2	Electronically Filed 6/28/2023 2:07 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT						
i	DEMARENE COLEMAN COLUMN STATE						
2	1 1 036 0 1110 0 001 200, 0.0.0.0.						
3	Indian Springs, Nevada 89018						
4	Electronically Filed Jul 10 2023 11:32 A Elizabeth A. Brown						
5							
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARY						
7	1						
8							
9	DEMARENECOLEMAN )						
10	Plaintiff, }						
I 1	VS. Warden Neglera, According Ford: State of Neural  Case No. A:23-868446-W						
12	Steven Wolfson Dept. No. 6						
13	Defendant. Docket						
14							
15							
16	NOTICE OF APPEAL						
17	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, That the Petitioner/Defendant,						
18	DEMARENE COLEMAN, in and through his proper person, hereby						
19	appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the ORDER denying and/or						
20	dismissing the						
21	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION)						
22							
3	ruled on the 20th day of June, 20 23						
4	, 20 ==						
5	Dated this 23th day of June, 20 23						
- 11 .							
7	Respectfully Submitted.  Nemozive Coleman						
2	Semontine Coleman						

urt

山下書の内の一番所以 LAS VEGAS NV 890 P.O. Box 208 S.D. C.C. Indian Springs, NV. 89070

Demica enc. Colemican # 1007335

Steven D. Grierson

200 hewis Avenue 3rd floor Clerk of the Court

CLERK OF THE COURT

RECEIVED

Las vegas NV, 89155-1160

Hillian Halletteller Hallettell

Electronically Filed 7/6/2023 7:18 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ASTA

2

1

3

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A-23-868466-W

Case No: A-23-868466-W

Dept No: VI

# CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE

STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

- 1. Appellant(s): Demarene Coleman
- 2. Judge: Jacqueline M. Bluth
- 3. Appellant(s): Demarene Coleman

Counsel:

DEMARENE COLEMAN,

vs.

WOLFSON D.A.,

Plaintiff(s),

Defendant(s),

WARDEN NAJERA; AARON FORD ATTORNEY GENERAL; STATE OF NEVADA; STEVEN B.

Demarene Coleman #1007335 P.O. Box 208 Indian Springs, NV 89070

4. Respondent (s): Warden Majera; Aaron Ford Attorney General; State of Nevada; Steven B. Wolfson D.A.

Counsel:

-1-

Case Number: A-23-868466-W

1		Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave.					
2		Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212					
3	5.	Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A					
4		Permission Granted: N/A					
5		Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A					
6 7	6.	Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No					
8	7.	7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A					
9	8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**: N/A **Expires 1 year from date filed						
10	Appellant Filed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: No Date Application(s) filed: N/A						
11	9. Date Commenced in District Court: April 5, 2023						
13	10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Civil Writ						
14		Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus					
15	11.	Previous Appeal: No					
16		Supreme Court Docket Number(s): N/A					
17	12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A						
18	13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown						
19	Dated This 6 day of July 2023.						
20		Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court					
21							
22		/s/ Heather Ungermann					
23		Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk 200 Lewis Ave					
25		PO Box 551601 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601					
26		(702) 671-0512					
27	cc: Demare	ne Coleman					
	II						

28

#### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-23-868466-W

Demarene Coleman, Plaintiff(s) vs. Warden Najera, Defendant(s)

05C215295-1 (Writ Related Case)

Location: Judicial Officer: Filed on:

Department 6 Bluth, Jacqueline M. 04/05/2023

Cross-Reference Case A868466 Number:

CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases Case Type: Writ of Habeas Corpus

Case Status: 04/05/2023 Open

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

**Current Case Assignment** 

Case Number A-23-868466-W
Court Department 6
Date Assigned 04/05/2023
Judicial Officer Bluth, Jacqueline M.

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff Coleman, Demarene

Defendant Ford, Aaron

State of Nevada

Warden Najera

Wolfson, Steven

DATE EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT INDEX

**EVENTS** 

04/05/2023 Inmate Filed - Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

Party: Plaintiff Coleman, Demarene

[1] Post Conviction

04/06/2023 Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

[2] Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

05/09/2023 Response

[3] State's Response to Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and

Countermotion to Dismiss Pursuant to Laches

06/28/2023 Notice of Appeal

[4] Notice of Appeal

07/06/2023 Case Appeal Statement

Case Appeal Statement

06/20/2023 HEARINGS

Pro Se

#### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

## CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-23-868466-W

Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bluth, Jacqueline M.)

Minute Order re: Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

COURT ORDERED, Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) is DENIED. "Any person convicted of a crime and under sentence of death or imprisonment who claims that the conviction was obtained, or that the sentence was imposed, in violation of the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution or laws of this State, or who, after exhausting all available administrative remedies, claims that the time the person has served pursuant to the judgment of conviction has been improperly computed, may, without paying a filing fee, file a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus to obtain relief from the conviction or sentence or to challenge the computation of time that the person has served." NRS 34.724. However, "[u]nless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within 1 year after entry of the judgment of conviction or, if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within 1 year after the appellate court of competent jurisdiction ... issues its remittitur." NRS 34.724(1). "[G]ood cause for delay exists if the petitioner demonstrates to the satisfaction of the court: (a) That the delay is not the fault of the petitioner; and (b) That dismissal of the petition as untimely will unduly prejudice the petitioner." NRS 34.724(1)(a)-(b). "Application of the statutory procedural default rules to post-conviction habeas petitions is mandatory." Sate v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. ex rel. Cnty. of Clark, 121 Nev. 225, 231, 112 P.3d 1070, 1074 (2005). Here, Petitioner has raised seven interrelated grounds for relief in his Petition revolving around his guilty plea agreement in case number 05C215295-1. However, each ground fails as they are subject to the above procedural bar. Petitioner entered a plea of guilty and was sentenced on August 14, 2007. Judgment of Conviction, filed August 22, 2007 in 05C215295-1. Thereafter, Petitioner's judgment of conviction was filed on August 22, 2007. Id. The instant Petition was filed on April 5, 2023. Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed April 5. 2023. Clearly, the one-year time limit of NRS 34.724(1) has lapsed and this Petition should be barred. In reviewing Petitioner's Petition, at no point does he argue about, or provide a reason for, his Petition being filed far after the one-year time limit expired. Even if Petitioner had provided argument pertaining to good cause for delay, the Court notes that all of his grounds are premised on events that occurred in 2007 and Petitioner could have brought his claims much, much sooner than now; per the Petition, Petitioner was present for each event he now complains of. As such, the Petition must be denied. As this Petition is time-barred, there is no need for an evidentiary hearing. See NRS 34.770(1) ("The judge or justice, upon review of the return, answer and all supporting documents which are filed, shall determine whether an evidentiary hearing is required."); see also NRS 34.770(2) ("If the judge or justice determines that the petitioner is not entitled to relief and an evidentiary hearing is not required, the judge or justice shall dismiss the petition without a hearing."). Therefore, COURT ORDERED, Petitioner's Petition is DENIED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, as the Petition is denied, its setting on June 20, 2023 shall be VACATED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, as the Petition is denied, the State of Nevada's Countermotion to Dismiss Pursuant to Laches is DENIED as MOOT. The State of Nevada is to prepare an order consistent with the Court's ruling. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was electronically mailed to John Afshar, Deputy District Attorney and a copy mailed to the Petitioner./kb;

06/20/2023

CANCELED Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bluth, Jacqueline M.)

Vacated

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

07/03/2023

Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bluth, Jacqueline M.)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

COURT ORDERED, the Findings of Facts, Conclusion of Law, and Order, filed June 22, 2023, shall be STRICKEN as it was inadvertently filed without the Court's signature.;

# DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

A-23-868466-W Dept. 6

Case No.  (Assigned by Clerk's Office)								
I. Party Information (provide both ho	me and mailing addresses if different)							
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defendan	nt(s) (name/address/phone):					
Demarene Co	oleman	Warden Najera						
		Ĺ						
Attorney (name/address/phone):		Attorney (name/address/phone):						
II. Nature of Controversy (please s	elect the one most applicable filing type	below)						
Civil Case Filing Types	eteet me one most appareasie jumg ope							
Real Property			Torts					
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence		Other Torts					
Unlawful Detainer	Auto		Product Liability					
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability		Intentional Misconduct					
Title to Property	Other Negligence		Employment Tort					
Judicial Foreclosure	Malpractice		Insurance Tort					
Other Title to Property	Medical/Dental		Other Tort					
Other Real Property	Legal							
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	Accounting	į						
Other Real Property	Other Malpractice							
Probate (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect & Cont	ract	Judicial Review/Appeal Judicial Review					
	Chapter 40		Foreclosure Mediation Case					
Summary Administration General Administration	Other Construction Defect		Petition to Seal Records					
Special Administration	Contract Case	Į	Mental Competency					
Set Aside	Uniform Commercial Code	Ì	Nevada State Agency Appeal					
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construction		Department of Motor Vehicle					
Other Probate	Insurance Carrier		Worker's Compensation					
Estate Value	Commercial Instrument	ļ	Other Nevada State Agency					
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts		Appeal Other					
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract		Appeal from Lower Court					
Under \$100,000 or Unknown	Other Contract		Other Judicial Review/Appeal					
Under \$2,500								
Civ	il Writ	Other Civil Filing						
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing					
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Writ of Prohibition		Compromise of Minor's Claim					
Writ of Mandamus	Other Civil Writ		Foreign Judgment					
Writ of Quo Warrant			Other Civil Matters					
Business (	Court filings should be filed using th	e Business	s Court civil coversheet.					
April 5, 2023			PREPARED BY CLERK					
Date	<del></del>	Signa	ture of initiating party or representative					

See other side for family-related case filings.

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Mrit of Habeas Corpus COURT MINUTES June 20, 2023

A-23-868466-W Demarene Coleman, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Warden Najera, Defendant(s)

June 20, 2023 3:00 AM Minute Order

**HEARD BY:** Bluth, Jacqueline M. **COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kristen Brown

**RECORDER:** 

**REPORTER:** 

PARTIES PRESENT:

## **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- COURT ORDERED, Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) is DENIED.

"Any person convicted of a crime and under sentence of death or imprisonment who claims that the conviction was obtained, or that the sentence was imposed, in violation of the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution or laws of this State, or who, after exhausting all available administrative remedies, claims that the time the person has served pursuant to the judgment of conviction has been improperly computed, may, without paying a filing fee, file a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus to obtain relief from the conviction or sentence or to challenge the computation of time that the person has served." NRS 34.724.

However, "[u]nless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within 1 year after entry of the judgment of conviction or, if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within 1 year after the appellate court of competent jurisdiction ... issues its remittitur." NRS 34.724(1). "[G]ood cause for delay exists if the petitioner demonstrates to the satisfaction of the court: (a) That the delay is not the fault of the petitioner; and (b) That dismissal of the petition as untimely will unduly prejudice the petitioner." NRS 34.724(1)(a)-(b).

PRINT DATE: 07/06/2023 Page 1 of 3 Minutes Date: June 20, 2023

"Application of the statutory procedural default rules to post-conviction habeas petitions is mandatory." Sate v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. ex rel. Cnty. of Clark, 121 Nev. 225, 231, 112 P.3d 1070, 1074 (2005).

Here, Petitioner has raised seven interrelated grounds for relief in his Petition revolving around his guilty plea agreement in case number 05C215295-1. However, each ground fails as they are subject to the above procedural bar.

Petitioner entered a plea of guilty and was sentenced on August 14, 2007. Judgment of Conviction, filed August 22, 2007 in 05C215295-1. Thereafter, Petitioner's judgment of conviction was filed on August 22, 2007. Id. The instant Petition was filed on April 5, 2023. Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed April 5, 2023. Clearly, the one-year time limit of NRS 34.724(1) has lapsed and this Petition should be barred. In reviewing Petitioner's Petition, at no point does he argue about, or provide a reason for, his Petition being filed far after the one-year time limit expired. Even if Petitioner had provided argument pertaining to good cause for delay, the Court notes that all of his grounds are premised on events that occurred in 2007 and Petitioner could have brought his claims much, much sooner than now; per the Petition, Petitioner was present for each event he now complains of. As such, the Petition must be denied.

As this Petition is time-barred, there is no need for an evidentiary hearing. See NRS 34.770(1) ("The judge or justice, upon review of the return, answer and all supporting documents which are filed, shall determine whether an evidentiary hearing is required."); see also NRS 34.770(2) ("If the judge or justice determines that the petitioner is not entitled to relief and an evidentiary hearing is not required, the judge or justice shall dismiss the petition without a hearing.").

Therefore, COURT ORDERED, Petitioner's Petition is DENIED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, as the Petition is denied, its setting on June 20, 2023 shall be VACATED. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, as the Petition is denied, the State of Nevada's Countermotion to Dismiss Pursuant to Laches is DENIED as MOOT. The State of Nevada is to prepare an order consistent with the Court's ruling.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was electronically mailed to John Afshar, Deputy District Attorney and a copy mailed to the Petitioner./kb

## **DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

**COURT MINUTES** 

**Minute Order** 

Demarene Coleman, Plaintiff(s) A-23-868466-W

July 03, 2023

Writ of Habeas Corpus

Warden Najera, Defendant(s)

3:00 AM July 03, 2023

**HEARD BY:** Bluth, Jacqueline M. **COURTROOM:** Chambers

**COURT CLERK:** Kristen Brown

**RECORDER:** 

**REPORTER:** 

**PARTIES** PRESENT:

## **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- COURT ORDERED, the Findings of Facts, Conclusion of Law, and Order, filed June 22, 2023, shall be STRICKEN as it was inadvertently filed without the Court's signature.



# EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

DEMARENE COLEMAN #1007335 P.O. BOX 208 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070

> DATE: July 6, 2023 CASE: A-23-868466-W

**RE CASE:** DEMARENE COLEMAN vs. WARDEN NAJERA; AARON FORD ATTORNEY GENERAL; STATE

OF NEVADA; STEVEN B. WOLFSON D.A.

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: June 28, 2023

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

#### PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS NOT TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

□ \$24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**	al, it must be this office if
_ +	
<ul> <li>\$500 - Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**</li> <li>NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases</li> <li>Previously paid Bonds are not transferable between appeals without an order of the District Co.</li> </ul>	vurt.
☐ Case Appeal Statement - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2	
⊠ Order	

### NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

Notice of Entry of Order

 $\boxtimes$ 

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

#### Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

\*\*Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.

# **Certification of Copy**

State of Nevada County of Clark SS

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

DEMARENE COLEMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

WARDEN NAJERA; AARON FORD ATTORNEY GENERAL; STATE OF NEVADA; STEVEN B. WOLFSON D.A.,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-23-868466-W

Dept No: VI

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 6 day of July 2023.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk