

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

Electronically Filed  
Jul 28 2023 11:50 AM  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

**RODERICK SKINNER,**

**Petitioner,**

**vs.**

**WARDEN FRANKLIN, NNCC,  
STATE OF NEVADA ET AL,**

**Respondents.**

---

**Sup. Ct. Case No. 86846, 86893**

**Case No. CR14-0644**

**Dept. 8**

**RECORD ON APPEAL**

**VOLUME 8 OF 16**

**DOCUMENTS**

**APPELLANT**

**Roderick Skinner #1126964  
Northern Nevada Correctional Center  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702**

**RESPONDENT**

**Washoe County District  
Attorney's Office  
Jennifer P. Noble, Esq. #9446  
P.O. Box 30083  
Reno, Nevada 89502-3083**

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
ACKNOWLEDGMENT BY DEFENDANT OF NRS 176.0927	09-04-14	2	74
AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD AND TRANSFER OF RECORDS	09-15-15	3	381-383
ANSWER TO AMENDED PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	11-22-16	3	421-423
ANSWER TO SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	02-26-18	4	558-560
APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER	09-01-22	8	1461-1463
APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER	11-04-22	8	1538-1540
APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER	11-22-22	8	1574-1576
APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER	01-12-23	9	1608-1610
APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER	08-23-18	16	1647-1649
APPLICATION FOR SETTING	05-08-14	2	13
APPLICATION FOR SETTING	06-19-18	4	582
APPLICATION FOR SETTING	01-08-19	4	649
APPLICATION FOR SETTING	01-12-23	9	1614
CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	10-07-14	2	192-194
CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	11-04-19	5	925-928
CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	06-26-23	9	1683-1684
CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	06-26-23	9	1694-1695
CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL	02-19-15	3	350
CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL – NOTICE OF APPEAL	10-08-14	2	213
CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL – NOTICE OF APPEAL	11-04-19	5	935
CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL – NOTICE OF APPEAL	06-26-23	9	1685
CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL – NOTICE OF APPEAL	06-26-23	9	1696
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	09-30-16	3	406
COURT SERVICES REPORT	04-28-14	2	1-3
DEPOSITION OF DENNIS CARRY 11/5/18	09-26-19	5	763-788

APPEAL INDEX  
 SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
 DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
 RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
 DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
DEPOSITION OF DENNIS CARRY 11/5/18	09-26-19	5	789-837
DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	06-23-23	9	1681-1682
DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	06-26-23	9	1692-1693
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	06-30-17	16	1597-1601
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	10-25-17	16	1626-1634
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	02-06-18	16	1635-1651
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	07-09-18	16	1642-1646
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	11-20-18	16	1650-1656
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	05-28-19	16	1659-1664
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	10-21-19	16	1665-1671
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	05-07-20	16	1676-1685
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	07-30-20	16	1689-1691
EX PARTE CLAIM FOR ATTORNEY COMPENSATION (POST CONVICTION, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS)	03-24-21	16	1695-1698
EX PARTE MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION OF EXPERT WITNESS FEES	08-17-17	16	1605-1625
EX PARTE MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO EMPLOY INVESTIGATOR	06-20-17	16	1594-1596
EX PARTE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF TRANSCRIPTS AT PUBLIC EXPENSE	02-07-19	16	1657-1658
EX PARTE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF TRANSCRIPTS AT PUBLIC EXPENSE	11-13-19	16	1672-1673
EX PARTE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF TRANSCRIPTS AT PUBLIC EXPENSE	03-18-20	16	1674-1675
EXHIBIT 2	10-07-16	3	410-416
GUILTY PLEA MEMORANDUM	05-27-14	2	21-26
INFORMATION	05-02-14	2	7-9

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	09-11-14	2	75-76
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PROBATION	08-20-14	10, 11	18-353
MINUTES – ARRAIGNMENT	05-27-14	2	30
MINUTES – ARRAIGNMENT	08-21-14	2	80-81
MINUTES – ARRAIGNMENT 5/22/14	05-22-14	2	17
MINUTES – ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE 8/28/14	09-17-14	2	138
MINUTES – ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE 8/28/14	12-09-14	3	338
MINUTES – ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE 9/4/14	12-09-14	3	339
MINUTES – HEARING ON MOTION TO WITHDRAW 10/25/22	12-27-22	9	1595
MINUTES – PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION 9/26/19	10-21-19	5	917-918
MINUTES – STATUS HEARING 11/22/22	12-27-22	9	1599
MINUTES – STATUS HEARING 12/29/22	03-29-23	9	1623
MINUTES – STATUS HEARING 4/11/23	06-22-23	9	1674
MOTION EXHIBIT 1	09-15-15	3	377-380
MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	12-12-16	3	432-440
MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	04-14-22	7	1261-1262
MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF SENTENCE	11-01-22	8	1509-1517
MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION (FIRST REQUEST)	08-09-22	8	1404-1406
MOTION FOR JUDICIAL ACTION ON PETITION	07-20-23	9	1727-1729
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL	08-20-14	2	62-65
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	07-13-16	11	354-358
MOTION FOR ORDER PERMITTING DISCOVERY	08-22-18	4	597-601
MOTION FOR TRANSCRIPT(S) AT PUBLIC EXPENSE	10-07-14	2	198-200
MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND PETITION	04-22-22	7	1270-1277

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD	05-08-23	9	1641-1642
MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	08-16-22	8	1410-1428
NON-OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	08-19-22	8	1432-1433
NOTICE OF APPEAL	10-07-14	2	190-191
NOTICE OF APPEAL	11-04-19	5	922-924
NOTICE OF APPEAL	06-23-23	9	1678-1680
NOTICE OF APPEAL	06-26-23	9	1689-1691
NOTICE OF APPEARANCE	10-01-14	2	185-186
NOTICE OF APPEARANCE	04-22-22	7	1263-1264
NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL	12-28-22	9	1603-1604
NOTICE OF DEPOSITION	10-02-18	4	625-627
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	10-09-19	5	878-910
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	06-12-23	9	1661-1670
NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESS	09-13-19	4	677-679
NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD AND TRANSFER OF RECORDS	09-15-15	3	373-376
NOTICE OF MOTION TO WAIVE FILING FEES FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS	06-17-22	7	1352-1377
NOTICE OF RESPONSIBLE ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE	06-19-18	4	581
NOTICE OF STRICKEN DOCUMENT	08-23-22	8	1442
NOTICE OF STRICKEN DOCUMENT	09-21-22	8	1486
NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL	04-22-22	7	1282-1284
NOTICE OF WRIT FILED IN NEVADA SUPREME COURT - PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS	06-30-23	9	1705-1711
NOTICE TO COURT THAT PETITIONER IS NOT DESIGNATING ANY PART OF THE COURT RECORD TO BE PROVIDED BY COURT CLERK	07-08-22	7	1393-1395
OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	04-22-22	7	1265-1269
OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF SENTENCE	11-14-22	8	1549-1552

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
OPPOSITION TO STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND PETITION	05-04-22	7	1288-1311
ORDER	10-13-14	2	217
ORDER	08-16-16	3	401-402
ORDER ADDRESSING MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL OF RECORD AND TRANSFER OF RECORDS	11-19-15	3	389-391
ORDER APPOINTING CONFLICT COUNSEL	10-26-22	8	1504-1505
ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	02-06-17	3	441-442
ORDER APPROVING ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION)	05-20-20	6	1176
ORDER APPROVING ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION)	03-24-21	7	1199
ORDER APPROVING ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION)	04-05-21	7	1206
ORDER DENYING EX-PARTE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF TRANSCRIPTS AT PUBLIC EXPENSE	03-24-20	6	1165-1166
ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF SENTENCE	06-09-23	9	1654-1657
ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	10-09-19	5	844-874
ORDER GRANTING CONTINUANCE	07-23-14	2	55
ORDER GRANTING IN FORMA PAUPERIS	07-15-16	3	395-397
ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND HOLDING ALL OTHER SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS IN ABEYANCE	06-10-22	7	1339-1342
ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND PETITION AND DISMISSING THIRD PETITION	06-09-23	9	1646-1653
ORDER PERMITTING DISCOVERY	09-07-18	4	619-621
ORDER SETTING HEARING ON MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	08-26-22	8	1456-1457
ORDER STRIKING REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	03-29-22	7	1238-1239
ORDER STRIKING REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	04-04-22	7	1256-1257
ORDER STRIKING REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	07-06-22	7	1388-1389
ORDER TO FILE ANSWER AND RETURN	10-11-16	3	417
ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER	08-24-18	4	608-609
ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER BY AUDIO-VISUAL MEANS	01-12-23	9	1618-1619

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER FOR IN PERSON HEARING	11-23-22	9	1580-1581
ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER VIA SIMULTANEOUS AUDIO / VISUAL TRANSMISSION	09-16-22	8	1467-1468
ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER VIA SIMULTANEOUS AUDIO / VISUAL TRANSMISSION	11-07-22	8	1544-1545
ORDER TO SET	06-04-18	4	575-577
PETITION FOR WRIT OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE	11-03-22	8	1518-1537
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION)	11-15-22	8	1556-1573
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION)	07-13-16	11, 12, 13	359-890
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION)	10-07-16	13, 14, 15, 16	891-1593
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) (NON-DEATH PENALTY)	03-29-22	7	1225-1237
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) (NON-DEATH PENALTY)	04-04-22	7	1243-1255
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS	06-17-22	7	1346-1351
PETITION'S REQUEST THAT THIS COURT ORDER THE STATE TO RESPOND TO HIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE FILED ON 3 <sup>RD</sup> NOVEMBER 2022	04-27-23	9	1629-1631
PETITIONER'S HEARING MEMORANDUM FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING	09-25-19	5	718-759
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT	07-11-14	10	1-9
PSYCHOSEXUAL EVALUATION	08-06-14	10	10-17
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL (POST CONVICTION)	06-28-22	7	1382-1384
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL (POST CONVICTION)	02-16-17	3	446-447
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION)	07-17-17	3	470-471
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY FEES- POST CONVICTION	12-03-19	5	952-953
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION)	11-21-17	4	496-497

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION)	03-23-18	4	564-565
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION)	07-19-18	4	592-593
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION)	12-20-18	4	639-640
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY'S FEES (POST CONVICTION}	06-26-19	4	664-665
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER GRANTING INVESTIGATIVE FEES (POST CONVICTION)	07-03-17	16	1602-1604
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXPERT WITNESS FEES (POST CONVICTION)	09-20-17	4	483-484
RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER GRANTING TRANSCRIPT AT PUBLIC EXPENSE (POST CONVICTION)	03-20-19	4	656-657
RECOMMENDATION FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY FEES – POST CONVICTION	05-18-20	16	1686-1688
RECOMMENDATION FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY FEES – POST CONVICTION	08-21-20	16	1692-1694
RECOMMENDATION FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM ATTORNEY FEES – POST CONVICTION	04-03-21	16	1699-1701
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THE STATE’S MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND PETITION	05-09-22	7	1319-1323
REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF SENTENCE	11-28-22	9	1585-1588
REPLY TO STATE’S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	05-05-22	7	1315-1318
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	12-08-16	3	427-428
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	04-22-22	7	1281
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	05-04-22	7	1312-1314
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	05-09-22	7	1324-1325
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	05-10-22	7	1329-1330
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	05-16-22	7	1331-1332
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	05-18-22	7	1337-1338
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	04-27-23	9	1627-1628



APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	04-27-23	9	1632-1633
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	04-28-23	9	1634-1635
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	04-28-23	9	1636-1637
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION FOR PRO PER MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD AND TRANSFER OF RECORDS	11-13-15	3	384-388
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION FOR ORDER PERMITTING DISCOVERY	09-06-18	4	613-615
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	08-23-22	8	1437-1438
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	08-23-22	8	1446-1448
REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF PETITION AND SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING	04-10-18	4	569-571
REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT	11-14-19	5	946-948
REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT(S)	10-07-14	2	195-197
RESPONSE TO THE STATE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THE STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND PETITION	05-18-22	7	1333-1336
RETURN OF NEF	04-29-14	2	4-5
RETURN OF NEF	05-02-14	2	10-12
RETURN OF NEF	05-08-14	2	14-16
RETURN OF NEF	05-23-14	2	18-20
RETURN OF NEF	05-27-14	2	27-29
RETURN OF NEF	05-27-14	2	31-33
RETURN OF NEF	07-11-14	2	34-36
RETURN OF NEF	07-14-14	2	48-50
RETURN OF NEF	07-21-14	2	52-54
RETURN OF NEF	07-23-14	2	56-58
RETURN OF NEF	08-06-14	2	59-61

APPEAL INDEX  
 SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
 DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
 RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
 DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
RETURN OF NEF	08-20-14	2	66-68
RETURN OF NEF	09-11-14	2	77-79
RETURN OF NEF	09-12-14	2	82-84
RETURN OF NEF	09-16-14	2	135-137
RETURN OF NEF	09-17-14	2	139-141
RETURN OF NEF	09-22-14	2	182-184
RETURN OF NEF	10-01-14	2	187-189
RETURN OF NEF	10-07-14	2	201-203
RETURN OF NEF	10-07-14	2	204-206
RETURN OF NEF	10-07-14	2	207-209
RETURN OF NEF	10-07-14	2	210-212
RETURN OF NEF	10-08-14	2	214-216
RETURN OF NEF	10-13-14	2	218-220
RETURN OF NEF	10-27-14	2	222-224
RETURN OF NEF	11-09-14	3	335-337
RETURN OF NEF	12-09-14	3	340-342
RETURN OF NEF	12-09-14	3	343-345
RETURN OF NEF	02-11-15	3	347-349
RETURN OF NEF	02-19-15	3	351-353
RETURN OF NEF	05-11-15	3	355-357
RETURN OF NEF	07-24-15	3	361-363
RETURN OF NEF	08-18-15	3	370-372
RETURN OF NEF	11-19-15	3	392-394
RETURN OF NEF	07-15-16	3	398-400
RETURN OF NEF	08-16-16	3	403-405
RETURN OF NEF	09-30-16	3	407-409

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
RETURN OF NEF	10-11-16	3	418-420
RETURN OF NEF	11-22-16	3	424-426
RETURN OF NEF	12-08-16	3	429-431
RETURN OF NEF	02-06-17	3	443-445
RETURN OF NEF	02-16-17	3	448-450
RETURN OF NEF	05-15-17	3	453-455
RETURN OF NEF	06-20-17	3	456-458
RETURN OF NEF	06-30-17	3	459-461
RETURN OF NEF	07-03-17	3	462-464
RETURN OF NEF	07-17-17	3	467-469
RETURN OF NEF	07-17-17	4	472-474
RETURN OF NEF	08-17-17	4	475-477
RETURN OF NEF	09-13-17	4	480-482
RETURN OF NEF	09-20-17	4	485-487
RETURN OF NEF	10-26-17	4	488-490
RETURN OF NEF	11-15-17	4	493-495
RETURN OF NEF	11-21-17	4	498-500
RETURN OF NEF	01-16-18	4	552-554
RETURN OF NEF	02-07-18	4	555-557
RETURN OF NEF	02-26-18	4	561-563
RETURN OF NEF	03-23-18	4	566-568
RETURN OF NEF	04-10-18	4	572-574
RETURN OF NEF	06-04-18	4	578-580
RETURN OF NEF	06-19-18	4	583-585
RETURN OF NEF	06-19-18	4	586-588
RETURN OF NEF	07-09-18	4	589-591

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
RETURN OF NEF	07-19-18	4	594-596
RETURN OF NEF	08-22-18	4	602-604
RETURN OF NEF	08-23-18	4	605-607
RETURN OF NEF	08-24-18	4	610-612
RETURN OF NEF	09-06-18	4	616-618
RETURN OF NEF	09-07-18	4	622-624
RETURN OF NEF	10-02-18	4	628-630
RETURN OF NEF	10-08-18	4	633-635
RETURN OF NEF	11-20-18	4	636-638
RETURN OF NEF	12-20-18	4	641-643
RETURN OF NEF	12-20-18	4	646-648
RETURN OF NEF	01-08-19	4	650-652
RETURN OF NEF	02-07-19	4	653-655
RETURN OF NEF	03-20-19	4	658-660
RETURN OF NEF	05-28-19	4	661-663
RETURN OF NEF	06-26-19	4	666-668
RETURN OF NEF	09-13-19	4	674-676
RETURN OF NEF	09-13-19	4	680-682
RETURN OF NEF	09-24-19	4	715-717
RETURN OF NEF	09-25-19	5	760-762
RETURN OF NEF	09-26-19	5	841-843
RETURN OF NEF	10-09-19	5	875-877
RETURN OF NEF	10-09-19	5	911-913
RETURN OF NEF	10-21-19	5	914-916
RETURN OF NEF	10-21-19	5	919-921
RETURN OF NEF	11-04-19	5	929-931

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
RETURN OF NEF	11-04-19	5	932-934
RETURN OF NEF	11-04-19	5	936-938
RETURN OF NEF	11-12-19	5	940-942
RETURN OF NEF	11-14-19	5	943-945
RETURN OF NEF	11-14-19	5	949-951
RETURN OF NEF	12-03-19	5	954-956
RETURN OF NEF	12-08-19	6	1159-161
RETURN OF NEF	03-18-20	6	1162-1164
RETURN OF NEF	03-24-20	6	1167-1169
RETURN OF NEF	05-07-20	6	1170-1172
RETURN OF NEF	05-18-20	6	1173-1175
RETURN OF NEF	05-20-20	6	1177-1179
RETURN OF NEF	07-30-20	7	1180-1182
RETURN OF NEF	08-24-20	7	1183-1185
RETURN OF NEF	08-24-20	7	1187-1189
RETURN OF NEF	02-11-21	7	1193-1195
RETURN OF NEF	03-24-21	7	1196-1198
RETURN OF NEF	03-24-21	7	1200-1202
RETURN OF NEF	04-05-21	7	1203-1205
RETURN OF NEF	04-05-21	7	1207-1209
RETURN OF NEF	06-30-21	7	1211-1213
RETURN OF NEF	07-01-21	7	1222-1224
RETURN OF NEF	03-29-22	7	1240-1242
RETURN OF NEF	04-04-22	7	1258-1260
RETURN OF NEF	04-22-22	7	1278-1280
RETURN OF NEF	04-22-22	7	1285-1287

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
RETURN OF NEF	05-09-22	7	1326-1328
RETURN OF NEF	06-10-22	7	1343-1345
RETURN OF NEF	06-23-22	7	1379-1381
RETURN OF NEF	06-28-22	7	1385-1387
RETURN OF NEF	07-06-22	7	1390-1392
RETURN OF NEF	07-08-22	7	1396-1398
RETURN OF NEF	08-02-22	7	1401-1403
RETURN OF NEF	08-09-22	8	1407-1409
RETURN OF NEF	08-16-22	8	1429-1431
RETURN OF NEF	08-19-22	8	1434-1436
RETURN OF NEF	08-23-22	8	1439-1441
RETURN OF NEF	08-23-22	8	1443-1445
RETURN OF NEF	08-23-22	8	1449-1451
RETURN OF NEF	08-25-22	8	1453-1455
RETURN OF NEF	08-26-22	8	1458-1460
RETURN OF NEF	09-01-22	8	1464-1466
RETURN OF NEF	09-16-22	8	1469-1471
RETURN OF NEF	09-21-22	8	1483-1485
RETURN OF NEF	09-21-22	8	1487-1489
RETURN OF NEF	09-21-22	8	1501-1503
RETURN OF NEF	10-26-22	8	1506-1508
RETURN OF NEF	11-04-22	8	1541-1543
RETURN OF NEF	11-07-22	8	1546-1548
RETURN OF NEF	11-14-22	8	1553-1555
RETURN OF NEF	11-22-22	8	1577-1579
RETURN OF NEF	11-23-22	9	1582-1584

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
RETURN OF NEF	11-28-22	9	1592-1594
RETURN OF NEF	12-27-22	9	1596-1598
RETURN OF NEF	12-27-22	9	1600-1602
RETURN OF NEF	12-29-22	9	1605-1607
RETURN OF NEF	01-12-23	9	1611-1613
RETURN OF NEF	01-12-23	9	1615-1617
RETURN OF NEF	01-12-23	9	1620-1622
RETURN OF NEF	03-29-23	9	1624-1626
RETURN OF NEF	04-28-23	9	1638-1640
RETURN OF NEF	05-09-23	9	1643-1645
RETURN OF NEF	06-09-23	9	1658-1660
RETURN OF NEF	06-12-23	9	1671-1673
RETURN OF NEF	06-22-23	9	1675-1677
RETURN OF NEF	06-26-23	9	1686-1688
RETURN OF NEF	06-26-23	9	1697-1699
RETURN OF NEF	06-30-23	9	1702-1704
RETURN OF NEF	06-30-23	9	1712-1714
RETURN OF NEF	07-11-23	9	1717-1719
RETURN OF NEF	07-18-23	9	1724-1726
SECOND RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL (POST CONVICTION)	11-28-22	9	1589-1591
SENTENCING EXHIBITS	08-21-14	2	69-73
STATE'S BENCH MEMORANDUM REGARDING EVIDENTIARY HEARING	09-24-19	4	683-714
STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR CONTINUATION OF HEARING	12-20-18	4	644-645
STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FIRST REQUEST)	05-15-17	3	451-452

APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (SECOND REQUEST)	07-17-17	3	465-466
STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (THIRD REQUEST)	09-13-17	4	478-479
STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FOURTH REQUEST)	11-15-17	4	491-492
STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE NOTICE OF DEPOSITION	10-08-18	4	631-632
STIPULATION FOR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE	09-26-19	5	838-840
STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	07-21-14	2	51
SUBPOENA	09-13-19	4	669-673
SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	09-21-22	8	1472-1482
SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	09-21-22	8	1490-1500
SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	01-12-18	4	501-551
SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE & JUDGMENT	08-18-15	3	365
SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE & JUDGMENTS	07-01-21	7	1215
SUPREME COURT NOTICE IN LIEU OF REMITTITUR	08-25-22	8	1452
SUPREME COURT NOTICE OF TRANSFER TO COURT OF APPEALS	08-24-20	7	1186
SUPREME COURT ORDER	07-11-23	9	1715
SUPREME COURT ORDER CONSOLIDATING APPEALS, DIRECTING TRANSMISSION OF RECORD, AND REGARDING BRIEFING	07-18-23	9	1722-1723
SUPREME COURT ORDER DENYING PETITION	08-02-22	7	1399-1400
SUPREME COURT ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR REVIEW	06-30-21	7	1210
SUPREME COURT ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR REVIEW	07-01-21	7	1216-1217
SUPREME COURT ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS	07-18-23	9	1720-1721



APPEAL INDEX  
SUPREME COURT NO: 86846, 86893  
DISTRICT CASE NO: CR14-0644  
RODERICK SKINNER vs WARDEN FRANKLIN ET AL  
DATE: JULY 28, 2023

PLEADING	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
SUPREME COURT ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO TRANSMIT PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT AND PSYCHOSEXUAL EVALUATION	02-11-15	3	346
SUPREME COURT ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE	07-24-15	3	358-360
SUPREME COURT ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE	08-18-15	3	366-369
SUPREME COURT ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE	02-11-21	7	1190-1192
SUPREME COURT ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE	07-01-21	7	1218-1221
SUPREME COURT ORDER TRANSFERRING TO COURT OF APPEALS	05-11-15	3	354
SUPREME COURT RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS	10-27-14	2	221
SUPREME COURT RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS	11-12-19	5	939
SUPREME COURT RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS	06-23-22	7	1378
SUPREME COURT RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS	06-30-23	9	1700
SUPREME COURT RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS	06-30-23	9	1701
SUPREME COURT RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS	07-11-23	9	1716
SUPREME COURT REMITTITUR	08-18-15	3	364
SUPREME COURT REMITTITUR	07-01-21	7	1214
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS – ARRAIGNMENT – MAY 27, 2014	07-14-14	2	37-47
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS – CONTINUED SENTENCING – AUG. 26, 2014	09-22-14	2	142-181
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS – HEARING ON POST-CONVICTION PETITION – SEPT. 26, 2019	12-08-19	6	957-1158
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS – SENTENCING – AUG. 21, 2014	09-16-14	2	85-134
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS – SENTENCING – SEPT. 4, 2014	11-09-14	3	225-334
WAIVER OF PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION	05-02-14	2	6

1 EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
2 EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
3 Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
4 P.O. Box 34763  
5 Reno, NV 89533-4763  
6 (775) 996-0687

7 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**  
8 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**

9 RODERICK SKINNER,

10 Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

11 vs.

Dept. No. 8

12 W. OLSEN, Warden NNCC,  
13 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

14 Respondent.  
15 \_\_\_\_\_/

16 **MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION**

17 (First request)

18 COMES NOW Petitioner Roderick Skinner, by and through his court-appointed  
19 counsel EDWARD T. REED, ESQ., and hereby files this motion for an extension of time  
20 of 45 (forty-five) days to file the supplemental petition or notice of non-supplementation.

21 The supplemental petition is currently due on August 12, 2022. If the 45 (forty-five) day  
22 extension is granted, the supplemental petition would be due on September 26, 2022.

23 This is the first motion for extension of time to file the supplemental petition and is based  
24 on the attached memorandum of points and authorities.  
25

26 //

27 //  
28

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

This motion is based on W.D.C.R. 12. The reason this extension is necessary is that additional time is needed to consult with the Petitioner Mr. Skinner about the case and to fully review all of the pleadings and papers in the case. For all the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that this extension be granted.

**Pursuant to NRS 239B.030**, the undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Respectfully submitted this 9<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
P.O. Box 34763  
Reno, NV 89533-4763  
(775) 996-0687  
*ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I represent the Petitioner in this matter and that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court by using the eflex system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Washoe County District Attorney's Office

DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ Edward T. Reed  
EDWARD T. REED

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-09 08:40:04.148.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-09 08:40:02.632.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-09 08:40:00.773.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-08-09 08:39:59.648.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-09 08:39:59.007.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-09 08:40:04.585.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-09 08:40:03.492.

**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

08-09-2022:08:39:08

**Clerk Accepted:**

08-09-2022:08:39:33

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Mtn for Extension of Time

**Filed By:**

Edward Torrance Reed

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

1 EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
2 EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
3 Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
4 P.O. Box 34763  
5 Reno, NV 89533-4763  
6 (775) 996-0687

7 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**  
8 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**

9 RODERICK SKINNER,

10 Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

11 vs.

Dept. No. 8

12  
13 W. OLSEN, Warden NNCC,  
14 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

15 Respondent.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 **MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD**

18 COMES NOW EDWARD T. REED, ESQ., the court appointed counsel for the  
19 Petitioner Roderick Skinner (Mr. Skinner) and moves this Honorable Court for an order  
20 allowing him to withdraw as counsel of record for Mr. Skinner. This motion is based on  
21 the attached memorandum of points and authorities and the declaration of Edward T.  
22 Reed.

23 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

24 This motion is made pursuant to W.D.C.R. 3(6) and W.D.C.R. 23(2)(b).  
25 Because of some conflicts that have come to light between the undersigned counsel and  
26 Mr. Skinner, the undersigned counsel Edward T. Reed hereby makes this motion to  
27  
28



1 withdraw as counsel of record. After Mr. Skinner's first petition for writ of habeas  
2 corpus was denied by this Court and his appeal was also denied by the Nevada Court of  
3 Appeals, he filed a federal habeas corpus petition in the United States District Court on  
4 August 23, 2021 in case number 3:21-CV-00318-MMD-CLB. He also recently filed a  
5 second petition for writ of habeas corpus in this court on March 29, 2022. The  
6 undersigned counsel had represented Mr. Skinner in his initial habeas corpus petition in  
7 this court and was re-appointed to represent Mr. Skinner for this new habeas corpus  
8 petition on June 28, 2022.

10 Mr. Skinner also filed, on May 10, 2022, a motion in the federal court action for  
11 leave to supplement petition, with an attached proposed supplemental petition for writ of  
12 habeas corpus, which included a claim against the undersigned counsel alleging  
13 ineffective assistance of counsel. This motion and proposed supplemental petition is  
14 attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. This motion was denied by the federal court on June 6,  
15 2022.

17 The filing of this motion to supplement his federal habeas corpus petition  
18 demonstrates an irreconcilable conflict of interest between Mr. Skinner and the  
19 undersigned counsel which makes it impossible for the undersigned counsel to continue  
20 representing Mr. Skinner. For all the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that  
21 this Court enter an order allowing for the withdrawal by the undersigned counsel as  
22 counsel of record.

24 **Pursuant to NRS 239B.030**, the undersigned does hereby affirm that the  
25 preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

27 //

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Respectfully submitted this 16<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
P.O. Box 34763  
Reno, NV 89533-4763  
(775) 996-0687  
*ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER*

**DECLARATION OF EDWARD T. REED**

I, Edward T. Reed (declarant), do hereby swear under penalty of perjury as follows:

1. Declarant was appointed to represent the Petitioner in this case, Roderick Skinner.
2. Declarant has read the foregoing motion, is familiar with its contents, and swears under penalty of perjury that the factual assertions stated therein are true upon personal knowledge.
3. If this motion is granted and until another counsel is appointed to represent Mr. Skinner, all further communications and pleadings in this case should be served on Mr. Skinner at the following address:

Roderick Skinner #1126964  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702

Further, declarant saith naught.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ EDWARD T. REED

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I represent the Petitioner in this matter and that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court by using the Eflex system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Jennifer Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy  
Washoe County District Attorney's Office

A copy of this motion has also been served via United States Postal Service on Mr. Skinner at the following address:

Roderick Skinner #1126964  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ Edward T. Reed  
EDWARD T. REED

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit number

Number of pages

1. Motion for Leave to Supplement Petition

13



on file herein, as well as the follo

Respectfully submitted this 9

11  
ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL  
STATE COURT AND INAD  
REQUIRED IN ORDER TO

1. See DOCUMENT #22



Dated this 9 day of Nov



post-conviction relief or petition  
was decided: 6 / 25 / 2021. Has  
state supreme court?      Yes ✓

- 
5. Date you are mailing (or handing to)  
**Attach to this petition a copy of**

sentencing CHRIS

direct appeal JOHN

1st post-conviction petition

appeal from post conviction

2nd post-conviction petition

appeal from 2nd post-conviction



21

THE RECORD AT MY STA

22

THE RECORD AT MY STA

23

24

A. REED ESQ. PUT FOR

25

COURT OF APPEALS WH

26

BY CLEARLY ESTABLISHED

27

BY ANY STATE LAW, AS

28

GROUND AS BEING "A MATT

• **Other Proceedings:**

Have you pursued any other procedure/  
sentence overturned based on this issue  
explain: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**State concisely every ground for which**

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Have you pursued any other procedure  
sentence overturned based on this issue  
explain: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**State concisely every ground for which  
unconstitutional. Summarize briefly**







**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-16 14:12:56.377.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-16 14:12:55.815.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-16 14:12:55.471.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-08-16 14:12:55.174.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-16 14:12:54.768.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-16 14:12:56.643.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-16 14:12:56.143.

**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***  
**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

08-16-2022:14:12:10

**Clerk Accepted:**

08-16-2022:14:12:23

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada  
Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Mtn to Relieve Counsel  
- \*\*Continuation

**Filed By:**

Edward Torrance Reed

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

CODE No. 2501  
CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
#7747  
One South Sierra Street  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
(775) 328-3200  
districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov  
Attorney for Respondent

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

\* \* \*

RODERICK SKINNER,

Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

v.

Dept. No. 8

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

NON-OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by and through Jennifer P. Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy, and notifies this Court that it has no objection to Edward T. Reed's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record filed on August 16, 2022.

///

///

///

///

///

///

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: August 19, 2022.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
District Attorney

By /s/ JENNIFER P. NOBLE  
JENNIFER P. NOBLE  
Nevada Bar #9446  
Chief Appellate Deputy

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Second Judicial District Court on August 19, 2022. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Edward T. Reed, Esq.

/s/ Tatyana Ducummon  
TATYANA DUCUMMON

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-19 08:03:15.896.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-19 08:03:15.364.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-19 08:03:15.083.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-08-19 08:03:14.818.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-19 08:03:14.536.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-19 08:03:16.193.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-19 08:03:15.614.



\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*

PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: CR14-0644

Judge:

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

Official File Stamp:

08-19-2022:08:02:42

Clerk Accepted:

08-19-2022:08:02:55

Court:

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

Case Title:

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

Document(s) Submitted:

Non-Opposition

Filed By:

Jennifer Patricia Noble

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

1 EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
2 EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
3 Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
4 P.O. Box 34763  
5 Reno, NV 89533-4763  
6 (775) 996-0687

7  
8 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**  
9  
10 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**  
11

12 RODERICK SKINNER,

13 Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

14 vs.

Dept. No. 8

15 W. OLSEN, Warden NNCC,  
16 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

17 Respondent.  
18 \_\_\_\_\_/

19 **REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL**  
20 **OF RECORD**  
21

22 COMES NOW EDWARD T. REED, ESQ., the court appointed counsel for the  
23  
24 Petitioner Roderick Skinner (Mr. Skinner) and requests that the Motion to Withdraw as  
25  
26 Counsel of Record, filed on August 16, 2022, be submitted to the Court for decision.  
27

28 The Respondent State of Nevada filed a non-opposition to the motion.

Respectfully submitted this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
P.O. Box 34763  
Reno, NV 89533-4763  
(775) 996-0687  
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I represent the Petitioner in this matter and that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court by using the Eflex system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Jennifer Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy  
Washoe County District Attorney's Office

DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ Edward T. Reed  
EDWARD T. REED

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:32:40.2.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:32:39.684.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:32:39.387.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:32:39.137.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:32:38.825.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:32:40.481.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:32:39.919.

**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

08-23-2022:15:32:08

**Clerk Accepted:**

08-23-2022:15:32:17

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Request for Submission

**Filed By:**

Edward Torrance Reed

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

1 **Code: 2589**

2

3

4

5 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

6 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**

7 **RODERICK SKINNER,**

8 **Petitioner,**

**Case No. CR14-0644**

**Dept. No. 8**

9 **vs.**

10 **W. OLSEN, WARDEN NNCC ETAL,**

11 **Respondents.**

12 \_\_\_\_\_ /

13 **NOTICE OF STRICKEN DOCUMENT**

14

15 The Clerk of the Court hereby strikes the REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF

16 MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD filed by Edward Reed Esq. on

17 August 23, 2022 (Transaction No. 9221965) from the case for the following reason(s):

18 ☒ Document does not have an Affirmation

19 The Clerk of the Court does hereby place the stricken document at a security level of public ☒ or

20 sealed ☐. ***The Court cannot consider the stricken document. If the filing party wants the***

21 ***document to be considered, it may be re-filed with the noted corrections and resubmitted.***

22 Dated: August 23, 2022

23 ALICIA L. LERUD

24 Clerk of the Court

25 By: /s/Y.Viloria

26 Deputy Clerk

27

28



**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:39:41.014.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:39:40.155.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:39:39.702.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:39:39.311.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:39:38.733.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:39:41.421.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:39:40.577.

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*

PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

08-23-2022:15:39:00

**Clerk Accepted:**

08-23-2022:15:39:11

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Notice of Stricken Document

**Filed By:**

Deputy Clerk YViloria

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

1 EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
2 EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
3 Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
4 P.O. Box 34763  
5 Reno, NV 89533-4763  
6 (775) 996-0687

7  
8 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**  
9  
10 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**  
11

12 RODERICK SKINNER,

13 Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

14 vs.

Dept. No. 8

15 W. OLSEN, Warden NNCC,  
16 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

17 Respondent.  
18 \_\_\_\_\_/

19 **REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL**  
20 **OF RECORD**  
21

22 COMES NOW EDWARD T. REED. ESQ., the court appointed counsel for the  
23 Petitioner Roderick Skinner (Mr. Skinner) and requests that the Motion to Withdraw as  
24 Counsel of Record, filed on August 16, 2022, be submitted to the Court for decision.  
25

26 The Respondent State of Nevada filed a non-opposition to the motion.

27 **Pursuant to NRS 239B.030**, the undersigned does hereby affirm that the  
28 preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

//

//

//

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Respectfully submitted this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
P.O. Box 34763  
Reno, NV 89533-4763  
(775) 996-0687  
*ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I represent the Petitioner in this matter and that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court by using the Eflex system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Jennifer Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy  
Washoe County District Attorney's Office

DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2022.

/s/ Edward T. Reed  
EDWARD T. REED

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:50:30.627.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:50:30.143.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:50:29.893.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:50:29.393.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:50:29.096.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:50:30.877.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-23 15:50:30.393.

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*

PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

08-23-2022:15:49:53

**Clerk Accepted:**

08-23-2022:15:50:11

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Request for Submission

**Filed By:**

Edward Torrance Reed

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER



EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR  
THE COUNTY OF WASHOE,  
Respondent.

**Supreme Court No. 84894**  
District Court Case No. CR140644

**NOTICE IN LIEU OF REMITTITUR**

TO THE ABOVE-NAMED PARTIES:

The decision and Order of the court in this matter having been entered on July 29th, 2022, and the period for the filing of a petition for rehearing having expired and no petition having been filed, notice is hereby given that the Order and decision entered herein has, pursuant to the rules of this court, become effective.

DATE: August 23, 2022

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court

By: Collette Martin  
Administrative Assistant

cc: Washoe County District Attorney \ Jennifer P. Noble  
Alicia L. Lerud, Washoe District Court Clerk  
Roderick Stephen Skinner

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-25 13:44:25.03.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-25 13:44:24.28.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-25 13:44:23.998.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-08-25 13:44:23.762.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-25 13:44:23.403.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-25 13:44:25.452.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-25 13:44:24.623.

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*  
PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: CR14-0644

Judge:

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

Official File Stamp:

08-25-2022:13:43:51

Clerk Accepted:

08-25-2022:13:44:01

Court:

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada  
Criminal

Case Title:

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

Document(s) Submitted:

Supreme Ct Not/Lieu/Remittitur

Filed By:

Deputy Clerk YViloria

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

CODE 3242

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

RODERICK SKINNER,

Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

vs.

Dept. No. 8

W. OLSEN, Warden NNCC, STATE OF  
NEVADA, et al.,Respondent.  
\_\_\_\_\_/ORDER SETTING HEARING ON MOTION  
TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD

The Court is in receipt of Edward T. Reed, Esq.'s *Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record*, filed August 16, 2022, and the State of Nevada's *Non-Opposition to Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record*, filed August 19, 2022. The Court has reviewed the file and finds it appropriate to set a hearing regarding Mr. Reed's *Motion*. Therefore, with good cause appearing and in the interest of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing via Zoom will take place on **October 25, 2022 at 2:30 p.m.**<sup>1</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE this 26th day of August 2022.BARRY L. BRESLOW  
District Judge

<sup>1</sup> Zoom information will be available on the Second Judicial District Court website at [www.washoecourts.com](http://www.washoecourts.com), listed under "online hearings and public access to proceedings."

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, County of Washoe; that on this 26th day of August 2022, I deposited in the County mailing system for postage and mailing with the United States Postal Service in Reno, Nevada, a true copy of the attached document addressed to:

Roderick Skinner # 1126964  
Northern Nevada Correctional Center  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702

Further, I certify that on this 26th day of August 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court electronic filing system, which will send notice of electronic filing to the following:

EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.

JENNIFER P. NOBLE. - DDA

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judicial Assistant

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-26 14:38:51.688.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-26 14:38:51.25.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-26 14:38:51.0.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-08-26 14:38:50.766.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-26 14:38:50.359.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-26 14:38:51.922.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-08-26 14:38:51.469.



\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*

PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

08-26-2022:14:38:10

**Clerk Accepted:**

08-26-2022:14:38:29

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Ord Setting Hearing

**Filed By:**

Judicial Asst. JBarrett

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

1 CODE #1260  
2 CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
3 #7747  
4 One South Sierra Street  
5 Reno, Nevada 89501  
6 (775) 328-3200  
7 districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov  
8 Attorney for Plaintiff

9 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
10  
11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

12 \* \* \*

13 THE STATE OF NEVADA,

14 Plaintiff,

Case No. CR14-0644

15 v.

Dept. No. 8

16 RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER,

17 Defendant.

18 \_\_\_\_\_/

19 APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER

20 COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by and through CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS,  
21 District Attorney of Washoe County, by JENNIFER P. NOBLE, Chief Appellate Deputy,  
22 and alleges as follows:

23 1. That the Defendant, RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964, is presently  
24 incarcerated at the Northern Nevada Correctional Center in Carson City, Nevada.

2. That the above RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964 is scheduled for a  
post-conviction motion hearing before the Second Judicial District Court on October 25,  
2022 at 2:30 pm.

3. Zoom Meeting Information: <https://www.washoecourts.com/OnlineHearings>

1 WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that an Order be made ordering the  
2 audio/visual appearance of the said RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964 before  
3 the Second Judicial District Court, and from time to time thereafter at such times and  
4 places as may be ordered and directed by the Court for such proceedings as thereafter  
5 may be necessary and proper in the premises and directing the execution of said Order  
6 by the Warden of the Northern Nevada Correctional Center, Carson City, Nevada.

7 AFFIRMATION

8 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not  
9 contain the social security number of any person.

10 DATED: September 1, 2022.

11 CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
12 District Attorney

13  
14 By /s/Jennifer P. Noble  
JENNIFER P. NOBLE  
15 Chief Appellate Deputy  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Second Judicial District Court on September 1, 2022. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Edward T. Reed, Esq.

/s/ Cecilia Sixta  
CECILIA SIXTA

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-01 10:30:53.006.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-01 10:30:52.646.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-01 10:30:52.287.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-09-01 10:30:51.959.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-01 10:30:53.35.

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*  
PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

09-01-2022:10:30:10

**Clerk Accepted:**

09-01-2022:10:30:24

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada  
Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Application Produce Prisoner

**Filed By:**

Jennifer Patricia Noble

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

**Address:** EDWARD TORRANCE  
REED, ESQ.  
P.O. BOX 34763  
RENO, NV 89533

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**Address:** CHRISTOPHER FREY,  
ESQ.  
Washoe County Public  
Defender  
P. O. 30083  
Reno, NV 89520



1 CODE #3340  
CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
2 #7747  
One South Sierra Street  
3 Reno, Nevada 89501  
(775) 328-3200  
4 districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov  
Attorney for Respondent  
5

6 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
7  
8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

8 \* \* \*

9 RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER,

10 Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

11 v.

Dept. No. 8

12 THE STATE OF NEVADA,

13 Respondent.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_/

15 ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER VIA SIMULTANEOUS AUDIO/VISUAL TRANSMISSION

16 IT APPEARING to the satisfaction of the above-entitled Court that it is necessary  
17 that the Petitioner above named, RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964, presently  
18 incarcerated in the Northern Nevada Correctional Center in Carson City, Nevada, be  
19 brought before the Second Judicial District Court for a post-conviction hearing in the  
20 above-entitled action.

21 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Warden of the Northern  
22 Nevada Correctional Center in Carson City, Nevada, with cooperative assistance from  
23 the Nevada System of Higher Education bring the said RODERICK STEPHEN  
24 SKINNER #1126964 before the Second Judicial District Court via simultaneous

1 audio/visual transmission means on October 25, 2022, at 2:30 p.m. for a post-  
2 conviction hearing in the above-entitled action.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that it is not necessary for said RODERICK  
4 STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964 to be physically located in Washoe County, Nevada,  
5 during the post-conviction hearing.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Warden of the Northern Nevada  
7 Correctional Center in Carson City, Nevada, shall provide and make available a  
8 telephone for the duration of the post-conviction hearing to RODERICK STEPHEN  
9 SKINNER #1126964, and his defense counsel EDWARD T. REED, ESQ., for the ability  
10 to speak privately during the hearing.

11 DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2022.

12  
13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
DISTRICT JUDGE

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-16 11:18:45.531.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-16 11:18:46.156.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-09-16 11:18:45.265.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-16 11:18:44.656.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-16 11:18:46.5.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-16 11:18:45.843.

**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

09-16-2022:11:18:08

**Clerk Accepted:**

09-16-2022:11:18:23

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Ord to Produce Prisoner

**Filed By:**

Court Clerk ADeGayne

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**Address:**

CHRISTOPHER FREY,  
ESQ.

Washoe County Public  
Defender

P. O. 30083

Reno, NV 89520

1 EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
2 EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
3 Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
4 P.O. Box 34763  
5 Reno, NV 89533-4763  
6 (775) 996-0687

7 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**  
8 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**

9 RODERICK SKINNER,

10 Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

11 vs.

Dept. No. 8

12  
13 W. OLSEN, Warden NNCC,  
14 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

15 Respondent.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 **SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD**

18 COMES NOW EDWARD T. REED, ESQ., the court appointed counsel for the  
19 Petitioner Roderick Skinner (Mr. Skinner) and files this supplement to the Motion to  
20 Withdraw as Counsel, filed on August 16, 2022. This supplement consists of Exhibit 1,  
21 which is a copy of a "Civil Rights Complaint By An Inmate" filed by Mr. Skinner in the  
22 United States District Court on August 5, 2022, naming the undersigned counsel Edward  
23 T. Reed as a defendant.

24 The existence of this complaint filed in the federal court makes it impossible for  
25 the undersigned counsel to represent Mr. Skinner in his habeas corpus petition because of  
26 the clear conflict of interest. Because of the animosity between the undersigned counsel  
27  
28

1 and Mr. Skinner, the undersigned counsel would not be able to adequately represent Mr.  
2 Skinner. Mr. Skinner has also informed the undersigned counsel that he does not want  
3 to be further represented by the undersigned counsel in this habeas corpus action. For  
4 all the foregoing reasons it is respectfully requested that this Honorable Court grant the  
5 undersigned counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel of record.  
6

7 Respectfully submitted this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2022.  
8

9 /s/ EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
10 EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
11 Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
12 P.O. Box 34763  
13 Reno, NV 89533-4763  
14 (775) 996-0687  
15 *ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER*  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I represent the Petitioner in this matter and that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court by using the Eflex system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Jennifer Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy  
Washoe County District Attorney's Office

A copy of this supplement has also been served via United States Postal Service on Mr. Skinner at the following address:

Roderick Skinner #1126964  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702

DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2022.

/s/ Edward T. Reed  
EDWARD T. REED



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit number

Number of pages

1. Civil Rights Complaint By An Inmate

8



☒ 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)

☐ 28 U.S.C. § 1331; A

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

2) Institution/city where Plaintiff

3) Institution/city where violation

# CLAIM 1

ACTUAL SUBPOENA OF

EDWARD T. REED HAS  
PRIVILEGED INFORMATION  
WHICH IS DAMAGING T

**You must answer all questions. The complaint must not be more than 30 pages long. It is not an amended complaint. Rather, the complaint must state the claims without reference to exhibits or attachments. If the complaint is long, you must file a motion seeking leave to exceed 30 pages if necessary.**

Order 2021-03. At  
before a Magistrate  
filing your complaint

Consent or declination  
event called Notice  
Appearances civil

08/29/2022

4

CLERK'S NOTICE  
and Magistrate Judge  
(WJ) (Entered: 08/29/2022)

08/31/2022

5

ORDER - Plaintiff  
certificate. Nor has  
instructions and ap  
**distribution to P v**





**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:32:51.269.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:32:52.269.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:32:50.785.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:32:50.066.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:32:53.113.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:32:51.863.

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*  
PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

09-21-2022:14:29:55

**Clerk Accepted:**

09-21-2022:14:32:17

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada  
Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Supplemental ...

- \*\*Continuation

**Filed By:**

Edward Torrance Reed

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**Address:**

CHRISTOPHER FREY,  
ESQ.

Washoe County Public  
Defender

P. O. 30083

Reno, NV 89520

1 **Code: 2589**  
2  
3  
45 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**  
6 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**7 **RODERICK SKINNER,**8 **Petitioner,****Case No. CR14-0644****Dept. No. 8**9 **vs.**10 **W. OLSEN, WARDEN NNCC,**11 **STATE OF NEVADA, ET AL,**12 **Respondent.**  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/14 **NOTICE OF STRICKEN DOCUMENT**15 The Clerk of the Court hereby strikes the SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION TO  
16 WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD filed by Edward Reed Esq/ on September 21,  
17 2022 (Transaction No. 9272323) from the case for the following reason(s):18 ☒ Document does not have an Affirmation19 The Clerk of the Court does hereby place the stricken document at a security level of public ☒ or  
20 sealed ☐. ***The Court cannot consider the stricken document. If the filing party wants the  
document to be considered, it may be re-filed with the noted corrections and resubmitted.***

21 Dated: September 21, 2022

22 ALICIA L. LERUD

23 Clerk of the Court

24 By: /s/Y.Viloria

25 Deputy Clerk  
26  
27  
28

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:49:22.819.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:49:23.616.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:49:22.493.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:49:21.475.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:49:23.882.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 14:49:23.178.

**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

09-21-2022:14:48:26

**Clerk Accepted:**

09-21-2022:14:49:00

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Notice of Stricken Document

**Filed By:**

Deputy Clerk YViloria

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**Address:**

CHRISTOPHER FREY,  
ESQ.

Washoe County Public  
Defender

P. O. 30083

Reno, NV 89520

1 EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
2 EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
3 Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
4 P.O. Box 34763  
5 Reno, NV 89533-4763  
6 (775) 996-0687

7 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**  
8 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**

9 RODERICK SKINNER,

10 Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

11 vs.

Dept. No. 8

12  
13 W. OLSEN, Warden NNCC,  
14 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

15 Respondent.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 **SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD**

18 COMES NOW EDWARD T. REED, ESQ., the court appointed counsel for the  
19 Petitioner Roderick Skinner (Mr. Skinner) and files this supplement to the Motion to  
20 Withdraw as Counsel, filed on August 16, 2022. This supplement consists of Exhibit 1,  
21 which is a copy of a "Civil Rights Complaint By An Inmate" filed by Mr. Skinner in the  
22 United States District Court on August 5, 2022, naming the undersigned counsel Edward  
23 T. Reed as a defendant.

24 The existence of this complaint filed in the federal court makes it impossible for  
25 the undersigned counsel to represent Mr. Skinner in his habeas corpus petition because of  
26 the clear conflict of interest. Because of the animosity between the undersigned counsel  
27  
28



1 and Mr. Skinner, the undersigned counsel would not be able to adequately represent Mr.  
2 Skinner. Mr. Skinner has also informed the undersigned counsel that he does not want  
3 to be further represented by the undersigned counsel in this habeas corpus action. For  
4 all the foregoing reasons it is respectfully requested that this Honorable Court grant the  
5 undersigned counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel of record.  
6

7 **Pursuant to NRS 239B.030**, the undersigned does hereby affirm that the  
8 preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

9 Respectfully submitted this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2022.  
10

11 /s/ EDWARD T. REED, ESQ.  
12 EDWARD T. REED, PLLC  
13 Nevada State Bar No. 1416  
14 P.O. Box 34763  
15 Reno, NV 89533-4763  
16 (775) 996-0687  
17 *ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER*  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I represent the Petitioner in this matter and that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court by using the Eflex system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Jennifer Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy  
Washoe County District Attorney's Office

A copy of this supplement has also been served via United States Postal Service on Mr. Skinner at the following address:

Roderick Skinner #1126964  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702

DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2022.

/s/ Edward T. Reed  
EDWARD T. REED

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit number

Number of pages

1. Civil Rights Complaint By An Inmate

8



☒ 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)

☐ 28 U.S.C. § 1331; A

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

2) Institution/city where Plaintiff

3) Institution/city where violation

# CLAIM 1

ACTUAL SUBPOENA OF

EDWARD T. REED HAS  
PRIVILEGED INFORMATION  
WHICH IS DAMAGING T

**You must answer all questions. The complaint must not be more than 30 pages long. It is not an amended complaint. Rather, the complaint must state the claims without reference to exhibits or other documents. If the complaint is more than 30 pages long, you must file a motion seeking leave to file a complaint that exceeds 30 pages. The motion must support the need to exceed 30 pages in length.**



Order 2021-03. All  
before a Magistrate  
filing your complaint

Consent or declination  
event called Notice  
Appearances civil

08/29/2022

4

CLERK'S NOTICE  
and Magistrate Judge  
(WJ) (Entered: 08/29/2022)

08/31/2022

5

ORDER - Plaintiff  
certificate. Nor has  
instructions and ap  
**distribution to P v**



**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 16:22:37.363.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 16:22:38.332.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 16:22:36.973.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 16:22:36.02.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 16:22:39.332.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-09-21 16:22:37.77.

**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***  
**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

09-21-2022:16:20:57

**Clerk Accepted:**

09-21-2022:16:22:15

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada  
Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Supplemental ...

- \*\*Continuation

**Filed By:**

Edward Torrance Reed

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**Address:**

CHRISTOPHER FREY,  
ESQ.

Washoe County Public  
Defender

P. O. 30083

Reno, NV 89520

1 **CODE 3370**

2

3

4 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

5 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**

6

7 **THE STATE OF NEVADA,**

8 **Plaintiff,**

9 **vs.**

**Case No. CR14-0644**

10 **RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER,**

**Dept. No. 8**

11 **Defendant.**

12 **/**

13 **ORDER APPOINTING CONFLICT COUNSEL**

14 A Hearing on Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record was held on October

15 25, 2022. Defense Counsel presented information demonstrating that there exists a

16 conflict,

17 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Edward Torrance Reed, Esq. is hereby

18 RELIEVED as counsel of record.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to order of the Nevada Supreme

20 Court regarding representation of indigent defendants, ADKT 411 (2008), and the plan

21 developed for the Second Judicial District Court to meet the requirements set forth

22 therein, the matter of appointment of counsel is hereby referred to the Conflict

23 Counsel/Attorney Administrator.

24 **///**

25 **///**

26 **///**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a Status Hearing is set for **November 22, 2022 at 2:00 p.m.** in Department 8.

DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2022 NUNC PRO TUNC to the 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2022.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BARRY L. BRESLOW  
District Judge

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-10-26 14:02:19.206.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-10-26 14:02:19.863.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-10-26 14:02:18.753.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-10-26 14:02:18.019.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-10-26 14:02:17.722.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-10-26 14:02:20.193.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-10-26 14:02:19.519.



**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

10-26-2022:14:01:38

**Clerk Accepted:**

10-26-2022:14:01:57

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Ord Appointing Counsel

**Filed By:**

Court Clerk ADeGayne

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

RODERICK SKINNER

(Name)

1126964

(I.D. No.)

Northern Nevada Correctional Center

Post Office Box 7000

Carson City, NV 89702

Petitioner, In Proper Person

FILED

NOV 01 2022

ALICIA LERUD, CLERK

By: [Signature]  
DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

RODERICK SKINNER

Plaintiff/Petitioner

vs.

WARDEN FRANKLIN N.N.C.C. et al

STATE OF NEVADA Defendant/Respondent

Case No.: CR14-0644

MOTION FOR CORRECTION

OF SENTENCE

COMES NOW, RODERICK SKINNER, in proper person and herein  
above respectfully moves this Honorable Court for a(n) RESENTENCING PROCEEDING

The instant motion is made and based upon all papers and pleadings on file herein as well  
as the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities and attached exhibits (where  
applicable).

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIESSTANDARD OF REVIEW:

THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT HAS LONG RECOGNIZED THAT COURTS HAVE THE POWER AND JURISDICTION TO MODIFY A SENTENCE. See, STALEY v STATE, 787 P.2d 396, 106 Nev. 75 (1990) (... "THAT IF A SENTENCING COURT PRONOUNCES SENTENCE WITHIN STATUTORY LIMITS, THE COURT WILL HAVE JURISDICTION TO MODIFY, SUSPEND OR OTHERWISE CORRECT THAT SENTENCE IF IT IS BASED UPON MATERIALLY UNTRUE ASSUMPTIONS OR MISTAKES WHICH WORK TO THE EXTREME DETRIMENT OF THE DEFENDANT").

PETITIONER SKINNER (HEREAFTER "PETITIONER" OR "MR SKINNER"), BELIEVES THAT THIS COURT HAS, BASED UPON STALEY, THE JURISDICTION TO MODIFY HIS SENTENCE, DUE TO THAT SENTENCE BEING PRONOUNCED BASED UPON A PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT ("P.S.I.") WHICH DID HAVE SEVERAL MATERIAL FACTS IN ERROR, WHICH WILL BE DISCUSSED BELOW IN THE STATEMENT OF FACTS, OR THE COURT'S DISREGARD OF HIS P.S.I.

RESPONDENTS MAY ARGUE THAT LATCHES APPLY DUE TO THE FACT THAT 3-YEARS HAVE PASSED SINCE SENTENCE WAS PRONOUNCED. HOWEVER, THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT HELD THAT SUCH TIME REQUIREMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO A REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION OF SENTENCE. See, PASSANISI v STATE, 831 P.2d 1371, 108 Nev. 318 (1995) (... "WE NOTE THAT THE TRIAL COURT HAS INHERENT AUTHORITY TO CORRECT A

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

SENTENCE AT ANY TIME IF SUCH SENTENCE [WAS] BASED ON MISTAKE OF MATERIAL FACT THAT WORKED TO THE EXTREME DETRIMENT OF THE DEFENDANT (citations omitted). IF THE TRIAL COURT HAS INHERENT AUTHORITY TO CORRECT A SENTENCE, A FORTIORI (sic)<sup>1</sup>, IT HAS THE POWER TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION REQUESTING IT TO EXERCISE THAT INHERENT AUTHORITY.... THUS THE TIME LIMITS AND OTHER RESTRICTIONS WITH RESPECT TO A POST-CONVICTION RELIEF DO NOT APPLY TO A MOTION TO MODIFY A SENTENCE BASED ON A CLAIM THAT THE SENTENCE WAS ILLEGAL OR WAS BASED ON AN UNTRUE ASSUMPTION OF THE FACTS THAT AMOUNTED TO DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS. Id. 831 P.2d at 1372 n1. See ALSO EDWARDS v STATE 918 P.2d 321, 324, 112 Nev. 704 (1996).

THE DISTRICT COURT'S INHERENT AUTHORITY TO CORRECT A JUDGEMENT OR SENTENCE FOUNDED ON MISTAKE IS IN ACCORD WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONSIDERATIONS UNDERLYING THE SENTENCING PROCESS. THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS EXPRESSLY HELD THAT WHERE A DEFENDANT IS SENTENCED ON THE BASIS OF MATERIALLY UNTRUE ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING HIS CRIMINAL RECORD, "(THE) RESULT WHETHER CAUSED BY CARELESSNESS OR DESIGN, IS INCONSISTENT WITH DUE PROCESS OF LAW". TOWNSEND v BURKE, 736, 741, 68 Sct. 12552, 92 LEd 1690 (1948). FURTHER, THE CASES CLEARLY ESTABLISHED THAT CONSTITUTIONALLY VIOLATE "MATERIALLY UNTRUE ASSUMPTIONS" CONCERNING A CRIMINAL RECORD MAY ARISE EITHER AS A RESULT OF A SENTENCING JUDGES CORRECT PERCEPTION OR MISAPPREHENSION. Id. 677 P.2d at 1048 n.3.

1. \*FORTIOR (OF EVIDENCE) - INVOLVING A PRESUMPTION THAT, BECAUSE OF THE STRENGTH OF A PARTIES EVIDENCE, SHIFTS THE BURDEN OF PROOF TO THE OPPOSING PARTY.  
BLACKS LAW DICTIONARY 7<sup>TH</sup> Ed. p.664 - 3 -

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIESARGUMENT:

MR SKINNER CLAIMS THAT THE DISTRICT COURT ABUSED ITS DISCRETION AT SENTENCING BY, AT THE VERY LEAST, FAILING TO RULE ON HIS CLEAR OBJECTIONS TO THE PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT (P.S.I.); HE HAD TIMELY OBJECTED TO THE 'DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION'S' SCORES WHICH HE ASSERTED ACROSS 3-DAYS OF CONTINUED SENTENCING HEARINGS WERE NOT JUST WRONG, BUT DELIBERATELY SCORED LOW SO THAT HE WOULD NOT QUALIFY FOR PROBATION. THE DISTRICT COURT NEVER RESOLVED MR SKINNER'S OBJECTIONS CONCERNING THE PAROLE AND PROBATION SCORING IN HIS CASE. INSTEAD, JUDGE HARDY STATED INTER-ALIA THAT HE WAS SIMPLY DISREGARDING MR SKINNER'S OBJECTIONS TO THE P.S.I., (AND BY EXTENSION — HIS FUTURE PRISON CLASSIFICATION AND PAROLE ELIGIBILITY), BY NOT CONSIDERING THE P.S.I. FOR SENTENCING PURPOSES. "A DEFENDANT HAS THE RIGHT TO OBJECT TO FACTUAL OR METHODOLOGICAL ERRORS IN SENTENCING FORMS, SO LONG AS HE OR SHE OBJECTS BEFORE SENTENCING".

BLANKENSHIP v STATE, 132 Nev. 500, 508, 375P.3d 407, 412 (2016).

THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT HAS EMPHASIZED THAT ANY OBJECTIONS MADE BY A DEFENDANT TO HIS P.S.I. MUST BE RESOLVED PRIOR TO SENTENCING. Id.; SASSER v STATE, 130 Nev. 387, 390, 324P.3d 1221, 1223 (2014); STOCKMEIER v STATE BD OF PAROLE COMM'RS, 127 Nev. 243, 250, 255P.3d 214 (2011). MR SKINNER PROPERLY OBJECTED TO HIS P.S.I. PRIOR TO SENTENCING,

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

AND ARGUED THAT HIS SCORES SHOULD HAVE BEEN HIGHER IN SEVERAL CATEGORIES BUT WERE NOT, BECAUSE THE DIVISION IMPROPERLY SCORED HIM LOW TO PRECLUDE HIM FROM PROBATION CONSIDERATION; RELIED UPON SUBJECTIVE CRITERIA, IMPALPABLE OR HIGHLY SUSPECT EVIDENCE, OR MISREAD NAC 213.590 BY DISREGARDING PORTIONS OF THE CODE OR ALLOWING THE CODE TO BRING ABOUT ABSURD RESULTS. THE DISTRICT COURT DID NOT RESOLVE MR SKINNER'S OBJECTIONS.

"AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION OCCURS WHENEVER A COURT FAILS TO GIVE DUE CONSIDERATION TO THE ISSUES AT HAND".

PATTERSON v STATE, 129 Nev. 168, 176, 298 P.3d 433, 439 (2013).

"AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION OCCURS IF THE DISTRICT COURT'S DECISION IS ARBITRARY OR CAPRICIOUS OR IF IT EXCEEDS THE BOUNDS OF LAW OR REASON".

CRAWFORD v STATE, 121 Nev. 746, 748, 121 P.3d 582, 585 (2005).

IT IS CLEAR ON THE FACE OF THE RECORD THAT THE SENTENCING COURT IN MR SKINNER'S CASE DID NOT GIVE DUE CONSIDERATION TO HIS OBJECTIONS CONCERNING HIS P.S.I., SINCE IT MADE NO RULING AS TO THE VALIDITY OR INVALIDITY OF HIS CLAIMS, ULTIMATELY AVOIDING THE MATERIAL ISSUES ALTOGETHER BY DECLARING THAT THE COURT WOULD NOT BE TAKING THE P.S.I. INTO CONSIDERATION. ACCORDINGLY, A REMAND IS NECESSARY BECAUSE THE SENTENCING COURT FAILED

TO RESOLVE ALL OR ANY OF MR SKINNER'S OBJECTIONS, LEAVING THEM HANGING. THAT EXACT SITUATION WAS ADDRESSED BY THE NEVADA COURT OF APPEALS IN JOSHUA RAY VASQUEZ V NEVADA, 2020 Nev. App. UNPUB. LEXIS 657: 468 P.3d 886 No. 79409-COA, WHERE JUDGES GIBBONS CJ, BULLA J, AND TAO J, CONCURRING, ORDERED THAT VASQUEZ'S SENTENCE MUST BE VACATED AND HIS CASE REMANDED FOR RESENTENCING, "BECAUSE THE DISTRICT COURT FAILED TO RESOLVE ALL OF VASQUEZ'S OBJECTIONS, LEAVING SOME OF THEM HANGING." Id. at 93-94, 545 P.2d at 1161.

### CONCLUSION

THE DISTRICT COURT IN MR SKINNER'S CASE CR14-0644 ABUSED IT'S DISCRETION BY SENTENCING HIM WITHOUT DUE CONSIDERATION TO HIS OBJECTIONS TO THE P.S.I, AND THEREFORE, HIS SENTENCE MUST BE VACATED AND HIS CASE REMANDED FOR RESENTENCING.

DATED THIS 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF OCTOBER 2022.

BY: Rod Skinner.

RODERICK SKINNER.

PETITIONER IN PRO-PER.



AFFIDAVIT

PAGE 1 of 2

State of Nevada)

: ss.

Carson City )

I, RODERICK SKINNER, the undersigned, do hereby swear under penalty of perjury that the following assertions are true and correct to the best of my knowledge:

1. I AM FACTUALLY INNOCENT OF THE N.R.S. 200.720 CHARGE  
I HAVE BEEN CONVICTED OF BY THE STATE OF NEVADA IN CASE  
NUMBER CR14-0644.

2. NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE EXISTS THAT I AM ABLE TO  
SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFY; THIS NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE IS  
CREDIBLE AND ESTABLISHES A BONA FIDE CLAIM OF FACTUAL  
INNOCENCE IN ACCORD WITH N.R.S. 34.960(2)(a) AND 34.960(2)(b)  
WHICH SET FORTH THE CRITERIA REGARDING NEWLY  
DISCOVERED EVIDENCE, AND N.R.S. 34.930 WHICH DEFINES  
NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE.

3 THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE WAS NOT KNOWN AND COULD  
NOT HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED WITH THE EXERCISE OF REASONABLE  
DILIGENCE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL, SENTENCING, OR PRIOR POST  
CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS, AND THAT WHEN THE NEWLY DISCOVERED  
EVIDENCE IS VIEWED WITH ALL THE OTHER EVIDENCE IN THE CASE,  
MY PETITION TO THIS COURT PRESENTS A BONA FIDE ISSUE OF FACTUAL

Executed on this \_\_\_\_ day of OCTOBER, 2022, at Northern Nevada Correctional Center, Carson City, Nevada, under penalty of perjury, in accordance with Nevada Revised Statute 208.165. (Execution of instrument by a prisoner) and 28 USCS 1746.

Rod Skinner.

(Signature)

AFFIDAVIT  
PAGE 2 OF 2.

INNOCENCE.

4. THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE IS SUBSTANTIVE AND  
EXCULPATORY, NOT MERELY IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Dated this 26<sup>TH</sup> day of OCTOBER, 2022.

By: Rod Skinner.

N.N.C.C. #1126964  
P.O. BOX 7000  
CARSON CITY N.V. 89702

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, RODERICK SKINNER certify that on this date I did serve a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion upon Respondent(s), via U.S. Mail, by placing same in the United States Postal Service (Prison Mail System), postage being fully prepaid, and addressed to:

CLERK OF THE COURT

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

75 COURT ST RENO N.V. 89501

AND

JENNIFER NOBLE

WASHOE COUNTY DEPUTY D.A.

Dated this 26<sup>TH</sup> day of OCTOBER, 2022.

By: Rod Skinner.

Petitioner, In Proper Person

**AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030**

\*\* I certify that the foregoing document DOES NOT contain the social security number of any Persons.

26<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2022  
(Date)

Rod Skinner  
(Signature)

RODERICK SKINNER

(Name)

1126964

(I.D. No.)

Northern Nevada Correctional Center  
 Post Office Box 7000  
 Carson City, NV 89702

In Proper Person

FILED

2012 NOV -3 AM 10:05

AUCIA L LERUD  
 CLERK OF THE COURT  
Thayer  
 DEPUTY

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF  
 NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOERODERICK SKINNER

Plaintiff/Petitioner

vs.

N.N.C.C. WARDEN FRAZIER et alSTATE OF NEVADA Defendant/RespondentCase No.: CR14-0644

PETITION FOR WRIT OF  
FACTUAL INNOCENCE

COMES NOW, RODERICK SKINNER, in proper person and herein  
 above respectfully moves this Honorable Court for a(n) ORDER GRANTING WRIT OF  
FACTUAL INNOCENCE.

The instant motion is made and based upon all papers and pleadings on file herein as well  
 as the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities and attached exhibits (where  
 applicable).

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

INTRODUCTION: PETITIONER SKINNER WAS CONVICTED BY GUILTY PLEA OF ONE COUNT UNDER NRS 200-720, A FELONY, AND SENTENCED TO LIFE IN PRISON WITH PAROLE ELIGIBILITY AFTER 5-YEARS. See, NEVADA CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR14-0644. HE ASSERTS IN THIS PETITION THAT HE IS FACTUALLY INNOCENT OF THAT CRIME, AND APPENDS HIS AFFIDAVIT TO THE PETITION ATTESTING SO. THE PETITION ASSERTS TWO PRIMARY GROUNDS FOR RELIEF BASED UPON NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE THAT HE CAN READILY AND SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFY; THIS NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE IS CREDIBLE, AND ESTABLISHES A BONA FIDE CLAIM OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE IN ACCORD WITH N.R.S. 34-960(2)(a) AND 34-960(2)(b) WHICH SET FORTH THE CRITERIA REGARDING NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE, AND N.R.S. 34-930 WHICH DEFINES NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE.

THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE WAS NOT KNOWN AND COULD NOT HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED WITH THE EXERCISE OF REASONABLE DILIGENCE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL, SENTENCING, OR PRIOR POST CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS, BECAUSE IT ONLY BECAME KNOWN IN JULY 2019. WHEN THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE IS VIEWED WITH ALL THE OTHER EVIDENCE IN THE CASE, THIS PETITION PRESENTS A BONA FIDE ISSUE OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE. THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE IS SUBSTANTIVE AND EXCULPATORY, NOT MERELY IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE, AND IT HAS NOT BEEN PRESENTED TO THIS COURT BEFORE. IF IT HAD BEEN, THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN A REASONABLE PROBABILITY OF A DIFFERENT OUTCOME.

. . .

LEGAL STANDARD:

"NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE" IS DEFINED BY N.R.S. 34.930:

"NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE" MEANS EVIDENCE THAT WAS NOT AVAILABLE TO PETITIONER... WHICH IS MATERIAL TO THE DETERMINATION OF THE ISSUE OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION:

1. EVIDENCE THAT WAS DISCOVERED BEFORE OR DURING THE APPLICABLE PERIOD FOR ANY DIRECT APPEAL OR POSTCONVICTION PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO THIS CHAPTER THAT SERVED IN WHOLE OR IN PART AS THE BASIS TO VACATE OR REVERSE THE PETITIONER'S CONVICTION;

2. EVIDENCE THAT SUPPORTS THE CLAIMS WITHIN A POSTCONVICTION PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS THAT IS PENDING AT THE TIME OF THE COURT'S DETERMINATION OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE PURSUANT TO N.R.S. 34.900 TO 34.990, INCLUSIVE; OR

3. RELEVANT FORENSIC SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE... THAT WAS NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL... OR THAT UNDERMINES MATERIALLY FORENSIC SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE PRESENTED... [BY THE PROSECUTION]. FORENSIC SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE IS

CONSIDERED TO BE UNDERMINED IF NEW RESEARCH OR INFORMATION EXISTS THAT REPUDIATES THE FOUNDATIONAL VALIDITY OF SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE OR TESTIMONY OR THE APPLIED VALIDITY OF A SCIENTIFIC METHOD OR TECHNIQUE.

AS USED IN THIS SUBSECTION:

a) "APPLIED VALIDITY" MEANS THE RELIABILITY OF A SCIENTIFIC METHOD OR TECHNIQUE IN PRACTICE.

b) "FOUNDATIONAL VALIDITY" MEANS THE RELIABILITY OF A SCIENTIFIC METHOD TO BE REPEATABLE, REPRODUCIBLE AND ACCURATE IN A SCIENTIFIC SETTING.

1 N.R.S. 34.940 (EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2019)

2 DETERMINATION OF WHEN EVIDENCE IS "MATERIAL".

3 FOR THE PURPOSE OF N.R.S. 34.900 TO 34.990 INCLUSIVE,  
4 EVIDENCE IS "MATERIAL" IF THE EVIDENCE ESTABLISHES A  
5 REASONABLE PROBABILITY OF A DIFFERENT OUTCOME.

6  
7 N.R.S. 34.950 CLAIM OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE IS SEPARATE FROM  
8 STATE HABEAS CLAIM. (EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2019)

9 ANY CLAIM OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE THAT IS MADE PURSUANT TO  
10 N.R.S. 34.900 TO 34.990 INCLUSIVE, IS SEPARATE FROM ANY  
11 STATE HABEAS CLAIM THAT ALLEGES A FUNDAMENTAL MISCARRIAGE  
12 OF JUSTICE TO EXCUSE PROCEDURAL OR TIME LIMITATIONS PURSUANT  
13 TO N.R.S. 34.726 OR 34.810.

14  
15 N.R.S. 34.970(3) PROVIDES THAT "THE DISTRICT COURT SHALL  
16 ORDER A HEARING" ON A PETITION TO ESTABLISH FACTUAL  
17 INNOCENCE IF THE COURT DETERMINES THAT THE PETITION  
18 SATISFIES THE PLEADING REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN SUBSECTIONS  
19 2 AND 3 OF N.R.S. 34.960 AND "THAT THERE IS A BONA FIDE  
20 ISSUE OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE."

21  
22 ARGUMENT:

23 IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT PETITIONER SKINNER HEREBY  
24 ASSERTS FACTUAL INNOCENCE, NOT MERELY LEGAL INSUFFICIENCY.  
25 IN LIGHT OF ALL THE EVIDENCE, WHICH INCLUDES THE NEWLY  
26 DISCOVERED EVIDENCE AS SET FORTH IN THIS PETITION, IT IS MORE  
27 LIKELY THAN NOT THAT NO REASONABLE JUROR WOULD FIND HIM  
28 GUILTY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. IN THAT LEGAL CONTEXT,

1 PETITIONER SKINNER IS FACTUALLY INNOCENT AND ENTITLED TO  
2 HAVE HIS PETITION GRANTED BY THIS HONORABLE COURT BECAUSE  
3 THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE CLEARLY CASTS DOUBT UPON  
4 THE CONVICTION BY UNDERCUTTING THE RELIABILITY OF THE  
5 CONVICTION, ITS FOUNDATIONAL VALIDITY, AND ITS CONSISTENCY  
6 WITH DUE PROCESS. NEWLY PRESENTED EVIDENCE SET FORTH  
7 IN THIS PETITION CALLS INTO SERIOUS QUESTION THE CREDIBILITY  
8 OF THE POLICE EXPERT WITNESS — FORMER SGT DENNIS CARRY—  
9 POTENTIALLY REQUIRING A CREDIBILITY ASSESSMENT WHICH HAS  
10 NOT AT ALL OCCURRED TO DATE AT ANY STAGE OF PROCEEDINGS  
11 IN THIS CASE. WHILE N.R.S. 34-960(2)(b)(2) INDICATES THAT  
12 NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE CANNOT BE "MERELY IMPEACHMENT  
13 EVIDENCE", THIS FACTUAL INNOCENCE PETITION APPROPRIATELY  
14 POINTS OUT THAT COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERT TAMMY LOEHRS  
15 EVIDENCIARY TESTIMONY AT PETITIONER'S STATE HABEAS CORPUS  
16 EVIDENCIARY HEARING ON 9/26/2019, WAS UNCONTROVERTED BY ANY  
17 PROSECUTION EXPERT WITNESS BECAUSE THE STATE DIDN'T HAVE  
18 ONE, OR CAUSE ONE TO BE AT THE HEARING. TAMMY LOEHRS  
19 TESTIMONY WAS CLEARLY EXCULPATORY, AND DECIMATED  
20 THE STATE'S CASE AND EX-SGT CARRY SUCH THAT NO  
21 REASONABLE JURIST COULD BE CONFIDENT IN THE OUTCOME  
22 AS IT CURRENTLY STANDS.

23  
24 EX-SGT DENNIS CARRY IS A BIGAMIST RECENTLY EXPOSED  
25 IN THE MEDIA AND ON T.V. AS HAVING BEEN MARRIED TO  
26 TWO WOMEN AT THE SAME TIME — ONE OF WHOM WAS A  
27 FEDERAL JUDGE. HE IS CLEARLY VERY GOOD AT FOOLING  
28 EVEN THE MOST ASTUTE PEOPLE IN SOCIETY; THE MAN



IS INDISPUTABLY AN ACCOMPLISHED FRAUDSTER. HIS ACTIONS WARRANT PARTICULAR SCRUTINY IN THIS CASE, WHERE IT IS EVIDENT THAT SIMILAR DISHONESTY PERPETRATED BY CARRY MAY ALSO HAVE RESULTED IN THE COURTS BEING MISLED, AS TO THE FUNDAMENTAL BASIS OF THIS CR14-0644 CASE. IN LIGHT OF CONTRADICTIONARY NEW EVIDENCE PROVIDED BY COMPUTER FORENSIC EXPERT TAMMY LOEHRS — WHO SAID THAT SHE SAW NO EVIDENCE OF A CRIME HAVING BEEN COMMITTED PURSUANT TO THE ABSENCE OF ANY FORENSIC EVIDENCE INDICATING SO — THIS CONVICTION AT THE BEHEST OF NOW EX-SGT DENNIS CARRY, SCREAMS OUT FOR FACTUAL INNOCENCE REVIEW.

CONCLUSION:

BECAUSE PETITIONER SKINNER HAS SATISFIED THE STATUTORY PLEADING REQUIREMENTS, NRS 34.970(3) REQUIRES THAT THE DISTRICT COURT ORDER A HEARING ON THE PETITION.

Dated this 26<sup>TH</sup> day of OCTOBER, 2022.

Rod Skinner

PETITIONER IN PRO-PER

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, RODERICK SKINNER certify that on this date I did serve a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion upon Respondent(s), via U.S. Mail, by placing same in the United States Postal Service (Prison Mail System), postage being fully prepaid, and addressed to:

① CLERK OF THE COURT  
SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
75 COURT ST  
RENO N.V. 89501

AND

② DEPUTY D.A. JENNIFER NOBLE  
1 SOUTH SIERRA ST, RENO 89501  
 ③ N.N.C.C. WARDEN FRAZIER  
P.O. BOX 7000 CARSON CITY N.V. 89702

Dated this 26<sup>TH</sup> day of OCTOBER, 2022.

By: Rod Skinner.  
RODERICK SKINNER  
 In Proper Person

**AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030**

\*\* I certify that the foregoing document DOES NOT contain the social security number of any Persons.

26<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2022  
 (Date)

Rod Skinner.  
 (Signature)

# EXHIBIT- 1

- AFFIDAVIT OF RODERICK SKINNER (2-PAGES)

AFFIDAVIT

PAGE 1 of 2

State of Nevada)

: ss.

Carson City )

I, RODERICK SKINNER, the undersigned, do hereby swear under penalty of perjury that the following assertions are true and correct to the best of my knowledge:

1. I AM FACTUALLY INNOCENT OF THE N.R.S. 200.720 CHARGE  
I HAVE BEEN CONVICTED OF BY THE STATE OF NEVADA IN CASE  
NUMBER CR14-0644.

2. NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE EXISTS THAT I AM ABLE TO  
SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFY; THIS NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE IS  
CREDIBLE AND ESTABLISHES A BONA FIDE CLAIM OF FACTUAL  
INNOCENCE IN ACCORD WITH N.R.S. 34.960(2)(a) AND 34.960(2)(b)  
WHICH SET FORTH THE CRITERIA REGARDING NEWLY  
DISCOVERED EVIDENCE, AND N.R.S. 34.930 WHICH DEFINES  
NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE.

3 THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE WAS NOT KNOWN AND COULD  
NOT HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED WITH THE EXERCISE OF REASONABLE  
DILIGENCE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL, SENTENCING, OR PRIOR POST  
CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS, AND THAT WHEN THE NEWLY DISCOVERED  
EVIDENCE IS VIEWED WITH ALL THE OTHER EVIDENCE IN THE CASE,  
MY PETITION TO THIS COURT PRESENTS A BONA FIDE ISSUE OF FACTUAL

Executed on this \_\_\_\_ day of OCTOBER, 2022, at Northern Nevada Correctional Center, Carson City, Nevada, under penalty of perjury, in accordance with Nevada Revised Statute 208.165. (Execution of instrument by a prisoner) and 28 USCS 1746.

Rod Skinner.

(Signature)

AFFIDAVIT  
PAGE 2 of 2.

INNOCENCE.

4. THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE IS SUBSTANTIVE AND  
EXCULPATORY, NOT MERELY IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



Dated this 26<sup>TH</sup> day of OCTOBER, 2022.

By: Rod Skinner.

N.N.C.C. #1126964

P.O. BOX 7000

CARSON CITY N.V. 89702

# EXHIBIT-2

-DECLARATION OF TAMMY LOEHRS (7-PAGES)

**DECLARATION**

**I, TAMI L. LOEHRS, hereby declare as follows:**

- 1. I am a computer forensics expert and owner of Loehrs & Associates, LLC (formerly Law2000, Inc.) a firm specializing in computer forensics. My offices are located at 3037 West Ina, Suite 121, Tucson, Arizona 85741. I am competent to testify and the matters contained herein are based on my own personal knowledge.**
- 2. I have been working with computer technology for over 25 years and I hold a Bachelor of Science in Information Systems. I have completed hundreds of hours of forensics training including courses with Guidance Software and Access Data. I am an EnCase Certified Examiner (EnCE), an Access Data Certified Examiner (ACE), a Certified Computer Forensic Examiner (CCFE) and a Certified Hacking Forensic Investigator (CHFI). I have conducted hundreds of forensics exams on thousands of pieces of evidence including hard drives, cell phones, removable storage media and other electronic devices. I have conducted seminars on Computer Forensics and Electronic Discovery throughout the United States. In addition, I hold a Private Investigator Agency License in the State of Arizona which requires a minimum of 6,000 hours investigative experience. My Curriculum Vitae is attached hereto and current versions may be downloaded from the Loehrs & Associates website at [www.ForensicsExpert.net](http://www.ForensicsExpert.net).**
- 3. I have been hired as a computer forensics expert for the defense on over 400 child exploitation cases throughout the United States and internationally since the year 2000 including numerous cases in the State of Nevada. I have testified over one-hundred times in State, Federal and international Courts. My work has contributed to more than eighty (80) dismissals, several not guilty verdicts as well as numerous plea offers with non-sex offender probation only sentences.**

4. I have been retained as a computer forensics expert by Ned Reed, counsel for Defendant Roderick Skinner, for the purpose of assisting with matters related to the searching, collecting, analyzing and producing of electronic evidence in this matter.

5. I have reviewed discovery materials produced in this matter including, but not limited to, Affidavit for Search Warrant prepared by Michael Brown on October 1, 2013, Northern Nevada Cyber Center Digital Evidence Report Narrative prepared by Sgt. Dennis Carry on November 1, 2013, Curriculum Vitae of Dennis Carry, Washoe County Sheriff's Office Declaration of probable Cause dated January 31, 2014, Judgment of Conviction dated September 10, 2014 and Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus dated July 13, 2016.

6. According to the Affidavit for Search Warrant, numerous items were seized from Mr. Skinner's residence in July, 2013, including, but not limited to, a Toshiba laptop computer, Hitachi external hard drive, Seagate external hard drive, Samsung external hard drive, and two Buffalo external hard drives. Those items were delivered to Sgt. Carry on October 2, 2013.

7. According to the Digital Evidence Narrative Report, on October 28, 2013, Sgt. Carry conducted an *initial preview examination* of the Toshiba Laptop computer and noted "evidence of pornography and pornography viewing" but indicates further examination is necessary. Sgt. Carry's preliminary examination findings document that the computer was registered to "Mike" with four different user accounts for Mike, Rod, Sophie and Sophie2 but provides no forensic evidence or information regarding dates and times the computer or the accounts were created, when they were used or by whom. He notes that file sharing software was installed in February, 2012 and child pornography was downloaded but further analysis would be conducted after obtaining an additional warrant. He indicates files were located within user created folders but provides no information about the users or the folders. He indicates Internet history revealed websites consistent with child sexual abuse but provides no actual



website URLs, dates, times, user accounts or other information regarding this activity. Two days later, on October 30, 2013, Sgt. Carry created a forensic image of the hard drive which was successfully verified by hash value.

8. On May 27, 2014, Mr. Skinner entered a plea of guilty of the crime of Promotion of a Sexual Performance of a Minor. Mr. Skinner subsequently filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus claiming that he accepted the plea due to medical distress.

9. On or about September 20, 2017, my services were authorized by the Court for the purpose of conducting an independent computer forensics examination of the evidence seized from Mr. Skinner. Arrangements were being made for that independent examination to be conducted at the Washoe County Sheriff's Office.

10. On October 11, 2017, the status of the evidence was updated in the following email:

From: "McCarthy, Terrence" <tmccarthy@da.washoecounty.us>  
To: 'Edward Reed' <etreed53@yahoo.com>  
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 2:41 PM  
Subject: RE: SKINNER CASE

I talked to detective Carry just moments ago. He does not know what is still available. His server crashed a few years ago. He probably got rid of the original equipment. He is going to check on it and let me know. When he does, I'll let you know.  
Terry

11. As of the writing of this Affidavit, the evidence remains unavailable for my independent examination which is critical to Mr. Skinner's defense and the issues he has raised. Although Sgt. Carry conducted a preliminary review of the evidence and formulated his own conclusions, he provides no forensic data that can be reviewed to corroborate or refute his conclusions and even he indicates that "further analysis of the computer is necessary to locate additional evidence to either clear or incriminate Skinner."

12. Based on his limited review of the evidence, Sgt. Carry concludes that Roderick Skinner was the user on numerous occasions while child pornography was being downloaded but he provides no forensic evidence or proof in this regard. On the contrary, he indicates the computer was registered to someone named "Mike" and contains four different user accounts but provides no forensic evidence or information regarding any of the other possible users. Sgt. Carry opines that child pornography files exist in an unknown encrypted volume that he cannot find nor access but again provides no forensic evidence or proof of the alleged encrypted volume or the child pornography within. Sgt. Carry indicates files were "carved from unallocated space" but files in unallocated space typically do not maintain any associated metadata such as the file name, file path or dates and times the files were created, modified or last accessed. As such, there is no evidence to determine the origin of the files, where they were located on the computer, when they were created, how long they existed before being deleted, whether they were ever opened or viewed, whether or not a user even knew of their existence or who was at the keyboard during any activity surrounding the files. The only thing that can be said about files recovered from unallocated space is that they existed on the hard drive at one time, which sometimes occurs prior to the current owner's possession of the hard drive.

13. Because data may reside on a computer without the user's knowledge or consent, the defense examination must thoroughly examine all activity surrounding any files for which Mr. Skinner is allegedly responsible which includes where the files originated, how they came to be on the computer, when they were created, moved or copied, whether they were ever opened or viewed, whether the files were deleted and when, how long the files existed prior to being deleted, and most importantly, who had access to the computer when any of this activity occurred. This analysis involves a far more thorough forensics examination than the *initial preview examination* conducted by Sgt. Carry.

14. Based on my involvement with hundreds of child pornography cases, the state's forensic examiner generally does not undertake the task of looking for evidence that would provide a defense and often overlooks evidence that would be relevant to the defense - either in defense of the merits of the case or in sentencing mitigation. In my experience, the state's forensic examinations have completely missed finding and reporting exculpatory evidence. For example, Sgt. Carry offers no information, opinions or evidence regarding the identification of "Mike", the name the computer is actually registered to, when "Mike" used the computer, what "Mike" used the computer for and whether or not "Mike" was responsible for any of the child pornography files carved from unallocated space. Nor does Sgt. Carry indicate that he even looked for evidence of other individuals using the computer. Rather, he simply concludes that Mr. Skinner is responsible for all of the activity relevant to the charges in this matter.

15. In contrast, an examination conducted by the defense requires an investigation into what occurred, when it occurred, how it occurred and who may be responsible for the occurrence. These in-depth examinations and investigations are critical to the defense, because of the requirement in a child pornography case that the state prove beyond a reasonable doubt "knowing" receipt, possession and/or distribution. "Knowing" receipt, possession or distribution can only be determined through an in-depth analysis of the entire piece of media to determine: (i) the original source of the data; (ii) the context in which it was copied, saved or downloaded; (iii) the path the data took through the system to arrive at its present location; (iv) dates and times the data was created, modified and accessed; (iv) whether the data was ever opened or viewed; (v) and who may have been at the keyboard during the activity. In order to make these determinations, the defense examination and analysis includes, but is not limited to, (i) the recovery of deleted data; (ii) advanced searching processes and the review of thousands of search results; (iii) locating, reviewing, testing and understanding various installed

software applications; (iv) locating, reviewing, testing and understanding various viruses, Trojans and malware present; (v) locating, reviewing, testing and understanding Internet files and how they relate to various users and Internet activities; (vi) extracting and reviewing registry files, log files, HTML files, etc.

16. This proper and thorough independent computer forensics examination can only be accomplished by forensically examining verified images of the electronic evidence items seized using industry standard forensic tools and methodologies. However, according to the state, all of the original evidence seized *and* all of the forensic images acquired by Sgt. Carry no longer exist and therefore, an independent examination by the defense is not possible.

17. According to Sgt. Carry's Curriculum Vitae, his forensic certifications include CCFE, CHFI and ACE. In order to obtain those certifications, he would have received specific training and been required to pass written and practical tests. I also have the CCFE, CHFI and ACE certifications and would have received the same training and passed the same written and practical tests as Sgt. Carry. I know based on my training, test results and study materials still in my possession that all three of those certifications include training on evidence preservation. This includes creating two (2) forensic images of all original electronic evidence seized, one image to be used for the purpose of conducting the forensics examination and a second image to be maintained as backup. Once forensically imaged, all original evidence should be placed in an evidence locker and maintained pursuant to local rules and statutes. Typically, original evidence and/or forensic images are maintained years after a matter has concluded due to appeals and other litigated issues.

18. Based on all of the information set forth above, including Sgt. Carry's own statement that further analysis of the computer is necessary, it is my opinion that Mr. Skinner

cannot be provided an adequate defense without access to either the original evidence seized from him or forensic images that were acquired of that evidence.

19. I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 1/12/18



Tami L. Loehrs, EnCE, ACE, CHFI, CCFE

# EXHIBIT-3

- RENO GAZETTE JOURNAL ARTICLE (1-PAGE)  
RE: DENNIS CARRY

# reno gazette journal

## NEWS

# Former WCSO sergeant arrested on multiple felony counts including bigamy

**Terell Wilkins** Reno Gazette Journal

Published 5:31 p.m. PT Jan. 27, 2021 | Updated 5:41 p.m. PT Jan. 27, 2021

Following a lengthy two-year investigation by the Reno Police Department, former Washoe County Sheriff sergeant Dennis Carry was arrested Tuesday on seven felony counts that include bigamy, burglary and forgery.

Carry, 46, was previously the head of the Cyber Crimes Unit at the WCSO before being placed on administrative leave in March 2019 pending investigation. He retired from the sheriff's office during the investigation, **3 DAYS AFTER BEING ON CHANNELS 2, 7 & 8 TV NEWS AS THE LEAD STORY.**

Dennis and Wendy Carry were married in South Lake Tahoe in 1996. Court documents show that Carry married his second wife, Carla Baldwin, in May 2018 — more than nine months before Wendy Carry filed for divorce in March 2019.

Baldwin is a federal magistrate judge for the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. Though she filed for an annulment of her marriage with Carry shortly after RPD began their investigation, the timeline of when each woman found out about each other is unclear.

Former Washoe County family court Judge David Humke said in 2019 that investigators asked him about allegations that Carry had broken into his office and hacked his computer in order to forge paperwork for Carry's divorce from his wife, Wendy.

Carry allegedly entered the courthouse to alter documents after it was discovered he was married to two women at once, according to officials.

*Follow reporter Terell Wilkins on Twitter, @terelljwilkins, call him at 252-367-8463 or email him at twilkins@rgj.com.*

CODE #1260  
CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
#7747  
One South Sierra Street  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
(775) 328-3200  
districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov  
Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

\* \* \*

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. CR14-0644

v.

Dept. No. 8

RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_/

APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by and through CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS,  
District Attorney of Washoe County, by JENNIFER P. NOBLE, Chief Appellate Deputy,  
and alleges as follows:

1. That the Defendant, RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964, is presently incarcerated at the Northern Nevada Correctional Center in Carson City, Nevada.
2. That the above RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964 is scheduled for a post-conviction status hearing before the Second Judicial District Court on November 22, 2022 at 2:00 pm.
3. Zoom Meeting Information: <https://www.washoecourts.com/OnlineHearings>



WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that an Order be made ordering the audio/visual appearance of the said RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964 before the Second Judicial District Court, and from time to time thereafter at such times and places as may be ordered and directed by the Court for such proceedings as thereafter may be necessary and proper in the premises and directing the execution of said Order by the Warden of the Northern Nevada Correctional Center, Carson City, Nevada.

AFFIRMATION

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: November 4, 2022.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
District Attorney

By /s/Jennifer P. Noble  
JENNIFER P. NOBLE  
Chief Appellate Deputy

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County District Attorney's Office and that, on November 4, 2022, I deposited for mailing through the U.S. Mail Service at Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, postage prepaid, a true copy of the foregoing document, addressed to:

Roderick Skinner #1126964  
Northern Nevada Correctional Center  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702

/s/ Tatyana Ducummon  
TATYANA DUCUMMON

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-04 15:48:11.777.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-04 15:48:12.669.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-11-04 15:48:11.339.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-04 15:48:10.261.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-04 15:48:09.855.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-04 15:48:13.044.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-04 15:48:12.153.

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*

PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: CR14-0644

Judge:

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

Official File Stamp:

11-04-2022:15:47:32

Clerk Accepted:

11-04-2022:15:47:52

Court:

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

Case Title:

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

Document(s) Submitted:

Application Produce Prisoner

Filed By:

Jennifer Patricia Noble

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

1 CODE #3340  
CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
2 #7747  
One South Sierra Street  
3 Reno, Nevada 89501  
(775) 328-3200  
4 districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov  
Attorney for Respondent  
5

6 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
7  
8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

8 \* \* \*

9 RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER,

10 Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

11 v.

Dept. No. 8

12 THE STATE OF NEVADA,

13 Respondent.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_/

15 ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER VIA SIMULTANEOUS AUDIO/VISUAL TRANSMISSION

16 IT APPEARING to the satisfaction of the above-entitled Court that it is necessary  
17 that the Petitioner above named, RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964, presently  
18 incarcerated in the Northern Nevada Correctional Center in Carson City, Nevada, be  
19 brought before the Second Judicial District Court for a post-conviction hearing in the  
20 above-entitled action.

21 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Warden of the Northern  
22 Nevada Correctional Center in Carson City, Nevada, with cooperative assistance from  
23 the Nevada System of Higher Education bring the said RODERICK STEPHEN  
24 SKINNER #1126964 before the Second Judicial District Court via simultaneous

1 audio/visual transmission means on November 22, at 2:00 p.m. for a post-conviction  
2 hearing in the above-entitled action.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that it is not necessary for said RODERICK  
4 STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964 to be physically located in Washoe County, Nevada,  
5 during the post-conviction hearing.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Warden of the Northern Nevada  
7 Correctional Center in Carson City, Nevada, shall provide and make available a  
8 telephone for the duration of the post-conviction hearing to RODERICK STEPHEN  
9 SKINNER #1126964, and his defense counsel, for the ability to speak privately during  
10 the hearing.

11 DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2022.

12  
13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
DISTRICT JUDGE

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-07 11:16:19.368.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-07 11:16:21.056.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-11-07 11:16:18.931.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-07 11:16:17.93.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-07 11:16:17.193.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-07 11:16:22.134.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-07 11:16:19.822.



**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***  
**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

11-07-2022:11:15:27

**Clerk Accepted:**

11-07-2022:11:15:51

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada  
Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Ord to Produce Prisoner

**Filed By:**

Court Clerk ADeGayne

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

CODE No. 2645  
CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
#7747  
One South Sierra Street  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
(775) 328-3200  
districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov  
Attorney for Respondents

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

\* \* \*

RODERICK SKINNER,

Petitioner,

Case No. CR14-0644

v.

Dept. No. 8

WARDEN FRANKLIN, NNCC,  
STATE OF NEVADA, ET AL.,

Respondents.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CORRECTION OF SENTENCE**

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by and through Jennifer P. Noble, Chief Appellate Deputy, and opposes Roderick Skinner's (hereinafter "Skinner") Motion for Correction of Sentence filed on November 1, 2022. This Opposition is based upon the records of this Court and the following points and authorities.

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

**I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

Skinner pled guilty and was convicted of one count of Promotion of a Sexual Performance of a Minor, Age 14 or Older, and sentenced to a term of life imprisonment

with parole eligibility after five years. *See* Judgment of Conviction filed September 11, 2014.

Skinner appealed his conviction, alleging that the Court abused its discretion by sentencing him to prison rather than placing him on probation. The Court of Appeals rejected the Petitioner's argument. *See Skinner v. State*, Docket No. 66666-COA (Order of Affirmance, July 14, 2015).

Skinner filed his first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) ("First Petition") on July 13, 2016. He perfected the First Petition with a verified petition on October 7, 2016. The Court held an evidentiary hearing on the First Petition and First Supplemental Petition on September 26, 2019. Following that hearing, the Court entered an Order Denying Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus on October 9, 2019. Skinner appealed, and the Court of Appeals affirmed this Court's decision. *See Skinner v. Baca*, Docket No. 79981-COA (Order of Affirmance, February 8, 2021). Skinner sought review of the Court of Appeals' decision by the Nevada Supreme Court. The Nevada Supreme Court denied the petition for review on June 25, 2021. *See Skinner v. Baca*, Docket No. 79981 (Order Denying Petition for Review, June 30, 2021).

On March 29, 2022, Skinner filed his second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) ("Second Petition") and requested submission on the same day. The Court entered an order striking Skinner's request for submission. Skinner re-filed the Second Petition on April 4, 2022. Skinner again requested submission on the same day the Second Petition was re-filed, and this Court again struck the request for submission. The State moved to dismiss the Second Petition, and that motion is currently pending.

On April 14, 2022, Skinner filed a Motion for Appointment of Counsel. The State filed an Opposition to Motion for Appointment of Counsel, relying on the analysis in the

motion to dismiss. The Court appointed Edward Reed, Esq. to represent Skinner regarding the Second Petition. On August 16, 2022, Mr. Reed filed a motion to withdraw as counsel, and the State filed a notice of non-opposition. A hearing on that motion was held October 25, 2022, and the following day, the Court issued an Order Appointing Conflict Counsel. On November 1, 2022, Skinner filed a Motion to Correct Illegal Sentence in proper person. The State opposes.

## II. ARGUMENT

A motion to correct an illegal sentence may only address the facial legality of the sentence, i.e., one at variance with the controlling statute, beyond a court's jurisdiction, or in excess of the statutory maximum. *Edwards v. State*, 112 Nev. 704, 918 P.2d 321 (1996). Skinner contends that he should have been granted probation, but the Nevada Court of Appeals has previously rejected a similar argument. *See* Order of Affirmance, *Skinner v. State*, 66666-COA, July 14, 2015. To the extent that Skinner attempts to argue that this Court relied on highly impalpable or suspect evidence contained in the presentence investigation report, he exceeds the narrow scope of his procedural vehicle as contemplated by *Edwards, supra*. The Motion may properly be denied.

### AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: November 14, 2022.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
District Attorney

By /s/ Jennifer P. Noble  
JENNIFER P. NOBLE  
Chief Appellate Deputy  
Nevada Bar No. 9446

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County District Attorney's Office and that, on November 14, 2022, I deposited for mailing through the U.S. Mail Service at Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, postage prepaid, a true copy of the foregoing document, addressed to:

Roderick Skinner #1126964  
Northern Nevada Correctional Center  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702

/s/ Tatyana Ducummon  
TATYANA DUCUMMON

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-14 14:22:22.362.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-14 14:22:23.425.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-11-14 14:22:21.909.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-14 14:22:21.269.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-14 14:22:20.956.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-14 14:22:23.8.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-14 14:22:22.847.

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*

PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

-

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: CR14-0644

Judge:

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

Official File Stamp:

11-14-2022:14:06:06

Clerk Accepted:

11-14-2022:14:21:58

Court:

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

Case Title:

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

Document(s) Submitted:

Opposition to Mtn

Filed By:

Jennifer Patricia Noble

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION



CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

FILED

NOV 15 2022

ALICIA L. LERUD, CLERK  
By: [Signature]  
DEPUTY CLERK

1 RODERICK SKINNER, # 1126964  
2 Northern Nevada Correctional Center  
3 P.O. Box 7000  
4 Carson City, Nevada 89702

5 PETITIONER IN PROPER PERSON

6 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF  
7 NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

8  
9  
10 RODERICK SKINNER

11 Petitioner,

Case No.: CR14-0644

12 V.

Dept. No. 15

13 WARDEN FRAZIER N.N.C.C. et al.,  
14 STATE OF NEVADA.  
Respondent

15  
16  
17 **PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION)**

18 **INSTRUCTIONS:**

19  
20 (1) This petition must be legibly handwritten or typewritten, signed by the  
petitioner and verified.

21 (2) Additional pages are not permitted except where noted or with respect to the  
22 facts which you rely upon to support your grounds for relief. No citation of  
authorities need be furnished. If briefs or arguments are submitted, they should be  
23 submitted in the form of a separate memorandum.

24 (3) If you want an attorney appointed, you must complete the Affidavit in Support  
of Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. You must have an authorized officer at the  
prison complete the certificate as to the amount of money and securities on deposit to  
25 your credit in any account in the institution.

26 (4) You must name as respondent the person by whom you are confined or  
restrained. If you are in a specific institution of the Department of Corrections,  
27 name the warden or head of the institution. If you are not in a specific institution  
of the Department but within its custody, name the Director of the Department of  
28 Corrections.

(5) You must include all grounds or claims for relief which you may have regarding your conviction or sentence. Failure to raise all grounds in this petition may preclude you from filing future petitions challenging your conviction and sentence.

(6) You must allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition you file seeking relief from any conviction or sentence. Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed. If your petition contains a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, that claim will operate to waive the attorney-client privilege for the proceeding in which you claim your counsel was ineffective.

(7) When the petition is fully completed, the original and one copy must be filed with the clerk of the state district court for the county in which you were convicted. One copy must be mailed to the respondent, one copy to the Attorney General's Office, and one copy to the district attorney of the county in which you were convicted or to the original prosecutor if you are challenging your original conviction or sentence. Copies must conform in all particulars to the original submitted for filing.

### PETITION

1. Name of institution and county in which you are presently imprisoned or where and how you are presently restrained of your liberty: NORTHERN NEVADA CORRECTIONAL CENTER  
CARSON CITY.

2. Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack:  
SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, RENO NEVADA.

3. Date of judgment of conviction: 10<sup>TH</sup> SEPT. 2014

4. Case number: CR14-0644

5. (a) Length of sentence: 5 YEARS → LIFE

1 (b) If sentence is death, state any date upon which  
2 execution is scheduled: N/A

3  
4 6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction  
5 other than the conviction under attack in this motion?

6 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No ☒

7 If "yes," list crime, case number and sentence being  
8 served at this time: \_\_\_\_\_  
9 \_\_\_\_\_

10 7. Nature of offense involved in conviction being  
11 challenged: N.R.S. 200.720 COMPUTER FILESHARE SOFTWARE.  
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 \_\_\_\_\_

14 8. What was your plea? (check one)

15 (a) Not guilty \_\_\_\_\_

16 (b) Guilty ☒ \_\_\_\_\_

17 (c) Guilty but mentally ill \_\_\_\_\_

18 (d) Nolo contendere \_\_\_\_\_  
19 \_\_\_\_\_

20 9. If you entered a plea of guilty to one count of an  
21 indictment or information, and a plea of not guilty to another  
22 count of an indictment or information, or if a plea of guilty was  
23 negotiated, give details: N/A  
24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 \_\_\_\_\_

27 10. If you were found guilty after a plea of not guilty, was  
28 the finding made by: (check one)

1 (a) Jury \_\_\_\_\_

2 (b) Judge without a jury \_\_\_\_\_

3  
4 11. Did you testify at the trial? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

5  
6 12. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?

7 Yes ☒ No \_\_\_\_\_

8  
9 13. If you did appeal, answer the following:

10 (a) Name of court: NEVADA SUPREME COURT

11 (b) Case number or citation: 66666

12 (c) Result: CONVICTION AFFIRMED

13  
14  
15 (d) Date of result: 14<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2015

16 (Attach copy of order or decision, if available.)

17  
18 14. If you did not appeal, explain briefly why you did not:

19  
20  
21  
22  
23 15. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of  
24 conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions,  
25 applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any  
26 court, state or federal? Yes ☒ No \_\_\_\_\_

1 16. If your answer to No. 15 was "yes," give the following  
2 information:

3 (a) (1) Name of court: SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

4 (2) Nature of proceeding: STATE HABEAS CORPUS

5  
6 (3) Grounds raised: 16 GROUNDS, INCLUDING NO CORPUS  
7 DELICTI, GUILTY PLEA DURESS, AND  
8 INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL BOTH AT TRIAL AND ON APPEAL.

9 (4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your  
10 petition, application or motion? Yes ☒ No ☐

11 (5) Result: PETITION DENIED

12  
13 (6) Date of result: 9<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2019

14 (7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of  
15 orders entered pursuant to such result: \_\_\_\_\_

16  
17 (b) As to any second petition, application or motion, give  
18 the same information:

19 (1) Name of court: U.S. DISTRICT COURT

20 (2) Nature of proceeding: FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS

21 (3) Grounds raised: 3:21-cv-00318-MMD-CLB

22 COERCED GUILTY PLEA, NO CORPUS DELICTI

23  
24 (4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition,  
25 application or motion? Yes PENDING No ☐

26 (5) Result: PENDING

27 (6) Date of result: \_\_\_\_\_

(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to such result: \_\_\_\_\_

(c) As to any third or subsequent additional applications or motions, give the same information as above, list them on a separate sheet and attach.

(d) Did you appeal to the highest state or federal court having jurisdiction, the result or action taken on any petition, application or motion?

(1) First petition, application or motion?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Citation or date of decision: \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Second petition, application or motion?

Yes ☐ No PROCEEDINGS STILL PENDING

Citation or date of decision: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Third or subsequent petitions, applications or motions?

Yes ☐ No PROCEEDINGS STILL PENDING

Citation or date of decision: \_\_\_\_\_

(e) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)

1 17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been  
2 previously presented to this or any other court by way of  
3 petition for habeas corpus, motion, application or any other  
4 post-conviction proceeding? ^ If so, identify:

5 NO.

6 (a) Which of the grounds is the same: NONE.

7  
8  
9 (b) The proceedings in which these grounds were raised:

10  
11  
12 (c) Briefly explain why you are again raising these grounds.

13 (You must relate specific facts in response to this  
14 question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2  
15 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not  
16 exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.) .....

17  
18  
19  
20  
21 18. If any of the grounds listed in Nos. 23(a), (b), (c) and  
22 (d), or listed on any additional pages you have attached, were  
23 not previously presented in any other court, state or federal,  
24 list briefly what grounds were not so presented, and give your  
25 reasons for not presenting them. (You must relate specific facts  
26 in response to this question. Your response may be included on  
27 paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your  
28



1 response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in  
2 length.)

3  
4  
5  
6  
7 19. Are you filing this petition more than 1 year following  
8 the filing of the judgment of conviction or the filing of a  
9 decision on direct appeal? ✓ If so, state briefly the reasons for  
10 the delay. (You must relate specific facts in response to this  
11 question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2  
12 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not  
13 exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)

14 YES. FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE IN JUDICIAL HOLDING CONCERNING  
15 PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORTS. See,  
16 JOSHUA RAY VASQUEZ v NEVADA, No. 79409 COA filed 12<sup>TH</sup> AUG 2020.

17 20. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any  
18 court, either state or federal, as to the judgment under attack?  
19 Yes ✓ No        If yes, state what court and the case number:  
20 - SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT RENO. STATE HABEAS CORPUS IN CR14-0644  
21 - U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA. FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS PETITION.  
22 CASE No. 3:21-cv-00318-MMD-CLB

23 21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in  
24 the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on direct appeal:  
25 CHRISTOPHER FREY ESQ. JOHN REESE PETTY ESQ.

26 22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you  
27 complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack?

28 Yes        No ✓

23. (a) GROUND ONE: I AM BEING DENIED DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION GUARANTEED BY THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, AS DESCRIBED IN THE SUPPORTING FACTS HEREUNDER.

23. (a) SUPPORTING FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): I AM BEING DENIED DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION AS GUARANTEED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, BECAUSE I HAVE A 'PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT' (HEREAFTER "P.S.I.") ASSOCIATED WITH MY NEVADA CRIMINAL CASE CR14-0644, WHICH IS NOT BASED ON ANY "STANDARDS" FOUNDED UPON "OBJECTIVE CRITERIA".

THE 'DIVISION OF PAROLE AND PROBATION' IN NEVADA (HEREAFTER "P+P") HAS RELIED IN WHOLE OR IN PART UPON — AND/OR CONTINUES TO RELY IN WHOLE OR IN PART UPON — MY P.S.I. WHEN MAKING ITS DETERMINATIONS IN MY CASE. 'NEVADA REVISED STATUTE' (HEREAFTER "N.R.S.") 213.10988 COMMANDED (BY USING THE TERM "SHALL") P+P TO ADOPT BY REGULATION, "STANDARDS" BASED UPON "OBJECTIVE CRITERIA". AS OF 2016, THE ONLY REGULATION THAT COMPLIES WITH THIS COMMAND IS NAC 213.580, WHICH ONLY GOES SO FAR AS TO PROMISE THAT P+P WILL CONDUCT EVALUATIONS USING "STANDARDS" TO BE OTHERWISE ADOPTED. THE PROBLEM IS THAT NAC 213.580 IS NOT ITSELF A "REGULATION" THAT EMBODIES "STANDARDS". IT IS NOTHING MORE THAN A BLAND PROMISE THAT P+P WILL CREATE SOME "STANDARD" OUTSIDE OF NAC 213.580 THAT THE REGULATION DOES NOT ITSELF IDENTIFY. (— Lines 15-24 IS THE DICTA OF JUDGE TAO, NEVADA COURT OF APPEALS, IN JOSHUA RAY VASQUEZ V STATE OF NEVADA, No. 79409-COA FILED AUGUST 12 2020).

BY ISSUING SENTENCING RECOMMENDATIONS IN MY CASE THAT FOLLOWED NO STANDARD SET FORTH IN ANY REGULATION, P+P MADE

IT'S RECOMMENDATIONS IN WHAT IS, VIRTUALLY BY DEFINITION,  
AN ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS MANNER AND WITHOUT DUE NOTICE  
TO ME AS A THEN CRIMINAL DEFENDANT FACING THE PROSPECT OF  
A PRISON SENTENCE. THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HAS EMPHASIZED  
THIS FUNDAMENTAL DUE PROCESS ISSUE OF "WHAT HAPPENS WHEN  
AN EXECUTIVE BRANCH AGENCY ACTS WITHOUT ANY LAW OR REGULATION  
TO CONSTRAIN IT". -TAO J. CONCURRING WITH GIBBONS C.J AND  
BULLA J. IN JOSHUA RAY VASQUEZ v NEVADA No. 79409-COA (2020);  
See ALSO UNITED STATES v HAVIS, 907F.3d 439, 450 (6<sup>TH</sup> CIR. 2018)"  
(THUPAR, J., CONCURRING), quoting SESSIONS v DIMAYA, -- U.S. --, 138 S.Ct.  
1204, 1223 (2018) (GORSUCH, J., CONCURRING IN PART AND CONCURRING IN  
THE JUDGEMENT). IN VASQUEZ supra, THE NEVADA COURT OF APPEALS  
ORDERED THE JUDGEMENT OF THE DISTRICT COURT VACATED SINCE  
THE DISTRICT COURT ABUSED IT'S DISCRETION AT SENTENCING BY  
FAILING TO RULE ON HIS OBJECTIONS TO THE P.S.I.

THE DISTRICT COURT IN PETITIONER SKINNER'S CASE CR14-0644  
ALSO FAILED TO RULE ON HIS OBJECTIONS TO THE P.S.I.; P+P  
USED 27 CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY SET FORTH IN NAC 213.590 WHICH  
DID NOT APPEAR IN ANY REGULATION AND WERE REPEALED IN 2016.  
AS A DIRECT CONSEQUENCE AND DESPITE COMPREHENSIVELY  
CHALLENGING THE P+P SCORING DURING SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS,  
PETITIONER SKINNER WAS DENIED PROBATION AND NOW CONTINUES  
TO BE PREJUDICED BY HIS FUNDAMENTALLY DEFECTIVE P.S.I..  
FOR INSTANCE, HE HAS BEEN DENIED PAROLE TWICE SINCE HIS  
CONVICTION IN SEPTEMBER 2014; IF STANDARDS HAD PROPERLY  
EXISTED AT THE TIMES OF HIS PAROLE ELIGIBILITY IN 2018 AND 2021,  
I. "VAGUE LAWS INVITE ARBITRARY POWER"

OUT

THERE IS A REASONABLE PROBABILITY THAT AN OUTCOME OTHER THAN PETITIONER SKINNER'S CONTINUED INCARCERATION, COULD HAVE RESULTED.

"WHILE SOME LEVEL OF RETROACTIVITY CAN BE TOLERATED IN CIVIL CASES, EX POST FACTO PROSECUTION AND PUNISHMENT ARE CONSTITUTIONALLY PROHIBITED IN CRIMINAL CASES".

- JUDGE TAO, IN VASQUEZ v NEVADA No. 79409 C.O.A (2020), *atv*, citing COLLINS v YOUNGBLOOD, 497 U.S. 37 (1990).

AFTER THE FACT OR RETROACTIVE "EX POST FACTO" PUNISHMENT CONTINUES TO OCCUR IN PETITIONER SKINNER'S CASE CRI4-0644, AS A RESULT OF HIS P.S.I. NOT BEING BASED ON ANY "STANDARDS" FOUNDED UPON "OBJECTIVE CRITERIA". WHEN THE "STANDARDS" ARE WHATEVER THE APPLICABLE PUBLIC OFFICIAL SUBJECTIVELY DEEMS THEM TO BE AT ANY GIVEN TIME — THERE ARE NO STANDARDS. PETITIONER SKINNER HAS BEEN DENIED DUE PROCESS OF LAW TO WHICH HE IS CONSTITUTIONALLY ENTITLED. JUDGE TAO IN HIS CONCURRING OPINION IN JOSHUA RAY VASQUEZ v NEVADA (2020) *supra* AT THE END OF HIS POINT IV. STATED:

"...I HARBOR SERIOUS DOUBT THAT THE NEVADA CONSTITUTION PERMITS ANY KIND OF STATE ANALOGUE TO IT THAT PERMITS NEVADA STATE AGENCIES TO MAKE UP RULES ON THE FLY, ESPECIALLY IN CRIMINAL CASES." *Id.*

THE NEVADA COURT OF APPEALS IN VASQUEZ CONCLUDED THAT THE DISTRICT COURT ABUSED IT'S DISCRETION BY SENTENCING HIM WITHOUT DUE CONSIDERATION TO HIS OBJECTIONS TO THE P.S.I.. IT ORDERED VASQUEZ'S SENTENCE BE VACATED AND HIS CASE REMANDED FOR RESENTENCING. THE SAME MUST APPLY TO SKINNER.

23. (b) GROUND TWO: I AM BEING DENIED DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL  
PROTECTION GUARANTEED BY THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH  
AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, AS  
DESCRIBED IN THE SUPPORTING FACTS HEREUNDER.

23. (b) SUPPORTING FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing  
cases or law): I AM BEING DENIED DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL  
PROTECTION AS GUARANTEED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION,  
BECAUSE I HAVE A 'PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT' (HEREAFTER  
"P.S.I.") ASSOCIATED WITH MY NEVADA CRIMINAL CASE CR14-0644,  
WHICH IS NOT BASED ON ANY "STANDARDS" FOUNDED UPON "OBJECTIVE  
CRITERIA", AND AS SUCH IMPROPERLY AFFECTS MY CUSTODY,  
CLASSIFICATION, PROGRAMMING AND OTHER MATERIAL CONDITIONS  
OF MY CONFINEMENT IN THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.

I HEREBY INCORPORATE INTO THIS GROUND BY REFERENCE ALL OF MY  
SUPPORTING FACTS, LEGAL ARGUMENT, AND OTHER CONTENT WHICH  
COMPRISES GROUND ONE IN THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS  
CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION).

MY P.S.I. MATERIALLY AFFECTS MANY ASPECTS OF MY  
INCARCERATION. IT IS THEREFORE CRITICALLY IMPORTANT THAT  
IT CONTAINS ACCURATE INFORMATION, AND IS BASED UPON  
SOUND CRITERIA THAT ARE OBJECTIVE AND FOUNDED ON "STANDARDS"  
THAT ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH DUE PROCESS AND APPLICABLE  
LAWS AND REGULATIONS. MY P.S.I. IS NOT SO GROUNDED. AS  
SUCH IT NEGATIVELY AFFECTS MY PAROLE ELIGIBILITY AND MORE BECAUSE  
IT IS ULTIMATELY AN INACCURATE DOCUMENT AND THEREFORE  
MISLEADING. A NEW, ACCURATE P.S.I. AND RESENTENCING MUST OCCUR.

23. (c) GROUND THREE: I AM BEING SUBJECTED TO CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT PROHIBITED BY THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

23. (c) SUPPORTING FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): INACCURACIES AND SUBJECTIVE CONTENT CONTAINED IN MY P.S.I. HAVE BEEN AND CONTINUE TO BE USED AGAINST ME BY PRISON INMATES AND OTHERS, TO MY DETRIMENT. IT HAS AND DOES EXPOSE ME TO THE RISK OF HARM AND EVEN DEATH IN THE PRISON ENVIRONMENT. THE COURT DID NOT SENTENCE ME TO SUFFER PHYSICAL HARM OR DEATH. I CAN TESTIFY TO INSTANCES WHERE I HAVE BEEN THREATENED WITH HARM BY PRISON INMATES, AND RIDICULE BY PRISON STAFF.

THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ISSUED A MEMORANDUM DATED OCTOBER 27<sup>TH</sup> 2022 CONCERNING INMATE P.S.I.'S WHICH IS CLEARLY RELEVANT TO THE ISSUES I RAISE IN THIS GROUND. See, EXHIBIT - 1.

A NEW P.S.I. THAT IS ACCURATE MUST BE CAUSED TO REPLACE MY CURRENT P.S.I., AND A NEW SENTENCING HEARING MUST OCCUR IN MY CR14-0644 CRIMINAL CASE.

#### CONCLUSION

A NEW P.S.I. MUST BE GENERATED IN MY CR14-0644 CRIMINAL CASE IN ACCORD WITH "OBJECTIVE CRITERIA" AND "STANDARDS" RATIFIED BY REGULATION. A NEW SENTENCING PROCEEDING MUST OCCUR IN MY CASE.

## VERIFICATION

Under penalty of perjury, the undersigned declares that he is the petitioner named in the foregoing petition and knows the contents thereof; that the pleading is true of his own knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to such matters he believes them to be true.

Rod Skinner.

Petitioner

Attorney for petitioner

## AFFIRMATION

PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding PETITION FOR WRIT  
OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

(Title of Document)

Does not contain the social security number of any person

Rod Skinner.

TH  
04 NOVEMBER 2022

Signature

Date

RODERICK SKINNER

Print name

PETITIONER IN PRO-PER

Title

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, RODERICK SKINNER, hereby certify pursuant to  
N.R.C.P. 5(b), that on this FOURTH day of the month of NOVEMBER  
of the year 2022, I mailed a true and correct copy of the  
foregoing PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS addressed to:

WARDEN FRAZIER N.N.C.C.

Respondent prison or jail official

P.O. Box 7000

CARSON CITY N.V. 89702

Address

Attorney General's Office  
100 North Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

CLERK OF THE COURT  
SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
75 COURT ST  
RENO N.V. 89501.

JENNIFER NOBLE

District Attorney of County of Conviction

1 SOUTH SIERRA ST

RENO N.V. 89501

Address

Rod Skinner.

Signature of Petitioner

Northern Nevada Correctional Center  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, Nevada 89702



# EXHIBIT-1

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS NEVADA

MEMORANDUM DATED OCTOBER 27<sup>TH</sup>, 2022

SUBJECT: POSSESSION OF PRE-SENTENCE REPORTS

Steve Sisolak  
Governor

William Gittere  
Acting Director

Fernandeis A. Frazier,  
Warden Northern  
Nevada Correctional  
Center



STATE OF NEVADA  
Department of Corrections

Northern Administration  
5500 Snyder Ave.  
Carson City, NV 89701  
(775) 977-5500

Southern Administration  
3955 W. Russell Rd.  
Las Vegas, NV 89118  
(725) 216-6000

---

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 27, 2022

To: NNCC/SCC Offenders

Subject: Possession of Pre-Sentence Report

In accordance with AR 568, "Offenders will not be provided copies of their Pre-Sentence Investigation Reports due to sensitive information contained in them." As a means of addressing this issue, individuals who currently have these items in their possession are required to turn the items into their assigned Case Worker no later than November 13, 2022. Offenders who are found in possession of said items after that date will receive a Notice of Charge(s) MJ26 Possession of Contraband along with the item being confiscated at that time.

*Fernandeis A. Frazier* 10/27/22  
Fernandeis A. Frazier, Warden Date  
Northern Nevada Correctional Center-  
Stewart Conservation Camp  
Nevada Department of Corrections

1 WHEREFORE, petitioner prays that the court grant petitioner  
2 relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.

3  
4 EXECUTED at NORTHERN NEVADA CORRECTIONAL CENTER  
5 on the 04<sup>TH</sup> day of the month of NOVEMBER of the  
6 year 2022

7  
8 Rod Skinner

9 Signature of petitioner

10  
11  
12  
13 Address

14  
15 Signature of attorney (if any)

16  
17 Attorney for petitioner

18  
19  
20  
21 Address

CODE #1260  
CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
#7747  
One South Sierra Street  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
(775) 328-3200  
districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov  
Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

\* \* \*

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. CR14-0644

v.

Dept. No. 8

RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_/

APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO PRODUCE PRISONER

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by and through CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS,  
District Attorney of Washoe County, by KEVIN NAUGHTON, Appellate Deputy, and  
alleges as follows:

1. That the above Defendant, RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964, is  
presently incarcerated at the Northern Nevada Correctional Center, Carson City,  
Nevada.
2. That the above RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964, is scheduled for an  
in-person status hearing before the Second Judicial District Court on December 29,  
2022, at 9:00 a.m.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that an Order be made ordering the appearance of the said RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER #1126964, before the Second Judicial District Court, on December 29, 2022, at 9:00 a.m. for an in-person status hearing in the above-entitled action, and from time to time thereafter at such times and places as may be ordered and directed by the Court for such proceedings as thereafter may be necessary and proper in the premises, and directing the execution of said Order by the Warden of the Northern Nevada Correctional Center, Carson City, Nevada.

**AFFIRMATION**

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: November 22, 2022.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS  
District Attorney

By /s/ Kevin Naughton  
KEVIN NAUGHTON  
Appellate Deputy

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County District Attorney's Office and that, on November 22, 2022, I deposited for mailing through the U.S. Mail Service at Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, postage prepaid, a true copy of the foregoing document, addressed to:

Roderick Skinner #1126964  
Northern Nevada Correctional Center  
P.O. Box 7000  
Carson City, NV 89702

/s/ Tatyana Ducummon  
TATYANA DUCUMMON

**Return Of NEF****Recipients**

**JENNIFER NOBLE, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-22 15:50:22.466.  
**KEVIN NAUGHTON, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-22 15:50:23.201.  
**DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION** - Notification received on 2022-11-22 15:50:22.107.  
**JOHN PETTY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-22 15:50:21.294.  
**CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-22 15:50:20.935.  
**EDWARD REED, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-22 15:50:23.497.  
**CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ.** - Notification received on 2022-11-22 15:50:22.794.

**\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\***

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

-

**A filing has been submitted to the court RE:** CR14-0644

**Judge:**

HONORABLE BARRY L. BRESLOW

**Official File Stamp:**

11-22-2022:15:49:51

**Clerk Accepted:**

11-22-2022:15:50:02

**Court:**

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Criminal

**Case Title:**

STATE VS. RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER  
(D8)

**Document(s) Submitted:**

Application Produce Prisoner

**Filed By:**

Kevin Naughton

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

-

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

**The following people were served electronically:**

DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION

EDWARD TORRANCE REED, ESQ. for  
RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

CHRISTOPHER FREY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER

JOHN REESE PETTY, ESQ. for RODERICK  
STEPHEN SKINNER



KEVIN P. NAUGHTON, ESQ. for STATE OF  
NEVADA

**The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means** (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):