
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRIAN KWOK SHEUNG YU,
Appellant,
vs.
ROURONG YU,
Respondent.



ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO FREEZE ACCOUNTS AND FOR STAY

This is a pro se appeal from a post-divorce-decree order dividing sick and vacation leave and enforcing prior orders dividing community property, among other things. Respondent has filed an emergency motion to freeze accounts, and appellant has filed a motion to stay the appealed order and other, unidentified district court orders.

Both motions are denied. As noted in this court's August 30, 2023, order denying appellant's prior motion for stay, parties seeking stay and injunctive relief from this court must demonstrate that they first sought and were denied such relief in the district court, or impracticality, per NRAP 8(a)(2)(A). Respondent's motion for order to freeze accounts indicates that the court granted appellant's motion for stay but denied her motion to freeze the funds and then refused to entertain further motions. Appellant's motion for stay fails entirely to address whether he has sought relief in the district court, even though our August 30 order indicated that doing so was required and that the district court retained jurisdiction to rule on such a motion pending appeal. Neither party submitted a copy of any district court order, minutes, or transcripts concerning their requested relief.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA Accordingly, we lack information necessary to resolve these motions on the merits and decline to consider them.

It is so ORDERED.¹

J. Cadish

Pickering J. Pickering J. Bell

Hon. Bill Henderson, District Judge, Family Division cc: Brian Kwok Sheung Yu Rourong Yu Eighth District Court Clerk

¹As noted in the August 30 order, requests for relief must be by Therefore, we take no action on the letter appellant filed on motion. September 12, 2023.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A