IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

CLA PROPERTIES LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Appellant,

VS.

SHAWN BIDSAL, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondent.

CLA PROPERTIES LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Appellant,

VS.

SHAWN BIDSAL, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondent.

No. 8643 Electronically Filed
Nov 03 2023 12:18 PM
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

No. 86817

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 23

Robert L. Eisenberg, Esq. (SBN 950) LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor Reno, Nevada 89519 (775) 786-6868 rle@lge.net Counsel for Appellant Todd E. Kennedy, Esq. (SBN 6014) KENNEDY & COUVILLIER 3271 E. Warm Springs, Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 (702) 605-3440 tkennedy@kclawnv.com Counsel for Appellant

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
1.	Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment	6/17/22	1	1-24
	Exhibit 117: JAMS Final Award dated March 12, 2022		1	25-56
	Exhibit 122: Operating Agreement of Green Valley Commerce, LLC		1	57-85
2.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 1 of 18)	6/22/22	1	86
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		1	87
	Index [Incorrect]		1	88-98
	Exhibit 101: JAMS Arbitration Demand Form dated February 7, 2020		1	99-133
	Exhibit 102: Commencement of Arbitration dated March 2, 2020		1	134-149
	Exhibit 103: Respondent's Answer and Counter-Claim dated March 3, 2020		1	150-178
	Exhibit 104: Report of Preliminary Arbitration Conference and Scheduling Order dated April 30, 2020		1	179-184
	Exhibit 105: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Answer to Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's Counterclaim dated May 19, 2020		1	185-190
	Exhibit 106: Notice of Hearing for February 17 through August 3, 2020		1	191-195

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 2)	Exhibit 107: Notice of Hearing for February 17 through February 19, 2021 dated October 20, 2020		1	196-199
	Exhibit 108: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's First Amended Demand for Arbitration dated November 2, 2020		1	200-203
	Exhibit 109: Respondent's Fourth Amended Answer and Counter-Claim to Bidsal's First Amended Demand dated January 19, 2021		1	204-214
	Exhibit 110: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Answer to Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's Fourth Amended Counterclaim dated March 5, 2021		1	215-220
	Exhibit 111: Notice of Additional Hearing for June 25, 2021 dated April 29, 2021		1	221-226
	Exhibit 112: Notice of Additional Hearing for September 29 through September 30, 2021 dated August 9, 2021		1	227-232
]	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 2 of 18)	6/22/22	1	233
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		1	234
	Index [Incorrect]		1	235-245
	Exhibit 113: Final Award - Stephen E. Haberfeld, Arbitrator dated April 5, 2019		2	246-267

<u>NO.</u>]	DOCUMENT	DATE	<u>VOL.</u>	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 3)	Exhibit 114: Order Granting Petition for Confirmation of Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment and Denying Respondent's Opposition and Counterpetition to Vacate the Arbitrator's Award dated December 5, 2019		2	268-278
	Exhibit 115: Notice of Entry of Order Granting Petition for Confirmation of Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment and Denying Respondent's Opposition and Counterpetition to Vacate the Arbitration's Award dated December 16, 2019		2	279-293
	Exhibit 116: Interim Award dated October 20, 2021		2	294-321
	Exhibit 117: Final Award dated March 12, 2022		2	322-353
]	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 3 of 18)	6/22/22	2	354
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		2	355
	Index [Incorrect]		2	356-366
	Exhibit 118: Agreement for Sale and Purchase of Loan dated May 19, 2011		2	367-434
	Exhibit 119: Assignment and Assumption of Agreements dated May 31, 2011		2	435-438
	Exhibit 120: Final Settlement Statement – Note Purchase dated June 3, 2011		2	439-440
	Exhibit 121: GVC Articles of Organization dated May 26, 2011		2	441-442

<u>NO.</u> <u>D</u>	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 4)	Exhibit 122: GVC Operating Agreement		2	443-471
	Exhibit 123: Emails regarding Execution of GVC OPAG dated November 29, 2011 to December 12, 2011		2	472-476
	Exhibit 124: Declaration of CC&Rs for GVC dated March 16, 2011		3	477-557
	Exhibit 125: Deed in Lieu Agreement dated September 22, 2011		3	558-576
	Exhibit 126: Estimated Settlement Statement – Deed in Lieu Agreement dated September 22, 2011		3	577-578
	Exhibit 127: Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed dated September 22, 2011		3	579-583
V (1	ppendix to Movant CLA roperties, LLC's Motion to acate Arbitration Award NRS 38.241) and for Entry Judgment (Volume 4 of 18)	6/22/22	3	584
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		3	585
	Index [Incorrect]		3	586-596
	Exhibit 128: 2011 Federal Tax Return dated December 31, 2011		3	597-614
	Exhibit 129: Escrow Closing Statement on Sale of Building C dated September 10, 2012		3	615-617
	Exhibit 130: Distribution Breakdown from Sale of Building C dated April 22, 2013		3	618-621
	Exhibit 131: 2012 Federal Tax Return dated September 10, 2013		3	622-638

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 5	Exhibit 132: Letter to CLA Properties with 2012 K-1 dated August 8, 2013		3	639-646
	Exhibit 133: Escrow Settlement Statement for Purchase of Greenway Property dated March 8, 2013		3	647-649
6.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 5 of 18)	6/22/22	3	650
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		3	651
	Index [Incorrect]		3	652-662
	Exhibit 134: Cost Segregation Study dated March 15, 2013		4	663-791
7.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 6 of 18)	6/22/22	4	792
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		4	793
	Index [Incorrect]		4	794-804
	Exhibit 135: 2013 Federal Tax Return dated September 9, 2014		4	805-826
	Exhibit 136: Tax Asset Detail 2013 dated September 8, 2014		4	827-829
	Exhibit 137: Letter to CLA Properties with 2014 K-1 dated September 9, 2014		4	830-836
	Exhibit 138: Escrow Closing Statement on Sale of Building E dated November 13, 2014		4	837-838
	Exhibit 139: Distribution Breakdown from Sale of Building E dated November 13, 20	014	4	839-842

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 7	Exhibit 140: 2014 Federal Tax Return dated February 27, 2015		4	843-862
	Exhibit 141: Escrow Closing Statement on Sale of Building B dated August 25, 2015		4	863-864
	Exhibit 142: Distribution Breakdown from Sale of Building B dated August 25, 2015		4	865-870
	Exhibit 143: 2015 Federal Tax Return dated April 6, 2016		4	871-892
	Exhibit 144: 2016 Federal Tax Return dated March 14, 2017		5	893-914
	Exhibit 145: Letter to CLA Properties with 2016 K-1 dated March 14, 2017		5	915-926
	Exhibit 146: 2017 Federal Tax Return dated April 15, 2017		5	927-966
	Exhibit 147: Letter to CLA Properties with 2017 K-1 dated April 15, 2017		5	967-972
	Exhibit 148: 2018 Federal Tax Return dated August 2, 2019		5	973-992
	Exhibit 149: Letter to CLA Properties with 2018 K-1 dated April 10, 2018		5	993-1003
8.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 7 of 18)	6/22/22	5	1004
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		5	1005
	Index [Incorrect]		5	1006-1016
	Exhibit 150: 2019 Federal Tax Return (Draft) dated March 20, 2020		5	1017-1053

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 8	Exhibit 151: Letter to CLA Properties with 2019 K-1 dated March 20, 2020		5	1054-1063
	Exhibit 152: Emails Regarding CLA's Challenges to Distributions dated January 26 to April 22, 2016	8	5	1064-1082
	Exhibit 153: Buy-Out Correspondence – Bidsal Offer dated July 7, 2017		5	1083-1084
	Exhibit 154: Buy-Out Correspondence – CLA Counter dated August 3, 2017		5	1085-1086
	Exhibit 155: Buy-Out Correspondence – Bidsal Invocation dated August 5, 2017		5	1087-1088
	Exhibit 156: Buy-Out Correspondence – CLA Escrow dated August 28, 2017		5	1089-1093
	Exhibit 157: CLA Responses to First Set of Interrogatories dated June 22, 2020		5	1094-1102
	Exhibit 158: GVC Lease and Sales Advertising dated April 25, 2018		6	1103-1174
	Exhibit 159: Property Information dated August 10, 2020		6	1175-1177
9.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 8 of 18)	6/22/22	6	1178
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		6	1179
	Index [Incorrect]		6	1180-1190
	Exhibit 160: Deposition Transcript of David LeGrand dated March 20, 2018 (with Exhibits 1-39)		6 7 8 9	1191-1351 1352-1580 1581-1806 1807-1864

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
10.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 9 of 18)	6/22/22	9	1865
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		9	1866
	Index [Incorrect]		9	1867-1877
	Exhibit 161: Deed – Building C dated September 10, 2012		9	1878-1884
	Exhibit 162: Deed Building E dated November 13, 2014		9	1885-1893
	Exhibit 163: Email from Ben Golshani to Shawn Bidsal dated September 22, 2011		9	1894-1897
	Exhibit 164: Deed of Trust Notes (annotated) dated July 17, 2007		9	1898-1908
	Exhibit 165: Assignment of Lease and Rents dated July 17, 2007		9	1909-1939
	Exhibit 166: CLA Payment of \$404,250.00 dated May 29, 2011		9	1940-1941
	Exhibit 167: Operating Agreemen For Country Club, LLC dated June 15, 2011	t	9	1942-1970
	Exhibit 168: Email from David LeGrand to Shawn to Bidsal and Bedn Gloshani dated September 16, 2011		9	1971-2001
	Exhibit 169: GVC General Ledger 2011 dated December 31, 2011		9	2002-2004
	Exhibit 170: Green Valley Trial Balance Worksheet, Transaction Listing dated June 7, 2012		9	2005-2010

<u>NO.</u> <u>D</u> O	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 10)	Exhibit 171: Correspondence from Lita to Angelo re Country Blub 2012 Accounting dated January 21, 2016		9	2011-2013
	Exhibit 172: Email from Shawn Bidsal re Letter to WCICO dated January 21, 2016		9	2014-2017
	Exhibit 173: GVC Equity Balance Computation dated June 30, 2017		9	2018-2019
	Exhibit 174: Email from Ben Golshani to Jim Main dated July 21, 2017		9	2020-2021
	Exhibit 175: Email Communication between Ben Golshani and Jim Main dated July 25, 2017		9	2022-2025
	Exhibit 176: Email Communication from James Shapiro dated August 16, 2017		9	2026-2031
	Exhibit 177: Email Communication between Ben Golshani and Shawn Bidsal dated August 16, 2017		9	2032-2033
	Exhibit 178: Email Communication between Rodney T. Lewin and James Shapiro dated November 14, 2017		9	2034-2035
	Exhibit 179: Letter from Ben Golshani to Shawn Bidsal dated December 26, 2017		9	2036-2037
	Exhibit 180: Letter from Shawn Bidsal to Ben Golshani dated December 28, 2017		9	2038-2039
	Exhibit 181: Arbitration Final Award dated April 5, 2019		10	2040-2061
	Exhibit 182: Email from Ben Golshani to Shawn Bidsal dated June 30, 2019		10	2062-2063

NO. DO	OCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 10)	Exhibit 183: Email from Ben Golshani to Shawn Bidsal dated August 20, 2019		10	2064-2065
	Exhibit 184: Email Communication between CLA and Shawn Bidsal dated June 14, 2020		10	2066-2067
	Exhibit 185: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's First Supplemental Responses to Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's First Set of Interrogatories to Shawn Bidsal dated October 2, 2020		10	2068-2076
	Exhibit 186: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Responses to Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's Fifth Set of Requests for Production of Documents Upon Shawn Bidsal dated February 19, 2021		10	2077-2081
Pro Va (N	opendix to Movant CLA operties, LLC's Motion to acate Arbitration Award RS 38.241) and for Entry Judgment (Volume 10 of 18)	6/22/22	10	2082
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		10	2083
	Index [Incorrect]		10	2084-2094
	Exhibit 187: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Responses to Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's Sixth Set of Requests for Production of Documents Upon Shane Bidsal dated February 22, 2021		10	2095-2097
	Exhibit 188: 2019 Notes re Distributable Cash Building C dated July 11, 2005		10	2098-2099

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 1	Petition for Confirmation of Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment and Denying Respondent's Opposition and Counterpetition to Vacate the Arbitrator's Award dated December 6, 2019		10	2100-2110
	Exhibit 190: Plaintiff Shawn Bidsal's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award dated April 9, 2019		10	2111-2152
	Exhibit 191: Notice of Appeal dated January 9, 2020		10	2153-2155
	Exhibit 192: Case Appeal Statement dated January 9, 2020		10	2156-2160
	Exhibit 193: Respondent's Motion for Stay Pending Appeal dated January 17, 2020		10 11	2161-2286 2287-2325
12.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 11 of 18)	6/22/22	11	2326
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		11	2327
	Index [Incorrect]		11	2328-2338
	Exhibit 194: Notice of Entry of Order Granting Respondent's Motion for Stay Pending Appeal dated March 10, 2020		11	2339-2344
	Exhibit 195: Notice of Posting Case in Lieu of Bond dated March 20, 2020		11	2345-2349
	Exhibit 196: (LIMITED) Arbitration #1 Exhibits 23-42 (Portions of 198 admitted: Exs. 26 and 40 within 198)		11	2350-2412

<u>NO.</u> <u>D</u>	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 12)	Exhibit 197: Rebuttal Report Exhibit 1 Annotated (Gerety Schedule) dated July 11, 2005		11	2413-2416
	Exhibit 198: Chris Wilcox Schedules dated August 13, 2020		11	2417-2429
	Exhibit 199: Rebuttal Report Exhibit 3 dated December 31, 201	17	11	2430-2431
	Exhibit 200: Distribution Breakdown dated November 13, 2014 and August 28, 2015		11	2432-2434
	Exhibit 201: Respondent's Motion to Resolve Member Dispute Re Which Manager Should be Day to Day Manager and Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declarations of Benjamin Golshani and Rodey T. Lewin in Support Thereof dated May 20, 2020		11 12	2435-2530 2531-2547
Pr V (N	ppendix to Movant CLA roperties, LLC's Motion to acate Arbitration Award JRS 38.241) and for Entry Judgment (Volume 12 of 18)	6/22/22	12	2548
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		12	2549
	Index [Incorrect]		12	2550-2560
	Exhibit 202: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Opposition Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Resolve Member Dispute Re Which Manager Should be Day to Day Manager dated June 10, 2020 (with Exhibits 1-62	2)	12 13 14	2561-2775 2776-3016 3017-3155
	Exhibit 203: Request for Oral Arguments: Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Resolve Member Dispute Re Which Manager Should be Day to Day Manager dated June 17, 2020		14	3156-3158

NO. Do	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 13)	Exhibit 204: Respondent's Reply Memorandum of Point and Authorities and Declarations Benjamin Golshani and Rodney T. Lewin in Support of Motion to Resolve member Dispute Re Which Manager Should be Day to Day Manager dated June 24, 2020		14	3159-3179
	Exhibit 205: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Supplement to Opposition to Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Resolve Member Dispute Re Which Manager Should be Day to Day Manager dated July 7, 202	0	14	3180-3193
	Exhibit 206: CLA's Supplement to Brief re Motion to Resolve Member Dispute Re Which Manager Should be Day to Day Manager – Tender Issue and Declaration of Benjamin Golshani in Support of Motion dated July 13, 2020		14	3194-3213
	Exhibit 207: Order on Pending Motions dated July 20, 2020		14	3214-3221
Pr Va (N	opendix to Movant CLA operties, LLC's Motion to acate Arbitration Award IRS 38.241) and for Entry Judgment (Volume 13 of 18)	6/22/22	14	3222
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		14	3223
	Index [Incorrect]		14	3224-3234
	Exhibit 208: CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Compel Answers to First Set of Interrogatories to Shawn Bidsal dated July 16, 2020		14 15	3235-3262 3263-3292

<u>NO.</u> <u>D</u>	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 14)	Exhibit 209: Exhibits to CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Compel Answers to First Set of Interrogatories to Shawn Bidsal dated July 16, 2020		15	3293-3332
	Exhibit 210: Claimant's Opposition to Respondent's Motion to Compel Answers to First Set of Interrogatories to Shawn Bidsal and Countermot to Stay Proceedings dated July 24, 2020		15	3333-3456
	Exhibit 211: Respondent CLA Properties, LLC Reply to Opposition by Claimant (Bidsa CLA's Motion to Compel Furt Answers to Interrogatories dated July 27, 2020	al) to	15	3457-3464
	Exhibit 212: CLA Properties, I Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Answers to First Set o Interrogatories and Opposition to Countermotion to Stay Proceedings dated July 28, 202	\mathbf{f}	15	3465-3489
	Exhibit 213: Order on Respondent's Motion to Compel and Amended Scheduling Order dated August 3, 2020		15	3490-3494
	Exhibit 214: Claimant's Emergency Motion to Quash Subpoenas and for Protective Order dated June 25, 2020		16	3495-3524
	Exhibit 215: CLA Properties, LLC's Opposition to Emergen Motion to Quash Subpoenas and for Protective Order dated June 29, 2020	cy	16	3525-3536
	Exhibit 216: Claimant's Reply to Opposition to Motion to Qu Subpoenas and for Protecive Order dated June 30, 2020		16	3537-3539

<u>NO.</u> <u>D</u>	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 14)	Exhibit 217: Order on Pending Motions dated July 20, 2020		16	3540-3547
P V (1	Appendix to Movant CLA roperties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award NRS 38.241) and for Entry f Judgment (Volume 14 of 18)	6/22/22	16	3548
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		16	3549
	Index [Incorrect]		16	3550-3560
	Exhibit 218: CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Compel Further Responses to First Set of Interrogatories to Shawn Bidsal and for Production of Documents dated October 7, 2020		16	3561-3616
	Exhibit 219: Rodney Lewin and James Shapiro Email Chain dated October 19, 2020		16	3617-3619
	Exhibit 220: Claimant's Opposition to Respondent's Motion to Compel Further Responses to First Set of Interrogatories to Shawn Bidsal And for Production of Documents dated October 19, 2020		16	3620-3629
	Exhibit 221: CLA Properties, LLC's Reply to Opposition to Motion to Compel Further Responses to First Set of Interrogatories to Shawn Bidsal and for Production of Documents dated October 22, 2020		16	3630-3650
	Exhibit 222: Order on Respondent's Motion to Compel Further Responses to First Set of Interrogatories to Shawn Bidsal and for Production of Documents dated November 9, 2020		16	3651-3657

NO. DO	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 15)	Exhibit 223: CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Continue Proceedings dated November 5, 2020		16	3658-3663
	Exhibit 224: Order on Respondent's Motion to Continue Proceedings and Second Amended Scheduling Order dated November 17, 2020		16	3664-3669
	Exhibit 225: Letter to Honorable David Wall (Ret.) Requesting Leave to Amend dated January 19, 2021		16	3670-3676
	Exhibit 226: Respondent's Fourth Amended Answer and Counterclaim to Bidsal's First Amended Demand dated January 19, 2021		16	3677-3687
	Exhibit 227: Claimant's Opposition to Respondent / Counterclaimant's Motion for Leave to file Fourth Amended Answer and Counterclaim dated January 29, 2021		16	3688-3732
	Exhibit 228: Respondent / Counterclaimant's Reply in Support of Motion for Leave to File Fourth Amended Answer and Counterclaim dated February 2, 2021		16	3733-3736
	Exhibit 229: Order on Respondent's Pending Motions dated February 4, 2021		16	3737-3743
	Exhibit 230: CLA Properties, LLC's Emergency Motion for Order Compelling the Completion of the Deposition of Jim Main, CPA dated January 26, 2021		17	3744-3793

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 1	Exhibit 231: Claimant's Opposition to Respondent / Counterclaimant's Emergency Motion for Order Compelling the Completion of the Deposition of Jim Main, CPA dated January 29, 2021		17 18	3794-3993 3994-4029
	Exhibit 232: Jim Main's Opposition and Joinder to Claimant's Opposition to Respondent / Counterclaimant's Emergency Motion for Order Compelling the Completion of the Deposition of Jim Main, CPA dated February 1, 2021		18	4030-4032
	Exhibit 233: CLA Properties, LLC's Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Order Compelling the Completion of the Deposition of Jim Main, CPA dated February 3, 2021		18	4033-4038
	Exhibit 234: Order on Respondent's Pending Motions dated February 4, 2021		18	4039-4045
16.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 15 of 18)	6/22/22	18	4046
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		18	4047
	Index [Incorrect]		18	4048-4058
	Exhibit 235: CLA Properties, LLC Motion for Orders (1) Compelling Claimant to Restore/Add CLA to all Green Valley Bank Accounts; (2) Provide CLA with Keys to all of Green Valley Properties; and (3) Prohibiting Distributions to the Members until the Sales of the Membership Interest in Issue in this Arbitration is Consumated and the Membership Interest is Conveyed dated February 5, 2021		18	4059-4101

<u>NO.</u> <u>DO</u>	<u>DCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 16)	Exhibit 236: Claimant's Opposition to Respondent / Counterclaimant's Motion for Orders (1) Compelling Claimant To Restore / Add CLA to All Green Valley Bank Accounts; (2) Provide CLA with Keys to All Green Valley Properties; and (3) Prohibiting Distributions to The Members until the Sale of The Membership Interest in Issue in this Arbitration is Consummated and the Membership Interest is Conveyed dated February 19, 2021		18	4102-4208
	Exhibit 237: Order on Respondent's Motion for Various Orders dated February 22, 2021		18	4209-4215
	Exhibit 238: CLA Motion in Limine re Bidsal's Evidence re Taxes dated March 5, 2021		18	4216-4222
	Exhibit 239: Claimant's Opposition to CLA's Motion in Limine Regarding Bidsal's Evidence re Taxes dated March 11, 2021		18	4223-4229
	Exhibit 240: Ruling – Arbitration Day 1 p. 11 dated March 17, 2021		18	4230-4231
	Exhibit 241: CLA Properties, LLC's Motion in Limine Re Failure to Tender dated March 5, 2021		19	4232-4329
	Exhibit 242: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Opposition to Respondent CLA Properties, LLC's Motion in Limine Re Failure to Tender dated March 11, 2021		19	4330-4354
	Exhibit 243: CLA Properties, LLC's Reply to Shawn Bidsal's Opposition Re Failure to Tender dated March 12, 2021		19	4355-4430

<u>NO.</u> <u>D</u>	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 16)	Exhibit 244: Ruling – Arbitration Day 1 pp 15-17 dated March 17, 2021		19	4431-4434
	Exhibit 245: CLA's Motion to Withdrawal Exhibit 188 dated March 26, 2021		19	4435-4437
	Exhibit 246: Claimant's Opposition to CLA's Motion to Withdraw Exhibit 188 dated March 31, 2021		19	4438-4439
	Exhibit 247: CLA's Reply to Bidsal's Opposition to the Motion to Withdraw Exhibit 188 dated March 31, 2021	:	19	4440-4442
	Exhibit 248: Order on Respondent's Motion to Withdraw Exhibit 188 dated April 5, 2021		19	4443-4445
Pi V (N	ppendix to Movant CLA roperties, LLC's Motion to acate Arbitration Award IRS 38.241) and for Entry Judgment (Volume 16 of 18)	6/22/22	19	4446
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		19	4447
	Index [Incorrect]		19	4448-4458
	Exhibit 249: CLA Properties, LLC's Brief Re: (1) Waiver of the Attorney-Client Privilege; and (2) Compelling the Testimony of David LeGrand, Esq. dated May 21, 2021	;	19	4459-4474
	Exhibit 250: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Brief Regarding the Testimony of David LeGrand dated June 11, 2021		20	4475-4569
	Exhibit 251: CLA's Properties, LLC Supplemental Brief Re: (1) Waiver of the Attorney-Client Privilege; and (2) Compelling the Testimony of David LeGrand, Esc dated July 9, 2021		20	4570-4577

<u>NO.</u> <u>D</u>	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 17)	Exhibit 252: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Supplemental Brief Regarding the Testimony of David LeGrand dated July 23, 2021		20	4578-4595
	Exhibit 253: Order Regarding Testimony of David LeGrand dated September 10, 2021		20	4596-4604
	Exhibit 254: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Application for Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs dated November 12, 2021		20	4605-4687
	Exhibit 255: Respondent / Counterclaimant CLA Properties, LLC's Opposition to Claimant Bidsal's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs dated December 3, 2021		21	4688-4757
	Exhibit 256: Claimant's Reply in Support of Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs dated December 17, 2021		21	4758-4806
	Exhibit 257: Respondent / Counterclaimant CLA Properties, LCC's Supplemental Opposition to Claimant's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs dated December 23, 2021		21	4807-4838
	Exhibit 258: Response to CLA Properties' Rogue Supplemental Opposition dated December 29, 2021		21	4839-4946
	Exhibit 259: Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Supplemental Application for Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs dated January 12, 2022		21 22	4847-4930 4931-4964

<u>NO.</u> <u>DO</u>	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 17)	Exhibit 260: Respondent's Second Supplemental Opposition to Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs dated January 26, 2022		22	4965-4998
	Exhibit 261: Claimant's Second Supplemental Reply in Support of Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Application for Award of Attorney Fees and Costs dated February 15, 2022		22	4999-5052
Pro Va (N.	opendix to Movant CLA operties, LLC's Motion to cate Arbitration Award RS 38.241) and for Entry Judgment (Volume 17 of 18)	6/22/22	22	5053
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		22	5054
	Index [Incorrect]		22	5055-5065
	Exhibit 262: Transcript of Proceedings – Honorable Stephen E. Haberfeld Volume 1 dated May 8, 2018		23	5066-5287
	Exhibit 263: Transcript of Proceedings – Honorable Stephen E. Haberfeld Volume 2 dated May 9, 2018		23 24	5288-5313 5314-5549
	Exhibit 264: Arbitration Hearing Transcript Day 1 dated March 17, 2021		25 26	5550-5797 5798-5953
	Exhibit 265: Arbitration Hearing Transcript Day 2 dated March 18, 2021		26 27 28	5954-6046 6047-6260 6261-6341
	Exhibit 266: Arbitration Hearing Transcript Day 3 dated March 19, 2021		28 29 30	6342-6505 6506-6705 6706-6798
	Exhibit 267: Arbitration Hearing Transcript Day 4 dated April 26, 2021		30 31	6799-6954 6955-7117

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
19.	Appendix to Movant CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment (Volume 18 of 18)	6/22/22	31	7118
	Note Regarding Incorrect Index		31	7119
	Index [Incorrect]		31	7120-7130
	Exhibit 268: Arbitration Hearing Transcript Day 5 dated April 27, 2021		31 32	7131-7202 7203-7358
	Exhibit 269: Reporter's Transcript dated June 25, 2021		32	7359-7410
	Exhibit 270: Remote Transcript of Proceedings dated August 5, 2021		33	7411-7531
	Exhibit 271: Transcript of Proceedings Arbitration dated September 29, 2021		33 34	7532-7657 7658-7783
	Exhibit 272: Transcript of Hearing Proceedings dated January 5, 2022		34	7784-7814
	Exhibit 273: Transcript of Telephonic Hearing Proceedings dated February 28, 2022		34	7815-7859
	Exhibit 274: Appellant Shawn Bidsal's Opening Brief (Supreme Court of Nevada, Appear from Case No. A-19-795188-P, District Court, Clark County, NV) dated November 24, 2020		35	7860-7934
	Exhibit 275: Respondent's Opposition to CLA's Petition for Confirmation of Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment and Counterpetition to Vacate Arbitration Award (<i>Case No. A-19-795188-P, District Court, Clark County, NV</i>) dated July 15, 2019		35	7935-7975

<u>NO.</u> <u>DO</u>	<u>DCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 19)	Exhibit 276: Order of Affirmance (In Re: Petition of CLA Properties, LLC C/W 80831 Nos. 80427; 80831, <i>Order of Affirmance</i> , unpublished Deposition) dated March 17, 2022		35	7976-7981
	Exhibit 277: 2011-2019 Green Valley Commerce Distribution		35	7982-7984
Pro Va (N of Co	dsal's Opposition to CLA operties, LLC's Motion to leate Arbitration Award RS 38.241) and for Entry Judgment and Bidsal's ountermotion to Confirm bitration Award	9/1/22	35	7985-8016
	Exhibit 1: Declaration of Shawn Bidsal in Support of Claimant Shawn Bidsal's Opposition to Respondent CLA Properties, LLC Motion to Resolve Member Dispute Re Which Manage Should be Day to Day Manager dated June 10, 2020		35	8017-8027
	Exhibit 2: Affidavit of Benjamin Golshani in Opposition to Respondent's Motion for Stay Pending Appeal dated January 31, 2020		35	8028-8041
	Exhibit 3: Articles of Organization for Green Valley Commerce, LLC dated May 26, 2011		35	8042-8043
	Exhibit 4: Final Settlement Statement for Green Valley Commerce, LLC dated September 3, 2011		35	8044-8045
	Exhibit 5: Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed dated September 22, 2011		35	8046-8050
	Exhibit 6: Estimated Settlement Statement dated September 22, 2011		35	8051-8052

<u>NO.</u> <u>D</u>	<u>OCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 20)	Exhibit 7: Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions and Reservation of Comments for Green Valley Commerce Center dated March 16, 2012		35 36	8053-8097 8098-8133
	Exhibit 8: Seller's Closing Statement – Final dated September 10, 2012		36	8134-8136
	Exhibit 9: Operating Agreement for Green Valley Commerce, LLC		36	8137-8165
	Exhibit 10: Schedule with Check of Distributions sent from Shawn Bidsal to Benjamin Golshani		36	8166-8169
	Exhibit 11: Seller's Closing Statement – Final dated November 14, 2014		36	8170-8171
	Exhibit 12: Schedule of Distributions		36	8172-8175
	Exhibit 13: Seller's Settlement Statement dated August 31, 2015		36	8176-8177
	Exhibit 14: CLA Properties, LLC's Election to Purchase Membership Interest dated August 3, 2017		36	8178-8179
	Exhibit 15: Correspondence from Rodney T. Lewin to James E. Shapiro Re Proof of Funds to Purchase Membership Interest		36	8180-8184
	Exhibit 16: Demand for Arbitration Form dated September 26, 2017		36	8185-8190
	Exhibit 17: JAMS Arbitration Final Award dated April 4, 2019		36	8191-8212

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 2	20) Exhibit 18: Demand for Arbitration Form dated February 7, 2020		36	8213-8247
	Exhibit 19: Respondent's Answer and Counter-Claim dated March 4, 2020		36	8248-8276
	Exhibit 20: JAMS Final Award dated March 12, 2022		36	8277-8308
	Exhibit 21: Order of Affirmance dated March 17, 2022		36	8309-8314
	Exhibit 22: Remittitur from Supreme Court of the State of Nevada dated June 10, 2022		36	8315-8319
	Exhibit 23: Correspondence from James E. Shapiro to Benjamin Golshani Re Offer to Purchase Membership Interest dated July 7, 2017		36	8320-8321
	Exhibit 24: Cashier's Check		36	8322-8323
21.	CLA's Reply in Support of Motion to Vacate (Partially) Arbitration Award	10/7/22	37	8324-8356
22.	CLA's Opposition to Shawn Bidsal's Countermotion to Confirm Arbitration Award	10/7/22	37	8357-8359
	Exhibit 1: Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment dated June 17, 2022		37	8360-8445
	Exhibit 2: CLA's Reply in Support of Motion to Vacate [Partially] Arbitration Award dated October 7, 2022		37	8446-8479
23.	Bidsal's Reply in Support of Bidsal's Countermotion to Confirm Arbitration Award	10/31/22	37	8480-8505

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 2	Exhibit 25: Arbitration Hearing Partial Transcript Day 3 dated March 19, 2021		37	8506-8511
24.	Order Granting Bidsal's Countermotion to Confirm Arbitration Award and Denying CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award	3/20/23	37	8512-8521
25.	Notice of Entry of Order {Order Granting Bidsal's Countermotion to Confirm Arbitration Award and Denying CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award dated March 20, 2023}	3/21/23	37	8522-8533
26.	Transcript of Hearing Re: Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment dated February 7, 2023	4/11/23	38	8534-8660
27.	CLA Properties, LLC's Notice of Appeal	4/17/23	38	8661-8672
28.	CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Approve Payment of Fees Award in Full and for Order Preserving Appeal Rights as to the Fees and Right to Return if Appeal is Successful and Request for Order Shortening Time	5/4/23	38	8673-8680
	Exhibit A: Declaration of Todd Kennedy, Esq. dated April 27, 2023		38	8681-8684
29.	Bidsal's Opposition to CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Approve Payment of Fees Award in Full and for Order Preserving Appeal Right as to the Fees and Right to Return if Appeal is Successful on Order Shortening Time	5/8/23	38	8685-8692

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 2	29) Exhibit 1: Transcript of Proceedings Re Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award (NRS 38.241) and for Entry of Judgment dated April 11, 2023		38 39	8693-8782 8783-8802
	Exhibit 2: JAMS Final Award dated March 12, 2022		39	8803-8834
30.	Recorder's Transcript of Pending Motions dated May 9, 2023	5/12/23	39	8835-8878
31.	Recorder's Transcript of Pending Motion dated May 11, 2023	5/15/23	39	8879-8888
32.	Order Regarding Bidsal's Motion to Reduce Award to Judgment and for an Award for Attorney Fees and Costs and Judgment	5/24/23	39	8889-8893
33.	Order Denying CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Approve Payment of Fees Award in Full and for Order Preserving Appeal Rights as to the Fees and Right to Return if Appeal is Successful	5/24/23	39	8894-8898
34.	Notice of Entry of Order Denying CLA Properties, LLC's Motion to Approve Payment of Fees Award in Full and for Order Preserving Appeal Rights as to the Fees and Right to Return if Appeal is Successful	5/24/23	39	8899-8905
35.	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Bidsal's Motion to Reduce Award to Judgment and for an Award for Attorney Fees and Costs and Judgment	5/25/23	39	8906-8915
36.	CLA Properties, LLC's Supplemental Notice of Appeal	6/20/23	39	8916-8917
37.	CLA Properties, LLC's Errata to Supplemental Notice of Appeal	6/23/23	39	8918-8931

EXHIBIT 262

```
1
                           J A M S
 2
 3
 4
     CLA PROPERTIES,
 5
               Claimant,
                                Reference No. 1260004569
 6
                 vs.
 7
     SHAWN BIDSAL,
 8
               Respondent.
 9
10
11
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12
      Taken Before the Honorable Stephen E. Haberfeld
                           Volume I
13
14
                      Las Vegas, Nevada
15
                         May 8, 2018
                          11:12 a.m.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
          Reported by: Heidi K. Konsten, RPR, CCR
          Nevada CCR No. 845 - NCRA RPR No. 816435
23
                          JOB NO. 469894
24
25
```

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL	
2	For the Claimant:	
3	RODNEY T. LEWIN, ESQ. Law Offices of Rodney T. Lewin	
4	8665 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 210	
5	Beverly Hills, California 90211 (310) 659-6771	
6	(310) 659-7354 Fax	
7	rod@rtlewin.com	
8	For the Respondent:	
9	JAMES E. SHAPIRO, ESQ. Smith & Shapiro	
10	3333 East Serene Suite 130	
11	Henderson, Nevada 89074 (702) 318-5033	
12	(702) 318-5034 Fax jshapiro@smithshapiro.com	
13	- and -	
14	DANIEL L. GOODKIN, ESQ. Goodkin & Lynch, LLP	
15	1875 Century Park East Suite 1860	
16	Los Angeles, California 90067 (702) 552-3322	
17	(702) 943-1589 Fax doodkinlynch.com	
18	The Arbitrator:	
19	Honorable Stephen E. Haberfeld, ESQ.	
20	JAMS 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway	
21	11th Floor	
22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 457-5267	
23	(702) 437-5267 Fax	
24	* * * * *	
25		

1	WITNESS INDEX	Page 3
2		Page
3	BENJAMIN GOLSHANI	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Lewin	35
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Shaprio	112
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Lewin	176
7	* * * *	
8		
9	SHAWN BIDSAL	
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Lewin	178
11	* * * *	
12	* * * *	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
1		

	Page 4
1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
2	Tuesday, May 8, 2018
3	11:12 a.m.
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	* * * * *
6	
7	THE ARBITRATOR: On the record.
8	Good morning again, all. We have had
9	off-the-record conversations prior to going on the
10	record with the welcome arrival of our court
11	reporter. This being JAMS arbitration reference
12	No. 1260004569, CLA Properties, LLC vs. Shawn
13	Bidsal.
14	May I have appearances, please.
15	MR. LEWIN: Yes. Rodney Lewin appearing
16	on behalf of the claimant, CLA Properties.
17	MR. SHAPIRO: Jim Shapiro on behalf of
18	Shawn Bidsal.
19	MR. GOODKIN: And Dan Goodkin, as well,
20	for Shawn Bidsal.
21	THE ARBITRATOR: And may I also have the
22	appearances of the other people in our hearing
23	room, please.
24	MR. SHAPIRO: Shawn Bidsal is present.
25	MR. LEWIN: And go ahead.

	Page 5
1	MR. GOLSHANI: Benjamin Golshani from
2	CLA Properties.
3	THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
4	MR. LEWIN: And Shawn Golshani.
5	THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
6	MR. LEWIN: Mr. Golshani's son.
7	THE ARBITRATOR: And has our court
8	reporter been provided yet with the correct
9	spelling of everybody's name? Let's do that at
10	the break, if we don't have that to her already.
11	While we were off the record,
12	preparatory to a formal start of our first
13	evidentiary session of the merits hearing of our
14	matter, we talked about several categories of
15	things.
16	One of the first things that I would
17	like to get into is the rules of evidence, if any,
18	that we're going to be following: And and
19	since there appears to be no contractual or other
20	written stipulation or contract requiring the
21	Arbitrator to follow any set of rules of evidence,
22	such as the Federal Rules of Evidence, the
23	Arbitrator, under the applicable JAMS Arbitration
24	Rules, which govern this arbitration, has the
25	discretion. And the exercise of that discretion

	Page 6
1	will relax the rules of evidence so that just
2	about everything which is offered in evidence will
3	be received in evidence going to the weight, if
4	any, to be given by the Arbitrator at the close of
5	the evidence.
6	For example and as also alluded to in
7	the conversation off the record all exhibits
8	which have been premarked and exchanged, which are
9	in the three binders which are in front of the
10	Arbitrator, two binders which appear to be from
11	respondent and one binder of which appears to be
12	from claimant, each and all of those exhibits are
13	now deemed to be received in evidence.
14	As discussed off the record, the
15	Arbitrator believes that it is not necessary in
16	our arbitration to lay a foundation for,
17	authenticate, or to move into evidence these
18	things. And so rather than to follow the usual
19	court procedure, where things are out until
20	they're in, following the steps that I alluded to,
21	everything is until they're out.
22	By that reference, the Arbitrator means
23	to say that these things are in evidence.
24	However, if any side believes that the exhibits of
25	the other side should not be in evidence, please

1	Page 7 let the Arbitrator know, preferably in writing via
2	written objection, what that objection is unless
3	it's so serious that you believe that we need to
4	do that in-hearing. And that would go to, for
5	example and hopefully not present in any way in
6	our arbitration manufactured or altered
7	evidence or anything where the bona fides of the
8	documentation are false or fraudulent or in any
9	way inappropriate or anything like that.
10	With respect to testimony, similarly,
11	just about anything that comes in on direct
12	examination and I have been alerted by
13	claimant's counsel that there may be some sectors
14	of testimony that are objected to as irrelevant
15	but just about anything on direct that comes in or
16	is offered will come in subject to a serious
17	consideration of objection.
18	On cross-examination, it is a different
19	exercise and I'm speaking to counsel and to
20	witnesses that the Arbitrator believes that
21	cross-examination is very, very important. It is
22	what the Arbitrator regards as an engine of truth.
23	And in aid of making that engine of truth work and
24	be effective, I encourage robust
25	cross-examination, by which which, by the same

1	token, means for the side that is not on the
2	cross-examining side, avoiding making objections
3	or otherwise engaging in any any conduct or
4	behavior which the Arbitrator and cross-examining
5	counsel feel unduly interferes with that
6	cross-examination.
7	Among the kinds of things that I
8	usually occur are two objections which are
9	disfavored by the Arbitrator, which are asked and
10	answered if we get to something about three or
11	four times, which appears to be an asked and
12	answered question, at that point, I generally much
13	more seriously consider sustaining such an
14	objection and unduly vague and ambiguous.
15	Those two objections are disfavored
16	because they do tend to interrupt
17	cross-examination. And cross-examination, very
18	often, depends for its effectiveness of the
19	opportunity of cross-examining counsel to ask a
20	question more than once to see if the answer
21	remains the same.
22	And unduly vague and ambiguous is
23	disfavored because in almost every arbitration
24	where I am the leader, the witnesses tend to be
25	educated, sophisticated, and knowledgeable

1	sufficiently so that they understand if there's a
2	difficulty with the question and don't need an
3	interruption by non-questioning counsel on the
4	grounds of unduly vague and ambiguous.
5	This is not a jury trial. This is a
6	trial to the Arbitrator as if it's a court trial,
7	so that I would ask you, as non-questioning
8	counsel, to refrain from any kind of objection
9	unless you truly believe that it's necessary to
10	make an interruption of the other side's
11	cross-examination.
12	We also spoke about post-hearing
13	briefing, which the Arbitrator has suggested
14	include closing argument and legal briefing; that
15	if a particular side wants to have oral argument
16	in addition to closing, written argument, that I
17	would consider that and likely permit it if it's
18	brief and and deemed to be important.
19	But since we have a court reporter, the
20	stakes in this arbitration are viewed by the
21	Arbitrator as being high enough to warrant the
22	post-hearing briefing. References to the hearing
23	transcript, which will be prepared of our
24	proceedings, and the Arbitrator's suggested, for
25	further consideration, possible order, concurrent

1	opening briefs, and then concurrent reply briefs,
2	as being a a recommended but not yet required
3	way of proceeding, because that has been and
4	continues to be a means which is very, very useful
5	to the Arbitrator.
6	I believe we discussed other things, but
7	I think we can put those to rest for the moment.
8	Is there anything that we should do by
9	way of additional procedural conversation before
10	we go to opening argument?
11	Yes, Mr. Shapiro.
12	MR. SHAPIRO: So I just wanted to put on
13	the record that we have an agreement between the
14	parties to split the cost of the court reporter
15	for these proceedings. I just wanted to get that
16	on the record.
17	MR. LEWIN: Sure.
18	THE ARBITRATOR: And under the under
19	the JAMS rules, that is both welcome and provides
20	the basis for the Arbitrator to say in that event
21	that our court reporter is here by agreement, and
22	that the transcript that will be prepared by our
23	court reporter of our proceedings will be the
24	official record of our arbitration.
25	So stipulated, Mr. Shapiro?

1	Page 11 MR. SHAPIRO: Yes.
2	MR. LEWIN: Yes, so stipulated.
3	
	THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much.
4	So
5	MR. LEWIN: But there was one question.
6	THE ARBITRATOR: I very much
7	appreciate that.
8	MR. LEWIN: We do have that one issue.
9	I said I thought there were a couple of areas that
10	were in terms of the evidentiary issues that
11	were segregated enough to have us bring up,
12	because in terms of whether they're relevant or
13	not.
14	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
15	MR. LEWIN: The first area is and
16	there's some time spent in the respondent's brief
17	about who's the drafter. And we touched on this
18	in our brief, that there is a recital in the
19	operating agreement as to who the drafter is,
20	which is, under both California law and Nevada
21	law, a conclusive presumption. California code is
22	Evidence Code 622, and the Nevada law is
23	section NRS Section 47.240, Subsection 2.
24	It's a it's a conclusive a
25	conclusive presumption. The the recital is

	D 10
1	Page 12 that the attorney for the company, David LeGrand,
2	is the drafter of the document. So there should
3	be, in my opinion, no evidence offered as to
4	trying to figure out who who is the builder
5	who is the drafter because that should be the long
6	and short of it.
7	That's one that's one area. I don't
8	know if you want to take each area as they go
9	as they go forward.
10	THE ARBITRATOR: How will that affect
11	how much time is involved in testimony as opposed
12	to the legal issue presented?
13	MR. LEWIN: Well, I think it's a they
14	spent a significant amount of time on it. There's
15	a number of exhibits that they have on it. I
16	mean, there's no secret about it.
17	The evidence is going to show that how
18	these documents came to be done is a matter of
19	evidence, but that after a series of operating
20	agreements that were being prepared by
21	Mr. LeGrand that's the attorney for the
22	company the there was a two two
23	rough two drafts two proposed drafts of some
24	language for the buy/sell part that were prepared
25	by Mr. Golshani. The evidence comes in they were

```
Page 13
 1
    prepared in conjunction with Mr. Bidsal.
 2
               They were -- that was provided to Mr. --
 3
     the second of those was provided to Mr. LeGrand,
 4
     who then provided a separate -- his own version of
          But it's a significant amount of time, since
 5
 6
     a significant amount of time was spent in his
 7
     deposition, and I think we're probably going to
 8
     spend a significant amount of time on it.
 9
     it's a conclusive presumption, it's a conclusive
10
    presumption.
11
               THE ARBITRATOR: Well, if that's
12
     something which is dispositive at the outset of
13
     our arbitration, do you have a bench memo or is it
     already in your brief so that I can take a quick
14
15
     look at it? Because my inclination would be that
16
     if it doesn't require very much in the way of
     arbitration hearing time, I would prefer not to
17
     have to rule on it until after I've taken all of
18
19
     the evidence up.
2.0
               MR. LEWIN: And that's a -- that's --
21
     then I'll --
2.2
               THE ARBITRATOR: That's --
23
               MR. LEWIN:
                           It's not going to take
24
             It's not going to take hours. It's going
25
     to -- but there is -- I believe that based on
```

1	the it is one of the it is one of the areas
2	of the respondent's position is that if there's
3	an ambiguity, that CLA is charged with it because
4	Golshani is the drafter. We're going to go
5	through that, if we need to, to show that he's not
6	necessarily the drafter and
7	THE ARBITRATOR: My suggestion would be
8	that that's what one of the reasons why we're
9	in this arbitration hearing. And if we were going
10	to cut that off, I I would think that that
11	should have been presented maybe at a much earlier
12	time for me to to do that and maybe even save
13	us a trip, but
14	MR. LEWIN: Well, I don't think I
15	don't think, by the way, that it I think
16	there's still an issue as to the interpretation of
17	the agreement. The issue that the issue that
18	I'm raising, and the issue that they have raised,
19	is that who if the agreement is ambiguous,
20	which we don't really believe it is, but if the
21	agreement is ambiguous it was ambiguous enough
22	for us not to get summary judgment. So but but
23	if the agreement is ambiguous, then, you know,
24	their position is, is that there's that
25	ambiguity is charged to the drafter and we say

	Page 15
1	there's no drafter. And so it wouldn't
2	THE ARBITRATOR: And I think and I
3	think that I need to reserve that and and I'm
4	inclined not to do that at this time, unless it
5	would be unduly consummative of hearing time, so
6	that really makes sense to put the bit in my teeth
7	and decide the legal issue that you're presenting.
8	However, it appears to the Arbitrator
9	that if those statutory sections have a bearing on
10	our case, bring it to my attention, but it does
11	bear on contractual interpretation issues.
12	MR. LEWIN: All right.
13	THE ARBITRATOR: And sometimes, if
14	something isn't perfect equipoints, who the
15	drafter is or isn't may tip the balance. And so
16	that's what I'm I'm sort of hearing might be
17	the case in our case.
18	MR. LEWIN: Well, I don't think I
19	don't think he is the drafter. Forgetting about
20	the presumption, I don't think that the evidence
21	is going to show that the drafter there's an
22	attorney we'll address that as we go.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Let me hear from
24	Mr. Shapiro.
25	MR. GOODKIN: Well, I'll address

1	Your Honor.
2	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very well.
3	MR. GOODKIN: The evidence is going to
4	come in like counsel said, so we're really just
5	talking about legal I'm sure that will be
6	addressed in closing argument, so I don't think
7	there's any reason to address it now.
8	THE ARBITRATOR: What would be the
9	position of your side on it just so the record and
10	the Arbitrator are clear? We've heard on
11	claimant's side. What's respondent's side?
12	MR. GOODKIN: Oh, the evidence the
13	evolution of the agreement will be through
14	Exhibit 315, where Mr. LeGrand started the process
15	of drafting the operating agreement. But then
16	with respect to the clause we're talking about
17	today, that had a different evolution and that
18	will come through with the witnesses.
19	Mr. Golshani and Mr. Bidsal will talk about the
20	evolution of it, and how they talked about it and
21	came to the final resolution of what that
22	provision would be.
23	And so that's the evidence Your Honor is
24	going to hear for the purposes of evaluating the
25	intent of the parties, so you can have a full

1	understanding of the circumstances in which the
2	agreement was entered into, the context, and the
3	full flavor of what they were agreeing to in this
4	agreement. Because I do believe the agreement is
5	ambiguous for all the reasons why the motion for
6	summary judgment wasn't granted, as well as the
7	fact that it's just a complicated provision that
8	needs to get full flavor of.
9	So once all the evidence comes out as to
10	what the agreement provides, then you'll be able
11	to decide one way or the other if that legal
12	principle of, you know, the drafter will be
13	applicable in any way.
14	THE ARBITRATOR: Why don't you give to
15	me, in a very concise form, what your position is
16	so we have it in the record in response to
17	Mr. Lewin about those two statutory sections that
18	he cited under California and Nevada law.
19	MR. GOODKIN: Well, I believe
20	THE ARBITRATOR: What is respondent's
21	position about those?
22	MR. GOODKIN: Go ahead.
23	MR. SHAPIRO: Let me I'll take that.
24	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. The tag has been
25	made to Mr. Shapiro.

1	Page 18 MR. SHAPIRO: The response is, number
2	one, I don't recall this being raised before, so
3	this is kind of off the cuff for us, but that's
4	okay.
5	I don't believe the section of the
6	operating agreement that they're referring to says
7	what they claim it says. I read it to be
8	different, and so I don't believe that the the
9	statute that they're referencing even applies in
10	the manner that they're referencing it. Because
11	the language that they're relying upon in the
12	operating agreement, which is Article 13
13	THE ARBITRATOR: Would you read it to
14	me? Read it to me and for the court reporter.
15	MR. SHAPIRO: This is what it says.
16	Sure.
17	This agreement has been prepared by
18	David G. LeGrand, Esquire, in parentheses the law
19	firm, as legal counsel to the company and, colon,
20	paragraph A, the members have been advised by the
21	law firm that a conflict of interest would exist
22	among the members and the company, as the law firm
23	is representing the company and not the individual
24	members, and, subparagraph two or B, the
25	members have been advised by the law firm to seek

1	Page 19 the advice of independent counsel and,
2	subparagraph C, the members have been represented
3	by independent counsel or have had the opportunity
4	to seek such representation, and, subparagraph D,
5	the law firm has not given any advice or made any
6	representations to the members with respect to the
7	consequence of this agreement, and, subparagraph
8	E, the members have been advised that the terms
9	and provisions of this agreement have may have
10	tax consequences and the members have been advised
11	by the law firm to seek independent counsel with
12	respect thereto and, subparagraph F, the members
13	have been represented by independent counsel or
14	have had the opportunity to seek such
15	representation with respect to the tax and other
16	consequences of this agreement.
17	THE ARBITRATOR: The Arbitrator has
18	heard and understood the provision and believes
19	that the thrust of that recitation is not to
20	foreclose that anybody else may have had a hand in
21	the drafting of that. That, of course, is subject
22	to whatever the evidence is and and further
23	consideration by the Arbitrator, that the
24	provision is more in the nature of what appears to
25	be self-protection of the drafts person to make

1	clear and in contractual language that the
2	lawyer, who who puts a name to the document as
3	having been involved with the drafting of the
4	document, did so without a a serious conflict
5	of interest or any conflict of interest. That
6	appears to be what the Arbitrator is hearing.
7	I'm going to hear from Mr. Lewin. I'll
8	give him the last word before we got to the second
9	area that you wanted to
10	MR. LEWIN: Sure, sure. The the
11	provision says what it says.
12	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
13	MR. LEWIN: It says it was prepared by
14	him. I think that that's a representation that
15	he's prepared it. That I understand the
16	protection, but it does say it's prepared by him.
17	THE ARBITRATOR: I understand, and we'll
18	argue that.
19	MR. LEWIN: And the evidence and the
20	evidence is going to come through. By the way,
21	that provision, for the record, is on page 20 of
22	the agreement.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good.
24	MR. LEWIN: So I have nothing else to
25	say on that issue.

1	Page 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Is there a
2	second issue that you wanted
3	MR. LEWIN: Yeah, the second issue
4	the second I'm sorry, Your Honor. The second
5	issue had to do with the attempt by respondent to
6	introduce an appraisal of the property. He we
7	can address that when it comes up, so I think it's
8	a it's going to the issue is going to come
9	up at some point. But the evidence is going to
10	show that after Mr. Golshani received the offer
11	from the respondent to buy or sell, that he went
12	and obtained for his own benefit an appraisal of
13	the property.
14	The the respondent wants to introduce
15	that appraisal, essentially solely for the purpose
16	of showing that the in their mind, that the
17	price that he offered and that my client accepted
18	is too little now, that he should be bound by it.
19	And I think that's irrelevant in the context of
20	what the buy/sell says.
21	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Once again, I
22	hear what you're saying. It sounds like argument
23	and I'm either going or both going to hear in
24	opening and closing, but that I am not going to
25	rule that I'm not going to receive that in
1	

1	Page 22 evidence.
2	MR. LEWIN: Okay.
3	THE ARBITRATOR: If it's in the binder,
4	it's going to be in in evidence already under
5	the Arbitrator's ruling. And if it if it comes
6	in by way of questioning as well, I'm probably
7	going to take it, subject to an objection at that
8	time. And I will invite you to bring it up
9	when once again, and in in closing argument
10	in written form, what your position is on that.
11	MR. LEWIN: Very well.
12	THE ARBITRATOR: And I'm probably going
13	to take it and determine
14	MR. LEWIN: Sure.
15	THE ARBITRATOR: the weight, if any,
16	that the Arbitrator is going to give it after all
17	of the evidence is in.
18	Anything else before we start
19	opportunity for opening argument?
20	MR. SHAPIRO: Nothing from our side.
21	MR. LEWIN: My only question is,
22	Your Honor, is have you had an opportunity to read
23	our briefs?
24	THE ARBITRATOR: I did.
25	MR. LEWIN: Okay. Because I don't want

1	Page 23 to I certainly I'm not intending on
2	repeating everything that's in our brief.
3	THE ARBITRATOR: Well, I was about to
4	say, hopefully to head off the question just made,
5	that the Arbitrator has read both side's briefs
6	and, with that in mind, would suggest to counsel
7	that if they want to make an opening statement, it
8	is invited, but it should be made with having the
9	confidence and just having the reaffirmation by
10	the Arbitrator that the Arbitrator has read the
11	briefs and believes that the arbitrator is
12	sufficiently familiar with the matter, that
13	opening statement should hit the high points, what
14	you think you want you wish to reiterate from
15	your opening briefs. And anything that might not
16	have made it to your openings briefs, this would
17	be your opportunity to do that.
18	Would you like to do opening statements?
19	MR. LEWIN: I would. I would. Thank
20	you, Your Honor.
21	Do you mind if I do it sitting down?
22	THE ARBITRATOR: I do not.
23	And, by the same token, I should say for
24	respondents, that you not only have the
25	opportunity to make opening argument or not, but
I	

1	you have the opportunity to reserve your opening
2	statement at the close of claimant's case if you'd
3	like to do that.
4	So go ahead, Mr. Lewin.
5	MR. LEWIN: Thank you very much, Your
6	Honor. So I'm not as I mentioned before, it is
7	not my intention to let me stand up, then,
8	because I probably I'll probably be a little
9	louder.
10	So I'm not going to restate everything
11	that's in our brief, but I do want to address a
12	couple of points.
13	The evidence is really will show in
14	this case that the parties, through a number of
15	different areas of testimony it's going to come
16	in with Mr. Golshani, I believe it's going to come
17	in with Mr. Bidsal, and it's going to come in with
18	Mr. LeGrand in some respect, that they were trying
19	to provide for a forced buy/sell agreement.
20	And as we used it was discussed
21	during our last hearing, the sort of concept of
22	rush justice, although that was not words that
23	they provided, and that that's a term that they
24	now want to try to get away from. But the but
25	the answer the point was, that a member makes

1	an offer, and the other member either buys or
2	sells.
3	That the idea of that is obviously to
4	force the offering member to make who has
5	whatever time he wants to do his research, to make
6	a fair offer. And there's a lot of reasons for
7	that.
8	As it turns out, Mr. LeGrand did not
9	think that he had some he who is really
10	representing Mr. Bidsal from the beginning and as
11	the you'll see that he doesn't even know
12	Mr. Golshani's last name until well into the
13	well into it. He had but at a July
14	July 21st meeting, this concept was reiterated to
15	him. And he, after thinking about it, thought it
16	didn't really make too much sense, not because of
17	how they took it in their brief out of context,
18	but because of the difference in the capital
19	accounts.
20	So he had suggested that there was a
21	formula, that they needed to either do some
22	have some other way. He made a couple of other
23	attempts to try to resolve it, Mr. Golshani, who
24	had put up four \$4 million to buy the two
25	properties that they were awarded at auction.

1	Page 26 This property, which he put in over
2	\$2.8 million there was another property at the
3	same time that they bought, the country club
4	property, that he put in almost a million two
5	or more than a million two. He had \$4 million.
6	And as September rolled around, he didn't have one
7	piece of paper that showed that he was an owner.
8	Mr. Bidsal, who had said that he was
9	going to have his lawyer take care of drafting
10	this operating agreement, the evidence will
11	show and this is and he's a very
12	sophisticated man. For some reason, having an
13	operating agreement that conformed to the parties'
14	agreement, the oral agreement, was never
15	forthcoming.
16	So Mr Mr. Golshani, after the last
17	version of this after the last version
18	(Cell phone interruption)
19	THE ARBITRATOR: Let's all make sure
20	that our phones are off. I did not indicate off
21	the record or on the record that we should have
22	our phones off. However, I will say that if
23	history is the past is prologue, I'm the
24	biggest violator of the Arbitrator's rule as as
25	has just been shown. At least I'm the first
1	

1	violator of the rule. I have just turned off my
2	phone.
3	Go ahead, sir.
4	MR. LEWIN: So as of as of
5	September 20, when they received Mr. LeGrand's
6	latest version of the operating agreement, it
7	still did not conform to what the parties told him
8	they wanted.
9	Mr. Golshani and Mr. Bidsal got
10	together, and he said, "If he wants a formula,
11	let's put together a formula." Mr. Golshani
12	talked to Mr. Bidsal, put something together,
13	sends it to Mr. Bidsal. Mr. Bidsal commented
14	they met, they commented on it, he did another
15	draft. Mr. Bidsal said it was okay. These two
16	drafts, Mr. Bidsal now claims mysteriously, he
17	never received, never received them.
18	So that's going to be an issue for you
19	to decide who's telling the truth here.
20	But then Mr. Golshani sends it to
21	Mr. LeGrand, who who then sends Mr all
22	parties saying he's got received a fax from Mr.
23	Golshani, he's going to try to redraft on the
24	the operating agreement. And then he sends out
25	a a draft of the provision that we've been

```
Page 28
 1
     talking about that's the subject of this.
                                                 It's
     in -- it's -- in his draft, it's called draft
 2
 3
     number two.
 4
               It's -- it's seven point -- it's -- he
 5
     put -- he puts it at 7.1. It ends up being a
 6
     different number, but that's the draft.
 7
     to all parties.
 8
               Now, as part of this process, they --
 9
     the issue -- the issue -- and the evidence is
10
     going to show that the -- there was two things
11
     that happened. One, the parties, when they
12
     started talking together about trying to fix what
     Mr. LeGrand had done, Mr. Bidsal had raised the
13
     issue of what happens if one member -- if a
14
15
     party -- if there's an offer, but the one member
16
     is short on cash, doesn't have the ability, but
17
     the offer is so low he can't respond, he will be
     forced to -- he will be forced to sell at an
18
19
     artificially low price.
2.0
               So they decided to put in this concept
21
     of a second -- of a second -- of an appraisal
22
     process.
               The appraisal process is designed to --
23
     to be as follows. It's the offering member
     submits a price to buy/sell, but the remaining
24
25
     member doesn't -- thinks it's too low, then the
```

1	appraisal then the remaining member had the
2	option of asking for an appraisal. That protects
3	the remaining member from the may not have the
4	money to from being forced to sell the sell
5	the property or his interest in the company at an
6	artificial price. That the appraisal then
7	becomes the appraisal number then becomes the
8	fair market value, if the remaining member asks
9	for it.
10	So let's say in this case, let's say
11	Mr Mr. Golshani in this case, if Mr. Bidsal
12	had offered to sell to buy the excuse me
13	buy the property for \$5 million, he didn't have
14	\$5 million, but he thought the property was worth
15	\$7 million, he could then ask for an appraisal,
16	and then that appraised price would then become
17	the fair market value. That's a protection for
18	the remaining member.
19	And that is the evolution. That's how
20	this that's how this the second issue became
21	a protection for the remaining member. That is
22	how the that's how that whole issue becomes.
23	So fair market value, as the evidence is
24	going to show, is really there's two fair
25	market values. There's one fair market value if

1	the remaining member accepts you know, accepts
2	the price accepts the offer or offers to buy;
3	the second fair market value, if the remaining
4	member decides he wants an appraisal. And that's
5	why there's two that's why there's two issues.
6	And this is all going to come out in the
7	THE ARBITRATOR: As we were discussing,
8	I think in the Rule 18 portion of the arbitration,
9	the drafting of the document does not perfectly
10	align, at least as recalled by the Arbitrator,
11	with what you just said. And maybe that's what
12	brought us here today.
13	MR. LEWIN: Well, I the drafting of
14	the look, I wouldn't put we even say in our
15	brief that the operating agreement so what
16	happens is that the after they come to the
17	rough draft number two between themselves, they
18	send it to LeGrand. LeGrand then edits it, does
19	some modifications for to it, sends it to the
20	parties, the parties then say it's okay. He then
21	inserts it into the operating agreement. And then
22	there's some other changes that we'll get to later
23	on, but there's some but that's how that
24	that's how it comes about.
25	And the drafting is not perfect. I

	Page 31
1	mean, we pointed out that there's some paragraphs
2	that don't follow and whatnot. But when you go to
3	the essence of the agreement and you really study
4	it and find out why the what the purpose of
5	for the remaining for this appraisal process,
6	it begins it all makes sense.
7	Now
8	THE ARBITRATOR: So you're basically
9	saying that the key purpose the word "key" is
10	the Arbitrator's addition to what you said that
11	the key purpose of the appraisal is to protect the
12	remaining member. Is that what you said?
13	MR. LEWIN: That's what I'm saying and
14	that's what the evidence is going to show and
15	that's what the document says because only the
16	remaining member has the right to demand an
17	appraisal, and it's clear. It's absolutely clear.
18	And if there's any issue about about
19	how this came up, a point that the that the
20	respondent wants to avoid like a lot of other
21	things I mean, there I expect that we're
22	going to have a lot of evidence in here which
23	is which is going to be evidence to misdirect,
24	to try to to try to throw a whole as my old
25	boss used to say when I was he was used to
1	

1	say when I was his when I was hired, he was 70
2	years old, and Max was a good lawyer. And he
3	said, Rod, throw some fleas at them.
4	Well, that's what we're going to see
5	here. I think you're going to see a lot of this
6	evidence is only offered for the purpose of
7	throwing fleas and misdirect.
8	But what they completely ignore is, and
9	they would like to ignore, is the language in
10	the the language that sets forth a specific
11	intent of the parties.
12	Now, if there's anything that is if
13	there's anything that should be most important
14	in in trying to resolve what this agreement
15	really was intended to do, is a paragraph that
16	said the specific intent of the parties is that
17	when one member offers to buy, the other member
18	has the right to either buy or sell at the same
19	price, unless he demands an appraisal. What else
20	do you need in that? They want to ignore that,
21	that's not part of the agreement, it's not what it
22	means.
23	Under their theory, an appraisal is
24	always needed because you would need an appraisal
25	to to set forth what FMV means in the formula.

1	Page 33 The formulas are fairly are fairly
2	straightforward.
3	So so I believe that the when
4	the when you hear all of the evidence and you
5	hear the story, number one, you're going to find
6	that Mr. Bidsal, despite his protestations in
7	the what I expect he's going to testify to,
8	that he never received the drafts that were
9	that they were negotiating between themselves that
10	were put in the formula, you're going to find that
11	that's not true. We'll prove it's not true.
12	Number two, that even even if he
13	didn't get the drafts, he got he got the
14	language from Mr. LeGrange LeGrand, I mean,
15	LeGrand. And he got he got that, so he's bound
16	by it.
17	Thank you very much, Your Honor.
18	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
19	MR. GOODKIN: Your Honor, is there
20	anything you need from us? Or if we can, we'd
21	like to reserve our opening statement for when we
22	start our chase in chief.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: As I indicated, you
24	have that right and opportunity, and it sounds
25	like you're exercising your right to reserve. And

```
Page 34
     so we'll -- we'll go to our first witness on
 1
 2
     behalf of plaintiff.
 3
               MR. LEWIN: Okay. We'd like to call
 4
     Mr. Benjamin Golshani.
 5
               THE ARBITRATOR: Would you like to
 6
     stay --
 7
               MR. LEWIN: Well, why don't we move him
     over here?
 8
 9
               THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
10
               MR. LEWIN: I think that would be good.
11
               THE ARBITRATOR: Mr. Golshani, if you
12
     would come around -- go -- go around the long way,
13
     around the horn, if you don't mind.
14
               THE WITNESS: No problem.
15
               THE ARBITRATOR: And before you're
16
     seated, if you would please face the court
17
     reporter, raise your right hand, and be sworn as a
18
     witness --
19
               THE WITNESS: No problem.
2.0
               THE ARBITRATOR: -- for arbitration.
21
               Court Reporter, if you'd please swear
2.2
     our witness.
23
24
     Whereupon,
25
                     BENJAMIN GOLSHANI,
```

```
Page 35
     was called as a witness, and having been first duly
 1
 2
     sworn to testify to the truth, was examined and
 3
     testified as follows:
 4
 5
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. LEWIN:
 6
               Mr. Golshani, what is your relationship
 7
          Q
     to CLA Properties, LLC?
 8
 9
               I am the managing member and manager of
10
     that entity.
11
               And where did you grow up?
          Q
12
          Α
               Pardon me?
13
               Where did you grow up?
          Q
14
          Α
               Oh, I grew up in the country of Iran.
               And when did you come to the United
15
          Q
16
     States?
17
          Α
               I came here 1979, after there was a, you
18
     know, big turmoil over there and they didn't
     need --
19
2.0
               THE ARBITRATOR: I think we know what
21
     happened in 1979.
2.2
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, education --
23
     education.
24
     BY MR. LEWIN:
               And could you please outline your
25
          Q
```

1	Page 36 educational background for His Honor?
2	A I have a a master degree in civil
3	engineering and I have been I mean, I did
4	practice civil engineering for some time back in
5	Iran and in the United States.
6	Q What type of civil engineering did you
7	practice?
8	A In here?
9	Q Yes.
10	A Well, when I came here, I had to take my
11	license. I studied, and I got the license and I
12	got a job with the government. And I worked in
13	construction and supervising the construction.
14	And after a while, I decided that to go and
15	build buildings and that kind of and I became
16	specialized in civil structural design.
17	Q I see.
18	And at some was there a point in time
19	when you stopped doing that and did something
20	else?
21	A Yes. There at a a few years
22	later, there was a recession in buildings and real
23	estate, and I had some investment small
24	investment in a textile company, and I went there
25	to help. I didn't have much to do, and I started

1	Page 37 becoming interested in that business. And I went
2	into textile business.
3	Q And what kind of textile business was
4	that?
5	A I started a a unique business using
6	natural, environmentally-friendly fibers.
7	(Interruption in proceedings.)
8	THE WITNESS: And learning as to how
9	to because I was an engineer, didn't have much
10	difficulty. I learned about how to weave and dye
11	and produce for apparel use and home home
12	decor.
13	BY MR. LEWIN:
14	Q And, did now, when you say for "home
15	decor," what do you mean?
16	A Like, for curtains, couches, chairs,
17	things like that.
18	Q And how do you know Mr. Bidsal?
19	A Well, I had known Mr. Bidsal from long
20	time ago. We are related and, you know, we I
21	knew of him.
22	Q And how are you related?
23	A Oh, he's my cousin.
24	Q Is he a first cousin?
25	A First cousin. He's my first cousin.

Page 38 1 His mom is my dad's sister. 2 Okay. And were you -- were you close 3 with him before 2010? 4 We were not close, but we -- I knew I -- you know, from a distance. 5 And you lived where? 6 Q Okay. Pardon me? 7 А You lived where in 2010? 8 0 I lived in the city of Encino. 9 10 And how about Mr. Bidsal, was he 0 Okay. 11 living in Los Angeles as well? 12 Α Yeah, I learned that he was living 13 almost close to me. Was there a point in time when -- when 14 you and Mr. Bidsal started talking about buying 15 16 properties together? 17 There was a time that we decided Yes. 18 we -- you know, met each other and we had several 19 talks, and it led to doing investments. 20 So tell us on or about, when did that 21 start? Give us a -- give us a synopsis of when 22 you first started talking with Mr. Bidsal. 23 Well, I met her -- him at my sister's 24 place, and, you know, we sat down. We were 25 talking, and he mentioned that he has been doing

1	Page 39 real estate business for some time and he had been
2	doing very well. And he's specialized in doing
3	real estate in Las Vegas. And he knows all the
4	areas and the because of his practice in real
5	estate and dealing with a lot of brokers and
6	the and attorneys. He's extremely good with
7	legal matters, also, and management of the
8	properties. And he has been doing buying and
9	selling and he has been doing very well.
10	Q Okay. When was this?
11	A This was sometime about probably 2008,
12	'9, those time.
13	Q And were you were you looking to
14	invest in real estate at that time?
15	A I was looking to invest in real estate,
16	yes, and I was looking mostly in Los Angeles area.
17	And there was a a crash in real estate in those
18	times, so I was trying to see I had some
19	savings and I had some moneys available to me from
20	relatives, and I thought it would be a good idea
21	to go invest, especially that I was very good in
22	construction. I could buy things and make it
23	better and, you know, sell it.
24	Q Had you invested in any real estate in

	Page 40
1	A Before that?
2	Q Yes.
3	A About that time, some of my friends were
4	buying real estate and they offered me to take
5	part, which I did, and I had, like, 10 percent
6	in interest. I was a minority shareholder,
7	yes.
8	Q In what?
9	A In a shopping center in Las Vegas.
10	Q Okay. But you indicated you were
11	looking primarily in Los Angeles?
12	A I was in Los Angeles. I mean, I was
13	looking, they offered, and I knew them and I
14	trusted them, so I did invest in that property.
15	Q So Mr Mr. Bidsal says asked you
16	if you're interested in buying some investing
17	in real estate with him.
18	What happens next?
19	A Well, we had a few meetings. And in
20	those meetings, one of them, he said that if I
21	came to Las Vegas, you know, to look him up. And
22	one time I was here with one of my friends, I did
23	so, and I called him, you know, and we went to
24	have coffee and all of that.
25	And then he took me around and showed me

1	Page 41 some of the real estate that he had acquired and
2	was managing. And the nature of those, you know,
3	they were, like, big shopping centers and
4	apartment buildings. And he mentioned to me that
5	he has been managing them very well and he has
6	been doing extremely good with those.
7	Q Was there was there a time when you
8	and he began to look into properties to invest in
9	together?
10	A Yes. What what happened, during one
11	of those times, he mentioned that he had
12	because of the downturn, he had he does not
13	have much cash available, and there would be a lot
14	of opportunity. And I said, "I am looking for
15	this." And I started becoming interested in what
16	he was doing, especially, you know, when I ask
17	question, I I it seemed to me that he had
18	all of the answers and he knew what he was doing.
19	And we discussed more. And after I saw
20	more, I was very impressed. And I told him that,
21	you know, I could be we could be working
22	together and he concurred, and we said that it
23	would be a good idea if we were. And buy things
24	and either fix it or make investment and create a
25	partnership.

1	Page 42
	Q And did you begin to look at properties
2	together?
3	A Yes, we did.
4	Q Properties to buy potentially buy?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And how many over what period of time
7	were you looking at properties to buy?
8	A Well, it took a few months, and we would
9	locate properties, mostly in Las Vegas. And then
10	we would go see them. There was a time that a lot
11	of properties went into auction in Vegas. And we
12	went and we we made a list, and we went, we saw
13	almost all of them. And then we would underwrite
14	them.
15	He was familiar with the locations as to
16	what location is would fit our needs better.
17	I I was not. And he seemed to know the history
18	of every every building. He seemed to know the
19	brokers when he called. He called the brokers by
20	their first name. And I was very impressed that
21	he had so much knowledge about this.
22	And we decided that to go partnership
23	and work together.
24	Q Okay. So what was there a time when
25	you began to bid on properties?

1	Page 43 A Yes.
2	Q Okay. Let me let me I have
3	some I want to I'm coming back to that.
4	But before, can you tell us how many
5	properties you bid on before you actually acquired
6	Green Valley?
7	A I don't remember, but a few.
8	Q Okay. And before you bid on any
9	properties, did you and Mr. Bidsal have a
10	discussion about what your how you would work
11	together?
12	A Well, we we it came a little
13	later. And what happened in the beginning, we
14	discussed that we work together and join effort
15	and all that. And then he told me that, "As you
16	know, I am a lot more familiar with the real
17	estate, with the properties, with the location,
18	with the legal matters, with everything. I know a
19	lot of people here and you don't, so I need to get
20	paid for that."
21	And then I it sounded reasonable to
22	me. And then he said that, "Well, I will bring
23	some money, but I am short on cash, and I'm
24	looking to borrow money. These properties are so
25	profitable, I would be very happy to go get hard

1	money and put in these properties."
2	And then we discussed a little bit more.
3	He told me, "How about if you invest more than me,
4	and we" "because I do the work and because of
5	my knowledge and expertise, but we share the
6	profit 50/50." And I agreed tentatively on that.
7	I put 60 percent of the money and buy 60 percent
8	of the property, he would buy 40 percent of it.
9	However, we when we make money on
10	that on that property, whether if it is rent,
11	we cut it in half.
12	Q Okay. Now, was there a discussion about
13	what kind of entity you would you would buy
14	properties in?
15	A Well, he said that we should open an LLC
16	property, the LLCs are geared more toward real
17	estate. And I had no problem with that.
18	Q Was there any discussion was there
19	any discussion at the time before you purchased
20	any property about if you got one and formed an
21	LLC, about a about buying or selling each other
22	out?
23	A What happened when we were discussing
24	about the partnership and what I did, I told

1	Page 45 to go into partnership and we are friends and we
2	trust each other and all of that, we better have
3	to have an exit also, so that for whatever reason,
4	if we don't want to be together or somebody is
5	not doesn't want to work in Las Vegas or
6	whatever, there should be a way to separate
7	without having to go into court.
8	I have seen my friends to fight with
9	their partners and all that, and I really wanted
10	to avoid that. You know, I thought that the same
11	way we became partners, we also, if whoever
12	if a partner didn't want to continue the
13	partnership, he should be able to there should
14	be a mechanism to separate.
15	Q And what did Mr. Bidsal what did
16	Mr. Bidsal say?
17	A Oh, he said he said he also
18	concurred, and he said it's no problem, he has
19	done that before, and he knows that attorneys can
20	write it and take care of that. And I insisted a
21	few times, and, you know, I I'm talking ahead
22	of time, but when we met with LeGrand, I did
23	mention that
24	Q Well, we're going to talk about
25	Mr. LeGrand too.

1	Page 46 A Yeah, I know. What I did mention, we
2	don't want to go to court. We need to have a
3	system that if a partner doesn't want to be a
4	partner, should be able to somehow buy or sell and
5	leave the partnership amicably.
6	Q So were you bidding on properties at
7	auctions?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And would you and the what is the
10	process for being able to bid at an auction?
11	A Well, the process is, that you first
12	register, and they want you to put up some money,
13	like 50,000, 100,000, depending on the value of
14	the property. And after that, you start at a
15	certain date, you bid. If you are awarded, within
16	a few hours you need to put up, like, about 10
17	percent of the money.
18	And they give you a very short period of
19	time to come up with the rest of the money and
20	close the escrow.
21	Q Now, if and did you put in bids
22	did you make it a did you and Mr. Bidsal make a
23	deposit in order to be able to bid at these
24	auctions?
25	A We did. And one thing that happened,

Page 47 Mr. Bidsal told me that he's short on cash and --1 2 for that 50,000, 100,000 deposit, it's not 3 available. And I volunteered, I gave him my 4 credit card. I had a few hundred dollars -thousand dollars' credit, and I said, okay, use 5 this. And he would use that, and they would block 6 the credit, and they would register us. 7 If he defaulted -- for example, if he --8 9 I agreed to buy a property awarded, and if he didn't do it, they would have confiscated that 10 11 money. 12 Q And how many -- do you recall how many 13 bids you put in on the auction process? 14 Α Total? 15 Approximately, give us a --16 Many, you know. Of every --Α No. Many. 17 I don't know, 10, 15, maybe one would materialize. 18 Q So --19 It was a difficult process. 20 So you put in -- did you put in 10 to 15 Q 21 bids to buy properties at auctions? 2.2 Α Probably, yeah. 23 Q Okay. But many -- but more than one? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. And ultimately, were you Q

1	Page 48 successful in acquiring being awarded a bid?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And for what properties?
4	A We the first property we were awarded
5	was that Green Valley, which is in the the
6	subject of this arbitration. And another property
7	which is called Country Club. We bought it at the
8	same day. We got awarded at the same day.
9	Q And what kind of property is Country
10	Club?
11	A Country Club is a shopping center.
12	Q And where is that located?
13	A In Henderson, Las Vegas.
14	Q All right. So let's take a look at
15	let's take a look at Exhibit No. 2, if you would.
16	What is Exhibit No. 2?
17	A Exhibit No. 2 is a a receipt of a
18	money that I wired to the escrow for the amount of
19	\$404,250 on May 20th. It was probably a couple of
20	days or same day that we got awarded.
21	Q I see.
22	So did so you said that you had to
23	put up a percentage of the purchase price to open
24	up an escrow?
25	A Yes, sir.

1	Q	Okay. And is this
2	A	About 20 about 10 percent, I believe.
3	Q	Well, and take a look. You know what
4	and did y	ou I see.
5		So you understood the so you put up
6	\$404,250?	
7	A	That's right.
8	Q	And what was this what property was
9	this for?	
10	A	For Green Valley.
11	Q	And did Mr. Bidsal put up any of that
12	money?	
13	A	No. At that time, he said that he is
14	short on	cash. And I said, "It's no problem. I
15	do have t	the cash." So I did put up the money.
16	Q	Let's take a look at Exhibit No. 3.
17		What is this?
18	A	That's the the I believe wire
19	instructi	on of Mr. Bidsal to his bank to send
20	money to	the escrow.
21	Q	All right. And take a look at Exhibit
22	No. 4.	
23	A	That's the closing statement.
24	Q	For Green Valley?
25	A	Correct.
1		

Page 50 1 0 Okay. And this shows that you put up --2 what was your total amount that you put up? 3 I put up \$404,000 and --Α 4 Actually, 404,250. Don't forget the 250. 5 6 Α 250. At -- I mean, in the escrow. the close, I put 2.4 million, 30,000. Total of 7 2.834. 8 9 Now, that's 70 percent of the purchase I thought you said that the deal was 10 11 supposed to be 60/40? 12 Well, when we -- when things started 13 getting serious, then Mr. Bidsal talked to me and said, "Listen, I thought about it. I thought 14 15 about it, and the services and the time I'm going 16 to put on this is tremendous. And you don't have the knowledge and you don't have the time to come 17 18 and take care of these things. 19 "And I need to get paid for my time, and 20 I need to get paid for my knowledge of legal 21 matter and management matter, you know, 2.2 transactional matter and all of that. And I have 23 acquired all of these properties, you don't." 24 And I -- for two reason, I -- I agreed to -- he said that he wants to change that to 25

Page 51 1 70/30. And I was too far ahead in the game, 2 number one, and then I thought, okay, I have come 3 so far for that 10 percent, I better not make an issue out of it and all that. Let's try it and 4 see what comes out of it. 5 6 And I agreed with that 70/30, and I did 7 pay. And the 70/30 was that I would buy 8 70 percent of the property, he would buy 9 30 percent of the property, but the profit would be divided in half. 10 11 Okay. 50/50? Q 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. And was there -- and did Country Club close at the same time? 14 15 About the same time with the same setup. Α 16 Same setup. 0 17 And take a look at -- let's take a look at Exhibit No. 1. 18 19 Α Okay. 20 Now, these are articles of organization 0 21 for Green Valley Commerce, LLC, which were filed 22 on May 26th, 2011. 23 And you received a copy of these? 24 Α Yes. 25 And did you receive a -- and I -- and I Q

1	note that your name is nowhere to be found in this
2	document.
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And did you ask Mr. Bidsal about that?
5	A Well, I did. He said that, you know, I
6	formed a corporation, and, you know, this is the
7	corporation which is going to be the owner. And I
8	noticed that he is both the member and the
9	manager. And I said, how about me?
10	He told me that by law, there is only
11	one manager in the in the LLCs. And as far as
12	the membership, he said, we will add you, not
13	here, but we will write an operating agreement and
14	will add you there.
15	But at that time, he was the owner and,
16	you know and I had trusted him with that money.
17	Q But he was the owner in name?
18	A That's right.
19	Q So
20	A And the papers showed that.
21	Q Okay. Now, I see the paper. You had
22	already by the time this entity was already
23	by the time this you had already deposited the
24	\$404,000 by the time this entity was formed;
25	right?
25	right?

		Davis [2]
1	А	Page 53 I think I
2	Q	Look at Exhibit 2.
3	А	before that.
4	Q	Look at Exhibit 2. It's dated May 20th.
5	А	Okay. This is May 25. The money was in
6	May Ma	ay 20.
7	Q	Okay. All right. So now, at the time
8	that escr	row closed, June 3rd, 2011, had had
9	you ha	nd you seen a draft of any operating
10	agreement	s?
11	А	No, sir, I hadn't.
12	Q	Had you been introduced to a lawyer who
13	was going	to draft the operating agreements
14	А	No.
15	Q	for Green Valley or Country Club?
16	А	No, I hadn't.
17	Q	Is it am I correct that you just
18	said i	s the deal supposed to be the same for
19	Green Val	ley and Country Club?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	The same deal?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Were the the same buy/sell?
24	А	That's correct.
25	Q	Okay. So now, after the after the

Page 54 escrow closed, did you receive -- did you begin to 1 2 receive some operating agreements from Mr. Bidsal? Yes. I did sometime after close of 3 Α 4 escrow. 5 Okay. Did you receive -- how much --6 how many draft operating agreements did you 7 receive? I mean, let me stop that. Did you receive any direct -- any -- did 8 9 you have any direct communication with any 10 lawyer -- let me rephrase that. 11 Up until July 21, did you have any 12 direct communications with any lawyer who was 13 drafting the operating agreements for Green Valley 14 or Country Club? No, sir. 15 Α You said you received some operating 16 Q 17 agreements. From whom did you receive them? 18 Bidsal would send me, and I did notice 19 Α that somebody sent it to him, and he's sending it 20 21 to me. 22 Well, for example, let's take a look at 23 Exhibit No. 5. Is this -- is this the -- is this one of 24 25 the drafts of the operating agreement that you

1	Page 55 received before July 21?
2	A I'm not sure if I received this one,
3	but because the one that I received had the
4	cover sheet in form of an e-mail from Mr. Bidsal.
5	So I'm not really sure that I received this one.
6	There was you know, I was not in
7	communication with privy to the discussions.
8	They were discussing among each other, and the
9	only way I would receive something was through
10	Mr. Bidsal.
11	Q So in any case, before July 21, did you
12	receive any operating agreement that had a forced
13	buy/sell agreement in it, or any or anything
14	like a forced buy/sell agreement in it?
15	A No. I did probably receive something,
16	but it didn't have any buy/sell agreement as we
17	discussed with Mr
18	Q Okay. At some point in time, did you
19	meet a lawyer named David LeGrand?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And where did you meet him at?
22	A In his office.
23	Q And do you remember the date that you
24	met him?
25	A I believe it was I believe it was

```
Page 56
     July 20 -- 20 or so.
 1
 2
               Can you take a look at Exhibit 13,
 3
     please.
 4
               MR. SHAPIRO: Did you say a date that
 5
     you met him?
               MR. LEWIN: He said --
 6
 7
               THE WITNESS: Yeah.
 8
               MR. SHAPIRO: What date did you say?
 9
     I'm sorry.
               THE WITNESS: I said I think it was -- I
10
11
     think it was June -- the month of July.
12
               MR. SHAPIRO: Oh, not a specific date.
13
               MR. LEWIN:
                           I think he said -- I think
14
     he said I thought it was July 20.
15
               THE WITNESS: Twenty, around that, yeah.
16
     BY MR. LEWIN:
               Take a look at --
17
          0
               No, I'm sorry, but if I look at this
18
19
     letter, I will remember exact.
20
               Okay. Well, take a look at Exhibit 13.
          Q
21
     Are you looking at Exhibit 13?
2.2
          Α
               I'm looking at it.
23
          Q
               Okay. Look at July 21.
24
               This is Mr. LeGrand's bill?
25
               Yeah.
          Α
```

1	Page 57 Q Look at July 21.
2	A That's right. On this date, I know that
3	we met Mr. LeGrand for the first time I met for
4	the first time, yes.
5	Q Okay. And who did you understand
6	Mr. LeGrand was?
7	A Our attorney.
8	Q All right. And can you tell us it
9	says that the meeting lasted 2.2 hours.
10	So what what were you discussing
11	during those 2.2 hours? Can you identify the
12	topics, please?
13	A Well, the first topic was that I
14	discussed how come I can't be a manager, you know.
15	I have seen people companies that I can have
16	many managers. And he said, yeah, your company
17	can have 20 managers.
18	And we discussed it with Mr. Bidsal I
19	mean, he discussed it and all of that. And one of
20	the things that came out of this meeting was that
21	they named me as a manager also with Mr. Bidsal.
22	Q Okay. By the way, this is a meeting
23	among all three of you?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q You, Mr. LeGrand, and Mr. Bidsal?

	Page 58
1	A That's right.
2	Q And what else was discussed during this
3	meeting that's pertinent to this arbitration?
4	A The other discussion was that the
5	buy/sell agreement.
6	Q Okay. Tell us tell us what
7	A The for
8	Q Hold on. Just hold on, hold on a
9	second.
10	Tell us what was said about the
11	buy/sell.
12	A I'm not sure what
13	Q Just tell us
14	THE ARBITRATOR: Why don't you lead him
15	and see if Mr. Shapiro objects.
16	BY MR. LEWIN:
17	Q Okay. Well, just tell us what was said
18	about the buy/sell agreement.
19	MR. SHAPIRO: Object to leading.
20	THE ARBITRATOR: Overruled.
21	BY MR. LEWIN:
22	Q Just tell us what was said about the
23	buy/sell agreement at this meeting.
24	Who said what?
25	A Okay. He didn't talk about it. I talk

1	Page 59 about it and Mr. Bidsal. And I reiterated what me
2	and Mr. Bidsal had talked and had I agreed.
3	And I said that we are here so that you would
4	write a provision that anytime we didn't want to
5	be a partner, we would be able to separate without
6	having to go to court and, you know, the court
7	decide about us.
8	And, I mean, he asked some questions
9	that for what reason and all of that, and
10	Mr. Bidsal said that for no reason at all. Maybe
11	a partner doesn't even want to be in Las Vegas or
12	doesn't want to continue real estate. For
13	whatever reason, we want to have a mechanism to
14	give a notice and be able to leave.
15	And the way we have discussed it is
16	that and I said and Mr. Bidsal said the same
17	thing, that a partner, a member or an investor
18	would offer to buy the interest of the other
19	member, and within certain time, that member has
20	to either sell his interest at that price or buy
21	the interest of the first person at that price.
22	So this time, this way, everything would
23	be fair, because the person who was making the
24	offer for sure researches about how much he should
25	offer so that either way, it would be fair. And

1	Page 60 the person who is being offered to has the choice
2	to do either one.
3	And he also mentioned what if one one
4	person doesn't have money and all that, and we
5	said we have decided that we always be prepared
6	for a situation like this and would have the money
7	to do this forced buy/sell.
8	Q Was there any other on this issue of
9	the forced buy/sell, was there anything else
10	discussed that you can remember?
11	A I don't well, I probably, but I
12	I don't remember. You know, if I had my notes,
13	probably I would have said a few things. But
14	the the manager and the buy/sell agreement
15	would be the one that we discussed, that somebody
16	makes an offer, and that offer the other person
17	can buy or sell at the same.
18	Q By the time of this meeting on
19	July 21st, had you received any documentation
20	showing that you were an owner in Green Valley?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. Let's take a look at
23	MR. GOODKIN: I hate to ruin this you
24	know, the process so far, but do you want to do
25	anything about lunch? I just bring it up for

```
Page 61
 1
               THE ARBITRATOR: Let's go off the record
 2
     and discuss.
 3
                      (Discussion off the record.)
 4
               THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Back on the
 5
     record.
     BY MR. LEWIN:
 6
               Okay. Mr. Golshani, talking about that
 7
          0
 8
     July 21st meeting -- by the way, do you know how
 9
     Mr. Bidsal chose Mr. LeGrand to be the attorney to
10
     draft this agreement?
11
               How Mr. Bidsal chose -- I'm sorry.
12
          Q
               Do you know how Mr. Bidsal chose
13
     Mr. LeGrand?
14
               Oh, I didn't know, no.
               Did Mr. Bidsal ask you if you knew an
15
          Q
16
     attorney to draft the agreement?
17
               No.
                   He mentioned that he knows the best
          Α
18
     in Las Vegas.
19
          0
               All right. And at the meeting, was
     there any conversation about the buy/sell only
20
21
     occurring in the -- in an event of a deadlock?
2.2
               I'm not sure. It -- there was a
23
     discussion of that. It probably had or had not --
24
     I don't remember that.
               Okay. Let's take a look at -- let me
25
          Q
```

1	just go back at just in some time here.
2	So the
3	A But I remember that that forced buy/sell
4	was independent of anything else. It did not have
5	anything to do with the deadlock or anything else.
6	That I remember.
7	I remember that the forced buy/sell that
8	we had agreed was independent of the deadlock or
9	any other thing. That I remember.
10	Q Take a look at Exhibit No. 10, would you
11	please. This is now dated June 27th. This is
12	before the meeting.
13	This is an e-mail from LeGrand to
14	Mr. Bidsal on June 27th.
15	Did you receive did you ever receive
16	a copy of this before this this lawsuit?
17	A Before this lawsuit, yeah; recently I
18	did, yes.
19	Q Okay. Did did you did you know
20	that Mr. Bidsal had was setting up the voting
21	so that Shawn's vote was needed for any vote to
22	pass? It says here, "One vote for 1,000, because
23	the whole purpose of setting votes at 90 percent
24	was to make sure your vote was needed for a vote
25	to pass."

	Page 63
1	Do you remember
2	A At that time, I did not know that, no.
3	Q And looking at the looking at the
4	okay. Let's back up. Okay.
5	Looking please turn to Exhibit
6	No. 11. This is a it's an e-mail from two
7	e-mails from Mr. LeGrand to Mr. Bidsal. It
8	says this is July 22nd, the day after the
9	meeting. It says, "Okay, I'm working on the
10	OPAG" and I think that's his denomination for
11	operating agreement.
12	A Correct.
13	Q "I'll send it shortly."
14	A Yes.
15	Q Was it at the meeting on July 21, was
16	there a discussion that you were going to receive
17	a that he was going to revise the operating
18	agreement?
19	A Correct, yes.
20	Q Take a look at Exhibit No. 12.
21	A Okay.
22	Q This is a a July 22nd, 2011, e-mail
23	from Shawn Bidsal?
24	A Uh-huh.
25	Q And then it says to Bengol&@yahoo.com.

1	Page 64 By the way, is that your e-mail address?
2	A No. That ampersand should have been
3	seven. I didn't receive this e-mail.
4	Q All right. Did you ever receive this
5	e-mail?
6	A Recently, yes, after.
7	Q Okay. Well, did you receive this e-mail
8	in July of 2011?
9	A No.
10	Q Okay. Take a look at
11	A From that time, I didn't.
12	Q Let's take Exhibit 13 Exhibit 14.
13	This is a an e-mail dated August 10, 2011, and
14	I see it's sent to you and Shawn Bidsal. It says,
15	"Ben, please find the red-line revised OPAG per
16	our last meeting."
17	Two things, did you have any meetings
18	with Mr with Mr. LeGrand in between July 21
19	and August 10?
20	A No, I did not.
21	Q Did you have any communications with
22	him?
23	A Probably by telephone.
24	Q And had you received the revised
25	operating agreement before August 10?
1	

1	Page 65 A I'm not sure, but I know that on this
2	August 10, I received this e-mail.
3	Q And did you and did you review the
4	operating agreement?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And what did you
7	A Well
8	Q Hold on a sec.
9	What did you conclude about this revised
10	operating agreement?
11	A I realized that Mr. LeGrand didn't put
12	here what we discussed in that July 21st meeting.
13	We had discussed about that forced buy/sell, and
14	he I think he took care of the managers, but he
15	didn't take care of the he didn't mention
16	anything about that.
17	Q Okay. So let's take a look at the
18	A About the forced buy/sell.
19	Q Okay. Let's take a look at the
20	revision. Look at page 7 on the red line. It has
21	a provision for deadlock in here.
22	Do you see that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And then it has a provision for
25	arbitration; right?

1	Page 66 A Yeah, yes.
2	Q Page 8 has a provision for manager?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And page page 10 has, in Section 3, a
5	right of first refusal in the if a member sells
6	his interest?
7	A Yes.
8	Q But it didn't have anything but
9	there's nothing in here about a forced buy/sell;
10	right?
11	A No, it doesn't.
12	Q So after you received this and you
13	looked at it, what what did you do?
14	A From what I remember, I contacted
15	them him and Mr. Bidsal, and I said, "The
16	operating agreement I received does not contain
17	what we discussed."
18	Q And did you did you have a
19	conversation with Mr. Bidsal about this?
20	A You generally, when I got things that
21	I didn't think it was correct, yes, I would have
22	had discussion, you know, if he was available.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: Would have had or did
24	have?
25	THE WITNESS: Did have. Generally, I
I	

	Page 67
1	did have conversation with Mr. Bidsal.
2	THE ARBITRATOR: On that subject?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, and others,
4	generally. On that subject, I am not sure
5	100 percent. But generally like I said,
6	generally I do I did talk to Mr. Bidsal.
7	BY MR. LEWIN:
8	Q Well, the was the forced buy/sell
9	important to you?
10	A Yes, it was.
11	Q Now, take a look at page 28 on this
12	exhibit of this red line.
13	A Uh-huh.
14	Q And I see that it includes CLA
15	Properties as as a 70 percent percentage
16	interest.
17	Do you see that?
18	A That's right.
19	Q Okay. So take a look at Exhibit 15.
20	A Okay.
21	Q This is an e-mail from Mr. LeGrand to
22	you, and it says, "Ben, I'm confused by your phone
23	call. I included extensive right-of-first-refusal
24	language in this OPAG draft. My notes are that
25	this approach is what we discussed. Please call

Page 68 me if this is wrong." 1 2 Okay. So did you -- did you call 3 Mr. LeGrand? 4 I -- yes, and I had called him before, 5 yes. 6 Q And do you remember what -- was this a 7 telephone message or is this a conversation? 8 Α I had left a message for him, and then I 9 also contacted him. 10 So when you spoke to Mr. LeGrand, what 11 did you tell him? 12 I told him, you know, we had a meeting 13 and -- for hours, and we discussed things in 14 detail, and you said that you would prepare the 15 operating agreement, and on that operating 16 agreement there was supposed to be a forced 17 buy/sell that we could separate, but I didn't see 18 it here. And then --19 What -- what did he say? 2.0 He said he would do it. He would do it, 21 he would take care of that. 22 Q Okay. Take a look at Exhibit 16. 23 Α Okay. 24 This is an e-mail dated August 18 that 0 25 says to Ben -- to Ben and Shawn. And it says,

1	Page 69 "Ben and Shawn, please find attached OPAG based on
2	my conversation with Ben this morning."
3	A That's correct.
4	Q "I modified the books and records
5	provision, modified the right of ROFR to be for
6	sales for third parties and added a Dutch auction
7	provision. The Dutch auction only works if there
8	are two members. To bring in more members, it
9	would be more complex."
10	So when you you received it did
11	you receive this?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And did you did you review it?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q And take a look at page 7 pardon me,
16	page 12 of actually, there's actually,
17	there's two if you observed what first of
18	all, take a look first of all, take a look at
19	the next page, the third page.
20	THE ARBITRATOR: Can you give the
21	Arbitrator and the court reporter what page
22	exactly?
23	MR. LEWIN: It's the third page of
24	Exhibit 16.
25	THE ARBITRATOR: Is there anything

1	Page 70 written on the bottom of that page?
2	MR. LEWIN: Yeah, it says "Bidsal
3	Version."
4	THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you.
5	BY MR. LEWIN:
6	Q Okay. And the purpose of this is
7	THE ARBITRATOR: Down in the bottom
8	right-hand corner, just for the record, it says
9	9/13/2017, 2:08 p.m. down at the bottom right
10	corner.
11	Go ahead.
12	BY MR. LEWIN:
13	Q And when you received this e-mail from
14	Mr. LeGrand, were there two attachments?
15	A Yes.
16	
	Q Okay. Were the attachments one was a
17	red line and one was a clean version?
18	A It was, but they were not the same.
19	They were two different versions.
20	Q Okay. So are you saying the red line
21	was not a red line of what the clean version was?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Okay. Let's take a look at the clean
24	version. And I don't think there's any dispute in
25	this, the red line is not a red line of the clean

Page 71 version. 1 2 So take a look at the clean version, page 12. That's the -- that's the second version. 3 4 Okay. Looking at section -- there's now a Section 7. You have to look at the clean version, 5 Mr. Golshani. That's the second -- that's the 6 7 second document in the package. 8 Α Page --9 0 Twelve. 10 THE ARBITRATOR: 29 it says there; is 11 that correct? 12 MR. LEWIN: That's correct. 13 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, page 12, correct. 15 BY MR. LEWIN: So when you received this e-mail from 16 Q Mr. LeGrand and he said he put it in the -- he put 17 it in, the Dutch auction, did you locate this 18 19 provision here on 7.1? 2.0 That's right, I read that. 21 And was this what -- was this consistent 22 with what your -- with your understanding of what 23 Mr. LeGrand was supposed to be drafting? 24 Α No, it was not. This was not what we 25 discussed at that July 21st meeting.

1	Page 72 Q Okay.
2	A It was still not what we want.
3	Q And how and what was wrong with this
4	version of the of the buy/sell?
5	A First, there there here let me
6	take a look at it. I apologize.
7	Okay. First thing was that the offering
8	member should bring an offer an appraisal
9	showing that this offer is bona fide, and that is
10	what not we had agreed. We had agreed that the
11	offering member would offer any amount that he
12	thinks is fair, to have the freedom to have any
13	number. And the second problem I had was that it
14	would give the second party the other party
15	only ten days to make a decision, and it was not
16	enough.
17	And then it was talking about the fair
18	market value here, and that was not what we
19	discussed, either. Because we the offering
20	member was supposed to offer something that he
21	thinks is fair, and he can he could do his due
22	diligence and appraisal, whatever come of it, a
23	number that he is comfortable with, buy or sell,
24	and offer it; whereas here it would tie it to a
25	fair market value that we didn't know how to get

Page 73 1 it. 2 For this reason, I told him that this is 3 not what we wanted to have. 4 So after you saw this, did you -- did you discuss this provision with Mr. Bidsal? 5 6 Α Correct, yes. 7 0 Tell us what the conversation was Okay. between you and Mr. Bidsal about this -- about 8 9 this proposal. 10 Exactly the same conversation, that we -- I -- you know, that I told him that these 11 12 are not what we discussed, and he said he would 13 talk to him and he would take care of it -things. And by that time, I was a little bit 14 frustrated. I didn't -- I wanted to have an 15 16 operating agreement signed. 17 So I asked to please expedite and talk, 18 whatever they need to talk and --19 Well, when you -- did you go through --20 did you tell Mr. Bidsal what you saw were the 21 problems in the Section 7.1? 2.2 Yes, yes. 23 And did he -- what was his comment about 24 what you saw? 25 He concurred. He said that that's not Α

1	Page 74 what we discussed with Mr. LeGrand.
2	Q And you said you were frustrated.
3	Had you received any written did you
4	have any written agreement reflecting that you
5	were that you owned Green Valley or Country
6	Club by this time?
7	A That was the problem. Here I was, you
8	know, trusting \$4 million of my money and buying
9	these properties, and I didn't have have a
10	single paper in my name that I have the ownership.
11	And I didn't like that, and I started, you know,
12	questioning that. And I thought that was wrong.
13	I talked to Mr. Bidsal about it and I talked to
14	Mr. LeGrand, that we need to wrap this up and we
15	need to have an operating agreement.
16	Q And you wanted one for both Green Valley
17	and Country Club; right?
18	A Definitely.
19	Q And did look at the Section 7.
20	Did you draft any of this language?
21	A No, not at all.
22	Q And take a look at the last the last
23	section, the last sentence of Section 7.1.
24	A That's right.
25	Q Where it says, quote, "The specific

1	Page 75 intent of this provision is that the offering
2	member shall be obligated to either sell his or
3	its member interest to the remaining members or
4	purchase the member's interest of the remaining
5	members based on the fair market value of the
6	company's assets."
7	Did you did you draft that language?
8	A No.
9	Q Did you suggest that language be put in
10	this
11	A No.
12	Q this document?
13	A No, not at all.
14	Q Okay. Now, I want to take a look at
15	Exhibit 17. This is a an e-mail from
16	Mr. LeGrand, which is almost is almost a month
17	later no, pardon me. No. It's well, it's
18	almost a month later, actually.
19	A Yeah.
20	Q Between between August 10 and
21	September 16, did you receive did you receive
22	any other versions of the any further revised
23	operating agreement?
24	A I don't think so.
25	Q Okay. Was anything happening with
1	

Page 76 1 respect to the operating agreement during this 2 time period? 3 Not really. Α 4 Were you -- did you have any discussions with Mr. Bidsal between August 10, when you got --5 6 pardon me -- August 18 -- August 18th and -- let 7 me start over. You received the draft with the -- from 8 9 Mr. LeGrand on August 18. Between August 18 and 10 September 16, did you have any conversations with 11 Mr. Bidsal about what was happening getting the 12 revision out? 13 We -- we had discussion and I said that we need to wrap the operating agreement, and 14 he said he's on top of it and he would take care 15 16 of it. And I left it at that at that time. Did you call -- okay. 17 So when you 18 received this -- this August -- September 16, 19 2011, e-mail that, again, has a -- a draft of -- a revised operating agreement, did you read it? 20 21 Α On which date? 22 This is -- this is Exhibit 17. 23 September 16, 2011. Yes, I have reviewed that. 24 Α 25 So did you -- did you -- did you Q Okay.

```
Page 77
     see anything in this September 16, 2011, revision
 1
 2
     that caught your attention?
 3
               Well, I realized that everything is
 4
     eliminated.
               When you say "everything is eliminated,"
 5
 6
     what do you --
 7
               I mean, the -- that forced buy/sell is
     eliminated.
 8
               Is not included in this agreement?
 9
               I didn't see it.
10
          Α
11
          Q
               Okay.
12
          Α
               I didn't see it.
13
               Okay.
                     So Mr. LeGrand says, "I made
     some" -- in his e-mail says, "I do not know how to
14
     address the concept of the Dutch auction after
15
16
     much thought. We discussed that you want to be
17
     able to name a price and either get bought or" --
     "bought or buy at the offer price." Let me stop
18
19
     there.
2.0
          Α
               Right.
21
               When it referred to "we discussed," was
22
     that a discussion -- do you know who he's talking
23
     about there?
               What discussion?
24
          Α
               Well, it says, "We discussed that you
25
          Q
```

	Davis 70 l
1	want to be able to name a price and"
2	A It means three of us, yeah.
3	Q I can then it goes on, "I can write
4	that provision, but I'm not sure it makes sense
5	because Ben has put in more than double the
6	capital of Shawn. So if Ben names a price to be
7	bought out, that price has to reflect getting his
8	capital back."
9	Did you did you recognize this as a
10	an as an issue?
11	A Yeah, I recognize.
12	Q But after you but you but when you
13	noticed that there was no buy/sell provision in
14	this new redraft, did you talk to anybody about
15	it?
16	A Well, yes, I did.
17	Q Who did you speak to?
18	A I talked both to LeGrand and Mr. Bidsal.
19	What when do you want me to explain about
20	what is here or
21	Q I just want to know what you said
22	what did you say to Mr. Bidsal about this?
23	A Well, I said that I understand capitals
24	are different. And there should be I mean,
25	it's not that if somebody offers a dollar a month,

1	the other party can buy the other amount at the
2	same amount, because the capital the
3	contribution in the beginning was different.
4	And the we thought that we should
5	come up with a way to address that.
6	Q Did you and did was did you
7	have a conversation with Mr. LeGrand about this?
8	A Yes. And he also said the same thing,
9	that, you know, we need to address so that it
10	would be working, the capital the initial
11	capital.
12	Q Well, did you have a conversation with
13	LeGrand or did you leave him a voicemail?
14	A I don't remember.
15	Q Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 18,
16	which is a it's called it's dated
17	September 19th. And it says, "Shawn" it says,
18	"Shawn and Ben, I got Ben's voicemail Saturday
19	regarding buy/sell, and I talked with Shawn about
20	that issue. Because your capital contributions
21	are so different, you should consider a formula or
22	other approach in valuing your interest. A simple
23	Dutch auction, where either of you can make an
24	offer to the other and the other can elect to buy
25	or sell at the offered price does not appear
	· -

1	sensible to me.
2	"But you are both the clients, and I
3	will write it up as you jointly instruct. I know
4	Ben wants to get this finished. We can talk by
5	phone and figure this out" "figure out this
6	last issue."
7	Were you anxious to get this deal done?
8	A Definitely.
9	Q For the same reasons that you discussed
10	earlier?
11	A Exactly.
12	Q And take a look at Exhibit 19, which is
13	an e-mail dated September 20. And it says it
14	says, "Ben and Shawn, please find the revised OPAG
15	with the new Article 5, Section 5 which sets forth
16	the Dutch auction."
17	Now, take a look at page that portion
18	is on page 12.
19	And it's at the bottom of page 12, and
20	it says "sales between members." And it goes on
21	to page 13.
22	Did you did you read this section?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And did you believe that this did you
25	form any opinions or conclusions about whether

1	this this fit the bill? In other words, it
2	satisfied what your idea of what what you
3	and Mr. Bidsal agreed to?
4	A No, it didn't, for the for the
5	following reason. Number one, it did not I
6	mean, it did eliminate that appraisal and the fair
7	market value, and the but I realized that it is
8	not the forced buy/sell at the last paragraph. If
9	the second party was offered, don't do anything,
10	this thing is not enforceable and
11	Q So you mean if the if the offeree
12	doesn't do anything.
13	A The offeree, yeah, if he doesn't do
14	anything, the person who made an offer cannot
15	enforce it.
16	Q You mean there's no mechanism for
17	forcing the sale?
18	A For force exactly. And also it
19	talked about the ratio of capital that we hadn't
20	discussed and was not very familiar.
21	Q Did you discuss this with Mr. Bidsal?
22	A Yes, I did.
23	Q Okay. So tell and when did you
24	discuss it with Mr. Bidsal?
25	A Well, after this and I discussed with

1	Mr. Bidsal and I told him that, listen, we all
2	talked about this, everybody knows the problem,
3	everybody knows what we need to do, but the end
4	result is that we still do not have an operating
5	agreement and we need to take care of it. Okay.
6	How difficult can it be? We know the basics.
7	Mr. LeGrand says, okay, the capitals are
8	different, we need a formula. Okay. So let's sit
9	down and work up a formula.
10	And then there was
11	Q And what did Mr. Bidsal say when you
12	said that?
13	A Pardon me?
14	Q What did Mr. Bidsal say?
15	A Mr. Bidsal said, okay, you know, we
16	oh, he was busy at that time, and he you know,
17	but he would listen to me. And, you know, he
18	said, okay, yeah, there is a formula needed.
19	And then I asked him, what else do you
20	think is needed? He said that the when the
21	offering member offers, if the offer is low and
22	the the remaining member doesn't is not in
23	the position to buy and has to because they
24	don't I'm sorry. Because they don't have
	don's I'm bolly. Decades oney don's nave

1	at a low price.
2	So we need to have a mechanism that the
3	remaining member should appraise the property
4	and and if the price is higher, the offering
5	member offers at that appraised price so that the
6	remaining member would be protected.
7	And I thought it was fair, and I said
8	Q Well, why did you think it was fair?
9	A Because if the you know, the the
10	offering member has the right to to offer at
11	anytime they want. They can go spend time,
12	research, find out how much it is, and all of
13	that. And then at that time, when they and
14	acquire the money and be ready and offer to the
15	remaining member.
16	And the remaining member has to come up
17	with money and the price is low. And if they
18	can't, but they really want to, they can so they
19	have to sell it at the lower price.
20	In that case, to protect them, it's
21	better that remaining member have the opportunity
22	to ask for appraisal. All right?
23	Q So, I'm sorry, you're talking about if
24	the price if the if the when you say if
25	the price is low, what do you what did you

```
Page 84
    mean? When you said if the -- if the -- if the
1
 2
     offered price is low --
 3
               Yes.
          Α
 4
               -- did you mean low -- what did you mean
 5
    by that?
 6
          Α
               Lower than the regular going market
             And the other guy doesn't have the money
 7
    price.
     but wants to sell, at that time, if you give him a
 8
 9
     right to appraise, he would be protected.
10
               I see.
          0
11
               That's -- that's the -- that's what
12
     the -- he said, and I thought it was a -- it's a
13
     good idea. It's a balancing point.
14
               And then there was another issue that
     I -- these were the main two -- I'm sorry.
15
16
     were the main two issues, to come up with a
17
     formula and to come up with an appraisal for the
18
     remaining member. And I discussed it with him,
     and I said, okay, let's figure out the -- made
19
20
     suggestion, I said, "You know, would you like to
21
     write something, and we go take it to LeGrand?"
2.2
     He said, "I'm busy, you write it."
23
               And I went down and I put everything
24
     that I just said on the paper. If you look at
25
     the -- and I called it rough draft, you know, it's
```

```
Page 85
 1
     a suggestion that I have to my partner.
                                               And I
 2
     asked him that -- to take a look and give me --
 3
     give me his comments.
 4
               Okay.
                      So let's --
 5
          Α
               And --
 6
          0
               Let's -- let's move on. Let's turn to
     the page. Let's turn to exhibit --
 7
 8
               May I say something?
          Α
 9
               Sure, go ahead.
               Yeah.
                      If you look at the -- whatever
10
11
     e-mail that Mr. LeGrand sent on August 18, I took
12
     that, the same -- the specific intention or the
13
     same -- everything, and I added two -- actually,
     it was one formula, but then we thought that it's
14
     difficult to understand it. I added two formula
15
16
     and appraisal, and that's it.
               So you said you -- could you -- did you
17
     use a prior draft from Mr. LeGrand to help you
18
19
     draft -- to help you come up with the formula --
2.0
               Exactly. If you look at it verbatim,
          А
21
     you know, the bottom and the top is the same.
                                                      The
22
     two formula and the appraisal is what LeGrand
23
     wanted. LeGrand wanted a formula, and we thought
     it's a valid thing. I did -- I added that.
24
               And the -- also, the appraisal, we --
25
```

1	Page 86 one thing I would like to do to tell you, that
2	the on August 18, the appraisal, the offering
3	member had the right to appraise, and we didn't
4	agree with that. All right? We thought that the
5	offering member should be free to make any number
6	that he is happy with, you know, because he's
7	going to either buy or sell.
8	All right? So that appraisal went to
9	the remaining
10	Q You said you "we thought." Is this
11	a like, a conversation you had with somebody?
12	A Oh, about the
13	Q About the appraisal. You said "we
14	thought." I want to know who is "we."
15	A No, I'm talking about me and Mr. Bidsal.
16	Q Okay. And you're saying that you took
17	the you took the Section 7 you are you
18	saying you used Section 7 from the August 18
19	e-mail that's on page 12 of 29 and used that as a
20	basis for creating creating a formula?
21	A Yes, sir. If you
22	Q Well, let's we'll get there.
23	A I'm sorry.
24	Q We'll get there.
25	Take a look at Exhibit 20. Okay. Is

```
Page 87
     this what you -- is this what you've been talking
 1
 2
     about?
 3
               That's correct.
          Α
 4
          0
               And -- and it says Section 7. Can
 5
     you --
 6
          Α
               Do you want me to read my e-mail?
               Okay. You said you -- did you send
 7
          0
     this -- did you send this e-mail September 20 --
 8
 9
          Α
               Yes.
               -- to Mr. Bidsal?
10
          0
11
          Α
               Yes.
12
          Q
               Did he ever acknowledge that he received
13
     it?
14
               We discussed about it many times.
               Okay. And looking at this Section 7,
15
          Q
16
     did -- do you -- what is -- did you use the
17
     August 18 --
               THE ARBITRATOR: Did you understand the
18
19
     question from your lawyer?
2.0
     BY MR. LEWIN:
21
          Q
               Okay. The question is, did Mr. Bidsal
22
     ever acknowledge that he received this rough
23
     draft?
24
          Α
               Yes.
25
               And how did he acknowledge that he
          Q
```

1	Page 88
2	A We discussed about it.
3	Q Okay. So tell me when did when
4	was the first time you discussed it?
5	A I don't remember exact date, but I sent
6	a letter, and I called and I said, "Hey, I sent
7	you what we discussed, what do you think?" And he
8	said he was busy; he didn't talk to me for a few
9	weeks.
10	And then I called and I called, and then
11	finally I got him. And we discussed there was
12	a time that we came to Las Vegas together and we
13	were talking about it. And he had questions. I
14	had a copy of this, and I brought it. And we sat
15	down and he went through it, and he had some
16	problems with it, had some questions, that I said,
17	"I will correct and I will send it to you."
18	Q Well, did what were Mr. Bidsal's
19	issues with this rough draft
20	A Well
21	Q that he told you about?
22	A That he told me about? From what I
23	remember, he liked that that we are the
24	way you know, the appraisal worked, because
25	both parties would seek the appraisal. I put

	- 00
1	Page 89 three MIA, and then he said this overkilling it,
2	two would suffice. And then on the formula, I
3	have put the FMV minus the cost of purchase, which
4	is the profit, and multiply it by the coefficient
5	of the interest percentage of offering member.
6	And he mentioned to me that it wouldn't be fair to
7	him, because he would get less in since his
8	percentage is 30 percent, and he wanted it to be
9	50 percent. And I changed it in the next draft.
10	Q Okay. I want to go I want to stop
11	there for a second.
12	You had you had the formula as being
13	FMV, which
14	A Fair market value.
15	Q Which is the price of the cost of the
16	purchase
17	A That's right.
18	Q times the percentage interest of the
19	remaining member.
20	So if you were being bought out, that
21	would be what would that what number would
22	be in there?
23	A If I would be bought out, I would be the
24	remaining member. Well, not necessarily. Because
25	offering member member could be bought out or

Page 90 could also buy. 1 2 Q Okay. 3 But regardless, if the person -- if I 4 was going to be buying out, the profit would be multiplied by 70 percent. 5 And what did Mr. Bidsal --6 Regardless if I'm remaining or not. 7 Α So what was your deal with Mr. Bidsal 8 0 about the division of profits? 9 10 We had agreed to cut it in half. 11 Q Okay. 12 We said that, you know, I put more money 13 because he's working and because he's a specialty and things that he knows that I didn't know. 14 in return, we take a profit, half-half. 15 So Mr. Bidsal questioned whether 16 Q Okay. or not that -- that this formula was correct? 17 That's right. He -- he told me that is 18 19 not fair to him. 20 Okay. And did it -- was there any 21 other -- was there any other -- anything else that 22 he objected to in this rough draft? 23 He -- he mentioned -- he mentioned 24 probably some comments. I am not -- I don't 25 remember.

1 Q Okay. Looking at the rough dra	Page 91
	irc, ac
2 the last paragraph on the first page	
3 A Yes.	
4 Q where it talks about the spe	ecific
5 intent	
6 A Yes.	
7 Q where did you get that langu	age from?
8 A As I said, I got it from LeGran	ıd's
9 October August 18, Section 7; Section	7, what
10 he calls it, a Dutch auction. And if you	ı look at
11 the numbering, the numbering all is exact	ly like
12 what he wrote. And on the top on the	top it
13 says "Purchase to sell right amount," all	of them
14 is LeGrand, you know.	
15 Q Okay. So after after you me	et with
16 Mr. Bidsal and went over the rough draft,	, did you
17 create another draft?	
18 A That's right.	
19 Q And	
20 A Then I created the second draft	- that
21 addressed his concern.	. chac
22 Q And that's on Exhibit 22?	
23 A Yes. 21, sir no, you are ri	
24 Q And did you did you send thi	s to
25 Mr. Bidsal?	

	Page 92
1	A Yes, I e-mailed it to him.
2	Q And did you and this was the cover
3	letter?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q And the second draft says "Rough draft
6	two"?
7	A That's right. As I said, you know,
8	these were like suggestion. It was, like, you
9	know, because I really wanted to get this thing
10	done and get my operating agreement.
11	Q So then I see what changed
12	A So that's why I, you know, rolled up my
13	sleeve and
14	Q And I see you made a change on the fair
15	market on the formula on the formula to
16	provide that he gets, instead of 70 percent, it's
17	50 percent?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q That's in the second.
20	Did you make any other changes that you
21	can recall?
22	A The one that I remember yeah, I made
23	the appraisal, two appraisals. And then there was
24	this question, by the way, about who is offering
25	member and because, you know, the offering

Page 93 1 member offers and the other party can buy or sell, 2 so it was a little bit confusing. 3 And I tried to -- and, you know, we came 4 up with this -- with this definition. sure if I talked to Mr. Bidsal about definition or 5 6 LeGrand, but we came up -- I got some help to do 7 that. 8 0 And then you -- did you -- did you speak 9 to Mr. Bidsal about this rough draft number two 10 after you sent it to him? 11 Yes, I discussed it. The other thing 12 was that I changed the -- the offer from selling 13 to a -- to a second person. I changed it to start 14 the offer with purchasing. 15 I see. And had you discussed that with Q 16 Mr. Bidsal before you -- before you drafted this? 17 А Oh, yes. 18 Okay. Tell us -- tell us what -- so 19 that was in the discussion regarding the first 20 draft; right? 21 No. Between the first draft and second 2.2 On the first draft, it was offer to sell, 23 although it didn't matter, because the other party could buy or sell. All right? But I change it to 24 25 an offer to buy.

1	Page 94 Q And why did you do that?
2	A Because to make sure that the person who
3	is initiating the forced buy/sell really has
4	thought about it and has the money ready for it.
5	If somebody offers to sell by mistake or, you
6	know, somebody buys this company and there is
7	something between them, they may forget about this
8	idea, and they would say, okay, I offer to sell
9	without having the money available. I did it
10	you know, in my mind, I did it so that the person
11	who is initiating this forced buy/sell has the
12	money available, because he has to close escrow in
13	30 days. And we discussed it among our
14	ourselves, me and Mr. Bidsal, and we changed it to
15	offer to
16	Q Okay. So was how many discussions
17	between draft one and draft two did you have with
18	Mr. Bidsal about this?
19	A A few discussions.
20	Q All right. And after after you sent
21	this to Mr. Bidsal, did he did you have a
22	discussion in which he acknowledged receiving it?
23	A Yes. What happened again, things got
24	delayed. And I called and I said, you know, let's
25	take care of this. You know, right now, we were

	Page 95
1	in October, so meaning from May that I have paid
2	my money in good faith until then, my money was
3	the I had no papers showing the company was in
4	my name. God forbid if something happened to me
5	or him, who would have been collected, you know,
6	who would have believed all of these things.
7	THE ARBITRATOR: Did you understand the
8	question that your lawyer asked? What is the
9	question that you think you're answering now, sir?
10	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I just
11	I'm telling what
12	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Let me let
13	me
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
15	THE ARBITRATOR: see if I can help.
16	How do you know Mr. Bidsal received
17	draft number two? That's what I understood the
18	question to be.
19	THE WITNESS: Because I talked to him
20	after a couple of days as to actually, I
21	believe the same day I called and we had a
22	discussion, lengthy discussion about it.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: About draft number two?
24	THE WITNESS: About draft two, that's
25	correct.
1	

Page 96 THE ARBITRATOR: Is that the answer to 1 2 your question? 3 MR. LEWIN: Yes, that's the answer. 4 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. 5 question. 6 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 7 apologize. BY MR. LEWIN: 8 And did Mr. Bidsal have any further 9 comments about rough draft two after you -- when 10 11 you spoke to him about that? 12 Α He said he reviewed it and he would talk 13 to Mr. LeGrand about it. And he is the attorney, and return it to him. And that was the whole idea 14 from the beginning. 15 16 Okay. And did you -- did he ask you to Q send it anybody? 17 Yes. He told me, okay, send it to 18 Mr. LeGrand, and let him -- let him take care of 19 20 His attorney, he has to take care of it. it. 21 With this, he knows what the discussion is. 22 All right. And did you -- did you send it to Mr. LeGrand? 23 24 Α Yes, sir. Which document did you send him? 25 Q

	Page 97
1	A I send the rough draft two.
2	Q Okay. Take a look at Exhibit 23.
3	A Twenty-first?
4	Q Twenty-three.
5	A Okay.
6	Q It says it's a letter to it's an
7	e-mail from Mr. LeGrand to Shawn Bidsal. It says,
8	"Shawn, I received a fax from Ben and rewriting it
9	to be more detailed and complete. I will send it
10	out to both of you shortly." And he asked about
11	some money that he was billings he was looking
12	to get paid for.
13	Did you send out is this Ben take
14	a look at Exhibit No. 48 not 48, I'm sorry, 24.
15	By the way, before we go to that, the
16	fax that Mr. LeGrand is referring to, did you ever
17	fax him anything else other than the rough draft
18	two?
19	A No, I don't think so.
20	Q Okay. So take a look at Exhibit 24, if
21	you would. The bottom the bottom part of this
22	is an e-mail dated November 10, 2011, from LeGrand
23	to you and Mr. Bidsal. It says, "Gents, here's a
24	revised version of what Ben sent me. I will
25	insert it into the OPAG if these terms are

Page 98 acceptable to you." And take a look at the 1 2 attachment, which is direct -- it says -- his is 3 draft two. 4 Did you receive this -- did you receive this e-mail from Mr. LeGrand on or about --5 6 Α Yes. -- November 10, 2011? 7 8 Α I did, yes. 9 0 And did you read -- did you read this draft two? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Q And did -- was it acceptable to you? 13 Α Yes, I think so. 14 Did it seem to be what -- did it seem to be consistent with what you understood your deal 15 was with Mr. Bidsal? 16 Yeah, we -- and I discussed it with 17 Α 18 Mr. Bidsal, also. 19 0 Oh, you did? 20 So -- so I see you sent an e-mail to 21 Mr. LeGrand, still back on Exhibit 24, the day 22 after he sent this draft to you, and said, "Hi, it 23 looks good. Please complete and send it to us. Please issue share certificates and send to us" --24 "send it to us by UPS." 25

1	Page 99 You sent that to Mr. LeGrand?
2	A Yes, I did.
3	Q Now, in between in between your
4	receipt of this his rewrite of Exhibit of
5	Section 7, and you're saying in this e-mail that
6	says, "It looks good, please complete it and send
7	to us."
8	Had you talked to Mr. Bidsal about it?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Tell Your Honor what that discussion
11	was.
12	A Well, we discussed that this is a draft
13	that you are modified by a rough draft
14	number two put it draft two. This is the work
15	of LeGrand. And it addressed the what we
16	discussed what Bidsal and I discussed in having
17	a formula and having the appraisal, and it seemed
18	to be what both partners might want.
19	Q When you sent the rough draft number 2
20	to Mr. LeGrand, did you believe that was a draft
21	that you created, or was that a draft that you and
22	Mr. Bidsal had worked on and put it together?
23	A Jointly, because we discussed that, and
24	he would comment, I would comment. And based on
25	that, I would write it. And that's why I send it

```
Page 100
     to him. And if you look at the -- my cover sheet,
 1
 2
     I said, "Per our discussion." I was -- both of us
     were open to discuss.
 3
 4
               Did you -- do you have any legal
 5
     training?
 6
          Α
               No, not at all.
 7
               Had you ever drafted a -- an operating
          0
 8
     agreement?
 9
          Α
               No.
10
               Had -- how many LLCs were you involved
11
     with before -- well, you hadn't been involved with
12
     this one yet, but how many other LLCs had you been
13
     involved with before --
14
               I was --
               -- before this -- before this
15
16
     transaction with Green Valley?
17
               A couple of LLCs, yeah, but never went,
          Α
18
     you know, so deep into any of that.
19
          Q
               Okay. So November 11, you're still --
     you're still -- you've got your $4 million out
20
21
     there, don't have anything signed?
2.2
               Correct.
23
               Okay. So then Exhibit -- please turn to
     Exhibit 25.
24
25
               Did you receive this from Mr. LeGrand?
```

	Page 101
1	A Correct.
2	Q And what did you observe about this
3	this document?
4	A I believe that this was a mistake,
5	because it didn't have any of the things that we
6	had discussed in it.
7	Q So he sent the wrong agreement?
8	A I think so, yes.
9	Q Okay. So then look at Exhibit so
10	this was sent at 3:40. And then at Exhibit 26, he
11	sends another e-mail to both you and Mr. Bidsal on
12	November 29 at 5:06 that says, "Ben and Shawn,
13	this version has Ben's Dutch auction language and
14	a buy/sell at FMV and a death or dissolution of a
15	member."
16	And did you look at this agreement?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And if you look at page 10, this has
19	this agreement look at page 10 of 28. There's
20	a section of there's a purchase even though
21	it's a labeled "Right of First Refusal for Sale
22	of Interest by Members," there's a section for
23	purchase and sale by members; is that correct?
24	A Where are you reading?
25	Q I'm looking at on page 10 of 28 on

```
Page 102
     Exhibit 20 -- 26.
 1
 2
               Which -- oh, Section 3?
 3
          Q
               Yeah.
 4
               Oh, okay.
               But you see it says -- internally it
 5
 6
     says Section 7.1, 7.2. Do you see that?
     Section 4 it says in terms of Section 7.1 it's --
 7
     it -- did this agreement still have errors in it?
 8
 9
               Yes, it does have errors, yeah.
10
     have errors.
11
               So then what -- did you have a
          Q
12
     discussion with Mr. Bidsal about this version of
13
     the agreement?
14
               Well, as to --
               Did he indicate that he was going to
15
     revise this agreement?
16
17
          Α
               Yes.
                     Yes.
18
               MR. SHAPIRO: Did -- you said "he."
19
     last one was --
2.0
     BY MR. LEWIN:
21
               Did Mr. -- did Mr. -- did you have a
22
     discussion with Mr. Bidsal where he said he was
23
     going to make some final revisions in this
24
     agreement?
25
               After LeGrand send the -- this revision,
          Α
```

Page 103 I inquired about it, that, okay, if -- you know, 1 2 this should be what we wanted. He -- Mr. Bidsal 3 said he has to find time and revise it and look at 4 it, and if any -- there is any revision required, to do it. 5 6 Did he indicate to you of how he was 7 going to revise it? No. He said that I will check the whole 8 Α 9 thing. And then take a look at Exhibit 28 --10 no, pardon me, Exhibit 20 is -- pardon me. 11 12 Exhibit 27, which is an e-mail from 13 Mr. LeGrand to Mr. Bidsal as of December 10 saying, "Shawn, did you finish the revisions? 14 15 really wants to get this finished." Were you in communication with -- with 16 anyone about getting this agreement done as of 17 18 August -- December 10? 19 That's -- that's -- yeah. Yes, sir. 20 Yes, sir. 21 And then if you look at Exhibit 29, you 22 finally have an agreement that's signed. And this 23 was -- do you recall when this -- this was signed on -- this is not dated, but do you recall when 24 25 this was signed?

1	Page 104 A End of 2011.
2	Q Okay.
3	A There is a there is a letter to that
4	effect.
5	Q And did subsequently, did you notice
6	something about this since we got involved in
7	this lawsuit, did you notice something about this
8	agreement that you didn't notice before?
9	A Well, after, you know, the lawsuit and
10	the after the I looked at the documents that
11	I did not have access before. And after I looked
12	at this the signed operating agreement closely,
13	yes, I found things. As I mentioned, everything I
14	did is was based on trust. All right? But
15	from the time that David LeGrand sent the final
16	his final version until the time that we signed,
17	there were changes that was not communicated with
18	me.
19	And one of those changes, if you look at
20	all of the operating agreement that LeGrand sent,
21	as me and Mr. Bidsal agreed, it was 70 percent
22	share mine and 30 percent his. And then on the
23	signed agreement, I realized that it was changed
24	to 50/50. It doesn't make any probably to
25	be honest with you, I'm not an attorney and I
I	

	Page 105
1	didn't inquire, but this is what change I saw he
2	had made.
3	Q Okay. Well, take a look at Exhibit
4	THE ARBITRATOR: When you say "he had
5	made," who made it?
6	THE WITNESS: Mr. Bidsal, because he
7	had he was in the possession of the operating
8	agreement. I don't think LeGrand
9	THE ARBITRATOR: Who prepared the
10	document from whose computer generated the
11	what you signed?
12	THE WITNESS: From Mr. Bidsal.
13	THE ARBITRATOR: So it was not a
14	LeGrand
15	THE WITNESS: No.
16	THE ARBITRATOR: document, it was a
17	Bidsal document
18	THE WITNESS: Correct.
19	THE ARBITRATOR: according to your
20	testimony? All right. I think I'm getting it
21	now.
22	MR. LEWIN: Take a look at
23	THE ARBITRATOR: That's what
24	MR. LEWIN: That's right. That's the
25	point.

Page 106 BY MR. LEWIN: 1 2 Q Look at Exhibit -- go back to Exhibit 25, the last page, which is Exhibit B. 3 Ιt 4 shows the membership interest at 70/30; is that 5 correct? 6 Α I'm sorry. That is correct, 70/30. And going to -- looking at the 7 0 Exhibit 29, the last page, which is Exhibit B, 8 9 shows the -- the membership interest is -- that 10 the ownership interest as being 50/50. 11 Do you see that? 12 Α That's correct. 13 Now, if there's a profit, it doesn't make any difference. But if there were losses, 14 how would that make a difference? 15 16 Well, that's the second thing I noticed, Α that I was burdening all the risks from the 17 beginning until the end. And here it says that if 18 19 you lose, I pay 70 percent of that loss. But if 20 we profit, I get 50 percent of that profit. 21 Q Okay. Well, going forward now to 2017, 22 the -- was there a point in time where Mr. Bidsal 23 asked if you were interested in investing more 24 money on any more properties? Yes, from time to time. 25 Α

	Page 107
1	Q Okay. In 2017, just to put it in some
2	time frame, if you look at Exhibit 30, the time
3	framework here shows that his offer to purchase
4	your membership interest is dated July 7, 2017.
5	Before at any time in 2017 before
6	July 7, did Mr. Bidsal approach you about making
7	further investments?
8	A Before July?
9	Q Before July.
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q And when was that?
12	A Generally, he would want me to invest
13	have more investment. And the last one that he
14	asked about some property that he found was
15	probably, like, four or five months before this.
16	Q And at the time and tell us about
17	the conversation.
18	MR. SHAPIRO: Five months before what?
19	THE WITNESS: Before the July 7 that I
20	got this and well, he mentioned that there are
21	opportunity and if I were I'm interested to
22	invest.
23	BY MR. LEWIN:
24	Q And what did you tell him?
25	A At that time, I had there was not

1	liquid, and I said that I don't have cash
2	available and or if I have, I have other
3	project that I'm thinking, and I am not in the
4	position to invest.
5	Q Okay. And the next thing that happened
6	in connection with Green Valley was what?
7	A Pardon me?
8	Q The next thing that happened in
9	connection with Green Valley was what? Is the
10	next thing that happened in connection with Green
11	Valley in terms of your in terms of this
12	arbitration was that you received the offer to
13	purchase?
14	A Yes. After that, of course, you know,
15	we discussed to market the property and sell and
16	be done with it. And he, as usual, he was in
17	charge of the event. And he researched the event
18	and he exactly got all of the figures and all of
19	that. I and he worked with the brokers and
20	came up with a price to sell it, put it in the
21	market, and came to me and said that it is not
22	
	selling. I said, "Okay, how about if you reduce
23	your price?" Which he said he would. And then we
23 24	

```
Page 109
               All right. Now, at the same -- when you
 1
          0
 2
     signed the Green Valley agreement, did you also
     sign the Country Club agreement?
 3
 4
          Α
               Yes.
               And was the Country Club agreement a
 5
 6
     mirror image of Green Valley?
 7
               Yes, same -- yes, sir.
          Α
 8
               MR. LEWIN: Okay. I have --
     BY MR. LEWIN:
 9
10
               What was the property listed to sell --
11
     to sell for?
12
               From what I remember in the beginning,
13
     was 6.3 million, and then he said that he reduced
     it for $5.8 million.
14
15
               And when was this?
          Q
               This was four or five months before --
16
          Α
17
               And was this for all of the Green
          Q
18
     Valley --
19
          Α
               -- July --
20
               Is this for all the Green Valley
21
     properties?
2.2
               For all six buildings, yeah. And --
23
               MR. LEWIN: Okay. I have nothing
24
     further.
               I'll turn it over.
25
               MR. SHAPIRO: Can we take a rest break
```

	7.110
1	Page 110 real quick, five minutes?
2	THE ARBITRATOR: We have a maybe not
3	discussed this before, perhaps, but what I call
4	the Haberfeld five. There is really a ten-minute
5	break because there really is no such thing as a
6	five-minute break. So we'll take a ten-minute
7	break. See you back in ten.
8	MR. SHAPIRO: Okay.
9	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
10	THE ARBITRATOR: Back on the record.
11	I have had a brief introductory
12	conversation that I will now put on the record
13	MR. LEWIN: He'll tell you what
14	exhibits just listen to him. He'll direct you
15	to what he wants you to look at.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	THE ARBITRATOR: with our witness.
18	And speaking to you directly, now, sir,
19	as I indicated just a moment ago, what we're about
20	to start in in law is called cross-examination.
21	What you were doing before under the questioning
22	of Mr. Lewin is what is called direct examination,
23	which within certain limits and I've tried to
24	limit my interference to as much as possible to
25	kind of bring you back to what I thought the
1	

1	question was that was asked.
2	But on cross-examination, it's even more
3	important, if not highly important, that you give
4	the most truthful, responsive, concise answer to
5	the question that's asked and to nothing else
6	beyond the question asked, to consider yourself as
7	much as you possibly can, realizing that this is
8	the lawyer for the other side and you're in an
9	adverse what we call an adversarial proceeding.
10	I would ask you please to try to do what I ask and
11	not to defend, argue, explain, anticipate, or do
12	any of those kinds of things which are normal
13	human responses in the situation that you probably
14	find yourself in.
15	So resist that, follow my directions.
16	I'll try to be as nice the first time, if there is
17	one, where I have to remind you about this
18	conversation. But if there's a second or a third
19	time, I think you'll feel that there's a
20	difference in the way that we're talking. Okay?
21	THE WITNESS: No problem.
22	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Do you have any
23	questions about
24	THE WITNESS: No, I'm just
25	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Are we ready for

	Page 112
1	cross-examination?
2	MR. SHAPIRO: Yeah.
3	THE ARBITRATOR: Who will be
4	cross-examining?
5	MR. SHAPIRO: What's that? I will.
6	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Mr. Shapiro,
7	cross-examination.
8	MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you.
9	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
12	Q Good morning or good afternoon,
13	Mr. Golshani. I'm Jim Shapiro. You and I have
14	met before, but I represent Shawn Bidsal.
15	This is not going to be as
16	chronologically flowing as your attorney. I'm
17	going to kind of be jumping around, but I'm going
18	to try and hit the top hot points, and then be
19	done.
20	I just want to go back initially to your
21	relationship with Shawn. My understanding is that
22	Shawn is the one who found the properties that
23	were ultimately purchased by Green Valley
24	Commerce; is that correct?
25	A No.
1	

	Page 113 11
1	Q Who found the properties?
2	A Both of us found them.
3	Q Okay. Now, when when you were
4	testifying, it sounded like you purchased the
5	properties on Auction.com.
6	A Correct.
7	Q And you purchased so you made a bid,
8	and then they transferred the property to you. Is
9	that your testimony?
10	A When you say "they," whom do you mean?
11	Q Whoever owned the property.
12	A That's right.
13	Q Okay. Do you recall that instead of
14	purchasing the property, you actually purchased a
15	promissory note?
16	A Yes, I do.
17	Q Okay. And and then after you
18	purchased the promissory note, there was a deed in
19	lieu of foreclosure that was negotiated; is that
20	correct?
21	A Correct, yes.
22	Q Shawn handled the negotiations on the
23	deed in lieu; correct?
24	A Most of it, yes.
25	Q He kept you up to date on what was going

1	Page 114
1	on; right?
2	A Well, we worked with each other, but
3	most of it was done by myself and Shawn, yes.
4	Q Okay. And then ultimately the property
5	was subdivided
6	A Correct.
7	Q to separate parcels; correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And Shawn was the one who handled that
10	subdivision process?
11	A He hired the surveyor, yes, he
12	Q But he was the one working with the
13	surveyors and everybody; correct?
14	A I worked with it too, but, he again,
15	he did most of the work.
16	Q Okay. But certainly you were involved
17	in the process and understood what was going on?
18	A To some extent, yes.
19	Q Okay. And then Shawn was the one who
20	managed and leased the properties; correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And Shawn didn't receive a management
23	fee for doing so; correct?
24	A Well, he received well, he received
25	the money in turn that our agreement was that I

1	pay him more. I mean, pay invest more and that
2	would take care of his services.
3	Q Okay. So the compensation that Shawn
4	was going to receive was essentially sweat equity
5	to hypothetically equal the cash that you put in?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to
8	Exhibit 2. This is a an exhibit that your
9	attorney showed to you earlier.
10	A All right.
11	Q And if I understood your testimony
12	correctly, you you testified that this was the
13	initial deposit that you made?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. Now, you were giving me a number
16	of 400-and-some-odd thousand 404,000; correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Can you show me on Exhibit 2 where that
19	number shows up?
20	A Isn't it, you know, at the last number
21	in the left column, it says May 20, 2011, the
22	number above that.
23	MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, I'm wondering
24	if maybe my exhibit is not the same, because my
25	exhibit is not showing that, so okay.

	Page 116
1	THE ARBITRATOR: Do you show something
2	called "credit amount"?
3	MR. SHAPIRO: Well, so here is my
4	first page has an amount of 2,430,000 and a value
5	date of June 2nd, 2011.
6	Is that what you're showing?
7	THE WITNESS: No, that's the closing
8	statement.
9	MR. SHAPIRO: So I'm afraid that my
10	Exhibit 2 is not what you can I
11	MR. LEWIN: Do you have the second page?
12	MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. There's a yes, I
13	have a second page.
14	Is that what we're talking about?
15	Now, the second page is a fax, a couple
16	of faxed a couple of times.
17	THE ARBITRATOR: What is the top on the
18	fax header you're showing that towards the
19	right-hand side of the top?
20	MR. SHAPIRO: May 20th, 2011.
21	THE ARBITRATOR: That's what we're
22	I'm showing as the first page of two, on the
23	Arbitrator's number two.
24	MR. SHAPIRO: And is there a second page
25	in the Arbitrator's exhibit?

```
Page 117
 1
               THE ARBITRATOR: It feels like it. Yes,
 2
     there is.
 3
               MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. And what is --
 4
               THE ARBITRATOR: And there's a -- on the
     second page is something with a letterhead of
 5
     "Habib American Bank."
 6
 7
               MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. And is the message
     Serial 290-1871?
 8
 9
               THE ARBITRATOR: That's what it's
     showing here.
10
11
               MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. All right. That's
12
     why I'm --
13
               THE ARBITRATOR: Does anybody disagree
14
     with the conversation that Mr. Shapiro and I are
     having compared to what is before them?
15
16
               MR. LEWIN: No.
17
               THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. I think we're
18
     all together now.
19
               MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. All right.
20
     what's -- okay.
21
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
22
               Now, you had testified -- and I -- let's
23
     see. Potentially -- no, it's not Exhibit 1. I
     don't recall which exhibit you were looking at,
24
     but you testified there was an incorrect e-mail
25
```

```
Page 118
 1
     address.
               I'm trying to find that. I apologize if
 2
     you --
 3
               MR. LEWIN: That's 12.
 4
               MR. SHAPIRO: 12, thank you.
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
 5
 6
          Q
               If you turn to Exhibit 12.
 7
          Α
               Okay.
               Now, you testified previously that you
 8
          Q
     did not receive this e-mail, and indicated that
 9
     the e-mail address was incorrect.
10
11
               Do you recall that testimony?
12
          Α
               That's right, yes, I said --
13
          Q
               Here's my question to you.
               There's -- do you recall that David
14
     LeGrand produced a copy of his file that was
15
16
     pretty thick?
17
               Yes, sir.
          Α
18
          Q
               Have you seen that copy?
19
          Α
               Yes.
20
               And Mr. LeGrand Bates-stamped his --
          Q
21
          Α
               Yes.
22
          Q
               -- his documents, DL000, and then --
23
          Α
               Yes.
               -- 1 through whatever it worked out to
24
          0
25
          Okay.
     be.
```

Page 119 1 Did you look at all of the documents in 2 the documents that Mr. LeGrand produced? 3 I don't think so. Some of them, yeah. Α 4 It was so bulky, I --5 There was a lot. What I'm trying to do 6 is avoid showing you each of the e-mails, and I'm 7 wondering if there were any other e-mails that you've seen in the documents that have been 8 9 produced that you did not receive prior to this 10 lawsuit. 11 Α The -- there was. There was a lot of --12 anything before this --13 Okay. Q -- most likely I didn't receive. 14 was -- the e-mails had only Mr. Shawn Bidsal as 15 the receiver and I did not receive those. 16 So the e-mails that didn't even 17 0 Okay. 18 purport to be sent to you, obviously you didn't 19 get those. 2.0 Α Yeah. 21 But there were a number of e-mails after 22 July 22nd from David LeGrand or from Shawn to you, 23 and, I mean, I can go through each of them if I need to, but my question is -- I mean, you sat 24 through Mr. LeGrand's deposition; correct? 25

Page 120 1 Α Yes. Yes. 2 Q Were there any e-mails in his deposition 3 where you thought, I didn't get this e-mail, but 4 the -- but the document says I did? I didn't look at all his e-mail to come 5 to that conclusion. 6 Okay. Well, I've got a witness list. 7 0 I'm just going to go through this as fast as I 8 This is plaintiff's exhibits -- and I just 9 need to verify which e-mails you did or did not 10 11 receive. So, again, I'll move through this as 12 fast as we can. Bear with me and let me --13 MR. LEWIN: What number are you going to 14 go through? 15 I'm going through our --MR. SHAPIRO: 16 right now I've got the binder that has 301 through 340 in it. 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Is that a binder --18 19 MR. SHAPIRO: That's -- yes. That's 2.0 a --21 THE ARBITRATOR: -- before the 2.2 Arbitrator? 23 MR. SHAPIRO: That's this binder right 24 here. 25 THE ARBITRATOR: Very well.

Page 121 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 1 2 So, Mr. Golshani, if you can turn to 3 Exhibit 378 --4 MR. SHAPIRO: Rod, I'll wait for you. 5 Tell me when you're ready. MR. LEWIN: All right. I'm here. 6 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 7 Mr. Golshani, this Exhibit 308 indicates 8 Q 9 that it was an e-mail from David LeGrand to you. 10 Did you receive this e-mail on or about 11 August 10th, 2011? 12 Α I think so. 13 Okay. And it appears to be your correct 14 e-mail address; right? 15 It is my correct address. Α 16 0 Okay. 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Off the record for just 18 a second. (Discussion off the record.) 19 2.0 THE ARBITRATOR: Back on the record. 21 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 22 Q All right. Exhibit 309, did you receive 23 this e-mail? 24 A I think so, yes. All right. 310, did you receive that 25 Q

1	e-mail?	Page 122
2	A	I think so.
3	Q	
	_	
4	A	, 5 1
5	is spelle	ed wrong, but I think I received it.
6	Q	Okay. And Exhibit 312, did you receive
7	that e-ma	il?
8	A	Yes, I think so.
9	Q	And Exhibit 313, did you receive that
10	e-mail?	
11	A	I think so.
12	Q	And Exhibit 314, did you receive that
13	e-mail?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And Exhibit 315, did you receive that
16	e-mail?	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And Exhibit 318, did you receive that
19	e-mail?	
20	A	I think so.
21	Q	And Exhibit 322, did you receive that
22	e-mail, t	the November 10th, 2011, e-mail?
23	A	I think so, yes.
24	Q	And Exhibit 323, did you receive that
25	e-mail?	

	7	Page 123
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And Exhibit 325, did you receive that
3	e-mail?	
4	А	Would it help if I say that all okay.
5	Go ahead.	
6	Q	Exhibit 325?
7	А	If my name hold on a second. 325.
8	Looks lik	ke it, yes.
9	Q	And Exhibit 331, the middle of the page
10	there's a	an e-mail header from Jeff Chang to what
11	appears t	to be Shawn and you from July 18th, 2012.
12	Just tell	me if you received that e-mail.
13	А	Probably.
14	Q	Okay.
15	А	I don't remember the e-mail.
16		MR. LEWIN: You have to keep your voice
17	up.	
18		Did you hear him?
19		THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I did.
20		MR. LEWIN: Okay. Please keep your
21	voice up.	
22	BY MR. SH	HAPIRO:
23	Q	Okay. When after Green Valley
24	Commerce	obtained title to the property by virtue
25	of the de	eed in lieu of foreclosure, the expenses

1	for leasing were paid by Shawn; correct?
2	A What kind of expenses you are referring
3	to?
4	Q The expenses associated with preparing
5	the lease agreement, negotiating the lease
6	agreement, any tenant improvement or other moneys
7	that needed to be put into the property.
8	A I have no recollection of that.
9	Q Okay. I want to shift gears a little
10	bit and talk about David LeGrand.
11	Do you do you know who Jeff Chan is
12	or Jeff Chang? I don't how to
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q How do you say his last name?
15	A Chang.
16	Q Chang.
17	A Chang.
18	Q Okay. Jeff Chang.
19	Who is Jeff Chang?
20	A As far as I know, Jeff Chang was
21	Mr. Bidsal's broker, that Bidsal introduced me to
22	him. And he became and Bidsal wanted him to
23	become our broker to buy properties later on, the
24	chance to do some work for Green Valley
25	Q Okay.
1	

Page 125 1 -- and Country Club. Α 2 Q And do you recall a meeting that you and 3 Shawn and Jeff were present when Jeff got David 4 LeGrand on the phone to make an introduction? 5 I don't remember. Sorry. 6 0 Now, I want you to take -- go back to 7 the exhibit binder that your attorney was using. 8 Now, I want to start with Exhibit 11. 9 Okay. 10 If you turn to Exhibit 11, at the bottom 11 of Exhibit 11, do you see the DL00086; correct? 12 Α Correct. And that indicates that this document 13 14 came from David LeGrand's file; correct? 15 Α Yes. Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 10. 16 0 Now -- oh, I'm sorry. 17 I'll wait. 18 Go ahead. Α 19 Q The first page of Exhibit 10 is an e-mail, as is the second page, but you don't have 20 the Bates -- the DL Bates number at the bottom; 21 22 correct? 23 Α That's right. 24 0 But when you look at the third agreement, all of a sudden you do have a DL Bates 25

1	number; correct?
2	A Uh-huh, yes.
3	Q So the first two pages of Exhibit 10 did
4	not come from David LeGrand's file; correct?
5	A It appears so. I'm not sure. Maybe he
6	missed that. I don't know
7	Q Okay.
8	A if it came or not.
9	Q But the rest of Exhibit 10 did come from
10	David LeGrand's file; correct?
11	A Because it has a Bates stamp stamp
12	number, I I think so. If it has a Bates stamp,
13	I think it did.
14	Q Okay. Do you know do you have any
15	knowledge about why this exhibit has a combination
16	of documents from David LeGrand's file and
17	documents that weren't from David LeGrand's file?
18	A I don't know, but I can guess that
19	Q Well, I don't want you to guess. That's
20	okay. If you don't know, you don't know.
21	A I don't know.
22	Q All right. Can you turn to Exhibit 12.
23	Now, Exhibit 12, on the bottom of the
24	first page, someone handwrote DL 137 and 38, but
25	that Bates number isn't there; correct?

	Page 127
1	A Correct.
2	Q But when you look at the third page,
3	then it picks up and you do have Bates numbers;
4	correct?
5	A That's right.
6	Q And, again, do you know why this is
7	exhibit has some documents from LeGrand's file and
8	some that were not?
9	A I don't know. I don't recall.
10	Q Okay. And if you turn to Exhibit 14, we
11	have the same situation here.
12	Is your answer the same, you don't know
13	why we have a mix of exhibits?
14	A No, I don't.
15	Q Okay.
16	THE ARBITRATOR: Are you representing
17	that there is a mix of exhibits as opposed to
18	drawing an inference?
19	MR. SHAPIRO: I'm I'm representing
20	that some of these well, I am representing it's
21	a mix of exhibits, that that unless it has DL
22	on it, it wasn't from LeGrand's file. And that
23	will become important, because I believe that some
24	of the exhibits that have a combination of both
25	don't belong in that order.
1	

	Page 128
1	THE ARBITRATOR: Should the Arbitrator
2	inquire as to who has the actual production from
3	the LeGrand production?
4	MR. SHAPIRO: On the exhibit log that we
5	have, a lot of not all, but a lot of these
б	exhibits are also found in our exhibit list, and
7	we cross-referenced the ones that were the same.
8	They're not identical, and I'm not sure why. So
9	what we tried to do is just take the exact
10	documents from Mr. LeGrand's file, and
11	THE ARBITRATOR: Does anybody have
12	MR. SHAPIRO: The complete
13	THE ARBITRATOR: the complete
14	MR. SHAPIRO: I don't have it
15	THE ARBITRATOR: LeGrand production,
16	so that if it appears to be highly important
17	MR. SHAPIRO: We have
18	THE ARBITRATOR: to get to your
19	point, if the Arbitrator has a proper
20	understanding, perhaps the Arbitrator's question
21	is pertinent.
22	MR. SHAPIRO: It absolutely is.
23	MR. LEWIN: Well, we have we can
24	we let me tell you what my understanding is, is
25	that when Mr. LeGrand produced files, he produced

1	Page 129 them first to Mr. Garfinkel, who he produced them
2	in a way that was disorganized. And so they were
3	organized by Mr. Garfinkel, and then he produced
4	them and in a Bates-stamped portion and that
5	to the extent there may be some copies of
6	documents, that may be the reason. But we do have
7	the LeGrand production. I think we have all of
8	his exhibits with us here. So that I think
9	that's that's my understanding.
10	THE ARBITRATOR: Mr. Shapiro seems to be
11	indicating that either now or at some point in our
12	arbitration it's going to become relevant that
13	what he calls mixed trial exhibits, some with and
14	some without the LeGrand Bates stamp, have
15	significance. So that's all I have to say.
16	MR. SHAPIRO: Sure. I I will have
17	with Rod, with with your consent, what I can
18	do is just have a copy from DL1 to the end
19	produced and we'll mail it in fact, we can have
20	it tomorrow, so that you can have that there as
21	well.
22	THE ARBITRATOR: Well, it appears to me
23	that it's more salient that it be between the
24	lawyers about what's going on here. As you're
25	presenting it to me, we'll see what happens.

Page 130 1 MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. 2 THE ARBITRATOR: I -- I would rather not be a monkey and go through it one by one to see. 3 4 I leave that to the lawyers --5 MR. SHAPIRO: Absolutely. 6 THE ARBITRATOR: -- to sort it out. 7 MR. SHAPIRO: Yeah. 8 MR. LEWIN: Sorry about that. We'll sort it out. 9 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. Let's go 10 back to cross-examination. 11 12 BY MR. SHAPIRO: 13 Okav. Now, are you still at Exhibit 14? Q 14 Α Yes. I believe you testified that you 15 Q Okay. did receive this document from Mr. LeGrand, and I 16 think this was the -- according to your testimony, 17 this was the first document you received after 18 19 your meeting with Mr. LeGrand; is that accurate? 2.0 It is accurate. Α 21 0 Okay. If you can turn to page 8 of the 22 exhibit, which is Bates-stamped DL00175 --23 Uh-huh, I am there. 24 0 Okay. Under Section 1, it appears that 25 you were added as a manager of the company in this

1	Page 131 version of the document; correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And if I understand correctly, that
4	flowed through and ultimately was part of the
5	operating agreement that was signed?
6	A That's right, yes.
7	Q Okay. Now, turning to Exhibit 17, this
8	is just another instance where the it's a mixed
9	exhibit, and I'm guessing you don't have any
10	knowledge as to why some of the documents are from
11	LeGrand's file and some are not; correct?
12	A I don't.
13	MR. LEWIN: That question assumes a fact
14	that's not really proven. It doesn't prove that
15	it's not from his file. It proves it's not
16	Bates-stamped.
17	MR. SHAPIRO: Except his whole file was
18	Bates-stamped. Are you saying that his that
19	wasn't a complete copy of his file? Because
20	that's concerning.
21	MR. LEWIN: I can't all I'm saying is
22	that the fact just I don't want to
23	interrupt. I'm just saying that
24	THE ARBITRATOR: Let's go off the record
25	for just a second.

1	Page 132 (Discussion off the record.)
2	THE ARBITRATOR: Back on the record.
3	We've had an off-the-record
4	conversation, the substance of which, in colloquy
5	between the Arbitrator and Mr. Lewin, is that the
6	Arbitrator had an understanding from what he said
7	earlier on the record that there was an initial or
8	maybe only one production from Mr. LeGrand, and it
9	was made to Mr. Garfinkel, and Mr. Garfinkel
10	apparently found it in some way wanting, either in
11	terms of adequacy or and/or organization. And
12	that he then got either things organized or a new
13	production where all of the documents at that
14	point were Bates-stamped beginning with DL. And I
15	asked Mr. Lewin if that was correct, he said it
16	was.
17	This is your opportunity, Mr. Lewin, to
18	correct me in my understanding. And I then asked
19	you where you got that understanding, and you said
20	from Mr. Garfinkel. And then I started talking
21	with Mr. Shapiro, and we decided to go on the
22	record.
23	Go ahead, Mr. Shapiro.
24	MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you.
25	Mr. LeGrand testified at his deposition

Page 133 1 that the Bates-stamped documents were the sum 2 total of the file as he produced it. Some of the 3 exhibits -- for instance, the -- Exhibit 12 is a 4 good example. Exhibit 12, there is, on DL137, 138 -- hold on. I think I can illustrate this a 5 little bit better, if you'll just bear with me for 6 7 a second. If you -- your Honor, if you turn to 8 Exhibit 307 in -- no, stay on Exhibit 12 in that 9 binder, and turn to Exhibit 307 in the separate 10 11 binder. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: I have it. 13 MR. SHAPIRO: Now, if you look at 14 Exhibit 307, you see Bates-stamped DL00137 and 15 138, and then it continues on 139, et cetera. 16 Now, the formatting of the document that 17 David LeGrand testified came from his file, the verbiage may be similar, but the formatting is 18 19 different and the actual language is different. 2.0 So I don't know where exhibit -- the first two 21 pages of Exhibit 12 came from, so that's an 22 example. Some of these, the -- the content does 23 appear in his file, although the formatting is 24 different, so I don't know -- and it doesn't 25 contain all of the information, but there's other

Page 134 instances that are a little more problematic. 1 2 And so I'm -- I'm just pointing that out 3 for the Arbitrator's benefit and to lay a 4 foundation for future arbitration proceedings. I'm trying to understand how 5 MR. LEWIN: 6 you say the language is different. MR. SHAPIRO: Well, if you look at 7 DL137, it starts with an e-mail from David LeGrand 8 9 to Shawn Bidsal on July 25, 2011, at 3:30 p.m., and that e-mail is nowhere contained in your 10 Exhibit 12. 11 12 MR. LEWIN: Okay. So -- okay. So 13 you're -- they're correct, the top part -- I have it right here. The top part of the e-mail -- I 14 mean, it's an e-mail chain. We did not include 15 16 the -- we did not include the irrelevant portion of the e-mail chain. So that -- if that's your --17 if that's your issue, that -- that the language is 18 different, then I can -- it's the top portion of 19 2.0 the e-mail chain. 21 The portion of the e-mail that is --2.2 that's pertinent to this arbitration is exactly --23 exactly the same. 24 THE ARBITRATOR: The Arbitrator makes 25 the following observation: I don't think it's

1	fruitful to spend too much more time on this right
2	now, but that something has been highlighted that
3	might lead to the following. I don't know that I
4	want to order counsel to meet and confer to sort
5	this out, because it may be Mr. Shapiro's purpose
6	just to let let things be as they are, and to
7	use them for whatever purpose and benefit you
8	think appropriate in light of what you've
9	you've said and what the Arbitrator's
10	understanding is.
11	So I'm not requiring you to speak with
12	Mr. Lewin about how his exhibits got organized.
13	And you can just do what you just did with 307 in
14	your book compared to what you've shown me, and to
15	give that as as a an illustration where you
16	think it's going to be more significant in in
17	later use. And then we can just leave it at that.
18	Now I think I've got it.
19	MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you.
20	THE ARBITRATOR: All right.
21	MR. SHAPIRO: We'll just move forward,
22	Your Honor.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: All right. Because the
24	rest of it is just kind of argument and solving a
25	possible mystery or not, but we're in trial. All

```
Page 136
 1
     right. Let's keep going.
 2
               MR. SHAPIRO: All right. Thank you.
 3
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
 4
               Could you turn -- Mr. Golshani, could
     you turn to Exhibit 19, please.
 5
 6
          Α
               Yes.
 7
          0
               Now, this document -- actually, you know
 8
     what, no questions on that.
               Turning to Exhibit 20, the first page of
 9
     Exhibit 20, this is your e-mail to Shawn dated
10
11
     September 22nd, 2011; correct?
12
          Α
               Yes.
13
          Q
               And it states, "Shawn Aziz," A-Z-I-Z.
               Is that how you address him?
14
15
               Sometimes, yes.
          Α
16
               Okay. And then you say, "Enclosed,
          Q
     please find a rough draft of what I came up with,"
17
18
     period.
19
          Α
               Yes.
20
               Did I read that correctly?
          Q
21
          Α
               Yes.
22
               Okay. And then you attached the
23
     document that you had drafted that -- that is
     titled "Rough draft"; correct?
24
25
          Α
               Yes.
```

1	Page 137 Q Okay. Looking at that rough draft, I
2	want to draw your attention to the first formula.
3	A Uh-huh.
4	Q It's a little lower than halfway down
5	the page. It says "FMV minus cost of purchase
6	stated in escrow closing statement times interest
7	percentage."
8	Do you see that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Where did that formula come from?
11	A That formula came the idea came
12	from after LeGrand told us that we need a
13	formula and we discussed between us, we decided to
14	come up with something that addresses the
15	difference between the capitals, and this is what
16	I came to discuss further with him.
17	Q Let me I'm going to ask some very
18	detailed questions just to get a better
19	understanding.
20	Does David LeGrand suggest this exact
21	language?
22	A The formula?
23	Q Yeah, the exact language of the formula.
24	A No.
25	Q So David LeGrand just suggested that a

1	formula be used?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And you came up with this formula as a
4	proposal?
5	A I came yes, I did come with that.
6	And, you know, that was the result of
7	negotiations. And we said I said to him that
8	if the formula is needed, how difficult could it
9	be. Let's sit down and come up with an idea. And
10	if it is because of the percentage of the
11	difference in between the percentage, I put
12	that there.
13	Q Okay. Now, if I remember your testimony
14	correctly I took some notes, but maybe I wrote
15	it down wrong. I don't know.
16	My understanding is that you testified
17	that prior to Exhibit 20 which I believe is the
18	first draft; right?
19	A The no. This this is the first
20	draft. The one that I say rough draft is the
21	first one.
22	Q Okay. So this is the very first draft
23	that you prepared?
24	A That's right, yes.
25	Q Okay. And prior to preparing that, you
1	

Page 139 had asked Shawn if he wanted to draft language, 1 2 but Shawn said, "I'm too busy, you draft it"? 3 That's right. Α 4 Did you talk to Shawn about the formula prior to drafting this? 5 6 Α Yes. Tell me about that conversation. 7 8 Α Well, we -- the conversation was that, 9 yeah, our capitals are different and we have to have a formula that addresses that. We get the 10 profit, but we have to come up with something to 11 12 make up for that. And this is what I came. And later on I -- we found out that it's not the right 13 14 thing. He pointed it out to me, and I agreed and 15 I changed it. 16 Okay. So, again, I'm going to kind of Q ask the same question about Shawn that I asked 17 18 about David LeGrand. 19 So Shawn agreed with you, yeah, we need 20 a formula? 21 Α Yes. 22 But he really didn't talk specifics 23 prior to you putting this together? 24 Not the specifics, but we did talk as Α 25 to -- we -- the idea.

	Page 140
1	Q Okay. So so he said, yeah, I'm in
2	I'm in agreement with the idea of a formula?
3	A Yes. Yes.
4	Q You came up with your first shot
5	A Yes.
6	Q at the formula?
7	A Yes, that's right.
8	Q So this was your idea?
9	A This was something I came up with as a,
10	you know, rough draft.
11	Q Okay.
12	A Something that, you know, you just put
13	down.
14	Q You've got to start somewhere?
15	A Scratch paper, yeah.
16	Q Okay. Now, how much of this rough draft
17	did you pull from David LeGrand? Because as I've
18	compared it, it seems that there's a portion of
19	at the bottom of the first page, that it looks
20	like it came from part of his language, but the
21	rest of it doesn't seem familiar to me, so
22	A No, it does. On the top, you know, the
23	top is what if you look at the whole thing, you
24	know, even the sections and all are the same. And
25	the the part that it says that "Any member,
1	

1	operating member, may give notice to the remaining
2	member," starting from there is something that I
3	wrote, and I had this appraisal procedure and the
4	formula. And the rest is, again, David
5	LeGrand's
6	Q Okay.
7	A I mean, the main thing is from that.
8	And I concur that I did add the formula and the
9	appraisal.
10	Q Okay.
11	A And I told you how it happened.
12	Q So going down to and I'm looking at
13	the bottom half of the first page of the rough
14	draft where it says, "The remaining member shall
15	have 30 days within which to respond in writing to
16	the offering member by either," and then you have
17	a Roman numeral I and a Roman numeral II?
18	A Uh-huh.
19	Q That's your language; right?
20	A Actually, I got that from LeGrand, too.
21	Look at the LeGrand, and it has the numerical
22	Roman numeral called I and II.
23	Q All right. So you're saying that came
24	from LeGrand, too?
25	A I think so, yeah.

```
Page 142
 1
          0
               Okay. Can you show me where that is?
 2
     And I'm not -- I'm not familiar with that. That
     doesn't ring a bell, so I'm trying to understand.
 3
 4
               Do you have --
 5
               MR. LEWIN:
                           It's Exhibit 16, page 12.
 6
               THE WITNESS: -- do you have -- if you
 7
     don't have it, I --
               MR. LEWIN: If you're looking -- want to
 8
 9
     look at what we're talking about.
10
               MR. SHAPIRO: Exhibit 16, page 12?
11
               MR. LEWIN: Look in your book.
12
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
13
               Okay. So go ahead and turn to
          Q
     Exhibit --
14
15
          Α
               Oh, I am sorry.
16
               -- Exhibit 16, page 12.
          Q
17
               MR. LEWIN: It's the -- it's the --
18
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
19
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
20
               Okay. And show me where in that
          Q
21
     document you got it from.
2.2
               MR. LEWIN: Is everyone looking at the
23
     clean version?
24
               MR. SHAPIRO: Yeah. Yeah.
25
               MR. LEWIN: Okay.
```

1	Page 143 THE WITNESS: I am reading the LeGrand.
2	He says, "The other member shall have then ten
3	business days within which to respond to the
4	writing" I am sorry, "in writing to the offer
5	member by either" numerical I mean, Roman
6	numerical I, "accepting the offering member's
7	offer or not" Roman numerical II, "rejecting
8	the offer." And if you go to there, it is the
9	same thing, accepting the offering member, number
10	two, reject it.
11	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
12	Q Okay. Now
13	THE ARBITRATOR: Off the record for just
14	a second.
15	(Discussion off the record.)
16	THE ARBITRATOR: Back on the record.
17	MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you.
18	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
19	Q Now, looking at the rough draft, you use
20	the acronym FMV; correct?
21	A Where is that?
22	Q I'm looking under Roman numeral II.
23	A "Rejecting and based on same fair market
24	value." That's right.
25	Q And you see the acronym FMV?

	Page 144
1	A That's correct.
2	Q And is that in Mr. LeGrand's language?
3	A On the August 18?
4	Q Wherever you pulled it from.
5	A Yes. Well, FMV is mentioned there, but
6	not in this context.
7	Q Okay.
8	A It was something else.
9	Q And the language that deals with how you
10	arrive at FMV in your rough draft, that didn't
11	come from Mr. LeGrand, either; correct?
12	A You mean on the top?
13	Q Yeah, the the one where it says you
14	pick two appraisers.
15	A No, no, no. This FMV came from on
16	the top, it says "fair market value." This is
17	if I mean, the one person can offer a
18	price
19	Q Well, that's not the question. So
20	here's the question.
21	If you look at Exhibit 20 do you have
22	Exhibit 20?
23	A Twenty is the rough draft, no?
24	Q Yes.
25	A Yeah, okay.

	145
1	Page 145 Q And if you look at Section 7.1, and you
2	look at one, two three paragraphs down, the
3	one that starts with, "The remaining member must
4	provide the offering member."
5	Do you see that?
6	A There the third line
7	Q Do you see that?
8	A No. It says, "The offering party may
9	offer to sell."
10	Q Okay. I'm not sure where you're at and
11	that's why I'm asking. I want to make sure we're
12	on the same page. So I'm on Exhibit 20. I'm on
13	the document that says "Rough draft."
14	A Uh-huh.
15	Q And I'm under Section 7.1.
16	A Uh-huh.
17	Q And I'm three paragraphs down
18	A You mean, "The remaining member"?
19	Q That's the paragraph.
20	A Oh, okay.
21	Q Okay. So we're on the same paragraph.
22	A Uh-huh.
23	Q That paragraph did not come from David
24	LeGrand; correct? That was what you prepared?
25	A That's right. That's when we discuss we

Page 146 need an appraisal for the remaining member. 1 2 Okay. And you're the one that wrote the last sentence of that paragraph that says, "The 3 4 medium of these two appraisals constitute the fair market value of the property which is called FMV"; 5 6 correct? 7 I typed it, but this is something we Α discussed together. 8 9 0 Okay. Perfect. 10 And then when you keep going down, under 11 Roman numeral II, the counteroffer provision, you 12 inserted the -- the acronym FMV; correct? 13 А Yes. 14 And that wasn't part of David LeGrand's 15 language? 16 That's right. Α 17 Q Okay. 18 Α I'm not sure. I have to look, though. 19 Q No, that's okay. You can look. 2.0 "Rejecting the offer" -- "same appraisal Α 21 and fair market" -- it is -- it is the same. It's 2.2 the same appraisal and fair market value. from him, too. 23 24 Well, you used an acronym; right? 25 It doesn't matter --Α

1	Page 147 Q Well, no, no, just answer my question.
2	You used an acronym; right?
3	A In here?
4	Q In the rough draft.
5	A Yes.
6	Q And their acronym is FMV; correct?
7	A Yes, yes.
8	Q But that acronym does not show up in
9	David LeGrand's language; correct? Just yes or
10	no, does it show there or not?
11	A I don't think so.
12	Q Okay. That's all I was asking. Okay.
13	Now, I want to have you turn to Exhibit 22.
14	This is your rough draft two; correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Okay. I thought it would be helpful to
17	be able to identify the differences between rough
18	draft one and rough draft two, so I came up with a
19	red line. And I'm going to hand you a document
20	I'm going to ask you some questions and see
21	THE ARBITRATOR: Let's hand it around
22	the corner, please.
23	MR. SHAPIRO: Let me give you two, one
24	for him, one for there, there's two.
25	THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you.
1	

```
Page 148
 1
               THE ARBITRATOR: No, we don't do that.
 2
     It's what we have in arbitration called the
 3
     Haberfeld Antiskittle rule. We don't do that.
 4
     Okay.
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
 5
 6
          Q
               So what I want to do -- what I attempted
 7
     to do was compare your rough draft with your rough
     draft two.
 8
               Uh-huh.
 9
          Α
               My question to you is, as you look at
10
          0
     the document I just gave you, does this appear to
11
12
     show the changes between the rough draft and the
13
     rough draft two?
14
               I haven't looked at it, you know,
     verbatim, but it does.
15
16
          Q
               So you --
17
          Α
               Yeah.
18
               -- you believe this shows the changes?
          Q
               I don't believe. I just think that
19
2.0
     it --
21
          Q
               Okay.
2.2
               THE ARBITRATOR: What's the last
23
     numbered exhibit in your 300 series, Mr. Shapiro?
24
                              The last --
               MR. SHAPIRO:
25
               THE ARBITRATOR: And let's make this one
```

Page 149 the next one in order. And make sure that it gets 1 2 in the Arbitrator's exhibit book, please. 3 MR. SHAPIRO: Yes. Bear with me, and I 4 will tell you which one that would be. It will be 5 Exhibit 358. And you should have an empty Exhibit 358. If you'd like me to help you insert 6 7 it, I'd be happy to do so. THE ARBITRATOR: I will let you do that 8 9 later. 10 MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. 11 THE ARBITRATOR: However, shall we 12 characterize this as a demonstrative exhibit? 13 MR. SHAPIRO: That's the way I would 14 characterize it. 15 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. Proceed. 16 MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you. BY MR. SHAPTRO: 17 18 So as you -- and I'm going to now refer to this as Exhibit 358. You know what --19 2.0 THE ARBITRATOR: Is this mine? 21 MR. SHAPIRO: Well, we're going to put 2.2 it in the binder that you don't even have yet. 23 Just hang on to that for now. We'll get it 24 inserted later. 25 THE WITNESS: Well, should I put it --

Page 150 1 MR. LEWIN: I've got it here, right 2 here. 3 MR. SHAPIRO: Perfect. Thank you. 4 BY MR. SHAPIRO: Looking at Exhibit 358, is it your 5 testimony that these are the changes that were 6 made after you talked to Shawn? You're going to 7 have to say out loud. She can't get a head nod. 8 I'm sorry. Yes, I -- after talking to 9 10 him, yes. 11 Q Okay. Thank you. 12 Some of them was my opinions, some of them was his, some of them were joint, things like 13 14 that. 15 Q Okay. 16 Α Yes. 17 As you sit here today, do you know which was which or you just remember that that's how it 18 19 was? 2.0 Ask me and what do I remember, I'll tell Α 21 you. 22 Q Well, can you identify what was -- what 23 you were offering as far as these changes? 24 I don't know the significance of that, Α but, you know, why don't you ask your question and 25

Page 151 1 I will be happy to answer. 2 Outside of just asking you about each change, I don't know any other way to do it. 3 4 unless there's something you want to volunteer, we'll just move on. 5 6 Α The first one that we changed from "sale" to "purchase" --7 8 Q Okay. -- that is my idea. 9 10 0 Okay. 11 And I explained the reason. Α If you 12 want, I repeat it. 13 No, that's okay. 14 Any other that you can remember? 15 I need help, because I don't know which Α 16 one is mine, which one is yours -- which one is 17 the first, which one is the --18 Well, I can explain it. So the red 19 cross-through indicates that that was language in the rough draft that was removed in rough draft 20 21 two, and the blue underline is language that did 22 not exist in the rough draft --23 Α Okay. -- but was inserted in the rough draft 24 25 two.

Q Does that make sense? A Yes. Q Okay. A So the the definition probably came from the discussion of I had with Bidsal and Mr. LeGrand. And then the next change, I don't know. The next paragraph, I don't know. "The remaining members shall provide," we changed the "three MIA" to "two" because there was two I mean, it was overkill. And, of course, when "buy" changed to "sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's interest. And this is all I can tell you. Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who actually made the changes, this was it done on a computer? A I think so, yes. Q And were you the ones that were was making the changes on the computer? A Yes, I was. Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to Exhibit 23.	1	Page 152 A All right.
A So the the definition probably came from the discussion of I had with Bidsal and Mr. LeGrand. And then the next change, I don't know. The next paragraph, I don't know. "The remaining members shall provide," we changed the "three MIA" to "two" because there was two I mean, it was overkill. And, of course, when "buy" changed to sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's interest. And this is all I can tell you. Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who actually made the changes, this was it done on a computer? A I think so, yes. Q And were you the ones that were was making the changes on the computer? A Yes, I was. Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	2	Q Does that make sense?
A So the the definition probably came from the discussion of I had with Bidsal and Mr. LeGrand. And then the next change, I don't know. The next paragraph, I don't know. "The remaining members shall provide," we changed the "three MIA" to "two" because there was two I mean, it was overkill. And, of course, when "buy" changed to sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's interest. And this is all I can tell you. Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who actually made the changes, this was it done on a computer? A I think so, yes. Q And were you the ones that were was making the changes on the computer? A Yes, I was. Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	3	A Yes.
from the discussion of I had with Bidsal and Mr. LeGrand. And then the next change, I don't know. The next paragraph, I don't know. "The remaining members shall provide," we changed the "three MIA" to "two" because there was two I mean, it was overkill. And, of course, when "buy" changed to "sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's interest. And this is all I can tell you. Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who actually made the changes, this was it done on a computer? A I think so, yes. Q And were you the ones that were was making the changes on the computer? A Yes, I was. Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	4	Q Okay.
7 Mr. LeGrand. And then the next change, I don't 8 know. The next paragraph, I don't know. "The 9 remaining members shall provide," we changed the 10 "three MIA" to "two" because there was two I 11 mean, it was overkill. 12 And, of course, when "buy" changed to 13 "sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. 14 The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's 15 interest. And this is all I can tell you. 16 Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who 17 actually made the changes, this was it done on 18 a computer? 19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	5	A So the the definition probably came
8 know. The next paragraph, I don't know. "The 9 remaining members shall provide," we changed the 10 "three MIA" to "two" because there was two I 11 mean, it was overkill. 12 And, of course, when "buy" changed to 13 "sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. 14 The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's 15 interest. And this is all I can tell you. 16 Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who 17 actually made the changes, this was it done on 18 a computer? 19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	6	from the discussion of I had with Bidsal and
9 remaining members shall provide," we changed the 10 "three MIA" to "two" because there was two I 11 mean, it was overkill. 12 And, of course, when "buy" changed to 13 "sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. 14 The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's 15 interest. And this is all I can tell you. 16 Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who 17 actually made the changes, this was it done on 18 a computer? 19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	7	Mr. LeGrand. And then the next change, I don't
"three MIA" to "two" because there was two I mean, it was overkill. And, of course, when "buy" changed to "sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's interest. And this is all I can tell you. Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who actually made the changes, this was it done on a computer? A I think so, yes. Q And were you the ones that were was making the changes on the computer? A Yes, I was. Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	8	know. The next paragraph, I don't know. "The
11 mean, it was overkill. 12 And, of course, when "buy" changed to 13 "sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. 14 The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's 15 interest. And this is all I can tell you. 16 Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who 17 actually made the changes, this was it done on 18 a computer? 19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	9	remaining members shall provide," we changed the
And, of course, when "buy" changed to 13 "sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. 14 The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's 15 interest. And this is all I can tell you. 16 Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who 17 actually made the changes, this was it done on 18 a computer? 19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	10	"three MIA" to "two" because there was two I
"sell" changed to "buy," other things changed. The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's interest. And this is all I can tell you. Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who actually made the changes, this was it done on a computer? A I think so, yes. Q And were you the ones that were was making the changes on the computer? A Yes, I was. Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	11	mean, it was overkill.
14 The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's 15 interest. And this is all I can tell you. 16 Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who 17 actually made the changes, this was it done on 18 a computer? 19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	12	And, of course, when "buy" changed to
15 interest. And this is all I can tell you. 16 Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who 17 actually made the changes, this was it done on 18 a computer? 19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	13	"sell" changed to "buy," other things changed.
Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who actually made the changes, this was it done on a computer? A I think so, yes. Q And were you the ones that were was making the changes on the computer? A Yes, I was. Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	14	The formula changed to reflect Mr. Bidsal's
actually made the changes, this was it done on a computer? A I think so, yes. And were you the ones that were was making the changes on the computer? A Yes, I was. Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	15	interest. And this is all I can tell you.
18 a computer? 19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	16	Q Okay. Now, as far as the individual who
19 A I think so, yes. 20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	17	actually made the changes, this was it done on
20 Q And were you the ones that were was 21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	18	a computer?
21 making the changes on the computer? 22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	19	A I think so, yes.
22 A Yes, I was. 23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	20	Q And were you the ones that were was
23 Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to	21	making the changes on the computer?
	22	A Yes, I was.
24 Exhibit 23.	23	Q Okay. Now, if you could turn to
	24	Exhibit 23.
25 A Okay.	25	A Okay.

Page 153 1 0 Now, how many pages does your Exhibit 23 2 Is it just one page or is it multiple pages? 3 4 Α One. 5 Okay. So you sent -- actually, you know 6 what, I see what you did here. If you turn to Exhibit 24, here you attached -- the last two 7 pages of Exhibit 24 is a draft two. 8 9 Do you see that? 10 I did not attach that. From what I remember -- may I ask why you say I attached it? 11 12 Q Well, I say -- I said that loosely. 13 That was -- I guess I should say your attorney attached draft two to Exhibit 24. 14 15 Well, my attorney -- our attorney, Α 16 Mr. LeGrand, prepared this draft two --17 Q Okay. 18 -- and sent it to us. 19 Q Okay. All right. 2.0 I have -- I have not anything to do with 21 this, rather that I suggested some language, and 2.2 that's it. 23 0 Got it. Okay. So whatever it says draft two only, it 24 25 is Mr. LeGrand, not mine.

	Page 154
1	Q Let me let me back up and just
2	clarify, though.
3	Looking at Exhibit 22, you sent your
4	rough draft two to David LeGrand for incorporation
5	into the operating agreement; correct?
6	A Not no. Not for incorporation into
7	operating agreement. It was for his review and
8	corrections.
9	Q Okay. Do you know what corrections he
10	made?
11	A Well, I right now, I don't know, but
12	he discussed it with Mr. Bidsal and they did
13	things to it and they modified it.
14	Q Okay. Did you make
15	A Okay.
16	Q Did you make any changes to the rough
17	draft two before sending it to David LeGrand?
18	A Make any changes to this rough draft
19	two?
20	Q Yes.
21	A I don't think so.
22	Q Okay. I want to have you turn to
23	Exhibit 353 and I'm going to have to give you
24	the other binder. You're not going to have that.
25	So let me hand this to you in fact, here, I'll

1	page 155
2	MR. SHAPIRO: And, Your Honor, that
3	should be the third binder that you haven't
4	touched yet.
5	MR. LEWIN: There's three binders?
6	MR. SHAPIRO: Well, two from us, but
7	he's got three in front of him
8	MR. LEWIN: Oh, okay.
9	MR. SHAPIRO: yours plus our two.
10	MR. LEWIN: 353?
11	MR. SHAPIRO: Yeah.
12	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
13	Q Okay. Exhibit 353 is a declaration, and
14	this is a declaration of Petra Latch. And I'm
15	going to ask some questions looking at part of the
16	documents from Exhibit 353.
17	My first question to you is, did you
18	MR. LEWIN: Your Honor, we have an
19	objection to this. I'm assuming it's all coming
20	in, but I just wanted you said to bring it up
21	at the time it came up. It's come up. I'm making
22	my objection as just for the record.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: Ground of the
24	objection?
1	

```
Page 156
 1
     what the -- what the appraised price is. It's a
 2
     forced buy/sell.
 3
               THE ARBITRATOR: All right. Noted.
 4
     Thank you. Proceed.
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
 5
               Thank you.
 6
          Q
               Mr. Golshani, you commissioned an
 7
     appraisal in July of 2017 of property owned by
 8
 9
     Green Valley Commerce; correct?
10
          Α
               Correct.
11
               What day did you commission that
12
     appraisal?
13
               I don't remember. Sometime in June,
14
     July.
                     Looking at the first page, which
15
               Okay.
     is Bates-stamped Bidsal 000244, this is a
16
     declaration of Petra Latch. In paragraph 5, she
17
     states that, "On or about July 20th, 2017, Shawn
18
19
     Golshani contacted me and requested an appraisal
     report be prepared on behalf of Benjamin
20
21
     Golshani."
22
               Do you see that?
23
          Α
               Yes.
24
               Does that sound accurate to you?
          0
25
               I -- I asked her to do, but there was
          Α
```

```
Page 157
 1
     other things involved. But, yes, I -- I hired
 2
     her.
 3
          0
               Okay. And does -- July 20th, do you
 4
     have any reason to believe that that's not the
     date that you contacted --
 5
 6
          Α
               About right, yes.
 7
          0
               Okay. So you reached out to her on
     July 20th; right?
 8
 9
          Α
               Uh-huh.
10
               Now, going to paragraph 10, it said,
          0
11
     "Benjamin Golshani requested that I produce the
12
     appraisal by July 31st, 2017."
13
          Α
               Uh-huh.
14
          0
               Do you see that?
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
               Is that true?
          Q
17
          Α
               I think so, yes.
               Okay. And then did she produce an
18
          Q
19
     appraisal report by July 31st, 2017?
20
               I'm not sure, but I believe she did.
          Α
21
          0
               Okay. Well, if you want to turn to --
     bear with me and I'll find it -- Bidsal 257.
22
23
          Α
               Uh-huh.
               This is an appraisal report, and it's
24
     got a date of July 31st, 2017.
25
```

	Page 158
1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And this says the appraisal was prepared
4	by Petra Latch for Benjamin Golshani?
5	A Uh-huh.
6	Q Do you see that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Does that refresh your recollection as
9	to whether or not you received a report on or
10	about July 31st, 2017?
11	A That's what it says here. I probably
12	received it, but I don't have right now my e-mail
13	to take a look at
14	Q Okay. All right.
15	So within a matter of 11 days, less than
16	two weeks, you were able to obtain an appraisal of
17	the property; correct?
18	A Well
19	Q Is that yes or no?
20	A Yes, with
21	Q Okay. Thank you.
22	A an explanation.
23	Q Why is it that you requested the
24	appraisal?
25	A Variety of reason. Number one was that

1	I you know, my partner wanted me wanted to
2	buy me out, and I had to get money. And I went to
3	a couple of friends to see if they would like to
4	come and and take over. And one of them
5	suggested the appraisal, and the appraiser was
6	introduced by him.
7	The other was just to have an appraisal.
8	So I wrote a letter to Mr. Bidsal that I would
9	like to appraise the property and inform the
10	broker to cooperate with me.
11	Q Okay. Did you ever provide a copy of
12	the appraisal to Shawn?
13	A No, I didn't. This was a an
14	appraisal that I paid for. He didn't ask. When
15	we met in the coffee shop, he asked me how much
16	the appraisal came. I was very busy and I was
17	extremely sick in those times, and I hadn't even
18	looked at it, but I heard that it was the number
19	and I gave him the number.
20	Q Okay. Did you say you wrote Shawn a
21	letter?
22	A Yes, I did.
23	Q Which letter are you referring to?
24	A A letter that I an e-mail I sent to
25	him and I said I would like to appraise the

```
Page 160
 1
     property.
 2
               Have you produced a copy of that e-mail?
          Q
 3
          Α
               I have given it to --
 4
               If I -- I can tell you that we haven't
     seen that e-mail in anything that your attorney
 5
 6
     produced.
               MR. LEWIN: Well, we weren't -- I don't
 7
     think we're obliged to produce it. If you want a
 8
 9
     copy of it --
               MR. SHAPIRO: Well, I don't want a copy
10
11
     of it now.
12
               MR. LEWIN: Well, because I don't think
13
     it. --
14
               MR. SHAPIRO:
                              Okay.
15
               MR. LEWIN: We were supposed to -- we
16
     were supposed to produce things that we intended
     to use at the time of the trial -- at the
17
     arbitration.
                   This is not one of them --
18
               MR. SHAPIRO: Okay.
19
2.0
               MR. LEWIN: -- but I'll provide it for
21
     you if you want it.
2.2
               MR. SHAPIRO: I'm not asking for it.
23
               MR. LEWIN: Okay.
24
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
25
               Okay. So it's your contention that you
          Q
```

Page 161 1 e-mailed Shawn and said you were going to appraise 2 it, but you didn't give him a copy of the appraisal report? 3 He didn't ask and he didn't need it. 4 didn't -- he just wanted to know how much it was 5 6 and I said based on what I heard, yes. And it's your contention that he never 7 0 8 asked you for a copy of the appraisal? 9 He never asked me for a copy. 10 Okay. Now, going back to Exhibit 353 --0 11 That -- if you're -- okay. 12 shouldn't --13 If you could look at the first page of 14 Exhibit 353. 15 Okay. I'm there. Α 16 And I'm going back to paragraph five. 0 I just want to be clear. It says, "On or about 17 July 20th, 2017, Shawn Golshani contacted me." 18 19 That's -- that's your son. That's not Shawn Bidsal; right? 20 21 Α That's right. 22 Okay. Just wanted to be clear. 23 As I mentioned, I was very sick. Now, you produced a declaration in this 24 0 25 matter that was signed January 19th, 2018;

1	Page 162
2	A May I see that?
3	Q Sure.
4	THE ARBITRATOR: Has this been
5	previously marked?
б	MR. SHAPIRO: No, it has not.
7	THE ARBITRATOR: Should we make this
8	359?
9	MR. SHAPIRO: Yeah, let's make that 359.
10	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
11	Q Looking at Exhibit 359, this is your
12	declaration; correct?
13	A Uh-huh, yes.
14	Q Okay. And in Section 2, the first
15	sentence says, "I did not draft or provide the
16	language contained in Section 4 or, in particular,
17	Section 4.2."
18	Do you see that?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And you're referring to the language
21	that shows up in rough draft in rough draft
22	two; correct?
23	A No, I'm not referring to that.
24	Q What are you referring to?
25	A I'm referring to the signed operating

Page 163 1 agreement. 2 Q Okay. So let's go look at the signed operating agreement. I don't remember which 3 4 Exhibit -- I think that's Exhibit 29. If you can turn to Exhibit 29. 5 6 Show me the language that you're referencing in Exhibit 29. 7 Our Exhibit 29, yeah? 8 Yes, correct. Actually, they made it 9 10 easy because all of our exhibits are in 300s, 11 so ... 12 THE ARBITRATOR: That's because you're 13 following my orders. Thank you. 14 MR. SHAPIRO: You're welcome. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. What would you like 16 me to --BY MR. SHAPTRO: 17 18 What language are you referring to when you say Section 4 and in particular Section 4.2? 19 2.0 Α The whole Section 4 is something -- I'm 21 referring to that. 22 Okay. So you're saying you didn't draft 23 any of the language in Section 4? 24 Well, it depends what you mean by Α 25 "draft." If you think that I came and I wrote

1	something here and included it into the operating
2	agreement, no. But I gave I wrote some draft,
3	rough draft, as a, you know, an idea with my
4	partner; send it to my partner, and nobody else.
5	And later on he said, "Send it to LeGrand, our
6	attorney." And after that, I really didn't know
7	what happened to that and what they did with it.
8	So when I look at this Section 4 as a
9	whole, I did not draft this.
10	Q Okay. You previously testified that
11	Exhibit 29, you said Shawn prepared the document.
12	Maybe I misunderstood it.
13	But are you saying that Shawn prepared
14	what is now contained in Exhibit 29?
15	A When did I say that?
16	Q Earlier today. And maybe
17	A No, in what context? I'm sorry.
18	Q It was the context of a discussion.
19	Your attorney was asking you questions about
20	Exhibit 29, and you made a statement that Shawn
21	prepared the document. I just want to make sure I
22	understood it correctly.
23	So is it your contention that Shawn
24	prepared this Exhibit 29?
25	A I don't recall that I said Shawn

Page 165 prepare -- 29 is a signed agreement. 1 2 Q Right. And my contention is that David LeGrand 3 Α did this. 4 5 0 Okay. 6 Α So, however, it -- we have to go back to see in what context I said that and I will explain 7 8 to you. 9 I've given you the best context I can. 10 You told me the whole thing? How do Α 11 I -- I mean -- and I'm sure I was not talking 12 about the whole thing. 13 Well, maybe that was my misunderstanding. But my understanding is that 14 you had said that he prepared the document, is the 15 16 word. So I just want to clarify. 17 But it sounds like you're saying, no, he didn't prepare it? 18 19 I am not saying that either. You know, 20 I -- you asked me a question and I answered you to 21 the best of my ability. I need to know in what 2.2 context I said that sentence and I will clarify 23 it. Well, let me just ask a fresh question. 24 0 25 Ask me a fresh question. Α

1	Q Okay. The fresh question is, who
2	prepared Exhibit 29?
3	MR. LEWIN: Objection. Because the
4	judge asked the question about this. The word
5	"prepared" in this context is vague. I know you
6	don't like that, but you asked the question. I
7	think there's a that's where we are.
8	THE ARBITRATOR: Overruled.
9	MR. SHAPIRO: It depends on what the
10	definition of "is" is.
11	THE ARBITRATOR: Overruled.
12	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
13	Q Okay. Go ahead and answer the question.
14	THE ARBITRATOR: Let's have the question
15	read back. Let me have the question read back,
16	unless you're going to withdraw it.
17	MR. SHAPIRO: No.
18	THE ARBITRATOR: Let's have it read
19	back, please.
20	(Whereupon, the record was read.)
21	THE WITNESS: Meaning the signed
22	agreement. I am saying that Mr. LeGrand prepared
23	the operating agreement, gave it to Mr. Bidsal,
24	and he and I am under belief that he made some
25	changes. And then in his computer, when we were

```
Page 167
 1
     in his office and he made a printout, and he
 2
     gave -- we signed it and he gave me to sign.
 3
               I -- if you look at it, I see here
 4
     that --
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
 5
 6
          Q
               Okay. So I'm going to cut you off.
               Uh-huh.
 7
          Α
 8
               MR. LEWIN:
                           Well, he's explaining --
 9
               THE ARBITRATOR: Let him complete his
10
     answer.
11
               MR. SHAPIRO: Okay.
12
               THE ARBITRATOR: Complete your answer,
13
     sir.
14
               THE WITNESS:
                              If you look at page 28 of
     28, my interest has changed from 70 percent to
15
16
     30 -- 50 percent, and I don't believe LeGrand did
           None of his -- his operating agreement, it
17
     is 50/50. This is 70/30.
18
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
19
20
               So you -- your statement was "I don't
21
     believe LeGrand did that"?
2.2
               I never saw in any of his operating
     agreement. And I remember he was telling you that
23
     to the end, I was not -- I was -- I didn't know.
24
25
     And this was something that LeGrand -- I mean,
```

Page 168 this was something I -- when I look at all the 1 2 operating agreement LeGrand did, it was all 70/30. 3 And when Mr. Bidsal took possession of it, it 4 became 50/50. That's what I am saying. You just said when you look at all of 5 6 the operating agreements David LeGrand did. Did you look at all of the operating 7 agreements that David LeGrand prepared? 8 9 Recently I looked at whatever I had, 10 yes. 11 Okay. Did you look through the file Q 12 that he produced? 13 What file? 14 Did you look at the operating agreements that David LeGrand produced in his file? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 Q Okay. Unless I missed, but I -- it's very easy 18 19 to check again to see. 20 Okay. I'm just asking, because before Q 21 you said you didn't look through his file, but now 22 you did look at -- through all of them. I'm just 23 trying to understand what you looked through. No, you said -- I said that I didn't 24 Α 25 look through every -- page by page. It is very

Page 169 1 bulky. But the things that caught my interest, I 2. went and I looked at them. 3 Q Okay. 4 That's not --Did you see Shawn make changes to the 5 6 operating agreement? Did you ever --7 I saw he was behind his computer doing Α things, but I am not sure. I didn't -- I didn't 8 9 pry to see what he is doing. Well, tell me about that. 10 Tell me --11 explain what happened when you saw Shawn behind 12 his computer. And it's very normal thing. 13 I mean, I went to his office, and he was doing his things. 14 15 There are nothing unusual. But when he was 16 printing that, he was working on that, he made the 17 printout. He signed it. He gave it to me. 18 And because I haven't seen anything 19 that -- I haven't seen LeGrand putting that 70 --20 changing 70/30 to -- to 50/50, and he had no 21 reason to -- for doing that, it make -- it gets me 2.2 to the conclusion that Mr. Bidsal did it. 23 0 Okay. So you're drawing an assumption that Mr. Bidsal did? 24 25 Α Yes.

1	Q	But you don't have any knowledge that he
2	did?	
3	А	I don't know the difference, I'm not an
4	attorney,	but that is what I come to the
5	conclusion	1.
6	Q	Okay. Now, if I understand your
7	testimony	correctly, you went to Mr. Bidsal's
8	office at	some point?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Mr. Bidsal printed an operating
11	agreement?	
12	А	Correct.
13	Q	And then you and he both signed that
14	operating	agreement?
15	А	He he signed it. And I am not
16	sure be	ecause I had to go. I took it or I
17	signed it	or have signed it, you know, whatever.
18	But, yes,	both of us signed after his printing.
19	Q	So you watched Shawn sign the operating
20	agreement?	
21	А	Yes, I did.
22	Q	But you don't know if Shawn saw you sign
23	it?	
24	А	I I am not sure about that. Maybe I
25	took it.	It was not but eventually but I

1	Page 171 looked at it and I signed it.
2	Q Do you recall when this meeting
3	occurred?
4	A Sometime, I believe, in December of
5	2011.
6	Q You don't recall when in December?
7	A No, sir.
8	Q Okay. Now, Green Valley Commerce sold
9	part of the property that it originally purchased;
10	correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Why did it sell the property?
13	A Well, we are in the business of buying
14	and selling, and we marketed in the we
15	subdivided it and marketed it and then there was
16	an offer and we thought it's good to sell.
17	Q Did you agree to sell it?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q Did you obtain a or did you or Shawn
20	obtain a broker opinion of value prior to selling
21	it?
22	A Generally, Shawn is so good at finding
23	the value, people come to him for opinion. And I
24	don't think he would rely on any broker value. He
25	may ask a broker as to how much it is worth to see

Page 172 if he would -- if they would concur with him or 1 2 not, but I've never seen Shawn, based on somebody 3 else's value, sell something. 4 So you don't know if -- I mean, do you know if -- if he got a broker opinion of value? 5 6 Α He may have. Okay. Did he share that with you? 7 8 Α In the context that, hey, listen, we 9 want to sell it at that price and this broker is about the same amount, so we give it to him. 10 Because there are -- usually the brokers come with 11 12 a lower price to sell that property easily. And 13 he had the price in mind, and whoever was close to 14 him, he would put them on the top of the list. This is his --15 16 So -- so he was communicating all this Q to you throughout the process? 17 18 Well, we have -- don't forget, we have 19 worked with each other for some time, very 20 closely. And yes, I -- I saw that he's extremely -- I mean, micro manager, checking 21 2.2 everything and finding everything for himself, and 23 this was one of those. 24 Well, my question was, and he was keeping you in the loop? In other words, this --25

1	you were aware of all of this?
2	A Not necessarily, but when you work with
3	someone, they he talks to other people, he
4	treats other people, he treats you know, does
5	other things. You get an idea, you know.
6	Q I guess I'm not following any answer.
7	So you
8	A What's your question? And I will answer
9	exactly that thing.
10	Q The question is, did Shawn keep you up
11	to date through the process of selling these
12	properties? Did he give you
13	A For the most part, yes.
14	MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. With the Court's
15	indulgence, I think I'm done, but I've got some
16	notes that I'm not deciphering, so
17	THE ARBITRATOR: Want to take a couple
18	of minutes?
19	MR. LEWIN: Yeah.
20	MR. SHAPIRO: Yeah, that would be great.
21	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. We have a
22	request for a break, so it will be longer than
23	that.
24	MR. SHAPIRO: For a Haberfeld five
25	minutes?

```
Page 174
 1
               THE ARBITRATOR: A Haberfeld five.
 2
               MR. LEWIN: Do you need 15?
 3
               THE ARBITRATOR: Do you need 15 minutes?
 4
               MR. SHAPIRO: No, ten minutes.
 5
     minutes is good.
 6
               THE ARBITRATOR: Ten minutes. See you
     back in ten.
 7
 8
                     (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
               THE ARBITRATOR: All right.
 9
10
     BY MR. SHAPIRO:
11
               Okay. Mr. Golshani, if you could turn
          Q
12
     to Exhibit 336 -- 336. This is going to be in our
13
     second -- no, it's our first binder, the one 301
14
     to 340. And tell me when you're there.
15
               336, you say?
          Α
16
               Yes, 336.
          Q
17
               MR. LEWIN: It's the last --
               MR. SHAPIRO: Well, it's a couple from
18
19
     the last, but ...
2.0
               THE WITNESS: I don't have -- I don't
21
     have 336.
2.2
               MR. LEWIN: Do you want me just to show
23
     him on this?
24
               MR. SHAPIRO: Well, it shouldn't be the
25
     last one.
```

1	Page 175 MR. LEWIN: You're looking at the wrong
2	version.
3	MR. SHAPIRO: Yeah, maybe it's a
4	different binder.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.
6	BY MR. SHAPIRO:
7	Q Now, again, middle of the first page,
8	this appears to be an e-mail from Shawn Bidsal to
9	you dated October 30th, 2012, and it says,
10	"Valuation for Green Valley and Horizon Ridge,
11	Shawn."
12	Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And then there's some documents attached
15	that speak to the value of the Green Valley and
16	Horizon Ridge.
17	Do you see that?
18	A Okay.
19	Q Was this typical for Shawn to send you
20	e-mails like this?
21	A I don't think so.
22	Q You don't think so?
23	A You mean all the time he would
24	Q Well, anytime that the information came
25	up, would he send it to you?
1	

1	Page 176 A I have thought so, yes. I have thought
2	that he would share information with me, yeah.
3	Q Okay. Okay. And yet when you got the
4	appraisal from Petra Latch, you didn't share that
5	with Shawn; correct?
6	A I was never asked.
7	MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. All right. No
8	further questions.
9	THE WITNESS: And it didn't matter I
10	mean, I
11	THE ARBITRATOR: Any redirect?
12	MR. LEWIN: Just a couple of questions.
13	
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. LEWIN:
16	Q When Petra Latch did you accompany
17	Petra Latch when she visited the property?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q Did did she go on the roof?
20	A I didn't see him going to the roof.
21	Q How about was there an inspection of
22	the air-conditioning?
23	A I didn't see him inspecting the
24	air-conditioning.
25	MR. LEWIN: I have nothing further.

```
Page 177
 1
               THE ARBITRATOR: May we excuse the
 2
     witness?
 3
               MR. SHAPIRO: Well, hold on. We might
 4
    have some follow-up, we might not.
               THE ARBITRATOR: This is based on his
 5
 6
     question.
               MR. SHAPIRO: It would be, yep.
 7
 8
               No questions.
 9
               THE ARBITRATOR: Are we then concluded
10
    with Mr. Golshani's testimony?
11
               MR. SHAPIRO: Yes, we are.
12
               THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Thank you, sir.
13
    Are you going to hold your seat there?
14
               MR. LEWIN: Yeah, I'm going to call
    Mr. Bidsal.
15
16
               THE ARBITRATOR: Are we going to call
    Mr. Bidsal?
17
18
               MR. LEWIN: Yes.
19
               THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Calling
20
    Mr. Bidsal. If you would, please, take the
21
    witness chair. Before you take your seat, sir,
22
     would you please face our court reporter, raise
    your right hand, and be sworn as a witness in
23
24
    arbitration.
25
```

1	Page 178 Whereupon,
2	SHAWN BIDSAL,
3	was called as a witness, and having been first duly
4	sworn to testify to the truth, was examined and
5	testified as follows:
6	THE ARBITRATOR: Mr. Bidsal, we're on
7	the record and I'm speaking to you just for a few
8	moments to ask whether you heard the conversation
9	that I had about cross-examination with
10	Mr. Golshani?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	THE ARBITRATOR: The other side is
13	calling you as a witness as part of its case, to
14	my understanding. And therefore we're having this
15	conversation because they're calling you in the
16	nature of what's called calling the adverse party
17	by cross-examination. So that if you heard and
18	understood what I said with Mr. Golshani, do you
19	have any questions you would like to ask me before
20	you're going to start cross-examination under the
21	questioning of Mr. Lewin?
22	THE WITNESS: No.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Go ahead,
24	Mr. Lewin.
25	

1	Page 179
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. LEWIN:
4	Q Mr. Bidsal, good afternoon.
5	
	Would you please take a look at Exhibit 20?
6	
7	MR. LEWIN: Here, give him the witness
8	book. Yeah, I'll hand it to him.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	BY MR. LEWIN:
11	Q Did you receive this e-mail from
12	Mr. Golshani on or about September 22nd, 2011?
13	A I believe so.
14	Q Pardon me?
15	A I think so.
16	Q You think so. Okay.
17	And did you review this rough draft with
18	Mr. Golshani after after you received it?
19	A I'm going to start calling him Ben with
20	your permission, or should I call him his full
21	name?
22	THE ARBITRATOR: Do you have any
23	MR. GOLSHANI: Both is okay. Sure.
24	THE ARBITRATOR: Sounds like it will be
25	fine with Mr. Golshani.

Page 180 THE WITNESS: That's fine. So, yes, he 1 2 called me on this day. We talked about it. 3 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm going to need 4 you to speak up. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Maybe as you answer, 7 you can face more towards the court reporter so we 8 have our record. I can hear you fine. 9 MR. LEWIN: Why don't I move over? don't I -- I'll change places with -- I'll change 10 places with -- with Ben. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Or Mr. Golshani, either 13 way is fine. 14 MR. LEWIN: Okay. So --15 THE ARBITRATOR: No, it's fine. I think we're comfortable. 16 BY MR. LEWIN: 17 Okay. And then looking at Exhibit 22, 18 19 did you -- did you receive this e-mail from 20 Mr. Golshani on or about October 26, 2011? 21 Α When I saw this for the first time when 2.2 you produced it, I did a snapshot of my e-mails. 23 I couldn't find it, so I told my attorney that I can't find it in my e-mail list. And that's what 24 25 I reported to him, but I might have received it,

Page 181 1 yes. 2 Q Okay. And when you -- and when you received e-mails from Mr. Golshani, you read them; 3 4 right? 5 Α Yes. 6 Q Now, is it fair to say that by -- going back to -- going back to September --7 September 22, 2011, is it fair to say that 8 9 Mr. Golshani was upset by the fact that -- seemed upset by the fact that he honestly didn't have an 10 11 operating agreement for Green Valley? 12 Α Well, I'm -- I wouldn't know his 13 emotional stage in terms of being upset or not, 14 but we both were unhappy about not getting it done by then. 15 16 Well, you were familiar with a forced Q buyout provision in operating agreements; right? 17 What do you mean by "forced"? 18 19 Q Well, you had had them in other operating agreements that -- where you were a 20 21 party to; right? 2.2 Not in this format, no. 23 My question is, you were familiar -- you were familiar with the format of a forced buyout 24 25 where one -- where there's two members, one member

	Page 182
1	makes an offer, the other member has to buy or
2	sell.
3	You were familiar with that concept;
4	right?
5	A No.
6	Q Never heard about that before?
7	A Until these proceedings
8	Q Okay.
9	A with the characterization that you
10	have under the word "forced."
11	Q Well, mandatory buyout.
12	How is that? Is that a better word?
13	A As I said, same answer.
14	Q How many how many how many LLCs
15	had you entered into as of September 20, 2011 in
16	your whole life? Give me a best estimate.
17	A From that point or up to that point?
18	Q Up to that point?
19	A A few.
20	Q How many?
21	A Four or five.
22	Q And did any of them have a have a
23	a process where there was one member makes an
24	offer and the other to buy or sell and the
25	other member had forcing the other member to
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Page 183 1 either -- let me rephrase that. 2 Did any of those other LLCs have a -have a provision where they -- one member can make 3 4 an offer to buy and the other member had to either 5 buy or sell? 6 Α Well, I had provisions, buy/sell agreements, but not in the same format as Green 7 Valley Commerce is. 8 9 I didn't ask about your same format. I'm talking about a straightforward agreement 10 11 where one member makes an offer, and the other 12 member has to buy or sell based on that offer. 13 Not in that format, no. 14 None of them in that --15 THE ARBITRATOR: Can we go off the 16 record for a second? 17 Certainly. MR. LEWIN: 18 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Back on the record. 2.0 BY MR. LEWIN: 21 0 And he knows what I'm asking -- talking 22 about, don't you? 23 I just answered you. 24 0 Okay. Didn't you have a mandatory buy/sell agreement with Mr. Tabankia? 25

1	A	Page 184 I don't recall that.
2		
	Q	Where okay. And didn't you actually
3	go	
4		THE ARBITRATOR: How is that spelled?
5		MR. LEWIN: T-A T-A-B-A-N-K-I-A, I
6	think.	
7	BY MR. LE	WIN:
8	Q	Isn't it true that that you actually
9	went to a	rbitration with Mr. Tabankia because
10	he you	had a mandatory buy/sell agreement and
11	you conte	nded that he had to do it do an
12	appraisal	first?
13	А	That's a completely different case.
14	Q	Can you just answer my question? Didn't
15	you go to	arbitration with Mr. Tabankia?
16	А	Yes, we did go to arbitration.
17	Q	And the name of that LLC was what?
18	А	I don't remember.
19	Q	Cheyenne
20	А	Cheyenne Technology.
21	Q	Cheyenne Technology. And you went to
22	you went	to that was you entered into
23	that a	n operating agreement with Cheyenne
24	Technolog	y Park, LLC in 2003; right?
25	А	Sometime at that time, yes.

Page 185 1 0 And isn't it true that that operating 2 agreement had a mandatory buy/sell -- mandatory buy/sell proposal? 3 4 I don't remember. And isn't it true that when Mr. Tabankia 5 6 tried to enforce that mandatory buy/sell proposal, 7 that you contended that an appraisal was 8 necessary? 9 MR. SHAPIRO: I'm going to object as to an entirely different lawsuit, different operating 10 agreements not in front of the court. I'm not 11 12 sure where he was going with this. 13 THE ARBITRATOR: I'm not sure that it's 14 not in front of the Arbitrator ever, so we'll see 15 where this goes. 16 MR. SHAPIRO: Okay. 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Overruled for now. 18 Let's see where this goes. 19 BY MR. LEWIN: 20 Isn't it true that Mr. Tabankia sent you 21 a notice to sell -- sell or buy -- essentially to 22 buy or sell your interest and specified his 23 appraisal of the value of the company, and you claimed it did not become effective until he gave 24 25 you an appraiser's appraisal?

	2 106
1	Page 186 MR. SHAPIRO: Object. Compound.
2	THE ARBITRATOR: Overruled.
3	THE WITNESS: He if I remember
4	this is many years ago I think he sent an offer
5	to buy.
6	MR. LEWIN: Okay. Let's mark as
7	Exhibit Exhibit what are we at, our next
8	Exhibit? Our last Exhibit is
9	THE ARBITRATOR: We'll mark as
10	Exhibit 39 the operating agreement for Cheyenne
11	Technology Park.
12	MR. SHAPIRO: Was this produced?
13	MR. LEWIN: No.
14	MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, this wasn't
15	ever produced to us. This is
16	THE ARBITRATOR: The Antiskittle
17	agree rule is in effect.
18	MR. LEWIN: I'm sorry. I beg your
19	pardon.
20	THE ARBITRATOR: He violated it.
21	Do you want to take a look at it?
22	MR. SHAPIRO: Is it going to be
23	admitted?
24	THE ARBITRATOR: I don't know. You want
25	to take a look at it before you have a further

Page 187 1 conversation? 2 MR. LEWIN: Well, he testified he never 3 had any buy/sell agreements, and this is 4 impeachment. 5 THE WITNESS: That's not what I said. 6 You said forced buy/sell agreement similar to this case and I said I don't recall. 7 MR. LEWIN: I didn't say "similar to 8 this case." 9 10 THE ARBITRATOR: I will await 11 Mr. Shapiro's review of the document. 12 Are you familiar with it? 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Maybe you ought to take 15 a look at it. I'll take argument as soon as the 16 parties are --17 18 MR. SHAPIRO: So I'm -- I am going to 19 object to it. And the objection is that, number 2.0 one, it was not produced before today. This is 21 the first time it's being seen. As I look at 2.2 this, it's -- it's totally different language, much shorter, different terms. I don't know the 23 relevance. I just don't -- I don't see why it's 24 25 being presented at this point and I would object

Page 188 1 to it being entered under the trial by ambush. 2 MR. LEWIN: I asked him if he had 3 been -- if he had entered into other LLCs that had 4 a forced buy -- buy/sell agreement, and he said And then so -- and so now I'm impeaching him. 5 6 And so if he said yes, then we could have gone 7 into it a different way. 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Mr. Shapiro. 9 10 MR. SHAPIRO: His answer was not with the language that is similar to what we're dealing 11 12 with. That was his answer. 13 MR. LEWIN: No, no. And then I 14 clarified it and he answered again. 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Let's go back into the 16 record and have our court reporter read the 17 testimony starting with the initial questioning 18 about forced buyout agreement being the keywords, and I'm asking that -- our reporter to search the 19 20 testimony of Mr. Bidsal. (Whereupon, the record was read.) 21 2.2 THE ARBITRATOR: I think you have a 23 little bit more to do. I'm not going to help you 24 here. 25 All right. MR. LEWIN:

```
Page 189
 1
               THE ARBITRATOR: So far, the objection
 2
     is sustained.
 3
     BY MR. LEWIN:
 4
               Well, Mr. -- Mr. Bidsal, in the Cheyenne
     Technology Park, LLC, which you signed in 2003,
 5
     isn't it true that there was a provision where one
 6
    member could -- could buy out another -- could
 7
    make an offer to buy out the other, and the other
8
 9
    member either had to buy or sell based on that
10
     same offer?
11
               Can I take a look at this provision for
12
     a minute?
13
               THE ARBITRATOR: Yes --
14
               MR. LEWIN:
                           Sure.
15
               THE ARBITRATOR: -- because it is
    permitted under the rules of evidence to refresh
16
    your recollection. And he's giving you a document
17
18
     to use to refresh your recollection.
19
    BY MR. LEWIN:
               You can look at paragraph 3.2 on page
20
          Q
21
     two.
2.2
               THE ARBITRATOR: What's the designation
23
    we're going to give this?
24
               MR. LEWIN: This is 39.
25
               THE ARBITRATOR:
                                 39.
                                      Thank you.
```

	Page 190
1	MR. SHAPIRO: Is it being admitted?
2	THE ARBITRATOR: No.
3	MR. LEWIN: Okay.
4	THE ARBITRATOR: But it's being marked
5	for reference. So far, I've indicated objection
6	sustained, and the document is only being used to
7	refresh the witness's recollection. And that's
8	the Arbitrator's understanding and ruling to this
9	point.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. What is the
11	question?
12	BY MR. LEWIN:
13	Q The question is, is it true that the
14	buy the buy/sell of members' interest in the
15	Cheyenne Technology's operating agreement provided
16	that one member could make an offer to buy the
17	other member out, and the other member either had
18	to buy or sell based on that offer?
19	A Subject to the language of this
20	operating agreement with appraisals and so forth,
21	yes.
22	Q Well, didn't you as a matter of fact,
23	didn't you make you made the claim that the
24	offering member had to provide an a formal
25	appraisal appraisal; isn't that right?

1	Page 191 MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, I'm not sure
2	why arguments made in a different case that
3	Mr. Lewin happened to be representing the other
4	side on, which is why he knows about it are
5	relevant to these proceedings. I think we're
6	getting fair afield. And asking him about what
7	the arguments he made in that case when it's
8	different language, different facts, different
9	scenarios, it's inappropriate.
10	MR. LEWIN: It's actually, the idea
11	that they both agreed upon from the very beginning
12	was that they were going to have a straightforward
13	buy/sell. It evolved into having it evolved
14	into having additional language, but not the first
15	part.
16	The claim of the first part is that a
17	member that in this case, is that offering
18	member makes an offer, the remaining member,
19	unless unless he demands an appraisal, either
20	has to buy or sell on that basis, which is the
21	same thing in as in Cheyenne. And he's made
22	the same he makes the same contentions.
23	THE ARBITRATOR: I'm going to allow you
24	to make the argument, but not to go much deeper
25	into this. I don't want to be going into the

Page 192 1 another arbitration unless you can really show in 2 a case where -- in this case, it involves 3 contractual interpretation. You're basically 4 saying what the Arbitrator thinks he's hearing is pattern evidence. And -- and it -- if that's what 5 you're -- you're doing, I -- I'm not going to 6 7 permit you to go much deeper into this, especially given the hour. It's about 4:30, with a 5:00 hard 8 9 stop for our court reporter today. 10 Do you want to make good use of our time? Maybe you can try again with this tomorrow. 11 12 But between now and 5:00, let's not spend any more 13 time on another arbitration. MR. LEWIN: Well, I just want -- can I 14 just have the answer to the last question? 15 16 THE ARBITRATOR: Restate it --BY MR. LEWIN: 17 Okay. So the question is -- the 18 0 19 question is --2.0 THE ARBITRATOR: -- so we don't have to 21 go searching in the record. 2.2 BY MR. LEWIN: 23 -- isn't it correct that in -- that you made the same claim in the Cheyenne Technology 24 arbitration that a formal appraisal was necessary? 25

Page 193 1 MR. SHAPIRO: I'm going to object for 2 the same reasons as the prior objection. 3 THE ARBITRATOR: Overruled. 4 BY MR. LEWIN: Did you make such a contention? 5 I don't even remember the details of 6 Α 7 that case. THE ARBITRATOR: He doesn't remember. 8 9 Next question. 10 Okay. All right. So I'm MR. LEWIN: going to come back to this tomorrow, because I 11 12 have the --13 THE ARBITRATOR: That's fine, but I think there must be better use of 14 15 cross-examination time --16 MR. LEWIN: I'll move on to something 17 else. THE ARBITRATOR: -- between now and 18 5:00. 19 2.0 MR. LEWIN: Sure. 21 BY MR. LEWIN: 22 Q Okay. Will you please take a look at Exhibit 23. 23 24 A Okay. 25 Did you receive this e-mail from David Q

1	Page 194 LeGrand?
2	A I probably did, yes.
3	Q So it says, "Shawn, I received a fax
4	from Ben and am rewriting it to be more detailed
5	and complete and will send it out to both of you
6	shortly."
7	Now, did you did you so does that
8	refresh your recollection that you had received
9	the that you had received the e-mail the
10	October 26th e-mail from Mr. Golshani?
11	A What's the exhibit number on that?
12	Q That's 22. And 22 has the rough draft
13	too on it, Mr the rough draft two from
14	Golshani.
15	A Probably received it, yes.
16	Q And so did you did you so you had
17	already isn't it true that before before
18	November 10, you told Mr. Golshani to send the
19	rough draft number two to Mr. LeGrand?
20	A I don't think I told him to send
21	anything to anyone, but he was going to send it,
22	yes.
23	Q Well, did he did you and he discuss
24	the fact that he was going to send it to
25	Mr. LeGrand to have him review it and and

1	Page 195 and correct it? Yes or no, sir?
2	A I don't recall I don't recall if I
3	told him that, but
4	Q You deny that you told him that?
5	A It was
6	Q Do you deny that he told you that?
7	A I'm not denying that. He
8	MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, I had to let
9	Mr. Golshani finish an answer, I request the same
10	courtesy for Shawn.
11	THE ARBITRATOR: That's fine. From now
12	on I've been trying to keep a little bit of
13	flexibility. But from now on, non-cross-examining
14	attorney is not to say anything, even to help,
15	unless with the first word being objection,
16	period, and the grounds stated. Objection
17	sustained.
18	BY MR. LEWIN:
19	Q Okay. Actually, just going back, did
20	you ask Mr. LeGrand to send you the fax that he
21	received from Ben?
22	A One more time. To receive a fax from?
23	Q In the e-mail to Mr. LeGrand on
24	Exhibit 23, it says, "Shawn, I received a fax from
25	Ben, and" "and am rewriting it to be more

1	detailed and complete."
2	A Okay.
3	Q Did you ask Mr. LeGrand to send you what
4	Ben had sent you had sent him?
5	A I don't recall.
6	Q Did you ask Ben to send you what he had
7	sent to LeGrand?
8	A He already did that, yes.
9	Q Okay. So you knew what when
10	Mr. LeGrand sent you this, you knew that he was
11	talking about the rough draft number two?
12	A He is stating that he's going to send
13	it, but it's not attached, but yes.
14	Q Okay. Now, Exhibit going back to
15	Exhibit 24, looking at the bottom part, it says
16	where it says this is an e-mail from LeGrand to
17	both you and Mr. Golshani, it says, "Gents, here
18	is the revised version of what Ben sent me. I
19	will insert it into the OPAG if these terms are
20	acceptable to you."
21	You received this from Mr. LeGrand?
22	A Probably, yes.
23	Q And and you were in looking at the
24	attachments where his his attachment says draft
25	two.

1	Page 197 You received that from Mr. LeGrand?
2	A Probably, yes.
3	Q And you read this?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Is that correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Did you have any questions about it at
8	the time?
9	A At that time, no.
10	Q Okay. And you heard Mr. Golshani
11	testify about how the appraisal rights to the
12	remaining member came about, the conversation
13	where he said that you and he discussed the fact
14	that if the remaining if the offer was too low,
15	and the remaining member doesn't have enough
16	money, the remaining member, unless unless the
17	remaining member had the right of appraisal, he
18	could be disadvantaged because he would be forced
19	to sell at a price that he thought was too low.
20	You heard Mr. Golshani testify to that?
21	A Yes, I heard that.
22	Q Do you recall that conversation you had
23	with Mr. Golshani?
24	A No, I don't. And also the issue about
25	one person not having enough money and being
1	

Page 198 disadvantaged, that was -- never came up. 1 Both 2 parties in this case at all times had the money, 3 so I don't think not having the money was an 4 issue. Well, you could -- well, didn't you tell 5 Mr. Golshani at the outset that you were sort of 6 7 short of cash, that's why you wanted him to put up the deposit? 8 9 Α No. 10 Did he put -- did he put up all the 11 deposits for the auctions? 12 Α The auction structure doesn't work that 13 way. There are two levels of deposits. One is a 14 deposit where you put up to participate in an 15 auction, and the other one is to show proof of funds. 16 17 He gave you his credit cards to put up the deposit for the auctions; right? 18 19 In a couple of instances; and we bid on 20 multiple auctions. And few others, like three or 21 four, I did that. 22 Didn't he -- didn't, in fact, you max 23 out his credit cards? 24 I don't recall doing that. Α 25 You don't? Didn't Mr. Golshani tell you 0

Page 199 in February of 2012 that his credit cards were 1 2 maxed out and he needed a few thousand for 3 traveling expenses, and asked you to release some of his credit card? 5 Α Okay. 6 Yes or no, sir? 7 No, because you need to understand how Α the auction process worked. I just asked you if he made that request 9 10 of you. 11 Α No. 12 MR. LEWIN: Okay. Mark as Exhibit 40. 13 THE ARBITRATOR: This is for 14 Mr. Shapiro. 15 MR. SHAPIRO: Was this produced 16 previously? MR. LEWIN: I don't think so. I don't 17 18 think we -- it's impeachment. MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, if it wasn't 19 2.0 produced previously, I don't think it should come 21 in. 2.2 THE ARBITRATOR: Overruled. 23 BY MR. LEWIN: Did you receive this e-mail from 24 25 Mr. Golshani?

	7.000
1	Page 200 A It's not addressed to to my e-mail,
2	so I don't know.
3	Q It says to Shawn Bidsal. You're not
4	you don't recall receiving this?
5	A Shawn Bidsal is my name, but there's no
6	e-mail address. Usually when an e-mail comes
7	from from, to, from has an e-mail address of
8	Ben. But mine, it just says Shawn Bidsal.
9	Q Do you recall do you deny receiving
10	this e-mail?
11	A I wouldn't know.
12	Q Okay. Do you know why he'd be asking
13	you to release some of his credit cards?
14	A I'm not the one who released anything.
15	It's the auction company. And they only hold it
16	for 24 to 48 hours.
17	Q Do you know why Mr. Golshani is asking
18	you to release some of his credit cards?
19	A I would not know.
20	Q Who put the up the deposit for the
21	purchase of the Green Street property?
22	A You mean Green Valley property?
23	Q Green Valley.
24	A Which deposit?
25	Q The initial the initial deposit in

	Page 201
1	escrow, \$404,250.
2	A Can I look at that
3	Q It's Exhibit No. 2.
4	A Ben put that deposit.
5	Q Okay. And then didn't he put that
6	deposit down because you told him that you were
7	short on cash?
8	A No.
9	Q Okay. Just going back to going back
10	to what we were talking about, Exhibit 23, when
11	you pardon me, Exhibit 24, which has this
12	has Mr. LeGrand's rewrite of rough draft two;
13	right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. So when it says it says
16	does it did you and Mr. Golshani have a
17	discussion as to why the remaining member would
18	have the right to to demand an appraisal?
19	A We had many discussions, but
20	Q Did you have a discussion about why the
21	remaining member would have the right to demand an
22	appraisal?
23	A If the remaining member is not
24	satisfied, he can always have an appraisal done.
25	Q Did you and Mr. Golshani have a

Page 202 discussion as to why the remaining member was 1 2 given the right to have an appraisal done? 3 I don't recall that detail. 4 When you read this draft number two, you understood that the offering member did 5 6 not have any rights to request an appraisal; isn't that correct? I'm talking about the Exhibit 24. 7 8 Α At this draft, no. 9 Okay. And the -- and the -- and in looking at Exhibit 29, the signed version, let's 10 11 take a look at Exhibit 4 -- pardon me, let's look 12 at Section 4. 13 You read this -- you read this -- did 14 you read this document before you signed it? 15 Α Yes. Okay. So you -- in terms of -- in terms 16 0 of -- on page 10 of 28, did you -- in this -- it 17 18 says -- it says here that -- on page -- looking at 19 page 11, "If the offer price is not acceptable" -do you see this first full paragraph? 20 21 Α Right. 22 "If the offer price is not acceptable to 23 the remaining member, within 30 days of receiving the offer, the remaining member, or any of them, 24 25 can request to establish FMB based on the

1	following procedure, and then gives a procedure
2	for the appraisal.
3	Do you agree with that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q So did you have a discussion in
6	between receiving Mr. LeGrand's draft of his draft
7	number two, which is on November 10, and signing
8	this operating agreement, did you have any
9	discussions with Mr. Golshani about why the
10	remaining member is given the right to demand an
11	appraisal? Yes or no, sir?
12	A We had many discussions.
13	Q In between those two times, from the
14	time you received the the LeGrand redraft of
15	that section, Exhibit No. 10, and signing this
16	operating agreement, did you have any discussions
17	with Mr. Golshani about why the remaining member
18	had the right to demand an appraisal?
19	A Like I said, we had many different
20	conversations.
21	THE ARBITRATOR: Excuse me. I have to
22	stop you, sir, and to remind you of the
23	conversation we had at the outset of your
24	testimony. Please answer responsively,
25	truthfully, narrowly and concisely only the

Page 204 1 question that's asked. 2 Between those two dates, did you have 3 that discussion? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 BY MR. LEWIN: And what -- and wasn't it -- isn't it 6 0 7 true that that was to protect the remaining member in the event that the remaining member had to 8 9 respond to an offer that the remaining member 10 thought was too low and then so he could demand a -- an appraisal to set -- to reset the fair 11 12 market value price? 13 If the --14 Yes or no, sir? 15 Not under your characterization. Α Tell me -- tell me what was said. 16 0 Okay. 17 The remaining member had the option to А 18 ask for an appraisal if he chooses. 19 Q Okay. The -- the offering member had no right to request an appraisal; is that correct? 20 21 At the beginning, no, because the 2.2 offering member is estimating. He's thinking what 23 the number he comes up with. 24 0 Well, you had a -- you knew what the --25 you had already asked -- analyzed the value of the

1	Green of the Green Valley property; right?
2	A Briefly, yeah.
3	Q What do you mean briefly? You had
4	actually done a study on it; isn't that correct?
5	A A study?
6	Q A study.
7	A What study?
8	Q Well, didn't you believe that the Green
9	Valley property was worth more than six-and-a-half
10	million, as in a in a at the time you listed
11	it for sale?
12	A We listed it many months before that for
13	sale. We got no offers. And we reduced it and it
14	still didn't get any offers. So I
15	Q You had you had you had valued
16	the I'm sorry. Were you finished?
17	You had valued you had done an
18	analysis of the valuation of Green Valley Commerce
19	property in March of 2017; is that correct?
20	A The brokers who provided BOVs, they did
21	some studies or some analysis of valuation for
22	that, and they provided that to me and Ben for
23	for the purpose of listing the property.
24	Q And so at the time and what and
25	what did they value all of the properties valued

	Page 206
1	at for Green Valley?
2	A In the 6 million range.
3	Q So more than 6 million?
4	A Something in the neighborhood.
5	Q And you had asked and isn't it true
6	you asked Mr. Golshani in March of 2017 if he was
7	interested in purchasing other properties; isn't
8	that correct?
9	A Purchasing other properties with me?
10	Q Yes.
11	A We also talked about buying properties.
12	Q And didn't in March of 2017, didn't
13	Mr. Golshani tell you that he had he was tight
14	with cash and didn't have enough money to invest
15	in other properties, he wasn't liquid?
16	A I don't remember such a such a
17	Q You heard you heard him say that?
18	A I heard him that, but I don't
19	remember
20	Q Do you deny he said it, or just you
21	don't remember one way or the other?
22	A I don't remember one or the other.
23	Q Okay. Fine. Fair enough.
24	And, by the way, this this Green
25	Valley operating agreement was signed in December

	207
1	Page 207 of 2011; is that correct?
2	A Sometime toward end of '11.
3	Q And also the also the Country Club
4	agreement was signed in 2011?
5	A That one, I don't remember.
6	Q But they were both but both both
7	agreements were the same except for the name;
8	right?
9	A I don't remember. I don't have it in
10	front of me.
11	Q All right. But the terms were both the
12	<pre>same; right?</pre>
13	A As I said, I just don't have it in front
14	of me. I don't remember.
15	Q You were given you got a 50-percent
16	interest in the profits, but only had to put up
17	30 percent of the money?
18	A On GVC, yes.
19	Q And also Country Club; isn't that
20	correct?
21	A I don't remember.
22	Q Okay. By the way, did you look at
23	Exhibit 27? This is an e-mail from December 10,
24	2011, from Mr. LeGrand to you.
25	Did you receive this e-mail?
1	

1	Page 208 A I probably did, yes.
2	Q Okay. And isn't it true that after
3	Mr. LeGrand sent you the draft of the draft of
4	the Green Valley operating agreement, you told him
5	that you needed to make some corrections to it?
6	A No. I didn't work on the Green Valley
7	operating agreement.
8	Q Well, do you know did do you know
9	why he's asking didn't you strike that.
10	Didn't you didn't you tell
11	Mr. LeGrand that you were you had to make some
12	revisions to the Green Valley operating agreement?
13	A No.
14	Q You see it is do you know why he's
15	asking you, "Shawn, did you ever finish the
16	revisions?"
17	A No.
18	Q Did did did you ever receive a
19	draft of the Green Valley operating agreement from
20	Mr. LeGrand where Mr. Golshani's percentage
21	interest in the LLC was less than 70 percent?
22	A Unless you can show me an exhibit to
23	look at it.
24	Q Do you remember do you remember that?
25	A I don't remember it.

Page 209 But didn't -- if you look at -- if you 1 0 2 look at -- if you recall, if you look at -- did you -- did you change Exhibit B to this Green 3 4 Valley operating agreement to change 5 Mr. Golshani's percentage interest from 70 percent 6 to 50 percent? 7 Α No. Did you ever have a discussion with 8 0 9 Mr. Golshani about changing his percentage -that's his ownership interest in the LLC; right? 10 You understand the percentage interest in the 11 12 ownership interest in the LLC? 13 Can you go there? 14 Sure. Let's go to Exhibit 29. So look at the last page of Exhibit B. 15 And the last page of Exhibit B, and you see it 16 says "members' percentage interest." 17 18 That means their ownership interest in 19 the LLC; right? 2.0 Α Correct. 21 Not the profits. That's the ownership 0 22 interest. 23 That was the right agreement. Α Well, take a look at Exhibit 25. Let's 24 0 25 take a look at the last page of Exhibit 25, which

Page 210 is -- also look at Exhibit B. 1 2 What is the ownership -- what is the 3 member -- what is CLA's members' percentage 4 interest on this exhibit? 5 That is not -- the percent is 70, but 6 it's not correct. Did you -- did you ever receive a draft 7 0 8 agreement from Mr. LeGrand after November 29, 9 2011, where -- where that contained a member's --10 it changed the member's percentage interest from 11 CLA from 70 percent to 50 percent? 12 Α Not that I remember. 13 Okay. And then Mr. LeGrand is -- and 14 isn't it true after you received this November 29 agreement, you noticed that there were some typos 15 in it; right? Because you're pretty careful when 16 you look at agreements; right? 17 18 Is that a question? 19 Well, actually -- actually, let's take a look at -- let's take a look at Exhibit No. 26, 20 21 because that actually is a later agreement. 22 That's the one at 5:04 p.m. If you look at 23 that -- if you'll look at the -- page 10 on that 24 agreement, you'll see on page 10 it says, in Section 4, there's a -- there's an internal 25

```
Page 211
     section where it says it -- first of all, it says
 1
 2
     Section 3 but then it says Section 4.
               Do you see that?
 3
 4
          Α
               Right.
 5
               And then it says in Section 4, it refers
     to Section 7.1?
 6
               (Nodding head.)
 7
          Α
 8
          0
               Okay.
                     So isn't it true you told
 9
     Mr. LeGrand, after you received this agreement,
10
     that you were going -- you didn't want to pay for
     lawyers' fees, so you thought that you -- you
11
12
     would revise this agreement to correct it?
13
          А
               No.
14
               All right. And -- and then what -- and
15
     if you look, again, your total -- on November --
     December 10, you told -- in the e-mail that
16
     Mr. LeGrand sends to you, he says, "When are you
17
     going to finish the revisions?"
18
19
               What were you revising?
2.0
               I wasn't working on nothing. I wasn't
          А
21
     revising it.
22
               Well, if -- you notice the title -- it
23
     says the title to that, that e-mail, says -- it
24
     says -- it says -- the subject matter that's
     Exhibit 27 says "GVC" -- that's Green Valley --
25
```

```
Page 212
 1
     that's Green Valley Commerce -- OPAG; right?
 2
          Α
               Yes.
               And it says, "Shawn, did you ever finish
 3
     the revisions?"
 4
 5
               So did you -- and it says, "Ben really
 6
     wants to get this finished."
 7
               What do you think that he's talking
     about here?
 8
 9
               MR. SHAPIRO: Objection. Calls for
     speculation.
10
11
               THE WITNESS: I wasn't working on the
12
     operating agreement.
13
     BY MR. LEWIN:
               Well, what did -- what did -- what did
14
     you think when you received this e-mail? Did you
15
     respond to Mr. LeGrand and say, I don't know what
16
     you're talking about, I'm not doing any revisions?
17
18
               Did I respond to Mr. LeGrand?
          Α
19
          Q
               Well, did you or not?
2.0
               I don't remember.
          Α
21
               You have never seen an e-mail where you
22
     replied to this saying, I don't know what you're
23
     talking about, or words to that effect; right?
24
               I don't remember, no. I don't have it.
          Α
               Okay. Where did -- where --
25
          Q
```

```
Page 213
               THE ARBITRATOR: Is there -- is there an
 1
 2
     e-mail?
 3
               MR. LEWIN: Not that I've seen.
 4
               THE ARBITRATOR: All right.
 5
     BY MR. LEWIN:
 6
          Q
               Is there an e-mail where you respond --
     is there an e-mail where you respond to
 7
     Mr. LeGrand's December 10 e-mail?
 8
               I wouldn't know.
 9
10
          0
               You've searched --
11
               Whatever -- whatever we found, we
12
     produced them.
13
               But you've searched your e-mails.
14
               You've searched your e-mails to prepare
     for this; right?
15
16
               Yes.
          Α
17
               You haven't found any response to this
     December 10 e-mail; right?
18
19
          Α
               No.
20
               Is that correct?
          Q
21
          Α
               Correct.
22
               Now, where did you sign the -- where
23
     did -- where did you sign the Green Valley
     operating agreement?
24
25
               In my office.
          Α
```

	D 014
1	Page 214 Q And Mr. Golshani was there for was
2	there?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And didn't you print out the agreement
5	from your computer at that office?
6	A No.
7	Q Where did you get the agreement to sign?
8	A Mr. Golshani brought it in.
9	Q So Mr. Golshani brought the agreement
10	in?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. And did you ever talk to
13	Mr. Golshani about changing the changing the
14	70 percent his 70 percent to 50 percent?
15	A That was our agreement.
16	Q Did you ever talk to well
17	A We talked about
18	Q We've now we've now we've now seen
19	as late as November of 29, that there that
20	his percentage interest was was 70 percent;
21	right?
22	A That is a raw e-mail or a draft e-mail
23	that LeGrand sent
24	Q I can show you
25	A if that's what you're referring to.

1	Page 215 Q I'm sorry. I can show you all of the
2	other operating agreements that we have up to
3	here. They all say 70/30.
4	You've seen them all; right?
5	A Right.
6	Q All the ones that we've marked and gone
7	through. I mean, I can do it.
8	But if you take they all say 30
9	70 percent percentage interest Golshani side,
10	30 percent your side; right?
11	A For the capital contribution.
12	Q No. They all say percentage interest,
13	70/30.
14	A Those are not the final one that is
15	signed.
16	Q All the e-mails all strike that.
17	All of the draft agreements from from
18	the for all of the draft agreements that
19	Mr. LeGrand prepared from the beginning until
20	November and through November 29 had the the
21	members' percentage interest as 30/70; right?
22	A That
23	Q It's yes or no.
24	A operating agreement, yes.
25	Q Okay. I mean, you can take a look at

Page 216 exhibit -- for example, take a look at Exhibit 10, 1 2 it has it. And that's from June 27. Take a look at Exhibit 10, the last page. 3 4 What does it say? For member's percentage interest for Ben, what does it say? 5 30/70. 6 Α 70 percent him? 7 0 8 Α Right. And so did you -- did you have a 9 discussion with Mr. Golshani where you said, no, 10 our percentages should be 50 -- our ownership 11 12 interest should be 50/50? 13 From beginning, yes. 14 Did you have a discussion about changing the Exhibit B on the operating agreement? 15 16 That's reflected there, yes. Α Well, how did it change? Who changed it 17 0 from 70 to -- 70 to 50? 18 19 You're referring to the membership --20 The membership percentage interest on Q the final draft that was signed, who changed it? 21 2.2 Α Mr. Golshani. 23 Q Oh, Mr. Golshani changed it? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. And how do you know that? Q

1	Page 217 A Because Mr. Golshani brought it to my
2	office in a several meetings, the actual copy
3	of the the final copy of the operating
4	agreement to be signed.
5	Q Now, did he tell you he changed it?
6	A That was our agreement. So he took
7	liberty of making changes to the operating
8	agreement prior to our meetings.
9	Q I see. Okay.
10	So your agreement whenever when you
11	first started talking with Mr. Golshani, you said
12	not only do I get you put up 70 percent of the
13	money, but you're only going to get 50 50
14	percent ownership interest in the property; is
15	that right?
16	A 50/50 was our membership interest,
17	correct.
18	Q All right. And did you when was the
19	first time that you had a meeting with
20	Mr. Golshani and Mr. LeGrand?
21	A Sometime in June, July of 2011
22	Q You saw the July 21st?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Does that refresh your recollection?
25	A An actual physical meeting?

	Page 218
1	Q Yes.
2	A Yes.
3	Q And isn't it true that before that
4	meeting, you dealt exclusively with Mr. LeGrand to
5	have and gave him the information of what to
6	put in the operating agreements?
7	Yes or no?
8	A He was introduced by Mr. Chang, and for
9	the first few weeks, I dealt with him, yes.
10	Q You told Mr. LeGrand what to put in the
11	operating agreement?
12	A No.
13	Q Okay. Well, let's take a look at
14	what let's take a look at the first operating
15	agreement that I know of, which is
16	THE ARBITRATOR: We have two minutes,
17	for our court reporter.
18	MR. LEWIN: I'm just going to I'm
19	just going to finish up on Exhibit 5.
20	BY MR. LEWIN:
21	Q Take a look at Exhibit 5.
22	What is the percentage Ben's
23	percentage interest?
24	A 30/70.
25	Q Well, take a look at Exhibit 6, the last

Page 219 1 page. 2 What is -- what is Ben's -- what is the -- Ben's percentage interest? 3 4 Α Same. And take a look at Exhibit 10, which 5 6 accompanies an e-mail dated June 27, 2011. already looked at this. 7 It also says 70/30; right? 8 9 I'm sorry. I was looking --10 Exhibit 10 -- Exhibit 10 also says 0 11 It's accompanying an e-mail dated June 27. 70/30. 12 Α Yes. 13 You gave that information to 14 Mr. LeGrand, that the split -- that the percentage ownership interest was 70/30? 15 16 No. We gave Mr. LeGrand our capital Α contribution numbers. 17 18 At any time prior -- at any time prior to December 10, 2011, did you tell Mr. LeGrand 19 that he had the percentage interest wrong? 20 21 Α I'm sorry. One more time. 22 At any -- my last question. 23 At any time before December 10 -- that's the e-mail where he says, when are you going to be 24 finishing with your revisions, essentially. 25

```
Page 220
     any time before that e-mail, did you tell
 1
 2
     Mr. LeGrand that he had the percentage -- the
 3
     members' percentage interest wrong?
 4
               He knew that, yes.
               I didn't ask what he knew.
 5
          0
               I asked you did you tell him?
 6
 7
          Α
               Yes.
               When did you tell him?
 8
          Q
               I don't remember.
 9
          Α
10
          0
               Okay. Do it in writing?
11
          Α
               No.
12
          Q
               Okay.
13
               THE ARBITRATOR: We will be in recess
     until 10:00 -- let's make it 9:00 tomorrow
14
15
     morning.
16
               MR. SHAPIRO: Will you be here tomorrow?
17
               THE COURT REPORTER: I will.
18
               MR. SHAPIRO: Awesome.
19
               THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Let's go off the
2.0
     record before we -- we leave.
21
                      (Whereupon, the proceedings
2.2
                      adjourned at 5:00 p.m.)
                          * * * * *
23
24
25
```

1	Page 221 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEVADA)
4) ss County of Clark)
5	country of craff ,
6	I, Heidi K. Konsten, Certified Court
7	Reporter, do hereby certify:
8	That I reported in shorthand (Stenotype)
9	the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter at
10	the place and date indicated.
11	That I thereafter transcribed my said
12	shorthand notes into typewriting, and that the
13	typewritten transcript is a complete, true, and
14	accurate transcription of my said shorthand notes.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in
16	my office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada,
17	this 25th day of May, 2018.
18	
19	10.00.00
20	weekroveter
21	Heidi K. Konsten, RPR, NV CCR #845
22	
23	
24	
25	

EXHIBIT 263

In the Matter Of: CLA Properties, LLC vs. Bidsal, Shawn

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, VOLUME II May 09, 2018

Job Number: 469952

```
1
                           J A M S
 2
 3
 4
     CLA PROPERTIES,
 5
               Claimant,
                                Reference No. 1260004569
 6
                 vs.
 7
     SHAWN BIDSAL,
 8
               Respondent.
 9
10
11
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12
      Taken Before the Honorable Stephen E. Haberfeld
                          Volume II
13
14
                      Las Vegas, Nevada
15
                         May 9, 2018
                          9:02 a.m.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
          Reported by: Heidi K. Konsten, RPR, CCR
          Nevada CCR No. 845 - NCRA RPR No. 816435
23
                           JOB NO. 469952
24
25
```

		Page	223
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL		
2	For the Claimant:		
3	RODNEY T. LEWIN, ESQ.		
4	Law Offices of Rodney T. Lewin 8665 Wilshire Boulevard		
5	Suite 210 Beverly Hills, California 90211		
6	(310) 659-6771 (310) 659-7354 Fax		
7	rod@rtlewin.com		
8	For the Respondent:		
9	JAMES E. SHAPIRO, ESQ. Smith & Shapiro		
10	3333 East Serene Suite 130		
11	Henderson, Nevada 89074 (702) 318-5033		
12	(702) 318-5034 Fax		
	jshapiro@smithshapiro.com		
13	- and -		
14	DANIEL L. GOODKIN, ESQ. Goodkin & Lynch, LLP		
15	1875 Century Park East Suite 1860		
16	Los Angeles, California 90067 (702) 552-3322		
17	(702) 943-1589 Fax goodkinlynch.com		
18	The Arbitrator:		
19			
20	Honorable Stephen E. Haberfeld, ESQ. JAMS		
21	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway 11th Floor		
22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 457-5267		
23	(702) 437-5267 Fax		
24	* * * * *		
25			

1	WITNESS INDEX	Page 224
2		Page
3	SHAWN BIDSAL (Continued)	
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Lewin	225
5	* * * *	
6		
7	DAVID LEGRAND	
8	Direct Examination by Lewin	267
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Shapiro	302
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Lewin	325
11	Recross-Examination by Mr. Shapiro	330
12	* * * *	
13		
14	SHAWN BIDSAL (Continued)	
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Lewin	331
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Goodkin	346
17	Recross-Examination by Mr. Lewin	394
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Goodkin	411
19	Recross-Examination by Mr. Lewin	415
20	* * * *	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Dama 00E
1	Page 225 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
2	Wednesday, May 9, 2018
3	9:02 a.m.
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	* * * * *
6	
7	THE ARBITRATOR: Back on the record.
8	Good morning, everyone.
9	MR. LEWIN: Good morning.
10	THE ARBITRATOR: It's about 9:00, or a
11	few moments after that, for a resumed evidentiary
12	session of the merits hearing, the arbitration
13	hearing in this matter. And we have resumed
14	cross-examination.
15	MR. LEWIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Cont'd)
18	BY MR. LEWIN:
19	Q Mr. Bidsal, would you well, let me
20	ask you, first of all, if you agree with this
21	with this sentence in in your in your trial
22	brief. It's on page 10, lines 17 through 18.
23	It's at and it's under the heading "Under
24	collapse interpretation, no buy/sell would ever
25	occur."
1	

1	Page 226 And it says, quote, "A party would never
2	make an initial offer to buy if that offer could
3	be transformed into an offer to sell," end quote.
4	Do you agree with that?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. Well, take a look at Exhibit 16,
7	would you, please. I'm sorry. I'm I'm sorry.
8	I made the wrong it's 17.
9	Do you see where it says it's
10	addressed to Shawn and Ben, and then it says, "We
11	discussed that you want to be able to name a price
12	and either get bought or buy at the offer price,"
13	end quote.
14	Isn't it true you had that discussion
15	with that you and Mr. Golshani had that
16	discussion with Mr. LeGrand at the July 21
17	meeting, about being able to name a price either
18	you bought or sold or either you bought or buy
19	at that same price?
20	A No, not under that format.
21	Q Well, but you you've had mandatory or
22	forced buy/sell provisions in some of your other
23	operating agreements, haven't you?
24	A We had buy/sell agreements in other
25	operating agreements.

Page 227 Well, but then -- then -- and take a 1 0 2 look at -- then let's take a look --3 THE ARBITRATOR: Did you get an answer 4 to your question? BY MR. LEWIN: 5 6 Q Well, what other -- did you get -- I 7 thought -- I thought -- you're right. Did you -- did you have a forced 8 9 buy/sell agreement in any other LLC in which you 10 were a member? Yes or no, sir? 11 As I said, we had buy/sell provisions in 12 other LLCs. 13 Okay. I'm was talking about a -- a 14 buy/sell provision where -- where someone was able 15 to name a price and you either bought or buy at 16 that offer price. 17 Did you have any provisions like that? 18 Not under that -- the way you're describing it under the format. As I said, we had 19 20 buy/sell agreements in other operating agreements. 21 The format I'm talking about is where 22 one member names a price and the other member 23 either has to sell at that price or buy him out at 24 that price. 25 Yes or no, did you have other buy/sell

1	Page 228 agreements at that basis?
2	A We had
3	Q Yes or no, sir?
4	A It's not a yes or no answer. Every
5	operating agreement provisions are different, and
6	they are different language, so I don't think it's
7	a yes or no answer.
8	Q Then I take a look at Exhibit 39, the
9	Cheyenne Technology agreement.
10	MR. SHAPIRO: That's not been
11	introduced; right?
12	MR. LEWIN: It's been introduced. It
13	hasn't been admitted.
14	MR. SHAPIRO: Okay.
15	MR. LEWIN: If you look at if you
16	look if you look at the buy/sell agreement
17	on okay, on paragraph 3.2.
18	THE ARBITRATOR: Has that been put into
19	the Arbitrator's notebook?
20	MR. LEWIN: Not yet, because you
21	didn't not yet, Your Honor.
22	THE ARBITRATOR: I need another copy.
23	It does not seem to be
24	MR. SHAPIRO: And, Your Honor, I would
25	object to this. It was previously attempted to be

Page 229 1 introduced, and you ruled that it was not going to 2 be introduced and --3 THE ARBITRATOR: It's -- it's -- I 4 didn't say it's not going to be. I just said it has not been received in evidence. 5 It's been marked because we were referring to it on a 6 7 court-reported record. It's not in evidence. Isn't this -- I -- I'll move 8 MR. LEWIN: 9 to admit Exhibit 39 for the purpose of showing that he's entered into other buy/sell agreements. 10 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Not yet. Denied so 12 far. 13 BY MR. LEWIN: 14 Okay. Well, you signed Exhibit 32 -- I mean Exhibit 39? 15 16 Yes. Α That was the operating agreement you had 17 0 with Mr. Tabankia; right? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And you entered into that in 2003; Q 21 right? 2.2 Sometime in that time frame, yes. 23 0 And in accordance with paragraph 3.2, one member makes an offer and the other -- and the 24 other member either buys or sells at that price; 25

```
Page 230
 1
     right?
 2
               Again, I'm -- I don't remember all of
 3
     the details and I haven't --
 4
               THE ARBITRATOR: Can you take a look at
 5
     it and see what that provision says?
 6
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
 7
               THE ARBITRATOR: Would you also please
 8
     pay specific attention to the sentence that starts
 9
     "And non-offering members shall elect."
10
               THE WITNESS: Okay. I read it.
11
     BY MR. LEWIN:
12
          0
               You understand that this -- that under
13
     this provision, an offering member makes -- a
     member makes an offer, and the non-offering member
14
15
     either elects to buy or sell at that same price.
16
               Yes or no?
               The way I understand this thing is
17
18
     that -- which was -- I guess it was litigated
     before -- is that the -- the entity who makes the
19
2.0
     offer also needs to provide the appraisal, so it's
21
     basically -- that was the discussions we had.
22
               And you made that claim that there
23
     needed to be an appraisal, and you lost on that;
     is that correct?
24
25
               MR. SHAPIRO: Objection, Your Honor.
```

1	Page 231 We're now getting into I mean, clearly
2	Section 3.2 is vastly different than Section 4
3	that's at issue in this case, and we're getting
4	into the outcome of the prior dispute dealing with
5	language that's not even similar to what the
6	language we're dealing with.
7	THE ARBITRATOR: Sustained.
8	MR. LEWIN: Well
9	THE ARBITRATOR: Sustained.
10	MR. LEWIN: Well, okay. Move to admit
11	Exhibit 39 into evidence, Your Honor. Its only
12	purpose is to show he said that he would never
13	enter into a deal where you had a buy/sell
14	agreement. And this is obviously this document
15	proves to the contrary.
16	MR. SHAPIRO: And I'm going to object.
17	He didn't say he would never enter into a deal
18	where he had a buy/sell agreement. He admitted
19	that he entered into deals with buy/sell
20	agreements. He said that none of those agreements
21	were similar to the terms that are at issue in
22	this case.
23	And I would object to the introduction
24	of this into evidence, because it just it's an
25	entirely different provision. To the extent that

Page 232 it's impeached him, he's already made his point, 1 2 Your Honor's heard it, you have the evidence in 3 front of you. 4 THE ARBITRATOR: Sustained. I'm not going to admit this exhibit; however, for purposes 5 of impeachment, I think you've made your point and 6 7 we don't need to repeat it again. MR. LEWIN: Very well. 8 9 THE ARBITRATOR: I think you can refer to the testimony that we've just concluded on this 10 point and then move on, please. 11 12 MR. LEWIN: Very well. Thank you. 13 Now, the -- I would like to mark as Exhibit -- I believe it's 41, an e-mail from 14 15 Mr. Bidsal to Mr. LeGrand. 16 MR. SHAPIRO: Has this been produced previously? 17 I don't believe so. 18 MR. LEWIN: 19 has to do with the conversation we had yesterday 20 about his making provisions. 21 MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, again, I'm 2.2 objecting to the introduction of documents that 23 have not been previously produced. 24 THE ARBITRATOR: What's the response to 25 that?

	Davis 222 1
1	Page 233 MR. LEWIN: My response is, yesterday he
2	claimed he didn't do any he didn't do any
3	revisions on the operating agreement.
4	THE ARBITRATOR: That's the Arbitrator's
5	recollection.
6	And what does this document show or tend
7	to show?
8	MR. LEWIN: This shows that he sent a
9	on December 12, in response to Mr. LeGrand's
10	e-mail that we referred to yesterday about did you
11	finish the revisions. Mr. Bidsal says the
12	operating agreements are finished and signed.
13	THE ARBITRATOR: Very well.
14	MR. SHAPIRO: And, Your Honor, if I can
15	respond?
16	THE ARBITRATOR: Of course.
17	MR. SHAPIRO: This is dated
18	December 12th, 2011, which is smack dab in the
19	middle of the events at issue in this case. This
20	is clearly would have been something that if
21	they wanted to use, they should have produced in
22	advance. They didn't, and it's prejudicial to
23	allow them to come out of left field and start
24	producing documents that they had in their
25	possession prior to arbitration, they should have
1	

1	Page 234 produced but they didn't produce.
2	THE ARBITRATOR: I'm not going to take
3	argument. I'll just speak with Mr. Shapiro,
4	unless you want to add on.
5	MR. LEWIN: I'm done.
6	THE ARBITRATOR: It appears to the
7	Arbitrator that this document should be received
8	as impeachment. It appears to the Arbitrator that
9	claimants, in preparing whether to produce
10	something or not, ran a certain risk if they did
11	not produce a certain document as part of their
12	initial prehearing production about exclusion,
13	that it would not be proper impeachment or
14	rebuttal.
15	It appears to the Arbitrator that even
16	though that this document appears not to have been
17	produced and I'm assuming it was not that it
18	may be used because it is the Arbitrator's
19	recollection that Mr. Bidsal yesterday said that
20	he didn't do any revisions, and this is for the
21	purpose of impeaching that testimony and showing
22	that he did out of his own computer. And so I'm
23	going to admit it for that reason over your
24	objection. Received.
25	MR. LEWIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	Page 235 BY MR. LEWIN:
2	Q You sent this e-mail?
3	A Again, I I'm looking at it. It might
4	have been sent, yes.
5	Q All right. You don't have any reason to
6	believe it wasn't sent; is that true?
7	
	A No.
8	Q Okay. Now, you listed the property for
9	sale the Green Valley Commerce property for
10	sale in March of 2017?
11	A Sometime in early 2017.
12	Q And the listing price was what?
13	A Around 6 million and change.
14	Q Was that for all that was for all of
15	the properties; right?
16	A Right.
17	Q And did you and did you believe that
18	was the fair market value of the property?
19	A That was the broker's value that Cushman
20	& Wakefield produced.
21	Q Did you did you do your own analysis?
22	A No.
23	Q And then in at some time in about
24	the same time, you were informed that Mr. Golshani
25	had a heart condition?

```
Page 236
               He told me about his heart condition
 1
          Α
 2
     when I met him in the coffee shop, yes.
          Q
               And did he -- did he tell you -- did he
 3
 4
     tell you that -- did you know about the heart
     condition before July 7, 2017?
 5
 6
          Α
               I don't remember.
 7
          0
               Isn't it true that in June, Mr. Golshani
 8
     told you he was going to have a heart operation,
     June 2017?
 9
10
          Α
               I don't recall.
11
          Q
               Do you know --
12
               THE ARBITRATOR: You don't recall --
13
               THE WITNESS: I don't recall --
14
               THE ARBITRATOR: -- either the date or
     that he told you that --
15
16
               THE WITNESS: -- that conversation --
17
               THE ARBITRATOR: -- he was going to have
18
     a heart --
19
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall
2.0
     having heard that -- or having that conversation
21
     or heard that from him.
2.2
     BY MR. LEWIN:
23
               But at the time you made your offer of
     July 7, you were aware that he was -- that he was
24
     having heart problems?
25
```

1	Page 237 A He told me he had heart problems when I
2	met him in a coffee shop. That that was after
3	the offer was made, and I think he also responded.
4	So this was even after that he responded.
5	Q So okay. So so your testimony is
6	that before July 7, you did not know that he was
7	scheduled to have a heart surgery
8	A I don't remember, no.
9	Q is that correct?
10	When you say you don't remember, you
11	don't remember whether you were told that or that
12	you didn't know?
13	A I don't remember such a conversation.
14	Q I see. Okay.
15	And you don't and you don't recall
16	that he told you that when you asked him about
17	investing in other properties that he was tight
18	tight on cash or words to that effect?
19	A I think I answered that yesterday.
20	There was no issue of money between us in terms of
21	having the funds to buy or sell.
22	Q I didn't ask you about whether there was
23	an issue of money.
24	Did you recall him telling you before
25	July 7 in 2017 that he was tight on cash or short

1	Page 238 on cash?
2	A No, no.
3	Q No. Okay.
4	Now, when you made a when you made
5	the July 7 offer, you said that
6	A Can can I answer? I mean, can I
7	elaborate on what I just said?
8	Q What?
9	A About the the issue about not
10	having the issue about the money, because
11	Q Either you remember the conversation or
12	you don't remember
13	A Yeah. Then then also produced the
14	bank statements a couple of months later, 2-, 3-,
15	\$4 million, having the funds to buy the property,
16	so I don't know
17	Q Have you ever
18	A if he had the money or not, but he
19	produced a few million dollar bank statements that
20	he does have the money.
21	Q Yes, that's true.
22	Did you ever produce bank statements
23	showing you had the money to buy?
24	A We didn't get that far into the deal,
25	but yes, we do have bank statements, but we didn't

Page 239 1 produce them. 2 Did you ever offer to -- to do -- strike I'm going to go back. 3 that. 4 So when you -- when you made your offer 5 to buy on July 7, you -- you knew that the -- you knew that the brokers had valued the property at 6 over \$6 million; right? 7 8 Initially, yes. Α 9 Over 6 million before that; right? 10 Α Right. 11 And after you made the offer, isn't it Q 12 true that Mr. Golshani asked you, if -- if he 13 accepted the offer, how much money that you -- or 14 he bought you out, how much money each of you would get? 15 16 If he bought me out? Didn't Mr. Golshani ask you to -- to --17 0 18 to set forth what you thought each person would net if you bought him out or he bought you out? 19 2.0 We discussed that, yes, sir. Α 21 0 And you never provided him with that 22 information, did you? 23 Α Yes, I did. 24 Did you do it in writing? 25 Yes, I sent it by e-mail; and also he Α

1	Page 240 came to my office and he was questioning how the
2	calculations are, and we went through that
3	together.
4	Q And he told you that he was going to
5	have an appraisal, right, performed?
6	A I don't recall when he said that, but
7	when I was in the coffee shop after he got the
8	appraisal, I asked him to provide it, and he never
9	provided it.
10	Q He told you before he got an appraisal
11	that he was going to have one performed; right?
12	A As I said, I don't remember whether he
13	said inspection or or appraisal, but he might
14	have, yes.
15	Q Well, let me see.
16	MR. LEWIN: Let me mark this Exhibit 42.
17	MR. SHAPIRO: Has this been produced
18	previously?
19	MR. LEWIN: No, it has not.
20	MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, same
21	objection.
22	BY MR. LEWIN:
23	Q This is an e-mail dated July 21, 2017.
24	A Yes, this is mine. Yes.
25	Q You received this; right?

1	Page 241 A Yes.
2	Q Okay.
3	THE ARBITRATOR: There's an objection
4	that hasn't been produced before. What's the
5	response?
6	MR. LEWIN: There was no need to produce
7	it if he admitted that he that he was told that
8	there was going to be an appraisal. He was
9	wishy-washy, so I produced it now.
10	MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, it's trial by
11	ambush. It's inappropriate.
12	MR. LEWIN: It's only I'm only
13	obligated to produce documents that I think I'm
14	going to use in my in my main case. If he
15	doesn't if he doesn't tell the truth or he is
16	evasive, then I have to use documents that I
17	haven't produced. I expect him to tell the truth.
18	MR. SHAPIRO: Well, that's not true.
19	You're supposed to produce all documents.
20	MR. LEWIN: No, I don't think so.
21	MR. SHAPIRO: I understand that the
22	rules are relaxed, but this constant barrage of
23	documents that have never been produced is getting
24	tiresome, and it's inappropriate.
25	MR. LEWIN: These are documents that

1	Page 242 your client has. This is not like a document from
2	a third party. Your client these are documents
3	that come
4	MR. SHAPIRO: So produce them.
5	MR. LEWIN: Okay. I've already
6	THE ARBITRATOR: Well, here's here's
7	the tentative ruling of the Arbitrator, and then
8	we'll try to bring this to ground for a final
9	ruling.
10	I'm inclined not to admit this as
11	affirmative evidence, because it should have been
12	produced as affirmative evidence. And it has not
13	been premarked and and exchanged prior to
14	hearing, and that is admitted.
15	Accordingly, it is not automatically
16	under my prior rulings admitted. Therefore,
17	taking it as a matter of how it's coming up now,
18	this does not appear to be impeachment material,
19	but only something that you might put in front of
20	the witness to refresh his recollection. But that
21	does not mean that it's in evidence and it is not
22	received in evidence.
23	MR. LEWIN: Very well. I accept that.
24	THE ARBITRATOR: Objection's sustained
25	subject to my explanation.

1	BY MR. LEWIN:
2	Q Does this refresh your recollection that
3	Mr. Golshani told you in advance he was going to
4	get an appraisal?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And then and then you had a meeting
7	with him and you asked him for what the
8	appraisal was and he told you the number; right?
9	A He told me a range and I asked him to
10	produce the appraisal, to give me the appraisal.
11	He never did.
12	Q Did you offer to pay for it? Yes or no?
13	A It wasn't it wasn't discussed about
14	payment.
15	Q Did you say, look, let me have the
16	appraisal well, I'll withdraw
17	A If the appraisal is
18	Q I withdraw the question. I withdraw the
19	question.
20	You had all the time in the world to do
21	your own appraisal before you made the July 7
22	offer; right?
23	A I never did an appraisal.
24	Q You had all of the time in the world to
25	do an appraisal before you made your July 7 offer;

1	Page 244
1	right?
2	A I had time before, but I never did that.
3	Q And you had according you had
4	plenty of money to pay for an appraisal; right?
5	A He had the money to pay the appraisal.
6	Q You had the money yourself to pay for
7	your own appraisal; right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And you were in contact with the brokers
10	who told you how much the property was worth;
11	right?
12	A I had the broker's opinion, which I
13	shared with Ben, and it turned out that that level
14	of the broker's opinion was not correct because
15	the property did not trade, did not sell. Not
16	only didn't sell, it didn't produce any offers.
17	Q Okay. And that was at that was
18	the at what price?
19	A That's the one with Cushman & Wakefield,
20	around the 6 million range.
21	Q Okay. So the appraisal and the so
22	the appraisal then you've seen the appraisal
23	now; right?
24	A Now I've seen it, yes.
25	Q And it's more than 6 million; right?

	Daga 245
1	Page 245 A No. It's around 4 million 7.
2	Q That's only for that's only for a
3	portion of the properties; right?
4	A Right, for the Vegas properties.
5	Q And when you add in the other property,
6	it's the when you add in the other property,
7	the total value, which worth a million-five;
8	right?
9	A That does not have an appraisal on it.
10	Q Well, you had an offer for a
11	million-five?
12	A A million in the million-six, I
13	think, and change.
14	Q Okay. So that would bring that would
15	bring the value to more than 6.3 million; right?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Okay. So but when Mr. Golshani asked
18	you if there was any deferred maintenance on the
19	property, when he was considering how to respond
20	to your offer, what did you tell him?
21	A He asked whatever document we have to be
22	e-mailed to him, which I e-mailed to him.
23	Q Did Mr. Golshani ask you if there was
24	any deferred maintenance on the properties when he
25	was considering whether or not to
1	