#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SANDRA CAMACHO; AND ANTHONY CAMACHO,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE NADIA KRALL, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and

PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., a foreign corporation; R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY, a foreign corporation, individually, and as successor-by-merger to LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY and as successor-ininterest to the United States tobacco business of BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO CORPORATION, which is the successor-bymerger to THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY; LIGGETT GROUP, LLC., a foreign corporation; and ASM NATIONWIDE CORPORATION d/b/a SILVERADO SMOKES & CIGARS, a domestic corporation; LV SINGHS NC. d/b/a SMOKES & VAPORS, a domestic corporation,

Real Parties in Interest.

Sean K. Claggett, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8407 Micah S. Echols, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8437 Matthew S. Granda, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12753 David P. Snyder, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 15333 CLAGGETT & SYKES LAW FIRM 4101 Meadows Ln., Ste. 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 (702) 655-2346 – Telephone <u>micah@claggettlaw.com</u> david@claggettlaw.com Electronically Filed May 04 2023 03:38 PM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Petitioners' Appendix Volume 34 (Nos. 5183-5287)

Fan Li, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 15771 KELLEY | UUSTAL 500 N. Federal Hwy., Ste. 200 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 (954) 522-6601 – Telephone klw@kulaw.com

Attorneys for Petitioners, Sandra Camacho and Anthony Camacho

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1	the first time in a person's lifetime they used a particular
2	substance, correct, Dr. Proctor?
3	A. Right. Apparently at what age.
4	Q. Okay. And then in 2000 I mean, then on page 26,
5	you go down, and it says, "Among people aged 12 or older in
6	2019, 1.6 million people initiated smoking cigarette
7	smoking in the past 12 months, i.e., and never before the past
8	12 months, which was lower than the number in most years from
9	2002 through 2018. The number of people aged 12 or older in
10	2019, who initiated cigarette smoking in the past year
11	averaged to about 4,400 people each day." Did I read that
12	correctly?
13	A. Yes. So 4,000-some people are starting smoking
14	every day.
15	Q. "Among young adults aged 18 to 25 in 2019, 1 million
16	people initiated cigarette smoking in the past 12 months."
17	Did I read that correctly?
18	A. Yeah. Could you go up to the top of that column? I
19	want to make sure we got that right. I'm not sure I
20	understood that.
21	The number of people age 12 or older who initiated
22	smoking in the past year averaged 4,400 people each day.
23	Okay. I got that.
24	Q. Averaged to about 4,400 people each day, right?
25	A. Right.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

www.h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
25	two-thirds of people who first initiate combustible cigarette
	Q. Would you agree that in this country about
23	
22	A. Say it again.
21	smoking do so after they turn 18 years of age?
20	two-thirds of people who first initiate combustible cigarette
20	Q. Would you agree that in this country about
19	A. Yes.
18	1,631 thousand?
17	Q. Would you accept my math that 1,090 is 67 percent of
16	A. I believe so, yeah.
15	turned 18, correct?
14	Q. And 1,000,090 initiated cigarette smoking after they
13	A. Yes.
12	Q. Or of that number of new initiates, 541,000 initiated cigarette smoking before the age of 18, correct?
10	
10	
9	1,631,000 new initiates to smoking, correct?
8	A. Right. Yes. Q. Okay. Adding all of these up, that is a total of
6	26 or older each day." Did I read that correctly
5	months or an average of about 250 new cigarette smokers aged
4	90,000 people initiated cigarette smoking in the past 12
3	Okay. "Among adults aged 26 or older in 2019,
2	read that already? Yes.
1	Q. Okay. "Among young adults aged 18 to 25" did I
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Page 113

Page 114	ŀ
----------	---

1	smoking do so after they turn 18 years of age?
2	A. I would have to double-check that. That doesn't
3	ring true to me somehow.
4	Q. Okay. Even though the numbers we just showed had
5	more people initiating smoking after the age of 18 than before
6	the age of 18?
7	A. Again, I would just have to double-check it.
8	Q. Okay. What would you do to double-check it?
9	A. Well, just to make sure we've done all the math
10	right. That's all. I just don't want to do it on the fly
11	here
12	Q. Okay. Would you agree that today most people in
13	this country first initiate combustible cigarette smoking when
14	they are aged 18 or older?
15	A. No. That's the problem. That's what's causing me
16	pause. I I wasn't aware that if that's true, that must
17	be very recent.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. That's why I want to double-check it.
20	Q. Other than going through this article itself, what
21	else would you need to do to double-check?
22	A. Well, just to double-check that, you know has it
23	really changed dramatically. Because in the past, most people
24	began by 18, so I want to find out when that changed, if that
25	changed, that sort of thing.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

r	
1	Q. Okay. All right. So, also on page 26, it talks
2	about initiation of alcohol use and states that among
3	adolescents sorry. "Among adolescents aged 12 to 17, the
4	number of past year initiates" well, I'll go ahead and
5	start from the top. I'm sorry.
6	Also talks about initiation of alcohol use. And it
7	starts out: "Among people aged 12 and older in 2019, 4.9
8	million people initiated alcohol use in the past 12 months,
9	not counting sips from another person's drink. Among
10	adolescents aged 12 to 17, the number of past year initiates
11	of alcohol use declined from 2.6 million adolescents in 2002,
12	to 2.3 million adolescents in 2019." Did I read both of those
13	correctly?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Would you agree that in this country more than half
16	of people who first initiate combustible cigarette smoking do
17	so before they turn 18 years of age?
18	A. Say that again, please.
19	Q. Yeah. I mean, is it your position that more than
20	half of people who first initiate combustible cigarette
21	smoking do so before they turn 18 years of age?
22	A. That's my understanding.
23	Q. Okay. Now, if we go down to page 17, it goes on to
24	say, "Among young adult aged 18 to 25, the number of past year
25	alcohol use initiates increased from 1.2 million people in

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

r	
1	2002, to 2.4 million people in 2019." Did I read that
2	correctly?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Goes on to say, "Among adults aged 26 or older, the
5	number of past year initiates of alcohol use in 2019, 205,000
6	people was similar to the numbers in most years from 2002
7	through 2018." Did I read that correctly?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. So, if we continue on, it talks about
10	substance use disorders in the past year as well. And it
11	says, "Substance use disorders, SUDs, are characterized by
12	impairment caused by the recurrent use of alcohol or other
13	drugs or both, including health problems, disability and
14	failure to meet major responsibilities at work, school or
15	home. The 2019 NSDUH included a series of questions to
16	estimate the percentage of the population aged 12 or older who
17	had at least one SUD in the past 12 months, subsequently
18	referred to as an SUD or past year SUD, except when SUDs refer
19	to more than one substance, such as an SUD for the misuse of
20	specific prescription drugs. SUD questions classify people as
21	having an SUD in the past 12 months based on criteria
22	specified by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
23	Disorders, 4th edition, DSM-IV. Respondents were asked SUD
24	questions if they previously reported use of alcohol or
25	illicit drugs in the past 12 months. Illicit drugs include

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?</li> <li>That's the criteria they used?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse criteria. Relevant definitions for alcohol use disorder can be found in the glossary." Correct? Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Close enough.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. <ul> <li>Would you agree that it is estimated that over</li> </ul> </li> <li>400,000 adolescents under the age of 18 have an alcohol use disorder?</li> <li>A. Apparently, by this, yes. By this criteria.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?</li> <li>That's the criteria they used? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse criteria. Relevant definitions for alcohol use disorder can be found in the glossary." Correct? Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Close enough.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. <ul> <li>Would you agree that it is estimated that over</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?</li> <li>That's the criteria they used? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse criteria. Relevant definitions for alcohol use disorder can be found in the glossary." Correct? Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Close enough.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Would you agree that it is estimated that over</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?</li> <li>That's the criteria they used? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse criteria. Relevant definitions for alcohol use disorder can be found in the glossary." Correct? Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Close enough.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?</li> <li>That's the criteria they used? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse criteria. Relevant definitions for alcohol use disorder can be found in the glossary." Correct? Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Close enough.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse criteria. Relevant definitions for alcohol use disorder can be found in the glossary." Correct? Did I read that correctly?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?</li> <li>That's the criteria they used? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse criteria. Relevant definitions for alcohol use disorder can be found in the glossary." Correct? Did I read that</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse criteria. Relevant definitions for alcohol use disorder can
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for alcohol dependence but met one or more of the four abuse
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as a having abuse if they did not meet the criteria for
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even if they met three or more of the seven dependence criteria as
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in the past 12 months were classified as having dependence, even
7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for alcohol. Respondents who used alcohol on 6 or more days in
7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?</li> <li>That's the criteria they used?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as</li> <li>meeting DSM-IV criteria for either dependence or abuse for</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?</li> <li>That's the criteria they used?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Says, "Alcohol use disorder was defined as</li> </ul>
7 8 9	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used? A. Yes.
7 8	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct? That's the criteria they used?
7	Q. The criteria specified in the DSM-IV, correct?
6	A. Yes.
5	correctly?
4	e.g., benzodiazepines, and pain relievers." Did I read that
3	misuse of prescription stimulants, tranquilizers or sedatives,
2	hallucinogens, inhalants and methamphetamines, as well as the
1	

5188

Page 117

	Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022Page 11
1	Q. Would you agree that the estimated number of
2	adolescents with alcohol use disorder is about five times
3	higher than the estimated number of adolescents who are daily
4	smokers of tobacco-burning cigarettes?
5	A. That sounds about right.
6	Q. Okay. I want to go to table or Figure 37. This
7	figure is: "Alcohol use disorder in the past year among
8	people aged 12 or older, 2002 to 2019." Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Even though alcohol is still heavily advertised on
11	television, alcohol use disorder has, in fact, declined among
12	people aged 12 or older, correct?
13	A. Apparently.
14	Q. Over here on the right it says, "This illicit
15	drug use disorder. This section presents overall estimates
16	for illicit drug use disorder. It then provides SUD estimates
17	for selected specific illicit drugs. Illicit drug use
18	disorder was defined as meeting DSM-IV criteria for either
19	dependence or abuse for one or more of the following illicit
20	drugs: Marijuana, cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens, inhalants,
21	methamphetamines, and or" sorry "or prescription

22 psychotherapeutic drugs that were misused, i.e., stimulants,

23 tranquilizers or sedatives and pain relievers." Did I read

25 A. Yes.

that correctly?

www.huseby.com

24

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

r	
1	Q. It also says, "Among people aged 12 or older in
2	2019, 3 percent, or 8.3 million people, had at least one
3	illicit drug use disorder in the past year." Did I read that
4	correctly?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Then if you go down to the aged 12 to 17, it says,
7	"Among adolescents aged 12 to 17 in 2019, 3.6 percent, or
8	894,000 people, had an illicit drug use disorder in the past
9	year." Did I read that correctly?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. If you go down to page 36, it specifically addresses
12	something called a "marijuana use disorder." Do you see that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. It says, "Among people aged 12 or older, the
15	percentage with a past year marijuana use disorder was 1.8
16	percent in 2002, or 4.3 million people, and 2019, or 4.8
17	million people, but showed declines in some years. Although
18	this population in 2019 among this population in 2019, the
19	percentage who had a marijuana use disorder in the past year
20	was similar to the percentage in each year from 2002 through
21	2013, but it was higher than the percentages in most years
22	from 2014 to 2018." Did I read that correctly?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. And in the aged 12 to 17 section, it says,
25	"Among adolescents aged 12 to 17, the percentage with a past

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

800-333-2082

Page 119

	Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022Page 120
1	year marijuana use disorder declined from 4.3 percent, or 1.1
2	million people, in 2002, to 2.8 percent, or 699,000 people, in
3	2019." Did I read that correctly?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Would you agree that an estimated 700,000
6	adolescents under the age of 18 have a marijuana use disorder
7	as described in this document?
8	A. As per that definition, yes.
9	Q. Would you agree that the estimated number of
10	adolescents with a marijuana use disorder exceeds the number
11	of adolescents who reported smoking at least one
12	tobacco-burning cigarette in the past month?
13	A. Yes. But, again, it's apples and oranges because
14	you're comparing use over a past year for marijuana and use
15	over the past 30 days for cigarettes. That's not really a
16	fair comparison.
17	Q. Okay. Would you agree that the estimated number of
18	adolescents with a marijuana use disorder is about nine times
19	higher than the estimated number of adolescents who are daily
20	smokers of tobacco-burning cigarettes?
21	A. Again, I think there's a somewhat of an improper
22	comparison being made, but the raw numbers, I would agree
23	with.
24	Q. All right. There's a table here, table figure,
25	sorry Figure 39, "Marijuana use disorder in the past year

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	among people aged 12 or older." And then there's a table,
2	"Marijuana use disorder in the past year among people aged 12
3	or older." So I'm going to focus on the table. Here. And
4	ask you. You see that this shows that let me see.
5	Let me ask you this. The marijuana legalization
6	movement has not had much of an impact on marijuana use
7	disorder because the percentages haven't gone up since 2002,
8	and, in fact, have gone down, correct?
9	A. In terms of use of marijuana?
10	Q. Yes.
11	A. I think that's correct.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. I think they're counting all use as a disorder,
14	strangely, but, yeah.
15	Q. Have you looked at how they defined "use disorder"
16	in the DSM-IV?
17	A. Not apart from what they're saying here. I think
18	they're
19	Q. Okay.
20	A giving it a definition here.
21	Q. Okay. So then we go to page 40. And then there's a
22	section, "Substance use disorder, alcohol and illicit drugs."
23	"Among people aged 12 or older, the percentage with a past
24	year SUD, i.e., alcoholic use disorder, illicit drug use
25	disorder or both, remained stable between 2015 and 2019. In

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	2019, 20.4 million people aged 12 or older, or 7.4 percent of
2	this population, had an SUD in the past year, including 14.5
3	million who had an alcoholic use disorder and 8.3 million who
4	had an illicit drug use disorder. Among the 8.3 million
5	people with a past year illicit drug use disorder, 4.8 million
6	people had a marijuana use disorder and 1.4 million people had
7	a prescription pain reliever use disorder." Did I read that
8	correctly?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And then, of course, on the next page, it goes down
11	to break this up from in the group age 12 to 17. "Among
12	adolescents aged 12 to 17 in 2019, 4.5 percent, or 1.1 million
13	people, had a past year SUD." Did I read that correctly?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. You would agree that an estimated 1.1 million
16	adolescents under the age of 18 have a substance use disorder
17	to either alcohol or illicit drugs according to this criteria?
18	A. Apparently.
19	Q. Would you agree that the estimated number of
20	adolescents with a substance use disorder to either alcohol or
21	illicit drugs is nearly twice the estimated number of
22	adolescents who smoked at least one tobacco-burning cigarette
23	in the past month?
24	A. Well, yeah, except one is comparing behavior over a
25	past year; the other is comparing it to just behavior over a

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

Page	123
I azu	140

1	last month. So it's not really a fair comparison.
2	Q. Would you agree that the estimated number of
3	adolescents with a substance use disorder to either alcohol or
4	illicit drugs is about 14 times higher than the estimated
5	number of adolescents who are daily smokers of tobacco-burning
6	cigarettes?
7	A. Again, it seems like an apples and orange
8	comparison. I agree with the underlying data but not with the
9	comparison.
10	Q. Okay. All right. So I have one more, Dr. Proctor.
11	I think it's back here on page 40.
12	Okay. Page 40, aged 12 to 17. This is on the
13	opioid use disorder. "Among adolescents aged 12 to 17, the
14	percentage with a past year opioid use disorder decreased
15	from .6 percent, or 153,000 people, in 2016, to .3 percent, or
16	87,000 people, in 2019. Except for 2016, these estimates in
17	2019, were similar to the estimates in 2015 to 2018." Did I
18	read that correctly?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Would you agree that the estimated number of
21	adolescents with an opioid use disorder is nearly twice the
22	estimated number of adolescents who are daily smokers of
23	tobacco-burning cigarettes?
24	A. No. Again, I think there's an improper comparison
25	over the time period. In other words, the regular smoking, I

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	think, is over the past 30 days. But here, you've got a
2	the opioid use is over the past year. So I'm not comfortable
3	with the comparison, though I I agree with the underlying
4	data.
5	Q. Okay. We may come back and compare those. And make
6	a note.
7	All right. I'm going to continue on with this
8	document and we're going to talk about substance use
9	treatment in the past year, Dr. Proctor. "Substance use
10	treatment is intended to help people address problems
11	associated with their use of alcohol or illicit drugs, i.e.,
12	not counting tobacco use, including mental medical problems
13	associated with the use of alcohol or illicit drugs. The 2019
14	NSDUH provided two principal measures related to substance use
15	treatment in the past year: A, the need for substance use
16	treatment; and B, the receipt of substance use treatment. The
17	survey also collected information on the types of settings
18	where people received treatment and issues associated with
19	people needing substance use treatment but not receiving it."
20	And then it goes on to have the, "Need for substance use
21	treatment. SAMHSA classifies people as having a need for
22	substance use treatment if they had an SUD in the past year or
23	if they received substance use treatment at a specialty
24	facility within the past year." Did I read that correctly?
25	A. Yes.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	Q. And, of course, then it goes to break it down by
2	ages. In the aged 12 to 17 category, it says, "Among
3	adolescents aged 12 to 17 in 2019, 4.6 percent, or 1.1
4	million, needed substance use treatment in the past year.
5	These estimates in 2019, were similar to the estimates in 2015
6	to 2017, but they were higher than the estimates in 2018."
7	Did I read that correctly?
8	A. Yeah. But I have to say it's bizarre because
9	they're excluding tobacco as if people using tobacco don't
10	need substance use treatment. There's a very odd separation
11	of tobacco in that on that page.
12	Q. Well, would you agree that the number of adolescents
13	that the federal government estimates has a need for substance
14	abuse use treatment for either alcohol or illicit drugs is
15	nearly twice the estimated number of adolescents who smoked at
16	least one tobacco-burning cigarette in the past month?
17	A. Yeah. But it's a crazy idea that the smokers don't
18	need substance use treatment. It's bizarre that they're
19	segregating that out.
20	Q. Would you agree that the number of adolescents that
21	the federal government estimates have a need for substance
22	abuse use treatment for either alcohol or illicit drugs is
23	about 14 times higher than the estimated number of adolescents
24	who are daily smokers of tobacco-burning cigarettes?
25	A. Your math could well be right.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

Page	126
I age	140

<ul> <li>A. But, again, I don't accept the categories.</li> <li>Q. Understood.</li> <li>Receipt of substance use treatment. Okay. This is</li> <li>a long one. Bear with me.</li> <li>ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Lisa, you'll get copies of all</li> <li>these, I promise.</li> <li>BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:</li> <li>Q. "NSDUH respondents who used alcohol or illicit drugs</li> <li>in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received</li> <li>substance use treatment. And those who received substance use</li> <li>treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received</li> <li>treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,</li> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>collected information on the receipt of substance use</li> </ul>	1	Q. Okay. And keep going down to page
<ul> <li>Receipt of substance use treatment. Okay. This is</li> <li>a long one. Bear with me.</li> <li>ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Lisa, you'll get copies of all</li> <li>these, I promise.</li> <li>BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:</li> <li>Q. "NSDUH respondents who used alcohol or illicit drugs</li> <li>in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received</li> <li>substance use treatment. And those who received substance use</li> <li>treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received</li> <li>treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,</li> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> </ul>	2	A. But, again, I don't accept the categories.
<ul> <li>a long one. Bear with me.</li> <li>ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Lisa, you'll get copies of all</li> <li>these, I promise.</li> <li>BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:</li> <li>Q. "NSDUH respondents who used alcohol or illicit drugs</li> <li>in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received</li> <li>substance use treatment. And those who received substance use</li> <li>treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received</li> <li>treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,</li> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> </ul>	3	Q. Understood.
<ul> <li>ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Lisa, you'll get copies of all</li> <li>these, I promise.</li> <li>BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:</li> <li>Q. "NSDUH respondents who used alcohol or illicit drugs</li> <li>in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received</li> <li>substance use treatment. And those who received substance use</li> <li>treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received</li> <li>treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,</li> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> </ul>	4	Receipt of substance use treatment. Okay. This is
<ul> <li>these, I promise.</li> <li>BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:</li> <li>Q. "NSDUH respondents who used alcohol or illicit drugs</li> <li>in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received</li> <li>substance use treatment. And those who received substance use</li> <li>treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received</li> <li>treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,</li> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also</li> </ul>	5	a long one. Bear with me.
<ul> <li>BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:</li> <li>Q. "NSDUH respondents who used alcohol or illicit drugs</li> <li>in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received</li> <li>substance use treatment. And those who received substance use</li> <li>treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received</li> <li>treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,</li> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also</li> </ul>	6	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Lisa, you'll get copies of all
<ul> <li>9 Q. "NSDUH respondents who used alcohol or illicit drugs</li> <li>in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received</li> <li>substance use treatment. And those who received substance use</li> <li>treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received</li> <li>treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,</li> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also</li> </ul>	7	these, I promise.
<ul> <li>in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received</li> <li>substance use treatment. And those who received substance use</li> <li>treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received</li> <li>treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,</li> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also</li> </ul>	8	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
11 substance use treatment. And those who received substance use 12 treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received 13 treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview, 14 i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who 15 used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for 16 drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor? 17 A. Nontobacco drugs. 18 Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment 19 includes substance use treatment received in the past year at 20 any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed 21 paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient, 22 mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office, 23 prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous 24 or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	9	Q. "NSDUH respondents who used alcohol or illicit drugs
12 treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received 13 treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview, 14 i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who 15 used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for 16 drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor? 17 A. Nontobacco drugs. 18 Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment 19 includes substance use treatment received in the past year at 20 any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed 21 paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient, 22 mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office, 23 prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous 24 or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	10	in their lifetime were asked whether they ever received
13 treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview, 14 i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who 15 used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for 16 drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor? 17 A. Nontobacco drugs. 18 Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment 19 includes substance use treatment received in the past year at 20 any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed 21 paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient, 22 mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office, 23 prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous 24 or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	11	substance use treatment. And those who received substance use
<ul> <li>i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who</li> <li>used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for</li> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also</li> </ul>	12	treatment in their lifetime were asked whether they received
used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor? A. Nontobacco drugs. Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment includes substance use treatment received in the past year at any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient, mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office, prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	13	treatment in the past 12 months prior to the survey interview,
<ul> <li>drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?</li> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also</li> </ul>	14	i.e., in the past year." Okay. So, these are respondents who
<ul> <li>A. Nontobacco drugs.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment</li> <li>includes substance use treatment received in the past year at</li> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also</li> </ul>	15	used drugs or alcohol and who have received treatment for
Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment includes substance use treatment received in the past year at any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient, mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office, prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	16	drugs and alcohol, correct, Doctor?
19 includes substance use treatment received in the past year at 20 any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed 21 paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient, 22 mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office, 23 prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous 24 or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	17	A. Nontobacco drugs.
<ul> <li>any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed</li> <li>paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,</li> <li>mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,</li> <li>prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous</li> <li>or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also</li> </ul>	18	Q. Yeah. "Receipt of any substance use treatment
21 paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient, 22 mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office, 23 prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous 24 or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	19	includes substance use treatment received in the past year at
22 mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office, 23 prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous 24 or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	20	any location, such as a hospital, paren, inpatient, closed
23 prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous 24 or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	21	paren, rehabilitation facility, outpatient or inpatient,
24 or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also	22	mental health center, emergency room, private doctor's office,
	23	prison or jail, or self-help group, e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous
25 collected information on the receipt of substance use	24	or Narcotics Anonymous, closed paren. The 2019 NSDUH also
	25	collected information on the receipt of substance use

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	treatment at a specialty facility. Substance use treatment at
2	a specialty facility is included in the estimates of any
3	substance use treatment because a subset of the treatment
4	locations was categorized as specialty facilities at a
5	specialty facility was defined as a substance use treatment
6	received by a respondent at a hospital, paren, only as an
7	inpatient, closed paren, a drug or alcohol rehabilitation
8	facility, as an inpatient or outpatient, or mental health
9	center." Did I read that correctly?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And, of course, then it goes to the aged 12 to 17
12	and states, "Among adolescents aged 12 to 17 in 2019, .7
13	percent, or 172,000 people, received any substance use
14	treatment in the past year. These estimates in 2019, were
15	similar to the estimates in 2015 to 2018." Did I read that
16	correctly?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Would you agree that an estimated 172,000
19	adolescents under the age of 17 actually received treatment
20	for alcohol or illicit drug use each year?
21	A. Apparently. That's what the data says.
22	Q. Would you agree that about twice as many adolescents
23	under the age of 18 received treatment for alcohol or drug use
24	than are daily smokers of tobacco-burning cigarettes?
25	A. It's just such an apples and orange comparison. The

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	numbers, I think, are correct, but it's I just don't really
2	like the comparison.
3	Q. I mean, why not? I mean, you have a comparison of
4	groups of 12 to 17-year-olds who were asked a variety of
5	questions. One is whether or not they smoke, and one is
6	whether or not they've received treatment for alcohol or drug
7	use. And they respond
8	A. Yeah, but they the comparison would be how many
9	of the people who are getting treated, you know, for the
10	different substances. So there what you want to do is know
11	how many people are being treated for tobacco use disorder
12	versus these other substance abuse disorders, and that's not
13	what's being compared at all. So I think it's a bizarre
14	comparison.
15	Q. No. But what I am comparing are the number of
16	adolescents aged 12 to 17 in the United States who have
17	reported receiving substance use treatment in the past year
18	versus the number of adolescents aged 12 to 17 who have
19	reported smoking a combustible cigarette within the last
20	A. Yeah. But, apparently, none of those people, or at
21	least we don't know, of those people smoking are getting any
22	treatment for their abuse disorder because it's not even
23	counted. Like where is the data in this report on how many of
24	these smokers are getting treatment for their substance use
25	disorder? It's not even mentioned, I don't think.
1	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	Q. And but how does that factor in, in comparing the
2	numbers of people who actually sought treatment for alcohol
3	and drug use with the numbers of people who smoke cigarettes?
4	A. Because what you want to know is you have two
5	different types of disorder. You have alcohol and these
6	illicit drugs, and then you have tobacco disorder. You want
7	to know who's getting more help, and apparently, the tobacco
8	people are not getting any help. They're not even counted, I
9	think, in terms of substance use treatment. So that's
10	Q. But it's not a matter of whether or not
11	they're they have a tobacco use disorder. It's by simple
12	math, isn't it true, that there are more 12 to 17-year-olds,
13	just by the numbers, who have received some type of substance
14	abuse training to alcohol and drugs, not tobacco, than are
15	current daily smokers of tobacco-burning cigarettes?
16	A. Yeah. Again, it's I agree with the math, but
17	it's apples and oranges. I don't think there's I don't
18	think it's a legitimate comparison.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. But I agree with your numbers.
21	Q. And if we go down to page 52, we go to the 18 to 25,
22	it says, "Among young adults aged 18 to 25 in 2019, 1.7
23	percent, or 578,000 people, received any substance use
24	treatment in the past year. These estimates in 2019, were
25	similar to the estimates in 2015 to 2018." Would you agree

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	that the estimated 578,000 young adults aged 18 to 25 actually
2	receiving treatment for alcohol and drug year is what's
3	reflected in this document?
4	A. Yes, yes. But, again, apparently, the tobacco users
5	are getting no help, and they're not even counted as as
6	as users.
7	Q. Would you agree that the estimated number of persons
8	under the age of 26 in this country who receive treatment each
9	year for alcohol or illicit drug use is about three-quarters
10	of a million?
11	A. Well, this figure here has 578,000.
12	Q. Uh-huh.
13	A. So that would be about two-fifths of a million,
14	maybe.
15	Q. Okay
16	A. I mean three-fifths of a million.
17	Q. All right.
18	A. Little bit less than that.
19	Q. All right. Well, believe it or not, we actually are
20	done with Exhibit 16
21	A. A fond farewell.
22	Q so, we are going to go to Exhibit 17, which I
23	will pull up momentarily.
24	(Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)
25	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	Q. Okay. All right. Can you see this, Dr. Proctor?
2	A. In principal
3	Q. In principal. I know. I'll blow it up here for you
4	in a second. I'm going to represent to you for right now,
5	until I blow it up, that this is Exhibit PMU 99460, which is
6	Exhibit 17 to this deposition. It is a Baxter Bulletin
7	article entitled, "Higher Death Rate for Cigarette Smokers."
8	It's The Baxter Bulletin, Mountain Home, Arkansas, dated
9	November 4th, 1954. I'm now going to try to blow this up for
10	you, Dr. Proctor, so you can at least see hopefully, you
11	can see the November 4th, 1954, date at the top. And The
12	Baxter Bulletin, Mountain Home, Arkansas. Do you see that
13	A. That yeah. That's a classic. I'm sure we've all
14	read The Baxter Bulletin from 19 whatever.
15	Q. Exactly, exactly.
16	And if I were to ask you why if you know why I'm
17	showing you something from The Baxter Bulletin, Mountain Home,
18	Arkansas, do you know if any of the Plaintiffs, either
19	Mr. Tully or Mrs. Geist, lived in Mountain Home, Arkansas, or
20	anywhere near Arkansas?
21	A. That would be my assumption; otherwise, you wouldn't
22	have showed it.
23	Q. Okay. But you don't have any information on that
24	one way or another, right?
25	A. Correct.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	Q. All right. So, let me go down to the article. If I
2	can get my where's my okay. There you go. I'm going to
3	try to zoom in on this a little bit better for both of our
4	sakes, Doctor.
5	Do you can you see that okay?
6	A. Barely.
7	Q. I'll try a little bit better. And then
8	A. That's better.
9	Q there we go.
10	Okay. The article says, "The American Cancer
11	Society recently cleared up most of the confusion about health
12	and cigarette smoking when it reported that deaths among
13	cigarette smokers from 50 to 70 years of age are as much as 75
14	percent higher than those among nonsmokers. The report was no
15	tentative or qualified report." Did I read that correctly?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. It goes on to say down here, "Originally, the
18	American Cancer Society intended to wait another year before
19	reporting on the study, but officials of the society report
20	that cigarette smokers were found to have so much higher death
21	rates that they didn't think they could withhold the
22	information another year. They also said that they were
23	releasing the information because we are thinking of saving
24	our lives." Did I read that correctly?
25	A. Yeah. "Saving lives."
1	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	Q. "Saving lives." Thank you.
2	And you know what now now that we've kind of read
3	a little bit about this, I assume you know exactly what
4	they're talking about because you and I have talked about it a
5	number of times at trial: The American Cancer Society
6	A. Correct. Yeah. This is the summer 1954
7	announcement of the Hammond and Horn prospective study
8	results.
9	Q. Okay. And in this, it's reported at least in this
10	article that that the Hammond and Horn study results that
11	lung cancer deaths were at least three times and possibly nine
12	times as common among cigarette smokers as nonsmokers,
13	correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. I'm going to here's Deposition Exhibit 18
16	will be our next one.
17	(Exhibit No. 18 was marked for identification.)
18	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
19	Q. And here you go. It's another oldie, but goodie.
20	From The Baxter Bulletin, Mountain Home, and I'll
21	blow it up. Let's see let's first focus on the time. This
22	is from Thursday, June 2nd, 1960. Do you see the date on
23	that?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And the article I'm talking about I'm going to

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	blow this up some more here. Because it's a little hard to
2	see whoops.
3	Okay. Is: "Comments on the County Beat." Do you
4	see that, Dr. Proctor?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. And then it continues, and there's a little
7	star separating it. And it stays, "The latest warning on
8	cigarette threat comes from National Tuberculosis Association,
9	which has issued a statement on these three points: There is
10	an alarming increase in deaths from lung cancer; two,
11	cigarette smoking is a major cause of lung cancer; three, the
12	risk of lung cancer increases with the number of cigarettes
13	smoked." Did I read that correctly?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. So this June 2nd, 1960, Baxter Bulletin article
16	reports that cigarette smoking is a major cause of lung cancer
17	and that the risk of lung cancer increases with the number of
18	cigarettes smoked, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. The article also goes on to say let's see.
21	Okay. Up here, "Just as discouraging to those of us
22	who can't or won't give up the habit is another statement in
23	the report, which says that although research is in progress,
24	'no present method of treating tobacco or of filtering the
25	smoke has been proved to reduce the harmful effect of

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

www h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
25	Q. Okay. I'm going to blow up down here so maybe we
24	A. Yes.
23	see that?
22	date I'll blow it up some is October 11th, 1962. Do you
21	Q. And this is another Baxter Bulletin article and the
20	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
19	(Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification.)
18	19.
17	Q. I'm going to go on now to Deposition Exhibit Number
16	that were already known.
15	noncombustible or nonaddictive. And, certainly, elements of
14	seem to know about. You could make them noninhalable or
13	A. Right. I mean, there are other ways that they don't
12	correct?
11	avoid those harmful effects is by not smoking cigarettes,
10	Q. And this article also says that the only way to
9	A. Yes.
8	effect of cigarette smoking, correct?
7	filtering the smoke has been proven to reduce the harmful
6	reports there's no present method of treating tobacco or
5	Q. And this June 2nd, 1960, Baxter Bulletin article
4	A. Yes.
3	correctly?
2	avoided only by not smoking cigarettes.'" Did I read that
1	cigarette smoking. Up to now, these harmful effects can be

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	can "Dr. Peale speaks at medical event." Do you see where
2	I have that highlighted?
3	A. Yes. Norman Vincent Peale.
4	Q. Okay. And then it goes on to in this, it talks
5	about maybe so it's a little easier to see. He talks about
6	Ochsner from the Ochsner Clinic in New Orleans. Let me find
7	that part for you, Doctor?
8	A. Yeah. I see it. Yeah. It's
9	Q. Oh, you do?
10	A. Yeah. If you go in right above that "Act 1"
11	headline, "Act 1 benefits"
12	Q. Oh. There we go.
13	A there.
14	Q. Thank you.
15	"Dr. Alton Ochsner" I'm going to blow that up
16	of the Ochsner Clinic at New Orleans told the festival goers
17	the risk of cigarette smoker incurring lung cancer is eight
18	times as great as that of a nonsmoker. The festival group
19	awarded the special certificate of honor to Danny Thomas after
20	that." Do you see where I read that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. All right. So, I'm going to go to another exhibit.
23	Dr. Proctor, this is going to be Exhibit Number 20, I believe.
24	(Exhibit No. 20 was marked for identification.)
25	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

www.h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
25	say, "Number one, cigarette smoking far outweighs other causes
24	highlights from the Surgeon General's report. It goes on to
23	Q. Okay. And then it goes on to outline some of the
22	report.
21	A. Yeah. They're talking about the Surgeon General's
20	this blue-ribbon panel is
19	Q. And I assume we all know what they're talking about,
18	A. Yes.
17	correctly, correct?
16	the greater your risk of an early death." I read that
15	yesterday. In short, the panel indicated the more you smoke,
14	especially in men, a blue-ribbon federal panel reported
13	cause of lung cancer and other death-dealing disease,
12	health hazard that calls for corrective action and is a major
11	Q. And this reports that "smoking cigarettes is a
10	A. Exactly.
9	newspaper, correct?
8	it's an Associated Press article that was picked up in a local
7	is it's actually an article. What this little "AP" means,
6	Q. Okay. All right. Well, what you see is that this
5	A. No, I don't.
4	Journal of do you know where it's from?
3	January 11th, 1964. Have you ever heard of the Muscatine
2	Report Scores Smoking." This is from the Muscatine Journal,
1	Q. All right. This is termed, "Health Hazard Federal

Page 137

1	of lung cancer in men and the data for women point in the same
2	direction." Number four is: "The risk of lung cancer
3	increases the longer you smoke and the more cigarettes you
4	smoke in a day. It lessens if you quit smoking." Number
5	five: "Cigarette smoking is the most important cause of
6	chronic bronchitis, the coughing, irritation of the bronchial
7	tubes, and increases the risk of death from heart from
8	death from that disease." Did I read that correctly?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. This January 11th, 1964, Muscatine Journal
11	article reports on the 1964 Surgeon General's conclusion that
12	the risk of lung cancer increases the longer you smoke and the
13	more cigarettes you smoke in a day, correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And this article reports on the 1964 Surgeon
16	General's conclusion that cigarette smoking is the most
17	important cause of chronic bronchitis as well, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Okay. Let's see all right. Give me one
20	second, Dr. Proctor. I apologize. I'm looking for the
21	okay. Let me okay.
22	So, Exhibit Number 20 [sic] I'm going to share
23	show you right now, Doctor okay. This is Exhibit Number
24	20, and it is entitled, "Teachers Told Warn Students of
25	Smoking." And I'll represent to you whoops. Don't have

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

<ul> <li>This is from the Arkansas Gazette, Sunday, April</li> <li>3rd, 1960. Do you see that date?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And this, again, is one of those it's a</li> <li>little bit more difficult to see, but it looks like one of</li> <li>those AP, Associated Press, articles, does it not?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers</li> <li>should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> </ul>	1	the thing. Oh, yes. Here it is.
<ul> <li>3 3rd, 1960. Do you see that date?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And this, again, is one of those it's a</li> <li>little bit more difficult to see, but it looks like one of</li> <li>those AP, Associated Press, articles, does it not?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers</li> <li>should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>a. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And this, again, is one of those it's a</li> <li>little bit more difficult to see, but it looks like one of</li> <li>those AP, Associated Press, articles, does it not?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers</li> <li>should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>a. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And this, again, is one of those it's a</li> <li>little bit more difficult to see, but it looks like one of</li> <li>those AP, Associated Press, articles, does it not?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers</li> <li>should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>a. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	3	3rd, 1960. Do you see that date?
<ul> <li>6 little bit more difficult to see, but it looks like one of</li> <li>7 those AP, Associated Press, articles, does it not?</li> <li>8 A. It does.</li> <li>9 Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers</li> <li>10 should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>11 cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>14 says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>15 cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Yes.</li> <li>17 Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>18 "'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>19 that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>20 told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>21 week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>22 A. Yes.</li> <li>24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	4	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>those AP, Associated Press, articles, does it not?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers</li> <li>should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	5	Q. Okay. And this, again, is one of those it's a
<ul> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers</li> <li>should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say.</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	6	little bit more difficult to see, but it looks like one of
<ul> <li>9 Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers</li> <li>10 should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>11 cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>14 says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>15 cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Yes.</li> <li>17 Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say.</li> <li>18 "'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>19 that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>20 told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>21 week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>22 at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>23 A. Yes.</li> <li>24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	7	those AP, Associated Press, articles, does it not?
<ul> <li>should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a</li> <li>cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	8	A. It does.
<pre>11 cancer expert." Did I read that correctly? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article 14 says teachers should warn their students never to smoke 15 cigarettes, correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say 18 "'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke 19 that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller 20 told the National Science Teachers Association convention this 21 week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute 22 at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</pre>	9	Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "'Science teachers
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	10	should warn their students never to smoke cigarettes,' says a
<ul> <li>Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article</li> <li>says teachers should warn their students never to smoke</li> <li>cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	11	cancer expert." Did I read that correctly?
14 says teachers should warn their students never to smoke 15 cigarettes, correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say, 18 "'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke 19 that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller 20 told the National Science Teachers Association convention this 21 week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute 22 at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that	12	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>15 cigarettes, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Yes.</li> <li>17 Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>18 "'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>19 that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>20 told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>21 week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>22 at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>23 A. Yes.</li> <li>24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	13	Q. So this 1960 April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	14	says teachers should warn their students never to smoke
<ul> <li>Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,</li> <li>"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke</li> <li>that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller</li> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	15	cigarettes, correct?
18 "'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke 19 that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller 20 told the National Science Teachers Association convention this 21 week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute 22 at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that	16	A. Yes.
19 that appreciably reduces the cancer risk,' Dr. John Heller 20 told the National Science Teachers Association convention this 21 week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute 22 at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that	17	Q. And then in the second paragraph, it goes on to say,
<ul> <li>told the National Science Teachers Association convention this</li> <li>week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	18	"'There is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke
<ul> <li>21 week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute</li> <li>22 at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>23 A. Yes.</li> <li>24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	19	that appreciably reduces the cancer risk, ' Dr. John Heller
<ul> <li>at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	20	told the National Science Teachers Association convention this
<ul> <li>23 A. Yes.</li> <li>24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that</li> </ul>	21	week. Heller is the director of the National Cancer Institute
24 Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that	22	at Bethesda, Maryland." Did I read that correctly?
-	23	A. Yes.
25 Dr. Heller, director of the National Cancer Institute, said	24	Q. So this 1960 Arkansas Gazette article reports that
	25	Dr. Heller, director of the National Cancer Institute, said

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

800-333-2082

Page 139

that there is no method of treating tobacco or filtering --1 2 (inaudible) --3 (Certified Stenographer clarification.) 4 BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER: This April 1960, Arkansas Gazette article reports 5 Q. 6 that Dr. Heller, director of the National Cancer Institute, 7 said there is no method of treating tobacco or filtering smoke that appreciably reduces the cancer risk, correct? 8 9 Yeah. It's a paraphrase, but he apparently said Α. something like that. 10 11 Q. "Findings" -- the article also says -- and it says here -- these are in quotes right here -- "'Findings imply 12 that persons who have never smoked at all have the best chance 13 of escaping lung cancer, ' he said." Did I read that 14 15 correctly? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. Let me go to another exhibit. This will be Exhibit 21, I believe. 18 19 (Exhibit No. 21 was marked for identification.) BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER: 20 And the good news, Dr. Proctor, is I'm running out 21 Q. of exhibits, so that -- we should all be happy about that. 22 23 Α. Sounds good. Including myself. 24 Q. 25 All right. This is -- Exhibit 21 is an article

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

	Robert Floctor, Fil.D. on 01/16/2022 Fage 14
1	entitled, "Teachers to View Films at Cancer Society Event."
2	And it's down here and I'll see if we can blow it up. But
3	it's a little bit hard to read, but if I represented that this
4	was also from the Muscatine Journal dated March 7th, 1964, do
5	you have any reason to doubt that I'd represent this
6	accurately right now?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. Trust me
9	A. I always trust you.
10	Q. So it goes on to say the article says, "East
11	Central Iowa teachers" and do you have any idea whether or
12	not Ms Mrs. Geist or Mr. Tully had any connection to Iowa?
13	A. No, but I can infer that from your question.
14	Q. "East Central Iowa teachers will a new film and
15	film strip on the smoking and lung cancer relationship
16	Tuesday, March 17 at Hotel Roosevelt, Cedar Rapids. Biology
17	teachers, coaches, student counselors and other teachers in
18	Muscatine County have been invited to attend." Did I read
19	that correctly?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. The article also states that "Dr. Brown explained
22	that the new film strip, 'I'll Choose the High Road,' is for
23	students at the sixth grade level and attempts to warn those
24	students about the health risks of smoking before they start.
25	The film, 'Is Smoking Worth It?' is designed for junior and

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

senior high students. 'We want these young people to know the 1 2 facts about smoking, which the cancer society attempts to 3 teach instead of preach,' says Brown." Did I read that 4 correctly? 5 Α. Yes. 6 So this March 1964, Muscatine Journal article is Q. 7 reporting on anti-smoking films for students for sixth grade, junior and senior high school students, correct? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. And that would be from sixth grade all the way up 11 through high school, correct? 12 Α. Yes. Okay. Let's go on to another article. This will be 13 Q. Exhibit 22. 14 15 (Exhibit No. 22 was marked for identification.) 16 BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER: 17 Q. Okay, Dr. Proctor. I have Exhibit 22 up on the screen. This is from the Arkansas Gazette dated June 15th, 18 1968. It's entitled, "Programs Urged Against Smoking." The 19 article says -- I'll blow it up some since it's a tiny -- can 20 you read that okay, Dr. Proctor? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. It says, "County tuberculosis and respiratory 24 disease committees of the Arkansas Tuberculosis and 25 Respiratory Disease Association were urged Friday by the

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

1	association's executive committee to develop and sponsor
2	active programs to prevent young people from becoming smokers
3	and to convince smokers they should stop." Did I read that
4	correctly?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And then it goes on to say, "The association, whose
7	president is William H. Wyatt, endorsed findings of the United
8	States Public Health Service and other medical groups that
9	cigarette smokers die younger than nonsmokers and risk
10	disability from lung cancer, chronic bronchitis, emphysema,
11	coronary heart disease and other diseases in proportion to the
12	number of cigarettes smoked daily and the number of years a
13	person has smoked. Stopping smoking, the committee said,
14	'almost always improves lung function and reduces or stops
15	cough and sputum production.' It also 'clearly reduces the
16	risk of illness or death from coronary heart disease, lung
17	cancer and emphysema." Did I read that correctly?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. This June 1968, Arkansas Gazette article reports
20	that cigarette smokers die younger than nonsmokers and the
21	risk of disability from lung cancer, chronic bronchitis and
22	emphysema, and coronary heart disease, correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. I was going to keep plowing through, but I
25	apologize because I need a comfort break. So I'm going to go

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

<b></b>	,
1	ahead and take a break here. And can we go ahead and make it
2	until 4:30. Is that okay?
3	A. That's fine with me.
4	(Brief recess taken from 4:17 p.m. to 4:32 p.m.)
5	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
6	Q. So I'm going to continue. I'm going to put up on
7	the screen now, Dr. Proctor, what will be marked as Deposition
8	Exhibit 23.
9	(Exhibit No. 23 was marked for identification.)
10	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
11	Q. And, you know, I looked on this, and I'll also tell
12	you it is an Exhibit ABF 001434, but this doesn't have where
13	it was published. So I'm going to represent to you,
14	Dr. Proctor, that this was published in the Muscatine, Iowa,
15	Journal in November 15th, 1977. Can you accept that
16	representation?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. It is called the article is entitled,
19	"Smokeout Thursday." And it goes on to say, "A proclamation
20	has been issued by Mayor Evelyn" I'm not even going to
21	attempt to butcher her name, S-C-H-A-U-L-A-N-D "urging
22	Muscatine smokers to join in a day of freedom from cigarettes
23	Thursday." And that's something that you and I have talked
24	about at trial. You've testified about it. It's called, "The
25	Great the American Cancer Society's Great American

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	Smokeout," correct?
2	A. It is.
3	Q. And as this article reflects, "That is a day the
4	American Cancer Society has set aside for the Great American
5	Smokeout, and it's a statewide campaign aimed at getting
6	pledges from smokers willing to go a day without cigarettes."
7	Did I read that correctly?
8	A. Yeah. That's actually nationwide.
9	Q. Yes. But I guess since they're reporting it,
10	they're focused on the statewide efforts of Iowa, correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And this is a day that was a national campaign that
13	received some publicity of trying to get smokers to put down
14	smoking for at least one day, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. Then it goes on to say, "In connection with
17	the event, the American Cancer Society will have a display of
18	an opticscoptic lung" and it's O-P-T-I-C-S-C-O-P-T-I-C
19	"lung at the Musser Public Library on Wednesday and Thursday
20	and the Muscatine Mall on Friday and Saturday. The lung shows
21	a normal lung, cancerous lung and a lung from with
22	emphysema." Did I read that correctly?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. This November 1977, Muscatine, Iowa, Journal article
25	is reporting on the American Cancer Society's Great American

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	Smokeout,	correct?
2	Α.	It is.
3	Q.	And it's also reporting that they were displaying
4	normal lu	ngs, cancerous lungs and emphysematous lungs in the
5	community	, correct?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Okay. And it was common for the sorry. It was
8	common fo:	r the American Cancer Society to have such displays
9	in commun	ities to demonstrate the harms of smoking, correct?
10	Α.	I've seen them elsewhere.
11	Q.	All right. So, I'm going to go to Exhibit 24 now.
12		(Exhibit No. 24 was marked for identification.)
13	BY ATTORN	EY HENNINGER:
14	Q.	Okay. It's sort of on this same topic. We see
15	"Dear Abby	y" from the Iowa City Press Citizen from November
16	19th, 198	6, correct?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Okay. I'll blow it up so you can see it a little
19	better.	
20		"Anyone can live without cigarettes for a day." Let
21	me see if	I can get it to go up a little bit.
22		And that was an article in the "Dear Abby" column,
23	correct?	
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	It goes on let me see to say here, "Tomorrow
·	•	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

800-333-2082

5217

1	will mark the tenth annual Great American Smokeout, an upbeat,
2	good-humored, one-day campaign to encourage smokers to quit
3	smoking for 24 hours just to prove that they can do it.
4	The idea was conceived by the American Cancer Society, which
5	insists that anyone who can live without a cigarette for 24
6	hours can quit forever. If you're hooked on cigarettes or
7	cigars and you really want to quit, why not start tomorrow
8	just for 24 hours?" Did I read that correctly?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. The article says as a smoker and it goes on
11	let's see in here, but there's a portion in here and we can
12	find it in a second that says as a smoker you are ten times
13	more likely to die of lung cancer than a nonsmoker. Is that
14	something that would be reported in this type of article?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. For example, it says it accounts it goes on to
17	say, "The following information may motivate you: According
18	to John" Banzhaf?
19	A. Yes
20	Q. "The III, who is the executive director of the ASH,
21	Action on Smoking and Health, in Washington, lung cancer is
22	the single largest contributor to the total cancer rate. It
23	accounts for 25 percent of all cancer deaths in the United
24	States. It is estimated that" sorry. My contact is
25	messing up "85 percent of all lung cancer cases are due to

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	cigarette smoking. As a smoker, you are ten times more likely
2	to die of lung cancer than a nonsmoker." Did I read that
3	correctly?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. We're going to go to Exhibit Number 25.
6	(Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)
7	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
8	Q. Does that show, "Saturday, Jackie Carlson"?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. Because when I click my little thing, it
11	still showed the other the "Dear Abby," so I wanted to make
12	sure.
13	So I believe this is Exhibit 24 [sic]. And it is,
14	"Women and smoking," Muscatine, Iowa, Journal, May 23rd, 1981.
15	See if I can make it smaller, if it shows that. I'll go
16	ahead there's the Muscatine, Iowa, Journal, Saturday, May
17	23rd, 1981. It is also an exhibit identified as ABF 001470.
18	But I'll go a little bit smaller. There we go.
19	The article says that "women's smoking patterns are
20	becoming more like men's, and more women are developing lung
21	cancer. During the past ten years, women's death rates from
22	lung cancer have doubled according to the American Cancer
23	Society" sorry, let me go down "scientists know that 80
24	percent of lung cancer cases in the United States are caused
25	by cigarette smoking. The risk increases with the amount and
<b>l</b> e	ugahu aam Hugahu Clabal I Higatian 800 222 2092

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

www.h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
25	lung cancer is slowly but surely catching up with breast
24	Okay. So the first paragraph says, "It's apparent
23	some.
22	Q. All right. So let me go see if I can't blow this up
21	A. Yes.
20	breast cancer as killer." Did I read that correctly?
19	Q. Okay. And it says, "Lung cancer catching up to
18	A. Yes.
17	Johnson." Do you see that?
16	Q. Yes. And then there's a thing that says, "Timothy
15	A. Yes. On the cartoon page.
14	Is that what you're seeing on your screen, Dr. Proctor?
13	article from the Iowa City Press Citizen up in the top right.
12	Q. And this should be a Saturday, October 15th, 1983,
11	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
10	(Exhibit No. 26 was marked for identification.)
9	Q. Okay. So, now, we're at Exhibit 26.
8	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
7	(Off the record discussion.)
6	refer to that last exhibit is that 24 or was that 25?
5	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: And, Lisa, did I accidentally
4	Q. All right. Okay.
3	A. Yes.
2	breaks the habit." Did I read that correctly?
1	length of time smoked and decreases gradually after a smoker

5220

www.w	useby com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
25	Q. Says, "Dr. Johnson is the director of Lay Health
24	A. Yes.
23	Do you see here kind of the italicized portion?
22	is. Sorry. Found it.
21	Q. Okay. Let me find it. If I were to oh, here it
20	A. I don't.
19	whether or not he is a doctor or not?
18	out, but this doctor or this Timothy Johnson do you know
17	goes on to state that down here I'm trying to figure it
16	Q. Let's see. I'm trying to find okay. Then it
15	A. Yes.
14	Did I read that correctly?
13	can be pinpointed quite easily, colon, Cigarette smoking."
12	cancer that has occurred in American women since World War II
11	this paragraph, "The reason for the dramatic increase in lung
10	Q. Then it also goes on to talk about down here in
9	A. Yes.
8	of lung cancer." Did I read that correctly?
7	37,200 women will die of breast cancer, while 34,000 will die
6	while 24,000 died of lung cancer. This year, an estimated
5	as 1978, 34,000 American women died of breast cancer a year,
4	Q. And it goes on it give some statistics, "As recently
3	A. Yes.
2	American women." Did I read that correctly?
1	cancer and soon may be the number one cancer killer of

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL.

Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Page 151		
Information at Harvard University Medical School and holds		
joint clinical instructor positions in medicine at Harvard and		
the Massachusetts General Hospital." And then it goes on to		
say, "Questions should be mailed to Dr. Timothy Johnson, care		
of the Chicago Tribune." Did I read that correctly?		
A. Yes.		
Q. All right, Dr. Proctor. We're going to go to		
Exhibit 27.		
(Exhibit No. 27 was marked for identification.)		
BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:		
Q. Which I believe is the last exhibit I have to show		
you.		
A. Great.		
Q. At least the last one that I have here in my		
arsenal, I believe. Here we go.		

16 All right. This is another article -- Number 27 is 17 an article. Says, "Iowa City Press Citizen, Monday, April 18 8th, 1980." Do you see that up in the right-hand corner, sir? 19 Α. 1985. 20 Yeah. "Study links rise in lung cancer rate to Q.

smoking," that's the title of the article, correct? 21

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Says, "A rapid rise in lung cancer among Iowa women 24 can be blamed on an increase in cigarette smoking by women, 25 according to a study by the -- by an agency affiliated with

www.huseby.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

800-333-2082

1	the University of Iowa. The study conducted by the Iowa
2	Health Registry showed the rate of lung cancer among Iowa
3	women is rising faster than any other type of cancer in the
4	state." Did I read that correctly?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. For now, Dr. Proctor, I'm going to look
7	through my notes, but I am going to thank you for your time
8	and say that, subject to anything showing up on my notes, I'm
9	going to pass you to the next person for questioning. Thank
10	you?
11	A. Okay. Thank you.
12	ATTORNEY RUIZ: Bruce, is that me?
13	ATTORNEY TEPIKAN: Yeah. At this point,
14	Dr. Proctor, I don't have any questions for you.
15	THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY ATTORNEY RUIZ:
18	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Proctor. My name is Maria
19	A. Good afternoon.
20	Q Ruiz. I do believe we've met at some trial, but
21	I couldn't even tell you when. But it's nice to see you
22	again. I just have a couple of questions for you.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Based on your review of the record in this case,
25	you're aware that Martin Tully never used a cigarette
www.h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

5223

1	manufactured by Liggett, right?
2	A. That's my understanding.
3	Q. Okay. So, you would agree with me that there's
4	nothing that Liggett would have put out into the public that
5	would have caused Mr. Tully to begin smoking?
6	A. No
7	ATTORNEY REYES: Object to form.
8	A I wouldn't agree with that. I mean, the
9	(Certified Stenographer clarification.)
10	A. No. I would not agree with that because, you know,
11	an ad for one is also an ad for all in the sense, and Liggett,
12	of course, was promoting its own cigarettes and from time to
13	time was also involved in the conspiracy. And, therefore,
14	anything that Liggett is doing pursuant to that conspiracy is
15	also creating a culture of acceptance for cigarettes, so I
16	would not agree with the way you phrased your question.
17	BY ATTORNEY RUIZ:
18	Q. And you I believe you've testified to this
19	before, but advertising isn't part of what you consider to be
20	the conspiracy, right?
21	A. No. That's not true. Advertising is part and not
22	part of the conspiracy. Obviously, each company is trying to
23	sell the most cigarettes. But on the other hand, within
24	advertising, what you could not say was governed by the
25	conspiracy. So, there's a there's a collaborative effort

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	that governs what could or could not be said about
2	especially in the realm of health and safety. And that
3	definitely infects all of the advertising. So, in other
4	words, if concealment is part of the fraud, then what is not
5	said is part of the conspiracy, and that is true of
6	advertising as of other activities.
7	Q. Liggett didn't conspire with anyone to convince
8	Martin Tully to smoke Marlboro cigarettes, right?
9	A. Strictly speaking, that would be true in that narrow
10	formulation.
11	Q. And I'll ask you some more questions for Verna
12	Geist. Do you know what Liggett brand cigarettes Verna Geist
13	ever smoked?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with a brand called Pyramid?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. That brand of cigarettes didn't come out on the
18	market until 1988?
19	A. Right.
20	Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that Liggett didn't
21	advertise didn't conspire with anyone to convince Verna
22	Geist to smoke the non-Liggett brand cigarettes that she
23	smoked?
24	ATTORNEY REYES: Objection. Form.
25	A. I didn't really understand that question.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	BY ATTORNEY RUIZ:
2	Q. Would you agree with me that Liggett did not agree
3	with anyone to advertise to convince Verna Geist to smoke the
4	non-Liggett brand cigarettes that she smoked?
5	ATTORNEY REYES: Objection. Form.
6	A. In that odd formulation, that could be true.
7	It's it's hard to understand what you said, but perhaps
8	what you said makes some sense, though it's oddly phrased.
9	BY ATTORNEY RUIZ:
10	Q. Okay. After 1997, the Pyramid cigarettes that Verna
11	Geist might have smoked had warning labels, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And they had Liggett-specific warning labels that
14	were different from the labels that were on the other
15	cigarettes, right?
16	A. Eventually, Liggett does make a claim about
17	addiction which the other companies did not make.
18	Q. Liggett isn't making a claim about addiction on the
19	cigarettes. Liggett is specifically warning its users about
20	addiction, correct?
21	A. Yes. That's correct.
22	Q. All right. You mentioned the CTR in your report,
23	right?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And you've testified before about CTR special

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1 projects? 2 Α. Yes. I don't want to rehash that testimony. Liggett 3 Q. 4 resigned from the CTR in 1968, right? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. You've also previously testified about meetings and 7 various representatives from other organizations made to Arthur D. Little Laboratories; is that --8 I think so, yeah -- yeah. 9 Α. 10 Q. Okay. American Cancer Society visited Arthur D. 11 Little Laboratories? 12 Α. Yes. Okay. I'd like to show you a document, if I may. 13 Q. Just give me a second to pull it up. Because I'm not as handy 14 15 as Ursula. 16 ATTORNEY HENNINGER: That's scary. 17 ATTORNEY RUIZ: It should scare us all. 18 BY ATTORNEY RUIZ: 19 Can you see the document that I put on the screen, Q. 20 Dr. Proctor? 21 Α. Yes. Okay. This is a 1956 document? 22 Q. 23 Α. Yes. Have you seen this document before? 24 Q. 25 Α. Probably.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

www h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
25	ATTORNEY REYES: Kim, do you have any questions?
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
23	have any other questions.
22	ATTORNEY RUIZ: Thank you, Dr. Proctor. I don't
21	CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.
20	others will correct me if I got that wrong.
19	ATTORNEY RUIZ: C-I-P-O-L-L-O-N-E. And I'm sure
18	Thanks.
17	CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: I was going to ask.
16	you need the spelling of "Cipollone"?
15	ATTORNEY RUIZ: That's all I have. And for Lisa, do
14	A. Yes.
13	Q. Are you generally familiar with that testimony?
12	A. Probably, yeah.
11	Cipollone case?
10	Q. Have you reviewed Liggett witness testimony from the
9	A. Yes.
8	studies, right?
7	Liggett were also coordinating with Dr. Winder regarding those
6	Q. And this exhibit also makes clear that ADL and
5	A. Yes.
4	status of the repeat mouse skin painting studies, right?
3	the ADL Carlton Street laboratory and informed them of the
2	to give the Public Health Service representatives a tour of
1	Q. And it reflects that in 1956, Liggett authorized ADL

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1 ATTORNEY WALD:	I do not.
2 ATTORNEY REYES	: I have a few questions,
3 Dr. Proctor.	
4 THE WITNESS: (	Okay.
5 ATTORNEY HENNIN	NGER: And same objection for one
6 ATTORNEY REYES	: Yes.
7 ATTORNEY HENNII	NGER: Thank you.
8 ATTORNEY REYES	: You want to put it on the record?
9 ATTORNEY HENNII	NGER: Yes. Objection for one
10 Defendant is good for	or all Defendants; is that correct?
11 ATTORNEY REYES	: Yes, ma'am.
12 ATTORNEY HENNIN	NGER: Thank you.
13 CR05	SS-EXAMINATION
14 BY ATTORNEY REYES:	
15 Q. Dr. Proctor, ye	ou were asked a lot of questions
16 regarding polls dealing w	with smoking and health?
17 A. Regarding ol	h, polls. Yes.
18 Q. Dealing with sr	moking and health?
19 A. Yes.	
20 Q. Does simply as	king someone whether they believe
21 smoking can impact a pers	son's health a good measure of a
22 person's understanding of	f the dangers of smoking?
23 ATTORNEY HENNIN	NGER: Objection.
24 A. No. It's a ver	ry weak measure because just asking
25 whether something is good	d or bad for you doesn't tell you
www.huseby.com Huseby	Global Litigation 800-333-2082

Page 158

1	anything, really.
2	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
3	Q. Are there diseases that are caused by smoking in
4	which people have a poor understanding of?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What are some examples of diseases that cigarette
7	users do not contemplate or have a poor understanding of?
8	A. Well, that would be
9	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection. Are we talking
10	THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
11	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: I'm sorry. Are we talking now?
12	Time frame?
13	ATTORNEY REYES: Now.
14	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Okay.
15	A. Yes. And both now and in the past, I think people
16	have a poor understanding of the nature and the magnitude and
17	the severity of various illnesses, things like Buerger's
18	disease, amputations. Very few smokers that I've ever met or
19	any of my students know that cigarettes are a leading cause of
20	amputations, that cigarettes cause birth defects, spontaneous
21	abortions, macular degeneration and, therefore, blindness. So
22	I think the variety of diseases and the severity of diseases
23	are even today poorly understood by especially by smokers
24	but also by nonsmokers.
25	BY ATTORNEY REYES:

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

	,
1	Q. How does having a poor understanding of the diseases
2	you just mentioned caused by smoking affect a cigarette
3	consumer's expectations?
4	A. I think it
5	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection.
6	A. I think it create it means that the cigarettes
7	people are smoking now are creating false expectations. I
8	think people do not have accurate expectations. I think very
9	few people know that if you smoke for a long period of time,
10	most likely, your cigarettes will kill you. I think most
11	people don't understand the causal relationship between the
12	various diseases I mentioned, the blindness, the amputations,
13	the Buerger's Buerger disease, the spontaneous abortions.
14	And maybe most significantly, I think people have a poor
15	understanding of how different types of cigarettes create
16	disease. In other words, I think most people still have a
17	misunderstanding that a filtered cigarette would be safer.
18	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
19	Q. You were asked questions regarding polls dealing
20	with smoking causing lung cancer. Do you recall those?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Based on your research, do cigarette users have a
23	poor understanding of the probabilities or likelihood of
24	developing lung cancer?
25	A. Yes

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

Page 161

1	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection.
2	A. Yes. I think so. I think they as we've seen
3	from the Gallup polls, most people realize there's a
4	connection between smoking and lung cancer and that smoking
5	causes can cause lung cancer. But all the polls we saw
6	were simply asking is it one of the causes. And I think very
7	few smokers understand that almost all lung cancers are caused
8	by cigarettes. Especially when you think about the people
9	just beginning to smoke.
10	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
11	Q. Based on your research, do cigarette users have a
12	poor understanding of what it means to be diagnosed with stage
13	four lung cancer?
14	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection.
15	A. I think most people would not understand what that
16	means. I think people would probably realize that's not a
17	good thing, but I don't think people have a good understanding
18	of how likely you are to die if you are diagnosed with stage
19	four lung cancer or any other type of lung cancer.
20	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
21	Q. You mentioned earlier the reasons why you believe
22	that cigarettes are defective
23	A. Yes.
24	Q can you state that again?
25	A. Well, the main reason I mentioned that there are

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

Page 162

1	principal defects and then subsidiary defects. The principal
2	defects would be inhalation or inhability, addiction and
3	combustion. Those are the those are the three principal
4	features of cigarettes design features of cigarettes,
5	defects of cigarettes, because they make cigarettes
6	unnecessarily hazardous, needlessly hazardous, avoidably
7	hazardous and, therefore, unreasonably hazardous. There are
8	also I mentioned subsidiary defects or minor defects which
9	include anything that's involved in a fraudulent
10	representation. So the fact that certain cigarettes are
11	called filtered, even though they don't filter, even though
12	historically certain cigarettes were called low tar, even
13	though they were not in fact low tar, the fact that certain
14	cigarettes were called lights, even though they were not
15	light, the fact that cigarettes were called natural or
16	additive-free, those are accurate in certain respects but
17	nonetheless fraudulent because they imply safety. So a lot of
18	people perceive an additive-free cigarette to be safer, even
19	though that is, in fact, not true. So there is a whole series
20	of subsidiary frauds that by virtue of leading to false
21	expectations are defective in that sense.
22	Q. Based on your research, do ordinary cigarette
23	consumers have a poor understanding that cigarettes are
24	purposely designed to be inhalable?
25	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

Page	163

1	A. Well, I think that that, in a way, goes to
2	intent, do they understand the intent of the design. But I
3	think to answer your question, I think to what you're
4	really asking about, is I think most people don't realize that
5	cigarettes have been designed in such a way that they are
6	that they cause more harm than necessary. So I think most
7	people are not well-acquainted with the fact that cigarettes
8	are are defective. I think that's not well understood by
9	most people. Even some people in the public health community
10	get this all screwed up, right?
11	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
12	Q. Based on your research, do ordinary cigarette
13	consumers have a poor understanding of the true addictive
14	nature of nicotine?
15	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection.
16	A. I don't think most people know that nicotine is as
17	addictive as heroin or cocaine. I don't think most people
18	understand that if you have only a few cigarettes you are
19	likely to become a regular smoker. I don't think most people
20	understand how difficult cigarettes are to quit. Apart from
21	those people who have tried. In other words, my view is that
22	once people have tried, they they often do come to a kind
23	of expert understanding of how difficult it is to quit. So in
24	that sense, regular smokers who try to quit, I think they do
25	start learning, obviously, how difficult it is to quit. But

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

Ροσο	164
Page	104

1	until people have tried to quit, I think very few people
2	understand how hard it would be. That's why I think that's
3	one reason I think it took so long for cigarettes to be
4	recognized as addictive because in the 1940s, and early 1950s,
5	they were very few people actually trying to quit. And,
6	therefore, very few people realizing how difficult it was to
7	quit.
8	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
9	Q. You were asked questions about newspaper articles
10	from The Baxter Bulletin, where there was mention that
11	cigarettes were causing harm. Do you recall some of those?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did the tobacco cartel do anything to combat those
14	types of messages?
15	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection.
16	A. Yes. The whole point of the conspiracy was to
17	dilute, oppose and distract from that news. So, if there had
18	not been articles such as those we've seen, there would have
19	been no need for a conspiracy from the industry's point of
20	view. The whole point of the conspiracy is to distract from
21	and counter those that information coming out into into
22	the public.
23	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
24	Q. You were shown an article from 1960, titled,
25	"Teachers Told Warn Students of Smoking Cigarettes." Do you
·	

www.huseby.com

Г

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	recall that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did the tobacco cartel embrace these types of
4	messages or did they find new and creative ways to lure kids
5	to continue smoking?
6	A. Well, again
7	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection. Sorry.
8	A that's the whole point of the conspiracy, is to
9	combat that growing scientific consensus and to find ways to
10	keep people smoking. That's the whole point of the denial
11	campaign. It's also the whole point of the reassurance
12	campaign through things like lights, low tars, filters. The
13	point in each instance is to keep people smoking in light of
14	this growing publicity of hazards.
15	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
16	Q. Can you talk a little bit about the Joe Camel
17	campaign? Is that an example of the tobacco cartel finding
18	creative ways to trap kids into a life of disease and
19	addiction?
20	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection
21	A. Well, the strictly speaking, the Camel campaign
22	is not a campaign by the industry. It's a campaign by one
23	company to attract as many people as they could to their
24	brand, in particular, by using that cartoon imagery to attract
25	underage people as well. And so I think if you qualify it,

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

-	
1	that it's not really an example of the industry doing
2	something. In that particular case, it's an example of one
3	company trying to target kids.
4	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
5	Q. Was it successful, those types of marketing
6	techniques, of using cartoons? Were they successful in
7	targeting kids?
8	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection
9	A. The Joe Camel the Joe Camel campaign was
10	successful in capturing a sizable fraction of the teenage
11	market, which it had not had before. Camel was an aging
12	brand, a brand of old people, in the 1980s, and Joe Camel
13	helped propel that to a leading youth attract brand. It never
14	achieved the same youth marketing stature as Marlboro, but it
15	was basically tied with Newport as the one of the leading
16	underage target brands.
17	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
18	Q. I want you to assume that Verna Geist was 32 years
19	old in 1994.
20	A. Okay.
21	Q. Did the tobacco cartel get called to testify in
22	front of Congress in 1994, in that year?
23	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection.
24	A. Yes.
25	BY ATTORNEY REYES:

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

800-333-2082

Page 166

	,
1	Q. What was the tobacco cartel's position in that
2	hearing?
3	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection
4	A. Uniformly, the cigarette makers denied that
5	cigarettes cause cancer. They denied that nicotine was
6	addictive. They denied that there was any harm caused by
7	cigarettes.
8	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
9	Q. And what was the purpose of that hearing from the
10	government's standpoint? Were they trying to, you know, for
11	lack of a better term, just trying to save lives?
12	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection.
13	A. I think the Waxman hearing was designed to get the
14	truth out and to have the industry go on record with the
15	the denial campaign to sort of force a crisis in a way. In
16	light of the continued denial. You have to remember the
17	secret documents were coming out. The ingredients list was
18	coming out. So the manipulation of nicotine question was
19	heating up. The question of FDA, potential regulatory action
20	was coming up. It's the Clinton administration. So a lot of
21	things are heating up with the idea of really exposing the
22	the denial campaign. That's what's really really going on,
23	getting it clearly outlined.
24	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
25	Q. After the 1994 congressional hearings, did tobacco

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

cartels continue to find new and creative ways to keep people 1 2 smoking? 3 ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection. Yes. I mean, throughout that period, they are 4 Α. 5 adapting. They're adjusting their behavior. 6 BY ATTORNEY REYES: 7 Can you explain what the Winston No Bull campaign 0. 8 was about? 9 ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection. That's Reynolds's effort to jump on the healthier 10 Α. 11 cigarette train with a new type of fraud, which was the no additives fraud. Many of the companies had explored, 12 13 typically, on a smaller -- as a smaller-scale operation, a so-called additive-free or an all-natural cigarette. And 14 15 Reynolds put more money into this than the other companies. 16 And the flagship brand for that effort was Winston, which, by 17 the late 1990s, was being advertised as an additive --18 additive-free cigarette. And that was a deception because the suggestion was made indirectly -- not directly, but 19 20 indirectly, that this would be a safer cigarette and -- when, in fact, it was not a safer cigarette. 21 BY ATTORNEY REYES: 22 23 Q. How did the Winston No Bull campaign affect the cigarette consumer expectations regarding smoking and health? 24 25 ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection --

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

Page	169	
I age	102	

	Köbert 110(101, 1 h.D. off 01/10/2022 1 age 10)
1	A. It created false expectations by suggesting that
2	this type of cigarette would be a safer cigarette when that
3	was not true.
4	BY ATTORNEY REYES:
5	Q. Was it successful in misleading cigarette consumers
6	into believing cigarettes were less dangerous than they
7	actually were?
8	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Objection
9	A. It was successful for a time, but eventually, due to
10	historical circumstances, other cigarettes were more
11	successful, namely American Spirit, notably, which indirectly
12	is eventually bought by Reynolds. But Winston drops out as
13	the flagship brand for the no-additive, natural direction, but
14	for a time, it was successful. It definitely sold many tens
15	of billions of cigarettes, but it was eventually eclipsed by
16	other so-called natural and additive-free cigarettes, like
17	American Spirit, but that's that's ten years later.
18	ATTORNEY REYES: Thank you, Dr. Proctor. I have no
19	further questions.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
23	Q. I have just a couple follow-up questions,
24	Dr. Proctor, based on what Mr. Reyes asked you.
25	You answered a bunch of questions that he posed to

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	you about the general cigarette consumer, such as what was
2	the did they have a poor understanding of diseases caused
3	by smoking, did they have a poor understanding of addiction.
4	Do you remember those types of questions?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you answered them as to cigarette smokers in
7	general, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Just to reiterate, you do not have any information
10	specifically as to what Mrs. Verna Geist thought or believed
11	or knew about the dangers of smoking or their addictiveness of
12	smoking at any point in time, correct?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. And the same is true: You do not have any
15	information as to what Mr. Martin Tully knew or did not know
16	or heard or any of the information he had about the health
17	risks of smoking or the addictive nature of smoking, correct?
18	A. Well, I know what was not provided to him, but
19	you're correct that I don't know what he received. I don't
20	know what he thought.
21	Q. Fair enough. And
22	A. You yeah. You put it as if as he had certain
23	information, but I think what you really meant is: Do I know
24	what he knew? And the answer is I do not.
25	Q. And that's a very good point, so let me make sure I
L	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

800-333-2082

Page 170

1	have a clean question
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q for somebody maybe to use at trial.
4	You do not have any information as to what
5	Mr. Martin Tully specifically knew about the dangers of
6	smoking or believed about the dangers of smoking or their
7	addictiveness at any point in time, correct?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. And with regard to questions related to smokers
10	understanding what it means to be diagnosed with stage four
11	lung cancer, again, that is something you do not know whether
12	or not it applies to Mr. Geist or Mr. Tully Mrs. Geist or
13	Mr. Tully, correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Now, there was some questions you were asked about
16	Joe Camel earlier. Do you know whether or not any of the
17	individuals who smoked in these cases, Tully or Geist, were
18	smokers of Camel cigarettes?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Do you know if either of them reported even remotely
21	seeing a Joe Camel advertisement in their depositions or
22	Mr. Geist's deposition?
23	A. No.
24	Q. You were asked a number of questions about the No
25	Bull campaign or the additive-free campaign that Winston

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

1	cigarettes ran at one point. Just to be clear, you do not
2	have any information specifically as to what Mrs. Geist may
3	have thought or about the No Bull or additive-free Winston
4	campaign, if she was even exposed to it, correct?
5	A. Correct. As to what she actually knew, I
6	(Certified Stenographer clarification.)
7	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
8	I don't know what she actually knew or thought about
9	that.
10	BY ATTORNEY HENNINGER:
11	Q. Okay. And your you answered some questions for
12	Mr. Reyes about how consumers' expectations may have been
13	altered by various advertising campaigns that the cigarette
14	manufacturers had over times, but you are not testifying
15	specifically as to Mrs. Geist's consumer expectations or her
16	expectations, correct?
17	A. Yeah. The answers I was giving are with regard to
18	consumers in general.
19	Q. Okay. And that same for Mr. Tully, correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	ATTORNEY HENNINGER: Okay. I believe that I have
22	now no further follow-up questions, Dr. Proctor. Thank
23	you for your time.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25	ATTORNEY REYES: Thank you.

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

	com Huseby Global Litigation	800-333-2082
25		
24		
23		
22		
21		
20		
19		
18		
17		
16		
15		
14		
13		
12		
11		
10		
9		
8		
7		
6 Rea	ading and signing were not waived.)	
5 ROB	BERT PROCTOR, PH.D., concluded at 2:15 p.m. PST	I
4	(The videoconferenced videotaped deposition	of
3 cop	ру.	
2 cop	py for me. I don't think Ms. Wald is going to	order a
1	Madam Court Reporter, we're going to order j	ust one
		-

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

24		
23		
22		
21		
20		
19		
18		
17	Type of Identification Produced: Driver's Licens	3e
16	Personally Known OR Produced Identification X	
15		
14		
13	Expires: July 1, 2025	
12	Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No. HH 111560	
11	Lisa M. Rollins, RPR, FPR	
10	Lina M. Collens	
9	A- DA	
8	-	
7	and was duly sworn on Tuesday, January 18, 2022.	
6	ROBERT PROCTOR, PH.D. appeared remotely via video conference	
-	I, the undersigned authority, certify that	
4		
2 3	STATE OF FLORIDA } COUNTY OF SARASOTA }	
1 2	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	

ww.huseby.com

luseby Global Litigatio

1	DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA }
4	COUNTY OF SARASOTA }
5	I, LISA M. ROLLINS, Registered Professional
6	Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public in and
7	for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I
8	was authorized to and did stenographically report the
9	foregoing videoconferenced deposition of ROBERT PROCTOR,
10	PH.D., and that the transcript is a true record of the
11	testimony given by the witness.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither an attorney nor
13	counsel for the parties to this cause, nor a relative or
14	employee of any attorney or party connected with this
15	litigation, and that I have no financial interest in the
16	outcome of this action.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my
18	name and affixed my seal this 26th day of January, 2022, at
19	City of Sarasota, Sarasota County, Florida.
20	1
21	Lin M. Collins
22	Lisa M. Rollins, RPR, FPR, Notary Public
23	State of Florida at Large
24	
25	
www.h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

5246

	Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022	Page 176
1	RE: Tully vs. Philip Morris USA, Inc., e CASE NO: A-19-807657	et.al.
2	DATE OF DEPOSITION: Tuesday, January 18,	2022
3	ERRATA SHEET	
4		
5	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES	5 HERE
6	PAGE # LINE # DESCRIPTION	I
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have deposition and that it is true and correct subject	
20	changes in form or substance entered here.	
21		
22		
23	ROBERT PROCTOR, PH.D. DATE	
24		
25		
www h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation	800-333-2082
	www.j.vev	

## MARTIN TULLY, ET AL, vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: \$10,000..146

	Robert Proctor, P	h.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: \$10,0001
	131:6	51:15 63:18	99:19 100:1,13,
Exhibits	<b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>	69:18,21,25	17,25 101:12,20
	<b>ProctorR 18</b> 5:16	70:14 71:4,6	102:24 103:23
ProctorR 1 5:4	133:15,17	72:5,14,17 96:7	104:7,16,17
11:3,4,9	<b>ProctorR 19</b> 5:16	102:2,4 103:4,	105:15 106:7,11
ProctorR 2 5:4	135:17,18,19	9,13,17,20	108:5 109:17,18
13:13,15 14:2	<b>ProctorR 20</b> 5:17	112:15 136:10,	110:25 111:22
-	136:23,24	11	112:5,7,8,9,16,
ProctorR 3 5:5	138:22,23,24	<b>1,000</b> 69:25	21 113:4 115:3,
13:25 14:1,2,5		103:14	7,8,10 116:16,
18:19 32:19,20,	ProctorR 21 5:17		17,21,25 117:13
23,24 33:7	140:18,19,25	<b>1,000,090</b> 113:14	118:8,12 119:1,
43:11 58:15	<b>ProctorR 22</b> 5:18	1,021 75:10	6,7,14,24,25
ProctorR 4 5:5	142:14,15,17	<b>1,061</b> 77:12	121:1,2,23
18:20,22 19:10	<b>ProctorR 23</b> 5:19	1,001 //.12	122:1,11,12
ProctorR 5 5:6	144:8,9	<b>1,090</b> 113:17	123:12,13 125:2,3 126:13
18:24 19:1		<b>1,631</b> 113:18	
	<b>ProctorR 24</b> 5:20	1,001 110/10	127:11,12 128:4,16,18
ProctorR 6 5:7	146:11,12	<b>1,631,000</b> 113:9	129:12
30:6,7,16	148:13	<b>1.1</b> 120:1	127.12
ProctorR 7 5:7	<b>ProctorR 25</b> 5:21	122:12,15 125:3	<b>120</b> 44:4
52:2,3,5 54:2	148:5,6		<b>129</b> 14:19
		<b>1.2</b> 105:25	
ProctorR 8 5:8	ProctorR 26 5:21	106:14,17	<b>12th</b> 75:10
54:12,14	149:9,10	115:25	<b>13</b> 4:8 5:4,11
ProctorR 9 5:9	ProctorR 27 5:22	<b>1.4</b> 106:13 122:6	85:7,9,12
72:25 73:1,8	151:8,9	<b>1.6</b> 112:6	100:3,20 101:3,
ProctorR 10 5:9		1.0 112.0	4,16 105:14
74:11,12,15	\$	<b>1.7</b> 105:11	<b>13.2</b> 101:13,21
		129:22	105:15
<b>ProctorR 11</b> 5:10	<b>\$10,000</b> 9:8	<b>1.8</b> 119:15	103.12
76:19,20,23			<b>130</b> 5:15 52:13
ProctorR 12 5:10	<b>\$6,000</b> 9:14	<b>10</b> 5:9,21 74:11,	<b>133</b> 5:16
79:19,21 80:1		12,14,15 104:23	
	0	109:25 110:1	<b>135</b> 5:16
ProctorR 13 5:11		<b>10/11/1062</b> 5:16	<b>136</b> 5:17
85:7,9,12	<b>0</b> 96:7	<b>10/15/1983</b> 5:21	<b>13th</b> 75:10 77:12
ProctorR 14 5:12	<b>0.3</b> 101:22	10/13/1903 5.21	86:23
86:20,21		<b>10th</b> 50:2	00.23
<b>ProctorR 15</b> 5:13	<b>000652</b> 54:18	<b>11</b> 5:4,10 58:18	<b>14</b> 5:5,8,12
89:17,18,19,22	<b>001434</b> 144:12	59:3 76:20,23	86:20,21 107:17
		101:18 108:12	123:4 125:23
ProctorR 16 5:14	<b>001470</b> 148:17		<b>14.5</b> 122:2
93:19,22 99:22,	<b>01/11/1964</b> 5:17	<b>11th</b> 135:22	
23 107:12,17		137:3 138:10	<b>140</b> 5:17
110:21 111:13	1	<b>12</b> 5:9,10,20	<b>142</b> 5:18
130:20	<u> </u>	79:19,21 80:1	
ProctorR 17 5:15	<b>1</b> 5:4,6,9 11:4,9	94:12,25 96:8	<b>144</b> 5:19
	エー・シュュリション エエ・エッジ	97:20 98:6	<b>146</b> 5:20

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: 148..20.4

	Kobert I roctor, I	n.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: 14820.4
<b>148</b> 5:21	18	140:5 164:24	92:25
<b>149</b> 5:21	<b>18</b> 5:5,16 73:21	<b>1960s</b> 39:12	<b>1988</b> 60:4 154:18
<b>14th</b> 77:13	75:9,10 77:12	60:13 71:5,15	<b>1990</b> 82:14 83:2,
	90:13 96:6	<b>1962</b> 135:22	8,16
<b>15</b> 5:4,13,18 30:18 46:13	100:22 101:25 103:18,22 105:9	<b>1964</b> 60:1,5 72:6	<b>1990s</b> 17:18
89:18,19,22	106:1,18,22	81:10 137:3	75:23 78:7
	108:18 110:4	138:10,11,15	83:21 84:5,8,17
<b>15-point</b> 88:13	112:15 113:1,	141:4 142:6	168:17
<b>15.7</b> 31:9	12,15,22 114:1,	<b>1965</b> 69:17	<b>1994</b> 166:19,22
<b>151</b> 5:22	5,6,14,24		167:25
<b>152</b> 4:7	115:17,21,24	<b>1967</b> 69:20 81:9	<b>1995</b> 77:22
	117:23 120:6 122:16 127:23	<b>1968</b> 5:18 142:19	
<b>153,000</b> 123:15	129:21,22 130:1	143:19 156:4	<b>1997</b> 75:3,10
<b>158</b> 4:8	133:15,17	<b>1969</b> 81:15	155:10
<b>15th</b> 5:10,18	<b>19</b> 5:6,16,17	85:15,16,20	<b>1999</b> 5:9 73:9
76:20 142:18	80:23 87:8	86:4,10	75:11 77:4,13,
144:15 149:12	131:14 135:18,	<b>1970</b> 70:3	22
<b>16</b> 4:7 5:14 31:7	19	<b>1970s</b> 45:24	19th 146:16
93:19,22 99:23,	<b>1920s</b> 57:1	50:19 51:13	<b>1:26</b> 58:7,8,12
24 107:12,17 108:4 110:21	<b>1935</b> 5:11 85:14	<b>1971</b> 5:12 85:15	1:38 58:12
111:13 130:20	<b>1940s</b> 56:8 57:7	<b>1972</b> 5:12 86:20	<b>1:40</b> 58:9
<b>16.1</b> 31:10	164:4	<b>1974</b> 43:13,14	
<b>169</b> 4:9	<b>1950s</b> 56:8,15	<b>1976</b> 46:1	2
	60:14 164:4		
<b>16th</b> 74:16	<b>1952</b> 56:1	<b>1977</b> 5:13,19	<b>2</b> 5:4,5 13:13,15
<b>17</b> 5:15,16	<b>1953</b> 5:8 33:12,	81:20 82:5 86:20 87:2,3,	14:2 47:4,24 59:11,16 96:7
99:15,19,23	22 35:3,15,22	11,15,19,23	103:4,23
100:1,18 101:1, 12,20 102:22,24	52:8,15,19	88:9,15,25 89:4	<b>2,059</b> 90:13
103:23 104:17	<b>1954</b> 5:15 36:6	90:23,25 91:5	-
105:15 106:8,11	38:23 40:10,14	144:15 145:24	<b>2.1</b> 108:7
108:6 109:17,18	41:6 80:17,23	<b>1978</b> 150:5	<b>2.3</b> 100:4,20
110:25 115:3,	131:9,11 133:6	<b>1980</b> 151:18	101:4,8,22
10,23 119:6,7, 24,25 122:11,12	<b>1955</b> 36:6 59:20	<b>1980s</b> 166:12	104:19 109:20 115:12
123:12,13	<b>1956</b> 156:22		
125:2,3 127:11,	157:1	<b>1981</b> 90:23,25 148:14,17	<b>2.4</b> 116:1
12,19 128:16,18	<b>1957</b> 5:8 36:23		<b>2.6</b> 115:11
130:22,24 131:6 141:16	37:5,6 54:13,20	<b>1983</b> 90:24 91:1 149:12	<b>2.8</b> 120:2
	57:19		<b>20</b> 5:17 75:23
<b>17-year-olds</b> 128:4 129:12	<b>1958</b> 60:3	<b>1985</b> 91:1 151:19	76:5 82:4
	<b>1960</b> 81:9 133:22	<b>1986</b> 146:16	136:23,24
<b>17.6</b> 104:18	134:15 135:5	<b>1987</b> 90:3,14	138:22,24
<b>172,000</b> 127:13,	139:3,13,24	91:2,19,23	<b>20.4</b> 122:1

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

Index: 200..52

	Köbert Hittetoi, H	II.D. 011 01/16/2022	muex: 20052
<b>200</b> 16:11	121:25 122:1,12	<b>27th</b> 30:17	76:4,9 108:7
2000 74:18 112:4	123:16,17	<b>28</b> 80:10	4,000-some
<b>2001</b> 5:10 76:20	124:13 125:3,5 126:24 127:12,	<b>29</b> 80:10	112:13
	14 129:22,24		<b>4,400</b> 112:11,22,
<b>2002</b> 100:4,13		<b>2:15</b> 173:5	24
101:4 103:3,5 104:19 105:17	<b>2020</b> 5:7 11:1 30:17	<b>2nd</b> 18:20 133:22	<b>4.3</b> 119:16 120:1
108:7 110:25		134:15 135:5	
112:9 115:11	<b>2021</b> 5:5 10:1,20 11:1 14:4 18:21		<b>4.4</b> 104:19
116:1,6 118:8		3	<b>4.5</b> 122:12
119:16,20 120:2	<b>2022</b> 18:25	<b>3</b> 5:5,7 14:1,2,5	<b>4.6</b> 125:3
121:7	<b>205,000</b> 116:5	<b>3</b> 5.5,7 14.1,2,5 18:19 32:20,23,	<b>4.8</b> 119:16 122:5
<b>2013</b> 80:24	<b>21</b> 4:9 5:10,12,	24 33:7 43:11	
119:21	17 140:18,19,25	58:15 74:2	
<b>2014</b> 103:3	<b>21st</b> 90:14	75:4,14,20	4/8/1985 5:22
119:22	<b>22</b> 5:5,13,14,18	77:16 92:15 100:10,12,16	<b>40</b> 121:21
<b>2015</b> 103:4 104:1	142:14,15,17	119:2 123:15	123:11,12
105:18 106:13	<b>22nd</b> 86:25	<b>3.2</b> 100:3	<b>400,000</b> 117:23
109:19,21 121:25 123:17			<b>41,000</b> 103:23
125:5 127:15	<b>23</b> 5:10,19	<b>3.6</b> 31:12 119:7	<b>422</b> 14:22
129:25	144:8,9	<b>3.9</b> 109:19	
<b>2016</b> 105:18	<b>238</b> 36:25 37:3	<b>30</b> 5:7 31:13	<b>46</b> 55:7
123:15,16	<b>23rd</b> 74:16	32:13 98:8	<b>4:17</b> 144:4
<b>2017</b> 103:4,6,18	148:14,17	106:19,23	<b>4:30</b> 144:2
125:6	<b>24</b> 5:15,17,20	120:15 124:1	<b>4:32</b> 144:4
<b>2018</b> 30:18 31:9,	108:23 146:11,	30s 57:1	
21 103:2 105:19	12 147:3,5,8	30th 14:4	<b>4th</b> 5:15 116:23 131:9,11
109:21,22 112:9	148:13 149:6	<b>32</b> 166:18	131.9,11
116:7 119:22	<b>24,000</b> 150:6		5
123:17 125:6 127:15 129:25	<b>240</b> 40:7	<b>33</b> 43:11	
	<b>25</b> 5:21 59:10	<b>333</b> 102:4	<b>5</b> 5:5,6 18:24
<b>2019</b> 5:14 31:12, 22 32:16 93:20	109:17 111:13	<b>34,000</b> 150:5,7	19:1 46:11
100:4,14 101:4,	112:15 113:1	<b>36</b> 119:11	70:17 77:8
12 102:15,24	115:24 129:21, 22 130:1 147:23	<b>37</b> 118:6	<b>5.8</b> 106:13
103:23 104:1,20	148:5,6 149:6		<b>50</b> 95:24 132:13
105:15,21		<b>37,200</b> 150:7	<b>500</b> 103:20
106:14 107:19, 21 108:8	<b>250</b> 113:5	<b>39</b> 120:25	
109:20,21	<b>26</b> 5:21 112:4	<b>3rd</b> 139:3	<b>500,000</b> 10:23
110:25 111:15	113:3,6 115:1 116:4 130:8		<b>508,000</b> 108:7
112:6,10,15	149:9,10	4	50s 38:2 66:17
113:3 115:7,12 116:1,5,15	<b>27</b> 5:22 151:8,9,	<b>A E E 10 00 00</b>	85:18
118:8 119:2,7,	16	<b>4</b> 5:5 18:20,22 19:10 32:7,11	<b>51</b> 20:18
16,18 120:3		74:17 75:1	<b>52</b> 5:7 36:7
		-	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: 53..addicted

	KUDEIT I IUCIUI, I	n.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: 53addicte
129:21	<b>72</b> 88:16	<b>894,000</b> 119:8	113:17 126:2
<b>53</b> 36:7	<b>73</b> 5:9	8th 151:18	144:15
<b>54</b> 5:8	<b>74</b> 5:9		acceptance 153:15
<b>541,000</b> 113:11	<b>75</b> 132:13	9	
<b>567,000</b> 109:20	<b>75,000</b> 101:13,17	<b>9</b> 5:4,9,11,19,22	accidentally 149:5
<b>572,000</b> 100:4	105:16 108:11,	72:25 73:1,8	accounts 147:16,
104:22 109:25	13	<b>9.4</b> 104:19	23
<b>578,000</b> 129:23	<b>76</b> 5:10	<b>90</b> 75:12 78:15	accurate 43:1
130:1,11	<b>79</b> 5:10 73:20 88:25	83:11 87:11 90:23,25 91:5	52:13 96:13 160:8 162:16
<b>59</b> 86:10	7th 75:11 141:4	<b>90,000</b> 113:4	accurately 141:6
5th 75:11		<b>92</b> 90:24 91:1	acetate 35:25
6	8	92:24	38:4 53:19,20
<b>6</b> 4:6 5:7,9,21	<b>8</b> 5:8 47:23 54:12,14 63:14,	<b>93</b> 5:14 75:3,19, 23	<b>achieve</b> 33:18 71:4
30:6,7,16 31:14 73:9 77:7,21,22 78:11,22 90:14	20 64:1,6,24 65:9,25 66:10	<b>94</b> 82:14 83:2 91:1,2	<b>achieved</b> 35:19 69:16 166:14
117:12 123:15	68:24 <b>8.3</b> 119:2 122:3,	<b>95</b> 75:12 77:14 78:1	acknowledging 36:23
6/2/1960 5:16	4	<b>97,000</b> 108:8,13	Act 136:10,11
<b>60-year</b> 81:1	<b>8/19</b> 86:24	<b>980,000</b> 109:19	<b>action</b> 45:16
<b>600</b> 70:17	<b>80</b> 45:21 69:21	<b>99</b> 77:5,25	137:12 147:21
<b>600,000</b> 100:24	74:8 81:20 148:23	<b>99460</b> 131:5	167:19
<b>60s</b> 38:2 60:14 66:17	80s 45:21 71:5		actions 38:9
<b>67</b> 113:17	<b>81</b> 32:25 33:2,6	A	<b>active</b> 95:8 143:2
<b>699,000</b> 120:2	88:9	<b>Abby</b> 5:20	activities 154:6
120.2	<b>819</b> 75:9	146:15,22	Acts 60:18 66:18
7	<b>82</b> 36:20 39:20	148:11	67:11 68:10,17,
<b>7</b> 5.7 52.2 2 5	<b>83</b> 39:20 41:1	<b>ABF</b> 144:12	20,23 69:2
<b>7</b> 5:7 52:2,3,5 54:2 75:25	77:25 87:15	148:17	actual 35:8
127:12	<b>84</b> 93:8	<b>abortions</b> 159:21 160:13	40:15 44:18 52:20 54:23
<b>7.4</b> 122:1	<b>85</b> 5:11 147:25	<b>abuse</b> 94:7	73:25
<b>7.6B</b> 110:23	<b>86</b> 5:12 45:23	111:14 117:11,	<b>ad</b> 153:11
<b>70</b> 81:15 132:13	<b>87</b> 91:19	15,16 118:19 125:14,22	adapting 168:5
700,000 120:5	<b>87,000</b> 123:16	128:12,22	added 33:16,23
<b>70s</b> 71:5	<b>88</b> 92:24	129:14	34:1,4,7,9,25 35:16 49:1
<b>71</b> 86:4	<b>89</b> 5:13 77:6,25	<b>ACASI</b> 96:10,13	
		<b>accept</b> 101:21	addicted 64:5

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: addiction..ahead

	Robert Proctor, P	h.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: addictionahead
addiction 10:7	32:8,12 97:5,11	advertisements	12 128:16,18
23:16,22 26:10,	100:1,21 101:5,	34:13	129:22 130:1
16 46:1,6,23	24 102:4,18,24		
48:9,21 49:16	103:2,5,11,14,	advertising	agencies 44:24
50:22 51:16	17,22,25 104:3,	29:3,8 37:7,11	45:8 57:15
155:17,18,20	4,17,19,20	38:6 41:7 44:24	agency 37:7
162:2 165:19	105:3,8,25	45:13 61:12	151:25
170:3	106:11,13,14,	153:19,21,24	
	17,22 108:5,17	154:3,6 172:13	<b>agents</b> 34:1,4,6,
addictive 24:9	109:18 110:4	<b>AEC</b> 55:22	7,11,17,24,25
25:7 27:4 28:2	111:3,8 115:3,		35:4,5,19 36:2
46:12 47:5	10,11,12 117:23	<b>aerosol</b> 55:15	37:11
49:20 51:2,4,6	118:2,3 119:7,	affect 160:2	ages 125:2
163:13,17 164:4	25 120:6,10,11,	168:23	
167:6 170:17	18,19 122:12,		<b>aging</b> 166:11
addictiveness	16,20,22 123:3,	affiliated	agnotology 11:20
24:14 27:8	5,13,21,22	151:25	
28:7,20 29:19,	125:3,12,15,20,	afternoon	<b>agree</b> 8:7,9
25 83:18 170:11	23 127:12,19,22	152:18,19	14:23 20:11
171:7	128:16,18	<b>age</b> 90:13 99:19	21:2 31:22
		-	32:1,7,10,11
adding 33:13	ads 38:19	100:18,22,25	38:6 45:12
35:4 113:8	<b>adult</b> 22:8 31:5,	101:16,20,25	46:11 47:6 48:4
addition 53:20	22,23 76:4	105:8 106:1,7,	63:19,25 69:11,
10.01	78:22 86:10	18,22 108:17	15,20 70:3,6,12
additional 12:21	88:16 89:4	109:17 110:4	71:3,8,15
<b>additive</b> 168:17	91:23 96:6	112:3,21	72:16,21 74:6
	97:15 115:24	113:12,22	79:14,15 92:25
additive-free		114:1,5,6	97:9 102:18
17:16,22 18:1,	adults 31:9 74:8	115:17,21	105:8 106:21
3,8 162:16,18	75:9,10 77:12	117:23 120:6	108:17 110:3
168:14,18	78:11,15 80:18	122:11,16	113:20,24
169:16 171:25	81:11,15,20	127:19,23 130:8	114:12 115:15
172:3	82:5,14 83:2,	132:13	117:22 118:1
<b>additives</b> 168:12	11,21 84:6,9,18	aged 94:12,25	120:5,9,17,22
124.10	86:4 87:11	96:6,8 97:20	122:15,19
<b>address</b> 124:10	88:9,25 90:13	98:6 100:1,13	123:2,8,20
addresses 95:7	91:5,19 112:15	101:12 102:24	124:3 125:12,20
119:11	113:1,3 116:4	103:23 104:17	127:18,22
adjusting 160.5	129:22 130:1	105:15 106:11	129:16,20,25
adjusting 168:5	<b>advertise</b> 154:21	108:5 109:18	130:7 153:3,8,
ADL 157:1,3,6	155:3	110:24 112:5,9,	10,16 154:20
administration		15 113:1,3,5	155:2
94:7 167:20	advertised 39:11	114:14 115:3,7,	<b>agreed</b> 48:25
94•/ IU/•2U	60:11 61:16	10,24 116:4,16	20:0
admitted 36:22	62:2 66:12	118:8,12 119:1,	agrees 38:8
adolescent	71:21 72:8	6,7,14,24,25	45:14
101:17 102:14	118:10 168:17	121:1,2,23	<b>ahead</b> 30:20 52:6
105:19 108:11	advertisement	122:1,12	58:2,6 66:17
T03.T2 T00.TT	171:21	123:12,13	98:3 115:4
adolescents		125:2,3 127:11,	144:1 148:16

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: aimed..asbestos

		n.D: 01/10/2022	muex. anneuasbestos
<b>aimed</b> 145:5 <b>air</b> 41:4,7,11,	<b>Alton</b> 136:15 <b>Alvarez</b> 15:25	<b>annual</b> 94:17,24 147:1	<b>appreciably</b> 139:19 140:8
12,17,18,24,25 42:10,11,21,23	16:9	annually 94:6	April 139:2,13 140:5 151:17
55:21 56:8,11	<b>ambiguity</b> 62:11, 17	<b>Anonymous</b> 126:23,24	apt 20:23
air-cured 59:9	ambiguous 67:2	answering 78:19	- archives 51:10
airing 77:1	amended 5:6	82:12 99:11	<b>area</b> 20:18 51:23
alarming 134:10	14:10 18:24	answers 19:13	95:22
<b>albeit</b> 35:20	19:5	80:22 85:25 86:1 96:12,24	<b>Arkansas</b> 5:15,18
alcohol 94:9	amending 14:13	172:17	131:8,12,18,19,
97:10,21 98:7,	American 41:13,	anti-smoking	20 139:2,13,24
20 104:18	19 42:1 47:16	142:7	140:5 142:18,24 143:19
105:4,9 106:12,	48:5,16 49:10		
19,23 110:24	50:8,19 51:13	anticipated	<b>arsenal</b> 151:15
115:2,6,8,11,25 116:5,12,24	59:19 62:7 71:17 76:8 79:1	15:16	<b>Arthur</b> 156:8,10
117:10,12,16,	89:21 90:2	<b>AP</b> 137:7 139:7	<b>article</b> 5:7,17,
17,23 118:2,7,	132:10,18 133:5	<b>Apollo</b> 77:7,20	21 40:7 52:7,
10,11 121:22	144:25 145:4,	78:12,23 84:18	20,21 54:3,13,
122:17,20 123:3	17,25 146:8	apologies 8:1	18,21,24 55:7
124:11,13	147:1,4 148:22		100:8 102:23
125:14,22	150:2,5,12	apologize 30:14	104:8,11 107:3
126:9,15,16	156:10 169:11,	52:17 90:24	114:20 131:7
127:7,20,23	17	106:3,5,8	132:1,10
128:6 129:2,5,	American's 73:9	110:20 138:20	133:10,25
14 130:2,9	<b>J</b> monigong 72:00	143:25	134:15,20
<b>alcoholic</b> 98:21 121:24 122:3	Americans 73:20 74:16,21,25	apparent 149:24	135:5,10,21 137:7,8 138:11,
_	75:2,3,24 77:6	apparently 92:17	15 139:13,24
Alcoholics	87:20	99:6 104:6	140:5,11,25
126:23	amount 9:13	111:6 112:3	141:10,21
Alden 41:6	46:17 47:14	117:25 118:13 122:18 127:21	142:6,13,20
<b>alien</b> 79:5	48:20 49:15,18	122:18 127:21	143:19 144:18 145:3,24 146:22
	148:25	130:4 140:9	145.3,24 146.22
<b>aliens</b> 20:18	amputations		148:19 149:13
79:8	159:18,20	appeal 39:8	151:16,17,21
<b>alive</b> 5:9 17:11	160:12	79:12	164:24
74:18,21 75:2,		appearance 46:7	
4,18,25 76:5	analyze 36:12	<b>apples</b> 108:15,21	<b>articles</b> 57:14 139:7 164:9,18
all-natural	ancient 79:8	110:8 120:13	
168:14	anniversary	123:7 127:25	AS-001034 52:7
<b>allowed</b> 56:4,6	74:16	129:17	<b>asbestos</b> 36:9,10
alpha-cellulose	announced 40:1	applicable 13:19	38:3 53:19 54:5 11 55:20
53:21	announcement	applies 19:15	54:5,11 55:20 56:7,10,17,23
	133:7	171:12	57:1,5,15,19,20
<b>altered</b> 172:13	100 . 1		5,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: asbestosis..believes

	Köbert Hibetor, H	<b>II.D.</b> 0II 01/18/2022	muex: aspestosisbeneves
asbestosis 57:2	107:3,9,14,16	averaged 112:11	<b>basis</b> 9:24 16:21
	109:12,16	22,24	38:14 102:1
<b>ASH</b> 147:20		22,24	38.14 102.1
	126:6,8 130:25	<b>avoid</b> 135:11	<b>Baxter</b> 5:15,16
<b>Asia</b> 99:8	133:18 135:20		131:6,8,12,14,
association	136:25 140:4,20	avoidably 162:6	17 133:20
89:21 90:3	142:16 144:5,10	avoided 135:2	
	146:13 148:7	avoided 135.2	134:15 135:5,21
134:8 139:20	149:5,8,11	<b>awarded</b> 136:19	164:10
142:25 143:6	151:10 152:12,		beaching 34:25
association's	13,17 153:7,17	<b>aware</b> 10:8 12:1	35:4
		24:1 26:22	33.4
143:1	154:24 155:1,5,	28:23 43:23	<b>bear</b> 43:4 126:5
assume 13:18	9 156:16,17,18	45:24 114:16	
19:6,8,16 20:2,	157:15,19,22,25	152:25	<b>Beat</b> 134:3
11 21:19,22	158:1,2,5,6,7,	192.29	<b>beer</b> 98:22
	8,9,11,12,14,23	<b>awash</b> 79:6	<b>Deer</b> 98.22
22:1 42:8 133:3	159:2,9,11,13,		<b>began</b> 25:8,10
137:19 166:18	14,25 160:5,18	<b>AZ</b> 54:18	28:3 85:4
assuming 68:13			114:24
	161:1,10,14,20	В	111.21
assumption 22:1,	162:25 163:11,		<b>begin</b> 153:5
7 131:21	15 164:8,15,23	h h - 20 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	
	165:7,15,20	<b>back</b> 30:2,25	beginning 43:15
astronauts 79:5	166:4,8,17,23,	32:10,18,20	80:17 161:9
Atomic 55:14	25 167:3,8,12,	35:13 38:24	<b>begun</b> 55:12
	24 168:3,6,9,	45:21 53:23,24	begun 55.12
56:9 57:14	22,25 169:4,8,	56:25 58:15	<b>behavior</b> 24:2,18
attached 11:11,	18,22 172:10,	67:10 85:18	26:23 27:11
12 60:20		90:2,9,22	122:24,25 168:5
12 00020	21,25	104:7,16 106:2,	122.21,25 100.5
<b>attempt</b> 144:21	ATTORNY 4:6		Behavioral
		10 107:17	31:20,21
attempts 29:11,	<b>attract</b> 37:21	110:16 123:11	-
13 141:23 142:2	165:23,24	124:5	behaviors 96:16
<b>attend</b> 141:18	166:13	hashermound 04:10	<b>belief</b> 18:7
accena 141.10		background 94:16	
attention 41:2	attributed 75:13	bad 102:6 158:25	20:17 76:4
	77:15		<b>beliefs</b> 18:15
attitudes 5:13		<b>Banzhaf</b> 147:18	24:16 78:24
89:20 90:1	<b>audio</b> 96:9	hamala FF:10	83:25
	auditorium 102:9	<b>barely</b> 55:12	03.25
<b>ATTORNEY</b> 4:7,8,9		132:6	believed 24:8
6:7 7:21,23,24,	August 5:5,8	<b>Barry</b> 57:8	27:3 28:15
25 8:2,4,9,10,	18:20 54:13,19	-	75:19,25 78:17
11,13,14,15,23	74:15 75:9	<b>based</b> 75:7,11	81:11,16,21
11:10 13:16		77:10,13 90:12	
14:6 18:23 19:2	authored 44:13	102:7 116:21	82:15 83:3,22,
30:8 32:22	authority 36:22	152:24 160:22	23 84:4,9,18,23
41:22 52:4		161:11 162:22	85:5,6 86:5,11
54:15 58:2,6,13	authorized 157:1	163:12 169:24	87:16 88:10
73:4,6 74:13		103.17 103.24	89:1,5 91:20
	authors 55:8	<b>basic</b> 73:15	93:4 170:10
76:24 79:22	average 10:24		171:6
85:10 86:22	31:23 41:13,18	basically 37:5	
89:23 93:23	42:1 113:5	67:19 70:1	believes 77:8
104:9,14,15	-2.1 1.3.2	166:15	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: believing..Camel

	Robert Proctor, P	h.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: believingCamel
<b>believing</b> 33:11 169:6	bleaches 35:19 bleaching 34:11,	70:13 154:12, 15,17,22 155:4	brownish 35:25 Bruce 152:12
benefits 136:11	17	165:24 166:12, 13 168:16	<b>BT</b> 60:4
<b>benign</b> 57:10	<b>blend</b> 61:18 62:8	169:13	
Benson 48:14	71:11	<b>brands</b> 43:19,22,	Buerger 160:13
benzodiazepines 99:3 117:4	<b>blends</b> 58:20,25 59:15	24 53:17 60:16 67:10 68:17,20,	Buerger's 159:17 160:13
<b>Bethesda</b> 139:22	<b>blindness</b> 159:21 160:12	24 69:3,13 70:7 71:3 166:16	built 17:23 Bull 17:18
<b>bias</b> 76:18 82:10,11	<b>Bloch</b> 60:6,8	<b>break</b> 8:4 51:24 55:14 58:3,16	168:7,23 171:25 172:3
<b>biases</b> 83:14 84:24,25	blow 31:1 53:1 131:3,5,9 133:21 134:1	104:12 107:5,14 122:11 125:1 143:25 144:1	Bulletin 5:15,16 131:6,8,12,14,
<b>big</b> 20:14	135:22,25	<b>breaks</b> 149:2	17 133:20 134:15 135:5,21
<b>bigger</b> 72:11	136:15 141:2 142:20 146:18	breast 149:20,25	164:10
billing 9:8	149:22	150:5,7	<b>bunch</b> 49:1
<b>billion</b> 70:2,17, 19,20,24		<b>breathe</b> 41:13, 17,24 42:1	169:25 <b>bureaucratize</b>
billions 169:15	<b>blown</b> 53:5	breathed 42:10	94:21
bills 9:6	<b>blue</b> 36:10 56:3 92:9 93:2	breathing 41:11	<b>business</b> 92:8, 19,22 93:1
<b>binary</b> 90:21	blue-ribbon	42:9	<b>butcher</b> 144:21
<b>binge</b> 106:12,18,	137:14,20	briefly 14:18	buy 77:4
23	<b>bluish</b> 36:8	<b>bring</b> 11:15	2007 ////
<b>biographical</b> 7:10,12 13:7	55:20 <b>board</b> 71:19,25	12:16 brings 53:23	C
biography 24:16	boarding 95:4	<b>broader</b> 17:12	C-I-P-O-L-L-O-N-E
biological 7:12	<b>bogus</b> 79:9	38:11 39:16	157:19
<b>Biology</b> 141:16	<b>book</b> 20:9,14	<b>broke</b> 92:4	C-R-A-T-O-M
<b>birth</b> 159:20	57:8	bronchial 138:6	98:8,15
<b>bit</b> 39:7 44:17,	Boston 9:20	bronchitis	California 32:6
18 48:19 49:15 53:7 78:18	<b>bottle</b> 98:22	138:6,17 143:10,21	<b>call</b> 8:24 51:7 67:21 70:18
102:6 108:15 130:18 132:3,7 133:3 139:6 141:3 146:21	bottom 30:21 78:9 bought 169:12	<b>Brothers</b> 60:6,8 <b>brought</b> 16:4 <b>brown</b> 24:19	<b>called</b> 6:3 10:11 60:10 119:12 144:18,24 154:15 162:11,
148:18 165:16	<b>brand</b> 48:15 59:21 60:1,5,18	27:12 36:13	12,14,15 166:21
<pre>bizarre 56:7 125:8,18 128:13 blamed 151:24</pre>	63:13,17 64:9, 10,20,23 65:8, 11,18,21,24 66:9 69:17	47:16 48:6,17 49:10 50:8,19 51:13 66:12 67:3 141:21	<pre>calls 137:12 Camel 43:19 165:16,21</pre>
		142:3	166:9,11,12

### www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: campaign..cigarette

r	Robert Hitteroi, H		nues. campaigneigar ette
171:16,18,21	cancers 86:6	29:17,22	challenge 46:5
campaign 17:18	161:7	<b>cases</b> 6:17 7:1,	<b>chance</b> 140:13
145:5,12 147:2	capacity 61:3,	2,3,6 9:17,19	<b>change</b> 33:13,15,
165:11,12,17,	11,20	12:24 13:9	21 35:6,14 62:4
21,22 166:9	<b>CAPI</b> 96:18	16:1,11 19:15,	78:3
167:15,22		23 23:11 147:25	<b>-</b> hanged 04.0 10
168:7,23 171:25 172:4	<pre>capitalize 60:9,     20 61:10 64:17</pre>	148:24 171:17	<b>changed</b> 24:2,18 26:23 27:11
1/2.4	20 01.10 04.17	Castleman 57:8	38:3 114:23,24,
campaigns 172:13	capture 36:21	catching 149:19,	25
<b>camps</b> 95:5	capturing 166:10	25	Channel 79:5
cancer 5:18	carcinogens	categories 43:18	-1
21:12 40:10	36:14	92:4,7,20,21	<pre>characterized 116:11</pre>
57:2,5 71:24	cards 51:4	126:2	110.11
80:13,20 81:12,		categorized	<b>chart</b> 110:13
17,22 82:6,16,	<b>care</b> 151:4	127:4	111:1
25 83:4,11,22	careful 44:21		<b>check</b> 9:11,13
84:11,21 85:22	45:7	category 39:16 43:19 57:23	21:5
86:12 88:6,11, 18,23 89:2,6	<b>cares</b> 95:10	125:2	chemical 35:8
91:14,16,21,25			57:10 99:9
93:5 132:10,18	<b>Carlson</b> 5:21 148:8	causal 82:21	chemically 36:12
133:5,11	140.0	160:11	108:24
134:10,11,12,	<b>Carlton</b> 157:3	<b>caused</b> 116:12	
16,17 136:17	<b>cartel</b> 164:13	148:24 153:5	chemicals 33:13,
137:13 138:1,2,	165:3,17 166:21	159:3 160:2	16,21 35:14
12 139:11,19,	<b>cartel's</b> 167:1	161:7 167:6 170:2	36:14
21,25 140:6,8 141:1,15 142:2		170.2	chemistry 59:6
143:10,17,21	cartels 168:1	causing 57:1,5	<b>cherry</b> 60:19
144:25 145:4,	<b>cartoon</b> 149:15	114:15 160:20	Chicago 151:5
17,25 146:8	165:24	164:11	-
147:4,13,21,22,	cartoons 166:6	CDC 31:20,21	<b>Choose</b> 141:22
23,25 148:2,21, 22,24 149:19,	<b>case</b> 5:4,5,6	<b>Cedar</b> 141:16	chronic 138:6,17
20,25 150:1,5,	6:11,14,20,21	cellulose 35:25	143:10,21
6,7,8,12	8:19 9:7,9 11:8	38:4 53:19,20	<b>cigar</b> 59:8 61:15
151:20,23	12:6,10,14,22	<b>center</b> 126:22	63:14 65:9
152:2,3 156:10	13:14,19,23	127:9	66:10,23 67:4,
160:20,24	17:5,8 18:19,25 19:8,18,22	<b>Central</b> 141:11,	25 68:1 71:1
161:4,5,13,19	22:2,6,17 23:4,	14 141.11,	cigar-like 60:14
167:5 171:11	10 25:25 26:5		61:10 66:16
cancer,' 39:23	32:24 33:5,7	century 74:7	71:3,9,15 72:3, 9
140:14	47:2 58:16	certificate	2
cancer-causing	152:24 157:11	136:19	cigarette 5:12
41:3	166:2	certified 32:21	17:24 19:22,25
cancerous 145:21	case-specific	41:20 109:10	20:10 24:21 25:7 28:2,7,16
146:4	7:15 24:1,17	140:3 153:9	30:17 32:9,13
	26:22 27:10	157:17,21 172:6	50° ±1 52° 71±3

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: cigarette-like..CNN

	Köbert H Toctor, H		index. cigarette-inkecivit
33:9,23 34:14	159:6 160:2,17,	15,19 159:19,20	<b>claim</b> 7:3 42:9
35:16 36:13,14,	22 161:11	160:6,10,15	155:16,18
17 37:5 39:22	162:18,22	161:8,22 162:4,	
40:5,9 41:12,	163:12 167:4	5,10,12,14,15,	<b>claims</b> 9:16
18,25 42:10,22,	168:11,14,18,	23 163:5,7,18,	clarification
24 43:16 44:21	20,21,24 169:2,	20 164:3,11,25	32:21 41:20
46:2,11,13,16,	5 170:1,6	167:5,7 169:6,	109:10 140:3
17 47:3,15	172:13	10,15,16 171:18	153:9 172:6
48:20 50:9,21	cigarette-like	172:1	<b>classic</b> 131:13
51:3 55:25	61:9	cigarettes,'	
59:13,22,25	01.9	139:10	classified 67:20
60:1,5,25 61:20	cigarettes 7:19	100 100	117:13
62:1,7,8,15	10:5 17:12,17	cigarettes.'	classifies
63:9,13,19,25	18:1 21:10,11,	135:2	124:21
64:10,20,23	19 24:14 27:8,	cigaretto 60:2	
65:4,8 66:5,22	15 28:20 31:13		classify 116:20
67:4,21,25	33:14 34:10	cigarettos 60:10	Claude 33:12
69:13,17 70:7,	35:22 36:6,7	65:12,13,14,15,	
8,12,18,20	37:18 38:20,25	18,21,24 66:3,9	<b>clean</b> 171:1
71:1,3,8,21	39:2 41:3,6	<b>cigars</b> 58:21	<b>cleaner</b> 38:17,21
72:3,9 80:13,19	43:17 45:19	59:1,15 60:3,	41:12,18,25
81:12,16,21	46:5 47:22,24	12,18 61:9	42:10
82:15,24 83:3,	48:5,8,17,19	66:12,20,21	<b>clear</b> 6:18 7:11
10,22 84:11,20	49:11,15,16,24	67:3,15,18,24	11:23 18:18
85:21 86:5,10,	50:7,20 51:6,14	68:7,8 147:7	22:18 35:13
11,19,24 87:5,	52:9,12,21	Ginallana	38:11 39:8,16
12,16 88:1,5,	56:17 59:19,23	Cipollone	44:13 45:4
10,16,17,22	60:14,21 61:10	157:11,16	61:24 99:22
89:1,5,6 90:18	63:2 65:12	circumstances	157:6 172:1
91:6,13,15,20,	66:13,16,19	169:10	19770 17201
23,25 93:4	67:3,25 69:5,25	<b>cite</b> 36:25 40:6,	<b>cleared</b> 132:11
97:10 100:2,13,	70:2,17 71:9,	10 44:3	clerical 92:10,
23 101:12,17	10,12,14,16	10 11.5	19,22
102:1,5 104:4	82:3,6 84:7	<b>cited</b> 21:3 34:20	
105:5,11,15	97:6,24 101:13 102:11 15 19	97:14	<b>click</b> 148:10
106:25 108:12, 14 110:6 112:6,	102:11,15,19 103:12,14	<b>cites</b> 14:23	<b>Clinic</b> 136:6,16
10,16 113:4,5,	105:16,20	cities 41:8	clinical 151:2
12,14,21,25	108:20 110:1	CILIES 41.0	
114:13 115:16,	111:4,9 118:4	citing 37:2	<b>Clinton</b> 167:20
20 120:12	120:15,20	<b>Citizen</b> 5:20,21,	<b>close</b> 33:8 50:8
122:22 125:16	123:6,23 125:24	22 146:15	78:15 117:20
128:19 131:7	127:24 129:3,15	149:13 151:17	
132:12,13,20	134:12,18		<b>closed</b> 60:13
133:12 134:8,	135:11 137:11	<b>city</b> 5:20,21,22	95:6 96:6,10,18
11,16 135:1,8	138:3,13 139:15	41:8,13,19 42:1	98:23,25 99:3
136:17 137:25	143:12 144:22	146:15 149:13	100:3 126:20,24
138:5,16 143:9,	145:6 146:20	151:17	127:7
20 147:5 148:1,	147:6 153:12,	<b>civilian</b> 94:11,	<b>Club</b> 60:9
25 150:13	15,23 154:8,12,	17,24	CININI 78.7 0
151:24 152:25	17,22 155:4,10,		<b>CNN</b> 78:7,8

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2020ex: coaches..conspiracy-themed

**coaches** 141:17 **Coast** 58:8 cocaine 98:24 107:23 108:6, 10,13,16,18 117:1 118:20 163:17 collaborative 153:25 **collar** 92:8,9 93:1,2 collected 124:17 126:25 collects 96:9 college 95:5 Colombia 95:24 **colon** 150:13 **color** 33:13,15, 21 35:6,14 column 112:18 146:22 **combat** 164:13 165:9 combustible 113:21,25 114:13 115:16, 20 128:19 combustion 10:4, 7 162:3 comfort 51:23 58:3 107:5 143:25 comfortable 124:2 comment 50:16 54:4 commentary 64:16 98:4 Comments 134:3 commercial 47:22 commercially

59:19 Commission 55:14 compare 84:14 56:10 57:14 committee 143:1, 13 committees 142:24 **common** 20:4 38:22 45:20 133:12 146:7,8 commonly 70:10 commonly-added 34:6 communities 94:5 146:9 community 56:23 72:22 146:5 163:9 companies 23:24 24:4,22 26:19, 24 29:24 39:14, 21 40:8,15 44:10,20,21 45:2,6,11 60:13 61:19 66:16 71:9,16 83:17 155:17 168:12, 15 company 9:25 23:17 24:20 26:11 27:13 29:3,7,18 36:22 37:8,12,13,15, 17 38:7 39:1 42:13 44:9,16 45:13 47:10 50:17 51:11 59:20 63:1,6,11 64:22 65:1,6,23 66:2,7 68:22 69:2 153:22 165:23 166:3 company's 40:1 60:8 comparable 82:13

92:23 138:11,16 conclusions 124:5 43:13 compared 108:13 condensed 36:16, 128:13 17 conduct 9:25 comparing 41:3 108:22 110:9 conducted 75:9, 120:14 122:24, 11 77:12 85:16 25 128:15 129:1 89:20 90:2 94:6 96:3 152:1 comparison 120:16,22 conducts 96:5 123:1,8,9,24 124:3 127:25 confidence 75:13 77:14 128:2,3,8,14 129:18 confidential 96:15 compensate 50:3 compensated 9:5 confidentially 96:25 compilation 85:18 confused 24:13 27:7 28:19 compile 16:17 confusion 10:3 completely 16:10 47:1 67:7 72:8 132:11 complicated **Congress** 166:22 48:23 50:14 63:24 congressional 72:10 167:25 composition 61:2 connection 62:15 141:12 145:16 computer 53:13 161:4 96:13,20 conscious 43:15 computer-assisted consensus 72:22 96:9,17 165:9 concealment 154:4 considered 56:11 conceived 147:4 consistent 12:2 concentration conspiracy 45:8, 41:4,5 10 76:22 153:13,14,20, concern 43:23 22,25 154:5 82:3 164:16,19,20 concerned 43:22 165**:**8 concluded 173:5 conspiracy-themed 76:25 conclusion

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: conspire..counselors

	Köbert Höctör, H	.D. 01 01/10/2022	index. conspirecounselors
conspire 154:7,	controversy 24:8	36:18 37:8,13	16,19
21	27:3 28:15	39:4 40:11,24	
		44:5,14 45:16	corrective
<b>consumed</b> 105:3,9	convenience	46:14 47:12	137:12
consumer 7:4	59:24	48:10,12,21,22	correctly 31:15
20:3 46:4 61:9	convention	49:13 50:9	33:19 41:14
168:24 170:1	139:20	55:10 56:12,17,	43:25 46:9
172:15		23 57:16 62:16	53:21 54:25
1.0.2	conventional	72:6,19 74:9	56:1 58:22
consumer's 160:3	60:20 62:7	75:20 76:1	59:11 60:21
consumers 40:4	conversation	77:23 78:1,4,	73:11,20,22
43:15 162:23	8:19 11:19 13:6	13,17 80:3,7,	75:5,15 77:16
163:13 169:5	67:22	15,20,24 81:1,	86:25 87:3 88:2
172:18	<b>convey</b> 67:22	2,4,7,13,17,18,	91:3 94:13
	conveyed 23:14,	22,25 82:7,16,	95:10,25 96:21
consumers' 172:12	20 26:8,14	25 83:4,5,12,18	98:10 99:4
	convince 143:3	84:12,21 85:4,	100:5 101:14
consumption 40:6	154:7,21 155:3	16,22 86:1,6,12	102:16 103:6
contact 147:24	154.7,21 155.5	87:6,9,13,17,21	104:1,20 105:22
	convinced 75:24	88:6,11,18,23	106:15 108:2,9
contained 14:22	<b>cooler</b> 98:22	89:2,7,24 90:3,	109:6,22 111:23
56:17		6,14,20 91:7,	112:12,17 113:6
contemplate	coordinating	16,21 92:1,5,	115:13 116:2,7
159:7	157:7	10,16,20 93:25	117:5,19 118:24
19917	<b>copies</b> 126:6	95:13,16,19	119:4,9,22
content 49:24	-	97:1,7,8,16,17	120:3 122:8,13
50:20 51:14	<b>copy</b> 173:2,3	100:9,14,19,24	123:18 124:24
59:10,16 62:22,	<b>corner</b> 151:18	101:6,7,9,10,19	125:7 127:9,16
25 64:10,19		102:2,5,11	132:15,24
65:17 67:7	<b>coronary</b> 143:11,	103:9,15,18	134:13 135:3
68:16	16,22	104:5 105:6,12	137:17 138:8
contents 52:11	<b>correct</b> 6:11,14,	106:19 108:14	139:11,22
54:17	21,22 8:12 9:1,	110:1 111:5,9	140:15 141:19
	3,11,22,23	112:2 113:9,12,	142:4 143:4,17
context 12:24	11:24,25 12:6,7	15 117:7,18	145:7,22 147:8
continue 7:22	13:20,21 14:7,	118:12 121:8,11	
36:19 41:1	11,24 19:15,20,	126:16 128:1	150:2,8,14
58:14 107:11	23,24 20:8 21:4	131:25 133:6,13	
116:9 124:7	23:8,12 24:25	134:18 135:8,12	<b>cotton</b> 53:18
144:6 165:5	25:1,3,4,8,9,	137:9,17	55:23
168:1	11,12,16,17,22,	138:13,17	
continued 25:3	23 26:5,6	139:15 140:8	<b>cough</b> 143:15
27:23 66:15	27:21,22,23,24	142:8,11 143:22	coughing 138:6
167:16	28:3,4,8,9,16,	145:1,10,14	Georgil 20117
	17,20,21,25	146:1,5,9,16,23	Council 26:17
continues 134:6	29:1,4,5,8,9,	151:21 155:11,	counsel 6:20
continuing 39:20	11,14,15,19,20,	20,21 156:5	12:11,14,17
80:17	25 30:1,23	157:20 158:10	25:20
	31:6,10,24	170:7,12,13,17,	counselors
contributor	32:3,15 33:24	19 171:7,8,13, 14 172:4,5,7,	141:17
147:22	35:7,10,17,18	14 1/2.4,5,/,	± ±± • ± /
1			

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: count..declassified

160:7

79:6

122:17

6:13

93:12

129:15

<b>count</b> 72:7	С
<b>counted</b> 128:23 129:8 130:5	с
<b>counter</b> 164:21	
counting 100:25	C
115:9 121:13 124:12	С
countries 73:17	С
<pre>country 20:5   22:15 70:1 74:8   75:24 76:5   78:11,16 80:18</pre>	с
81:11,15,21 82:6,14 83:2,	C
11,21 84:9,18	C
86:4,11 87:11,	
15 88:9,17,25 89:5 91:6,19,24	
97:11 100:22	c
101:25 102:4,19 103:11 105:3,9,	C
25 106:17,21	C
110:4 113:20,24 114:13 115:15 130:8	C
County 134:3 141:18 142:23	C:
<b>couple</b> 13:7 152:22 169:23	
<b>Court</b> 173:1	C
covers 95:2	
<b>COVID</b> 10:21	C
<b>crack</b> 98:25	c
107:23 117:1	
<b>crazy</b> 79:3,10 125:17	
create 40:2	c
45:25 46:6,23 48:8,20 49:16	
40.8,20 49.10 50:22 51:15	
160:6,15	d
<b>created</b> 37:24 169:1	

reating 153:15 19,22 111:4,9 118:3 120:19 123:5,22 125:24 reative 165:4, 127:24 129:15 18 168:1 143:12 **repe** 53:19 dangerous 56:23 57:19 169:6 ringe-worthy **dangers** 24:9,13 erisis 167:15 27:4,7 28:16,19 29:18,24 41:3 riteria 116:21 44:23 83:17 117:7,8,11,14, 158:22 170:11 15,17,25 118:18 171:5,6 Danny 136:19 **2roc** 55:23 **darken** 35:8,10 crocidolite 36:9 66:23 55:16,17,18,20, 24,25 56:3,7 darkening 33:10 rocodile 55:19 **darn** 94:22 **Cross** 4:7,8 **data** 32:16 41:10 74:6 75:22 78:6 ROSS-EXAMINATION 80:6 81:3,6 152:16 158:13 87:8 88:8 90:22 ross-noticed 93:8,9 94:8 96:9 97:14 108:5 123:8 crossed 53:23 124:4 127:21 erucial 33:9 128:23 138:1 59:5 61:4 67:4 **date** 85:15,19 86:24 131:11 **TR** 23:23 133:22 135:22 155:22,25 156:4 139:3 ulture 153:15 **dated** 14:3 30:17 52:8 73:8 131:8 current 22:8,11 141:4 142:18 31:4,5 98:9 101:12 105:15 **dates** 80:14,15 111:3,4,8 **dating** 85:18 **day** 31:13 ut 10:21 102:15,19 103:12,14 D 105:20 112:11, 14,22,24 113:6 **laily** 101:13,16, 138:4,13 144:22 17 102:1,5,10, 145:3,6,12,14 14 105:16,19 146:20 108:12,13,16,

days 31:14 32:13 53:23 54:1 98:8 106:19,23 117:12 120:15 124:1 **De-nic** 48:14 dead 75:1,24 83:23 84:9 **deadly** 57:12 **deal** 6:25 58:17 dealing 158:16, 18 160:19 **Dear** 5:20 146:15,22 148:11 **death** 15:7,20 74:16 75:23 76:6 131:7 132:20 137:16 138:7,8 143:16 148:21 death-dealing 137:13 **deaths** 132:12 133:11 134:10 147:23 Deborah 11:8 **debut** 55:25 decades 80:17 December 5:7 33:12,22 35:3, 15 52:8,15,19 deception 10:12 20:3 35:20 77:2 168:18 deceptive 33:18 decisions 24:3 26:24 declaring 67:25 declassified 55:15

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: declined..disorder

declined 69:21 82:2 100:2 104:18 106:12 109:19 115:11 118:11 120:1 **declines** 101:3,4 119:17 decorate 44:17 decreased 108:7 123:14 decreases 149:1 defect 7:3,7 9:16 10:4,12 13:9 defective 161:22 162:21 163:8 **defects** 10:5,6, 9,10,14,16 159:20 162:1,2, 5,8 Defendant 158:10 **Defendants** 8:7, 11 158:10 **define** 42:19 defined 70:16 117:10 118:18 121:15 127:5 definition 108:2 120:8 121:20 definitions 117:17 deflecting 41:2 degeneration 159:21 **degree** 62:18 deliver 46:3 deliveries 48:18 49:11 delivery 48:5 demonstrate 63:12 65:7 66:8

146:9 demonstratives 17:3 **denial** 165:10 167:15,16,22 denicontinized 49:24 **denied** 167:4,5,6 denominator 46:19 Department 95:2 depend 79:16 dependence 117:11,13,14,16 118:19 **depends** 46:15,19 53:15 61:18 62:9 93:9 depicted 100:10 **deploy** 36:21 deposition 5:4 6:10,14 9:11 11:3,7,13 13:13,14,25 16:5 74:11 89:17,19 131:6 133:15 135:17 144:7 171:22 173:4 depositions 11:5,12 171:21 describe 77:10 93:6 describing 45:18 55:15 description 5:3 35:3 descriptions 10:17 **design** 17:23 18:2 62:4 162:4 163:2

designed 60:15 35:4,19 61:21 95:23 **Direct** 4:6 6:6 96:13 141:25 162:24 163:5 167:13 details 7:15 169:13 13:7 14:16 determine 96:7 determined 46:7 determining 59:7 **develop** 143:1 150:25 developed 10:3 disability 51:5 55:22 21 developing 51:3 148:20 160:24 79:18 **device** 38:16 59:7 64:5 devices 35:9 35:15 diagnosed 161:12,18 discouraging 171:10 134:21 Diagnostic 116:22 75:19 dictates 45:10 **die** 74:23 143:9, 149:7 20 147:13 148:2 150:7 161:18 discussions 16:14 **died** 150:5,6 difference 19:4 46:22 86:2 142:24,25 88:13 92:18 difficult 79:14 16 165:18 139:6 163:20, 23,25 164:6 159:3,6,22 Digest 5:8 54:13,16,18 55:2 56:5,16 **dilute** 164:17 dimension 18:3 **dioxide** 34:5,17

directed 39:10 direction 138:2 directly 42:15 96:12 168:19 director 39:23 55:9,12 139:21, 25 140:6 147:20 116:13 143:10, disagree 14:20 disagreed 78:16 disclosure 33:22 discussed 8:5 discussion 41:21 73:5 107:8 disease 88:2 137:13 138:8 143:11,16,22 159:18 160:13, **diseases** 143:11 160:1,12 170:2

**dismay** 56:21

```
disorder 117:10,
 17,24 118:2,7,
 11,15,16,18
 119:3,8,12,15,
 19 120:1,6,10,
```

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2020 dex: disorders..emphysematous

	,		10
18,25 121:2,7,	19,22,24	<b>drugs</b> 94:9	<b>easily</b> 15:24
13,15,22,24,25	documents 11:15	108:1,24	58:19,24 150:13
122:3,4,5,6,7,		116:13,20,25	
16,20 123:3,13,	14:23 15:1,4,21	118:17,20,22	<b>East</b> 58:8
14,21 128:11,	16:4,7 19:14	121:22 122:17,	141:10,14
	34:2,19,20		F0.10
22,25 129:5,6,	40:10 44:25	21 123:4	<b>easy</b> 50:12
11	47:10 50:18	124:11,13	Eclipse 72:2
disorders 94:9		125:14,22	
		126:9,15,16,17	eclipsed 169:15
	64:23 65:2,7,24	129:6,14	
128:12	66:3,8 68:23		<b>edition</b> 116:23
<b>display</b> 145:17	69:2 167:17	<b>drying</b> 59:9	education 92:11
	<b>Demal</b> 17,12 14	<b>DAM TH</b> 116.00	93:7
displaying 146:3	<b>Doral</b> 17:13,14	<b>DSM-IV</b> 116:23	93.1
	dormitories 95:5	117:7,11 118:18	<b>effect</b> 33:18
displays 146:8		121:16	34:12 35:18
disproportionatel	<b>double</b> 49:4,7	<b>d</b>	134:25 135:8
	develo 1 1 - 1	<b>due</b> 10:25	131.23 133.0
<b>y</b> 39:13	double-check	102:15,25	effects 75:14
dispute 14:20	40:25 57:24	105:21 147:25	77:15 135:1,11
20:12	68:15 114:2,7,	169:9	
	8,19,21,22		<b>effort</b> 34:14
disputing 29:18,		<b>duly</b> 6:3	153:25 168:10,
24 83:17	<b>doubled</b> 148:22	<b>duty</b> 95:8	16
	<b>doubt</b> 141:5	_	
distant 49:23		dysthymia 82:11	efforts 33:9
distinction	<b>dozen</b> 16:14		64:17 145:10
	17:23		
67:1,4		E	elaborate 50:23
distract 164:17,	dramatic 150:11		77:2
20	dramatically	<b>e-mails</b> 12:16	elements 19:7
20	-	05.4 00.01	135:15
District 95:24	114:23	<b>e.g.</b> 95:4 98:21	135.15
	drink 98:21,23	99:3 108:25	eliminated 84:25
<b>dive</b> 50:4	115:9	109:1 117:4	
divided 43:17	110-2	126:23	<b>Elvis</b> 5:9 74:18,
arvided istr	drinking 106:19,		21,24 75:1,2,4,
<b>dizzy</b> 111:12	23	earlier 9:15	18,24 76:5,12
_		28:22 30:15	83:23 84:9
<b>doctor</b> 58:10	<b>drop</b> 48:13	38:25 43:3 74:4	
104:14 106:3	dropouts 95:16	85:18 161:21	<b>Elvis'</b> 74:16
110:20 126:16	aropours 32.10	171:16	75:23
132:4 136:7	<b>dropped</b> 47:7,21	• • • • • •	
138:23 150:18,		<b>early</b> 38:2,19	embarrassing
19	<b>drops</b> 169:12	39:12 56:1,6	97:3
	<b>drug</b> 93:21 94:5	57:7 137:16	embrace 55:3
doctor's 126:22	96:16 97:6,10,	164:4	165:3
1			T02+2
document 33:23	21 98:7,24	<b>earth</b> 72:23	emergency 126:22
35:16 37:6,16	107:19,20,22	73:10,18,21,25	
39:1 40:14,15	110:23 111:19,	74:2,8 82:7	emphysema
43:13,14 44:2,	20 118:15,16,17	87:21	143:10,17,22
13 51:19 86:23	119:3,8 121:24		145:22
94:3 101:19	122:4,5 127:7,	<b>earth?,'</b> 73:19	_
120:7 124:8	20,23 128:6	<b>easier</b> 80:11	emphysematous
130:3 156:13,	129:3 130:2,9		146:4
120.2 120.12,	129.3 130.2,9	136:5	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: employee..extract

	Köbert Hibetoi, H	II.D. 011 01/18/2022	muex. employeeextract
employee 37:17,	116:16	<b>exceeds</b> 120:10	80:10 89:11
18 39:2 44:14	estimated 100:21	exception 95:11	140:22
employs 53:17	101:24 105:2,25	exchanged 16:13	expect 12:2
95:22	106:17 111:2,7,	-	17:20 32:16
<b>enable</b> 41:10	18 117:22	excited 93:18	51:9 70:9
147.0	118:1,3 120:5,	excludes 95:6	expectation
encourage 147:2	9,17,19 122:15, 19,21 123:2,4,	excluding 125:9	10:13
<b>end</b> 46:4 58:10	20,22 125:15,23	-	expectations 7:4
59:5 60:3 73:19	127:18 130:1,7	<b>executive</b> 37:17	10:15 160:3,7,8
74:22 98:10 107:15	147:24 150:6	39:2 143:1 147:20	162:21 168:24
	estimates 97:20		169:1 172:12,
endorsed 143:7	98:5 102:25	exhaustive 15:15	15,16
<b>Energy</b> 55:14	103:3,4,8	17:2	experiences 7:14
56:10 57:14	109:20 118:15,	exhibit 5:3	<b>expert</b> 5:5,6
engaged 106:1,	16 123:16,17	11:3,9 13:13,	6:21,23 10:20
18,23	125:5,6,13,21	15,25 14:2,5 18:19,20,22,24	14:3,10,13,19
<b>enjoy</b> 71:10	127:2,14,15 129:24,25	19:1,10 30:6,7,	15:2,22 16:6,22
		16 31:18 32:18,	17:1 18:19,20,
<b>enjoyed</b> 59:25 60:2 71:22	European 73:17	19,23,24 33:7	24 21:4 33:6
	evaluation 44:4	36:19,20 43:11	34:18 43:4 58:15 139:11
<b>enter</b> 96:12,19	<b>Evelyn</b> 144:20	45:23 52:2,3,5	163:23
<b>entire</b> 39:9,10	-	54:2,12,14,18	
77:2 85:20	event 5:18 136:1 141:1 145:17	58:15 72:25 73:1,8 74:11,	<b>explain</b> 168:7
87:4,23		12,15 76:19,23	explained 40:3
entities 23:23	<b>eventually</b> 155:16 169:9,	79:19,21 80:1	43:21 141:21
24:4 26:25	12,15	85:7,9,12	explicit 38:18
entitled 39:21		86:15,20,21	50:24 51:9,17
131:7 138:24	evergreen 99:8	89:9,17,19,22 93:19,22 99:15,	explicitly 44:22
141:1 142:19	everyone's 50:3	22 107:11,12,17	51:3 54:6
144:18	evidence 24:1	110:21 111:13	exploration
<b>entity</b> 26:19	26:22 29:17,23	130:20,22,24	77:19
<b>entries</b> 53:16	33:15,21 35:14	131:5,6 133:15,	explored 168:12
envious 39:14	36:5 38:11 40:13 41:16,23	17 135:17,19 136:22,23,24	exposed 172:4
	40:13 41:18,23 42:12 57:18	138:22,23	_
environment 21:18,23		140:17,18,19,25	exposing 167:21
-	<b>exact</b> 37:20 61:2,13 62:9	142:14,15,17	<b>express</b> 56:21
equally 19:15 67:23	87:3	144:8,9,12	expressed 12:3
	EXAMINATION 6:6	146:11,12	46:2
error 75:13	169:21	148:5,6,13,17 149:6,9,10	<b>extent</b> 6:17 59:7
77:14 92:15		151:8,9,11	<b>external</b> 44:10
escaping 140:14	examined 6:4	157:6	45:8
essentially 17:8	examples 45:5	exhibits 17:2	
estimate 103:1	159:6	19:14,18 21:3	extract 99:7
		30:12 44:25	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: extremely..formulation

extremely 71:13	154:15 157:13	12,15 39:17	<b>fish</b> 36:11
	families 94:4	40:2,3 53:18 54:19,25 55:4,	<b>fit</b> 82:3
F	<b>family</b> 109:12	13,15 56:6,16	<b>fixed</b> 46:17 95:6
face-to-face	<b>fans</b> 74:22	59:24 162:11	flagship 168:16
96:3	<b>farewell</b> 130:21	<b>filter-tip</b> 53:16,17 55:22	169:13
facilities 127:4	fascinated 79:9	-	flavors 60:19
<pre>facility 124:24 126:21 127:1,2,</pre>	<b>faster</b> 43:6 110:12 152:3	<b>filter-tips</b> 33:13 54:19,24	Flintstones 15:7,20
5,8	<b>FDA</b> 167:19	<b>filtered</b> 33:15 160:17 162:11	Florida 9:18
fact 10:2 17:6 23:4 24:21	<b>fear</b> 64:18	filtering 134:24	<b>fly</b> 114:10
25:25 36:8	fears 57:1 60:20	135:7 139:18	focus 121:3
39:13,15 43:14 48:1 57:20	61:11	140:1,7	133:21
61:7,17 75:1	features 162:4	<b>filters</b> 10:14 17:25 33:10,16,	focused 145:10
118:11 121:8	February 5:10	17,23 35:16,22	<b>follow</b> 22:17
162:10,13,15,19 163:7 168:21	52:14 76:20	36:3,13 38:13, 16,19 39:17	<b>follow-up</b> 169:23 172:22
<b>factor</b> 31:20	<b>federal</b> 56:10 57:15 125:13,21	55:3 165:12	fond 130:21
46:21 129:1	137:1,14	filtration 56:8,	foods 34:7
factories 56:9	<b>feel</b> 77:20 89:18	12	<b>fool</b> 77:3
<b>facts</b> 17:4,9 142:2	<b>festival</b> 136:16,	<b>find</b> 20:4,19,21 34:11,12,22	footnote 36:25
<b>failed</b> 71:18,19	18	36:13 44:18	37:2 40:6
failure 71:24,25	<b>fibers</b> 55:23 57:5	48:13 51:7	footnotes 14:22
116:14	<b>figure</b> 100:10,12	52:25 54:10 90:8 98:2	31:18
<b>fair</b> 18:17 20:16	104:22 109:25	103:21 114:24	force 57:12
27:18 28:11	118:6,7 120:24,	136:6 147:12	82:21 92:13 93:7 167:15
57:9 120:16 123:1 170:21	25 130:11 150:17	150:16,21 165:4,9 168:1	<b>forever</b> 147:6
fairly 57:10	<b>figures</b> 10:21	finding 110:15	forgive 11:6
89:14	22:13 41:8	165:17	90:10 110:13,15
fairness 30:20	figuring 49:3	<b>findings</b> 38:8 45:15 90:12,17	form 17:17 40:19
<b>fake</b> 72:8	<b>filled</b> 102:9	45:15 90:12,17 140:11,12 143:7	81:14 90:21 100:17 153:7
<b>faked</b> 77:7,8,20	film 141:14,15,	<b>fine</b> 6:9 84:14	154:24 155:5
78:12	22,25	104:13 144:3	formed 9:24
<b>false</b> 10:13,15 160:7 162:20	films 5:17 141:1 142:7	fingers 107:5	forms 81:5
169:1	<b>filter</b> 34:13,15,	<b>finish</b> 104:11	formulas 61:13
familiar 7:6	25 35:9,22	<b>firm</b> 16:15	formulated 47:6
15:5 16:3,10,12 33:4 80:7 93:24	36:5,6,8,23 37:21 38:2,3,4,	<b>firms</b> 44:18	formulation 154:10 155:6

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: fortnightly..growing

	Kobert Hideloi, H		nuex. for ungittygrowing
fortnightly		<b>general</b> 39:17	<b>Golden</b> 20:9,19
	G	5	· ·
37:24 38:1	G	46:20 73:9	42:8
Fortune 5:7		81:10 87:20	<b>good</b> 6:8,9
52:7,12 56:5,16	<b>gain</b> 42:4	151:3 170:1,7	30:13,14 51:25
52.7,12 50.5,10	<b>G 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	172:18	
<b>found</b> 41:4 48:8	Galison 11:19		52:10 53:10
52:13 58:20,25	Gallup 5:9,10,	General's	54:1,10 56:2,11
-		137:21,24	58:3,4,5,11
59:15 63:8 65:3	11,13 73:8,15	138:11,16	89:16 140:21,23
66:4 69:3	74:6,15 75:23		152:18,19
117:18 132:20	76:20 77:3 78:7	generalities	
150:22	80:2,3,6,18,22	8:21	158:10,21,25
	81:3 83:20		161:17 170:25
four-fifths	85:11,14,20	generally 9:18	good-humored
73:10	86:16 87:3,23	11:25 18:13	147:2
<b>5 7</b>		22:14 25:13	147.2
fourth 73:10	89:20 90:1	36:15 38:13	<b>goodie</b> 133:19
Fox 76:21 77:1	92:3,14 93:1	39:7 46:15,21	Jooure 199919
	161:3		Googled 99:6
fraction 166:10		47:22 48:11	
	<b>gas</b> 36:16	51:1 56:4 76:13	gosh 94:22 98:14
<b>frame</b> 159:12	<b>Gauged</b> 74:10	80:8 94:1	governed 153:24
<b>5 1</b> 10.11	Gauged 74.10	157:13	governed 153.24
<b>fraud</b> 10:11	gauges 73:9		government 56:10
18:16 20:3 55:5	55	Generals' 97:13	57:15 77:6,19
154:4 168:11,12	gave 83:7		
		generating 97:2	78:12 79:3
frauds 10:11	Gazette 5:18	gentleman 55:9	125:13,21
17:23 18:2	139:2,13,24	generement 5505	governmentig
162:20	140:5 142:18	German 57:3,7	government's
	143:19		167:10
fraudulent		<b>get all</b> 79:15	governs 154:1
162:9,17	gears 52:1 93:15		governs 194.1
		gimmick 62:4	grade 141:23
<b>freedom</b> 144:22	<b>Geist</b> 5:4,6	gimmicks 61:14	142:7,10
Friday 142:25	6:11,20 7:13,	3 01-2 01 - 1	/ _ 0
	22,23 8:1,8,17	gimmicky 61:16	gradually 149:1
145:20	9:3,6 11:24		
<b>front</b> 36:17	12:10,13,22	give 7:5 22:13	<b>gram</b> 46:18
166:22		31:4 33:14	great 6:25
100.22	13:14,20,22	61:14 71:23	-
FTC 46:8	17:10 18:7,25	84:25 93:15	136:18 144:25
	19:5 22:18	110:19 134:22	145:4,25 147:1
full-sized 63:13	25:19,20,22,25	138:19 150:4	151:13 152:15
65:8 66:9	26:2,4,8,14,23		
	27:3,7,11,20,25	156:14 157:2	greater 92:11
<b>fun</b> 20:21 93:17	28:6,15,19,24	giving 46:7	137:16
function 143:14		5 5	amound up (CO)
	29:3,11,17	50:13 121:20	ground-up 66:25
functionally	131:19 141:12	172:17	<b>group</b> 95:4,8
67:5	154:12,22		
07.5	155:3,11 166:18	<b>glass</b> 98:22	100:18 108:24
fundamentally	170:10 171:12,	glossary 117:18	122:11 126:23
38:2		J,, 10	136:18
	17 172:2	<b>goal</b> 97:2	groupg 120.4
	Geist's 25:24		groups 128:4
	171:22 172:15	<b>God</b> 89:12	143:8
	1/1.22 1/2.13	goers 136:16	growing 165:9,14
		AACT 9 130.10	growing 105.9,14

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: grown..holds

	Robert Proctor, P	h.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: grownholds
grown 99:8	134:1 141:3 155:7 164:2	hear 29:21	12 168:3,9,25 169:8,22
growth 55:4		heard 23:14,20	172:10,21
guarantee 49:19	<pre>harm 59:7 163:6     164:11 167:6</pre>	26:8,14 29:17, 23 76:12 99:12	herbal 99:7
guarded 44:20,24		137:3 170:16	
45:2,3 50:25	<pre>harmful 87:5,12, 16,20 90:19,23</pre>	hearing 42:6	<pre>heroin 98:25 102:25 103:2,5,</pre>
guess 15:15 18:5	91:7 134:25	167:2,9,13	18,20 107:23
47:17 58:9 71:7	135:1,7,11	hearings 72:10	108:24 109:3
90:5 145:9	<b>harms</b> 146:9	167:25	117:1 118:20 163:17
<b>guy</b> 79:10	Harris 55:8	<b>heart</b> 88:1 138:7	
	Harvard 11:20	143:11,16,22	HHS 95:2
H	151:1,2	<b>heating</b> 167:19,	hiding 74:24
<b>H.B.</b> 39:23 40:7	<b>hazard</b> 57:6,22	21	high 31:12 46:5
habit 134:22	93:13 137:1,12	<b>heavily</b> 118:10	58:19,24 68:2 81:25 95:15
149:2	hazardous 44:23	<b>heavy</b> 40:4	141:22 142:1,8,
habitual 63:7	62:7 162:6,7	Hedges 48:14	11
65:3 66:3 69:3	hazards 25:7	Heller 139:19,	<b>higher</b> 72:13
hair 79:10,12	28:2,7 42:18	21,25 140:6	76:14 81:25
<b>half</b> 13:4 40:3	165:14	helped 166:13	82:5 92:12
47:24 48:25	head 15:23 39:5		111:2,7 118:3 119:21 120:19
	headline 136:11	Henninger 4:6,9 6:7 7:21,23,25	123:4 125:6,23
25 61:25 62:1,	headphones 96:11		131:7 132:14,20
6,15,22,25 63:2,7,12,13,	health 23:16,22	13:16 14:6	highest 63:16
16,17 64:7	24:4 25:7	18:23 19:2 30:8	69:16
69:16,21 70:1,	26:10,16,25	32:22 41:22 52:4 54:15	highlighted
4,22 72:3,4,12,	28:1,7 38:21	58:2,6,13 73:4,	58:19 61:8
13,16 79:12 81:10 108:10	39:15,16,18 43:15,23 61:11	6 74:13 76:24	98:19 136:2
115:15,20	43.15,23 61.11 87:5,13,17,20	79:22 85:10	highlights
	90:19 91:7	86:22 89:23	137:24
halfway 95:5,19	93:21 94:3,6,7,	93:23 104:9,14, 15 107:3,9,14,	<b>highly</b> 96:14
hallucinogens 98:25 107:23	10,11 95:2 96:16 97:6	16 109:12,16	historical 5:11
117:2 118:20	107:18,20	126:6,8 130:25	21:17 80:3
Hammond 133:7,10	116:13 126:22	133:18 135:20 136:25 140:4,20	169:10
	127:8 132:11	142:16 144:5,10	historically
hamstrung 45:10	137:1,12 141:24 143:8 147:21	146:13 148:7	69:9 80:6 162:12
hand 153:23	150:25 152:2	149:5,8,11	
handy 156:14	154:2 157:2	151:10 156:16 158:5,7,9,12,23	<b>history</b> 34:23 79:4 97:6
happen 84:19	158:16,18,21	159:9,11,14	
happy 140:22	163:9 168:24 170:16	160:5 161:1,14	<b>hit</b> 58:4
		162:25 163:15	Hold 105:24
hard 61:5 62:10 63:13 65:8 66:9	healthier 168:10	164:15 165:7,20 166:8,23 167:3,	holds 151:1
		100.0,23 10/.3,	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: Holocaust..inhability

	Robert Proctor, Pl	n.D. on 01/18/2022 Inc	dex: Holocaustinhability
Holocaust 20:10,	<b>idea</b> 10:19 51:3	illusions 33:8	<b>increase</b> 134:10
19 42:8	61:16 125:17 141:11 147:4	<b>imagery</b> 165:24	150:11 151:24
home 5:15 116:15	167:21	imagine 7:5	increased 115:25
131:8,12,17,19 133:20	identical 31:7	15:17 20:14 52:23	<b>increases</b> 134:12,17
homeless 95:18	identification	impact 93:3	138:3,7,12
<b>homes</b> 95:9	11:9 13:15 14:5 18:22 19:1 30:7	121:6 158:21	148:25
honest 97:2	52:3 54:14 73:1	impacts 94:4	incurring 136:17
<pre>honestly 64:12 99:12</pre>	74:12 76:23 79:21 85:9 86:21 89:22	<pre>impairment 116:12</pre>	<b>indicators</b> 21:11 107:18
honesty 44:18	93:22 130:24	imply 38:17	indirectly
<b>honor</b> 136:19	133:17 135:19	140:12 162:17	168:19,20 169:11
	136:24 140:19	implying 61:12	
<b>hooked</b> 147:6	142:15 144:9		individual 25:14
hope 22:18 54:4 56:19 97:12	146:12 148:6 149:10 151:9	<pre>importance 59:6 important 13:9</pre>	<b>individuals</b> 83:9 171:17
	identified 15:24	67:23 138:5,17	
Horn 133:7,10 hospital 95:10 126:20 127:6	39:6 52:6 148:17	<pre>importantly 67:22</pre>	industry 38:23 40:10 50:25 64:17 70:18
151:3 hospitals 56:9	<pre>identify 15:21     37:16 38:25     50:17 51:11</pre>	<b>impression</b> 33:14 42:3,4	165:22 166:1 167:14
Hotel 141:16	52:7 63:6,11 64:22 65:1,6,23	<b>improper</b> 120:21 123:24	industry's 34:19 51:8 164:19
hour 13:4 51:21 104:11	66:2,7 68:22 69:1 73:7	improves 143:14	inert 34:9
<b>hours</b> 147:3,6,8		inability 43:4	infects 154:3
household 96:3,	<b>ignorance</b> 76:8, 13 78:25	inaudible 140:2	<b>infer</b> 141:13
5,7	<b>II</b> 150:12	<b>include</b> 10:13	information 7:13
households 95:3	<b>III</b> 147:20	95:15,18 108:24	21:18,23 23:13, 15,19,21 24:7,
		109:4 116:25	12,17 26:7,9,
houses 95:4,5,19	illicit 94:9	162:9	13,15 27:2,6,10
Human 95:2	96:15 97:5,21 98:7,24 107:20,	included 111:15	69:12 96:14
hundreds 14:23	22 110:23	116:15 127:2	107:22 124:17
72:23	116:25 118:14,	includes 98:24	126:25 131:23 132:22,23
hydrocodone	16,17,19 119:3,	109:2 126:19	147:17 151:1
108:25	8 121:22,24	including 7:7	164:21 170:9,
	122:4,5,17,21 123:4 124:11,13	17:12 71:17	15,16,23 171:4
I	125:14,22 126:9	98:25 107:23 116:13 117:1	172:2
	127:20 129:6	122:2 124:12	informed 157:3
i.e. 33:8 98:9 112:7 118:22	130:9	140:24	ingredients
12:7 118:22	<b>illness</b> 143:16	Incorporated	167:17
126:14	<b>illnesses</b> 159:17	44:3	inhability 162:2

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: inhalable..kettle

inhalable 62:12, installations 19 64:12,15 162:24 inhalants 99:1 107:23 117:2 118:20 inhalation 162:2 **inhale** 61:17 62:3 63:8,13 65:4,8 66:4,9 69:4 Inhaleability 10:7 inhaled 58:21 59:14,24,25 60:2,15,25 61:17,21 62:1 63:21 64:2 71:10,22 inhaling 64:18 inhaling,' 60:12 **initiate** 113:21, 25 114:13 115:16,20 initiated 112:6, 10,16,21 113:4, 12,14 115:8 initiates 111:19,20 113:9,11 115:4, 10,25 116:5 initiating 114:5 initiation 111:14,16,25 115:2,6 **Initiative** 30:22 inpatient 126:20,21 127:7,8 **inside** 38:22 51:8 insists 147:5 Introduction

94:3 55:22 instance 44:10 165:13 instances 43:1 Institute 23:23 26:16 139:21,25 140:6 institutionalized 95:8 institutions 95:9 instructor 151:2 **intend** 15:1 16:20 intended 124:10 132:18 **intent** 163:2 interesting 38:5 82:1 internal 37:16 39:1 47:9 50:17 51:11 55:2 63:1,6,11 64:22 65:1,6,23 66:2, 7 68:22 69:1

interview 86:24 96:3,4,8 98:8 111:23 126:13

interviewer 96:5 interviewers

96:18

interviewing 85:15,19 96:17

interviews 75:8 76:17 77:11 90:12 introduced 17:17 35:9 36:24 38:12 61:10

71:16

invention 33:23 35:16 **invited** 141:18 **invites** 28:10 **involve** 7:3 18:3 **involved** 15:12 35:9 78:20 153:13 162:9 involves 7:4 **Iowa** 5:19,20,21, 22 141:11,12,14 144:14 145:10, 24 146:15 148:14,16 149:13 151:17, 23 152:1,2 irritation 138:6 **ISO** 46:8 **issue** 67:6 79:24 **issued** 134:9 144:20 **issues** 20:7 94:4,10 96:16 124:18 italicized 150:23 **items** 10:17 J **Jackie** 5:21 148:8 **jail** 126:23 **jails** 95:9 **James** 41:6,10 55:1 **January** 137:3 138:10 **jeez** 98:1 **kettle** 36:10 Jersey 41:8

**Joe** 165:16 166:9,12 171:16,21 John 139:19 147:18 **Johnson** 149:17 150:18,25 151:4 join 144:22 joint 151:2 Journal 5:17,19 137:2,4 138:10 141:4 142:6 144:15 145:24 148:14,16 **July** 5:9 9:25 10:1 73:8 77:5, 12 90:3,6 jump 168:10 **June** 5:18 90:5, 14 133:22 134:15 135:5 142:18 143:19 junior 141:25 142:8 к K-R-A-T-O-M 98:17 **Kelley** 15:25 16:9 Kennedy 60:9 Kent 35:23 36:7, 8 37:5,18,25 38:24 39:2,13, 17 41:5,11,17, 24 53:18 55:25 56:6,17 Kent's 41:7 Kents 39:11 Kentucky 41:9

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: key..Lorillard

	Robert Proctor, P	<b>II.D.</b> 0II 01/18/2022	Index: keyLormar
<b>key</b> 59:6 67:5	lacking 53:13	<b>legal</b> 67:19	links 151:20
107:18	<b>land</b> 76:21	legalization	<b>liquor</b> 98:23
kids 98:2 103:20 108:10 165:4,18	landed 78:17	121:5 <b>legally</b> 66:21	<b>Lisa</b> 126:6 149:5 157:15
166:3,7 <b>kill</b> 160:10	<pre>landing 20:18 76:22 77:7,8,20 78:13,16 84:19</pre>	67:4 68:12 legitimate	<b>list</b> 12:9 15:15 16:17 17:2
<b>killer</b> 149:20 150:1	<b>language</b> 39:7 44:20 45:3,7,11	129:18 length 149:1	19:17,18 70:11 167:17
<b>Kim</b> 157:25	50:25 51:8	lengthy 80:5	listed 70:6
Kimberly 8:20,24	<b>laptop</b> 96:12,20	lessens 138:4	106:7
kind 8:21 17:1	97:1		<b>listen</b> 96:11
33:3 34:8 35:25	largely 71:18	<b>letter</b> 41:6	<b>literal</b> 10:18
55:19,20 56:3,7 61:16 67:2	<b>largest</b> 147:22	<b>letting</b> 79:23	literature 57:3,
108:21 133:2 150:23 163:22	Las 20:15 late 17:17 38:2	<pre>level 45:25   46:5,24 63:22   64:3 73:9,16</pre>	4 litigation 109:9
kinds 15:10 53:24 79:3	75:22 78:6 83:20 84:5,8,17	141:23	<b>live</b> 146:20 147:5
king 17:24 74:17	168:17	<b>levels</b> 45:25 48:13 64:14	<b>lived</b> 131:19
King's 74:22	<b>latest</b> 134:7	Lewisville 41:9	<b>lives</b> 74:21
knew 7:17 28:9 33:9 42:17	<b>launch</b> 72:18 <b>launched</b> 60:20	<b>Library</b> 145:19	96:24 132:24,25 133:1 167:11
33.9 42.17 44:23 74:8 170:11,15,24	72:5	<b>life</b> 7:14 25:11 28:8 165:18	living 17:7
171:5 172:5,8	Lawson 43:21 44:3,7 45:9	lifetime 111:18	<b>local</b> 137:8
knowing 62:10	lawyers 49:4	112:1 126:10,12	
knowledge 7:19	lay 56:15 150:25	Liggett 153:1,4, 11,14 154:7,12,	
36:3 73:9,14,16	<b>leading</b> 159:19	20 155:2,16,18,	Lois 55:1
kratom 97:22,24 98:3,13 99:6	162:20 166:13, 15	19 156:3 157:1, 7,10	34:23 126:5
Kreteks 97:24	<b>leads</b> 10:12	Liggett-specific 155:13	160:9 164:3 long-term 95:10
L	<pre>leaf 58:20,25 59:10,14 67:1,</pre>	light 17:15 162:15 165:13	longer 38:3 75:4 138:3,12
labels 68:7	17,18 68:13	167:16	looked 121:15
155:11,13,14	learning 163:25	lights 10:14	144:11
<b>labor</b> 92:10,12, 19,22 93:2,7	<b>leaves</b> 66:25 99:7	17:14,25 43:8, 20 162:14	Lorillard 36:21 37:8,9,10,13,
laboratories	leaving 95:11	165:12	15,17,18,23
56:9 156:8,11	<b>led</b> 10:15	likelihood 160:23	38:7,8 39:1,9, 23 40:13 41:2,
laboratory 157:3	<b>left</b> 16:24 47:25	lines 60:17	16,23 42:17 44:12 46:1

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: Lorillard's..marketing

	100001011000001,11		x. Lormaru smarketing
47:16 48:5,16	90:2 91:14,16,	magnitude 102:8	March 75:11
49:10 50:8,18	21,25 93:4	159:16	141:4,16 142:6
51:12 55:22	133:11 134:10,	mailed 151:4	<b>margin</b> 92:15
57:19 60:3,15,	11,12,16,17		-
17 62:25 71:17	136:17 137:13	<b>main</b> 37:10	<b>Maria</b> 152:18
Lorillard's	138:1,2,12	161:25	<b>marijuana</b> 98:24
37:10 55:8,12	140:14 141:15 143:10,14,16,21	<b>maintain</b> 72:4,17	107:22 117:1
<b>lot</b> 42:17 57:9	145:18,19,20,21	<b>major</b> 53:16	118:20 119:12,
66:12,25 67:3,	147:13,21,25	116:14 134:11,	15,19 120:1,6,
7,8 78:25 79:1,	148:2,20,22,24	16 137:12	10,14,18,25
2 89:14 93:9	149:19,25	majority 74:25	121:2,5,6,9 122:6
106:7 158:15	150:6,8,11	77:5 82:21	122.0
162:17 167:20	151:20,23 152:2		<b>mark</b> 11:3 13:12,
<b>low</b> 10:14 17:25	160:20,24	make 6:18 8:3	25 14:1 18:18
43:24 47:7,10	161:4,5,7,13,19	10:8 14:1	52:1 74:11
48:7,17 49:11	171:11	34:14,25 36:14	76:19 79:19
50:9 64:6	lungs 57:21	46:22 58:9 60:23 87:24	93:19 147:1
102:16,20,21,25	146:4	60:23 87:24 112:19 114:9	marked 11:9
103:8,12 105:21	<b>lure</b> 165:4	124:5 135:14	13:15 14:5
162:12,13	1010 105.4	144:1 148:11,15	18:18,22 19:1,
165:12		155:16,17 162:5	10 30:7,16
<b>low-sugar</b> 58:20,	M	170:25	32:19,24 33:7
25 59:14		<b>makers</b> 167:4	52:3 54:14 73:1
<b>lower</b> 32:2 46:24	<b>machine</b> 48:18 49:12	makers 107.4	74:12 76:23 79:21 85:9,12
48:13 64:6	49.12	<b>makes</b> 155:8	86:20,21 89:17,
67:23,24 78:19	<b>macular</b> 159:21	157:6	22 93:22 130:24
82:18 92:13	Madam 173:1	making 22:1	133:17 135:19
103:2,17 105:17	made 10:20 22:8,	27:15 35:7,9,12	136:24 140:19
109:21 112:8	11 23:6 24:2,22	42:8 50:24	142:15 144:7,9
lowered 46:3	26:2,23 27:16	111:12 155:18	146:12 148:6
50:20 51:14	33:17 36:1	Mall 145:20	149:10 151:9
lowering 46:7	49:23,25 55:22, 25 56:7 59:14	manipulated 67:2	<b>market</b> 44:4 53:17 63:16
<b>lowest</b> 47:14	60:3,8,13,15	manipulation	69:8,12,16,17,
48:4 50:7	61:5 62:12	167:18	20 70:3,8,13,16
lows 48:2	66:16,24,25	<b>manner</b> 47:6	71:5,18 72:4,
	67:19 120:22		11,17 154:18
LSD 111:3	156:7 168:19	mantra 74:22	166:11
lucky 55:14	Madison 60:2,12	<b>manual</b> 92:10,19,	marketed 59:20
lung 39:23 40:9	65:11,17,20,24	22 93:2 116:22	60:1,5,18 61:7
57:2,5 71:23	66:3,8 70:7,23	manufacture 59:9	64:9 71:9
80:13,20 81:12,	Madisons 60:10,	manufactured	marketers 43:17
17,22 82:6,16,	17 66:15	61:22 153:1	marketing 36:23
25 83:4,11,22	magazine 5:7		37:4,5 38:7
84:11,21 85:22	39:10,12 52:7,	manufacturers	43:13,14 44:9,
86:6,12 88:6,	12 56:5,16	33:9 45:24 172:14	17,24 45:2,13
10,18 89:21		1/2.14	166:5,14

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: marketplace..mixture

marketplace 71:24 marking 54:12 73:8 Marlboro 17:8 43:19 154:8 166:14 Martin 11:7 22:22 23:10 152:25 154:8 170:15 171:5 **Maryland** 139:22 Massachusetts 151:3 material 12:1 55:13 56:11 materials 11:24 12:5,7,10,13 20:24 **math** 58:9 101:21 113:17 114:9 125:25 129:12, 16 **matter** 8:8,17 11:24 14:14 43:12 129:10 **maximum** 75:13 77:14 **Maxwell** 69:9,11, 15 70:6 **Mayor** 144:20 meaning 60:14 70:13 82:11 meaningful 93:3 **means** 35:11 137:7 160:6 161:12,16 171:10 **meant** 38:17 170:23 measurable 70:13 **measure** 48:18

49:12 73:14 103:8 111:15 158:21,24 measured 102:20 measures 124:14 measuring 69:8 111:25 **media** 40:16,19 79:1 **medical** 36:21 38:12 124:12 136:1 143:8 151:1 medicine 151:2 **meet** 116:14 117:15 **meeting** 12:19,25 117:11 118:18 meetings 12:21 156:6 memo 35:21 **men** 76:21 78:17 137:14 138:1 **men's** 148:20 **mental** 94:3,7, 10,11 95:9 96:16 97:6 107:18 116:22 124:12 126:22 127:8 menthol 17:24 60:19 mention 14:15 17:16 54:11 56:4,19 164:10 mentioned 12:19 15:20 20:15 21:9 35:18 47:9 54:6 60:17 66:18 128:25 155:22 160:2,12 161:21,25 162:8

mentioning 56:3 Merit 17:14 48:14 mesothelioma 57:2,5 message 39:15 messages 164:14 165:4 **messing** 147:25 13,16 **met** 117:14,16 152:20 159:18 methamphetamine 99:1 methamphetamines 103:24,25 104:3 107:24 117:2 118:21 21:23 **method** 134:24 135:6 139:18 140:1,7 methodology 35:11 84:24 **methods** 77:9,10 **metric** 42:20 Mexico-specific 160:17 19:7,9 Micronite 36:23 37:21 38:2,12 40:2 **mid** 60:13 75:22 117:3 78:6 84:17 migratory 95:5 118:22 Mike 50:13,15 milds 17:25 military 95:7 Miller 55:1 milligram 50:21 51:15 milligrams 46:12,13,25

millimeter 44:4 million 100:3 104:19,20 105:12,25 106:13,14,17 108:10 112:6,15 115:8,11,12,25 116:1 119:2,16, 17 120:2 122:1, 3,4,5,6,12,15 125:4 130:10, mind 10:9 minor 162:8 minus 75:14 77:16 92:15 **minutes** 58:7,11 misinformation misleading 169:5 mission 78:23 misspoke 90:25 misstated 107:10 mistakenly 99:23 misunderstanding **misuse** 99:1 107:24 108:1,2 109:2,5,19 111:19 116:19 misused 110:5 misusers 111:21 misusing 111:21 MIT 11:20 Mitragyna 99:8 Mitragynine 99:9 mixed 98:23 **mixture** 71:24

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022

mode 96:15 moderate 40:5 **modern** 59:24 modified 53:21 moment 8:5 63:4 93:16 momentarily 130:23 Monahan 55:1 **Monday** 151:17 **money** 10:19 168:15 **month** 97:19 98:9,20,24 100:2,13,18,24 101:14 104:18 105:4,6,10,11, 17 106:12,25 110:7,10,24 111:3,8 120:12 122:23 123:1 125:16 **months** 12:24 111:22 112:7,8, 16 113:5 115:8 116:17,21,25 117:13 126:13 moon 76:21 77:2, 7,20 78:12,16, 17,23 84:19 morning 6:8,9 morphine 99:10 109:1 **Morris** 24:19 27:12 47:25 48:15 49:25 51:5 60:1 64:9 71:17 **motivate** 147:17 Mountain 5:15 131:8,12,17,19 133:20

mouse 30:9 157:4 needed 107:15 **move** 46:23 72:25 89:19 111:1 movement 121:6 **moving** 89:16 99:23 **MTF** 101:6 multi-stage 95:22 Muscatine 5:17, 19 137:2,3 138:10 141:4,18 142:6 144:14,22 145:20,24 148:14,16 **Musser** 145:19 Ν **names** 60:18 Narcotics 126:24 **narrow** 154:9 narrowly 42:20 **nation** 95:23 national 31:23 32:2 75:8 77:11 90:13 93:20 94:5 101:5 107:19 134:8 139:20,21,25 140:6 145:12 nationally 31:10,14 94:8 **nationwide** 145:8 **night** 49:8 89:12 **natural** 18:1 162:15 169:13, 16 **nature** 25:7 28:2 93:12 159:16 163:14 170:17 necessarily 17:2 62:9 135:15

125:4 **needing** 124:19 needlessly 162:6 negative 49:7 negatives 49:4 **Nevada** 5:7 6:11 7:3,4 19:22,25 20:4,10,17,25 21:7,10,12,18, 24 22:9,12 30:17,18,23 31:5,13,23 32:2,8,12 Nevada-specific 21:3 **Newport** 166:15 **news** 140:21 164:17 newspaper 137:9 164:9 nice 152:21 Nick 8:20,25 nicotine 24:14 27:8 28:20 40:4 45:25 46:3,5, 12,13,20 47:4, 11,14,25 48:4, 7,17,19,20 49:11,14,15,24 50:7,20 51:2,14 63:20,22 64:2, 3,5 163:14,16 167:5,18 no-additive 169:13 non-liggett 154:22 155:4 nonaddictive 51:6 135:15 noncombustible

Index: mode..NSDUH nonetheless 162:17 noninhalable 61:20 64:13 71:20 135:14 noninstitutionali **zed** 94:12,25 95:3 nonopioids 109:4 nonphysicians 37:23 nonsmoker 136:18 147:13 148:2 nonsmokers 85:21,25 86:3 87:4,24 88:14 132:14 133:12 143:9,20 159:24 Nontobacco 126:17 normal 145:21 146:4 Norman 136:3 **notably** 169:11 **note** 89:10 124:6 **notes** 13:22 152:7,8 **notice** 5:4 11:7, 13 13:13 16:5 noticed 45:6 noticing 52:18 **November** 5:15,19 14:3 18:25 131:9,11 144:15 145:24 146:15 **NSDUH** 5:14 93:20 94:6,17,24 95:2,21 96:3,9, 12,17,20,23 97:9,14 98:8 101:9,18 107:21 108:12 109:25 111:15,22

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: Nsduh's..Oxycontin

116:15 124:14 126:9,24	<b>objection</b> 8:6,7 154:24 155:5	121:1,3,23 122:1	orange 123:7 127:25
NSDUH'S 96:25 number 10:25 11:4 13:13 14:2	158:5,9,23 159:9 160:5 161:1,14 162:25 163:15 164:15	<b>oldie</b> 133:19 <b>Omega</b> 60:19 66:18 67:12	oranges 108:15, 21 110:8 120:13 129:17
17:15 18:19,20 19:10 30:16 32:18,23,24	165:15 164:15 165:7,20 166:8, 23 167:3,12 168:3,9,25	68:10,17,20,23 69:3 one's 78:7	<b>order</b> 49:19 89:11 96:7 102:8 173:1,2
48:11 52:2,5 54:2 58:15	169:8 <b>obtain</b> 63:22	one-day 147:2	<b>ordinary</b> 162:22 163:12
72:25 73:8 74:11,15 76:19	64:3,5	<b>one-half</b> 50:21 69:18	<b>Oregon</b> 9:21
79:19 80:1 85:7,12 89:18,	<b>obtained</b> 58:19, 25 107:21	one-tenth 69:21	organic 17:25
19 92:12,13 93:19 100:21	occasionally 56:14 109:5	70:4,13 72:5, 14,17 103:9	organizations 156:7
104:25 110:3,21 111:18 112:8,9,	occupation 93:2,	one-third 102:2	orient 43:8
21 113:11 115:4,10,24	3	<b>000000</b> 4:15 5:24	<b>original</b> 19:4 56:16
116:5 118:1,3 120:9,10,17,19	occupational 57:6 92:4,7 occur 13:1	<pre>open 60:12 61:22 62:13,18 98:21 99:2</pre>	originally 36:24 97:23 132:17
122:19,21 123:2,5,20,22	occurred 150:12	operation 168:13	<b>Orleans</b> 136:6,16
125:12,15,20,23		<b>opinion</b> 5:11,12	<b>outdoor</b> 42:11
128:15,18 130:7 133:5 134:12,17	<b>Ochsner</b> 136:6, 15,16	10:3 34:3 38:14 58:24 59:13	<b>outline</b> 137:23
135:17 136:23 137:25 138:2,4, 22,23 143:12 148:5 150:1	October 11:21 30:17 86:23 135:22 149:12	60:24 61:19,25 62:6 74:3 75:20 76:3 77:9 80:12,19 82:24	outlined 167:23 outnumbered 105:10
151:16 171:24 numbers 43:23	odd 125:10 155:6 oddly 155:8	83:10 84:10,20 85:3,14 86:19	<b>outpatient</b> 126:21 127:8
100:7 114:4 116:6 120:22	offer 18:9	opinions 6:21	outrage 56:22
116:6 120:22 128:1 129:2,3,	<b>offers</b> 38:20	9:24,25 12:2 16:21 18:9	outrageous 42:9
13,20	<b>office</b> 126:22	19:13 21:7,17	outweighs 137:25
numbers.' 43:24	officials 132:19	22:2 78:3	<b>overlap</b> 6:17 7:1
nursing 95:9	<b>ol'</b> 54:1	<b>opioid</b> 109:2,18 123:13,14,21	<b>overly</b> 50:13
NYTS 101:6	<b>older</b> 75:9,10 77:12 90:13	124:2	oversaw 41:7
0	94:12,25 96:6,8 97:20 98:6	<b>opioids</b> 99:9 108:23,25 109:6	<b>overview</b> 97:19 98:5
0-P-T-I-C-S-C-O-	100:13 112:5,9,	110:5 111:8	overwhelming
<b>P-T-I-C</b> 145:18	21 113:3,6 114:14 115:7	<b>oppose</b> 164:17	77:5 82:21
<b>Object</b> 153:7	114:14 115:7 116:4,16 118:8,	opticscoptic	oxycodone 109:1

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: p.m...perception

	Robert Proctor, P	h.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: p.mperception
P	53:15 55:3 61:8 66:11,24 85:4 111:1 136:7	<b>patterns</b> 20:3 97:10,15 148:19	59:10,11,16 63:18 69:18,21, 22 70:4,14
p.m. 58:12 144:4 173:5	153:19,21,22 154:4,5	<b>pause</b> 111:11 114:16	71:4,6 72:5,14, 17 73:20,21
<b>pace</b> 89:16	particles 55:21	<b>Peale</b> 136:1,3	74:2,8,17 75:2, 3,4,13,19,20,
<b>pack</b> 38:20	57:21	pending 6:11	23,25 76:4,9
102:19 103:12,	particulars	<b>people</b> 43:5 50:5	77:6,7,9,14,21,
14	7:10,12 8:18	56:25 57:9	23,25 78:11,15,
pack-a-day 104:4	28:23	74:24 76:11,15	22 81:15,20
	particulates	78:19 79:18	82:14 83:2,11
<b>packs</b> 102:15 105:20	41:4,5	82:11 84:1 94:4	86:4,10 87:11,
105.20	<b>partly</b> 15:15	95:3,6,18 100:3,4,13	15 88:9,16,25
<b>pages</b> 14:19	46:19 55:4	101:13 103:23	90:23,24,25
pain 99:3 107:25		101:13 103:23	91:1,2,5,19 92:24 93:8
109:2,3,5 117:4	<b>pass</b> 152:9	109:19,20	100:3,4,20
118:23 122:7	<b>past</b> 10:1 31:13	112:5,6,9,11,	101:8,13,16,21,
1.1. 155.4	32:13 97:19	13,16,21,22,24	22 102:2 103:5,
painting 157:4	98:9,20,23	113:4,21,25	9,17,23 104:18,
<b>panel</b> 137:14,15,	100:2,12,18,23	114:5,12,23	19 105:16
20	101:14 102:25	115:7,8,16,20,	106:13,14
<b>paper</b> 53:19	103:1,24,25	25 116:1,6,20	108:7,8 109:19,
66:19,23,24	104:4,18 105:4,	118:8,12 119:1,	20 113:17
67:1,19	5,16 106:12,19,	2,8,14,16,17	119:2,7,16
-	23,25 107:21	120:2 121:1,2,	120:1,2 122:1,
paragraph 61:8	108:6,18 109:18	23 122:1,5,6,13	12 123:15 125:3
64:8 94:23	110:5,7,9,24	123:15,16	127:13 129:23
139:17 149:24	111:3,8 112:7,	124:10,18,19,21	132:14 147:23,
150:11	10,16,22 113:4	125:9 127:13	25 148:24
paraphrase 140:9 Pardon 159:10	114:23 115:4,8, 10,24 116:5,10, 17,18,21,25	128:9,11,20,21 129:2,3,8,23 142:1 143:2	<b>percentage</b> 46:20 75:15 77:16
	117:13 118:7	159:4,15 160:7,	81:24 82:5,13
paren 33:8	119:3,8,15,19,	8,9,11,14,16	83:21 84:6,8,18
60:12,13 95:4, 6,11 96:6,10,18	25 120:12,14,	161:3,8,15,16,	92:15 100:2
98:10,21,23,25	15,25 121:2,23	17 162:18	102:14,18
99:3 100:3	122:2,5,13,23,	163:4,7,9,16,	103:11,24
126:20,21,24	25 123:14	17,19,21,22	104:17 105:17,
127:6,7	124:1,2,9,15,	164:1,5,6	19 106:12 108:6 111:3,7 116:16
-	22,24 125:4,16	165:10,13,23,25	119:15,19,20,25
Parliament 53:18	126:13,14,19	166:12 168:1	121:23 123:14
<b>Parmele</b> 39:23,	127:14 128:17	<b>perceive</b> 162:18	121,23,123,11
24,25 40:1,2,7,	129:24 148:21	-	percentages
8 41:7,9 42:12	159:15	<b>perceived</b> 43:17,	46:25 105:17,18
55:8	<b>path</b> 50:24	20 45:19 61:6	109:18 110:25
part 13:17 17:18	pattern 38:11	<b>percent</b> 31:8,9,	119:21 121:7
27:14,17,19	45:6 92:11	10,12,14 32:7,	perception 20:4
38:15 43:3 45:9	13.0 27.11	11 47:4,5,21,	44:19
		23,24 50:1,2	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: perceptions..previously

perceptions 21:15 33:8 performance 10:18 **period** 80:23 81:1 90:14 123:25 160:9 168:4 **person** 50:15 93:1,3 143:13 152:9 **person's** 111:17 112:1 115:9 158:21,22 personal 7:18 90:12 96:17 98:4 personally 75:17 personnel 95:7 persons 110:24 130:7 140:13 **Peter** 11:19 **ph** 58:19,24 62:10,24 63:2, 14,20 64:1,6, 14,20,24 65:9, 20,25 66:10 67:6 68:19,24 **PH.D.** 4:5 6:2 173:5 phase 36:16 96:4 point 18:8 25:11 phases 96:4 **Philip** 24:19 27:12 47:25 48:15 49:25 51:5 59:25 64:9 71:17 **phone** 78:20 **phrase** 49:21 84:22 phrased 51:18 82:8 153:16 155:8

physical 10:18 physicians 37:22,25 39:8, 10,14 **picked** 40:16,19 137:8 pigment 34:8 **pin** 110:18 pinpointed 150:13 22 pioneering 57:11 **pipe** 59:8,21,24 60:4,5 23 **pipes** 59:22 Plaintiff 8:1 9:1 17:7,8 Plaintiffs 7:10 8:7 13:8 131:18 Plaintiffs' 6:20 12:11,14,17 14:3 25:19 **plans** 37:5 **plays** 59:6 pledges 145:6 122:2 **plowing** 143:24 plug 53:18 **PMU** 131:5 28:8 37:21 58:4 61:4 70:9 138:1 167:1 152:13 164:16, 19,20 165:8,10, 11,13 170:12,25 171:7 172:1 133:11 **points** 75:15 77:16 92:15 134:9 **poisons** 33:11,14 35:12 **poll** 5:9,10,11 73:8,9,19 74:15

75:3 76:20 77:5 **Powers** 50:13,15 85:11,14,15 54:8 86:16 93:1,10 practice 89:18 polling 73:25 preach,' 142:3 74:6 77:3 80:15 **precise** 51:9,18 81:6 83:20 **polls** 85:18 precision 158:16,17 102:16,20 160:19 161:3,5 103:1,13 105:21 pollutant 42:21, Premier 72:2 premium 60:9 pollutants 42:23 prepare 23:9 polluted 42:11, prepared 18:5,9 prescription pollution 41:4,8 99:2 107:24 108:25 109:2,3, **poor** 159:4,7,16 160:1,14,23 5 111:19,20 161:12 162:23 116:20 117:3 163:13 170:2,3 118:21 122:7 present 16:16 **poorly** 159:23 21:18 134:24 **popular** 39:10 135:6 71:11,13 presents 111:18 population 25:13 118:15 76:4 78:22 preserved 51:19 79:15 94:12,25 116:16 119:18 president 41:7 42:13 143:7 portion 52:24 **Presley** 74:23 98:19 106:3 press 5:20,21,22 147:11 150:23 11:20 39:20 **posed** 169:25 40:14,16 137:8 139:7 146:15 position 115:19 149:13 151:17 positions 151:2 presumption 59:23 possibly 87:22 **pretty** 21:15 potential 44:5, prevalence 22:9 97:15 101:8,24 23 53:15 167:19 **prevent** 143:2 powdery 34:8 **power** 53:21 previous 15:24 16:18 19:7 powerful 39:18 previously 32:24

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: principal..question

116:24 156:6 principal 10:5, 6,9 18:2 124:14 131:2,3 162:1,3 **print** 90:10 **prior** 12:3 126:13 **prison** 126:23 private 42:7 96:14 126:22 privately 51:1 96:25 probabilities 160:23 probability 95:22 probing 73:14 83:25 problem 47:12 66:24 114:15 problems 116:13 124:10,12 proclamation 144:19 **Proctor** 4:5 5:5, 6 6:2,8 8:16 11:13 13:3,18 14:8 15:3 19:21 30:3,21 33:1 43:9 49:3 52:9, 22 54:9,21 58:14 69:8 72:22 79:8,14 83:18 86:17 89:10 93:18 99:14,20 107:12 109:13 110:14 111:2,14 112:2 123:10 124:9 131:1,10 134:4 136:23 138:20 140:21 142:17, 21 144:7,14 149:14 151:7 152:6,14,18

156:20 157:22 158:3,15 169:18,24 172:22 173:5 produce 48:1 61:20 produced 11:23 12:5,9 39:9 41:7 59:19 **product** 7:3 9:16 13:8 18:13 24:22,23 36:24 38:13 39:11,13 42:18 46:22 47:19,20 59:21 61:22 67:16 71:23 97:21 98:7 110:24 production.' 143:15 products 17:13, 15 36:22 37:19 44:19 48:12 49:23 61:13 66:12 67:13 professional 92:9 program 77:2 programs 142:19 143:2 progress 134:23 projects 156:1 promise 126:7 promised 107:4 promoting 153:12 pronounced 55:17 **proof** 33:11 propel 166:13 properties 24:9 27:4 proportion 82:18 143:11

**proposal** 35:4,7 24 proposed 33:13 prospective 133:7 protection 38:21 **prove** 64:15 147:3 **proved** 134:25 **proven** 135:7 **provide** 11:15 16:25 **provided** 12:9,13 20:24 124:14 2.2 170:18 providing 41:10 96:14 **proxy** 92:8 pseudoscience 79:6 **PST** 173:5 psychotherapeutic 108:1 118:22 **PT** 60:4 **public** 5:11,12 21:15 42:3,7 64:16 73:16 76:8,22 77:4,8 79:1 85:14 86:19 143:8 145:19 153:4 157:2 163:9 164:22 **public?'** 77:3 publication 5:10 11:22 30:21 37:24 80:2 39:3 publications 56:15,21 publicity 145:13 165:14 publicly 41:17,

published 11:19, 21 40:22 56:16 80:6 90:6 144:13,14 **pull** 85:8 130:23 156:14 purchase,' 46:4 pure 34:13 53:19 purely 62:3 Puritan 60:1 64:9,10,20,23 65:2,7 70:7,12, purpose 48:1 167:9 purposely 162:24 purposes 27:19 67:20 69:7 pursuant 153:14 **put** 15:18 32:10 70:15 88:8 144:6 145:13 153:4 156:19 158:8 168:15 170:22 **Pyramid** 154:15 155:10 Q qualified 132:15 qualify 165:25 quarters 95:4,8 quasi-medicinal 36:24 37:19 question 12:4

16:24 17:21 18:4 27:19 28:12 35:13 47:3,6,10 48:23 49:20,22 50:14

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: questioning..record

	Kobert H Toctor, H	II.D. 0II 01/18/2022	muex. questioning ecoru
61:22,23 62:13	radioactive	10,14 108:2,8	recall 13:3,5,11
73:2,15 74:10	55:21	109:6,22 111:23	14:7,13,16
79:17 80:23	120.1	112:11,17	40:17,20 42:2
82:10,19,23,25	<b>ran</b> 172:1	113:2,6 115:12	48:2 52:21
83:9,12,25	<b>random</b> 75:14	116:1,7 117:4,	57:21 63:3
84:2,10,12,19	77:15	18 118:23	64:14 65:19
86:7,9 87:14,		119:3,9,22	70:25 71:2
17,18 88:5,12,	randomly 77:11	120:3 122:7,13	160:20 164:11
19,20 89:3,8	randomly-selected	123:18 124:24	165:1
90:17,19 91:13,	75:8	125:7 127:9,15	
14,17,22 92:2		131:14 132:15,	receipt 94:10
93:10,12 99:11	<b>range</b> 92:24	24 133:2 134:13	124:16 126:4,
106:11 141:13	<b>rapid</b> 151:23	135:2 136:20	18,25
153:16 154:25	<b>Rapids</b> 141:16	137:16 138:8	<b>receive</b> 130:8
163:3 167:18,19	Rapids 141.10	139:11,22	<b>---</b>
171:1	<b>rare</b> 47:20	140:14 141:3,18	<pre>received 9:10     23:13,19 24:7,</pre>
au anti anti	<b>rate</b> 31:5,10,14,	142:3,21 143:3,	23.13,19 24.7, 12 26:7,13
<b>questioning</b> 91:19 152:9	23,24 32:2,3	17 145:7,22	27:2,6 124:18,
91.19 152.9	67:23,24 131:7	147:8 148:2	23 126:10,11,
questions 6:17	147:22 151:20	149:2,20 150:2,	12,15,19 127:6,
13:18 15:15	152:2	8,14 151:5	13,19,23 128:6
19:12 22:16	101.1	152:4	129:13,23
25:19 69:7	<b>rates</b> 22:12	Readers 5:8	145:13 170:19
76:21 82:13	132:21 148:21	54:12,16,18	143.13 110.12
87:3 96:11,15,	<b>raw</b> 120:22	55:2 56:5,15	receiving 124:19
19,24 97:4		55.2 50.5,15	128:17 130:2
111:15 116:15,	Re-direct 4:9	reading 45:4	<b>recent</b> 11:21
20,24 128:5	<b>reach</b> 70:23	173:6	73:17 74:25
151:4 152:14,22		<b>ready</b> 89:11	82:2 111:18,20
154:11 157:23,	reached 70:20		114:17
25 158:2,15	<b>read</b> 7:17 23:14,	<b>real</b> 78:23	
160:19 164:9	20 26:8,14	<b>reality</b> 38:15	recently 132:11
169:19,23,25	31:14 33:18		150:4
170:4 171:9,15,	41:13 43:25	realize 161:3,16 163:4	recess 58:12
24 172:11,22	46:8 49:2,6	103.4	144:4
quickly 89:14	52:24 53:14,21	realizing 164:6	recognized 50:19
quit 29:10,13	54:25 56:1	<b>realm</b> 67:7 154:2	-
138:4 147:2,6,7	58:21 59:11		164:4
163:20,23,24,25	60:21 64:8	<b>reason</b> 14:19	
164:1,5,7	66:14 73:11,22	66:11 67:21	recognizing
	75:4,15 76:16 77:16 86:25	99:18 141:5	57:4,22
<b>quote</b> 42:19	88:2 90:11 91:2	150:11 161:25	recommend 58:7
46:2,4 60:3	94:13,18,19,22	164:3	recommended 38:9
73:17,19 74:21,	95:10,21,25	reasonable 22:7	recommended 30.9
22 98:9,10	96:11,18,20	<b>reasons</b> 161:21	reconstituted
<b>quotes</b> 140:12	98:3,10,19 99:4		67:18 68:14
	100:5 101:14	reassurance	record 8:3,5
R	102:16 103:6	17:23 18:2	13:12,17 41:21
	104:1,17,20	38:12,16 39:15,	54:17 73:4,5
<b>race</b> 53:16	105:21 106:2,3,	16,18 165:11	107:8 149:7

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: record's..research

	Kobert I Toctor, I		muex. record sresearch
152:24 158:8 167:14 record's 22:18 99:22 recurrent 116:12 REDIRECT 169:21 redo 79:25 reduce 49:18 134:25 135:7 reduced 10:25 46:20 reduces 59:10 139:19 140:8 143:14,15 reducing 40:5	28:25 63:7 65:2 66:3 69:3 123:25 163:19, 24 regulatory 167:19 rehabilitation 126:21 127:7 rehash 156:3 reinsured 41:9 reiterate 170:9 related 7:13 9:16 12:21 13:19,22 19:13 22:22 23:23 24:4 26:19,24 104:23 124:14	remain 53:15 remained 121:25 remains 103:25 remarkable 56:3 remember 17:15 42:6,8 104:22, 25 108:11 109:24 167:16 170:4 reminded 13:8 remotely 171:20 remove 55:21 removed 19:8 40:3 render 6:21	103:13 105:10, 20 110:5 111:21 116:24 120:11 128:17,19 132:12 133:9 137:14 147:14 171:20 reportedly 110:1 Reporter 173:1 reporting 96:13 102:7 132:19 142:7 145:9,25 146:3 reports 21:4 45:15 61:9 69:9,11,15 70:7 81:4 97:13 134:16 135:6
<pre>refer 12:25 44:2 45:1 47:11 116:18 149:6 reference 15:5 20:17 referenced 15:2,</pre>	171:9 relationship 39:22 40:9 141:15 160:11 relative 82:3	<pre>repeat 157:4 repeated 16:12 34:12 rephrase 32:9 69:24 84:7</pre>	137:11 138:11, 15 139:24 140:5 143:19 represent 14:18 131:4 138:25 141:5 144:13
8,22 references 19:10 20:10 31:18,19 referred 34:21 39:2 98:9 116:18	<pre>relatives 23:1 25:24 release 39:21 40:16 released 40:14, 19 81:10</pre>	report 5:5,6 14:3,7,10,14,19 15:2,22 16:6,22 17:1 18:19,24 19:4,5,7,10 32:8,12,19,23 33:4,6 34:18,21	<pre>representation     18:16 144:16     162:10 representative     94:8 95:23 representatives</pre>
referring 9:17 refers 98:20 reflected 130:3	releasing 132:23 Relevant 117:17 reliable 69:12 97:9	36:23 37:4 38:7,9 39:19 43:4,11 45:5, 13,16 58:15,18 59:18 61:9	156:7 157:2 represented 14:22 80:14 141:3
reflecting 43:13,14 reflects 145:3 157:1 regard 20:17	reliance 11:24 12:1,5,7,10 19:18 relied 29:3,7	67:11 100:22 101:25 105:3,4, 9 106:1,18,22, 24 108:18,19 109:1 110:4	represents 8:25 9:3 request 23:6 26:2
regard 20:17 21:19 29:6 171:9 172:17 regarded 56:25 Registry 152:2 regular 25:16	97:13 reliever 122:7 relievers 99:4 107:25 109:3,6 117:4 118:23	111:18 128:23 132:14,15,19 134:23 137:2, 22,24 155:22 reported 69:9 74:24 97:21	<pre>research 19:25 20:6 26:17 39:23 55:9,12 134:23 160:22 161:11 162:22 163:12</pre>
regular 25:16		98:6 102:15,25	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: researchers..Saturday

researchers 46:1	133:8,10	Richmond 41:9	<b>run</b> 56:9
	-		
reserved 67:17	retained 6:19 12:24	right-hand 151:18	running 140:21
resident 96:6	retainer 9:9	<b>ring</b> 114:3	S
<b>residents</b> 95:3,8 96:7	<b>return</b> 32:23,25	-	S-C-H-A-U-L-A-N-D
resigned 156:4	<b>reveal</b> 76:14	<b>rising</b> 152:3	144:21
respect 39:22 40:8 47:11	<b>review</b> 11:12 152:24	<b>risk</b> 31:20,21 134:12,17	<b>Sacklers</b> 109:7, 11
respects 162:16	<b>reviewed</b> 157:10	136:17 137:16 138:2,7,12	<b>safe</b> 43:18 50:2
<b>respiratory</b> 142:23,25	revolutionary 59:21	140:8 143:9,16, 21 148:25	56:25 <b>safely</b> 21:22
respond 128:7	<b>revolve</b> 73:18,19	<b>risk,'</b> 139:19	<b>safer</b> 38:18,21
<b>responded</b> 73:20 83:9,24	74:1 revolved 74:9	<b>risks</b> 24:4 26:25 141:24 170:17	43:18,20 44:22 62:20 83:24
respondent 96:24	revolves 72:23	<b>RJR</b> 44:5	84:3 160:17 162:18 168:20,
127:6	73:10,21 82:7	<b>Road</b> 141:22	21 169:2
<b>respondents</b> 92:19 95:15	87:21 <b>Reyes</b> 4:8 8:6,	<b>ROBERT</b> 4:5 6:2 173:5	<b>safest</b> 43:18,21 84:16
96:11,14,19 97:20 98:6	20,25 9:3 12:20 153:7 154:24	robots 46:8	<b>safety</b> 67:6,22 154:2 162:17
109:4 116:23 117:12 126:9,14	155:5 157:25 158:2,6,8,11,14	Rock 74:17	<b>sakes</b> 132:4
<b>responding</b> 84:1 96:15	159:2,13,25 160:18 161:10, 20 163:11	<pre>rod 46:12,14,21 47:2,4,15 48:7 50:21 51:15</pre>	<b>sales</b> 70:19 <b>SAMHSA</b> 94:7 95:1
<b>response</b> 16:5 40:1 97:3	164:8,23 165:15 166:4,17,25	role 59:6	124:21
<b>responses</b> 86:3 92:4,18	167:8,24 168:6, 22 169:4,18,24	Roll 74:17 room 71:19,25	<pre>sample 75:9,12 77:11,13 85:20 87:4,23 90:13</pre>
responsibilities	172:12,25 Reynolds 17:13	126:22	95:22
116:14	23:16 24:19	Roosevelt 141:16	<pre>sampled 96:5</pre>
responsible 55:4	26:10 27:12 33:12 43:12,22	<b>Rosenfeld</b> 43:21 44:3,7 45:8	samples 78:4
responsive 11:16	44:4,11,14,15	rough 22:13 92:7	<b>sampling</b> 75:14 77:15
rest 20:5 22:15 49:5	45:14 47:15 48:2,5,12,16	roughly 92:23	satisfaction 46:4
result 83:15 84:23,25	49:10,23 50:7, 18 51:12 168:15 169:12	<b>Rubicam</b> 37:4,7, 12 44:11 45:9	<b>satisfactory</b> 63:22 64:3
<b>results</b> 73:25 75:7,11,18	Reynolds's	Ruiz 4:7 152:12,	Satisfy 60:11
77:10,13,21	168:10	17,20 153:17	-
84:16 91:18 93:20 107:19	rhetoric 38:22	155:1,9 156:17, 18 157:15,19,22	Saturday 5:21 145:20 148:8,16 149:12

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: save..skills

	1000101100001,11		Indext Su ( Cushin
<b>save</b> 167:11	sections 106:7	19,22 93:2	significant
<b>saving</b> 132:23,25	sedatives 99:2	143:8 157:2	70:16,19 86:2
133:1	107:25 117:3	<b>services</b> 94:7,11	88:13 94:4
<b>scare</b> 156:17	118:23	95:2	significantly
<b>scary</b> 156:16	segregating	serving 10:20	10:24 49:23 160:14
	125:19	<b>set</b> 145:4	
Schedule 11:11, 12,16 13:19	selected 77:11	settings 124:17	signing 173:6
	96:8 118:17	-	similar 7:1,5
scheduling 8:21	selection 76:18	severely 53:13	9:16 15:11 16:8 21:15,24 32:17
scholars 57:11	<b>self-help</b> 126:23	<pre>severity 93:13 159:17,22</pre>	43:12 60:4
<b>school</b> 31:12	self-interviewing		101:3,4 103:4
95:15,16 102:9	96:10	<b>share</b> 11:4 30:9	105:18 108:24
116:14 142:8,11 151:1	self-reported	63:16 69:8,12, 16,17,20 70:3,	116:6 119:20 123:17 125:5
	103:16	8,13,16 71:4	127:15 129:25
<b>science</b> 39:10 73:15 79:2	self-reporting	72:4,11,17 73:7	
139:9,20	102:7	138:22	<b>simple</b> 129:11
scientific 37:24	<b>sell</b> 153:23	sharing 52:5	<b>simply</b> 15:24
38:1 56:23 57:4		110:18	59:9 84:25 158:20 161:6
72:22 165:9	<b>sends</b> 38:7 45:13	<b>shelters</b> 95:4,18	
scientists 50:18	<b>senior</b> 142:1,8	<b>short</b> 137:15	simultaneously 46:6
51:12 57:18	<b>sense</b> 10:11,12,	shorten 49:3	<b>single</b> 147:22
148:23	15,16,18 24:23		-
Scores 137:2	28:12 32:6 37:14,15 45:20	<b>shot</b> 98:22	<b>sip</b> 93:16 98:20
screen 11:4 30:9		<b>show</b> 15:6 51:12	<b>sips</b> 115:9
73:7 85:12	82:20 153:11	77:1 138:23 148:8 151:11	<b>sir</b> 9:13 11:16
86:17 142:18	155:8 162:21	156:13	30:20 53:8
144:7 149:14	163:24	showed 50:18	151:18
156:19	<pre>sensitive 96:16,</pre>	114:4 119:17	Sirowitz 43:21
screening 96:4,5	19,24	131:22 148:11	44:3,7 45:8
screwed 163:10	sentence 83:7	152:2	<b>sit</b> 68:9
scroll 33:2 43:4	separate 6:16	showing 52:12	sitting 16:6
80:11	37:12,14 81:3	131:17 152:8	19:3
scrolling 58:17	separately 23:9	<b>shown</b> 100:7	<b>sixth</b> 141:23
<b>search</b> 34:5,10,	26:4	164:24	142:7,10
17 55:13	<pre>separating 134:7</pre>	<b>shows</b> 74:7 121:4	<b>sizable</b> 166:10
searching 34:18	separation	145:20 148:15	<b>size</b> 17:25 46:15
<b>secret</b> 167:17	125:10	<b>sic</b> 18:25 138:22 148:13	skeptical 78:21
section 97:19	<b>series</b> 10:6		<b>skepticism</b> 79:1,
98:5 108:1	22:16 116:15 162:19	<b>side</b> 15:16 80:15 89:10	2
118:15 119:24			<b>skills</b> 53:13
121:22	<b>service</b> 92:10,	signtings 74:25	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: skim..specifically

	Robert Proctor, P	h.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: skimspecifically
<b>skim</b> 14:17	13 149:1	31:5,22,24	<b>Society's</b> 144:25
	154:13,23	32:1,3 33:10	145:25
<b>skin</b> 157:4	155:4,11 171:17	39:22 40:9	
<b>skip</b> 30:3 95:7	<b>G</b> = <b>1</b> + 4 + 10	46:3,8 50:5	<b>sold</b> 35:22 47:15
<b>slant</b> 39:18	Smokeout 144:19	59:7 60:11	48:5,17 49:11
Stanc 39.18	145:1,5 146:1	80:2,7,13,19	50:7 67:15 68:1
<b>slightly</b> 35:6,11	147:1	81:12,16,21	69:25 70:11
<b>slogan</b> 60:11	smoker 17:5	82:15,24 83:3,	169:14
Siogan 00.11	19:22 25:16	10,18,22 84:11,	<b>sort</b> 7:18 33:16
<b>slowly</b> 149:25	28:25 59:22	20 85:21 86:5,	44:16 58:20,25
<b>small</b> 70:10	63:21 64:3	11,19,24 87:5,	59:8,15 114:25
	136:17 147:10,	12,16,20 88:1,	146:14 167:15
<b>smaller</b> 148:15,	12 148:1 149:1	5,10,17,22	<b>sorts</b> 34:24
18 168:13	163:19	89:1,6,20 90:2,	79:11 107:5
smaller-scale	smokers 7:14	18 91:6,13,15,	
168:13	33:10 40:5	20,25 93:4	sought 129:2
	43:22 63:7,8	97:15 105:10	<b>sounds</b> 20:20,23
smartest 50:15	65:3 66:4 69:3,	112:6,7,10,13,	48:10 50:13
<b>smoke</b> 24:25	4 71:11 85:20,	16,22 113:4,9,	51:25 58:11
25:3,8,11 27:23	25 86:3,10	12,14,22 114:1,	71:6 94:21
36:16 38:18	87:4,15,19,24	5,13 115:16,21	118:5 140:23
41:5 42:24	88:13,16 89:5	120:11 123:25	
58:19,21,24	91:24 101:12,18	128:19,21	<b>source</b> 20:25
60:24 61:25	102:5,10,14	132:12 134:11,	69:12
62:10 63:2,8,	104:5,23	16 135:1,2,8,11	sources 57:8
12,14,20,21	105:15,19	137:2,11,25	64:16
64:1,2,20,24	108:12,14,19	138:4,5,16,25	
65:4,7,9,20,25	111:4,9 113:5	141:15,24,25	Southeast 99:8
66:5,8,10 67:6	118:4 120:20	142:2,19 143:13	<b>space</b> 77:19
68:19,24 69:4	123:5,22	145:14 146:9	_
101:16,25	125:17,24	147:3,21 148:1,	<b>speak</b> 23:6 26:2
102:19 103:12,	127:24 128:24	14,19,25 150:13	speaking 22:21
14 128:5 129:3	129:15 131:7	151:21,24 153:5	38:10 154:9
134:25 135:7	132:13,20	158:16,18,21,22	165:21
137:15 138:3,4,	133:12 143:2,3,	159:3 160:2,7,	
12,13 139:10,	9,20 144:22	20 161:4 164:25	speaks 33:5
14,18 140:7	145:6,13 147:2	165:5,10,13	136:1
154:8,22 155:3	159:18,23 161:7	168:2,24 170:3,	<b>special</b> 76:21
160:9 161:9	163:24 170:6	11,12,17 171:6	136:19 155:25
	171:9,18	so-called 21:22	<b>apparialter</b> 104:00
<b>smoked</b> 17:12,16		79:4 168:14	<b>specialty</b> 124:23 127:1,2,4,5
18:8 27:15 31:9,13 32:8,12	<b>smoking</b> 5:11,12,	169:16	14/.1,2,4,3
36:13 59:22	14 18:12 20:1,		<b>specific</b> 20:6,25
36:13 59:22 70:18 100:23	10 21:7 22:8,11	societies 94:5	23:15,21 26:9,
	23:10,16,22	society 5:18	15 39:6 57:22
101:13 102:14	24:2,3,5,9,10,	132:11,18,19	116:20 118:17
105:5,16,19 106:24 110:6	14,18 25:8	133:5 141:1	specifically
122:22 125:15	26:10,15,16,23,	142:2 145:4,17	7:16 25:6 28:1,
	25 27:4,7,11,21	146:8 147:4	6,15 29:4 38:24
134:13,18	28:2,3,7,16,19,	148:23 156:10	68:9 119:11
140:13 143:12,	25 29:19,24,25		00.9 113.11

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: specifics..succumbed

155:19 170:10 171:5 172:2,15 specifics 13:5 62:15 speciosa 99:8 speculated 74:23 speculation 28:10 **spell** 109:13 spelling 157:16 **spent** 8:17,18 **Spirit** 169:11,17 **spoke** 63:5 **spoken** 22:22,24 23:3 25:20,22, 24 **sponsor** 143:1 sponsored 95:1 spontaneous 159:20 160:13 **sputum** 143:15 **stable** 104:1 121:25 **stage** 161:12,18 171:10 **staged** 77:3,7, 20,22 78:16 **stains** 36:13 standalone 48:14 standardized 46:8 standpoint 167:10 **star** 134:7 **start** 30:19 107:9 115:5 141:24 147:7 163:25 **started** 24:25

27:21 28:24 starting 112:13 **starts** 115:7 **state** 9:18 21:12,25 30:22 33:7 43:12 54:17 57:7 64:23 65:24 68:23 83:6 107:21 150:17 152:4 161:24 state-specific 20:7 **stated** 16:21 37:6 41:17,24 55:8 78:12 81:11,16,21 82:14 83:3 84:6 86:4,11 87:11, 16 88:9,17,25 89:5 91:6,20,24 statement 42:3 43:1 45:1 134:9,22 statements 23:15,21 26:9, 15 29:18,23 31:19 38:8 45:15 57:22 **states** 35:23 55:5 94:13 95:1,24 107:19 111:14 115:2 127:12 128:16 141:21 143:8 147:24 148:24 statewide 145:5, 10 **stating** 101:18 statistical 102:16 103:1,13 105:21 116:22 statistically 92:20 102:20

statistics 31:4 74:3 150:4 **stature** 166:14 **status** 157:4 **stays** 134:7 Stenographer 32:21 41:20 109:10 140:3 153:9 157:17,21 172:6 **stick** 84:2 stimulants 99:2 107:25 117:3 118:22 **stop** 53:12 110:18 143:3 stopped 49:7 stopping 58:4 143:13 **stops** 143:14 strangely 20:23 121:14 stratified 95:22 **Street** 157:3 **stretch** 107:5 strictly 38:10 154:9 165:21 **strip** 141:15,22 strong 57:4 stronger 49:21 **student** 141:17 students 31:12 102:10 138:24 139:10,14 141:23,24 142:1,7,8 159:19 164:25 **studies** 93:24 157:4,8 study 5:14 22:8,

11 93:20 101:9 132:19 133:7,10 151:20,25 152:1 **stuff** 79:11 89:15 107:6 **stumble** 90:10 subject 152:8 submitted 18:25 subsequently 116:17 **subset** 127:3 subsidiary 10:6, 10,16 162:1,8, 20 substance 34:9 94:3,6,9,10 97:18 107:18 111:14,15,16, 17,19,20,22 112:2 116:10, 11,19 121:22 122:16,20 123:3 124:8,9,14,15, 16,19,20,22,23 125:4,10,13,18, 21 126:4,11,18, 19,25 127:1,3, 5,13 128:12,17, 24 129:9,13,23 substances 111:17 128:10 substantial 46:22 62:4 substantially 32:2 46:3,24 47:5,21 48:13 49:18,19 51:6 63:18 success 60:9 successful 72:3 166:5,6,10 169:5,9,11,14 succumbed 55:5

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: SUD..testimony

**SUD** 116:17,18, 150:10 165:16 **survey** 5:13 75:22 77:9,10 19,20,21,23 118:16 121:24 78:6,20 80:6,23 57:14 64:7 122:2,13 124:22 81:23 82:17 83:7,9,13,14,15 **SUDS** 94:9 133:4 144:23 85:1,17 86:13 116:11,18 talking 7:13 89:20 90:1 sufficient 41:10 21:19 28:13 91:8,14 93:20 63:20 64:1 34:19 42:21 94:5,15,17,24 51:5 108:16 95:1,6,13 96:3, sufficiently 23 97:9 107:19 47:7 124:17 126:13 25 137:19,21 **sugar** 59:5,10,15 159:9,11 **surveys** 76:14,18 62:21 64:10,14, 84:15 97:14 talks 115:1,6 19 65:17 67:6 101:5 116:9 136:4,5 68:16 **tar** 36:14,16,18 **sustain** 46:1,4, suggesting 169:1 6,23 48:8,21 suggestion 49:16 50:22 **target** 37:24 168:19 51:16 166:3,16 suitable 55:13 sustained 63:17 targeting 166:7 summarizes 80:22 switch 43:24 52:1 93:15 summary 17:1 165:12 80:5 sworn 6:4 taste 60:11 **summer** 133:6 **System** 31:21,22 taxed 67:23,24 **sun** 72:23 73:10, systems 56:8 18,21 74:1,9 82:7 87:21 teach 142:3 т **Sunday** 139:2 teachers 5:17 **T&n** 43:23,24 **super** 48:2 **T-SHIRTS** 79:10 support 45:1 55:15 table 52:11 **Teague** 33:12 54:16 100:16 supposed 16:7 35:21 110:23 118:6 **surely** 149:25 120:24 121:1,3 **Teague's** 33:22 35:3,15 Surgeon 81:10 tailoring 22:6 97:13 137:21,24 **tech** 43:5 **takes** 38:9 45:16 138:11,15 technical 55:15 **taking** 6:10,13 surprised 20:15 79:24 54:9 76:9,13 talk 8:21 18:5 surprises 15:18 83:5 20:21 35:2 43:7 surprising 46:25 51:2 52:8 103:19,21 36:21 166:6 57:20 64:9 65:11 66:15,16 Surveillance **teenage** 166:10 90:17 124:8 31:20,21

telephone 75:8 76:17 77:11 **talked** 47:9 55:9 television 77:1 67:11 104:22,23 118:11 telling 34:22 42:13 tempting 53:12 ten 49:2 58:7,11 59:18 99:17,18 109:7,24 133:4, 100:8 147:12 148:1,21 169:17 tended 44:21 tens 169:14 **tentative** 132:15 40:3 162:12,13 **tenth** 147:1 **TEPIKAN** 7:21,24 8:2,11,14 152:13 tars 10:14 17:25 term 167:11 termed 137:1 terms 46:25 121:9 129:9 taxes 10:23 68:2 tested 63:2,7 64:24 65:2,25 66:3 68:24 69:2 testified 6:4 138:24 139:9, 16:11 19:22 14,20 141:1,11, 14,17 164:25 34:23 81:6 144:24 153:18 155:25 156:6 testify 15:1,10, 21 16:20,25 23:10 166:21 testifying 7:9 24:24 25:2,5 27:20,25 28:5, 14,18 29:2,7 technically 45:9 172:14 testimony 6:23, techniques 35:20 25 7:1,5,7 9:15 12:3 15:6,25 16:12,18 47:9 156:3 157:10,13

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: Thacklers..trial

	Robert Proctor, P	h.D. on 01/18/2022	Index: Thacklerstrial
Thacklers 109:8	83:16 98:14	13,14,22 69:1,2	147:22
<b>theme</b> 34:12	110:16 111:17	71:16 80:2,6	touch 25:12
	112:1 123:25	83:17 94:8	
<b>theory</b> 76:22	133:21 149:1	97:21 98:7	tour 157:2
77:5	152:7 153:12,13 159:12 160:9	110:23 124:12 125:9,11 128:11	track 14:1
thing 7:18 11:18	169:9,14 170:12	129:6,7,11,14	traditional 38:4
16:19 49:2	171:7 172:23	130:4 134:24	58:20 59:1,15
98:18 106:2		135:6 139:18	63:14 65:9
114:25 139:1	times 16:14,15	140:1,7 164:13	66:10 67:17
148:10 149:16	34:24 41:12,18,	165:3,17 166:21	71:11,14
161:17	25 42:6 48:6 49:3 67:8 105:2	167:1,25	traditionally
<b>things</b> 10:13	49.3 67.8 105.2	tobacco-burning	59:8
13:9 15:10	123:4 125:23	100:23 102:1,11	
16:10 43:8	133:5,11,12	105:5 106:24	<b>train</b> 168:11
53:25 82:2 97:6	136:18 147:12	108:19 110:6	training 129:14
159:17 165:12	148:1 172:14	111:4,9 118:4	tranquilizers
167:21	<b>m</b> <sup>1</sup>	120:12,20	99:2 107:25
thinking 7:25	<b>Timothy</b> 149:16 150:18 151:4	122:22 123:5,23	117:3 118:23
77:18 98:1	150.18 151.4	125:16,24	
132:23	<b>tint</b> 36:1	127:24 129:15	transform 40:4
<b>Thomas</b> 136:19	<b>tiny</b> 142:20	tobacco-like	translate 47:3
thought 77:22	<b>TIRC</b> 23:23 26:17	67:16	<b>trap</b> 165:18
85:2 97:23	<b>titanium</b> 34:5,17	Tobaccos 59:8	trapped 33:11
170:10,20	35:4,19	<b>today</b> 16:7 19:3	35:12
172:3,8	-	31:22 32:1,7,	treated 52,10
thousand 102:10	title 151:21	11,17 68:9	treated 53:18 128:9,11
113:18	titled 164:24	74:21 114:12	-
threat 40:2	toasting 17:24	159:23	treating 134:24
134:8	-	<b>today's</b> 9:11	135:6 139:18
	<b>tobacco</b> 5:7,10	16:5	140:1,7
three-fifths	9:25 23:17,22,		treatment 94:10
130:16	23 24:3,20	told 17:4,9,11	124:9,10,15,16,
three-quarters	26:5,11,16,17, 19,24 27:13	62:24 136:16 138:24 139:20	18,19,21,22,23
46:18 89:4	29:3,7,18,24	164:25	125:4,10,14,18,
91:23 130:9	30:16,22 36:4		22 126:4,11,12,
throat 88:23	37:8,13,17	tomorrow 146:25	13,15,18,19
89:2,6	39:1,21 40:8,15	147:7	127:1,3,5,14,
<b>Thursday</b> 122.00	44:19 45:2,24	top 15:23 30:25	19,23 128:6,17, 22,24 129:2,9,
<b>Thursday</b> 133:22 144:19,23	46:12,17 47:1,	39:5 112:18	22,24 129.2,9, 24 130:2,8
145:19	15 48:7 50:17,	115:5 131:11	
	21 51:11,15	149:13	<b>tree</b> 99:8
<b>tied</b> 166:15	59:6,9,20,24	topic 17:1 25:12	trends 5:11 80:3
time 8:16,18 9:6	60:4,5,9 63:1,	146:14	<b>trial</b> 15:2 16:8,
20:18 38:1 41:2	6,11 64:22	topica 76.14	20,25 24:24
43:16 56:22	65:1,6,23 66:2, 7 19 25 67:18	topics 76:14	25:2,5 27:20,25
57:16 66:22	7,19,25 67:18, 19,20 68:11,12,	total 47:14	28:5,14,18 29:7
69:13 78:7,8	17,20 00.11,12,	100:21 113:8	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

#### MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: trials..Viceroy

172:19	1
turn 66:22 74:7 113:22 114:1	une 7
115:17,21	un
turned 113:15	1
<b>TV</b> 76:21	un
two-fifths	2
130:13	9
two-thirds	4
113:21,25	1
	1
<b>type</b> 12:10 17:20 33:22 35:15	1170
50:9 90:9 98:21	une e
129:13 147:14	1
152:3 161:19	1
168:11 169:2	1
types 7:18 9:17	1
34:10 82:13	1
93:24 110:23	2
124:17 129:5	1
160:15 164:14	une
165:3 166:5	5
170:4	1
typically 9:19	1
47:23 58:21	un
59:14 60:25	
62:1 70:16,18	Un
168:13	un
	9
υ	Un
	5
<b>U.S.</b> 71:11 77:6	1
95:1	1
<b>Uh-huh</b> 9:21	1
130:12	un
<b>ultra</b> 17:25	un
<b>unable</b> 72:4,16	1
<b>unbound</b> 63:20 64:1	<b>Un</b> . 1
uncertainty	un
62:19 64:11	1
unclear 62:5	unj
underage 165:25	un
103.25	1

166:16	<b>unsure</b> 75:4
<b>nderestimate</b> 76:8	<b>unusual</b> 21:10 54:5,11
derlying 123:8	<b>upbeat</b> 147:1
124:3 nderstand 6:10,	<b>Uproar</b> 52:9,12
23,25 7:4 8:25 9:10 22:19 23:8	urban 41:4
49:4 154:25	urged 142:19,2
155:7 160:11 161:7,15 163:2,	urging 144:21
18,20 164:2	<b>Ursula</b> 8:4 50: 156:15
<b>nderstanding</b> 6:15 7:2 15:9	<b>usage</b> 103:16
115:22 153:2	use,' 98:10
158:22 159:4,7, 16 160:1,15,23 161:12,17 162:23 163:13, 23 170:2,3 171:10	<b>users</b> 100:2 104:18 106:12 108:6,13 111: 8,20 130:4,6 155:19 159:7
derstood       39:3         56:22       57:19         112:20       126:3         159:23       163:8         memployed       92:12	160:22 161:11 usual 9:8 Uustal 15:25 16:9
niformly 167:4	v
ninformative 93:10	<b>VA</b> 41:9
<b>nited</b> 35:22 55:5 94:12,25 107:19 128:16 143:7 147:23 148:24	<pre>vague 87:18 variety 17:12 128:4 159:22 vast 74:25</pre>
niversal 73:14	<b>Vegas</b> 20:15
niverse 16:7	<b>venue</b> 8:20
19:13	<b>venues</b> 16:13
niversity 151:1 152:1 nnecessarily	Verna 154:11,1 21 155:3,10 166:18 170:10
162:6	<b>versus</b> 42:21
<b>pause</b> 79:23	128:12,18 vice 41:6 42:1
nreasonably 162:7	Viceroy 35:23
102.7	

**ire** 75:4 ual 21:10 5,11 at 147:1 **ar** 52:9,12, **n** 41:4 d 142:19,25 **ng** 144:21 **la** 8:4 50:12 6:15 e 103:16 98:10 . **s** 100:2 4:18 106:12 8:6,13 111:3, 20 130:4,6 5:19 159:7 0:22 161:11 **al** 9:8 al 15:25 9 v 41:9 ι**e** 87:18 .ety 17:12 8:4 159:22 74:25 **s** 20:15 8:20 e **es** 16:13 **a** 154:11,12, 155:3,10 6:18 170:10 **sus** 42:21 8:12,18 41:6 42:13

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

# MARTIN TULLY, ET AL. vs PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC., ET AL. Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022 Index: Vicodin..years

	Robert Proctor, Ph.D. on 01/18/2022			
36:7 38:19,20	wasting 110:16	Winder 157:7	worse 42:23	
53:19	<b>watch</b> 79:4	wine 98:22	Worth 141:25	
<b>Vicodin</b> 108:25	water 79:17	Winston 17:14,17	wrapped 66:19	
videoconferenced	93:16	18:8 36:5 43:8,	67:5	
173:4	Waxman 167:13	19,20 168:7,16,	wrapping 67:12	
<b>videos</b> 15:6,19		23 169:12		
<b>view</b> 5:17 10:4	ways 135:13 165:4,9,18	171:25 172:3	wrappings 68:11	
141:1 163:21	168:1	withhold 132:21	<b>write</b> 76:16	
164:20	weak 81:14	witnesses 23:4	writers 55:2	
viewers 77:1	82:10,19 86:8	25:25	written 37:7,9,	
views 17:22 79:3	88:19 91:17 158:24	women 138:1	10	
Vincent 136:3		148:14,20 wrong 23:9 106:2 150:2,5,7,12		
virtually 31:7	weaning 47:12	151:23,24 152:3	157:20	
_	website 40:10	women's 148:19,	wrote 35:21	
<b>virtue</b> 162:20	Wednesday 145:19	21	<b>Wyatt</b> 143:7	
<b>visited</b> 156:10	week 139:21	word 14:12 21:5		
volunteer 82:18 91:10	<b>weight</b> 47:25	84:13 85:5	¥	
91.10	59:11	words 15:6,14	<b>year</b> 10:20,23	
volunteered	well-acquainted	16:25 17:24	74:18 102:25	
82:19	163:7	18:6,15 19:17	103:1,5,24,25	
	105.7	36:15 37:20	104:4 107:21	
W	West 15:7,20	39:8 46:18	108:6,18,22	
	<b>wet</b> 79:17	49:25 50:24	109:18 110:5,9	
wait 132:18		62:11 64:4,13	112:10,22	
	white 33:17	66:21 101:1	113:10 115:4,	
<b>waived</b> 173:6	34:2,8,13,15,25	123:25 154:4	10,24 116:5,10,	
Wald 8:4,10,13,	35:10,23,24	160:16 163:21	18 118:7 119:3,	
20,25 12:20	36:1 92:8 93:1			
22:21 158:1	-hitaning 24.1	work 23:9 26:4	9,15,19,20	
173:2	whitening 34:1,	30:10 37:15	120:1,14,25	
1/3.2	4,6,7,11,17,24	116:14	121:2,24 122:2,	
wanted 8:2	35:5,19	worked 42:14	5,13,25 123:14	
54:18,24 67:21	whiter 36:2,3,6	worker 92:8	124:2,9,15,22, 24 125:4	
148:11	whitish 35:24	workers 92:9	126:14,19	
<b>War</b> 150:12	<b>whoops</b> 53:6	WOIKEIS 92.9	127:14,20	
warn 138:24	134:2 138:25	workers' 95:5	128:17 129:24	
139:10,14	<b>William</b> 143:7	working 44:10,	130:2,9 132:18, 22 150:5,6	
141:23 164:25		11,15	166:22	
warning 68:7	Williamson 24:19	works 51:24 58:7	100.22	
134:7 155:11,	27:12 47:16	99:9	<b>years</b> 12:8 70:11	
13,19	48:6,17 49:11	,,,,,,	72:5,18,23	
-	50:8,19 51:13	world 79:4	73:17 74:23,25	
warnings 68:5	Winchester 61:15	150:12	75:9,10,23 76:5	
Washington	72:7,13	worries 8:2	77:12 81:9	
147:21	12-11-5	WOLLIED 0.7	82:2,4 90:13	

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation** 

	,	•
100:19 103:3 112:8 113:22 114:1 115:17,21 116:6 119:17,21 132:13 143:12 148:21 166:18 169:17		
<b>yell</b> 30:5		
<b>yesterday</b> 13:2 137:15		
yields 46:7		
<pre>young 37:4,7,12 44:11 45:9 101:16,20 112:15 113:1 115:24 129:22 130:1 142:1 143:2</pre>		
<b>younger</b> 143:9,20		
<pre>youth 22:11 31:5,21 32:1,2 97:15 166:13,14</pre>		
Z		
zoom 11:5 132:3		

www.huseby.com

**Huseby Global Litigation**