really appreciate everybody's patience. We'll see you tomorrow. Like I said, again, try to get here about 10:50, and we'll get started as close as we can to 11:00. Have a great evening.

1	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
2	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Leave our notebooks?
3	THE MARSHAL: Leave your notebooks. Bring the
4	instructions though [indiscernible].
5	[Jury out at 2:56 p.m.]
6	[Outside the presence of the jury]
7	THE COURT: All right. Since we're finishing early today, I
8	thought we could discuss the jury instructions. I received a set from the
9	State. Have you had a chance to review those?
10	MS. PARK: I have.
11	THE COURT: Anything in there that you saw that you had an
12	issue with, or that you're going to want to add?
13	MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. Do you have a copy with you?
15	MS. PARK: I don't have a copy with me, but
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	MS. PARK: I do have them.
18	THE COURT: Well
19	MS. PARK: I have reviewed them.
20	THE COURT: here, let me ask if you [indiscernible].
21	MS. PARK: Okay.
22	THE COURT: And then these, do you have copies for
23	everybody, or just her?
24	THE CLERK: Just two.
25	MS. PARK: Do you have your copy?
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1	MR. LEXIS: didn't bring mine.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Get rid of that
3	THE CLERK: You have an extra.
4	THE COURT: and then this.
5	THE CLERK: [Indiscernible]
6	THE COURT: Then you can yeah. Could you give that to
7	Mr. Lexis?
8	THE CLERK: Okay. I don't think he has a copy of the other
9	[indiscernible].
10	THE COURT: You have yours?
11	MR. LEXIS: I don't, Judge.
12	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Let's print out a copy real quick.
13	THE CLERK: So here's the group [indiscernible].
14	MS. PARK: Okay.
15	THE CLERK: [Indiscernible]
16	MS. PARK: Okay.
17	THE COURT: Hold on one second; we'll print out a copy for
18	Mr. Lexis.
19	[Pause]
20	THE COURT: Tiffany should be right back.
21	MR. LEXIS: I've been in here before, and I know you use that
22	credibility instruction, so I've got no problem.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that.
24	MS. PARK: I don't have an issue with it.
25	THE COURT: All right. Well, I want to still go through this,
ı	

I	
1	make sure we've got a set that we're all good with. So I don't know how
2	quickly things will move tomorrow. Looking at our opening statements, l
3	want to just be ready to go tomorrow, so we'll we'll get a set out to Mr.
4	Lexis, and we'll run through them.
5	Mr. Lexis. Did I get you? I'm sorry.
6	MR. LEXIS: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	All right. Looking at instruction number 1. It's now my duty
9	as Judge to instruct you on the law that applies in this case.
10	Any objection, State?
11	MR. LEXIS: No.
12	THE COURT: Defense?
13	MS. PARK: No.
14	THE COURT: Instruction on
15	THE CLERK: Okay. That's all they ever sent to me.
16	THE COURT: I know. But you know, I [indiscernible] jury.
17	All right. Instruction number 2, if, in these instructions, any
18	real direction or ideas repeat or are stated in different ways, et cetera.
19	Any objections, State?
20	MR. LEXIS: No.
21	THE COURT: Defense?
22	MS. PARK: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. Instruction number 3, an amended
24	information is but a formal method of accusing a person of a crime and
25	is not itself any evidence of his guilt. In this case, it is charged in the

1	information that Gerald D. Whatley, Jr., A.K.A. Gerald L. Whatley, Jr., the
2	Defendant we'll just get rid of the S at the end of Defendant the
3	Defendant above named, having committed the crime of driving under
4	the influence we'll strike the category B felony and Nevada Revised
5	Statutes; I don't have any idea what those say on or about the 23rd day
6	of November, 2019, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary
7	to the form, force, and effective statutes, et cetera.
8	Mr. Lexis, are you which of the three categories are you
9	planning on doing? All 1, 2, and 3, or which ones?
10	MR. LEXIS: 1 and 3.
11	THE COURT: One and three? All right. So
12	MR. LEXIS: But they're listed in the information; that's why I
13	put it all.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, if we if there's not going to be
15	any evidence of it, I don't see any reason for us to I think we should
16	just use 1 and 3.
17	MR. LEXIS: Fine with me.
18	THE COURT: All right. So we'll strike 2, and renumber 3
19	as
20	MR. LEXIS: What we're talking about is B, right? That's what
21	I'm talking about too. 2(a) and 2(c) is where I'm going with it. You could
22	get rid of 2(b) if you want.
23	THE COURT: 2(b) is let's make sure we're while he had a
24	concentration of alcohol of .08 or more in his blood
25	MR. LEXIS: Yeah, so

MR. LEXIS: No.

THE COURT: Defense?

24

25

_	
1	MS. PARK: No.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	Physical instruction number
4	THE CLERK: 5.
5	THE COURT: 5?
6	THE CLERK: Yes.
7	THE COURT: 5, physical control means a person has
8	existing or present influence, dominion, or a regulation of a motor
9	vehicle. In deciding whether someone has existing or present influence,
10	dominion, or regulation of a vehicle, et cetera.
11	Any objection, Plaintiff, State?
12	MR. LEXIS: No.
13	THE COURT: Defense?
14	MS. PARK: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	Instruction 6, motor vehicle means every vehicle which is
17	self-propelled but not operated upon rails. A car or van is a motor
18	vehicle.
19	Any objection, State?
20	MR. LEXIS: No.
21	THE COURT: Defense?
22	MS. PARK: No.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	Highway means the entire width between the boundary lines
25	of every dedicated to of every way dedicated to a public authority,

1	when any part of the way is ope
2	vehicular traffic, whether or not
3	through the way. Desert Inn and
4	Any objection, State
5	MR. LEXIS: No.
6	THE COURT: Defens
7	MS. PARK: No.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	Instruction number
10	THE CLERK: 8.
11	THE COURT: 8? 8.
12	means property in private or pu
13	the public regularly enter, are re
14	permitted to enter as invitees or
15	limited to, parking deck, garage,
16	I mean, are you goir
17	MR. LEXIS: This isn
18	an element, so I have to include
19	THE COURT: No, yo
20	element. It's either on the high
21	has access. If you're not going
22	parking deck, parking garage, or
23	MR. LEXIS: He was
24	it off road, so I'm going to argue
25	way, Judge.

en to the use of the public for purposes of the public authority is maintained d Thames are highways.

e?

se?

7?

Premises to which the public has access ablic ownership onto which members of easonably likely to enter, or are invited or r licensees. Term includes, but is not , or parking structure. All right.

ng to be arguing any of this?

n't even an issue in this case, but it's still e it.

ou don't have to include it. It's an or way or on a premises to which the public to be presenting any -- this occurred on a r parking structure, or a parking lot, or --

[indiscernible] the highway and crashed e where he crashed it is a premises, either

1	THE COURT: Well, I guess I could see your point, in terms of
2	the crash being off road. Was this a residential area?
3	MR. LEXIS: No, it was on Desert Inn and Thames, two
4	streets, but then went off the road and crashed.
5	THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead.
6	You all right with leaving it in?
7	MS. PARK: I am.
8	THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll leave in number 8.
9	9, under the influence means impaired to a degree that
10	renders the person incapable of safely driving, et cetera.
11	Any objection, State?
12	MR. LEXIS: No.
13	THE COURT: Defense?
14	MS. PARK: No.
15	THE COURT: 10, incapable of safely driving does not mean
16	that a person is incapable of reaching his destination in safety, but rather
17	that the person's mental or psychological functions are diminished so
18	that the risk of an accident is unreasonably increased.
19	Any objection, Plaintiff State?
20	MR. LEXIS: No.
21	THE COURT: Defense?
22	MS. PARK: No.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	Concentration of point of alcohol of .08 or more in his
25	blood means .08 grams or more of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood of

1	a person.
2	Any objection, State?
3	MR. LEXIS: No.
4	THE COURT: Defense?
5	MS. PARK: No.
6	THE COURT: State has alleged the Defendant has and this
7	is what number?
8	THE CLERK: 12.
9	THE COURT: 12?
10	State has alleged that the Defendant is criminal liable for the
11	charge of driving in or being in actual physical control of a motor vehicle
12	while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or alcohol under one or
13	more of the following principles of criminal liability.
14	Then we struck number 2, so we'll do that, and then strike
15	and put in change 3 to 2.
16	Your verdict must be unanimous as to the charge. You do
17	not have to be unanimous on the principle of criminal liability. It is
18	sufficient that each of you find, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the
19	crime that was committed was driving or being in actual physical control
20	of a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or
21	alcohol, resulting in death.
22	No, that didn't happen, right?
23	MR. LEXIS: No. I've got to take that part out.
24	THE COURT: Okay. We'll take it out.
25	MR. LEXIS: Good. Use this in my last try.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Well, will that be read? That that
2	would [indiscernible] everybody
3	MS. PARK: Your Honor, I didn't
4	THE COURT: would look around the room.
5	Regardless of which principle of criminal liability you find.
6	With that those changes, State, okay?
7	MR. LEXIS: Yes, just take out the bodily harm part? Yes.
8	THE COURT: Yeah. Well, taking out the resulting in death,
9	and number 2.
10	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	Defense, okay?
13	MS. PARK: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	13, to constitute the crime charged, there must exist a union
16	or joint operation or act [indiscernible] by law, and intend to do the act,
17	et cetera.
18	Any objection, State?
19	MR. LEXIS: No.
20	THE COURT: Defense?
21	MS. PARK: No.
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	What is this one?
24	THE CLERK: 14
25	THE COURT: 14, the Defendant is presumed innocent unless

1	the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the
2	burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the
3	crime charged, et cetera.
4	Any objection, State?
5	MR. LEXIS: No.
6	THE COURT: Defense?
7	MS. PARK: No.
8	THE COURT: 15, it's the Constitutional right of the Defendant
9	in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify, thus a decision
10	as to whether he should testify is left to the Defendant on advice of
11	counsel of an attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from
12	the fact he does not testify, nor should this fact be discussed by you or
13	enter into your deliberations in any way.
14	This technically has to be requested by Defense. Are you if
15	he doesn't testify, are you requesting it?
16	MS. PARK: I am.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
18	Assuming he doesn't testify, any objection, State?
19	MR. LEXIS: [Indiscernible]
20	THE COURT: I didn't understand.
21	MR. LEXIS: [Indiscernible]
22	THE COURT: Is there any objection to this instruction, if he
23	chooses not to testify?
24	MR. LEXIS: No objection.
25	THE COURT: All right.

1	MR. LEXIS: And just so you know, that we do have priors as
2	well.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to do the canvas here in
4	a second, so we'll deal fire those up in a second.
5	THE COURT: Any objection, Defense?
6	MS. PARK: No.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	What instruction is this one?
9	THE CLERK: 16.
10	THE COURT: 16, you are here to determine the guilt or
11	innocence I know that some Defense people don't like guilt or
12	innocence, because it's not technically guilt or innocence, it's whether
13	to determine whether the Defendant's guilty or not guilty from the
14	evidence in the case.
15	Do you want that changed, or are you good with guilt or
16	innocence?
17	MS. PARK: Change it to not.
18	THE COURT: You are here to determine whether the
19	Defendant is guilty or not guilty from the evidence in the case.
20	MS. PARK: Yeah, I think that sounds better. Let's do that.
21	THE COURT: All right. You are here to determine whether
22	the Defendant is guilty or not guilty from the evidence in this case.
23	You're not called upon to return a verdict as to guilty or not guilty, as to
24	any other person. So if the evidence in the case convinces you beyond a
25	reasonable doubt of the guilt of the Defendant, you should so find, even

1	though you believe one or more persons are also guilty.
2	Any objection, State, with those changes?
3	MR. LEXIS: No.
4	THE COURT: Defense?
5	MS. PARK: No.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	17, the evidence which you are to consider in this case is the
8	testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits, and any facts admitted or
9	agreed to by counsel, et cetera.
10	Any objection, State?
11	MR. LEXIS: No.
12	THE COURT: Defense?
13	MS. PARK: No.
14	THE COURT: All right. This is
15	What's this one?
16	THE CLERK: I
17	THE COURT: I know. 18?
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	I handed out my preferred witness credibility instruction;
20	both sides got that.
21	Any objection to using that, State?
22	MR. LEXIS: No. No.
23	THE COURT: Defense?
24	MS. PARK: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Let's see, instruction 19, a witness who

1	has special knowledge, skill, experience, training, education, et cetera.
2	Any objection, State?
3	MR. LEXIS: No.
4	THE COURT: Defense?
5	MS. PARK: No.
6	THE COURT: All right. It's 20?
7	THE COURT: 20, although you are to consider only the
8	evidence in the case when reaching a verdict, you must bring
9	consideration of the evidentiary with common sense, et cetera.
10	Any objection, State?
11	MR. LEXIS: No.
12	THE COURT: Defense?
13	MS. PARK: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	21, in your deliberations, you may not discuss or consider
16	the subject of punishment, as that is a matter which lies solely with the
17	Court. Your duty is confined to the determination of guilt
18	determination of whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. Of
19	whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty.
20	With that change, State, okay?
21	MR. LEXIS: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Defense?
23	MS. PARK: Yes.
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	22, during the course of the trial and your deliberations, you

1	are not to (1) communicate with anyone in any way, et cetera.
2	Any objection, State?
3	MR. LEXIS: No.
4	THE COURT: Defense?
5	MS. PARK: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	23, when you retire to consider your verdict, you must select
8	one member as foreperson to preside over your deliberations, be your
9	spokesperson here in Court, et cetera.
10	Any objection, State?
11	MR. LEXIS: No.
12	THE COURT: Defense?
13	MS. PARK: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	Then 24, Tiffany handed out my enhanced version of 24.
16	Anybody have any objection to me substituting in my version of 24?
17	MR. LEXIS: No.
18	MS. PARK: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
20	And then 25, now you'll listen to the arguments of counsel,
21	who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict, et cetera.
22	Any objection, State?
23	MR. LEXIS: No.
24	THE COURT: Defense?
25	MS. PARK: No.

1	THE COURT: Okay. State, have anything let's see, verdict	
2	form.	
3	Defense, go over the verdict form?	
4	MS. PARK: Yes.	
5	THE COURT: State, I assume, is good with it.	
6	MR. LEXIS: Yes.	
7	THE COURT: All right.	
8	Anybody have any problem if I remove the number 2753951	
9	after the Mr. Whatley's name?	
10	MR. LEXIS: No.	
11	MS. PARK: No, no problem.	
12	THE COURT: Okay.	
13	I don't see oh, and this shows Department 1 Department	
14	20. All right. Let's see. State, have anything else it thinks it's going to	
15	want to add?	
16	MR. LEXIS: No.	
17	THE COURT: Anything further Defense thinks it's going to	
18	want to add?	
19	MS. PARK: No.	
20	THE COURT: All right. So I think we've got a set. And	
21	obviously, up until we get ready to give it to the jury, if you think of	
22	something or you need to want to add something, let the Court know,	
23	we'll consider it at that time.	
24	In view of how, you know, that we're moving fairly quickly,	
25	does any party have any issue with me canvasing the Defendant as to	

his Constitutional rights today?

MS. PARK: Oh, no, I think that's fine to do today.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

Mr. Whatley, my name is Eric Johnson, and I know you've appeared in my Court a number of times, but let me just introduce myself formally to you at this point in time. As you know, I am the District Court Judge that's been assigned your case.

At this point in time, I have an obligation to make sure you understand certain rights that you have pursuant to the United States Constitution and Nevada Constitution in your criminal trial, so I'd like to run through those at this point in time, if that's okay with you.

THE DEFENDANT: Sure.

THE COURT: All right. You have a right, under the Constitution of the United States and under the Constitution of the State of Nevada, not to be compelled to testify in this case. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: That means that no one can make you take the witness stand and make you answer any questions. Do you understand that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You may, if you wish, give up this right, and you may take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you will be asked questions by your attorney, and be subject to cross-examination by the Deputy District Attorney. Do you understand you have a right to testify

and be subject to cross-examination?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything that you say, whether it's in answer to questions put to you by your attorney or by the Deputy District Attorney, will be the subject of fair comment when the Deputy District Attorney and your attorney speak to the jury in final arguments. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Your testimony will be available to the jurors to consider in their deliberations, and they will evaluate your testimony as any other witness, and may believe all of your testimony, part of it, or none of it, and give it as much weight as they feel it deserves. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If, by chance, you are convicted of any crime with which you are charged in this case, your testimony will be subject to comment by the Deputy District Attorney, and your attorney, during the sentencing hearing -- excuse me.

If, by chance, you are convicted of any crime with which you are charged in this case, your testimony will be subject to comment by the Deputy District Attorney, and your attorney, during the sentencing hearing, and the Court may consider your testimony in determining an appropriate sentence. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Your testimony will be recorded in public

1	information available to anyone, and could be used on your behalf, or			
2	against you, as the law permits, in any personal business or legal matter,			
3	including any subsequent criminal or civil litigation in which your			
4	testimony could be relevant. Do you understand that?			
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.			
6	THE COURT: What was the number of the not testify			
7	instruction?			
8	THE CLERK: 15.			
9	THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, the Court will not			
10	permit the District Attorney to make any comments to the jury			
11	concerning the fact that you have not testified. Do you understand that?			
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.			
13	THE COURT: If you elect not to testify, the Court will instruct			
14	the jury, if your attorney specifically requests, an instruction which reads			
15	substantially as follows. It is the Constitutional right of the Defendant in			
16	a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify, thus a decision as			
17	to whether he should testify is left to the Defendant on advice of counsel			
18	of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact			
19	he does not testify, nor should this fact be discussed by you or enter into			
20	your deliberations in any way. Do you understand that?			
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.			
22	THE COURT: Do you have any questions that you would like			
23	to ask me about your Constitutional rights?			
24	THE DEFENDANT: No.			
25	THE COURT: If you choose to testify and have been			

convicted of a felony within the past ten years or have been on parole or probation for a felony within the past ten years, the State -- the District Attorney will be permitted to ask you (1) if you've been convicted of a felony; (2) what was the felony; and (3) when it happened. No details may be gone into in regard to any prior felony conviction. If you do not [indiscernible] felony convictions, the State may impeach your testimony with certified copies of conviction, which may contain more information in them than simply what the felony was and when it occurred. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: State indicated that there are prior felonies that it feels would be for impeachment.

MR. LEXIS: Yes, and I don't have that with me, but I know that he has multiple, including the one that's a Court exhibit, and another one that he's currently on probation with.

THE COURT: Okay. And you're familiar with those?

MS. PARK: I am.

THE COURT: All right. And you've discussed those with your client?

MS. PARK: We did, briefly, about the fact that it would -- those could come up if he wanted to testify.

THE COURT: All right. You understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to disclose any communication with your attorney, but have you discussed with your

attorney your right to testify and your right not to testify in this trial?			
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.			
THE COURT: Did she answer all your questions?			
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, she did.			
THE COURT: You should discuss your options with your			
attorney and listen carefully to her advice. However, the ultimate choice			
as to whether or not you testify is your choice. Do you understand that?			
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.			
THE COURT: All right.			
State have anything else further it'd want me to canvas?			
MR. LEXIS: No, Judge.			
THE COURT: I mean, I don't know if you've made a decision			
whether to testify or not to testify, I just want to make sure you			
understand, State will present its case; it'll finish either tomorrow or on			
Wednesday. After the State finishes, I'll turn to your attorney and ask if			
the Defense wishes to present any evidence. If she if you decide to			
testify, fine. If you decide not to testify, that's your right. You have a			
right to testify, up until she says the Defense rests. Do you understand			
that?			
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.			
THE COURT: So when I turn to her and say, does the			
Defense wish to present any evidence? Once she says the Defense rests,			
your right to testify, at that point, ends. Do you understand that?			
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Sir.			
THE COURT: Okay.			

1	All right. Well, anything else that you feel I need to go over		
2	with him, Ms. Park?		
3	MS. PARK: I don't think so.		
4	THE COURT: All right.		
5	Anything else we should talk about tonight?		
6	MR. LEXIS: No, but if we go off record, I'll talk about		
7	scheduling real quick.		
8	THE COURT: Okay. Let's go off record, I guess.		
9	[Proceedings adjourned at 3:22 p.m.]		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the		
21	audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the		
22	best of my ability. Awar D Cakell		
23	Maukele Transcribers, LLC		
24	Jessica B. Cahill, Transcriber, CER/CET-708		
25			

Electronically Filed 8/4/2022 8:56 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-21-357412-1 DEPT. XX Plaintiff,

VS. 10 GERALD LEE WHATLEY, JR., 11 Defendant. 12

> BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, APRIL 26, 2022

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

17 **APPEARANCES**

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

18

19

22

23

For the Plaintiff: CHAD N. LEXIS, ESQ.

YU MENG, ESQ.

For the Defendant: LESLIE A. PARK, ESQ.

20 21

24 25 RECORDED BY: ANGIE CALVILLO, COURT RECORDER

1	<u>INDEX</u>
2	
3	Testimony9
4	
5	WITNESSES FOR THE STATE
6	JERYLYN SKILBRED
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Meng9
8	Cross-Examination by Ms. Park17
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Meng 19
10	
11	OSCAR CASTILLO
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Meng 22
13	Cross-Examination by Ms. Park34
14	
15	RAINIER FROST
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Meng39
17	Cross-Examination by Ms. Park42
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Meng43
19	Recross Examination by Ms. Park 47
20	Redirect Examination by Mr. Meng48
21	
22	MICHERL POLION
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Meng 50
24	Cross-Examination by Ms. Park 63
25	Recross Examination by Ms. Park 68

1	WITNESSES FOR THE STATE
2	BRIAN BOUNDS
3	Direct Examination by Mr. Meng73
4	Cross-Examination by Ms. Park79
5	
6	DENISE HEINEMAN
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Meng83
8	Cross-Examination by Ms. Park
9	Redirect Examination by Ms. Meng
10	Recross Examination by Ms. Park
11	
12	
13	State Rests99
14	Defendant Rests100
15	State's Closing Argument113
16	Defendant's Closing Argument119
17	State's Rebuttal Closing Argument122
18	Verdict132
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
2			
3			
4	FOR THE STATE	<u>MARKED</u>	RECEIVED
5	4		10
6	5		11
7	6 through 13		14
8	1		16
9	2		30
10	16	55	56
11	3		60
12	15		62
13	14		90
14			
15			
16	FOR THE DEFENDANT	<u>MARKED</u>	RECEIVED
17	None		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
I	I	- 4 -	

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, April 26, 2022	
2		
3	[Case called at 11:21 a.m.]	
4	THE COURT: All right. Let's call state Nevada v. Gerald	
5	Whatley, Jr., case number C357412. Counsel, make your formal	
6	appearances for the record.	
7	MR. LEXIS: Chad Lexis, and Yu Meng for the State.	
8	MS. PARK: And Leslie Park for Gerald Whatley, he's present,	
9	at liberty.	
10	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Whatley, where have you been?	
11	Where have you been Mr. Whatley?	
12	THE DEFENDANT: At home. I was at home.	
13	THE COURT: Mr. Whatley, I said we're going to start trial at	
14	11 o'clock. I have 14 jurors sitting out there waiting for you to get here.	
15	THE DEFENDANT: I	
16	THE COURT: Why weren't you here on time?	
17	THE DEFENDANT: I I understand that. My	
18	THE COURT: I'm sorry. What?	
19	THE DEFENDANT: My apologies.	
20	THE COURT: Don't apologize. Tell me why you weren't here	
21	on time	
22	THE DEFENDANT: Traffic.	
23	THE COURT: Okay.	
24	THE DEFENDANT: Traffic.	
25	THE COURT: Traffic?	

- 1			
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.		
2	THE COURT: Where was the traffic problem? Mr. Whatley, is		
3	it necessary for me to incarcerate you each night to have you get here on		
4	time?		
5	THE DEFENDANT: No. No. But it it was traffic.		
6	THE COURT: All right. Well, then tomorrow we're going to		
7	need you to get started a little bit earlier. Okay?		
8	THE DEFENDANT: No problem. No problem.		
9	THE COURT: All right. We have the jury here. Are we ready		
10	to proceed?		
11	MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor. But I apologize. Can we just		
12	mark the exhibits?		
13	THE COURT: Sure.		
14	MR. MENG: Yeah. Thank you.		
15	[Counsel and Clerk confer]		
16	MR. MENG: Can we also set up the ELMO, just for this		
17	machine?		
18	THE COURT: Sure.		
19	[Pause]		
20	THE COURT: She's got them all marked. Are you good to		
21	go, Mr. Meng?		
22	MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor. I believe so.		
23	THE COURT: Anything else before we bring in the jurors?		
24	MS. PARK: No, Judge.		
25	THE COURT: Very good. Bring them up.		

I			
1	THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir.		
2	[Pause]		
3	MR. MENG: I guess we can tell, Judge, we did review the		
4	exhibits, we're stipulating to the two 9-1-1 calls, everything else is not		
5	stipulated.		
6	THE COURT: Okay.		
7	MR. MENG: Thank you. Just 1, 2.		
8	THE COURT: I'll ask when you get ready to move them into		
9	evidence, just say we have a stipulation, and I'll confirm that.		
10	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.		
11	[Jury in at 11:27 a.m.]		
12	THE COURT: All right. Parties stipulate to the presence of		
13	the jury panel.		
14	MR. LEXIS: Yes, Your Honor.		
15	MS. PARK: Yes, Your Honor.		
16	THE COURT: Okay. I'll let everybody get situated, get your		
17	notepads.		
18	l apologize, ladies and gentlemen, and the Marshal let me		
19	know you all were here in timely fashion. I apologize. We had some		
20	things come up that we had to deal with to get started this morning, and		
21	so I apologize. We will make every effort to use your time for the best of		
22	our abilities, because I do appreciate how it is valuable.		
23	As I indicated yesterday, what we'll start off today is with the		
24	presentation of evidence, the State will present its evidence, and it goes		
25	first, because the State has the burden of proving each of the elements		

1	of the crime charged, beyond a reasonable doubt. So the State has the	
2	burden of proving the case, so they're the ones who go first in	
3	presenting any evidence. And we'll let the State call its first witness.	
4	MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor. The State calls Jerry	
5	Skilbred, J-E-R-R-Y S-K-I-L-B-R-E-D.	
6	[Pause]	
7	THE COURT: All right. We've had a disconnect with a	
8	witness, so we'll just wait here for a couple of minutes, if you want to	
9	chit-chat among yourselves about anything, other than the case, feel free	
10	to do that, and we'll get back in action as soon as we get a chance.	
11	[Brief Pause]	
12	THE COURT: All right, ma'am. I'll have you come up here to	
13	the witness stand? There's a couple steps. When you get to the top,	
14	stay standing for just a second, and our clerk over here will swear you in.	
15	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.	
16	JERYLYN SKILBRED, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN	
17	THE CLERK: Thank you.	
18	THE COURT: Go ahead and be seated.	
19	THE CLERK: And once you're settled, please state and spell	
20	your name for the record.	
21	THE WITNESS: Jerylyn Skilbred, J-E-R-Y-L-Y-N, Skilbred, S-	
22	K-I-L, B as in boy, R-E-D.	
23	THE CLERK: Thank you.	
24	THE COURT: Thank you. Whenever you're ready,	
25	counsel.	

I			
1		MR. MENG: Thank you, honor.	
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
3	BY MR. MENG:		
4	Q	Good morning, Jerry. Thank you for being here. Jerry, let	
5	me take yo	ou back to November 23rd of 2019. At around 5:20 in the	
6	afternoon '	what were you doing?	
7	a	I was on my way to take my son to his choir performance.	
8	A	Okay. And how old is your son?	
9	Q.	Sixteen.	
10	A	Were you just with him that day?	
11	A	Yes.	
12	σ	Were you driving at the time?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	Did you come to the intersection of Theme and Desert Inn?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	a	For the record that's T-H-E-M-E. And what happened at	
17	around 5:20 at the intersection of Theme and Desert Inn?		
18	A	We were at a stop sign and there's this van just going really	
19	fast, and we were in front of the stop sign, and he didn't stop, or		
20	anything just blew right through the stop sign and hit barricades.		
21	Q	This intersection Theme and Desert Inn, are you familiar with	
22	the area?		
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	And Theme	
25		MR. MENG: Actually, Your Honor, may I approach?	

1		THE COURT: All right. And you've seen these?
2		MS. PARK: I've seen them.
3		THE COURT: All right, go ahead.
4		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	BY MR. I	MENG:
6	٥	I'm showing you State's Proposed 4. Jerry, what are you
7	looking a	at?
8	Α.	That's the intersection of Theme and Desert Inn.
9	Q	How do you know that?
10	A	Because I live there.
11	a	A fair inaccurate depiction of the intersection, on November
12	23rd, 20°	19?
13	А	Yes.
14		MR. MENG: Move to admit, Your Honor?
15		THE COURT: What's the number again?
16		MR. MENG: State's Proposed 4.
17		THE COURT: Any objection to 4?
18		MS. PARK: No objection.
19		THE COURT: 4 will be admitted.
20		[State's Exhibit 4 admitted into evidence]
21		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	BY MR. I	MENG:
23	Q	I'm showing you State's Proposed 5, what are you looking
24	at?	
25	A	The intersection with the stop signs.

1	a	Is it a closer picture of it?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	A fair and accurate depiction of the intersection?
4	А	Yes.
5		MR. MENG: Move to admit States 5, Your Honor.
6		THE COURT: Any objection to 5?
7		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
8		THE COURT: 5 will be admitted.
9		[State's Exhibit 5 admitted into evidence]
10		MR. MENG: Move to publish 4 Your Honor, I'm just going
11	to move to	publish 5.
12		THE COURT: Which one are you putting on?
13		MR. MENG: 5.
14		THE COURT: 5, go ahead.
15	BY MR. ME	NG:
16	O.	Ma'am, you should have a little mouse in front of you. Do
17	you see it?	
18	A	Yes.
19	a	Could you go ahead and try to use that? A cursor should
20	come up.	
21	A	The mouse in front of me?
22	Q	Yeah.
23	A	Oh, I'm sorry. It's here. I got it.
24		THE COURT: I'm going to say, you're not going
25	crazy.	

1		THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
2	 BY MR. ME	
3	Q	So go ahead and tell me where Desert Inn is, in 5?
4	A	This is Desert Inn.
5	Q	Okay. And where is that east west
6	A	Correct.
7	a	Yeah. What about Theme?
8	A	Theme goes north and south.
9	Q	What's the speed limit on Theme?
10	A	Theme, I believe is 30.
11	Q	What about Desert Inn?
12	A	Thirty-five.
13	a	Where were you at around 5:20? Can you tell us which
14	direction y	ou were facing, and about to make what kind of turn?
15	А	Yeah. We were at the stop sign right here, heading north,
16	about to make a left on Desert Inn.	
17	Q	So what you just pointed, you were parked at this at the
18	intersectio	n, you were on Theme heading north, about to make a left
19	westbound	d onto Desert Inn; is that correct?
20	А	Correct.
21		THE COURT: Hold on just one second.
22		COURT RECORDER: I got it.
23		THE COURT: You got it. I want to make sure we get a record
24	of that. So	go ahead, I'm sorry, counsel.
25	BY MR. M	ENG:

1	Q	Is that a two-way stop?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And did you stop at the stop sign?
4	А	Yes.
5	a	That's when you noticed I believe you testified, a van?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	Did you have an opportunity to look at this van?
8	А	Yes. I saw the van.
9		MR. MENG: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? I've
10	already sh	owed these to Defense counsel.
11	BY MR. ME	ENG:
12	a	I'm showing you States 6 to 13. Ma'am, go ahead and take a
13	look at these photos and tell me what they are.	
14	Α	They're just
15	Q	Take time and go through all of them first.
16		[Witness reviews pictures]
17		THE WITNESS: That's it's a picture of the van.
18	BY MR. ME	ENG:
19	Q	Fair and accurate depiction of van you saw on November
20	23rd, 2019	?
21	А	Yes.
22		MR. MENG: Your Honor. I moved to admit State's Proposed
23	6 through	13.
24		THE COURT: Any in objection to 6 to 13.
25		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.

1		THE COURT: 6 to 13 will be admitted.
2		[State's Exhibits 6 through 13 admitted into evidence]
3	BY MR. M	ENG:
4	O.	Go ahead and tell us what happened at around 5:20?
5	A	I was on my way to take my son to his choir practice, or
6	performar	nce. We were making a left off of Theme onto Desert Inn.
7	There was	s a van that was going really, really fast. I stopped and he blew
8	right thro	ugh a stop sign, hit the barricade. I called 9-1-1, and I didn't
9	me and m	y son didn't get out, there were people already helping him, so
10	we just w	ent ahead and left.
11	O.	In 2019, at that point, how long have you been driving?
12	A	Oh, geez, 25 years.
13	Q	And based on your experience as a driver of 25 years of the
14	driving ag	e, how fast do you think the white van was going?
15	A	He was going about, I would say 40, 45.
16	Q	You stated that there was a concrete barrier, correct?
17	A	Correct.
18		THE COURT: Now you putting what on the screen?
19		MR. MENG: Publishing Exhibit 5, Your Honor.
20		THE COURT: Okay.
21		MR. MENG: Zooming to the intersection of Theme and
22	Desert Inn	.
23	BY MR. M	ENG:
24	Q	Could you use the mouse and tell the ladies and gentleman
25	of the jury	where the concrete barriers are?

1	А	Right here.
2	Q	That's where the white van smashed into?
3	А	Correct?
4	Q	Did the white
5		THE COURT: All right. Stop for one second?
6		COURT RECORDER: I got it.
7		THE COURT: Got it. All right, go ahead.
8	BY MR. N	ΛENG:
9	Q	Did the white van ever slow down
10	А	No.
11	a	after blowing the stop sign?
12	A	No.
13	Q	Was it your turn to proceed at that point?
14	А	Correct, yes.
15	Q	Why didn't you proceed?
16	A	Because he was going way too fast. He would have hit
17	me.	
18	Q	Could you describe the van? What happened after the van
19	hit the co	oncrete barrier?
20	А	When he hit the barrier, the van, the back of the tires lifted
21	up, and t	hen came back down.
22		MR. MENG: Publishing, Your Honor, at this point, 6 to 13.
23	Already a	admitted.
24		THE COURT: What are you putting up right now?
25		MR. MENG: 6 through oh, yeah, 6.

1		THE COURT: Okay.		
2	BY MR. ME	BY MR. MENG:		
3	Q	That's the van after hitting the concrete barrier, correct?		
4	A	Yes.		
5	O.	It's the position that the van came to a stop?		
6	A	Yes.		
7		MR. MENG: Publishing 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13.		
8	BY MR. ME	ENG:		
9	Q	Ma'am, after the incident you called 9-1-1?		
10	A	Yes.		
11		MR. MENG: A stipulation, Your Honor, I move to admit		
12	State's 1 p	er stipulation, and I move to publish the same.		
13		THE COURT: Any objection to Number 1?		
14		MS. PARK: No.		
15		THE COURT: All right. 1 will be admitted, and you may		
16	publish it.			
17		[State's Exhibit 1 admitted into evidence]		
18		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.		
19	[WI	hereupon, an audio recording, State's Exhibit 1 was played in		
20		open court at 11:40:21 a.m. and not transcribed]		
21		[Audio ended at 11:41 a.m.]		
22		MR. MENG: Your Honor, for the record I played from the		
23	beginning	to one minute and 25 seconds.		
24	BY MR. ME	ENG:		
25	a	Ma'am, is that your voice on the 9-1-1 call?		

I		
1	A	Yes.
2		MR. MENG: And you reference the pole; publishing, Your
3	Honor, 6.	
4	BY MR. ME	ENG:
5	Q	Ma'am. Do you see the pole you're referencing in that 9-1-1
6	call?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Could you go ahead and use the little cursor and just go on
9	А	This one.
10	O.	It's in the approximately two o'clock position? Oh, I'm
11	sorry.	
12		THE COURT: I'm just saying, I need you to speak up just a
13	little bit.	
14		THE WITNESS: Okay.
15		THE COURT: In fact, you could maybe scoot up a little closer
16	to that red	light.
17		THE WITNESS: Okay.
18		THE COURT: Thank you.
19	BY MR. MENG:	
20	α	Is it the pole at two o'clock position
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	in State 6?
23		MR. MENG: No further, questions, Your Honor.
24		THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
25		MR. MENG: I move the witness, Your Honor. I pass the

1	witness.	
2		THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. Cross-examination.
3		CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. PA	ARK:
5	Q	Good morning, Ms. Skilbred.
6	A	Good morning.
7	a	So that particular day, when you were at the stop sign, you
8	were goin	g to be taking a left onto Desert Inn; is that correct?
9	А	Correct.
10	a	Okay. And you saw the white van go by?
11	A	Yes.
12	٥	You didn't come into contact with the person driving that
13	van?	
14	A	No.
15	Q	You didn't see the person driving that van?
16	A	No.
17	Q	So when you sit here today and you say, "he went by," he
18	this you referenced "he." You don't know that it was a he?	
19	A	No, I don't. No, I didn't.
20	Q	Okay. So all you saw is a van, you said "speeding very fast."
21	You said t	he speed limit was 35 on Desert Inn, correct?
22	А	Correct.
23	a	And in your phone call to 9-1-1, you said that the van was
24	going app	roximately 40?
25	A	Correct.

1	Q	Okay. So you saw a van crash, and that's the extent of what	
2	you saw?		
3	А	Correct.	
4	Q	Okay.	
5		MS. PARK: I have nothing further. Thank you,	
6		THE COURT: Redirect?	
7		MR. MENG: Just one question, Your Honor.	
8		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
9	BY MR. ME	ENG:	
10	Q	When you stated 40 miles on 9-1-1, were you referring to the	
11	car blowin	g through the stop sign? Is that the speed you were referring	
12	to?		
13	A	Yes. Yes.	
14		MR. MENG: No further questions, Your Honor.	
15		THE COURT: All right. Any recross?	
16		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.	
17		THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, you are given	
18	the opport	unity to ask written questions of any witness called to testify.	
19	in this case	e. You're not encouraged to ask large numbers of questions,	
20	because th	at's the primary responsibility of counsel. If after both	
21	lawyers ha	ve finished questioning a witness, and only at this time there	
22	are additional questions you would like to ask the witness, write your		
23	question d	own with your juror, number, on a full sheet of clean paper	
24	and raise y	our hand.	
25		All questions from jurors must be factual in nature and	

1	designed to clarify information already presented. The Marshal will pick
2	up your question and give it to me. All questions must be directed to the
3	witness and not to the lawyers or the Judge. After consulting with
4	counsel I will determine if your question is legally proper. If I determine
5	that your question may properly be asked, I will ask it.
6	No adverse inference should be drawn if the Court does not
7	allow a particular question; in addition, jurors must not place undue
8	weight on responses to their questions. Is there any member of the jury
9	has question n for this witness?
10	Okay. I have one hand. Write your question down on a clean
11	sheet of paper and be sure to put your juror number on it.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Can I see counsel at sidebar?
13	[Sidebar begins at 11:45 a.m.]
14	THE COURT: Okay. From Juror Number 3. Do you have
15	training on being able to determine how fast a vehicle is traveling. Any
16	objection, State?
17	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor. You may proceed.
18	MS. PARK: And, no, Your Honor. Not from the Defense.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, guys.
20	[Sidebar ends at 11:45:49 a.m.]
21	THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, do you have any special
22	training on being able to determine how fast a vehicle is traveling?
23	THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
24	THE COURT: In terms of your estimates, is that based upon
25	your experience as a driver?

1	THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. Does that generate anything further
3	from the State?
4	MR. MENG: Not from the State, Your Honor. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Anything further from Defense?
6	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for your
8	testimony today. You're excused.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: The State may call its next witness.
11	MR. MENG: The State calls Oscar Castillo.
12	[Pause]
13	THE COURT: All right, sir. If you'd come up to the witness
14	stand, there are a couple steps. When you get there, when you get to the
15	top, stay standing for just a second, and our clerk over here will swear
16	you in.
17	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
18	OSCAR CASTILLO, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
19	THE CLERK: Thank you. Go ahead and be seated. And once
20	you're settled, please state and spell your name for the record?
21	THE WITNESS: It's Oscar Castillo, O-C-A-S O-S-C-A-R
22	C-A-S-T-I-L-L-O
23	THE CLERK: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Counsel, go ahead.
25	MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. MENG: 3 Good morning, Oscar. Thank you for --Q 4 Α Hey, good morning. 5 Thank you for being here, sir. Sir, tell us about yourself. \mathbf{Q} 6 What do you do for a living? 7 Α I am a professional driver -- I hold a CDL driver license. 8 0 What is that? 9 Α A commercial driver license. 10 Q And how long have you been doing that? 11 Ten years with a CDL. Α 12 Q And are you married? 13 Α Yes, I am. 14 How many -- do you have any children? Q Yes, I do. 15 Α

18

Q

Α

How many?

Three.

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Let me take you back to November 23rd, 2019, at around 5:20 in the afternoon. What were you doing at the time?

A I had left work. I was heading to pick up my children from my father-in-law's house.

Q Was that near the intersection of Cabana and Desert Inn?

A Yes, sir. I was already -- I had already picked them up on my way home from picking them up on -- I was on Cabana, needing to make a right onto Desert Inn. I had the green light, and then -- yeah, so I had a

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

25

green light, I was going to make a right, and then I came to a stop, you know, it's just -- it's just a habit, you know, for me being driving for so long, especially a commercial vehicle. And then I came to a stop. I was going to make a right when I saw a white Chevy van, and it looked like it wasn't going to stop. So I just stood there, I didn't even continue on when I saw the van pass by.

- Q Let me ask you this, you were fairly familiar with the area?
- A Very familiar with the area.
- Q Do you pick up your kids from your grandparents' house all time.
 - A Every day to this point --
 - Q Every day?
 - A -- yeah.

MR. MENG: Your Honor, moving to publish 4?

THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. MENG:

Q Oscar, can -- there's a mouse right in front of you. You can use it to -- can you show us where Cabana and Desert Inn is?

A This is Cabana right here. This is where I came to a stop.

This is Desert Inn. So this is where I came to a stop; there's a four-way stop. There's actually lights, a four-way light stop. And when I realized that there was a white object coming this way, extremely fast, and that -- that's when I stood, and I had a green light. I was about make that right turn, but I just decided not to, when that white minivan just flew by me.

Q Okay.

1		MR. MENG: Your Honor, if I may, just go ahead and put the	
2	cursor movement on the record.		
3	BY MR. MENG:		
4	O.	Oscar, you just pointed and Oscar, tell me if I'm wrong, you	
5	just pointed you were heading northbound on Cabana; is that correct?		
6	A	Northbound on Cabana, yes, sir.	
7	Q	You were involved	
8	A	To make a right on onto east Desert Inn. Yes.	
9	a	And then where was the you said a white subject. Is that	
10	the white van?		
11	A	The white minivan. Yeah.	
12	Q	Where direction was the white van traveling?	
13	A	It was traveling eastbound on Cabana, passing I mean on	
14	Desert Inn	, passing Cabana, heading towards Theme Road, which is in	
15	the back of Desert Inn, which there's a dead end.		
16	Q	Okay. So at that intersection, you had the green light, you	
17	stated?		
18	A	Yes, sir.	
19	Q	But you stated that you were checking just to make sure	
20	there was no unexpected incident?		
21	А	That is correct.	
22	Q	Okay. Is that something you do often?	
23	А	Yes. As a professional driver, I just constantly do it. And so	
24	yeah, I just decided to stop, even though I had the green light ready to		
25	go, I just stopped, and then saw the white minivan extremely, really fast.		

I			
1	So I couldn't		
2	O.	What color of light did the white van have, traveling	
3	eastbound on Desert Inn?		
4	A	Definitely a red.	
5		MS. PARK: I would say that's speculation. I don't think that	
6	Mr. Castillo could see the light on the upper side.		
7		THE COURT: Right. Well, objection you can lay a	
8	foundation, if he saw the light, or if he just can state that he's sure he		
9	had the green light.		
10		MR. MENG: Sure.	
11	BY MR. MENG:		
12	Q	Oscar, you had the green light to make a right turn onto the	
13	Desert Inn eastbound, correct?		
14	A	Yes, sir.	
15	Q	And you weren't expecting anyone to travel eastbound from	
16	on Desert Inn, at that point, correct?		
17	A	At that point, exactly.	
18	O.	And what were you with anyone at this moment?	
19	A	What was that again?	
20	O.	Who were you with, at this moment?	
21	A	My three children.	
22	O.	And you were driving a vehicle, correct?	
23	A	Yes.	
24	σ	What kind of vehicle do you drive?	
25	A	It's a Ford F150.	
	I		

1	Q	And it's pretty high up, you have good views?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. What happened after you saw the white van? How did
4	you	
5	A	I knew there was danger, so and then knowing there was
6	construction, until this point there's still construction, a one way; one	
7	way out, and one way out. I just after that white van passed me	
8	extremely	, really fast, that I follow right behind. And then I got on the
9	phone rig	ht away, made a call with 9-1-1. It didn't look like he was
10	stopping a	at all, he was just continuing to go, swerving into the incoming
11	traffic, making other vehicles fall off move out the way, and then	
12	getting back in place; swerving a lot back and forth. So	
13	Q	So why you were seeing other vehicles swerving back and
14	forth, which direction were both vehicles travelling?	
15	A	They were heading that would be, they were heading onto
16	Cabana from Theme Road.	
17	a	To westbound?
18	A	So that would be westbound. Yeah.
19	Q	So is it fair to say the wife van at this point was driving on
20	onto oncoming traffic?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And you stated that you were ready to call 9-1-1. Why were
23	you thinking about calling 9-1-1?	
24	A	I was very concerned, you know, I lived there for a long time,
25	until this point still live there, and I knew something was wrong. Just th	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

fact that if I would have taken -- if I would have decided to go on that green light, I probably would have been hit, with my three children.

- Q Based on your driving experience, how fast do you think the white van was going, and when he --
 - A Approximately from 55 miles per if not a little bit higher.
- Q And what happened after; did you maintain visual on this white van?

A At all times? Yeah. So as I'm on the phone with 9-1-1, they took me through the process if I needed medical or police, I said both.

And then they -- that's when I got on the phone with them, started talking to them, when that white minivan, right off of Theme, crashed into the median, taking down a pole.

Q Let me put a different map up, showing --

MR. MENG: Publishing 5, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. MENG:

- Q And this is just Cabana and Desert Inn, right; a closer shot?
- A Correct. So as he came down -- as he came down on Theme Road, there was a vehicle ready to make a left, I remember. And the vehicle panicked, went into a shock mode, seeing the white object, which is the white minivan coming so quick.

I believe it was a female. She stopped and came out of her vehicle crying, but he realized that the vehicle had stopped, so he swerved around it, and that's when he immediately took impact into the median, taking the pole down. This vehicle hit so hard that the back of the van

1	Α	Yeah. That's the minivan.
2	Q	7? Correct, this is the white van?
3	А	This is the white van, yeah.
4	Q	I'm going to show you a series of photos. Okay. 8. Is that
5	right, that's white van you saw?	
6	A	Yeah.
7	Q	9, 10, 11, 12, 13. Just different angles of that same white
8	van?	
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	O.	After he crashed the concrete barrier, what did you do?
11	A	I came to I was still on the phone, and police showed up
12	and saw the individual in the minivan, really badly injured. You could	
13	just see his face, bloody. I was a little concerned, because I really didn't	
14	know what was happening, you know. He could have had anything	
15	happen to him.	
16	So as he as I'm pulling forward, coming to his aid, I was still on	
17	the phone with the police. They're like, "Okay, police is on the way." I	
18	had already hung up, I believe or something like that, and then I came -	
19	as I'm approaching the minivan through the front windshield I see the	
20	individual trying to get out of the minivan, like pushing it, with his door	
21	It was jammed in; he couldn't get out. Somehow he managed to get ou	
22	as he's pushing it with his body. But then	
23	O.	Let me ask you this; do you see that person in court today?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Would you go ahead and point him out, describe what he's

I		
1	wearing?	
2	A	It's this individual with the red sweater.
3		MR. MENG: May the record reflect the witness has identified
4	the Defend	dant, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: Do you have any issue with the identification?
6		MS. PARK: No, I don't.
7		THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect identification
8	of the Defe	endant.
9		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	BY MR. ME	ENG:
11	O.	You are at this point, Oscar, you are were on the phone with
12	9-1-1, corre	ect?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14		MR. MENG: I move to admit States 2, by stipulation, and to
15	publish the	e same.
16		THE COURT: Any objection to 2?
17		MS. PARK: No.
18		THE COURT: 2 will be admitted, and you may publish it.
19		[State's Exhibit 2 admitted into evidence]
20		MR. MENG: Thank you.
21		THE COURT: You're going to play it.
22		MR. MENG: Right.
23	[/	hereupon, an audio recording, State Exhibit 2 was played in
24		open court at 11:59 a.m. and not transcribed]
25		[Audio ended at 12:03 p.m.]

1		MR. MENG: For record, I'm going to stop playing right there
2	It's from -	- I stopped at 4 minutes and 40 seconds of the call. I played
3	from the b	peginning.
4	BY MR. M	ENG:
5	Q	Sir, that's your voice inside, on the 9-1-1 call?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	And when you said, "Really, really intoxicated," when you
8	make that	statement, what is that statement based on?
9	А	When I approached the victim, and I was talking to say,
10	"Hey, bro,	just sit tight, relax, help is on the way." You could just smell it
11	from a conversational distance. I could smell a very, very strong odor o	
12	alcohol.	
13	Q	When you say, "conversational distance" could you
14	describe -	- just use the Judge for an example?
15	A	He's too far. Yeah.
16	Q	Okay.
17	A	It's a little closer, more like about a foot, foot and a half.
18	a	Conversational distance, about two feet?
19	A	A conversational distance. Yeah.
20	α	And at this point was he already out of the car?
21	А	He was already out of the vehicle. He managed to get out of
22	the vehicle	e. He was standing, people was trying to hold him, because he
23	looked like	e he was going to fall, and they were just trying to calm him
24	down, because he looked very disoriented.	
25	Q	Before the Defendant, who you identified, was sitting you

1	saw him inside the van, correct?		
2	А	Yes. That's correct.	
3	Q	Which seat was he in?	
4	А	The driver's seat.	
5	O.	And where were you, when you made this observation,	
6	where exa	ctly were you, in relation to the van?	
7	A	Facing the front of the minivan?	
8		MR. MENG: Your Honor, publishing 7.	
9		THE COURT: Okay.	
10	BY MR. MENG:		
11	Q	Were you standing right in front of there, facing the van?	
12	A	That is correct.	
13	σ	Do have that no obstruction to your view to see the	
14	Defendant was in his driver's seat?		
15	А	That is correct, no obstruction at all.	
16	Q	And after he got out, you say that he smelled of a very, very	
17	strong of scent of alcohol?		
18	A	That's correct? Yes.	
19	a	Did you notice anything else?	
20	А	No. Other than just being very badly injured.	
21	Q	And did you hear the Defendant talk?	
22	A	Very little, yeah. Very little.	
23	Q	Was it a normal speech pattern, slurred speech.	
24	А	It was very, very sloppy speech, yeah. So	
25	Q	Did the Defendant have any issue with maintaining his	

1	balance?	
2	A	Yes, he did.
3	Q	Could you describe what you what you saw, regarding his
4	ability to r	maintain balance?
5	А	Sure. There was the median the median, he was just
6	holding o	n it like this, and then there was people trying to hold him
7	down. Be	cause he looked like he was going to fall, and he was very
8	injured. S	so he tried walking and he was just he didn't know where he
9	was at, at	the moment. So the alcohol, the impact, everything going on,
10	he was jus	st really disoriented. So
11	Q	For a record of the witness, Oscar just stood up at the
12	witness st	and, putting both of his hands in front of him on the witness
13	stand, act	ing like if trying to maintain his own balance.
14		THE COURT: Did you get the video of that?
15		COURT RECORDER: Yes, I got it. Yes.
16		THE COURT: Okay.
17	BY MR. M	ENG:
18	Q	What was he holding onto? You stated I didn't catch it,
19	you said h	ne was holding onto something; what was he holding onto?
20	А	The medians, the things he had crashed into. Yeah.
21	Q	Did you have an opportunity or get close enough to observe
22	his eyes?	
23	A	Very yeah, I did. When I was close talking to him, he had
24	the strong	odor, watery eyes, very yeah, like there was blood, his eyes
25	was really	watery, and a really strong odor of alcohol.

- 1		
1	Q	So as you were all observing all of these, and then talking on
2	9-1-1, whe	en you were talking to 9-1-1, that he's really, really intoxicated,
3	that's base	ed on your senses, your sight, your smell, and your hearing?
4	А	That's correct.
5		MR. MENG: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
6		THE COURT: Cross-examination.
7		MS. PARK: Yes.
8		CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. PA	ARK:
10	Q	Oscar, when this occurred, do you remember the date that it
11	occurred?	Do you remember the year?
12	A	It was 2018?
13		MS. PARK: Can I approach the witness, just to refresh his
14	recollectio	n?
15		THE COURT: Sure. What are you going to show him?
16		MS. PARK: Just his statement, so that he can refer to the
17	date.	
18		THE COURT: Okay. All right. You know what she's got,
19	right?	
20		MS. PARK: Just looking at your statement.
21		MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. I appreciate it.
22		THE COURT: All right. Yeah. Would looking at your
23	statement,	refresh your recollection?
24		THE WITNESS: Sure.
25	BY MS. PA	ARK:

_	_	
1	Q	Just ask to the date?
2	А	Sure. 11/23rd, '19. Sorry about that.
3	Q	Thank you.
4	А	You're welcome.
5	Q	And what time was this?
6	A	It was around 5:00 p.m., 5:22 p.m., around that time.
7	a	Okay.
8		COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry, Mr. Castillo, I need you
9	closer	
10		THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, ma'am.
11		COURT RECORDER: to the mic. Thank you.
12	BY MS. PA	ARK:
13	σ	So what was it like outside? Was it was it raining? Was it
14	windy? W	as it dark? What
15	А	It was it was a nice day. It wasn't it was dry, but
16	a	What about the light? Was it
17	A	We were falling in dark time, so it around
18	a	So
19	А	yeah, kind of like dawn, so it was getting a little darker
20	already.	
21	Q	So it was dark, okay. And how would you say you were
22	feeling at t	he moment, your state of mind?
23	А	Normal. Normal, a little bit scared from what I had just
24	experience	ed.
25	Q	Okay. So you were a little scared, and it was getting into the

I		
1	dark?	
2	А	That is correct.
3	Q	And you said that this person that you saw get out of the
4	vehicles, th	neir face was covered in blood; is that correct?
5	A	Yes. There he was covered in blood.
6	Ω	Okay. And had you ever seen this person before?
7	A	No. Other than that day? No.
8	O.	Okay. Now the prosecution asked you about your ability to
9	your perce	ption of this person being intoxicated?
10	A	Yes, ma'am.
11	O.	You're not an expert on the signs of intoxication? You
12	haven't be	en trained how to detect those things, have you?
13	A	That is correct. I have not. But you could just tell the
14	difference from when somebody's intoxicated, there's no	
15	Q	Had this person just been in an accident?
16	A	Of course.
17	Q	And there was some trauma to the facial head area?
18	A	Not the head, but the front of the face, yeah.
19	a	Okay. From the impact.
20	A	Okay. Because there was blood?
21	А	Because there was blood, yes
22	Q	Okay. And it was quite an impact, you said?
23	A	What was that, again?
24	Q	It was quite an impact, you said?
25	А	It was a huge impact? Yes, ma'am.

1	Q	All right.
2		MS. PARK: I have nothing further. Thank you.
3		THE COURT: All right. Redirect?
4		MR. MENG: No, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: Any member of the jury have a question for
6	this witnes	s? If you have a question, write it on clean sheet of paper with
7	your juror	number, and signal me or the Marshal. Not seeing any
8	signaling.	
9		THE CLERK: All right, there's one.
10		THE COURT: All right. Write it on a clean sheet of paper, be
11	sure your j	uror number's on it.
12		[Pause]
13		THE COURT: All right. Can I see counsel at sidebar?
14		[Sidebar begins at 12:12 p.m.]
15		THE COURT: All right. From Juror No. 8. Despite the blood
16	and trauma	a being present, were you able to recognize the person you
17	saw in this	courtroom?
18		Any objection, Plaintiff?
19		MR. MENG: No, Your Honor. You mean for the State?
20		THE COURT: Yeah. Well, you're still taking for the Plaintiff, I
21	mean. So	State. I've had a series of civil cases the last couple of
22	months, so	I'm sort of on the plaintiff/defendant.
23		All right. And Defense?
24		MS. PARK: Well, I would object to that because, I mean
25	those are t	he things that I asked him to bolster my closing and saying

1	that
2	THE COURT: Is there something wrong with the question?
3	MS. PARK: Well, I didn't ask it and the State didn't ask it,
4	so
5	THE COURT: Well, that's why I have what good is it for me
6	to have jurors allowed to ask questions if they can't if it they're not
7	supposed to be repeating your questions.
8	MS. PARK: Right. I mean I just I think it's prejudicial to my
9	client to ask that question. But
10	THE COURT: All right. I overrule and ask the question.
11	[Sidebar ends at 12:13 p.m.]
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	Sir, despite the blood and trauma that was present that
14	evening, were you able to recognize the person you saw in this
15	courtroom today as the driver of the vehicle?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: All right. Does that generate anything further
18	from the State?
19	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Anything further from Defense?
21	MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony here
23	today
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: you're excused.
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2		THE COURT: Thank you.
3		All right. The State may call its next witness.
4		MR. MENG: The State calls Officer Frost. F-R-0-S-T.
5		THE COURT: All right, Officer. If I could get you to come up
6	to the witn	ess stand.
7		MR. FROST: Yes, sir.
8		THE COURT: There are a couple of steps. When you get to
9	the top, sta	ay standing for just a second and our clerk over here will swear
10	you in.	
11		RAINIER FROST, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
12		THE CLERK: And go ahead and be seated. And then state
13	and spell your name for the record.	
14		THE WITNESS: It's Rainier Frost, it's R-A-I-N-I-E-R F-R-O-S-T.
15		THE CLERK: Thank you.
16		THE COURT: All right. Go ahead whenever you're ready,
17	Counsel.	
18		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.
19		DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. MI	ENG:
21	Q	Good morning, sir. What do you do for a living?
22	A	I work for Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
23	Q	And how long have you been an officer for?
24	A	About eight years.
25	Q	And where are you currently assigned to?
	1	

1	А	The Traffic Bureau.	
2	Q	What kind of a duty do you generally have as a traffic officer.	
3	А	I investigate impaired drivers. I respond to traffic collisions	
4	and issue	citations.	
5	Q	Can you go about when you go to a crash scene what kind of	
6	things do y	you do generally when you arrive at a crash scene?	
7	А	Generally, I talk to any witnesses that are there. I look at	
8	rules or tra	affic control devices. I try and determine the cause. So	
9	whether th	at's by talking to people or looking at video and then I conduct	
10	my investigation from there to determine fault and what occurred in the		
11	collision.		
12	a	Let me take you back to November 23rd, 2019. Were you	
13	working at around 5:20?		
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Did you respond to the intersection of Theme and Desert	
16	Inn?		
17	А	Yes.	
18	a	Is that in Clark County, Nevada?	
19	А	Yes.	
20	a	And to the west there's also Cabana and Desert Inn, correct?	
21	А	Yes.	
22	Q	Just one block to the west?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Is that also in Clark and Nevada?	
25	А	Yes.	
	ĺ		

1	Q	After you arrive at Theme and Desert Inn, what did you
2	observe?	
3	A	I observed a white, like work van that had appeared to have
4	impacted a	a pole and a concrete barrier.
5	Q	Would you describe the impact to be small, moderate or
6	huge impa	ct?
7	A	It was a pretty significant impact.
8	Q	Was the concrete barriers moved by the impact at all?
9	A	It did appear that the barrier had been moved by the van.
10	a	Did you speak to any eyewitnesses at the scene?
11	A	I did. When I arrived I was approached by Oscar.
12	Q	Was he the gentleman who testified before you?
13	A	Yes, I believe so.
14	a	Okay. And did you get his version of what had occurred?
15	A	Yes.
16	a	Based on his version of what had occurred, did you examine
17	the scene	and see if it's consistent with his story?
18	A	Yes. His statement was consistent with what I observed on
19	scene.	
20	Q	What did you go about afterwards?
21	A	Afterwards I began taking pictures of the collision, so the van
22	and the ar	ea around the van and I obtained a voluntary statement from
23	Oscar, and	l I began my paperwork for the collision investigation.
24	a	Did any other officer arrive at the scene?
25	A	Yes. Officer Polion also arrived on scene.

I		
1	Q	And for the record, is that P-O-L-I-O-N?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	When you were taking photos of the van, did you eventually
4	conduct ar	n inventory of the van?
5	A	I did.
6	O.	When you were observing doing this examination, did you
7	observe ar	nything of interest?
8	Α	Yes. There was an open container in the vehicle as I was
9	conducting	g my inventory.
10	σ	Was Officer Polion at the scene when you made this
11	observatio	n?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And sir, this occurred at about 5:20, correct?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	In the afternoon?
16	A	Yes.
17		MR. MENG: I pass the witness, Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: All right.
19		Cross-examination?
20		MS. PARK: Thank you.
21		CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. PA	ARK:
23	Q	Officer Frost, when you arrived on the scene you said you
24	spoke with	Oscar. Did you speak with any other eyewitnesses?
25	A	There was no other eyewitnesses on scene that I recall.

I		
1	Q	Okay. So he was the only person there?
2	A	Yes. Well, there was other people there, but nobody that
3	was a witn	ess.
4	Q	Okay. So you didn't talk to anybody else?
5	A	I spoke to other people on scene, but not about that,
6	observing	the driving.
7	a	Okay. And when you arrived, was the driver of that van
8	present?	
9	A	No.
10	O.	Okay. So you didn't see who was driving the van?
11	A	No.
12	a	You didn't speak with the person driving the van?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Okay. And that's all the questions I have. Thank you.
15		THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
16		MR. MENG: Very briefly, Your Honor.
17		THE COURT: Sure. Take whatever time you need.
18		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. ME	ENG:
20	Q	Officer Frost, based on your what you gathered at the
21	scene, do y	you know where the driver was transported?
22	Α	I was told that he was transported to the hospital prior to my
23	arrival.	
24	Q	How many people were transported that you know of?
25	A	One.

1	MR. MENG: No further questions, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. Any recross?
3	MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Any member of the jury have a question for
5	this witness?
6	If you do write it on a clean sheet of paper with your juror
7	number. I'm not seeing any hands there's a hand up. You got to
8	signal me, so I know that you got a question. Okay. Be sure to put your
9	juror number on it.
10	All right, let me see, we'll let people continue to write. Oh,
11	does anybody have a problem while we're talking if the one juror goes to
12	the restroom?
13	MR. MENG: No.
14	MS. PARK: Okay, no.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	[Sidebar begins at 12:21 p.m.]
17	THE COURT: It was a short question. Juror No. 7. Did the
18	van look new or old?
19	Any objection, State?
20	MR. MENG: You mean for the State, not from the State?
21	MS. PARK: No, none from Defense.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Juror No. 8. Was there
23	evidence of another person in the vehicle prior to the incident accident?
24	Any objection, State?
25	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Defense?
2	MR. MENG: You mean for the State, no, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MS. PARK: I wouldn't object if I was able to have a follow-up
5	on that.
6	THE COURT: I'll allow you to have follow-up, yeah.
7	You've been in front of me before. But I'll ask the question,
8	then I'll always ask anything further initially from whoever offered the
9	witness. So usually if it's a criminal case, it's the State and Defense.
10	And we'll go back, I've had us go back and forth five or six times on
11	some of these. So all right, so we'll ask that one.
12	Juror No. 3. Any closed containers also inventoried from the
13	contents of the vehicles.
14	Any objection, State? Closed containers. He said there was
15	an open container. He's asking if there are any closed.
16	MR. MENG: You mean for the State? No.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MS. PARK: Not from the Defendant.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	MR. MENG: We have one more witness after this and then
21	we could break for lunch.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Well, what we'll do is we're not breaking
23	for lunch, we're going to go straight through. Are you done then with
24	your case, or do you have more to your case?
25	MR. MENG: This is just scheduling. After this next cop, we

1	have another cop. And then we would ask for the break to be then
2	because the other two people need an hour notice for us to get them in.
3	THE COURT: Why don't you call right now? Because I told
4	you yesterday we're going straight through, we're not breaking for
5	lunch.
6	MR. MENG: I mean, yeah, but you told me that's fine.
7	That's fine.
8	THE COURT: You were telling me you were going to break.
9	MR. MENG: Not break for lunch, but
10	THE COURT: Yeah, we'll take bathroom breaks and stuff. I
11	mean we'll break for you know, for 15, 20 minutes.
12	MR. MENG: Okay.
13	THE COURT: But we're not breaking an hour. So let's text
14	them right now and tell them to get over here.
15	MR. MENG: Part of the reason, Your Honor, this is the lab
16	people, they generally stay at the lab, they're behind on their work.
17	THE COURT: Tell them to head on over here. Okay. Thank
18	you.
19	[Sidebar ends at 12:24 p.m.]
20	THE COURT: Let me know when you're done texting.
21	MR. MENG: Yes, sir. I'm good, Your Honor. I'm sending.
22	THE COURT: Okay. With that, I am going to need to have
23	another sidebar.
24	MR. MENG: Yes.
25	[Sidebar begins at 12:24 p.m.]

1	THE COURT: All right. I think I misread the question from
2	No. 7, which was I read it before, did the van look old or new. I think, as
3	was looking at it now, did the can look old or new?
4	Any objections?
5	MS. PARK: You mean for the State? No, Your Honor.
6	MS. PARK: No, none from the Defense.
7	THE COURT: I'm going to change the term can to the old
8	open container look old or new. All right. Thank you.
9	[Sidebar ends at 12:26 p.m.]
10	THE COURT: All right. Did you observe anything which
11	indicated there was another person in the vehicle prior to the accident?
12	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
13	THE COURT: You indicated you saw an open container. Did
14	the open container look new or old?
15	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Did you observe any closed containers
17	in the closed alcohol containers in the vehicle?
18	THE WITNESS: Not that I remember.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Does that generate anything further
20	from the State?
21	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Generate anything further from Defense?
23	MS. PARK: Yes, Your Honor. I just have one follow-up
24	question.
25	RECROSS-EXAMINATION

1	BY MS. PA	ARK:
2	Q	In regards to if there was another person in the vehicle, what
3	did you do	to investigate the inside of the vehicle to make that
4	determina	tion that no one else could have been in the vehicle?
5	А	Well, the witness was there on scene that stated that there
6	was only o	one person in the vehicle, and he had appeared to be driving at
7	the time o	f the collision. And then, in addition to that, the passenger
8	side door	was not open. The rear of the vehicle was it was a work van
9	so there w	as no seats in the rear of the vehicle at all, and there was a
10	divider se	parating the front seat from the rear of the wan also that was
11	closed.	
12	a	Okay. But prior to you getting there, if someone else had
13	been in the	e vehicle, you wouldn't know that just by looking at the van?
14	A	No.
15		MS. PARK: Okay. All right. Thank you. Nothing further.
16		THE COURT: All right.
17		That generate anything further from the State?
18		MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor. Briefly, I just want to clarify.
19		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. MI	ENG:
21	Q	You stat how many seats were in the cargo van?
22	А	Two seats in the front of the an.
23	Q	There was no seats in the cargo area?
24	A	In the rear? No, no seats.
25	ا ا	And were there contents inside in the cargo area?

1	А	Yeah, there was a bunch of like work stuff and trash and stuff
2	like that.	
3		MR. MENG: No further questions, Your Honor.
4		THE COURT: Generate anything further from Defense?
5		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
6		THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for your
7	testimony	here today, Officer. You're excused.
8		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: The State may call its next witness.
10		MR. MENG: The State calls Officer Polion, P-O-L-I-O-N.
11		THE COURT: Officer, if you'd come up to the witness stand,
12	there are a	couple of steps. When you get to the top, stay standing for
13	just a seco	nd and our clerk over here will swear you in.
14		MICHAEL POLION, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
15		THE CLERK: Please go ahead and be seated. And once
16	you're sett	led please state and spell your name for the record.
17		THE WITNESS: My name is Michael Joseph Polion, M-I-C-H-
18	A-E-L J-O	-S-E-P-H P-O-L-I-O-N.
19		THE COURT: Go ahead, Counsel.
20		MR. MENG: Thank you, sir.
21		DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. MI	ENG:
23	Q	Good morning, sir. What do you do for a living?
24	A	I work with the Los Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
25	Q	How long have you been an officer?
	1	

1	А	15-1/2 years.
2	Q	And where are you currently assigned to?
3	А	The airport.
4	Q	Prior to that where were you assigned to?
5	A	I was with the Traffic Bureau.
6	Ω	Do you have any specific duties that you generally were
7	assigned t	o when you were with the traffic?
8	A	Our general assignment was to do motor vehicle accidents,
9	DUI arrests	s, and traffic enforcement.
10	O.	And how long were you assigned to the traffic unit?
11	A	Twelve years.
12	Q	In those twelve years, if you have to give an estimate, how
13	many DUI	investigations have you conducted?
14	A	Approximately 2,000.
15	Q	Were you working at the night of I'm sorry, sir. What about
16	crash incid	lents?
17	A	How many have I investigated?
18	Q	Yes, Your Honor yes, sir.
19	A	We average probably three to four wrecks a shift. So I would
20	say probat	oly 4,000 wrecks, probably at least.
21	Q	When you investigate a crash incident, what do you do
22	typically after you arrive at the scene?	
23	A	Usually, I would arrive on the scene. You would talk to both
24	drivers and	d any witnesses. You would then look at the scene. I always
25	usually try	to get both sides of the story, the witnesses before I would

1	then go to	the accident scene and try to look at what evidence was left
2	on the sce	ne. And then I would try to put together what the evidence
3	was show	ing me, what the witnesses were saying, and then what the
4	two driver	s said to come to a conclusion on what occurred for the
5	collision.	
6	٥	Sir, on November 23rd, 2019, around 5:20, did you respond
7	to a crash?	?
8	A	Yes, I did.
9	Q	Was that at Theme and Desert Inn?
10	A	Yes, it was.
11	O.	Is that in Clark County, Nevada?
12	A	Yes, it is.
13	Q.	And were you the first officer arriving at the scene?
14	A	Yes, I was.
15	Q	Was anyone else responded to the scene?
16	A	Officer Frost responded.
17	Q	Did he just testify?
18	A	Yes, he did.
19	Q	Okay. What happened after you arrived at the scene first?
20	A	I arrived there, medical had already transported off the
21	scene. I q	uickly took a look at the vehicle, the white van, see what kind
22	of damage	there was, if there was anything inside the vehicle, any kind
23	of evidenc	e that I needed inside the vehicle.
24	Q	Did you notice anything of interest to you?

Inside the vehicle there was an open container of alcohol.

25

Α

1	Q	Were you able to speak with anyone at the scene?
2	A	Not that I recall.
3	Q	Based on your knowledge, did any witness provide a
4	voluntary	statement on the night of?
5	A	Yes. I believe his first name was Oscar.
6	Q	Okay. And did you have an opportunity to review everything
7	in this case	e in your observation at the scene?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Including Oscar's voluntary?
10	A	Yes, I did.
11	O.	Based on your own observation and based on your training
12	and experi	ence as a 12-year traffic officer, was Oscar's version of the
13	event cons	sistent with what you observed at the scene?
14	A	Yes, It was.
15	Q	You stated that when you arrived at the scene there was
16	already so	meone transported to the hospital?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	How many people?
19	A	One.
20	Q	Did you eventually what happened after you made your
21	visit to the crash scene?	
22	A	Once Frost showed up, I turned the scene over to him so he
23	could do th	ne accident investigation. And then I responded to Sunrise
24	Hospital.	
25	Q	What happened there?

1	А	At Sunrise Hospital I came in contact with the driver of the
2	white van.	He was in the emergency room on a gurney with a collar on
3	his neck.	
4	Q	Do you see that person in court today?
5	A	Yes. He's sitting here to my left.
6	Q	Could you point him out, describe what he's wearing?
7	Α	He has a maroon shirt with gray sleeves.
8		MR. MENG: May the record reflect the witness has identified
9	the Defend	lant, Your Honor.
10		THE COURT: Do you have any issue with the identification?
11		MS. PARK: I have well, when I talk to him. So I guess, in
12	general, no	o, I don't.
13		THE COURT: All right. Very good. The record will reflect the
14	identificati	on of the Defendant.
15	BY MR. MI	ENG:
16	O.	At the hospital tell us what did you do at the hospital, after
17	you made contact with the Defendant?	
18	A	I walked I started taking with the gentleman, he was
19	identified l	by his Nevada issued ID card and his social security card as
20	Mr. Whatle	ey. I started asking him questions about, you know, the
21	accident; h	e refused to answer any questions.
22	O.	Were you able to as part of your DUI investigation, do you
23	usually co	nduct a field sobriety test?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	That's referred to as FST

1	A	That's correct.
2	a	Were you able to complete on in this case?
3	А	No, I was not.
4	O.	Why not?
5	A	Several factors. One, as I say, he wouldn't answer any of my
6	questions.	He refused to submit to any of them. He was also in a
7	hospital wi	th a C-collar on his neck and no clothes on.
8	a	But you were able to observe the Defendant in the bed, right?
9	A	That is correct.
10	O.	And based on your senses did you notice any signs of
11	impairmen	t?
12	A	Yes, I did.
13	Q	What kind of signs of impairment did you notice?
14	A	He had a fixed focus stare, so he's kind of, you know, his
15	eyes are ju	st glazed over. And he was slurring his speech pretty bad.
16	O.	What did you do after?
17	A	I advised him that, you know, he was under investigation for
18	the DUI, an	nd I asked him if he would submit to a blood test, and he
19	refused.	
20	Q	Did you eventually conduct a blood draw?
21	A	Yes, pursuant to search warrant.
22	a	And did you witness this blood draw?
23	A	Yes, I did.
24		MR. MENG: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
25		THE COURT: Sure.
I	I	

1		MR. MENG: And my apologies, ma'am, I didn't want the
2	officer to s	separate himself from the blood box. If I can mark that as an
3	exhibit?	
4		THE COURT: Go ahead.
5		MR. MENG: May I approach the clerk?
6		THE COURT: You know what he's got, right?
7		MS. PARK: I do.
8		THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
9		MR. MENG: You want to take a look.
10		THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and mark that.
11		Where do you want her to put the mark?
12		THE CLERK: There's one spot
13		THE COURT: You tell her where you want it.
14		THE CLERK: right here that does not have anything.
15		MR. MENG: Yes, that's fine.
16		THE CLERK: One spot.
17		THE COURT: All right.
18		[State's Exhibit 16 marked for identification]
19		MR. MENG: Thank you. Sir, may I approach the witness?
20		THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.
21	BY MR. MI	ENG:
22	Q	Officer Polion, what am I showing you?
23	A	This is the blood box that LVMPD issues for doing blood kits.
24	a	Is that for this case?
25	A	Yes, it is.

I		
1	Q	How do you know?
2	Α	So on this blood kit you have up top, the agency, LVMPD, the
3	event num	ber that's issued to this call, has Mr. Whatley's full name, the
4	offense is	DUI, we're at Sunrise Hospital, and then the date and time of
5	the call.	
6	۵	Fair and accurate depiction of the blood box
7	A	Yes, it is.
8	a	from November 23rd, 2019?
9	A	That is correct.
10		MR. MENG: Move to admit for the record, I'm showing this
11	16.	
12		THE COURT: You said fair and accurate depiction. Is that the
13	blood box	?
14		THE WITNESS: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
15		THE COURT: Okay.
16	BY MR. MI	ENG:
17	Q	And is this in the same or substantially the same condition as
18	it was on I	November 23rd, 2019?
19	A	Yes, it is.
20		MR. MENG: Move to admit 16.
21		THE COURT: Any objection to 16?
22		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
23		THE COURT: All right. 16 will be admitted.
24		[State's Exhibit 16 admitted into evidence]
25	BY MR. MI	ENG:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q Sir, I will just put that with you. Could you go ahead and describe how blood box works?

A Yes. So LVMPD has all these blood boxes. So when we grab it it is sealed on both ends. Inside the blood box you have a piece of Styrofoam with two vials of blood in it and a label on that blood kit.

And then it also comes with four seals. You would put a seal over each blood tube, and on the edges of each box when the blood sample is completed.

MR. MENG: You know what, I apologize. Can the officer step down, show the blood box on the ELMO?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MENG: Thank you.

BY MR. MENG:

- Q Officer, please.
- A You just want me to redescribe that?
- Q Yeah, just go ahead and put it right here, on the ELMO and make sure it's in focus, face the jury. And go ahead and describe what kind of information, how you -- how does the blood draw process work.

A So as you can see up here, you have the agency, the event number. The event number is significant to this call. When you call 911, as soon as the call taker picks up and generates a call, this is the number.

The way that's explained is the year, the month, so 1911, and then it has as many zeros as it needs to and then every day, every call, it spits out this random number here in sequence as the calls come through.

You put the Defendant's name, which is located up top here. The

offense and the location. And so on this location we were at the hospital so that's where the blood draw took place. The date and the time of the call, so November 23, 2019, the call came out at 1722, which is 5:22 p.m.

I'm the one that's doing the blood draw, witnessing the blood draw. This is my first initial, my last name and badge number, P number is 9800. The nurse signed right here, and then this is the date and the time that blood actually went into the tube.

- Q Thank you, sir. I appreciate it. You can keep that with you, sir. So on State's 16 I noticed that you mention something about the time. Is it important to get the blood within two hours?
 - A it's very important, yes.
- Q And in this case were you able to obtain the blood in two hours?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q And you stated earlier that you witnessed this blood draw, correct?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q Were you wearing a body cam on the day of?
 - A Yes, I was.
 - Q Could you briefly explain how body cam works?
- A Body camera sits on my shoulder here. I don't have it on me right now. I activate it and it records audio and video of the -- everything that's in air shot there of you. And it also takes Zulu time, which is up on the top right corner.
 - Q For the record, Officer Polion has pointed to his left shoulder

1	the black o	bject where he stated that the body camera would have been.
2	Was your I	body camera activated this time on October 23, 2019 when the
3	blood drav	v occurred in this case?
4	А	Yes, it was.
5		MR. MENG: Showing opposing counsel Exhibit 3. May I
6	approach,	Your Honor?
7		THE COURT: All right. Is this marked?
8		MR. MENG: Yes, State's 3, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: State's 3. Has that been
10		THE CLERK: It was marked.
11		THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead and show it to him.
12	BY MR. MI	ENG:
13	a	Showing you the State's Proposed 3, Officer. Do you
14	recognize ¹	that?
15	А	Yes, I do.
16	Q	What is it?
17	А	It's a still photo of my body camera of the blood draw being
18	performed	
19	σ	Is it a fair and accurate depiction of the blood draw that you
20	witnessed on November 23rd, 2019?	
21	А	Yes, it is.
22		MR. MENG: Move to admit State's Proposed 3, Your Honor.
23		THE COURT: Any objection to 3?
24		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
25		THE COURT: 3 will be admitted.

1		[State's Exhibit 3 admitted into evidence]
2		MR. MENG: Publishing 3, Your Honor.
3		THE COURT: Go ahead.
4	BY MR. ME	ENG:
5	O.	You earlier mentioned the nurse signed it. Do you remember
6	the nurse's	s name in this case?
7		THE COURT: You might want to bring that out a little bit,
8	you've got	it zoomed in. Did you want it that far zoomed in?
9		MR. MENG: Oh, thank you, Your Honor.
10		THE WITNESS: I believe his first name was Brian.
11	BY MR. ME	ENG:
12	Q	Okay. And do you see him in here?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	α	Is he the person drawing the blood right now?
15	А	Yes, it is. He's wearing gray scrubs.
16	O.	When you said Zulu time on your body cam is the
17	timestamp	, can you point, use the little mouse in front of you, point to
18	the timesta	amp?
19	A	Yes, so it's right here. 025251Z.
20	Q	What does the Z stand for?
21	A	Zulu.
22	Q	On that day, how many hours ahead was the Zulu?
23	A	Eight hours ahead.
24	Q	So this would have been 6:52?
25	A	P.m., yes.

1	a	P.m. When the nurse conducted blood draw, do you also
2	complete a	declaration after the nurse conduct the blood draw?
3	A	Yes, correct.
4	O.	Did you fill out one in this case?
5	A	Yes, I did.
6		MR. MENG: Showing Leslie State's Proposed 15.
7		May I approach, Your Honor?
8		THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.
9	BY MR. ME	ENG:
10	O.	What's State's 15, sir?
11	A	It's a declaration of withdrawal of whole blood sample.
12	Q	Is that for this case?
13	A	Yes, it is.
14	Q	How do you recognize it?
15	A	It has the event number up on the top right. It has Mr.
16	Whatley's i	name underneath. It has Brian's name that drew the blood.
17	All his certi	ifications. Has the date, the time the blood was drawn, my
18	name and I	P number and also my signature at the bottom left.
19	Q	Is this a fair and accurate copy of the declaration you signed
20	and with th	ne assistance of Brian on November 23, 2019?
21	A	Yes.
22		MR. MENG: Move to admit 15, Your Honor.
23		THE COURT: Any objection to 15?
24		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
25		THE COURT: 15 will be admitted.

1		[State's Exhibit 15 admitted into evidence]
2		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor. Move to publish 15,
3	Your Hon	or?
4		THE COURT: Okay.
5	BY MR. N	MENG:
6	a	Sir, what kind of information concerning time is on 15?
7	A	So right here it gives you the date and the time of 1852,
8	which is	6:52 p.m.
9	Q	After Brian draws the blood, what happens with the blood?
10	А	Once he's done drawing the two vials of blood, he'll initial it.
11	Before he	e draws the blood put the event number on the actual tube,
12	along wit	h Defendant's name. Once the blood is drawn, the person
13	drawing 1	the blood will put their initials somewhere on that label as well.
14	I will take	custody of that blood sample.
15	Like	e I said before, there's red seals that go over the actual part of
16	the tube.	And then I'll put everything back into the original box, put the
17	seals on t	the outside here. You see on each end I initial and date each
18	one, and	then this is taken to in this instance it was taken to Clark
19	County D	etention Center and impounded into the refrigerator.
20	Q	And was it properly stored at the Detention Center according
21	to your tr	aining?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Why is it important to properly store the blood?
24	A	They tell us it's the viability, the blood has to be kept cold.
25	ا م	Do you know you don't personally conduct analysis on the

I		
1	blood afte	er you store them, correct?
2	A	No, I don't.
3	Q	But do you know, as a process, do you know who, which
4	agency w	ould be responsible for testing the blood?
5	A	LVMPD has their own lab.
6		MR. MENG: Court's indulgence?
7		THE COURT: Sure. Take your time.
8		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor. Pass the witness, Your
9	Honor.	
10		THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?
11		MS. PARK: Thank you, Your Honor.
12		CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. PA	ARK:
14	Q	Officer Polion, you said that when you got to the hospital you
15	made con	tact with, you said, the driver. But you didn't see Mr. Whatley
16	driving? `	You didn't see him in the passenger seat?
17	A	No, I did not, ma'am.
18	Q	So you based that just off information given to you by
19	A	Witnesses, ma'am.
20	Q	your other was it your other officer? Did you talk to any
21	witnesses	there at the scene?
22	A	Not that I recall. I was on the phone with Officer Frost.
23	Q	Okay. So it was based on your conversation with Officer
24	Frost?	
25	A	Correct.
ı	I	

1	a	Okay. And now you said that you said Mr. Whatley was
2	uncoopera	ative, that he was when you say uncooperative meaning I
3	understan	d you said he didn't want to speak to you. Did you ever I'm
4	sure you'v	re seen other people in an emergency room situation that
5	aren't able	e to be helpful to you at that point, would that be true?
6	A	What do you mean by helpful?
7	Q	I guess cooperative in doing things you're asking them to do
8	or having	a conversation with someone who's just been involved in a
9	pretty bad	accident.
10	А	No, I've investigated a lot of accidents. People give me their
11	statement	s all the time at the hospital.
12	٥	But if someone is injured badly, has been injured in their
13	face, head	area, that does that can that affect their ability to
14	communic	cate with you properly?
15	А	I don't think so. I mean they like I said, I have had people
16	that were	pretty bad injuries that have talked to me fine.
17	a	Okay. But you don't know the extent of Mr. Whatley's
18	injuries th	at day.
19	A	No, I don't.
20	Q	And you don't know if he had any type of injury that would
21	keep him f	from being fully cooperative, or helpful, or understanding what
22	you're ask	ing him to do.
23	A	Yeah, I didn't know what his injuries were.
24		MS. PARK: Okay. All right. I have nothing further. Thank
25	you.	

1	THE COURT: All right. Redirect?
2	MR. MENG: Nothing further, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. Any member of the jury have a
4	question for this witness?
5	Okay, Mr. Padilla, did I see you raise your hand?
6	JUROR NO. 8: Yes. How are you, Judge.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Make sure you've got it written on a
8	clean sheet of paper. I see another couple hands. Go ahead and write
9	your questions on a clean sheet of paper with your juror number.
10	All right. Can I see counsel at sidebar.
11	[Sidebar begins at 12:47 p.m.]
12	THE COURT: It looks like the number of questions grow with
13	every one.
14	Juror No. 8. Was there evidence of a second occupation in
15	occupant in the vehicle prior to the accident?
16	Any objection, State?
17	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Defense?
19	MS. PARK: I don't have any objection, but I think we already
20	asked that with the last witness.
21	THE COURT: With the last witness, yeah. I'll ask it.
22	I'm sorry, you don't have an objection?
23	MS. PARK: No, I don't
24	THE COURT: Juror No. 8: Using your experience as a traffic
25	officer, and considering all the facts you are aware of, is it likely of a

1	second occupant in the vehicle leaving no evidence being there either by
2	a
3	MR. MENG: By an injury sustained.
4	THE COURT: Oh, okay. It looked like whiney to me. I was
5	like, what? Okay. All right.
6	So using your experience as a traffic officer and considering
7	all the facts you are aware of, is it likely there was a second occupant in
8	the vehicle leaving no evidence being there either by injury sustained or
9	items left?
10	Any objections, State?
11	MR. MENG: You mean for the State? No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Defense?
13	MS. PARK: I would object. I mean I think it calls for
14	speculation and asking is it likely.
15	THE COURT: I'm not going to use that question. I don't like
16	the reference to likely. I think that it's covered by the first question
17	whether or not he was aware of any evidence suggesting a second
18	occupant.
19	Juror No. 2. Why did you think that it was a DUI and
20	therefore pursued a blood draw? Is this done routinely following an
21	accident?
22	Any objection, State?
23	MR. MENG: You mean for the State? No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Defense?
25	MS. PARK: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

And Juror No. 3. Could driver have consumed in the alcohol in the open container you identified after the vehicle accident occurred, resulting in an exceeding legal limit by the time you did the blood draw of the Defendant at the hospital? I realize this is a compound question.

MR. MENG: Can I see it, please?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MENG: There's actually zero evidence of that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: He identified there was an open container in the vehicle. I think he did. All right. Any thoughts you have on that question?

MS. PARK: I don't have an objection to that question.

MR. MENG: That's a hundred percent speculation.

THE COURT: Well, and I don't think he's the person -- that might be a question worth asking one of the -- not Oscar, though, one of the lab technicians. I mean he's not in a position to say if you drink, I don't know, no one's ever identified what they open container was. But let's assume if you drink a pint of bourbon, how long does it hit you, show up in your blood stream. I think you could ask him if he -- no, I just don't think I'll ask that question.

All right. So we'll ask those two questions.

You've got the lab people coming? All right. So we'll take a break after this one. Hopefully get the lab people here and we'll finish things up. All right. Thank you.

1 [Sidebar ends at 12:52 p.m.] 2 THE COURT: When you were at the scene of the accident, 3 did you observe any evidence of a second occupant in the vehicle prior 4 to the accident? 5 THE WITNESS: No there was no evidence on scene of a 6 second occupant. 7 THE COURT: Why did you think that it was a DUI and 8 therefore pursued a blood draw? Is this done routinely following an 9 accident? 10 THE WITNESS: So you have to take the totality of the 11 circumstances. So you take the driving pattern first. The reports were 12 that he was speeding, the reports were that he ran a stop sign, and then 13 he just ran into a pole and a wall. On top of that, when I looked in the 14 vehicle, like I said, we had the open container of alcohol. So that kind of 15 leads you down the road. 16 Okay. Now we're single vehicle, ran into a wall, we got an 17 open container, we have witnesses, now that's what starts bringing me 18 down the road of doing the DUI investigation. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Did that generate anything further from 20 the State? 21 MR. MENG: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Anything further from Defense? 23 MS. PARK: Yes, Your Honor, just one thing from the 24 Defense.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

25

1	BY MS. PA	ARK:
2	a	Officer, you said you didn't see evidence of a second
3	occupant.	What did you investigate inside of the vehicle?
4	А	I was looking inside of the vehicle, one, to see if there was
5	anybody e	else in there; two, to see if there was any, like I said, the DUI
6	investigati	on, did I have any kind of open containers or anything like
7	that.	
8	Q	Okay. But you weren't there at the time the accident
9	occurred.	
10	А	No, I was not, ma'am.
11	Q.	So if someone else had been in the vehicle and left you
12	would not	have known that?
13	А	Correct, I would not have.
14		MS. PARK: Okay. Thank you.
15		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16		Generate anything further from State?
17		MR. MENG: No, Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Officer for your
19	testimony	•
20		THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
21		THE COURT: You are excused.
22		All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a break
23	now. We'	ll take a break, we're just about five till 1:00, we'll take a break
24	to 1:15.	
25		While you're out there do not discuss or communicate with

1		
2		
3		ı
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		,
18		
19		
20		
	ıI	ı

22

23

24

25

anyone, including your fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case and its merits either by voice, phone, email, text, internet, or other means of communication or social media. Do not read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case. Do not do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet, or using reference materials.

Do not make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own. And do not begin to form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is submitted to you.

We'll see you back in about 20 minutes.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Jury out at 12:55 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. Anything we need to talk about before we break?

Let us know as soon as your witness or one of your witnesses is here, and we'll get all the jurors back together again, we'll get started right away. Anything else? All right.

MS. PARK: Nothing, Judge.

THE COURT: Take a restroom break and get back and be ready to go in 20 minutes, as soon you got a witness. We'll keep moving.

MS. PARK: Okay.

MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor

[Recess taken from 12:56 p.m. to 1:20 p.m.].

1	THE COURT: All right. Let's go back on record.
2	MS. PARK: Photo 14 is not admitted.
3	THE COURT: Is there something you wanted to raise before
4	the we went to the next witness?
5	MR. MENG: Yes, Judge.
6	Ms. Park had raised it, but a potential jury question did, and I
7	just want to bring the Court's attention to the statute at issue, which I
8	gave to you particularly that alleging drinking after the fact is an
9	affirmative defense at least the alleged 14 days prior, which was not in
10	place. Ms. Park realized that I was just
11	THE COURT: Were you planning on raising that?
12	MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that would be the position
14	then of the Court.
15	All right. Ms. Park, it's my understanding that you and the
16	Defendant got on the elevator with jurors?
17	MS. PARK: We were on the elevator and then they stepped
18	in. We were going up and then I realized that I had made a mistake and
19	we were going to be going down. So pressed the down button.
20	THE COURT: I assume that there was nothing inappropriate
21	that was said while you were in there.
22	MS. PARK: No, we went in; then they came in
23	THE COURT: I understand. I'm not going to get into it. But
24	as an officer of the court you're telling me nothing inappropriate was
25	said while you were in there with the jurors?

1	MS. PARK: No. He made a comment to me, not directed to
2	them about feeling stressed, but that was it.
3	THE COURT: All right. Is there any need to
4	MS. PARK: And I told him
5	THE COURT: talk to the jurors further?
6	MR. MENG: As long as Defense got no problem, I got no
7	problem.
8	THE COURT: All right. Very good.
9	Let's go ahead and bring in the jury, and we'll call the next
10	witness.
11	MR. MENG: And we're going to take one break after the two
12	witnesses?
13	THE COURT: We'll take a yeah, we'll take a break and then
14	finish up and that way I'll know, I'll get my clerk, she's listening to start
15	copying the jury instructions.
16	[Pause]
17	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
18	[Jury in at 1:24 p.m.]
19	THE COURT: Parties stipulate to the presence of the jury
20	panel
21	MR. MENG: Yes.
22	MS. PARK: Yes, Your Honor?
23	THE DEFENDANT:
24	THE COURT: Okay. Everybody get situated.
25	All right. The State may call its next witness.
I	

1		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.
2		The State calls Brian Bounds, B-O-U-N-D-S.
3		THE COURT: All right, sir, if you'll come up to the witness
4	stand, ther	e are a couple of steps. When you get to the top, stay
5	standing fo	or just a second, our clerk over here will swear you in.
6		BRIAN BOUNDS, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
7		THE CLERK: Thank you be seated. Once you're settled,
8	please stat	te and spell your name for the record.
9		THE WITNESS: My name is Brian Bounds, B-R-I-A-N, Bounds
10	B-O-U-N-D)-S.
11		THE CLERK: Thank you.
12		THE COURT: Whenever you're ready, Counsel.
13		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.
14		THE COURT: Why don't you scoot up closer to that mic,
15	make sure	we can hear you.
16		Go ahead, whenever.
17		MR. MENG: Thank you, sir.
18		DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. ME	ENG:
20	Q	Good afternoon, sir.
21	Α	Good afternoon.
22	O.	Thank you for being here, sir.
23		What do you do for a living?
24	A	I'm a registered nurse.
25	Q	How long have you been a registered nurse?
	1	

1	A	Since 2011, so almost 11 years.
2	Q	Where do you currently work?
3	А	I currently work at Southern Hills Hospital.
4	Q	And in 2019, on November 23rd, where were you employed
5	at that tim	ie?
6	A	I was working at Sunrise Hospital.
7	Q	And were you working at around 5:20 on that day?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	When you were at Sunrise, could you describe the kind of
10	training a	nd education you must receive in order to be a nurse?
11	A	Yeah. I went to nursing school, it was a two-year program. I
12	was a vigo	orous program.
13	Q	And when you say two years nursing program, what kind of
14	things do	you learn during those two years?
15	A	Multiple things. I mean just from start to finish, you know,
16	you learn	how to take care of patients, you learn clinical I'm sorry, you
17	know like	clinical things such as starting IVs, drawing blood, you know,
18	many little	e little assets like that.
19	Q	And during those two years of vigorous education that you
20	received f	rom school; do you actually have hands-on experience during
21	those time	9?
22	A	Yeah, we have like a whole year of clinical experience. So
23	we shado	w nurses in OR, ER, ICU all different departments.
24	Q	Okay. And that includes drawing blood?
25	A	Correct.

1	Q	You would shadow somebody, learn how to do that
2	properly?	
3	Α	Correct.
4	Q	And you became a nurse in 2011?
5	A	Correct.
6	٥	Do you have to pass your own test or any certification for
7	you in or	der
8	A	Yeah, you
9	Q	for you to be a nurse?
10	A	Excuse me, sorry. Yeah there's a nursing board that we have
11	to pass.	
12	Q	Okay. And you passed it, obviously?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	And you became a nurse in 2011.
15	A	Yes.
16	٥	After that you received continuous education on how to do
17	your job p	roperly?
18	A	Correct, yeah.
19	a	Is that on a yearly basis?
20	A	Two years and you need 30 CEUs, but there's other
21	certificatio	ns where you need more. So
22	a	So since 2011, sir, you have to estimate, concerning blood
23	draws, hov	v many have you conducted?
24	A	Hundreds, if not over a thousand. For sure. Easily,
25	realistically	/. Multiple.

- 1		
1	a	Okay. So on November 23rd, were you working at around
2	5:30 to 7:00	0 in the evening?
3	A	Yes.
4	O.	And you were at Sunrise Hospital?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Did you conduct an evidentiary draw in a DUI investigation?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you remember there was multiple officers at Sunrise
9	Hospital w	hen you were conducting this draw?
10	A	I do, yes.
11	Q	And one of the officers was able to provide you the blood
12	box?	
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	Was that Officer Polion, P-O-L-I-O-N?
15	A	I believe so, yes.
16		MR. MENG: Publishing 3, Your Honor.
17		THE COURT: Go ahead.
18	BY MR. ME	ENG:
19	Q	Do you recognize this, sir?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	You see yourself in there?
22	A	Yes.
23	O.	That's you drawing blood?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	How many DUI draws did you conduct on that day?
I	Ī	

1	А	On that day that was my only blood draw for DUI like this.
2	Q	Could you go ahead and describe how you would go about a
3	blood dra	w?
4	A	In this particular case, for a DUI blood draw, we're provided
5	with a littl	e sample box that's got two vials, two empty blood vials in it
6	and a nee	dle, I believe. We use non-alcoholic wipes when obtaining the
7	sample, s	o we use Betadine, so we don't affect the blood sample.
8		We fill up both blood vials, they are then initialed and given
9	to the offi	cer with the blood box.
10	Q	When you say initialed, do you initial on the actual vial or the
11	box?	
12	A	I believe on the vials and the box.
13	Q	Okay.
14		MR. MENG: May Lapproach, Your Honor?
15		THE COURT: Sure.
16		MR. MENG: With 16.
17	BY MR. M	IENG:
18	Q	Is this the box? The blood box?
19	A	I believe so, yes.
20	Q	Go ahead and put on your gloves. What's inside of the blood
21	box?	
22	A	A tubing like blood tubes, blood vials, and I believe a needle
23	is in there	, too, at times.
24	Q	You stated that you also initialed and signed the box. Do you
25	see your	signature where you initial on the box?

1	A	l do, yes.
2	Q	Just go ahead and use the scissors and open the box. What
3	do you se	e inside, sir?
4	А	I see two vials of blood.
5	O.	Go ahead and see, you stated that your initial will be on that,
6	will you co	onfirm that?
7	А	Yes. Yep, I see one on here on the bottom and also right
8	here on th	e bottom.
9	a	Okay. Just put it back.
10	А	Okay.
11	O.	Are those blood vials the same or substantially the same
12	condition	as after you drew them on November 23rd, 2019?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	After you drew the two blood vials, did you also fill out a
15	declaratio	n confirming that you just drew blood
16	А	Yes.
17	a	from an individual?
18		Before you draw blood from someone, do you make sure
19	who you a	are drawing the blood from?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q.	In this case did you verify who the person was?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Would that person's name be on the declaration
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And also on the blood box?

1		
1	Α	Yes.
2		MR. MENG: Your Honor, publishing 15.
3		THE COURT: All right.
4	BY MR. MI	ENG:
5	Q	Do you remember, sir?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Is this the declaration?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Do you see your initial or signature on there that shows you
10	this was th	e declaration you signed on that day?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Where is it? There's actually a little mouse there you can go
13	ahead and	use that to point to your initial or signature.
14	A	Sure. Right here is my signature, my title, my name. Where
15	I work, Sur	nrise Hospital.
16	Q	And you also have your name on top, correct?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	Along with the person you drew the blood from?
19	A	Correct.
20		MR. MENG: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
21		THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?
22		MS. PARK: Thank you, Your Honor.
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. PA	ARK:
25	Q	Mr. Bounds, on that day you said that you verified who the

1	person is before you draw the blood. How do you verify that?		
2	A	We usually look at their name bands, we talk to them ask	
3	them their	r names, date of birth. They come in with ID, we're able to look	
4	at the IDs	to identify the patient.	
5	Q	Okay. Do you look at the ID?	
6	A	Yeah. We do.	
7	Q	Do you have the	
8	A	A lot of times we obtain the ID.	
9	Q	Okay. How do you obtain it?	
10	A	It's given to us by whether EMSs comes in, they give us IDs if	
11	they have	it. Or if the patient walks in they give us to a we ask for ID.	
12	O.	Okay.	
13	A	Yeah.	
14	a	And on that day what else was going on around you at the	
15	time you	were doing the blood draw?	
16	A	I can't really remember. It's always busy over there, so I'm	
17	sure there	was a lot of things going on, yes.	
18	a	So there's a lot of things going on. So there's other things	
19	around yo	ou that could be distracting you at the time you're drawing the	
20	blood?		
21	A	It could be, yeah.	
22		MS. PARK: I have nothing further. Thank you.	
23		THE COURT: All right.	
24		MR. MENG: No further questions, Your Honor.	
25		THE COURT: Any member of the jury have a question for	

1	this witness?
2	Okay, one hand up. Write your question out two hands.
3	Write your question on a clean sheet of paper with your juror number,
4	hand it off to the marshal.
5	All right. Can I see counsel at sidebar.
6	[Sidebar begins at 1:33 p.m.]
7	THE COURT: All right. Let's see what questions we got here.
8	Juror No. 3. What time did you draw the blood of the
9	Defendant according to the document you filled out?
10	Any objection, State?
11	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor, he can answer.
12	THE COURT: Juror No. 7. Was he on any medication before
13	the blood was taken?
14	Any objection?
15	MR. MENG: Speculation.
16	THE COURT: Whether he knows. He was there with the guy.
17	Yeah.
18	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor. No objection.
19	MS. PARK: No objection.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	[Sidebar ends at 1:33 p.m.]
22	THE COURT: You may need to look at some of the
23	paperwork, but do you know what time you did the blood draw of the
24	Defendant?
25	THE WITNESS: I mean if I look at it, I can.

- 1	
1	THE COURT: What would you need to look at, the box or
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, the box and the affidavit says the time
3	on it.
4	THE COURT: Do you have those handy?
5	MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor.
6	Permission to publish the declaration, is that what you're
7	referring to?
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
9	MR. MENG: State's 15 publishing.
10	THE WITNESS: 1852, so 6:52 p.m. is when the time of the
11	blood draw.
12	THE COURT: Okay. There's a reference there to a.m., this
13	was done in the p.m.?
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, so 1852 that's what we use, we use
15	military time in every hospital so there's no confusion
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	THE WITNESS: what time blood's drawn.
18	THE COURT: And then do you know whether the Defendant
19	was on any medications either was on or was not on any medications
20	before the blood draw was taken?
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
22	THE COURT: Okay. That generate anything further from the
23	State?
24	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Anything further from Defense?

1		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
2		THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for your
3	testimony.	
4		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5		THE COURT: You are excused.
6		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7		MR. MENG: Your Honor, the State calls Denise Heineman,
8	H-E-I-N-E-N	1-A-N.
9		THE COURT: Okay.
10		All right, ma'am. If you'll come up to the witness stand.
11	There are a	couple of steps. When you get to the top stay standing for
12	just a secoi	nd and our clerk over here will swear you in.
13		DENISE HEINEMAN, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
14		THE CLERK: Please be seated, and once you're settled please
15	state and s	pell your name for the record.
16		THE WITNESS: So my name is Denise, D-E-N-I-S-E, last
17	name is He	ineman, H-E-I-N-E-M-A-N.
18		THE COURT: All right. Whenever you're ready, Counsel.
19		MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.
20		DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. ME	NG:
22	Q	Good afternoon, ma'am. What do you do for a living?
23	А	I am a forensic scientist with the Las Vegas Metropolitan
24	Police Depa	artment.
25	Q	How long have you been doing that?

1	А	I have been a forensic scientist since 2011.
2	Q	And what are some of your job duties as a scientist?
3	А	So my main function is to analyze blood for its alcohol
4	content.	
5	O.	Okay. What kind of education do you need do you have to
6	qualify for	that kind of analysis?
7	A	So I have a Bachelor of Science in forensic chemistry from
8	Ohio Unive	ersity.
9	Q	Do you also receive training concerning analyzing blood?
10	А	Yes.
11	O.	What are those?
12	A	I have I get a lot of training from attending meetings of
13	association	ns that deal with alcohol. I have also I've gone to California
14	and taken	a course there; it was a 40-hour course on alcohol
15	interpretation. And I also went to Indiana and took a course there on	
16	alcohol int	erpretation.
17	a	Do you continue to receive and update your education
18	concerning	g blood alcohol analysis?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And since 2011, if you have to give an estimate, how many
21	blood have	you analyzed for its alcohol concentration level?
22	Α	So blood alcohol concentration at around 15,000.
23	Q	Directing your attention to the case number ending in go
24	ahead and	tell us, first, how you usually go about analyzing a blood.
25	l A	Luse a technique called gas chromatography. And what that

does is it allows me to see the different components that's in my sample. It will actually separate them so that I actually look at it. So it analyzes it and then it prints out a graph for me and there's peaks on the graph. So that I can see every volatile compound that is in that sample. And then I can determine the concentration for each one.

Q Where do you obtain the samples from to start your test?

A So they are collected by the officers, and they are turned in to -- usually at the jail. There's a secured refrigerator at the jail. So when the officers are done collecting their blood, they sign everything, and they put it in the refrigerator, and they lock it. And then we have evidence technicians from the lab that twice a week go down to the jail and collect up all of the samples. And then they bring it back to us and we analyze them in the order that they come in.

Q And the way you test blood is that generally accepted by the medical community?

A Yes.

Q Is there any safeguard to ensure that the test that you receive after you conduct your test that the result is accurate?

A Yes. So before I do anything with the -- I check everything and make sure that everything on the front of the kit matches what has been entered into our tracking system for our evidence. I make sure it all matches.

Then before I start to run, I will actually run a calibration on my instrument. And that is going to prove that my instrument does, in fact, recognize the different levels of ethanol that could be out there. Then I

will open the evidence and I will select a blood tube. I will open the blood tube, I will take a sample of that blood tube, place it in a vial and I'll crimp that vial so nothing can get in and nothing can get out.

I will go ahead and close the blood tube, put it back in the kit, and I'll move that kit to the side. And I will go on to the next kit. That way I do not risk the chance of -- I put the wrong blood tube back in the wrong kit. If I only open one kit, it's going back in the same kit.

Every five samples -- so all of my samples are run in duplicate. So every five samples, so that would be every ten vials, I run a control.

Which is also a known standard so that I can make sure throughout the run my instrument is still working properly.

- Q I think I got this, but how many times you actually test the blood?
 - A I test each sample twice.
- Q And what type of blood? Hopefully it's human, but what type of blood do you test?
- A Yes, human. We actually the statute of the Nevada says we test whole blood.
- Q Do you, after you conduct your test, do you create a record of your testing?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And what is that record?
- A Some people call it a declaration, we call it a report of examination.
 - Q And how do you create that?

1	A	So after everything's analyzed, I transfer all the data into
2	we have a	a LIM system, it's a Lab Information Management system. And
3	that's who	ere we create our worksheets, that is where we create our
4	reports, a	ll of my data, anything that emails, anything that goes with
5	this case	goes in there.
6	Sov	when I need my data, it's all in one spot I can just go collect
7	everythin	g. And from there is where we generate the reports and then
8	they are o	listributed to whoever has requested those reports.
9		MR. MENG: May I approach the witness?
10		THE COURT: Sure.
11		MR. MENG: These exhibits were already on the desk, were
12	on the witness stand, Your Honor. That's	
13		THE COURT: 16.
14		MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor, 16.
15	BY MR. N	IENG:
16	Q	Denise, do you recognize this?
17	A	I do.
18	Q	What is it?
19	A	This is a standard blood kit that we use.
20	Q	And did you conduct a test regarding the content of this kit?
21	A	I did.
22	Q	How do you know that you did that?
23	A	My signature and personnel number are on the front of the
24	kit. And t	hen on the back of the kit where I sealed it is also my initials,
25	my perso	nnel number and the date.

1	a	And also on that box are the information concerning the
2	event num	ber.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And based on your knowledge, is that unique to a particular
5	defendant?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	А	It is unique to this case.
7	Q	Yes. And is there a defendant under that event number
8	that's also	listed on the blood kit?
9	А	This blood kit says the last name is Whatley and the first
10	name is Ge	erald.
11	a	And when you first received this box through a lab
12	technician,	from the evidence vault refrigerator, was this box sealed?
13	А	Yes, it was.
14	a	What if the box wasn't sealed?
15	А	If it wasn't sealed, would have returned it to my vault and
16	they would	I have most likely contacted the officer and said you need to
17	get in here	and seal this.
18	a	Any problems here concerning this blood kit?
19	А	No.
20	a	Did you open this blood box and test the vials inside?
21	А	I did.
22	a	And is there a way to find out how do you know you
23	actually tes	sted the blood vials in this case?
24	A	May I open the box?
25	م ا	There's gloves right there.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

25

1

Α	I don't	

THE COURT: However you want to do it.

THE WITNESS: So inside are the two tubes. This one over here has a white label and on there are my initials so that would be the blood tube that I analyzed. It's also the blood tube that the other people, that may have done any testing on this have also put their initials on that. So this is how I know I did that tube.

BY MR. MENG:

- Q And your initial is on that tube?
- A My -- yes.
- Q Okay. What do you do after you open the box and test the blood? What do you do after you test the blood?

A So after I test the blood, I review all of my -- so I put them on my instrument. I tell it, I program the instrument, I say I want to run these vials in this particular order, and I start the instrument. I go home. It runs overnight because it takes probably five hours, six hours for this to run.

So when I come in in the morning, I will process my data.

Meaning I will retrieve any data that has been generated by the instrument overnight. Then I will go through each piece of data, and I will match that up with each case and I will make sure that everything matches. And when it does, I will go back, and I will seal the kit and then I will generate a report.

MR. MENG: May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

1	BY MR. MENG:	
2	Q	You stated that then you generate a report
3	A	Yes.
4	O.	by conducting a blood test in this case?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Showing you State's 14. What is this?
7	A	This would be my report of examination or my declaration.
8	Q	How do you know that?
9	A	My initial my signature and my personal number are on
10	the bottom	of this kit are on the bottom of the report, sorry.
11	Q	Is this a fair and accurate depiction of the result after
12	conducting	a test concerning the blood box in this case?
13	A	Yes.
14		MR. MENG: Move to admit, Your Honor, 15. Oh 14, I'm
15	sorry.	
16		THE COURT: You said 14? Any objection to 14?
17		MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: 14 will be admitted.
19		[State's Exhibit 14 admitted into evidence]
20		MR. MENG: Thank you. Move to publish 14.
21		THE COURT: Go ahead.
22	BY MR. ME	NG:
23	Q	Denise, could you tell us the result of your analysis of the
24	blood box	in this case?
25	A	Yes. So the sample that I analyzed had a blood alcohol

1	content of	.20 oh, I'm sorry249 grams of ethanol per 100 milliliters of	
2	blood.		
3		MR. MENG: Thank you.	
4		I pass the witness, Your Honor.	
5		THE COURT: All right.	
6		Cross-examination?	
7		MS. PARK: Thank you.	
8		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
9	BY MS. PA	RK:	
10	Q	Ms. Heineman, you stated that there are people from your	
11	office that go over approximately twice a week to the jail		
12	Α	Yes.	
13	Q	to collect the vials? How long before you test them?	
14	А	Depends on how backlogged my lab is.	
15	Q	Okay. So on this particular date do you I mean on this	
16	particular case do you know when you tested this blood?		
17	А	I believe I analyzed this sample on February 25th.	
18	Q	February 25th? Okay. And you made a reference to you put	
19	the vials in the machine and go home for the night.		
20	А	Correct.	
21	a	When you say vials, are those different vials? Different out	
22	of different blood boxes?		
23	А	So we run all of our samples in we call them batches,	
24	obviously.	So I can run up to up to 45 cases in one batch. And that is	
25	one of the joys of having an automated system. You can get a lot done		

in a short period of time.

So each vial that the blood goes into is labeled with -- we label it with our internal number. We have that LIM system. And that label is right here on the side. So it's labeled with this, so I know that that sample went with this case. And that's how I match them up when they come off. So yes, I do have 48 or 45 cases running at the same time.

Q Okay. And is there a margin of error in the results?

A We don't use the term error. We use the term the uncertainty of the measurement.

Q Okay. Can you explain that a little bit?

A Sure. So in any measurement you're going to take, there's going to be variance. Whether you're looking at a watch or, you know, I always like to say, you know, I'm going to go to the doctor, the first thing they're going to do is they're going to put me on a scale. So, you know, you weigh yourself in the morning, so you're not shocked when you get there. But one time you get to the -- you know, so you weigh yourself it says I weigh 165 today. Okay. Fine.

So you get it to the doctor, and they put you on their scale, and you weight 170. And you say how did I gain five pounds from the time I left the house until the time I got to the doctor? Well, it's a different scale. The environment that you're in is different. The temperature is different. Maybe your fully clothed where, you know, you have shoes on, which you didn't when you were at home. So there are many variables that can go into why there was a difference.

In my laboratory we took -- we got the uncertainty of

1	measurements from the manufacturer of our instrumentation and the			
2	manufacturer of our calibrators and controls, and we calculated our			
3	own we	own we calculated what we estimate would be our uncertainty of		
4	measurem	ent for what we for this analysis that we're doing. And that,		
5	if you look	on the report, is the plus or minus, what is that .011.		
6	Q	Okay.		
7	A	That's our uncertainty.		
8	a	Okay.		
9	А	Or the variance in our measurement.		
10		MS. PARK: Okay. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.		
11		THE WITNESS: Okay.		
12		THE COURT: All right. That generate anything further from		
13	the State?			
14		MR. MENG: Just two questions, Your Honor.		
15		THE COURT: Sure.		
16		REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
17	BY MR. MI	ENG:		
18	Q	Denise, in this particular case, concerning this blood, did you		
19	follow all t	he proper steps to conduct a blood analysis?		
20	А	l did.		
21	Q	And are the process that you followed in this case are		
22	generally a	accepted by the medical and scientific community?		
23	А	They are.		
24		MR. MENG: Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor.		
25		THE COURT: Generate anything further from Defense?		

1	MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.	
2	THE COURT: Any questions from the panel?	
3	Okay, I see one hand. Write your question on a clean sheet	
4	of paper and make sure you got your juror number on it.	
5	Let me see counsel at sidebar.	
6	[Sidebar begins at 1:51 p.m.]	
7	THE COURT: Juror No. 3. Do vials of blood ever break or	
8	spill while being tested?	
9	Any objection, State?	
10	MR. MENG: No objections.	
11	THE COURT: Defense?	
12	MS. PARK: No.	
13	[Sidebar ends at 1:51 p.m.]	
14	THE COURT: All right. Do vials of blood ever break or spill	
15	while being tested?	
16	THE WITNESS: I have opened blood kits where I've opened	
17	it up and one of the vials inside has been already broken. Whether that	
18	was through transport, maybe somebody dropped the blood kits. I have	
19	had a couple of vials break on me when I was after I took the stopper	
20	out, took my sample, when I went to put the stopper back on I've had it	
21	crack down the side, and I've lost the rest of the sample that was in	
22	there. I simply make a note that goes in the file so anybody that wants to	
23	do a discovery on it will see my notes as to what happened.	
24	THE COURT: All right. That generate anything further from	
25	the State?	

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MENG:

Q Any issues here?

A No, I did not see anything wrong with this one.

MR. MENG: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Anything further from Defense?

MS. PARK: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for your testimony, ma'am.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: You are excused.

Ladies and gentlemen, I have a matter I need to deal with just very, very briefly. I'm going to ask you to leave the room. I ask that you stay out in the hall. With a little luck, we'll have you back in about five to ten minutes. So don't go far and we'll continue on with the trial.

While you're out there -- whoops, almost forgot the key thing here. While you're out there do not discuss or communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, email, text, internet or other means of communication or social media.

Do not read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case. Do not do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet or using reference materials. Do not make any investigation, test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case or in any other way investigate or learn about the case

1	on your own and do not begin to form or express any opinion regarding	
2	the case until it is submitted to you.	
3	We'll see you back in just a few minutes.	
4	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.	
5	[Jury out at 1:53 p.m.]	
6	THE COURT: All right. I just want to confirm for the record is	
7	that the State's last witness?	
8	MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: Any additional exhibits the State intends to	
10	introduce?	
11	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor. I've believe everything marked	
12	has already been admitted, Your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: This should just be straight forward. Is there	
14	anything that hasn't been admitted that you're aware?	
15	MS. PARK: There is not.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. So when we bring the jurors back in, I'll	
17	ask the State to call its next witness. At that point you'll say the State	
18	rests.	
19	MR. MENG: That's the way. Thank you.	
20	THE COURT: All right. Does Defense intend to call any	
21	witnesses?	
22	MS. PARK: No, we are not calling any witnesses. And you	
23	went over his constitutional rights.	
24	THE COURT: I went over the constitutional rights, but I did	
25	want to make sure want to confirm for the record that, Mr. Whatley, it's	

1	your decision not to testify; is that correct?	
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
3	THE COURT: All right. And you did discuss this with your	
4	attorney?	
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
6	THE COURT: And you do realize that the decision ultimately	
7	whether you testify or not is your decision?	
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
9	THE COURT: And so in this instance you have decided not to	
10	testify.	
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
12	THE COURT: Okay. I will tell you what's going to happen is,	
13	I'll ask the State to call its next witness. They'll say they rest. I'll turn to	
14	your attorney and ask if the Defense wishes to present any evidence, and	
15	she'll say, the Defense rests.	
16	You can change your mind up until the point she says, the	
17	Defense rests. Do you understand that?	
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
19	THE COURT: All right. Once she says, the Defense rests	
20	THE DEFENDANT: I see.	
21	THE COURT: then the presentation of evidence will be	
22	done.	
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.	
24	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do you need any setup	
25	before?	

1	MR. MENG: I'll figure it out, Judge. I think I'm good.	
2	THE COURT: Don't hand out the because we aren't ready	
3	for that yet. I'm sorry, I should have watched you. But didn't hand out	
4	the jury instructions yet. Just in case something throws off.	
5	[Counsel confer]	
6	THE CLERK: Mr. Meng, do you have a hard copy of your	
7	PowerPoint by any chance?	
8	MR. MENG: I'm going on changing it. I can print one and	
9	send it to you, or I can create a disc.	
10	THE CLERK: No, I'd rather it be paper.	
11	THE COURT: Just print it out and be sure you get it to us by	
12	the end of the day.	
13	MR. MENG: Will do.	
14	THE COURT: All right. So are you ready to go with your	
15	closing?	
16	MR. MENG: Oh, I am, yeah.	
17	THE COURT: All right. I will just let you know, Counsel, and I	
18	don't know how long do you think your closing's going to be?	
19	MS. PARK: I'm probably going to address instructions 4 and	
20	5, 15 minutes.	
21	THE COURT: 15 minutes. All right.	
22	I just want you to understand, I'll give you at least five	
23	minutes for your rebuttal, but my general principle is you get one-third	
24	of whatever time she uses.	
25	MS. PARK: There it is. I can do it in ten.	

1	THE COURT: If she uses 10 minutes, you'll get five. If she	
2	uses 15 minutes, you'll get 5. If she uses 20 minutes, you'll get 7. So I	
3	just wanted you to be aware of that. So all right.	
4	Anything else, then before we bring in the jurors? Nope?	
5	MS. PARK: Not from us.	
6	THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and bring in the	
7	jurors. Hold on a second.	
8	All right. Let's bring in the jurors.	
9	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.	
10	[Jury in at 1:59 p.m.]	
11	THE COURT: All right. Parties stipulate to the presence of	
12	the jury panel?	
13	MR. MENG: Yes.	
14	MS. PARK: Yes, Your Honor.	
15	THE COURT: All right. Everybody get in, get situated.	
16	The State may call its next witness.	
17	MR. MENG: Your Honor, at this point the State rests. Thank	
18	you.	
19	STATE RESTS	
20	THE COURT: All right. By making the statement that the	
21	State rests, that means that the State has presented to you all of its	
22	evidence for its case-in-chief.	
23	At this point in time, the Defense is given the opportunity, if	
24	it wishes to do so, to present evidence. But as I've stated to you a	
25	number of times, Defense has no obligation to do anything or to presen	

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

any evidence. The burden of proof always remains on the State to prove the elements of the crime charged beyond a reasonable doubt. And the Defense does not need to do anything, if the State does not meet that burden.

So with that, does the Defense wish to present any evidence?

MS. PARK: The State rests, Your Honor -- I'm sorry. The

Defense rests, Your Honor.

DEFENDANT RESTS

THE COURT: Okay. With that, ladies and gentlemen, that means all the evidence that you're going to receive for purposes of your deliberations has been presented to you. What we're going to do now is to move into reading you the jury instructions and then we'll go right into closing arguments. The State will give the first closing argument, again, because the State has the burden of proof.

Defense will have the opportunity to give a closing argument and then the State has a chance to give a short rebuttal argument.

Again, because the State has the burden of proof.

Do you have the jury instructions?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll hand those out to you. This is a packet of the jury instructions. This is your packet to do with as you wish. If you want to make notes on it during the closing arguments feel free to do so. You can take it back with you to the jury deliberation room. You'll find at different times some attorneys will make specific reference to some of the instructions, so that this gives you an

opportunity to have the instructions and follow along if you wish to do so. In any case, it's up to you to use as you want and take it back and have it at the jury deliberation process.

All right. District Court, Clark County, Nevada, State of Nevada, plaintiff, v. Gerald D. Whatley, Jr. a/k/a Gerald L. Whatley, Jr., defendant. Case No. C-21-357412-1 Department 20. Instructions to the Jury.

Members of the Jury, it is now my duty as Judge to instruct you on the law that applies to this case. It is your duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to the facts as you find them from the evidence. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions, regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be it would be a violation of your oath to base the verdict upon any other view of the law than that given in the instructions of the Court.

If in these instructions any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me, and none may be inferred by you. For that reason you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole, and regard each in the light of all the others. The order in which the instructions are given, has no significance as to their relative importance.

An amended Information is but a formal method of accusing a person of a crime and it's not of -- try that again. An amended Information is but a formal method of accusing a person of a crime and

is not of itself any evidence of his guilt. In this case it is charged in an Information that Gerald Lee Whatley, Jr., a/k/a Gerald L. Whatley, Jr., the Defendant above-named, having committed the crime of driving under the influence on about the 23rd day of November, 2019, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully drive and/or be in actual physical control of a motor vehicle on a highway or on premises to which the public has access at Desert Inn and Theme, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. Defendant being responsible in one or more of the following ways and/or under one or more of the following theories. To wit:

- 1. While under the influence of intoxicating liquor to any degree, however slight, which rendered him incapable of driving safely and/or exercising actual physical control of a vehicle; and/or
- 2. When he was found by measurement within two hours after driving and/or being in actual physical control of a vehicle to have a concentration of alcohol of .08 or more in his blood.

It is the duty of the jury to apply the rules of law contained in these instructions to the facts of the case and determine whether or not the Defendant is guilty of the offense charged.

The elements of driving and/or being in actual physical control of a motor vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicating liquor or alcohol are:

1. A person is driving a vehicle on or off the highway or on

These considerations should be taken as a whole and considered together. No one consideration is dispositive in determining actual physical control.

Motor vehicle means every vehicle with a self-propelled, but not operated upon rails and a car or van is a motor vehicle.

Highway means the entire width between the boundary lines of every way dedicated to a public authority, when any part of the way is open to the use of the public for purposes of vehicular traffic, whether or not the public authority is maintaining the way. Dessert Inn and Theme are highways

Premises to which the public has access means property in a private or public owned -- means property in private or public ownership on to which members of the public regularly enter, are reasonably likely to enter or are invited or permitted to enter as invitees or licensees whither or not the access to the property by some members of the public is restricted or controlled by a person or a device.

The terms include -- the term includes, but is not limited to, (1) a parking deck or a parking garage or other parking structure; (2) a paved or unpaved parking lot or other paved or unpaved area where vehicles are parked or reasonably likely to be parked; (3) a way that provides access to or is appurtenant to (a) a place of business; (b) a governmental building; (c) an apartment building; (d) a mobile home park; (e) a residential area or residential community which is gated or enclosed or the access to which is restricted or controlled by a person or a device or any other similar area, community building or structure.

Under the influence means impaired to a degree that renders a person incapable of safely driving or exercising actual physical control of the vehicle.

Incapable of safely driving does not mean that a person is incapable of reaching his destination in safety, but rather that the person's mental or physiological functions are diminished so that the risk of an accident is unreasonably increased.

Concentration of alcohol of .08 -- or 0.08 or more in his blood means .0.08 grams or more of alcohol per 100 milliliters of the blood of a person. The State has alleged that the Defendant is criminally liable for the charge of driving or being in actual physical control of the motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or alcohol under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability:

- 1. The Defendant was under the influence of an intoxicating liquor to the degree that rendered him incapable of driving the vehicle -- incapable of safely driving the vehicle; or
- 2. The Defendant was found by measurement within two hours after driving a vehicle to have a concentration of alcohol of .08 or more of alcohol in his blood.

Your verdict must be unanimous as to the charge. You do not have to be unanimous on the principle of criminal liability. It is sufficient that each of you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime committed was driving and/or being in actual physical control of the motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, regardless of which principle of criminal liability you find.

To constitute the crime charged, there must exist a union or joint operation of an act forbidden by law and an intent to do the act.

The intent with which the act -- with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act; intent refers only to the state of mind with which the act is done. Motive is not an element of the crime charged and the State is not required to prove a motive on the part of the Defendant in order to convict. However, you may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive as a circumstance of the case.

The Defendant is presumed innocent unless the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the crime charged and that the Defendant is the person who committed the offense.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt, but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable, must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the Defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

It is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify. Thus the decision as to whether

he should testify is left to the Defendant on the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify, nor should this fact be discussed by you or enter into your deliberations in any way.

You are here to determine whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty from the evidence in the case. You are not called upon to return a verdict as to the guilty or not guilty of any other person. So if the evidence in the case convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the guilt of the Defendant, you should so find, even though you may believe one or more persons are also guilty.

The evidence in which you are -- the evidence which you are to consider in this case consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits and any facts admitted or agreed to by counsel. There are two types of evidence: direct and circumstantial.

Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness.

Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a chain of facts and circumstances which tend to show whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty.

The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

Statements, arguments, and opinions of counsel are not

evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proof. You must not speculate to be true any insinuations suggested by a question asked a witness. A question is not evidence and may be considered only as it supplies meaning to the answer. You must disregard any evidence to which an objection was sustained by the Court and any evidence ordered stricken by the Court. Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded.

In deciding the facts in this case you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says or part of it or none of it. In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

- 1. The opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to.
 - 2. The witness' memory;
 - 3. The witness' manner while testifying;
 - 4. The witness' interest in the outcome of the case, if any;
 - 5. The witness' bias or prejudice, if any;
- Whether other evidence contradicted the witness' testimony;
- 7. The reasonableness of the witness' testimony in light of all the evidence; and
 - Any other factors that bear on believability.Sometimes a witness may say something that is not

consistent with something else he or she said. Sometimes different witnesses will give different versions of what happened. People often forget things or make mistakes in what they remember. Also, two people may see the same event, but remember it differently. You may consider these differences, but do not decide that testimony is untrue just because it differs from other testimony.

However, if you decide that a witness has deliberately testified untruthfully about something important, you may choose not to believe anything that witness said. On the other hand, if you think the witness testified untruthfully about some things, but told the truth about others, you may accept the part you think is true and ignore the rest.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify. What is important is how believable the witnesses were and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

A witness who has special knowledge, skill, experience, training or education in a particular science, profession or occupation, is an expert witness. An expert witness may give his or her opinion as to any matter in which he or she is skilled. You should consider such expert opinion and weigh the reasons, if any, given for it. You are not bound, however, by such an opinion. Give it the weight to which you deem it entitled, whether that be great or slight and you may reject it if, in your judgment, the reasons given for it are unsound.

Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence

your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law.

In your deliberations you may not discuss or consider the subject of punishment as that is a matter which lies solely with the Court. Your duty is confined to the determination of whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty.

During the course of this trial and in your deliberations, you are not to:

- 1. Communicate with anyone in any way regarding this case or its merits either by phone, text, internet or other means;
- 2. Read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case;
- 3. Do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet or using reference materials; or
- 4. Make any investigation, test the theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own.

When you retire to consider your verdict you must select one

of your member to act as a foreperson who will preside over your deliberations and will be your spokesperson here in court. During your deliberations you will have all the exhibits which were admitted into evidence, these written instructions, and form of verdict which have been prepared for your convenience. Your verdict must be unanimous. As soon as you've agreed upon a verdict have it signed and dated by your foreperson and then return with it to this room.

If during your deliberation you should desire to be further informed on any point of law or hear again any portions of the testimony, you may send a note through the marshal, signed by any one or more of you. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me, except by a signed writing and I will respond to the jury concerning the case only in writing or here in open court.

before answering it, which may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any questions. Remember you are not to tell anyone, including me, how the jury stands numerically or otherwise on any question submitted to you, including the question of the guilt of the Defendant until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.

Playbacks of testimony are time consuming and are not encouraged unless you deem it a necessity. Should you require a playback, you must carefully describe the testimony being played back so the court recorder can arrange for notes.

Remember the Court is not at liberty to supplement the

ll evidence.

Now, you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law, but whatever counsel will say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberations by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be, and by the law as given to you in these instructions with the sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada.

All right. That concludes the jury instructions. As I said, we'll move now into closing arguments. The State will give its closing argument first. Again, because the State has the burden of proof.

Defense will then give its closing argument. And then we'll have a rebuttal argument by the State.

I want to emphasize, as I had before, that during these arguments, what the attorneys say to you is not evidence. They are not witnesses in the case, so what they say is not evidence. If you -- their job here is to refresh in your minds the evidence that has been presented and to suggest to you how that evidence fits with the elements and the facts that need to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt for you to reach a verdict one way or the other. But what they're saying is not evidence.

If you hear an attorney say, you'll recall Witness Y said, A, B, and C and it's your recollection that Witness Y said 9, 10 and 11, it's your recollection of the evidence that controls. But these arguments are very important because it does give the parties a chance, again, to summarize

to you their recollection of the evidence and to discuss with you how they think that evidence fits in with the law that's been given to you by the Court. So I encourage your close attention.

We'll be pleased to hear the opening (sic) argument by the State.

STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. MENG: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ladies and gentlemen, it's not just the science in this case, it's the senses. It's not just the science it's the senses. All right? Throughout this trial, Chad and I have a responsibility to prove to you two things: who did the crime and what crime was committed. The only two questions we need to answer. Who did it? The Defendant Gerald Whatley. Who told you that, ladies and gentlemen? Oscar Castillo.

Oscar got on the stand, he was about to make a right turn, start heading down Desert Inn eastbound, thank God he checked left, right? He was going to go with his three children in the car. He checked. He started noticing this white van not stopping, continued to drive. He followed the car, the car didn't stop at Theme either, almost ran into Jerry. Thank God Jerry stopped and checked. Smashed straight into the concrete barrier. Oscar said never lost sight. Was already on the phone ready to call the police.

After the Defendant in his white van run into the concrete barrier, where was Oscar? Oscar was calling 911. He was standing right in front of the van. Right in front. Face to face with the van. What did Oscar say? The Defendant was in the driver's seat trying to get out.

No one else was in the car, Oscar would have said something about. He's been looking at this white van ever since Cabana. Oscar interacted with -- interacted with the Defendant after he got out at the concrete barrier. Go ahead and review all the 13 pictures that you have with you. The only door that's ajar, it's the driver's side door, right next to the concrete barrier.

Where do you think the Defendant goes and put his hands on to hold himself to keep balance? Concrete barriers. Go ahead and check the photos, see if any other door is open. None of them were. Because the Defendant was the driver, and he decided to get out from the driver's side door. Just like Oscar said. The Defendant was the only person was taken to the hospital.

Ladies and gentlemen, as the officer testified to you and you will see the pictures, there's two seats in the front. And what did the officer say? Absolutely, absolutely no seats in the back. With the impact like that, if someone was in the back unrestrained not in the seat, you don't think that's going to be more than one person to hospital. No, you can count on Oscar's testimony what he told you since he started following the white van. And since the Cabana and Desert Inn.

No question. Look at that right there. That's the vantage point where Oscar was looking in fact to face he had a perfect view to see the van. No question.

What kind of crime are we talking about here, ladies and gentlemen? Just one to that, driving under the influence of alcohol.

That's the crime Chad and I must prove to you.

There are two elements, you can go through your jury instructions, but I want to go through them at least once. There are two elements, only two elements. The first element is a person's driving a vehicle on or off highway or on premises to which the public has access. Element one. All right?

Element two. The person, while driving, is under the influence of an intoxicating liquor to a degree that renders him incapable of safely driving the vehicle.

How else can you prove element two? Is found by measurement within two hours after driving or being actual physical control of a vehicle to have a concentration of alcohol of 0.8, 08 or more in his blood. So we have two ways of satisfying the second element. Two ways. All right.

Element one you have the instruction. Judge just read it to you. When you go back there look at instruction 7. It literally tells you. The Judge, His Honor, told you. Desert Inn and Theme are public highways. All right? They're highways. Throughout testimony I'm sure common sense dictates both Jerry and Oscar was driving on this road. Oscar literally told you there were other people on the roadways who were being driven off when the Defendant was driving on to the oncoming traffic. These are public highways where everyone had access. Use the element, ladies and gentlemen, look to the instruction 7.

Element two. If Leslie and I were talking about the case we would call the number one the two ways A theory and the C theory.

Okay? Those are the professional vernacular that we use. The first way

of satisfying element two is the A here, which we call it the impairment. And then the C theory which is the two hour theory.

Let's talk about the impairment theory. In capable of safely driving a vehicle with any amount of alcohol in his system. How do we know this impairment? Through driving behaviors. Through what we can hear. What we can see. And what we can smell through our senses. That's what impairment is.

What did Oscar tell you based on his senses? Oh, Defendant run a red light. And then stop sign. Run a red light at Cabana, and then run a stop sign without ever slowing down. Oscar actually said 55 miles per hour. Jerry said 40, let's just go with 40. Ladies and gentlemen, 40 is the speed at which he run the red light. It's the speed at which he run the stop sign. This was not just his normal driving behavior. What else did Defendant doing like that? Driving in oncoming traffic off the road.

So while he was on Desert Inn, the Defendant was literally driving the people who were headed east or heading west off the public roadway. Defendant smashed right into the concrete barriers without slowing down.

Water eyes when Oscar started interacting. Remember what did Oscar say. Conversational distance. Short of where Oscar was and the Judge. All right? A feet or two conversational distance. He saw watery eyes, could not keep balance, very strong sense -- scent of alcohol that was immediately noticeable. And slurred speech. Okay?

These are what Oscar noticed based on his senses and you guys can vicariously live through Oscar to see those signs of

impairment.

What about Jerry didn't see who the Defendant was driving the white van. She didn't try to claim to you. All she wanted to tell you was he observed. Anything that Jerry said didn't match what Oscar said? Anything? No. It's exactly consistent. All right? Even Oscar remembers Jerry's car. Right? Stop at the stop sign, it was her turn. Oh, man, this white van is not stopping. Continue to drive smash into the concrete barrier. That's what she could see.

What about Officer Polion at UMC. Yes, Officer Polion didn't see the impairment, but that's okay. We have members of the community to breach that gap. But what did the Officer Polion say? Watery eyes. He saw watery eyes from the Defendant and then he says slurred speech. Okay?

Here's the map to show you it's exactly the driving pattern of the Defendant. The orange arrow indicates that's Cabana, as you can see, the Defendant was driving westbound -- eastbound on Desert Inn.

Ran a red light right here. Okay? On Cabana. Continue to drive.

Mind you, Oscar was following behind him this whole way.

Never even tried to stop. Smashed right into the concrete barrier. Sorry,

I got excited, but you saw the concrete barrier, that's where he was.

So that was the sentence where you can -- what Oscar saw, hear and smell. What Jerry saw and what officer saw and heard.

And then you have a different way of satisfying element two, which is that's easier, the two-hour theory. This is the science. Okay?

This is the science. Where Denise Heineman testify how she conduct

analysis of the blood. Where the officer and the nurse get together to get the blood kit. That's the science. Okay?

Ladies and gentlemen there was no question in this case it was within two hours. How do you know when the incident occurred, the last time the Defendant was driving? Well we have the benefit of the 911 calls. We have literally a robot telling us when the call came in. And I'm going to play that just for a second here.

[Whereupon, an audio recording, State Exhibit 1. was played in open court at 2:28 p.m., ending at 2:28 p.m., not transcribed]

MR. MENG: Jerry made the first call. 17 is the military time for 5:00, 5:19:09. That's what Jerry observed right after Defendant smashed into the concrete barrier. Zero question, it's on the 911. We have a robotic voice telling you that's when the call came in.

When did Officer Polion took the blood? 6:52:51. More than enough time, we have about 30 minutes to spare on the two-hour window. We saw right here, it might not show up very good, Officer Polion told you it's Zulu time that's what the Z stands for, 02:52, minus eight hour difference, pacific time to Zulu time eight hours ahead, 6:52.

Zero question. That's Brian right there -- he almost looks exactly the same from three years ago -- drawing the blood. No question. What was the result on the defendant's blood analysis? You will have this particular exhibit with you. Denise went extensively with her knowledge about blood testing. She told you .249. .249, three times the legal limit of what you're dealing with here .08.

So ladies and gentlemen, just to summarize, you have the

senses this and you have the science. All right? The A theory, the impairment theory, those are just the factors that Oscar, Jerry, and Officer Polion was able to see through their senses. The sight, the hearing and the smell. And then you have the science. The bloodwork telling you that Defendant's blood was .249 within two hours of the 911 call when he smashed into those concrete barriers.

That's why, ladies and gentlemen, it's not just the C theory, it's the A theory. Those theories were there. Element two should be satisfied. And that's why I say it's not just the science, it's also the senses. Through the members of this community.

This is just a recap of the elements of the two elements. You should be checking off on all of them. At the end of this trial, ladies and gentlemen, after you consider all the testimony, Chad and I are going to ask you to find the Defendant, Gerald Whatley, guilty of driving under the influence.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. That concludes the opening [sic] by the State.

I'm pleased to hear the closing remarks by the Defense counsel.

DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

MS. PARK: Thank you, Your Honor.

Members of the jury, we heard from Ms. Skilbred, and she indicated that she saw a speeding white van, she did not see who was driving it. We heard her on the stand saying repeatedly he, him. But when questioned about that she said I don't know if it was a male or a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

female. So I just want that to be very clear to the jury at this point.

Now, we have two officers who responded to the scene,

neither of which came in contact with Mr. Whatley at the scene of the

accident. All we have is Oscar. And when I spoke with Oscar today, we

talked about when this happened, November 23rd of 2019. It was five,

approximately 5:20 p.m. It's getting dark. He indicated that he was

feeling a little scared. He also indicated that the person he came into

contact with blood all over their face. So he's helping to make a

recollection from almost three years ago with those other factors going

10 on.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, we also know that Oscar said when he came upon the van, he saw the person trying to get out of the van. He didn't say I saw the Defendant while they were driving, and I saw this person trying to get out of the van. The doors were stuck. He was trying to get out of the

door. Trying to push his way out of the van.

So Oscar didn't say to you, yes, when he was driving down the road, I saw his face. He said, I saw this person, covered in blood, while I was feeling scared at 5:20 p.m. on November 23rd of 2019. This is -- there is no other person that had any contact with Mr. Whatley while at the scene of an accident.

Now, I just want to bring your attention to Instruction No. 4, that that instruction said that a person is driving a vehicle on a highway to which the public has access. And that the person while driving is under the influence of an intoxicating liquor. And again, as the State just said, which is within measurement within two hours. But the key here is,

was Mr. Whatley driving that vehicle on November 23rd of 2019?

We go on to Instruction No. 5 where it goes the instruction on physical control in deciding whether a person has present influence, domination or regulation of the vehicle. And the factors that you would go through to try to determine that. Where the person is found in the vehicle. And whether the engine is running. The location of the vehicle keys. So those are things that you would take into consideration. The only person here who said that MR. Whatley could have been driving that vehicle was Oscar and like I said, he did not state that Mr. Whatley, he absolutely saw him driving the vehicle.

So I hope you all take that into consideration and consider the facts carefully and I'm confident that you will return with a guilty of -- I'm sorry a verdict of not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.

All right. The State will have about five minutes to do a rebuttal. Everybody good? Anybody need to go to the restroom or anything? Okay. Very good.

We'll be pleased to hear the rebuttal from the State.

JUROR NO. 12: [Indiscernible]

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did you need to go to the restroom?

JUROR NO. 12: No, sir. No, no, Judge, Your Honor. Sorry.

THE COURT: As I said before it is important to keep a sense of everything. So if anyone needs to, I don't have a problem.

All right. Go ahead. The State may have its rebuttal argument.

STATE'S REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. LEXIS: Folks I told you at the beginning this case is straight forward and it absolutely is. Look, possibilities and speculation is not reasonable doubt. And that's in your reasonable doubt instruction. You heard from the evidence, the evidence from Oscar told you this was the man. The evidence that Oscar told you that he saw the crash. Immediately went up there, you hear his 911 call, and tells you he sees one person in the car. One person transporting, even if he didn't -- even if he wasn't able to identify the man in court, you heard one person was transported to the hospital. As he told you one person's in the car.

We could sit up here all day long and talk about, you know, it's possible maybe there was someone hiding in the back. Maybe there is this guy that jumped out of the back. Maybe speculate as to that.

That's not reasonable doubt. And I'll get to that real -- in a second.

As far as Defense counsel mentioned actual physical control, folks, as you see up here the elements is driving and/or in actual visible control of the motor vehicle.

Yes, you have a separate thing that says actual physical control. When you're driving you're obviously in actual physical control. In some cases, you know, when the cop rolls up on somebody and they're walking out, they're doing an investigation they see him walk into the car, they're not even in the car. Or they come up upon a crash and he's outside the car. They you have to go through the factors on whether or not somebody's in actual physical control. Where is the keys? Are they in his pocket? You know, those factors don't apply when

someone's actually driving and crashes and somebody can say, oh, yeah, when I saw the crash that's the guy that was in the driver's seat.

So then there's two possible ways. The person driving, one, is found within a .08 or more of alcohol within two hours. Okay? But the law is broad. Sometimes you don't get the blood within two hours, or the blood gets destroyed or something happens with the blood. Okay? So what do we go on? We can go on the A theory. Look, he's guilty of both.

And all you need to do is find him guilty of one or the other. You even have a jury instruction that says some of you could find that he's guilty under the .08 theory; and some could find that he's guilty under the A theory and he's still guilty.

The fact of the matter is what are we going to look for? Let's say we had no blood. When you're talking about under the influence of alcohol, it means impaired to a degree that renders a person incapable of safely driving or exercising actual physical control. You don't even need to find driving conduct if somebody's exercising actual physical control.

So what are the factors you're going to look at? Well, alcohol on the breath. Open containers in the car. Bloodshot, watery eyes. Fixed stare. Having trouble standing up, as you heard in this case. Incapable of safely driving does not mean that a person is incapable of reaching his destination in safety, but rather the person's mental or psychological functions are diminished so that the risk of an accident is unreasonably increased.

Again, there is no better fact you could give the jury than somebody's driving conduct. Even though the law's telling you you don't need driving conduct. You got a man that runs a red light, runs a stop sign and crashes into a concrete barrier. Then Oscar telling you you got alcohol on the breath and the cop's telling you the same. If that's all the evidence we have, we'd be right here telling you that man's guilty.

With regards to the defense, oh, you know, it's possible somebody else is the driver. Let's speculate as to what else could have been -- who else could have been driving that car, if somebody. That's not the evidence. The evidence came from that witness stand. And don't take my word for it, this is your jury instruction. Yes, the Defendant is proved innocent unless the contrary is proved. The presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the crime charged, and that the Defendant is a person who committed the offense.

One, he's the driver, that's what the evidence showed. And two, he was either found with blood above a .08, no question three times the limit. Or exercise was impaired to a degree that rendered him incapable of safely operating that motor vehicle. Which obviously is apparent when you crash your car into a concrete barrier after running a red light and a stop sign.

THE COURT: One minute, Counsel.

MR. LEXIS: Reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the mind of the jurors after

the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not reasonable doubt.

And here it is, folks, when the defense counsels could come up here all day long and talk about possibilities and speculation. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, something that you can grasp on to and say, yeah, you know what? There was evidence. There's other people in that car. No, doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

The State of Nevada asks you hold this man accountable for his actions and find him guilty of driving under the influence.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.

All right. That concludes the closing arguments. At this point it's come for you to go back to the jury deliberation room and to begin your deliberations together.

Unfortunately two of your numbers won't be going back with you today. In every one of these trials we always select a couple people to be alternate jurors. We do that in case something comes up during the trial, somebody becomes ill, or an emergency occurs and that way we have somebody who can fill in for that juror and not have to redo the whole trial.

And so that's what we do in that regard. In this instance, our two alternates was Ms. Robinson and Ms. Calara. You won't be going back with the other jurors to deliberate. I apologize for that. I know

you've spent the last couple of days here and participated and listened to all the evidence. And I appreciate that. The parties appreciate that.

I'm not going to excuse you, though, at this point in time.

Because there is a chance in any deliberation that something could come up and one of the jurors during the deliberation process would have to be excused. And if you think that that never happens, I had an instance happen just before the pandemic started where the jury started deliberations and within an hour one of the jurors got a call from the hospital that his wife was in the emergency room, and he had to go.

And we were able to bring back one of the alternates and start the jury deliberations over.

So I'm not going to excuse you at this point in time. And as a consequence, I'm going to have to give you the same admonitions that I've given you every other break that we've had and that is while you are not to discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, email, text, internet, or other means of communications or social medial.

You must not read, watch or listen to any news media -news or media accounts or commentary about the case. You must not
do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the internet or
using reference materials.

You must not do any investigation, test the theory of the case or recreate any aspect of the case or in any way investigate or learn about the case on your own. And you must not begin to form or express any opinion regarding the case until you are discharged by me as

1	alternate jurors.
2	I want to thank you for your participation these next these
3	last couple of days. I wish you the best going forward. I know the
4	parties do, too. I'll ask where's Tiffany?
5	THE LAW CLERK: Here.
6	THE COURT: There she is.
7	I'll ask that the clerk to swear in Tiffany to take the alternate
8	jurors out.
9	[The Clerk swears in the law clerk to take charge of the
10	alternates]
11	THE COURT: All right. Leave your badge on the chair or
12	actually Tiffany can pick those up. If you'll follow out Tiffany out and
13	we'll she'll get what information to get in contact with you if we need
14	to and bring your personal items. Okay.
15	[Alternate Jurors out at 2:44 p.m.]
16	THE COURT: I'll ask the clerk to swear in the marshal to take
17	the jury out to begin deliberations.
18	[The Clerk swears in the marshal to take charge of the jury]
19	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, take all of your items,
20	your notepads and follow the Marshal out they'll take you to the jury
21	deliberations rooms and you begin your deliberations with the goal of
22	reaching a unanimous verdict.
23	[Jury retires to deliberate at 2:45 p.m.]
24	MR. MENG: Judge if there's any jury questions you have, I
25	believe, BlueJeans?

1	THE COURT: Usually I'll just, if it's not anything that requires
2	a lot of thought, I'll usually just call you up on the phone and we'll record
3	it over the phone.
4	MR. MENG: Even better.
5	THE COURT: So sometimes the question requires a little
6	more thought, but most of the time we're able to handle it over the
7	phone. I assume you guys are just going to be are you both in this
8	building or across the street?
9	MR. MENG: We're across the street, but we'll be working on
10	this other
11	THE COURT: No, I understand. So you're close buy.
12	MR. MENG: We're all close by, yeah.
13	THE COURT: Okay. What's your plans?
14	MS. PARK: I was just going to go over to my office and do
15	some work.
16	THE COURT: Where are you at?
17	MS. PARK: I'm on 4th and Garces so about three blocks
18	away.
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And Mr. Whatley, can I get you
20	just to stay here at the courthouse?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	MS. PARK: I mean he could go to get something to eat
23	across the street or something, right?
24	THE COURT: Well, yeah, I mean if he's somewhere within I
25	just don't want to have the jury if they do come back today spend an

1	hour waiting for you guys to get here.
2	MS. PARK: Right.
3	THE COURT: And I'll be honest, you weren't very timely this
4	morning, Mr. Whatley.
5	THE DEFENDANT: I apologize.
6	THE COURT: All right. Anyway, well, let's stay in the
7	building or across the street at the restaurants that are over there. Okay,
8	Mr. Whatley?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No problem.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. MENG: Your Honor, I actually messed up, it's my fault. I
12	forgot to bring a clean laptop for the jury to play the 911 calls, the digital.
13	There's only two discs. So I'll run really quick and grab a clean laptop.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Grab the thing. Do you want to inspect
15	the laptop before we send it back to the jurors?
16	MS. PARK: I trust that it will be a clean laptop.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure you had the
18	opportunity to do that if you wanted to do that.
19	MS. PARK: Yeah. I trust that it will be a clean one.
20	THE COURT: And you make this really quick, so I mean we'll
21	get it to you really fast if you want to take a look at it.
22	All right. Well, we'll see if they have any questions. I'll reach
23	out to counsel. Otherwise we'll see you back when we have a verdict.
24	MR. MENG: Do you have everyone's cell phone number?
25	THE COURT: Sorry, what?

1	MR. LEXIS: did, sent it.
2	THE COURT: I think we've got the numbers.
3	THE CLERK: We have all of that, yes.
4	MS. PARK: Do you have mine?
5	THE CLERK: Yes.
6	MS. PARK: Okay. So at what time would we anticipate it
7	would be the end of the day if they didn't reach a verdict?
8	THE COURT: Oh, counsel, before you leave, one more
9	questions. If I'm not inclined to really go past 5:00 today. So if they
10	need additional time to deliberate, does either side have any issue if I
11	just excuse them at around 5:00 today and have them come back
12	tomorrow? Or do you want to be here when I excuse them?
13	MR. MENG: Just excuse them, Judge, sounds good.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MS. PARK: Yes, sounds good.
16	THE COURT: You good with that, Ms. Park?
17	MS. PARK: I'm good with that.
18	THE COURT: And then tomorrow morning when they would
19	come back, is everybody okay with me just having the marshal meet
20	them out in front of the double doors and taking them back to the jury
21	deliberation room, or do you want me to have you come back and greet
22	them in the morning for further deliberations?
23	MR. MENG: Just do the Court's thing. We don't want to be
24	here.
25	THE COURT: All right.

I	
1	MS. PARK: I agree.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MS. PARK: One question, though. If they are coming back
4	tomorrow what time would they be coming back so we know to be
5	within the area?
6	THE COURT: Usually what I'll tell them is they can come
7	back their choice as early as 8:00, but I would ask no later than 10:00.
8	And almost, I'd say 75 percent of the time they'll pick 9:00.
9	MS. PARK: Okay.
10	THE COURT: So I've never had anyone yet pick 8:00. So I've
11	had a few pick 10:00 but almost everybody ends up picking 9:00.
12	MS. PARK: Okay.
13	THE COURT: All right. We'll see what happens.
14	MS. PARK: All right.
15	THE COURT: Thank you all.
16	[Recess at 2:50 p.m., recommencing at 4:11 p.m.]
17	THE COURT: We're ready to go back on record?
18	COURT RECORDER: Yes, back on the record.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	It's my understanding the jury's reached a verdict. Is there
21	anything we need to discuss before we bring them in?
22	MR. MENG: No, Your Honor.
23	MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and bring in the jury.
25	THE MARSHAL: Yes, Sir.

1	[Jury in at 4:13 p.m.]
2	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
3	THE COURT: All right. The parties stipulate to the presence
4	of the jury panel?
5	MR. MENG: Yes, Your Honor.
6	MS. PARK: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, ladies and
8	gentlemen. It's good to see you all back.
9	Mr. Padilla, it's my understanding you are the selected
10	support person?
11	JUROR NO. 8: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And it's also my understanding that the jury
13	has reached a verdict?
14	JUROR NO. 8: We have.
15	THE COURT: All right. Did you fill out the verdict form and
16	sign and date it?
17	JUROR NO. 8: I have.
18	THE COURT: Could you hand up that to the marshal, please?
19	All right. Clerk will read the verdict.
20	<u>VERDICT</u>
21	THE CLERK: District Court Clerk, County of Nevada, State of
22	Nevada versus Gerald Lee Whatley, Jr., A.K.A. Gerald Lee Whatley, Jr,
23	case number C-21-357412-1, Department 20.
24	We, the jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant as
25	follows:

1	Count I: Driving and/or being in actual physical control of a
2	motor vehicle, while under the influence of an intoxicating liquor or
3	alcohol.
4	Guilty of driving and/or being in actual physical control of a
5	motor vehicle, while under the influence of an intoxicating liquor or
6	alcohol.
7	Dated this 26th day of April 2022, Stephen Padilla,
8	Foreperson.
9	THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury is this your
10	verdict, as read? So say you one, so say you all?
11	THE JURY: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Does either party wish to have the jury polled?
14	State?
15	MR. MENG: No, we're set, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Defense?
17	MS. PARK: No, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	All right, ladies and gentlemen. That concludes your service
20	as jurors in this case, and you are discharged as jurors. You're free now
21	to discuss the case with whoever you want, your family, your friends, or
22	whoever you would like. You're also free not to discuss the case with
23	anyone, if you don't want to discuss the case. All you need to do is tell
24	them you don't want to discuss it, and the 32 years that I was a litigator
25	 with the Department of Justice and the seven years I've been here on the

bench, I haven't had anyone tell me that when they said they didn't want to discuss the case, that people didn't honor that request. But you are free at this point to discuss it.

You have my thanks. You have the Parties' thanks, in terms of your participation. As I said yesterday, I do know -- we all know that this takes you away from your lives and your time and things you'd probably be much rather doing, but what you are doing is very, very important for the community, and I appreciate that, and so do the parties.

If you have just a couple minutes, I really would appreciate it if you'd go back with the marshal to the jury deliberation room, let me come back and personally thank you for your service, and see if you have any suggestions for the Court, in terms of how we operate or how we present the case, and give you the information that you need to make a decision.

So if you've got a minute, I really would appreciate it if you'd do that. If you don't have the time to do that, I understand, and you can take out and leave with my thanks and appreciation and the appreciation of the whole Court. But if you do have a minute, I'd appreciate it if you'd go with the marshal.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Jury excused at 4:18 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. Let's see. Make sure it's closed. All right.

Does either party -- is there anything we need to deal with

PLEADING CONTINUES IN NEXT VOLUME