IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

RODERICK STEPHEN SKINNER, Appellant,

vs.

KYLE OLSEN, WARDEN; NNCC; and THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondents.

No. 86846 & 86893 Electronically Filed Oct 26 2023 11:54 AM

DOCKETING STATES Upreme Court

(Including appeals from pretrial and post-conviction rulings and other requests for post-conviction relief)

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions.

1. Judicial District Second	County Washoe
Judge Bruce Breslow	District Ct. Case No. CR14-0644
2. If the defendant was given a sentence,	
(a) what is the sentence?	
Life in prison with parole eligibility after se	ervice of Five years in prison
(b) has the sentence been stayed pending ap	peal?
No	
(c) was defendant admitted to bail pending a	appeal?
No	
3. Was counsel in the district court appointed	∇ or retained Γ?
4. Attorney filling this docketing statemen	nt:
Attorney Karla K. Butko	Telephone 775 786 7118
Firm Karla K. Butko, Ltd.	
Address: P. O. Box 1249	
Verdi, NV 89439	
Client(s) Roderick Stephen Skinner	
5. Is appellate counsel appointed 🗵 or retained	ed 「 ?
If this is a joint statement by	Mt. 1

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

6. Attorney(s) representing responde	ent(s):
Attorney Kevin Naughton	Telephone 776 328 3200
Firm Washoe County District Attorney's	s Office
Address: 1 South Sierra, Fourth Floor Reno, NV 89501	
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address:	
Client(s)(List additional coun	sel on separate sheet if necessary)
7. Nature of disposition below:	sor on separate sheet if necessary)
☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Judgment after jury verdict ☐ Judgment upon guilty plea ☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss ☐ Parole/probation revocation ☐ Motion for new trial ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Motion to withdraw guilty plea ☐ grant ☐ denial	 ☐ Grant of pretrial habeas ☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence ☒ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34) ☐ grant ☒ denial ☐ Other disposition (specify):
8. Does this appeal raise issues concer	ning any of the following:
☐ death sentence	juvenile offender
□ life sentence	☐ pretrial proceedings
9. Expedited appeals: The court may decide Are you in favor of proceeding in such mann	de to expedite the appellate process in this matter.
Γ Yes ⊠ Nο	

10. **Pending and prior proceedings in this court.** List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal (e.g., separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction proceedings):

Docket 66666: Direct appeal Roderick Stephen Skinner v. State of Nevada Docket 79981: Post conviction appeal: Roderick Stephen Skinner v. Warden

Docket 84894: Petition for Writ of Mandamus Docket 86839: Petition for Writ of Mandamus

11. **Pending and prior proceedings in other courts.** List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

CR 14-0644: State of Nevada v. Roderick Stephen Skinner

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Roderick Skinner filed a Second and Third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (postconviction). The District Court ruled that Mr. Skinner did not prove good cause for the filing of a successive petition and that the petitions were untimely. There was no evidentiary hearing. Mr. Skinner argued that Gonzales v. State, 137 Nev. 398 (2021) created a new rule and that his Second Petition and Third Petition were timely. This appeal follows the denial or postconviction relief.

13. Issues on appeal.	State specifically	all issues in	this appeal	(attach separat	e sheets as
necessary):				•	

1. The District Court abused its discretion when it dismissed the postconviction action without holding an evidentiary hearing, in violation of the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

2. The District Court erred when it found that Gonzales v. State, 137 Nev. 398 (2021) did not create a new rule of law and dismissed the postconviction petitions.

14. **Constitutional issues:** If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

⊠ N/A

┌ Yes

□ No

If not, explain:

15. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

This case involves a postconviction on a Category A felony and is not presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17(B). The issue of whether Gonzales v. State should be applied to allow litigants to raise postconviction issues that were omitted in prior litigation as long as the petitioner files within one year of Gonzales could be serious and could provide a remedy to many litigants. This issue should be heard by the Nevada Supreme Court.

16. Issues of first in substantial legal issue public interest?	npression of e of first imp	or of public inter pression in this jur	rest. Does this appeal prisdiction or one affectin	resent a g an important
First impression:	⊠ Yes	□ No		
Public interest:	┌ Yes	⊠ No		
17. Length of trial. Court, how many days	If this action did the tria	n proceeded to tria l or evidentiary he	ll or evidentiary hearing earing last?	; in the district
days				
18. Oral argument. oral argument?	Would you	object to submissio	on of this appeal for disp	osition without
⊠ Yes ⊏	No			

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

19. Date district court announced decision, ser	tence or order appealed from $06/09/2023$
20. Date of entry of written judgment or order	appealed from $06/12/2023$
(a) If no written judgment or order was file seeking appellate review:	ed in the district court, explain the basis for
21. If this appeal is from an order granting or dindicate the date written notice of entry of judg	
(a) Was service by delivery ┌ or by mail [×
22. If the time for filing the notice of appeal wa(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date	
Arrest judgment	Date filed
New trial (newly discovered evidence)	Date filed
New trial (other grounds)	Date filed
(b) Date of entry of written order resolving	motion
23. Date notice of appeal filed 06/23/2023	
24. Specify statute or rule governing the time 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177.015(2) NRS 34.575	limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP), or other

SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

25. Specify statute, rule or other author	rity that grants this court jurisdiction to review from:
NRS 177.015(1)(b)	NRS 34.560
NRS 177.015(1)(e)	
NRS 177.015(2)	NRS 34.560(2)
	Other (specify)
NRS 177.055	
I certify that the information provi	ERIFICATION ded in this docketing statement is true and
complete to the best of my knowled	ge, information and belief.
Roderick Stephen Skinner Name of appellant 10/23/2023 Date	Name of counsel of record Signature of counsel of record
H (OS	ICATE OF SERVICE $20 \ \underline{23}$, I served a copy of this completed
docketing statement upon all counsel of	
☐ By personally serving it upon him	
Kevin Naughton Washoe County District Attorney's Offi 1 South Sierra, Fourth Floor Reno, NV 89501 Dated this 22 day of 9	
	Signature Signature